Cameron's Grocery
See Our Ad
The Friendly Service
Phone 137

THE SIMILKAMEEN STAR

at the CAPITOL
MONDAY—TUESDAY
Street With No Name
with Mark Stevens

Forty-Ninth Year; No. 23. PRINCETON, B.C., THURSDAY, 9th JUNE, 1949 $2.00 per Year, 5c per Copy.

ONE VOTE FOR FOUR MEN

IT'S Bargain Day in Yale—four to pick from and all for free. But unless you are the Dionne Quintuplets or a plain and fancy crook you can only vote for one Here's the four ring circus.

OWEN LEWIS JONES, C.C.F. (Kelowna). Sitting member. An authority on municipal affairs. Veteran of War I.

MAYOR THEO BRUCE ADAMS PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE Mayor of Vernon and prominent in North Okanagan public life. Veteran of War II.

CHARLES JAMES McDOWELL LIBERAL, Vernon businessman.

JAMES ALLAN REID, SOCIAL CREDIT, Salmon Arm, about whom nobody don't know nuthin' but he sounds like a braw Scot.

At least there are no shemales to properly mess things up.

Polls open at 9 a.m. and close at 7—Dr. Johnson's free enterprise time. Universal franchise.

****

Champion



PREMIER ST. LAURENT
Liberal

****

Challenger



OPPOSITION LEADER DREW
Conservative

****

Him?

MEMBER OWEN L. JONES
C.C.F.

****

Or Him?



T. R. B. ADAMS
Conservative

Here's Your Federal Election Analysis

This One More Honest

YOU go to the polls on Monday. It is again a curious and confusing election, complicated by the fact that the arguments are pretty much the opposite of those urged on you in the provincial campaign less than a fortnight ago, and that the situation in Yale is quite in contrast with the national picture.

While politics is still politics, the issue this time is much more clear, more simple, and more honest, undoubtedly because the setup allows it. There is really no great issue except which of the two orthodox parties you prefer, based on the only two approaches to the job of government — careful or sanguine, whether you are satisfied with the way the government has been doing the job or whether you feel it is time for a change.

In Yale the situation is a bit different, partly because one of the standard parties has hardly a chance—and that happens to be the government party—and mostly because the out-line party, is strong.

So again — quite the opposite of the national decision — it is pretty much a matter of the C.C.F. or not the C.C.F. But with the difference that if you believe in one of the old line approaches —the Conservative — you can express your choice.

Merits of the candidates aside, that forecasts a much less optimistic outlet for the C.C.F. than in the by-election of last year when the switch from traditional Conservativism gave the C. C. F. the balance of power—albeit an inconsequential one—in the B.C. Federal set-up.

Different This Time

Then most voted simply for the man, since they were disinclined to vote for the government or its standard - bearer, With a general election shortly due, and the Liberals strongly in power, the result could make very little difference, and the electors liked Mr. Jones better than either of the other contestants. This time the party issue is much more pronounced.

The C.C.F. has support in B.C. quite disproportionate to its national status. Its position in Canada is about the same as that of the Liberals in Yale. No matter how Yale or B.C. went, there is very little chance of a C.C.F. government, and that is bound to be reflected in a general election vote in B.C. and in Yale quite differently than in a by-election vote, and the simple inference—not partisan but logical — is that Mr. Jones has a much tougher job on his hands this time, emphasized by the psychological factor — not altogether fair but nevertheless true that despite a workmanlike record Mr. Jones has done no wonders, which some folk intuitively expect of a new broom.

The big thing against Mr. Jones, of course, is his affiliation and Mr. Jones himself fails to take a sensible view of that. Whatever his sincerity. Canada does not want Socialism, and when he advocates it, even as a member, he is not an orthodox member, and orthodox Canadians, even if they be in a minority in Yale, cannot forget that his main advocacy is socialism and therefore, however conscientious a member, he cannot altogether represent them.

Socialism: Revolution

The Socialists are not just another party, who want to do the same things a new way. There are only two ways, liberally or conservatively, as basically represented in the principle of the old line parties. The C.C.F. want to do entirely new and revolutionary things, radically changing not merely the democratic principle of free enterprise, but far more, the fundamental relationship between the individual and the state. Granted that there is ample dissatisfaction with the way the etablished system is working — and the old parties whose job it is to make it work, socialism is not a simple election issue, but actually a bloodless revolution, as radical in it way as Communism, and if the C.C.F. expects to be taken seriously—well, they must expect to be taken seriously; and their main advocacy—their reason for being and for seeking power, is something serious. Not by any means a crime but they must not be upset when serious people cannot endorse them. Socialism is a very different thing than politics. One just has to be for one or the other, and in a showdown—an utterly fantastic and unreal one where not socialism but power was the real issue—the C.C.F. were plaintly told, even in sympathetic B.C., how they stand when the chips are down.

If the C.C.F. don't want all that they are just advanced Liberals and their obvious course is to take over that party. If they can't, how can they take over the country?

But nobody tells you these fundamental things.

While there is no such imaginary issue now throughout B. C. the result of that division is bound to be reflected in Monday's vote.

Nobody denies the C.C.F. the right to their beliefs, but they must accord an equal right and remember too that they are in the minority. The C.C.F., and Mr Jones, have lost some sympathy in this respect.

In plain truth, in his first year at Ottawa, Mr. Jones has been most concientious, but accomplished next to nothing. He has been sending weekly reports to all his newpapers about Mr. Jones' week in parliament — of utterly no news value and inevitably partisan — and because nobody has been using them, he has from his platforms been accuing editors—no report of this one though—of bias. Mr. Jones should take a course in human relations and forget about journalism.

The provincial Socialstm - or free enterprise alarm was largely a hoax. However folk may innocently cast a rash ballot, there is no more serious danger of B.C.—or Canada embracing socialism than my aunt Susie going up to the New York Yankees. The "best government B.C. ever had" just wanted to keep their jobs, and knew there was enough disaffection to back an outsider against either of the traditional power-graspers. So a sharing of power was better than no power, and they pooled. Big business knew what the accident of a C.C.F. government could mean and swamped the so-called socialists with campaign funds. For the voters it was a Hobson's choice. In the overwhelming of the threat there was instantly an agitation to go back to the old division in the legislature, on the basis of the returns. It well may happen.

Straight Party Fight

In the federal election there are no such scare tactics — no need for them. The C.C.F. is not a national threat. Whatever the result of Monday's poll, there must be general agreement that the best thing that could happen to politics—and government in Canada would be the resurgence of a strong and virile Conservative party—the more honest the better; in dire days since the strong-man Bennett regime. A return to elemental and fundamental two-party lines—the competitive essence of the democratic way—is not only the best assurance of good government, but also the sure purgative of the various "isms." In the federal scene we have had major changes in both families, an especially a new look in the Tory parlor. Politics may still be politics, but they are best alert.

Over the whole stage, this at least has been a lively campaign, even if more electioneering than genuine debate. Example the scramble for Quebec, a somewhat indigestible mass, not altogether to the general good, traditionally, but more or less accidentally, Liberal — as good as a ten yard start in a century sprint. Mr. Drew has scrapped for that.

Satisfied or Not?

There is no real issue—except good government. "Fourteen years is too long" is no argument. The real thing is not how long, but how efficient. Much more pertinent is the question of provincial—and private—rights, the growing tendency—not confined to this government — to centralisation and bureaucracy and order-in-council rule the reluctance to part with war-time special powers; inflation and the stranglehold of big business; the growing unbalance of the social and economic and moral setup in this disturbing age. Remember too how much is in the lap of world unrest. Before the next election vivid things may come to pass. For that we must prepare by the men we choose on Monday. To be a Canadian is a more serious thought than ever before. Let us all remeber that—the man we choose most of all.

That, as Canadians, is what we vote on Monday, even though in Yale the issue has local variations.

It is simply factual to say that here it is between Conservatives and C.C.F., or rather Admas and Jones. This paper does not believe in politics. It does not believe in Socialism, for purely logical reasons, which it has stated. It does not endorse any party. It analyses arguments and issues.

In the federal aspect, Liberalism in Yale is at a low ebb, and that is not good. The C.C.F. has made considerable gains in a traditionally Conservative riding They took it in last year's by-election. hardly a normal test.

The Liberals are divided, largely North Okanagan vs. South. The south wanted a private coalition against the C.C.F. Oliver Liberals, for instance, have gone on record as backing Adams. McDowell, the Liberal nominee, has hung on, but cannot be said to have made a strong fight, or even to be optimistic The Liberals probably feel they have nothing to lose and every vote is a vote for the party. The Social Credit man may have prayed: he has certainly done little else. It will be news to most that he is running — if running is the word. It is debatable if this sort of thing should be allowed. Election farces cost money.

Jones versus Adams

It can properly be said that both Mr. Jones and Mr. Adams are above the usual political stamp, and both have personal followings apart from party lines Though Jones is the member, the authority of success is new to him; he has been beaten more often before. Though Jones is better known in these parts that is not a true reflex of the riding. The Conservatives are optimistic, and not altogether idly.

The Liberals approached Mr. Jones to take their nomination. he asked if he could vote as he pleased. The answer was he would vote with the party. His answer was no.

Now that does not look good. Mr. Jones is a pillar of the C. C F. He could hardly be a Liberal, as a Socialist, even voting independently The Liberals knew that, outside the ethics of the approach. So did Mr. Jones.

The Conservatives have had no such complications—or call for them. They would naturally welcome a coalition that favored them—and not the Liberals — at once against the local rival and the national rival. Tactically, a C.C.F. from Yale would be better for the Liberals than a Conservative.

In these parts at least it has not been a very well-prepared vote. Advertising has been on an ethical and intelligent plane, but largely because of the proximity and confusion of the provincial hoax, the vital platform approach has been pretty barren.

However, there is every chance of an intelligent vote over the Canadian picture and a sound choice in Yale, even if not on orthodox lines and almost certainly with no hope of the government gaining the endorsement here it may or may not deserve.

Whoever gets in, most of us will hope they do something about the post office and the C.B.C., and pass a law to king up whoever is responsible for pulling off two elections in twelve days in the busy month of June.

Go out and vote for the Canada we should have.

VOTE
As You Wish
TO-DAY
It Is Your Duty

Published by Princeton Junior Chamber of Commerce, Voting facilities for you—See Page 2.

GOVERNMENT OR POLITICS?

You Should Know - - Leading, And Misleading

YOU go to the polls on Monday, but you—meaning specifically you—go largely in vain.

You are urged to vote: it is your duty, you are told. You are not told that this moral appeal to your good citizenship is part of a general campaign of unscrupulous election subtlety. Even well-meaning public service organizations are taken in by it, spend their own money to get votes for the politicians. The politicians urge the same appeal, —but invariably manage to couple their altruism with the sly suggestion of a picture of their man.

Did you ever stop to consider how much money is spent on getting votes — your vote? It would pave the sidewalk in front of your home, but unless you are an extra good citizen and do it yourself ankles will be turned to-morrow, after you have "done your duty" at the polls. True?

Why all this franchise frenzy? If sincere for good government, for you, why not spend the money on filling the holes, whether it be red or blue? After all if they are sincere, good government is the thing and they should care that it is done, not who does it Does that strike you as the aim of this campaign? Did the flood of sudden money spent on you fill the holes? Or a seat in the chamber of authority to spend your money? In many years of opportunity the commonweal is full of holes and there is the periodic four-year frenzy to spend money on you—not to fill the holes, but to cajole you into giving them the job of filling them. Ring true?

Not with their campaign funds, mind, or why not spend it on that?; but with your money, which they spend their money to get control of. Right?

They do not fill the holes — with their money or yours. Why the frenzy to get the chance to do it? What is the motive of politics? What do they fill?

In a small fine frenzy of service, perhaps you have been president of your bowling club, or secretary of your ladies' aid. In sincerity, you enjoyed it. But it was hard work; not something you got but something you gave, the filling of holes. Did you feel the need to spend your money to get the chance to do it, or would you not sensibly give that money as a subscription to doing the job, only too happy no matter who did it? Does it occur to you that just there is the distinction between government and politics? Do you find it convincing, sincere? Well, you are being urged that it is your duty to endorse it with your vote.

Government Or Politics?

YOU know, we take a lot of important things for granted. Our own motives often mislead us—and those of others. Government is the service of leadership. Politics has become the skilled device of misleading. In the most vital thing of all—the commonweal, your welfare, the top control that limits all we do or aim at, we are enmeshed, cunningly or ignorantly, in a subtle conspiracy to cheat our true and vital objective. The money does it go to fill the holes — or into private pockets? Is this motive after all not yours? Do you render unto Caesar, and unto God? In quiet conscience, what is your motive, your "duty"?

Your patriotism—you sing God Save The King. You take it for granted. If you will stop to analyse what you are saying, you will find some shocking sentiments, in the evolution of the abstract of democratic government. The politicians sing it lustily, especially about election time. "Confound their politics, frustrate their knavish tricks." It is one part we would not change. You see, it's an old game, only much more subtle. You smile. Well, it is your supreme vow to God and Country. Hardly place or logic for hypocrisy. Think so?

Misleading And Misdeeding

THERE is a lot of misleading and misdeeding in citizenship, some cunning, some sincere; all inexorably costly. At best, government is overhead. The true business of life is living—having and using. Book-keeping and managing have an unavoidable part in a complex social economy, but in themselves they produce nothing, and it is obvious that for everyone who takes out without putting in, there is a smaller share for all. Some of the powerful who make a profession of taking out, understandably rail at you that common sense is Communism. But logic belies them, and the one sound thesis—but not the solution — that the Communists have is that the power is in the hands of the non-producer. We are bound by an obsession for overhead; we are an economy of managers and book-keepers. Making a profit is much more important than growing wheat—all down the line of social needs. That is the principle of big business with an inevitable funneling of power. It is also the lodestar of politics, and there has been an understandable teaming up. And politics is the most wasteful and ruthless of big business. It has the authority to be, on your mandate, the mandate you are hoaxed into giving by "your duty."

(Page Four please)

In A Nutshell:

CANADA -- 262 seats, 245 in present house. Six new seats by admission of Newfoundland as tenth province, rest by redistribulation increase.

LAST HOUSE — Liberals 126, Conservatives 67 (plus one independent); C.C.F. 33.

BRITISH COLUMBIA—17 seats one more than in last house.

Last House—Liberals 4 Conservatives 4, C.C.F. 7, Independent 1

Cariboo—Irvine, CCF.
Coast-Capilano—Sinclair, Lib.
Comox-Alberni—Gibson, Ind.
FRASER VAL.—Gruickshank, L
Kamloops—Fulton, Cons.
Kootenay East—Matthews CCF
Kootenay West—Herridge CCF
Nanaimo—Pearkes, Cons.
New Westminster—Reid, Lib.
Skeena—Archibald CCF.
Vancouver Burrard—Merritt C
Vancouver Centre—Young CCF
Vancouver East—Macinnis CCF
Vancouver South—Green, Con
Vancouver Quadra—New seat.
Victoria—Mayhew Lib
Yale—Jones CCF in by-election following resignation of Stirling, Conservative.

Our prediction—Canada: Liberal with strong Conservative gains; Yale: Adams has much better chance than is conceded locally.

NEWS BRIEFS

BASEBALL—A LEAGUE
Princeton 19 Copper Mt. 7
BASEBALL—B LEAGUE
Oliver 13, Princeton 5

★ ★ ★

NEW BOWLING RECORD
Margaret Rhodes set a new mark for ladies with 272. Charlie Harman Jr. still leads the men with 345.

★ ★ ★

INCENDIARISM OUTBREAK in Kelowna believed due to Douk bobor fruit pickers caused fifty thousand dollars damage. Church burned, bridge saturated with oil. Heavy police guard set.

★ ★ ★

One charged with speeding in Princeton, one reckless driving, one speeding on Hedley highway.

★ ★ ★

Pink received a shock to-day: $190 odd bill for water last year. Princeton water rates hearing expected soon.

TENDERS are being called up to 7th July for buildings in the Three Brothers Park.

Princeton has two celebrations on Friday—Dominion Day—the Rodeo and an Elks' program.

ORANGEMEN'S DAY, 12th July, is being celebrated with a County Lodge gathering at Kelowna with a large Similkameen representation expected.

NUPTIALS were celebrated at St. Paul's Saturday of Mr. Stanley Schisler and Miss Olga Farina, both well known in Princeton's younger set.

☆ PRINCETON
Capitol
THEATRE ☆
T. M. TOWRISS, MANAGER

Always A Good Show at The Capitol

Mon., Tues. JUNE 27, 28— 7 and 9 p.m. —
STREET WITH NO NAME
with Mark Stevens, Barbara Lawrence
CARTOON AND NEWS

Wed., Thurs. JUNE 29, 30— 7 and 9:10 p.m.
ODD MAN OUT
starring James Mason, Robert Newton
COMEDY, CARTOON, NEWS

Fri., Sat. JULY 1, 2— 7 and 9 p.m.
APARTMENT FOR PEGGY
In Technicolor—Jeanne Crane, Wm. Holden
FEATURETTE—CARTOON—NEWS

MATINEE SATURDAY, 2 p.m.— FEATURE and SERIAL

PRINCETON STORES
HOLIDAY CLOSING
DOMINION DAY, FRIDAY, 1st JULY

Closed All Day

Check Your Larder — Please Come Early
Happy Holiday
OPEN TILL NOON THURSDAY

RETAIL MERCHANTS' ASSOCIATION
PRINCETON BOARD OF TRADE

Ice Cream Sunday
Get It at the Rex Confex
Sure, we're open

It Is Your Duty
VOTE AS YOU WISH
BUT VOTE
- Transportation
- Baby Sitters
- Information

Supplied If You Wish
PHONE "J.C.C."
PRINCETON HOTEL

Sponsored by
Junior Chamber of Commerce

FOR YOUR SUNDAY BREAKFAST



SAUSAGE – Fresh Daily
QUALITY MEATS ONLY
Broilers—Chicken—Fowl

QUALITY MEAT MARKET
PRINCETON 100— A. E. BLOOM, Prop.

SATURDAY SPECIAL

PINEAPPLE TARTS
with Whipped Cream

HAWAIIAN APPLE PIE
HOME BAKERY
BRIDGE ST. PRINCETON 54

DEFEAT your BIGGEST tax problem!
The One The Budget Missed

THE SALES TAX

"This tax costs the Canadian Taxpayer 30 cents for every dollar of processed material."

Despite the "bribery" reductions in the Liberal "Election" budget, the above quotation from a Liberal member of Parliament gives a clear indication of what the government is doing to keep taxes high!

In 1940, Canada's total revenue from taxes amounted to $468 million. Now indirect taxes ALONE have reached $1,072 million!

The Liberal government's methods of applying indirect taxation—not the least of which is the eight per cent sales tax, originally imposed for the period of a wartime emergency—simply disguises the burden and distributes it harshly and unfairly!

THE ONE THAT HITS YOU HARDEST!

THE PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE PARTY PLEDGES ITSELF TO:

Reduce Sales Tax
Reduce Income Tax Rate still further
Eliminate all Nuisance Taxes

√ Take immediate steps to recapture lost markets.

√ Encourage the development of small businesses by tax reforms.

√ Take immediate emergency measures to alleviate Canada's housing shortage.

VOTE FOR YOUR
PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE

J. W. Cornett, Vancouver South
Howard Green, Vancouver Quadra
Cecil Merritt, Vancouver Burrard
J. Friend Day, Vancouver East
E. Stuart Davidson, Fraser Valley
Hon. H. H. Stevens, Vancouver Centre
Harold S. Mahon, Coast-Capilano
James Christmas, New Westminster
John Ferguson, Burnaby-Richmond
Jack Corner, Kootenay West
Gordon Cameron, Victoria
G. R. Pearkes, Nanaimo
Davie Fulton, Kamloops
T. R. B. Adams, Yale
Albert T. Richardson, Kootenay East

Published by the Progressive Conservative Party of Canada



T. R. B. ADAMS

BACK A WINNER!

Mayor Theo Adams

Is the ***Only*** Candidate Supporting

FREE ENTERPRISE

Principles Who Can Carry YALE in the

JUNE 27 FEDERAL ELECTION

Don't Split the Free Enterprise Vote

★ ***Vote*** ADAMS X ☆

VOTE PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE

Published by the Progressive-Conservative Party of B.C.

SUSETTE
Variety Store..
DRESSMAKING
ALTERATIONS
REPAIRS
SUPPLIES _ NOVELTIES
MRS. A. C. BELL
Vermilion Ave., Princeton
P.O Box 103
Mail Orders Welcomed

DOMINION
SALES BOOKS
☆ THE STAR

Whereverrr
Wheneverrr
BURR'S TAXI
PHONE 1-5-0
STAND OPPOSITE
PRINCETON HOTEL

Now Open
BENNETT'S
BUS DEPOT
GARAGE
NIGHT SERVICE
::
Open from 5:30 p.m.
REPAIRS — WELDING —
TIRE SALES & SERVICE
TRUCK SERVICE
A SPECIALTY
PHONE PRINCETON 113

A Major Item
in Our
Big Program
for '49 . . .
More
'Phone Cable
Being Installed
than
Ever Before

B.C. TELEPHONE CO.

MINE CARS
and CAGES
• HOIST, SKIPS
AERIAL TRAMWAYS
WB
WESTERN
BRIDGE
& STEEL FABRICATORS LTD.
VANCOUVER, B.C.

You Pays Your Penny And _ _

From Page One

There Are Just Two Sides, Just Sides

NOW no sincerity or logic could urge you not to vote. Your vote is the essence of good government. But unless it is a sincere and serious and intelligent vote it has precisely the opposite effect, and there is grave suspicion that that is precisely the aegis of politics.

There is only one right, a yea or nay to any issue and in pure sincerity it is quite impossible to tie down any man or any party or any community on a broad definition to decide in advance on any issue. There is a real and vital fundamental distinction which is the true basis of the necessary party system. Thought is either conservative or liberal. One hastens slowly, the other is sanguine, even to risk. And that is very good and proper in any essay in democracy. But what does it mean to-day? Who thinks of it? In logical application of the times, it was the fashion to distinguish between protection and free trade, but the changing scene has long since put that out of fashion. It is quite appropriate that the current distinction should be between free enterprise and socialism, but the thing to remember is that it is the basic approach — liberal or conservative. There just isn't any other, and no need for multiplicity of parties — except in private greed for power.

Your Duty - - What Is It?

THE intelligent vote is axiomatically the keystone of good government. In the evolution of events, there will always be issues, real and fresh. But are you voting on them? Is your vote intelligent? Are the parties anxious for it? Have they prepared you for it in all this orgy of sudden money, normally so scarce on your behalf? Do they want your intelligent mandate? Do you feel fully competent to exercise the important responsibility they glibly urge on you? Do you believe they sincerely want your intelligent mandate and give themselves to selflessly fulfil it? Do you really want that, or the best bargain out of the cosmic kitty too? Else you are simply making this fine frenzy of citizenship a wicked hypocrisy, and stupid and costly, and cheating, yourself too in the long run. Think on that before you vote, and what you said about Hitler for just the same thing; and next time you sing God Save The King.

Now it is easily argued, and it is true, that the responsibility is yours. The public calls the tune and you can't govern without votes. You probably wouldn't vote for Saint Paul or Sophocles. It is the weakness of a good system, but it adds up to bad government. You'd vote in vain to make water run up hill, but you persist in it in government, your welfare.

You want a prize in your popcorn, and the politicians oblige. How is it done? Who pays? In the political sweepstake, what are the odds? In the political poker game what is the takeoff for the house? There are many holes that need filling. This is crying to be the greatest country on God's green earth. Will pieces of paper do it, making good citizens of imported foreigners. For on the other side, Al Capone's vote is worth as much as Sophocles'. The onus of leadership is so obviously and inevitably on the leaders and election campaigns are poor testimony to both your sincerity and intelligence. The result is radicalism and war, the sure fruits of selfishness and bad management, and in place of an intelligent, contented partnership, big business and its handmaiden, politics breeds either agitators or innocent fodder for war—and does well enough by it. But that is why free enterprise is threatened.

You Can't Cheat Yourself

IS government sincere and honest and intelligent leadership and this campaign a sincere attempt to sell it to the voters for a sacred trust? It could be, for never have the facilities been as great, in the knowledge and the means to make your welfare secure, your standard of happiness ever higher—except in the illusion of the false gods of selfishness you prefer.

Profess what you like, sincerity belies you. It is the choice, the rule of expediency, and no one who pleads plain, simple truth is of much value to the politician or service to you. You prefer hypocrisy, careless that cheating is not smart but costly. Somebody must pay, and sooner or later it must be you. You wouldn't hide a faulty part in the transmission of your car. You wouldn't take it to a quack.

But politics of expediency is plain quackery in the most important thing of all—your welfare. You want a prize in your popcorn. What magic puts it there? Is a lure designed for the benefit of the customer?

There could be good government under the democratic system. You don't have to be an engineer to buy a good car, if the manufacturing expert is honest and able and you can trust the salesman and yourself. But sales manship is now a subtle art.

Government is a vital job, a job for experts, and you don't hire them as you pick a beauty queen, but as you (should) pick your wife. Neither the voter nor the legislator need be an expert, for they by the process pick the departmental experts. But they must be honest and intelligent, and the process must be.

In politics there is an obvious temptation to start at the wrong end. Government has the authority to make right, and that is plain wrong and stupid. It must be ruled by right in making its rules. But under the system of the prize in the popcorn, the politician—and you—design the model and hidebind the experts to build it.

Trust And Butter Trust

CONSIDER the process. Law—the supreme athority—is full of stupid contradiction and plain nonsense. Take the amazing case of margarine, which nobody even thought amazing. Experts say it is better food and better value. But the butter trust had power, power to hold you up, dictate what you could eat, deprive you of it by a profitable shortage.

Vote—but sensibly, honestly.

Better ....
Quicker ...
Cheaper ...
STAR
PRINTERS

JOS. KLEIN
CONTRACTOR, &
BUILDER



: ESTIMATES FREE :
PRINCETON

TULAMEEN CAFE
UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT
A Trial Will Convince

Pasture
Fresh
MILK

From your own
Similkameen Meadows
Atkinson's
• Princeton Dairy
— Daily Delivery —

Fresh FRUITS and VEGETABLES
IMPORTED CALIFORNIA
Lettuce -- Tomatoes -- Asparagus
New Potatoes--Carrots--Cucumbers
Pineapples -- Bananas, etc.
FRESH CURED MEATS—ICE CREAM—MILK
CAMERON'S GROCERY
FORMERLY THE J & M—PHONE 137

Photo Finishing
24-Hour Service
★ Jumbo Prints
★ Enlargements
6-8 Exposures 35c
THE STUDIO
M. C. Parkinson - Princeton



"We Liberals have dedicated ourselves to maintaining a high level of employment and income, because that means a high and widely distributed standard of living for our people."

Prime Minister Louis St. Laurent

You share—
every Canadian shares—
in the greater
Opportunity
Prosperity
Security
brought about by
constructive Liberal policies

Liberals mean what they say. Look over the record of the last five years — the most impressive period of prosperity and growth in our history. It shows that Liberal performance exceeds Liberal promises.

Under Liberal policies, Canadians can be confident that this forward march will continue — that Liberal promises will again be carried out.

More Jobs—More Income

More people are working than in any previous peacetime period — nearly a million more than in 1939. National income is *three times* as high as in 1939, is more widely distributed than ever before.

More Savings

People have nearly 2½ million *more* bank accounts than before the war. They have put aside more than 4 billion dollars in personal bank savings.



More Production and Trade

The products of our farms and forests, factories and mines and fisheries are worth twice as much as ten years ago. And Canada's exports are more than *four times* greater. Canada is the world's third largest trading nation.

More Family Allowances

The federal government has, since 1945, invested *one billion* dollars in Canada's children. Four million boys and girls in 1¾ million families are receiving a better start in life. Benefits are being extended this year.

More Old Age Pensions

Federal payments have been twice increased since 1945. The new pension basis is now increased to $40.00 a month, providing greater comfort and security for the aged and blind.

National Health

Health grants to provinces are helping to build a stronger nation. Many projects are already under way: nine province-wide health surveys; 13,000 additional hospital beds; training for health specialists; increased health services and research.

Aid to Home Builders

Close to 300,000 dwellings have been built since the war. More homes have been built in Canada, in proportion to population, than in any other country; and more were built last year than any time in our history.



And lowered taxes as well!

By careful administration the Liberal government has established these records and been able at the same time to cut the national debt by 1,625 million dollars.

And every budget since 1945 has announced lowered rates of taxation.

Protect your stake in
OPPORTUNITY, PROSPERITY, SECURITY
VOTE LIBERAL!
INSERTED BY NATIONAL LIBERAL COMMITTEE

THE
SNACK BAR
[Formerly Taylor's Dairy]
ALL HOME COOKING
DAILY SPECIAL
- MARGARET McGOWAN -
Opposite Princeton Hotel
Try — Know Why

ROCK
GAS
•
Clean, Convenient
Cooking
ARCH M'CRUM
PRINCETON—PHONE 93

CONCRETE
MIXER
FOR RENT
★
New Condition
★
QUALITY WOODWORKS
NEXT PRINCETON P.O.



HOME
BAKERY
• The Home of
Better Bread
Cakes, Pies Etc.
JOHN GABRIEL, Prop.
Phone 54 ★ Bridge Street