A special meeting of the Senate of the University of British Columbia was held on Wednesday, November 15, 1967 at 8.00 p.m. in Room 102, Lasserre Building to give Senate members an opportunity to view the Campus Plan and to discuss it with the Consultant.

Present: Dean W. H. Gage, (Chairman), Dean W. M. Armstrong, Dr. C. S. Belshaw, Dr. M. Bloom, Mr. C. B. Bourne, Mr. F. K. Bowers, Mr. C. M. Campbell, Jr., Dr. J. D. Chapman, Dr. R. M. Clark, Dean I. McTaggart-Cowan, Dean G. F. Curtis, Miss K. Emmott, Mr. D. A. Freeman, Dr. S. M. Friedman, Dr. N. A. Hall, Dean D. M. Healy, Dr. P. Larkin, Mr. R. R. Larsen, Mr. S. L. Lipson, Mr. S. Lefeaux, Dean J. F. McCreary, Mr. Justice J. MacDonald, Dr. C. A. McDowell, Mr. J. McGechaen, Dr. J. R. McIntosh, Mr. I. S. McNairn, Mr. D. F. Manders, Mr. G. Mate, Mr. John R. Meredith, Dr. Finlay A. Morrison, Dr. H. P. Oberlander, Dean V. J. Okulitch, Dr. G. J. Parfitt, Dean B. E. Riedel, Mr. J. V. Rogers, Dean N. V. Scarfe, Dr. A. D. Scott, Dean M. Shaw, Dr. M. W. Steinberg, Mr. B. Stuart-Stubbs, Mr. E. D. Sutcliffe, Rev. W. S. Taylor, Dr. G. H. N. Towers, Mrs. B. E. Wales, Dr. Wm. A. Webber, Dr. S. H. Zbarsky.

Observers: Mr. J. Banham, Mr. A. Myers.

Others present: Mr. A. M. McGavin, Mr. A. Fouks, Mr. Wm. White, Mr. J. C. H. Porter, Mr. J. T. Turner, Mr. D. Stover.

Messages of regret for their inability to attend were received from Mr. J. M. Buchanan, Dr. D. H. Copp, Dr. W. C. Gibson, Dr. W. S. Hoar, Dr. H. L. Keenleyside, Mrs. H. J. MacKay, Mr. D. F. Miller, Dr. R. D. Russell.

The Chairman opened the meeting by introducing Mr. Don Stover, the Consultant of Wurster, Bernardi and Emmons, San Francisco.

Mr. Stover made his presentation with the use of slides.

He explained that some of the major considerations had been parking and the desirability of keeping the academic core free of traffic. He mentioned that covered walkways were planned between parking areas and the centre of the campus and between buildings.

After the presentation the Chairman invited members of Senate to address questions to Mr. Stover.

In reply to a question, Mr. Stover confirmed that the plan included a building straddling the Main Mall North of the area opposite the Library as well as the general purpose Engineering Building to be elevated across the Main Mall in the vicinity of the present MacMillan Building.

In reply to a question on car parking Mr. Stover explained that every effort had been made to maintain pleasant vistas. For example, the Fraser River lot was planned for three levels but this would still keep it unobtrusively below the level of the West Mall.

A question was raised as to whether the plan included use of the cliff face. *Mr. Stover replied that it had. He mentioned the student housing currently planned, and said it was hoped that an extension of highway and foreshore development from Spanish Banks might encompass the area at some future date.

*See page 3844

In reply to a question on possible transportation between different areas of the campus Mr. Stover explained that various conventional forms

of transport had been considered but it appeared that these would create a financial burden which the University could not undertake.

Following criticism of the location of permanent buildings now in existence, it was pointed out that the terms of reference presented to the Consultant Planners did not permit a recommendation that might involve the relocation or demolition of buildings as now positioned. Specific criticism was levelled at the position of the Angus Building relative to the Buchanan Building and the Library.

The plan also envisaged the development of cafes or snack-bars at all major student entrances to the campus. Several large plazas were planned, one adjacent to the new Student Union Building, others at the junction of the East Mall and Main Mall with University Boulevard.

Mr. Stover was asked what significant changes had been made in the plan since it had been presented to the Faculties. He replied that the most significant change resulting from conversations with members of Faculty and others, had been the agreement that further consideration be given to the placement of a building crossing the Main Mall North of the Library, because of the restriction of the view of the sea and mountains.

A question was raised as to the reason for the placement of the administration area on the periphery of the east section of the campus. It was felt that the Health Sciences Centre and the administration area would generate unmanageable vehicular traffic. It was requested that as the Administration Building had not yet been built, it should not be placed in this position.

Several opinions were expressed on the importance of having the Administration Building near the centre of the academic core since it was used by so many students and faculty, and that the number of outside visitors was not of sufficient magnitude to warrant having the building placed on the perimeter for easy access from the city.

It was requested during the course of the meeting that the actual Campus Plan also be made available to Senate.

The Chairman assured Senate that he now proposed to appoint a committee from those Senate members mainly concerned - he hoped this would form a sufficiently small but diversified group - to meet with the Property Committee of the Board.

The Chairman, on behalf of Senate thanked Mr. Stover for his time and for his presentation of slides which had been very much appreciated.

Secretary

Confirmed

Chairman