The second regular meeting of the Senate of The University of British Columbia for the Session 1968-69 was held on Wednesday, October 2, 1968 at 8.00 p.m. in the Board and Senate Room, Administration Building.

Present: Dean W. H. Gage (Chairman), Dean W. M. Armstrong, Dr. C. S. Belshaw, Dr. M. Bloom, Mr. C. B. Bourne, Mr. F. K. Bowers, Mr. W. T. Brown, Dr. S. D. Cavers, Dr. J. D. Chapman, Dr. R. M. Clark, Dean I. McT. Cowan, Dr. D. H. Copp, Dean G. F. Curtis, Dr. W. D. Finn, Mr. D. A. Freeman, Dr. S. M. Friedman, Dean J. A. F. Gardner, The Hon. Howard C. Green, Mr. J. Guthrie, Dr. N. A. Hall, Mr. W. L. Holland, Dr. H. L. Keenleyside, Dr. D. T. Kenny, Dr. P. Larkin, Rev. E. C. LeBel, Dean S. Wah Leung, Mr. K. M. Lysyk, Dean J. F. McCreary, Mr. Justice J. Macdonald, Dr. C. A. McDowell, Dr. J. R. McIntosh, Mr. I. S. McNairn, Mr. John R. Meredith, Dr. Finlay A. Morrison, Mr. D. Munton, Dr. J. M. Norris, Dean V. J. Okulitch, Dr. G. J. Parfitt, Dr. A. J. Renney, Dean B. E. Riedel, Dr. G. Rosenbluth, Dr. A. D. Scott, Dr. R. F. Sharp, Dean M. Shaw, Acting Dean C. E. Smith, Dr. J. H. G. Smith, Dr. R. W. Stewart, Mr. B. Stuart-Stubbs, Mr. Mark Waldman, Mrs. B. E. Wales, Dr. Wm. A. Webber, Dean P. H. White, Mr. D. R. Williams, Acting Dean J. H. Young.

Observers: Mr. J. A. Banham, Mr. K. Buttedahl, Mr. T. A. Myers.

Gallery: Thirteen of the thirty seats in the gallery were filled.

Messages of regret for their inability to attend were received from Chancellor J. M. Buchanan, President F. Kenneth Hare, Mr. S. Black, Mr. R. M. Bibbs, Mr. D. M. Brousson, Dr. W. C. Gibson, Mr. S. Lefeaux, Mrs. H. J. MacKay, Mr. D. F. Miller, Dr. M. W. Steinberg, Dr. G. H. N. Towers, Dr. H. V. Warren, Dr. S. H. Zbarsky.

The Chairman opened the meeting by welcoming the visitors to the first of the "open" Senate meetings and expressed the hope that they would enjoy the proceedings.

Minutes of the Previous Meeting

Dean Okulitch)
Dean Riedel)

That the Minutes of the first regular meeting of Senate for the Session 1968-69 held on September 11, 1968, having been circulated, be taken as read and adopted.

With reference to the discussion on replacements for members of Senate taking leave of absence (Page 3969), it was felt that the Minutes were incorrect and should have indicated that Senate had intended that the Agenda Committee be asked to look into this matter.

It was agreed that the omission be noted. The motion was then carried.

Dr. Stewart)
Dr. Copp)

That the Minutes of the special meeting of Senate held on September 4, 1968, having been circulated, be taken as read and adopted.

Carried

Dean Gage informed Senate that he had recently talked with Dr. Hare and was pleased to report that his health had greatly improved in the last few days. It was hoped that his absence would not be for too much longer.

Report of Senate Curriculum Committee

Dr. Hall was asked to speak and referred to the two items the committee had been asked to consider:

Report of Senate Curriculum Committee (Continued)

Faculty of Dentistry - Second Year Dental Hygiene Programme

The committee had been asked to examine and report to Senate on the proposed curriculum for the Second Year Dental Hygiene Programme. Senate had approved the offering of the programme in November 1966; subsequent approval had been given for the First-Year curriculum to be offered in the current year; and approval was now being sought for Second-Year courses to be offered for the first time in the 1969-70 session. The committee recommended Senate's approval.

Dr. Hall)
Dean Leung)

That the Second Year Dental Hygiene Programme, as recommended by the Faculty of Dentistry be approved.

New Courses: Dental Hygiene 301, 302, 303, 304, 307.

Existing Course: Sociology 200 (offered by the Faculty of Arts).

In reply to a question, it was explained that this was a two-year course leading to a diploma. The motion was then carried.

Faculty of Pharmacy

The committee recommended approval of the proposed increase in unit value from 2 to 3, for Pharmacy 110. (Effective 1968-69)

Dr. Hall)
Dean Riedel)

That the increase from 2 to 3 units for Pharmacy 110 Pharmaceutical Technology be approved.

Carried

The use of the Summer for Teaching

Dean Cowan explained that about a year ago Dr. Belshaw and he had been asked to review courses offered in the Summer Session relative to those offered in the Winter Session. He explained that courses given in the Summer Session were seldom equivalent in depth or content to courses offered in the Winter Session. We are aware that some institutions in the United States give a reduced value to our summer courses as compared with those of the Winter Session in terms of transfer credit.

The following is a summary of the recommendations of Dean Cowan and Dr. Belshaw:

1. That the present Winter Session be retained without alteration and in particular that it not be turned into a trimester arrangement. At the present time we know of no cogent academic or fiscal advantage to the trimester system, and at the same time our present winter term has many significant academic advantages.

2. That faculties be authorized to establish 13 week summer courses and programmes where departments consider them to be desirable, which would:

   (a) extend formal aspects of the graduate programme,

   (b) replace present Summer Session undergraduate offerings.

3. That departments be under no pressure to participate in the 13 week summer term, the decision to participate being made in terms of the department's judgement of academic effectiveness.

4. That the 13 week summer programme be under departmental budgetary and academic control.

5. That the present 7 week Summer Session gradually be phased out until it is limited to a programme specifically designed for teachers, that the programme for general students be replaced by 2 (b) above; and that 7 week Summer Session courses be considered to be different from, rather than academically identical with the winter courses, bearing different numbers and course descriptions where these are appropriate.

The Use of the Summer for Teaching (Continued)

6. That in respect of the 13 week summer programme, the teaching staff be drawn from existing faculty, the duties being regarded as a normal part of the year's service to the University; that faculty members teaching during the summer term be guaranteed freedom from an equivalent course load of formal teaching during the regular session; and, further, that faculty members have a clear break from lecture duties of at least one 13 week term, if their lecture programme is arranged over 12 months.

7. That the Senate foster a study of the entire area of evening, weekend, and off-campus courses for credit and seek recommendations as to a new policy.

8. That faculty curriculum committees be requested to review 1½ unit and 1 unit courses periodically, and as they are submitted for approval, to ensure that departments have taken into account their pedagogic implications, whether the course material is suited to short-term treatment, and whether creative academic growth is at the forefront of the objectives; that the Senate Curriculum Committee be requested to ensure that faculties justify the unit allocation to courses, 13 week summer courses, and 7 week summer courses by reference to a well thought out educational philosophy rather than apparent administrative expediency.

Dean Cowan suggested that Senate refer these recommendations to a larger committee with membership from different faculties. It would be essential that the Registrar be a member and it was felt that a representative from the Finance Department should on the committee as there were important financial implications. An executive member of the Faculty Association would also be useful to the committee since some of the recommendations concerned the stipend policy.

Both Dean Cowan and Dr. Belshaw in their comments had stressed that they did not recommend a trimester system.

The Use of the Summer for Teaching (Continued)

It was then suggested that the matter be referred to the Long-Range Objectives Committee and that this committee should refer the recommendations to the faculties in the first instance.

There was some confusion as to whether it was intended that courses offered in the Summer Session would not be offered in the Winter Session. It was also requested that recommendation No. 6 be clarified before the recommendations were forwarded to the faculties.

Misgivings were expressed that the recommendations had been based on such a small number of replies to the questionnaire and that only one third of the replies received had found merit in a 13 week Summer Session open to undergraduates as well as graduates. Concern was also expressed at the increased emphasis on graduate programmes and it was suggested that graduate training was to encourage students to learn independently rather than to rely on further lecture courses.

Dr. Belshaw stressed that the tenure of the report was concerned with permissiveness rather than mandatory action. He felt that both graduate and undergraduate departments could make use of the extended Summer Session and should be allowed to do so, although in his view it would be more useful at the undergraduate level.

It was suggested that supervision of graduate research should receive consideration in the assignment of teaching loads.

After further discussion the following motion was moved:

The Use of the Summer for Teaching (Continued)

Dr. Norris)
Dr. McDowell)

That the report "The Use of the Summer for Teaching", presented to Senate by Dean Cowan and Dr. Belshaw be referred to the Long-Range Objectives Committee and that the committee be advised to refer it in the first instance to the faculties and groups concerned.

Carried

Student Council/Senate discussion of September 17, 1968.

The Chairman reported that the discussion between the members of Senate and the Student Council concerning the proposals contained in the A.M.S. Council Brief had met with a mixed reception, not only by the members of both bodies but also by other students who had attended. A number of the latter indicated that they had gained considerably from the discussions.

Report of the Committee on Prizes, Scholarships and Bursaries

Mr. Waldman)
Mr. Lysyk)

That the new awards shown in Appendix A be accepted, subject to the approval of the Board of Governors and that letters of thanks be sent to the donors.

Carried

Proposals from the Faculties

Faculty of Law

At a recent meeting the Faculty of Law had passed the following motion:

> *"That it be recommended to the Senate and to the Board of Governors that commencing in the academic year 1969-70, the first year class in Law be limited to 250 or such greater number not exceeding 275 provided, however, that the total enrolment of all three years shall not exceed 700, plus graduate students."*

Proposals from the Faculties

Faculty of Law (Continued)

The Dean referred to the suggested figure of a law school of 700. This, he said, would be large in terms of other law schools in Canada. Osgoode Hall, York, was the only one which, for special reasons, had agreed to accept 930 students next year. The next highest enrolment was Toronto, at 431. He referred to other universities in Canada and the United States varying between 400 and 700 students which was the average range.

It was suggested that the recommendation was confusing and that it would be more straightforward to simply limit the total number of students in the faculty - with the exception of graduate students - to 700.

A question was raised as to the needs of the Province and concern was expressed as to what would happen to those qualified students for whom we had no space.

Concern was also expressed that if this recommendation should be approved by the Board of Governors, it would necessitate the institution of another law school in the Province. It was suggested that possibly it might be better to think of expanding the present school beyond the proposed limits since this might be a cheaper and more effective means of solving the problem.

In discussing the basis on which rejection of qualified students might be based, concern was expressed at the possibility of refusing

Proposals from the Faculties

Faculty of Law (Continued)

students from out-of-Province and it was hoped that refusal would be based only on academic grounds.

Senate did not wish to support this limitation as a permanent policy without more background information on the basis for considering the optimal number of students for the Faculty of Law as 700. It was not thought to be sufficient that this was large in comparison with other law schools in Canada.

Dean Curtis)
Dean McCreary) *That Senate concur with the Faculty of Law in recommending to the Board of Governors that commencing in the academic year 1969-70 the First Year class in Law be limited to such a number that will result in a total enrolment in all three years which will not exceed 700, exclusive of graduate students.*

It was requested that if the Board of Governors agree to the recommendation the Faculty of Law be asked to bring its admission requirements to Senate for approval. This was agreed and the motion was then carried.

Faculty of Medicine

The Faculty recommended that the Department of Continuing Medical Education be changed to Division of Continuing Medical Education in the Office of the Dean of Medicine.

Proposals from the Faculties

Faculty of Medicine (Continued)

Dean McCreary explained that at present after one year of internship a doctor can practise for as long as he wishes. The Dean indicated that it was becoming clear that there would have to be a relicensing programme. Two years ago an investigation had indicated that the responsibility for this programme would fall on the University's shoulders and the Dean felt that continuing medical education would become a great responsibility within the Faculty of Medicine. A Department of Continuing Medical Education within the Faculty of Medicine had been set up, but as the years had gone by it had been found that a department was not the appropriate administrative structure. Now that the Head of the Department was changing, the Faculty wished to recommend that the present Department of Continuing Medical Education be changed to a Division.

Dean McCreary)
Dr. Webber) *That the Department of Continuing Medical Education be changed to a Division of Continuing Medical Education in the Office of the Dean of Medicine.*

It was explained that the Health Sciences Centre through its Continuing Education section would administer study programmes and courses in co-operation with the Faculties associated in the Centre. The proposal of the Faculty of Medicine was to clarify the position of Continuing Medical Education in its own administrative structure. The motion was then carried.

Proposals from the Faculties (Continued)

Faculty of Pharmacy

Candidates for Degrees

Dean Riedel)
Dr. Morrison) *That the candidates be granted the degree of Bachelor of Science in Pharmacy as recommended by the Faculty of Pharmacy, subject to any necessary adjustments in the list to be made by the Registrar in consultation with the Dean and with the Chairman of Senate.*

Carried

Report of the Committee on the Role and Organization of Senate

(a) Budget Advisory Committee

It was explained that the Committee had held its proposal for such a committee pending the arrival of Dr. Hare. Dr. Hare had agreed with the proposal and a Senate committee had now been formed. However, it was now recommended to Senate that this new committee be requested to report to Senate on an annual basis.

Mr. Bourne)
Dr. Chapman) *That the Senate Budget Advisory Committee be requested to report to Senate in broad terms on an annual basis.*

Carried

(b) Statements of Objectives from Faculties, Schools and Departments

The committee had been requested to make a specific recommendation to Senate for a mechanism for the appraisal and evaluation of the statements of objectives to be required of faculties and departments and of the evaluation in due course of their achievements.

Report of the Committee on the Role and Organization of Senate

(b) Statements of Objectives from Faculties, Schools and Departments (Continued)

Although Senate had again referred the matter to the committee Mr. Bourne explained that the committee had reached the conclusion that it was, in fact, a matter that was related to the Long-Range Objectives of the University and had felt that this committee should consider the matter.

Mr. Bourne)
Dean Cowan) *That the matter of criteria for evaluating faculty and department statements of objectives be referred to the Long-Range Objectives Committee for their consideration and that they be requested to report back to Senate, after which the faculties and departments will be requested to provide the proposed statements of objectives.*

Carried

(c) Proposal for a Standing Committee on Continuing Education

At its meeting of May 22, 1968 Senate had requested that the item on the Standing Committee on Continuing Education on Page 4 of the Report of the Committee on the Role and Organization of Senate be again referred to that committee for a definition of the meaning of "Continuing Education". Mr. Bourne reported that the committee had considered this matter and how now rewritten the section of their report dealing with this standing committee, taking into account the question raised about the delimitation of continuing education. The recommendations were as follows: