31st March 1920.

F. M. Warren, Esq.,

Portland., Ore.

Dear Mr Warren:-

I have to thank you for the very full reply you sent to my letter of the 9th inst., and for the trouble you went to in furnishing me the documents and information asked for. I trust sometime I will have the opportunity of reciprocating in some manner.

I am pleased that your views of artificial propagation coincide with mine and think that if more study was given the question by those interested they would insist on much increased efforts being made at once to stock all waters subject to commercial fishing with an adequate number of young fish to maintain the supply.

Mr Clanton evidently misunderstood my position on this propagation question as he says I am not optimistic on it, but long before he commenced his present work I was an advocate of artificial propagation - one of the few that stuck to it in season and out of it - and time but strengthens my belief. I have always thought the upper Columbia waters should have been stocked with fish obtained from outside sources, and my present efforts to restore the Fraser is by adopting this same course. It is the policy I inaugurated in 1915 at our Namu cannery and we are enlarging our work there this year on account of the success we have already experienced.

F. M. W. #2

Last August I wrote for our papers an account of what we had accomplished at Namu, and what could be looked for from similar efforts in other waters. A few weeks ago I enlarged on the latter phase in a paper prepared for the New Westminster Board of Trade. Under separate cover I am mailing these articles to you, and trust you will find them of interest. I would like also to have Mr Clanton see them to disabuse his mind of the impression I am not an enthusiast over artificial propagation.

Yours very truly

HD/P.