Submissions by Mr. Grant re Scheduling of Trial

1 Vancouver B.C. 2 September 16, 1988. 3 4 (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT) 5 6 THE REGISTRAR: In the Supreme Court of British Columbia, 7 Friday, September 16, 1988, calling Delgamuukw versus 8 Her Majesty the Queen at bar, my lord. 9 10 NEIL STERRITT, resumed: 11 12 MR. GRANT: My lord, Mr. Rush will be here imminently. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. GRANT: But there was a matter I wished to speak to which 15 you had left in the hands of counsel I believe on 16 Monday and it flowed out of the question of trial 17 organization, trial scheduling for the fall. And I 18 believe that the matters related to the expert 19 witnesses for the fall was generally followed on 20 Monday, but the remaining matter which you threw back 21 in the hands of counsel was the question of 22 cross-examination of witnesses. And you suggested 23 that you would have no difficulty in speaking to the 24 matter later this week. And I would like to take this 25 opportunity to address that further and I would just 26 like because it's very important from the plaintiffs' 27 position, I think from all counsel's position, that we 28 have this clarified what the scheduling will be. The 29 proposal as you may recall of the plaintiffs on 30 Monday, which I confirmed by letter to the defendants 31 thereafter, was that after the completion of Mr. 32 Daly's evidence, which we have estimated at two weeks, 33 that there would be a one week adjournment and then 34 commencing on November 29 we would have the 35 cross-examination take place outside of court. 36 that time the defendants of course were not certain 37 about the Wet'suwet'en witnesses. They have since 38 advised us that they wished to cross-examine all 39 Wet'suwet'en witnesses. So there is a total of I 40 believe 34 witnesses left to be cross-examined. Now, 41 under my proposal we had proposed this would continue 42 outside of the courtroom until December 22 and to be 43 very clear we would do -- we could do, although we 44 could not tandem Gitksan alone or Wet'suwet'en alone, 45 we could tandem both grounds along the same time that 46 we would save sometime. Now, the Province responded 47 by proposing that we do five witnesses next week, five Submissions by Mr. Grant re Scheduling of Trial

1 Gitksan witnesses next week. And I --2 MR. GOLDIE: Those were the five that weren't completed, my 3 lord. THE COURT: Yes. All right. 4 MR. GRANT: I don't believe the five were specified, but that's 5 6 what my understanding was as well in further 7 discussions. 8 THE COURT: And that would be contemporaneously with the 9 continuation of the trial. 10 MR. GRANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. GRANT: Well, my lord, Mr. Sterritt has been present through 13 the cross-examination of the Gitksan witnesses. He 14 was essential to assist counsel, and I advised him of 15 this and we could not proceed with these 16 cross-examinations while Mr. Sterritt was on the stand 17 of the Gitksan witnesses. Now, at that time when that 18 proposal came back from the Province they had not yet 19 responded on the Wet'suwet'en witnesses. Also we do 20 need some lead time in terms of gathering these 21 witnesses and of course the preparation of the other 22 witnesses. Now, the defendants -- since then with 23 respect to the expert witnesses, the defendants, at least the Provincial defendant, has corresponded with 24 25 us and although there seems to be some agreement as to 26 the interpretation of the court decision requiring 27 production of documents an expert may have in his 2.8 possession, that they may not have to be disclosed 29 until he's on the stand. They have pointed out that 30 they don't want to require adjournments for 31 cross-examination. So part of our preparation of 32 expert witnesses is going to have to be to review not 33 only the documents upon which we anticipate would have 34 to be disclosed but anything. So the problem is is 35 that we would -- we are investigating that with each 36 of the witnesses we have proposed ahead of time as 37 requested by the defendants. Now, the problem is 38 quite simply, my lord, that we cannot -- we cannot see 39 how we can tandem the cross-examinations with the 40 trial on the schedule that we have proposed and we 41 don't want -- we have operated on the basis of that 42 schedule since Monday in terms of organizing the 43 witnesses. Now -- but the Federal counsel had asked 44 whether there was any room for any variation and one 45 of the proposals that we make as a response is that it may well be possible, subject of course to your 46 47 lordship's scheduling and commitments, it may well be

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Submissions by Mr. Grant re Scheduling of Trial

possible to have a trial some time after the completion of Mr. Daly's evidence in Smithers for cross-examination of some witnesses while other witnesses are cross-examined out of court. Mr. Goldie on Monday said that in light of the cross-examination he proposed that some witnesses would be examined either before a commissioner in court. And this would be one possibility because if there was some problems seen by any counsel in the commissions going on outside of court, the court would be present. And this -- we are amenable -- we propose that as some form of compromise. I suspect that the presence of the court may shorten some of the cross-examination and I think it certainly would alleviate some of the problems. But if that is not -- if the court finds that that's not possible that you cannot -- could not attend in the north, and as I indicated to the defendants it's a burden that -- it's a very, very difficult financial burden for us to commute 34 witnesses to Vancouver and we do not wish to do that.

THE COURT: You mean for cross-examination.

MR. GRANT: For cross-examination.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GRANT: Yes. These witnesses. And that would be an option that we would propose that may be a compromise that may solve the concerns. The other option which I have alluded to on Monday, and we have received these transcripts now, is that either -- well, probably not -- next week Mr. Sterritt I think will be under cross-examination, maybe after his cross-examination or at the very beginning, first day in October, we could -- we could argue before your lordship all of the cross-examinations that have taken place thus far, the objections and the problems. And I think it would be quite appropriate as a reasonable way to resolve these disputes that the court could give guidelines in the guise of the rulings on what's admissible on the redirect that the defendants have objected to or what's not admissible -- what's improper questioning on cross-examination which were objected to and with that guideline I believe that all counsel can be able to proceed without the presence of the court. I am concerned about the proposal raised by the defendants of a commissioner solely for this reason. It brings in an additional party and as Mr. Macaulay said, we don't have the cumbersomeness now of individual notices and all of the logistics. It brings an

Submissions by Mr. Grant re Scheduling of Trial

1 additional priority in terms of scheduling and all of 2 those problems and needless to say it would add to 3 expense. 4 So in summary, my lord, I would -- now that we 5 know that there is going to be cross-examination of 6 all the Wet'suwet'en witnesses, I reinforce the 7 proposal that the cross-examination -- that there be 8 general guidance from the court that cross-examination 9 proceed commencing the week of November 29. I must 10 say as well that the Federal -- in the week off in 11 November, I think it's November 1st, the first week in 12 November, the Federal -- there is a commission of an 13 elderly Indian agent that the Federal defendants have 14 raised, so it's not like nothing is happening in the 15 off weeks. That's being proceeded with at that time. 16 THE COURT: Let me have again the tentative discussion we had 17 the other day. September 19 is next week. 18 MR. GRANT: Yes. THE COURT: And the week after that will be September 26. 19 20 we will be sitting here. 21 MR. GRANT: For a part or all of that week. Depending on the 22 cross-examination of course of Mr. Sterritt, but we --23 in my rough scheduling, I had proposed -- I was 24 operating on the basis that this cross-examination 25 would take place on -- would be completed next week. 26 Just -- and that after him would be Mr. Gottesfeld who 27 would take one or two days and Mr. Rolf Matthews who 28 would take one day. And that would complete the --29 THE COURT: Week of the 26th? 30 MR. GRANT: That would complete this sitting of the September 31 sitting. 32 THE COURT: And we have the week of October 3, is it? MR. GRANT: October $\neg\neg$ yes, October 3 is an off week. THE COURT: October 3, yes. 33 34 35 MR. GRANT: The court would not be sitting. 36 THE COURT: All right. 37 MR. GRANT: And counsel requires that week in terms of the 38 preparation, because the October sitting we are 39 endeavoring now to have four expert witnesses and some 40 of them will be longer, that is longer than these Mr. 41 Gottesfeld and Mr. Matthews and counsel needs that 42 time to prepare those witnesses. They will, of 43 course, be split. 44 THE COURT: So you have October 10, 17 and 24? 45 MR. GRANT: Yes. I think the 10th is Thanksgiving so start on 46 the 11th. 47 THE COURT: Yes.

Submissions by Mr. Grant re Scheduling of Trial

1 MR. GRANT: So on the 11th will be Mr. Chilton, Rod Chilton, one 2 day. The 12th will be Hatler, David Hatler, one to 3 two days. Then following that would be Cybil Haeussler, H-a-e-u-s-s-l-e-r, two days. And of course 4 5 this is -- we are just estimating the 6 cross-examination about this. And then will be Sylvia 7 Albright who would be four days, archeology -- an 8 archeologist, my lord. And then would be Jim Kari who 9 would be three to four days. Now --10 THE COURT: All right. That's that three weeks. 11 MR. GRANT: That's that three weeks. 12 THE COURT: Yes. MR. GRANT: And then we -- the other witness I had mentioned in 13 14 that three week period was Dr. Rigsby, and the Federal 15 defendant has stated their position they don't require 16 him for cross-examination. The Province has put a 17 proposal to us that we are working through now and it 18 appears that from the Province's response that we 19 might be able to avoid calling him. They want some 20 variation. 21 THE COURT: And then what did you propose the week of the 29th? 22 MR. GRANT: The week of October 29 will be an off week. 23 THE COURT: Off week, yes. MR. GRANT: And the -- one of the large -- probably one of the 24 25 largest expert witnesses, except of course for Mr. 26 Sterritt, would be Richard Daly. We anticipate his 27 evidence will take two weeks. 28 THE COURT: And you start that on the --29 MR. GRANT: 7th of November. 30 THE COURT: Mr. Daly. Yes. November 7 and 14. 31 MR. GRANT: And he would be completed on the 18th, and of course 32 there may be some excess time there. 33 THE COURT: Yes. 34 MR. GRANT: Going into the following week and we -- of course we 35 want to complete him. And then if I can just -- and 36 then what we would go to is the one week off. And the 37 reason we would need that one week off is we would 38 prepare a number of things. The defendants -- the 39 Provincial defendant in one of their correspondence 40 said they hoped they would be able to do more than 41 five witnesses in a week. So that the ones that were 42 already scheduled there would have to be some review 43 with them of course, plus we would want -- we are 44 proposing tandem witnesses. So let's say if there 45 were six or seven a week, that would be up to 14 46 witnesses we would be preparing. So we need that one 47 week in any event to be -- preparation of witnesses.

47

Submissions by Mr. Grant re Scheduling of Trial

1 Then commencing on the 29th we would go through the 2 week. 3 THE COURT: I am sorry, the 29th --4 MR. GRANT: Of November. THE COURT: Well, I am sorry. I thought you were going to be 5 6 the weeks of the 7th, 14th, and 21st of November for 7 Daly? 8 MR. GRANT: No. 9 THE COURT: Or --10 MR. GRANT: Well, we are estimating two weeks for him. 11 THE COURT: All right. So you think the week of the --MR. GRANT: We would take the week of the 22nd off and then we 12 13 would --14 THE COURT: 21st I think. All right. 15 MR. GRANT: And then we would commence November -- I am sorry, I 16 said 29th. It should be 28th. 17 THE COURT: Yes, all right. Yes. MR. GRANT: I see that's the Monday. 18 THE COURT: 28th you will be starting the cross-examination. 19 MR. GRANT: We would start the cross-examinations and we propose 20 21 going from November 28 right through to December 22 or 22 so long as that time as we need it. And the proposal 23 that I am making that may alleviate some of the 24 concerns is that for, say, the week of the 29th and 25 the week of the 6th as an example, that the court 26 would sit in Smithers for cross-examinations. 27 THE COURT: Which week? 28 MR. GRANT: The week of the 29th of November and the week of the 29 6th of December and we would cross-examine witnesses 30 in court in Smithers but we would also 31 cross-examine -- we are prepared also to keep the 32 tandem system going then and cross-examine witnesses 33 right outside court, then if there is a problem in the 34 morning it could be dealt with or these five questions 35 which should be objected to or whatever. And we may 36 not complete in that two week period, but I am relying 37 on what Mr. Goldie said and also my own confidence 38 that if the court made some rulings that we would have 39 a sense of it and that we could complete the others in 40 the absence of the court so that counsel would 41 continue through the week of the 13th to completion. 42 43 Now, on Monday, I had suggested that if necessary 44 we would go into the week of January 3rd to the 6th. 45 Now, I would -- as far as plaintiffs' counsel is

concerned of course we don't have control of the

cross-examinations, I would hope we could complete

47

Submissions by Mr. Goldie Re Scheduling of Trial

1 these before Christmas because we would like to have 2 the week of January 3rd as trial time here to complete 3 witnesses. But if necessary we could tandem that one 4 week. 5 THE COURT: Well, I should say at the very beginning that I have 6 a problem with my required attendance at a meeting of 7 the Canadian Judicial Council sometime in the last 8 week of November and the first week in December. The 9 date hasn't been fixed, but that is something that has 10 arisen quite recently and I am going to have to be in 11 the East for one or two days around then. I am not 12 sure when it will be. 13 MR. GRANT: Around the week of the 28th? 14 THE COURT: They are talking about Grey Cup weekend in Ottawa 15 where the game is played and there is considerable 16 resistance to going down about that time. I share 17 that resistance. I attended a Grey Cup game in Ottawa 18 one time and I nearly perished in the cold and I don't 19 propose to do it again. 20 MR. GRANT: Well, consider life insurance if you are going to go 21 to the Grey Cup in Ottawa. 22 THE COURT: I think we are trying to move the meeting to the 23 week after which will be the first week in December. 24 MR. GRANT: That kind of flexibility I think as far as plaintiffs' counsel is no concern, but I would 25 26 encourage that we would commence -- we could still use 27 the week of the 29th outside of court for 28 cross-examinations. And so that we would have a 29 combination of some in court and some outside of court 30 and this is an effort to try to speak to the concerns 31 raised by both defendants at different times through 32 the course of this week. But we would like to sort of 33 rally our resources, human and otherwise, to getting 34 these to the schedule and that's why I re-raised the 35 matter this morning with your lordship. And I --36 thank you. Those are all my submissions. 37 THE COURT: Mr. Goldie? 38 MR. GOLDIE: Well, my lord, there had been ten witnesses scheduled for the last week in August. We got through 39 40 five and our proposal had been to examine the other 41 five because we assumed they were, to use my friend's 42 word, prepared. We were informed that Mr. Sterritt is 43 essential to the cross-examination of the Gitksan 44 witnesses. I don't recall from my personal 45 observation that he participated, but I -- that's my

friend's position. Where some progress has been made

is that we have provided my friend with the nature of

Submissions by Mr. Goldie Re Scheduling of Trial

```
1
                the objections that we have to some of the experts'
 2
                evidence and their addressing that. The real issue as
 3
                I see it comes down to the question of the
 4
                cross-examination of the remaining Gitksan witnesses
 5
                and the Wet'suwet'en witnesses who have sworn
 6
                affidavits. I, of course, did not at any time suggest
 7
                that 34 witnesses had to be brought to Vancouver.
 8
                anticipated that all or a number could be heard in
 9
                Smithers, but if they are and if your lordship is
10
               unable to accede to my friend's suggestion with
11
                respect to the week of the 28th of November and the
12
               week of the 6th of December, we will apply for a
13
                commissioner. I don't anticipate that that will have
14
                any impact on scheduling at all.
15
     THE COURT: Let me understand you. Are you suggesting, Mr.
16
                Grant, that subject to this extrinsic problem that I
17
               mentioned that we hear witnesses in -- witnesses for
18
                those two weeks while cross-examinations are going on
19
                at the same time?
20
     MR. GRANT: There would be cross-examination of witnesses in
21
               court in Smithers.
22
     THE COURT: Oh, in court.
23
     MR. GRANT: In court in Smithers.
24
     THE COURT: Yes.
     MR. GRANT: That would be the purpose of it. We are not
25
26
                proposing that you go up to Smithers for expert
27
               witness evidence.
28
     THE COURT: Yes. All right.
29
     MR. GRANT: But would be cross-examination of witnesses in court
30
                and cross-examination of other witnesses out of court.
31
                For example, some Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en at the same
32
                time.
33
     THE COURT: Yes.
     MR. GRANT: That's what we propose.
34
35
     THE COURT: All right. Well, I speak subject to correction, but
36
               I think the Grey Cup game is the 3rd -- I am sorry, it
37
                would be the 4th, the Sunday, and the meeting was to
38
               be on the 3rd and I think that's going to be moved to
39
                the 6th. And if that's right then it's not a problem.
40
               And if it would break this log jam I would be disposed
41
                to go to Smithers and do what's being suggested.
42
     MR. GOLDIE: Well, that would be of course the solution that
43
               would meet all the --
44
     THE COURT: Yes.
45
     MR. GOLDIE: -- requirements.
     THE COURT: All right. Well, I think that we should adjourn
46
47
                this discussion until the morning break, if that's
```

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush convenient, and I will make some inquiries then and we will resume -- we can we resume this discussion after the morning break. THE COURT: All right. MR. GRANT: Thank you, my lord. THE COURT: All right. Can we proceed then with Mr. Sterritt. You remember that you are still under oath Mr. Sterritt. Yes, Mr. Rush. MR. RUSH: Now, my lord, I had asked yesterday to have Volume 2 of the document book placed before Mr. Sterritt. I think it's still here. THE COURT: Yes. MR. RUSH: Yes. I am going to ask him to refer to that volume. EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. RUSH (Cont'd):

- Mr. Sterritt, would you please look at tab 62.
- Α Yes.
 - And do you recognize the people in the photograph? Q
- 19 Α Yes, I do.
 - And who are the people in the photograph, please?
 - The person kneeling and pounding a nail with an axe is Α my father Wii gaak, W-i-i space g-a-a-k. Neil B. Sterritt.
 - Q And the people behind him?
 - Yes. The young fellow on the left is my -- on the Α left of the picture is Richard Sterritt, my brother.
 - 0 Yes.
 - And on the right is a second cousin of mine, Ross Α Macrae.
 - Okay. Where was this picture taken?
 - It's a bridge at Shegunia, S-h-e-g-u-n-i-a. Α the name that's on the topographic map. It's about ten miles from Hazelton.
 - Were you present when this photograph was taken? Q
 - Yes, I was. Α
 - And what's your father doing?
 - He's -- we are on our way to go hunting and he's putting some planking on the bridge so that we can cross it.
- 40 MR. RUSH: May that be an exhibit, my lord.
- 41 THE REGISTRAR: Tab 62.
- 42 MR. RUSH: Tab 62, the next exhibit.
- 43 THE REGISTRAR: 62 of Volume 2.
- 44 MR. RUSH: Yes.
- 45 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.
- 46 THE COURT: 694.
- 47 THE REGISTRAR: It will be 695. 694 is tab 72, my lord.

Would you look at tab 63, please.

And do you recognize this photograph?

Α Yes, I do.

> What is it of? Q

It's -- in the foreground are the grave houses at Α Kisgagas.

THE COURT: I am sorry, the --

Grave houses at Kisgagas, K-i-s-g-a-g-a-s. And it's a view looking west towards Lax an hak, L-a-x space a-n space h-a-k, and that is Shelfridge.

18 MR. RUSH:

Is this on the north side of the Babine?

Yes, it is. Α

And can you say when it was this photograph was taken, if you know?

This was in 1985.

And the grave houses that you are referring to, are those the structures that are depicted in this photograph?

Yes. There is one, two, three, four that are obvious Α there.

All right. Okay. And were you present when this photograph was taken?

Yes, I was.

MR. RUSH: May this be the next exhibit, please. 32

33 THE COURT: Yes.

34 THE REGISTRAR: 696.

35 36

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

(EXHIBIT 696: Tab 63 of Volume 2)

37 MR. RUSH:

> Now, would you please turn to tab 64? Q

Α Yes.

> And can you identify the individual that's shown in this photograph?

Yes. That is Joshua McLean. It's M-c-L-e-a-n. And his name is O Yee, O space Y-e-e, and he's from the house of Nii kyap. This is --

You talked -- I am sorry. Yesterday you talked about a Joshua McLean that had a cabin or a dwelling at Kisgagas. Is that the same person?

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)

```
In chief by Mr. Rush
 1
            Α
                Yes. And also --
 2
               Excuse me. Just a minute.
      THE COURT: I am sorry. Sorry, Mr. Rush.
 3
 4
               And also I identified a -- two fellows on a Skidoo on
 5
                the ice of a lake.
 6
      MR. RUSH:
 7
                Is that at tab 72? Just flip back to tab 72 which is
            Q
 8
                Exhibit 694.
 9
                Yes.
                     The lower -- the lower picture.
10
11
                It's taken in almost exactly the same spot and that's
12
                the ice of the lake in front of the -- over the lake
13
                in front of the two fellows on the Skidoo at tab 72
14
                and one of them is Joshua McLean. Here it's the same
15
                lake in the summertime.
16
               This is at tab 64?
17
               Yes.
            Α
18
                All right.
            Q
19
                And that's Joshua McLean there.
            Α
20
                And can you identify any of the features in the
21
                background of the photograph at tab 64?
                Yes. The mountain in the background is Daahl an
22
23
                makhl. That's D-a-a --
      THE COURT: I am sorry?
24
25
                D-a-a-h-l space a-n space m-a-k-h-l.
26
      MR. RUSH:
27
            0
               All right. Thank you.
28
                And this is all part of the same trip where my father
29
                was putting the bridging, the decking on a bridge, and
30
                the picture at Kisgagas and this picture we are all
31
                going on the same trip to go goat hunting and this
32
                territory here is the territory of Miluulak that we
33
                are on.
34
      MR. RUSH: May that be the next exhibit, please.
35
      THE COURT: Yes. 69 --
36
      THE REGISTRAR: 7.
37
38
                (EXHIBIT 697: Tab 64 of Volume 2)
39
40
      MR. RUSH:
41
            Q
                Please turn to tab 65?
42
            Α
43
                Do you recognize what's depicted in that photograph?
44
            Α
                Yes, I do.
45
                Is this part of the same trip?
46
                Yes, it is. We're right next to the cabin that I
47
                referred to in tab 72 or that is in the picture in tab
```

45

46

47

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush

1 72 in the top picture. That's Exhibit 694. And we 2 are putting the packs on the horse. My father and 3 myself; I have a red hat on on the right and my father 4 is in the blue hat right in front -- right beside me. 5 On the left-hand side is Joshua McLean with his back 6 to the camera and Jonah Green from the house of Wii 7 gaak is looking across the horse. 8 THE COURT: I am sorry, his last name? 9 Green. Α 10 THE COURT: Oh, Green? 11 Jonah Green. Α 12 MR. RUSH: 13 He'd be on the opposite side of the horse from you? Q 14 Α 15 All right. Where was this photograph taken again? 16 It's right at the cabin that was built by Robert 17 Jackson and my father. 18 I think -- is that the same cabin that you made 19 reference to when you identified a cabin at tab 72, 20 the upper photograph? 21 Yes. Α 22 And is this during the same trip? This is when you 23 referred to the same trip earlier, was this photograph taken during that goat hunting trip? 24 25 Yes, it is. 26 MR. RUSH: May that be the next exhibit, please. 27 THE REGISTRAR: 698. 2.8 29 (EXHIBIT 698: Tab 65 of Volume 2) 30 31 MR. RUSH: 32 Q Would you please refer to the next photograph. 33 Α Yes. Tab 66? 34 Yes. Q 35 Uh-huh. Α 36 Now, what does that show? 37 We're heading up with the horses going up to the 38 mountain in the distance to hunt mountain goats. We 39 are about halfway between the cabin and the mountain 40 at this point, and I am on the saddle horse in the 41 lead with the red cap. And it's hard to see, but the 42 pack horse -- we have a couple of pack horses and some 43 of the people are walking.

And is that -- where is that in relation to the cabin

depression or the valley just below the trees to the

It would be almost directly north of the cabin.

that you've been talking about?

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush

1 right, you can see there is a valley there, that's at 2 the head of the creek called Xsi Gwitselasgwit, X-s-i 3 space G-w-i-t-x-e-l-a-s-g-w-i-t, Xsi Gwitselasgwit. 4 And it's on the topographic map as Shenismike Creek, S-h-e-n-i-s-m-i-k-e. And the mountains in the 5 6 background are part of Daahl an makhl. That's 7 D-a-a-h-l space a-n space m-a-k-h-l. 8 MR. RUSH: All right. Thank you. May that be the next exhibit, 9 please. 10 THE COURT: 699. 11 12 (EXHIBIT 699: Tab 66 of Volume 2) 13 14 MR. GOLDIE: I wonder if my friend could tell us who the 15 photographer was at these various photographs. 16 MR. RUSH: 17 0 Do you know who took the photographs? 18 Α Yes. 19 Is it a different -- are there different people who took the photographs? 20 21 Yes. It's my father's camera and different people at Α 22 different times are taking these photographs. 23 Q. Did you take some of these photographs? 24 Α No, I didn't. 25 Did your father to your knowledge? Q 26 My father took some. I am not sure which ones he 27 took. But sometimes Richard, my brother took some, he 28 did, and he was probably taking this one because I am 29 up ahead on the horse and I don't see him in the 30 picture. 31 All right. Q 32 And this was all in 1985. Α Now, would you please look at 67, tab 67, please? 33 34 Α 35 Can you identify what's depicted in this photograph? Q 36 Yes. This is once again the lake right in front of 37 the cabin, and it's a view north to Daahl an makhl, 38 D-a-a-h-l --39 Which feature is Daahl an makhl? 40 Well, I will finish spelling that: a-n space 41 m-a-k-h-l. All of the distant mountains that you see 42 on both sides is what is called Daahl an makhl. 43 Is that the same lake that's shown in tab 64 that you

identified?

Yes, it is. Α

44

45

46

47

Exhibit 6 -- excuse me. Exhibit 697? Q

Yes, it is. Α

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush

- 1 MR. RUSH: Thank you. Can that be the next the exhibit, my 2 lord.
- 3 THE COURT: Yes. 700.

4 THE REGISTRAR: 700.

5 6

(EXHIBIT 700: Tab 67 of Volume 2)

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

42

A The place where we are on the horses in that last picture at tab 66 is you can see where the timber line ends and there is a kind of a bald ridge in the centre of the picture and it comes down to the right. We're just over on the edge of that down in the trees and that's -- that valley is the Xsi Gwitselasgwit valley that flows down into the Babine River.

MR. RUSH:

- Q Are you referring us back to 66, tab 66 or are you now directing our attention to 67 in that photograph?
- A I am directing your attention to the photograph at tab 67.
- O Yes.
- A As to where we were when the picture was taken at tab 66.
- Q I see. And -- all right. I was a little confused on that. So maybe you can just show again where it was that you were photographed in 66 or 67, tab 67?
- A Well, there is the meadows and the timber on the opposite -- on the other side of the lake and directly above the timber, the forest, there is a tan hill, kind of a bald hill, and then there are the mountains in the distance. Well, the bald hill in the foreground comes down to where -- and meets the trees.
- Q Yes.
- A And we were right down along the edge of where the -below where the bald hill meets the trees when we took the picture at picture 66.
- Q Thank you. All right. Now, if you'll look to tab 68, please.
- A Yes.
- Q Where was this photograph taken?
- A If we can refer back to tab 67.
- 41 Q Yes.
 - A And referring to the bald hill again.
- 43 Q Yes
- 44 A When you come from the -- where the bald hill meets
 45 the trees and go left along that bald hill to the
 46 first peak, it then runs all the way out of picture to
 47 the left. But the first peak that comes up off the

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush

1 bald hill in the middle of the picture we were 2 directly below there, the mountain goat was shot about 3 halfway down that mountain, and then afterwards we 4 went down, further down to where this photograph is. 5 And that is once again at the very head of Xsi 6 Gwitselasgwit at the source of Xsi Gwitselasgwit, 7 X-s-i space G-w-i-t-s-e-l-a-s-g-w-i-t. 8 MR. GOLDIE: That's Shenismike Creek? 9 Yes, it is. And the peak in the photograph are --Α 10 MR. RUSH: 11 Just a moment. Just before we get to that. All Q 12 right. Go ahead. 13 From the left Joshua McLean. Behind him and I Jona 14 Green and then myself in the middle and my father to 15 the right. 16 Okay. Who shot the goat? 17 Joshua. Α 18 MR. RUSH: Could that be marked the next exhibit, please. 19 THE REGISTRAR: 701. 20 THE COURT: 701. 21 22 (EXHIBIT 701: Tab 68 of Volume 2) 23 MR. RUSH: 24 25 That's all in the same trip, is it? Q 26 Yes, it is. Α 27 Do you recall who took that one? 28 In this case I believe it was Richard. All right. Α 29 All right. Thank you, Mr. Sterritt. I'd like you 30 now, please, to turn to --31 THE COURT: Before you leave that picture, I am having a little difficulty. I know what a mountain goat looks like 32 33 and I see one facing me lying on it's side. What is 34 the white area below the nose of that poor creature? 35 Is that another mountain goat or is it snow? 36 It's snow. 37 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 38 MR. RUSH: 39 Just on that count, Mr. Sterritt, this goat, what 40 would be your estimate of the weight or size of this 41 be? 42 This goat would weigh -- it's what we call Wii 43 andjowasx. It's spelled W-i-i space 44 a-n-d-j-o-w-a-s-x. Which is a very large billy goat. 45 And the reason that we call it that is because on the 46 rump the hide is as much as a half an inch thick and 47 the reason for that is because the goat slides down --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Submissions by Mr. Goldie re Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt Sworn June 15, 1988

- will slide down very steep spots on its rump and the hide gets very, very thick and covered with heavy fur.

 And so it makes excellent moccasins; andjowasx means moccasins. So this is what we that's what we call it. That goat would weigh in the neighbourhood of 325 to 350 pounds. It's a large goat.
 - Q The area where you were hunting, is that an area where you have hunted on previous occasions to the one that you were on in this occasion?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - Q And is that an area where it is known by you and others as a goat hunting area?
 - A Yes.
 - Q All right. Thank you. Now, Mr. Sterritt, if you would turn, please, to tab 69?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And at tab 69 is an affidavit by Neil J. Sterritt that was sworn on June 15, 1988 and I just ask you to turn to page eleven.
 - MR. GOLDIE: My lord, I am raising an objection to this, the reception of this affidavit.
 - MR. RUSH: The affidavit has already been tendered as an exhibit for identification. It's Exhibit 613 for identification.
 - And perhaps just before we proceed to the objection I'll ask Mr. Sterritt if that's his signature on page eleven?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - MR. RUSH: Do you want to --
- THE COURT: On what basis is it sought to be admitted, Mr. Rush?
 MR. RUSH: Well, this is being admitted on the basis of the

32 affidavits in the similar basis as the previous 33 affidavits, namely a chief who is a hereditary chief 34 who has knowledge of the territories, there are three 35 territories that are described in this affidavit and 36 he has knowledge as a hereditary chief about those 37 three territories. And this affidavit like the others 38 is being tendered for the proof of the territory, two territories of Nii kyap and one territory of Miluulak. 39

40 THE COURT: So in your submission it's what we have been calling a territorial affidavit.

- 42 MR. RUSH: That's correct.
- 43 THE COURT: Mr. Goldie?
- MR. GOLDIE: My lord, my objection -- my objection is with respect to that part of the affidavit which purports to be based upon information given him by Mr. David
- 47 Gunanoot. I foreshadowed my objection to your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

42

43

44

45

46

Submissions by Mr. Goldie re Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt Sworn June 15, 1988

> lordship when the witness began giving evidence of conversations with Mr. Gunanoot and I said that at that time that in my submission the affidavit could not be received to contradict prior sworn evidence and I was referring to Mr. Gunanoot's commission evidence. We got into a discussion of maps and my friend Mr. Rush was quite right when he said that the map which shows the reduction on Thutade Lake boundaries was not available for use or to us, I should say, when Mr. David Gunanoot was first examined on commission. In fact we had no map of his territory at that time. I had confused the date of his examination on commission which was in May, 1986 with his cross-examination by Canada in October of 1987. So I want to clear up that question right away. I would ask your lordship to note the chronology. It is of some importance. The writ, of course, was issued in October of 1984. The interrogatories and Mr. Gunanoot was one of those original plaintiffs who received an interrogatory. The interrogatories were issued beginning of November of 1985 and going into the early -- early part of 1986. Mr. Gunanoot was examined on commission because of the precarious nature of his health on the 27th and 28th of February and the 1st of March.

THE COURT: Of '8 -- '86.

MR. GOLDIE: Of '86, and then on the 13th and 14th of May of 1986. Then when Canada or the Federal — the Attorney General of Canada exercised the right to cross—examine commission witnesses whose commission evidence had been given before they were joined, he was cross—examined on October 7 of 1987. The next date I ask your lordship to note is that Exhibit 102, which my friend calls the coded map, came into our possession in February of 1987. It was listed by the Province in its supplementary list of documents dated February 24, 1987 at number 1324 an undated map, as indeed on its face it is undated.

THE COURT: Whose map was it?

MR. GOLDIE: Well, it appears -- well, it's been identified as a map prepared by Mr. Marvin George.

41 THE COURT: Oh, all right.

MR. GOLDIE: And it came into our possession because apparently one of the plaintiffs came into the fish and wildlife office in Smithers at some time unknown to us and left this map. And we found it in the fish and wildlife office up there.

47 THE COURT: And you listed it when?

THE COURT: Well, yes, except that it was --

47

Submissions by Mr. Goldie re Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt Sworn June 15, 1988 1 MR. GOLDIE: It was of course available when Canada examined. THE COURT: Yes. Yes. All right. 3 MR. GOLDIE: In 1987. 4 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. MR. GOLDIE: October. The next date is the February 18, 1987 5 6 when Mr. Gunanoot's interrogatory response was sworn. 7 There is no map exhibited to that response. And I 8 will indicate to your lordship the nature of the text 9 of the interrogatory which was appended to Mr. 10 Gunanoot's affidavit. The next dates -- next dates 11 are February and March of 1987 in which -- during 12 which time Mr. Sterritt was examined for discovery and 13 that was the first disclosure of some of notes -- of 14 his notes and Mr. Sterritt on his examination has 15 denied the validity of Exhibit 102. 16 THE COURT: He denied --17 MR. GOLDIE: He said it wasn't a valid document in respect of 18 the internal boundaries. And my next date is the date 19 when Exhibit 5 was introduced which was a map showing 20 internal boundaries produced by the plaintiff and was 21 the first map showing internal boundaries which the 22 plaintiffs acknowledged. 23 THE COURT: And what date was it made? MR. GOLDIE: And that map is dated May 2, my lord, and it was 24 25 marked Exhibit 5 for identification on May 20. 26 THE COURT: But you hadn't seen it before that? 27 MR. GOLDIE: No. No. 2.8 THE COURT: Until it was introduced in court? 29 MR. GOLDIE: Until it was introduced in court. 30 THE COURT: It was only completed a couple of days before the 31 trial started? 32 MR. GOLDIE: That is correct. THE COURT: All right. 33 34 MR. GOLDIE: But my point is that that was the document which so 35 far as the defendants were concerned was the first 36 which was stated by them to contain the internal 37 boundaries. Now, at the same time of course the 38 statement of claim was amended - when I say the same 39 time I am talking about May of 1987 - was amended and 40 we understood it was amended to conform to the meets 41 and bounds description of what was contained in the 42 summary of Mr. Sterritt's expert opinion and that was 43 dated March 9, but the amendment or the map resulting 44 from that amendment was dated May of 1987. And as I 45 have mentioned Mr. Gunanoot was examined by Canada on

October 7, 1987 and he died not long after that time.

Submissions by Mr. Goldie re Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt Sworn June 15, 1988

Now, my submission is first that Mr. Gunanoot gave evidence which can be construed as referring to the territory and the boundaries of the territory and I say it can be construed as consistent with the map that was filed by the plaintiffs which excludes the territory up near Thutade Lake. Now, your lordship can see the -- on the overlay map in front of you on the upper right-hand corner there is a large territory which at one time was included and then it was excluded and now through Mr. Sterritt it is sought to include it again. And Mr. Sterritt was -- stated that it was excluded for some reason which I don't recall, and he now seeks to reintroduce it by reference to Mr. Gunanoot.

Now, the evidence which Mr. Gunanoot gave on commission with respect to his territories, and I am now referring to his -- the evidence given in March -- in February, March and May of 1986, and I refer to Volume 1 at page 24. And I am going to read from line 17 to line 9 on page 25. And Mr. Rush -- this is his examination in chief and Mr. Rush is conducting the examination:

2.8

- "Q Now, I want to ask you about Nii kyap's territory?
- A Territory?
- Q Yes. Where is Nii kyap's territory located?
- A It's kind of far, ten mile on the other side of Kisqaqas.
- Q The other side of Kisgagas?
- A Yes.
- Q What's it called?
- A Canyon Creek."

Now, that's been identified for your lordship.

"Q Can you tell me, is there an Indian name or a Gitksan name for Canyon Creek?"

And the witness gave that answer and Mr. Rush repeated it and the witness confirmed that.

- "Q Is that on the Babine River? Does that join the Babine River?
- A Yes, left side going up.
- Q How far down the river -- or going up the

	Submissions by Mr. Affidavit of N.J. S	
	Sworn June 15, 1988	
1	5.0211 0 alio 10, 100.	river is Nii kyap's territory?
2	A	Right about the creek which is "
3	**	night about the often which is
4	Canyon Ca	reek.
5	odnyon o.	
6	"Q	As you go along the Babine River how far
7	×.	does Nii kyap's territory go?
8	A	Gee, I don't know that. Quite a way up.
9	Q	Can you tell me how many miles?
10	A	Yes, right on top of the it's right at
11	**	the some kind of timber line, right on
12		top, goes down to what they call "
13		cop, goes down to what they tall
14	Gitksan n	name
15	GI CKSdii I	iane,
16	11	the little creek goes down that way.
17	0	What is that little creek called again?"
18	~	what is that little citch called again.
19	And the	answer was given.
20	And the t	answer was grven.
21	" O	Do you know an area that is called the big
22	¥	slide area?
23	A	Big slide?
24	Q	Yes.
25	Ā	That was right across and down below.
26	Q.	Does Nii kyap's territory go as far as the
27	¥	big slide?
28	A	That's a little ways above that.
29	Q	What do they call Nii kyap's territory?
30	~	What's the name for it? In Gitksan?
31	A	That was what they call it,"
32	A	inac was what they call it,
33	And he re	epeats the name for Canyon Creek.
34	And he is	epeads the name for carryon creek.
35	" Q	I see."
36	~	I bee.
37	And then	there was some further discussion, but I
38		at's about it. And then at page 58 lines 11
39	to 35:	te s about it. And then at page 30 lines ii
40	60 33.	
41	"Q	David, you told us this morning I think
42	Q	about the Stikine people and about a battle
43		with the Stikines?
43	A	Yes.
45	Q Q	Now, this was a battle that Nii kyap had
46	Ž	with the Stikines?
47	А	Yes.
1 /	\sim	100.

Submissions by Mr. Goldie re Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt Sworn June 15, 1988

1	Q	Do you want to tell us about that battle?
2	А	Oh yes. The reason why they start that
3		battle started, the Stikine used to come
4		around to the head of the Skeena, and around
5		to Thutade
6	Q	Thutade.
7	A	
8	A	and they still keep on moving in, and
		when somebody going out from Kisgagas, they
9		disappeared. They killed them up there. So
10		ones from Galdo'o, they get killed up there
11		too, up the head of the Thomson River. They
12		still doing that.
13		Finally, the old man get mad about it
14		and was being talking to the rest of the
15		people that good fighters, to get after them
16		and take it over, what they're going to do.
17		That's why they started. They went up there
18		after they get that I can't remember all
19		the names I know one man from Kispiox.
20		He's the meanest man of the whole bunch.
21		That was Gutgwinuxs.
22	Q	Gutgwinuxs?
23	A A	Yes. Big owl."
24	A	ies. Big Owi.
	T	to the language of the miles of
25		t in because there is a reference to Thutade
26	_	oint. And page 63 line 17 to 33:
27		And this is about the fracas with the
28	Stikines.	
29		
30	"Q	No, was there a peace? A peace settlement?
31		No war between
32	A	No.
33	Q	Was that the end of the wars between
34		Stikine
35	A	Yes, that's why they're giving all those
36		hides, and things like that. When they got
37		those painted pole like that, and there's
38		the other one, that means there will be
39		over there on that side, and there's the
40		line right there, and there's Hazelton, and
41		
		Kispiox on that on this side. No more
42	•	war.
43	Q	Where was the place where the skins were
44		left?
45	A	I don't know. There's only one place. They
46		didn't even tell me which place it was. One
17		nlage gunneged to be that's from the head of

place supposed to be that's from the head of

46

47

Submissions by Mr. Goldie re Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt Sworn June 15, 1988

Α

Klagoohla.

1 the Stikine River and the Skeena. Maybe 2 that's what it is. Lake Thutade that goes 3 from Kisgagas. That's the time they didn't 4 come back to Kisgagas after the war." 5 6 And then page 66 line 26 to 39: 7 8 "0 Now, David, I just wanted to ask you about 9 the territory around the big meadow. 10 think the name of that and -- " 11 12 Then the Indian name. Answer, he repeated the Gitksan 13 name. 14 15 **"**Q Is that territory today in the house of 16 Nii kyap? 17 That's right. Α 18 That belongs to the house, does it? Q 19 Α 20 We also talked yesterday about the territory 0 21 that we called -- or you called -- " 22 23 And then there is the Gitksan name, the witness repeats that and says: 24 25 26 -- yes, that was. Now, is that also the territory that belongs 27 2.8 to the house of Nii kyap? 29 That's the regular place, yes." 30 31 Now, the reference I should add, my lord, to the word "yesterday" was obviously a reference to a 32 33 conversation between counsel and the witness prior to 34 the examination because I am reading from the first day of the examination. Now, I have been unable to 35 36 find any other references to Thutade with the possible 37 exception in Volume 2 at page 127. And that's at line 38 23 to line 60 page 128: 39 40 David, I want to ask you now about the 41 territory of another one of your relatives, 42 Peter Haimadim. 43 Haimadim. Α 44 Where was his hunting territory?

They call it....I remember that....I

remember but I still forget it again.

Submissions by Mr. Goldie re

	Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt		
	Sworn June 15, 1988	3	
1	Q	Again?	
2	А	Klagoohla."	
3		-	
4	Then the	re was an off-the-record discussion and the	
5	witness went on:		
6			
7	***	Maybe that's main thing there. Around there	
8		Klagoohla, it's hardly nothing around there.	
9		It's nothing but purple mostly what they got	
10		around there. It's only once in awhile you	
11			
	MD. I	can see those jack pines up there.	
12	MR. I	RUSH: Say the "	
13			
14	Then the	witness intervened:	
15			
16	***	That's why they call it Klagoohla. That's	
17		Stikine words. It's given to, what's the	
18		time, when that was given to my uncle,	
19		Peter."	
20			
21	And then	an off-the-record discussion.	
22			
23	" Q	Where is Klagoohla? Where is it located?	
24	Ā	Located?	
25	Q	Where's it near?	
26	Ã	That was quite a way. There's Thutade and	
27		there's Klagoohla way up. That's what they	
28		call that lake there.	
29	Q	It's close to Thutade though?	
30	Ā	Yes. Close to Klagoohla.	
31	Q	Now, did Peter Haimadim have any other	
32	Q		
33	75	territory?	
	A	No. That's only one."	
34	m) T		
35		ant to refer to the interrogatory, my lord,	
36		was an interrogatory which was verified by	
37		noot by an affidavit sworn the 18th of	
38		, 1987, almost a year after he gave his	
39	evidence	on commission. Question 59C:	
40			
41	**	What are the boundaries of your house's	
42		territory?	
43	A	Nii kyap's territory is in the area of Bear	
44		Lake. His territory is on the south end of	
45		Bear Lake and including territories to the	
46		northeast and northwest of Bear Lake Nii	

kyap has fishing sites at Xsi Gwitselasgwit

```
Submissions by Mr. Goldie re
      Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
                          which I think is the Indian name for Canyon
 2
                          Creek."
 3
 4
               And that's the complete answer on his interrogatory.
 5
      THE COURT: Well, where is Bear Lake, Mr. -- it's on the eastern
 6
               boundary, but how far north and south can you say?
 7
      MR. GOLDIE: Bear Lake --
 8
      THE COURT: This is so small.
 9
               Right there.
10
      THE COURT: Right there. Oh, yes.
11
      MR. GOLDIE: There is a territory claimed by Nii kyap which
12
                comprises virtually the whole of Bear Lake and
13
                extending down into the Driftwood River area.
14
      THE COURT: But that's not Canyon Creek.
15
      MR. GOLDIE: Canyon Creek is off the Babine.
16
      THE COURT: Off to the west, yes. Yes.
17
      MR. GOLDIE: And he said he had fishing sites at that point.
18
      THE COURT: Yes.
      MR. GOLDIE: Then he was examined by Mrs. Russell on October 7,
19
20
                1987 and I -- there was no -- there was no examination
21
                in chief relating to territory or cross-examination on
22
                territory.
23
      THE COURT: Any territory or this particular territory?
24
      MR. GOLDIE: Any territory.
25
      THE COURT: All right.
26
      MR. GOLDIE: I think I stand corrected in that.
27
      MR. RUSH: Yes.
2.8
                   So in the result we have his evidence on his
      MR. GOLDIE:
29
                interrogatory which in my submission in the
30
                plaintiffs' terms excludes Thutade Lake. We have his
31
                evidence on his commission which places a territory of
32
                one of his kinsmen near Thutade Lake, but not on
                Thutade Lake. Now, in the trial Exhibit 5 the Thutade
33
                Lake territory is completely removed. In my
34
35
                submission the plaintiffs had ample opportunity at the
36
                time Mr. Gunanoot was cross-examined by or tendered
37
                for cross-examination in October of 1987 to put to Mr.
38
                Gunanoot what Mr. Sterritt now says he was told by Mr.
39
                Gunanoot, and in my submission they had that
40
                opportunity to bring out this, the boundaries. They
41
                did not. Your lordship is aware that Mr. Sterritt
42
               purports to be no more than the conduit of the
43
               information given him. And I --
44
      THE COURT: Does Mr. Sterritt in his affidavit say when he
45
                received this information?
46
      MR. GOLDIE: His affidavit does not, but the death of Mr.
47
                Gunanoot followed I think less than a month after his
```

 Submissions by Mr. Goldie re Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt Sworn June 15, 1988

> examination, his cross-examination. And while I can't say for certain, I have made a guick -- a guick examination of the documents that we were provided in -- at the beginning of Mr. Sterritt's cross-examination and on the 14th of September and I cannot find any note which follows the date of the examination of October 5 by Mrs. Russell, any note prior to Mr. Gunanoot's death. So in my submission the plaintiffs cannot now lead through a witness the evidence of a person who is dead when they had every opportunity to do so, and that evidence purports, I say contradicts the evidence he gave under oath, both in his examination -- not his examination for discovery, but his interrogatory and by implication his evidence when under commission when he was asked with particular reference to his territory.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. May I just confirm that the dates that are being suggested for Smithers, Mr.

Grant, are they the week of November 21 to 28?

MR. GRANT: No. The week of November 28.

21 THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GRANT: And that week following I think it's December 3 but I understand you were not available, but alternatively it could be the week of November 28.

THE COURT: No. The week of November 28 is the last week of the month. The next week is December -- is the 5th.

MR. GRANT: The week of November -- the week of November 28, my lord, the week of November -- of December 5, the week of December 12, those or two of those three weeks is what I would propose.

THE COURT: Yes. Because I said, I think that meeting in Ottawa is maybe in the week of the 5th of December sometime. So -- but you say two weeks -- two of the three weeks perhaps.

MR. GRANT: Yes, and I propose those two weeks in a row, but you understand that the middle week is not --

THE COURT: I have to go and find that out. The weeks we are talking about now are November --

MR. GRANT: November 28, December 5, December 12.

THE COURT: December 12. Yes. All right. I will take the morning adjournment.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED PURSUANT TO MORNING BREAK)

 Submissions by Mr. Goldie re Affidavit of N.J. Sterritt Sworn June 15, 1988

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings herein to the best of my skill and ability. Laara Yardley, Official Reporter, United Reporting Service Ltd.

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

THE REGISTRAR: Order in court.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Grant, there is a delegation of Russian judges circulating through Ottawa today, and business seems to be at a standstill. I can't find anyone that can give me any information at all, so we'll have to adjourn this discussion further until Monday morning.

MR. GRANT: I hope you will advise us if you are going to make a return visit as an exchange programme.

THE COURT: Not this time.

MR. GOLDIE: My lord, may I add to my submission?

12 THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GOLDIE: Miss Sigurdson tells me that she has found in the notes supplied to us on September 14th a record of Mr. Sterritt having seen Mr. Gunanoot on October the 5th, 1987. The examination took place on October 7th, 1987. There is nothing which indicates that the witness, Mr. Sterritt, saw Mr. Gunanoot after the examination on October 7th. Now, my submission is that the exception to the hearsay rule, which has permitted territorial affidavits in, cannot operate when those affidavits seek to speak to matters either which have been spoken to the witness on commission or which purport to in any way deal with matters which could have been raised when the witness was available to give his evidence under oath himself. If this matter was known on October the 5th, 1987, it was the duty of counsel to lead that evidence on October the

Now, your lordship ought to know that I take this position with respect to all of part A of Mr. Sterritt's affidavit because the sole informant of the Tutadi Lake territory is Mr. Gunanoot, the late Mr. Gunanoot. With respect to B and C, he speaks to other territories, but in respect of both he relies upon the evidence of Mr. Gunanoot, and I -- in part he makes reference to other informants, but it is impossible on the basis of the affidavit to sort out whether the affidavit would have been the same if Mr. Gunanoot was not relied upon as an informant, so I say that B and C are also affected to the same extent as I have submitted with respect to A.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I don't think I need to hear you now, Mr. Rush, because I have decided to hear the evidence subject to the objection. It may be as a matter of law what you say, Mr. Goldie, is unanswerable, but I haven't heard Mr. Rush on that,

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)

In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988 1 and for that reason I put it in the broadest 2 hypothetical sense. It seems to me that at the end of 3 the day it may be a matter of law or it may be a 4 matter of weight. If it becomes a matter of weight, 5 well then, it won't be possible to rule on that 6 question until -- well, I suppose you could. It would 7 be better to know what other evidence there is. 8 think I should hear the evidence because this case is 9 obviously going to go ahead and be reviewed at other 10 places, and I think the evidence should be heard even 11 if I conclude later that as a matter of law it's not 12 admissible, and for that reason I don't think I need 13 to hear Mr. Rush now. I will want to hear full 14 argument on this question when we come to the argument 15 stage of the trial. 16 MR. GOLDIE: Your lordship will appreciate that I have addressed 17 no argument on weight? 18 THE COURT: Oh, yes, I understand that. 19 MR. GOLDIE: I have done that because consistently with the 20 position that we will take on weight of all of these territorial affidavits. 21 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. GOLDIE: My submission was entirely as a matter of law. THE COURT: I think the evidence should be in the record, and I 24 25 am going to allow it to be adduced subject to the 26 objection. Mr. Grant. I'm sorry, Mr. Rush. MR. RUSH: Perhaps it's superfluous to say so, my lord, but I 27 28 think the record should show that I do have a 29 submission to make in respect of that, and I will make 30 that in due course when the time arises, both on the 31 question of law and on the question of weight. 32 THE COURT: Thank you. 33 MR. RUSH: Mr. Sterritt, just before I take you to the affidavit 34 0 35 which you have identified, I wonder if you would 36 please step off the witness stand and review map 9A. 37 I want you to show on 9A where firstly -- well, the 38 territories of Nii Kyap? 39 All of the territories? 40 Yes, that are shown on map 9A. 41 Kisgagas is right here in almost the centre of this 42 map. Directly below and south-east of Kisgagas is a 43 territory of Nii Kyap. 44 THE COURT: Sorry, that's south and east? 45 THE WITNESS: Yes. 46 MR. RUSH: 47 Where is that territory in relation to the Babine

```
N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
     In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
                River?
 2
                This line that you see running right into Kisgagas is
 3
                the -- is the Babine River, follows the Babine River.
 4
            Q
               All right.
 5
               Now, this territory is spoken to by Joshua McLean from
           Α
 6
                the House of Nii Kyap.
 7
                That's the gentleman that you identified in the
 8
               photograph as having the name of O Yee?
 9
               That's right. And on the north side there is the
10
               creek that I was referring to earlier in the
11
               photographs. Canyon Creek is the term that Mr. Goldie
12
               used. It's Xsi Gwitselasgwit, X-s-i space
13
                G-w-i-t-s-e-l-a-s-g-w-i-t. It's on the map as
14
               Shenismike Creek. And the affidavit on the north side
15
                is an affidavit of Robert Jackson Sr., and in there he
16
               refers to the fishing area of the House of Nii Kyap on
17
               that creek.
18
     THE COURT: I'm sorry, I can't find the one at Kisgagas.
                is it again, please? You said right at Kisgagas.
19
     THE WITNESS: Well, pardon me, it's about -- on this map here
20
21
                it's about an inch and a half south-east on the north
22
                side of the Babine River.
23
     THE COURT: On the north side of the Babine?
24
     THE WITNESS: Yes, north side of the Babine River.
25
     MR. RUSH:
26
            Q
               Now --
27
            Α
               Right here.
28
               That's the fishing site you're pointing to now?
29
               There is no territory of Nii Kyap shown here. It's
30
               referred to by Robert Jackson in his affidavit.
31
     MR. RUSH: As a fishing site?
     THE COURT: As a fishing site?
32
33
     THE WITNESS: As a fishing site of Nii Kyap and the area around
34
               it.
35
     THE COURT: All right. So the Nii Kyap territories are this one
36
                on the south side of the Babine?
37
     THE WITENSS:
                     Yes.
38
     THE COURT: Where is Canyon Creek?
39
     THE WITNESS: That's it right here.
40
     THE COURT: That's Canyon Creek. All right. Yes.
41
     THE WITNESS: The second territory of Nii Kyap is -- actually
42
                the -- Bear Lake is the dividing line. There is a
43
                territory on the west side of Bear Lake, territory on
44
                the east side of Bear Lake, and there is a territory
45
               north-east of Bear Lake at Thutade. There is a
46
                further territory of Nii Kyap along the Duti, D-u-t-i,
47
                River, and the river Kla Goohla, which Mr. Goldie
```

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988 1 referred to, K-l-a space G-o-o-h-l-a, is under this 2 3 MR. RUSH: 4 In what territory marked on 9A? Q 5 The territory of Nii Kyap. Α 6 Just point that out to his lordship again. 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 Now, without getting into it, that is a description of Α 9 two territories of Nii Kyap. It encompasses two 10 subdivisions, Muut on one side and Haimadim on the 11 other. 12 Are those members of the House of Nii Kyap? 13 Yes, they are. Α 14 When you're referring to that, do you mean that area 15 that you've just pointed out, as where a portion of 16 the boundary is, is over the Duti River as shown on 17 that map? 18 Yes. Muut is spelled M-u-u-t, and Haimadim --19 maybe -- I don't know if there is a spelling. I would 20 spell it H-a-i-m-a-d-i-m. It will be confused with 21 Peter Himadim, who is spelt H-i-m-a-d-i-m as a 22 surname. 23 All right. If you will refer now to the tab 69 in 24 your document book, Volume 2. You have identified the 25 affidavit at that tab as being yours? 26 Yes. Α 27 And Mr. Sterritt, I want to refer you to page number 2 28 and the boundary of the territory described as Tutadi, 29 T-u-t-a-d-i? 30 Yes. Α 31 Now, the Tutadi territory that you're speaking of in 32 the affidavit, is that -- is that the area you identified in the upper right-hand corner of map 9A as 33 34 the Tutadi Territory of Nii Kyap? 35 Yes. Α 36 MR. RUSH: Okay. Now, in respect of the description that is 37 provided at paragraph 5, what can you say about the 38 description that's set out there? 39 MR. GOLDIE: Well, is this necessary? The purpose of these 40 affidavits is to remove the necessity of evidence in 41 chief. 42 MR. RUSH: Yes, there is a reason for doing this, my lord, and 43 I'm going to direct the witness to this. 44 THE COURT: All right. 45 MR. RUSH: 46 Mr. Sterritt, what can you say about the description

that's set out at paragraph 5, page 2 of the

7891 N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988 affidavit, under section A, Tutadi Territory? 1 2 The description is basically correct but incomplete in 3 one area 4 Q All right. 5 And it is not a description that -- while it describes Α 6 a territory, it is not a description that Marvin 7 George could have followed to do a proper mapping, and 8 in that area it would be -- if you'll look on page 3, 9 at the very bottom sentence, the word -- the two words 10 Niven River. 11 Q Yes. 12 And at Niven River it should be inserted that the 13 boundary continues along the height of land east of 14 the Niven River and along Mount Forrest --15 THE COURT: Along? 16 17 18 19

THE WITNESS: Mount Forrest, F-o-r-r-e-s-t. -- to cross the Attichika, that's A-t-t-i-c-h-i-k-a, Creek approximately one and a half miles from its outlet into Tutadi Lake, and, as I recall -- and then to continue back to the point of commencement at the north-east end of Tutadi Lake.

MR. RUSH:

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- Now, Mr. Sterritt, if you'll just go to map 9A. In terms of the area that you've just described, is that map -- does that map correctly show the description of the territory with the amendment that you have just given?
- Yes, it does. Α
- And where is the area that you're referring to?
- The Attichika Creek flows in very close to the north-east end of Tutadi Lake, right in this area.
- All right. And the area that you've just given more specific detail about, where is that?
- The Niven River is this one, and it flows generally Α north into Tutadi Lake. And so we're referring from here to the height of land east of Niven River and along Mount Forrest to cross the Attichika River in this area and then back to the point of commencement.
- And you've just been running your hand along the boundary of that territory on the east side?
- Α Yes.
- All right. Thank you. Now, would you please turn to page 5 of the affidavit --
- Yes. Α
 - -- to a territory described as Xsi Luu Maa Seeksit and in brackets Malloch Creek? That's X-s-i space L-u-u space M-a-a space S-e-e-k-s-i-t. And Malloch is spelt

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

- M-a-l-l-o-c-h Creek. Now, that territory is described at page 6, paragraph 12 of your affidavit --
 - A Yes
- Q -- is that right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Now, what can you say about the description that is given there?
 - A The description is generally correct, except that I did this description in Vancouver without -- well, all three of these descriptions, actually, in Vancouver without benefit of notes or material, and it touches on all of the right places, but I've -- I've given some directions like north or east or west, and down the river instead of up -- or down a creek instead of up a creek.
 - Q Yes.
 - A And so it contains some errors there. This -- when I did it in Vancouver, I did not have the benefit of Marvin George doing the -- accurately following it to turn it back to me, and it became -- it ended up in affidavit form prior to me going on the stand, and so there are some corrections on this that should be made.
 - Q All right. Are you able to direct us to the corrections on the affidavit, or is it easier for you to refer to the map 9A?
 - A Well, map 9A is the correct description, and there is one inclusion in the affidavit where the boundary actually runs along the east side, at least the -- along the east side of Ax Gyekw, that's A-x G-y-e-k-w, whereas in the affidavit it says it runs along the height of land between Ax Gyekw, that's A-x space G-y-e-k-w, and Xsi Luu Maa Seeksit, which is X-s-i space L-u-u space --
 - THE COURT: I'm sorry, what do you say the change should be? It says here the boundary runs south along the height of land.
 - THE WITNESS: It should say it runs south along the -- the east bank of Chettleburg Creek is what's on the map, Ax Gyekw, A-x space G-y-e-k-w, and it's written C-h-e-t-t-l-e-b-u-r-g, Chettleburg Creek on the map.
- MR. RUSH:
 - Q It's in the fourth line down. It should be here the boundary runs south along the east bank and strike out height of land between. Is that right, Mr. Sterritt?
 - A Yes. And you should strike out Xsi Luu Maa Seeksit and Malloch Creek, which is in brackets M-a-l-l-o-c-h.

```
7893
     N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
     In chief by Mr. Rush
     Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
               Yes.
            Q
 2
               Now, continuing on --
 3
     THE COURT: I'm sorry, do you leave Maxhla saa --
 4
     THE WITNESS: Giiblax, yes.
     THE COURT: Do you leave that in?
 5
 6
     THE WITNESS: Yes.
 7
     THE COURT: So it's along the east bank of Chettleburg Creek to
 8
                that creek?
 9
     THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
     THE COURT: Or that peak?
11
     THE WITNESS: That peak, yes.
12
     THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13
     THE WITNESS: That's spelt M-a-x-h-l-a space S-a-a space
14
                G-i-i-b-l-a-x.
15
                    Now, here we come on to page 7, and it's correct
16
                until we get to, and I'll read it, "Here the boundary
17
                runs west down the centre line of Tzanhy Creek."
18
                That's spelt T-z-a -- I think it should be h-n-y.
19
                it runs up -- it should run up the centre line.
20
     MR. RUSH:
21
               So the change in line 2 in the third word is up
            Q
22
                instead of down?
23
                Yes, but I want to make sure about west.
                right, west up. And then it should be -- Kluatantan
24
25
                Lake to its outflow -- it should be Tantan Creek, not
26
               Kluatantan Creek. That's K-l-u-a-t-a-n-t-a-n.
27
     MR. GOLDIE: So it would be here it runs along the south shore
28
                of Kluatantan Creek?
29
     THE WITNESS: To its outflow, Tantan Creek. I can't -- that's
30
                right. Kluatantan Lake is right. Tantan -- Tantan
31
                Creek instead of Kluatantan.
32
     THE COURT: To its outflow, Tantan Creek?
     THE WITNESS: That's right. Then it goes along the centre line
33
34
                of Tantan Creek to the Kluatantan River, and then it
35
                comes on down. The rest is -- is fine. And -- well,
36
                for your information, Kluatantan River is Kla Goohla,
37
               which Mr. Goldie referred to. That's K-l-a space
38
               G-o-o-h-l-a.
     MR. RUSH: All right.
39
     THE COURT: G-o-o-h-l-a?
40
     THE WITNESS: Yes.
41
42
     MR. RUSH:
43
                Well, Mr. Sterritt, just on that point, Mr. Goldie was
44
                reading from a portion of Mr. David Gunanoot's
45
                commission evidence where Mr. Gunanoot referred to an
```

area called Kla Goohla, which is spelled K-l-a

G-o-o-h-l-a. What does that name -- does that name

46

```
N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
     In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
                refer to the territory that you've just been
 2
                describing?
 3
            Α
                Yes, that's the Kluatantan River.
 4
               Now, the description of that territory on map 9A, is
 5
                the description that's shown there consistent with the
 6
                changes which you have identified in paragraph 12 of
 7
                your affidavit?
 8
                Yes, it is.
           Α
 9
               Now, at paragraph C, page 8, that should be at
10
                paragraph 16, subsection C of page 8, there is a
11
                territory described as Xsi Naa Dax Deehl Dak, which is
                X-s-i, new word N-a-a, new word D-a-x, new word
12
13
                D-e-e-h-l, new word D-a-k, and that is Two Lake Creek
14
                territory. Now, is the description that is contained
15
                on page 9 at paragraph 18 the description for this
16
                territory?
17
              Yes, it is.
           Α
18
               Where is this territory located on map 9A, please?
                It's -- this is the Tutadi Lake territory here of Nii
19
20
                Kyap, and the territory immediately below it is the
21
                territory of Miluulak at Two Lake Creek.
22
     THE COURT: Oh, this is not a territory of Nii Kyap then?
23
     THE WITNESS: No, it's not.
24
     THE COURT: It is a territory of Miluulak?
     THE WITNESS: Yes.
25
26
     MR. RUSH:
27
                Now, reference was made to a Peter Himadim, and you
28
                distinguished between the name of Peter Himadim and
29
                Haimadim. Is there a chief by the name of Haimadim?
30
               Yes, there is.
           Α
31
               And in what house is that chief?
32
               The House of Nii Kyap.
           Α
33
               You also made mention of a subdivision in the
34
                northern -- I guess that's the north-western territory
35
                identified in map 9A of Nii Kyap between Haimadim and
36
               Muut.
                      Is the name Muut, M-u-u-t, is that a chief's
37
               name?
38
               Yes, it is.
           Α
39
                In which house is that?
40
                In the House of Nii Kyap.
41
     MR. RUSH: That affidavit, my lord, was marked as 613 for
42
                identification. I'd like that marked as an exhibit in
43
                these proceedings.
44
     THE COURT: Subject to the objection as earlier mentioned.
45
     THE REGISTRAR: It's in the affidavit, territorial affidavit
                book, my lord --
46
47
     MR. RUSH: Yes.
```

H-l-e-n-g-w-a-x, and Lelt, that's L-e-l-t. And at

that's T-e-n-i-m space G-y-e-t.

Sand Lake, which is on the most westerly extreme of

the map 9A in mid map at the territory of Tenim gyet,

44

45

46

- 1 MR. RUSH:
 - Q All right. Thank you. Now, when did you become aware of those claims?
 - A In October of 1986.
 - Q And was there an event that -- at which you became aware of these claims?
 - A Yes, there was.
 - Q What was that?
 - A The Nishga phoned me and asked me and some hereditary chiefs to come to the Nass River at very short notice. If I recall, it was on the morning of the day they wanted us there, but perhaps I'm mistaken. It might have been the day before. And they wanted to talk to us about these matters, and they identified that there were four areas that they wanted to talk to us about. I asked Stanley Williams, Albert Tait, Robert Stevens, Art Wilson, and Robert Stevens to -- I asked others, but it was very short notice, as I say, and they were available, and they -- they came with me. We went by -- oh, and Alvin Weget. We had several vehicles, and we drove over to -- in response to their request.
 - Q Where was this meeting?
 - A At New Aiyansh. That's spelt A-i-y-a-n-s-h.
 - Q Was it at that meeting that they told you about these claims you referred to?
 - A Yes. It was a large meeting of the Nishga, and during that meeting they made a presentation to us.
 - Q All right. The people who accompanied you to that meeting, are they hereditary chiefs?
 - A Yes.
 - Q That meeting you say occurred in October of 1986. Had there previously been meetings between Gitksan hereditary chiefs and members of the Nishga people or their Tribal Council?
 - A Yes, there had.
 - Q And can you say when it was that meetings had occurred prior to that time?
 - A Yes, there had been three or four meetings. The first one was in -- in 1983, in I think about January of 1983.
 - Q Do you remember the locale of that meeting?
 - A Yes, that was a meeting in Terrace. And Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en -- I think a Wet'suwet'en representative attended as well as members of the Nishga nation.
 - Q Can you recall the number of Gitksan hereditary chiefs and Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs that attended?
- A I think it was in the neighbourhood of 12.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

46

47

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

- Q All right. And can you advise as to whether or not the proceedings were conducted in Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en?
 - A They were -- they were partly in Gitksan and English. Primarily in Gitksan because the Nishga and the Gitksan speak the same language. They were not conducted in Wet'suwet'en. And that was a preliminary meeting. It was to map out subsequent meetings, format for subsequent meetings.
 - Q Did subsequent meetings occur?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And what's the next date of the meetings?
 - A I might be --
- MR. GOLDIE: Excuse me. I am assuming Mr. Sterritt was present at all of these meetings.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was.
- 17 MR. GOLDIE: All right.

THE WITNESS: It was either that spring or a year later. No, it was that spring. It was in May. And what we had agreed is that a meeting would be held within our territory where we would do a presentation. When I say we, the hereditary chiefs would do a presentation as to their ownership of their territories and the history of that -- their adaawk basically about their territories, and the Nishga would attend and listen, and we would then -- they would go away and think about that, and then they would host a session, a meeting, a feast, whatever format they chose, at which they would do a presentation, and the Gitksan would -would sit and listen -- not that there wouldn't be some protocol and responses, but basically it was going to be in two parts -- followed by an effort to determine the nature of the -- if there was an explanation for the differences, what that -- those differences would be through subsequent meetings.

MR. RUSH:

- Q And there was a meeting hosted by the Gitksan hereditary chiefs?
- A Yes, there was.
- Q Is that the meeting that occurred in May of 1983?
- A Well, I should say spring because I'm not certain what month exactly but -- and I think it was spring of 1983, yes.
 - Q When -- where did that occur?
- 45 A In the village of Kitwanga.
 - Q And can you tell the court how many Gitksan hereditary chiefs were present at that?

- A I think in the neighbourhood of 150, possibly more.
 - Q All right. You talked earlier about format. What format did this gathering take?
 - A It was -- it was in the form of a hosting, a welcoming feast. The Nishga were welcomed, and seated, and fed, and then the business was conducted.
 - Q All right. And Nishga hereditary chiefs attended at that?
 - A Yes, they did.
 - Q And did the Gitksan hereditary chiefs outline their adaawk and histories at that feast?
 - A And their territories, yes.
 - Q And can you tell us about how long this feast lasted?
 - A It started -- the seating started around 5:30 or six o'clock in the evening and extended through to one or two o'clock in the morning.
 - Q Okay.
 - A Oh, I think -- no, it went longer than that. It was two or three o'clock, I think.
 - Q All right. Now, following that gathering was there again another gathering or feast between the Gitksan and Nishga hereditary chiefs?
 - A Yes, there was.
 - Q Is that the one that occurred in 1986 or were there other gatherings between those two times?
 - A As I recall, it was in October of 1984, at least in the fall of 1984. It was about a year and a half later.
 - Q Yes. Where did that occur?
- A At Canyon City.
- Q Yes.
 - A Which is on the Nass River. The -- in this situation the Nishga welcomed the Gitksan hereditary chiefs, they seated them, they fed them, and then they conducted their business. And there was in the neighbourhood of 150 Gitksan persons that went, most of them hereditary chiefs. When I say most, over -- in the neighbourhood of 100, but there was others who attended as well.
 - Q How many Nishga people were present during that gathering?
 - A I would guess in the neighbourhood of 300.
 - Q Was this an occasion on which Gitksan hereditary chiefs expressed their histories and adaawk and discussed their territories?
 - A No, they didn't. It was to be the Nishga presentation of their adaawk, their history, and their description

- of their territories, and it was where we were going to be listening. But hereditary chiefs did through protocol make speeches at the end of the presentations, as did Nishga at Kitwanga made -- made their appropriate comments, and the extent of the statements at the end by the visiting persons, whether it was Gitksan or Nishga, depending where we were, were general statements about territory, and we would deal with that further later.
- Q Okay. Was there a further meeting following the Canyon City meeting in the fall of 1984 between groups of hereditary chiefs from among the Gitksan and among the Nishga?
- A With all of them present?
- Q Or numbers of them present.
 - A No. With Nishga and Gitksan present or separately?
- Q When they were both together.
 - A Okay. The fall of 1984 is when the meeting was held in Canyon City.
 - Q Yes.
 - A There were subsequent meetings. Another one was held in Terrace, as I recall. It was there was the Nishga had requested a meeting of of only those hereditary chiefs who were affected by the areas that they were claiming, and so the number that of Gitksan and we appreciated that we had gone through two large formal meetings, and we wanted to get down to further discussions, and in the subsequent meeting there was in the neighbourhood of 20 as many as 20 Gitksan hereditary chiefs present and a similar number I would say more like 30 Wet'suwet'en or 30 Nishga.
 - Q All right. That was in Terrace again?
- A Yes.
 - Q Sometime after the fall of 1984?
- 36 A Yes.
 - Q Are you able to place a more specific time on that?
 - A No, I can't.
 - Q All right. And at that meeting did the Gitksan hereditary chiefs who attended there, did they describe their territories and give their histories and adaawk?
 - A Yes, they did. They repeated what had been said at Kitwanga in 1983, and went into more detail, as did the Nishga.
 - Q Who among the Gitksan hereditary chiefs were present?
- 47 A The -- Walter Blackwater, David Blackwater, Robert

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988 1 Stevens, Jessie Sterritt, Walter Wilson, William 2 Blackwater, Albert Tait, Stanley Williams, Mary 3 McKenzie, Mary Johnson, Stanley Wilson, Walter Harris, 4 Art Wilson, Ralph Michell, Pete Muldoe, Art Matthews 5 Sr., Solomon Marsden. I think Art Matthews Jr. was 6 there too, and David Gunanoot I think was probably 7 there. That's what I recall at this time. 8 Thank you. After the Terrace meeting, Mr. Sterritt, 9 was there any further gathering of the Gitksan and the 10 Nishga hereditary chiefs I guess prior to that meeting 11 that you went to in 1986 at New Aiyansh? 12 There was another meeting --13 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I don't think we have the 1986 meeting at 14 New Aiyansh. You suggested it, and he said October 15 1984. 16 MR. RUSH: No, my lord. Regrettably, in terms of my 17 questioning, we started with the last meeting, and 18 from the last meeting Mr. Sterritt then went back to 19 the first and has been working forward. 20 MR. GOLDIE: And it was at New Aiyansh. 21 MR. RUSH: 22 And it was at New Aiyansh, and I think it was in 23 October of '86. 24 Yes, when the Nishga called us at short notice, with 25 about half a day's notice. THE COURT: Just a moment. Yes. All right. Yes. You're 26 27 right. You started in October '86, and then you asked 28 him if there had been preliminary meetings, and he 29 started in the spring of '83. 30 MR. RUSH: That's correct. And this question is directed at --31 THE COURT: Do we have a date for the second meeting in Terrace? 32 The one before that was October '84 at Canyon City. 33 MR. RUSH: 34 There was a meeting in October of '84, Mr. Sterritt, Q 35 and then you said after that there was a meeting in 36 Terrace? 37 I -- I think it was in 1985, but I think there was --38 there was actually two meetings in 1985. So there is 39 another meeting in -- either before or after the one I 40 just described. 41 Q That is the one in October '84 or the one in Terrace? 42 The one in Terrace. Α 43 All right. But the one in Terrace you have described 44 occurred in 1985; is that your recollection?

Well, the Nishga hosted a meeting late in 1984 at

Canyon City. Q Yes.

45

46

- A So in 1985, my best guess, there was a meeting of roughly 50 Gitksan and Nishga.
 - Q All right.
 - A There was also another meeting, I think, in 1985 of the executive of the Nishga Tribal Council and the executive of the Gitksan-Wet'suwet'en Tribal Council.
 - Q All right. The meeting that you have described with the hereditary chiefs that you enumerated, that meeting in Terrace occurred in 1985?
- 10 A Yes.
 - Q All right.
 - A To the best of my recollection it was 1985.
 - Q And then there was a meeting between the tribal councils?
 - A Well, the executive met. Some hereditary chiefs, they decided they wanted to discuss it at that level, and I brought along some hereditary chiefs with me, two or three, as well as the executive of the Tribal Council, and they had, well, kind of a similar composition to their group, so there was in the neighbourhood of 12 -- 12 to 15 people altogether in that session, and it was on the same topic.
 - Q All right. All right. And that meeting occurred in '85 as well?
 - A Okay. Now, I can zero in on that one. That one might have been in the spring or sometime earlier in 1986 before this other meeting.
 - That's the last meeting you talked about as having
 occurred at New Aiyansh --
 - A Yes.
 - Q -- in October of 1986?
 - A Yes.
 - Q All right. Now, the areas that you identified as being the areas that the Nishga people claimed are the Bowser Lake area, the upper Kiteen area, an area at Sand Lake, and an area at Blackwater-Groundhog, if I understand your evidence?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Are there Gitksan territorial affidavits in respect of each one of these areas?
 - A Yes, there are.
 - Q Now, I want to turn your attention, Mr. Sterritt, to another but related question, and that is if you are aware of a claim by the Carrier-Sekannie people with regard to any Gitksan or Wet'suwet'en territory that appears on map 9A?
- 47 A Yes, I am. Sekannie is spelt S-e-k-a-n-n-i-e.

```
N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
     In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
               All right.
            Q
 2
                Could you repeat your question again?
                Yes. If you are aware of any claim by the Carrier and
 3
 4
                Sekannie people with regard to Gitksan or Wet'suwet'en
 5
                territories that appear on map 9A?
 6
            Α
                Yes.
 7
                All right. And what is your knowledge about the claim
 8
                that the Carrier-Sekannie put forward?
 9
                It's based on an interpretation of the map that was
10
                submitted by the Carrier-Sekannie Tribal Council to
11
                the office of Native Claims.
12
                And when was that?
13
               Early into the 1980's. About 1982, 1983. I'm not
14
                sure of the exact date.
15
               All right. Now, can you -- are you able to identify
16
                by reference to map 9A where it is that the claim of
17
                the Carrier-Sekannie people is located?
18
                Yes. Based on that map, it would be a line -- I'm
                approximating the line -- roughly coming like so
19
20
                almost to Kisgagas and all the way up and out into
21
                this area near Thutade Lake.
22
     MR. RUSH: The area that you are pointing to there, can you
23
                identify that area?
24
     THE COURT: Tell me again, please, where it starts, starting in
25
                the south-west whereabouts?
26
     THE WITNESS: Oh, in the neighbourhood of, just generally
                speaking, on the coast range somewhere west of Morice
27
28
                Lake, and I'm being quite general because I don't have
29
                a map.
30
     THE COURT: All right. And running --
31
     THE WITNESS: Generally.
32
     THE COURT: -- north-east?
     THE WITNESS: North-east and north very close to Kisgagas and on
33
34
               over to near Thutade Lake.
35
     THE COURT: To the west end of Thutade Lake?
36
     THE WITNESS: Yes.
37
     THE COURT: Mr. Rush, would it be convenient to adjourn now?
38
     MR. RUSH: All right.
     THE COURT: I've got a very broad general definition. We can
39
40
                get into more detail after lunch I'm sure.
41
     MR. RUSH: Thank you.
42
     THE REGISTRAR: Order in court. Court will adjourn until 2:00.
43
44
                (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:30)
45
46
```

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988 I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings herein to the best of my skill and ability. Leanna Smith Official Reporter United Reporting Service Ltd.

(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED PURSUANT TO LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT)

```
7904
      N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
      In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
      THE REGISTRAR: Delgamuukw versus Her Majesty the Queen at bar.
 2
      THE COURT: Mr. Grant.
 3
      MR. GRANT: Yes, my lord, I was going to deal with this right
 4
                after the morning break but Mr. Goldie resumed his
 5
                argument, so I would like to take this opportunity now
 6
                to file the Irene Daum, D-a-u-m, affidavit. I believe
 7
                that was reserved as Exhibit 678, if I recall rightly.
 8
      THE COURT: All right.
 9
      MR. GRANT: And copies of that have been provided by counsel.
10
      THE COURT: This is a territorial affidavit?
11
      MR. GRANT: It's the last of the Wet'suwet'en territorial
12
                affidavits and it should have been included in the
13
                binder.
14
      THE REGISTRAR: It's tab 23 of the Wet'suwet'en affidavits.
15
      THE COURT: D-o-h-m?
16
      MR. GRANT: D-a-u-m. It will be Exhibit 678?
17
18
                (EXHIBIT 678: Affidavit of Irene Daum)
19
20
      MR. GRANT: And I would just ask leave of the court for Madam
21
               Registrar to release to us this weekend for copying
22
                the following exhibits which were all basically maps
23
                which were tendered by Mr. Rush for the interrogatory
24
               maps. Exhibit 446(9), Exhibit 661(G), Exhibit 661(H),
25
               Exhibit 661(I), Exhibit 682, 683, 684, 686, 687, 688,
26
                689, 690, 691 and 692.
27
      THE COURT: Any objection?
28
      MR. GOLDIE: No, my lord.
29
      THE COURT: Yes, all right.
30
      MR. GRANT: And I will provide this list.
31
      THE COURT: For non-destructive copying.
      MR. GRANT: Hopefully non-destructive copying, my lord, and then
32
                I would return them Monday, I believe Monday
33
34
                afternoon.
35
      THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. Mr. Rush?
36
      MR. RUSH: Thank you.
37
38
      EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. RUSH (Cont'd):
39
               Before the luncheon break, Mr. Sterritt, you described
40
                what your understanding was of the Carrier-Sekanni
41
                claim to Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en hereditary chief
42
                territories based upon a map that had been submitted
43
                to the office of Native Claims. Is the claim which
                you described of the Carrier-Sekanni these before
44
```

lunch the ones that you understand them to be

advancing today?

No. It's altered since then.

45

46

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

- Q What do you understand their claim to be today?
- A It as I understand it is a small area along the southern boundary, and I can't say the extent of that small area, but an area -- excuse me if I go to the map 9A. In the area of Whitesail Reach, Whitesail Lake.
 - Q Is that in the southeasterly portion of the Wet'suwet'en territories?
 - A It's in the -- directly in the south area.
 - Q All right. Is there any other claim that you understand the Carrier-Sekanni to be advancing today with respect to the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en chiefs' territories?
 - A Yes. On the map referring to map 9A it would be roughly a line from east of Mount Horetsky on the height of land east of Mount Horetsky which is right in this area on the map. East of there generally running north and around and down.

THE COURT: I am sorry, how far? How far north?

A Oh, to approximately west of Bear Lake on the mountains west of Bear Lake and then some intervening lands and then an area running generally from Birdflat Creek which is north of Bear Lake and on up to the west end of Thutade Lake.

MR. RUSH:

Q Is that the extent of what you understand their claim to be today?

A Yes.

THE COURT: I am sorry, the second one east of Mount Horetsky generally north to Bear Lake, including Bear Lake or running south of Bear Lake?

A I could do this another way. From east of Mount Horetsky to the west end of Mount -- of Thutade Lake, a line, with the exception of an area, the area of Nii kyap inside there.

THE COURT: Well, which Nii kyap?

A Well, both of them actually.

THE COURT: Yes, all right. I am sorry, there is a Nii kyap that's --

A Oh, there is two.

41 THE COURT: There is one at the far north.

A That would be included in the line going north to the west end of Thutade.

THE COURT: All right. Just a moment. East of Horetsky to west end of Thutade except to Nii kyap territories in -- I am sorry, what did you call those two Nii kyap territories this morning? Wasn't there a name for

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988 1 them? I wanted to distinguish them from the big 2 disputed Nii kyap territory in the northeast. 3 I described them as being the two territories right 4 around Bear Lake. 5 THE COURT: Yes. All right. Do I take it then that the 6 Carrier-Sekanni do not claim the area around Bear Lake 7 or do they? 8 It's a little complicated. As a result of discussions 9 with the Carrier-Sekanni at one meeting, they said 10 that if the members of the house of Nii kyap, namely the Jack family from Burns Lake, wish to have their 11 12 territory in the claim and if we went to see them and 13 they wanted it, then it should be in. And that is the 14 area around Burns -- Bear Lake. 15 THE COURT: Yes. All right. 16 MR. RUSH: 17 And what house, if any, are those people that you're 18 talking about members of? 19 They are members of the house of Nii kyap. 20 Now, were there meetings between hereditary chiefs of 21 the Gitksan or Wet'suwet'en chiefs or representatives 22 of the Carrier-Sekanni? 23 Α Yes, there were. 24 And over what period of time did those meetings occur? 25 From 1983 to April of 1987. Α 26 And what was the first of those meetings? 27 I accompanied some hereditary chiefs to Takla Lake in 2.8 the summer of 1983 and meetings were held between 29 those hereditary chiefs and representatives of the 30 Carrier-Sekanni. 31 Which Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs were 32 at that meeting? 33 The Wet'suwet'en person who attended was Alfred Joseph, Gisday wa. That's spelled G-i-s-d-a-y space 34 35 The Gitksan hereditary chiefs were David 36 Gunanoot, David Green, James Morrison, and Glen 37 Williams and myself. 38 All right. And how many members of the 39 Carrier-Sekanni or representatives of the 40 Carrier-Sekanni were there? 41 Between six and ten as I recall. Α 42 All right. And were there meetings after that? 43 Α Yes, there were. 44 And what was the next meeting? 45 Α The next meeting on -- was in Bear Lake in the summer 46 of 1985. 47 Yes. And who among the Gitksan or Wet'suwet'en

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

1 attended that?

- A Once again, Alfred Joseph attended, my father, Neil Sterritt, David Gunanoot, David Green, Jonah Green, Ralph Michell, and that's all I recall.
 - Q And were these people that you've mentioned Gitksan or Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs?
 - A Yes, they were. They are.
 - Q And what occurred at these meetings? I am not here asking you to give an account of the discussions, but if you can set out in general terms what occurred.
 - A There was a -- there was a Feast at Bear Lake. There were meetings and we did helicopter reconnaissance with members of both the Carrier-Sekanni and the Gitksan-Wet'suwet'en to various parts of the area that I just described a couple of minutes ago on the map.
 - Q All right. And following that was there a meeting at Moose Valley?
- A Yes, there was.
 - Q And was that in September of '86?
 - A Yes
 - Q Okay. And who attended that from among the Gitksan or Wet'suwet'en?
 - A The persons who attended were Solomon Jack, Robert Jackson Sr., James Morrison, Alfred Joseph, my brother Richard Sterritt, and myself and I mentioned the other day that David Gunanoot had been there but I was -- I was mistaken. It was Bear Lake that David Gunanoot went to, not Moose Valley.
 - Q All right. And were there Carrier-Sekanni representatives there?
 - A Yes, there were.
 - Q About how many?
- A About 25.
 - Q What was the format of this gathering?
 - A This was more a meeting. It was a -- there was evening sessions between the hereditary chiefs and there were sessions where the leader of the Carrier-Sekanni, Edward John, and myself sat down and conducted interviews with members of the Carrier-Sekanni in terms of who they were and who their parents were and so on.
 - Q All right. Okay. And subsequent to that meeting was there a meeting which took the form of an all clans Feast in Burns Lake on April 4 through 6, 1987?
- 45 A Yes, there was.
 - Q And who attended that gathering from among the Gitksan?

- A There was a large delegation of Wet'suwet'en and an equally large delegation of the Gitksan and the members of the Carrier-Sekanni Nation were represented by -- well, I should say that the total attendance at the meeting was probably in the neighborhood of 250 to 300 people. It was a very large delegation.
- Q All right. And what occurred at the meeting?
- A It the Carrier-Sekanni hereditary chiefs welcomed in a traditional manner the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs and seated them and went through the protocol of the welcoming of hereditary chiefs from other Nations and then the hered there was some opening statements by Edward John and the hereditary chiefs of the Carrier-Sekanni once again made presentations in terms of the areas we were discussing and this meeting went on for two days. And the Gitksan-Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs also made presentations before the meeting was over.
- Q And what form did those presentations take --
- A They were --
- Q -- of the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs?
- A They were oral presentations in our respective languages.
- Q And what subjects were they to deal with?
- A They dealt with the areas that the Carrier-Sekanni were claiming and Wet'suwet'en and Gitksan territory.
- Q Were there histories or adaawk spoken --
- 28 A Yes, there were. 29 O -- by the Gitksa
 - Q -- by the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs?
- 30 A Yes
 - Q And were those spoken in relation to the particular areas that you've mentioned as areas claimed today by the Gitksan or -- or by the Carrier and Sekanni?
 - A Yes
 - Q Now, were there any meetings following that, Mr. Sterritt?
 - A No, not that I -- not that I recall.
 - Q Okay. As a result of the meeting that you have described, what can you say was the response of the hereditary chiefs of the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en in respect of the Carrier-Sekanni claims which you've indicated -- which you have indicated on map 9A in relation to the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en territories?
 - A The Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs maintain that they have ownership and jurisdiction to the territories described on map 9A.
- 47 Q Now, are you aware of a claim that has been advanced

```
7909
     N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
     In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
                in respect -- by the Tsimshian people at Kitselas and
 2
                Kitsumkalum in respect of the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en
 3
                hereditary chiefs territories?
 4
               Yes, I have.
 5
     THE COURT: Could I have the spelling for Tsimshian, please?
 6
     MR. RUSH: It's T-s-i-m.
 7
     THE COURT: T-s-i-m.
 8
     MR. RUSH: S-h-i-a-n.
 9
     THE COURT: I-a-n. And the other name was?
10
     MR. RUSH: Kitselas, K-i-t-s-e-l-a-s, and Kitsumkalum,
11
                K-i-t-s-u-m-k-a-l-u-m.
12
     THE COURT: Thank you.
13
     MR. RUSH:
14
               Now, what do you understand the claim of the Tsimshian
15
                people at these two villages to be in respect of the
16
                Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en territories?
17
               I can show you by referring to map 9A.
18
               All right.
19
                The Copper River runs east -- pardon me, west, under
           Α
20
                this line, and it's a point directly west of Smithers
21
                under this line, and then down and then out to Terrace
22
                on the left-hand side of this map right over here.
23
      THE COURT: Can you show me that again, please?
               Yes. Starting where this line is, this black line
24
25
                runs out and then down and then out to Terrace.
26
                That's the Copper River. So in reference to where the
27
                Copper River turns and goes south there is a roughly a
28
                line that runs across in this direction slightly
29
                northwest towards the territory of Tenim Gyet,
30
                T-e-n-i-m space G-y-e-t, and then comes up and
31
                includes most of the territory of Tenim Gyet. And
32
                that is all of the area south of that line would be
33
                the area that is claimed by the Kitselas and
34
                Kitsumkalum.
35
      THE COURT: Where is that again?
36
               You can see this general line here.
37
      THE COURT: Yes.
38
                The Copper River is here and runs down like this right
39
                there. So the line just where the Copper River jogs
40
                runs up like this to the height of land west of the
41
                Skeena and then up and includes most of the territory
42
                of Tenim Gyet. In addition to those other hereditary
43
                chiefs that I have just -- under that line that I have
44
                just described farther to the east.
45
     MR. RUSH:
```

Is there a hereditary chief who has signed an

affidavit in respect of the territories claimed by the

46

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

44

45

46

- 1 Kitselas and Kitsumkalum people?
 - A Yes. In the area that I have described Stanley
 Williams did almost all of the affidavits for that
 area and Arthur Matthews Sr. did the affidavit for the
 territory of Tenim Gyet.
 - Q Were there any meetings or gatherings between representatives of the Kitselas and Kitsumkalum people and hereditary chiefs of the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en?
 - A There was about three meetings.
 - Q All right.
 - A And hereditary chiefs of the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en and myself attended were the meetings with the representatives of the Kitselas and the Kitsumkalum.
 - Q Who attended among the Gitksan hereditary chiefs?
 - A Stanley Williams, Alvin Weget, myself. There was one or two others. They were small meetings, there wasn't many people, and I don't recall who else attended, Victor Jim, I believe.
 - Q Was Art Matthews Sr. or Jr. there?
 - A I think Art Matthews Sr. attended upon. That's all that I recall.
 - Q All right. And when did those meetings take place?
 - A I think in 1985 and in 1986.
 - Q And as a result of those meetings what was the response, if you know, of the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs to the claims by the Kitsumkalum and Kitselas people to their territories?
 - A The Gitksan hereditary chiefs maintained that they have ownership and jurisdiction to the territories that are described on map 9A.
 - All right. Now, although I neglected to ask you that in respect of the areas claimed by the Carrier-Sekanni, are there affidavits of Gitksan or Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs in respect of those areas?
 - A Yes, there are.
 - Q Mr. Sterritt, are you aware of a people known as the Haisla people?
 - A Yes, I am.
 - Q H-a-i-s-l-a. Are they a Native people's that live on one of the boundaries of the Gitksan or Wet'suwet'en territories?
- A Yes, they do.
 - Q And are you aware of any claim by Haisla people in respect of the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en territories today?
- 47 A Well, the Haisla people are -- live at Kitimat and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

2.8

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

their boundaries are on the height of land of the mountains east of Kitimat which is also the boundary of the Wet'suwet'en and there is no overlap. It's a common boundary and there is no claim by the Haisla to Wet'suwet'en territories.

- The Tahltan Native people live in the area north of the Gitksan territories on the northern boundaries of the Gitksan-Wet'suwet'en claim, is that so?
- A Yes.
- Q Are you aware today of any claim by the Tahltan people in respect of territories of the Gitksan and/or Wet'suwet'en people?
- A No, I'm not.
- Q Now, Mr. Sterritt, I again would ask you just to turn back to your evidence in respect of the meetings with the Nishga hereditary chiefs and the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en hereditary chiefs and I neglected to ask you but will now if what if you know was the response of the Gitksan hereditary chiefs to the claims made by the Nishga hereditary chiefs at that meeting in -- or that Feast in October of 1986? And here I'm speaking in respect of those four areas that you identified to be the areas claimed by the Nishga.
- A When we met in October of 1986 it was a meeting, not a Feast.
- O Yes.
- A And the Gitksan hereditary chiefs maintain ownership to the -- ownership and jurisdiction to the territories that were referred to by the Nishga and maintain ownership and jurisdiction as described on map 9A.
- All right. Thank you. My lord, I am now going to direct the witness' attention to another subject area. And the subject area I am now going to ask him about is in respect of Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en Feasts. And what I've done is to prepare a document book of Feasts which are Feasts recorded by Mr. Sterritt in his field notebook or field notebooks and I've prepared an index of the Feasts in respect of the recordings that he's made in the field notebooks and I'd like to -- I'm going to direct the witness' attention to these Feasts and I'd like to provide your lordship with a copy. Just give me a moment, my lord. Just provide a second copy for the witness. Referring this binder with an index of Feasts to you, Mr. Sterritt. I would refer you first to the binder and ask you if the binder contains notes which you made?

- 1 A Yes, it does.
 - Q And are these notes notes which you recorded in your field notebooks?
 - A Yes, they are.
 - Q And what do these notes record?
 - A They are a record of the business that is conducted by Gitksan hereditary chiefs over a period of some 12 years. I'm not -- I don't recall what the earliest -- pardon me. Since 1980. And they show the business aspect of the Feast and some of the other elements of the Feast that were conducted while I participated in the Feast.
 - And did you -- does each tab that's -- and the contents of each tab represent a different Feast?
 - A Yes, they do.
 - Q Okay. And at the front of the binder is an index of Feasts. Does that set out the number of Feasts and the recording and the date and the Feast that was conducted?
 - A Yes, it does.
 - Q And in some cases the Feast is under the column headed "Feast." There is a name, for example, Mary Simpson and in other cases under that same column, for example under tab 15 there is Lilgit Wii xhaa. Do these indicate different kinds of Feasts or can you comment on them what this indicates under the Feast column?
 - A It identifies the Feast that I attended and ordinarily the name that appears in that column is the name of either the person who put on the Feast or the person who is deceased and the Feast is being held for. And in the case of when you identified number 15, that is the Feast for Wii xhaa. That's spelled W-i-i space x-h-a-a. And Wii xhaa is a Kitwancool chief and it would be -- I could have put his name in there but this is how it was labelled so that's how -- the field book on the head of the page, so that's how it appears here. These are the names that appear at the beginning of that Feast on the top -- at the top of the page.
 - Q All right. Now, I'd like you to -- I'd like to ask you if you can indicate how many Feasts you have attended in the period from 1974 to the present. Now, these recordings date back to 1980.
 - A I have attended in the neighborhood of a hundred Feasts, if not more.
- 47 Q And can you say whether the hundred that you've

- attended in that period represent all the Feasts that there were among the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en?
- A No. I have travelled a lot and been very busy during my years at home. And my estimate is that these Feasts represent about perhaps one-third of the Feasts that were held during that period.
- Q All right. In respect of every Feast that you attended, did you make recordings of every Feast?
- A No. There were Feasts prior to this that I did not keep a record of and very few since then, but for various reasons where I didn't keep records. The reason being, depending on the house that is putting on the Feast, they have an obligation to call on certain members of from the other side of their family. Like their father's side or whatever and to conduct work, and I have been called upon in some Feasts to be the -- what they call the timist, t-i-m-i-s-t, or the person who records the Feast on their behalf and keeps their Feast book. And so when I did that I probably did not -- I did not have a record of that Feast.
- In these Feasts where you did keep a record such as those in this binder, what was the role that you were playing in those Feasts?
- A In the majority of these Feasts I may -- I'm a witness. I'm a member of the invited -- one of the invited clans and I'm sitting in the Feast and the business is being conducted by a member or a relative of the person whose name it would appear here. It would be -- if I am sitting in a Feast, the Feast has to be put on either by the Wolfs or by the Frogs and then the Fireweed, which I'm a member of, would be sitting as well as one of the other clans. If a Wolf Clan is putting on a Feast, the Frog Clan and the Fireweed Clan are sitting.
- Q Now, were there also Feasts at which your clan, the Gisgas Clan, was the host where you kept recordings in the notebooks?
- A Yes, there are. For example, when my uncle Moses Morrison died, Gitludahl, that would be at tab 55, December 21, 1985, I kept a record of some of the proceedings but not all of them because I was also very busy. It was my uncle, and I had work to do in that Feast, but I did keep a record of some of my obligations as well as some of the -- little bit of the business that was conducted.
- Q All right. And when you say you kept a record of the

- business, what record was that that you kept?
- A The contributions that were being made by myself, by Pete Muldoe and other members of the immediate family who are closely connected with Moses Morrison.
- Q I'd like you just to turn to the first tab, tab one, which is the Feast of Xsu Dii, X-s-u knew word d-i-i, Feast, Mary Simpson, and it's dated February 2, 1980. Would you just explain what this Feast is, what type of Feast it is, and what the references are to the head of this page?
- MR. GOLDIE: My lord, it would be of course a great waste of time to ask a witness to exhaust his recollection before he refers to the notes and I am certainly not going to do that. But perhaps I can state very briefly. I have no objection to these going in to record the fact that these Feasts took place. But they are not evidence against my client of anything that purports to be an issue in this litigation. By that I mean the truth of matters stated in here in the absence -- made in the absence of my client is not binding on my client and is not evidence against it. But it is evidence that these Feasts took place and I accept it as such.
 - THE COURT: But what about the details of what took place at the Feasts?
 - MR. GOLDIE: Well, I don't have any great concern about that. It's just statements made by people, that's -- if there are any such, as such in here.
 - THE COURT: So you are agreeable that these records should be received as proof of the fact that this is what happened?
 - MR. GOLDIE: Yes. The words of the witness with the conduct of business.
- 34 THE COURT: Yes.
 - MR. GOLDIE: I am not accepting that they are business records or anything of that order.
 - THE COURT: Or that it is -- I am just having a little trouble seeing how it could be admissible and not evidence against you.
 - MR. GOLDIE: Well, it is evidence for a limited purpose.
 - THE COURT: Yes. For the limited purpose of saying that this is what Mr. Sterritt recorded them as saying and you are also, I take it, admitting that they actually said these things.
 - MR. GOLDIE: No. Because I haven't examined all of these things. What I'm -- what I'm saying is that instead of requiring the witness to go through it now and say

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

that I was present at a Feast put on for a Mary Simpson, funeral Feast, I admit that a Mary Simpson funeral Feast occurred in February 2, 1980 at which "X" were present in that case at which these contributions were made, but if there is any -- well, on page two Charlie something or other said his land is here and there around the seventh cabin, I don't admit that.

THE COURT: You admit that he said it? MR. GOLDIE: I beg your pardon, my lord?

THE COURT: You admit that he said it?

MR. GOLDIE: Yes, it can be admitted he said it, but I am not admitting that it is evidence of the truth of that statement.

THE COURT: Yes. It would obviously be highly desirable if some agreement could be reached in this regard, Mr. Rush. What's your response to what Mr. Goldie has said?

MR. RUSH: Well, of course I'm delighted that we can find any level of agreement. I think that's a positive sign and I welcome what Mr. Goldie has said. The position of course that we will take I think in due course so far as some of these notes might relate to information passed on to Mr. Sterritt and might bear on his opinion, I have an argument to make in respect of that and the effect of the statements made to Mr. Sterritt in the course of a Feast with respect to the ownership and jurisdiction of the Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en territories. And -- but that is an issue that I think is -- has long been identified as one where my friend and I have joined and it's quite clear that we see the different effects of such a statement. But there are other statements in the -- recorded in the Feast books, including the fact that names were passed and I suppose I would like to know whether my friend takes issue with the fact that where it's recorded that a name passes to Chucky Morrison or to Marion Morrison, does he dispute that it is so that such a name was passed at this Feast to that individual?

THE COURT: That such a statement would be evidence of the truth of that fact?

MR. RUSH: That's true. Yes, that's what I need to know. I mean my friend doesn't seem to take issue with the fact that the contributions and the payments that are recorded here were made and paid, and I think that's of some assistance. But I think there is -- there are other statements contained in these notes which I think that admissions could be made about if my friend

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

is willing to make them.

MR. GOLDIE: Well --

MR. RUSH: But it is also clear to me where he's not prepared to go.

MR. GOLDIE: Well, I saw this for the first time a few minutes ago, my lord, and if my friend wishes to ask me what admissions I am prepared to make, I'll consider them. I thought I was going quite far. I mean I could take the position that most of this is admissible, but that's not the position that I care to take at this time. But if my friend wishes me to give consideration to any matter which he feels that this is a proof of, I'll do so.

MR. RUSH: Well --

MR. GOLDIE: But I should say that I can say right now that this witness is not qualified to pass an opinion with respect to ownership and jurisdiction. That's clear. And if he wishes to -- or if my friend wishes me to admit that this is a source of information which he passed on as he's described to Mr. Marvin George, I am prepared to consider that.

MR. RUSH: Well, I just as -- I am sure that this is more of a minor point, the collection in this manner of the Feasts of course it's the first time that my friend has seen them, but even the quickest perusal of Mr. Sterritt's field books would indicate that these Feasts are clearly evident in his field books and these of course have been disclosed to my learned friend for some time.

MR. GOLDIE: Not all.

MR. RUSH: No, no, not the last two I think.

MR. GOLDIE: That's right. And we've had no intimation that these were to be tendered in evidence until September 2.

MR. RUSH: Now, if my friend wishes -- I mean I think there are other statements here that my friend, given what he has said is the distance he's prepared to go, that he could make, especially in respect both of questions of territorial proof and in respect of the receipt of names.

MR. GOLDIE: Well, I hate to repeat myself. But if my friend will tell me what he'd like me to admit I'll consider it. We have gone through this kind of thing before. We have endeavored to give notices to admit so my friend has ample indication of what we would like admitted. If my friend is prepared to say what it is that he wants me to admit I'll consider it.

42

43

44

45

46

47

face page of tab one. And do I understand these notes

to reflect that this Feast was the funeral Feast of

And is the name or was the name that was held by Mary

And at this Feast what, if anything, occurred with

Simpson prior to her passing on Xsu Dii?

Mary Simpson?

Yes, it is.

Yes.

Α

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

respect to that name?

- A When the -- one of the -- the person who ended up with the name Xsu Dii, X-s-u space D-i-i, was Yvonne Lattie. That last name is spelled L-a-t-t-i-e. And the name was passed on to her that night.
- Q And there is a recording by you which is the last one on the left-hand side of this face page. Yvonne Lattie, Lorina, is it?
- A Her married name -- pardon me, her -- before she was married her name was Loring, L-o-r-i-n-g.
- Q Oh, I see. Yes. And it indicates to take the name of Mary Simpson, Xsu Dii?
- A Yes.
- Q And does that -- what occurred in respect of that at this Feast in respect of the recording you made?
- A After the initial business is done, which is the welcoming of the people, eating, the presentation of the money by the members of the hosting clan to which is what appears on the right-hand side of the page and onto the next page, a listing of the money, those contributions are made and then at some point and then that money is put into what we call the pot, the plate, and it's added up and then it's distributed to pay for the expenses of the Feast, the expenses of the funeral and for work that has been done by different people. And at that point in the Feast, which is well into the night, names are given out and the name of the person who has died is passed on to the successor and in this case it was Yvonne Lattie.
- It indicates other names where apparently Gitksan names were passed on. In the case of Chucky Morrison there is a name beside it, a Gitksan name beside his name. Does that indicate that that Gitksan name was passed on to him at this Feast?
- No. You have to appreciate that these are -- these field books, I use them, I tried to carry them with me whenever I went, and so when I was at this Feast and waiting for the business to get going, to become conducted, to be carried out, I was sitting next to Elsie Morrison that's where I sit in the Feast is right beside Elsie Morrison, Waiget, W-a-i-g-e-t, and her step-children are Marianne Morrison and Chuck Morrison. I had been away and while I was away these two children who are in the same house as Elsie had received names and I recorded them there. During a Feast I would record the business and while -- or while there are lulls in the business or

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

24

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

opportunities, it's a place where I conducted or hereditary chiefs came to me and provided me with information on territories, on names, on who were --well, on family trees, on the names of animals and the names of insects. In other words, this -- the Feast is being recorded, but at the same time during lulls in the Feast I'm doing -- I'm gathering information. That's what that represents there.

Q All right. Is that type of information recorded on pages one, three and five of this entry -- well, one, three, five and six.

12 MR. GOLDIE: I am sorry, what type of information?

MR. RUSH: The type that he has just indicated, that is the names of animals, the territorial names, other information that was passed on to him during the course of the Feast.

MR. GOLDIE: Information which is extraneous to the Feast that is just sort of a general --

19 THE COURT: Occasional diary entries.

20 MR. GOLDIE: Yes. That seems to be a good way of putting it.

21 MR. RUSH: I'm not sure that it's extraneous to the Feast, my 22 lord.

23 MR. GOLDIE: Well --

MR. RUSH: I mean the Feast has a multitude of functions.

25 THE COURT: Extraneous to the formal business of the Feast, 26 perhaps.

27 MR. RUSH: Well --

28 THE COURT: I think I know what he means. It's not part of the 29 stylized or ritual of the Feast, but it's part of the 30 interaction that goes on during the course of the 31 Feast.

MR. RUSH: That's right. I think the informal interaction in this case is recorded on -- if Mr. Sterritt says so, is recorded on those pages.

THE COURT: If anyone has ever been to a synagogue you see it every day. It happens all the time.

MR. GOLDIE: I take it that this is a document which fits a description that he gave us some time ago of how in the course of his work to advance the land claims he had elders come up and talk to him and so forth.

41 THE COURT: Collected information.

42 MR. GOLDIE: Yes.

43 THE COURT: Yes.

44 MR. RUSH:

Well, Mr. Sterritt, we have had our shot at describing what you were doing here. What -- how would you describe what you were doing in respect of the

```
7920
      N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
      In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
                information that's gathered on one, three, five and
 2
                six of this entry? If --
 3
      MR. GOLDIE: Don't make it more difficult for me to make
 4
                admissions.
 5
            A I think that the description that his worship gave is
 6
                a pretty good one.
 7
      MR. GOLDIE: That's the synagogue.
 8
               That's the one.
 9
      THE COURT: All right. Could we take the adjournment.
10
11
                (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED PURSUANT TO AFTERNOON
12
                ADJOURNMENT)
13
14
15
                                  I hereby certify the foregoing to be
16
                                  a true and accurate transcript of the
17
                                  proceeding herein to the best of my
18
                                  skill and ability.
19
20
21
22
                                  Laara Yardley,
23
                                  Official Reporter,
24
                                  United Reporting Service Ltd.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
       ¹h2 N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
46
47
            (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED PURSUANT TO THE AFTERNOON BREAK)
```

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

2 THE REGISTRAR: Order in court. Ready to proceed, my lord.

3 THE COURT: Mr. Rush.

MR. RUSH: Thank you. I'm still referring to tab 1.

5 THE COURT: Yes.

6 MR. RUSH:

- Q Mr. Sterritt, if you'd direct your attention to that. The recordings that you made in the right-hand side of the first page of that tab, what do they represent?
- A They represent the dollar contributions as members of the family come forward to put into the feast. And, for example, Yvonne Lattie is the first entry, and she put in \$300. Now, Mary Simpson, that Mary S. is Mary Simpson, and she would have set aside before she died an amount of money, that amount of money being there, as well as other items which she would want her daughters or close relatives to put into the feast and to contribute to the feast. Now, in this example each of those dollar contributions is by another person, and in this case I didn't keep up to who was putting the money in, except where you see the names.

Q Yes.

- But -- and this is also one of the earlier feasts.
 But in later feasts I managed to get all or almost
 all, if not all, of the people who contribute, and the
 amount and -- but that's what it represents, a running
 total of the dollar -- of the money that was put in.
 And the farther you go down the list, the more removed
 are the family members. The -- you start off with the
 immediate Wolf family relatives of Mary Simpson, and
 then as you go down, they are people who are members
 of other houses who are contributing money. For
 example, on -- coming down in the second -- the second
 column you see Gertie Morrison, \$10. That's my aunt.
 And while they're both members of the Wolf Clan, they
 are not closely related to Mary Simpson's house.
- Q All right. Now, do those recordings that you made in terms of the contributors and the amounts of the contribution, is that an accurate reflection of the people making the contributions and the contributions that occurred at this feast?

A Yes.

MR. RUSH: And I'd just like you to flip ahead for a moment, Mr. Sterritt, to tab 44, which I picked at random here, and that's the Grace Benson feast on April the 13th, 1985, and here it indicates figures and names of contributors. Is this an example of the -- of where

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

1 you attached a name to each of the individual contributions?

3 MR. GOLDIE: 35 did you say?

THE COURT: 44.

MR. RUSH: It's tab 44, but it's April 13th, 1985. Tab 44.

6 MR. GOLDIE: Yes.

7 THE WITNESS: Yes, this is an example of a running total with
8 just about all of the names. You can see one or two
9 blanks on each of the pages, and those are separate
10 pages. When you see two columns there and the various
11 people who contribute.

MR. RUSH:

- Now, did you also record the money that was given out? Yes, I -- well, in -- in categories I usually kept track of the money that was given out. There is more than -- I should mention there is more than just the -- for example, in the Wolf Clan there is more than just the Wolf Clan puts in money. There are also the contributions by the father's side, by the children's side, and under various Gitksan terms. As an example, the Xots Geiss, that's the haircut. That's spelled X-o-t-s space G-e-i-s-s. That means haircut. I would usually try and record how much went in from that and break it down, but in this case I didn't keep track of the money that was paid out as near as I can tell. No, I didn't.
- Q When you say --
- A I'm sorry, I should explain what I mean by the money that was paid out.
- Q Yes.
- A The money goes into the -- is contributed into one pot. It's all added up. When it's added up, then the expenses have to be paid from that pot, and sometimes, usually most of the time, or a lot of the time, I kept track of the expenses that were then paid out of that pot. The purpose of gathering the money is to pay the expenses and then pay the witnesses, and I would not keep track of the payments to the witnesses. It would have been too lengthy.
- Q All right. I want to ask you in respect of this example that I have chosen at tab 44, is there anywhere indicated here what sums of money were paid out?
- A They -- yes, on the bottom of -- oh, there is an unnumbered page, but it's the second to the last page in that tab. There is a summary, and what it summarizes is the family expenses in the amount of

2.8

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
In chief by Mr. Rush
Sworn June 15, 1988
6,988.75 and \$5,23
spelt H-a-w-a-l, w

- 6,988.75 and \$5,230.40. There is the Hawal, which is spelt H-a-w-a-l, which are the less closely related Wolf Clan members, and that comes to \$2,352.25. Then there is the haircut for \$120.00. And there is another entry for Hawal, \$1,839.15. Then the grand total \$16,664.35 for that feast.
- Q Now, does that represent monies paid out or monies received?
- A That represents the money or the contributions by the Wolf Clan to the feast. And the actual cash that has gone in is in the neighbourhood of \$8,300.00, but the contributions of clothes, food, and other items by the Wolf Clan would bring the total up to 16,664.35.
- Q In respect of your recordings that you've made in this binder, can you -- what can you say about whether that figure represents a typical or atypical amount of money that's contributed at a feast?
- A That is an average feast. Feasts are usually in the neighbourhood of 15 to \$20,000.00 of cash and other goods, but it's not uncommon for a feast contribution to be in the neighbourhood of \$40,000.00 in cash and goods. And I have also attended feasts where the total contribution of cash and goods is 8 to \$9,000.00.

25 THE COURT: How much?

THE WITNESS: 8 to \$9,000.00.

27 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. RUSH:

- Q Now, in respect of this example again that I've chosen at random, tab 44, you obviously attended this feast to make these recordings, is that so?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q And the feast occurred on the date that's indicated there, on April the 13th, 1985?
- A Yes.
- Q And the feast occurred at Kispiox?
- A Yes
 - Q And you made accurate recordings of what occurred there as shown in this -- in this entry?
- A Yes
 - Q And can you say what numbers of people attend the feasts? There are some 73 here. Can you give us an average number of attendees at feasts?
 - A The average number of people is between 250 and 300, and depending on the nature of the feast, it could be as small as 80 to 100 or as large as 5 or 600 people.
- 47 Q All right. And can you also indicate what other kinds

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- of business is conducted at a feast about which you've made recordings in these notes?
- Well, in addition to funeral feasts, there are what are called stone feasts or pole-raising feasts, at which it's -- part of completing the business of taking on a name is to either raise a totem pole or a headstone for a hereditary chief, and that must be put on by the successor to the hereditary chief. Not every funeral feast is a -- there is always names given out, but it's not always a major feast because the person who passes on isn't necessarily a high hereditary chief. They could be any member of the family. But when a high chief dies, a hereditary chief, then there will be the funeral feast and there will be a stone feast. And there -- I've also attended feasts which sometimes are part of the business but where a divorce has taken place, divorce feast, and -- and then other business being conducted in the community.
 - And in what language, in your experience, has the feast been conducted?
- A In -- I have attended Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en feasts, and they are conducted in the Gitksan or the Wet'suwet'en language.
- And you've indicated, I think, in your previous testimony that food is distributed at the feast?
- A Yes.
- Q And do you -- have you recorded from where this food comes?
- A Yes, I have.
- Q And what do your recordings indicate?
- A Well, it comes from the -- once again, it comes from the hosting clan, and the food is often from the territory or the fishing sites of the hosting clan or their family members. The moose meat is distributed or used to make the soup. Smoked salmon, berries, beaver meat.
- Q All right. And have you and members of your clan -perhaps you first. Have you contributed such types of food to a feast?
- A Yes, I have.
 - Q Now, Mr. Sterritt, in respect of the feasts that are indexed in this binder up to tab 73, are the recordings that you made here accurate of what occurred at the feasts and what you were told at the feasts?
- A The -- when I'm at the feast, I see people

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

contributing money at the feast. It's handed to a person who calls out the amount and the name of the person who has contributed the money, and I record who the person is that is contributing the money and the name called out and the amount, and to the best of my ability it's as accurate as I can get it, yes.

- Q So you actually see something occur with the money?
- Yes. In effect, at the -- just in front of the door of the feast house a large pot is placed, and the members of the family who are putting on the feast go to the person who is calling out the names there, he goes up, they hand the dollar amount over to the person, he holds up the money to the people, calls it out, and that's what I record.
- Q Okay. Now, I take it then in respect of each one of these entries that the feast occurred on the date that's indicated on the entry and in respect of the person whose name appears on the entry?
- A Yes. There are situations where if a person is unable to attend the feast they will have someone else put in their money. That person will go up and will hand over each dollar amount they're putting in for someone else and give the name, and that it's the name of the person contributing who is called out, the name of the person who has provided the money. And also that individual will be someone who is ordinarily putting in money as well.

MR. RUSH: All right. I'd like to have this marked as an exhibit.

THE COURT: All right. Subject to the objections and limitations and comments and discussions which took place earlier this afternoon.

MR. GOLDIE: I think it has to be taken at this stage to be admitted for a limited purpose, that purpose hopefully defined.

36 THE COURT: All right. The exhibit number?

THE REGISTRAR: The next exhibit number will be 702. Will that be the entire book?

39 THE COURT: Yes, I think so. 702 for limited purpose.

MR. RUSH: Well --

MR. GOLDIE: Well, if my friend disagrees with that, then I do
have an objection because I already pointed out there
are matters which are pure hearsay in it. We're going
to try and get around that.

THE COURT: Yes. You're not dissenting from that, are you, Mr. Rush?

47 MR. RUSH: Well, my friend places the word "limited" on it, and

works?

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988 1 I quess that's a subjective evaluation on what he sees 2 it would be admitted for, but from -- I see that the 3 scope of the permissible admissibility of the evidence 4 is wider than my friend indicates by that term, but 5 I'm not sure that it's worthwhile entering upon a 6 debate so that we can finally define all the aspects 7 for which it's admitted or not. 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 9 10 (EXHIBIT 702 - Binder and Index of Fieldnotes prepared 11 by N. Sterritt re Feasts) 12 13 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was that exhibit number again? 14 THE REGISTRAR: 702, my lord. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 MR. RUSH: Another document book for you, my lord. 17 THE REGISTRAR: Both of these? 18 MR. RUSH: Yes. Well, one is for his lordship and one is for 19 the witness. 20 THE COURT: What's the subject matter of this, Mr. Rush? 21 MR. RUSH: Well, my lord, after the examination of Mr. Sterritt 22 with regard to the photographs and connecting the 23 photographs to the affidavits, I asked Mr. Sterritt if he would prepare a summary of the relationship of the 24 25 photographs in Volumes 1 to 5 to the affidavits 26 that -- Gitksan affidavits that have been filed in the 27 proceedings, and what this represents is a cross-28 referencing of the photograph, the number of the 29 photograph, the volume, to the territory and the 30 feature by way of Gitksan description in the 31 affidavits. And the explanation, that is paragraph B, 32 explains the process. And on the face page there is a 33 sub-category A, which is the key, which explains how 34 it operates. If you could just place that before Mr. 35 Sterritt. 36 THE REGISTRAR: Certainly. 37 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. RUSH: 38 39 Now, Mr. Sterritt, the volume that I have placed in 40 front of you, Volume 3 of the document binder for your 41 evidence, is entitled on the first page "Gitksan Territories Index of Affidavits Cross-referenced to 42 43 Territory and Photograph." Did you prepare this on my 44 request? 45 Yes, I did. 46 MR. RUSH: And can you explain to his lordship just how this key

```
7927
     N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
     In chief by Mr. Rush
     Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
     THE COURT: Well, I've read that first paragraph on that page,
 2
                two paragraphs.
 3
     MR. RUSH: Yes.
 4
     THE COURT: Is that the explanation?
     MR. RUSH: That's the explanation. And the index of the
 5
               affidavits, they're all indexed from --
 6
 7
     THE COURT: Now, that tab 1 refers to tabs in this book, does
 8
               it? That is, Steve Robinson's affidavit, Exhibit 592,
 9
                sworn June 15th, is explained as to photographs in tab
10
                1 of this book?
11
     MR. RUSH: That's correct.
12
     THE COURT: Yes.
13
     THE REGISTRAR: Is that the territorial affidavit book?
14
     MR. RUSH: That's in the territorial affidavits.
15
     THE REGISTRAR: Gitksan?
16
     MR. RUSH: Yes.
17
     THE COURT: And the book of territorial affidavits isn't
18
                numbered separately; each affidavit has its own
19
                exhibit number?
20
     MR. RUSH:
21
               Each affidavit has its own exhibit number, and the
            Q
22
                exhibit numbers are reflected in the index of the
23
                Gitksan affidavits as appears on this page 3.
24
                    Is that right, Mr. Sterritt?
25
               Yes.
26
     THE REGISTRAR: This book starts at Exhibit 592 and goes to
27
                Exhibit 613.
28
     THE COURT: I'm sorry, from?
29
     THE REGISTRAR: 592.
30
     THE COURT: To?
31
     THE REGISTRAR: To 613.
     THE COURT: But there are others as well as -- Phillip Turner is
32
33
                612.
34
     THE REGISTRAR: That's in here.
35
     THE COURT: But then we've got Art Matthews Sr. at 352. They
               were filed --
36
37
     MR. GRANT: If I may assist, they were filed at the time of the
38
                evidence, but as a matter of convenience, in the
                Gitksan affidavit binder copies of all of those were
39
40
               placed as well.
     THE COURT: All right.
41
42
     MR. GRANT: But their numbering was when that witness gave
43
                evidence.
44
     THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I take it that in the book
45
                of photographs -- books of photographs, Volumes 1 to
46
                5, there would be some photographs that would not be
47
                related just to these territorial affidavits, would
```

```
7928
      N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
      In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
               there not?
 2
      MR. RUSH: Yes, that's so.
 3
      THE COURT: Yes. This book doesn't include a reference to every
 4
               photograph in the photograph books?
 5
      MR. RUSH: Well, I should ask Mr. Sterritt that. I think there
 6
               were some photographs that we couldn't identify
 7
               because of --
 8
      THE COURT: Yes.
 9
      MR. RUSH:
10
            0
               -- either the perspective -- and there are certain
11
                photographs where there are no Gitksan names appearing
12
                on the features on the face of the photograph, so I
13
                take it that in respect of those there is no
14
                referencing to the affidavits.
15
                    Is that correct, Mr. Sterritt, that it's --
16
               I went through all five volumes and determined the
17
                view in relation to every affidavit, and sometimes,
18
                quite often, one photograph will relate to more than
19
                one affidavit.
20
      THE COURT: All right. So then you did attempt to relate every
21
               photograph to a territorial affidavit?
22
      THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
      THE COURT: Yes. All right. Thank you.
24
      MR. RUSH:
25
               And that relationship is depicted in tabs 1 through 27
26
                by reference to the territorial affidavit and exhibit
27
                number?
28
               Yes.
            Α
29
      MR. RUSH: All right.
30
      THE COURT: Well, I have a loose gun on my desk, Mr. Rush, and
31
                let me see if I can tie it down. Mary McKenzie was
                our first witness. Is there a territorial affidavit
32
33
                for her?
34
      THE WITNESS: It would be by Richard Benson.
35
      THE COURT: Her territory is covered in a territorial affidavit?
36
      THE WITNESS: Signed by someone else.
37
      THE COURT: That's additional to her own evidence.
     MR. RUSH: Yes.
38
39
      THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Twenty-seven affidavits then
40
                to cover the territorial claims of all the Plaintiffs?
41
      THE WITNESS: All of the Gitksan claims that are on map 9A.
42
      THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.
43
      MR. RUSH: Your lordship will recall that not every territory is
44
                the subject matter of a territorial affidavit because
45
                there are some witnesses --
46
      THE COURT: Yes.
47
      MR. RUSH: -- such as Gwaans and Gisdaywa, whose evidence was
```

of his testimony, made reference to certain errors of

spelling or certain errors of identification of some

46

```
N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
      In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
                of the features that were identified on certain of the
 2
                photographs, and Mr. Sterritt on my request made up a
 3
                list of the errors, and this, I would submit to the
 4
                court, should be placed in -- you can either place it
 5
                in Volume 1 or these can be separated and placed
 6
                separately at the first of each of the volumes as an
 7
                erratum.
 8
      THE COURT: Volumes of photographs.
 9
      MR. RUSH: Of photographs, that's right.
10
      THE COURT: Yes. All right. Thank you.
11
      MR. RUSH: So I will just present two copies. I believe you
12
                only have one copy or two copies of the photographs.
13
                Just one, one set of photographs?
14
      THE REGISTRAR: One set. No, no, I have a set, and his lordship
15
               has a set.
16
      MR. RUSH: All right. There are two
17
      THE COURT: All right. Thank you. What exhibit number are the
18
               photographs, do you remember?
      THE REGISTRAR: One is 487, one is 654, 655, 656 and number 377.
19
20
      THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
21
      MR. RUSH: Now, one other matter, my lord.
22
      THE COURT: I'm sorry, before you do that, is Exhibit 487 Volume
23
                1?
      THE REGISTRAR: 487 is Volume 5, Volume 4 is 656, Volume 3 is
24
25
                655, Volume 2 is 654.
26
      THE COURT: Volume 1 must be 377.
      THE REGISTRAR: Volume 1 is 377.
27
28
      THE COURT: All right. Well, I think for convenience this
29
                should be placed in Volume 1. It needn't be given a
30
                separate exhibit number.
31
      MR. RUSH: All right. My lord, in the course of a ruling that
               you made on June the 22nd, 1988, in Volume 114 --
32
33
      THE COURT: I'm sorry, the date?
34
      MR. RUSH: June the 22nd, 1988, Volume 114, page 7165. You gave
35
                leave for the Plaintiffs to file certain of the
36
                exhibits that are found at Volume 1 of Neil Sterritt's
37
                document books, and these relate to the fishing by-law
38
                correspondence, and you will recall that there was
39
                discussion among counsel as to the admissibility of
40
                these documents, and at the end of that discussion
41
                your lordship made the following ruling. You say
42
                that,
43
44
                         "I am satisfied that it is not necessary or
45
                          relevant for the plaintiffs to adduce in
46
                          evidence the documents and details of the
47
                          negotiations with the agencies of the
```

2.8

N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs) In chief by Mr. Rush Sworn June 15, 1988

Federal Government or with the Provincial Government, if they were involved, relating to the fishing bylaws passed and disallowed or passed and not disallowed by the minister.

I do not preclude the plaintiffs from adducing evidence from Mr. Sterritt about the fact of negotiations and, indeed, the difficulties. But the drafting and the suggestions back and forth as to the wording, in my view, are details that are not relevant and would add unreasonably to the burden of evidence in this case.

Having said that, however, I would allow Mr. Rush to adduce any of this material if he wishes to put it forward, not for the general purposes stated but as, for example, an admission, as he mentioned a moment ago, or upon any other basis which the ingenuity of counsel may suggest.

In addition, so as to ensure the completion of the record, I would allow Mr. Rush, if he wishes, to have the correspondence that I have described as the details marked as exhibits for identification so that if it should be later determined that I am in error in this ruling, that the material upon which he seeks to rely would be before the Court and could be used upon such a determination to be made. Mr. Rush can indicate at some time, not necessarily right now, which of the documents he wishes to tender for identification."

And I would like to do that now. And if in due course there is another ingenuous way of putting the documents before your lordship, I would do that, but at this point I would like to have certain of these documents, not all, marked for the purposes of identification. So if Volume 1 could be placed before Mr. Sterritt, I'll simply go through these with his identifying the documents.

THE REGISTRAR: Neil Sterritt's? MR. RUSH:

Q Yes, Volume 1 of Neil Sterritt's.

Mr. Sterritt, tab 30, please, a letter from Mr.

```
7932
      N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
      In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
 1
                Grant to the Honourable John Munro of May the 17th,
                1983. Did you receive a copy of that letter?
 2
 3
               Yes, I did.
 4
      MR. RUSH: That's the first document, my lord.
      THE COURT: All right. Exhibit number?
 5
 6
      THE REGISTRAR: 704.
 7
      THE COURT: 704.
 8
      THE REGISTRAR: Are these for identification?
 9
      THE COURT: For identification, yes.
10
      MR. RUSH: Yes.
11
12
                (EXHIBIT 704 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 30, Volume 1 of
13
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
14
15
      MR. RUSH: The next is tab 34.
16
      THE COURT: 705 for identification.
17
      MR. RUSH: Thank you.
18
19
                (EXHIBIT 705 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 34, Volume 1 of
20
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
21
22
      THE REGISTRAR: What is it?
23
      MR. RUSH: Letter of Miss Humphries to Mr. Grant dated February
24
                9th, '84.
      THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.
25
26
      MR. RUSH:
27
               Did you receive a copy of that, Mr. Sterritt?
2.8
              Yes, I did.
            Α
29
      MR. RUSH: Tab 36, please.
30
      THE COURT: Exhibit 706 for identification.
31
32
                (EXHIBIT 706 FOR IDENTIFICATION -Tab 36, Volume 1 of
33
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
34
35
      MR. RUSH: Tab 36.
36
      MR. GOLDIE: Is it 35 or 36?
37
      THE COURT: 36.
38
      MR. RUSH:
39
                I'm sorry, tab 36.
            Q
40
                    This is a draft by-law for the preservation,
41
                management, and conservation use of fish. Do you
42
                recognize this as such, Mr. Sterritt?
43
               Yes, I do.
44
      THE REGISTRAR: Is there a date?
45
      MR. RUSH: No.
46
      THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.
47
      MR. RUSH: 37.
```

```
7933
      N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
      In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
      THE COURT: 707.
 1
 2
     MR. RUSH:
 3
               A letter, Grant to Miss Humphries, April 4th, '84.
 4
                Did you receive a copy of that, Mr. Sterritt?
 5
               Yes, I did.
 6
      THE REGISTRAR: That's 707 for identification.
 7
      MR. RUSH: Thank you.
 8
 9
                (EXHIBIT 707 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 37, Volume 1 of
10
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
11
     MR. RUSH:
12
                38. This is a letter, Neil J. Sterritt to the
13
                Honourable Keith Penner, April 22nd, 1983. Do you
14
                recognize that, Mr. Sterritt?
15
              Yes, I do.
16
      MR. RUSH: 39. May that be 708?
17
      THE COURT: Yes.
18
19
                (EXHIBIT 708 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 38, Volume 1 of
20
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
21
22
     MR. RUSH:
23
               And, Mr. Sterritt, the document entitled -- undated
                document entitled "Note to File," do you recognize
24
25
                that document?
26
      MR. GOLDIE: He was the file referred to.
27
           Α
              Yes, I do.
2.8
      MR. RUSH: All right. May that be the next exhibit?
29
      THE COURT: Yes, 709.
30
31
                (EXHIBIT 709 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 39, Volume 1 of
32
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
33
34
      MR. GOLDIE: These are all identification.
      THE COURT: Yes.
35
36
      MR. RUSH: And the next is 44, letter, Mr. Grant to Mr.
37
                Roberts -- I'm sorry -- excuse me. That's not 44.
38
                44. This is a letter, Mr. Bulian (phonetic) to Mr.
39
                Grant.
40
      THE REGISTRAR: Date?
      MR. RUSH: Date received October 1st, '84. Attaching a copy of
41
42
                the note to file.
43
      THE COURT: Yes.
44
      MR. RUSH: At tab 39.
45
      THE COURT: 711 for identification.
      MR. RUSH: Thank you.
46
47
      THE REGISTRAR: Is that tab 44?
```

```
7934
      N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
      In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
      THE COURT: 44.
 1
      THE REGISTRAR: It will be 710 for ID, my lord.
 3
      THE COURT: 710.
 4
      THE REGISTRAR: 709 is 39.
      THE COURT: Oh, all right.
 5
 6
 7
                (EXHIBIT 710 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 44, Volume 1 of
 8
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
 9
10
      MR. RUSH:
11
                45, a letter from Mr. Sterritt to Mr. Leask, October
12
                26th, '84. You recognize that letter, do you, Mr.
13
                Sterritt?
14
               Yes.
            Α
15
      MR. RUSH: 711, my lord.
16
      THE COURT: Yes.
17
      THE REGISTRAR: 711.
18
19
                (EXHIBIT 711 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 45, Volume 1 of
20
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
21
22
      MR. RUSH:
23
                46, Donald K. Goodwin to Mr. Sterritt, April 24th,
24
                '85. Do you recognize that, Mr. Sterritt?
25
                Yes, I do.
26
      THE REGISTRAR: 712.
27
28
                (EXHIBIT 712 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 46, Volume 1 of
29
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
30
31
      MR. RUSH:
32
                And tab 47, please, letter of Mr. Sterritt to Mr.
                Goodwin, May 3rd, '85. Do you recognize that, Mr.
33
34
                Sterritt?
35
                Yes.
            Α
      THE COURT: 713 for identification.
36
37
      THE REGISTRAR: 713 for ID.
38
39
                (EXHIBIT 713 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 47, Volume 1 of
40
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
41
      MR. RUSH: And 48.
42
43
      THE COURT: 714 for identification.
44
      MR. RUSH:
45
            Q
                Thank you. It's a document entitled and then it's
                stroked off, but entitled Draft 8, May 2nd, 1985. Do
46
47
                you recognize that document, Mr. Sterritt?
```

```
7935
      N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
      In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
               Yes.
 1
            Α
 2
      MR. RUSH: 714.
 3
      THE REGISTRAR: 714.
 4
                (EXHIBIT 714 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 48, Volume 1 of
 5
 6
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
 7
 8
      MR. RUSH:
 9
               And 49. Tab 49, please, a letter to -- from, rather,
10
                Neil John Sterritt to the Honourable David Crombie,
11
                May 3rd, 1985?
12
                Yes.
13
      THE COURT: 715.
14
      THE REGISTRAR: 715.
15
16
                (EXHIBIT 715 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 49, Volume 1 of
17
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
18
19
      MR. RUSH:
20
                Tab 50, please. This is a document, Neil Sterritt to
            0
21
                the Honourable David Crosby, dated July 12th, 1985.
22
                Do you recognize that, Mr. Sterritt?
23
                Yes, to David Crombie.
24
      MR. RUSH: Yes.
25
      THE COURT: 716 for identification.
26
      THE REGISTRAR: 716.
27
28
                (EXHIBIT 716 FOR IDENTIFICATION - Tab 50, Volume 1 of
29
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
30
31
      MR. RUSH:
32
                And tab 51, a letter from Bruce Rawson, R-a-w-s-o-n,
33
                Deputy Minister of Indian and Northern Affairs, to
34
                Neil Sterritt, July 17th, 1985. Did you receive that,
35
                Mr. Sterritt?
36
            Α
                Yes.
37
      THE REGISTRAR: 717.
38
      THE COURT: 717 for identification.
39
40
                (EXHIBIT 717 FOR IDENTIFICATION, Tab 51, Volume 1 of
41
                Neil Sterritt Documents)
42
43
      MR. RUSH: Thank you, my lord. Those are all the documents that
44
                we propose to have filed.
45
      THE COURT: All right. We'll adjourn now. We'll adjourn until
46
                ten o'clock Monday morning. Have a good week-end.
47
                I'm sorry, two o'clock on Monday afternoon.
```

```
7936
      N. Sterritt (for Plaintiffs)
      In chief by Mr. Rush
      Sworn June 15, 1988
      THE REGISTRAR: Order in court. Court will adjourn until two
 1
 2
                o'clock Monday.
 3
 4
                (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:00 P.M.)
 5
 6
                                  I hereby certify the foregoing to be
 7
                                  a true and accurate transcript of the
 8
                                 proceedings herein to the best of my
 9
                                  skill and ability.
10
11
12
                                  Leanna Smith
13
                                  Official Reporter
14
                                  United Reporting Service Ltd.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
```