```
Vancouver, B.C.
 1
                             March 22, 1989
            (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT)
 6 THE REGISTRAR: In the Supreme Court of British Columbia,
            Vancouver, this Wednesday, March 22, 1989. Calling
             Delgamuukw versus Her Majesty the Queen, at bar.
 8
9
                I caution the witness, you're still under oath.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Rush.
11 MR. RUSH: My lord, I want to interject in the cross-examination
12
            at this point just to discuss two scheduling matters
13
            with you.
14 THE COURT: Yes.
15 MR. RUSH: The first is the question of the re-arrangement of
16
            the week of April the 17th and the 24th.
17 THE COURT: Yes.
18 MR. RUSH: We have made our best efforts at trying to see if we
19
            could, of all counsel and witnesses and advisors and
20
            so on, to determine whether or not the week of the
21
            24th could be freed up --
22 THE COURT: Yes.
23 MR. RUSH: -- As an off week, and I do not think it's possible,
            my lord.
25 THE COURT: All right.
26 MR. RUSH: So I recommend we continue with the present schedule.
            And I regret we weren't able to do that, but I think
27
28
            the scheduling had gone far too along the route to
29
            alter things.
30 THE COURT: All right. And we'll sit on the weeks of April
31
            10th, 17th and 24th and off the week of May 1st.
32 MR. RUSH: Yes. I think we have the witnesses presently
            scheduled Mr. Brody on the 10th, Mr. George on the
34
            17th, and Mr. Morrison on the 24th. We'll continue
35
            with that order and schedule.
36 THE COURT: Yes. All right.
37 MR. RUSH: And, of course, the week of May the 1st is an off
            week then.
38
39 THE COURT: Yes.
40 MR. RUSH: Now, my lord, the other issue that I'd like to raise
            with you is the question of the scheduling of Mr.
            Morrell's evidence. Mr. Morrell is the next witness
42
            to be called after Dr. Ray. His evidence is scheduled
43
            to commence in the week of March the 28th. Well,
45
            that's really the week of March the 27th. As I
            understand it the 27th is a non-sitting day.
47 THE COURT: Yes.
```

```
1 MR. RUSH: So the week of the 28th, of course, is a four day
             week. And there has been correspondence between Mr.
             Grant and Mr. Frey of the Federal defendant indicating
 3
 4
             that the time allotted in that week of four days may
 5
             not be sufficient to complete the examination,
            principally the cross, as I understand it, because Mr.
 7
            Grant has advised the defendants that it will take
 8
             approximately two days for the direct. It appears
 9
            that the cross may take a little longer. Mr. Grant
10
            has suggested that April the 1st be a sitting day,
11
            which is Saturday. And I raise now with your lordship
12
            the spectre or possibility that the cross-examination
13
            may move into the following week. Now, there had, I
14
            think, been some indication by your lordship that the
15
             third week of -- April the 3rd is a week in which you
16
             would be sitting in the Court of Appeal, or at least
17
            not available.
18 THE COURT: Not available. I have to go east. I'm free on the
            Monday. I don't have to leave until Tuesday.
20 MR. RUSH: I see. It may well be that it would be necessary to
             sit on the Monday. Now, my concern, as I'm expressing
21
             it on Mr. Grant's behalf, is that we want to be sure
22
23
             that the direct and the cross finish in a straight
24
             time sequence.
25 THE COURT: Yes.
26 MR. RUSH: And it's our hope that if those two dates, the 1st
27
             and the 3rd, are made available that that ought to be
28
             sufficient to complete the cross. What we would like
29
            to know from the defendants now, or as soon as
30
            possible, is is it likely that their cross will take
31
            even longer than that, in which case that could
32
            present some serious scheduling difficulties. Now,
33
            Mr. Frey, who's been the primary correspondent with
34
            Mr. Grant, is not here today and I don't know if Mr.
35
            Macaulay can address this issue of what the
36
             anticipated length of the cross will be, but I think
37
             it would be of great assistance to us to know whether
38
             or not within the additional time that's now been
39
             allotted we can complete the cross.
40 THE COURT: You think you'll be two days?
41 MR. RUSH: In direct, that's correct.
42 THE COURT: And that will be four days if we sat Saturday and
43
            Monday for the cross-examination. Do counsel think
44
             four days will be enough?
45 MR. MACAULAY: My lord, four days will be more than enough.
             It's not the problem of the number of consecutive
47
             days. The problem arises out of the plaintiffs'
```

lengthy lists of documents that were provided for us recently. Quite recently. Our advisor on the fisheries matter is going through those and has asked for a limited number of copies, a very limited number of copies of documents recently. If we get them in time and if they can be worked through there shouldn't be any problem at all.

I should add another thing. Before the examination in chief begins we'll be making some submissions regarding the relevance of a lot of it. If your lordship accedes to our submissions then there will be a rather short cross-examination -- shorter. So there may be no problem at all. It may not be necessary to sit either Saturday or Monday. I hope that's the case. It's because we are still in the process of getting documents, copies now of documents and checking these long lists. The first one is 176 items and then there were some others. As we go through them we may find that there's no problem, but I would think that we could finish the thing by Saturday certainly, and if Monday is held available well we should -- it shouldn't be any problem.

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 MR. MACAULAY: Now, that's subject to Mr. Grant getting on his bicycle and getting those photocopies of documents down to us.

27 THE COURT: Well, I'm sure Mr. Rush will pass those sentiments along to Mr. Grant. Mr. Willms.

29 MR. WILLMS: My lord, I have the same comments. The length of
30 time is not the issue, it's still that there are
31 documents coming in, and it's a question of whether or
32 not the witness will need to be stood down while the
33 documents are being produced and reviewed, but not a
34 question of the length of time.

35 THE COURT: All right. Well, everyone is in agreement. We'll
36 tentatively schedule both the Saturday and the Monday.
37 If we find we don't need to sit on one or either of
38 those days so much the better. If it is necessary for
39 us to do so then we will.

40 MR. RUSH: Thank you.

41 THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Mr. Willms, whenever you're 42 ready. Thank you.

43 MR. WILLMS: My lord, I've handed up another gray binder which I suggest bear the next exhibit number.

45 THE COURT: Another empty binder in the old courtroom.

46 THE REGISTRAR: And the next exhibit number will be 966.

```
(EXHIBIT 966: A.G. Binder of
 1
             Cross-exam Documents for Dr. Ray)
 4 THE COURT: I've been terrorized by the number of tabs you have,
            Mr. Willms.
 6 MR. WILLMS: My lord, I hope that you can take some consolation
             from the fact that I've been pulling tabs out from the
             last several gray binders, and I hope to do it here
 9
             again.
10 THE COURT: Very well.
11
12
                              AUTHUR JOSEPH RAY, Resumed:
13
14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLMS CONTINUED:
            Dr. Ray, could you turn to page 57 of your report,
16
             which is the conclusion, and the --
17 THE COURT: I'm sorry. What page was that?
18 MR. WILLMS: It's page 57, my lord.
19 THE COURT: Yes.
20 MR. WILLMS: Exhibit 960.
21
            It's the portion that I read to you at the close of
         Q
22
             yesterday's evidence, the assertion that the native
23
             people here are able to maintain a high degree of the
2.4
             economic independence. Would it be fair to say that
25
             the high degree of economic independence of the
26
             Indians in this case depended upon fur trade from two
27
             directions rather than any intrinsic sense of value
28
             that the Indians had?
29
            How do you mean?
        Α
30
            Well, there was trade coming in from the coast
         Q
31
             which --
32
         Α
            Right.
33
            Which preceded the Hudson's Bay trade.
34
            Correct.
        Α
             The Hudson's Bay trade had actually influenced Babine
35
36
             Lake by virtue of the fort on -- I can't -- Fort St.
37
             James.
38
            Right.
        Α
39
            And what my suggestion is that by the time Fort
40
             Kilmaurs was established, and the notes that were made
41
             which you concluded there was a high degree of
42
             economic independence, that by that time that economic
43
             independence was primarily related to the fact that
44
             there were two different directions to trade and two
45
             different directions to take your goods, your trading
46
             goods, rather than anything that was intrinsic to the
47
            natives of the area?
```

```
Well, it's an interesting hypothesis, but the problems
 1
             I have with it are, first of all, by independence I'm
 3
             talking about relative independence. This is in terms
 4
             of the ability of the native people on their own were
 5
             traders to suddenly be removed from both the east and
             west to continue their existence at a fairly
 7
             comfortable level. I would argue that there is no
             evidence to suggest that from either the coastal
 8
 9
             direction or from the eastern direction the natives
10
             had acquired by this time European goods that had been
11
             incorporated into their economy that made them
12
             essential hunting tools, essential fishing tools, or
13
             an otherwise essential for their subsistence. The
14
             general discussion of the coastal trade at this period
15
             is that it predominantly was a trade oriented to the
16
             feasting ceremonies. There was a very heavy component
17
             of what I think it's fair what we call luxury goods,
18
             beads, blankets, things like that, that were -- that
19
             were valued as one sort of -- the pre-eminent
20
             historian on that, Gibson, says they were basically
21
             things that could be counted. This was what the
22
             emphasis was on.
23 THE COURT: Things that could be counted?
           Yeah, easily counted.
         Α
25 THE COURT: Tangible personal property?
26
            Yeah, tangible personal property easily counted and
27
             you stack it up and when these exchange ceremonies
28
             goes on you can see the wealth that was there. Now,
29
             it's clear -- I'm not saying that there were no
30
             firearms, because we've been through the comment with
31
             Brown, one of these groups of Gitksan traders came
32
             down and they were well armed with guns and spears,
33
             and it was a menacing -- they were considered
             menacing. But Brown, if you recall the comments that
34
35
             we cited from Brown the other day, he said one of the
36
             problems that the Babine had as far as trapping was is
37
             that most individuals did not have what he regarded
38
             the essential tools of a trappers equipment.
39
             maybe between five or six of them they could
40
             collectively put together an equipment. But that
41
             suggests to me that the goods are there, they're in
42
             circulation, they have not reached what I would
43
             consider a level -- a threshold level of dependency.
44
             That is the way I use the term.
45 MR. WILLMS:
```

So you mean a dependency on the trade for subsistence? That's right. An economic dependency for subsistence

```
1
             purposes.
            Maybe, if you can turn back in your report to page 11.
 3 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Could I then amend your report on page
             57 where you say "They avoided becoming dependent upon
 5
             the Hudson's Bay Company" and can I add in their for
             subsistence?
 7
           For -- if you were to make that change I would prefer
            it to be subsistence technology.
 9 THE COURT: For subsistence technology?
10
        A That's right.
11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
           Page 11 did you say?
13 MR. WILLMS:
14
           Page 11. And this is the discussion at the bottom of
         Q
15
             the page and the quote from Peter Skene Ogden's
16
             travels from Fort Kilmaurs, and you say there to the
17
            settlement of Moricetown. My first suggestion, Dr.
18
            Ray, is that the date of 1820 is incorrect, and
19
            that --
20
        A Yes, it should be 1830's. Late 1830's, correct.
           In fact we can pinpoint it to about 1837?
21
22
            Yes, because he was chief factor from '35 to '45. It
23
            is correct later in the report.
24 THE COURT: He was chief factor at Fort Kilmaurs?
25
           Of the New Caledonia district 1835 to '45. And,
26
             again, the date -- you're right, the '37 date is
             probable, but not proveable probably.
27
28 THE COURT: Thank you.
29 MR. WILLMS:
30
            One of the references to your report is to the Peter
31
             Skene Ogden notes on Western Caledonia with that
32
             forward by -- is it Professor Sage?
33
        Α
            Yes.
34
            All right.
         Q
35
            Several years ago.
         Α
36 MR. WILLMS: My lord, if that could be marked 966-1.
37 THE REGISTRAR: 966-1.
38
39
             (EXHIBIT 966-1: Tab 1 of Exhibit 966 - Peter Skene
40
             Ogden's Notes on Western Caledonia)
41
42 THE COURT: Yes.
43 MR. WILLMS:
44
            And just to tie down the date that Peter Ogden --
             according to Professor Sage on page 46, which is the
45
46
             second page of the extract, you will see that in the
47
             second full paragraph, first line, Professor Sage
```

1		says:
2		
3		"In 1835 Peter Skene Ogden received the
4		coveted commission of chief factor and was
5		sent to take charge of New Caledonia. After
6		seven years' term of office he was prepared
7		to give over this task to his successor."
8		
9		So that would mean that he was there from 1835 to
10		1842 according to this?
11	А	Yes. He went on leave in '44, but I think he actually
12		doesn't give up his commission until '45. I don't
13		remember all the details. But, in any event, you're
14		right, he was there in the late 1830's to the early
15		1840's.
16	Q	Now, what follows is Ogden's notes to his successor.
17	~	And you've reviewed those notes?
18	А	Pardon?
19	Q	What follows at pages 47 through 56 are a transcript
20	~	of Ogden's notes to his successor?
21	А	M'hm.
22	Q	This is on his leaving, whether it's 1844 or 1845, or
23	~	1842 or '43?
24	А	Right.
25	Q	So that you recognize this as a transcription of
26	-	Ogden's notes?
27	А	Right, or what's attributed to Ogden.
28	Q	Or what's attributed to Ogden.
29	A	It's not absolute certainty that he wrote it, but yes.
30	Q	Now, just starting with the second paragraph, this is
31	-	purportedly Ogden speaking.
32	А	M'hm.
33	Q	
34	~	"Having now been stationed seven years in
35		this District I cannot say much in favour of
36		the Carriers, a brutish, ignorant,
37		superstitious beggarly set of beings, lavish
38		of promises and should it so happen have no
39		feast to make for departed relatives take
40		precious good care like all rascals to loose
41		sight off. The debt system was introduced
42		in to this district many years since and it
43		is the opinion of some it would not be a
		The special section of the section o

is the opinion of some it would not be a good policy to do away with it at present as independent of other considerations the evil has taken too deep root, this could be overcome but again it is said it acts as a

hold on them from the great temptations of low prices which the Coast traders who are now annually in the habit of resorting to the frontiers of the District in quest of Furs, it may have this effect on some although I have my doubts, still with many at this place in debt of occasionally clandestinely trading their furs."

2.4

- A M'hm.
- Q Now, just pausing there. Assuming that that is Ogden speaking, and that that's accurate, that seems to indicate a change from your assessment of what Brown's notes indicated in the 1820's?
- A Well, I would like to make several responses to that. First of all, let's back up to Sage's comments about Ogden. Where is it here? I was just reading this a minute ago. Somewhere in here he describes Ogden as being a generous, or something or other.
- Q It's on page 46 at the bottom.
- A 46 at the bottom.

"The 'Notes on Western Caledonia' are probably a rough draft. In places the meaning is obscure and throughout punctuation is difficult. As few emendations as possible have been made in the text, and spelling of the original has been retained throughout. The document is valuable because it gives details on the trade in that 'Siberia of the fur-traders'."

Interesting point which reiterates the point  ${\rm I}$  made the other day, past two days, the traders hated the place.

"Life was hard in that district and Ogden does not minimize its difficulties. Throughout the document, however, the generosity and kindliness of Peter Skene Ogden is evident. He was keen and experienced fur trader but he was never lacking in humanitarian feelings."

Now, unfortunately, I don't have available to me the Hudson's Bay Company records assessments of the character of Peter Skene Ogden, but this is a

1 flattering portrayal of a man who was equally described as vicious, nasty, had a low regard for 3 Indian people, and in prior to taking over New 4 Caledonia, I don't know if you're aware, but Peter 5 Skene Ogden led what were called the Snake River 6 expeditions south of the border. And I think it was 7 on Monday I talked about the Hudson's Bay Company 8 which had the scorch earth policy south of the border. 9 That policy was at Peter Skene Ogden's hands, and he 10 was the one who ruthlessly destroyed the fur base of 11 the Snake River country south of the border before he 12 came into this area. First of all, we have to 13 understand this man. I think he is not -- Sage wrote 14 this many years ago. What is the date of Sage's 15 observation? 16 THE COURT: '37?

17 Pardon? 1937. Α

18 THE COURT: It's dated in 1937.

19 I don't think that observation would hold up in current scholarship. Anyway, now, back to the quote. I would like to point out that he is writing -- we establish the date -- we agree on the date of '37 or thereabouts?

# 24 MR. WILLMS:

20

21 22

23

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

- '37 to '42 -- no. '37 to '44. Q
- Α '37 to '44. So we are talking about a period that's ten years or so beyond the period of Brown's observations. So I would expect some changes occurred, yes. It doesn't contradict Brown, however. Now, the interesting point -- you raise a very interesting point.
- I just asked about the change. I'm not suggesting any contradiction of Brown, I'm just suggesting does this, if it's accurate, suggest a change?
- I would say it suggests the possibility of change. I 35 36 would like to elaborate on that next. I didn't have 37 time, or didn't take the time the other day to go and explain in depth what purpose of credit in the fur 38 39 trade was. I think it's probably worthwhile to spend 40 a little time on that since you raise a very important 41 point, because theoretically it could give us a clue 42 about dependency. As far as we can tell going back to 43 the earliest account books of the Hudson's Bay Company 44 credit was given. It probably in fact derives from 45 the French fur trade of the pre 1670 era. Generally 46 what fur traders tried to do, especially under 47 competitive situations, and this is what we are

dealing with here, is they tried to extend credit to 1 the Indians in the hopes of laying a claim on their future hunt so that if other traders came by and 3 4 offered a better deal the Indians would have already 5 pledged their furs. Now, the problem is you could cite virtually any area where the fur trade operated 7 and discover that sensible and helpful as that 8 strategy might have been to the Europeans in almost no 9 place did it work, because Indians, as he suggests 10 here, clandestinely, as they would regard it, carried 11 their trade elsewhere. And we ran across that 12 yesterday, if you recall, in our discussions about 13 Nose-ess and the fish-net. That was part of the gist 14 of that story. The Indians didn't allow themselves to 15 be bound to one side or the other even though credit 16 was given. So that very often you'll find the 17 comments that the Indians are uncreditworthy, and 18 you -- I can't remember whether I introduced in 19 evidence yet the issue of -- we did talk at some point 20 about that they were going to set up, if you recall, a 21 post at the mouth of the Bulkley River, and they 22 didn't. He points out the problem that if they ran 23 such a post they would have to attach Kilmaurs to it 24 or it to Kilmaurs, depending on which way they decided 25 to orient the trade, because otherwise the Indians 26 would take credit at both posts and go back and forth. 27 They did establish a post though at the Skeena Bulkley

- Q They did establish a post though at the Skeena Bulkley River?
- A But much later.
  - Q In 1860?

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

- A Yeah. We are talking about some 40 years later. So the point in answer to your question here, I think it's a reasonable question, but I think you have to look at this with caution, and it may also help explain why the very negative image of the Indians is coming through here. The Indians were not responding in a manner that Ogden hoped they would. But I would also submit that Ogden is a very negative observer of native people. He was a hard man.
- 40 Q Turn to page 49 of this. This is on another point 41 that we mentioned from --
- 42 A Of this?
- 43 Q Yes, of Ogden's. Page 49 if you move part way down the page.
- 45 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Willms, just a moment, please. Page 46 49?
- 47 MR. WILLMS: Page 49 of the next page, my lord.

```
1 THE COURT: Can I just stop you there. You say he was a hard
             man and Dr. Sage says that "he was never lacking in
             humanitarian feelings." What am I to do with that?
 3
            Well, as I said, unfortunately I didn't bring along
 4
 5
            with me -- I can give you a different assessment of
            Ogden. But the point is that, as I was saying, one of
 7
             the most ruthless policies the Hudson's Bay Company
 8
             engaged in was this policy to create a fur desert
 9
             south of the border and they put that in charge of
10
             Ogden and he ruthlessly carried it out. He was a
11
             fairly hard individual.
12 THE COURT: What you're telling me is there were other views?
           Yeah, there are conflicting opinions of this man.
        Α
14 THE COURT: All right.
15
        A And I might add, this would be true of other fur
16
             traders if we were to get into the people. Probably
17
             the best one you may be familiar with would be David
18
            Thompson. You read three different biographies of
19
            David Thompson and you think you're talking about
20
            three different men. But all I can say is that there
21
            is a harsher assessment of him, and I do know that he
22
            did lead the Ogden expedition, so.
23 THE COURT: Thank you.
24 MR. WILLMS: On to the next page.
25 THE COURT: Page 49?
26 MR. WILLMS: Page 49, my lord.
27
         Q
            Part way down that first paragraph --
28
         Α
             Right.
29
         Q
             -- You'll see a sentence, "When I first assumed
30
             charge".
31
            M'hm.
        Α
32
         Q
33
                  "When I first assumed charge of this
34
                  District I found the gratuities given to the
35
                  Indians very great in the article of leather
                  particularly so, the latter and nearly all I
36
37
                  have abolished and abolished and no
38
                 diminution in our returns has resulted from
39
                 it and our expenditure decreased, it now
40
                 remains with you to lessen them and in doing
41
                 so gradually you will rest assured find no
42
                 bad effects resulting from it."
43
44
                 Just pausing there. Accepting that that's a
45
            truthful statement by Ogden that indicates a move by
46
            the company away from trading leather with no
47
            diminution in returns?
```

No, I don't see that. It says, "When I first assumed 1 2 this District I found gratuities given to the Indian 3 very great in the article of leather." Gratuities, now, that raises another point about trade. The way 4 5 the trade was conducted you had gifts, relief, which is what the company gave sick and destitute dependents 7 of regular traders, and outright trade. What he's saying here is we're no longer giving them that as 8 9 gifts. So, in other words, what it tells us is that 10 gratuities, the gift component of the trade was still 11 there when he arrived on the scene and the key element 12 in the gift exchange was leather and he's no longer 13 giving the leather away. Which if I were the trader 14 there at that point in time there would be two sides 15 to that policy. Obviously leather as we've already 16 established was the critical thing in trade, but 17 giving it as a gift the Indians would be favourably 18 inclined to deal with you, but on the other hand if 19 you could trade it and force the Indians to yield furs 20 for it well then you would be better ahead 21 economically if you could get away with it. So I 22 don't read it the same way you do, because if he had 23 said trade in leather then I would accept your thesis, 2.4 but he's talking about gratuities in leather. 25

Q I just read it in the context of the next sentence which says:

262728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

"The lending system I have entirely abolished it was customary formerly to loan guns, axes, tranches, moose skins and traps to the Indians to such an extent that no one on reflection would view it in any other light than an abuse, the Carriers scarcely ever returned an article loaned them but always have some plausible excuse ready when called on, in traps alone from the lending system having so long prevailed as it is only three years since I abolished it, are now most abundantly supplied as regards this place and the same has been adopted all over the district and the returns have not diminished and our indent on the last article has been decreased one-half, they are now sold to the natives at four skins each formerly they were valued at six but this was merely nominal as not ten out of 100 were ever sold."

1 Just pausing there. It seems as if the gratuities 2 that he was talking about is this lending system which 3 he abolished. Is that the same as the gifts that you 4 were just talking about, or is it something different? 5 Well, as I said, there are three components to trade. 6 There's the barter trade, there is the credit trade 7 and there were the gratuities that were given out. Actually four. There were also, as I said -- he 8 9 doesn't mention it in here, it was also common company 10 policy to from time-to-time lend assistance to 11 dependents of regular hunters. Now, so far as he's 12 suggesting that his change of system here was 13 successful. I on the basis of this one document and 14 experience with Hudson's Bay Company records at large 15 I would withhold judgment on it in the absence of a 16 series of account books that demonstrate the effect. 17 And I say that, because the Hudson's Bay Company from 18 1670 to 1945, which is a full time span in which I've 19 studied that company, went through I don't know how 20 many rounds of attempting to eliminate credit always 21 saying that the efforts to do so didn't hurt their 22 trade, but the fact of the reality -- or the reality 23 always was they never did eliminate the trade, the 24 credit trade, because it was too essential to the 25 business. So it's hard to assess this in isolation 26 without supporting accounting records, which is the 27 way I like to deal with these if at all possible. But 28 all I can tell you is if you look at the later records 29 for New Caledonia in the later nineteenth-century the 30 company is still running a credit trade, with all due 31 respect to Ogden.

- Q Well, I don't read this as saying -- I mean in the previous page I thought he said he didn't want to abolish the credit trade although he thought it was an evil?
- A You're saying here he isn't saying that, right?

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

45

46

- Q Well, he's changing the credits. That's how I read it. Maybe I don't read it right, but my understanding of this is the credit trade, he's not trading the guns and axes and moose skins, and traps anymore, he's trading something else?
- A Let's go over this page again. If we go back to where we started, "When I first assumed charge of this district I found the gratuities". Does not gratuities suggest to you gifts?
- Q Well, I don't know. I'm not a historical geographer, Dr. Ray, but my understanding from what you said

earlier in your evidence was that one way to get trade going with the Indians in light of their habit of giving gifts back and forth to each other, reciprocating, was to give them something and then you get something back, so it's not giving them something without getting anything back. Now, that's what I understood gratuities was, but maybe I'm wrong.

A No, no. I just -- I thought you were questioning whether I had interpreted gratuities given as gifts. If we agree on that then I'll move on. I take that that that's what that means. I thought you were saying it didn't mean that. I accept that's what it says.

14 THE COURT: Well, all he said is he questioned gratuities given in leathers.

A Yes. Given. So now we were talking about what all this means. If we go down now -- let me just read that sentence and the next couple of sentences and I'll stop where I think we should.

2.4

"When I first assumed charge of this district I found the gratuities given to the Indians very great in the article of leather particularly so, the latter and nearly all I have abolished and no diminution in our returns has resulted from it and our expenditure decreased, it now remains with you to lessen them and in doing so gradually you will rest assured find no bad effects resulting from it."

Now, the lending system, now he's talking about debts, right? This is where he made a shift. Now he's talking about the lending system, which he claims "I have entirely abolished". So what Ogden is telling us first of all is I'm cutting back on gratuities. Secondly, I've already eliminated the lending system. So he's talking about two of those four components of trade, right?

- Q Including moose skins.
- A Moose skins were one of the things that were given in debt, which makes perfect sense given the nature of this trade. So what he's trying to do here, and something the company tried to do whenever it thought it could and rarely got away with it, they're trying to convert this whole trade to what they called a ready barter trade. In other words, Indians bring in

the furs, they get the goods for the furs, they go away and that's it. No credits out, no gifts out, and so on. One of the reasons why -- re-reading last night the coastal trade, it's quite interesting, one of the reasons they tried this on the coast as well, because they discovered that the native people when dealing with close friends engaged in what was called a balanced reciprocity. In other words, the gift exchanges in which you exchange items that you mutually agree are of equal value. However, with strangers or non-related peoples or people who with whom they had tension, the anthropologists refer to another form of exchange which is called negative reciprocity, in which you give a gift which you regard as being of lesser value and expect to get -- of lesser value than what it is that you're getting back. And apparently the Western Caledonia and the Coastal Carriers were quite good at this game. So the company would have had -- if this is so, and what you're citing to me, which I find interesting, because I haven't re-read this for sometime, suggests this is one of the things you're trying to deal with. They're trying to extricate themselves from a native practice that they have been drawn into.

Q The next line on page 49, and it's really the footnote, is the most interesting, but the next line at the bottom says:

"Salmon are bartered at the rate of 90 for one beaver and are paid for in the most valuable goods."

And then footnote 11. I guess this is Professor Sage talking.  $\label{eq:professor} % \begin{center} \begin{c$ 

"The beaver skin was the standard of value in the fur trade. The made beaver or M.B. became after 1837 the accepted standard. Its cash value was not fixed but varied from 25 to 50 cents."

And then the last line.

"Even though the Carriers seemed to have been astute traders the price of salmon can hardly be said to have been very high."

32 33

1

3

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

34

35 36

37

38

39 40 41

42 43 44

45

46

1 A Can I comment on that?

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- Q That doesn't seem consistent with what you've been saying. Maybe you could comment on it.
- A Okay. This was written by Sage. Again, when did we decide this was? Sorry to keep going back, but it's important here. 19 --

7 THE COURT: It's got a date at the top 1937, which is the date of the publication.

Okay. The fact of the matter is that people had a very poor understanding of how the Hudson's Bay accounting system worked, including Harold Innis. And the book I wrote, Give Us Good Measure, was a book that focused based on an analysis of those account books of how that system operated. Now, Sage himself here has made one fundamental error in that in 1810 the Hudson's Bay Company theoretically abolished the beaver standard. I say theoretically. I'll come back to that in a minute. The made beaver standard was a standard that was part of the Hudson's Bay Company system from the first surviving account book, which I'm going on memory here, I think is circa 1690-91, and in there you'll see everything in terms of standards. Now, I tried to explain yesterday, and if I had a chalk board, which I'm used to doing this sort of thing on, I could probably explain it a little bit better. The company every year had two -- this is going to get complicated, two sets of standards. There were the official rates of exchange, which I have argued were really accounting standards in which the company equated beaver values to everything it carried on inventory. Now, the comparative standards, which I think I have mentioned already, was the standard which equated all native produce into beaver. There was another standard that was called simply the standard of trade which said a kettle is worth x beaver, a yard of cloth is worth x beaver, a pound of beads is worth x beaver, and so on. So you do all this so at the end of the year when these men close their books, and all of this accounting was done -the primary basis of all this was basically inventory. So you start with the opening inventory, you have a closing inventory at the end of the year, you subtract away all the gratuities you've given away, they're assigned a beaver value, you take away all the goods that were sold to company employees which have a beaver value, and what was left they said is what appears to have been traded and that was given a

value. So if you have a certain list of goods then you give a beaver value to those by the official standard. By the comparative standard you assign a series of values of beaver. Now, the fact of the matter is that one of the striking things about the Hudson's Bay Company trade is that the official standards change relatively little over very long periods of time, because they became the reference point for which the traders and the Indians negotiated. Now, for the accountants in London what they would then do for their convenience once these furs would arrive back in England they would simply convert back into sterling using the current beaver price. But the point is that the standards that operated in Canada were usually not closely pegged to the European market. And I think in the writing that I have done I have established that fairly well. Now, this business of I said that he was partly in error, in the time when the Hudson's Bay Company and the Northwest Company were at war with each other the Hudson's Bay Company starts internal reforms, and the key reforms, one of the biggest reforms was made in 1810 when first of all they make some of the officers profit sharers. Secondly, they abolished the beaver standard for accounting purposes. The orders go out in 1810 that the men are no longer to keep their accounts in beaver, but rather are supposed to keep their accounts in sterling.

# 29 MR. WILLMS:

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30 31

32

33

38

39

40

- Q But just pausing there, didn't you say earlier in your evidence that the debt record and the exchange that Brown was talking about was in made beaver?
  - A I did.
- Q All right. The only question that I had for your comment was the comment that that was not -- that 90 salmon for one made beaver was not a particularly high price?
  - A No, but that was -- you asked --
    - Q What's that got to do with London?
    - A You asked me to comment about footnote 11, did you not? That's what I'm talking about.
- 42 Q Oh, well --
- 43 A I -- you want an explanation. That's what I'm talking 44 about. I'm responding to your question about that 45 footnote, and what I'm saying --
- Q Can I just narrow it to this. I'd like to narrow it to the last line. I don't really care when made

```
1
             beaver became the standard since you've indicated that
             made beaver was the standard at least at the Kilmaurs
 3
             post in the 1820's, and he's talking about made beaver
             here and says that even though the Carriers seem to
 5
             have been astute traders the price of salmon can
             hardly be said to have been very high.
 7
            I don't have any trouble with that, but all I'm saying
 8
 9
            All right. We can move on.
10 MR. ADAMS: Well --
           My response is --
         Α
12 MR. ADAMS: Excuse me, my lord, the question invited a comment.
13 THE COURT: I know. It didn't invite -- I mean, there was
             nothing wrong with what the witness has been saying,
15
             but counsel has now pointed out the area he wants to
16
             direct his examination to, and counsel has to control
17
             the course of the cross-examination.
18 MR. ADAMS: Yes, but in my submission he can't invite a comment
            at large --
20 THE COURT: He didn't invite a comment at large. There is a
21
            misunderstanding with the witness and counsel as to
22
             what the focus was with respect to be with that
23
             footnote. I've heard what the doctor said about the
24
            date of 1837, although that's not what counsel now
25
            says he was inviting comment on. Surely counsel has
26
            to be able to keep -- keep the cross-examination going
             along the lines that he's interested in, and other
27
28
            matters can be developed as necessary at
29
             re-examination.
30 MR. WILLMS:
31
           So just focusing on that line, I think your comment a
32
             moment ago was that you don't have any particular
33
             disagreement with that, that the price was not
34
             particularly high?
35
            No, not for salmon. No.
36
            Now, look, if we can return then to page 11 of your
37
             report where you're discussing Ogden.
38
         Α
            Right.
39
            Now, you know that Fort Kilmaurs was moved in 1836?
40
         Α
            Right.
41
            And I'm showing you an extract from volume six, Helm
42
             on the Subarctic, and the extract is an extract by
             Margaret Tobey on the Carrier. And if you would just
43
44
             turn to the second page of the extract, which is page
45
             414, it's got the map?
46
        Α
            Right.
47
            And you'll see that on the map the author here has
```

```
1
             depicted -- and if you look over at Babine Lake and
             you'll see the name Babine and then Old Fort 1836 and
            Old Fort 1822?
 3
           M'hm.
 4
        Α
        Q Right. So Old Fort 1822 was the original site of Fort
 5
            Kilmaurs that was established by Brown?
 7
           Right.
        Α
 8
             Right. And 1836 was where the fort was moved to at
 9
             the north end of Babine Lake?
10
        Α
             Right.
11 MR. WILLMS: Okay. My lord, 966-2.
12
13
             (EXHIBIT 966-2: Tab 2 of Exhibit 966 - Volume 6
14
             Subarctic - June Helm)
15
16 MR. WILLMS:
17
            Now, let's go to the actual account of Ogden, the tale
18
             of Western Caledonia, and this is Exhibit 914.
19
             I don't think I have that at the moment.
20 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 914 is book three of Antonia Mills tab
            41.
22 THE COURT: I don't have it here.
23 THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Well, I have it here for the witness.
            It's tab 41 of book three, Antonia Mills.
25 THE COURT: Yes. All right.
26 MR. WILLMS:
            I'd just like to fix the date of this trip. And you
27
         Q
28
             relied on this, and this is what you have quoted from
29
             in part on page 11, the trip from Fort Kilmaurs to
30
             Hot-set?
31
            Not this exact copy here, but yes.
         Α
32
            Now, if you turn to page 35 of Exhibit 914, which is
33
             the second page in, you'll see that Ogden sets out in
34
             that full paragraph in the middle of the page it says:
35
36
                  "Fort Kilmaurs at the date of my present
                  story had been established about a year
37
38
                  only. It had been my lot to superintend the
39
                  cutting of the first stick at its
40
                  commencement and to witness the hoisting of
41
                  the British flag."
42
43
                 So it appears that he made this journey -- if the
44
             fort was established in 1836 he made this journey in
45
             1837?
46
           Yeah.
        Α
47
        0
           Is that --
```

```
1
            Yes, we've more or less agreed on that.
            All right. And just before I go through this with the
 3
             map, you know, Dr. Ray, that sometime in the 1820's as
 4
             a result of a rock slide most of the inhabitants of
 5
             Moricetown moved en masse to Hagwilget Canyon and
             built new homes there?
 7
            He refers to that in his 1826 district report.
         Α
 8
            Okay. Just in terms of timing that movement took
 9
            place before this trip was made?
10
            M'hm.
        Α
11
         Q And you also recall from George MacDonald in The Epic
12
             of Nekt on the trading trails that there's a trading
13
             trail from the north end of Babine Lake, that is Fort
14
            Babine 1836?
15
            M'hm.
        Α
16
            To Hagwilget?
         Q.
17
            M'hm.
        Α
18
           Right. You're aware of that?
        Q
19
            Yeah.
        Α
20
           Is that -- is that epic in evidence?
        Α
21
            Yes, it is.
         Q
22
            Could we see it?
        Α
23 MR. WILLMS: Could Exhibit 847, tab 19 --
24 THE REGISTRAR: This is the Sylvia Albright report, my lord --
25
            book, I mean.
26 MR. WILLMS: It was put in by the plaintiffs during Ms.
             Albright's --
28 THE REGISTRAR: That's tabs 1 to 39 inclusive, and this is tab
29
             19.
30 MR. WILLMS:
31
            And the trading trails are set out at page 75. And
32
             you'll see there is a trail numbered eight from Fort
33
             Babine to Gitanmaax?
34
            Right.
         Α
35 THE COURT: What page is that?
36 MR. WILLMS: It's page 75, my lord.
            Dr. Ray, I'm showing you a map which has Babine Lake,
38
             and about the middle on the right-hand side the fort
39
             in 1836. And if you follow it across -- and are you
             familiar with the geography in this area?
40
41
            I've never been there.
         Α
42
            So you've never been to Babine Lake?
43
        Α
            No, I haven't.
44
            The trading trail that George MacDonald describes as
```

trail eight goes from the north end of Babine Lake

through the Suskwa Pass --

45

46

47

Α

M'hm.

```
1
           -- Down along the Suskwa River to where it joins the
            Bulkley River --
 3
        Α
            M'hm.
 4
           -- And then proceeds to the Skeena River. It's about
 5
            the middle. And I'm going to suggest to you, Dr. Ray,
            that where --
 7
            I'm also interested in this trail nine as well.
            Yes, well I'll get to that in a minute.
9 THE COURT: Can we mark the trail in some way. I'm having --
10 MR. WILLMS: Mark the trail, my lord?
11 THE COURT: I'm having difficulty even figuring out the
12
            difference between the copy line and the edge of the
13
14 MR. WILLMS: My lord, if you look -- the Babine Indian Reserve
            is at the very north end of the lake, of Babine Lake.
16 THE COURT: Yes.
17 MR. WILLMS: And there is a creek it looks like -- well, I
            can't -- Telkwa Creek or something like that.
19 THE COURT: Let's all look at the same thing at the same time.
            Let's go over to the table, please.
21 MR. WILLMS: The Indian reserve is at the north end of the lake.
22 THE COURT: M'hm.
23 MR. WILLMS: There is a creek flowing in through the reserve,
            and if you follow the creek up you will see there is a
25
            dotted line up to the Suskwa Pass and then the Suskwa
26
            River and then following the Suskwa River down, and it
27
            remains as the Suskwa River all the way down to the
            Bulkley.
29 THE COURT: Where are you starting, Mr. Willms?
30 MR. WILLMS: I'm starting at the Indian reserve.
31 THE COURT: Oh, isn't the fort way up at the end, north end of
            the lake? Isn't that the lake?
33 MR. WILLMS: This is the north end of the lake.
34 THE COURT: Is that the end of the lake there?
35 MR. WILLMS: Babine. This is the little lake.
36 THE COURT: Oh, I see. Okay. Fair enough.
37 MR. WILLMS: The confluence of the Suskwa and Bulkley -- if you
            wanted to go to Moricetown from the confluence you
39
            would go up the river and down the map to almost the
            bottom of the map along the river, and if you wanted
41
            to go to Hagwilget, then Hagwilget is just off this
            map, but you would go downstream, and it is just off
42
            the map on to the next map.
44 THE COURT: Mr. Willms, I'm sorry, I want to make sure. Have I
45
            marked in red on my copy the trail you're talking
46
             about? This is the Suskwa.
```

47 MR. WILLMS: Down the Suskwa.

```
1 THE COURT: And then the Bulkley.
 2 MR. WILLMS: No. This is still the Suskwa.
 3 THE COURT: This is still the Suskwa.
 4 MR. WILLMS: The Suskwa goes all the way down.
 5 THE COURT: This is the fort here?
 6 MR. WILLMS: At the Bulkley River, yes.
 7 THE COURT: And Moricetown you say is at the very bottom?
 8 MR. WILLMS: Bottom of the map.
 9 THE COURT: Yes. All right. Thank you.
10 MR. MACAULAY: Has this map got a number, my lord?
11 THE COURT: Not yet.
12 MR. WILLMS: Not yet. Perhaps it should.
13 THE COURT: 967.
14 MR. WILLMS: 967.
15
16
             (EXHIBIT 967: Map)
17
18 MR. WILLMS:
19
            Now, it's the starting point that's of interest, Dr.
20
            Ray. If you look at Exhibit 914.
21
            914.
22
            914 is the Tale of Western Caledonia. It's the Ogden
            trip that you're talking about.
23
2.4
            Oh, okay.
         Α
25
            And you will see he talks -- he starts talking about
26
            his trip on page 36.
27
        Α
            M'hm.
28
            And he says this in the middle of the page, "On
         Q
29
             leaving Fort Kilmaurs our route lay towards the end of
30
             the lake." Then he talks about the canoe --
31
            M'hm.
        Α
32
            -- And canoeing down the lake. And then he talks
33
             about where he gets to at the top of the next page --
34
        Α
            M'hm.
35
            -- Where he says:
         Q
36
                  "A few hours served to take us to Nass-chick
37
                  a village occupied by some of the lake
38
                  Indians. This village or rather hamlet is
39
                  situated at the extremity of the Nass at a
40
                  point where the opposite shores gradually
41
                  converging for some distance approach each
42
                  other so nearly as to indicate at the first
43
                  glance the commencement of the stream by
44
                  which the waters of the lake are
45
                 discharged."
46
47
       Α
           M'hm.
```

Q Now, it's my suggestion since we all know that the
Babine Lake discharges into the Babine River, and we
all know that Fort Kilmaurs is located up at the
north, and that there is a trading trail there, that
where he was going was to the north end of the lake
at -- as the commencement of his travels, his overland
travels?

A Right.

Q All right. Now, just from reading Ogden, I mean it appears that Ogden is describing travelling to the end of the lake where the lake discharges; correct?

A Right.

Q And that would be something -- for an experienced explorer like Ogden it would be difficult for him to make a mistake about whether something was flowing into the lake or flowing out of the lake?

A M'hm.

- Q Do you agree with that?
  - A Yes. We have him at the north end of the lake, right?
  - Q All right. So he starts his trip at the north end of the lake?
- 22 A M'hm.
  - Q Now, if you look at the trading trails set out by George MacDonald on page 75 you'll see that the trading trail which is numbered nine to Moricetown does not start at the north end of Babine Lake, it starts part way down the lake?
  - A Just a second here. Right. Okay.
- 29 Q See that?
  - A Yes. No problem with that.
  - Q Now, have you been to either Moricetown or Hagwilget Canyon to compare the two?
    - A I've been through there briefly once about six years ago.
  - Q It's my suggestion to you, Dr. Ray, that when you say on page 11 of your report that Hot-set was located on the Bulkley River at the site of the present settlement of Moricetown, and that based on the fact that Ogden started his trip at the north end of the lake that it's more likely, and also based on the fact that the whole town moved according to Morice after the land slide blocked the river, that he's describing Hagwilget not Moricetown?
  - A It might be useful if we want to pin this down to look at, I think it's the E2 district report, if you want
- 47 Q Well, I'm just trying to -- what did you use to pin it

down to Moricetown? How did you conclude from reading Ogden that he ended up at Moricetown?

- A Because I was reading back from the record from Hot-set which was clearly identified as the principal village by Brown. I can't say that I did it on the basis of having travelled these trails, as I had not been there.
- Q You would agree with me, would you not, that based on George MacDonald's description of where trading trails were and based on where Ogden said he started off, which was at the north end of the lake, and also based on the fact that Morice says that the village moved after the land slide, and we have a lot of evidence about that already, at least from other writers, that it's more likely that he ended up at Hagwilget than Moricetown?
- 17 A It's possible by this time that they had moved to there, yes.
- 19 THE COURT: Doesn't Ogden say they felled a tree across the 20 gorge?
- 21 MR. WILLMS: My lord, he says that they felled a tree across the gorge, but I think, and I don't know if this is in evidence, but there has been lots of fisheries work done over the years along the river from the mouth of clearing rocks and the like, and I really don't know what the situation was then, and whether it was the same as it is today.
- 28 THE COURT: All right. Is it beyond credibility that he -- that
  29 he visited Hot-set on the return journey and he was
  30 describing Hot-set after having passed through
  31 Hagwilget on the great circle route?
- 32 MR. WILLMS: My lord, if you read the journey, and we can go
  33 through it, it's clear that he goes up over a pass,
  34 down the narrow valley, and comes upon a hill where
  35 just -- just above where the river he's on joins the
  36 main river and he can see the village laid out before
  37 him, and it's 20 miles to Moricetown from where the
  38 Suskwa comes in, but it's not very far to Hagwilget.

39 THE COURT: Yes. All right.

40 MR. WILLMS:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q Can we leave it this way, Dr. Ray, that you certainly can't say whether Ogden got to Moricetown or Hagwilget, all you can say is that he got to wherever the people happened to be living at the time?
- A That he reached the Hot-set, yes.
- 46 Q Yes.
- 47 A That's for sure. We could probably dispute the other

		10024
1		part, but yes, we know he's describing what are now
2		known as the Wet'suwet'en.
3	_	
	Q	<u> </u>
4		the conclusion that Brown had visited Hot-set?
5	А	He mentioned that he had twice.
6	Q	And he also mentions having visited Hot-set and
	Z	<del>_</del>
7		criticizing their method of catching fish?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And suggesting that the river was so shallow wherever
10	~	Hot-set was that they could put a weir across it?
	_	<u>-</u>
11	А	That's what he said. The Indians didn't seem to agree
12		with him. That's what he said.
13	Q	No. That's what he said. And that seems inconsistent
	2.	
14	_	with a canyon setting, would you agree with that?
15	А	Would seem so, but on the other hand that is the
16		reason that the natives cite for using the dip net.
17		So we have two different points of view, the Indian
18		view and his view.
19 MR.	WILLM	IS: This would be an appropriate time to take the
20		morning adjournment. Oh, 11:15 is fine with me, my
21		lord.
	COURT	•
23		would waste seven minutes, and we can't do that.
24 MR.	M.T.T T W	MS: We can't do that, my lord.
25		<del>-</del>
	Q	Can you turn, it's in volume 3 of your binder, your
26		1988 draft, Exhibit 963, and it's at tab 5. Now, is
27		this what you've done here is basically updated some
28		of the matters that you've considered in your report?
	7\	
29	А	Not updated. This was one of the very first drafts.
30		I originally did the research I can give you a
31		brief background on this. I originally did the
32		research on tape in the archives in Winnipeg.
	0	
33	Q	Are you at the wrong tab? I'm at tab 5.
34	А	Oh oh, sorry. Tab 5. I was at tab 3.
35	Q	Tab 5. I've got a 1988 date on mine.
36	Ã	Oh, conference paper.
37	Q	Yes. So this is your most recent discussion of the
38		topic; is that fair?
39	A	Certain narrow aspects of it for the purposes of the
40		conference, yes.
	^	
41	Q	Now, in that discussion at page one
42	A	M'hm.
43	Q	You comment on a report this is at the end of
44	~	the first full paragraph you say:
		one irrae ruir paragraph you say.
45		
46		"In a report prepared for the Crown
		in a report prepared for the crown
47		historical geographer Sheila Robinson argued

```
1
                  that there is no conclusive evidence that
 2
                  suggests that before the advent of European
 3
                  influence in the claim area the Gitksan and
 4
                  Wet'suwet'en lineages and families
 5
                  identified ownership rights to large and
                  precisely tracts of hunting territories."
 7
 8
                 And the document that I have put to you is the
 9
             report that you are referring to --
10
         Α
             Right.
11
             -- Is that correct?
         Q
12
             That's correct.
         Α
13 MR. WILLMS: My lord, Exhibit 966-3.
14
15
             (EXHIBIT 966-3: Tab 3 of Exhibit 966 -
16
             Report of Sheila Robinson)
17
18 MR. WILLMS:
19
            I just would like to start with the assertion that you
20
             make that Dr. Robinson is a historical geographer, and
21
             you described earlier in your evidence the different
22
             types of geography and one of them was a cultural
2.3
             geographer?
2.4
            Right.
         Α
25
            What's the difference between an historical geographer
26
             and cultural geographer?
27
             The difference of focus. A cultural geographer and
28
             historical geographer are often interested in the same
29
             things, but one more interested in change in culture
30
             over time, and the other would be more inclined often
31
             to deal with culture in a given cross-section, if you
32
             know what I mean, at a given point in time looking at
33
             a people rather than looking at a people and their
34
             culture changing all the time.
35
            Isn't another difference that an historical geographer
36
             usually works with historical written records, whereas
37
             a cultural geographer goes beyond and uses
38
             anthropological and archeological tools as well?
39
             Historical geographers use any historical evidence
40
             that's useful. It may be archeology, it may be
             ethnography, it may be historical documents. Even
41
             historians would not claim it is to be restricted
42
43
             solely to documents. There is in fact a field in
44
             history now called oral history, so there is a problem
```

if you're trying to draw those narrow a boundary.

Academics don't work in those tight compartments.

Did you know when you made this comment on Dr.

45

46

1		Robinson's report that she has academic and field
2		experience in archeology and also academic training in
3		anthropology?
4	A	Yes, I do.
5	Q	And you know that in her report in coming to the
6		conclusions that she comes to, which you comment on,
7		she relies on a significant body of archeological and
8		anthropological discussion to come to that conclusion?
9	А	I'm familiar with the sources. I looked through her
10		bibliography very carefully.
11	Q	And there are archeological and anthropological there?
12	Ã	Yes, correct. I'd like to comment though that a lot
13	11	of the archeology is based on ethnographic
14		interpretation, so you're really hard pressed to
15		separate the two.
16	Q	For example, Dr. MacDonald's archeology in The Epic of
17	Ž	Nekt was a combination of archeology and ethnohistory?
18	А	And ethnography.
19	Ω	And ethnography.
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	And you're aware that he concluded in there that there
22	×	was significant tribal boundary change in the 1700's?
23	А	I'd like can we speak to that?
24	Q	Well, first of all, you're aware that he said that?
25	A	But I'd like to deal with specifically what he says
26		about that. Yes, he talks about it, but he does
27		not MacDonald does not suggest wholesale movement
28		of Gitksan people out of this territory. He does not
29		say that in his article.
30	Q	Well, do you have 847-19?
31	Ā	This is The Epic of Nekt?
32	Q	The Epic of Nekt. You'll see that at page 79 at 79
33	×	he summarizes at the bottom
34	А	M'hm.
35	Q	And says that:
36	×	Thra says chao.
37		"Summarizing the argument to date, we can
38		see that the Kitwanga Fort National Historic
39		Site is set in a complex framework of
40		intertribal trade and warfare, which dates
41		perhaps as early as the first millenium B.C.
42		when trade and militarism appear in clear
43		evidence in the Prince Rupert Harbour
44		village sites. From those times, a
45		situation of relative stability appears to
1.0		have a serial description of 1700 to Dec

have prevailed until the early 1700's. By

that time there is evidence for a widespread

		13527
1		destabilization of population throughout
2		much of the Northwest Coast."
3		madi di die noldiwese dass.
4		And then on the next page he talks about the
5		Kitwancool and other Gitksan tribes. In the top of
6		the paragraph he says:
7		the paragraph he says.
8		UIn the interior it encoura the Mituengeel
9		"In the interior, it appears the Kitwancool
		and other Gitksan tribes were pushing north
10		at the expense of their Tsetsaut and other
11		Athabascan neighbours to secure the trading
12		trails that ultimately connected through to
13		southeast Alaska and the new sources of
14		wealth."
15		
16		Now, I read that as a suggestion that the
17		Kitwancool and the Gitksans are moving into different
18	_	territory. How do you read it?
19	А	I would like to go back over that paragraph and make
20		some emphasis.
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	Okay. Starting with "Summarizing the argument to
23		date". Actually okay. Let's yeah, let's start
24		with;
25		
26		"Summarizing the argument to date, we can
27		see that the Kitwanga Fort National Historic
28		Site is set in a complex framework of
29		intertribal trade and warfare, which dates
30		perhaps"
31		
32		I think you could put a big underlining on the
33		perhaps.
34		
35		"As early as the first millenium."
36		
37		Now, he is acknowledging coastal and interior
38		trade of great antiquity possibly existing, and I
39		don't have trouble with that, but it is a perhaps.
40		"When the trading and militarism appear", appear
41		should be emphasized, "in clear evidence". It's not
42		clear evidence that appears in these sights.
43		
44		"From those times, a situation of relative
45		stability appears to have prevailed until
46		the early 1700's. By that time there is
47		evidence for widespread destabilization of

1 2		population t Coast."	throughout much of the Northwest
3		•	
4 5 6 7		when we review th should also look	about coast, and I should think in nis evidence I would suggest that we at the historical atlas map again
8			vidence yesterday, because it's
	יחודה		discussion. Can we see that map?
9	IUL		think that over, Mr. Willms, and we'll
10	MID	take	alve acc it if I acm nomember what it
12	MK.	is, and where it	aly see it if I can remember what it
13			rom the historical atlas that we were
14		in the other day.	
	тнг	<del>-</del>	morning adjournment now.
			lord. Order in court. Court will
17	1111.	recess.	Tota. Oracl in court. Court will
18		100000.	
19			(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
20			(,
21			I hereby certify the foregoing to be
22			a true and accurate transcript of the
23			proceedings herein to the best of my
24			skill and ability.
25			-
26			
27			
28			Peri McHale, Official Reporter
29			UNITED REPORTING SERVICE LTD.
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
43			
44			
45			
46			
17			

1 (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT) 3 THE COURT: Mr. Willms. 4 MR. WILLMS: My lord, one point perhaps while the witness is reviewing the map that he wanted to see before the break, on scheduling in case we do need extra time I 7 have spoken with my friends and subject to your 8 lordship, if we could perhaps start at nine o'clock 9 tomorrow morning instead of ten rather than sitting 10 late tonight. I have a commitment that I can't get 11 out of. 12 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll have to check and see. I think it's all right, but I don't know. 14 MR. WILLMS: I am hoping that it won't be necessary, but --15 THE COURT: All right. And of course --16 MR. WILLMS: -- it's better than sitting Saturday. 17 THE COURT: Of course we could sit late tomorrow afternoon too, 18 if necessary. 19 MR. WILLMS: That I can do as well. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. WILLMS: 22 Q Now, Dr. Ray, you suggested that in reviewing the 23 historical atlas that would help you consider whether 2.4 or not MacDonald is saying what he appears to be 25 saying about the movement of the tribes, of the 26 Gitksan tribes pushing north at the expense of their 27 Tsetsaut or other Athabaskan neighbours? 28 Yes. I have one slight problem. This copy I have is 29 cut off and a couple of the key words I wanted are cut off as a result on this at last copy. Is this the 30 31 only -- it's critical to what the point I wanted to 32 make about what he says about coastal destabilization 33 before I moved to the interior stuff. 34 Q Well --35 Do you have a complete -- is yours --Α 36 It's not my exhibit. I am sorry. 37 Oh, I can tell you what it says, but you'll have to 38 take my word for it, that's the problem. 39 Well, just -- I am sorry, but what are you trying to 40 get at? 41 Okay. Well --Α 42 MacDonald is just describing the coast and he's not 43 describing the interior? 44 What I am trying to get at is this: MacDonald is 45 saying that there is some movement, but he is 46 saying -- he's not saying that there is movement from 47 the core area at issue. With regard to the northwest

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

coast population movement, for example, he states right on this map that the coast Tsimshian villages date back to at least five thousand years, and that the movement he's talking about on the coast was some movement northward from that core area. Now, with regard to the Gitksan, the movement there he's talking in general terms in his summary, but if you look earlier in the article you'll find that the main movement he discusses is in fact the movement specifically along one trail and that's trail number three. He claims they are moving northward to have access to the Russians. He does not say in the core of the article, which is relevant to the summary, that all of these Gitksan groups are pushing north. That's my point. And that's why I am sorry that this -these two paragraphs which is MacDonald's most recent work or statements on the matter where they succeed this Epic of Nekt are cut off. So my point is, yes, MacDonald is saying there is some tribal dislocations occurring, perhaps as early as a thousand years ago. He's not describing wholesale movement. And the point I also eas trying to make is that in all of this the emphasis is on -- okay. For example, the northern shift, presumably the whole -- this whole report is laced with that kind of terminology. In the interior -- going specifically to what your question is now, in the interior he says it appears the Kitwancool and other Gitksan tribes were pushing north at the expense of the Tsetsaut - I don't know how you say that word - and other Athabaskan neighbors to secure trails. Now, if you go back into the earlier part of his article he talks -- he discusses -- he is organized to deal particularly with that Kitwan -what is it, Kitwanga Fort. The main discussion there is movement on that specific trail. He's not talking about general northern movement of all Gitksan. Not as I read it and not as he says it. In any event, he does not offer as concrete evidence that any of this happened. He offers us a lot of maybes, presumablies, apparentlies, likelies, etc. That's my -- that's my main reaction.

All right. Now, the other thing that he suggests is, and this is on page 80, that the causes for warfare changed. And let's see what you say about this. It's the middle paragraph. He says:

"Warfare on the Northwest Coast -- "

A Right.

Ç

"-- in the eighteenth century, I suggest, was motivated by the desire to control a new and scarce valuable resource. These trade items include metal, and especially such weapons as guns and knives. The old view of the contact period on the Northwest Coast is proving to be far too simplistic. We tend to look only at the exploration records that came with Maritime contact, overlooking the vast traditional histories of the Indian people for earlier decades of the eighteenth century and beyond."

And then he says:

"Archeological research on the proto-historic period in the interior has also been neglected."

2.4

Now, what I understand he is suggesting there, especially if you read the previous paragraph which I won't read out loud, is that the -- this movement, wherever it was taking place, was taking place to control this new and scarce resource which was related directly to European trade?

A Yes. But in this case he also does not tell us when, how much, how significant this trade was. And I'd like to read one more sentence where you cut off there. He said archeological research on the interior has been neglected, and he carries on:

"For example, little has been done to investigate and record the system of trails, bridges, forts and camp spots that formed an arterial system for trade goods throughout the region."

In other words, the very regions we are talking about he says is poorly researched. Which I read as whatever we say about this archeological period is therefore necessarily highly speculative. Now, the one issue that you are raising in this is the issue of how influential were the Russians in this early period. And recent work in the most recent handbook of the Smithsonian suggests that early Russian influence was probably not that strong.

Q I am showing you, it's an extract from a book entitled "The Tsimshian, Images of the Past: Views for the

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		A Q	Present" edited by Margaret Seguin. You have reviewed extracts of that in your report. For example, the Epic of Nekt is one of the extracts in this book? Yes. But I am not claiming that I read that entire book in detail. I did not dwell on this piece, not to my memory, so you'll have to refresh my mind on this. The pieces, and I am just going to ask you did you read the piece in there by James A. McDonald on
9 10			"Images of the Nineteenth-Century Economy of the Tsimshian"?
11 12 13 14 15		A	I can't recall that I have, but I can't say that I didn't. I mean this we are talking about something I wrote now first draft of this some five years ago. So I may have, but I don't believe I cited in my short report in evidence.
16 17		Q	Do you know James A. McDonald? Have you heard of him before?
18		А	I was the external (?) at his PhD exam at U.B.C.
19		Q	You were?
20 21		A Q	I was. And did he get his PhD?
22		Q A	Barely. If you want to know the truth.
23		Q	Barely. If you want to know the truth.
24		A	He got it.
25		Q	Thank you.
26		A	It was a difficult degree.
27		Q	Now, what and you will see on perhaps we could
28		Ž	mark this, my lord. 966-4.
29 30	THE	COURT	: Yes.
31			(EXHIBIT 966-4: Document entitled "The Tsimshian,
32			Images of the Past: Views for the Present" edited by
33			Margaret Seguin)
34			
35	MR.	WILLMS	S:
36		Q	And if you turn to the page 42 you'll see in a section
37			that's headed "Contact with the European Market." I
38			guess we can him Dr. McDonald.
39		А	Yeah. He's presently.
40		Q	Since he scraped through. And in the second full
41			paragraph he says this, talking about the monopolies
42			and he talks about Legaic and other Tsimshian chiefs
43			in the previous paragraph, but he says this:
44			
45			"As the chiefs' monopolies were competitively
46			expanded, more and more Indian groups came to be
47			incorporated into the network. The Gitksan, for

_		13333
1		instance, were already trading at inland markets
2		were the Coastal Tsimshian for European
3		commodities from American sailing ships when the
4		Hudson's Bay Company trader/explorers reached
5		them, arriving from the east in 1826. From that
6		first contact, the Baymen could not afford to
7		
		match the prices offered by the Tsimshians."
8		
9		Now, just pausing there, that's consistent with what
10		your reading of Brown's journals has disclosed, isn't
11		it?
12	A	Yes. But I mean if you want to be precise we would
13		have to say 1822, wouldn't we? And perhaps 1808 if we
14		want to work in Fort St. James, but I won't quibble if
15		it's not a significant point.
16	THE COURT	: 1802 at Fort St. James?
17		
	А	1806. But for the Bay, in particular, we should have
18		1822, 23.
19	MR. WILLM	IS:
20	Q	Okay. Now, he then discusses Trader Brown:
	Ž	okay. Now, he then discusses frader brown.
21		
22		"The abilities of Trader Brown, who was charged
23		with extending the trade in the Babine Lake area,
24		were under question as a result of the unexpected
25		and serious composition."
26		
27		Just pausing there. You have noted that, haven't you?
	70	
28	А	Yeah. I have no trouble with that.
29	Q	
30		
31		"The actual anthropological data on this time are
32		incomplete. It is difficult to trace, for
33		example, what economic reorganization occurred, or
34		how labour power was reallocated as a result of
35		the fur trade, but the benefits of a greater
36		social productivity, along with the new wealth
37		that was realized in the form of luxury goods and
38		cheaper commodities, such as western cloths, rice,
39		
		molases, gunpowder, and other products, flowed
40		primarily to the chiefs and through them to the
41		rest of the Tsimshian."
42		
43		Now just payaing those hole talling shout the shief-
		Now, just pausing there, he's talking about the chiefs
44		on the coast?
45	А	Uh-huh.
46	Q	Legaic. And in any of your reading
47	А	Remember, Legaic comes on the scene in the 1830s.

Q Well, that's Legaic II, isn't it?

- A Yes
- 3 Q And there are a number of Legaics?
  - A The important Legaic, yes.
    - Q But in any event, that is consistent with at least the European trade with the Coastal Tsimshian?
  - A Uh-huh.
    - Q Okay. Then he carries on and says this:

"Others offer suggestions of important organizational modifications occurring within Tsimshian society during this time. Marius Barbeau felt that the trade led to a crystallization of the social organization and to the development of extensive hunting territories with inviolate frontiers. (This latter point may actually have more to do with the privatization of territories for the exclusive use of chiefs, rather than the extension of the Tsimshian frontiers to incorporate more land."

2.4

Now, just pausing there again.

- A Uh-huh.
- Q In respect of the coast, are you aware of any Hudson Bay's documents or historical records that's inconsistent with that?
- A No. But I want to comment on what you're saying, but go ahead.
- Q All right. Well, I just -- just dealing with the coast now, is there any inconsistency that you are aware of?
- A There was no date on the coast at this period, so --.
  The point is --
  - Q Now, can I just -- I do want to ask you one question.
- 35 A Okay.
  - Q And that is this -- and that is this: Is there anything in your historical research of Brown's journals and the records at Fort Babine that would indicate that the coastal fur trade did not either create or have a profound effect on the social organization of the Indians in the area?
  - A You are asking me for an opinion which I'm quite prepared to give, which is opinion that I would argue is as valid as any of the opinions expressed in here. I'd like -- I'd like to preface that opinion by backtracking, though, and stressing one point here that McDonald says the actual anthropological data on

this time are incomplete. You agree. It is difficult to trace. So the only solid description existing of Gitksan, Babine, Wet'suwet'en social, political, territorial organization, the earliest complete description that we have is the Brown testimony. I would offer the suggestion that the system that we have been over for the last two days is a very well-articulated system, which suggests to me a system that has been in place for some time. The ethnographers who have developed this thesis have not developed this thesis in reference to any of the Brown material. It apparently was unknown to them. He mentions it here. He does not address it, however. And the previous ethnographic and archeological data did not address that data. And what is abundantly clear from Brown is that you have a fully articulated feasting system with house territories, family heads. In other words, the system -- the very system that the ethnographers describe begin to describe with Morice some 60 years later is a system that essentially Brown has just given us the bone outline for in 1820.

- Q In the 1820s?
- A That's right.
  - Q And the coastal fur trade really started in the late 1700s?
  - A By the late 1700s we should put a date on that. The area we're talking about here, the late 1700s, we're really talking about the 1780s onward.
- Q Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

37

38

39

- A And I submit that the significant -- and I don't think many would question that, that the really significant coastal trade can be dated to about that time frame. I doubt very much that the system in place, given how well-articulated that system was, was created in that short a period of time.
- 36 Q Well, of course --
  - A There is no hard evidence to prove that it was. There is no evidence on that point.
    - Q And there is no evidence that it wasn't?
- 40 A That's right.
  - Q It's still a very interesting question.
- 42 A It's a very interesting question. But I would submit 43 that in developing their thesis that it was a product 44 of the trade. The ethnographers might have been 45 better served had they used this information.
- 46 Q But the point that I am suggesting here is that this trade, which influences inland, starting from 1780,

1 had been going on for 40 years before Brown got there? 2

Α That's right.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

29

32

33

34

35

37

39

40

- And you'll agree with me that Barbeau felt, at least for the coast, that it was the trade that led to the crystallization of the social organization?
  - That's right. However, I would like to point out, since I am here to speak as an expert on the fur trade, that other areas where the fur trade had an effect on the native tenure system, which I think we can all agree is a crucial system to any economy, those transformations took far longer than 40 years and they occurred in a situation in which the trade impinged more directly on the basic subsistence economy than did this trade, which at this point in time many would agree was predominantly a luxury trade. It was not a trade that cashed and commercialized the core of the economy and that is the salmon economy.
  - Q Now, the next part, McDonald carries on a quote from Fisher, and he quotes from a Fisher article that you also quoted as well in your report and said that there were five changes to social organization correlated with the fur trade. The first was the creation of new mercantile leaders such as Legaic. Now, I mean that is beyond dispute at least from the coast, isn't it?
- Α The Legaic chiefs.
  - The Legaic chiefs. Second, the concentration of wealth in their hands. Now, one thing --
    - Α Excuse me, what page are you on?
- 30 0 I am on page 43.
- 31 All right. Α
  - One thing, I suppose, that a first read-through of Brown without carefully going back through it, as a historical geographer, you might think that he noted a concentration of wealth in the hands of some chiefs?
- 36 Α Yeah.
  - Is that correct? 0
- 38 Yeah, he did. Α
  - So that's, at least reading Brown, just reading it, it appears to accord with number two. There appears to be a concentration of wealth?
- 42 Well, I take that as a leading question myself. I am 43 not saying -- you are saying that this is what this 44 led to. I am saying what he described was chiefs with 45 wealth concentrated in their hands. If that's what 46 you are asking me I will say yes.
- 47 Then going on: The consolidation of the powers of the

leaders, and you'll see that they are ranked. They are one through 20 in terms -- or one through ten Brown ranked them?

- A But that's my precise point. He first arrives there, it's -- he gives us a finely ranked list. Now, you are supposing that the fur trade produced that. There is no evidence of that. What Brown is describing is what Brown saw and that is a rank list of leaders. I will agree to that, but I will not agree to the suggestion that you have evidence to prove that the fur trade created it.
- Q Now, just carrying on with Fisher. He mentioned the centralization of nine tribes around Port Simpson.
- A Uh-huh.
  - Q But one thing that you noted, for example, in reviewing the Hudson's Bay records to the turn of the century, is that Fort Babine, when it moved, also had a concentration of people move with the fort to the north end of Babine lake?
- 20 A Uh-huh.
  - Q Is that correct?
- 22 A Yeah.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

31

- Q And that there was ultimately a fort established at Hazelton and a significant number of people moved from Kisgagas and the northern Skeena down to Hazelton?
- A Right. And what's the point?
- Q Well, the point is that the people moved to where the fort was.
- 29 A But that's -- what's the relevance to 1822, which is 30 the very critical date that we're talking about?
  - Q Well, I'm roaming through the nineteenth century, Dr. Rav.
- A But we have to -- if we are trying to talk about the
  evolution of society in post context, we can't roam
  around. I am here as a historian. You can't
  understand what's going on unless you understand it in
  sequence.
- 38 THE COURT: Mr. Willms, you have lost me. You are reading from 39 Fisher?
- 40 MR. WILLMS: No, I am reading still from --
- 41 THE COURT: Still from McDonald?
- 42 MR. WILLMS: -- McDonald quoting from Fisher.
- 43 THE COURT: Oh all right. Thank you.
- 44 MR. WILLMS: I am on page 43, my lord. It's up at the top and I have gone through the first four items there.
- 46 Q And the fifth item is the use of European trade as a 47 factor in inter-tribal politics. And you have already

1 given some evidence about the Atnahs who were armed and wanted the Babines to trade with them? 3

Α Uh-huh.

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- And the trade that they wanted wasn't in berrycakes. The trade was in furs?
- Α That is certainly the implication there, that's for sure.
  - Q All right. And --
  - Α But remember, they came with guns and spears.
- 10 So that that would appear to have been something that 11 Brown observed?
- 12 Α Yes, he observed it.
  - Now, I just --Q
  - Remember too, though, that McDonald, since we brought him up, talks about about a coastal trade going back a thousand years, so nothing new.
    - Now, if you can just carry on page 43 McDonald concludes with this paragraph:

"Further support comes from Michael Robinson, who even argues that a new, more complex political organization ('proto-statedom') was being created by the chiefs. Thus, while it may be true that the trader made no attempt 'to change any basic structures or beliefs of the Indian', it is debatable to say that the trade made 'no extensive impact upon Indian society' other than an intensification of cultural practices, as Usher goes on to suggest."

Now, just pausing with that statement. You agree with that, it's debatable to say that the trade made no extensive impact upon Indian society other than an intensification of cultural practices?

- I don't say that. But I said at the same time -- you are talking now in the fur trade in very general terms. What you are asking me is did the fur trade do more than just intensify things by the middle or late nineteenth century, I would say yes. In 1820 I would say it's a moot point.
- 41 Well, isn't 1820 your starting point? Q
- 42 It is. Α
- Yes. And so --43
- 44 Α He's not talking about 1820.
- 45 You don't know what it was like in 1810 or 1800 or 46 1790 or 1780, do you?
- 47 Nor does Michael Robinson, does he?

1 Well, I am just dealing with you.

2 Well, I have to respond to this. We are talking now 3 about an academic literature which is making the 4 assumptions and I don't have access to this Robinson 5 article. If he is talking about proto-statedom and so 6 on throughout the Legaic chiefs before, well, the 7 Legaic chiefs don't have an impact on the Bulkley 8 Valley until the 1830s. But if you're asking me 9 what's going on in the 1830s onwards, that's not the 10 point that I addressed in the submission to the court. 11 Submission to the court was what was the base line 12 economy when we get the first detailed European 13 account. That's what I dealt with. 14

Well, I am also --

- What happened after the fact is another aspect of the Α history.
- And what happened before that is another aspect as Q well?
- Α That is a question which we all will have trouble answering since there is no data for it. We have a lot of opinion.
- This is an extract from the cross-examination of Dr. Kari. And it is -- my lord, I should advise you that the quote which is at lines eight through 30 which I am going to read is taken from Exhibit 883 tab 2.

26 THE COURT: Who is the author?

The author is Dr. Rigsby. 27 MR. WILLMS:

- And so this is what I put -- I put Dr. Rigsby to Dr. Kari. And it's Dr. Rigsby at 883-2 and I don't know if you are aware of this evidence, Dr. Ray?
  - I am not. Could you please tell me who these people Α were?
  - Dr. Rigsby is a linguist, a Gitksan linguist and one of the plaintiffs' experts in this case. Dr. Kari is an Athabaskan linguist and one of the plaintiffs' experts in this case. And I am reading Dr. Rigsby to Dr. Kari here. And he says this:

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

"'Many small Athabaskan-speaking hamlets and local groups, such as the Gitxsinjihl of Caribou Creek must have been gradually and peacefully Gitksanized in socioculture and speech. The fur trade seems to have spurred the Gitksan occupation of the Middle Nass and, especially, the Upper Nass and Upper Skeena territories. As many oral traditions testify, this was not a peaceful gradual process, but some Athabaskan place names

were retained. It is interesting to note that there were no Gitksan permanent winter villages on the Middle or Upper Nass, nor on the far Upper Skeena. There were summer fishing camps and hunting-trapping grounds in these territories, but the real bases of operation were the large winter villages at Kitwancool, Kispiox, Kisgegas and Kuldo. It was during this same period of the fur trade that the Nishga and the Tlingit, along with epidemic disease, reduced the Tsetsaut Athabaskans of Portland Canal to a handful of survivors by the turn of this century."

2.4

1 2

Now, just stop quoting there, you will see down below that Dr. Kari acknowledges that this is Dr. Rigsby's view in 1987.

- A Uh-huh.
- Q Now, I am going to suggest to you that what Dr. McDonald said is completely consistent, that is the expansion of the Gitksan tribes at the expense of their Athabaskan neighbors, the Tsetsaut, is completely consistent with what Dr. Rigsby says in 1987 in response to the fur trade?
- A Well, I submit in terms of the Epic of Nekt, which is the only thing that McDonald in detail that I read does not say this. He is speaking specifically of a specific grease trail and expansion along that trail in terms of this pushing of these people. He does talk about that. He does not say the Gitksan of the Babine area weren't there, which I presume is the drift of this quote here. He doesn't this this expert, whose background I don't know, so I am speaking in a complete vacuum, doesn't seem to be aware of the villages on the Babine River, does she? Does she acknowledge the three that were there?
- Q Well, Kisgegas is -- Kisgegas is there.
- A Well, we are talking -- we've established, I think we agree now after we have been back and forth with Brown, that there were three villages on the Babine.
- Q Yeah, they're Atnah villages and you interpret that to be Gitksan?
- 42 A Yes.
  - Q Well, let's just call them Atnah.
  - A Well, I think it's clear that we can call them that, but I think it's clear that they are.
- Q Were you aware that the spread of Nishga and Gitksan speech communities into the Nass Valley and up the

```
1
             Skeena accompanied the territorial expansion of a new
 2
             sociocultural system? Did you know that before you
 3
             wrote your paper on the Hudson's Bay records?
 4
             In general terms I know that these things -- I don't
         Α
 5
             know the specific thesis here, no. I am not familiar
 6
             with it.
 7
             And did you know that the linguistic interpretation
 8
             was that the differing social relations included a
 9
             different system of land and resource tenure?
10
             You notice the thing that I find fascinating about
         Α
11
             this quote, though, it says gradually and peacefully
12
             Gitksanized. If what she is saying is so, then it
13
             seems to me that we see in 1820 something that
14
             happened over a very long period of time, does it not?
             Well, it's the next paragraph. The lower Athabaskan hamlets which are inculturated. I am dealing with the
15
16
17
             Middle Nass, the Upper Nass and the Upper Skeena
18
             territories.
19
             Well, all I say she says it's a slow or gradual
20
             process, we have a well articulated system in place in
21
             the 1820s, which it did, the slow and gradual process
22
             that she is talking about argues for me long antiquity
23
             for it.
             You see, you are aware from the Hudson's Bay
2.4
25
             documents, are you not, that from the north of the
26
             Babine River to Sekanni -- to Bear Lake, those are
27
             Sekanni areas?
28
             The northern end of it certainly was. The east --
29
             northeast of Bear Lake. But what was south and west
30
             of Bear Lake in terms of the Brown record I think is
31
             otherwise. It's clearly Atnah, belonging to those
32
             chiefs Need-chip and -- was it Quo-Em? I think
33
             it's -- we have established that fairly clearly from
34
             the Brown record.
             Well, perhaps Exhibit 964-
35
36 THE COURT: Do you want to mark this?
37 MR. WILLMS: Yes, my lord.
38 THE COURT: All right. 966-5.
39 THE REGISTRAR: Yes, my lord.
40
41
             (EXHIBIT 966-5: Excerpt from transcript of evidence
42
             of J. Kari)
43
            Which one am I looking for now?
45 MR. WILLMS:
                 And the next exhibit, my lord, is 964-5 in book
             one.
47 THE COURT: Whose book one?
```

```
1 THE REGISTRAR: Mr. Ray.
 2 MR. WILLMS: In Dr. Ray's book one.
 3 THE COURT: All right.
 4 MR. WILLMS: And if you --
 5 THE COURT: I am sorry, what tab number, please?
 6 MR. WILLMS: It's tab five, my lord, and the page appears to
            have three numbers on it. There is a printed number
 8
             seven?
 9
        Α
           Sorry. Which tab are we at?
10 MR. WILLMS:
11
            Tab five.
        Q
12
        A All right.
13
        Q
           Printed number seven and then a handwritten 97 and
14
            then another handwritten eleven beside it.
15
           Sorry, run --
16 THE COURT: Mr. Willms, are you suggesting a convenient place in
17
            Dr. Ray's report where notes on this document might
18
             most conveniently be inserted? If you can't it
19
             doesn't matter.
20 MR. WILLMS: My lord, I can't recall where it was --
21 THE COURT: All right.
22 MR. WILLMS: -- that this document was cited.
23 THE COURT: All right. Never mind. Thank you.
        A Was it 7, 97 11?
25 MR. WILLMS:
26
        Q
            7, 97 \text{ and } 11.
27
        A Right. Okay.
28
         Q And you'll see he discusses here in the middle
29
            paragraph:
30
31
                 "While the Indians of this place was at the Atnah
32
                 village, the Principal Chief of the Siccanies of
33
                 the Prairies, and four of his countrymen arrived
34
                 there, to whom Caspins made a present of his
35
                 shirt, and some other articles and entered into an
36
                 arrangement for him to come -- "
37
38
             "Here".
        Α
39
        0
40
41
                 " -- here on the first snow ensuing fall. By the
42
                 different Indians who were then present, I
43
                 received the following information concerning
44
                 these people.
45
                       The Siccanies of the Prairies are a numerous
46
                 Nation, inhabiting the country to the north
47
                 of McDougall's River, which principally consists
```

of extensive Plains, it abounds in Moose, Caribou, 1 Beaver and other fur-bearing animals, the two former they hunt for their subsistence and -- " 3 4 5 THE COURT: "To cloath themselves." 6 MR. WILLMS: " -- to cloath themselves. And the latter as an 8 article of trade. They speak the same language 9 and have the same habits as the Siccanies on the 10 other side of the height of the land -- " 11 12 I guess that's the Rockies, 13 14 "They live by the chase and never for any length 15 of time remain in the same place, but are always 16 moving about for the purpose of hunting. They 17 never work the salmon save for a short time in the 18 spring when they kill some of the large kind for 19 present use. At times they visit the Atnah 20 Villages on the banks of McDougall's River to 21 trade salmon when they are unfortunate in the 22 chase. In the fall of the year they are in the 23 habit of hunting the beaver in the vicinity of 2.4 bear on Webster's Lake and requested the Indians 25 to visit them there on the first fall of snow, and 26 when they would accompany them here with their 27 fur." 28 29 So that is as far as Brown knew where the Sekannis 30 were. They were north of McDougall's River and they 31 trapped beaver around Bear Lake? 32 I'd like to -- can I comment on this? Α 33 Well, that's what -- I read that correctly, didn't I? 34 You read it correctly, but there is a problem with the Α 35 document. 36 It's unreliable, is that what you're going to say? 37 Yeah. And I'll explain why, on this particular point. 38 Brown -- if you recall, Brown learned this from 39 another Indian, right? This is his -- this is the 40 first report, right? Is this not E(1) that we're 41 looking at? 11 E(1)? I believe it is. Yes, it is. 42 We should view his comments here in relation to E(2)43 which is this report for 1826 when he goes in to the 44 reasons why he revises his report. I forget, what's 45 the tab number for E(2), because it's relevant here to 46 figure out what was right and what was wrong in this 47 particular set of observations. I don't know what

1 that referencing number system is here. Volume 1 tab five? Volume 1 tab --3 Α And Volume 2 tab 12 is E(2). My lord, by the way, at 4 5 page four of Dr. Ray's report he talks about these fairly complete reports on Babine country at the top of the page and --8 THE COURT: What page, please? 9 MR. WILLMS: 10 It's at the top of page four and you'll see --11 I still don't have a copy of E(2) or --12 It's Volume 2 tab 12. But you'll see at the top of 13 the page, the witness in his report referred to two 14 fairly complete reports on Babine country and 15 districts to the west. 16 I did. Α 17 And it's B 11E(1) that I just read to the witness 18 from, the first fairly complete report. 19 That's correct. But you recall in my discussion of 20 Brown the other day, we discussed Brown as an observer 21 and he was a man who was continually updating his 22 information. That was his first report. He hadn't 23 been there yet, and he heard this information from 24 natives, and he addressses that in Volume 2. That's 25 why I am trying to get to it. I am not saying 26 everything he said there is incorrect, but we should 27 at least compare the two. I am just trying to find 28 the appropriate reference now. 29 THE COURT: Well, then, we have got to go to tab 12, don't we? Yes. Sorry to take up your time, but I know -- okay. 30 Α 31 If we go to tab 2, printed --32 THE COURT: I am sorry, tab 2? Tab 2, yeah, the page following printed nine. 34 MR. WILLMS: Q Tab 12 you mean? Yeah. Tab 12, volume -- what is this? Volume 2. 37 THE COURT: Yes. Yes. A It looks like page 76 upper left. Do you see that? 39 THE COURT: I have got tab 12 with a printed nine on it. I don't see any other. 41 Okay. Then flip over the page following printed page Α 42 nine. 43 THE COURT: Oh.

The first full paragraph says "the information."

Α

47 MR. WILLMS: Page 15?

A Can I read that?

45 THE COURT: Yes.

```
1 THE COURT: It looks like it's page 76.
        A It follows printed nine.
 3 MR. WILLMS: Oh here we are.
 4 MR. ADAMS: I believe that's a 16, my lord.
 5 THE COURT: Is that a 16?
            Oh, handwritten 16.
         Α
 7 THE COURT: Okay. If you say so.
           Would you like me to read that?
9 MR. WILLMS:
10
        Q
            Yes.
11
         Α
12
                 "The information I received regarding the
13
                 Siccaunnies of the Prairie, and stated my report
14
                 of 1823, is not to be relied upon."
15
16
             That's the report you were reading from.
17
18
                 "They being described there as a numerous nation,
19
                 inhabiting a country consisting of extensive
20
                 plains, and abounding with Beaver and large
21
                 animals. Whereas by the accounts I have had of
22
                 them since they are only a small band of
23
                 vagabonds, who are excluded from the society of
2.4
                 all other Indians on account of their crimes and
25
                 reside principally in the mountainous country
26
                 lying between the Babine River and Bears Lake."
27
28
             So they are in the mountainous country.
29
             Yeah, well, I -- maybe you misunderstood me. I was
30
             suggesting to you that they lived between McDougall's
31
             River, Babine River and Bear Lake. That's what he
32
             says here, isn't it?
33
           But we have to then get out this map and have a look
34
             at what McDougall's River does and what north in this
35
             case would be. I submit that he's talking about this
36
             territory in here. The groups we were talking about
37
             yesterday we were talking about the territories over
38
             in here. See, there is a string of mountains running
39
             from Bear Lake down here. Here's McDougall's River.
40
             We were talking about this area yesterday over here.
41
             Well, all that I'm asking is whether or not Brown
42
             noted that from McDougall's River to Bear Lake was
43
             Sekanni territory?
44
            Yes. But I'm saying that the way the river is
         Α
45
             oriented, that doesn't tell you a whole lot.
46
             Now --
         Q
47
             Because he clearly -- Brown also subsequently on his
```

trip describes what are Atnah territories related to
Need-chip in the area also north of Babine River. But
I don't think the two -- the two reports, if you look
at the map, are not necessarily in conflict. If you
look at geography of the area.

- Q So somewhere in there in the mountains north of Babine between the Babine River and Bear Lake, somewhere there I suppose the Atnah hunting territory stopped and the Sekanni territory started according to Brown?
- A Yes. It might be helpful to look at John Stewart's report for 1824 where he describes, I believe, Sekanni territory as being primarily the area around the upper headwaters of the Peace, Parsnip and Findlay rivers.
  - Q Now, one of the other works that you refer to in writing your report was Adams work on the Gitksan potlatch. And --
- A It's not cited in my short report. It was used in the long report. So yes, I cited it, but it's been four or five years now since I read it.
- Q And you recall that Adams commented that the people in the Kitwancool spoke Tsetsaut?
- 22 A Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

- Q And that people speaking Stikine were living in Gitsegukla and Kitwancool?
- A Yes. And what time period is it when we find this information?
  - Q Well, I think --
- A This is memory ethnography done this century, right, well after the fact of these reports. So you are reading backwards into the history.
- Q Yes. He says as recently as the 1830s half of the inhabitants of Gitsegukla spoke the Hagwilget language and the Village of Kitwancool was half Stikine?
  - A As early as. And what is the reference?
- 35 Q No. As recently?
- 36 A As recently?
- 37 Q Yeah.
- 38 A It doesn't say it was. It says as recently. No 39 evidence, and it's post Brown.
- Q Well, this is something that's been adopted by one of the plaintiffs' witnesses in this trial.
- 42 A Well, I haven't seen -- I haven't seen that. All I am 43 saying is that you are asking me to respond to this as 44 a historian. This is talking about a period after 45 which we are focused in this record.
- Q Well, I'm talking about a period that is contemporaneous and precedes the period that you are

```
1
             focusing on. The 1830s is contemporaneous?
 2
        Α
             Yes.
 3
         0
            Correct?
 4
        Α
            But you are saying as early as.
 5
           No. As recently as.
 6
        Α
            Oh, as recent.
 7
           No. He says as recently.
 8
            As recently. All -- well, I don't have evidence on
 9
             that one way or the other and as far as I know there
10
             isn't any historical document that can establish that
11
             one way or the other, so it has to be a matter of
12
             opinion. There --
13
         Q
            One thing that you noted on your review of the
14
             Hudson's Bay documents was that putting aside fur
15
             trapping, the exploitation of animals by the Atnahs or
16
             the Babine/Wet'suwet'en was pretty minimal?
17
            Uh-huh.
        Α
18
           Is that correct?
         Q
19
            Uh-huh.
        Α
20
            You have to say yes or no.
21
            Oh sorry. Yes. This I assume means in terms of food
        Α
             and that sort of thing. I have to say it would have
22
23
             some for clothing.
24 THE COURT: What did you say, Mr. Willms, by the -- by the
25
            Atnahs or Babine?
26 MR. WILLMS: Or the Babine/Wet'suwet'en.
27 THE COURT: Thank you.
28 MR. WILLMS:
29
            Now, we started off here with Dr. Robinson's article
30
             and I'd like to return -- you don't need to have it in
31
             front of of you unless you want to review it.
32
            I'd like to review it.
         Α
33
           But you know that one thing that Dr. Robinson relied
34
             on in concluding that there was really no reason for
35
             sophisticated land tenure and no reason for hierarchy,
36
             hierarchical development before the advent of the fur
37
             trade, one of the references of Dr. Robinson were Drs.
38
             Goldman, Dr. Stewart, Dr. Kobinsky, Diamond Jenness,
39
             and Morice and anthropologists and archeologists, is
40
             that correct?
41
            Uh-huh. In other words, you are referring to the
         Α
42
             ethnographic literature, and I will speak to that in a
43
            minute if you want.
44
         Q
            Yes.
45
            Yes.
         Α
46
            But that is what she -- is one source of her opinion
```

and you recognized in reading her opinion or report

that that is a conclusion that many anthropologists,
for example, have come to, for example, that house
owning territories among the Wet'suwet'en is
relatively recent?

- A That's the conclusion they came to in the absence of any good historical records, that's correct.
- Q Now, I would like to put a series of propositions to you and ask you to identify, if you can, a Hudson's Bay reference that refutes it. And the first one is, the first proposition is the Gitksan moved up into the Upper Skeena and the Upper Nass in response to the coastal fur trade. Now, is there anything in the Hudson's Bay records that refutes that proposition?
- A In response to which fur trade?
- Q The coastal fur trade.
  - A It doesn't speak to it.
  - Q All right. So the answer is there is nothing in the Hudsons' Bay reports that refutes that because it doesn't speak to it?
- 20 A Correct.

2.4

- Q Now, second, is there anything in the Hudson's Bay records which refutes or disputes conflicts between the inhabitants of the Skeena River and the Nass River in the late 1700s and early 1800s that is armed conflicts?
- A Obviously not for 1820. We don't have a record. There is no historical record to establish that.
  - Q Is there anything in the Hudson's Bay documents which refutes the proposition that Carrier social organization was patterned after Tsimshian or Gitksan social organization?
- A I say it makes that an open question, because Brown makes it clear that the two societies were very similar in 1820 and you cannot conclude from that that who borrowed it from whom.
- Q But I'm putting my questions in terms of refuting. There is nothing in the documents that refutes that proposition. It's unclear, but it's not refuted?
- A Doesn't speak to it.
- Q All right. Fourth, trade between the coast and occupants of the Bulkley and Skeena drainages had occurred prior to the end of the eighteenth century?
- A Trade had occurred. Well, the Hudson's Bay Company doesn't speak to that.
- 45 Q And finally, notions of beaver trapping territories 46 where access was limited by or owned by a chief 47 developed in response to the coastal fur trade. Is

1			there anything in the Hudson's Bay records that would
2		А	refute that? The references in the Hudson's Bay Company to that
4		11	system are in relation to the feasting system, the
5			antiquity of which we can't establish. So I guess
6			that the record doesn't speak to it since it can't.
7	THE	COURT	: Mr. Willms, could I have that question again? The
8			nature of beaver trapping territories
9	MR.	WILLMS	± ± - 2
10			was limited by or owned by a chief developed in
11 12			response to the coastal fur trade. That was the
13			proposition. And the question was: Is there anything in the Hudson's Bay records that would refute that and
14			I think the witness' answer was they don't speak to
15			it.
	THE	COURT	: Yes.
17		Q	Perhaps we can get started, Dr. Ray, on your Exhibit
18			962, and that's tab four, and you should have before
19			you at the same time your report, and you probably
20		_	don't need anything else.
21		A	Which which volume are we at?
22 23		Q	Volume 3. So all you need for the present purposes is your draft at tab four, which has been marked Exhibit
24			962, and your report, which is Exhibit 960.
25		А	Right.
	THE	COURT	
			S: It's Volume 3 tab four, my lord.
28	THE	COURT	: Yes. Thank you.
	THE	COURT	: I wonder, Mr. Willms, is there any point in starting
30	3.670		this in five minutes?
31	MR.	WILLMS	S: It would be more convenient to take a run at it after lunch.
	THE	COHRT	: Yes. I think we will adjourn until 2 o'clock.
34	1111	COOKI	· 165. I chink we will dajouin dhell 2 o clock.
35			(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED PURSUANT TO LUNCHEON
36			ADJOURNMENT)
37			I hereby certify the foregoing to be
38			a true and accurate transcript of the
39			proceedings herein to the best of my
40			skill and ability.
41 42			
43			
44			
45			Laara Yardley,
46			Official Reporter,
47			United Reporting Service Ltd.

 $^{\perp}$ h2 A.J. Ray (for Plaintiffs) (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED PURSUANT TO LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT)

4 THE REGISTRAR: Ready to proceed, my lord.

5 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Willms.

6 MR. WILLMS:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

42

- Q Now, the documents that we were organizing were Volume 3 of your document book and your report. I just have one question before we get going, and that is the first document that has been disclosed from your files is the document at tab 3 which has been marked Exhibit 961, the draft dated February 17th, 1984. Was there no correspondence between anyone at the tribal council and you setting out what the scope of your work was to be?
- A We never -- we never signed a contract, nor did we put it in writing. It was just a verbal understanding.
- Q Can you explain how did you come to be engaged? Who approached who, and when?
- Well, I have a colleague, or had a colleague at UBC in the history department, now retired, Keith Ralston, who I don't know exactly what his relationship with the council was at that time, but he was, I think, involved in the Kemano hearing, whatever it was. And I came to UBC in 1981 and Keith suggested it might be useful for them if I did a history of the early involvement of the Hudson's Bay Company in this territory. And I can't remember the exact sequence of events after that, but it was agreed that this would be useful. And that's what I agreed to do, I agreed to do it on the basis that I understood at the time they were strapped for cash so I said I would do it on the basis that my expenses incurred in actually doing the report -- I did not charge them a fee to produce the report, I simply charged them the expenses I incurred in preparing the report. So it was -- the long and short of it is it was a very informal arrangement. There is no contract and no correspondence that I could dredge up, but I don't keep records the way you people do.
- 41 Q I wouldn't be so quick to say that.
  - A There might have been.
- Q Okay. Now, let's turn to tab 4. And if you would please turn in tab 4 which is Exhibit 962 to page seven.
  - A This is the 16 January report, right?
- 47 Q 1985, yes.

```
13551
 1
         Α
             Yeah.
 2
             And at the same time --
 3
         Α
             Did you say page seven? Sorry.
 4
             Page seven. And at the same time Exhibit 960, which
 5
             is your report, page nine.
 6
         Α
             Okay.
 7
             And what you have said in your draft at the bottom of
 8
             page seven, it's the last -- second to last line:
 9
             "Cabbah", C-A-B-B-A-H, "was one of these, and he was
10
             the leading chief of one of the Babine tribes" in
11
             quotes, and then you have clans --
12
             Question mark.
         Α
13
             -- Question mark. And then in the final you say on
14
             page nine, and this is midway down that paragraph.
15
             M'hm.
         Α
16
         Q
17
                  "Cabbah was one of these and he was the
18
                  leading chief of one of the Babine
19
                  'tribes'."
20
21
                 And then you go on to say:
22
23
                  "The latter term was used by the traders to
2.4
                  identify the various subgroups of the
25
                  Babine, Wet'suwet'en and Gitksan; thus
26
                  'tribes' in fact were the houses or
27
                  lineages."
28
29
             M'hm.
         Α
30
             Now, what Hudson's Bay documents did you read between
31
             January 1985 and the time of your report that allowed
32
             you to make that addition to your report?
33
             Well, let's back up again back to how I got into this
34
             whole thing. When I first arrived here in B.C. this
35
             was my first research into this area and so I was \,
36
             re-familiarizing myself with some of the literature
37
             after I did this search through the archives. So in
38
             this first volume here I was speculating, brackets
39
             clans. Remember, this was an internal document for
```

comment and on the basis of feedback and suggestions

it seemed -- I mean, clans in anthropological

literature they refer to clans as sometimes clan

segments, which are really groups, subgroupings of clans. I read lineage in that sense. So to me it's

not clear whether we are talking about a full clan,

but we're clearly talking about more than a single

house and therefore it seems reasonable in that

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

circumstances to probably at least use the term lineage in this instance as opposed to a full clan. So it really reflects my revision based on feedback and thinking about the matter and re-examining the record. And having re-examined the record again very extensively this last week I would say the lineage in this sense I'm more content with than my first speculation of clans. Not that I think there might have been clans there, but I don't think in this context it's necessarily a clan, it's not necessarily isn't either, I would admit.

- Q Lineage could mean related by blood; right?
- A Kinship group possibly be related by blood, yes. It may extend beyond that as well. These are corporate groups.
- Q Well, yeah, we've heard it described as that. I just didn't see anywhere in the Hudson's Bay documents where it was described as corporate groups. Maybe you could help me with that. Are they described as corporate groups anywhere in the Hudson's Bay documents?
- A There is one reference. Now, again, I've been through these records back and forth so I can't remember exactly, but there is one reference I believe to one of the Wet'suwet'en, one of the Western Babine, as having as his descendant -- I'm trying to remember if it was a bear -- what the animal was. There is in fact a reference to at least one of these groups as being descended from what an anthropologist would refer to as a mythical ancestor. So there is in evidence, but I can't right here give you that exact reference but there is evidence to suggest they're in existence, yes. But not in this particular instance here, no.
- Q Just in the same tenor, if you turn to page ten of your draft and page eight of your final, in the middle paragraph on page ten of your draft, the second line you say:

"Caspine was the leading chief..." --

2.3

2.4

This is -- sorry. It's page ten of your final and page eight of your draft. Let me get this straight.

- A Just a second. Easy to make the mistake.
  - Q Page eight of the draft, page ten of the final.
- 46 A Right. Okay.
- 47 Q And in the final you have:

1		
2		"Caspine was the leading chief of the
3		village but he was not the prominent chief
4		of his house."
5		
6	А	Right.
7	Q	You see that? And in your draft what you've said is:
8	×.	Tou boo ondo. This in jour draft mad jou to bard is.
9		"Caspine was the leading chief of the
10		village but he was not the prominent chief
11		of his tribe."
12		or his cribe.
		And then control out also such a Commission of the model
13		And then you've got clan again. So you've changed
14		that to house. Now, did that come from the Hudson's
15		Bay journals or did that come from the feedback that
16		you were getting on drafts of your report?
17	A	Could you take me back to page ten? I have to catch
18		this line again.
19	Q	On page ten in the second paragraph
20	А	Where are we?
21	Q	Second line.
22	Α	Oh, okay.
23	Q	The word house appears in the final, the word tribe
24		paragraph or parenthesis clan appears in the draft.
25		Just let me back up. Tribe is the word that was used
26		by Brown?
27	A	Not the only word. He uses the term tribe, and he
28		uses the term band, and he uses the term family. He
29		uses these three terms. And he tends to use tribe and
30		band somewhat loosely, so you have to be careful in
31		each context which he's referring to.
32	Q	He doesn't use clan and he doesn't use house?
33	Ā	He doesn't use clan, no. And I can't remember in the
34		case of house. I suspect not.
35	Q	Okay. So do you recall who it was that that
36	Z	assisted your thought processes here on what these
37		were when you were interpreting the Hudson's Bay
38		records?
39	7\	Well, for one it would be my just my general
	А	
40		familiarity with the organization. These lineages,
41		for example, were we've been through this, I think,
42		the other day, were in fact in a given house were
43		multiple families who were related. So in a sense
44		house and lineage and family as he uses them are
45		essentially interchangeable.
46	Q	Well, he uses families.

A Yeah. I would say that of the terms in there the

family would be the closest equivalent to what we understand as house today.

- Q Or it could be very close to what we all understand as a family today?
  - A Except these are extended families.
  - Q Well, I know you're saying that, but Brown presumably coming from a Scottish background didn't have this complicated kinship theory in mind when he used the word families?
- A Yeah, but let's back up. If we recall the description of Hot-set we had 28 houses.
  - Q He could use clan.

2.4

- A We had a population of 700, right? Roughly some 700 people in 28 houses.
  - Q Well, that's Ogden.
- A Ogden. Okay. But, I mean, we are talking about the same village, right? You agree that Hot-set is Wet'suwet'en. We are not sure exactly where on the river it is, but we have a village of some 700 people living in 28 houses. Sounds to me as though these are pretty big families, correct?
- Q Well, that's when Ogden is there.
- A Okay. So --
  - Q I'm just talking about Brown, and you're reading Brown and you're describing the people that Brown described.
  - A Okay
  - Q And you're describing them in your draft as being a chief of a tribe, and you're describing them in your final as the prominent chief of his house, and I'm wondering who it was that helped you clarify your thought processes on these anthropological terms, 'cause they're not his words, he didn't use house.
  - A No, but I'm saying that my sense and understanding of what the social anthropologists have to say about this social organization of the Gitksan and the Wet'suwet'en people, and considering the record let's go back to Brown and Hot-set, if we don't want to deal with Ogden, but as I recall, again I'm having to go on memory, and I'm sure we can dredge it out here if I get it wrong, but he described Hot-set as being a village of some 700 people, with 20 ranked chiefs as heads of families. So it seems to me we're still talking about groupings of fairly large people in residential units. So I accept your point that the word house is not in Brown, but I think the inference that what he's talking about is equivalent to what ethnographers are talking about late

		13555
1		nineteenth-century is reasonable. So well, anyway,
2		I just do you want me to say anything more on that?
3	Q	Maybe you can just say this, there was nothing in the
4	~	Hudson's Bay documents that specifically delineated
5		the word clan and the word house?
6	А	No, and that's why I've used clan in brackets.
7	Q	But tribe is used and family is used?
8	Ā	And band is used.
9	Q	And band is used?
10	2 A	Yeah.
11	Q	Okay. And you your understanding is that when he's
12		talking about tribe and band when you read it it looks
13	_	like it's interchangeable?
14	А	Yes, but not a hundred percent, that's why we'd have
15		to go through every single instance, but I think most
16		of the time that's a reasonable approximation, yes.
17		And he differentiates that from family.
18	Q	Yes.
19	Α	So we've got some sort of a grouping that extends
20		beyond the family.
21	Q	Yes. Now, if you could turn now to page ten of your
22		draft.
23	Α	Okay.
24	Q	And page 12 of your final. And you'll see that in
25		your draft at page ten you've quoted Ogden?
26	Α	M'hm.
27	Q	And then you have a paragraph at the bottom of the
28		page ten which is not which has been taken out of
29		the final, and the paragraph says this:
30		, F y
31		"Ogden's observation that the village was
32		divided in half is of interest in that Adams
33		claimed Gitksan villages were divided into
34		halves by crest groups. Marsden asserts
35		that there is no evidence to support Adams'
36		interpretation."
37		interpretation.
38		That's a personal communication. That's Susan
39		-
	70	Marsden, is it?
40	А	It's a woman I never met. I got this letter out of
41		the blue, which I think you've got somewhere in your
42	•	records too, and that's what was written to me.
43	Q	All right. And then you carry on:
44		
45		"If Adams is correct perhaps the Hot-set
46		settlement pattern of the early 18th century
47		reflected a similar social organization."

1 2 Now, was it because of Marsden's communication to 3 you that you decided to delete that from the final or 4 why did you take that out? 5 Well, as I said, it, first of all, was speculation. 6 The village is described as divided in two, but since 7 I had no more information to deal on the point one way 8 or the other, and my main focus was on the economics 9 not the social I just decided to delete it. I don't 10 know Marsden and it wasn't on the basis that I 11 considered her authority one way or the other, but --12 The next -- it's page 12 of your draft and it's page 13 22 of your final. And there -- it appears that the 14 paragraphs at the bottom of page 12 and over to the 15 top of page 13 of your draft have been moved to page 16 22 and revised somewhat in the final. 17 Let me read these two paragraphs. So the second Α 18 paragraph on page 12 and on to page 13, is that it? 19 Q Yes. 20 Okav. Α 21 It looks like that has been moved, but revised on to 22 the last paragraph on page 22. If you can read that. 23 Okay. And -- okay. Now, on the short -- on the Α 2.4 submitted report where do we pick up? 25 Page 22, that paragraph. 26 Α Yeah. I see now. Okay. Good. Yeah. Okay. 27 the paragraph at the bottom of the page? 28 Q Yes. 29 Α Right. 30 Now, you can track some of the sentences verbatim, but 0 31 you can see there's been some changes there as well? 32 Α 33 And the first change that I want to ask you about is 34 in your draft. You said that Brown reported that the 35 villages increased in size as one descended the river? 36 M'hm. 37 And one of the largest was located near the forks. 38 And then in your draft you thought it might be 39 ancestral Kispiox or Kitsegukla, and then your final 40 you say it's the forks of the Bulkley and Skeena 41 Rivers. And you've already discussed in your evidence in chief that your initial view was that there was not 42 43 a settlement at the forks of the Bulkley and Skeena

A Yes, but on re-examining, going over that report several times it was clear that there was and I was in error in my initial reading of that.

44

45

46

47

River?

1 Well, going back to The Epic of Nekt, which is one of 2 my favorite plaintiffs' exhibits.

- Α Do I have a copy of that?
- Yes. It's the -- oh, Exhibit 847-19, and it's page 4 5 66?
  - Α Right. Thanks. All right.
- 7 You'll see that in respect of the fort locations 8 described by MacDonald here, which during -- in his report he said came into prominence in the 1700's and 10 petered out in the early 1800's, he has forts at 11 Kitselas, Kitwanga, Kispiox and Kisgegas, but no 12 fort -- you'll see that Gitanmaax is written in there, 13 but no fort there?
- 14 No fort at the forks. Α
- 15 At the forks?
- 16 Yeah. Α

3

6

9

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

43

44

- Now -- and did you understand when you read MacDonald's work on The Epic of Nekt that there were also villages located at or near most of these forts?
- 20 Yeah. Α
  - And you also recall that the forks was described by Brown as where Macdougall River met Simpsons River?
    - Α Right.
    - Okay. Now, Dr. Ray, I'm showing you what is an Q extract of a larger map, which I'm going to show you as well. And you can please take both of them. The larger map is a map of North America drawn by J. Arrowsmith ordered by the House of Commons to be printed July 31st and August 11th, 1857.
- 30 Α M'hm.
- 31 If you look at the bottom -- I think it's in the 32 bottom right hand.
  - To be printed, right. Α
    - First of all, do you know of or are you aware of Q Arrowsmith?
    - Arrowsmith produced a series of maps over a long period of time, some of which were based on company records.
      - Hudson's Bay Company records?
- 40 I also know that John Stuart, I believe, comments on 41 the inaccuracy of Arrowsmith vis-a-vis New Caledonia, 42 but I forget the reference.
  - But you know Arrowsmith was making maps, and he was making maps to the best of his ability based on the information of the day?
- That's a loaded question. Of course, it doesn't mean 46 47 they're accurate. The short answer to that is yes.

1	Q	I'm not going to suggest they're accurate, I'm going
2		to suggest that Arrowsmith used the information of the
3		day to make his maps?
4	A	We are talking now 1857?

- We are talking now 1857? Α
- 5 Yes.

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

- Α Somewhat earlier. Date unknown. Okay.
- And you'll see that on this map by Arrowsmith in 1857 that Simpsons River -- if you go up to Dixon Entrance you can see Simpsons River there?
- 10 M'hm. It's a remarkably bad map for the time, Α 11 actually.
- 12 And he has Simpsons River and then you can see Babine Q 13 Fort?
- 14 M'hm. Α
- 15 And then over, and so there's a river coming out of 16 the north end of where Babine Fort is?
  - Α
  - And then if you go further over to the right you'll Q see a lake where it appears this river starts with the name Connelly Lake and Fort. See that?
  - Yes. That's why I'm amazed it's such a poor map of Α the river.
    - First of all, you recognize that Connelly Lake and Q Fort, Connelly Fort is at Bear Lake?
    - Oh, yeah, there is no problem with that. But he's got -- relative to Babine Lake he's got it in the wrong direction, but yes.
    - He has the river properly -- if it's the Skeena River -- if Simpsons River is the Skeena River he's got it properly flowing out of Bear Lake. I mean, that's where the Skeena River rises?
  - It's one of its headwaters. Α
  - One of its headwaters. And then he has a river coming into it from Babine Lake?
- 35 M'hm. Α
- 36 And no mention whatsoever -- well, there's no Bulkley 37 River there or anything like that?
- 38 That's what's wrong with it. Α
- 39 Have you been -- have you reviewed documents where 40 Simpsons River was described as the Skeena River?
- 41 The early -- the very early accounts of New Caledonia, 42 the -- what this map shows is some geographic 43 confusion. This is exactly the point I was trying to 44 make the other day of French maps. This map for this 45 section we are dealing with here, that shows the 46 information roughly as Stuart and some described it in 47 the early days of moving into New Caledonia. I would

2.4

say with this 1857 date on here that you're looking at information that probably dates to -- he's got the fort on there, but he has used geographic information that really dates back to the 18 -- I wouldn't even say the 1820's.

- Q Well, he's got the fort at the end of the lake, so that's got to be 1836?
- A The way the knowledge of the geography of this area emerged in roughly the following sequence, and if you want to get the idea of where these names and rivers are we should be going back to John Stuart, who's I think the person who originally named them. But Macdougall River is the river that drained the Babine Lake. Simpsons River was the river lying to the west of that lake which joined it and flowed to the ocean. Sometimes they joined the Bulkley as being the Bulkley Skeena as being the Skeena. And Arrowsmith is really out to lunch in his rendering of this. If you look at a modern map, for example, the Skeena doesn't flow in the way that this is indicated.
- Q Oh, I'm not suggesting -- what I'm trying to get at is where the forks of Simpsons and Macdougall River, and I'm trying to get at whether the Bulkley River and the Skeena River, or whether they're at the Skeena River and Babine River, or whether anybody knows where the forks were back in Brown's day?
- A It's absolutely clear if we want to go through each of one those documents one more time. It's clear in the context of each document what we're talking about. If you want to start throwing around forks in a very general term you can try and mess up upper and lower forks. You can do that, but I'm not going to go along with it, because they didn't do it. Arrowsmith didn't know what the heck he was mapping here.
- Q What about the extract that you referred to or I referred you to yesterday about the Atnahs of Simpsons River barring the fish from entering -- from getting to the Atnahs of Macdougall River. Now, how did the Atnahs of the Bulkley River block the fish from getting into the Babine River?
- A Why don't we look at the district report of 1826 which has a heading called "The Atnahs of the Babine River".
- Q Well, no, no. Maybe I didn't make that clear. Do you recall the reference to the Atnahs of Simpsons River barring it to prevent fish from reaching the Atnahs of Macdougall River?
- A There was a reference to the Indians barring the river

```
1
             flowing into Babine Lake. We should look at the
             passage again. We've been back and forth over these
 3
             so many times I don't remember the exact wording.
 4
             This is the time when Brown learns --
 5
             It's tab 10 of volume 1.
 6
            Tab 10 of volume 1. Okay. What page were we at? I
 7
             found it.
 8
             Page 44.
 9
             He said.
                      Look it here. He says -- he says, "The
10
             Atnahs of the forks of Simpsons River and those of
11
             Macdougall River" --
12
             -- "Had quarreled amongst themselves and in
13
             consequence of this quarrel the former had constructed
14
             a barrier to keep the salmon from going up to the
15
             latter."
16
             That's right.
         Α
17
             So what he's telling you there is that Simpsons River,
18
             as exactly what I was trying to explain to you a
19
             minute ago, he says at the forks of the -- Atnahs of
20
             the forks of the Simpsons River, i.e. the Bulkley
21
             Skeena is what he's referring to, and those -- he's
22
             now differentiating, and those of Macdougall River.
23
             Those are what I tried explain the previous couple of
24
             days are what I call the upper Atnahs. The Macdougall
25
             River is now the Babine River, so it is not a single
26
             river. All I would say to you about this Arrowsmith
27
             map is it's exactly the kind of thing I was explaining
28
             the other day. Arrowsmith was a compiler of maps. He
29
             did not travel any of this territory. He's getting
30
             all of his information secondhand, and he's done a
31
             rather bad job of it for 1857. I'm sure you could
32
             probably find better maps than that one.
33
           But I thought Simpsons River and Macdougall River
34
             joined?
35
             They do.
         Α
36
37
         Α
             Is this a modern map? Do we have a modern map?
38 THE COURT: Yes, we have a modern map.
39 MR. WILLMS:
40
             We have modern maps, but it shows the Babine flowing
41
             into the Skeena and then the Skeena flowing down to
42
             where the Kispiox River comes into it and then it
43
             flows further and the Bulkley River comes into it.
44
           Until Brown traverses his theory they were not totally
45
             clear what the relationships of these rivers were.
```

They saw the Babine River as being the Macdougall

River, and it joins at the forks with the Bulkley

46

```
1
             which was the Simpsons, and so if we were just gonna
             put our minds -- let's go back and use a mental map
 3
            now trying to imagine ourselves as fur traders then
            and what are they seeing. Their vision of the Skeena
            was that the Macdougall was one of the tributaries of
             the Simpsons River and the Simpsons River was the
 7
             river that flowed to the coast beyond the forks.
             That's what the geography was all about.
 9 MR. WILLMS: My lord, can we mark the Arrowsmith -- I think we
10
             should mark the original. This is a smaller version
11
             for each of us to follow along, but I think we should
            mark the whole map which the witness reviewed.
13 THE COURT: What is the next number?
14 THE REGISTRAR: The next number is 968, my lord.
            I remember Stuart saying Arrowsmith also had
             fictitious rivers on here.
16
17 THE COURT: The larger map will be 968 and the smaller
             reproduction will be 968A.
19 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.
20
21
             (EXHIBIT 968: Arrowsmith Map - Original)
22
23
             (EXHIBIT 968A: Arrowsmith Map - Small Reproduction)
24
25 THE COURT: Was there ever an earlier Anglicized name for the
26
            Skeena?
27
         Α
            There were some other -- as I said, one of the early
28
             names was Simpsons and they combined it. The Bulkley
29
             was lumped in with the lower Skeena and that's what
30
             they called the Simpsons River until they started
31
             differentiating. One of the problems of these as
32
            these mental maps emerge we start piecing it together.
33
            You can sort of see it on this this map here. If you
34
             go basically east of the Rocky Mountains you're
35
             getting there reasonably good geographic coverage for
             example of the Mackenzie and Slave and those rivers.
37 THE COURT: All right. But I can't assume then that at any
38
            place I see reference to Simpsons that necessarily
39
             means the Bulkley. It may mean the Skeena?
         Α
            Yeah.
41 THE COURT: All right.
42 MR. WILLMS:
43
            And if you can -- and I'm putting before you a draft
44
             of your report.
45
             Right.
         Α
            It has a little note on it, "Pages 1-33 revised to 20
46
47
             November 1984 after oral comments by Grant, Rush,
```

```
1
             Charles Bishop and written comments by Alfred Joseph,
             Mike Kew and Clark & Cove." And then there's a little
 3
             note on the front, "Richard please pass along to Skip
 4
             Ray, Neil"?
 5
            Yes, so these would be Neil's comments.
            These are Neil Sterritt's comments?
 7
            Yes.
        Α
 8
            And perhaps some of Mr. Overstall's. I think if you
 9
             look through this you'll see that there's some of his
10
             too, but I'd like you to turn --
11
            Also, I had comments from George MacDonald as well,
         Α
12
            but they didn't seem to get in here.
13
         Q
            If you turn over to page 11 -- 11 of the draft.
14
            Of this thing you just gave me?
        Α
15
            Yes. This is --
         Q
16
            Right.
        Α
17
            Something you produced and somebody else noted it
        Q
18
            up --
19
        Α
           Yeah, right.
20
            -- In November. Now, on page 11 you can pick up where
21
            we were in your draft Exhibit 962 at page 12.
22
            Just a sec. Let me put this down.
23 THE COURT: What is 962?
24 MR. WILLMS: It's the draft at tab 4, my lord. I'm right on the
25
            same line that I read to the witness from that, from
            page 12 of tab 4.
27 THE COURT: All right. What page?
28 MR. WILLMS: It's page 12 of tab 4 and I'm at page 11 of the
29
             loose document that I just handed up.
30 THE COURT: Yes.
31 MR. WILLMS:
32
            And you'll see there -- you say there:
33
34
                  "Brown reported that their villages
35
                  increased in sizes as one descended the
36
                  river and one of the largest was located
37
                  near the forks (either ancestral Kispiox or
38
                  Kitsequekla)".
39
40
                 Now, forks is underlined, and there's a note in
41
             the margin that says:
42
43
                  "Note the junction of the Bulkley River and
44
                  Skeena River were known as 'the forks'."
45
                 That's Neil Sterritt's note?
46
47
            I presume. I haven't had enough correspondence with
```

1		Neil to know what his handwriting looks like, but I
2		presume this, as you said, is a copy from him. I'll
3		accept that's what it is.
4	Ο	You received this, didn't you, from Richard Overstall

- Q You received this, didn't you, from Richard Overstall?
- A This was when, four years ago now? Is there any date on here?
  - Q Well, there's 20 November 1984 in the beginning.
  - A Revised in '84. Yes, so we are talking about something five years ago. I'm sorry if I am a little fuzzy but until two, three days I hadn't even recalled that I had lying around, so anyway I'll assume those are his comments.
  - Q You don't know when you got it?
  - A No, I don't. Presumably before I made the final revision.
  - Q Right. And you'll see on page 20 as well of this draft with Mr. Sterritt's notes on it you'll see in -- in the page where you say:

"The village between Weep sim and the 'forks' (the Bulkley-Skeena confluence) undoubtly was Kispiox."

And there's a note to reinforce that. "The forks is always Hazelton", and then "Hazelton", and then at the very bottom of page 20 in your type set you say:

"Of interest, there appears to have been no settlement at the confluence of the Bulkley and Skeena Rivers, the later location of Gitanmaax."

And then there's the handwritten note "There was Hazelton established 1866 as fur trade post."

Now, I'm going to suggest to you, Dr. Ray, that when you read the Hudson's Bay journals it is simply not clear where the forks are. When the word forks shows up there are any number of places where the forks could be?

A Well, except that Brown's second trip and his second district report, which we went over the other day, and we start counting from the villages he was at down river argue against your point. And the mistake I made at this time is missing the fact that he clearly identifies one at the forks, and in the context of that journal I don't think you can read it any other way. The initial mistake in saying there was nothing

```
there was my mistake in reading the record, and in
             fairness to myself this was my \ensuremath{\text{--}} that was my first
 3
             pass through those records so I was still feeling my
             way through the geography.
 5 THE COURT: Didn't he say there was three villages below the
             forks?
 7
             There's three upper villages near the forks of the
             Babine and Skeena.
 9 THE COURT: Yes.
10
           There's one just -- one which we are not sure is Beast
         Α
11
             or Bear River, and one between there and the forks --
             one at the forks and three below the forks.
13 THE COURT: Did he say one at the forks?
14
           Yes. It's in the transcript I think now. It should
15
             be.
16 THE COURT: All right.
17 MR. WILLMS:
18
            Yes, my lord. And if you can turn to Dr. MacDonald
19
             I'll give you two -- two alternative theories, Dr.
20
             Ray, on the three villages below which coincide
21
             with -- and do you have -- sorry. It's George
22
             MacDonald again.
23
         Α
            Right.
24
            At page 66. And you'll see that on page 66 he's got a
         Q
25
             fort at Kisgagas?
26
         Α
            M'hm. Sorry, which page?
27 THE COURT: 66.
28
            66. Which appears to be at the confluence of the
         Q
29
             Babine and the Skeena?
30
         Α
            Right.
31
            And one of the things that he said, or two of the
32
            things that he said was first of all these villages
33
             were two day's travel apart going downstream?
34
            Those are the villages below the forks, yeah.
         Α
            Below the forks, and that the uppermost village had a
35
             trail over to a location -- to the land of the
36
37
             Utsanass (phonetic)?
38
            M'hm.
         Α
39
            Now, if the forks are at Kisgagas, and if the villages
40
             are where these forks, are then the uppermost village,
41
             Kispiox, there is a trail over to the Utsanass
42
             (phonetic); correct?
43
         Α
             Yeah.
             From there. And then down a little further is
44
45
             Kitwanga and then a little further is Kitselas?
46
            Well, I have two problems to your thesis. It just
             doesn't fit George Brown's description. Furthermore,
47
```

```
1
             George MacDonald read my report and he agreed with it.
 2
         Q
            Which draft?
 3
        Α
            This draft, this long draft.
 4
            Oh, this long draft. Yes. Yeah. The long draft with
 5
             ancestral Kispiox or Kitsegukla being the one located
 6
            near the forks?
 7
            No. This is the -- in general terms he agreed with
        Α
 8
            it.
 9
         Q
             Yes.
10
            But the fact of the matter is in terms of the Brown
        Α
11
             thing we are talking about the relative location of
12
             these villages. The fact of the matter is the Brown
13
             description makes it perfectly clear that your
14
             interpretation doesn't apply.
15
             Okay. Let's go to another interpretation, and this is
16
             on page 12 of the Neil Sterritt comment. Maybe we
17
             should give it a number, my lord. 966-6.
18 THE COURT: Right.
19
20
             (EXHIBIT 966-6: Tab 6 of Exhibit 966 -
21
            Notes of Neil Sterritt)
22
23
            Sorry. Which page?
        Α
24 MR. WILLMS: 966-6.
            Oh --
        Α
26 MR. ADAMS: My lord, I wonder if we could just be clear which
27
            pages are included. The version I have is an extract
             as far as I can see.
29 MR. WILLMS: Well, no it's not. It's all that we got. All we
             got was pages eight through --
31 THE COURT: 20.
32 MR. WILLMS: 21.
33 THE COURT: 21, yes.
34 MR. WILLMS: I presume it was -- it was longer.
35
            Well, I presume so too. I think he was just doing his
36
             commenting on pages on which he wanted to comment,
37
            because I don't remember.
38
            Now, you made a point about a calculation of Brown's
39
            on the number of people --
40
            M'hm.
        Α
41
            -- In the Gitksan population. And it's actually in
42
             this draft at page 11. That is in Exhibit 966-6.
43
        Α
            The speculation that the Gitksan population was about
44
             a thousand.
45
           Brown's speculation.
        Α
46
         Q Brown's speculation. And you say it's an under
47
             estimation considering there were eight major
```

```
1
             villages.
        Α
            Which we revised to nine, I believe.
            Now, one of the things that you noted from Brown is
 3
             that when he estimated 300 adults at Weep sim when he
 4
 5
             came back the next year he realized that that must
            have been all of the adult males from the local
 7
            villages.
 8
            Around that village.
         Α
 9
            No. Other villages.
10
        Α
           Remember we are talking about ceremonial villages in
11
            these other villages and there were two villages.
12
           Five miles apart.
        Α
13
           Yes. Weep sim and Childocal and half a day to the
         Q
14
             other village on the way back?
15
            Okay, let's say two major villages. If you wanted to
         Α
16
             for the benefit of the argument go on the low side say
17
             150 for the two big villages.
18
            Well, let's -- let's go to the extract which is 964-12
19
            where you were reading from.
20 THE COURT: What tab number is that?
21 MR. WILLMS: It's volume 2 at tab 12. It's the thinner --
        A These are the documents or --
23 THE COURT: Yes.
        A I can't keep track of what I'm looking for.
25 THE COURT: What page number?
26 MR. WILLMS: It's -- it's -- there's a printed eight and where
            my notes indicate that the witness started reading in
27
28
            direct with a number 13 in the upper right-hand
29
            corner.
30 THE COURT: Yes. And --
31
         A I have no idea where we're at at this point.
32 THE REGISTRAR: I've got it here.
33
        Α
            Oh, okay.
34 MR. WILLMS:
35
            It's tab 12, and if you go to the printed eight and
         Q
36
             there's a handwritten 13 in the upper right-hand
37
             corner?
38
            Correct.
         Α
39
            And then at the bottom is the part that you read about
40
            the numerous raise last spring at the Village of Weep
41
            sim I saw about 300 men.
            M'hm.
42
        Α
43
            All right. Now, if you carry on to the next page he
44
             first of all that's last spring, so the spring before
45
            he saw 300 men at Weep sim?
46
            M'hm.
         Α
```

Now, at the top of the next page he says this:

1		
2		"This spring I went down to the Village of
3		Childocal but did not see so many Indians at
4		the whole of the villages as in the
5		preceding year, and a considerable number
6		being at a distance in their winter
7		encampments. From what I conclude"
8		1
	THE COURT	: From which I conclude.
	MR. WILLM:	
11	Q	
12	~	"From which I conclude that all the young
13		and active men belonging to the different
14		villages attached to the above two".
15		
16		Meaning Weep sim and Childocal.
17		maning weep bin and entracear.
18		"Were then assembled."
19		were enen appearateur
20		All right. I read that as he's referring back to
21		the previous year and saying that 300 men from the two
22		villages were assembled the previous year. That's the
23		conclusion he draws from coming back the next year and
24		finding nobody there?
25	А	Okay. So we could take that as a rule of thumb and we
26	11	could do a recalculation. Do you want to do that?
27	Q	So then he does this;
28	×	bo chen he does chis,
29		"Taking these as the number of men capable
30		of carrying arms I do not suppose that this
31		part of the nation amounts to fewer than a
32		thousand individuals."
33		circubalia litaliviaadib.
34		Now, what he's ease saying there, as I understand
35		it, is that for the Babine River there are about a
36		thousand people. Now, I don't know whether there is
37		two villages, three villages or however many he
38		passed.
39	А	Well, we know there are three major villages and there
40	11	are a number of summer camps. Yes, I just did a quick
41		recalculation if we want to so we would have
42	Q	But that's his thousand.
43	A	That's so this is for Babine River only.
44	Q	Yes.
45	A A	And this is the smallest number, and I was using that
46	А	to try and guesstimate and I didn't ever call it any
47		more than that, the total population of the Gitksan.
ユ /		more chan char, the cotar popuration or the GitkSall.

So if we take his nine villages now by 150 we've still got 1,350 men alone, adult men alone, and we would have to multiply it by a factor of -- it's probably reasonable to multiply by a factor of at least three and that's, I think, giving you the benefit of the doubt.

- Q Okay. And on this one in -- referring to your Exhibit 966-6, you're disregarding the note that's made in the margin, I guess by Neil Sterritt, that "Kisgagas was always the largest Gitksan village". You're assuming that it wasn't, that they're getting bigger as they go downstream?
- A Yeah. Talking about Gitksan as a whole, yes, I would disregard that, because I think -- I can't remember now, but I presume Neil is referring to the Babine River area. But Brown makes it clear that the villages as you go down get bigger and bigger.
- Q But he never went there, did he?
- A No. If we do a recalculation on that basis we've got by my count, I don't have my pocket calculator, but we're say 4,000 on the low side for the Gitksan and we don't know by what factor the downstream villages increase in size. So perhaps we can compromise and say we've got a population of somewhere between four and 7,000. I'm afraid I wrote on one of your documents. It's a bad habit.
- 27 MR. WILLMS: Well, it's actually your document. It's your exhibit. I'm going to turn back to the drafts again, my lord, now.

30 THE COURT: Which draft?

31 MR. WILLMS: The big draft and the final.

- Q I'll give it a number. It's 962, which is tab 4, what I call the big draft.
  - A Am I supposed to have Neil's comments?
- Q No, you don't. Not any more.
- 36 A Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

32

33

34

- And the pages that I'd like you to turn to are 17 in both the draft and the final. In your draft you are, and in your final you're speculating about where the settlements of Weep sim and Childocal were situated.
- 41 A M'hm.
- 42 Q And you say in the third line of both you refer to the 43 settlements on Father Morices 1907 map, but in your 44 draft you call them abandoned settlements and you've 45 taken the word abandoned out in your final. What was 46 it that caused you delete the word abandoned?
- 47 A Quite honestly I can't recall. And I think as I'm

trying to mentally picture the Morice map I think what I was referring to were these settlements that he put on his map. So at this point in time in the absence of having gone over this stuff recently I would not want to hazard a guess why I took the word abandoned out, except that I was thinking in terms of former sites. So, anyway, the long and short of it is I did this five years ago and I can't remember.

- Q Okay. At the bottom of the page of both you talk about Agent Loring's correspondence of 1890 indicating that the village with the forks had been abandoned due to Nishga raids. And in your draft on page 18 you've put in parenthesis "probably in the early 1850's" and then you've taken the date out of the final. Do you recall why you took that out?
- A No, I don't. All I can say is, again, this is an early stage of getting to know this stuff, and it was speculation and I took it out. The truth of the matter is I don't even remember reading Loring's report.
- Now, you've already, and I think that my friend took you through this at page 22 of your draft which relates to page 21 of your final, but it's the portion where you changed -- that there appears to have been no settlement at the confluence of the Bulkley and Skeena River and the later location of Gitanmaax, and then you then speculate that Gitanmaax was one of the three villages. But then in your draft just on the same vein at the bottom of page 23 --
- A Sorry. Which one am I --
- Q Page 23 of your draft.
  - A Right.
  - Q And just on the same point of the forks and Gitanmaax and whether there was anything there.
  - A Right.
    - Q In your draft you say:

2.4

"Also while there does not appear to have been a village at the Skeena Bulkley forks in 1826 major summer trading fairs were held there."

- A M'hm.
- Q And you've taken that out of your final. Now --
- A Yeah. I've substituted -- near as I read it substituted the line "either he misunderstood his informants or Gitanmaax at the forks was one of his

1 three villages."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

37

38

39

40

Q Sorry. Was that based on any new Hudson's Bay records that you reviewed between the time of the draft and the final or did you just reinterpret it with some help?

- A Given the general understanding by everybody there was a village there I it says exactly my thinking on the matter, and it's clear now having gone through those things, as we did the last previous two days, that was one of the errors I had made and he refers to that village, I can't remember now, at least two or three times, but it's in the transcript.
- Q It's the village at the forks.
- A The Skeena -- or the Babine Simpsons --
- 15 Q Simpsons forks.
- 16 A Sorry. The Bulkley Skeena forks.
  - Q No, no. He doesn't use Bulkley River.
  - A Anyway, we know what he means. I'm talking about in modern terms. It's not -- it is not -- he is not referring or was not referring to the forks of the Babine Skeena, because he was at that forks when he was talking about what was down river. That's totally clear from the record.
  - Q Well, we know he went as far as the Village of Childocal?
  - A Childocal, which was just short of the forks of the Babine and Skeena, right. Yes, we do know that.
    - Q Something that's called the Beast River or Bear River?
    - A We went over that as well. It's either Beast or Bear. Re-reading his journals it's most likely Bear River, but given what they knew at the time that's the most likely assumption.
    - Q Could you turn to page 24 of your draft and page 23 of your final. And it appears here if you look at your draft --
- 36 A M'hm.
  - Q -- You can pick up claimed exclusive rights to certain tracts of hunting and trapping and then B11 E1, 2.

    That's in your draft part way down the middle.
  - A M'hm.
- 41 Q In the middle of the page.
- 42 A Right.
- 43 Q Now, everything above that appears to relate to the 44 same portion on page 23 of your final which is 45 everything above "claimed exclusive rights" in the 46 second paragraph, but it appears to have been somewhat 47 rewritten.

- Just a second here. I haven't got myself tracked in 1 2 yet. On my draft 23 where, on the page top or bottom? 3
  - At the bottom of the page.
  - Α Is this where we're at, here?
  - You'll see "claimed exclusive rights to certain tracts of hunting and trapping lands B11 E1, 2 claimed exclusive rights to certain tracts of" -- now, above that, as I make it, there have been some changes. And, for example, one of the changes is that this sentence has been added to the final.

"Nonetheless Gitksan and Wet'suwet'en lineage heads did not hold private title to property in the manner of English or Scottish nobles."

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

4

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

Now, did you review any other Hudson's Bay documents between the time you wrote your draft and the time you wrote your final to be able to come to that conclusion?

- Just in the sense of understanding from the record that these people -- these men of property were heads of family and as heads of family were clearly responsible for that family's territory, which is not the way I understand -- I may have a poor understanding of English and Scottish nobles, perhaps they had the same responsibility, but I don't think so, but it's clear in his context that these were heads of families and the people on their territory were their responsibility.
- Q So the use of the word "men of property or nobles", notwithstanding Brown's cultural background, your interpretation is that it was completely different from anything he's experienced before?
- 35 Certainly very different than he or any other fur 36 traders further east had experienced among native 37 people, yes. That's one of the reasons it fascinated 38 him so much.

39 THE COURT: Can we take the adjournment now, Mr. Willms? 40 MR. WILLMS: Yes, my lord.

41 THE REGISTRAR: Order in court. This court will recess.

42 43

44

45

4	13572
1 2 2	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings herein to the best of my skill and ability.
10 11 12 13 14	Peri McHale, Official Reporter UNITED REPORTING SERVICE LTD.
16 17 18 19 20	
21 22 23 24	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li><li>28</li><li>29</li></ul>	
30 31 32 33	
34 35 36 37 38	
39 40 41 42	
43 44 45	

13573 1 (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED PURSUANT TO ADJOURNMENT) 3 THE COURT: Mr. Willms. 4 MR. WILLMS: Just carrying on with where your draft and your final coincide, you do specifically note in your report that 7 it was beaver that could only be trapped with the 8 approval of the noble who held the land in question. 9 Α Correct. 10 And you also noted that marten appeared -- there 11 appeared to be no restrictions on marten or on the 12 hunting of large game or the taking of fish. And as I 13 understood your distinction, your explanation of why 14 this would be important, you suggested that for the 15 Wet'suwet'en the reason for the distinction was that 16 the beaver meat was important to feasting? 17 Uh-huh. Α 18 Is that correct? Q 19 That's correct. Α 20 All right. But you also noticed that the land tenure 21 system described by Brown applied equally to the 22 Atnahs as well as the Babine? 23 Α 2.4 And you also noted that the Atnahs didn't like beaver Q 25 meat; they thought it was unclean? 26 Α Yes. That's what Brown tells us. So it probably wasn't very important to their 27 28 feasting? 29 One could -- one could assume that. I don't know. 30 It's reasonable for the record, yeah. 31 Well, isn't the real difference between beaver and any 32 other animal, that the currency of the fur trade was 33 the beaver? 34 No. The --Α 35 Didn't -- sorry. Didn't you describe the Hudson's Bay 36 debt record at Fort Kilmaurs as being in beaver? 37 Well, you didn't let me finish my explanation. As I 38 was saying, yes, I have said beaver was one of the 39 most important commodities. It was the staple of the 40 trade. It had been for a long time. And that the 41 tradition of using it as a standard goes way back. 42 The company also attended in areas where it was 43 important to use a main market standard, which is

really just fixing on another part of this

comparative. It's a curious thing, the assumption --

seems to me that you are making an assumption, though,

and that is if a fur becomes valuable, that encourages

44

45

46

1 conservation and that explains the whole thing. The 2 counterpoint would be, then why wasn't exactly the 3 same thing applied to marten, river otter, muskrat and 4 lynx? Those furs actually had a higher relative value 5 than beaver.

- Q But I just want to start with what the currency of the fur trade was, at least the Hudson's Bay fur trade.
- A You mean the unit of account.
- O The unit of account was beaver?
- A Yes.

2.4

- Q The unit of wealth was beaver?
- A The unit of account, yes, was beaver.
  - Q And the Gitksan really as far as you are aware had no special reason for conserving beaver, because they thought the meat was unclean and obviously therefore wouldn't eat it at their Feast, like the Wet'suwet'en?
  - A Yes. They clearly didn't. We don't know. But while -- it's not quite as simple as that, however. Because again, if you go into these descriptions and these district reports, as you probably know, one of the most common winter coats of natives across the whole of Canada was the so-called beaver coat. That was the winter coat. And there are a few -- in fact, some references in here to the trade of those coats. So I would say that even if you take away the Feast argument you still -- you are still dealing with a situation in which this is a country not rich in fur, and fur was an important winter garment, so if they are not going to -- if they don't have access to furs to wear, what else do they wear?
  - Q Well, it's my suggestion, Dr. Ray, that the reason why the resource was husbanded, and I'll use that term instead of conserved, but the reason why the resource was husbanded by the nobles was because it was the currency of European exchange in the area at the time?
  - A That's certainly a hypothesis you are entitled to hold. I don't share it, but --
  - Q Well, what other hypothesis would hold equally for the Gitksan and the Wet'suwet'en? Certainly feasting doesn't. What does?
  - A One of the things that beavers do is being an immobile animal, they allow one to stake a territory quite easily and in fact that's one of the ways territories were staked elsewhere. I think it's Harmon among others mentions that fact. So -- and I am saying to you is if we are going to argue the thesis about if a resource becomes commercially important and therefore

it has to be husbanded, then we also have to ask
ourselves not only why would the Gitksan husband
beaver if they don't eat it, why aren't they
husbanding other things as well.

Well, didn't you just answer that a minute ago?

- Q Well, didn't you just answer that a minute ago? You said -- I mean the beaver are relatively immobile and it would be easy to stake a territory for that as an additional reason for the fact that it was the currency of exchange of European trade?
- A It was one of the -- but on the coast currency of trade was the sea otter, right?
- Q Well, not the inland trade I am sure.
- A No, the inland trade -- what I am saying is the inland trade is simply not a beaver trade. We have the returns here from Fort Babine, I think in this report, do we not, showing the furs taken out?
- Q Well, it's just that in going through your report you seem to rely on the Feast as the reason why beaver is treated differently than every other animal and every other resource. And it's treated the same way by the Gitksan and the Wet'suwet'en, but the Gitksan thought the meat was unclean. And so I'm suggesting that the other reason why they might have treated it exactly the same way was something that was common to both the Gitksan and the Wet'suwet'en and that was the beaver was the currency of European exchange?
- A But I -- speculation that I am raising a reservation on that point I am raising with you regarding other furs I did raise in this report. I can't remember in here where the page is. But I mean I am not -- I can't prove or disprove your hypothesis with regard to the Gitksan. What we do have is solid information with regard to the Wet'suwet'en and other Babine. That we do have.
- Now, one thing that you noted, and this is in page 26 of your draft, but which is taken out of page 25 of your final, in your draft on the bottom of page 26 you say this:

"As was common throughout the West Coast area, the social rank and associated land tenure system was linked to the potlatch."

And then you -- then you have taken that out of your final. And I suggest to you that if you assume that that's accurate, which it appears you did when you wrote your draft --

2.4

1 A It was -- again, we are talking about my initial 2 impressions as opposed to my final decisions, yeah.

- Q That that links quite neatly to the value of beaver which is the currency of exchange at the time and important at least in the Wet'suwet'en feasting?
- A The main change in this second -- in the final draft was deletion of the word "potlatch," since it was not used in the record.
- Q Well, you also took out that whole line I just read to you. That's a bigger change, isn't it?
- 11 A Which one?
  - Q Well, I read you from your draft:

12 13 14

15

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

"As was common throughout the West Coast area, the social rank and associated land tenure system was linked to the potlatch."

16 17 18

19

20

22

23

2.4

34

43

- A Oh, yeah, well, the whole feasting system was inter-connected with the tenure system, yes. I don't have any trouble with that.
- 21 Q Okay. So --
  - A I thought you were quibbling about the word "potlatch."
  - Q Well, I will quibble with that in a minute.
- 25 A Okay.
- Q So you didn't delete it because it was wrong?
- 27 A No.
- 28 Q You just decided to take it out. Okay.
- 29 A I mean I don't think there is anything in my report 30 that suggests that I am saying it didn't relate to the 31 Feast.
- 32 Q Good. Now, you've got "potlatch" changed to "Feast."
- 33 A Uh-huh.
  - Q And can you explain why you've changed that?
- 35 A Well, as I said, my background was from -- partly was
  36 from anthropology and the term potlatch is what's used
  37 out here in the literature at large. Since I was
  38 trying to stick -- keep this report as close to the
  39 record as was reasonable, I decided to use the term
  40 that is the term in the record. The word "potlatch"
  41 never appears in these records in this period.
- 42 Q All right. Okay.
  - A So what you are seeing now is a word -- is a terminology closer to the record.
- Q Okay. Unlike your decision to put "house" into your final instead of "tribe"?
- 47 A Yes. If you want to substitute "tribe" for "house," I

1		don't have any objection.
2 3 4 5	Q	Okay. Now, can you turn to page 28 of your draft and page 27 of your final. And in your draft at the bottom of page 28 in summing up you say:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12		"It is clear from the foregoing that the early contact society of Babine and Wet'suwet'en was finally ranked. Access to wealth or the means of production was regulated by a land tenure system in which tracts of lands were owned by clans, but subdivided and apportioned to men of property or nobles who were the lineage "
14		And then you have got parens "house"?
15	А	It should be square brackets. I mean that's my
16		injection.
17	Q	"Heads." All right. Now, in your final you say that
18 19		the discussion clearly indicates that access to
20	А	resources. So you have taken out Sorry. I have lost where in the final? Now, I
21	A	have lost myself.
22	Q	Bottom of page 27.
23	A	Yes. Sorry. Okay.
24	Q	All right. Just picking up. It's clearly indicates
25	~	there?
26	А	Right.
27	Q	And then it's "access to resources" instead of "access
28		to wealth," as you said in your draft.
29	А	Uh-huh.
30	Q	"In which tracts of land," and you said in your draft
31		"the tracts were owned by clans but subdivided and
32		apportioned to men of property who were the lineage
33		house heads," and I take it when you used the word
34		"clans" in your draft you mean tribe?
35	А	Yes. I was thinking these larger groupings of these
36		families.
37	Q	All right. And there is some subdivision and
38		apportionment. And then in your final you've got them
39		instead of owning they just manage the tracts of land.
40		Now, what was it that caused you to change "wealth" to
41		"resources" to change the ownership of the clans to

management by house heads?

Because I'm talking here -- I shifted the emphasis

we'll agree that furs, wild game on the land is a

resource, right, which is converted into wealth --

well, it can be a wealth in itself, but it's a raw

somewhat and talking about -- first of all, I think

42

43 44

45

46

material, right? 1 2 Like marten? 3 A Yeah. Marten, beaver, fish, salmon, all these 4 resources are products of the land and the heads of 5 those families manage those tracts of lands, but they are also - a bit loose writing on my part, I suppose, 7 they were also by the record, the record clearly says they owned those properties. So in that respect they 8 9 should have probably left the original on that point 10 as it was, because in that sense that is closer to 11 what the record says. As we have said, men of 12 property possessors of tracts of lands, those with 13 land stakes or various terms to that effect. 14 Q They managed the beaver trapping. Isn't that what the 15 Brown record shows, beaver trapping? 16 He specifically addresses himself to that, because 17 that was one of his preoccupations, yes. But the 18 problem is that ideally the record should have given 19 us more detail than it did. We are not totally clear 20 what happens to the rest of the resource. It seems to 21 me it would be reasonable to suppose that things like marten, members of a house, we are talking -- I mean, okay. What term would you like me to use? If we are 22 23 2.4 talking about members of the same family on a given 25 family's territory, it seems the way the context of 26 the record works I would say that these other 27 resources were accessible to the members of that group 28 in that territory, but beaver which was closely tied 29 to the feasting complex, was more tightly controlled 30 by the head. That was -- that would be the way I 31 would interpret it. 32 Well, in your report you specifically interpreted it 33 at page 25 and this is why I'm --34 Final now or draft? Α 35 In the final. And this is why I am just wondering about your change from "wealth" to resources, because 36 37 on page 25 you say: 38 39 "In contrast to beaver some other resources were 40 not as carefully husbanded." 41 42 And then you say: 43 44 "Men who did not have a land stake were allowed to

And then you say this:

trap marten."

45

46

1 2 "This no mention is made about prohibitions 3 concerning the hunting of large game or the taking 4 of fish." 5 6 No mention is made of those two. Now, certainly those 7 two might be called subsistence items, correct? 8 First of all, they took --9 Well, would you agree that those were subsistence? 10 Α Yes. 11 Large game and fish? Q 12 Yes. Could I say something about that? First of all, 13 relatively little large game hunting was done in this 14 area and as far as fish was concerned Brown and others 15 make it clear that most of the fishing was a communal 16 activity except he doesn't explain the exception may 17 be with regard to the Hot-sett and the dip net 18 scenario, but these other groups, including the 19 Gitksan, were -- they fished with barriers and those 20 were collectively put together. So -- and they 21 belonged to a particular village. So --22 Well, the Babine --23 It wouldn't surprise me in that sense, if you want to 2.4 use it in that sense it's commonly available to the 25 members of the house since they collectively are 26 engaged in the activity of obtaining it. 27 And the Babines had nets and in fact they sold the 28 nets to Trader Brown and Trader Brown used the nets to 29 get fish? 30 That's right. But if you read all of the accounts in Α 31 Stewart, Brown, Connelly and most of these people, 32 they point out that most of the fishing in this area 33 was done by barriers, which was -- these were -- a 34 bunch -- one person didn't build the barrier. You 35 remember one exception with the Wet'suwet'en where he -- they said, well, they couldn't get -- they 36 37 wouldn't cooperate on doing that particular activity. 38 But these other areas, it's -- the barrier is a 39 collective activity. So it's a collective fishing 40 exercise. So you wouldn't expect him to talk about 41 that in the same sense as a more individual activity 42 such as trapping would be where one or two people 43 would be more efficiently engaged in the activity. 44 I suggest to you, Dr. Ray, that your draft in its 45 reference to wealth with particular reference to the 46 Hudson's Bay notes on the restrictions on beaver only 47

is a more accurate description of what is being

1 regulated than your access to resources which from your report itself is clear -- it is clear were not 3 regulated. I mean it's wealth that access was 4 regulated to, isn't it? 5

Α Well --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

29

- It's the beaver?
- It seems to me it's fairly hard to draw that rigid a distinction between wealth and resource. It's hard to have one without the other. And again, you are asking me about some revision that I made some time ago. I don't remember the exact. I went through this careful an analogy in making this revision as you are attempting to draw here. But --.
- Now, the next portion of your draft which is at pages 30 through 3 -- the top of 33 is a discussion of coastal trade and especially a discussion of George MacDonald and the Epic of Nekt. And if you look at page 28 of your final, that whole discussion has been taken out?
- 20 Uh-huh. Α
  - Was that your idea to take that out?
  - Yes. Because -- no, it wasn't my idea to take it out. The final report, the decision was -- first we went over how I came to write my first report, right? The idea was that I was going to deal with the initial Hudson's Bay company expansion into this area. The Council has hired other experts to deal with archeology, linguistics and so forth. So I deleted the archeology since I did not do any archeology and that's basically why it's not there.
- 31 Okay. You didn't take it out because you thought that 32 any of it was inaccurate or incorrect or wrong, did 33 you?
- 34 I didn't give that consideration one way or the other. 35 I was asked to take it out since they had experts dealing with the archeology and I took it out.
- 37 THE COURT: What is the part that's been taken out did you say, Mr. --
- 39 MR. WILLMS: Page -- page 30 of -- 31, 32 and just to the top of page 33 to the Nass Rivers.
- 41 THE COURT: Starting at the top of page 31?
- 42 MR. WILLMS: Starting at the top, except early post contact 43 inter-village trade as the title is still in the final 44 at page 28.
- 45 THE COURT: Yes.
- 46 MR. WILLMS: But then in the draft everything that follows on page 30 all the way to the top paragraph on page 33

```
ending "Nass Rivers" has been taken out. Now, the
 1
             fisher reference on page 33 of the draft is in. There
 3
             is a -- there has been a little bit of rewriting, my
 4
             lord, but the fisher reference is really where the
 5
             report picks up again in respect of the coastal fur
 6
             trade.
 7
           Just dealing with the portions that were taken out,
         Q
 8
             you are aware, and there is no dispute, that there
 9
             were Russian goods that came into this area in the
10
             eighteenth century?
11
            Very, very few before the 17 probably 80s, according
         Α
12
             to Jim Gibson who is the expert on this subject.
13
            And then, of course, there was -- and there is no
14
             dispute about this, because you have even noted it in
15
             Brown's notes, that there is an European trade in
16
             goods, American and British --
17
         Α
            Yes.
18
            -- in the eighteenth century that is coming into this
         Q
19
            area?
20
           Shipboard trade.
        Α
21
            Shipboard trade?
         Q
22
            Starting 1778 on the south coast of B.C.
        Α
23
            All right.
         0
24
           Again very little before the 1780s.
        Α
           And --
25
        Q
26
        Α
           And that's mostly sea otter trade at this time.
27
           You'll see on page 32 of your draft --
28
            Oh. My draft, sorry.
        Α
29
         Q
            In your draft, yes.
30 THE COURT: What page, I am sorry?
31 MR. WILLMS: Page 32 of the draft.
32 THE COURT: Yes.
33 MR. WILLMS:
34
            That you say there that:
35
36
                 "The introduction of European goods --"
37
38
             That first full paragraph:
39
40
                 "-- mostly ironwares into the regional economy in
41
                 the eighteenth century led to conflict as chiefs
42
                 struggled to gain control over important trading
43
                 routes."
44
45
            Uh-huh.
         Α
46
            All right. Now, that -- you recognize that as being
             taken from MacDonald?
47
```

13582 1 Uh-huh. 2 Is that -- you have to say yes or no. 3 I am sorry. I just had water in my mouth. Yes. 4 Sorry. 5 And then you discussed the archeological evidence and cite MacDonald, and then you say this: 7 8 "MacDonald indicates that Tsimshian-speaking 9 groups expanded their territory along these routes 10 at the expense of Athabaskan speakers." 11 12 That's right. That's -- was it trail nine that we are 13 talking about? He refers in this -- you're back to 14 the Epic of Nekt and rereading that, yes, he is 15 referring to a very specific trail in that case. 16 Well, he's referring to a couple of trails into the 17 Stikine, isn't he? 18 Yeah. And he's speculating too. We agreed on that Α 19 this morning, right? 20 He's talking about -- there is one trail into the 21 Stikine that goes up from Kitwanga to Kitwancool and 22 there is another route into the Stikine that goes up 23 the Skeena River? 2.4 But the primary one he's referring to is the northward Α 25 push towards where what he regards where the Russians 26 to be in that -- I don't have that Nekt thing with me 27 to know what route I'm talking about. But his article 28 is referring to a very specific route. I might add 29 that MacDonald is not an expert on the Russian fur 30 trade. 31 Could you turn to page 77 of the Epic of Nekt. Q 32 I don't have it with me, I don't think. Or maybe I --Α 33 It's 847-19. 34 Just so that we are -- everyone is clear on what Q 35 MacDonald is talking about. 36 Α So which page are we at? 37 Page 77? Q 38 77. Α 39 0 He says at the top of the page: 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

"It was while plotting the major trading trails of the Tsimshian against their new tribal boundaries, achieved in the early eighteenth century at expense of the Tsetsaut (Athapaskans), that I became aware that the knew territories coincided with long sections of two important trails to the Stikine that were the source of trade goods to the

-1		3303	
1		Gitksan and Carrier people in the early 1700s.	
2		This helped to explain why the Kitwankul tribe	
3		waged such a costly war for what had previously	
4		been a worthless piece of real estate. There are	
5			
		numerous details in the Beynon notes about the use	
6		of trails and of the bridges which spanned the	
7		rivers. The example of the bridge at Kesgigas	
8		village will serve to demonstrate this."	
9		viiiage will belve to demonstrate emp.	
10		Now, if you look back to the maps first of all,	
11		you'll see that two important trails to the Stikine	
12		and he mentions the bridge at Kisgegas?	
13	А	Uh-huh.	
14			
	Q	Now and if you turn back two pages to his trading	
15		trails.	
16	A	Uh-huh. We are now on page 75.	
17	Q	At page 75. You will see that there is the trail from	
18	~	Kitwanga Fort that goes up and it's numbered three?	
19	7\		
	A	That's trail three, right.	
20	Q	Trail three. And you'll also see that there is a	
21		trail that goes from Kitwanga through Gitseguecla,	
22		Gitanmaax and then either through Kispiox up through	
23		Kuldo and up to the Stikine to Dease Lake or through	
24			
		Kisgegas and then back over to the Skeena and up to	
25		Dease Lake. Those two trails, Telegraph Creek and	
26		Dease Lake, those are the Stikine trails he's talking	
27		about?	
28	А	Uh-huh. But most of this discussion he is primarily	
29		focusing on trail three as I recall.	
30	Q	Well, he just he was talking about the Kisgegas	
31		bridge which is not on trail three?	
32	A	Furthermore, let's go where were we where were	
33		we that's page 77?	
	יישד כחווסיי		
34 THE COURT: Yes. 35 MR. WILLMS:			
36	Q	Page 77.	
37	A		
38		"Against their new tribal boundaries, achieved in	
39		the early eighteenth century."	
		one carry ergineconem compary.	
40			
41		Now, what is his evidence for that? There is no	
42		historical evidence for that, we'll concede that,	
43		right?	
44	Q	Historical?	
		There is no historical evidence that these boundaries	
45	А		
46		he's talking about I mean there is no historical	
47		documentation for these alleged boundaries, is that	

13584 1 not so? 2 Q Just your oral histories? 3 Α He doesn't cite any. 4 Well, I think you just read the oral histories. 5 So this -- what he is doing is he is interpreting his 6 archeology through ethnography. 7 Q Yes, that's what I understand he's doing. So you can get into a circular trap. The archeology 8 9 proves the ethnology, the ethnology proves the 10 archeology. 11 Well, are you discounting that? 12 What I am saying is we don't have evidence. I can't 13 say it didn't or didn't happen. We don't have any 14 evidence. I am just asking if you can give me some 15 hard information that tells me that the scenario is 16 so? And I submit to you there isn't and that the 17 Russian trade for the 1780s was not a large scale 18 trade. 19 You see, he also -- he deals with Kisgegas, and I 20 won't deal with the bridge about the whistling bridge 21 in the next paragraph, but the next paragraph down he 22 says this, this is from archeology: 23 2.4 "It was soon apparent that the assemblage of 25 historic trade goods differed radically from other 26 sites in the area." 27 28 Uh-huh. Α 29 30 31 "There were none of the glazed ceramics, clay pipe 32 fragments, nor even bead types found at the other 33 sites, such as Kitselas Fortress. Instead, there 34 were only metal tool blades, weapons, brass and 35 copper ornaments, and two distinctive kinds of 36 trade beads. The latter were not the type traded 37 by the Hudson's Bay Co., but they were identical 38 to those Russian beads on Tlingit costumes in the 39 Leningrad museum, collected in the early 1800s 40 from Russian America." 41 42

A So I submit that that supports my thesis. He is talking about something collected in the 1800s, so therefore it could have been traded in the 1780s or thereafter.

Q Yes. And that's when they could have gotten there.

A And he tells us nothing about volume, does he?

43

44

45

46

- Q Well, I am more interested in when they got there, Dr. Ray, rather than the volume of the trade.
  - A Well, the fact of the matter is that until volume reaches a substantial point it's hard to argue that there has been a substantial culture change based on my experience elsewhere anyway. That's my opinion, of course. You are entitled to yours and he doesn't give us any hard information to draw this conclusion.
  - The only thing he does do is at the very bottom of that paragraph that I read you in talking about the excavation that he's doing or the excavation says this:

"The father of one of our excavators, when examining a trade kettle in one of the food cache pits, said that the Gitksan called all such pots 'Lussan' for "Russian," as this was the early source."

- That still doesn't -- it doesn't say anything other than there is some Russian trade goods in there and I am not denying that at all. What we are arguing about is how much impact this trade had happened at this time and I am just asking my point to you is there is no concrete evidence to support this interesting thesis. I don't discount that it's an interesting thesis, but there are no facts, solid facts to establish it. And the Russians were expanding in this area and I know from Gibson's work on expansion of the Russians that they are still very mostly active in the Alaska area, they are moving down, they are trading what would be regarded as land furs. And he cautions that one has to be careful. He says that a lot of the so-called lands furs the Russians are getting they are in fact getting from the coast, the sea coast. And that's where they are caught as opposed to assuming they are coming from the interior. So all I am saying to you is that prior to the 1780s there is some trade goods coming in here. The volume of trade is at best thin.
- Q All right. Let me put this proposition to you: There is nothing in the Hudson's Bay records that you reviewed that would refute the proposition that MacDonald makes, that Tsimshian-speaking groups expanded their territory along these trade routes at the expense of Athapaskan speakers?
- A I never said there was. What I am saying is there is

```
no evidence to the contrary position.
 2 THE COURT: Mr. Willms, looking at that map on page 65, neither
            of those trails 3 or 19 require a bridge at Kisgegas,
            do they?
 5 MR. WILLMS: My lord, my understanding, although this isn't the
            best trail map in the world, but that the bridge at
 7
            Kisgegas, there was -- were goods traded up to Fort
            Connelly and beyond. In fact, there were Russian
8
9
            goods that were traded beyond the Rockies prior to the
10
            Hudson's Bay getting there, which would require
11
            crossing the river, but that -- that either side of
12
            the river up the Skeena, that the bridge -- now, I'd
13
            have to go back to see the description that MacDonald.
14
            He has --
15 THE COURT: All right.
16 MR. WILLMS: -- a description in Dr. Ray's appendices of these
17
            trails.
18 THE COURT: Fort Connelly is on the Bear?
19 MR. WILLMS: Bear Lake.
        Α
           Yeah.
21 THE COURT: Bear Lake. And that's the Skeena that flows out
           of -- old Fort Connelly is on the Skeena, is it?
23 MR. WILLMS: It's on the Skeena. It's on Bear Lake.
        A It's on the head of the Skeena.
25 THE COURT: What is the river that flows out of Bear Lake?
        A Bear River into Skeena River.
27 THE COURT: Bear River into Skeena River?
        A Yeah.
29 THE COURT: So you could go up the Skeena to get to Fort
            Connelly without ever having to cross the Babine River
30
31
            at Kisgegas?
           Certainly looks that way to me.
33 THE COURT: According to this you could anyway, although there
            may be a better reason why one shouldn't go that way.
35 MR. WILLMS: I think if you look at topographic maps there is
36
             good reason why you might want to cut over at
            Kisqeqas.
38 THE COURT: Yes. All right. Thank you. That may well be.
39 MR. WILLMS: But it's Dr. MacDonald's description of the trading
            trails that I am relying on, my lord.
41 THE COURT: All right.
42 MR. WILLMS: Like the witness, I haven't seen this area.
        A Maybe you should take a trip.
44 MR. WILLMS:
45
        Q Now, one thing, did you review any oral histories at
46
            all?
47
           None.
       Α
```

```
1
         Q
            None?
 2
         Α
            None.
 3
            Are you aware that there are oral histories about
 4
             conflicts in this area between the different ethnic
 5
             groups?
 6
         Α
            I said I haven't reviewed them.
 7
            No. I know you haven't reviewed them. Were you aware
 8
             that there were --
 9
        Α
            Oh.
10
         Q
             -- oral histories that talk about conflicts at
11
             Kisqeqas, as Kispiox?
12
             Such as they are mentioned in passing in here. But
             basically the answer is no. I was doing archival
13
14
             research. I wasn't doing oral history.
15
             I am showing you one of the oral histories recorded by
16
             Barbeau and Beynon which discusses the peace ceremony
17
             between the Nishga and the Kisgegas and you'll see --
18
             and these -- some of these you can date directly from
19
             them and this is from Wolf Clan invaders, my lord.
20
             One of the -- and that's on the title page, one of the
21
             Barbeau Beynon --
22 THE COURT: Yes.
23 MR. WILLMS: -- collection. The informant was John Brown
            formerly of Kisgegas. It was taken in 1920 and I'll
25
             get to the dating in a minute. But you'll see that
26
             the first paragraph discusses the Nass River Indians
27
             and the Kisgegas making friends, and the Kisgegas not
28
             going to the Nass. And then -- and I won't read
29
             through the whole extract, but it talks about the
30
             reasons for the disputes between them, and it's the
31
             last page that provides some of the dating to this
32
             oral history in the last paragraph where it says:
33
34
                 "This happened when the informant, John Brown, was
35
                 a child, nine or ten years of age, and he was
                 about 70 in 1920."
36
37
38
             Which would take this back to about 1860. And I did
39
             note in your report, Dr. Ray, that you noted Loring,
40
             that Kisqegas had been abandoned in the 1850s due to
41
             Nishqa raids?
42
           So this is pushing south. But I thought we were
43
             talking about Kisgegas pushing north.
             Well, this is Kisgegas, but all that I am interested
44
45
             in here is whether or not there is anything that you
46
             are aware of in the Hudson's Bay records that indicate
             that there were not disputes between the Nishga and
47
```

1 the Kisgegas Indians as recently as 1850?

- A Well, we have the records, we have the Brown records, which don't speak to much violence other than these flare-ups between individuals within the territory and --
  - Q And barring of the river to prevent salmon from getting up, which you call an economic advantage, correct?
  - A It wasn't bloodshed, was it?
- Q Well --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- But that's the point. You are talking about warfare. Α That was -- economic blockade is another way of forcing an issue, and I thought we were talking about warfare. Isn't that what we're talking about? I mean putting up a barricade to stop fish from flowing into the Babine River, I mean if they wanted to in fact engage in blood warfare they would have done so, but they chose otherwise. All I am saying is you asked me is there evidence in those records that I looked at for a lot of conflict in this core area that I looked at and my answer to you is no. You are talking -- I thought we were talking about territorial expansion. My understanding, most areas that I am familiar with where post contact territorial expansion of Native groups took place, a lot of bloodshed took place and if that's what you are asking me, the answer is no.
- Q Well, didn't you note an armed party coming to suggest with guns and spears that some trading should take place?
- A Yes. But that wasn't a war party, was? It.
- Q Well, I don't know. Guns --
- A No bloodshed occurred.
  - Q And didn't you also note from the journals that people at Hot-sett had been killed, that people were trying to hide at Fort Kilmaurs?
  - A But you are trying to equate internal -- I mean people have committed murders in our society, we don't call it war, do we? I mean that's what we are talking about. They are internal conflicts which occurred between individual families which were resolved in a couple of occasions through Feast ceremonies. There is no reference in any of the Brown material of organized raids of Gitksan or Wet'suwet'en northward or toward the coast. There is one reference, not in the records that I cited, but there is one reference in one of the Stuart Lake journals of a group of Sekanni that came down on a war party, but they don't

```
in fact do anything. They are disuaded. Now, I would
 1
             arque, since we are into this business of war, I
 3
            assume that one of your assumptions is that these
 4
            Gitksan are armed from the coast and are therefore in
 5
            a power position to push their way north and east.
            But I would submit to you that if the Russians are
 7
            expanding down the coast from the north, why are not
 8
            the northern groups who would be getting the arms
9
            first pushing south into Gitksan territory? It seems
10
            to me you could argue just as reasonable a counter
11
            thesis, if you are talking about a relative balance of
12
            power.
13 THE COURT: Didn't we see a passage a moment ago when I think it
14
           was Brown on his -- one of his journeys when he
15
            counted the number of men, didn't he say that the
16
            women and old people and children had gone into the
17
            hills because they thought that it was a war-like raid
18
            that was approaching?
19
       A They appeared it might be a raid coming, yeah.
20
            they were on their guard for sure. But I mean the
21
            point is he asked me if there were any evidence or any
22
            accounts of organized conflict and I'm saying that
23
            there isn't.
24 MR. WILLMS: Can that be Exhibit 966-7, my lord?
25 THE COURT: That's the --
26 MR. WILLMS: That's peace ceremony between the Nishga and the
27
            Kisgegas.
28 THE COURT: I am sorry? Is this the Wolf Clan invaders?
29 MR. WILLMS: It is from Wolf Clan Invaders. I just handed
            another one up, my lord.
31 THE COURT: But the first one is what number, please?
32 THE REGISTRAR: Be 966-7.
33
34
             (EXHIBIT 966-7: Tab 7 "A Peace Ceremony between the
35
            Niskae & Kiskagas)
36
37 THE COURT: All right.
38 MR. WILLMS: And the next one speaks to the point that --
39 THE COURT: All right. That will be 966-8.
40
41
             (EXHIBIT 966-8: Tab 8 "The Tsetsaut and Gitwinkul at
            War" M. Barbeau)
42
43
44 THE COURT: Well, doctor, I am sorry, I just want to go back a
45
            bit. If people are fearful of war-like attack just
46
            because somebody shows up, doesn't that indicate a
47
            mind set regarding hostilities?
```

Well, as I mentioned to you before --2 THE COURT: Can one just ignore that and say well, nothing happened so -- ? 4 No, I'm not -- your honour, what I was suggesting and 5 I mentioned briefly that I don't have the exact 6 reference, I am just talking from memory. The 7 question was: Was there warfare? And the only case I 8 can think of of an organized war party showing up was 9 at Fort St. James. Now, the trouble I'm having is I 10 can't remember if it was in Fraser or at Fort St. 11 James at this time. But it was a Sekanni party. And 12 there is some evidence that Sekanni alternatively 13 raided and traded on the eastern edges there of the 14 Gitksan territory. That's a possibility. And I 15 suspect if these Gitksan were on their guard, as you 16 say, and you're right, they obviously must have been, 17 that that -- it was coming from that quarters, 18 certainly I don't -- there is no evidence to suggest 19 that they feared that at this particular point in time 20 the Babine. So it might have been fear of a Sekanni 21 party coming -- because remember Brown is coming from 22 the east and he's coming down the Babine River and 23 they hear the dogs barking and whatnot, and that's why 24 they took off into the hills. So -- and that would fit with a Sekanni threat. But I don't think -- I 25 26 don't think -- well, in any event, that's -- in 27 reference to your question, that's the only one I can 28 come up with. 29 MR. WILLMS: This next extract is again from Wolf Clan Invaders and it's the Tsetsaut and the Kitwancool at war. 30 31 informant was Stephen Morgan of Gitsegukla, who 32 learned the story from his mother's brother who lived 33 at Gitwinlkul and who died long ago who was in the 34 Tsetsaut war which happened before he, Morgan, was 35 born, about a hundred years or a little over, and this 36 was recorded in 1923. And I won't read through it, 37 but it talks about the disputes between the Tsetsaut 38 and the Kitwancool. And if you work back you've got 39 it about a hundred years before sometime in the 1820s 40 the disputes between the Kitwancool and the Tsetsaut. 41 And that's -- the Tsetsaut are coming down this Stikine trail through --43 MR. ADAMS: My lord, that's not what it says. It says it happened a hundred years before the informant was born 45 and that doesn't put it in the 1820s. It puts it in the 1720s, doesn't it?

47 MR. WILLMS:

1		Q	Well, it says he was in that Tsetsaut war which
2			happened before he, Morgan, was born. The war
3			began if you read the next the next
4			
5			"A member of my family was a very brave man, whose
6			name was "
7			
8			And then he gives the name.
9			
10			"It was at the time when the Tsetsaut had a war
11			with the Gitwinlkul."
12			
13			Now so as I read that, the war reference was from
14			the mother's brother who had to be alive when he told
15			it to Morgan who was a hundred years old.
16	THE	COURT	: Well, he says it happened about a hundred years.
17	MR.	WILLM	S: Yeah.
18	THE	COURT	: Or a little over. Sounds like he's talking about a
19			hundred years ago from the time and what is the
20			date?
21	MR.	WILLM	S: And it was recorded in 1923.
22	THE	COURT	: Yes. Is that not correct, Mr. Adams?
23	MR.	ADAMS	: Well, my point, my lord, is that it's not at all
24			clear whether it's a hundred years before Morgan was
25			born or a hundred years before 1923. And we don't
26			know from this when Morgan was born, but that would
27			put it considerably earlier, that's all.
28	MR.	WILLM	S: Well, let's go to the last page, because there is
29			some handwriting here which helps date it a little bit
30			more. And I still say it's around a hundred years or
31			maybe a bit more. But the last line is:
32			
33			"I learned from the brother of my mother who lives
34			at Gitwinlkul and died long ago."
35			
36			So that's who he learned it from. He was in that war
37			himself.
38			
39			"It happened before I was born, nearly a hundred
40			years ago or a little over."
41			
42			Now, I read that as being a living person talking
43			about what someone who was living during the war has
44			told him and then died and dating it at about a
45			hundred years ago.
46	THE	COURT	: All right. Well
47		Α	Still isn't clear to me that's what it says, but we'll

```
go with that, I'll go with that.
 2 THE COURT: That's a matter of argument.
 3 MR. WILLMS: That may be, my lord.
           And I just want to ask this witness whether or not
 5
             from any of the Hudson's Bay records that he's
             reviewed there was anything to indicate that Brown had
 7
            information from as far afield as Kitwancool?
 8
        A Well, of course we can't know that, can we? All we
 9
            know is what he reported. He doesn't report it.
10
            Whether he knew about it is another matter and it's
11
             forever for speculation, but it doesn't say anything
             about it and the answer is no.
13 MR. WILLMS: Perhaps we could mark that and adjourn for the day.
14 THE COURT: Yes. That will be 96 --
15 THE REGISTRAR: 966-8, my lord.
16 THE COURT: Yes.
17
18
             (EXHIBIT 966-8: Document entitled Wolf Clan Invaders
19
            by M. Barbeau)
20
21 THE COURT: All right. Gentlemen, I'm sorry to say that I have
            something scheduled already in the morning, but we can
             start at 9:30. Is that convenient?
24 MR. WILLMS: That's convenient for me, my lord.
25 THE COURT: Yes. And we can sit late in the afternoon if we
            find if necessary to do so. Are we more or less on
27
             schedule?
28 MR. WILLMS: My lord, I hope to finish in about an hour or an
            hour and a half in the morning.
30 THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Macaulay is always brief. We
31
            will count on him not to let us down. I am very
32
            anxious not to sit on Friday. There is a historical
33
            prohibition against it that I would like to avoid.
34
35
            (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED UNTIL THURSDAY, MARCH 23, 1989
36
            AT 9:30 A.M.)
37
38
                              I hereby certify the foregiong to be
39
                              a true and accurate transcript of the
40
                              proceedings herein to the best of my
41
                              skill and ability.
42
43
44
45
                              Laara Yardley,
46
                              Official Reporter,
47
                              United Reporting Service Ltd.
```

7

13

15

17

37