

# I N D E X Of WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
YAMAMOTO, Kumaichi (resumed)	17924
Direct by Mr. Roberts (cont'd)	17924
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr	17929
MORNING RECESS	17945
NOON RECESS	17963
AFTERNOON RECESS	17988

1	Friday, 7 Harch 1947
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST
5	Court House of the Tribunal War Hinistry Building
6	Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	Appearances:
12	For the Tribunal, same as before.
13	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
14	For the Defense Section, same as before.
15	
16	(English to Japanese and Japanese
17	to English interpretation was made by the
18	Language Section, IMTFE.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

YAMAMOTO

DIRECT

Spra	1 2	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.
t t	3	THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present
8	4	except OKAWA, KAYA and TOJO, who are represented by
Y	5	their respective counsel. We have certificates from
e l d	6	the medical superintendent of Sugamo Prison certifying
d e	7	that the accused KAYA and TOJO are ill and unable to
n	8	attend the trial today. The certificates will be
	9	recorded and filed.
	10	Mr. Roberts.
	11	· · · · · ·
	12	KUMAICHI YAMAMOTO, called as a
	13	witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the
	14	stand and testified through Japanese interpreters
	15	as follows:
	16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	17	BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued):
	18	Q Mr. YAMAMOTO, are you familiar with the
	19	principle for the establishment of a Greater East Asia?
	20	A Yes, I do.
	21	Q When was that first used and by whom?
	22	A I think it was on November 3, 1938 when
	23	Prince KONOYE, Prime Minister, used the word in a
	24	government statement with reference to the establish-
	25	ment of a new order in Greater East Asia.

1	. Q Was this statement widely discussed and read
	by the Japanese people at that time?
2	A I think the statement was widely read by
3	the Japanese and widely discussed by the people.
5	Q What was the general interpretation of that
6	statement?
7	MR. COMYNS CARR: I object, your Honor. The
8	statement speaks for itself and the witness, in my
9	submission, cannot be asked what other people thought
10	it meant.
11	THE PRESIDENT: Is that statement in evidence
12	already?
13	MR. ROBERTS: We submit, if the Tribunal
14	please, that the interpretation placed upon it by the
15	prosecution as being evidence of a conspiracy to
16	dominate the East Asia and take control was not the
17	proper interpretation. We want to show what it was.
18	MR. COMYNS CARR: The defense can argue that
19	from the document itself, your Honor, but in my submis-
20	sion they cannot ask this witness.
21	THE PRESIDENT: It is for the court to construe
22	a document subject to receiving evidence on any special
23	terms that call for elucidation by evidence. The English
24	authority for that is Bowes v. Shand. Nevertheless,
25	my colleagues might take a different view. They might
1	

	think that the terms in a document of this importance
1	should be the subject of evidence and I will take
2	their opinion.
3	The objection is upheld.
4	Q What was your understanding of the meaning
5	of that statement, Mr. YAMAMOTO?
6	THE PRESIDENT: Do not answer. I upheld
7	the objection and that is a contravention.
8	MR. ROBERTS: I referred before to the
9	opinion of the Japanese people, that is, the under-
10	standing of the Japanese people as he understood it,
11	not his own opinion.
12	THE PRESIDENT: How can he give evidence of
13	the opinion of the Japanese people?
14	Q When did the expression "Co-Prosperity
15	
16	Sphere" come into use, Mr. YAMAMOTO?
17	A I recall that on August 1, 1940, the second
18	KONOYE cabinet publicly announced its basic national
19	policy and on that very day, as I recall, the Foreign
20	Minister MATSUOKA, at a press conference, first used
21	the words "Co-Prosperity Greater Bast Asia Co-
22	Prosperity Sphere."
23	Q What was the history and development of the
<b>2</b> 4	Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere?
25	A It is a very difficult problem. I do not

1	know of it in all its details; however, after the
2	outbreak of the China Incident, the feeling arose as
3	to how to bring about a peaceful settlement of this
4	unfortunate and regrettable conflict with the neighbor-
5	ing state, China, and it was out of such feelings and
6	sentiments that this idea grew up and was advocated.
7	Of that I am positive.
8	
9	
10	
-11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Q Can the formation and development of the
2	Co-Prosperity Sphere be attributed to any individual
3	or group?
4	A In my consideration it had no connection
5	whatsoever.
6	Q Can you cite any similar idea in order
7	to help clarify the meaning and intention of the
8	formation of the Co-Prosperity Sphere?
9	A My idea of the Greater East Asia Co-
10	Prosperity Sphere, which I have entertained from
11	the beginning and even today, is that nations and
12	peoples should in nutual trust and in mutual help
13	endeavor to promote their general prosperity, and
14	that in so far as this area of the world is con-
15	cerned it was Japan's idea that such a principle
16	should be disseminated and such relationship
17	established with nearby lands, neighboring
18	states, and in principle and in spirit I would say
19	that it is similar to the Pan-American principle.
20	MR. ROBERTS (To defense counsel): Does
21 22	any American counsel wish to examine the witness
	at this time?
23 24	(No response)
24	(To prosecution counsel) You may examine.
2)	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

CROSS

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

1 BY MR. COLYNS CARR: 2 Mr. YAMAMOTO, do I understand you to tell 0 3 us that all the countries which were represented 4 at this Greater East Asia Conference were free and 5 independent? 6 Considering the circumstances before, during A 7 and after the Greater East Asia Conference, those 8 nations which were represented at this assembly 9 all attended in a free spirit and out of their own 10 desire. 11 0 Were each of them at the time occupied by 12 a Japanese Army? 13 Not all of these countries were occupied. A 14 Which was not? 0 15 16 Manchukuo and Thailand were not occupied A 17 in that sense of the term. 18 There were Japanese Armies in each of them, 0 19 were there not? 20 A Yes, it is a fact that Japanese Armies 21 were garrisoned there. 22 Q Were any of them governed by governments 23 elected by the people of the country? 24 Thailand, of course, had a government which A 25 expressed and represented the will of the people.

YAMAMOTO

CROSS

Any other? Q 1 Are you speaking of each and all the A 2 countries who were represented at this assembly 3 of Greater East Asiatic nations? 4 I am asking you whether any one of them 0 5 except Thailand had a government which was appointed 6 by the people of the country? 7 Manchuria had a government which duly A 8 expressed the will of the people, and China at 9 that time also had a government which was appointed 10 by the will of the people. 11 When you speak of China you don't mean 0 12 the Chiang Kai-shek government, do you? 13 I am speaking of the government at A 14 Nanking inasmuch as Japan at that time had 15 recognized the government at Nanking as the govern-16 ment of nationalist China. 17 Japan had not only recognized it; she had 0 18 created it, hadn't she? 19 20 It is my belief that the Japanese Govern-A 21 ment assisted in the establishment of that government 22 in accordance with the desire and aspirations of the 23 people of China. 24 Japan had also created the government of 0 25 Manchukuo, hadn't she?

**UTOLIAMAY** 

CROSS

17,931

I am not familiar with the details at A 1 that time. 2 Do you suggest that either of those two Q 3 governments was independent of Japan? 4 I say that they were independent of Japan. A 5 Q On the 4th of December, 1941, you were 6 the head of the Asiatic and American Bureaus in 7 the Foreign Hinistry, weren't you? 8 A Yes. 9 MR. COMYNS CARR: Now, may he look at 10 exhibit 1214, if he might have the Japanese copy, 11 please? 12 THE MONITOR: Mr. Comyns Carr, this is 13 the Language Section. Have you got the prosecution 14 document number of that exhibit? 15 16 IR. COMYNS CARR: 2593-D is the prosecution 17 document number. 18 THE MONITOR: Thank you, sir. 19 LR. COMYNS CARR: Exhibit No. 1214. Let 20 him see the Japanese of that. 21 22 23 24 25

#### CROSS

r e e n b e r g 38 G 0 1 d b e r

g

G

1

5

6 Now, Mr. YAMAMOTO, this is the copy of the telegram which the Japanese Foreign Office sent to 2 their representative at Hsingking on the 4th of 3 December, 1941. 4 (Reading): "On the fourth, in a joint conference with the Government Control Board, we 6 decided upon steps which we will have Manchukuo 7 take in case the international situation turns 8 critical." 9 Your Honor, perhaps we would get on more 10 quickly if the Language Section might have the 11 Japanese. Then the witness would hear it read in 12 Japanese. It could be handed to the Language Section. 13 It would probably be quicker. 14 THE MONITCR: Mr. Comyns Carr, we do have 15 the Japanese copy, sir. 16 THE PRESIDENT: You would have to put it 17 to him in English, and they would give a simultaneous 18 translation in Japanese and he would answer. 19 That 20 is your proposal.

21 MR. COMYNS CARR: We are trying to work 22 out a system which would work quickly in these cases, 23 and I suggest that for trial as probably the best one. 24 THE PRESIDENT: I see no objection. 25 MR. COMYNS CARR: May the document be taken

CROSS

1	from the witness and given to the Language Section
2	who will read it simultaneously as I read it.
3	THE MCMITCH: Mr. Comyns Carr, we have the
4	document.
5	M. COMYNS CARR: They have it, your Honor.
6	Can I read straight ahead then?
7	THE MCNITOR: Yes, sir.
8	MR. COMYNS CARR: I will begin again.
9	(Reading): "Re my #892."
10	THE MONITOR: Mr. Comyns Carr, the Language
11	Section suggests that it be relayed instead of given
12	simultaneously because there would have to be switching
13	of the IBM and the possibility that the witness might
14	not hear all of it nor other Japanese counsel and
15	others.
16	MR. COMYNS CARR: If you please.
17	THE PRESIDENT: Try it, please.
18	MR. COMYNS CARR (Reading): "On the fourth,
19	in a joint conference with the Government Control
20	Board, we decided upon steps which we will have .
24	Manchukuo take in case the international situation
22	turns critical. Differing from what I said in my
23	#873 our policy was changed as follows:
24	"When the Japanese Empire commences hostilities,
25	for the time being Manchukuo will not participate.

Because Manchukuo is closely bound up with the Japanese Empire and because England and the United States and the Netherlands have not recognized the Government of Manchukuo as a matter of fact, Hsinking will regard those three nations as de facto enemies and treat them accordingly.

7 "Wherever 'England and the United States'
8 and 'Inglish and Americans' occur in the text, we
9 changed them to 'England, the United States, and the
10 Netherlands' and 'English, Americans and Netherlandors.'"

11 Q In the face of that, Mr. YAMAMOTO, do you 12 still say that Manchukuo was independent of Japan?

13 MR. MCBERTS: I object on the grounds there 14 is no evidence that this witness participated in these 15 proceedings or that he was on the Government Control 16 Board at that time and upon the further ground that 17 it asks for an opinion of the witness.

<sup>18</sup> THE PRESIDENT: This is directed to his credi<sup>19</sup> bility on the question of independence of these countries.
<sup>20</sup> This is an official Japanese statement and he is
<sup>21</sup> speaking now from his knowledge as a Japanese official
<sup>22</sup> at the time. He is asked to reconcile his views with
<sup>33</sup> his country's and the question is admissible. The
<sup>24</sup> objection is overruled.

A Even if I am shown this document, or this

CROSS

YAMAMOTO

CROSS

1 document is read to me, my idea that Manchukuc was an 2 independent state does not change. 3 Q Does an independent state in your experience 4 as a foreign ministry official usually have orders

given to it by another state?

6 MR. ROBERTS: I object to this question as 7 being purely argumentative, if the Tribunal please.

8 THE PRESIDENT: I think, myself, that it is 9 comment really. I think it is, as the defense counsel 10 suggests, argumentative, but my colleagues, however, 11 have a different view so we will put the question. 12 Let him answer.

A At that time there was a treaty existing between Japan and Manchukuo and, according to one of the provisions of the treaty, Manchukuo was to consult with Japan on all questions relating to diplomacy; and I say that Japan acted in accordance with her treaty relations with Manchukuo.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Now may be have exhibit 20 1209, prosecution's document, also part of 2593-D, 21 Part 38 this is. This is a circular sent out from 22 Tokyo Foreign Ministry on the 7th of December, 1941.

THE MONITOR: Mr. Comyns Carr, what is the
prosecution document number, 2593-B or D?

MR. COMYNS CALE: D.

25

YAMAMOTO

CROSS

1.	THE MONITOR: A-B-C-D.
2	MR. COMYNS CARR: Part 38.
3	THE MONITCR: We have it, sir.
4	MR. COMYNS CARR: I am reading from the second
5	page.
6	(Reading): "Upon declaration of war by
7	Japan, the Nanking government will not be directed
8	to participate in war but will be directed to maintain
•	close relations and absolute cooperation with Japan.
10	"In maintaining close relations with our
11	courtry the Nanking Government will be directed and
12	guided in strengthening its morale and organization
13	so that it may help alleviate Japan's burden in par-
14	ticipating in a protracted world war."
15	( Do you still say that the Nanking Government
16	in China was independent of Japan?
17	A Before replying, I should like to know where
18	this document was obtained. I should like to know
19	its source, and also I should, first of all, like to
20	ascertain whether the language used in this document
21	is identical with the actual telegram that was sent.
22	
23	
24	
25	

YAMAMOT O

CROSS

You are no longer an official in the Foreign 0 1 Ministry, Mr. YAMAMOT), are you? 2 A At the present time, not an official. 3 Now, then, I want to ask you this question, Q 4 and I am referring, for the information of the Tri-5 bunal, to exhibit 1271, page 2. Do you remember the 6 accused TOJO and TOGO making speeches in the Diet 7. on the 22nd of January, 1942? 8 Yes, I recall. A 9 New, I am reading to you from a summary of G 10 those speeches prepared by the German Ambassador in 11 Tokyo and sent to his government. 12 THE MONITOR: Mr. Comyns Carr, will you 13 give us the prosecution number, please? 14 MR. COMYNS CARR: 4076A. 15 THE MONITOR: Thank you, sir. 16 MR. COMYNS CARR: I shall read beginning 17 at the lower part of page 1 and the upper part of 18 page 2, and then I propose to ask the witness whether 19 that represented the policy of Japan towards the 20 countries of what they called the "Greater East Asia 21 Co-Prosperity Sphere." 22 "Building up of East Asia. 23 "1) Japan, Manchukuo, and Nanking-China 24 25 shall form the inner core of the new organization.

### CROSS

17,938

	Thailand and Indo-China, who cooperate with Japan out
1	of their own free will, will be included. The other
2	areas of the new great sphere shall crystallize under
3	various forms of government around this core. The
4	active support of allied Thailand was thought to be
5	especially hearty by the Prime Minister and Foreign
6	Minister, though her claims for a revision were not
7	mentioned.
8	"2) The remaining countries of greater East
9	Asia shall fall into three categories:
10	"a) Previous bulwarks of British imperial-
11	ism which served to suppress and rule East Asia, namely
12	Hong Kong and the Malay Peninsula. This area must be
13	transformed into a bulwark for the protection of
14	
15	Greater East Asia and must, therefore, be placed under
16	the immediate control of Japan. This principle found
17	a practical application in General ISOGAI's appoint-
18	ment as Governor General of HongKong, as reported from
19	another quarter.
20	"b) Areas, whose independence shall be pre-
21	served if they loyally collaborate with Japan: The
22	Philippines and Burma. According to what the Military
23	Attache confidentially learned from the Army, their
24	independence shall be formed according to Manchukuo's
25	pattern.
and the second second	

CROSS

1	"c) Areas which are to be conquered by force
2	of arms if they persist in resisting Japan: Nether-
3	lands Indies, Australia, and Chungking China."
4	BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):
5	Q Does that fairly represent the policy of
6	Japan toward the countries in Greater East Asia?
7	MR. ROBERTS: I object, if the Tribunal
8	please, on the ground it calls merely for an opinion
9	of this witness.
10	THE PRESIDENT: That is directed to c redi-
11	bility, I take it. It is covered by the other de-
12	cisions.
13	MR. BROOKS: I would like to object to the
14	form of the question unless it shows the date and what
15	time. I think the question is very misleading.
16	MR. COMYNS CARR: I have already given the
17	date as January, 1942, your Honor.
18	THE PRESIDENT: Answer the question.
19 20	A I recall that addresses were made at the
20	Diet at that time, but I do not recall or remember the
22	speeches themselves, that is, the contents of the
23	speeches.
24	Q I am not asking you about the contents of
25	the speeches. I am asking you whether that is a fair
	summary of the policy being pursued by Japan at that

CROSS

1	time when you held important positions in the Foreign
2	Ministry.
3	A Were the contents which you just queted to
4	me taken from a telegram drafted by the German Am-
5	bassador?
6	Q Yes.
7	A I cannot express my opinions on a document
8	over which I have no positive knowledge.
9	Q Don't you know what was the policy of Japan
10	vowards Greater East Asia in January of 1942?
11	A The principle for the establishment of the
12	Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere is as I have
13	already mentioned previously, and I still hold to that
14	idea.
15	Q Now I will ask you this: When Japan did
16	purport the grounds, what she called "independence,"
17	to Burma and the Philippines, was it on the Manchukuo
18	model?
19	MR. LOGAN: I object to the form of that
20	question, if your Honor please, as to what they pur-
21	ported to do.
22	MR. ROBERTS: I further object on the ground
23	that it calls for purely an opinion of the witness.
24	
25	

YAMAMOTO

and the state of t

M

ORNO

28

Wolf

CROSS

1	THE PRESIDENT: We will hear only one counsel
2	on each objection. I see no objection to the use of
3	the word "purported." Mr. Comyns Carr is not bound to
4	accept it as a fact. The objection is overruled.
5	MR. ROBERTS: I object further upon the ground
6	that it is outside the scope of the examination, the
7	examination in chief being confined to the Greater East
8	Asia Conference in 1943.
9	THE PRESIDENT: This is directed to the genuine-
10	ness of the Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere as
11	well as to the credibility of the witness. The objection
12	is overruled.
13	BY MR. COFYNS CARR (Continued):
14	0 "hat do you say in answer to the question,
15	Mr. YAFAPOTO?
16	A The question of Byrmese and Philippine independ-
17	ence came after the independence of Manchuria and after
18	the development of the idea of the new order in East
19	Asia. Hence, the conditions and circumstances surround-
20	ing the independence of Burma and the Philippines were
21	different from the conditions prevailing at the time of
	Manchurian independence. The independence to Burma and
	the Philippines developed out of the newer concept
	of the new the Greater Past Asia New Order.
25	0 Would you say they had more independence than
	different from the conditions prevailing at the time of Manchurian independence. The independence to Burma and the Philippines developed out of the newer concept of the new the Greater Fast Asia New Order.

CROSS

Manchukuo or less?

It seems that the discussion is now centering A 2 around the essence of independence. With respect to 3 the independence of states there are various differences 1 according to various interpretations of international 5 law. In the case of Burmese and Philippine independence, 6 one must say that it would be almost natural that there 7 would be some restraint, some restrictions on its 8 independence at the outset because of the conditions 9 prevailing at the time that it is established as an 10 independent state. 11

12 0 Were there more restrictions or less than in13 the case of Manchukuo?

A I think in some respects they enjoyed a wider
area of freedom, but inasmuch as we were then in the
midst of war, greaterrestrictions than were applied in Manch
were applied in the case of the Philippines and Burma.

18 Now, do you suggest that that was only because19 the war was in progress?

20

25

A Yes, I gave that as one illustration.

At the time when the telegram was sent to
Manchukuo, which I read, in December 1941, Manchukuo
had already been in existence for 9 years, had it not?
A Yes.

YANAL OTO

CROSS

1	Q How much longer was to pass before it was to
2	get more independence than it had in December 1941?
3	MR. ROBERTS: I object to the form of the
4	question.
5	THE PRESIDENT: The position is covered by
6	earlier decisions this morning. The objection if over-
7	ruled.
8	A As to how many years would be necessary before
9	the attainment of complete freedom and independence,
10	that would depend upon the development of the situ-
11	ation or development of various conditions. But Japan
12	wasfired with the desire to cooperate in the realization
13	of complete freedom and independence at the earliest
14	possible moment.
15	Now, you told me, I think or I better ask
16	you: do you say that these countries had a freedom
17	of action of a democratic kind?
18	MR. ROBERTS: I don't believe the witness made
19	such a characterization. Object to the question on
20	that ground.
21	MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, the witness has
22	said that the individuals who attended this Greater
23	East Asia Conference represented their countries and
24	I am seeking to find out what basis he had for that
25	statement.
-	

YAMAMOTO

CROSS

	1
1	MR. ROBERTS: But he certainly didn't
2	characterize those countries as being democratic in
3	form, nor does it indicate that democracy is the only
4	form of an independent state.
5	THE FRE-IDENT: No, he did not, certainly.
6	You could have a country which is a dictatorship
7	could be represented. Nevertheless, Mr. Carr's position,
8	I take it, was to endeavor to get the witness to say
9	whether or not the people of those countries were
10	supporting this proposal.
11	Q Mr. YAMAMOTO, you understand I have finished
12	with the question of whether the countries were
13	independent and I am now dealing with the question
14	of whether these individuals had any right to speak
15	for them. Now, let me put it to you this way: What
16	right do you say that Mr. Ba-No had to speak for the
17	people of Burma?
18	A Ba-Mo was the head of state of Burma and in
19	that capacity he represented the state of Burma at
20	the assembly.
21	Q Who made him the head of state?
22 23	A I don't recall now just how what the
25	government organization and set up was at that time,
25	but the people of Burma had been burning with the
	desire for national independence for many years. It
CONTRACT.	

YAMAMOTO

CROSS

was at such a time that Burma finally realized its independence and as Ba-No was then the chief of the government I am positive that he represented the desires and the will of the people of Burma. THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 

OTOMALAY

CROSS

1	HARSHALL OF THE COURT: The International
2	Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
3	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.
4	BY IR. COMYNS CARR: (Continued):
5	Q Mr. Yamamoto, did you assist in drawing up
6	the Constitution for Burma?
7	A I had no connection with the drafting of
8	the Burnese Constitution.
9	Q Do you know that it provided that Dr.
10	Ba-Ho shall be dictator in Burna?
11	MR. ROBERTS: The witness has just testi-
12	fied he had no connection with the Constitution.
13	I object to the question on that ground.
14	THE PRESIDENT: Still he can know its con-
15	tents. Objection overruled.
16	A Yes. I now recall, after hearing your
17	words on the subject.
18	Q Now, you told us in your examination-in-
19 20	chief that before this conference Dr. Ba-Ho complained
20	at great length about the actions of the Japanese
22	Army in Burna. What did he complain about?
23	A Dr. Ba-No complained with regard to the
24	undue and great interference of the Japanese Army
25	authorities in the field, that is, in Burma.
	Q Did he, for instance, complain that the

CROSS

1	Japanese Army and the Japanese Monopoly Company
2	were devastating the state forests in Burma?
	MR. ROBERTS: I object to the form of the
3	question.
4	THE PRESIDENT: There is nothing wrong
5	
6	with the form of it. The relevancy is the only
7	question and just relevancy.
8	MR. ROBERTS: He is suggesting the answer
9	that he wants to try to put in the mouth of the
10	witness.
11	THE PRESIDENT: The cross-examiner that
12	does not load is not worth his salt.
13	MR. ROBERTS: I am taking the point of
14	view of its being argumentative.
15	THE PRESIDENT: That is not argumentative,
16	if I understand the position.
17	A I think there were complaints to such an
18	effect, but Burma then was not independent.
19	Q Did he complain that inhabitants of Burma
20	were being forced to go and work on the Burma-Siam
21	Railway?
22	A No, I did not hear anything of that kind.
23	Q Did he say anything about labor on the
24	Burma-Siam Railway?
25	A I do not recall.

YAMAMOTO

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CROSS

17.948

Q Do you not recall any other subject about which he complained?

A At that time Dr. Ba-Mo was given certain 3 authority, and this was supported by the Japanese 4 on the spot. It seems as if Dr. Ba-Mo was quite 5 irritated by the interference in his administration 6 of state affairs within the scope of the authority 7 8 that had been given him to administer the country. 9 · · 0 Did his complaints continue after the so-10 called independence of Burma?

A It was a Japanese policy and aim to let Dr. Ba-Mo complain even after the independence of Burma, and I think that he did complain on certain matters after the independence of that country.

Q Did he complain that, although his government was supposed to be independent, it was compelled to carry out the orders of the Japanese Army?

A Yes, he has frequently made complaints to such an effect. However, very intense warfare was then going on, and by the very necessity of the circumstances a certain amount of restrictions had to be placed from the standpoint of military operations.

Q Did he complain then that he was being

Y. ALCIO

CHOSS

forced to provide lebor for the burme-Sigh Hollway? 1 A Of course, I recall that he was very much 2 delighted over the est-blishment of traffic between 3 Theiland and wurme after the independence of burma, 4 but I do not recall any instance of his complain-5 ing with regard to forced labor. 6 Do you know that there was a great deal of 0 7 forced labor? 8 MA. AUBLATO: If the Tribunrl please, I 9 object on the ground that I believe it is beyond 10 the scope of his examination. 11 The raceIDENT: The question before us 12 is the genuineness of the Greater Last Asia Co-13 Prosperity Sphere. This man is here to support it. 14 This cross-examination suggests it was a sham be-15 cause no prosperity was involved for the satellites 16 or their people. The cross-exemination is within 17 the scope of the examination-in-chief. I do hope 18 you will not object so much. To report objections 19 which have plready been overruled is wasting time. 20\* The objection is overruled. Answer the question. 21 As I have said before, we have, in accord-22 ance with the fundamental principle of the idea of 23 the New Order in Lest Asic, formulated various plans 24 and hersures for the people -- for the nations and 25

24

25

ChOSS

peoples in this erec. In order to prosecute wor, 1 measures hust be taken in all fields of the war 2 effort. And, of course, we recognized the neces-3 sity of labor as an essential element in that effort. 4 But at no time have I ever heard of the idea of 5 forced or compelled labor. 6 Now, in addition to the Forest monopoly C. 7 Company -- Japanese Lonopoly Company which you told 8 me Ba-Mo complained of, did he elso complain that 9 Japanese companies were being given monopolies in 10 other branches of industry and agriculture in Durma? 11 No, I do not recell. A 12 G Do you know that it was a fact? 13 É. I object to the monner in which the word 14 "monopoly" was just used and interpreted. I think 15 the true meaning of the word "monopoly" as used was 16 how to develop these industrial and agricultural 17 resources so as to make most effective the prosecu-18 tion of wer and, at the same time, be to the good 19 and to the advantage and well being of the people of 20 Burms; and many instances in which monopoly has been 21 the form used in order to promote the maximum happi-22 ness of the scople of the country. 23 C Do you know that the inhabitants of Burma,

for instance, were compelled to hand over their

# YAMANOTO

ChOSS

1	sugar to one Japanese company?
1 2	A I do not recall such a fact.
3	Q les there not established a Japanese
5	sugar company which took over the control of sugar
5	production in all the occupied areas?
6	A I think there was such a fact, but at that
7	time it was by the use of such rethods that the best
8	interests of the Burmese people would be promoted,
9	and we carried out our policy with the idea in mind
10	to hand over these industries little by little into
11	the hands of the people of Burma.
12	Q were not the inhebitants of Burma, the
13	producers in Burme, compelled to sell their sugar
14	to that company at that company's price?
15	A cell, I hesitate to state my views on the
16	details of small developments within Burma.
17	Q Do you know that if they refused they were
18	besten and ill treated?
19	A I do not know these specific facts.
20	Q You were the Vice-Linister for Greater
21	East asia, were you not?
22	A Yes, I was.
23	Q Do you regard these matters I have been
24	asking you about as small details?
25	THE FRESIDENT: Captain brooks.

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

21

22

23

24

25

In. BLOOKS: I would like to object to that question. The vitness has not contended that they were small details. I would also like to add that I think that this part is going a little further than 4 the independence movement the Court has discussed. I think it is outside the scope of the direct 6 examination. 7 THE PRESIDENT: The suggestion is that he, 8 as Vice-Minister, should know those things, and he 9 said he didn't know details -- those details. Details and small things are some times synonyms. The objection is overruled. A There was something said about small details. But what I meant to say was, I do not rerember small details, not small things. 0 Now I am asking you whether you regard the 16 matters which I have asked you about, and which you say you didn't know, as being small details. 18 The PhiloIdeni: Major Furness. 19 Mi. FUNNLSS: If the Court please, it seems 20 to me most unfair to this witness to ask him if he knows certain things -- he says he does not -- and then to ask him if he regards them as small details. It is assuming a fact not in evidence. It is purely and plainly improper, it seems to me.

YAMALOTO

## ChOSS

THE PRESIDENT: ... e must have regard to the direction of the cross-examination. He said they were small details. He was reminded he was Vice-Einister for this Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Ministry, and he is asked then whether, in that position, he would not necessarily know those things. LR. FURLAS: It is assuming that there has been proof of them. THE PRESIDENT: This goes sharply to credi-bility. Here is a Vice-Linister saying he didn't know such things as ir. Carr put to him which he describes as "small details" now. 

YAMAMOTO CROSS

D u d a

&

W h a l e n

17,954

1

	MR. COMYNS CARR: That concludes what I	
1	wanted to ask about Burma, your Honor.	
2	The logical thing would be to take the	
3	Philippines next. Your Honor, I am not very fully	
4	acquainted with the facts about the Philippines, and	
5	I was going to ask whether the Tribunal would permit	
6	my friend, Mr. Lopez, to put some supplementary ques-	
7	tions on that subject after I have finished. If the	
8	Tribunal does not approve of that, then I must deal	
9	with it the best I can now.	
10	THE PRESIDENT: Only one counsel should cross-	
11	examine.	
13	MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, the defense	
14	has no objection.	
15	THE PRESIDENT: There is no objection?	
16	MR. LOGAN: No. As a matter of fact, your Honor,	
17	we expect to ask for that same privilege ourselves.	
18	THE PRESIDENT: Then he may.	
19	MR. COMYNS CARR: In that case I will omit the	
20	Philippines from my questions.	
21	THE PRESIDENT: Well, the Court insists on	
22	only one counsel cross-examining for the prosecution.	
23	MR. COMYNS CARR: In that case I must do my	
24	best, your Honor.	
25	Q Mr. YAMAMOTO, the person who was called	
£		

YAMAMOTO

CROSS

President of the Philippines was a Dr. Laurel, was he 1 not? 2 Yes, Dr. Laurel. A 3 Before December 1941 was there in existence Q 4 a democratic government in the Philippines? 5 Before 1941 did you say? A 6 Yes. Q 7 À I think the Philippines at that time was a 8 part of the United States. 9 What I was asking was whether there was not Q 10 a democratic government in the Philippines which was --11 well, first of all, whether there was not a democratic 12 government in the Philippines? 13 I should think I am not in a position to 14 A testify on such a matter. 15 16 THE PRESIDENT: You know there was a parlia-17 mentary government there, I suppose? 18 THE WITNESS: I do. 19 Was Dr. Laurel or any of those associated with Q 20 him in the government setup during the war which you 21 call an independent government, had any of them any 22 authority from the parliamentary government of the 23 Philippines? 24 The purpose of that question is not very h 25 clear to me. However, I cannot understand from what

УАМАМОТО

CHOSS

1	
1	authority is given for any movement or any desire
2	for a people to seek independence.
3	Q Do you not know that as long ago as 1936 the
4	independence of the Philippines had been arranged by
5	the United States to come into force in 1946 on the
6	4th of July?
7	A I do.
8	Q Do you not know that there was then in
9	1941 a duly elected Philippine Congress?
10	A Are you referring to the period prior to
11	the outbreak of war in 1941?
12	Q Yes.
13	A I should think so then.
14	Q Had the government of Dr. Laurel any authority
15	from that congress?
16	A What I said with regard to Philippine inde-
17	pendence is after the outbreak of war.
18	Q Now answer the question.
19 20	A May I have the question repeated?
20	Q Had Dr. Laurel or his government any authority
22	from that Philippine Congress?
23	A What are you referring to when you speak of
24	Dr. Laurel and/or his government?
25	Q Was Dr. Laurel appointed, or were any of the
	members of his government appointed in response to a
17,957

YALAMOTO

1	vote of that congress? -
2	A What are you referring to? What government
3	are you refarring to; the government at what time?
4	Q The government during the war which you
5	speak of as the independent government of the Philip-
6	pines.
7	A During the war the Philippine Islands were
8	occupied by the Japanese army, and during that time
9	the functions of the United States Government in
10	that area had already ceased, and, therefore, the
11	question of who delegates or grants authority does
12	not arise.
13	THE PRESIDENT: Where did Laurel get his
14	authority?
15	THE WITNESS: I believe that Dr. Laurel stood
16	at the head of the independence movement, incorporated
17	and in accordance with the general consent and desire
18	of the people of the Philippines.
19	G I have not watched the clock. Can you tell
20	me how long it is that you have been trying to avoid
21	answering my question?
22	(Whereupon, Mr. Roberts approached
23	the lectern.)
24	THE PRESIDE T: Objection upheld.
25	Q Will you now answer it?

17,958

1	THE WITNESS: Mr. President, in the light
1 2	of the question just now directed to me as witness,
2 3	I regard myself as being mistrusted distrusted.
5	Inasmuch as I have taken this witness stand under
5	oath to speak according to my own conscience, I am
6	trying my best to understand the questions that are
7	being asked me.
8	THE PRESIDENT: There is no need for that
9	homily. Just answer the questions.
10	THE WITNESS: May I have that question
11	repeated, sir?
12	Q Had the government which was established of
13	Dr. Laurel during the war any authority from the con-
14	gress, elected congress of the Philippines?
15	A I do not know with respect to that point.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	

OTOMAMAY

CROSS

1	Q Lid the Japanese army allow the congress to
2	meet and operate?
3	A It is my recollection that since the Philippines
4	were occupied by the Japanese army and a military
5	administration was in existence, the congress did not
6	meet.
7	Q Did not Japan abolish the congress and substi-
8	tute a small commission?
9	A Yes, I do recall something of that nature.
10	Q Now, you know, Mr. YAMAMOTO, if you knew that
11	you could have answered yes or no a quarter of an hour
12	ago to the question whether Dr. Laurel had any authority
13	from the congress. Please answer it ves or no now.
14	A I do not know.
15	Q What was Lr. Laurel at the time when the
16	Japanese first came into the Philippines? What was his
17	position in the Philippines?
18	A I do not know.
19	Q Do you know whether he held any position
20	under the congress?
21 22	A Regarding that, I do not have any definite
22	recollection, but I have heard that he was a judge or
24	something to that effect.
25	Q As Vice-Minister for Greater East Asia, do
	not you know who appointed Dr. Laurel to be president?

•••

CROSS

1	A I do not know concretely as to who appointed
2	him president.
3	Q Did not the Japanese army select him as the
4	person to be president?
5	A Well, at any rate, before the independence of
6	the Philippines, a Preparatory Commission was created,
7	composed of the people of the Philippines. This com-
8	mission, incorporating the desires and wishes of the
9	people of the Philippines, nominated Dr. Laurel as
10	president. That is my belief.
11,	Q First of all, how many of the people of the
12	Philippines were members of the Preparatory Commission?
13	A I ao not recall any accurate or definite figure,
14	but I think it was around twenty.
15	Q And were they nominated by the Japanese
16	commander-in-chief?
17	A I do not recall that definitely.
18	Q If he didn't, who did?
19 20	A I think it is only natural that the commander-
21	in-chief of the Japanese army offered his cooperation
22	in assistance in the appointment of these members.
23	Q Now, then, do you know that Lr. Laurel has,
24	since the war came to an end, been indicted for his-
25	part in those proceedings?
	A Yes.

CROSS

	1
1	
2	compelled by the Japanese to do what he did?
3	MR. ROBERTS: I object, if the Tribunal
4	please. Those proceedings are not properly before
5	this court. The man is not presumed guilty until he
6	is convicted.
7	THE PRESIDENT: The majority of the Court
8	think the question should be disallowed. The objection
9	is upheld.
10	Q Is it not the fact that Dr. Laurel and his
11	government were compelled by the Japanese army both to
12	act as such and to carry out the measures which they
13	did carry out?
14	I think I had better reframe that question.
15	I will put it the other way around, your Honor.
16	Lo you say that Dr. Laurel and his colleagues
17	in that government were free agents in all that they
18	did?
19	A Yes.
20	Q You do. Did Dr. Laurel make any complaints,
21	as you have told us Mr. Ba-Mo did, about the conduct
22	of the Japanese army in the Philippines?
23	
24	A When I made a visit to the Philippine Islands
25	in the course of a trip in 1943, I did not hear any
	complaints from him. But I should be very glad to

1

2

3

21

22

23

24

25

give one illustration of his ardent desire for the realization of Philippine independence which he spoke to me about on that occasion.

CROSS

On that occasion there was a meeting between 4 the members of the Independence Preparatory Commission 5 and Prime Minister TOJO and other of us who were in 6 the party. At that time, Laurel, in his opening address 7 to the gathering, said that the people of the 8 Philippines had long desired for the earliest possible 9 realization of their national independence. He said 10 that it was a matter of great joy and happiness to we, 11 the people of the Philippines, to know that that 12 13 independence is now near at hand. However, Dr. Laurel said that that independence must be complete. It 14 must not be an independence that comes under another 15 16 country -- of Japan. And he strongly emphasized that 17 that independence must be parallel and equal to that 18 of Japan in the equal pursuit of liberty and freedom. 19 In such a manner he represented and reflected the will 20 of the people of the Philippines.

Q When what you call "independence" had come into operation, did Dr. Laurel complain, then, of the conduct of the Japanese army?

A I do not recall.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until

half-past one. (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.) 

CROSS

YAMAMOTO

S p	1	AFTERNOON SESSION
r att	2 3	The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess,
3	4	at 1330.
Y	5	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
e l	6	Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
d.	7	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.
e n	8	
	9	KUMAICHI YAMAMOTO, called as a
	10	witness on behalf of the defense, resumed
	11	the stand and testified through Japanese
	12	interpreters as follows:
	13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	14	BY IR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):
	15	Q Mr. YAMAMOTO, you told us you thought
	16	that Laurel's government in the Philippines repre-
	17	sented the wishes of the people?
	18	A Yes, I did.
	19	Q Is that your view?
	20	A Yes.
	21	Q Did you ever hear of the resistance move-
	22	ment?
	23	A Yes, I have received reports on that matter
	24	from time to time.
	25	Q Now about co-prosperity as shown in the
		and another proportion an onowit in one

CROSS

Philippines?

1

1	rurrppmes:
2	A The joint declaration of the Greater
3	East Asiatic nations best expresses the principle
4	of co-prosperity. The realization of Philippine
5	independence is perhaps the most outstanding
6	example of co-prosperity as realized in the
7	Philippines.
8	Q Well, let us see what it says. Point 4
9	of the declaration says this, doesn't it?
10	"The countries of Greater East Asia
11	will endeavor to accelerate their economic develop-
12	ment through close cooperation upon a basis of reci-
13	procity and to promote thereby the general prosperity
14	of their region."
15	Have I quoted it rightly?
16	A With respect to the passage just read by
17	you, Mr. Prosecutor, may I speak on the basis of my
19	recollections on the subject?
20	Q I have read the actual document which you
21	referred to?
22	THE MONITOR: Mr. Prosecutor, Mr. Comyns
23	Carr, since we do not have the document here we just
24	translated the meaning of it. We may not have used
25	the exact wording that was in the Japanese original.
	Q Do you wait to differ from what was read to

CROSS

you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I merely wish to clarify more fully the meaning in the Japanese original.

Q Yes.

A The principle underlying that article is the acceleration and promotion of the economic well-being of the peoples of Greater East Asia by promoting their economic development on a basis of equality and reciprocity. That, I think, expresses the spirit of that article.

Q If you please, did Japan try to promote that in the Philippines by confiscating all the motor vehicles, refrigerators, sewing machines, and stores on which they could lay their hands and shipping them to Japan?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If your Honor please, I do not recall any evidence to this effect being in this case, and if the prosecution intends to prove that later I think we should be advised of it.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no assumption of fact, as far as I can judge, in the form of the question. The learned counsel asks whether Japan attempted to promote these things by doing certain things. There is no assumption those things were

# 17,968

## YAMAMOTO

	Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere, and it was
1	our policy to refrain from such acts in accordance
2	with the true underlying principle for the establish-
3	ment of such a sphere and to correct, take measures
4	to correct such acts if they occurred.
5	Q Were these goods shipped in large quanti-
6	ties to Japan?
7	
8	A I do not recall anything in concrete
9	details.
10	Q Did you ship then back again?
11	A Regarding that also, I do not have any
12	positive recollection.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CROSS

Do you know, for instance, that property 0 1 looted in the Philippines is in Tokyo at this moment? 2 Not concretely but I imagine that there are A 3 some things in Tokyo. 4 Did Dr. Laurel make any complaints about this 0 5 to you or to the accused TOJO? 6 A My stay in the Philippines being only one 7 day, such matters are not in my recollection at all. 8 Q Did he complain about them at any other time? 9 A I have learned from reports which were sent 10 to my department from time to time of the various 11 desires entertained by the authorities of the 12 Philippine government. 13 0 Did they include a desire on this subject? 14 A I think they were included. 15 0 Did he also complain that the Japanese 16 had confiscated every important commercial enterprise 17 belonging to the Filipinos and given it to Japanese 18 companies? 19 20 A I think such incidents occurred at the time of the occupation of the Philippines out of the neces-21 22 sity of military operations. However, such acts were corrected as they occurred and in accordance with our 23 24 fundamental policy, everything was done as much as 25 possible in order to return these facilities to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

21

CROSS

17,970

Philippine government and in pursuit of that same policy we steadily carried out that policy.

Did this include handing over the mines and 0 forests to Japanese exploitation?

A At first they were included but they were taken only as a temporary measure. But these were corrected and these facilities were returned to the Filipino government and people as a matter of policy and we endeavored, in fact, to bring about our common prosperity by such measures.

0 Can you name a single thing that was ever returned?

A I know the general fact that these things were returned to the Philippines but I will refrain from naming anything specific because they are outside of my memory at the present time.

0 Can you name a single occasion when componsa-17 tion was ever paid for any of them? 18

A Are you asking for the fact of a compensation being made? 20

> 0 Yes.

A For instance, there were various establish-22 ments which were under Japanese supervision, for 23 instance, sugar and textile mills, but these were 24 25 gradually returned to the Philippines and I recall that

YAMAMOTO

some of these facilities were returned to the

CROSS

Philippines.

1

2

3

4

Q Now I am asking you about payment of compensation. Was any ever paid?

17,971

MR. ROBERTS: I want to object to this question because there is no evidence that this man was in a position to know about payment or compensation.

9 THE PRESIDENT: He does not claim ignorance 10 and he was the second man in the ministry concerned, 11 and he didn't say everything was returned leaving 12 nothing to be compensated for. Objection overruled.

A Speaking concretely I would not be able to
 say anything unless it be on another occasion after
 I have made some study into the matter.

16 Q Is it the fact that every official of the
 17 so-called Independent government was appointed by
 18 the Japanese army?

19 20

A It is not a fact.

Q Now a question applying not only to the Philippines but to all the territories occupied by Japan during the Pacific war. Did Japan supersede the currency which existed when they invaded each of these territories by a new occupational currency of its own? CROSS

1	A As I recall it, in most cases Japanese mil-
2	itary scrip were used but the currency used prior to
3	the occupation was also in circulation to some extent
4	were allowed in circulation to some extent.
5	Q Was the new currency that which we have
6	proved was printed in Japan in the early part of
7	1941?
8	MR. LAZARUS: Objection, Mr. President.
9	There is no evidence here that this witness knows
10	what was proved previously.
11	THE PRESIDENT: If he had said he knew
12	nothing of that we would have been bound by his
13	answer. He implied that he knew and that the cur-
14	rency was used to some extent, and the normal Philip-
15	pine currency to some extent, but he did not give the
16	proportions. Objection overruled.
17	A I do not know whether or not such currency
18	was prepared in the early part of 1941.
19 20	Q Now I want to ask you some questions about
20	the countries occupied by Japan which were not repre-
22	sented at the conference.
23	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.
24	MR. ROBERTS: I submit, if the Tribunal please,
25	that this is outside the scope of examination.
	THE PRESIDENT: It bears on this Greater East

YAMAMOTO

CROSS

Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere. He came here to testify about that. The objection is overruled. MR. ROBERTS: Our examination was based on the conference and the Co-Prosperity Sphere was mentioned as one of the ideals and the reason for holding that conference, and certainly reference to countries that were not represented is outside the scope of the conference that we have covered. THE PRESIDENT: I should not allow you to debate our decision. It stands. 

YAMAMOTO

Ka

pi

ea

u

8

GO

ld

b

rg

CROSS

Were the resolutions of the conference Q 1 2 intended to apply to them as well? The Assembly of the Greater East Asiatic A 3 4 Nations was held for the purpose of seeking methods 5 by which the nations and peoples represented at the 6 conference will, by their own free will, be able 7 to establish and promote a sphere of common prosperity. 8 And the declaration so states. 9 That is not an answer to the question. 0 10 I am not through yet. And there was not A 11 one iota of any thinking that this declaration 12 was to be forced upon non-participating countries 13 in the area. 14 Were the other occupied territories to have 0 15 any co-prosperity? 16 It was Japan's hope to assist and to support A 17 the people in these areas to the extent they desired 18 such assistance. 19 Why was not Malaya represented at the C 20 conference? 21 MR. ROBERTS: I object to the question. 22 THE PRESIDENT: Why, Mr. Roberts? 23 MR. ROBERTS: That may be a matter within 24 the knowledge of Malaya and not within the knowledge 25 of this witness.

YAMAMOTO

CROSS

1	THE PRESIDENT: He could say: "Because
2	Malaya was not invited," or "was invited and would
3	not come." I don't know.
4	MR. ROBERTS: In that case it would be outside
5	the scope of the examination as previously covered.
6	THE PRESIDENT: The countries who were sup-
7	posed to be in the sphere are named in that telegram
8	from Japan to Germany. It includes enemy countries
9	not occupied including Australia and New Zealand.
10	They would not be at the conference. But it includes
11	countries occupied by Japan that were not there,
12	and this man should be in a peculiar position to give
13	an explanation; and that is all he is asked to do.
14	MR. ROBERTS: I believe, however, that was
15	a telegram sent by the German Ambassador of which
16	this witness disclaimed any knowledge.
17	THE PRESIDENT: It is evidence upon which
18	
19	he can be cross-examined. He has not defined the
20	area of this sphere as excluding any of those places
21	as far as I am aware. When he does, it will be
22	sufficient time to object to questions like this.
23	A Only countries in Greater East Asia which
24	were at that time independent were invited to attend
25	the Assembly of the Greater East Asiatic Nations.
	Only as an exception did Dr. Chandra Bose attend the

YAMAMOTO

CROSS

conference as an observer representing the Provisional 1 Government of Free India. 2 Why wasn't Malaya included in the countries 0 3 4 granted independence? It was our aim ultimately to enable these 5 A 6 countries to attain independence, but to enable them 7 to do so actually depended upon developments and 8 the proper time in accordance with the conditions 9 in those countries. At that time the actual con-10 ditions in Malaya did not vermit the granting of 11 independence. 12 Had not Japan from the beginning determined 0 13 to annex Malaya? 14 Japan had no intention to annex that A 15 territory. 16 Had not the accused TOJO definitely so 0 17 stated in his speech in January, 1942? 18 I do not recall the contents of that address. A 19 Now as to Siam and Malaya, do you know that 6 20 Japan had made a treaty with Siam on the 18th in 21 August, 1943, transferring to Siam part of the 22 territory of Malaya? 23 A Japan recognized the transfer of a part of 24 the area in Malaya occupied by Japan to Siam. 25

YAMAMOTO

2

2

2

2

CROSS

1	Q A part of Malaya which was occupied by
2	Japanese troops, was it not?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you realize that all these changes in
5	the constitutional position of occupied countries
6	were contrary to international law?
7	MR. ROBERTS: I object to the question,
8	if the Tribunal please.
9	THE PRESIDENT: He is in effect asking his
10	opinion. We uphold the objection.
1	MR. ROBERTS: I did not get
2	THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld. We do
.3	not want his opinion of whether international law
.4	was violated or not.
5	
.6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

YAMAMOTO

\*

CROSS

1	MR. COMYNS CARR: No, your Honor, I wasn't
2	putting it from the point of view of getting his
3	legal opinion, but it is, in my submission, rele-
4	vant to discuss whether they knew they were break-
5	ing the law at the time we say they broke it.
6	THE PRESIDENT: Knowledge of international
7	law is imputed to him.
8	MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I won't press
9	it.
10	Q Now, with regard to the Netherlands East
11	Indies, why were they not represented at the con-
12	ference?
13	A The Netherlands East Indies did not send a
14	regresentative to the Assembly because it had not
15	attained independence had not completed its in-
16	dependence.
17	MR. COMYNS CARR: I am now going to refer
18	your Honor to prosecution exhibit 1344, prosecution
19 20	document 2754. That is an official statement of the
21	Japanese Government, entitled "Course of Events Lead-
22	ing Up to the Decisions on Political Control And
23	Reversion of the East Indies in the Second World War."
24	Q Is it the fact that in May 1943 there was
25	an Imperial Conference which decided that no inde-
	pendence should be granted to the Dutch East Indies?

1	A I don't recall.
2	Q And you were the Vice-Minister of the
3	Ministry of Greater East Asia?
4	A Yes, I was.
5	Q Do you not recall the reasons why no in-
7	dependence was granted to the Dutch East Indies at
8	that time?
9	A The Japanese Government had after that
.0	given issued an announcement that independence
1	would be granted to the Netherlands East Indies.
2	Q Yes, but will you enswer the question be-
.3	fore you make other statements, please?
4	A Hence, and let me continue, at that time
5	if such a decision were taken it was, in my consider-
.6	ation, that it was too early to grant independence
7	in the light of the conditions prevailing at that
.8	time.
.9	Q Was the reason given as follows? and I am
20	quoting from the document ending with the second
1	paragraph on page 1:
22	"The government at home, too, had no al-
23	ternative but the extreme policy of bringing the
24	Dutch Indies under Japan's direct control and check-
	ing the policy of racial liberation in order to

CHOSS

1	secure the resources necessary for the execution
2	of the war. For this reason the Supreme Command
3	emphasized its strong opposition to the independence
4	of the East Indies.
5	THE MONITOR: Just e minute, Mr. Cerr.
6	We have to find that place.
7	MR. COLYNS CARR: The last sentence of the
8	second paragraph on page 1.
9	THE MONITOR: Yes, sir. Just a minute,
10	please, until we find it in the Japanese copy.
11	Q Isn't that what happened?
12	A Before making a reply, Mr. Prosecutor,
13	may I ask whose decision this was and what the
14	character of this document is? I have never seen
15	it before.
16	Q It is a Japanese Government report coming
17	from the archives of the Foreign Office, of which
18	you were at one time an official.
19	A And that was in 1943?
20	Q Yes.
21	A At that time I was Vice-Minister of the
22	Ministry for Greater East Asiatic Affairs and I had
23	no direct connection with documents in the Foreign
24	
25	Office; and you use the word "report." From whom to

1	whom was this report made?						
2	Q You aren't here to ask questions but to						
3	answer them.						
4	A No, I asked this question because I wanted						
5	to make as clear an answer as possible.						
6	THE PRESIDENT: It may refresh his memory						
7	to tell him, Mr. Carr.						
8	MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I don't know						
9	more than I have told him already.						
10	Q The Dutch East Indies was within the juris-						
11	diction of your Greater East Asia Ministry, wasn't						
12	it?						
13	A The Netherlands East Indies at that time						
14	was under military administration. The Ministry						
15 16	for Greater East Asiatic Affairs had relations						
17	only with independent countries in the area at that						
18	time.						
19	Q Do you mean, then, it is no use my asking						
20	you any further questions about the Netherlands						
21	East Indies because you know nothing about them?						
22	MR. ROBERTS: I object to the form of the						
23	question.						
24	THE PRESIDENT: That is not the ground.						
25	If he had limited the area of his ministry, the						
	scope of his ministry, that might have justified an						

OTOMAMAY

CROSS

1	objection; but he has not done so yet. If he says
2	those areas are outside the scheme he came to testify
3	about, it would be all right to object to it. But
4	he hasn't done so.
5	(To the witness) Answer the question.
6	A The Ministry for Greater East Asiatic
7	Affairs did not handle matters relating to the
8	Netherlands East Indies, and I am in no position to
9	testify with respect to reports from that area.
10	BY MR. COMYNS CARR:
11	Q If you were not handling Malaya and the
12	Netherlands East Indies, why did you visit them,
13	as jou told us yesterday?
14	A It was necessary for Japanese officials to
15	familiarize themselves with conditions even in areas
16 17	outside their own jurisdiction. Furthermore, these
17	areas now in question had very intimate relationship
19	with the other areas which were within the province
20	of matters handled by the ministry to which I belonged,
21	and I think it was our responsibility in establishing
22	the Co-Prosperity Sphere, both in word and in spirit,
23	to study, observe, and investigate into the conditions
24	in these areas and also to understand the sentiments
25	of the people residing there.

.

VA ATOTO

1

2

CROFF

M 0 1 f 8 1.5 0 r

> S e

Well, then, you do 'now about them, do you? Q A Yes, I have a general knowledge of these areas 3 but I am not, as I have said before, in a position to 4 testify on specific matters relating to those areas.

C If independence had been granted it would have 5 6 come under the jurisdiction of your ministry I under-7 stand, is that right?

A After these countries had become independent 8 9 I would consider it to be natural that they would come 10 under this province pending consultation in the govern-11 ment. However, assuming that these countries became 12 independent, as far as diplomatic relations were con-13 cerned they would be in the hands of the Foreign Office 14 as I testified here in Court vesterday in the course of 15 direct examination.

16 Wasn't your ministry consulted on the gues-0 17 tion of whether they should receive independence or 18 not?

19 A It is only natural that very intimate and 20 close consultation was made with the branch of the 21 government which was concerned with the matters in Japan. 22 0 Well, then, you do know, don't you, why it 23 was not granted?

24 A As I have said before, I think such a policy 25 was taken because it was then at that time too early

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

CROSS

to grant independence.

THE PRESIDENT: Why was it too early?

3 THE WITNESS: In the light of world history 4 a nation cannot be made in a day. For instance, the peoplds desires and wishes must be heard in order to 6 organize a government and trained and organized personnel is necessary and it is also necessary that the conditions surrounding -- within the country as well as conditions and circumstances surrounding the country will have to be taken into consideration before independence could be realized.

Q Are you prepared to swear that the reason 13 given in this Foreign Office report which I have read 14 to you is not the true one?

IR. ROBERTS: May I call the Tribunal's 16 attention to the fact that this report is dated 1946 17 and the witness, further, has stated that he is not 18 familiar with it. 19

THE PRESIDENT: He isn't asked whether he is 20 familiar with it but he is asked whether he agrees 21 with what purports to be in it. He is invited to 22 reconcile his views with those of the Foreign Office, 23 or to show that his are more acceptable. The objec-24 tion is overruled. 25

MR. RCBERTS: May I show it to the witness

УАМАМОТО

1	the original, for the purpose of
2	MR. COMYNS CARR: The witness has the
3	original document.
4	THE PRESIDENT: Well, only if there is any
5	cuestion as to the English translation. Let him have
6	the original if he wants it.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	1
23	
24	
25	

CROSS

Continuing to read from the document, the last paragraph on page 1, and the short paragraph the top of page 2: --

MR. ROBERTS: I would like to interpose an objection to the further reading of this document because, as I stated, it appears it was filed in 1946, it doesn't state who wrote it, nor does it show that any official was in accordance with the opinion stated at that time after the war was completed.

10 MR. COMYNS CARR: It is a document prepared 11 by the Japanese Foreign Office, quite true, after the 12 war was completed and it has already been admitted in 13 evidence. It is exhibit 1344.

THE PRESIDENT: You are entitled to cross-examine this witness to show that document should be acted upon by us. He is giving evidence inconsistent with it and Mr. Carr is entitled to cross-examine him to support the prosecution's evidence.

<sup>19</sup> MR. ROBERTS: May I respectfully ask that the
 <sup>20</sup> witness be permitted to examine the original document?

<sup>21</sup> MR. COMYNS CARR: I have no objection, your
<sup>22</sup> Honor, but I have already asked, that, as a matter of
<sup>23</sup> convenience, as it is in the hands of the interpreters
<sup>24</sup> up in the gallery, that it be postponed until I have
<sup>25</sup> read the other passage from it.

OTOMAMAY

	,
1	BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):
2	Q Do you want to look at it, Mr. YAMAMOTO?
3	A Yes, I should like to see it.
4	Q Why?
5	MR. ROBERTS: I object to that, if your Honor
6	please, as improper.
7	MR. COMYNS CARR: The witness didn't ask, it
8	was my friend who asked that it be shown to him. In
9	my submission, it is a waste of time although if the
10	witness wants to see it
11	MR. ROBERTS: It was my impression that the
12	witness did want to see it and I do not think Mr. Carr's
13	comments are necessary.
14	THE PRESIDENT: There is nothing to rule on
15	actually. This is a very important witness, both for
16	the defense and his evidence is of great importance to
17	the prosecution, but that does not warrant all these
18	hopeless objections that we are listening to this after-
19	noon. He need not explain why he wants the original.
20	Mr. YAMAMOTO, now that you have seen it can
21	you answer my question? I had better repeat it: Are
22	you prepared to swear that the reason given in it for
23	not granting independence to the Netherlands East Indies,
24	namely, that it was in order to secure the resources
25	necessary for the execution of the waris not the true
-	about of the checution of the warts not the true

2 reason?

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I think that was one of the reasons given at that time.

5 THE MONITOR: Slight correction: It may have 6 been one of the reasons advocated at that time.

A (Continuing) If I am permitted to give my own ideas with regard to this question I must say that for the reasons I have already given, not to give independence because of such reasons would be in contradiction of the fundamental policy which was eventually to give independence. I cannot accept the reasons as just given to me.

14 THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen
15 minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445 a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows): CROSS

G r	1	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
е	2	Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
e n	3	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.
b e	4	MR. ROBERTS: May it please the Tribunal, I
rg	5	am informed that there may be an error in the trans-
&	6	lation of the last answer. I would like to have the
В	7	court reporter reread it and check on its accuracy.
a r	8	THE PRESIDENT: English or the Japanese?
r t	9	MR. ROBERTS: I mean the English translation
n	10	from Japanese.
	11	(Whereupon, the last answer was
	12	
		read by the official court reporter as fol-
	13	lows:)
	14	"A I think that was one of the reasons given at
	15	that time.
	16	"MONITOR: Slight correction: It may have
	17	been one of the reasons advocated at that time.
	18	"A (Continuing) If I am permitted to give my
	19	own ideas with regard to this question I must say that
	20	for the reasons I have already given, not to give
	21	independence because of such reasons would be in con-
	22	tradiction of the fundamental policy which was eventu-
	23	
	24	ally to give independence. I cannot accept the reasons
	25	as just given to me."
		(Whereupon, the Japanese court

reporter read from his stenographic notes. 1 and the interpreter stated as follows:) 2 "If I may be permitted to give that idea on 3 that matter, I must say that the argument at that time 4 did not accept the -- such reasons for withholding 5 independence from that country." 6 THE WITNESS: Then I shall try to give my 7 idea on the subject as clearly as possible, if I may. 8 THE MONITOR: Mr. President and defense 9 I just made the comment to the witness that counsel: 10 his Japanese was not very clear, and we have difficulty 11 in translating it accurately; that is, we get about the 12 general meaning of it but not quite all of it or to the 13 last detail, and he answered that he will reply again 14 to the same question. Would that be all right? 15 16 THE PRESIDENT: Address all your questions to 17 me, none to him. 18 THE MONITOR: Yes, sir. 19 MR. ROBERTS: May we have the question re-20 peated for the witness? 21 THE PRESIDENT: I will give you permission 22 to say anything to him that I think is necessary, but 23 you must not communicate directly with him. 24 THE MONITOR: Yes, sir. 25 MR. COMYNS CARR: May we know what is the

21

22

23

24

25

CROSS

desired correction? Reading these answers over again is a waste of time unless we know what the desired correction is.

5 THE PRESIDENT: Well, what is the desired 6 correction?

7 MR. ROBERTS: I am informed that the Japanese 8 was in the form of the past tense, and it was really 9 translated in the form of the present tense.

10 THE PRESIDENT: Can't you explain a little 11 more fully? What was it about?

12 MR. ROBERTS: The monitor has stated that 13 the answer was vague, and they had difficulty in 14 translating it. Perhaps the quickest way would be 15 to ask the question and see if he cannot answer it 16 more clearly.

<sup>17</sup> MR. COMYNS CARR: I submit the quickest and
 <sup>18</sup> best course is to ask Major Moore to look at the Japan <sup>19</sup> ese reporter's notes and tell us in the morning what is
 <sup>20</sup> the correct translation of it.

THE PRESIDENT: We must be careful he does not make an unwarranted correction of an answer. We will follow that course, Mr. Carr. The matter is referred to Major Moore as Chairman of the Board.

17,992

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued): 1 Next, I want to ask you, Mr. YAMAMOTO, about 0 2 the passage in exhibit 1344 immediately following the 3 one I have already read. (Reading) 4 "Consequently, the plan for the reversion of 5 occupied areas, decided at the Liaison Conference of 6 the government and Imperial Headquarters on 4 January 7 1943, stipulated independence only for Burma and the 8 Philippines, and mentioned that independence for 9 other areas would be decided later. Then, at the 10 Imperial Conference of 31 May 1943, it was decided 11 to make it their policy to incorporate the East Indies 12 areas into Japanese territory, and to allow the partici-13 pation in politics of native inhabitants according to 14 their abilities. 15

"It was also decided not to announce this
reversion plan so that the Allied Powers might not
take advantage of it for propaganda purposes."

Does that correctly represent what happened, Mr. YAMAMOTO?

A At that time I was Vice-Minister for the Ministry for Greater East Asiatic Affairs and did not attend the Liaison Conference between the government and the Imperial General Headquarters, and I am not familiar with the details as to the course of

YAMAMOTO

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the discussions at that conference. However, as a member of the ministry concerned in the matter, my 2 opinion then, as I have said before, was that we could 3 not -- we were opposed to any idea of abandoning the 4 grant of independence for such a reason as just 5 quoted, and I to this day hold to the same idea. 6 I didn't ask you for your opinion. I asked 7 0 you whether the decision there set out was not the 8 9 one taken. A If there were an actual decision, and --10 11 even if there were a decision, I would say that it 12 was an agreement of views within the Liaison Confer-13 ence -- a unanimous agreement of views at the Liaison 14 Conference. You still haven't answered the question. 0 Was not the decision taken and communicated to your ministry as stated in the words I have read? Yes, it was reported. A That is all I wanted. Q Now, omitting the next paragraph, I am going to read the paragraph numbered "2" on page 2: "Though the Japanese Army authorities on the spot were greatly dissatisfied with this decision, they made no representations of their opposition. Mr. Soekarno, who visited Japan soon after the Greater

17,993

(ROSS
8

9

10

11

12

13

15

CROSS

East Asia Conference, made an earnest request to 1 Prime Minister TOJO to grant the East Indies area her 2 independence. The meeting, however, ended without 3 any definite reply from TOJO and Soekarno returned to 4 5 Java greatly disappointed." 6 Did that happen? 7 A Yes. Now, you mentioned that eventually Japan Q did purport to grant independence to the Dutch East Indies. Was that on the 17th of July, 1945? 'n Are you referring to the date when the Japanese Government announced its intention to grant independence to the Netherlands East Indies? 14 0 Yes. Yes, I think the date was as just read -- as A 16 just given. 17 0 And that was not quite a month before the 18 surrender of Japan. Was that after and because of 19 the complete cutting off by the Allies of any communi-20 cation between Japan and the Dutch East Indies by sea? 21 A No. But, if you would like to have the 22 opinion, I should like to speak of it. 23 THE MONITOR: I should explain why it was 24 not so. 25 Well, first of all, listen to what is said Q

YAMAMOTO

12

CROSS

in this document, and then tell us if you do not agree with it. On page 3 of the same document, the paragraph numbered "3."

"Subsequently, the war situation took a turn 4 for the worse and sea transportation between Japan 5 6 and the South was definitely at an end. For this 7 reason, demands for economic self-sufficiency by the 8 troops on the spot were greatly increased, and it be-9 came notably difficult to win the hearts of the native 10 inhabitants of Java and Sumatra by mere abstract 11 statements about independence.

"The army authorities on the spot had previously established a Central Advisory Council in Java, in accordance with the policy of political participation for the native inhabitants, but this was no more than a consultative body for political administration. The deciding of a definite policy for the preparation of independence thus became an imminent problem."

20 Were not those the real reasons for the 21 decision reached on the 17th of July, 1945?

MR. ROBERTS: I believe the witness has testified that in 1945 he was Ambassador in Thailand. I would like to ask him if this is within his knowledge.

MR. COMYNS CARR: He, himself, introduced

17

18

22

CROSS

the fact that independence ultimately was purported to be granted. If he wishes to say that he cannot 2 answer the question, he is quite capable of doing it 3 without prompting from my learned friend. 4

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, it is for the witness 5 to state what he knows. 6

The facts which were just quoted to me 7 A related to 1945. As I was Ambassador to Thailand in 8 and since 1944, and I am not familiar with the course 9 of events during that time, I cannot correctly tes-10 11 tify here on that matter.

12 Mr. YAMAMOTO, you understand and speak Eng-0 13 lish quite well, do you not?

14 My English is very elementary, and, there-A 15 fore, my understanding of the language or my ability 16 to speak that language is very weak.

THE MONITOR: It cannot be classified as well.

Now, during the period before the 17th of Q 19 July, 1945, the period of the Japanese occupation, did 20 they get any co-prosperity in the Netherlands East 21 Indies?

The fundamental principle for the establish-A 23 ment of the co-prosperity sphere came to be seriously 24 considered the year after the outbreak of the last war. 25 Since then the Netherlands East Indies were permitted

CROSS

17,997

1	little by little to enjoy political participation,
1	and various measures were taken to bring about the
3	economic prosperity of the people, and results were
4	achieved.
5	Q Did those measures, as in the other cases
6	I have put to you, include confiscating all the
7	industries and handing them over to Japanese com-
8	panies?
9	A That is not absolute that is absolutely
10	not in the idea of co-prosperity.
11	Q Perhaps not; but did it not happen?
12	A Since it took place after the outbreak of the
13	war, and sinceit was outside of the province of mat-
14	ters in my jurisdiction, I am not familiar with the
15	details of the circumstances there before or after
16	the occupation.
17 18	
10	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### OTOMAMAY

W

h

1

0

n

80

D

u

d

a

## CROSS

17,998

Was not something of that kind part of the 6 1 policy laid down by the Japanese Government in its 2 economic counterplans for the southern area? 3 There is nothing in the nature of an A 4 exploitative policy in the economic policy of the 5 Japanese Government toward the southern region. 6 MR. COMYNS CARR: I am now referring, your 7 Honor, to prosecution exhibit 1332, prosecution docu-8 ment No. 1492, dated the 16th of December 1941. 9 THE PRESIDENT: We don't seem to have it. 10 MR. COMYNS CARR: No. your Honor, I am afraid 11 I did not decide to use this one in time to notify 12 the Judges' secretaries in regard to it, but I think 13 the Language Division have it. 14 I am reading the first paragraph on page 1, Q 15 16 under the heading "Chapter I. Policy." "The principal aim of the policy is to fill 17 18 the demand for important natural resources and thereby 19 contribute to the execution of the present warfare, 20 and at the same time, to establish a system of autarchy 21 for the Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere, and 22 speedily find means of strengthening and repleting the 23 Imperial economic strength." 24 Do not you know that that top secret document 25 records the decision of a cabinet meeting on the 16th

1

2

3

4

5

13

14

15

16

CROSS

December 1941?

A I have no positive recollection, but I presume that there was such a decision.

Q And wasn't it given to you as part of the policy you had to carry out?

A Of course, it was of the utmost importance and necessity to mobilize economic power in order to prosecute war, but to prosecute war in accordance with the method which I have already described before; that is, by securing the sincere collaboration and cooperation of the people on the spot. There was no change in that policy.

Q I am suggesting to you that the plans indicated in this secret document are quite different from those published statements about co-prosperity which you have been talking about. Am I not right?

17 As I have said before, the ideal or concept A 18 of co-prosperity became crystallized for the first 19 time in the Joint Leclaration of the Greater East 20 Asiatic Nations; and many measures were taken in 21 accordance with that concept even before such a reso-22 lution was passed. However, it is an inescapable fact 23 that the various general policies which were taken 24 before the declaration was announced may not have been 25 in every case consistent.

CROSS

-

.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Q Turning, now, to page 4 of that same document,
2	paragraph numbered 2:
3	"The selection of entrepreneurs to take
4	charge of new exploitation of important mineral
5	resources shall be, for the most part, in principle,
6	in accordance with the purport of the following items.
7	"(a) that the exploitation of resources in
8	one place shall be left as much as possible solely to
9	one entrepreneur."
10	And then, paragraph 4 on the same page:
11	"Manufacturing Industry. Manufacturing
12	industries shall not be set up in the area as a rule,
13	except special ones (e.g. shipbuilding, repair shops
14	for equipment for natural resource development). This
15	rule is not applicable to industry having equipment
16	already in the area, and can contribute to reduction
17	of the shipping load."
18	Was that the policy laid down and carried
19	out by you?
20	A You have just read the words "in the area" in
21	the document, and I presume that it would be an
22	occupied area, but unless I have some further explanation
23	I would not be able to answer intelligently.
24	THE MONITOR: That is page 4, second line
25	from the last.
2300	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

21

22

23

24

25

CROSS

Q You are quite right, Mr. YAMAMOTO. It refers to all occupied areas.

A In the light of the conditions prevailing at that time, with respect to the industries which you have just referred to, the idea was that it would be most effective to utilize experienced Japanese entrepreneurs; and in accordance with that idea such a policy was laid down and carried out.

9 Q Now, I suggest to you that in practice it 10 wasn't confined to new enterprises at all, but that in 11 all the areas, whether within the countries that 12 attended the conference or those that didn't, it 13 extended to confiscating the existing industries and 14 handing them over to Japanese entrepreneurs. Isn't 15 that right?

A It is not a fact that such a policy was
 applied to areas which were outside of occupied areas.
 In the course of the war I was ambassador in Thailand,
 and there has been no instance of Japan taking such
 measures vis-a-vis Thailand.

18,001

2

CROSS

18,002

1	Q I am not suggesting anything about Thailand,
2	I am asking you about occupied areas, not only those
3	supposed to be granted independence but also those that
4	weren't.
5	A I replied as I did because it was suggested
6	that such a policy was carried out even in areas which
7	were not occupied.
8	Q Oh, no, it was never suggested. Now answer
9	the question as applied to the occupied areas.
10	• A May I ask again: What year was that decision
11	taken?
12	Q The decision was taken on the 16th of December
13	1941, but I am suggesting to you that it applied and
14	the actual practice went further, right through the
15	whole period of the war.
16	A Immediately after the outbreak of war inde-
17	pendence was not granted to areas at that time which
18	were occupied at that time. That policy was estab-
19 20	lished as a sort of a basis or standard for military
21	administration in occupied areas. Such decision was
22	modified and changed with the change in the conditions
23	and in accordance with new developments, and the ideal
24	of co-prosperity became gradually concrete as time went
25	on. With the crystallization of the ideal of co-
	prosperity reforms and improvements were made in that

## CROSS

policy.

1

Q I now want to refer to a paragraph on page 8 of the same document, headed "The Second Counter Plan."

5 "/These counter-plans/ should aim at the 6 completion of a system of autarchy in the Greater 7 East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere, aid the conomic devel-8 opment of the Japanese people in the Southern area on 9 a nationally-planned basis, and promote economic 10 exchange between areas within the Co-Prosperity Sphere."

Wasn't the real object of all this to establish Japanese in ownership and control of every industry of any importance throughout the occupied areas whether they were granted, nominally granted independence or not?

Now, in the declarations which you have referred
to of November 1943 there is one about culture -I am told I out short your enswer. Co on Mr.

I am told I cut short your answer. Go on, Mr. 19 YAMAMOTO.

A There is not one bit of any idea that the
decision taken with respect to plans vis-a-vis the
southern areas had anything of an exploitative nature.
Q Now, about culture, did the conference you
have spoken of decide that the countries of Greater
East Asia by respecting one another's traditions and

# 18,004

## YAMAMOTO

1

CRQSS

developing the creative faculties of each race. will

enhance the culture and civilization of Greater East 2 Asia? 3 In actual practice, throughout the areas. 4 whether they were supposed to be independent or not. 5 did not the Japanese close the schools? 6 A I cannot help but feel extremely regrettable 7 for the statement just made that the Japanese closed 8 schools in all the areas after the announcement --9 after the joint declaration. 10 That wasn't the question. The question was 0 11 12 whether they did not begin by closing the schools in 13 all the areas whether they were areas in which they 14 contemplated granting independence or not -- perhaps 15 I had better complete the question before you answer. 16 Did they not then have teachers trained in 17 Japanese, and allow only Japanese books and teaching 18 to be given? 19 I am told I was wrong in saying "only." 20 Did they not insist on Japanese teachers and 21 Japanese books being used in the schools, and on all the 22 books being revised in accordance with Japanese ideas? 23 A I recognize that at the outset of the occupa-24 tion the Japanese army out of necessity took some such 25 measures with respect to education in the light of

YAMAMOTO

1

2

3

4

5

21

22

23

24

25

CROSS

military operations, and for the purpose of maintaining law and order.

Q Now, my last question --

THE PRESIDENT: Well, what were the measures they took, and how did they bear on law and order?

THE WITNESS: I replied to the question with 6 reference to education since that was the point in 7 the question. It was necessary from the standpoint 8 of education to educate the people, to teach the people 9 to understand the true intentions of Japan and her 10 army because of the fact that some of the native 11 inhabitants in these occupied areas not understanding 12 13 Japan's intentions, were overwhelmaa by a sense of 14 fear. It was also most necessary that opportunities 15 be established and open for a frank exchange of views 16 and opinions between the Japanese and the people in 17 these areas, and to establish paternal and fraternal 18 bonds between the two parties. And it was out of 19 necessity that education need be controlled to some 20 extent in order to attain this goal.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half past nine on Monday morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Monday, 10 March 1947, at 0930.)

- -