Interestable interestable

Of

WITNESSES

Prosecution's W	litness	ses	Page
OGIYA, Yor	io		14613
Direct	by Mr	. Justice Mansfield	14613
11	" Mr	. KUSANO . Lazarus . SHIMANOUCHI	14613 14620 14623
		(Witness excused)	14629
WAKAMATSU,	Tadak	azu	14629
Direct	by Mr	. Woolworth	14629
Cross	" Mr	KIYOSE SOMIYA Howard Howard (cont'd)	14637 14648 14651 14654
		(Witness excused)	14655

INDEX.

Of EXHIBITS

No.	Pros. Def. No. No.	Description	For In Ident. Evidence
2511	1979	Extract from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 14 March 1946	14553
2 511 B	1979-A	Excerpt therefrom	14553
2514	1980 .	Extract from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 25 March 1946	14557
2514B	1980-A	Excerpt therefrom	14557

Of

EXHÍBITS

(cont'd)

Doc. Pros. Def. No. No. No.	Description	For Ir Ident. Evide	
2514C 1980-B	Extracts from document 2514	1455	58
2514D 1980-C	Excerpt from document 2514	1456	51
2514E 1980-D	Excerpt from document 2514	1456	4
2514F 1980-E	Excerpt from document 2514	1456	5
2515 1981	Extract from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 26 March 1946	14575	
2515B 1981-A	Excerpt therefrom	1457	5
2515C 1981-B	Excerpt from document 2515	1457	9
2515E 1981-C	Excerpt from document 2515	1458	1
4181 1982	Extract from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 27 March 1946	14583	
4181B 1982-A	Excerpt therefrom	1458	3
4182 1983	Extract from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 28 March 1946	14591	
418 2 A 1983-A	Excerpt therefrom	1459	1

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

	Pros. Def.	<u>Description</u>	For In Ident, Evidence
4182A	1983-B	Excerpt from document 4183	14597
4183	1984	Extract from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 29 March 1946	1599
4183A	1984 - A	Excerpt therefrom	14599
4183B	1984 - B	Excerpt from document 4183	14605
1632W (95)	1985	Extract from Entry of Marquis KIDO'S Diary dated 13 March 1942	14606
1632W (96)	1986	Extract from Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary dated 21 May 1942	14607
1632 W (98)	1987	Extract from Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary	14608
2732A	1988	Monthly Report (Telegram) from the Prisoner of War Camps Commandant in Thailand to the Chief of POW Information Bureau dated 3 September 1943	u 14609
2744	1989	Affidavit of WAKAMATSU, Tadakazı dated 31 October 1946	14633
2940	1990	Affidavit of ISHIDA, Eiguma	14657
626A	1991	Regulations for Punishment of Enemy Air Crews	14662

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

	Pros. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
1681	1992	Communication (Army Secret No. 2190) dispatched from Vice War Minister KIMURA to each Chief of Staff stationed in Japan and Outside Japan dated 28 July 1942	3	14666
1793B	1993	Notification of Matters pertain ing to the Treatment of Crew Members of Raiding Enemy Plan		14670
1793C	1994	Report on the Court Martial for Allied Aircraft Crews Capture in the Tokai District Army Ar	ed	14674
1793D	1995	Report on the Court Martial for Allied Aircraft Crews Capture in the Central Japan District Army Area	ed	14679
1793E	1996	Army Secret No. 1437 (Army Secret Wire No. 5715) dated 15 August 1945		14681
1793F	1997	Army Secret No. 5776 (Army Secret Wire No. 1484) dated 23 Augus 1945	ret	14682
2483	1998	List of the Prisoners of War Punished by Military Court Martial during the period 8 December 1941 - 15 August 1945		14682

Wednesday, 8 January 1947
INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan
The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
at 0930.
Appearances:
For the Tribunal, same as before with
the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE JU-AO MEI,
Member from the Republic of China, not sitting.
For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
For the Defense Section, same as before.
For the Defense Bection, same as before.
(English to Tananaga and Tananaga
(English to Japanese and Japanese
to English interpretation was made by the
Language Section, IMTFE.)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE DRESIDENT: All the accused are present

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA and MUTO, who are represented by their respective counsel.

I understand that I have no certificate to that effect; that MUTO's illness prevents him from attending the Trial today.

CLERK OF THE COURT: They stated there would not be any more certificates until he came back from the hospital, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Woolworth.

IMR. WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please,

I desire to have marked for identification document

No. 2511 and introduce in evidence excerpts therefrom,

2511-A, "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TOJO,

14 March 1946."

THE PREFIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading)

"p. 1-2-3

"Q There is one thing that I am not quite clear about. The Supreme Command, generally speaking, is represented by the Army Chief of Staff and the Navy Chief of Staff. That is not the same as Imperial Headquarters, is it?"

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Delaney. 1 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 2 No. 2511 will be marked exhibit 1979 for identification 3 only and the excerpt, being prosecution document 4 2521-B. will be marked exhibit No. 1979-A. 5 (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1979 for identification; the marked excerpts therefrom being marked 9 prosecution's exhibit No. 1979-A and 10 received in evidence.) 11 MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) 12 "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 13 14 March 1946 14 "p. 1-2-3 15 There is one thing that I am not quite 16 17 clear about. The Supreme Command, generally speaking, is represented by the Army Chief of Staff and the 18 19 Navy Chief of Staff. That is not the same as Imperial 20 Headquarters, is it? 21 Imperial Headquarters (Daihonei) 22 drew its membership from the Army and Navy General 23 Staffs and the Army and Navy Ministries. From the 24

Army General Staff the Chief of Staff the Assistant

Chief of Staff, all the bureau heads (bucho) and

nearly all the section heads (kacho) and some other 1 members of the Staff, as necessary, attended. From the War Department, the War Minister, the Vice-3 Minister, a majority of the Bureau heads (kyoku cho), 4 and a few other members, as necessary, attended. I suppose that the membership from the Navy side was 7 similar, although I am not sure. As War Minister, I attended in the capacity of a participant (sankakusha), although I was not properly a member. In my capacity as participant, I was assisted by the Vice-Minister of War and the head of the Personnel Section. The Imperial Headquarters was created to meet the needs of the war and, since one of those needs was for personnel, I attended for that reason, having administrative charge of personnel.

"Three things were wrong with Imperial Headquarters. The first was that, although it was intended to be a joint Army-Navy set-up, in practice, it was divided into an Army section and a Navy section, Army General Staff and War Department personnel going into the Army section and Navy Staff and Navy Department personnel going into the Navy section.

"The second thing was that there were no government people in the set-up. It was all Army-Navy.

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 5

"The third thing was that there was no one in a position to hold it together. It was set up on 20 November 1937 in connection with the China Incident. Having been set up during the first year of the China Incident, it had a great deal of influence on the Government at the time preceding the cutbreak of the Greater East Asia War. Since its utterances were not voiced as coming from the Army General Staff or the Navy General Staff but from Imperial Headquarters, the government had to give them a great deal of importance.

"Q Did the Emperor have anything to do with Imperial Headquarters?

"A The Emperor was at the head of it.

This chart shows the set-up. (See reproduction attached.)

As to the place where Imperial Headquarters met, the

Army part of it met in the Army General Staff offices,

and the Navy part of it met in the Navy General Staff

offices.

"Q Then, how was the Army part of Imperial Headquarters different from the Army General Staff?

"A The people in the General Staff were there in the capacity of bureau chief, section chief, or what not, but they also had a capacity as a member of the Army section of Imperial Headquarters. Actually,

the two bodies were very much the same in fact, except that the members of the War Department also came under the Chief of Staff when acting in the capacity of members of Imperial Headquarters. You mean, then, that after Imperial Headquarters was set up in 1937, the Chief of Staff held more power than he had held previous to that time? Yes, because he came to have control 9 over members of the War Department as well as control 10 over the members of the General Staff which he had had 11 before. 12 11 0 How often did Imperial Headquarters 13 meet? 14 11 A I am not too sure, since it was pretty 15 much run by the Army and Navy Chiefs of Staff. However, 16 I think that it met once or twice a week. 17 110 Was the Emperor present at every meeting? 18 MA No. Usually he was not there, only 19 on special occasions. 20 When a full meeting of Imperial Head-21 quarters of both Army and Navy sections was held, 22 where was it held? 23 11 A In the Palace. 24 110 How often were those joint ones held? 25 As I said before, once or twice a week.

1 110 You mean the joint meetings? Yes. Of course when there was more 2 3 business, there were more meetings. 4 What was the difference in function 5 between Imperial Headquarters and the Supreme War 6 Council? 7 IT A They were completely different. Imperial 8 Headquarters was concerned with operations and 9 tactics (sakusen yohei) in which secrecy was necessary 10 and, therefore they did not consult the Supreme War 11 Council on these matters. The Supreme War Council 12 was concerned with many matters, such as research, 13 inspections, military education, military training, 14 and, in general, with advice on military matters." 15 Prosecution desires to have marked for 16 identification document 2514, introduced in evidence 17 document 2514-B, excerpts therefrom. 18 THE PRE-IDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 19 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 20 No. 2514 will be given exhibit No. 1980 for identifi-21 cation only and prosecution's document No. 2514-B 22 will be given exhibit No. 1980-A. 23 (Whereupon, the document above 24 referred to was marked prosecution's ex-25 hibit No. 1980 for identification: the

1 marked excerpts therefrom being marked 2 prosecution's exhibit No. 1980-A and 3 received in evidence.) 4 MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) 5 "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TOJO. 6 25 March 1946. p. 1 7 "Q" As Minister of War, from 7 December 8 1941 on, were you not responsible for the treatment received by American and British prisoners of war? 10 "A Yes. I was responsible for their treat-11 ment." 12 Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence 13 document No. 2514-C, extracts from document No. 2514. 14 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 15 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document No. 16 2514-C will be given exhibit No. 1980-B. 17 (Whereupon, the document above 18 referred to was marked prosecution's ex-19 hibit No. 1980-B and received in evidence.) 20 MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) 21 "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 22 25 March 1946, p. 2-4. 23 "Q When was the Prisoner of War Bureau 24 set up? 25 MA This was organized immediately after the

outbreak of war. 1 110 Which war? 2 11A The Greater East Asia War. 3 Why was it necessary to organize such 110 4 a bureau? 5 AII This is according to international law. 6 110 Why had not such a bureau existed during 7 the China War or Incident? 8 tt A It was not necessary during the China 9 Incident. 10 110 Was there any bureau at all set up in 11 connection with the China Incident on the treatment 12 of prisoners? 13 11 A There was no organization set up to deal 14 with Chinese prisoners. 15 110 Who ordered this new bureau to be set up? 16 AII The order for the formation of this 17 bureau was issued by the War Minister. 18 This was during the time that you were 19 20 War Minister and Premier? MA Yes. 21 22 Were you, from 7 December 1941 on, 23 familiar with the provisions of the Hague and Geneva 24 Conventions with respect to the treatment of prisoners 25 of war?

"A I knew of these at the time.

"Q At that time did you, as Premier and War Minister, believe that the provisions of those conventions applied to Japan and should be followed by her in war?

"A Naturally.

"Q In addition to the provisions of those conventions, there are also other recognized rules and customs of war followed by civilized nations, are there not?

"A As a civilized nation, we would follow the principles of humanism.

"Q I suppose by humanism, you mean that prisoners would be treated humanely, as by civilized nations?

"A Yes.

"Q so that, in general, from 7 December 1941 on, you, as Premier and War Minister, felt that Japan was bound by the Hague and Geneva Conventions with respect to the treatment of prisoners of war and also bound by the rules and customs of civilized nations with respect to treating prisoners of war humanely, did you not?

"A Yes."

Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence

document No. 2514-D, excerpts from document 2514. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document No. 2514-B will receive exhibit No. 1980-C. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's ex-hibit No. 1980-C and received in evidence.)

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading)

"Extract from interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 25 March 1946, p. 5-6.

"Q When did you first learn of the complaints concerning or facts indicating that there had been mistreatment of American and British prisoners of war?

"A The only complaints that ever came to my notice were in connection with food and so forth. Atrocities were not brought to my notice at all. I am astounded at the truth regarding atrocities that is now being revealed in the newspapers. If the Japanese had followed the Emperor's instructions, these atrocities would never have happened.

"Q But you, as Minister of War during the period when those atrocities were committed, are the responsible party for their commission, are you not?

"A Yes.

"Q What explanation can you give for the fact that you did not learn of them when they were so widespread and of such a serious nature?

"A I was always under the impression that army commanders in the field who were responsible for the treatment of prisoners understood what was required of them in this connection.

"Q During this entire period from 7 December

4 5

3

6

5

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

friendly nations, had warned the Japanese Government that Japanese officials and the Japanese Government would be held responsible for violations of the Hague and Geneva Conventions and for violations of the rules of war with respect to the treatment of prisoners of war? "A Yes, and if these atrocities are true,

1941 on, you were aware of the fact, were you not,

that the United States and Great Britain, through

treaties have been violated.

"Q During this same period, were you not aware that the United States and Great Britain, through friendly nations, had made numerous and repeated complaints, giving specific data and charging that there had been, in each instance, violations of the Hague and Geneva Conventions and of the rules of war in connection with the mistreatment of prisoners of war?

"A I do not remember details, but I think these came through the Prisoner of War Information Bureau.

"Q Such complaints would first come to the Foreign Ministry and then be routed to the Minister of War's office, would they not?

"A Yes.

1 "Q How many of these complaints were made, 2 that you recall, of your personal knowledge? 3 I cannot recall just now how many were 4 received. 5 "Q What, if anything, did you do with respect 6 to those which were received? 7 "A These were passed on to the various army commanders through the Prisoner of War Information Bureau. 10 "Q Were reports then made back by those 11 bureau heads or individuals to you, as Minister of 12 War, relative to these complaints? 13 "A No, no replies or reports were sent back. 14 These complaints were sent to the various people con-15 cerned calling the matter to their attention." 16 Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence 17 document No. 2514-E, excerpts from document No. 2514. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 19 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 20 No. 2514-E will receive exhibit No. 1980-D. 21 (Whereupon, the document above 22 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 23 No. 1980-D and received in evidence.) 24 MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) 25 "Extract from interrogation of Hideki TOJO,

25 March 1946, p. 7. "Q Were these complaints, which were made during this period by the United States and Great 3 Britain through friendly nations with respect to the mistreatment of their prisoners by the Japanese Government, taken up at the weekly meetings of the Prisoner Bureau that you have referred to? "A Yes. These matters were taken up at the 9 bi-weekly meetings." 10 Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence 11 document No. 2514-F, excerpts from document No. 2514. 12 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 13 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 14 No. 2514-F will receive exhibit No. 1980-E. 15 (Whereupon, the document above 16 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 17 No. 1980-E and was received in evidence.) 18 MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) 19 "Extract from interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 20 25 March 1946, p. 8 - 14. 21 "Q Did you or anyone for you go to the 22 scene where the mistreatment of the prisoners (of war) 23 was alleged to have taken place to make an investiga-24 tion of any of these complaints? 25 "A Yes, there are many instances. There was

3

4

5

7 8

9

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21 22

23

2425

a case of mistreatment of prisoners of war during the building of the Burma-Thai Railway and I ordered a court martial in this connection.

"Q Was there any other personal investigation made of complaints made by the United States and Great Britain after 7 December 1941 with respect to the mistreatment by the Japanese Government of their captured American and British prisoners other than the one that you have just mentioned?

"A I myself heard rumors of mistreatment of prisoners of war at Bataan and when I was in the Philippines, I inquired of the Chief of Staff who informed me that the 'march' was due to the lack of transportation and there were no cases of atrocities.

"Q Who was the Chief of Staff at that time?

"A Lieutenant General WACHI.

"Q What was the approximate time that you were in the Philippines and made this inquiry of the Chief of Staff?

"A This was 5 May 1943.

"Q What was the approximate date that the 'Bataan March' took place?

"A I do not remember the date, but it was in the early part of 1942.

"Q How long after the 'March' was it that

you heard of the mistreatment of American troops? "A These rumors came to my notice late in 2 1942 or early in 1943. 3 "O Through what sources did you hear these 4 rumors of the mistreatment of American troops at Ba-5 taan? 6 "A It would be difficult to say from whom I heard these rumors. 8 "Q Where did you hear these rumors? 9 "A I heard these in Tokyo. 10 "Q Regardless of what the sources of 11 these rumors were, from how many sources did you 12 hear them -- how many occasions did you hear them? 13 "A It would be difficult to say how many 14 times I have heard these rumors. 15 "Q What was the nature of the rumors that 16 you heard in this connection? 17 "A The rumors were to the effect that pris-18 oners from Corregidor and Bataan had been mistreated. 19 "Q In what respect had they been mistreated, 20 according to the rumors? 21 "A They had been made to walk long distances 22 in the heat. Deaths due to mistreatment were usually 23 referred to as death by sickness. "Q Did not the rumers also state and indicate 24

1 that many of the troops who were forced to march in 2 the heat were in no physical condition to march at 3 all and should not have been forced to march because 4 of their physical condition? 5 "A No. The rumors mentioned nothing of 6 this. 7 "Q According to the rumors, what was the 8 cause of the death of the American prisoners in this 9 connection? 10 "A All deaths were ascribed to sickness. 11 "Q What kind of sickness caused the death 12 of the men on that march? 13 "A These details did not come to my notice. 14 "Q But it did come to your notice from 15 the rumors that deaths occurred as a result of the 16 march, did it not? 17 "A Yes. 18 "Q How many deaths had occurred, according 19 to the rumors? 20 "A This was not mentioned in the rumors. 21 "Q Lid not the rumors indicate that the 22 deaths were caused by the physical condition of the 23 troops who had been requested or forced to march? 24 "A The rumors only stated that the prisoners 25 were marched long distances in the heat.

"Q How long before you discussed this 1 matter while you were in the Philippines with the 2 Chief of Staff was it that you had heard these rumors? 3 "A Perhaps two or three months before 4 this date, but I do not recall. 15 "Q How much time did you spend discussing 6 this march and this matter with the Chief of Staff while you were in the Philippines? 8 This was not the main subject of my 9 meeting with the Chief of Staff. I had many other 10 important matters to discuss with him and this was 11 one subject that was involved. 12 "Q How much time was spent discussing this 13 matter with the Chief of Staff? 14 "A I cannot say definitely how long we 15 16 discussed this as it was one of many subjects. - "Q Have you no idea whatsoever as to the 17 18 amount of time you spent discussing it with the Chief of Staff? 19 20 "A I am unable to say definitely how long. 21 Prior to the time that you discussed it 22 with the Chief of Staff, had not the American Govern-23 ment made a written complaint through a friendly nation 24 to the Japanese Government about this very matter, 25 setting forth the details in connection with this

march and in connection with the deaths of several hundreds of American troops?

"A I do not remember well but perhaps a complaint was received.

"Q Was that matter discussed at all at any of the bureau meetings that were held weekly or bi-weekly, that you recall?

"A I do not remember, but if a complaint was received, it would be discussed at the meeting of bureau chiefs.

"Q Had this matter of the 'Bataan March' and the deaths of American prisoners as the result thereof been taken up with the Chief of Staff prior to the occasion when you discussed it with him in the Philippines, as you state?

"A It was the first time that I took it up with him, but if a complaint was received from the United States, I am sure it must have been sent to the Chief of Staff through the Prisoner of War Information Bureau.

"Q Do you know, of your personal knowledge, that this matter was taken up with the Chief of Staff on any other occasion than when you took it up with him during your visit to the Philippines?

"A I was acting as Prime Minister and War

Minister and I was not able to attend to details of 1 this sort. These are left to the discretion of the 2 chiefs of departments concerned. 3 "Q Do you know, of your personal knowledge, 4 that the matter had ever been taken up with the Chief 5 of Staff other than the time you took it up with him? 6 "A I have no recollection of this having 7 been transmitted. 8 "Q Did the Chief of Staff indicate whether 9 it had been taken up with him before when you dis-10 cussed it with him? 11 "A No. as I asked him about this. 12 "Q But just what do you mean by that -- it 13 had been taken up with him? 14 "A The Chief of Staff said nothing about 15 this matter ever being taken up with him before I 16 mentioned it. 17 "Q So that, as far as you knew personally 18 or he indicated to you, this is the first time it 19 had been taken up with him by any official of the 20 Japanese Government? 21 "A I am not able to state definitely if 22 this is so or not. 23 "Q Was there anything said by him to indicate 24 to the contrary? 25

11 A I am not able to answer this definitely 1 and I cannot speak for the Chief of Staff. 2 "Q Just what did you say to the Chief of 3 Staff in connection with this 'Bataan March' and 5 the mistreatment of American prisoners? 6 "A I mentioned that there were rumors of 7 this description and wanted to have his explanation. 8 "Q What explanation did the Chief of Staff 9 give? 10 The Chief of Staff explained that due 11 to lack of transport facilities, the prisoners of 12 war had to march long distances in the heat and that 13 there was some suffering, and deaths resulted. 14 "Q Did the Chief of Staff state during 15 this conversation with you what distance the priconers 16 had been forced to march? 17 "A General WACHI was not there at the time 18 of the march and did not give me any details. He was 19 not responsible for this march. 20 "Q Who was responsible for the march? 21 General HOMMA would naturally be responsible. 22 "Q Did you see General HOLMA while you were 23 in the Philippines? "A No. HOMMA had returned to Japan. 25 "Q Had you seen General HOMMA in Japan at

any time after the war started and before you went 1 to the Philippines and spoke to the Chief of Staff 2 on this matter? 3 4 "A No. I did not meet him when he returned 5 to Japan. 6 How long prior to the time you discussed 7 this matter with the Chief of Staff in the Philippines 8 was it that HOMMA had returned to Japan? "A I do not remember when he returned to 10 Japan. 11 "Q Were you aware of the fact that HOMMA 12 was in Japan during the period that he came to Japan 13 and remained here? 14 "A Yes. 15 "Q Did HoMMA report to the War Department 16 here in Tokyo during the time he was in Japan after 17 the beginning of the war with the United States? 18 "A Perhaps he did report at the War Office, 19 but I did not meet him. 20 "Q Did you or anyone else discuss with HOMMA 21 while he was here or at any other time, the rumors of 22 the deaths of the American soldiers in the 'Bataan 23 March!? 24 I did not know of these rumors at the 25

time he returned to Japan and I do not know of anyone

else having discussed these matters with him. When he returned to Japan, it was merely said that he had returned after a very successful campaign. "Q Did you or any official of the Japanese Government ever discuss with General HOMMA the matter of the deaths of the American soldiers resulting from the 'Bataan March'? "A I do not know if this matter was ever discussed.'

The prosecution desires to have marked for identification document 2515 and to introduce in evidence document 2515B, extracts therefrom.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

No. 2515 will be given exhibit No. 1981 for identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, prosecution document 2515B, will be given exhibit No. 1981A.

(Whereupon, document No. 2515 was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1981 for identification, and the excerpts therefrom, document No. 2515B, was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1981A and received in evidence.)

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 26 March 1946, p. 1 - 3.

"A Yesterday there was some interrogation about prisoners and there is something I would like to say about that.

"A I was about to bring that question up and ask if you didn't have something you wanted to say.

"A Since the end of the war, I have read about the inhumane acts committed by Japanese army and navy personnel. These were certainly not the intention of those in authority, that is, of the General Staffs, or the War or Navy Departments, or myself. We did

not even suspect that such things had happened. 1 Emperor especially, because of his benevolence, would have had a contrary feeling. Such acts are not per-3 missible in Japan. The character of the Japanese people is such that they believe that neither Heaven nor Earth would permit such things. It will be 6 too bad if people in the world believe that these in-7 humane acts are the result of Japanese character. (The preceding portion of the answer was read back to the witness who agreed as to its correctness.) 11 "The second point with regard to prisoners: 12 The treatment of prisoners is the responsibility of various army commanders, hence I relied upon them to have regard for humane considerations and to follow the terms of international treaties and rules. Of 16 course, since I was the supervisor of military administration, I am completely responsible. 18

"The third point is that Japanese manners and customs are different from those of Europe and American and the standard of living is also different. These things affected the treatment of prisoners. There are some things I want to explain about this.

"a) In regard to inhumane acts, these are not permitted under Japanese manners or customs either.

2

4

5

8

9

10

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

11h) In regard to the difference in the standard of living, the treaties provide that prisoners are to be given the same rations as the troops of the detaining nation. That was also directed in orders' that were issued. Because of the difference in the standard of living, the American and European prisoners thought when they were given the same rations as Japanese troops that the rations were very very unappetizing. Particularly, on the battlefields, this feeling was very strong, I believe. In regard to life in the barracks /shosha' the barracks, for example, at Omori, which are field barracks / yaeichi/, they would not be thought bad by the Japanese troops, but the European and American prisoners thought they were very poor.

"c) The Japanese idea about being taken prisoner is different from that in Europe and America. In Japan, it is regarded as a disgrace. Under Japanese criminal law, anyone who becomes a prisoner while still able to resist has committed a criminal offense, the maximum punishment for which is the death penalty. In Europe and America, it is different. A person who is taken prisoner is honored because he has discharged his duties, but in Japan, it is very different.

"d) I want to say something now about the

feeling with regard to slapping on the side of the face. In Japanese families where the educational standard is low, slapping is used as a means of training. In the Japanese army and navy, although this 4 is forbidden, it continues in fact because of the influence of the customs of the people. This, of course, is a custom that ought to be corrected; it ought to be stopped; but I don't think it is a crime. It is something that comes from custom. That is all I want to say on this. "There is a correction I would like to make

regarding yesterday's interrogation. Yesterday, I was asked if I had met Lieutenant General HOMMA. I said that I had not met him, but this year when I was in Omori Prison, I met him. One other point. When an army commander came back to Japan during the war, he would make a situation report to the Emperor. On such occasions, he would be accompanied by the Chief of Staff and the War Minister. I do not well remember, it may be that I met HOMMA on such an occasion since he was an army commander. That is all I wanted to say."

The prosecution desires to introduce in evidence document 2515C, excerpts from document 2515. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 2515C will receive exhibit No. 1981B. 1 2 (Whereupon, the document above re-3 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 4 No. 1981B and received in evidence.) 5 MR. WOOLVORTH: (Reading) "Extract from 6 Interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 26 March 1946, p. 4 - 5. 7 "Q Do you not recall that the Japanese Govern-8 ment informed the United States, through the Swiss Government on or about 30 January 1941, what Japan 10 would follow, in the war then pending: (1) The 11 various international conventions, including those 12 signed at The Hague and Geneva; (2) International 13 law; (3) The rules and customs of law as to prisoners 14 and civilians? 15 "A I don't recall it, but I think it is very 16 likely. 17 "Q You state that you are now aware of the fact 18 that that promise was not kept or carried out. Why 19 was it not complied with or kept? Do you understand 20 the question? 21 I understand it as regards the inhumane acts 22 towards prisoners that we have talked about. It was 23 the Government's intention to follow these conventions, 24 and it was mine. The fact that these things happened 25

G

is very much to be regretted. The responsibility for them is mine.

"Q You mentioned today that you did not even suspect the occurrence of these atrocities and the inhumane treatment of prisoners. How can you say this when the United States and Great Britain, through the Swiss and other governments, made numerous written and detailed complaints to your Foreign Office about these very matters?

The matter of responsibility for humane considerations and the following of treaty provisions was the responsibility of the various army commanders. I believed that they were following them. That is my answer.

"Q So that, although these numerous complaints were made on these matters, you still trusted the commanders in the field and did not believe the complaints. Is that true?

"A As I said before, when a protest would come in, I would forward it to the responsible army commander involved for action which I thought was taken. I could not tell whether the protest was appropriate or not, and I presumed that investigations were made, followed by courts martial or other suitable action.

"Q Did you hear of any courts martial or 1 suitable action taken in connection with the atrocities and inhumane acts against American prisoners in 3 the 'Bataan March' that we spoke about yesterday and today? "A I think that the commanding officer took 6 7 legal measures. 8 "Q On what do you base that statement? 9 "A The facts of that case would have gone to 10 the commanding officer who had the responsibility for 11 taking appropriate action. 12 "Q Then, it is only your speculation that such 13 action was taken? 14 "A Yes, but I think it was taken because it was 15 his responsibility." 16 The prosecution desires to introduce in 17 evidence document No. 2515E, excerpt from document 18 2515. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 20 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 21 No. 2515E will receive exhibit No. 1981C. 22 (Whereupon, the document above re-23 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 24 No. 1981C and received in evidence.) 25 MR. "OOLWORTH: (Reading) "Extract from

3

4 5

7

9

11

13

15 16

17 18

19 20

22

21

2324

25

Interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 26 March 1946, p. 6 - 7.

"Q You state that when complaints were made by the United States and Great Britain about the inhumane treatment of their prisoners of war, the complaints would come to the Foreign Ministry and then to the War Department. Were copies of these complaints sent to the Emperor, or was the Emperor informed in any way of them?

"A No, he was not. I handled them on my own responsibility.

"Q Why was not the Emperor, as Commander-in-Chief of the Japanese Army and Navy, advised of these complaints?

"A I thought it was all right to handle them on my own responsibility, I sent these protests to the responsible field commanders for investigation as to the facts. The Emperor was busy and had a great deal of work so I did this on my own. Consequently, the Emperor is not responsible in connection with this matter. I am responsible."

The prosecution desires to have marked for identification prosecution document No. 4181 and to introduce in evidence excerpts therefrom, 4181B.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4181 will be given exhibit No. 1982 for identi-1 fication only, and the excerpt therefrom, prosecution 2 document 4181B, will be given exhibit No. 1982A. 3 (Whereupon, document No. 4181 was 4 marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1982 for 5 6 identification, and the excerpt therefrom, 7 document No. 4181B, was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1982A and received in evidence.) 9 MR. WOOL ORTH: (Reading) "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 27 March 1946, p. 3 - 7. 10 "Q What was the purpose of your visit to the 11 12 Philippines, that you have referred to, when you 13 spoke to the Chief of Staff in connection with the 14 'Bataan March'? 15 "A Let's see, the independence of the Philip-16 pines was on 14 October 1943. It was in connection 17 with the independence of the Philippines and condi-18 tions in the Philippine Islands. The purpose of it 19 was chiefly in regard to matters related to the in-20 dependence of the Philippines. I principally wanted 21 to talk to important Filipinos in connection with 22 independence matters.

at this time?

"Q How long were you in the Philippine Islands

"A About three days, I think.

23

24

"Q During the war, that is, from 7 December 1941 until the end of the war, was that your only visit, or were you there on other occasions?

"A No, I went twice during the war.

"Q What was the approximate date and purpose of that visit?

"A I arrived in Thai on 4 July 1943, I believe, and stayed there, I think, for three days -- the 4th, 5th, and 6th. That was the principal objective of the visit, but on the way back, I spent two or three days in Malaya, one night in Sumatra, one night in Java, and one night in Manila; then I came back by plane via Formosa to Japan.

"Q How many times were you in Formosa and Thai from 7 December 1941 until the end of the war?

"A I was only in Thai the one time that I have mentioned. I was in Formosa twice, that is, going and coming, on the trip to the Philippines in May 1943; and again twice going and coming on the trip to Thai in July 1943.

"Q What was your purpose in speaking to the Chief of Staff, on your visit in May to the Philippines, about the 'Bataan March'?

"A There were various matters in connection with the independence of the Philippines. They were

25

the principal things. I spoke to the Chief of Staff about this other matter, but it was not a principal matter of discussion.

"Q Why did you discuss this matter at all with the Chief of Staff?

"A As I said before, there were various rumors of the sufferings that prisoners had experienced and I inquired of the Chief of Staff because I wanted to find out the facts about it.

"Q Just what did you ask him in this connection?

"A I said, 'I have heard these rumors about the sufferings of the prisoners. That are the facts?' He replied that it was in the broiling sun, and that means of transport were lacking and so they walked them a long ways, and that it was a fact.

"Q What was a fact?

"A That it was a fact that they had walked them this long distance.

"Q Did you ask him or did you find out how long the distance was that the men were marched?

"A I have an impression about the main conversation, but I don't remember the details beyond that.

"Q Were you not interested in finding out what distance the men had been marched in the broiling sun?

"A It is difficult for me now to recall to just

4 5

what degree of detail the conversation extended.

"Q Do you know how far it is from Bataan to San Fernando?

"A I don't remember.

"Q Do you recall whether you learned from the Chief of Staff how many men had either fallen out, being unable to finish, or died during the March?

"A No, I don't remember details like that, but I got the impression that the responsible commander, who was Lieutenant General HOMMA, did what he could under the circumstances then prevailing.

"Q What led to your belief that General HOMMA had done what he could under the circumstances pre-prevailing?

"A It is a problem of responsibility. As Supreme Commander in the Philippines at that time, he had a great responsibility to the Emperor. This responsibility was, on the one hand, to conduct the fighting and, on the other, to establish peace and order; as to prisoners, I think that, as the responsible commander, he did what he could. It is Japanese custom /tatemae/ for a commander of an expeditionary army in the field to be given a mission in the performance of which he is not subject to specific orders from Tokyo, but has

considerable autonomy. (This is called the heavy responsibility of an expeditionary force commander /kongai no junin/." In parentheses, reading "Missing from Japanese."

"Q The protest of the United States Government which was made to Japan, charged that the prisoners in the 'Bataan March' were beaten, bayoneted, and shot. Did you discuss that with the Chief of Staff during your visit?

"A No, I didn't talk to him about those things.

I thought that if the responsible army commander knew about those things, he would take proper action.

I thought that the responsible army commander would take appropriate measures if things contrary to international law had happened.

"Q Did you inquire on either of your trips to the Philippines to find out if any action whatsoever had been taken in these matters?

"A No. As I said before, since the responsible commander had the authority I relief upon him in this matter. I only asked about the main points. Everyone thought that the Japanese character would not permit acts of an atrocious nature. This little booklet which I have here was issued on 8 January 1941 in connection with the China Incident. The

title of it is 'Teachings for the Battlefield' (Senjin Kun). It was issued by me as War Minister and at the 2 time of the Greater Mast Asia War, officers and men 3 had the same instructions. I will quote only briefly. 4 5 Properly, it is a book on fighting, but if you will translate the pages I have marked in blue pencil, I 6 will appreciate it. I thought that these were carried out. (The booklet was given to the interpreter with 9 the pages marked which will be translated and in-10 serted in the record. See attached translation of 11 booklet which is being made a part of this interroga-12 tion.) 13 "Q During your two visits to the Philippines, 14 that you have referred to, did you visit and inspect

either Camp O'Donnell, or any other prison camp?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"A No, I didn't. I had no time for it. The only one I visited was Omori Prison Camp, here in Japan.

"Q Thile you were in the Philippines on those two occasions, did you visit any camp where civilians were intenred?

"A No. I didn't. I am not too sure, but it seems to me I visited one camp for civilians in Malaya, I think it was. I am not sure about it.

"Q During either of those visits to the

Philippines, did you look into or inspect the treatment that wounded American soldiers and Filipinos 2 were receiving? 3 4 "A I visited a hospital where there were 5 wounded Japanese, but I don't know whether there 6 were wounded Filipinos or Americans in it or not. 7 "Q And your purpose in going there was to visit 8 the Japanese wounded, was it not? 9 "A Yes, that was the main thing. 10 And you did not go to that hospital looking 11 for either Filipinos or American wounded, did you? 12 "A No, not specially. As I said, I did visit 13 the hospital, but I don't know whether there were 14 any wounded Americans or Filipinos there or not. 15 "Q During your visits to Thai and Formosa, 16 did you visit any prison camps at which American 17 and British prisoners were interned? 18 "A No. I didn't. In Formosa, I stopped at 19 Taihoku. I think the prison camp was at Karenko, 20 which was a long distance away. But I went to Taihoku. 21 "Attachment: Partial translation of booklet 22 entitled 'Teachings for the Battlefield.' (Senjin Kun). 23 "NOTE: The witness produced a 32-page 24 pamphlet entitled 'Teachings for the Battlefield' 25

(Senjin Kun), issued on 8 January 1941, over his own

2 3

name, several passages of which were underlined.

The underlined passages are translated as follows:

"Page 2 and 3: Then within the surroundings of the battlefield, one is apt to be absorbed by what is immediately before one's eyes and stray from principles and occasionally these acts may be contrary to one's duty as a soldier. Much discretion is needed.'

"Page 5 and 6: 'To obey Imperial commands, to be brave as well as just, to be humane as well as brave, and to realize the grand harmony of the world -- such is the spirit of the Emperor Jimmu, (first Emperor of Japan). Bravery must be stern and charity must be far-reaching. If there is any enemy resisting the Imperial troops, we must destroy him with our tempestuous military power. Even if we succeed in subduing our enemy with our unrelenting power, if we lack the grace of refraining from attacking those who have laid down their arms and of treating kindly those who obey us, we can hardly be called perfect (soldiers).

"Page 24 and 25: 'Care must be taken in the protection of property and materials owned by the enemy. Requisition, confiscation and destruction of materials, etc., must always be effected in

accordance with regulations and invariably in conformity with orders by commanding officers. In view of the basic principles of the Imperial Army, we must be magnanimous of heart and treat innocent inhabitants with kindness.'"

The prosecution desires to have marked for identification document No. 4182 and to introduce in evidence document 4182A, the excerpts therefrom.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4182 will receive exhibit No. 1983 for identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, document No.

4182A, will receive exhibit No. 1983A.

(Whereupon, document No. 4182 was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1983 for identification, and the excerpt therefrom, document No. 4182A, was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1983A and received in evidence.)

IR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 28 March 1946, p. 1 - 4.

"Q Within a month after the war with America started, was not the Prisoner of War Information Bureau set up?

"A Yes, it was. It was set up on a basis of treaties.

"Q "ithin two or three months thereafter, there 1 was set up, was there not, the Prisoner of War Administrative Section? I am not sure if this is the 3 correct Japanese title for it, however. "A All the work dealing with military prisoners 5 was handled by the Prisoner Information Bureau, I think. Was this a public body? 7 "Q Yes, I think it was part of the War Depart-8 ment and very similar to the Prisoner Information 10 Bureau. 11 "A I believe it was handled by the Prisoner 12 Information Bureau. There was a separate body under 13 the Home Ministry, however, to deal with civilians. 14 I don't know what the name of that was. 15 "Q That organizations, in addition to the 16 Prisoner of War Information Bureau, were set up by 17 the War Department for dealing with prisoners? 18 "A Besides the Prisoner of War Information 19 Bureau, there were the Prisoner Reception Centers 20 (shuyojo). 21 "Q Were there a number of these? 22 "A Yes. 23 "Q And the number and locations of these 24 were fixed by the Minister of War, were they not? 25 "A Yes, as the number of prisoners increased

the number of centers increased in the same way.

"Q And regulations and orders with respect to prisoners of war were either made or approved by the War Minister, were they not?

"A Yes, of course.

"Q So that certain clerical work with respect to prisoners of war was done here in Tokyo, but the actual handling of prisoners in the field was performed by the heads of the centers under the regulations and orders received from the War Department, was it not?

"A Yes, of course. About the orders, there was one other thing. The War Minister issued the orders, but the army commanders could issue further orders on the basis of those issued by the War Minister.

"Q Did those orders have to be consistent in principle with the orders issued by the War Linister?

"A Yes, of course. They were issued on a basis of the War Hanister's orders.

"Q And the clerical work, which was done with respect to the prisoners in Tokyo, was directly under the Military Affairs Bureau, was it not?

"A It was done by the Military Affairs Bureau. The rules were the result of conferences between the

Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau and the General 1 Staff. 2 You mean the Chief of the General Staff? 3 "A No, someone under him. 4 "Q How many members of the General Staff did 5 the Chief of the Hilitary Affairs Board confer with 6 in connection with these matters, and who were they? "A The head of the Hilitary Affairs Bureau 8 was a member of the War Department, of course. 9 Depending on the nature of the problem, he would 10 confer with any one of the four General Staff Sec-11 tions, that is to say, with the first section, Opera-12 tions; second section, Intelligence; third section, 13 Transport: or with the General Affairs Section. 14 "Q Who was head of the Military Affairs Bureau 15 within a short time after the war with America • 16 started? 17 "A Akira MUTO. At that time he was a major 18 19 general. 20 "Q How long did he remain Chief of the Hilitary 21 Affairs Bureau? 22 "A I don't remember well, but I think he 23 remained in that position until August or October 24 of 1942.

"Q Who was head of the Prisoner of War

after? 2 "A Lieutenant General UMNURA. I don't know 3 his first name. "0 Was it Hikio? "A I think so. "Q Do you remember telling Lieutenant General UMMUNA that the prisoners of war must be used to increase Japan's production? "A I permitted their use in factories. This 10 was based on treaties. 11 Do you recall also stating, in early 1942, 12 that in order to show the might of Japan, it would 13 14 be necessary to establish prisoner of war camps in 15 Korea, Formosa, Manchuria and China and have the 16 prisoners undergo compulsory labor? 17 "A Do you mean that I said that? 18 "Q Didn't you say that in substance if not in 19 the same words? 20 "A No. The location of the camps was determined 21 by transport considerations and labor considerations. 22 One other thing I would like to say. You spoke of 23 forced labor /kyosei rodo/. It was not that. This 24 was based on international law which enables prisoners 25 of the rank of petty officer and below to be employed

Information Bureau at the beginning of the war and

as workers. Commissioned officers can be employed at their own request. I tank that was specified in the rules which I issued as Minister of War and to which I referred a roment ago.

"Q Who succeeded MUTO as head of the Hilitary Affairs Bureau?

"A Kenryo SATO. At that time be was a major general."

Duda & Eder

Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence document No. 4182-D, excerpt from document No. 4182.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 4182-A will receive exhibit No. 1983-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1983-B and received in evidence.)

MR. WCCLWORTH: "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TCJO, 28 March 1946." Pages 7 to 8.

"(Had you heard at any time that the treatment received by prisoners of war in the Philippines,
Formosa, and Thailand was not only inhumane, but was
below the standard given to Japanese prisoners of
war?

"A I supposed that the treatment being given to prisoners was on the same level as that given to our own troops. However the conditions under which Japanese troops lived were bad and to that extent the treatment given to prisoners of war was bad too. In regard to Thailand, I do know that I heard that prisoners had been mistreated by officers in the building of the railroad between Thai and Burma, that

*

21 22

prisoners were made to work when they were sick, and, on the basis of this, I ordered a court martial.

"Q Other than at Bataan and the building of this railroad that you refer to, did you hear of ary other mistreatment of American or British prisoners of war?

"A I have told you about the Thai-Burma thing just now. Aside from those two, I haven't heard much of that sort of thing. In connection with China, two or three cases came to my attention. Of course the authorities on the spot conducted courts martial, the results of which were forwarded to me for information as War Minister. On two or three occasions I was not satisfied with the results of the courts martial since the cases had been dropped, and I sent them back for reconsideration.

"Q You have stated that you knew complaints came into the Foreign Office and were sent to your office in connection with the mistreatment of prisoners and civilians. Did you or did you not acquaint yourself with the nature and content of these complaints?

"A These matters were usually brought up at the meetings of the bureau chiefs of the War

Department. These meetings were held twice a week. Either the Chief of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau or the Military Affairs Bureau would bring them up. They were referred by the bureau chief meetings to the commander in the field who had the authority to act. If there was inhumane treatment, he would take measures accordingly, and the report would probably come back to me as War Minister."

Prosecution desires to have marked for idertification document 4183 and will introduce in evidence document No. 4183-A, excerpt therefrom.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 4183 will receive exhibit No. 1984 for identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, document No. 4183-A, will receive exhibit No. 1984-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1984 for identification, and the excerpt therefrom was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1984-A and received in evidence.)

MR. WOOLWORTH: "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 29 March 1946." Pages 1 to 4.

7 8

"Q Were you not responsible for the rules, the orders and the action taken which led to the sentences of execution or imprisonment of American fliers since 7 December 1941?

"A Of course I am responsible for army orders and regulations.

"A Well, I am not just sure what ones you refer to. I don't remember any regulations particularly directed against fliers before 18 October 1942 when Japan was raided by American fliers.

There may have been some before that, but I don't remember clearly. I do remember that there were some after that.

"Q For what actions taken at that time or afterwards in this connection were you responsible?

"A The raid on 18 October 1942 was contrary to international law. It was not against troops but against non-combatants, primary school students, and so forth. We knew this and, since this was not permitted by international law, it was homicide (satsu-jin). Japan acted on this concept and I ordered (kaketa) courts martial (gunritsu kaigi), or at least they were just about like courts martial. You probably won't be able to understand this

unless you understand something about Japanese 1 2 feelings at this time. This was the first time 3 Japan had been bombed, and it was a great shock. 4 Public feeling ran very high. Now, of course, since the indiscriminate bombing of medium and small 6 cities which were undefended and the use of the 7 atom bomb, all things which are not permitted under 8 international law, the tragic spectacle of this 9 country today makes this first raid look like a 10 very small thing, but it was a great shock to the 11 people at the time. 12 "Q And the extreme punishments meted out to 13 these first fliers were mainly given as a deterrent

to prevent future raids, were they not?

There was "A Yes, they were for that reason. a demand from Imperial Headquarters. The Army Chief of Staff came to me directly and demanded severe punishment for the fliers.

"C Who was the Army Chief of Staff? "A SIGIYAMA.

"Q What did you say in reply, and what did you do as a result of this request?

"A I issued this order. It was an order for military administration. As to the form, I am not positive whether the order was issued over my name

19 20

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

24

23

7 8

or by Imperial Headquarters, but no matter who issued it, I am responsible for it.

"Q Who else from Imperial Headquarters was the Chief of Staff speaking for when he made the request that he did of you for this punishment?

"A He came on his own responsibility, not representing anyone else. I remember this because the Chief of Staff didn't often come to me directly about things.

"Q Did you receive any order from the Emperor in this connection, or discuss the matter with him or report to him before taking the action that you did?

"A The Emperor is not related at all to this nroblem. When the report of the court martial came from China to the Chief of Staff and to myself, the verdict, as I recall it, was that all eight men were to be executed. The Chief of Staff came to me and demanded that the findings of the court be carried out. I knew, however, of the Emperor's benevolence and, with that in mind, and because of his feelings, wished to have only the minimum number of men executed. Therefore, it was decided that only the three who had killed primary school students would receive the death penalty. This was

discussed with the Emperor, since the Emperor in Japan is the only one who can commute a sentence, and it was decided that way. That one point was the Emperor's only relation to the thing.

"Q So the Emperor reviewed all eight cases and commuted the death penalties from eight to three?

"A No, he didn't review them. In Japan, courts martial have only one hearing. That is because of their military character. Ordinary trials have three hearings.

"Q On what basis did the Emperor take this action? Did you propose it?

"A Yes, I did. It was on my responsibility as adviser to the Throne, but the commutation was the Emperor's because of the fact that the Emperor is invariably benevolent.

24

"Q Was not this order, which was issued by 1 you for the trial and punishment of these fliers, an 2 ex post facto law? 3 4 "A Yes. it was. 5 Then the order of occurrences was as follows: 6 the raid, the capture of the fliers, the order which 7 you issued, the trial, and the executions? 8 "A Yes. 9 "Q And the order that you issued provided for 10 the trial and punishment? 11 "A Yes, it was the basis. However, the order, 12 in turn, was based on the fact of the raid. Of course 13 the order was not an order to execute eight men, it 14 was an order whereby trials could be held based on 15 the fact of the raid. 16 "Q So that, as the result of the raid, this 17 order or law was promulgated by you and made retro-18 active to the date of the raid? 19 "A Yes." 20 Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence 21 document No. 4183-B, excerpts from document 4183. 22 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 23 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 24 No. 4183-B will receive exhibit No. 1984-B.

(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 1 No. 1984-B and received in evidence.) 2 MR. WOOLYORTH: (Reading) "Extract from 3 Interrogation of Hideki TOJO, 29 March 1946. Pages 6-7. 4 "Q Lid not the heads of the various prison 5 camps have to make a monthly report to the Military Bureau with respect to the prisoners under their . 7 care in connection with food, health, labor, and so 8 forth? 9 "A I think they did on a basis of regulations. 10 This is a routine matter. 11 "Q And those reports were consolidated, were 12 they not, and sent to the War Minister? 13 "A The War Minister received a stack of papers 14 about a foot and a half high each day, and I suppose 15 that was among them. 16 You do know, do you not, that those reports 17 were compiled and forwarded to the War Minister? 18 "A The extracts were probably reported on at 19 the meetings of the bureau chiefs which took place twice 20 21 weekly. "Q Was the matter of the death rate from mal-22 nutrition, and other causes, shown by these reports 23 24 discussed at these meetings? "A Yes, it was, and I paid particular attention 25

1 to it. The responsibility for these matters belongs to the army commanders in the field. However, when 3 they couldn't fulfill their responsibilities, they 4 would make requests of the War Ministry. These 5 requests came to the chief of the Military Affairs 6 Bureau and, after consultation between the latter and 7 the commanders in the field, the War Ministry would 8 take action either by sending food, or some other 9 means." 10 Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence 11 document No. 1632-W(95), extract from entry from 12 Marquis KIDO's liary. 13 THE PRESILENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 14 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 15 No. 1632-W(95) will receive exhibit No. 1985. 16 (Whereupon, the document above 17 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 18 No. 1985 and received in evidence.) 19 MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "Extract from Entry 20 from Marquis KIDO's liary. 13th March 1942. 21 "I went to the office at 10.00 a.m. 22 "At 3 o'clock the Imperial Household Minister 23 came to the office and told me about Eden's address 24 in Parliament concerning our soldiers' atrocities at 25 Hong Kong, and we exchanged opinions."

Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence document No. 1632-W(96), extract from entry from Marquis KIDO's Liary.

Remarks and

SHIPS A STATE OF

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632-W(96) will receive exhibit No. 1986.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1986 and received in evidence.)

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "Extract from Entry from Marquis KILO's Liary. 21st May 1942.

"Chief aide-de-camp came to my room and related to me about the manner in which the American POWs taken in the /T.N. Doolittle/ air raid were dealt with."

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Woolworth.

COLONEL WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please, the prosecution desires to introduce in evidence document No. 1632W(98), being an extract from entry from Marquis KIDO's diary.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W(98) will receive exhibit No. 1987.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1987 and received in evidence.)

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "Extract from Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary, 3 October 1942.

"At 11:30 Premier TOJO came to see me in my room and requested me to inform the Emperor regarding the details of the treatment of American prisoners who participated in the /T.N. Doolittle/ raid last April 18th. From 1:05 to 1:15 I reported to the Emperor as Premier TOJO requested. The Chief of Staff will report on this in the near future."

The prosecution desires to introduce in evidence document No. 2732-A, which is monthly report from the Prisoner of War Camps Commandant in Thailand.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

T a D l a l u

.

No. 2732-A will receive exhibit No. 1988. (Whereupon, the document above re-2 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 3 No. 1988 and received in evidence.) 4 MR. WOOLWORTH (Reading): "BA-98 5 "Military Secret 7 "Telegram Translation "Place of Dispatch: Kanburi 9 "sent: 12:10 a.m. (p.m.) on september 3, 1943 10 "Arrived: 9:00 a.m. (p.m.) on September 4, 1943 11 "From: POW Camps Commandant in Thailand. 12 "To: Chief of POW Information Bureau. 13 "Telegram No. Tai-Fu-Shu-Den 715. 14 "The gist of the monthly report for August 15 is as follows (?): 16 "l. Prisoners of War - 40,314 (including 17 7,328 enroute (°) to Japan Proper). 18 "2. Fick Prisoners: 15.064 (6 words under 19 inquiry) main diseases are malaria, malnutrition, 20 'gaihi' disease, beri-beri, etc. 21 "3. Thirty-seven have died, thirteen of them 22 from malaria, four from beri-beri, three from pneumonia (1), 23 three from pellagra, and fourteen from other diseases. 24 "4. The number of prisoners who were engaged 25 in indoor (?) work was 12,361 (?) a day on the average.

"Work directly collaborating with the rail 1 road - 1,395 men. 2 "Work collaborating with the unit - 4,772 men. 3 "5. Special labor corps (?) - 814 men 4 5 (patients - 88, average number of laborers per day -6 734) no death. 7 "6. POW mail - 90.427 received. No dis-8 patch. Four messages addressed to POW's. 9 "7. No relief money (?) or goods for POW's 10 received. 11 "8. Strict precautions are being made and 12 also, concentration principle was taken to intensify 13 the collaboration between the railroad unit. Five 14 divisions and three detachments were organized. 15 "9. Both POW's and special laborers are quiet. 16 "10. 2,800 POW's waiting at Fingapore are 17 scheduled to get on board on the 5th of September. 18 "Telegram sent to: 'I'; POW Information 19 Bureau. 20 "Telegram received: POW Information Bureau-21 5 Feptember 1943. 22 "SEAL FUJIKURA No. 10. 23 24 "BA-98 25 "Military Secret

"Telegram Translation 1 "Place of Dispatch: Kanburi 3 "Sent 2350 (11:50 p.m.) on September 7, 1943 4 "Arrived: 2000 (8:00 p.m.) on September 8, 5 1943. 6 "From: POW Camps Commandant in Thailand 7 "To: Chief of POW Information Bureau 8 "No. 1. 9 "We request you to insert the following in 10 the obscure part of the main sentence in Telegram 11 No. Tai-Fu-thu-Den 715. distributed on teptember 5th: 12 "'Ratio to the total number - 37.06%.' 13 "NOTE: * The sick POW's are reported to be 14 15,064 (ratio for the total number is 37.06%), but 15 37.06% of the total number 40.314 is 14.940. There 16 seems to be some mistake in the calculation of the 17 ratio to the total number. However, this figure has 18 not been calculated by the POW Information Bureau: 19 the figures in the original document from the actual 20 place have been copied as they stand. 21 * (T.N. Added in ink on original document). 22 "Received POW Information Bureau 11 reptember 23 1943. 24 "SEAL FUJIKURA No. 33 25 This contains the usual statement of

1 authenticity. 2 THE PRESIDENT: We note a number of interro-3 gation marks on the first page. Do they suggest a 4 doubt as to whether the preceding word is the right 5 word or not? 6 MR. WOOLWORTH: The question marks were on 7 the original Japanese document. 8 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Justice Mansfield. 9 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: If the Tribunal please, 10 the prosecution now produces for cross-examination 11 OGIYA, Yorio, whose attendance was ordered by the 12 Tribunal on the application of the defense. His affi-13 davit is exhibit 1925-A. 14 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: Is the witness to 15 be sworn? 16 THE PRESIDENT: He is already on his former 17 oath. This man has not been sworn before? 18 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: No, if the Court 19 pleases. 20 THE MONITOR: Mr. Prosecutor, what is the 21 prosecution number of 1925-A, sir? 22 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: Exhibit 1925-A. 23 THE MONITOR: Yes, sir. What is the prose-24 cution number for it, please?

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: 8223.

1	YORIC OGIYA, called as a witness on behalf
2	of the prosecution, being first duly sworn,
3	testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD:
6	Q What is your full name?
7	A OGIYA, Yorio.
8	· Q And you are at present confined in Sugamo
9	Prison?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You are a deponent of an affidavit dated
12	the 8th of February, 1946?
13	A Yes.
14	MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: The defense may
15	cross-examine this witness now. I have no further
16	questions.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. KUSANO:
19	Q Mr. Witness, is what you have written in
20	this affidavit true and correct?
21	A Yes, no mistakes.
22	Q What schools have you attended, Mr. Witness?
23	A To what extent, sir?
24	Q From middle grade school about?
25	A In March, 1938, graduated the Third the

Tokyo Third Prefectural Middle School; and in April 1 of that year entered the College Division of Chuo University in the Department of Law; graduated the 3 4 Law Department of that college in March, 1941; April, 1941, entered the University Division of the 5 6 Law College; graduated the same school in 1943, March. In one of the questions put to you in this 8 affidavit it is said: "When were you assigned to 9 the Central Army Area Headquarters?" Well, that 10

affidavit it is said: "When were you assigned to the Central Army Area Headquarters?" Well, that is a question as written in your affidavit -- as found in your affidavit. Now, does that mean -- does the Central Army Area Headquarters here mean the headquarters of the Eastern Army -- Eastern District Army?

A Yes.

Q In other words, when you refer to this Central Headquarters, that is the one based in Osaka, is it not?

THE INTERPRETER: The answer was "yes."

A Yes.

Q What position did you occupy -- what was your occupation at the Central Army -- Central District Army Headquarters?

A I was assigned to the Legal Affairs Section and handled general business matters.

15

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

1	
1	
2	
2	
3	
4	
=	
5	
6	
7	
,	
0	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
13	
- 1	
14	
15	
15	
15 16	
16	
16	
16 17	
16 17	
16	
16 17 18	
16 17 18	
16 17	
16 17 18	
16 17 18 19	
16 17 18	
16 17 18 19	
16 17 18 19 20	
16 17 18 19	
16 17 18 19 20	
16 17 18 19 20 21	
16 17 18 19 20	
16 17 18 19 20 21	
16 17 18 19 20 21	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	
16 17 18 19 20 21	

25

Q Were you ever engaged in duties as a prosecutor?

A Yes.

Q In your affidavit the word "public procurator" is used -- is the word as used -- the word
written is "public procurator" as used. Now does
that mean that you are a procurator -- prosecutor?

A That is a procurator who attends to another prosecutor and should be translated as "presenting prosecutor."

THE MONITOR: Perhaps that should be "attending procurator."

Q Now, turning to the question on the--the last question on page 5 of the Japanese text of your affidavit, "Who gave you the order to demand the death penalty for Nelson and Auganus?"-- Now, to that question you have answered, according to your affidavit, as follows:

"After Major General OTAHARA completed his investigation, he wrote an opinion based on his investigation and forwarded this opinion to Lt.

Gen. Eitaro UCHIYAMA, Commander of the Central Army Headquarters to get an approval of the death sentence. Then, after obtaining the approval of General UCHIYAMA, Major General OTAHARA took his opinion to General HATA, who was in command of the Second General Army, and also got his approval of the death penalty."

Well, now, in this testimony you testified that-- you said, "After obtaining the approval of death" -- correction, please--approval of General UCHIYAMA -- after obtaining the approval of General UCHIYAMA, Major General OTAHARA took his opinion to General HATA, who was in command of the Second General Army."

You answered in those words. Now, are you sure of your answer here?

1 2 3

4 5

A The obtaining of the approval of the death penalty may be a mistake in translation.

In the light of his office, it is not imaginable that a Procurator could receive an approval of the death penalty. It would be possible, however, for a Procutator to obtain the approval of the method of execution. It is possible for the Procurator to obtain approval of a demand for a death penalty.

Q Well, then, I will reframe my question and ask you the same question in different words by saying "demanded the approval of the death sentence, et cetera." You meant—You didn't mean to say that this penalty was demanded, or approval for the indictment was demanded, or approval for passing—delivering sentence was demanded—

THE MONITOR: Slight correction: When you said that the approval of the death penalty was received, you didn't mean that -- by that you didn't mean the approval of the indictment or the sentence of the trial? You meant by that approval of -- by that you meant to put these people on trial?

THE INTERPRETER. Correction of the whole passage: When you spoke of receiving the approval of the death penalty, you meant asking for approval

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

for -- asking the death sentence rather than the approval of an indictment or approval of a sentence, isn't that so? Will you answer my question?

A Yes, I will. Of course. With respect to that matter, whether the case should -- the indictment should be made or not, the Procurator prepares an opinion report and this opinion report, having been approved by General UCHIYAMA, it may be said that with respect to this -- in accordance with this opinion report the indictment was made.

I think the main problem is after receiving the agreements to the indictment. In other words, this being an important international problem, requiring in respect of such question as the death penalty the utmost caution and the most careful consideration, I think that approval for the demand or request for the death penalty was submitted in advance, and therefore on that basis OTAHARA submitted as his opinion to request the approval of UCHIYAMA -- the demand of the supreme penalty -to ask for UCHIYAMA'S approval; and I have heard that it was then thereafter that the approval for the Commander of the Second General Army, General HATA, was requested, and I have heard then thereafter that the approval of the War Minister in Tokyo was requested.

Morse & Wh. 1en

	- Q	We	ell,	the	n am	I	all	owed	i to	under	esta	nd	that	
pass	sage	to	mean	th	at a	ppr	ova	l of	rec	quest	ing	the	penal	lty
was	requ	iest	ted,	is	that	wł	nat	you	mear	nt by	tha	t p	assage	e?

A I think it was the request for approval for the request for the death penalty with the indictment as the premise.

Q Then, a military tribunal, like other trials, means the judicial independence, does it not?

A Yes.

Q Then, as far as the trials are concerned no interference was made either by the War Minister or the commander of the army or any other persons?

A Of course, there is no interference of the trial itself.

Q What I am asking was that no interference was made as to the trials, proceedings of the trials, or the delivery of the sentence?

A As you say.

MR. KUSANO: That is all.

DR. KIYOSE: Mr. President, I should like to say a word regarding the translation at the moment. There was something said about the independence of the trial, but that I think should be translated as "independence of the findings and the sentence." I think it is a very highly important matter. If the

this Tribunal?

25

prosecution has any objections to make, we would like to submit the matter to the Language Arbitration Board. MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: The prosecution has 3 no objection to the matter being submitted to the Lan-4 5 guage Officer. 6 THE PRESIDENT: It will be referred to the board. 8 Captain Lazarus. 9 DR. KIYOSE: I should like to ask also to the 10 witness, because the witness seems to understand Eng-11 lish, whether he prefers to have the word "saiban" in 12 Japanese translated into "proceedings of trials" or 13 "findings and proceedings" -- "finding and judgment?" 14 THE WITNESS: I approve of the purport of that 15 question. 16 THE PRESIDENT: The less we say at this stage 17 the better. We leave it to re-examination to clear 18 this mess up. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 20 BY MR. LAZARUS: 21 Mr. Witness, you stated that you heard that 22 the approval of General HATA was requested. Do you 23 have any more concrete information than that to give 24

The matters to which I have testified did

_

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

net come to my knowledge directly. They are based on what I heard from my chief, OTAHARA.

Q Then you, yourself, do not know whether or not General HATA ever approved or ever heard of this trial?

A No, I have heard of it. There is no mistake about that.

Q What is it that you have heard?

A That is what I have stated in answer to questions by the defense counsel previous to you.

Q Then, let me understand you, please. You have heard that General HATA's approval was sought, but you do not know of your own knowledge whether General HATA ever gave his approval or ever heard of the case?

A I have heard from General OTAHARA that there was no mistake; there was no mistake to the fact that Field Marshal HATA gave his agreement to the request for the death penalty -- request for approval for the death penalty.

Q Then, the only information you have as to General HATA's knowledge of this case is the fact that General OTAHARA told you that General HATA had approved?

A Yes.

Now, this trial was held under the jurisdic-1 tion of the 15th Area Army under the command of Lieutenant General OCHIYAMA, isn't that so? 3 A Yes. 4 The Second General Army, of which General HATA 5 was commanding general, had nothing to do with administrative matters of the 15th Area Army, isn't that correct? I am not familiar with that matter. 9 Isn't it a fact that the Second General Army 10 had been created to prepare for the defense of the 11 Japanese homeland, and that was its only duty? 12 I have heard of that. 13 After the decision was rendered condemning the 14 American flyers to death, was the approval of General 15 HATA for the execution of the sentence sought? 16 A No. 17 Was the approval of anyone sought before the 18 sentence was executed? 19 A Commander OCHIYAMA. 20 And that was because OCHIYAMA was commanding 21 general of the 15th Area Army which had complete charge 22 and sole charge of this trial, isn't that so? 23

A Yes.

24

25

Q Did you ever see any documents relating to

1	this trial that had been signed by General HATA per-
2	sonally?
3	A No, I have not.
4	Q Then, I ask again for the last time, as far as
5	you know the only connection that General HATA had with
6	this trial is General OTAHARA's statement to you that
7	he, OTAHARA, had gotten General HATA's approval for
8	requesting the death sentence at the trial?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. LAZARUS: Thank you. No further questions
11	from me, Mr. President. Thank you, sir.
12	THE PRESIDENT: Counsellor SHIMANOUCHI,
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
14	BY MR. SHIMANOUCHI:
15	Q When and where did Second Lieutenant Nelson
16	and Sergeant Auganus attack bomb?
17	A They bombed Tokyo on March 10, 1945, Osaka
18	March 14 of the same year, and Kobe March 17 of the
19	
20	Q Where what places did they bomb in Tokyo
21	
22	on the 9th of March?
23	A Regarding that point any area cutside of the
24	military munitions area. May I repeat, regarding that
25	point this particular matter was not given much im-
	portance at the trial proceedings.

1	
2	
3	
4	
-	
5	
,	
6	
7	
0	
8	
0	
9	
10	
10	
11	
11	
12	
12	
13	
13	
14	
17	
15	
1,	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
A	
22	
23	
24	j
24	
25	-
25	

Q	What	parts	did	they	attack	or	bomb?	

A As you can see for yourself, the central part of Tokyo.

Q Did they bomb residential quarters of civilians in general?

A That is included.

Q Were Sergeant Auganus and Second Lieutenant Nelson aware of the fact that they were bombing residential quarters?

A Regarding Tokyo there was not much of a problem, but Osaka--

Q I am asking about Tokyo.

A I do not know much with respect to that matter, that point.

Q Then, by this bombing to what extent Tokyo was damaged?

A I do not know the details. I am not familiar with the details.

Q Are you aware of the fact that a large number of non-combatant citizens of Tokyo died or were killed or wounded as a result of the bombing?

THE PRESIDENT: If we are to apply our rule, he can tell you only what he learned in the course of inquiry from the evidence. That evidence may or may not be available to the defense.

14,625

Q Then, I will ask you a question concerning the air raid of Osaka on the 14th of March. What parts of Osaka were attacked by that raid?

A Both targets of military importance and non-military targets.

Q Do you mean to include in your non-military targets general residential quarters of the citizens other than military establishments?

THE PRESIDENT: This is not a serious question. The prosecution cannot claim that the Americans did not bomb residential areas in the course of bombing Tokyo and Osaka. What does it matter whether particular flyers did or did not do so? Do I understand the attitude of the prosecution rightly?

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: The prosecution took no objection to your Honor's statement. The prosecution agrees with your Honor's statement.

Q What damage or damages did the city of Osaka receive as a result of bombing?

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I object, if the Tribunal pleases. I submit that the question is irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: The counsellor heard what I said and he heard what the prosecution said. No question arises about those matters. You are wasting time

14,626

in putting such questions. The question is disallowed in the interests of time saving.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: May I ask some questions on other points?

THE PRESIDENT: I do not know what points they are, and I will not know until you put the question whether it is allowable.

Q Mr. Witness, you testified that you requested for the approval of the execution of the death penalty for Lieutenant Nelson and Sergeant Auganus to your superior -- you requested to your superior officer to give the approval for carrying out of the death sentence of Lieutenant Nelson and Sergeant Auganus.

THE MONITOR: Mr. Witness, you testified that request for approval was made to senior officers with respect to granting approval of the request for the death penalty in connection with Second Lieutenant Nelson and Sergeant Auganus.

- A I don't recall ever having said that.
- Q Well now, did your superior officer, General OTAHARA, ask for the approval?
 - A Whose approval?
- Q That is, did General OTAHARA ask Commander OCHIYAMA and others for granting approval for the request of death for Lieutenant Nelson and Sergeant

```
Auganus?
 1
              Yes.
 2
              THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half
 3
     past one.
 4
                     (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

3

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed

Y O R I O O G I Y A, recalled as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: During the recess I have conferred with the court reporters and found out that the question which I asked immediately before the noon recess had already been asked by some other counsel; therefore, I should like to strike out the question which I put to the witness prior to the noon recess. I have no further questions, Mr. President.

DR. KIYOSE: This morning, Mr. President, you said that a redirect examination would be advisable in order to clear up the mess. I wonder if I may be permitted to help bring order out of the confusion.

THE PRESIDENT: In my judgment Captain

Lazarus dealt very efficiently with the position. He made it plain beyond doubt that the approval was given in advance and not after the sentence had been passed. I cannot suggest that re-examination is necessary for that reason.

DR. KIYOSE: Thank you, sir. 1 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: There will be no 2 redirect examination, if the Tribunal pleases. 3 4 I ask that the witness be returned to his 5 former custody. 6 THE PRESIDENT: The witness will be returned 7 to his former custody. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 9 THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Woolworth, 10 MR WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please, in 11 connection with document No. 1988, which was the last 12 document read to the Iribunal, I desire to direct the 13 Tribunal's attention to exhibits 473 and 475. 14 Will the Marshal please have the witness. 15 WAKAMATSU, Tadakazu: brought to the witness stand. 16 17 TADAKAZU WAKAHATSU, called as a 18 witness on behalf of the prosecution, being first 19 duly sworn, testified through Japanese inter-20 preter as follows: 21 DIRECT FXAMILIATION 22 BY MR. WOOLWORTH: 23 Please state your name and residence. 24 - My name is WAKAMATSU, Tadakazu. My address 25 is Mr. 2977 Kichijoji, Musashino, Kita-Tama Gun, Tokyo.

Q You have in your hands two documents numbered 2744. Will you please examine those two documents, one in English and one in Japanese, and tell the Tribunal if that is your signature appended to each of those two documents.

A The signature is mine but in one respect before I proceed -- there is one request I wish to make before I proceed and that is on account of the interpreter my true thoughts have not been sufficiently expressed, and therefore I should like to be permitted to append a few remarks to make some of the points clear.

THE PRESIDENT: We had better know what is in the affidavit before he starts to explain it.

MR. WOOLWORTH: (To witness) May I inquire if you had read both the Japanese document to which you appended your signature and had translated to you the English document before you signed the same?

THE PRESIDENT: The document -- Colonel, where are we? Did you tender a document?

MR. WOOLWORTH: I am about to tender the document, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: What document has he in his hands now?

MR. WOOLWORTH: The original document.

1	THE PRESIDENT: One in Japanese
2	MR. WOOLWORTH: And one in English.
3	THE PRESIDENT: One in Japanese?
4	MR. WOOLWORTH: Yes.
5	THE PRESIDENT: He suggested the English
6	translation was not correct. The proper course would
7	be to refer the English translation and the original
8	Japanese document to the language section for their
9	report.
10	MR. WOOLWORTH: Does the Tribunal wish this
11	to be done before the document is read into evidence
12	or after?
13	THE PRESIDENT: I do not think he ought to
14	be brought back. We ought to get rid of him today.
15	MR. WOOLWORTH: Prosecution offers in evidence
16	document No. 2744, affidavit of WAKAMATSU, Tadakazu.
17	THE WITNESS: May I be heard on a point on
18	which I should like to make some explanation?
19	What I wish to say is that, not the difference
20	in the Japanese and the English texts of my affidavit
21	but that in the Japanese text of my affidavit, on account
23	of insufficient explanation, my true thoughts are not
24	fully expressed and therefore I should like to append
25	a few remarks by way of explanation.
2)	THE PRESIDENT: The witness attributed the
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	fault to the interpreter whose intervention would not
2	be sought in connection with the Japanese, I take it.
3	He has changed his ground and his later objection will
4	not be met by any reference to the translation board.
5	He has to say now that, knowing what the Japanese
6	translation the Japanese document contains, he
7	signed it although it was not correct.
8	THE WITNESS: I do not say that there are
9	any errors or mistakes in the text. I only say that
0	because of insufficiency of explanation my true
1	thoughts have not been fully expressed, and that is
2	why I ask for permission to add some explanations to
3	clear the matter up.
4	MR. WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please, I
5	suggest that any other thoughts he has on this matter
7	may be obtained on cross-examination after the affi-
8	davit is read.
9	THE PRESIDENT: We should know what is in
0.0	the English version and you are tendering that document?
1	MR. WOOLWORTH: I have tendered the document.
2	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
3	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
4	No. 2744 will receive exhibit No. 1989.
5	(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit

No. 1989 and received in evidence.)

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading)

3

2

"INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE

4

FAR EAST -- THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al. against

5

ARAKI, SADAO, et al. -- Affidavit.

6

"I, WAKAMATSU, Tadakazu, do swear on my

"On December 8, 1941 I was the head of the

7

conscience that the following is true:

8

General Affairs Section of the General Staff. I con-

10

tinued as such until December, 1942, when I was ap-

11

pointed head of the Third Section of the General

12

Staff, the functions of which were transportation

13

and communications, and I served as such until October,

14

1943. During the summer of 1942 the decision to con-

15

struct the Burma-Siam Railroad was made by the

16

Imperial General Headquarters in response to a re-

17 18

quest from the Southern Army. There were three

19

purposes for the construction of this railroad, (1)

20

to have overland communication between Siam and

21

Burma, (2) to provide a necessary supply line for the Japanese armies operating in Burma, and (3) the ex-

22

ploitation of tungsten deposits along the route, which

23

25

"Submarine and air attacks had made water

were needed in munitions manufacture.

communication very difficult and there were no other

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

means of communication between Siam and Burma. The decision to use prisoner of war labor on this railroad was made by the Chief of Staff, SUGIYAMA; the
Minister of War, TOJO; and the Vice-Minister of War
KIMURA, (the last named through his official position,
though not basically responsible).

"I made one inspection of the Burma-Siam area about the end of July or the beginning of August, 1943. I visited Rangoon, Bangkok, and a portion of the railroad from the Siam end. It was during the rainy season and the work was not progressing satisfactorily. I made this inspection because I had been receiving reports from time to time which showed that progress of the work was not satisfactory. The reports also contained information that the physical condition of the prisoners of war working on the railroad was poor, and that the death rate was very high. I had heard that cholera was epidemic and that caused me considerable worry. I observed the laborers at work on the railroad and saw many cases of dysentery and beriberi amongst them. I also inspected the feeding of the prisoners of war and it was not satisfactory, the quantity and quality being below the required standard. I orally reported the results of my inspection to the Chief of Staff, General SUGIYAMA and

the Vice Chief of Staff, Lt. General HATA, in Tokyo, and recommended a two months' extension of the deadline for the completion of the railroad. The original plan of the road had called for completion date as of December, 1943, and during March, 1943, orders were given by the Chief of Staff to complete the road over a higher grading, doing away with cuts, which would be less useful but which would result in the road being completed by August, 1943. I recommended. after having taken this trip, that a two months! extension to October be granted. Upon my return to Tokyo, I recommended that Major General ISHIDA, Eiguma be placed in charge of the railway construction outfit, as I considered that he knew railway construction, and was thoroughly familiar with the rear echelon function of supply.

"Many deaths of prisoners of war resulted from the building of this road. The causes were epidemic diseases and unfavorable weather. The construction outfit did not have a proper commissary service. There were not enough trucks, and the truck road which was built in April parallel to the railroad, suffered bridge wash-outs and could not be used for some time. It was intended to be used during the rainy season, but this proved to be difficult and

2

1

3

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prisoners and other workers had a very difficult time as a result. Because there were not enough trucks, it was thought necessary to employ more personnel, and because more personnel were employed, the food situation became more difficult. I recommended to the Commander of the Southern Army that more trucks be used and fewer men.

"/s/ T. WAKAMATSU Tadakazu WAKAMATSU

"Sworn to and subscribed before me at Tokyo, Japan, this 31st day of October, 1946. /s/ Eric W. Fleisher, 2nd Lt. AUSMI, Investigator IPS.

"CERTIFICATE

"I, Eric W. Fleisher, hereby certify that I am fully conversant with the Japanese and English languages and that I truly and correctly translated from English into Japanese and from Japanese into English the oath administered to WAKAMATSU, Tadakazu, the nature and purpose of which oath was fully understood by the said affiant.

"/s/ Eric V. Fleisher

2nd Lt. AUSMI

Investigator IPS."

In connection with this document I desire to state that the Lieutenant General HATA mentioned

	in this at
1	
2	BY MR. WOO
3	Q I
4	have just
5	A I
6	before, th
7	my thought
8	pressed an
9	to add a v
10	
11	A (
12	in connect
13	Railroad t
14	war labor
14	

in this affidavit is not the accused before us.

BY MR. WOOLWORTH (Continuing):

Q Are the statements in the affidavit which I have just read correct?

A Not incorrect, sir, but as I have stated before, there are certain important points on which my thoughts have not been fully and sufficiently expressed and therefore I ask again for permission to add a word of explanation.

THE PRESIDENT: He may explain.

A (Continuing) In the affidavit it is stated in connection with the construction of the Burma-Siam Railroad that a decision was made to use prisoners of war labor in the construction of the railroad and that SUGIYAMA, Chief of the Army General Staff, TOJO, War Minister, and others had made the decision, but in making the decision I personally took no part and therefore I cannot say that they actually made the decision and I only -- my point is that in accordance with the system they would be responsible for the decision.

MR. WOOLWORTH: That is all.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY DR. KIYOSE:

Q Mr. Witness, did you participate in the

21

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

preparation of a report on the conditions of prisoners of war working on the construction of the Siam-Burma Railroad?

A Yes, I did, in its early stage.

Later on did you see this document, this report?

> Yes, I did. A

DR. KIYOSE: With respect to the purpose of the Siam-Burma Railroad I refer you, Mr. President, to document -- to exhibit 475. That is this report. IPS document No. 1509. I quote from page 8, page 8, English text.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Q In this document it is indicated that one
of the purposes of the Burma-Siam Railroad was for
purposes of trade and transit trade and traffic.
That is, one of the purposes of the Burma-Siam Rail-
road is the establishment of a trade and traffic
route, In this affidavit, however, the word "com-
munication" between Burma and Thailand is used. Does
this mean the same thing, Mr. Vitness?

A That I meant by "communications line" in my affidavit was -- in my affidavit I use the word "overland communication." That is in English. I meant by it a route for peaceful trade and traffic.

- Q Am I correct in understanding that the purpose of the railroad was not only for wartime use but also for trade and traffic in the postwar period?
- A You may understand that I meant by it a peaceful route of communication, both in war and peacetime.
- Q In the document which I have just quoted, the exploitation of tungsten is not referred to, although that is referred to in the afficavit. May I ask whether that was an important purpose?
- A That is what I heard at the time, and it wasn't as important -- so important as the two preceding items.

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Tas tungsten actually exploited? 1 A I am not sure about it. THE PRESIDENT: This line was built in 3 territory which did not belong to Japan. Would Japan 4 5 have any interest in the peacetime operations of that line? THE WITNESS: I thought -- my idea was that the railroad which was being constructed, after having obtained understanding of the two countries concerned, 10 that is, Burma and Japan -- Siam and Japan, and would 11 be beneficial to both countries even in peacetime. 12 THE MONITOR: Both countries being friendly 13 countries. 14 THE PRESIDENT: Did Japan pay for the line 15 or did those countries? 16 THE TITNESS: Japan paid. 17 BY DR. KIYOSE (Continued): 18 That kind of responsibilities did the Third 19 Section of the Army General Staff hold, the office 20 in which you were posted? 21 A The Third Section of the General Staff was 22 in charge of transportation and communications. 23 You have not participated in the arriving at 24 the decision to use prisoners-of-war in the con-25

struction of the railroad, but have you heard of the

3

5

7

, 8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 22

23

24

25

purposes -- of the purpose for which such labor -- the reason why such labor should be used?

Correction: Have you, yourself, heard the reason for the decision to use prisoners-of-war in the construction of the railroad?

A In the first place, this was the construction of railroad in the territory outside -- territory far from Japan, almost on the outside of the occupied area. Secondly, this was not a purely military installation. And third, because the interpretation was placed that the construction of this railroad was not an operational function or for operational purposes, although I have not studied -- seen the results of the detailed study of the construction plan.

- Q Was this area at that time threatened by enemy attacks -- air raids?
 - A It was not a dangerous area.
- Q Since that time was there any time when danger threatened in that area?
- A The area -- the air raids were started to be launched on that area by the time the railroad was going to be completed,

THE MONITOR: About the time the railroad was being completed, air raids began.

1 In case when danger threatened, who had 2 the responsibility of moving the prisoners to safer 3 areas? The responsibility lies with those who are 5 directly in command of the Puls. 6 In this particular concrete case, where did 7 the responsibility rest? 8 I believe it was the headquarters of the 9 Southern Army. 10 You have referred to in your affidavit, 11 Mr. Witness, that POW's were affected by cholera 12 and other epidemic diseases. Were any rest periods 13 declared as a means of preventing the spread of 14 disease? 15 Yes. The construction work was stopped 16 for some time either in June or in July to prevent 17 the spread of cholera epidemics. 18 In cases of mistreatment of prisoners-of-19 war during the construction of the railroad, were 20 courts martial heid? 21 THE MONITOR: Has there been a case of 22 courts martial when there was a mismanagement or 23 mistreatment of prisoners by the Japanese? A I heard that there were. 25

Q And what is your reason for transferring the responsibility for the construction of the railroad to IsHIDA Eiguma?

A In the course of the construction of the railroad the commander of the construction changed three times before ISHIDA Eiguma took charge. The first commander, SHIMODA, while on a tour of inspection of the route on which the railway was to be constructed, died as the result of an air accident.

The second person who took command over the construction of the railroad was Major General TAKASAKI who, during the construction of the railroad, was afflicted by malaria and about July when I went on a tour of inspection of the railroad construction area he was barely able to get up. General TAKASAKI on account -- because of this malaria -- died shortly afterwards following his transfer to another post at Singapore. In other words, the transfer was necessitated by reasons of health. That was one.

Another reason was that both Major General SHIMODA and then his successor, Major General TAKASAKI, were both highly versed in the railroad construction technique as experts and fully qualified to handle a large personnel -- correction -- However, they were not qualified to handle a very large personnel or to

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

carry out to satisfaction the very difficult job of rear echelon supply, nor to satisfactorily complete such a huge and gigantic job as the construction of a railroad. In order to construct the railroad well. as well as to reduce any losses to the barest minimum, it was regarded necessary and more valuable to utilize a man who had staff experience rather than a technician. And therefore, at that time, Major General It HIDA who was Commander of the railroad units in Malaya, as well as Staff Officer of Field Marshal TERAUCHI, Commander-in-Chief of the Southern Army and held in high confidence and trust by the Field Marshal, the recommendation was made to appoint him -that is, IFHIDA -- to the post of Commander of the Construction Unit.

In what you have just stated am I to understand -- am I allowed to understand this: By appointing a man of such character -- the appointment of a man of such character, was it not only because they wished to technically promote the construction of the Railway, but also to obtain good results in impreving treatment of Japanese soldiers and -- both Japanese soldiers and POWs engaged in railroad construction work by improving rear echelon supply service?

THE MONITOR: May I understand it this way:

The fact that they used not only a man who was well

versed in techniques or technical side of the rail
road construction, but the fact of choosing a man who

was well versed in the rear echelon supply communication -
supply function -- was that it was desired to improve

the lot of not only the Japanese but also of the

treatment of prisoners of war. May I understand

it that way?

May I have your answer?

A Yes, and that feeling deepened within me after I made my inspection of that area.

Q Then, I should like to ask you the reasons why you asked -- you recommended for an extension -- a two-months' extension of the deadline for the completion of the Railway?

A The first reason was that in the light of the health conditions of the personnel engaged in the Railroad made completion of the railroad construction in August difficult. That is, at the end of August difficult.

The second reason is that when the decision was first made to have the Railroad completed by the end of August, it was based on a misforecast of the rainy season and the general weather conditions in that

area, and it was not expected that the weather conditions would have been as bad as it had turned out.

Other reasons, I might say, would be this: That the completion of the Railroad was advanced to that early date as a deadline because of the intense counter offensive of the British Indian Army in Burma, and that construction of the Railroad would be rendered extremely difficult if this counter offensive should extend itself further. However, the difficulty did not arise as had been expected and so it was decided that the prospects were -- rendered it possible to extend the deadline to October.

THE MONITOR: And we had come to the conclusion

October.

Q Then, may I understand that not only yourself, but the central authorities far from expecting to -- far from desiring to maltreat the prisoners of war, they expected to -- they intended to improve -- on the contrary they intended to improve the treatment of the prisoners of war?

that the counter offensive by the British Indian Army

would not be dangerous until -- at least until about

A Yes, especially with respect to prisoners of war personnel who were engaged in the construction

of the Siam-Burma Railroad in the construction of 1 2 3 4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

prisoners of war.

which many prisoners of war participated. As a matter of fact, not a few of the -- the majority of the prisoners of war took stronger personal interest in the construction of the Railroad because short parts of the Railroad were being completed day by day and took interest in the constructive aspects of their job, and, inasmuch as the prisoners of war had played such an important part and contributed so much effort in the construction of this Railroad, it later led Major General ISHIDA to refer to the prisoners of war who worked on the Railroad as guards of construction and that in respect of these constructive

THE MONITOR: That was our intention.

activities, good and proper care should be given to

My last question is: Were there voluntary agreements between the Governments of Japan and of Siam in connection with the construction of this Railway?

Yes, an agreement had been concluded. DR. KIYOSE: That is all, your Honor.

23

24

E 1 MR. SOMIYA: I am SOMIYA, Shinji. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 3 BY MR. SOMIYA: 4 As there is the following passage in your 5 affidavit, that is, the Imperial Headquarters d 6 decided upon the construction of the railway, I should 7 like to put the question to you concerning the Imperial 8 General Headquarters. 9 How many departments are there in the 10 Imperial General Headquarters? 11 Four departments constitute the Imperial 12 General Headquarters. , 13 What are the four departments? 14 The General Affairs Division or Section. 15 First Section, Second Section, and Third Section. 16 Are you speaking of the Army Section of the 17 Imperial General Headquarters? 18 Yes. 19 Then, is not the Imperial General Headquarters 20 divided into two sections; that is, Army Section and 21 Navy Section? 22 Yes, they are divided into the Army Section 23 and the Navy Section. 24 Did the decision for the construction of 25

the Burma-Siam Railway -- was it made by the Army

1	Section or the Navy Section of the Imperial General
2	Headquarters?
3	A By the Army Section of the Imperial General
4	Headquarters.
5	Q What kind of people who constituted, or of
6	what kind of people was the Army Section of the
7	Imperial General Headquarters constituted?
8	A The Chief of Staff, most of the members
9	most of the personnel of the Army General Staff office,
10	the War Minister, and his staff.
11	Q Then, was there a navy man in the Army Section
12	of the Imperial General Headquarters?
13	A Yes, there are some who hold posts concurrently
14	Q Mr. Witness, you may have misunderstood my
15	question. My question was, what I mean was, were
16	there navy members in the Army Section of the Imperial
17	General Headquarters?
18	A I think there were some navy men in the
19	Imperial General Headquarters who had concurrent duties
21	in the Army Section of that headquarters.
22	Q Do you mean by the word "kenkin," meaning
23	concurrently do you mean by "kenkin," donation,
24	monetary donation, that is?
25	A I mean "kenkin," that is, holding two offices
	simultaneously.

1	Q I understand. At the time this decision
2	was reached, were Shigetaro SHIMADA and Takasumi OKA,
3	both defendants in this court now, concurrently
4	members of the Army Section of the Imperial General
5	Headquarters?
6	A They were they did not hold concurrent
7	posts.
8	Q Then, was the construction work of the Burma-
9	Thailand Railway conducted by the army or by the navy?
0	A By the army.
1	MR. SOMIYA: That is all.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
8	
0	
2	
3	
í	

.

22

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Howard.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. HOWARD:

O General, I represent General KIMURA.

The order to permit the Japanese forces to use prisoners of war for the construction of the Siam-Burma railway was issued by the Imperial GHQ, I understand. To what extent was Vice-Minister KIMURA responsible.

THE PRESIDENT: He answered that already.

He says KIMURA was responsible through his official position, though not basically responsible.

MR. HOWARD: I thought he might want to expand on that, you conor. However, if the Court doesn't want him to I won't press the question.

THE PRESIDENT: The Court doesn't want him to say the same thing twice. What weight we give to what he says is another matter.

O When you were in Rangoon or in the southern area, was the prisoner of war camp in Rangoon directed and commanded by the Southern Expeditionary Forces?

A By the statement: when I was in Rangoon, does that mean when I was making a tour of that region?

O That is what I mean, General. Possibly you were no in Rangoon.

A Yes, I visited Rangoon in the course of my inspection tour of the Siam-Burma railroad and the prisoners of war camps in the Burma area were under the direction and command of the commander-in-chief of the Southern Army.

O To your knowledge was that changed at any time?

A Yes, these camps and prisoners of war held therein were brought under the command of the commander of the railroad construction unit, but prisoners of war camps in the Rangoon and other areas who were unrelated with the construction of the railroad, with regard to these, I do not know.

THE MONITOR: If there were any.

O You were Vice-Minister of War at one time, were you, sir?

A Yes, immediately before the termination of this last war.

MR. HOWARD: Now your Honor, I had some questions here similar to the ones that I had as red General TANAKA, which had not been covered on direct examination. If the Court permits I will examine him about the duties of the Vice-Minister of War.

THE FRESIDENT: So far as they bear on prisoners of war you will be at liberty to do so. We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

.

MARSHALL OF THE COURT: The International K 1 Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Are all the accused present e 3 4 who were here when we went into recess? u 28 5 I want that answered. a 6 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I the Tribunal 0 1 d b 7 please, I notice that HOSHINO is not present. THE PRESIDENT: We must have an explanaе tion from somebody. No accused may leave the court 10 without permission and without an explanation, and 11 the explanation must be made publicly and not private-12 ly. 13 MARSHALL OF THE COURT: Mr. President, I 14 am informed that the defendant HOSHINO has been returned 15 to Sugamo Prison, feeling unwell. 16 THE PRESIDENT: No such step should have 17 been taken without my permission, which would be 18 given, of course, on behalf of the Tribunal, if at 19 all. 20 Mr. Howard. 21 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 23 BY MR. HOWARD: 24 When was the Burma-Thailand Railway com-0 25 pleted?

25

	A The end of October, 1943.
1	Q That was before the time that General
2	KIMURA became commanding general of the Japanese
3	Army in Burma, was it not?
5	A I think so.
6	Q Did the Vice Minister of War have any
7	power of decision in matters concerning prisoners
8	of war?
9	A He doesn't have power of decision.
10	MR. HOWARD: That concludes my cross-exam-
11	ination, your Honor.
12	MR. LEVIN: If the Court please, there will
13	be no further cross-examination of this witness.
14	MR. WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please, I
15	ask that the witness be excused.
16	THE PRESIDENT: He is at liberty on the
17	usual terms.
18	MR. WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please,
19	in connection with the affidavit of the witness
20	who just left the stand, I desire to invite the
21	Court's attention to exhibit 473, particularly in
22	connection with his cross-examination.
23	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, I don't know

offhand what that exhibit contains. It seems to

.

me that before the witness was excused our attention should have been called to that exhibit.

Justice Mansfield informs me that the exhibit relates to the number of deaths in the prisoner of war camps in Thailand and apparently is a statistical table. Under the circumstances we will have no objection.

THE PRESIDENT: I take it that HOSHINO'S counsel, or one of them, is present today.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, Mr. Williams is HOSHINO'S American counsel, and when I learned that Mr. HOSHINO had been taken sick I went in and informed him and I was advised that he himself had been ill and had left for the day. I don't off-hand know who his Japanese counsel is. Mr. Howard informs me that he acted on behalf of Mr. Williams both while he was sick and while he was in the United States and he will be glad to look after his interests at this time for the afternoon.

THE PRESIDENT: General Cramer draws my attention to the fact that Mr. Howard is listed with us as one of HOSHINO'S counsel.

Doctor UZAWA:

DOCTOR UZAWA: I have been appointed counsel

for all accused. Since I have been appointed 1 counsel for all accused, I should like to act on 2 behalf of the accused HOSHINO. 3 THE MONITOR: And therefore I am entitled 4 to act as representative of HOSHINO THE PRESIDENT: You are at liberty to do 6 so. 7 DOCTOR UZAWA: In order to insure satis-8 factory progress of the proceedings here, I am here 9 daily at all times. 10 MR. WOOLWORTH: The Prosecution desires to 11 introduce in evidence document No. 2950, which is an affidavit of ISHIDA, Eiguma. 13 14 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual 15 terms. 16 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 17 No. 2940 will receive exhibit No. 1990. 18 (Whereupon, the document above refer-19 red to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1990 20 and received in evidence.) 21 22 23 24

25

```
"INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE
1
   FAR EAST.
   THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.)
3
                                         AFFIDAVIT.
           - AGAINST -
4
   ARAKI, SADAO, et al.
5
            "I, Eiguma ISHIDA, do swear on my conscience
6
   that the following is true:
7
            "I reside at KAGOSHIMA-SHI, NAGOYOSHI-CHO,
8
   No. 599, MATSUMOTO, KATA.
            "I began my military service in 1912. I
10
   graduated from the Army War College in 1926. At the
11
   outbreak of World War II, I as Major-General was in
12
   command of transportation in INDO-CHINA on the HANOI -
13
   SAIGON Railway. After the outbreak of the war I went
14
15
   to BANGKOK and was in command of the 3rd Field Railroad
16
   HQ, transporting supplies to the railroad of THAILAND,
17
   MALAYA and FRENCH INDO-CHINA. In early August of 1943
18
   I received the order to command the 2nd Railway Depart-
19
   ment and 4th Special Railway Construction Command from
20
   the War Minister in TOKYO. At the time I received
21
   the order, I was at KUALA LUMPUR 400 kilometres north
22
   of SINGAPORE. I went to the headquarters of the rail-
23
   road at a place called KANCHANABURI, about 100 kilo-
   meters north of BAMPONG; about the middle of August.
25
   1943, where I remained until the 4th of September, 1943,
```

1 W 2 C 3 4 R 5 t 6 I I 7

9

when I went to KONQUITA to personally command the construction. The orders from the War Ministry put me in command of three departments; namely: the 2rd Railway Department: the 4th Special Railway Construction Command for the construction of the BURMA-THAI-LAND Railway and the 3rd Field Railway HQ. I was told the length of the railroad was 415 kilometers and upon completion it was used for the transportation of supplies to the Japanese troops in BURMA.

23

24

25

"The prisoners of war employed were mainly British, Australian and Dutch. In July, 1943, before I became commander of this railway, Lieut-General WAKAMATSU and Colonel KATO visited the construction work while I was in command. LIEUT-General NAKAMURA. Aketo, who was the commander at BANGKOK, was in command of the Supply Depot for the supply of food, etc.. provided to the prisoners. The BURMA-THAILAND railroad was constructed principally by the labor of prisoners of war and coolies. The railroad was completed on the 17th of October, 1943. The direct and chief cause for the speedy construction of the BURMA-THAILAND railroad was principally a tactical operation. The fact remains that there was a necessity for land transportation between BURMA and THAILAND as no such route existed. The necessity for its constructions

at the time and for the haste in its construction was caused by the difficulty of transportation by water due to attacks of Japanese shipping by submarines and from the air. As a result of the fact that Japanese troops in BURMA were starving and the completion of the railroad meant that they would receive supplies from SINGAPORE and BANGKOK.

"After the construction was completed I was still in charge of the transportation over the BURMA-THAILAND Railroad and there were still a small number of prisoners of war kept employed for the maintenance of work in connection with transportation. I was continuously at the scene of construction from September 5th until October 17, 1943, and I knew that the sick and death rate amongst the prisoners was very high. When I arrived at KANCHANABURI, the scene of the construction of the railroad, in August, I received reports from various officers under my command and I learned of the fact that prisoners and workers in the railroad were dying as a result of very severe conditions. I worked for the betterment of conditions. On my inspection trip to the hospital, I saw that a number of prisoners were sick. When I was in KUALA LUM-PUR in the middle of 1944 I heard that the South Army had received complaints from the British and Dutch

3 4 5

1

2

7

6

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Governments concerning the treatment of prisoners of war employed on the BURMA-THAILAND Railroad. The authorization for the employment of prisoners of war on the BURMA-THAILAND Railroad must have come from the General Staff in TCKYO. I remained in charge of the supervision of the BURMA-THAILAND Railway, which was under the command of the 4th Special Railway Construction HQ until May 1945.

"Sworn to and subscribed before me at CHANGI GAOL, SINGAPORE, this day 9th of November 1946. Eiguma ISHIDA

/s/ K. S. Kalsi Major

CERTIFICATE

I. MIZUTA, Shigotoshi, hereby certify that
I am fully conversant with the Japanese and English
languages and that I truly and correctly translated
from English to Japanese and from Japanese into
English the oath administered to Eiguma ISHIDA, the
nature and purpose of which oath was fully understood
by the said affiant.

"MIZUTA, Shigetoshi (signed)"

MR. WOOLWORTH: The prosecution desires to 1 introduce in evidence document No. 626-A, being 2 "Regulations for Punishment of Enemy Air Crews." 3 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 4 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 5 No. 626-A will receive exhibit No. 1991. (Whereupon, the document above re-7 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 8 No. 1991 and received in evidence.) MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading): 10 "Regulations for Punishment of Enemy Air 11 Crews. 12 13 "Military Ordinance / Gunrei' / No. 4 of 14 the Japanese Expeditionary Forces in China. 15 "The military regulations /'Gunritsu'/ for 16 the punishment of enemy flyers are hereby established 17 as follows: 18 "13 August 1942 (Showa 17) 19 "Shunroku HATA 20 "Supreme Commander of the Japanese Forces in 21 China. 22 "Military Regulations for the Punishment of 23 Enemy Flyers. 24 "Article 1. 25 "These military regulations shall be applicable

to enemy flyers who have raided Japanese territories,
Manchukuc, or our operational areas and have come
within the jurisdiction of the Japanese Expeditionary
Forces in China.

"Article 2.

"Those who have committed the following acts shall be liable to military runishment:

- "(1) Bombing, strafing, and other attacks with the object of threatening or killing and injuring ordinary people.
- "(2) Bombing, strafing, and other attacks with the object of destroying or damaging private property of a non-military nature.
- "(3) Bombing, strafing, and other attacks against objects other than military objectives, except those carried out under unavoidable circumstances.
- "(4) Violations of wartime international law.

"The same shall be applicable to those who, with the object of carrying out the acts enumerated in the preceding paragraph, have come to raid Japanese territories, Manchukuo, or our operational areas and have come within the jurisdiction of the Japanese Expeditionary Forces in China before accomplishing this

4 5

,

object. "Article 3. 2 "Death shall be the military punishment. 3 However, life imprisonment or more than ten years 4 confinement may be submitted for it according to extenuating circumstances. 6 "Article 4. "Death shall be by shooting. 8 "Confinement shall be effected in a detention 9 place and prescribed labor imposed. 10 "Article 5. 11 12 "Under special circumstances the execution 13 of military punishment shall be remitted. 14 "Article 6. 15 "In respect to confinement, the provisions 16 of the criminal law concerning penal servitude shall 17 be correspondingly applicable, in addition to the 18 provisions of these military regulations. 19 "Supplementary Regulations. 20 "These military regulations shall be enforced 21 from 13 August 1942 (Showa 17). 22 "These military regulations shall be applicable 23 also to the acts committed previous to their enforcement. 24 "Proclamation. Appendix. 25 "Enemy flyers who have raided Japanese

territories, Manchukuo, or our operational areas, 1 come within our jurisdiction, and violated wartire international law shall be tried by court martial and sentenced to either death or heavy punishment as 4 important war criminals." The certificate of authorticity is duly executed. The prosecution desires to introduce docu-8 ment No. 1681. 9 MR. SHICHARA: I should like to invite 10 11 the Tribunal's attention to a translation matter 12 with respect prosecution's document 1681. There are two serious errors in this document. 13 14 THE PRESIDENT: Let it be admitted first, 15 and we will deal with those later. 16 Well, you began to describe it. You have 17 got as far as the number. What is it about? 18 MR. WOOLWORTH: Document No. 1681, whichi 19 is a communication, Army Secret No. 2190, concerning 20 treatment of enemy air crew members. 21 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms, 22 which include, of course, any necessary translations 23 or corrections in the translations. 24 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 25 No. 1681 will receive exhibit No. 1992.

(Whereupon, the document above re-1 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 2 No. 1992 and received in evidence.) MR. WOOLWORTH: "Communication (Army Secret 4 "No. 2190)" --5 THE PRESIDENT: Read it first, Colonel, 6 if you are going to read it. 7 MR. WOOLWORTH; (Reading): 8 "Communication (Army Secret No. 2190) 9 "Dispatched from: Vice War Minister KIMURA, 10 Heitaro. 11 12 Each Chief of Staff Stationed in Japan 13 and Outside Japan. 14 "Re: Treatment of Enemy Air Crew Members. 15 "Dated: 28 July 1942. 16 "I request you to take note and understand 17 that the following decision was made in regard to the 18 treatment of enemy air crew members who entered our 19 jurisdiction with the object of raiding Japanese 20 territory, Manchukuo and our regions of operation: 21 "1. Those who do not violate the war-time 22 international law shall have to be treated as POW's 23 and those who showed actions of violating the said law 24 shall be treated as war-time capital criminals. 25 "2. Defense Commander-in-Chief of various

places (including troops stationed in Japanese territory, outside Japan and the Governor of occupied HONG KONG) shall send for Court Martial such enemy air crew members, who entered the respective jurisdiction and are suspected of deserving treatment as war-time capital criminals. In regard to the above courts martial, the provisions of the Specially Established Court Martial stated in the Army Court Martial Law shall be applied."

It contains the usual statement of authenticity signed by OYAMA, Ayao.

THE PRESIDENT: Now Japanese counsel may state his objections.

MR. SHIOHARA: I invite the Court's attention first to the paragraph in which it is stated: "I request you to take note and understand that the following decision was made in regards," et cetera.

THE PRESIDENT: We have not heard the English translation.

THE INTERPRETER: I would like to have the last passage crossed out.

MR. SHIOHARA: In determining upon their handling of this matter, please understand the following: that it is made -- this notification is made by order -- THE MONITOR: Slight correction: This first

part he did not read, but it has to do with this.

It is: "Please understand that it was decided to dispose" or "to handle the matter as follows: I notify you by order.

The word is "notification by order" -- by order of someone higher.

This is not in the English. That is one point.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. President, we did not get over our transmission the first part of his statement. I am sure the translators don't know that. There is something wrong with the IBM somewhere.

THE PRESIDENT: We will start again.

Counsel, will you repeat what you have said

MR. SHIOHARA: In the Japanese text the following words are found. The following is a literal translation from the Japanese text. "It has been decided that the handling of this matter will be done as follows:" Then follows "notification by order." These words "notification by order" are missing in the English text. That is point one.

Then paragraph numbered "l" has these words at the end: "war time capital criminals." I consider it to be a mistake to use the word "capital" in

describing the original Japanese term which is "war time" -- which is in Japanese "senji juzai han." I should like to submit that this translation be rendered as just "war crimes." That is all. THE PRESIDENT: The matter is referred to the Language Section. .22

MR. WOOLWORTH: Prosecution desires to introduce document No. 1793B, "Notification of matters pertaining to the treatment of crew members of raiding enemy planes.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1793B will receive exhibit No. 1993.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1993 and received in evidence.)

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading):

"Imperial Headquarters, Army Section.

"Staff, Secret, No. 1 of No. 383.

"Notification of Matters Pertaining to the Treatment of Crew Members of Raiding Enemy Planes.

"July 28, 1942 Seimu TANABE

Deputy Chief of Staff

"To: Mr. Jun ATOMIYA

Chief of Staff, China Expeditionary Force.

"Directions in regard to the treatment of crew members of raiding enemy planes have already been given by Army Secret No. 2190 of July, 1942, but in regard to the proclamation and publication of the articles of war and the date of execution of punishment against the crew members of the recent

raiding American planes, it is desired that they be deferred until a date to be specified later. (Expected to be during the middle of August.)

"Furthermore, since the announcement pertaining to the execution of the offense of the crew members of the recent raiding American planes will be made by the Imperial Headquarters, I have been ordered to notify you that the decision for the aforementioned be reported to the Chief of General Staff as soon as possible.

"In closing, please refer to the annexed draft in regard to the Articles of War and the text of the proclamation of the Articles of War."

"Annexed Reference Sheet.

THE PRESIDENT: Is that substantially the same as the proceeding exhibit? That is, exhibit 1991. It may not be. It looks like it at a glance.

"Articles of War of 00 Army (Draft)"

MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, I would also like that this be referred to the Language Section the same as the former for the same correction as point 1 of the former statement by Mr. SHIOHARA in which the words "by order of the War Minister" or some similar words were left out.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't see in this further

draft of Articles of War any reference to the need for courts martial. If there is such an omission it may be desireable to read this into the transcript.

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading)

"Article 1. These articles of war shall be applicable to the crew members of enemy planes raiding our Imperial Domain, Manchoukuo, or our zone of operations and falling into the powers of OO Army.

"Article 2. Those having committed the acts listed below shall be subjected to military punishment:

- "1. To bomb, strafe and conduct other types of attacks for the purpose of threatening and wounding or killing the ordinary populance.
- "2. To bomb, strafe and conduct other types of attacks for the purpose of destroying or ruining private properties possessing no military characteristics.
- "3. To bomb, strafe and conduct other types of attacks against targets other than military targets unless inevitable.
- "4. To conduct outrageous and inhuman acts ignoring humanity, besides the aforementioned three paragraphs.

"This is also applicable to those coming to

attack our Imperial Domain, Manchoukuo, or our zone 1 of operations with the purpose of committing the acts 2 mentioned in the above paragraphs, but having fallen 3 into the powers of 00 Army prior to having accomplished 4 them. "Article 3. The military punishment shall 6 be death. However, depending on the situation, it 7 may be changed to life or imprisonment of over ten 8 years. 9 "Article 4. Doeth shall be by a firing 10 squad. Imprisonment shall be at a place to be 11 designated later, and they shall be subjected to 12 prescribed labor. 13 "Article 5. Then specific reasons exist, 14 the execution of military punishment shall be sus-15 pended. 16 "Article 6. In regard to imprisonment, 17 regulations pertaining to penal servitude of the 18 criminal law shall be applicable, besides those 19 stipulated in these articles of war. 21 "Supplementary Provisions. 22 "These articles of war shall become effec-23 tive as of day of month of year. 24 "These articles of war shall be applicable 25 against de post facto acts."

THE PRESIDENT: Er. Howard, have you speci-1 fied all the corrections you desire to be looked 2 into by the Translation Section? 3 MR. HOWARD: We have all that we have noted 4 to far, your Honor. 5 THE PRESIDENT: The matter is referred to 6 the Language Section. 7 MR. WOOLWORTH: Prosecution desires to 8 introduce document No. 1793C, Report on the Court 9 Martial for Allied Aircraft Crews Captured in the 10 Tokai District Army Area. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 12 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 13 No. 1793C will receive exhibit No. 1994. 14 (Whereupon, the document above re-15 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 16 No. 1994 and received in evidence.) 17 18 MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) 19 "Report on the Court Martial for Allied 20 Aircraft Crews Captured in the Tokai District Army 21 Area. 22 "I. This report was prepared according to 23 a report made by the Tokai Demobilization Bureau. 24 "II. Substance: 25 "There were eleven men captured in a raid

on Nagoya on the 14th of May, 1945 who were executed after trail by court martial.

"III. Facts of the Court Martial:

"1. The accused: (1) 2nd Lt. Kyme; (2)
2nd. Lt. Carrier; (3) Corporal Classety; (4) Corporal
Shelton; (5) Corporal Pritchard; (6) Lieutenant
Sherman; (7) 2nd Lt. Solonmon; (8) Corporal Howell;
(9) Corporal Manson; (10) Corporal Gentry; (11) Corporal Johnson."

Their serial numbers are listed but some unknown.

"2. Date of taking in charge:

"Taken in charge from the Kempei Tsi Ho at Tokai at the end of May, and imprisoned on the same day.

"3. Place of imprisonment:
"District Army Headquarters.

"4. Investigation:

"After investigation at the Tokai Kempei
Tai HQ, these men were committed for court martial
at the end of May on suspicion of having violated
the Articles of War. Investigation by the prosecutor
at the court martial produced enough evidence to
prove that they had violated the Articles of War.

"5. Place and date of judgment:

"Court of the Tokai District Army Hoad-quarters, 11 July 1945. "6. Date of Execution; "July 12, 1945. "7. Place of Execution: "At the Obatagahara rifle range (in the suburbs of Nagoya.) "8. Disposal of the corpses: "Originally buried in the Obategahara rifle range, they were disinterred later and cremated, and the remains have been enshrined at the Koseiji Temple at Yagoto, Showa Ward, Nagoya." Statement of authenticity signed by OYAMA.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, on the original list document 1793-A was listed for reading, and it would have preceded 1793-G, and I was just inquiring of the prosecution why it was left out, because this document apparently provides for a military discipline council to apply the regulations with respect to a special court martial, and so forth.

MR. WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please, the reason for the omission of document 1793-A is that it is substantially the same as document 1681 which has been read as exhibit 1992.

THE PRESIDENT: Does it supplement the draft of articles of war attached to exhibit No. 1993?

MR. WOOLWORTH: It is in no way different.

It is the same number, Army Secret No. 2190. There
is a very slight difference in translation in that it
has nothing to do with the articles of war. It refers
to specially established court martial in exhibit 1992,
while in 1793-A it calls it military discipline council.

THE PRESIDENT: To save time, Captain Brooks seems to be insisting.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, although in substance it is quite similar, since it bears a different document number and there is a difference in the English text of this article, I think it should be left.

to the Court to decide whether this was part of the other documents. It is very short, only two or three paragraphs, and the prosecution should be required to put it in.

MR. WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please, the Japanese documents are identical, 1793-A and exhibit 1992. There was a slight difference in translation. The prosecution had them checked and the translation of exhibit 1992 was approved after rechecking, and we used that document. They both bear the same army secret order number.

MR. HOWARD: I as counsel for General KIMURA do not insist on that being read, and I think that Mr. Brooks will agree with me on that.

MR. BROOKS: I do not insist on that point if the Court is willing to take the prosecution's statement on it. There is a difference in it, and there is a difference in the source of the two documents and I thought it should be called to the Court's attention.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed, Colonel.

MR. WCOLWORTH: The prosecution desires to introduce document No. 1793-D: "A Report on the Court Martial for Allied Aircraft Crews Captured in the Central Japan District Army Area."

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1793-D will receive exhibit No. 1995.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1995, and was received in evidence.)

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "A report on the court martial for allied aircraft crews captured in the Central Japan District Army Area.

"I. This report is based on reports from the Central Japan Demobilization Bureau.

"II. Substance:

"The men who were executed after trial by court martial were Nelson and Augnus, who were both captured after incendiary raids on Tokyo on the night of March 9th, 1945, Osaka on the night of March 14th, 1945, and Kobe on the night of March 19th, 1945, respectively.

"III. Facts of the Court Martial:

"l. The Accused:

"Second Lieutenant Robert Warson Nelson, U.S.A. Sergeant Algy Stanley Augnus, U.S.A.

"2. Date of taking in charge:

"Taken in charge from the Central Japan Kempei Tai Headquarters the first part of May, 1945, and

imprisoned on the same day. 1 "3. Place of imprisonment: 2 "Detention ward of the Kusunoki 12490 Butai 3 (inside Osaka Military Prison.) 4 114. Investigation: 5 "The investigation ended May 31st, after de-6 tailed investigation by the prosecutor for two days 7 on the basis of the reports from the Kempei Tai Head-8 quarters. Under investigation, the accused frankly 9 10 stated the facts. 11 "5. Place and Date of Judgment: 12 "Court of the Central Japan District Army Head-13 quarters. Sentenced to death on July 18th, 1945. 14 "6. Date of Execution: 15 "4:00 p.m., July 18th, 1945. 16 "7. Place of Execution: 17 "At the Army Maneuver Grounds in Yokohama-18 Mura, Senhoku-Gun, Osaka Prefecture. 19 "8. Disposal of Corpses. 20 "Buried on the same day (temporary burial.) 21 Cremated at the same place on August 24th. The formal 22 funeral took place at the Sanadayama Army Cemetery on 23 the 25th of the same month." 24 The prosecution desires to introduce document 25

No. 1793-E.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 1 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 2 3 No. 1793-E will receive exhibit No. 1996. 4 (Whereupon, the document above referred 5 to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1996, and was received in evidence.) 7 MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "Army Secret No. 1437 (Army Secret Wire No. 5715) 15 August 1945 9 "Matters pertaining to Cases of Defendants 10 Against the Articles of War. Vice Minister 11 "TO: The 1st, the Entire Army (2nd), the 12 respective area Army (5th), (17th), (10th) 13 "Respective Chiefs of Staff (Kwantung Army) 14 (China Expeditionary Force) (The entire Southern Army) 15 "Hereafter defer dealing with cases of viola-16 tors of the Articles of War under your Army's command. 17 By order." 18 Certified by OYAMA. 19 The prosecution desires to introduce document 20 No. 1793-F. "Matters Pertaining to the Releasing of POWs" 21 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 22 . CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 23 No. 1793-F will receive exhibit No. 1997. (Whereupon, the document above re-25

ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit

No. 1997, and was received in evidence.) 1 Mr. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "Army, Secret 2 No. 5776 Army, Secret Wire No. 1484 3 "Matters Pertaining to the Releasing of POWs 4 "August 23, 1945 Wice Minister 5 "TO: The Chiefs of Staff of the Respective 6 Military Districts 7 "Direct the carrying out of probationary re-8 lease, suspension of execution of sentence and can-9 cellation of detention of convicted and unconvicted 10 prisoners of war who are in prison. By order." 11 12 Certified to by OYAMA. The prosecution desires to introduce document 14 No. 2483, which is a "List of the Prisoners of War 15 Punished by Military Court Martial during the Period, 16 December 8, 1941 -- August 15, 1945." 17 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 18 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 19 No. 2483 will receive exhibit No. 1998. 20 (Whereupon, the document above re-21 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 22 No. 1998, and was received in evidence.) 23 MR. WOOLWORTH: There are eleven pages to 24 this document, if the Tribunal please. Do you desire 25 me to start reading it?

THE PRESIDENT: Not this afternoon. We will adjourn until half past nine tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1600, an adjourn-ment was taken until Thursday, 9 January . 5 1947 at 0930.) .6

