Ind Wit & E. nov \$ 4 ( leaters

Of

WITNESSES

(None)

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc. Pros. No. No.		
219P(1) 920	Memorandum by Secretary of State Stimson dated 22 September 1931, (conversation be- tween himself and the Japanese Ambassador DEBUCHI)	9340
219P(2) 921	Memorandum by Secretary of State Stimson dated 22 September 1931	9344
219P(3) 922	Telegram from Secretary of State to Neville, in Charge in Japan, dated 24 September 1931	9348
219P(5) 923	Excerpt of Statement issued After Extraordinary Cab- inet Meeting September 24, 1931 (The Japanese Embassy to the Department of State)	9350
219P(6) 924	Excerpt from a note of Japan- ese Foreign Minister to U. S. Embassy in Japan dated 28 September 1931	9351
219P(7) 925	Excerpts from the Resolution of the Council of the League of Nations dated 30 September 1931	9352

Of

## EXHIBITS

# (Cont'd)

Doc. Pros. Def. No. No. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
219P(8) 926	Excerpt from a telegram from Secretary of Stat to Gilbert, the Consul at Geneva dated 9 Octo 1931		9356
219P(9) 927	A Resolution of the Counc the League of Nations 24 October 1931		9357
219P(10) 928	A Resolution adopted by t Council of the League Nations on 10 December	of	9361
219P(11) 929	Statement from Secretary Stimson to Johnson Uni States Minister in Chi dated 11 December 1931	ted na	9363
219P(4) 930	A Telegram from Secretary State Stimson to the Ambassador in Japan, F dated 7 January 1932		9366
219P(13) 931	Excerpt from a telegram Ambassador Forbes in J to the Secretary of St in Washington dated 16 January 1932	ate	9368
219P(14) 932	A telegram from Secretary State Stimson to Consu General Cunningham in Shanghai dated 24 Febu 1932	1	9370
219P(12) 933	Excerpt from a telegram f Secretary of State Sti to the Minister in Swi land, Wilson, dated 25 February 1933	mson	9383
			1505

Cf.

## EXHIBITS

(contid)

Don.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
219P(15)	934	Excerpt from a communication from Ambassador Greto the Secretary of Stat dated 14 November 1934		9386
2197(22)	935	Uncefficial Statement by the Japanese Foreign Orfice dated 17 April 1937		9389
219F(23)	936	Exceept from the Telegram of Ambassador Grew to the Secretary of State dated 24 April 1934		9393
219P(24)	937	Memorandum by the Secretary of State Hull dated at Washington, 19 May 1934	У	9395
21ºP(25)	938	Statement of the Secretary of State dated 25 Septent 1935	per	9403
219P(18)	939	Excerpt "Aide-Memoire" from the American Embassy in Tapan to the Japanese Ministry for Foreign anceins daited 30 November 1934		9406
21,97 (16)	940	Excerpt of Communication from Langdon, the Consul at Mukden to the Amhassad in China dated 30 October 1937		9409

Of

## EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Pros. No.	Def. No.	<u>Description</u>	For Ident.	In Evidence
219P(19)	941		Oral Statements by the American Ambassador in Japan(Grew) to the Japanese Minister for Foreign Affairs (HIROTA) dated 16 April 1935		9413
219P(26)	942		Letter from Japanese Ambassador SAITO to the Secretary of State in Washington dated 29 December 1934		9416
219P(27)	943		Telegram by Davis, Chairman of the American Delegation to the Naval Disarmament Conference in London to the Secretary of State dated 15 January 1936		9417
219P(20)	944		Message from Ambassador Grew to Japanese Foreign Minister HIROTA dated 1 December 1937		9418
219P(28)	945		Message from NAGANO, Chairman of the Japanese Delegation to the Chairman of the Con- ference (Monsell) in London dated 15 January 1936		9420
219P(29)	946		Excerpt of a Telegram from Ambassador Grew in Tokyo to the Secretary of State dated 18 June 1937		9422

Of

#### EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Pros.	Def. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
219P(31)	947		Statement by Secretary of State dated 16 July 1937		9424
219P(30)	948		Excerpt from Memorandum by the Secretary of State in Washington dated 12 June 1936		9426
219P(32)	949		Memorandum by the Secretary of State Hull in Washing- ton dated 21 July 1937		9429
219P(33)	950		Excerpt from Memorandum of Ambassador Grew in Tokyo dated 10 August 1937		9435
219P(34)	951		Communication from the Japan- ese Embassy in Washington to the Department of State dated 13 August 1937		9436
219P(35)	952		Excerpt from Press Release issued by the Department of State, Washington, dated 23 August 1937		9437
219P(36)	953		Excerpt from the Communication of Secretary of State to the Minister in Switzerland dated 28 September 1937		9440
853	954		A series of bound volumes, (Vol. II) of the Japanese Government in relation to the China Incident	9443	
853-A	954 <b>-</b> A		Excerpt therefrom - An Invitation of the Govern- ment of Belgium to Japan dated 20 October 1937 to attend Brussels Conference		9444

Of

### EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Pros.	Def. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
853-B	954 <b>-</b> B		Excerpt from exhibit No. 954 - A Reply from the Japanese Government Declining the Invitation to the Brussels Con- ference		9446
853 <b>-</b> C	954 <b>-</b> C		Excerpt from exhibit No. 954 - British Note Delivered by Craigie to the Foreign Minister in Japan on 16 December 1937		9451
219P(46)	955		Message from Ambassador Grew to the Japanese Minister HIROTA, Tokyo, dated 17 September 1937		9456
219P(47)	956		Excerpt from a Message from Ambassador Grew in Japan to Foreign Minister HIROTA dated 22 September 1937	1	9458
219P(48)	957		Excerpt from a Member, Maxwe M. Hamilton, Chief of the Division of Foreign Affair of Foreign Affairs re a Conversation with SUMA, Cosellor of the Japanese Embassy in Washington, data 25 September 1937	es on-	9460
219P(49)	958		A Resolution of the League of Nations Advisory Committee dated 27 September 1937		9461

Of

## EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Pros.	Def. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence.
219P(50)	959		A Press Release by the Department of State dat 28 September 1937	ed	9462
219P(51)	960		Press Release issued by the Department of State on January 31 1938	e	9463
219P(37)	961		Excerpt from an Address Delivered by President Roosevelt in Chicago on 5 October 1937		9465
219P(38)	962		Excerpt from the First Report Adopted by the League of Nations Assembly on 6 October 1937		9474
219P(39)	963		Excerpt from a Press Release issued by the Department of State dated 6 October 1937	t	9476
219P(40)	964		Telegram from the Honorable Commander-in-Chief of the U. S. Asiatic Fleet (Yarnell) to the Secretary of the Navy dated 23 December 1937	)	9478
219P(17)	965		Excerpt from the informal Memorandum of the Americ Embassy in Japan to the Japanese Foreign Ministe dated 31 August 1934		9481
219P(21)	966		Excerpt from the Memorandum of the Secretary of Stat Stimson in Washington da 5 January 1933	e	9483

	Monday, 4 November, 1946
1	
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST
5	Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building
6	Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	
12	
13	Appearances:
14	For the Tribunal, same as before, with the
15	exception of the HONORABLE R. B. PAL, Member from
16	India, not sitting.
17	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
18	For the Defense Section, same as before.
19	
20	
21	
22	(English to Japanese and Japanese
23	to English interpretation was made by the
24	Language Section, IMTFE.)
25	
THE PERSON NAMED IN	

Morse & Abram

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session and ready to hear any matter brought before it.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA, who is represented by counsel. Let the record show from day to day his continued absence until further announcement by the Tribunal.

Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Tribunal please,
Friday afternoon the objections to the opening statement on this phase of the case were prepared, but before the statement was given it was suggested that
they be deferred until after and considered the same
as if they were made before the statement was read.

I should like to register now the objections thereto and state the reasons for the objections, based upon the rulings and the admonitions heretofore made by the Tribunal. It is unnecessary for me to here repeat all of the rulings of the Tribunal concerning the content of opening statements. The opening statement, 62 pages in length, is unduly long; it is repetitious, argumentative, is general in its nature, and it reviews the evidence of the part of the case which has been tried up to this point. It violates the provisions of the Charter and the additional

privileges granted thereunder, as well as interfering with Article 12, Section a, calling for expeditious hearing of the issues raised by the charges.

It is not an opeming statement in the broad sense of
the term and exceeds the limits already imposed by
the Tribunal, abuses the privileges which have been
defined so often, and it wastes time and embraces
conclusions and statements impossible to prove, is
filled with immaterial and irrelevant matter not in
issue in this case, and invades the function of the
Tribunal in numerous instances.

ment, I ask that the Court require the prosecution to delete from the opening statement pages 1 to 9 a, which is a recitation of evidence already in the record.

Page 10 is objected to because it is purely legal argument commenting on evidence presented in another phase of the case, and is summation.

Page 11, because it is comment upon the evidence of another phase of the case and does not pertain to any question covered by the coming phase of this case. It contains argument which has no place in an opening statement.

Page 12, paragraph 2, for the reason that it is voluntary, unsworn statement of counsel in the

form of his own testimony, and in no manner indicates if and how it is to be proven otherwise; states legal conclusions which this Tribunal must decide after

hearing all of the evidence and argument by all counsel. Prosecutor places his evaluation on the evi-

心形160條模型。

dence without stating how he expects to prove it.

Page 13, paragraphs 1 and 2, because it does not state anything new or subject to proof in this phase of the case. It has been reviewed by the prosecution in two phases of the case already.

Page 14, paragraph 1, characterizes, generalizes, but states no facts to be adduced to support these deductions.

Page 15, because it is purely an argument, attempting to exonerate nations and individuals not on trial here and glorifying the spirit and actions of the prosecuting nations, and a rank abuse of the right being exercised of an opening statement.

Page 16, paragraphs 1 and 2. A review of the China case -- phase of the case, and a plea as to the attitude of the United States and Britain, but has no drift as to the evidence against these defendants.

Page 17, paragraph 1, which is a plea of adjudication of Japan's status in the family

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

of nations up to the time 1937, and is not a statement of facts to be proven or a subject for judicial inquiry.

Page 17, paragraph 2, is a statement of matters thoroughly explored in other phases of the case, contains no new matter or elements essential to be proven in this phase of the case.

Pages 20 and 21 cover the economic aggression of the China phase and re-emphasises but
relates to no new matter, and contains conclusions
and deductions of counsel, but shows no drift or
source of the evidence to be presented.

Page 21 states nothing new or in issue in this case, mere explanatory notes without reference to facts to be proven and a review of phases of the case already covered.

Page 22, review of the most recent phase of the case concerning currency issues and commenting on what other nations would have done had they known of the facts revealed.

Page 22 - we find the German-Japanese collaboration explored again. Nothing new to be discussed or promised to be proven.

Page 25 is a statement of what the prosecution would like for the Tribunal to believe, but

4 5

states no means or vehicle of evidence by which they expect to show it.

I will go from page 25 to page 45, which grossly invades the province of the Tribunal in attempting to dictate the legal effects of the acts described and is highly improper in an opening statement.

Object to page 46, paragraph 1, because it reverts to the Japanese-German relations.

Page 47, paragraph 1, states legal opinion and conclusions not in the province of the prosecution, but a task for the Court to perform after hearing the evidence on both sides.

Page 56 concludes the state of mind and emotions of the Japanese nation, highly speculative and problematical, certainly a fact not to be proved by the facts outlined in this opening statement.

If your Honors please, although it is difficult to control the prosecution in a matter of this
nature, this general and detailed objection is made
for the purpose of emphasizing the unfairness translated into the proceedings through the wholesale abuse
of the right to make a concise statement at the beginning of each phase of the case, outlining briefly
the drift of the evidence and the scope of the charge

4 5

to be proven by the facts. The constant repetition, the unjustifiable arguments of counsel, and the conclusions offered to this Tribunal would constitute reversible error anywhere. I urge that such procedure not be allowed and that the prosecution be directed to delete the objectionable features from their opening statement.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Smith. Do not repeat any objection taken by Mr. Cunningham. He hasn't overlooked much.

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I had no intention of repeating anything Mr. Cunningham said. I am speaking now on behalf of Mr. HIROTA. I have a short statement to make in view of the fact that this is a proceeding before an International Tribunal and certainly ought to be conducted from the standpoint of behavior of counsel on a high plane, and counsel for the prosecution be required to make a fair statement, not to say anything about a misleading one.

I want to call your Honors' attention to the last paragraph on page 12. What I have to say is well known to the prosecution and is a matter of common notoriety in Japan and has been for years. The paragraph starts out that Mr. HIROTA, who was then Foreign Minister, expressed to Secretary Hull a

desire for peaceful diplomatic relations, and sent a note that nothing in the relations between the two countries was fundamentally incapable of amicable solution. About a month after that a man by the name of AMAU, who was in the press section of the Foreign Office, in talking with some newspaper men, used an unfortunate expression which the press immediately played up as an ultimatum from Japan to the powers of the world to keep their hands off of China.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chief of Counsel.

MR. KEENAN: The prosecution objects to this effort, as it sees it, on the part of defense counsel for HIROTA to present matters of evidentiary nature in his defense at this stage of the proceeding.

THE PRESIDENT: I was about to say before the Chief of Counsel interposed, that you were not stating any ground of objection, Mr. Smith. Can you connect it with one?

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, please, I am not presenting a defense now. I say that the prosecution has made a misleading statement, and certainly the Court ought to be interested in that. If your Honor indicates you are not interested, certainly I don't care to go on. I thought it was a matter of such importance that you ought to know what the facts are at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: We cannot do anything irregular because the matter is of interest.

Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I would like to speak about another matter for a moment, if the Tribunal please.

Today is the first day on which some of the accused, under the Tribunal's order of October 24, 1946, must file their applications for witnesses and

22

21

23

7 8

documents. Some of the defense counsel would like to have the Court advise them, as a point of information, as to whether or not, under this order and under Section III, Article 9, paragraph e, it is intended that there should be listed the witnesses who will appear voluntarily and do not require a subpoena.

THE PRESIDENT: I am reading a note that I just received bearing on the other matter. Will the shorthand writer please repeat what Mr. Logan said?

(Whereupon, the statement of Mr. Logan was read by the official court reporter.)

THE PRESIDENT: No, that applies only where the witness is to be subpoensed. I explained that before, Mr. Logan.

I do not say the Court's jurisdiction does not extend to witnesses who come voluntarily. But at present I am purporting to exercise where the Court has only compulsory jurisdiction over the witnesses.

MR. LOGAN: The second point, if the Tribunal please, is, at the time the argument was had with respect to this order, it was pointed out that the defense objected to the prosecution obtaining a list of these witnesses or being permitted to partake in any of the hearings on such applications. There is nothing contained in the order which either permits

this or disallows it; and if it is the purpose of the order to permit the prosecution to obtain a list of all witnesses at this time, we wish to enter an exception to the Court's ruling.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, in every national court when a subpoena is issued there is a record on the file, and everybody associated with the case can inspect the file, so there is nothing private about it.

MR. LOGAN: May we have an exception to that, your Honor?

THE PRESIDENT: You may.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, the prosecution does not desire to comment upon the objections to the opening statement, other than to point out that it has been found advisable and necessary to refer to incidents, the facts set forth, all of which it is expected will be proven by documentary evidence. It does not desire to argue the point unless requested to by the Court.

statement contains more than a concise opening statement. It contains other matters besides forecast or
a statement of what evidence is about to be adduced
by the prosecution on this phase. Some of the matters
mentioned by Mr. Higgins have already been proved, as

U

5

6

10

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

\_\_

2324

-

Mr. Cunningham pointed out. I should say, they have been the subject of evidence.

The decision on these objections should be the same as the decision on the objection to the Soviet prosecutor's opening statement. In principle, there is no difference between the two. Our decision will be the same; namely, we will pay regard only to so much of Mr. Higgins' statement as is an opening statement in the true sense; that is, as states the evidence or indicates the evidence that he is about to introduce.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Hardin.

MR. HARDIN: If it please the Tribunal,
Mr. President, there has heretofore been presented
for identification, exhibit 58, which consists of two
volumes, I and II, and is entitled "Papers Relating
to the Foreign Relations of the United States - Japan,
1931 to 1941." We present in evidence many documents
found in these volumes.

First we offer in evidence IPS document 219P(1) from exhibit 58 for identification, which is a memorandum by Secretary of State Stimson, dated September 22, 1931, of a conversation between himself and Japanese Ambassador DEBUCHI.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribaunl please, we object to the introduction of this document in evidence as no application has been made, no order entered, to permit the prosecution to use this excerpt from this book.

MR HARDIN: This, Mr. President, is not an excerpt. It is a completed article within itself.

THE PRESEIDENT: Have you given the defense translations into Japanese?

MR. HARDIN: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, if that is so there is no reason why the document should not be admitted.

No. 920.

It is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 219P(1) will receive exhibit No. 920.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 920, and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARLIN: We read prosecution exhibit

"MEMORANDUM BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE

"22 September 1931

"(Washington,) September 22, 1931

"I opened the conversation with the Japanese Ambassador by referring to our last talk on
September 17th when we had both expressed our feeling that the relations of our two countries were in
such a satisfactory condition. I said I had been profoundly surprised and concerned by what was taking
place in Manchuria and that I had sent Dr. Hornbeck
to see the Ambassador on Saturday and now as the matter
had developed I wished to see him myself. He said yes,
that he had seen Dr. Hornbeck and he had told him of
how surprised he (the Ambassador) had been and how concerned he was and how impossible it was for him to understand the causes of what had taken place.

"I explained that as he well knew, I had the

utmost confidence in Baron SHIDEHARA and his desire 1 2 4 6 7 8 10 11 12 13 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 saw fit. He said he understood perfectly and that the

for peace and correct international relations. told him that I had learned from Dr. Hornbeck's report of what Debuchi had said Sunday -- that there was a sharp cleavage between SHIDEHARA and some of the militeristic elements of his government. He said that that was so. I said that what I was now doing was seeking to strengthen Baron SHIDEHAR's hand and not to weaken it. The Ambassador said he understood that perfectly. I then took the memorandum which had been prepared (a copy of which is annexed) and read it very slowly to the Ambassador, paraphrasing the language into more simple words wherever it seemed at all necessary in order that he should fully understand it. He repeated many of the sentences, showing that he did understand. When I had finished I said that this was not to be taken as a formal note or an official action on the part of my government, but as the memorandum of a verbal statement given to the Ambassador for the purpose of enabling him to understand and report to his government how I, with my background of friendship towards Japan, felt towards this situation. I said that the Ambassador was at liberty to send it to SHIDEHARA or not, as he

memorandum did not represent an official note but that if the situation was not remedied he understood that it might be followed by official action on our part later. He said he would communicate its contents to his government that evening.

"I then told him that there was one thing, however, that I would like to ask of him and that was that he postpone his departure for Japan until the situation was in better shape. I told him I felt confidence in him from our long relations together and that it would be easier to handle the situation if he was here. He expressed himself as very much touched by this and said that he was glad to be able to say that this morning he had, after having purchased his tickets and made all his plans, decided to postpone his departure and had told Madame Debuchi and his daughter to that effect, and had telegraphed out to the Japanese Consul in San Francisco to cancel the appointments he had made.

"I spent quite a little time after reading the memorandum in pointing out what a serious impression it would make in this country if the situation of Manchuria is not restored to the status quo. He said he fully understood that and he had been surprised at the moderation of our American press

thus far and attributed that to the care which I had taken in the press conferences. He begged me that if the time should ever come when I did wish to act officially in this matter I would first inform him. I said I would try to do so. "H(enry) L. S(stimson)." 

We offer in evidence IPS document 219P from exhibit 58 for identification. This is the memorandum by the Secretary of State to the Japanese Ambassador dated September 22, 1931.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P(2) will receive exhibit No. 921.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 921, and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN:

"MEMORANDUM BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE

"TO THE JAPANESE AMBASSADOR -
"22 SEPTEMBER 1931

#### "MEMORANDUM

"Without going into the background, either as to the immediate provocation or remote causes or motivation, it appears that there has developed within the past four days a situation in Manchuria which I find surprising and view with concern. Japanese military forces, with some opposition at some points by Chinese military forces, have occupied the principal strategic points in South Manchuria, including the principal administrative center, together with some at least of the public utilities. It appears

that the highest Chinese authority ordered the Chinese military not to resist, and that, when news of the situation reached Tokyo, but after most of the acts of occupation had been consummated, the Japanese Government ordered cessation of military activities on the part of the Japanese forces. Nevertheless, it appears some military movements have been continuously and are even now in process. The actual situation is that an army of the Japanese Government is in complete control of South Manchuria.

"The League of Nations has given evidence of its concern. The Chinese Government has in various ways invoked action on the part of foreign governments, citing its reliance upon treaty obligations and inviting special reference to the Kellogg Pact.

"This situation is of concern, morally, legally and politically to a considerable number of nations. It is not exclusively a matter of concern to Japan and China. It brings out question at once the meaning of certain provisions of agreements, such as the Nine Powers Treaty of February 6, 1922, and the Kellogg-Briand Pact.

"The American Government is confident that it has not been the intention of the Japanese Government to create or to be a party to the creation of a

7 8

situation which brings the applicability of treaty provisions into consideration. The American Government does not wish to be hasty in formulating its conclusions or in taking a position. However, the American Government feels that a very unfortunate situation exists, which no doubt is embarrassing to the Japanese Government. It would seem that the responsibility for determining the course of events with regard to the liquidating of this situation rests largely upon Japan, for the simple reason that Japanese armed forces have seized and are exercising de facto control in South Manchuria.

"It is alleged by the Chinese, and the allegation has the support of circumstantial evidence, that lines of communication outward from Manchuria, have been cut or interfered with. If this is true, it is unfortunate.

"It is the hope of the American Government that the orders which it understands have been given both by the Japanese and the Chinese Governments to their military forces to refrain from hostilities and further movements will be respected and that there will be no further application of force. It is also the hope of the American Government that the Japanese and the Chinese Governments will find it

21 22

possible speedily to demonstrate to the world that neither has any intention to take advantage, in futherance of its own peculiar interests, of the situation which has been brought about in connection with and in consequence of this use of force.

"What has occurred has already shaken the confidence of the public with regard to the stability of conditions in Manchuria, and it is believed that the crystallizing of a situation suggesting the necessity for an indefinite continuance of military occupation would further undermine that confidence."

1 We offer in evidence IPS document 219P(3) 2 from exhibit 58. This is a telegram from Secretary 3 of State to Neville, the Charge in Japan, dated 4 September 24, 1931. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 7 No. 219P(3) will receive exhibit No. 922. 8 (Whereupon, the document above 9 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 10 No. 922, and was received in evidence.) 11 MR. HARDIN: "TELEGR AM 12 THE SECRETARY OF STATE TO THE CHARGE IN JAPAN 13 (NEVILLE) 14 Washington, September 24, 1931--4 p.m. 15 16 "167. Please deliver to the Minister for Foreign Affairs immediately as a note, the identical 17 18 text of which will be communicated by the American 19 Minister to China to the Chinese Minister for 20 For eign Affairs, the following: 21 "'The Government and people of the United 22 States have observed with regret and with 23 great concern events of the past few days in 24 Manchuria. In view of the sincere desire 25 of the people of this country that principles

and methods of peace shall prevail in international relations, and of the existence of treaties, to several of which the United States is a party, the provisions of which are intended to regulate the adjustment of controversies between nations without resort to use of force, the American Government feels warranted in expressing to the Chinese and the Japanese Governments its hope that they will cause their military forces to refrain from any further hostilities will so dispose respectively of their armed forces as to satisfy the requirements of international law and international agreements, and will refrain from activities which may prejudice the attainment by amicable methods of an adjustment of their differences.'

STIMSON"

We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P(5), from exhibit 58, excerpt from the Japanese Embassy to the Secretary of State in Washington, September 24, 1931.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P(5) will receive exhibit No. 923.

(Whereupon, the document above

22

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 923, and was received in evidence. MR. HARDIN: "THE JAPANESE EMBASSY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE "STATEMENT ISSUED AFTER EXTRAORDINARY CABINET MEETING SEPTEMBER 24, 1931 "Excerpt. . 9 "(5) It may be superfluous to repeat that the Japanese Government harbors no territorial designs in Manchuria." 

MR. HARDIN: We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (6) from exhibit 58. This is an excerpt from a note of Japanese Foreign Minister to the United States Embassy in Japan, dated September 28, 1931.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (6) will receive exhibit No. 924.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 924 and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: (Reading)

"Note from Japanese Minister for Foreign Affairs to the United States Embassy in Japan -- 28 September 1931. Excerpts.

"The Japanese Government is deeply sensible of the friendly concern and the fairness of views with which the American Government has observed the recent course of events in Manchuria. . . Every care has been, and will continue to be, exercised by the Japanese forces to observe all the requirements of international law and international agreements, and to avoid any action that is calculated to prejudice an amicable settlement of the differences between Japan and China.

"Neville."

We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (7) 1 from exhibit 58, which is excerpts from the resolution of the Council of the League of Nations dated September 30, 1931. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 6 No. 219P (7) will receive exhibit No. 925. 7 (Whereupon, the document above 8 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 9 No. 925 and was received in evidence.) 10 11 MR. HARDIN: (Reading) 12 "Resolution adopted by the Council of the 13 League of Nations on September 30, 1931. (Excerpts) 14 "The Council. 15 Notes the replies of the Chinese and 16 Japanese Governments to the urgent appeal addressed 17 to them by its President and the steps that have 18 already been taken in response to that appeal; 19 Recognizes the importance of the Japan-20 ese Government's statement that it has no territorial 21 designs in Manchuria: 22 Notes the Japanese representative's 23 statement that his Government will continue, as rapidly 24 as possible, the withdrawal of its troops, which has 25

already been begun, into the railway zone in proportion

as the safety of the lives and property of Japanese nationals is effectively assured and that it hopes to carry out this intention in full as speedily as may be.

"5. Being convinced that both Governments are anxious to avoid taking any action which might disturb the peace and good understanding between the two nations, notes that the Chinese and Japanese representatives have given assurances that their respective Governments will take all necessary steps to prevent any extension of the scope of the incident or any aggravation of the situation;

"6. Requests both parties to do all in their power to hasten the restoration of normal relations between them and for that purpose to continue and speedily complete the execution of the abovementioned undertakings."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, we request the prosecution to read into the record paragraph four, which refers to the representatives' of the Chinese Government statement with respect to its assumption of responsibility.

THE PRESIDENT: Was that read before in the Chinese phase?

MR. HARDIN: Mr. President, I am not aware of

whether that was quoted there or not. This is one of the excerpts which was the subject of the request and it has been processed, both Japanese and Chinese, for their benefit, along with--

THE PRESIDENT: If it is a short statement, you may see fit to read it to save time. We leave it to you.

MR. HARDIN: I appreciate the force of your statement, Mr. President. The point is that there will be a very large number of them. They are matters which defense regard as defensive matter and I hope, Mr. President, we may not be required to stop as we go along to read all those excerpts provided for them.

MR. LOCAN: We have no intention, your Honor, of requesting prosecution to read all those excerpts but there are one or two which we think are important and which should be read with the particular document. This one--

THE PRESIDENT: This a 6-b (1) document, is it not?

MR. LOGAN: That is right, but this particular document is a resolution of the Council of the League of Nations. I think it important that the Tribunal be advised of all the facts on which they made their decision and this item 4 is one of them.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you ask for this additional material in chambers?

We are adverse to the defense making repeated applications here in Court to have these additional paragraphs read. We think, Mr. Logan and other counsel for the defense, that you should speak to the prosecutor out of court and if he does not agree, then take his decision because we will leave it to him. That is the correct course for us to pursue in the absence of very exceptional circumstances and these are not exceptional.

Mr. Hardin.

MR. HARDIN: I have read the rest of L this. 2 "e now offer in evidence IPS document 3 No. 219P (8) from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt 4 from a telegram from Secretary of State to Gilbert, 5 the Consul at Geneva, dated October 9, 1931. 6 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual 7 terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 9 No. 219P (8) will receive exhibit No. 926. 10 ("hereupon, the document above 11 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 12 No. 926 and was received in evidence.) 13 MR. HARDIN: (Reading) 14 "TELEGRAM 15 "THE SECRETARY OF STATE TO THE CONSUL 16 AT GENEVA (GILBERT) 17 "(Paraphrase) 18 "Washington, 19 "October 9, 1931 20 21 "6 p.m. 22 "On its part the American Government acting 23 independently through its diplomatic representatives 24

will endeavor to reinforce what the League does and will make clear that it has a keen interest in the matter and is not oblivious to the obligations which the disputants have assumed to their fellow signatories in the Pact of Paris as well as in the Nine-Power Pact should a time arise when it would seem advisable to bring forward those obligations. By this course we avoid any danger of embarrassing the League in the course to which it is now committed.

"Stimson."

We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (9) from exhibit 58, which is a resolution of the Council of the League of Nations, dated October 24, 1931.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (9) will receive exhibit No. 927.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 927 and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: (Reading)

"Resolution voted upon by the Council of the League of Nations on October 24, 1931.

"The Council,

"In pursuance of the resolution passed on September 30th:

"Noting that in addition to the invocation by the Government of China, of Article 11 of the Covenant of the League of Nations, Article 2 of the Pact of Paris has also been invoked by a number of the Governments:

"(1) Recalls the undertakings given to the Council by the Governments of China and Japan in that resolution, and in particular the statement of the Japanese representative that the Japanese Government would continue as rapidly as possible the withdrawal of its troops into the railway zone in proportion as the safety of the lives and property of Japanese nationals is effectively assured, and the statement of the Chinese representative that his Government will assume the responsibility for the safety of the lives and property of Japanese nationals outside that zone—a pledge which implies the effective protection of Japanese subjects residing in Manchuria;

"(2) Recalls further that both Governments have given the assurance that they would refrain from any measures which might aggravate the existing situation, and are therefore bound not to resort to any aggressive policy or action and to take measures to suppress hostile agitation;

"(3) Recalls the Japanese statement that

Japan has no territorial designs in Manchuria, and notes that this statement is in accordance with the terms of the Covenant of the Lea ue of Nations, and of the Nine-Power Treaty, the signatories of which are pledged to respect the sovereignty, the independence, and the territorial and administrative integrity of China;

- "(4) Being convinced that the fulfillment of these assurances and undertakings is essential for the restoration of normal relations between the two parties:
- "(a) Calls upon the Japanese Government to begin immediately and to proceed progressively with the withdrawal of its troops into the railway zone, so that the total withdrawal may be effected before the date fixed for the next meeting of the Council;
- "(b) Calls upon the Chinese Government,
  in execution of its general pledge to assume the
  responsibility for the safety of the lives and property of all Japanese subjects resident in Manchuria,
  to make such arrangements for taking over the territory
  thus evacuated as will ensure the safety of the lives
  and property of Japanese subjects there, and requests
  the Chinese Government to associate with the Chinese

8 9

authorities designated for the above purpose, representatives of other Powers in order that such representatives may follow the execution of the arrangements;

"(5) Recommends that the Chinese and
Japanese Governments should immediately appoint
representatives to arrange the details of the
execution of all points relating to the evacuation
and the taking over of the evacuated territory so
that they may proceed smoothly and without delay;

"(6) Recommends the Chinese and Japanese Governments, as soon as the evacuation is completed, to begin direct negotiations on questions outstanding between them, and in particular those arising out of recent incidents as well as those relating to existing difficulties due to the railway situation in Manchuria. For this purpose, the Council suggests that the two parties should set up a conciliation committee, or some such permanent machinery;

"(7) Decides to adjourn till November 16th, at which date it will again examine the situation, but authorizes its President to convoke a meeting at any earlier date should it in his opinion be desirable."

We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (10)

from exhibit 58, excerpts from a resolution of the Council of the League of Nations, December 10, 1931.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (10) will receive exhibit No. 928.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 928 and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: "e read prosecution's exhibit No. 928:

"Resolution adopted by the Council of the League of Nations on December 10, 1931. (Excerpts.)

"The Council.

"(1) Reaffirms the resolution passed unanimously by it on September 30th, 1931, by which the
two parties declare that they are solemnly bound; it
therefore calls upon the Chinese and Japanese Governments to take all steps necessary to assure its execution, so that the withdrawal of the Japanese troops
within the railway zone may be effected as speedily
as possible under the conditions set forth in the
said resolution;

"Decides to appoint a Commission of five members to study on the spot and to report to the Council on any circumstance which, affecting

international relations, threatens to disturb peace between China and Japan, or the good understanding between them, upon which peace depends." THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 

G MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Mili-OIdb 1 tary Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. MR. HARDIN: We now offer in evidence I. P. S. e r document No. 213P-11 from exhibit 58, which is a stateg ment from Secretary of State Stimson to Johnson, United & States Minister in China, dated December 11, 1931. S 6 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. r att CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 219P-11 will receive exhibit No. 929. (Whereupon, the above-mentioned docu-10 ment was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 929 11 and received in evidence.) 12 MR. HARDIN: We read prosecution's exhibit No. 13 929: 14 "THE SECRETARY OF STATE TO THE MINISTER IN 15 CHINA (JOHNSON) 16 17 "Washington, December 11, 1931 18 ll a.m. 19 "455. On December 10 the Secretary of State issued 20 a statement to the press as follows: 21 "The Government of the United States is gratified 22 at the unamimous adoption by the Council of the League 23. of Nations of the Resolution of December 10. This represents a definite step of progress in a long and 25 difficult negotiation which R. Briand and his associates

have conducted with great patience.

" The Council of the League of Nations was in session on September 18 when the present situation in Manchuria first developed. China at once appealed to the Council under Article 11 of the League Covenant. The Council took immediate cognizance of this apreal, and China and Japan participated in the discussions before it in accordance with their obligations as parties to the covenant. This Government has from the beginning endeavored to cooperate with and support these efforts of the Council by representations through the diplomatic channels to both Japan and China. Not only are the American people interested in the same objective sought by the League of preventing a disatrous war and securing a peaceful solution of the Manchurian controversy, but as a fellow signatory with Japan and China in the Kellogg-Briand Pact and in the so-called Nine-Power Treaty of February 6, 1922, this government has a direct interest in and obligation under the undertakings of those treaties.

"The present Resolution provides for the immediate cessation of hostilities. It reaffirms the solemn pledge of Japan to withdraw her troops

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

1 within the railway zone as speedily as possible. It provides for the appointment of a commission of 3 five members to study on the spot and report to the 4 Council on any circumstances which disturbs the peace 5 or affects the good understandings between China and Japan. Such a provision for a neutral commission is 7 in itself an important and constructive step towards 8 an ultimate and fair solution of the intricate problem 9 presented in Manchuria. It means the application with the consent of both China and Japan of modern and 11 enlightened methods of conciliation to the solution 12 of this problem. The principle which underlies it 13 exists in many treaties of conciliation to which the United States is a party and which have played in recent years a prominent part in the constructive peace machinery of the world. The operation of such a commission gives time for the heat of contro-18 versy to subside and makes possible a careful study 19 of the underlying problem ..... 20

"These are the essential principles for which the United States and the nations represented on the Council have been striving and it is in itself a signal accomplishment that there has been arrayed behind these principles in a harmonious cooperation such a solid alignment of the nations of the world."

2

10

14

15

16

17

21 22

23

24

MR. HARDIN (Continuing): We offer in evidence 1 I. P. S. document No. 219P-4, from exhibit 58, a telegram from Secretary of State Stimson to the Ambassador in 3 Japan, Forbes, dated January 7, 1932. 4 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 5 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 6 No. 219P-4 will receive exhibit No. 930. 7 (Whereupon, the above-mentioned docu-8 ment was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 930 9 and received in evidence.) 10 MR. HARDIN: We now read prosecution's exhibit 11 No. 930. 12 "TELEGRAM 13 "THE SECRETARY OF STATE TO THE AMBASSAOR 14 (FORBES) IN JAPAN 15 "Washington, January 7, 1932 - noon. 16 "7. Please deliver to the Foreign Office 17 on behalf of your Government as soon as possible the 18 following note: 19 20 "With the recent military operations about Chinchow, the last remaining administrative authority 22 of the Government of the Chinese Republic in South Manchuria, as it existed prior to September 18th, 24 1931, has been destroyed. The American Government 25 continues confident that the work of the neutral

25

sent to the Chinese government.

commission recently authorized by the Council of the League of Nations will facilitate an ultimate solution of the difficulties now existing between China and Japan. But in view of the present situation and of its own rights and obligations therein, the American Government deems it to be its duty to notify both the Imperial Japanese Government and the Government of the Chinese Republic that it cannot admit the legality of any situation de facto nor does it intend to recognize any treaty or agreement entered into between those Governments, or agents thereof, which may impair the treaty rights of the United States or its citizens in China, including those which relate to the sovereignty, the independence, or the territorial and administrative integrity of the Republic of China, or to the international policy relative to China; commonly known as the open door policy; and that it does not intend to recognize any situation, treaty or agreement which may be brought about by means contrary to the covenants and obligations of the Fact of Paris of August 27, 1928, to which Treaty both China and Japan, as well as the United States, are parties. ' "State that an identical note is being

STIMSON"

MR. HARDIN (Continuing): We offer in evidence 1 I P. S. document 219P-13 from exhibit 58, which is an 2 excerpt from a telegram from Ambassador Forbes in Japan 3 to the Secretary of State in Washington, dated January 4 16, 1932. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 7 No. 219P-13 will receive exhibit No. 931. 8 (Whereupon, the above-mentioned docu-9 ment was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 931 10 and received in evidence.) 11 MR. HARDIN: (Reading) 12 "TELEGRAM 13 "THE AMBASSADOR IN JAPAN (FORBES) TO THE 14 SECRETARY OF STATE 15 "TOKYO, January 16, 1932 -- 2 p.m. 16 (Received January 16 -- 6:03 a.m.) 17 'll. Department's telegram No. 7, January 18 I r ve just received the reply of the 19 20 Japanese Government which reads as follows: 21 "' The Government of Japan were well aware 22 that the Government of the United States could always 23 be relied on to do everything in their power to support 24 Japan's efforts to secure the full and complete ful-25 fillment in every detail of the treaties of Washington

.

and the Kellogg Treaty for the Outlawry of War.

They are glad to receive this additional assurance of the fact.

" 'As regards the question which Your Excellency specifically mentions of the policy of the socalled 'open door,' the Japanese Government, as
has so often been stated, regard that policy as a
cardinal feature of the politics of the Far East,
and only regrets that its effectiveness is so
seriously diminished by the unsettled conditions
which prevail throughout China. In so far as they
can secure it, the policy of the open door will
always be maintained in Manchuria, as in China proper.'

"While it need not be repeated that Japan entertains in Manchuria no territorial aims or ambitions, yet, as Your Excellency knows, the welfare and safety of Manchuris and its accessibility for general trade are matters of the deepest interest and of quite extraordinary importance to the Japanese people."

"FORBES"

```
MR. HARDIN (Continuing): We offer in evidence
  I. P. S. document 219P-14, which is from exhibit 58 and
  is a telegram from Secretary of State Stimson to Consul
  General Cunningham in Shanghai, dated February 24, 1932.
           THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
5
6
           CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
7
  No. 219P-14 will receive exhibit No. 932.
                (Whereupon, the above-mentioned docu-
8
       ment was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 932
9
       and received in evidence.)
10
           MR. HARDIN (Reading):
11
12
                         "TELEGRAM
13
       "THE SECRETARY OF STATE TO THE CONSUL GENERAL
14
                 "AT SHANGHAI (CUNNINGHAM)
15
         "Washington, February 24, 1932 - 2 p.m.
16
            "50. For the Minister. Reference your February
17 , 9 a.m., from Nanking, paragraph 2, and Nanking's 14,
18 January 24, 4 p.m., peragraph 5, and Shanghai's 72, Febru-
19 ary 18, 6 p.m., paragraph 5.
20
           "1. There is now being released to the press
21 here the text of a letter from the Secretary of State to
Senator Borah, Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Rela-
tions of the Senate.
           "You should communicate to the Foreign Office
 and make available to the press, at once, the text, as
```

follows:

1

2

3

4

15

6

8

9

10

11

12

18

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"'You have asked my opinion whether, as has been sometimes recently suggested, present conditions in China have in any way indicated that the so-called Nine-Power Treaty has become inapplicable or ineffective or rightly in need of modification, and if so, what I considered should be the policy of this Government.

"'This Treaty, as you of course know, forms the legal basis upon which now rests the 'Open Door' policy towards China. That policy, enunciated by John Hay in 1899, brought to an end the struggle among various powers for so-called spheres of interest in China which was threatening the dismemberment of that empire. To accomplish this Mr. Hay invoked two principles (1) equality of commercial opportunity among all nations in dealing with China, and (2) as necessary to that equality the preservation of China's territorial and administrative integrity. These principles were not new in the foreign policy of America. They had been the principles upon which it rested in its dealings with other nations for many years. In the case of China they were invoked to save a situation which not only threatened the future development and sovereignty of that great Asiatic people, but also threatened to create dangerous and constantly increasing rivalries

between the other nations of the world. War had already taken place between Japan and China. At the close of that war three other nations intervened to prevent Japan from obtaining some of the results of that war claimed by her. Other nations sought and had obtained spheres of interest. Partly as a result of these actions a serious uprising had broken out in China which endangered the legations of all of the powers at Peking. While the attack on those legations was in progress, Mr. Hay made an announcement in respect to this policy as the principle upon which the powers should 11 act in the settlement of the rebellion. He said: 12 "The policy of the Government of the United 13 States is to seek a solution which may bring about per-14 manent safety and peace to China, preserve Chinese 16 territorial and administrative entity, protect all rights 17 guaranteed to friendly powers by treaty and international 18 law, and safeguard for the world the principle of equal 19 and impartial trade with all parts of the Chinese Em-20 pire. " 21 "'He was successful in obtaining the assent 22 of the other powers to the policy thus announced. 23 "'In taking these steps Mr. Hay acted with 24 the cordial support of the British Government. In re-25

sponding to Mr. Hay's announcement, about set forth,

Lord Salisbury, the British Prime Minister expressed himself most emphatically as concurring in the policy of the United States.

policy rested upon the informal commitments thus made by the various powers. But in the winter of 1921 to 1922, at a conference participated in by all of the principal powers which had interests in the Pacific, the policy was crystalized into the so-called Nine Power Treaty, which gave definition and precision to the principles upon which the policy rested. In the first article of that Treaty, the contracting powers, other than China, agreed:

pendence and the territorial and administrative integrity of China.

barrassed opportunity to China to develop and maintain for herself an effective and stable government.

of effectually establishing and maintaining the principle of equal opportunity for the commerce and industry of all nations throughout the territory of China.

"'4. To refrain from taking advantage of conditions in China in order to seek special rights or privileges which would abridge the rights of subjects or citizens of friendly states, and from countenacing action inimical to the security of such states.

"This Treaty thus represents a carefully developed and matured international policy intended. on the one hand, to assure to all of the contracting parties their rights and interests in and with regard to China, and on the other hand, to assure to the people of China the fullest opportunity to develop without molestation their sovereignty and independence according to the modern and enlightened standards believed to maintain among the peoples of this earth. At the time this Treaty was signed, it was known that China was engaged in an attempt to develop the free institutions of a self-governing republic after her recent revolution from an autocratic form of government; that she would require many years of both economic and political effort to that end; and that her progress would necessarily be slow. The Treaty was thus a covenant of self-denial among the signatory nowers in deliberate renunciation of any policy of aggression which might tend to interfere with that development. It was believed -- and the whole history of the development of the 'Open Door' policy reveals that faith-that only by such a process, under the protection of

2 3

4 8

6

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

such an agreement, could the fullest interests not only of China but of all nations which have intercourse with her best be served. "'In its report to the President announcing this Treaty, the American Delegation, headed by the then Secretary of State, Mr. Charles E. Hughes, said: " 

"'It is believed that through this
Treaty the 'Open Door' in China has at last
been made a fact.'"

"During the course of the discussions which resulted in the Treaty, the Chairman of the British delegation, Lord Balfour, had stated that:

"The British Empire delegation understood
that there was no representative of any power
around the table who thought that the old
practice of 'spheres of interest' was either
advocated by any government or would be
tolerable to this conference. So far as the
British Government was concerned, they had,
in the most formal manner, publicly announced
that they regarded this practice as utterly
inappropriate to the existing situation.'"

"At the same time the representative of Japan, Baron Shidahara, announced the position of his government as follows:

"'No one denies to China her sacred right to govern herself. No one stands in the way of China to work out her own great national destiny."

"The Treaty was originally executed by the United States, Belgium, the British Empire, China, France,

2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2425

Italy, Japan, the Netherlands and Portugal. Subsequently it was also executed by Norway, Bolivia, Sweden, Denmark and Mexico. Germany has signed it but her Parliament has not yet ratified it.

" It must be remembered also that this Treaty was one of several treaties and agreements entered into at the Washington Conference by the various powers concerned, all of which were interrelated and interdependent. No one of these treaties can be disregarded without disturbing the general understanding and equilibrium which were intended to be accomplished and effected by the group of agreements arrived at in their entirety. The Washington Conference was essentially a disarmament conference, aimed to promote the possibility of peace in the world not only through the cessation of competition in naval armament but also by the solution of various other disturbing problems which threatened the peace of the world, particularly in the Far East. These problems were all interrelated. The willingness of the American government to surrender its then commanding load in battleship construction and to leave its positions at Guam and in the Philippines without further fortification, was predicated upon, among other things, the self-denying covenants

contained in the Nine-Power Treaty, which assured the nations of the world not only of equal opportunity for their Eastern trade but also against the military aggrandizement of any other power at the expense of China. One cannot discuss the possibility of modifying or abrogating those provisions of the Nine-Power Treaty without considering at the same time the other promises — premises — "'upon which they were really dependent.

"'Six years later the policy of self-denial against aggression by a stronger against a weaker power, upon which the Nine-Power Treaty had been based, received a powerful reinforcement by the execution by substantially all the nations of the world of the Pact of Paris, the so-called Kellogg-Briand Pact. These two treaties represent independent but harmonious steps taken for the purpose of aligning the conscience and public opinion of the world in favor of a system of orderly development by the law of nations including the settlement of all controversies by methods of justice and peace instead of by arbitrary force. The program for the protection of China from outside aggression is an essential part of any such development. The signatories and adherents of the

2

1

4

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Nine-Power Treaty rightly felt that the orderly and peaceful development of the 400,000,000 of people inhabiting China was necessary to the peaceful welfare of the entire world and that no program for the welfare of the world as a whole could afford to neglect the welfare and protection of China.

" The recent events which have taken place in China, especially the hostilities which have been begun in Manchuria have latterly been extended to Shanghai, far from indicating the advisability of any modification of the treaties we have been discussing, have tended to bring home the vital importance of the faithful observance of the covenants therein to all of the nations interested in the Far East. It is not necessary in that connection to inquire into the causes of the controversy or attempt to apportion the blame between the two nations which are unhappily involved; for regardless of cause or responsibility, it is clear beyond peradventure that a situation has developed which cannot, under any circumstances, be reconciled with the obligations of the covenants of these two treaties, and that if the treaties had been faithfully observed such a situation could not have

24

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4 5

7 8

arisen. The signatories of the Nine-Power Treaty and of the Kellogg-Briand Pact who are not parties to that conflict are not likely to see any reason for modifying the terms of these treaties. To them the real value of the faithful performance of the treaties has been brought sharply home by the perils and losses to which their nationals have been subjected in Shanghai.

"That is the view of this Government. We see no reason for abandoning the enlightened principles which are embodied in these treaties. We believe that this situation would have been avoided had these covenants been faithfully observed, and no evidence has come to us to indicate that a due compliance with them would have interfered with the adequate protection of the ligitimate rights in China of the signatories of these treaties and their nationals.

"On January 7th last, upon the instruction of the President, this Government formally notified Japan and China that it would not recognize any situation, treaty or agreement entered into by those governments in violation of the covenants of these treaties, which affected the rights of our Government or its citizens in China. If a

similar decision should be reached and a similar position taken by the other governments of the world, a caveat will be placed upon such action which, we believe, will effectively bar the legality hereafter of any title or right sought to be obtained by pressure or treaty violation, and which, as has been shown by history in the past, will eventually lead to the restoration to China of rights and titles of which she may have been deprived. "

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Treaty violations.

MR. HARDIN: Pardon me.

THE PRESIDENT: "Treaty violation," not "obliga-

tion:"

Are you going to read from page 7?
MR. HARDIN (Continuing to read):

" In the past our Government, as one of the leading powers on the Pacific Ocean, has rested its policy upon an abiding faith in the future of the people of China and upon the ultimate success in dealing with them of the principles of fair play, patience, and mutual goodwill. We appreciate the immensity of the task which lies before her statesmen in the development of her country and its government. The delays in her progress, the instability of her attempts to secure a responsible government, were foreseen by Messrs. Hay and Hughes and their contemporaries and were the very obstacles which the policy of the Open Door was designed to meet. We concur with those statesmen, representing all the nations in the Washington Conference who decided that China was entitled to the time necessary to accomplish her development. We are prepared to make that our policy for the future.

" Very sincerely yours, (Signed) Henry L. Stimson.'

Repeat the whole of the above in clear 112. 1 at once in Tokyo. 2 "Stimson." 3 4 MR. HARDIN: Mr. Williams will read. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Williams. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: We offer in evidence I. P. S. document No. 219P-12 from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt 7 8 from a telegram from Secretary of State Stimson to the 9 Minister in Switzerland, Wilson, dated February 25, 1933. 10 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 12 No. 219P-12 will receive exhibit No. 933. 13 (Whereupon, the above-mentioned docu-14 ment was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 933 15 and received in evidence.) 16 MR. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's exhibit 933. 17 "TELEGRAM THE SECRETARY OF STATE TO THE MINISTER 18 IN SWITZERLAND (WILSON) (Excerpt.) 19 "Washington, February 25, 1933--6 p. m. 20 "78. Your 125, February 24, 7 p. m. Communi-21 cate to Drummond (Secretary General of the League) as a 22 letter from me under today's date the following: 23 "'There has been communicated to me the text 24 of your letter of February 24, 1933, transmitting to 25 me a copy of the report of the Committee of Nineteen

as adopted by the Assembly of the League of Nations on this day.

"I note your request that I communicate to you as soon as possible the reply of the Government of the United States.

"'In response to that request I have the honor to state the views of the American Government as follows:

of the controversy between China and Japan, the purpose of the United States has coincided in general with that of the League of Nations, the common objective being maintenance of peace and settlement of international disputes by pacific means. In pursuance of that objective, while the League of Nations has been exercising jurisdiction over a controversy between two of its members, the Government of the United States has endeavored to give support, reserving to itself independence of judgment with regard to method and scope, to the efforts of the League on behalf of peace.

"The findings of fact arrived at by the League and the understanding of the facts derived by the American Government from reports made to it by its own representatives are in substantial accord."

"In the light of its findings of fact, the Assembly of the League has formulated a measured statement of conclusions. With those conclusions the American Government is in general accord. In their affirmations respectively of the principle of non-recognition and their attitude in regard thereto the League and the United States are on common ground. The League has recommended principles of settlement. In so far as appropriate under the treaties to which it is a party, the American Government expresses its general endorsement of the principles thus recommended. "

Signed, "STIMSON"

2

3

5

6

79

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We offer in evidence IPS document 219P (15) from exhibit No. 58, which is an excerrt from a communication from Ambassador Grew to the Secretary of State, dated November 14, 1934.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (15) will receive exhibit No. 934.

(Whereupon, the document above mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 934 and received in evidence.)

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution exhibit No. 934;

"THE AMBASSADOR IN JAPAN (GREW) TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE

"TOKYO, November 14, 1934 (Pocited December 1.)

"Execrpt.

Government never specifically guaranteed the maintenance of the principles of the open door and qual opportunity in Manchuria after the government of Manchukuo was organized, the Japanese recognition and endersement of the new regime was largely predicated upon the maintenance of those policies, as is evidenced by the speech of Count WCHIDA, then Minister for Foreign Affairs, before the Diet on August 25,

1932, and the Japanese Government's public statement of September 15, 1932, issued on the occasion of the recognition of 'Manchukuo', which reads in part as follows:

'As regards the economic activities of foreigners, the Manchukuo Government made clear in their communication of March 10 above alluded to that they would observe the principle of the Open Door. What Japan desires in Manchuria is to do away with all anti-foreign policies there so that the region may become a safe place of abode for natives and foreigners alike, while at the same time, guaranteeing her legitimate rights and interests there; and therefore, it is hardly necessary to repeat the assurance that Japan sincerely hopes that all the peoples of the world will pursue their oconomic activities in Manchuria on a footing of equal opportunity and will thereby contribute to the development and prosperity of that region!

"The Protocol between Japan and 'Manchukuo' of September 15, 1932, itself is predicated upon the observance by 'Manchukuo' of international obligations

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

applying to that territory, as is shown by the second paragraph of the preamble of the Protocol:

'Whereas Manchukuo has declared its intention of abiding by all international engagements entered into by China in so far as they are applicable to Manchukuo.'

"It is difficult to see how the Japanese Government can ignore these definite declarations, but that it has done so is not only shown by the excerpt quoted above, etc."

We offer in evidence document No. 219P (22) 1 from exhibit 58, which is an unofficial statement 2 by the Japanese Foreign Office, 17 April, 1934. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual 4 terms. 5 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 6 No. 219P (22) will receive exhibit No. 935. 7 (Whereupon, the document above 8 mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit 9 No. 935 and received in evidence.) 10 MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution!s 11 12 exhibit No. 935: 13 "UNOFFICIAL STATEMENT BY THE JAPANESE FOREIGN O FICE, April 17, 1934 14 "The following is an English translation 15 unofficially issued by the Japanese Foreign Office 16 of the unofficial statement issued by the Forcign 17 Office on April 17, 1934, known as the 'Amau State-18 mont': 19 "Owing to the special position of Japan in 20 her relations with China, her views and attitude ro-21 specting matters that concern China, may not agree 23 in every point with those of foreign nations: but

it must be realized that Japan is called upon to

exert the utmost effort in corrying out her mission

24

and in fulfilling her special responsibilities in East Asia.

2

4

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

the League of Nations because of their failure to agree in their opinions on the fundamental principles of preserving peace in East Asia. Although Japan's attitude toward China may at times differ from that of foreign countries, such difference cannot be evaded, owing to Japan's position and mission.

"It goes without saying that Japan at all times is endeavoring to maintain and promote her friendly relations with foreign nations, but at the same time we consider it only natural that, to keep poace and order in East Asia, we must even act alone on our own responsibility and it is our duty to perform it. At the same time, there is no country but China which is in a position to share with Japan the responsibility for the maintenance of peace in East Asia. Accordingly, unification of China, preservation of her territorial integrity, as well as restoration of order in that country, are most ardently desired by Japan. History shows that these can be attained through no other means than the awakening and the voluntary efforts of China herself. We oppose, therefore, any attempt on the part of China

to avail horslef of the influence of any other country in order to resist Japan: We also oppose any action taken by China, calculated to play one power against another. Any joint operations undertaken by foreign powers even in the name of technical or financial assistance at this particular moment after the Manchurian and Shanghai Incidents are bound to acquire political significance. Undertakings of such nature, if carried through to the end, must give rise to complications that might eventually necessitate discussion of problems like fixing spheres of influence or even international control or division of China, which would be the greatest possible misfortune for China and at the same time would have the most serious reporcussion upon Japan and East Asia. Japan therefore must object to such undertakings as a matter of principle, although she will not find it necessary to interfere with any foreign country negotiating individually with China on questions of finance or trade, as long as such negotiations benefit China and are not detrimental to the maintenance of peace in East Asia.

"However, supplying China with war planes, building acrodromes in China and detailing military instructors or military advisors to China or contracting

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

a loan to provide funds for political uses, would obviously tend to alidnate the friendly relations between Japan and China and other countries and to disturb peace and order in East Asia. Japan will oppose such projects.

"The foregoing attitude of Japan should be clear from the policies she has pursued in the past. But on account of the fact that positive movements for joint action in China by foreign powers under one pretext or another are reported to be on foot, it is deemed not inappropriate to reiterete hor policy at this time."

We offer in evidence IPS document No. 1 219P(23) from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from 2 the telegram of Ambassador Grew to the Secretary of 3 State, dated April 25, 1934. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 5 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 6 No. 219P (23) will receive exhibit No. 936. 7 (Whereupon, the document above 8 mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit 9 No. 936 and received in evidence.) 10 MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's 11 exhibit No. 936: 12 "TELEGRAM 13 "THE AMBASSADOR IN JAPAN (GREW) TO THE SECRETARY 14 OF STATE 15 "Excerpt "Tokyo, April 25, 1934 - 1 p.m. (Received April 25 - 9:55 a.m.) 16 "75. This morning I had an interview with 17 the Foreign Minister. Mr. HIROTA referred, on his own initiative, to the subject of the Amau statement regarding the attitude of Japan toward foreign assis-20 tance to China, and said that he wished to clarify 21 that statement to me in confidence. He told me that 23 under questioning by newspaper men, Amau had given 24 out the statement without his knowledge or approval, 25 and that the world had received a wholly false im-

\_

-

pression of Japanese policy, that Japan had no intention whatever of socking special privileges in China, of encroaching upon the territorial and administrative integrity of China, or of creating difficulties for the bone fide trade of other countries with China. Various foreign activities have tended to distrub peaceful conditions in China, and Japan is naturally very much interested in those peaceful conditions owing to her nearness to China. But that does not meen that there is any intention or desire on the part of Japan to claim a privileged position in derogation of the rights and responsibilities to which the signatories of the Nine-Power Trasty are entitled. The policy of Japan is complete observance and support of the provisions of the Mine-Power Treaty in every respect."

17

2

3

4

15

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 5

We offer in evidence IPS document No.
219P (24) from exhibit 58, which is a memorandum
by the Secretary of State Hull, dated at Washington
May 19, 1934.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (24) will receive exhibit No. 937.

(Whereupon, the document above mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 937 and received in evidence.)

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's exhibit No. 937:

"MEMORANDUM BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE (WASHINGTON) May 19, 1934.

promptly drew out an elaborate telegram which he said was from Foreign Minister HIROTA in Tokyo to him. He first remarked that HIROTA desired to extend his appreciation of the friendly spirit in which I sent the Statement to him on April 28, 1934, and which was delivered by United States Ambassador Grew. He added that Ambassador Grew had stated to Minister HIROTA at the time that the United States Government did not expect any reply. The Japanese Ambassador then proceeded practically to read the

22

23

24

25

telegram, although appearing more or less to be speaking orally. He retained the telegram which was in his language. At its conclusion, I inquired if it was virtually a restatement of the statement during the latter part of April of his Government to Sir John Simon in the London Foreign Office. He replied that it was. I then stated that I had kept perfectly quiet while Japanese officials all the way from Tokyo to Geneva on April 17th, and for many days following, were reported as giving out to the press the views and policies of the Japanese Government touching certain international phases relating to the Orient; that at the conclusion of these different statements I felt, in order not to be misunderstood here or anywhere, that I should in a respectful and friendly spirit; offer a succinct but comprehensive restatement of rights, interests, and obligations as they related to my country primarily and as they related to all countries signatory to the Nine-Power Treaty, the Kellogg Pact, and international law as the same applied to the Orient.

"I then inquired whether the Japanese Government differed with any of the fundamental phases of the statement I sent to the Japanese Foreign Minister on the 28th day of April, 1934."

"The Ambassador replied that it did not differ, that 1 his Government did agree to the fundamentals of my 2 note or statement, but that his Government did feel that it had a special interest in preserving peace and order in China. He then repeated the same 6 formula that his government had been putting out 7 for some weeks about the superior duty or function of his government to preserve peace and of its special interest in the peace situation in -- to 10 quote his words -- 'Eastern Asia.' I remarked 11 that, as HIROTA wrote me. I saw no reason whatever 12 why our two countries should not, in the most 13 friendly and satisfactory way to each, solve every 14 question or condition that existed now or that 15 might arise in the future. I then said that, in 16 my opinion, his country could conduct its affairs 17 in such a way that it would live by itself during 18 the coming generations, or that it might conduct 19 its affairs even more profitably and at the same 20 time retain the perfect understanding and the 21 friendship of all civilized nations in particular, 22 that my hope and prayer was that all the civilized 23 nations of the world, including Japan, should work 24

together and in a perfectly friendly and understanding

.

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

way so as to promote to the fullest extent the welfare of their respective peoples and at the same time meet their duties to civilization and to the more backward population of the world, and that my Government would always be ready and desirous of meeting his Government fully half-way in pursuing these latter objectives.

"I then remarked that I would be entirely frank by saying that just now there was considerable inquiry everywhere as to just why his government singled out the clause or formula about Japan's claiming superior and special interests in the peace situation in 'Eastern Asia' and her superior rights or duties in connection with the preservation of peace there; and that many were wondering whether this phrase or formula had ulterior or ultimate implications partaking of the nature of an overlordship of the Orient or a definite purpose to secure preferential trade rights as rapidly as possible in the Orient or 'Eastern Asia' -- to use the Japanese expression. The Ambassador commenced protesting that this was not the meaning contemplated or intended. I said it would be much simpler and easier if, when the national of any other government engaged in some act in the Orient which

Japan might reasonably feel would affect her unsatisfactorily, to bring up the individual circumstance to the proper government, instead of issuing a blanket formula which would cause nations everywhere to inquire or surmise whether it did not contemplate an overlordship of the Orient and an attempt at trade preferences as soon as possible. The Ambassador again said that this so-called formula about the superior interests of Japan in preserving peace, etc., did not contemplate the interference or domination or overlordship such as I had referred to.

talk and plans about armaments on a steadily increasing scale and that Japan and Germany were the two countries considered chiefly responsible for that talk; that, of course, if the world understood the absence of any overlordship intentions or other unwarranted interference by his government, as the Ambassador stated them to me, his country would not be the occasion for armament discussion in so many parts of the world; and that this illustrated what I had said at the beginning of our conversation that nations should make it a special point to understand each other, and the statesmen of each country

24

25

should be ready at all times to correct or explain any trouble-making rumors or irresponsible or inaccurate statements calculated to breed distrust and misunderstanding and lukewarmness between nations. I went on to say that it was never so important for the few existing civilized countries of the world to work whole-heartedly together; and that this action, of course, would, more fully than any other, promote the welfare of the people of each and also would best preserve civilization. I emphasized again that it would be the height of folly for any of the civilized nations to pursue any line of utterances of professed policies that would engender a feeling of unfairness or treaty violation or other unsatisfactory reaction in the important nations who might have both rights and obligations in a given part of the world such as the Orient. I said that in this awful crisis through which the world was passing, debtors everywhere were not keeping faith with creditors in many instances; that sanctity of treaties, in Western Europe especially, was being ignored and violated; that this was peculiarly a time when our civilized countries should be especially vigilant to observe and preserve both legal and moral obligations; and that my country especially

felt that way, not only on its own account but for the sake of preserving the better and the higher standards of both individual and national conduct everywhere.

"I remarked that my Government, apart from its general treaty obligations, was only interested in the equality of trade rights in the Orient as in every part of the world and also its obligations and rights under the law of nations; that what little trade we had in the Orient we naturally desired to conduct on this basis of equality, even though it might be less in the future than now. Then I remarked that if these treaties which imposed special obligations on my government in the Orient were not in existence that, while interested in peace in all parts of the world, my government would also be interested in equality of trade rights.

"I inquired whether his government had any disposition to denounce and get rid of these treaties in whole or in part, and said that to ignore or violate them would be embarrassing to my government, and that this would relieve it of any possibilities of such embarrassment. I said that I was not remotely suggesting in the matter."

"He replied that his government was not disposed to denounce and abrogate these treaties. He said that they felt obliged to get out of the League of Nations on account of certain considerations which their membership created. I then inquired of him whether his government abandoned membership on account of difficulties arising from the fact that Japan was a member of the League or whether it was due to Japan being a signatory to the Versailles Treaty. I did not get a complete answer to this.

"The Ambassador then stated that in any preliminary naval conversations that might soon take place, his government would be opposed to discussing any Far Eastern political or similar questions or conditions and that only the purely naval side should be taken up. He said that political and all other phases of the subject were discussed at the Washington Conference and his government was opposed to a repetition of this. I offered no comment.

"C(ORDELL) H(ULL)"

We offer in evidence IPS document No. 1 219P (25) from exhibit 58, which is a statement of 2 the Secretary of State, dated September 25, 1935. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual 5 terms. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's exhibit 7 No. 219P (25) will receive exhibit No. 938. 8 (Whereupon, the document above 9 mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit 10 No. 938 and received in evidence.) 11 MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's 12 exhibit No. 938: 13 "STATEMENT BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE" 14 The footnote is to the effect that it was 15 issued as a press release December 5, 1935 for publi-16 cation in the morning newspapers of December 6, 1935. 17 "In reply to inquiries by press and corres-18 pondents in regard to the 'autonomy movement! in North 19 China, Chinese and Japanese activities in relation 20 thereto, and the American Government's attitude, the 21 Secretary of State said: 22 "There is going on in and with regard to North 23 China a political struggle which is unusual in charac-24 ter and which may have far-reaching effects. The persons 25

mentioned in reports of it are many; the action is rapid

and covers a large area; opinions with regard to it
vary; what may come of it no one could safely undertake to say; but, whatever the origin, whoever the
agents, be what they may the methods, the fact stands
out that an effort is being made--and is being resisted--to bring about a substantial change in the political status and condition of several of China's
northern provinces.

"Unusual developments in any part of China are rightfully and necessarily of concern not alone to the Government and people of China but to all of the many powers which have interests in China. For, in relations with China and in China, the treaty rights and the treaty obligations of the 'treaty powers' are in general identical. The United States is one of those powers.

"In the area under reference the interests of the United States are similar to those of other powers. In that area there are located, and our rights and obligations appertain to, a considerable number of American nationals, some American property, and substantial American commercial and cultural activities. The American Government is, therefore, closely observing what is happening there.

"Political disturbances and pressures give rise

to uncertainty and misgiving and tend to produce economic and social dislocations. They make difficult the enjoyment of treaty rights and the fulfillment of treaty obligations.

"The views of the American Government with regard to such matters not alone in relation to China but in relation to the whole world are well known. I have stated on many occasions, it seems to this Government most important in this period of world-wide political unrest and economic instability that governments and peoples keep faith in principles and pledges. In international relations there must be aggrements and respect for agreements in order that there may be the confidence and stability and sense of security which are essential to orderly life and progress. This country has abiding faith in the fundamental principles of its traditional policy. This Government adheres to the provisions of the treaties to which it is a party and continues to bespeak respect by all nations for the provisions of treaties solemnly entered into for the purpose of facilitating and regulating, to reciprocal and common advantage, the contacts between and among the countries signatory."

24

23

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We offer in evidence prosecution's document No. 219P (18) from exhibit 58, which is from the American Embassy to Japanese Foreign Ministry, November 30, 1934.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (18) will receive exhibit No. 939.

(Whereupon, the document above mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 939 and received in evidence.)

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's exhibit No. 939:

"THE AMERICAN EMBASSY IN JAPAN TO THE JAPANESE
MINISTRY FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS

"AIDE-MEMOIRE

"Excerpt

"With particular reference to the Foreign
Office memorandum of November 5 the American Government finds unconvincing the statement as contained
therein that the proposed control of the oil industry
in Manchuria is not within the knowledge or concern of
the Japanese Government. The American Government must
of necessity assume that a project of such major importance to all concerned and one with regard to which
Japanese interests including a quasi-official organiza-

2 3 4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tion apparently are taking so active and so prominent a part can not escape either the knowledge or the concern of the Japanese Government. Likewise for obvious reasons the American Government can not accept the implied disclaimer of responsibility on the part of Japan in relation to the industrial policy in Manchuria of which this project is a manifestation.

"The American Government does not wish nor does it believe that the Japanese Government would wish to enter into controversy over the details of the project under discussion. The American Government feels, however, that it must call to the attention of the Japanese Government for that Government's most careful consideration certain facts and conclusions to which the American Government attaches great importance, namely, that there is proposed the setting up in Manchuria of a control of the petroleum industry which, by whatsoever means attained and whatever called, would in fact constitute a monopoly of the sale and distribution of oil in that area; that the creation of such a monopoly would impinge upon treaty rights which rights the authorities in Manchuria have affirmed to the world they would respect and maintain, an affirmation which the Japanese Government accepted and adopted in the protocol into which it entered with those author-

ities; that an oil monopoly and, in fact any monopoly would in addition constitute a violation of Article III of the Nine-Power Treaty to which both Japan and the United States are co-signatories. The creation of such a monopoly would adversely affect legitimate American interests long established in that region.

Perseverance in this project by its promoters and indifference by the Japanese Government to that development and its consequences would tend to place at naught the emphatic and unconditional assurances repeatedly made by the Japanese Government of its devotion to the maintenance in Manchuria of the principle of the open door."

We offer in evidence IPS document No. 1 219P (16) from exhibit 58, an excerpt, from Langdon, 2 the Consul at Mukden, to the Ambassador in China, 3 dated October 30, 1937. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual 5 terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 7 No. 219P (16) will receive exhibit No. 940. (Whereupon, the document above 9 mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit 10 No. 940 and received in evidence.) 11 MR. E. WILLIAMS: Reading prosecution's 12 exhibit No. 940: 13 "THE CONSUL AT MUKDEN (LANGDON) TO THE 14 AMBASSADOR IN CHINA (JOHNSON) 15 "Mukden, October 30, 1937. 16 17 "No. 158 18 "For Mr. OHASHI's ready reference Mr. Langdon 19 cited some of the many declarations made by Hsinking 20 promising to maintain the Open Door in Manchuria, namely: 21 "The passage dealing with foreign policies. 22 in the Proclamation on the establishment of the State, 23 March 1, 1932; 24 "The telegram of Foreign Minister Hsieh to 25 the Secretary of State of the United States, March 12,

1932, in particular paragraph 7, reading as follows: 'With regard to economic activities of peoples of 2 foreign nations within the state of Manchuria, the 3 principle of the Open Door shall be observed'; "The statement of Foreign Minister Hsieh on 5 the occasion of the signing of the Manchukuo-Japan 6 Protocol of September 15, 1932; 7 "The telegram of congratulation of November 8 12, 1932, by Foreign Minister Hsieh on the occasion 9 of the election of President Roosevelt; 10 "Statement given to United Press representa-11 tives in Tokyo by the Macnhukuo Foreign Office (see 12 Bureau of Information and Publicity, Department of 13 14 Foreign Affairs, Bulletin No. 60, May 4, 1933); "Statement for foreign countries issued March 15 16 1, 1934, by Foreign Minister Hsieh on the Occasion 17 of the enthronement of the Emperor, reaffirming the undertaking to maintain the Open Door announced March 19 1, 1932, on the occasion of the establishment of the 20 State. 21 "Mr. Langdon expressed to Mr. OHASHI his deep 22 regret that the statutes of Manchukuo now placed dis-23 criminatory restrictions on the commercial relations of his country with Manchuria and requested that Mr. 25

OHASHI communicate to the proper authorities the

unfavorable impression which such restrictions were making in the United States, which treats the trade of all countries, including Manchuria, alike." 

```
1
               THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until
2
    half past one.
3
                    (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was
 4
          taken.)
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 AFTERNOON SESSION A b r 3 The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at a m 4 1330. & 5 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International M 6 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. 0 r 7 THE PRESIDENT: Next Monday being a public S e 8 holdday, as decreed by the Supreme Commander, there 9 will be no sittings of this Court on that day. 10 Mr. Williams. 11 MR. E. WILLIAMS: We offer in evidence IPS 12 document 219P (19) from exhibit 58, Oral Statements 13 by the American Ambassador in Japan (Grew) to the 14 Japanese Minister for Foreign Affairs (HIROTA), April 15 16, 1935. 16 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 17 CLERK OF THE COURT: IPS document No. 219P 18 (19) will receive exhibit No. 941. 19 (Whereupon, the document above re-20 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 21 941 and received in evidence.) 22 MR. E. WILLIAMS: We will read prosecution's 23 exhibit No. 941: 24 "ORAL STATEMENTS BY THE AMERICAN AMBASSADOR 25 IN JAPAN (GREW) TO THE JAPANESE MINISTER FOR FOREIGN

2

4

15

6

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

AFFAIRS (HIROTA). (TOKYO, April 16, 1935.)

"1. The creation of the oil monopoly in Manchuria, and the part played therein by Japanese
nationals and interest, will have a deplorable effect
upon public opinion in the United States, which regards the monopoly and Japanese participation therein
as clear breaches of treaty obligations.

The American companies selling oil in Man-"2. churia have been engaged in good faith in the oil business for many years and have built up their business with the expenditure of much thought, energy and money. During all of this time they have maintained supplies sufficient to carry on the business uninterruptedly, and there is no record, so far as the Embassy is aware, of their having engaged in practices that were unfair to their clients or detrimental in any way to the best interests of Manchuria. The destruction, through the operation of the Monopoly, of this business and the good will so carefully built up over a long period of years, and the throwing of this business in large part into Japanese hands, will appear to the American public to be a most inequitable proceeding.

"3. The Japanese Government disclaims responsibility for measures taken by the authorities in

Manchuria in establishing the monopoly on the ground that they are acts of a state recognized by Japan as sovereign and independent. Nevertheless the peculiar relations and undoubted influence of Japan with those authorities have been fully demonstrated in other recent issues. This inconsistency is certain to affect adversely American opinion of the good faith and sincerity of the Japanese contentions in the present issue.

"4. The American oil interests are being deprived of a business which they have been lawfully conducting for many years, on the ground, among others, of national defense, for which the Japanese Government insists it has responsibility. If the principle of national defense is involved, therefore, it would seem that Japan can not dissociate itself from the monopoly project. On the other hand, if the monopoly project is purely a commercial question, or is concerned solely with economic policy, it would appear to be eminently fitting for Japan to associate itself with other nations to assist in maintaining the principle of equality of commercial opportunity in Manchuria."

We offer in evidence IPS document 219P (26) from exhibit 58, which is a letter from Japanese

1 Ambassador SAITO to the Secretary of State in Wash-2 ington, December 29, 1934. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 4 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 5 No. 219P (26) will receive exhibit No. 942. 6 (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 8 No. 942 and received in evidence.) 9 MR. E. WILLIAMS: Reading prosecution's 10 exhibitt Nos. 942: 11 "THE JAPANESE AMBASSADOR (SAITO) TO THE 12 SECRETARY OF STATE. WASHINGTON, December 29, 1934. 13 "SIR: I have the honor, under instructions from 14 my Government, to communicate to you the following: -15 "In accordance with Article XXIII of the Treaty 16 concerning the Limitation of Naval Armament, signed at 17 Washington on the 6th February, 1922, the Government 18 of Japan hereby give notice to the Government of the 19 United States of America of their intention to ter-20 minate the said Treaty, which will accordingly cease 21 to be in force after the 31st December, 1936. 22 "Accept (etc.). SAITO." 23 We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P 24 (27) from exhibit 58, which is excerpt from telegram 25 by Davis, Chairman of the American Delegation to the

Naval Disarmament Conference in London to the Sec-1 retary of State, January 15, 1936. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 5 No. 219P (27) will receive exhibit No. 943. 6 (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 8 943 and received in evidence.) 9 MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's 10 exhibit 943: 11 "TELEGRAM. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE AMERICAN DELE-12 GATION (DAVIS) TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE. (Excerpt.) 13 "The United States has been most desirous of 14 reaching a new agreement for a reduction and limita-15 tion of naval armaments to supersede the existing 16 treaties that are to expire at the end of this year. We 17 have, therefore, been willing to discuss any proposals 18 and to explore every possibility of agreement. We have 19 been willing to consider any evidence that might have 20 been presented to the effect that the present re-21 lative strengths are not fair and equitable and do 22 not provide for equal security. 23 "We have accordingly listened with the most 24 careful attention to all the explanations given by the 25 Japanese delegation of their proposal for a common

1 upper level with a view to determining whether any new facts c. considerations might be developed which 3 would justify the United States in modifying its 4 belief that the principles of the common upper limit 5 would not be a practicable basis for the limitation 6 and reduction of naval armament. While we greatly 7 appreciate the clear exposition of the Japanese point 8 of view presented by Admiral NAGANO, the discussion 9 has if anything served to strengthen our conviction 10 that the principle of a common upper limit would not 11 serve as a basis for negotiation and agreement." 12 We offer in evidence IPS document 219P (20) 13 from exhibit 58, which is a message from Ambassador 14 Grew to Japanese Foreign Minister HIROTA, dated 15 December 1, 1937. 16 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 17 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 18 No. 219P (20) will receive exhibit No. 944. 19 (Whereupon, the document above re-20 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 21 944 and received in evidence.) 22 MR. E. WILLIAMS: Reading prosecution's ex-23 hibit No. 944: 24 "THE AMERICAN AMBASSADOR IN JAPAN (GREW) TO THE 25 JAPANESE MINISTER FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS (HIROTA). TOKYO,

~

December 1, 1937.

25

1

"EXCELLENCY: Acting under the instructions of my Government I have the honor to refer to reports that on November 1 (5?) a treaty was signed with Japan ending Japanese extraterritorial rights in Manchuria and that on this account there was issued a manifesto in regard to the extraterritorial rights of foreigners other than Japanese in Manchuria. It has also been brought to the attention of my Government that the branch at Harbin of the National City Bank of New York, an American concern, has received a letter from the Department of Economics at Hsinking stating that a recently promulgated 'law concerning foreign juridical persons' 'naturally' applies to all foreign firms and requesting that preparation be made to register and to appoint a representative in accordance with the law. The extraterritorial rights of nationals of the United States in Manchuria are granted by treaties between the United States and China and my Government considers that the law under reference which apparently contemplates the assertion by the authorities in Manchuria of jurisdiction over American juridical persons is inapplicable to American nationals and firms. My Government therefore is impelled to register emphatic objection to any attempt

by the authorities of Manchuria to exercise jurisdiction over American nationals and to make full re-2 servation in regard to the treaty rights of the United 3 States and its citizens. "I am directed by my Government to address the 5 Japanese Government on this matter in view of the relationship between the Japanese Government and the authorities in Manchuria. "I avail myself. (etc.) JOSEPH C. GREW." We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P 10 11 (28) from exhibit 58, a message from NAGANO, Chairman 12 of the Japanese Delegation, to the Chairman of the 13 Conference (Monsell) in London, dated January 15, 1936. 14 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 15 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 16 No. 219P (28) will receive exhibit No. 945. 17 (Whereupon, the document above re-18 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 19 945 and received in evidence.) 20 MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's 21 exhibit No. 945: 22 "THE CHAIRMAN OF THE JAPANESE DELEGATION 23 (NAGANO) TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE CONFERENCE (MONSELL). 24 (LONDON,) January 15, 1936. 25 "MY LORD, I have the honour hereby to notify

Your Lordship that as it has become sufficiently clear at today's session of the First Committee that the basic principles embodied in our proposal for a comprehensive limitation and reduction of naval armements cannot secure general support, our Delegation have now come to the conclusion that we can no longer usefully continue our participation in the deliberations of the present Conference.

"We remain, nevertheless, firmly convinced that our proposal is one best calculated to attain an effective disarmament, and we regret to state that we cannot subscribe, for the reasons we have repeatedly set forth, to the plans of quantitative limitation submitted by the other Delegations.

"I desire to assure you, on this occasion, that we most sincerely appreciate the cordial manner in which you have been good enough to conduct the Conference; at the same time, I should like to tender our deepest thanks on behalf of our Delegation, for the hearty co-operation of all the Delegations to this conference.

"I have (etc.). OSAMI NAGANO."

7 8

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We now present in evidence IPS document No. 219P (29), from Exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from a telegram from Ambassador Grew in Tokyo to the Secretary of State, dated June 18, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (29) will receive exhibit No. 946.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 946 and received in evidence.)

MR. EM WILLIAMS: "e read prosecution's exhibit No. 946:

"Telegram.

"The Ambassador in Japan (Grew) to the Secretary of State.

"Tokyo, June 18, 1937.

"While the limitation of the gun calibre for capital ships constitutes one important aspect of qualitative limitation, the Japanese Government, in elucidating at the last London Naval Conference their basic attitude concerning the means calculated to bring about just and fair state of naval disarmament, made it clear that they could not subscribe to qualitative limitations alone, if not accompanied by a simultaneous restriction in quantity. The Japanese Government still hold

1 the same conviction as regards the matter of qualita-2 tive limitations. Stated briefly, it is their belief 3 that a mere limitation in quality alone will only in-4 duce a tendency to make up for the deficiency caused 5 through such limitation, by resorting to quantitative 6 augmentation, thus ultimately leading to a competition 7 in naval armament in quantity. The Japanese Government, therefore, are not, at this juncture, in a position to adopt, apart from quantitative restrictions, a mere 10 limitation of the gun calibre for capital ships, a matter which properly belongs to the most important phase of qualitative limitation and hope that the United States Government will understand the above-mentioned position of the Japanese Government.

"It may be added for the information of the United States Government that this position of the Japanese Government as regards qualitative limitation was communicated towards the end of March to the British Government, when the Japanese Government responded to the British proposal of January this year regarding the limitation to fourteen inches of the maximum calibre of guns for capital ships.

"June 18, 1937.

"Grew."

We present in evidence IPS document No. 219P(31)

22

21

13

15

16

17

19

20

23

24

from exhibit 58, statement by the Secretary of State 1 dated July 16, 1937. 2 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 3 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 4 No. 219P (31) will receive exhibit No. 947. 5 (Whereupon, the document above referred 6 to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 947 and 7 received in evidence.) 8 MR. E. WILLIAMS: Reading prosecution's ex-9 hibit No. 947: 10 "Statement by the Secretary of State." 11 This was issued as a press release on July 16, 12 1937; reprinted from Department of State, Press Releases, 13 July 17, 1937. 14 "I have been receiving from many sources in-15 quiries and suggestions arising out of disturbed situ-16 ations in various parts of the world. 17 "Unquestionably there are in a number of regions 18 tensions and strains which on their face involve only 19 countries that are near neighbors but which in ultimate 20 analysis are of inevitable concern to the whole world. 21 Any situation in which armed hostilities are in pro-22 gress or are threatened is a situation wherein rights 23 and interests of all nations either are or may be serious-

ly affected. There can be no serious hostilities

anywhere in the world which will not one way or another affect interests or rights or obligations of this country. I, therefore, feel warranted in making -- in fact, I feel it a duty to make -- a statement of this Government's position in regard to international problems and situations with respect to which this country feels deep concern.

"This country constantly and consistently advocates maintenance of peace. We advocate national and international self-restraint. We advocate abstinnence by all nations from use of force in pursuit of policy and from interference in the internal affairs of other nations. We advocate adjustment of problems in international relations by processes of peaceful negotiation and agreement. We advocate faithful observance of international agreements. Upholding the principle of the sanctity of treaties, we believe in modification of provisions of treaties, when need therefor arises, by orderly processes carried out in a spirit of mutual helpfulness and accommodation. We believe in respect by all nations for the rights of others and performance by all nations of established obligations. We stand for revitalizing and strengthening of international law. We advocate steps toward promotion of economic security and stability the world over. We

1 2

)

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

1)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

advocate lowering or removing of excessive barriers in international trade. We seek effective equality of commercial opportunity and we urge upon all nations application of the principle of equality of treatment. We believe in limitation and reduction of armament. Realizing the necessity for maintaining armed forces adequate for national security, we are prepared to reduce or to increase our own armed forces in proportion to reductions or increases made by other countries. We avoid entering into alliances or entangling commitments but we believe in cooperative effort by peaceful and practicable means in support of the principles, hereinbefore stated."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Hardin.

MR. HARDIN: We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (30) from exhibit 58 which is an excerpt from memorandum by the Secretary of State in Washington dated June 12, 1936.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (30) will receive exhibit No. 948.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 948 and received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: (Reading)

"Memorandum by the Secretary of State.

"Washington, June 12, 1936.

"Excerpt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"In reply, I told Mr. YOSHIDA that I would speak frankly but in the friendliest possible spirit and say that the impression among many persons in this country was that Japan sought absolute economic domination, first of Eastern Asia, and then, of other portions as she might see fit; that this would mean political as well as military domination in the end; that the upshot of the entire movement would be to exclude countries like the United States from trading with all of those portions of China thus brought under the domination or controlling influence so-called of Japan; that this presented a serious question to first-class countries with commercial interests in every part of the world, for the reason that, for instance, my country stood unqualifiedly for the principle of equality of commercial opportunity and industrial right alike in every part of the world; and that it would be strange and impracticable for my country to stand for this doctrine with the announcement always that it qualified same by applying it to only one-half of the world and one-half of the world's population. I remarked that I could say in all candor that this Government had never by the

Ü

1)

slightest word or intimation suggested to the people or officials of the 20 Latin American countries as to what amount of trade they should conduct with Germany, or Great Britain, or Japan, or any other country.

"I continued with the statement that there was no reason, in my judgment, why countries like Japan, the United States and England, could not in the most emicable spirit, and with perfect justice and fairness to each, agree to assert and abide by the worldwide principle of equality in all commercial and industrial affairs, and each country solemnly agree that it would not resort to force in connection with the operation of this rule of equality and Governments like the three mentioned could not sit down together and in a spirit of fair dealing and fair play confer and collaborate and not cease until they had found a way for amicable and reasonable adjustments or settlements. I said that this would wipe out and eliminate 90% of all the occasions for friction between the nations.

"Cordell Hull."

We present in evidence IPS document No. 219P (32) from exhibit 58, a memorandum by the Secretary of State, Hull, in Washington, dated July 21, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (32) will receive exhibit No. 949.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 949 and received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: We read prosecution's exhibit No. 949:

"Memorandum by the Secretary of State.

"Washin ton, July 21, 1937.

"The Ambassador of Japan called this morning at my request. After brief preliminaries, I very seriously addressed the Ambassador and said that, of course, he must be fully aware that when two nations comprising 500 million people are engaged in a controversy in which danger of general hostilities appear imminent this country cannot help but be greatly interested and concerned; that it is in the light of this situation and of the intense desire of this country for peace everywhere that I have been undertaking to confer with the ambassadors from both Japan and China from time to time regarding developments, present and prospective, in the danger zone; that I have approached each government, in a spirit of genuine friendliness and impartiality in an earnest effort to contribute

something to the cause of peace and to the avoidance of hostilities in the Far East; that, if the Ambassador did not mind, I would be glad to reemphasize the chief points I had referred to in our previous conversations on this general subject and situation; that these included a most earnest appeal to each government, from every possible standpoint, for peace, as well as an earnest expression of the opinion that a war would result in irreparable harm to all governments involved and would prove utterly disastrous, in the present chaotic state of world affairs, to all phases of human welfare and human progress . . .

"I then said to the Ambassador that I might repeat what I had also said to him at the beginning -- that this government is ready and will be most glad at any time to say or do anything, short of mediation which of course requires the agreement of both parties in advance, which might in any way whatever contribute towards composing the present matters of controversy between Japan and China; that this was, of course, said to the Ambassador for his Government; and I added that I desired to repeat with emphasis the present, continued attitude of this government of thus being ready and desirous of saying or doing anything that the government or governments concerned might suggest which would

be fair and impartial towards all concerned and at the same time calculate to be helpful in restoring thorough-ly peaceful relations in the Far East." 

Duda & Eder

"I said to the Ambassador that there was another phase of the matter I would like to put before him. I explained that I was anxious that my point of view be completely understood and for this reason I would like to inform the American Ambassadors in Japan and in China of the conversations held here and would like to have those Ambassadors report what I said, just as the Ambassadors of those countries to whom I spoke here would report, to the Japanese and Chinese Governments.

"At one stage I asked the Ambassador what the latest developments were. He replied that he knew very little in addition to what had been reported to me by the Japanese Counselor during the past three or four days, except a report about a clash near the Marco Polo Bridge in which the Japanese used artillery only and declined to use their infantry. He said their purpose was to localize the controversy and avoid general hostilities; that he still has hopes that this result may be accomplished; that they are not bringing down troops from Japan proper.

"The Ambassador said little throughout the conversation, but sought to make himself agreeable. I emphasized to him that if we did not feel genuinely friendly and impartial towards his country and all concerned I would not be saying some of the things I was saying.

"During the course of the conversation, I remarked that I desired to refer specially to an incident of the past two days in which two American women, near their embassy in Peking, were assaulted by Japanese guards. I said that I had remaked to the press, off the record, on yesterday that I had only received newspaper information about this attack upon the American women and I could not comment upon it with accuracy until official information came to me; that in the meantime I assumed and hoped that our Embassy in Peking would take the matter up with the Japanese Government and a settlement, or adjustment, or action satisfactory to all concerned would be brought about. The Ambassador expressed his favorable interest in such action and also his belief that such would be the case. Dr. Hornbeck, who was present, remarked to the Ambassador that similar incidents relating to our nationals or the nationals of other governments have occured during the past five years and that it would be very helpful to the reputation of the Japanese Government

3

1

5

7

6

ŏ

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lier

to see to it that their guards would deport and de-mean themselves in a way to avoid such occurrences. The Ambassador expressed his approval. "C(ORDELL) H(ULL)." 

Offering now in evidence IPS document 219P(33) from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from memorandum of Ambassador Grew in Tokyo, dated August 10, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P(33) will receive exhibit No. 950.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 950, and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: We now read prosecution's exhibit No. 950:

"Memorandum by the Ambassador in Japan (Grew)
"(Tokyo) August 10, 1937

## "American Offer of Good Offices.

"2. I then referred to the statement which I had made to the Minister in our last conversations as on my own initiative and responsibility, that I hoped he would let me know if he ever saw ways in which I could be helpful in the present situation. I said that my Government had now authorized me to present this as a definite offer of good offices and that I was doing so in an informal, confidential and exploratory way, first because it seemed to me important to avoid publicity, and second because we

wished to avoid any semblance of interference and were only anxious to be as helpful as possible. I repeated and emphasized this aspect of my remarks so that there could be no doubt in the Minister's mind as to our precise attitude and intentions. I then said that it had occurred to us that either now or later it might be helpful for us to arrange some neutral ground for a meeting of Japanese and Chinese plenipotentiaries to conduct negotiations and perhaps to be helpful if difficulties in those negotiations should arise.

"J(OSEPH) C. G(REW)"

Entering in evidence IPS document 219P(34) from exhibit 58. This is from the Japanese Embassy in Washington to the Department of State, dated August 13, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERF OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P(34) will receive exhibit No. 951.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 951, and was received in evidence.)
MR. HARDIN: Reading prosecution's exhibit

No. 951 in evidence:

"The Japanese Embassy to the Department of State.

"The Japanese Government wishes to express its concurrence with the principles contained in the statement made by Secretary of State Hull on the 16th instant (ultimo) concerning the maintenance of world peace. It is the belief of the Japanese Government that the objectives of those principles will only be attained, in their application to the Far Eastern situation, by a full recognition and practical consideration of the actual particular circumstances of that region.

"(Washington), August 13, 1937."

Tendering IPS document 219P(35) from exhibit 58, in evidence. This is excerpt from press release issued by the Department of State, Washington, August 23, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P(35) will receive exhibit No. 952.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 952, and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: Reading prosecution exhibit No. 952 in evidence:

"Press Release Issued by the Department of State on August 23, 1937.

"The issues and problems which are of concern to this Government in the present situation in the Pacific area go far beyond merely the immediate question of protection of the nationals and interests of the United States. The conditions which prevail in that area are intimately connected with and have a direct and fundamental relationship to the general principles of policy to which attention was called in the statement of July 16, which statement has evoked expressions of approval from more than 50 governments. This Government is firmly of the opinion that the principles summarized in that statement should effectively govern international relationship.

"When there unfortunately arises in any part of the world the threat or the existence of serious hostilities, the matter is of concern to all nations. Without attempting to pass judgment regarding the merits of the controversy, we appeal to the parties to refrain from resort to war. We urge that they settle their differences in accordance with principles which, in the opinion not alone of our people but of most peoples of the world should govern in international relationships. We consider applicable throughout the

world, in the Pacific areas as elsewhere, the principles set forth in the statement of July 16. That statement of principles is comprehensive and basic. It embraces the principles embodied in many treaties, including the Washington Conference treaties and the Kellogg-Briand Pact of Paris.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"From the beginning of the present controversy in the Far East, we have been urging upon both the Chinese and the Japanese Governments the importance of refraining from hostilities and of maintaining peace. We have been participating constantly in consultation with interested governments directed toward peaceful adjustment. This Government does not believe in political alliances or entanglements, nor coes it believe in extreme isolation. It does believe in international cooperation for the purpose of seeking through pacific methods the achievement of those objectives set forth in the statement of July 16. In the light of our well-defined attitude and policies, and within the range thereof, this Government is giving most solicitous attention to every phase of the Far Eastern situation, toward safeguarding the lives and welfare of our people and making effective the policies -- especially the policy of peace -- in which this country believes and to which it is committed."

"This Government is endeavoring to see kept 1 alive, strengthened, and revitalized, in reference to the Pacific area and to all the world, these fundamen-3 4 tal principles." 5 Tendering in evidence IPS document No. 219P(36) 6 from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from the communication of Secretary of State to the Minister in 8 Switzerland, dated September 28, 1937. 9 THE PRESIDE T: Admitted on the usual terms. 10 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 11 No. 219P(36) will receive exhibit No. 953. 12 (Whereupon, the document above 13 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 14 No. 953, and was received in evidence.) 15 MR. HARLIN: Reading prosecution exhibit 16 No. 953: 17 "The Secretary of State to the Minister in 18 Switzerland (Harrison). (Substance). 19 "Washington, September 28, 1937, 10 p.m. 20 "It is desirable, however, inasmuch as the 21 United States Government associates itself with the 22 League's deliberations through authorizing the 23 Minister to sit with the Assembly Advisory Committee 24 and the subcommittee, that the Minister know of and 25

understand the American Government's thought in

connection with his possible contributions toward enabling his associates at Geneva to reach decisions which may have some beneficial practical effect regarding objectives which are common to the United States and to the League's members.

"When Japan embarked last July upon military activities in China, the United States Government, which took full account of evidence presented at that time and during the past indicative of Japanese political objectives, on July 16 made public a statement of basic principles which it felt should underlie normal and peaceful international relationships.

"The United States Government reiterated more specifically on August 23 in a statement, with especial reference to the armed conflict between Japan and China, certain of the principles comprised in the statement of July 16, and the view was emphasized that these principles applied as well to the Pacific area as elsewhere. Attention was called, inter alia, expressly to the Kellogg-Briand Pact and the Nine-Power Treaty. Exception was thus definitely taken to the course followed by Japan.

"Moreover, several definite steps have been taken in support of the American position: (1) direct appeals to Japan and China to desist or refrain from

1 2

hostilities; (2) repeated statements to both sides regarding the availability to them of good offices should they make any suggestions for resort to conciliation processes; (3) repeated protests to the Japanese Government against aerial bombing of non-combatants and publication in one instance of an American note to the Japanese Government in objection to and condemnation of such bombing and in another instance issuance of a statement today on that subject.

"Japan's military operations have increased in intensity and in extent with the unfolding situation. Charges of Japan's violation of treaty provisions and international law have been amplified by the Chinese Government, and a willingness to resort to conciliation processes has been affirmed by the Chinese. The Japanese have announced, however, their intention to destroy the Chinese will and capacity to resist and actually to overthrow the existing Chinese Government. By declining the League Assembly Advisory Committee's invitation, the Japanese have refused even to consult with other governments with a view to adjusting their difficulties with China.

"The Secretary expresses the feeling that the Sino-Japanese situation definitely concerns the world as a whole. No longer do the questions

involved relate merely to specific provisions of particular treaties being violated; they are questions of international law, of principles of humanity, of war and peace. Naturally it is true that the questions involve violating agreements, particularly the League of Nations Covenant, the Nine-Power Treaty, and the Kellogg-Briand Pact. But problems of world economy, world humanity, and world security also are involved.

"Hull."

We desire, if the Court please, now to offer for identification only, IPS document No. 853.

This is one of a series of bound volumes,

Volume II, of the Japanese Government, entitled

"Announcements of the Japanese Government Relating to
the China Incident, and it comprises a number of
separate, individual items, each constituting a
separate item within itself, from which we propose to
offer in evidence certain individual documents.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 853 will receive exhibit No. 954 for identification
only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 954 for identification.)

MR. HARDIN: We offer in evidence IPS 1 document 853-A from prosecution exhibit for identifi-3 cation No. 954. This is an invitation of the government 4 of Belgium to Japan, dated October 20, 1937, to attend 5 the Brussels Conference. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 7 GLERK OF THE COULT: Prosecution's document 8 No. 853-A will receive exhibit No. 954-A. 9 (Whereupon, the document above 10 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 11 No. 954-A, and was received in evidence.) 12 MR. HARDIN: We read prosecution exhibit 954-A 13 in evidence. 14 "IPS Document 853, taken from Japanese Foreign 15 Ministry. 16 "Ammouncement of the Japanese Government 17 Relating to the China Incident. 18 "(Vol. 2), December 1937. 19 "Announcement by Foreign Ministry on Invita-20 tion to Attend the Nine-Power Treaty Meeting. 21 "Belgian Embassy. 22 "His Excellency, the Belgian Ambassador, Baron 23 de Bassompierre, paid an official call on Mr. Koki 24 HIROTA, Minister for Foreign Affairs, on October 21st, 25 at 10:30 A.M. and handed him the following Note Verbale.

New Yorks

BEST CONS

"Note Verbale.

"In compliance with the request of the Government of Great Britain, made with the approbation of

the Government of the United States of America, the

Royal Government propose to the Signatory States of

the Treaty of February 6, 1922, to convene at Brussels

on the 30th of this month, with the view of examining

in accordance with Article 7 of that Treaty, the si-

tuation in the Far East and of studying the amicable

means of hastening the end of the unfortunate conflict

existing there.

"Tokyo, October 20th, 1937."

We now offer in evidence IPS document No. 1 853B from prosecution's exhibit 954 for identifica-2 tion. This is the reply from the Japanese Govern-3 ment declining the invitation to the Brussels 4 conference. 5 CLERK OF THE COURT: Do you wish it for identification or in evidence? 7 MR. HARDIN: In evidence. 8 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 9 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 10 No. 853B will receive exhibit No. 954B. 11 12 (Whereupon, the document above 13 mentioned was marked prosecution!s 14 exhibit No. 954B and was received in 15 evidence.) 16 MR. HARDIN: "Reply of Japanese Government 17 Notifying its Non-Attendance at Nine-Power Treaty(s) 18 Meeting 27 October 1937. 19 "Reply of Japanese Government Notifying 20 its Non-Attendance at Nine-Power Treaty(s) Meeting 21 27 October 1937. 22 "The Japanese Government have the honour 23 to acknowledge the receipt of the Note Verbale under 24

the date of the 20th instant, by which the Royal Gov-

ernment, in accordance with the request of the Govern-

ment of Great Britain, and with the approbation of
the Government of the United States of America, propose to the Powers signatory to the Treaty of February 6, 1922, to meet at Brussels on the 30th of this
month in order to examine, in conformity with the
Article VII of the said treaty, the situation in the
Far East and to study amicable means of hastening
the end of the regrettable conflict which is taking
place there.

"The League of Nations, in the report adopted on the 6th of the month, has declared on the basis of the declaration of only one of the two parties that the military operations carried on by Japan in China are in violation of the Nine-Power Treaty. The action of Japan in China is a measure of self-defence which she has been compelled to take in the face of China's violent anti-Japanese policy and practice, especially by her provocative acts appealing to force of arms; and consequently, it lies, as has been declared already by the Imperial Government, outside the purview of the Nine-Power Treaty.

"The Assembly of the League of Nations has even gone the length of assuring China of its moral support and of recommending to its members to abstain

1 f
2 p
3 t
3 m
5 n
6 6
7 a
8 p
9 p
10 c
11 s

from any action that might weaken that country's power of resistance and add to its difficulties in the present conflict, and also to study how they might individually give aid to China. This to take no account of the just intention of the Imperial Government, who propose to bring about a sincere co-operation between Japan and China, to assure enduring peace in East Asia, and to contribute thereby to the peace of the world. This is to take sides with one of the parties and to encourage its hostile disposition, but in no way to contribute to an early settlement.

"The Royal Government make in their invitation no mention of the connection between the proposed Conference and the League of Nations. However,
in view of the fact that in its Resolution, the
League of Nations has suggested a meeting of these of
its members who are party to the Nine-Power Treaty,
and that the Government of the United States, who
have acquiesced in the request of the Government of
Great Britain for the convocation of the conference,
have declared on October 6 their approval of the Resolution, the Imperial Government cannot but conclude
that the convocation of the conference is linked to
the Resolution of the League of Nations. Now the

22 23

League of Nations, as mentioned above, has expressed its views casting reflection upon the honour of Japan, and it has adopted a resolution which is incontestably unfriendly towards her.

"In these circumstances, the Imperial Government are constrained to believe that frank and full discussion to bring about a just, equitable and realistic solution of the conflict between Japan and China, cannot be expected between the Powers concerned at the proposed conference.

"Moreover, the present Sino-Japanese conflict arising from the special situation of East Asia has a vital bearing upon the very existence of the two countries. The Imperial Government are firmly convinced that an attempt to seek a solution at a gathering of so many Powers whose interests in East Asia are of varying degrees, or who have practically no interests there at all, will only serve to complicate the situation still further and to put serious obstacles in the path of a just and proper solution.

"For these reasons explained above, the Imperial Government regret their inability to accept the invitation of the Royal Government.

"The present conflict has been caused by

none other than the Chinese Government who for these many years have been engaged as a matter of national policy in disseminating anti-Japanese sentiment and encouraging anti-Japanese movements in China, and who, in collusion with the Communist elements, have menaced the peace of East Asia by their virulent agitations against Japan. Consequently, what is most urgently needed for a solution of the conflict is a realization on the part of the Chinese Government of the common responsibility of Japan and China respecting the stability of East Asia, a revision of their attitude, and a change of their policy to that of co-operation between the two countries. What Japan asks of the Powers is that they comprehend fully this need. Their cooperation based upon such comprehension can alone, she believes, contribute effectively toward the stabilization of East Asia."

18

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

1 We offer in evidence prosecution's document 2 No. 853C from prosecution's exhibit 954 for identi-3 fication. This is a British note delivered by 4 Craigie to the Foreign Minister in Japan on December 5 16, 1937. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 7 CLERY OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 8 No. 853C will receive exhibit No. 954C. 9 (Whereupon, the document above 10 mentioned was marked prosecution's 11 exhibit No. 954C and was received in 12 evidence.) 13 MR. HARDIN: We read prosecution's exhibit 14 954C in evidence: 15 "THE BRITISH NOTE DELIVERED BY SIR ROBERT CRAIGIE 16 TO THE FOREIGN MINISTER ON DECEMBER 16, 1937 17 "Concerning attacks made on British warships and Merchant 18 shipping at Wuhu and Nanking. 19 "Your Excellency, 20 "I have the honour on instructions from His 21 Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom to address 22 your Excellency on the subject of attacks made by Japa-23 nese aircraft and land forces on British warships and 24 merchant shipping at Wuhu and near Nanking on 12th 25

December. These incidents clearly raise grave issues.

4 5

"2. At Wuhu a British tug which had conveyed from Nanking His Majesty's Consul, the British Military Attache and the Flag-Captain to the British Rear-Admiral, Yangtze, was attacked by Japanese machinegun fire after transferring these officers to H.M.S. Ladybird. The latter proceeded to join the tug in order to protect her, when she observed a Japanese field gun battery firing on merchant ships concentrated above the Asiatic Petroleum Company's installation. Firing continued and was directed at H.M.S. Ladybird herself.

vessel, one naval rating was killed, another was seriously wounded and there were several miner casualties including Flag-Captain. A direct hit was also seen to be sustained by the British merchant ship Suiwo. H.M.S. Bee then arrived on the scene and was also fired on by the shore battery. The Commander of H.M.S. Bee landed to protest and was informed by Colonel HASHIMOTO, the senior Japanese military officer then at Wuhu, that firing on warships was due to a mistake but that he had orders to fire on every ship on the river. At a later interview the same officer stated categorically that if any ships moved on the river they would be fired on and, despite protests,

H.M.S. Bee and Ladybird after berthing remained cov-1 ered by guns at point blank range.

"4. Near Hsia San-shan above Manking where British merchant ships were concentrated in a part of the river previously designated by the Japanese Commander-in-Chief as a safety-zone, three separate bombing attacks were made by Japanese aircraft on them and on H.M. Ships Cricket and Scarab which were with them.

"5. His Majesty's Government have now been glad to receive your Excellency's note of the 14th December offering the profound apology of the Imperial Japanese Government for the attacks on His Majesty's Ships, stating that measures were immediately taken to prevent the recurrence of such incidents and adding that they will deal suitably with those responsible and pay the necessary compensation.

"6. His Majesty's Government observe that Your Excellency's note makes no mention of the attacks on British merchant vessels and I am instructed to request that an assurance may be given that all that is said in that note applies equally to these attacks.

"7. His Majesty's Government take particular note of the statement that those responsible will be suitably dealt with. Adequate punishment of those

4

3 6

7

8

9

OF 11

12

IB

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

responsible for the particular attacks under discussion seems indeed to His Majesty's Government to be the only method by which further outrages can be prevented.

His Majesty's Government cannot but recall the previous incidents in which the Japanese Government have expressed regret for attacks made on British nationals and property and have given assurance that adequate steps had been taken to prevent any repetition. They call to mind the attack made on His Majesty's Ambassador to China while travelling by road from Manking to Shanghai, the subsequent attack on motorcars conveying British officials on a similar journey, the attacks on British civilians and military posts on the defense perimeter at Shanghai, as well as other incidents, and the repeated assurances of the Japanese Government of their intention fully to respect the interests of third Powers in the present conflict with China. It is clear that the steps hitherto taken by the Japanese Government to prevent such attacks have so far failed in this purpose and His Majesty's Government must now ask to be informed that measures have actually been taken of a character which will but a definite stop to the incidents of which they complain.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"I avail myself of this opportunity to renew to Your Excellency the assurance of my highest consid-eration. "Signed: R. L. Craigie." 

We now offer in evidence IPS document
No. 219P (46) from exhibit 58, for identification.
This is a message from Ambassador Grew in Japan to
Japanese Minister HIROTA, Tokyo, September 17, 1937.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 219P (46) will receive exhibit No. 955.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 955 and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: We read prosecution's exhibit

"The American Ambassador in Japan (Grew)
to the Japanese Minister for Foreign Affairs (HIROTA)
"Tokyo, September 17, 1937.

"Excellency: I have the honor, by direction of my Government, to address to Your Excellency the following note:

"'Since the beginning of the present fighting in China the American Government has received reports of attacks by Japanese armed forces in China upon American nationals and their property, including attacks upon American humanitarian and philanthropic establishments and upon the persons and property of non-combatants generally.

14

10

11

12

18

No. 955:

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

"'The American Government desires in particular to bring to the attention of the Japanese Government a recent attack on September 12 by Japanese planes on an American missionary hospital in South China, located at Waichow, Kwangtung Province. Information in the possession of the American Government indicates that three Japanese planes flew low three times over the mission compound where two large American flags were flying; that each time the planes dropped bombs all of which exploded seriously injuring personnel of the hospital as well as damaging the hospital and the residence, that there were no antiaircraft guns at Waichow, and that the mission itself is two miles distant from any Chinese military encampment. "'Attack upon non-combatants is prohibited

"'Attack upon non-combatants is prohibited both by long accepted principles and by established rules of international law. Also, attack upon human-itarian establishments, especially those which are lawfully under the flags of countries in no way party to military operations, have no warrant in any system of law or of humane conduct. The American Government, therefore, is impelled, in fulfillment of its obligations toward its nationals and on behalf of those fundamental principles of law and of morality which

1

3

4

5

0

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

relate to the immunity of non-combatants and 1 humanitarian establishments, emphatically to voice 2 objection to such attacks and to urge upon the Japanese Government, which the American Government 4 cannot believe approves of such disregard of prin-5 ciples, that effective steps be taken toward averting any further such attacks.' 7 "I avail myself (etc.) 8 "Joseph C. Grew." 9 We offer in evidence IPS document No. 10 219P (47) from exhibit 58. This is an excerpt from 11 a message from Ambassador Grew in Japan to Foreign 12 Minister HIROTA, dated September 22, 1937. 13 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 14 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 15 No. 219P (47) will receive exhibit No. 956. 16 ("hereupon, the document above 17 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 18 19 No. 956 and was received in evidence.) 20 MR. HARDIN: We will now read prosecution's 21 exhibit No. 956: 22 "The American Ambassador in Japan (Grew) 23 to the Japanese Minister for Foreign Affairs (HIROTA) 24 "Tokyo, September 22, 1937. (Excerpts.)

"The American Government objects both to

4 5

such jeopardizing of lives of its nationals and of non-combatants generally and to the suggestion that its officials and nationals now residing in and around Nanking should withdraw from the areas in which they are lawfully carrying on their legitimate activities.

"In view of the fact that Nanking is the seat of Government in China and that there the American Ambassador end other agencies of the American Government carry on their essential functions, the American Government strongly objects to the creation of a situation in consequence of which the American Ambassador and other agencies of this Government are confronted with the alternative of abandoning their establishments or being exposed to grave hazards.

"In the light of the assurances repeatedly given by the Japanese Government that the objectives of Japanese military are limited strictly to Chinese military agencies and establishments and that the Japanese Government has no intention of making non-military property and non-combatants the direct objects of attack, and of the Japanese Government's expression of its desire to respect the embassies, warships and merchant vessels of the Powers at Nanking, the American Government cannot believe that the intimation that the whole Nanking area may be subjected to bombing

operations represents the considered intent of the 1 Japanese Government. 2 "Joseph C. Grew." 3 We offer in evidence IPS document No. 4 219P (48) from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from 5 a memorandum of Hamilton, Chief of the Division of 6 Foreign Affairs relating to a conversation with SUMA, Counsellor of the Japanese Embassy in Washington, 8 dated September 25, 1937. 9 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 10 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 12 No. 219P (48) will receive exhibit No. 957. 13 (Whereupon, the document above 14 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 15 No. 957 and was received in evidence). 16 MR. HARDIN: I will read prosecution's 17 exhibit No. 957: 18 "Memorandum by the Chief of the Division 19 of Far Eastern Affairs (Hamilton) of a conversation 20 with the Counsellor of the Japanese Embassy (SUMA). 21 "(Washington.) September 25, 1937. 22 "Excerpts. 23 "I referred to the recent Japanese bombing 24 operations at Canton where, according to the reports. 25

some 2,000 non-combatants had been killed. I said that

I had noticed a statement in some newspaper to the effect that the Japanese military were very much surprised that reports were being sent from China to the effect that Japanese military operations were resulting in the death of non-combatants. I said that this killing of non-combatants at Canton, at Hankow, at Nanking and at various other places in China could not but create the most deplorable impression in this country and in other countries. . . I said again that this whole matter of bombing non-combatants was deplorable and was creating a most unfortunate impression.

"Maxwell M. Hamilton."

We now offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (49) from exhibit 58, which is a resolution of the League of Nations Advisory Committee, dated September 27, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (49) will receive exhibit No. 958.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 958 and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: We read prosecution's exhibit

No. 958:

"Resolution adopted by the League of 1 Nations Advisory Committee on September 27, 1937. 2 "The Advisory Committee. 3 "Taking into urgent consideration the 4 question of the aerial bombardment of open towns 5 in China, by Japanese aircraft, 6 "Expresses its profound distress at the 7 loss of life caused to innocent civilians, including 8 great numbers of women and children, as a result of 9 such bombardments. 10 "Declares that no excuse can be made for 11 such acts which have aroused horror and indignation 12 throughout the world. 13 "And solemnly condemns them." 14 We now offer in evidence IPS document No. 15 219P (50) from exhibit 58, which is press release 16 by the Department of State, September 28, 1937. 17 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 18 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 19 No, 219P (50) will receive exhibit No. 959. 20 (Whereupon, the document above 21 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 22 No. 959 and was received in evidence.) 23 MR. HARDIN: Reading prosecution exhibit 24 No. 959: 25

3

4

5

7 8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

25

"Press Release Issued by the Department of State on September 28, 1937.

"The Department of State has been informed by the American Minister to Switzerland of the text of the resolution unanimously adopted on September 27 by the Advisory Committee of the League of Nations on the subject of aerial bombardment by Japanese air forces of open towns in China.

"The American Government, as has been set forth to the Japanese Government repeatedly, and especially in this Government's note of September 22, holds the view that any general bombing of an extensive area wherein there resides a large populace engaged in peaceful pursuits is unwarranted and contrary to principles of law and of humanity."

We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (51) from exhibit 58.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (51) will receive exhibit No. 960.

(Thereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 960 and was received in evidence.)

MR. HARDIN: Reading prosecution exhibit

No. 960:

"Press Release Issued by the Department of 1 State on January 31, 1938. "Excerpt. 3 "The Department of State on the evening of January 28, 1938, instructed the American Embassy at Tokyo to make oral representations to the Japanese Foreign Office with reference to the incident involving the slapping by a Japanese soldier of Mr. John M. Allison, third secretary of the American Embassy at Nanking, on January 26: . "The Department instructed the Embassy at 12 Tokyo orally to communicate the substance of Mr. 13 Allison's telegrams of January 27 and 28 to a high 14 officer of the Japanese Foreign Office and that in 15 so doing there be emphasized that in weighing the 16 gravity of the incident this Government takes into 17 account the fact that the whole incident, including 18 the slapping by a Japanese soldier of a diplomatic 19 representative of the Government, is not an isolated 20 case, but represents but one of and cannot be dis-21 sociated from a series of cases of irregular entry of 22 American property and disregard of American rights by 23 Japanese soldiers." 24 We now offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (37) from exhibit 58, which is excerpts from an

address delivered by President Roosevelt in Chicago, 1 October 5, 1937. 2 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 3 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 219P (37) will receive exhibit No. 961. 5 (Thereupon, the document above 6 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 7 No. 961 and was received in evidence.) 9 MR. HARDIN: We read prosecution's exhibit 10 No. 961: 11 "Address delivered by President Roosevelt 12 at Chicago on October 5. 1937. Excerpt"--13 THE PRESIDENT: Read it after recess. 14 We will recess for fifteen minutes. 15 ("hereupon, at 1442, a recess was 16 taken until 1505, after which the proceedings 17 were resumed as follows:) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

b e 4 r

g 5

& 6

S 7 p 8

a t 9 t

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, several of the recent documents which have been admitted, for example 958 and 959 and several more which are proposed to be offered, apparently are being offered to set forth the principle of international law that the bombing of noncombatants is illegal. The defendants contend that these documents should be disregarded by the Tribunal because the advent of the atomic bomb and its recent usage in this war dictates such a principle of international law as obsolete, even on the theory of estoppel alone, and we see no reason why the prosecution should go into it.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, clearly the quality of these acts must be determined in the light of the law as it existed at the time they were done. As to whether there has been any change since, well, we may hear the defense at the proper time. This is rather too early; but my colleagues, or some of them, are a bit restless about the type of evidence you are tendering now, Mr. Hardin. Some of them ask what its purpose is. I can only suggest aggravation of punishment, if we arrive at that stage. It tends to show the great patience

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

2425

displayed by America and perhaps Great Britain, and the truculence of the Japanese notwithstanding that. However, my colleagues are restless, as I say, and I will now ask you to explain what your purpose is in pressing so much of it.

MR. HARDIN: The abstract, if it please your Honor, the subject is the relations between the countries. We are tracing that from the beginning of 1931, as charged in the Indictment, to the end of the war, or particularly to the beginning of the war. Specifically, the issue is the fault for the war, the causes of it. The United States and Britain in their great effort consistently pursued from 1931 at the breach of peace at Mukden to Pearl Harbor to get Japan to see and understand and adhere to treaties, not violate them, and to adhere to principles of international law and not violate them on the one hand, and Japan's disregard of all these obligations, disregard of the importunities of the Governments of the United States and Britain, to get her to keep from doing it. The fundamental charge in this Midictment is conspiracy, agreement. Basic under the whole Indictment is conspiracy. This evidence shows, we think -- we are offering it for the purpose of tending to show not only what I have said but that these

defendants, others in authority, people with them were in agreement to carry forward aggressive war beginning with Mukden, and carry through consistently for the ten-year period.

THE PRESIDENT: To show they were bent on war, notwithstanding American and British appeals, evidence of intent, guilty mind, mens rea, and aggravation.

MR. HARDIN: Your Honor has the key to the matter. There is the intention. This discloses the intention from the beginning, not only the intention throughout the period, but the aggravation of the program.

THE PRESIDENT: Still you may have quoted too much from these addresses of Mr. Stimson, and you may be quoting too much of this speech of President Roosevelt.

MR. HARDIN: Certainly, it is not our desire to tire the Court with it, but the relevant matters which speak so eloquently and decisively of the attitudes of both sides was thought by us to be relevant and important, even if cumulative.

THE PRESIDENT: These are not extemporary utterances, but carefully studied, and there is not a word wasted, or should not be. I cannot say that

7 8

any words have been wasted. However, there is uneasiness among Members of the Bench based on the length of some of these statements you are reading to us. See whether you cannot cut them down in the future, Mr. Hardin.

MR. HARDIN: Thank you, your Honor, for the suggestion. Now, this afternoon there is not but one more speech, as I recollect it, and the rest of it short documents, and tonight we will undertake to strike from the other addresses or documents that may be long so as to reduce them to fewer words.

THE RESIDENT: Well, proceed to read this speech, or such part of it as you think fit.

MR. HARDIN: It is a little difficult without taking more time than it would take to read it.

THE PRESIDENT: I think you had better read this one. There may be parts of it which obviously should not be read. However, if you are in doubt, read the lot.

MR. HARDIN: We now offer in evidence I. P. S. document No. 219F-38 from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from the first -- I apologize. I jumped my guns.

I now offer in evidence I. P. S. document 219P-37, from exhibit 58, which is an exceppt from an

address delivered by President Roosevelt at Chicago on October 5, 1937.

THE RESDENT: That has been admitted.

MR. HARDIN: It has been admitted.

We will read prosecution exhibit 961.

(Reading): "ADDRESS DELIVERED BY PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT AT CHICAGO ON OCTOBER 5, 1937.

"Excerpt.

"The political situation in the world, which of late has been growing progressively worse, is such as to cause grave concern and anxiety to all the peoples and nations who wish to live in peace and amity with their neighbors.

"Some 15 years ago the hopes of mankind for a continuing era of international peace were raised to great heights when more than 60 nations solemnly pledged themselves not to resort to arms in furtherance of their national aims and policies. The high aspirations expressed in the Briand-Kellogg Peace Pact and the hopes for peace thus raised have of late given way to a haunting fear of calamity. The present reign of terror and international lawlessness began a few years ago.

"It began through unjustified interference in the internal affairs of other nations or the invasion of

4 5

alien territory in violation of treaties and has now reached a stage where the very foundations of civilization are seriously threatened. The landmarks and traditions which have marked the progress of civilization toward a condition of law, order, and justice are being wiped away.

"Without a declaration of war and without warning or justification of any kind, civilians, including women and children, are being ruthlessly murdered with bombs from the air. In times of so-called peace ships are being attacked and sunk by submarines without cause or notice. Nations are fomenting and taking sides in civil warfare in nations that have never done them any harm. Nations claiming freedom for themselves deny it to others.

"Innocent peoples and nations are being cruelly sacrificed to a greed for power and supremacy which is devoid of all sense of justice and humane consideration.

"To paraphrase a recent author, 'perhaps we foresee a time when men, exultant in the technique of homicide, will rage so hotly over the world that every precious thing will be in danger, even book and picture and harmony, every treasure garnished through two millenniums, the small, the delicate, the

defenseless, all will be lost or wrecked or utterly destroyed.

"If those things come to pass in other parts of the world let no one imagine that America will escape, that it may expect mercy, that this Western Hemisphere will not be attacked, and that it will continue tranquilly and peacefully to carry on the ethics and the arts of civilization.

"If those days come 'there will be no safety by arms, no help from authority, no answer in science. The storm will rage till every flower of culture is trampled and all human beings are leveled in a vast chaos.'"

THE PRESIDENT: Well, that will not help very much.

MR. HARDIN: No. With the Court's permission, I will omit the rest of that page.

THE PRESIDENT: Appeals directed to Japan are the things you should put in. So far most of your material is confined to such appeals.

MR. HARDIN: It is so difficult, your Honor, it is so difficult to extricate more of that without taking more time than it will take to read it. It is clear, your Honor that Japan was a member of the Tripartite Pact, and all of this has allusion to European -

•

new order in East Asia, the new order in Europe, and arrangements or agreements between Germany, Japan and Italy, and it is an exemplification --THE PRESIDENT: It is all very general and seems to me not to make a new point of any sort. 

G 1 r e 2 e n 3 b

e 4 r g 5

& 6

B 7 a 8 t o 9

n 10

11

13

12

15

16

18

19

21

2324

25

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, the Tripartite was not in existence at this time, so that is a misstatement -- inadvertent.

MR. HARDIN: If your Honor objects to the reading of the rest of that, I do not mean to intrude upon the good nature of the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: We are getting concerned with the extent of the reading you are doing. I think the majority of the Court are under the impression this might be omitted, that in all events you might defer it and reconsider it. Probably you will decide not to read it, Mr. Hardin. You could find dozens of speeches like that by prominent men, by Mr. Churchill and others, about that time, except that it was too early for Mr. Churchill in 1934. However, defer the reading of it.

MR. HARDIN: Okay. We just thought that one like this from so eminent an authority so clearly expounding the foreign policies of our countries and their attitudes would be helpful and enlightening to the record.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (38) from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from the first report adopted by the League of Nations Assembly on October 6, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (38) will receive exhibit No. 962.

(Whereupon, the document above mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 962 and received in evidence.)

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's exhibit No. 962 in evidence:

"FIRST REPORT ADOPTED BY THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS ASSEMBLY ON COTOBER 6, 1937

"Excorpt.

"It cannot, however, be challenged that powerful Japanese armies have invaded Chinese territory and are in military control of large areas, including Peiping itself; that the Japanese Government has taken naval measures to close the coast of China to Chinese shipping; and that Japanese aircraft are carrying out bembardments over widely separated regions of the country.

"After examination of the facts laid before it, the Cormittee is bound to take the view that the military operations carried on by Japan against China by land, see and air are out of all proportion to the incident that occasioned the conflict; that such action cannot possible facilitate or pro-

mote the friendly cooperation between the two nations that Japanese statesmen have affirmed to be the aim of their policy; that it can be justified neither on the basis of existing legal instruments nor on that of the right of self-defense, and that it is in contravention of Japan's obligations under the Nine-Power Treaty of February 6th, 1922, and under the Pact of Paris of August 27th, 1928."

17

18

19

20

21

We next offer in evidence IPS document No. 219P (39) from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from a press release issued by the Department of State, dated October 6, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 219P (39) will receive exhibit No. 963.

(Whereupon, the document above mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 963 and received in evidence.)

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's exhibit No. 963:

> "PRESS RELEASE ISSUED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE ON OCTOBER 6, 1937

"(The following excerpt)

"In the light of unfolding developments in the Far East, the Government of the United States has been forced to the conclusion that the action of Japan in China is inconsistent with the principles which should govern the relationships between nations and is contrary to the provisions of the Nine-Power Treaty of February 6, 1922, regarding principles and policies to be followed in matters concerning China, and to those 24 of the Kellogg-Briand Pact of August 27, 1928. Thus 25 the conclusions of this Government with respect to the

foregoing are in general accord with those of the Assembly of the League of Nations." 

•

We offer in evidence IPS document 219P (40) 1 from exhibit 58, which is a telegram from the Honor-2 able Commander-in-Chief of the United States Asiatic 3 Fleet Yarnell to the Secretary of the Navy, dated 4 December 23, 1937. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 7 No. 219P (40) will receive exhibit No. 964. 8 (Whereupon, the document above 9 mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit 10 No. 964 and received in evidence.) 11 MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's 12 exhibit No. 964: 13 "TELEGRAM 14 THE COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF OF THE UNITED STATES ASIATIC FLEET (YARNELL) TO THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY (SWANSON) 15 "Decembor 23, 1937 16 "(Received 10:50 a.m.) 17 "0023. The following is the opinion of the 18 Court of Inquiry ordered to investigate the bombing 19 and sinking of the U.S.S. Panay. The opinion is ap-20 proved. 21 "Opinion was that the U.S.S. Panay was en-22 gaged in carrying out the well-established policy of 23 the United States of protecting imerican lives and 24 property. 25

That the Japanese aviators should have

112.

been familiar with the characteristics and distinguishing markings of the Panay as this ship was present at Nanking during the Japanese aerial attacks
on this city.

"3. That, while the first bombers might not have been able on account of their altitude to identify the U.S.S. Panay, there was no excuse for attacking without properly identifying the target, especially as it was well known that neutral vessels were present in the Yangtze River.

"4. That it was uttorly inconcolvable that the six light bombing planes coming within about six hundred feet of the ships and attacking for over a period of twenty-minutes could not be aware of the identy of the ships they were attacking.

"5. That the Japanese are solely and wholely responsible for all lesses which have occurred as the result of this attack.

"6. That the death of C. L. Ensminger, sk first and E.W.G. Hulsebus, coxswain occurred in line of duty and were not the result of their own misconduct.

"7. That the injured and wounded members of the crow of the U.S.S. Panay received their wounds and injiries in the line of duty and were not the

result of their own misconduct.

"8. In considering the case as a whole and attending incidents that the court is of the opinion that no offenses have been cormitted nor blame incurred by any member of the naval service involved."

MR. LOGAN: When this Panay Incident came up in several of the other phases, we noted our objection on the ground that the incident had been settled as between the nations. We still note that objection to this decument at this stage.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We next offer in evidence IPS document 219P (17) from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from the informal memorandum of the American Embassy in Japan to the Japanese Foreign Minister, dated August 31, 1934.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 219P (17) will receive exhibit No. 965.

(Whereupon, the document above mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 965 and received in evidence.)

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's exhibit No. 965:

"THE AMERICAN EMBASSY IN JAPAN TO THE JAPANESE MINISTRY FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS

"INFORMAL MEMORANDUM

"Excerpt.

"The plans under discussion are, it appears, plans to monopolize the distribution of oil and in part at least the importation, processing and expoi-

3 4

"Tokyo, August 31, 1934"

tation of oil in Manchuria. The American Government cannot escape the conclusion that the effectuation of such plans would result in the setting up of a monopoly control of the oil industry in Manchuria. The development of a monopoly control in any field would be a matter prejudicial to the treaty rights of American nationals and would run counter to the principle of the open door.

"These plans, however, are apparently being formulated with the concurrence and cooperation of Japanese nationals, the participation of such quasi-official organizations as the South Manchuria Railway and the assent or approval of the Japanese Government.

"In approaching the Japanese Government on this subject the American Government has had in mind developments of the past three years in relations between Japan and Manchuria and has given thought to treaties to which Japan and the United States are parties and to various declarations in which the Japanese Government has given assurances that there will be maintained in Manchuria the principle of the open door. This Government has, of course, assumed and continues to assume that the Japanese Government wishes to implement its undertakings.

. . . . . . . . .

We next offer IPS document No. 219P (21) 1 from exhibit 58, which is an excerpt from the memor-2 andum by the Secretary of State Stimson, Washington, 3 January 5, 1933. 4 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual 5 6 terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 7 8 No. 219P (21) will receive exhibit No. 966. 9 (Whereupon, the document above 10 mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit 11 No. 966 and received in evidence.) 12 MR. E. WILLIAMS: We read prosecution's 13 exhibit No. 966: 14 "MEMORANDUM BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE 15 "(WASHINGTON, January 5, 16 1933.1 17 "Excerpt. 18 "He said that in any event Japan had no 19 territorial ambition south of the Great Wall. I re-20 minded the Ambassador that a year ago he had told me Japan had no territorial ambitions in Manchuria. 22 "H(ENRY) L. S(TIMSON)" 23 In this particular list we have agreed to 24 read an additional excerpt from that particular docu-25 ment.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you are offering this as additional material?

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We are not offering this in evidence, if your Honor please. We will read this as part of exhibit 966.

THE PRESIDENT: As part of exhibit 966?

MR. E. WILLIAMS: It is a portion of the memorandum of January 5, 1933 not included in our exhibit 966 but which was processed on orders of the Court when we secured the ruling under 6b (1), and continues to recite part of the memorandum of the conversation with the Japanese Ambassador. And it is as follows:

"'But,' he said, 'you must in all frankness tell me that no Japanese Cabinet which advocated a commromise in the Manchoukuo question could
survive in Japan.' That must be regarded as a compromise incident. I told the Ambassador that in
that case I could see on my part no other course than
for Japan to get out of the League of Nations and the
Kellogg Pact. I went over the situation of the basic
policy of this government and the rest of the world
and Europe arising out of the Great War which had
brought us to the conclusion that another war might
destroy our civilization and which had made us

7 8

determined to support the neace machinery which 1 would render such a recurrence impossible. We recognized that Japan had a right to live her own way pro-3 vided she did not break treaties which she had made 4 and that, if she was determined to lead her life 5 differently from what we were determined to do, I 6 saw no ot' r way but for her to withdraw from the 7 associations and treaties thich we proposed to abide by."

Offering in evidence IPS document 219 P (41) from exhibit 58, which is an address delivered by the Secretary of State, March 17, 1938.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, I object to the introduction of this speech on the ground that it has no probative value since it does not appear to be a communication of the Japanese Government and is not, therefore, connected with any of these defendants.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, this does refer to Japan, but it does not say much more.

Are you pressing all this, Mr. Williams? It is very general, and it is doubtful, however, that these general things can be said to be material in the true sense. Materiality is the test.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. E. WILLIAMS: If your Honor please, this was a speech bearing on the relationship of the United States to Japan, showing the attitude of the United States in reference to proposals that the United States should withdraw from the Far East.

THE PRESIDENT: As I am reminded by a colleague, American policy is not on trial.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We are well aware of that.

THE PRESIDENT: I think that pretty aptly states the position.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: We are very well aware of that fact, your Honor, but Japanese policy is on trial, and Japanese policy in conflict with that of Great Britain and the United States is on trial.

THE PRESIDENT: You are entitled to emphasize the efforts you made to preserve peace with Japan, but I think you are reaching a stage now at which your evidence is becoming cumulative, to say the best. We are getting too much of the same thing.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: Unfortunately, if your Honor please, a policy is not a static thing. We have proved so far since this morning what our policy was in 1931, 1932 and on to 1937. The particular decument which we now offer has to do with 1938.

THE PRESIDENT: Judging from the notes I am

getting, Mr. Williams, I, too, need time for consideration. But they do emphasize this point: that we are all agreed that perhaps you are overloading your case on this particular issue.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, I ask that the record show that all of the defendants do not enter into the objection of Mr. Furness on this introduction. In fact, in my case, I am very much inclined to favor its introduction into evidence at this time to show the policy. I believe that the defense can definitely attack American policy, and I want that much quoted. It may have some very important use in presenting a contrast.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now until half past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Tuesday, 5 November 1946, at 0930.)