"Q. I notice throughout the island quite a few barracks to house the army and navy; when were they constructed? "A-After December 1941. "Q. How many Japanese troops were here before December 1941? A great number of Japanese personnel were here on the island, and they had some barracks here at that time also, most were built after December 1941. Do you know of any installations built on any islands in the immediate vicinity of Moon? 11 A. Yes." 

4 5

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT; Prosecution's document

No. 6013 will receive exhibit No. 894.

(Whereupon, the document above mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 894 and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brannon.

MR. BRANNON: Document No. 6013 contains the name on the first page of the alleged affiant as M-i-l-o. I point out this discrepancy because of the nature of this evidence, and I also wish to call to the Court's attention that in this document, which is called an affidavit -- I withdraw that last statement. I omitted a paragraph in the reading here. I offer no objection to this, but wish to call it to the Tribunal's attention as an obvious discrepancy.

THE PRESIDENT: Probably M-i-1-0 is phonetic.

at the original, which is a typed document, apparently the deponent knew better how to spell his name
than the person who did the typing. Although in the
first instance it is spelled as M-i-l-o, it is also
typed after the actual signature and there it is plain

from the original that "a" has been inserted be-tween "M" and "i," a correction made necessary by their seeing how he wrote his own name. 

"Q. State what you know of your own knowledge about those installations.

"A. On the island of Mor during 1940 a concrete reinforced dock was built. Two gun emplacements were constructed and guns installed thereon, appearing to be about three inches in diameter. Also buildings were built for the men and one searchlight was installed there. Telephone communication was installed between that island and Mo n. The island has flat terrain and in one section a lookout tower was built.

"Q. Were there any other installations built on this island?

"A. No.

"Q. On what other islands you know of installations being placed?

"A. On the island of Pisemeu during 1940.

The exact construction was made there as took place on Mor."

I offer in evidence I.P.S. Document No. 6001, being the deposition of Joseb Uerbelau.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 6001 will receive exhibit No. 895.

(Whereupon, the document above men-

1 tioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 895 2 and received in evidence). 3 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: 4 I will now read an excerpt: 5 "Dated: 26 February 1946 6 What is your name? "1. Q. 7 A . JOSEB UFRBELAU 8 "2. 0. What is your date of birth? 9 A. 1908, I do not know the day. 10 113. 0. Where were you born? 11 A. NGERBECHED, KOROR ISLAND, PALAU. 12 114. Q. What is your present home address? 13 . A. NGERFMID, KOROR ISLAND, PALAU. 14 115. 0. What is your occupation? 15 I am the native Chief of Police for mil-16 itary government on KOROR ISLAND. 17 "9. Q. Do you know of construction of forti-18 fications or military installations prior to Dec-19 ember, 1941? 20 A. Yes. 21 "10.Q. Do you know the type of installation, 22 the place of construction, and the date concerned? 23 A. I know of a gun emplacement constructed 24 at NGERENLENGUI, BABELTHUAP, in 1939. 25 "ll.Q. Did you witness this construction?

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1	A. Yes.
2	"12.Q. Did you work on it?
3	A. No.
4	"13.Q. Did you see the gun in the finished
5	emplacement?
6	A. No, the area was restricted by the Jap-
7	anese after its completion.
8	"14.Q. Was forced native labor used on the gun
9	emplacement on BABELTHUAP?
10	A. Yes.
11	"15.Q. How do you know this was a gun emplace-
12	ment?
13	A. I saw the gun being brought from a
14	boat at NGEREMLENGUI Harbor to the area of the gun
15	emplacement.
16	"16.Q. Do you have knowledge of construction
17	work before 1941 other than that mentioned?
18	A. No.
19	A. NO.
20	"50.Q. Do you have knowledge of other incidents
21	of forced native labor and if so relate same?
22	
23	A. The gun emplacement at NGREMDIU, URU-
24	FTHAPEL was constructed with forced native labor.
25	"51.Q. When did this take place?
	A. In February, 1941.

"52.Q. Previously you said the construction of the gun emplacement on BABFLTHUAP was the only fortification or military installation that you yourself knew was built before December, 1941. Is the date February 1941, correct?

A. Yes, it is correct. When I answered before I did not know what you meant.

"53.Q. Did you work there?

A. Yes. "

1	"54. Q Who ordered you to work there?
2	A The PALAUAN Government, a branch
3	of an subordinate organization of the South .
4	Seas Government.
5	"55. Q What are the inclusive dates of this
6	period of labor?
7	A February 1941 to July 1941.
8	"65. Q Did you see them place the gun in
9	the emplacement you worked or ?
10	A Yes, I saw them and helped the Navy
11	Gonzokus put the gun in place. The gun moved
12	around and up and down while I sat on it.
13	I offer in evidence I.P.S. document No.
14	6002, being the deposition of AUKST RIUMD.
15	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
16	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
17	No. 6002 will receive exhibit No. 896,
18	(Whereupon, the document above
19	mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit
20	No. 895 and received in evidence.)
21	BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I will read an excerpt:
22	"1. Q What is your name?
23	A Aukst Riumd.
24	"2. Q What is your date of birth?
25	A March 24, 1913.
	11 11011 27, 1713.

	"3.	Q	Where were you born?
1		A	At MELEKEIOK village on BABELTHUAP
2	Island.		
3	114.	Q	What is your present home address?
4		A	NGEREMID Village, KOROR Island, Palau.
5	"5.	Q	What is your present occupation?
7		A	I am a native policeman for Military
8	Government	on	KOROR Island.
9	119.	Q	Do you know of the construction of
10	fortificat:	ion	s or military installations by the
11	JAPANESE pr	rio	r to December, 1941?
12		A	Yes.
13	"10.	Q	Do you know the type of installation,
14	the place	of	construction, and the date concerned?
15		A	I know of a gun emplacement constructed
16	at OIGUL V	111	age, BABELTHUAP, in 1939.
17	יבויי.	Q	Did you work on it?
18		A	No, I did not work on the emplocement.
19	I was a su	per	visor of native labor called in to do
20	the manual	le	bor. The natives were ordered to do
21	the work.		
22	"12.	Q	Who ordered this work?
23		A	A vice-admiral of the JAPANESE Navy,
24	not Admira	1 I	TO.
25	"13.	Q	Was this a written order?

1	A Yes, the written order was brought to
2	the village chief by a navy man and the chief in
3	turn ordered the natives to work.
4	"14. Q Did this order state there would be
5	punishment for those who did not comply with it?
6	A Yes, the order said the gun emplace-
7	ment was to be finished by a certain date and we
8	want so many natives. Those failing to report
. 9	will be punished.
10	"15. Q Did you see this order?
11	A Yes, the village chief showed the
12	order to me.
13	"16. Q Do you have the order?
14	A No. the Navy man took it away with
15	him after the chief and I had seen it.
16	
17	"17. Q Can you describe the vice-admiral
18	who issued this order?
19	A I cannot describe him. I know that
20	he left these islands in 1939:
21	"18. Q Did you supervise the entire construction
22	of this gun emplacement?
23	
24	carried boxes to the gun emplacement.
25	"19. Q Did forced native labor build the gun
	emplacement?

A No, the Navy Gonzokus built it. "20. Q Did you see the contents of any of the boxes the natives carried to the gun emplacement? 

1	"A. No, I did not see the contents. The
2	natives carried the supplies to the site of the gun
3	emplacement which had not been built at that time.
4	"21. Q. Did you see the completed gun em-
5	placement?
6	"A. Yes, but the gun was not in it yet.
7	"22. Q. Do you remember what date this was?
8	"A. No, only that it was 1939.
9	"23. Q. Did you see the gun placed in this
10	fortification?
11	"A. No, I did not see a gun placed there
12	but later in March 1944, I saw a gun there.
13.	••••••
14	"36. Q. Besides the above mentioned gun em-
15	placement, do you know of other fortifications
16	constructed prior to December 1941?
. 17	"A. Yes, I worked on the PELELIU Air-
18	field from January 1936 to March 1936.
19	"37. Q. Were you forced to do this work?
20	"A. Yes, I was ordered by the South Seas
21	Government Officer, KAJISHIMA, to take the place of
22	a man who became sick.
. 23	"38. Q. Was this a written order?
24	"A. Yes.
25	"39. Q. Do you still have this order?

1	"A. No, I returned it when I reported
2	for work.
3	"40. Q. What did the order say?
4	"A. It read, 'On (date) you, RIUMD, report
5	and bring this order to the South Seas Government
6	Officer, KAJISHIMA. If you fail to do so, you will
7	be punished.
8	"41. Q. Can you describe this man KAJISHIMA?
9	"A. He was a civilian who worked for the
10	South Seas Government.
11	"42. Q. What type of work did you do during
12	this period?
13	"A. I carried stones and dug holes to
14	place the dynamite in.
15	0
16	"48. Q. Were there any airplanes in the area
17	at that time?
18	"A. No, the airfield wasn't finished.
19	"49. Q. Were there any gun or fortifications
20	around this area?
21	"A. No.
22	"51. Q. Besides the above mentioned informa-
23	tion, do you know of any other fortifications or mili-
24	tary installations constructed prior to December,
25	1941?

"A. I have heard of others but these 1 were the only ones I worked on." 2 I offer in evidence I.P.S. Document No. 6012, 3 being the deposition of ICHIRO MOSES. 4 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 5 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 6 No. 6012 will receive exhibit No. 897. 7 (Whereupon, the document above mentioned 8 was marked Prosecution's Exhibit No. 897 and 9 received in evidence.) 10 I will now read an excerpt from the deposition: 11 12 "Taken at: Moen Island, Truk and Central 13 Carolines. 14 "Date: February 23, 1946. 15 "Q. State your name, permanent home address, 16 and occupation. 17 "A. Ichiro Moses, assistant chief, Uman Island. 18 110. How long have you lived on the island of 19 Uman? 20 "A. Forty-five years. 21 How long have you been living in the Truk "Q. 22 Atoll? 23 "A. Forty-five years. 24 Have you any knowledge of fortifications 25 or military installations constructed by the Japanese on Uman Island prior to December 1941?

"A. Yes.

"Q. State what you know of your own knowledge about the installations.

In 1939 on the southern section of Uman Island the Japanese commenced building roads and fortifications. Houses were built to billet troops, a searchlight was installed, emplacements for big guns built, and two big guns and four small ones, installed. They dug a cave, reinforced it with concrete, and stored ammunition therein. This construction was under the direction of the navy. The officer in charge of the troops billeted there was Taicho. Second in command was Buntaisi. During 1940, prior to December of that year, on the island of Otto, eight miles south of Uman, the Japanese Navy erected a wharf, houses, installed a searchlight, two guns with bores of about two or three inches in diameter, and several machine guns were installed. During 1941, prior to December of that year, on the island of Salat, eight miles east of Uman, the Japanese Navy constructed a wharf, houses, installed a searchlight, two guns with bores appearing to be about three inches in diameter, and erected a radio station.

.......

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	"Q. Were all the guns in the southern part
2	of Uman installed in 1939, 1940, and prior to December
3	1941?
4	"A. Yes.
5	"Q. In the construction of the buildings
6	what material did they use?
7	"A. For the buildings they used concrete
8	foundation and the rest was lumber. The cave was
9	concrete reinforced and all emplacements were con-
10	crete based.
11	"Q. How many caves did they build before
12	the war?
13	"A. Only one.
14	"Q. Did you see ammunition stored in the
15	cave before the war?
16	"A. Yes.
17	"Q. Did they have a great quantity of am-
18	munition there?
19	"A. Yes.
20	"Q. What was the size of the cave?
21	"A. Twenty-four feet long, twelve feet
22	wide, and nine feet high, and this cave was full of
23 24	ammunition and provisions, mostly ammunition.
25	"Q. Before December 1941 did the Japan-
2)	ese ever practice shooting their big guns?

1	"A. Only to test them.
2	"Q. Was the searchlight built into a
	cave?
3	"A. It was built on a platform and covered
4	over with canvas.
5	"Q. Did they have any other equipment
6	
7	close to the searchlight?
8	"A. They had a range finder.
9	"Q. On the Island of Otta what did they
10	have there beside guns and searchlights?
11	"A. They had a warehouse there in which
12	they kept ammunition and provisions.
13	"Q. Did you see the provisions and ammun-
14	itions?
15	"A. Yes.
16	"Q. What did they have on the island of
17	Salat beside the searchlight and guns?
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	

"A. A warehouse for ammunition and provisions. 1 Was all this construction on Otta and Salat 110. 2 prior to December 1941? 3 "A. 4 Yes. "Q. During 1939, 1940, and 1941, prior to the 5 war, did the Japanese ever mention waging a war 6 against the United States? 7 8 "A. Yes. 9 110. What did they say? 10 "A. We are going to install fortifications and 11 military installations before we start the war a-12 gainst the United States. 13 "Q. Did you have to furnish help for the con-14 struction on the island of Otta? 15 "A. We were forced to furnish thirty men during 16 1939 for the construction on Otta for approximately 17 five months. These men were paid eighty sen per day. 18 "Q. Did you have to furnish help for the con-19 struction on the island of Salat? 20 MA. We were forced to furnish thirty men per 21 day for construction of installation on the island of 22 Salat for approximately six months. The men re-23 ceived eighty sen per day." 24 I offer in evidence I.P.S. Document No. 25 6014, being the deposition of Sona Monukit.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 1 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's Document 2 No. 6014 will receive exhibit No. 898. 3 (Whereupon, the document above men-4 tioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 898 5 and received in evidence.) 6 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I will now read an 7 excerpt: 8 "Taken at: Moen Island, Truk and Central Car-9 olinas. 10 "Date: February 25, 1946. 11 "Q. State your name, permanent home address. 12 and occupation. 13 Sona Monukit, assistant chief, Tol Island. 11 A. 14 110. How long have you lived on the island of 15 16 Tol? 17 "A. Forty-eight years. 18 110. How long have you been living in the Truk 19 Atoll? 20 11 A . Forty-eight years. 21 110. Have you any knowledge of fortifications 22 or military installations constructed by the Japanese 23 on the island of Tol prior to December 1941? 24 "A. Yes. 25 State what you know of your own knowledge

1 about these installations.

During 1939 on the southwest section of South Tol a road was built from the dock to the top of the hill. Around the edges of the top of the hill three gun emplacements were installed and three guns appearing to be about eight inches in diameter installed there. A platform was erected and a searchlight affixed thereon. A reinforced concrete cave in which ammunition was stored was dug in the side of the hill. Two barracks were built for the Japanese soldiers. During 1940 on the northern tip of North Tol a concrete wharf was constructed and a road from the wharf to the top of the mountain. At the top of the mountain one barrack and two warehouses were built. A concrete platform was built and a searchlight placed thereon. Two gun emplacements were constructed and guns installed appearing to be about four or five inches in diameter and a radio station built."

I offer in evidence I.P.S. Document No. 6015, being the deposition of ATER ERA.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 6014 will receive exhibit No. 899.

(Whereupon, the document above men-

20

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

21

22

23

24

tioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 899 1 and received in evidence). 2 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I will now read an 3 excerpt from ATER ERA's deposition. 4 "Taken at: Moen Island, Truk and Central 5 6 Carolines. 7 "Date: February 25, 1946. "Q. State your name, permanent home address, 8 9 and occupation. 10 "A. Ater Era, chief, Fefan Island. How long have you lived on the island of 11 12 Fefan? 13 Forty-nine years. "A. 14 How long have you been living in the Truk 15 Atoll? 16 II A. Forty-nine years. 17 Have you any knowledge of fortifications 18 or military installations constructed by the Japanese 19 on Fefan Island prior to December 1941? 20 "A. Yes. 21 22 23 24 25

"Q State what you know of your own knowledge 1 about the installations. "A On the island of Fefen during the year of 3 1940 the Japanese cons ructed a road from the dock 4 on the east side of the island to the top of the 5 mountain. Emplacements for two guns were built and 6 7 guns appearing to have bores of about five inches were installed. A searchlight was placed there on a 8 platform and a generator placed inside a small build-10 ing. Three large buildings were creeted, one used as 11 a barracks and two for warehouses. 12 "Q Do you know the names of any of the persons 13 in command or in charge while the building was going 14 on prior to Docember 1941? 15 "A Iwai, Japanese Navy, was one of the officers 16 in charge during that poriod." 17 I offer in evidence I.P.S. Document No. 18 6016, being the deposition of MICHUO NACHUO. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 20 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 21 No. 6016 will receive exhibit No. 900. 22 (Whereupon, the document above 23 mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit 24 No. 900 and received in evidence.) 25 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I will now read an

1 excerpt from the deposition. 2 Moen Island, Truk and Central Carolines. "Taken at: 3 "Date: February 25, 1946. 4 State your name, permanent home address, and 5 occupation? 6 11A. Michuo Nachuo, chief, Dublon Island. 7 110. How long have you lived on the island of 8 Dublon? 9 11 A. About twenty-five years. 10 How long have you been living in the Truk 110. 11 Atoll? 12 Twenty-five years. "A. 13 Have you any knowledge of fortifications or 110. 14 military installations constructed by the Japanese 15 on this island prior to December 1941? 16 "A. Yes. 17 State what you know of your own knowledge 18 about the installations. 19 About the middle of 1941 on the island of 20 Dublon on the east side on top of a hill the 21 Japanese commenced con truction. They built two 22 barracks, two warehouses, and one radar station. 23 Concrete emplacements were set and three guns, 24 appearing to be six-inch guns, were installed there. 25 A platform was erected and a searchlight placed there.

"On the south side of Dublon a fuel dump was established. Close to the fuel dump one large cave was dug and concrete reinforced. "This was used to store ammunition and pro-

visions. No other fortifications or military installations were creeted prior to December 1941.

"Q Do you recall any other installations established before December 1941?

"A A lookout tower was built before December 1941 and wireless communication established with Japan.

"Q Do you know of any military installations and fortifications constructed before December 1941 on other islands thereabout?

"A Yes.

"Q What island?

"A Eton.

"Q What was built there?

ese built an airfield. A platform was creeted and a searchlight placed there. A concrete emplacement for one large gun was constructed and a gun about five inches in diameter was placed thereon. They also installed machine guns, a radar station, and dug three caves, reinforced them with concrete and stored ammunition and provisions in the caves."

The next seven depositions, may it please the Tribunal, are made by residents of islands in the Marshall group.

I offer in evidence IPS Document No. 6030, being the deposition of Ferdinand Z. Emiz.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 6030 will receive exhibit No. 901.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 901 and received in evidence.)

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I will now rend an excerpt from the deposition of Ferdinand Z. Emiz:
"Date, 14 March 1946.

"I, Ferdinand Z. Emiz of Wotje Atoll,
Morshall Islands, being duly sworn, depose and say
that I am now employed as an interpreter at Naval
Air Base No. 3234, Majure Atoll. I was attending
school in Japan until 1938 when I returned to the
Marshall Islands, Jaluit Atoll.

"Affiant further states that in August, 1938, he went to Wotje Atoll and was employed as an assistant to a surveyor who was taking measurements of the different islands. The Japanese commenced construction on the airfield at Wotje in June 1939.

About fifty Japanese laborers worked on this job.

The complement there called for three officers and five chiefs. Three hundred natives were hired to construct a Japanese prison camp. Natives were paid one yen a day. Construction of the prison camp was completed in October, 1939, and the same month prisoners came from Japan to finish their sentences in the Wotje prison camp. After the arrival of the Japanese prisoners all native labor with the exception of ten men and five women were returned to ORNEY island, Wotje Atoll.

"Affiant further says that in November,
1939, all trees on Wotje island, Wotje Atoll were cut
down so that the mirfield could be constructed.

About this time all prisoners (over two thousand)
were returned to Japan and about one thousand Koreans
and about two thousand Japanese laborers arrived at
Wotje to continue the work on the mirstrip. Native
laborers were again drafted through the headmen of
each island.

"Affiant further says that he saw large quantities of cement, asphalt and other construction material being delivered at Wotje island, Wotje Atoll for use on the airstrip."

I offer in evidence IPS document No. 6032,

being the deposition of ABISA.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 6032 will receive exhibit No. 902.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 902 and received in evidence.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I will now read an excerpt from the deposition of Abisa:

"I, ABISA, of Imej Island, Jaluit Atoll,
Marshall Islands, being duly sworn, depose and say
that I am now employed at the Native Labor Unit, Naval
Air Base, Navy No. 3234. In 1938 the Japanese hired
natives to cut trees on IMEJ island, Jaluit Atoll to
make a place for the air field. There were two
divisions of native working parties. One would work
on gun emplacements and the other on the pier. These
would be rotated. The Japanese Navy paid Yen 1.50
per day and the Japanese Company Yen 1.20 a day. The
Company was in charge of all supplies for the Japanese
Navy and supplied natives to work for the Japanese
Navy. Before the war the natives that were paid Yen
1.50 a day had to buy their own food. Others were given
food by the Navy."

I offer in evidence IPS document No. 6031, being

the deposition of LANEN.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 6031 will receive exhibit No. 903.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 903 and received in evidence.)

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I <u>\*111</u> now read an excerpt: "Date, 16 March 1946.

"I, LANEN, Maloelap Atoll, Marshall Islands, being duly sowrn, depose and say that I am now employed in the Native Labor Unit, Naval Air Base, Navy No. 3234. In 1939 I was living on Maloelap Atoll and in that year three hundred Japanese and four officers came to Tarawa Island on Maloelap. They put to work fifty natives to remove trees for an airfield. A little later five hundred more Japanese came from Japan. Eighty more natives were given work. The Japanese made the natives work from 6.00 AM to 5.00 PM for 85 sen a day. The natives worked at cutting down trees and making concrete. The trees were carried by four men to a specific place. Natives were also used to carry heavy loads of sand from the beach to the air strip. If the native did not work to suit the Japanese he would be beaten. The Japs sent people with

broken limbs to the hospital but those who had internal injuries were not taken care of at the hospital. airfield was finally completed in 1941 but barracks 3 for the soldiers and other buildings were not completed." I offer in evidence IPS document No. 6029, 5 being the deposition of AJIDRIK.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 6029 will receive exhibit No. 904.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 904 and received in evidence.)

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: (Reading) "Date, 15 March 1946.

"I, AJIDRIK, of Laura Island, Majuro Atoll, Marshall Islands, being duly sworn, depose and say that I am employed as an Interpreter at Naval Air Base, Navy No. 3234. In the year 1940 I was living on Laura Island, Majuro Atoll. In that year the Japanese started to cut down trees on RITA, Island, Majuro Atoll to make an airfield. The Japanese did not pay for the trees they destroyed as they promised to do. This airfield was never completed. About the middle of 1941 the Japanese started to take all men from Majuro Atoll to Mille, Malloelap and Jaluit Atolls to work on the

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

bases. Force was used in securing labor and those who did not wish to go wer told that they would be put in 2 prison or they would be threatened with a beating." 3 I offer in evidence I'S document No. 6010, 4 being the deposition of JOHANIZ. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 6 CLFRK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 7 No. 6010 will receive exhibit No. 905. (Whereupon, the document above referred 9 to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 905 and 10 received in evidence.) 11 12 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: (Reading) 13 "March 12, 1946. 14 "1.Q. State your name and title. 15 MOM. Johaniz, Chief of Eniwetok tribe, 16 Eniwetok Atoll. 17 "2. We Have you been Chief of your tribe from 18 January 1941 to the present time? 19 "A Yes. 20 "3.Q. When did the Japanese marines arrive on this atoll. 22 "A In January, 1942. 23 V nen did the Japanese navy arrive. 114.0. 24 11 A April 27, 1941." 25 I offer in evidence IPS document No. 6011,

being the deposition of ABREAM. 1 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 2 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 3 No. 6011 will receive exhibit No. 906. 4 (Whereupon, the document above referred 5 to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 906 and 6 received in evidence.) 7 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: (Reading) "Date, 12 March 1946. 9 "1.Q State your name and title. 10 "A Abream, Chief of Engebi tribe, Eniwetok 11 Itoll. 12 "2.Q Have you been Chief of your tribe from 13 January 1941 to the present time. 14 "A Yes. 15 "3.Q Then did the Japanese Marines arrive 16 17 on this atoll. "A In January, 1942. 18 "4.Q. When did the Japanese Navy arrive. 19 20 "A Arpil 27, 1941." 21 As the last deposition, I offer in evidence 22 IPS document No. 5028, being the deposition of LAJINA. 23 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 24 CLIRK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 25 No. 6028 will receive exhibit No. 907.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 907 and received in evidence.)

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: (Reading)

"Date, 16 March 1946.

"I, LAJINA, of Mille Island, Mille Atoll,
Marshall Islands, being duly sworn, depose and say that
I am now employed at the Native Labor Unit, Naval /ir
Base, Navy No. 3234. I was living on Mille Island,
Mille Atoll in 1941 when in June of that year the Japanese brought 3000 Koreans and Japanese labores there
and started to build an airfield. First they cut down
the trees. Native labor was used for which the Japanese paid 85 sen a day. Native labor was used to cut
down trees, mix concrete and to unload the ships which
brought all kinds of material from Japan."

That concludes the depositions and I will now offer in evidence IPS document No. 2378A.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, may the record show that prosecution's exhibits 883 to 907, inclusive, are affidavits and not depositions as characterized by the prosecution?

THE PRESIDENT: According to the Australian terminology, those in the form of questions and

4 5

answers would be depositions, but what does it matter?

MR. LOGAN: According to our practice, as four
Honor may know, a deposition is a document where both
sides appear and each asks questions. An affidavit is
just where one makes the interrogations.

THE PRESIDENT: Ordinarily a deposition is taken before a magistrate.

evidence prosecution's document No. 2378A. This is a file of correspondence which was obtained from the office at Honolulu of the well-known Japanese Shipping Company generally known as the N.Y.K. The file, which comprises correspondence between the Head Office of the Company and the Company's Branches, refers to the policy adopted by the Company under secret instructions from the Japanese Navy and Foreign Ministries of refusing to carry non-Japanese nationals to the Mandated Islands.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 2378A will receive exhibit No. 908.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 908 and received in evidence.)

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I will now read the

correspondence, excluding, however, the descriptions of the writers of the letters and the offices to which they were addressed, but identifying the letters by their numbers and dates.

I should like to direct attention, if the Tribunal please, to the certificate of Commander Maxon attached to the document showing how the documents came into possession of the International Prosecution Section.

THE PRESIDENT: I suggest you read them after the recess, Brigadier. We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Abram & Barton

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, I will proceed with the reading of the correspondence in exhibit -- in the N.Y.K. file.

"No. 185, March 28, 1933.

"Re: Deferring the booking of passengers desiring to go to places in Inner South Seas Area.

"Lately, there has been a tendency toward the increase in the number of foreigners deciding to go to the islands in the Inner South Seas area, but since the facilities of this line are not only not suited in many respects to accommodate foreign passengers, but also the hotel facilities of the South Seas area, in general, are not suited to accommodate foreigners and since considerable inconveniences are apt to be experienced in case of stop-overs because of the poor shipping connections (besides, occidental meals aboard ships of this line may be wholly abolished), we would like to advise you not to book any foreign passenger, if possible, for the South Seas line until further notice.

"If obliged to do so, secretly inform whoever is in charge to accept applications only after they have

been first approved by the proper authorities." 1 "#115 2 "Oct. 14, 1935 3 "Re: Ban against accepting foreign passengers 4 for South Seas line. 5 "We acknowledge receipt of your wire, dated 6 7 October 7, as follows: "'----please reserve 1st class 2 outside two-8 berth cabins Lasuga Maru 12th December from Yokohama 9 Jaluit thence to Palao also Yamashiro Maru 17th Janu-10 11 ary Palao Menado. 12 "We believe that the above was made in reference 13 to the schedule of last year, but in reply, we sent 14 the following wire, dated the 11th, as follows: 15 "'Referring to your telegram of 7th N.Y.K. South 16 Sea Island Line no accommodation available until March.' 17 "As you have already received it, we believe that 18 you understand it. 19 "As indicated in the Passenger Department's out 20 Passenger, South Seas Branch #185, dated March 28, 21 1933 (copy sent to you), every effort is being made 22 not to accept foreign passengers for this line. 23 Taking this into consideration, we suggest that you 24 politely refuse this application. 25 "This is to affirm receipt of your telegram.

"As an actual problem, in accepting a foreigner, even if he is a resident of the South Seas, it is necessary for us to give notice of his name, age, occupation, nationality and so forth, to the South Seas Government, which confers with the Navy and Foreign Ministries and directs us either to accept or not to accept the application.

"Such being the case, we cannot accept any application unless approved by the proper authorities. As indicated in the aforementioned letter, we advised you 'to secretly inform whoever is in charge to accept applications only after they have been first approved by the proper authorities.' Hence, application merely by wire is of no use and even if an application is accompanied by a detailed letter, it is not certain that the approval of the proper authorities can always be obtained. Instead, it is believed that they have been rejected in the majority of cases.

"Although there may be some business reasons, it would be wise to make it a general rule not to book any passenger for the South Seas line at agencies abroad.

"As reference, we are sending you a copy of Passenger, South Seas Branch #185."

1 2

## "#121

"Oct. 26, 1935

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

"Re: Ships sailing in February and March, 1936, on South Seas line.

"In regard to the above, we acknowledge receipt of the inquiry from the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions of Boston through your letter dated September 28.

"However, as indicated in the circular, Passenger, South Seas Branch #185, dated March 28, 1933, we have adopted a policy of not accepting foreign passengers for this line and since the schedule is of practically no use as a schedule because of the frequent changes, we have stopped sending schedules of this line to our foreign agencies, lately. However, we are sending you a copy for reference.

"Through circular, Passenger, South Seas Branch #115, dated the 14th of this month and addressed to employees of Chicago (copies sent to all branch officers in America), we advised that all overseas branches make it a general rule not to handle passengers for this like, but since the missioneries of the American Board, Boston, which has made the inquiry, engage in missionary work throughout the South Sea Islands and frequently make round trips between Japan and the islands, Rev. Harold w. Hackett, representative of the above-mentioned American Missionary society in Japan and residing in Kobe, handles their passages. He seems to have a considerable number of friends in the government offices concerned. Hence, even if you do not handle their booking, we are sure that they will not experience any inconvenience. We are mentioning this for your reference.

"Furthermore, since the matter concerning South
Seas line and foreign passengers is a very delicate
problem and the actual reasons cannot be frankly revealed to the foreign agents, who thus might make
useless and irrelevant inquiries, it is suggested
that you make arrangements to have only Japanese
employees handle problems pertaining to the South Seas
line and foreign passengers and to have all correspondence written in Japanese."

"#129

"November 4, 1935

"Re: Ban against booking occidental passengers for South Seas line.

"As stated in the circular, Passenger, South Seas Branch #185, dated March 28, 1933, no foreign passenger is to be booked for this line, if possible. However, there has been a trend, lately, for two or three branches abroad to apply for cabin reservations by vire or to order English schedules of this line for distribution. Hence, we are again listing the reasons for the difficulty of booking foreign passengers for this line and seeking your cooperation in this non-acceptance policy.

"1. General reason.

"The moals aboard ships of this line are of Japanese standard and will not satisfy foreigners because only Japanese foods are served, breakfasts and suppers. Also, there are no hotel facilities in the South Seas, in general, to accommodate foreigners.

"2. Special reasons (secret).

"The year before last, we received a secret order from the South Seas Government office to the effect that if an application for passage aboard this line is received from a foreigner, regardless of the

fact that he is a resident of the South Seas, said application should not be accepted until his name, age, residence, occupation and so forth have been reported to and approved by the said government office.

"With the receiving of the above-mentioned report, the South Seas Government confers with the Navy and Foreign Ministries and directs us either to accept or not to accept the application, but to obtain approval appears not to be an easy task, for absolutely no foreigner, regardless of whether he is a resident of the South Seas or not, is accepted during naval maneuvers (which sometime last over several trips).

above measure is necessary, but since the authorities concerned cannot directly handle the policy of approving (or disapproving) entry of foreigners to the islands because of the international situation, their entry is being controlled indirectly through our firm although it is a great deal of trouble to us. Besides, since the schedule for this line is often changed for governmental reasons, the schedule is apt to be of no use. Such being the case, it may cause you some difficulties in dealing with your clients, but it would be a wise policy for agencies, particularly those in

far off places, not to handle passengers for the South Seas line.

"The above being the case we desire all publicity in regard to this line cancelled, have stopped the publication of the English schodule (List No. 12) and the English guide (List No. 58) of this line. Since the mentioning of the latter in this department's English circular, P. Ad. No. 77, dated March 15 of this year, and pertaining to the necessary amount of advertisement and printed matter for next (this) year, was a mistake, please abstract it from your copies.

"Since the above mentioned special reasons are matters that should not be revealed to your foreign passenger agents and since without this information they cannot be expected to carry out their work fully in dealing with passengers, it is suggested that you make arrangements to have only Japanese employees handle problems pertaining to foreign passengers for the South Seas line and to have all correspondence written out in Japanese."

11#347

"July 28, 1936

"Re: Advertisement of South Seas Line in August issue of Travel Bulletin.

1 2

"As we have been repeatedly directed to refuse all applications for passage on the South Soas
line when applied for by foreign passengers, we find
it difficult to understand the reasons for the publicity given to conditions in South Sea Islands and the
ships with excellent passenger facilities in the
Travel Bulletin, our magazine for foreigners. As
long as there is no special reason, we would like to
request that arrangement be made to withhold publication of items pertaining to this line in the future.

"Today, for example, a passenger desiring to book passa go on that line appeared at our office. We had a difficult time in dealing with him and even our foreign agent, regretting his inability to supply sufficient information in spite of the existence of such a new line, suggested that we send a wire to you inquiring about the schedule and the existence of vacancies.

"However, explaining to him the uselessness of sending such a wire because of the frequent
change of schedule of the said line according to conditions in Japan, the complete booking of cabins by
Japanese passengers on every voyage, the existence
of no hotel facilities in the South Seas and the preparation of meals aboard ship according to Japanese
taste, I refused the application of the said passenger."

I don't think I need read that letter, may it please the Tribunal. I will just refer to the reference in the last paragraph. (Reading):

"No. 50, April 8, 1937.

"Re: Mailing of revised South Seas line passenger rate schedule.

"The revised passenger rate schedule for this route, which has been sent to and is awaiting the approval of the South Seas government at present, is being sent to you (one set enclosed; ten sets under separate cover). Hence, use it as stated below, as though it had been approved. However, for caution's sake, we would like to add that the out trip rates for Osaka-Kobe-Moji-Yokohama run of all lines and the out trip rates for the Osaka-Kobe-Moji-Kulung-Naba run and the return trip rates for the Naka-Kobe run of the Saipan line have not been changed and are the same as stated in the notification, Passenger, South Seas Branch #183, dated December 21, 1936."

I will omit the next paragraph and go on to the last. (Reading):

"To overseas branches:

"The above is being diseminated for reference, and the restriction against accepting foreign passengers has not been changed, as notified previously."

"No. 21

"No. 211, Mar. 13, 1939.

"Re: Ban against accepting foreign passengers for South Sea line.

"In regard to this matter, instructions were given in Passenger, South Seas Branch (185, dated March 28, 1933, and in Passenger, South Seas Branch, (129, dated November 4, 1935, but in view of the current trend of increase in the number of foreigners desiring passage to Inner South Sea Islands, it is desired that further attention be given to the following items;

- "1. As a general principle, do not accept foreigners for this route. If a foreigner is to be accepted, send in the application with the details of the Passenger Department of the main office for approval.
- "2. As reasons for refusal, state that the ships on this route are not equipped to accommodate foreigners in regard to facilities and meals and that there are no vacancies at present.
- "3. Point out the fact that there is no hotel equipped to accommodate foreigners in the Inner South Seas Area.
- "4. When information is obtained in regard to foreign passengers planning to tour Inner South Seas,

4 5

7 8

)

Further evidence of Japan's policy of excluding foreign nationals from the Islands and, it is submitted, of the military and naval preparations which she was making there, is contained in pages 64, 65 and 66 of Court exhibit No. 58. These are papers relating to the foreign relations of the United States and Japan. I will read, if the Tribunal pleases, those pages.

"Telegram

"The Secretary of State to the Ambassador in Japan (Grew). (Faraphrase).

"Tashington, Lune 13, 1936.

has requested each year that the American Government extend facilities in its territorial waters off the Alaskan coasts to two Javanese Covernment ships and vermit their entry into harbors in Alaska and in the Alcutian Islands that are not open, ordinarily, to foreign corverce. In the case of one of the vessels in cuastion it was stated that its purpose in visiting these waters and harbors was the making of studies in connection with protection of fur-bearing seals; in the case of the second vessel, however, it was not suggested that the visits would be rade on basis of any treaty or formal arrangement between the American Government and the Covernment of Javan. The Government of the United States has accounted, nevertheless,

to the requests of the Covernment of Jaran in this regard.

jecture has existed for some time past over harbor developments or fortifications in possessions which both Jaran and the United States have in the Facific. To objection to the visits of Jaranese Government vessels to the territorial waters and closed harbors of claska has been made by this Government, as it was believed that the opportunities which were even in this way for observation by Jaranese vessels would serve to remove any suspicion which the Government of Jaran might hold that any improvements have been made of such a nature as would violate either the letter of the spirit of the naval treaty signed on February 6, 1922.

ernrent of Jaran so far has not accepted an attitude similarly liberal in the face of allegations that in the Jaranese mandated islands of the Facific inprovements are being carried out which are irreconcilable with Jaran's treaty obligations not to fortify those islands. We can understand that the Government of Jaran should be reluctant to give any countenance to irresponsible allegations, but nevertheless, that Government undoubtedly shares with the Covernment of the United States

the view that persistent suspicion, with regard to this matter, is provocative of mutual distrust, and that such suspicion, therefore, should be dispelled.

shortly to the Asiatic station according to the Navy Derestrent rlans. The Jaranese Government will thus have presented to it an error tunity to extend to a vessel of this Gove. Ament courtesies at the larger unor ened ports of the Facific mandated islands, as well as at the oren ports. An invitation by the Government of Japan for the Alden to visit those ports would have, in our eyinion, highly beneficial results from the point of view of relations between the two nations.

our views as we have sketched them. If no objection is perceived, please present these views informally and orally to the Minister for Foreign Affairs, putting forward the suggestion outlined in the foregoing paragraph as on your own initiative.

"Inform the Department currently by telegraph.
"Hull."

"Telegram

10

11

12

14

15

20

21

22

23

25

"The Ambassador in Japan (Grew) to the Secretary of State. (paraphrase). "Tokyo, July 8, 1936.

"Today I made suggestions, as on my own initia-

tive, to the Minister of Foreign Affairs with regard to an invitation to the Alden to visit open and unopened ports in the Facific islands under Japanese mandate.

"Marked interest was shown by the Minister in the situation as I described it, but he professed not to know anything at all about the subject. He told me that he would see what there was that could be done and that he would try to give me, before July 20, the results of his inquiries.

"Grew."

"Telegram

"The Ambassador in Japan (Grew) to the Secretary of State. (Faraphrase). "Tokyo, July 28, 1936.

"At the request of the Vice Minister for Foreign Affairs, the counselor of the Embassy called on the Vice Minister to discuss certain questions that had been broached by the Ambassador to the Minister for Foreign Affairs. One of these questions related to the possibility of the visit by the Alden to ports in the Japanese mandated islands. This call was the only opportunity that presented itself for discussion of the matter since the visit of the Ambassador on July 8.

"The Vice Minister stated that the suggestion of the Ambassador had been referred to the Ministry of Overseas Affairs but that no reply had been received."

He further stated that there would probably be consultation with other government departments. In response to a query by the Counselor, he expressed the fear that the Foreign Office had no way of expediting

"The manner of the Vice Minister was friendly, but it indicated that the Foreign Office could do

"Grew."

"The Acting Secretary of State to the Ambassador of Japan (Grew). (Faraphrase).

"Washington, August 7, 1936.

"Embassy's telegram No. 163, July 28, 1 p.m., with regard to the suggestion made relative to the Alden, the Department assumes that there is no prospect that the Japanese authorities will take favorable action. In reply to a communication from the Japanese Embassy here, the Department is today returning an adverse answer to that Embassy's request that the Japanese Government training ship Shintoku Maru be permitted to enter a Hawaiian harbor which is not listed as a port of entry." "Phillips."

24

21

22

23

If it please the Tribunal, that is all the evidence it is proposed to tender at this stage on this aspect of the case, but Admiral Richardson in the testimony to be given by him later in the case will give some additional evidence relating to this aspect.

My colleague, Captain Robinson, will now present evidence in connection with Japan's Naval Preparation for War.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Robinson.

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal: It is now proposed to present evidence to show Japanese naval preparations for wars of aggression, as charged particularly in Counts 1 to 30, and specifically in Appendix A, Section 5-a. Documentary evidence will now be presented to show Japanese naval opposition to ratification of the Lond on Naval Limitation Treaty of 1930.

I present to the clerk IPS document No. 1124.

It is a book entitled "Minutes of the Committee

Meetings for the Year 1930, Secretariat of the Privy

Council." The certificate of the Secretary of the

Privy Council is attached. I request that the clerk

give to this IPS document a Court exhibit number for

identification only.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 1124 will receive exhibit No. 910 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 910 for identification.)

an excerpt from this Court exhibit. The excerpt, in English text, consists of 42 pages. It is the minutes of the first to the thirteenth meetings of the Investigation Committee for the Ratification of the London Naval Treaty of 1930. The dates of the meetings extend from 18 August 1930 to 26 September 1930.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1124A will receive exhibit No. 910A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 910A and received in evidence.)

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: I shall read extracts from court exhibit No. 910-A. It is first to be observed that the accused HIRANUMA is listed as present at each of these meetings, serving in the capacity of Vice-President of the Privy Council.

I shall now read from page 9, bottom paragraph of court exhibit 920-A:

"Counciller KANEKO charged that it is very unfortunate that the former as well as the present Cabinet regards the Privy Council as an enemy, and added that according to the newspapers the Administrative Vice Minister of Foreign Affairs, NAGAI, in his speech at the oratorical meeting of the MINSEI TO held at Hibiya Fublic Hall on August 20th, stated as follows: 'If the Privy Council interferes with the ratification of the London Treaty, which the Cabinet intends to conclude for the sake of promoting international peace and to lighten the national burden, we must fight them as the public enemy of our nation.'"

I shall now read from page 17 of exhibit 910-A, the third paragraph -- page 16 is the first insert; page 16, the third paragraph on page 16:

"Councillor KAWAI asked what the Premier meant when he answered in the Lower House that the Government would be solely responsible for national

defense. The Premier answered that he had just replied 1 that it was unnecessary to discuss in the Diet the 2 details of the negotiations between the military 3 administration organization and the military command organization; and that as the Navy General Staff was 5 not responsible to the outside, the Government would be responsible. The said Councillor again asked on 7 what grounds the Premier had, regardless of the objection of the military, decided that the military 9 strength decided upon by the Treaty was sufficient for our national defense; and what he meant when he said that the strength decided upon by the present agreement was only a part of our country's military 14 strength. The said Councillor continued to ask about the authority possessed by the Chief of the Navy General Staff; and how the Premier could say, with a military strength of which the Chief of the Navy General Staff did not approve, that our national defense was secure. The Premier replied to each of 20 these questions." 21

Now, at page 17, the middle paragraph: "After this the same Councillor (KAWAI) pointed out that the Premier's explanation in the Privy Council was quite different from that which he had made in the Diet where he had stated that the

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

Government held the right to decide military 1 strength. The Premier answered that he had not 2 stated that the Government held the right of decision, 3 but he had only said that the matter of concluding 4 treaties should be decided by the Cabinet. He answered 5 also that he could not state the scope and extent to 6 which he took the military's opinion into consideration, as this was a confidential matter. Thereupon, 8 the same Councillor asked how the Premier could explain 9 that agreement had been reached when the matter required agreement by the military. The Premier ex-11 plained that it was because he had thought that the 12 military had had no objection after all."

I shall next read from page 20, the bottom paragraph, and continuing with the top paragraph on page 21:

"Then Councillor KAMAI asked to be allowed to speak, stating that he desired to bring the questions he had been asking for some time now to a conclusion, but as the Chairman said that there would be a further chance of reviewing the questions some other day, the said Councillor stated that it was simply beyond his comprehension that the Nevy Minister should say in his reply in the House of Peers that the age when the strength of force determines all had

10

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

1 p p 3 p 4 a 5 a 6 0 7

already passed, and advocate that the most important point as regards armaments lay in keeping them in a perfect state at all times. He argued that Japan's armaments should be based upon the possibility of a crisis in the peace of the Orient with America or other Third Powers intervening in Sino-Japanese relations over rights and interests in Manchuria and Mongolia, and demanded to know the Government's opinion on the matter.

"To this, the Premier replied that it was a

fact that the ratio of strengths, vis-a-vis America,

21 22

provided in this treaty would become more unfavorable for Japan the nearer we got to 1936, and therefore it would be quite natural that he /Councillor KAMAI/ should worry about Japan's national defense, provided that it was interpreted in a narrow sense, but, the Premier continued, he believed that friendly relations with other Powers, financial adjustments and other such matters were likewise the essentials of national defense. Therefore, although he too was dissatisfied with some phases of the national defense he was determined to put up with the present state of things for the time being; and although we would have to bear with the unfavorable ratio till the end of 1936, we would be able to build ships from the beginning of 1937.

4 5

and so we had better make preparations within the scope admitted by the treaty. If we commenced our ship-building simultaneously with the expiration of the treaty terms, we would soon be able to hold 70% as against America; and finally the Premier asserted that it was a groundless fear that America would raise trouble in China, taking advantage of the period when the ratio would be low for our country."

Turning to page 25, the middle paragraph, the second main paragraph:

"The Navy Minister replied that if the treaty failed to be concluded, then the United States would be hostile to Japan and there would be no guarantee that she would not undertake direct competition with our country in warship construction; that although the ratio against the United States in the 8-inch gun cruiser class would fall to 60% and the cruisers would be of old age, as pointed out by the Councillor, and no one could say that no trouble would occur then, it was not true that 6-inch gun cruisers could not necessarily stand up against 8-inch gun cruisers. He added that some admirals even asserted that they cou'd successfully sink one 8-inch gun cruiser with four destroyers."

I turn to page 29 of the minutes, the bottom

paragraph of page 29:

"Councillor KANEKO said that, according to the report of the United States Senate, what the United States fears most is submarines, and therefore the more the number of Japanese submarines was reduced, the more powerful the United States would become. Stating that as long as Japan possessed submarines, there was nothing to be afraid of from the United States, that world peace and international faith were merely outward courtesies, and that peace was untenable without repletion of military strength, he discussed the indispensability of submarines."

I turn to page 34, the bottom paragraph on page 34:

"Councillor KUBOTA expressed opinion that
for national defense, wealth and diplomacy were necessary in addition to military power, and although
knowledge and spirit were most essential, yet there
was no other way to settle international disputes but
to resort to arms in the end. Japan's importance
today in the world lay in her military power alone.
The London Conference was where Britain and the United
States gave expression to their avarice under the fine
names of universal peace and the lightening of burdens,
but they were only afraid of Japan's military power. "

-

• 7

"Such being the situation, he said, he was most concerned over the recent question of the Supreme Command and thought it was essential that the Navy Minister and the Navy Chief of Staff come to an agreement in deciding the military strength. Therefore, upon hearing that the Minister concerned had replied in his recent instructions that the two had come to an agreement he was greatly relieved."

I shall quote two more extracts, page 35, the middle and bottom paragraphs: This is Councillor KANEKO talking.

"Japanese-American relations would be at their most dangerous point about 1937. The United States would most certainly purchase the South Manchuria Railway in cooperation with China and would attempt to drive Japanese influence out of Manchuria and Mongolia. Such being the case, it was extremely important to supplement the lack of military strength caused by the London Treaty. Justice, he said, was only superficial courtesy between nations, and the last resort was military power alone. That Japan could abolish extra-territoriality was due entirely to the Sino-Japanese War. On the other hand, the Netherlands which had once been known as the world's wealthiest country had fallen today to the position of a third-rate

country because of the limitation of her armaments.

That a small country like Japan could advance into the world as one of the Five Great Powers was due entirely to the military men. The Treaty of Portsmouth was also a reward of Japan's military's victory."

And the concluding paragraph at the bottom of that page:

"As the Japanese military system was characteristic of Japan, being based on the Japanese fighting spirit, soul, and national structure, it should be perfected to the last. On the basis of the speeches made in the United States Senate there could be no doubt that the London Treaty was a preparation for pressure against Japan after 1936."

I now present to the Clerk IPS document No.

891. This is a book entitled, "A Record of the Privy
Council Concerning the Ratification of the London
Naval Treaty of 1930," dated 1 October 1930. The
certificate of the secretary of the Privy Council is
attached. I request that the Clerk give this document
a court exhibit number for identification only.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 891 will receive exhibit No. 911 for identification
only.

(Thereupon, the document above

referred to was marked prosecution's 1 exhibit 911 for identification.) CAPTAIN ROBINSON: I now offer in evidence 3 an excerpt from court exhibit No. 911, marked for 4 identification only. The excerpt in its English trans-5 lation consists of twenty-four pages. It is the 6 7 record of the conference of the Privy Council regard-8 ing the Imperial ratification of the London Naval Treaty of 1930, held on Wednesday, 1 October 1930. 10 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 12 No. 891-A will receive exhibit No. 911-A. 13 (Whereupon, the document above 14 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 15 No. 911-A and was received in evidence.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

extracts from Court exhibit No. 911-A. It is first to be observed that the accused HIRANUMALIS listed as present at the meeting in the capacity of Vice-President of the Privy Council. I shall now read from page 13 of the document; page 13 middle paragraph.

"No. 4 (HAMAGUCHI): I should now like to state briefly the opinion of the Government on today's problem of ratification of the London Naval Treaty. The object of the London Naval Treaty, as has just been reported by the Chief of the Investigation Committee, is to prevent the danger of competitive armament for the sake of world peace, and to reduce the burden of taxes; and this treaty has been signed and sealed by the representatives of the Five Powers -- Japan, Britain, the U.S., France and Italy. As far as successful in an agreement on the limitation of auxiliary ships which had not been realized at the Washington and Geneva Conferences, and have been able to put a limitation on every type of ship."

I shall now read from page 18, the bottom paragraph:

"No. 5 (TAKAR/BE): The first point of your question seems to be, when did the so-called three great principles come into existence, which did not

exist in 1927/Showa 2/, at the time of the Geneva Conference. Of course, there was no such thing as the three great principles at the time of the Geneva Conference. To be precise, even at the last conference, 4 they have neither been clearly implied in the instructions given to the plenipotentiaries nor have they been formally declared. Why, then, have there been rumors about them?" 8 Next, the following page, the bottom of page 9 19 next to the bottom paragraph: 10 "The so-called three great principles are

concerned with the military strength necessary for the execution of the plan of operations based on the national defense policy decided in 1923/Taisho 12/. Thus, the three points - 70 per cent in total, 70 per cent in cruisers with 8-inch guns, and the present strength in submarines - were instructed. No mention was made as to which of the three would be the more important, and the expression the three great principles! was not used in any of the official documents. Just because these three were the main points in our demands, people called them the three great principles. navy has always attached importance to them.

"As has been explained by Councillor ISHII, we had failed to accomplish our demand of 70 per

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cent in capital ships at the Washington Conference. and it was decided at 60 per cent; and also at the Geneva Conference our strength in auxiliary ships was set at 60 per cent in the provisional compromise draft between Japan and Britain. Accordingly, our Naval authorities, after years and years of investigations, were well aware of the difficulties in carrying through our demand of 70 per cent. In view of these former experiences, they had instructed our plenipotentiaries with the three great principles, for the first time, just before the opening of the last Conference. Prepared to fight with their backs against the wall, they put every effort into obtaining the understanding of the whole nation. For this purpose, young officers made trips to various places and gave lectures, greatly emphasizing the importance of the three great principles. Some of them seem to have pushed their arguments to extremes by stressing that it would mean the ruin of our nation if we would fail to accomplish these principles. These were all manifestations of their patriotic spirit, and as a result, the public opinion was thoroughly unified. I believe this has had much to do with our success at the Conference in obtaining the ratio of 70 per cent in total tonnage." And a final quotation at page 22, beginning

Ò

18 19

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

23

24

with the main paragraph:

"No. 4 (HAMAGUCHI): As regards the London Treaty, there had been, prior to its being referred to the Privy Council for deliberation, various rumors in public about the influence it will have upon the political world, and some mischievous elements, in line with these rumors, had been spreading a number of wild tales. For this reason, there were indications that unrest had been arising in the political and financial worlds. The treaty was referred to the Privy Council for deliberation on July 24, and after preliminary investigations by the Secretariat of the Council, it was brought before the Investigation Committee which convened for the first time on August 18. Since then more than fifty days had passed till September 17, and the meeting of the Committee had been held twelve times during that period. Meanwhile, the newspapers in Tokyo, not being informed of the proceedings of the Investigation Committee which had been kept secret, indulged in conjectures and published a number of articles. Readers, ignorant o. the circumstances, would either harbor suspicions about the destiny of the treaty, or would be sceptical about a probable discord between the Privy Council and the Government. Various organizations, taking

23

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

other to attempt mischief-making; and among the various articles, there were some that could be classified as reprehensible. They were openly or secretly distributed to various quarters, and every means of alienation and slander were attempted. This being the situation, it was impossible for people to discriminate truth from falsehood and good from bad. As a result, it is a fact that cannot be concealed, that the general public was driven to an indescribable sort of unrest and unhappiness. Even if it were not so, public feeling today is apt to lack stability and self-possession, and it is deplorable that such a condition should long continue.

"As long as the destiny of this treaty remains undecided, it will not only be impossible to eradicate this type of unrest, but it is evident that there will be a tendency for the unrest in public feeling to gradually increase, incited by daily articles in newspapers and all the other scandalous propaganda. The problem of the financial world in particular, is what the Government cannot help but be mostly concerned about."

I shall now offer in evidence documents to show Japanese naval activities in opposition to the

Naval Limitation Treaties, mainly the Washington 1 Treaty of 1922, the London Treaty of 1930 and the 2 London Treaty of 1936. 3 Documentary evidence will now be presented 4 from Court exhibit No. 58 already in evidence -- Court 5 exhibit 58, I believe it is before the Court -- pages 6 1 to 63, which include pages 249 to 306 of Volume I, 7 State Department papers relating to the foreign 8 relations of the United States and Japan, 1931-1941. 9 I shall read first at pages 5 to 9, the 10 communication from the United States Ambassador, 11 Joseph C. Grew, to Secretary of State, Cordell Hull, 12 13 dated at Tokyo, 15 September 1933: 14 "Sir:"--15 This is a rather long letter. THE PRESIDENT: 16 I think you had better read it in the morning, Captain. 17 We will adjourn now until half past nine tomorrow 18 morning. 19 (Whereupon, at 1558, an adjournment was taken until Friday 1 November 1946, at 20 21 0930.) 22 23

24

