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ABSTRACT

Statistical study of 418 marten skulls gives evidence for the subspecific status of *brumalis*, *americana*, *actuosa*, *caurina* and *nesophila*. *Abietinoideae* is probably separable from *americana*. *Vancouverensis*, *caurina* and *origenes* cannot however be separated. Geographic distribution of the latter three suggests that for the time being their status should not be changed.

Only one species occurs in North America, according to the unpublished work of Wright. This is divided into two groups, referable to the formerly conceived species *americana* and *caurina*.

The genus *Martes* arose in the lower Pliocene and segregated into the subgenera of martens and fisher during the Pliocene. The *americana* group preceded *caurina* to North America, both arriving sometime before the Kansan. Between the Kansan and the Illinoian the *americana* group divided into two branches, and by the Wisconsin or earlier, *origenes* had separated from *caurina*.

During the Wisconsin ice advance marten found refuge in four regions: the lake states, the Rocky Mountains, the Coast Range and Alaska. Repopulation of North America with the ice retreat occurred from these refugia, during which time or later final subspeciation took place.
A CONTRIBUTION TO THE CLASSIFICATION AND PHYLOGENY
OF THE MARTEN (SUBGENUS MARTES PINEL) OF NORTH AMERICA
WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THOSE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

INTRODUCTION

The present study has been carried out for the purpose of increasing knowledge concerning (1) the classification and (2) the phylogeny of the Martens (subgenus Martes Pinel) of North America, with emphasis on those of British Columbia.

Past studies which have been made on the subgenus, as far as it occurs in North America have been few. Rhoads (1902) has published the only paper concerned solely with this group and is the source of most subsequent references to it. Other authors of importance are referred to throughout the paper following.

Sincere appreciation for assistance in this study is due Dr. I. McT. Cowan under whom it was made, for advice given and for help in obtaining the specimens studied; to Dr. W. A. Clemens for advice and encouragement; and to Mr. Y. Edwards, Mr. W. Cottle and Mr. R. Webb who put specimens at my disposal. Thanks are also due the custodians of the various museums who have made specimens available.
to me. Dr. P. L. Wright has kindly made unpublished findings of his own available to me, for which I am indebted. I wish to thank my wife as well for continued assistance and encouragement.

METHODS

The present study has been divided into two parts, as described above: (I) classification and (II) phylogeny.

Classification in this paper is concerned mainly with skull characteristics. Four hundred and eighteen skulls, from the collection of the University of British Columbia Museum, the British Columbia Provincial Museum, the National Museum of Canada, the Royal Ontario Museum, the Museum of the University of Michigan, the Carnegie Museum and the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, were studied, employing the statistical methods presented by Simpson and Roe (1939).

Originally a series of 32 standardized measurements, ratios and sums were made on each skull from British Columbia. Parameters for these were calculated and compared. Those which did not show significant differences between samples or were possessed of a high coefficient of variability were discarded. Eventually six skull characteristics were found to be of use. These are used throughout this study, and include (1) condylobasal length (2) rostral width (3) upper tooth row (4) bulla length (5) ratio of canine width divided by bulla length and (6) the sum of the width plus the length of the upper molar. The precise definitions of these are given in the Appendix. The first and third measurements, because of their variability could be taken only on adult skulls. Immature specimens were separated from mature ones
using the methods described by Rhoads (1902) and Marshall (1951). Because the characters used in obtaining the ratio in measurement (5) and the sum in measurement (6) showed a small coefficient of variability themselves, it was assumed that differential growth rates did not affect their use together. For each sample measured, the mean, standard deviation, coefficient of variability and their standard errors, were calculated and the samples compared statistically. All measurements are given in centimeters.

The conclusions concerning phylogeny presented in the second part of this paper were arrived at after (1) examination and comparison of the skulls mentioned above and (2) a careful review of the pertinent literature.

A bibliography of about eight hundred titles concerned with the classification, phylogeny and ecology of the marten has been prepared during this study. It is expected that this will be deposited in the library of the University of British Columbia.

PART A. CLASSIFICATION:

Results:

Samples of skulls (sometimes discouragingly small) referable to the following supposed populations (subspecies) were examined: *nesophila*, *vancouverensis*, *caurina*, *origenes*, *sierrae*, *humboldtensis*, *actuosa*, *Boria*, *abieticola*, *abietinoides*, *americana* and *brumalis*. No specimens representative of supposed *atrata* or *kenaiensis* were observed.
GENUS MARTES PINEL 1792

1758 Mustella Linnaeus, vol. 1, p. 45; type Mustela Maries Linnaeus
1798 Zibeline Buffon and D'Aubenton, vol. 7, p. 309
1765 Fouine Buffon and D'Aubenton, vol. 13, p. 161
1775 Martes Frisch, p. 11
1777 Mustella Scopoli, p. 491
1792 Martes, Pinel, vol. 1, p. 55; type, Martes domestica Pinel
1820 Martes, Nilsson, vol. 1, p. 38
1829 Zibellina Kaup, p. 31
1848 Charonia Gistel, p. 559
1865 Pekania Gray, p. 107
1865 Charronia Gray, p. 108
1865 Foina Gray, p. 108
1911 Martes Thomas, p. 139
1928 Lamprogale Ognev, p. 26

The genus Martes has been recognized under different names as an entity since Linnaeus' 10th edition. These authors formerly giving it the name Mustela called weasels Putorius. Mustela is now reserved for the weasels and Putorius for the polecats.

The authority for the name Martes is at present in some doubt. Buffon's terminology has never been considered Linnaean and for that reason illegitimate. Thomas (1911) and Brongersma (1941) likewise
invalidate Linnaeus' Mustela as the name, the former stating that "the ermine was clearly the Mustela of both Gesner and Linnaeus and should therefore be treated as the type species".

Alton (1879) states that "several systematists ... have assigned the Linnaean title Mustela to the martens ... on the ground that the ... name was only employed ... to mark sous-genres, and was not used binomially to indicate genera. The first definite separation was made three years later by Nilsson, who gave the generic name Martes to the present group...."

Palmer (1904) however, gives credit for the name to Frisch (1775). Thomas and Miller (1905) reported that Frisch had not been sufficiently pure in his usage of binomials, and was thus invalidated. Hershkovitz (1948) discredited Frisch for the same reason.

Pinel (1792) is the next authority to use the name Martes. There is however, even here, some question as to Pinel's absolute purity. Thus we arrive at Nilsson (1820) again. If Nilsson should not pass then the name Martes would be lost, for the next to employ the name used it for a group of viverrids. (Wagler 1830).

Until final judgment is passed by the International Commission, authority for the name must remain open to question. For the purpose of this paper, however, it seems best to consider Pinel the authority for the name Martes as do most current students.

Most systematists consider the genus to be comprised of three subgenera: Pekania Gray 1865, Tomictis Hall 1931, and Martes Pinel 1792.
The subgenus *Martes* is constituted of the true martens. The present study recognizes one species as existing in North America.

Schmidt (1943) lists three species (*foina, martes* and *zibellina*) from Eurasia (Fig. 1). Of interest in this respect is Ponomarev's (1946) successful hybridization of *martes* and *zibellina*. Thus probably between two and four species (in the modern sense) of marten exist in the world today. However, future taxonomic work will possibly result in a lowering of this number. Mayr (1942) points out that Miller in 1912 considered 76% of his species monotypic. While Okland in 1937 listed only 55% monotypic. There appear to be far fewer monotypic species of mammals than has formerly been recognized and this doubtless holds in the case of the Eurasian marten, as it has in those of America.

In the past, from one to half a dozen species of marten have been considered to occupy North America (Table I). Fourteen subspecies have been described as occurring but never all at any one time or by any one author.

Rafinesque (1819) considered the New World marten a distinct species as did DeKay (1842). Richardson (1829) considered it only a variety of the European *Mustela martes* and Brandt (1855) a variety of the Asiatic *Mustela zibellina*.

Baird in 1857 and 1859 named one species *M. americana* as occurring in North America, and this distinct from Old World forms.
Fig. I

(To follow page 6)
Fig. 1. Original distribution of Eurasian Marten. Not shown is the subspecies of *Zibellina* inhabiting Sakhalin Island. From Stirton (1939) after Ognev (1928), and from Schmidt (1943).
That he was in some doubt as to its exact status is revealed by his statement (1859) that "I am ... inclined to the belief that we have two species, one representing the pine marten ... the other similar to the sable ... both probably distinct from the corresponding Old World species."

Gray in 1865 distinguished between old and new world forms by the small size and peculiar shape of the upper molar in the latter. He separated the one species, *americana*, into three "varieties": *abietinoides* whose "habitat" was the "Rocky Mountains," *huro* of "Fort Franklin" and *leucopus* whose habitat is not named. I have found it difficult to relate Gray's varieties to the forms designated by more recent workers.

In 1874 Ames listed but did not describe two American species, *M. americana* and *M. martinus*. Allen in 1869 refused to accept the presence of an American marten distinct from the Eurasian. He believed "beyond reasonable doubt that ... all thus far described belong to a single circumpolar species, with possibly one or two ... continental races." He called the American form *Mustela martes* Linnaeus. In 1876 Allen acknowledged the new world form as a distinct species on the basis of the lack of an "inner cusp on the second lower molar," and named it *Mustela americana*. At the same time he pointed out the increase in size of the skulls of marten taken from more northern latitudes.

Coues (1877) and True (1884) named only one species, *M. americana*. 
Table I
(To follow page 7)
Table I. Former interpretations of the numbers of species and subspecies of North American marten. The bracketed numbers represent the number of subspecies listed under that particular species. In the two columns at the right are the total number of species and subspecies named by each author. Note that Anderson (1946) considered only Canadian forms.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Richardson</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td><em>Mustela martes</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandt</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td><em>Mustela zibellina var. americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baird</td>
<td>1857 &amp; 59</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>1865</td>
<td><em>Martes americana (3)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>1869</td>
<td><em>Mustela martes</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana. M. martinus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coues</td>
<td>1877</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliot</td>
<td>1901</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller &amp; Rehn</td>
<td>1901</td>
<td><em>M. caurina</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhoads</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td><em>M. atrata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (2)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td><em>M. atrata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (3)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (6)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (3)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1760</td>
<td><em>Mustela martes</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1829</td>
<td><em>Mustela zibellina var. americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1855</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1865</td>
<td><em>Martes americana (3)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869</td>
<td><em>Mustela martes</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1874</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana. M. martinus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1884</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td><em>M. caurina</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td><em>M. atrata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td><em>M. brumalis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (2)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td><em>M. atrata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td><em>M. boria</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td><em>M. kenaiensis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (2)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>M. atrata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>M. brumalis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>M. boria</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>M. nesophila</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1924</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (3)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1924</td>
<td><em>M. atrata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1924</td>
<td><em>M. brumalis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1924</td>
<td><em>M. boria</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1924</td>
<td><em>M. nesophila</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (3)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td><em>M. atrata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td><em>M. brumalis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td><em>M. nesophila</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (6)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td><em>M. atrata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td><em>M. brumalis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td><em>M. nesophila</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td><em>M. caurina (3)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td><em>M. atrata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td><em>M. brumalis</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With Elliot in 1901 the new era of taxonomic splitting had begun, probably originating with Merriam's (1890) description of caurina. This trend was continued through Miller and Rehn (1901) to Rhoads (1902) the first and last monographer of the groups. Working on 129 American and several foreign skulls, Rhoads divided the new world marten into three species and seven subspecies.

Elliot in 1905 listed six species and eleven subspecies. In 1912 Miller on the basis of Thomas' (1911) findings, changed the generic name from Mustela to Martes.

Miller's (1924) catalogue is to-day considered the standard in systematics. He lists six species and twelve subspecies. Anthony in 1928, Allen in 1942 and Anderson in 1946 all made minor changes in the classification of the group, Allen listing only two species.

At present it appears likely that only one species of marten exists in North America, as was suggested as early as 1946 by Hall. Dr. P. L. Wright (letter of July 9th 1951) informs me that statistical treatment of 250 skulls from Idaho and Montana shows complete intergradation between the two primary subspecies groups of American marten, thus indicating that they are probably conspecific. If these two major subdivisions fail to show genetic isolation it is very likely that none exists in the remaining less differentiated groups. Wright's work, as well as other signs of intergradation noted in this study, lead to the conclusion that in all probability only one species inhabits the continent.

The present study shows that all American marten fall into two main subspecies groups, namely the americana group (formerly Martes
The original distribution of marten kept "very close to the Canadian Faunal Region" (Seton 1929). That its distribution corresponds very closely to that of the narrow-leaved evergreen life form of tree is well known. (See Seton's 1929 map) Jurgenson (1939) reported that marten correlate well with the distribution of fir forests of the European U. S. S. R., but that preferred even to this is a mixed deciduous-coniferous forest ("Piceatum composition"). Emmons (1840), Rhoads (1903), Allen (1904) and Morris (1948) however remark that marten are partial to hardwood as well as coniferous forests.

The distribution of the marten, as it is assumed to have existed in North America prior to the advent of the white man, is mapped in Fig. 2. All faunal lists known and available to me have been used in its compilation. The mappings of the distribution of the Boreal and West Coast coniferous floras have been used in delimiting much of the periphery of the range, however. Earlier maps have been prepared by Seton (1929) and Anderson (1934) for North America, and by Cory (1912) and Hamilton (1943) for the eastern half of the continent. Fig: 3 maps in analogous fashion the distribution limits as understood at present in British Columbia. It is well known that the present range of marten is far different from what it was prior to the coming of the white man to the New World (See Allen 1942, Seton 1929). Huxley (1940) has mapped the present range of the Asiatic marten (after Ognev, 1928-30) as has de Vos (1951) for those of Ontario. Both show the populations of their respective regions to be broken into many (forty in Ontario) small isolated groups, none in contact with the other, and to possess a
Fig. 2. Hypothetical distribution of marten in North America prior to the advent of white men; based on faunal lists, state and continental range maps, and where these are inadequate, on the forest maps of Bowman (1911), Brown (1950), Halliday (1937), and Shantz and Zon (1924). Circles mark type localities of the subspecies named as occurring in that area (from Anderson 1934b). The numbers represent the following subspecies: 1 Martes americana atrata; 2 M. A. brumalis; 3 M. a. americana; 4 M. a. abieticola; 5 M. a. actuosa; 6 M. a. Kenaiensis; 7 M. a. nesophila 8 M. a. caurina; 9 M. A. vancouverensis; 10 M. a. abietinoides; 11 M. a. origenes; 12 M. a. humboldtensis; 13 M. a. sierrae. Stippled areas represent supposed regions of intergradation.
southern limit far north of what it originally was. The range maps presented with this study are meant to be only approximations of the original distribution of the animal concerned. The method of mapping areas of intergradation in these is described in the discussions of subspecies.

SUBSPECIES GROUP 1., AMERICANA

Nomenclature and classification: Formerly the species americana and its subspecies.

Characteristics: Ratio of canine width at base - /

bullae length nearer to .90 than to 1.50 in males, .85 than .95 in females. Upper molar length width nearer to 1.20 cm. than to 1.35 cm. in males, 1.05 cm. than to 1.20 cm. in females.

Distribution: All marten east of the Coast Range, excluding those of the Rocky Mountain Chain south of the 49th parallel and those of the Sierra Nevadas, are of this group.

MARTES AMERICANA AMERICANA (KERR 1792)

1772 Mustela martes, Forster, p. 372 (after Linnaeus)
1792 Mustela zibellina americana Kerr p.
1802 Mustela americanus, Turton, vol. 1, p. 60.
1819 Mustela vulpina Rafinesque, p. 82
1820 Mustela leucopus Kuhl, p. 74
1823 Mustela huro Cuvier, vol. 29, p. 256
Fig. 3.

(To follow page 10.)
Fig. 3. Hypothetical distribution of marten in British Columbia prior to the advent of white men; based largely on the faunal maps of Edwards (1950) and Munro and Cowan (1947). Stippled areas represent regions of supposed intergradation.


1843 Martes leucopus, Gray, p. 63

1844 Mustela (Martes) huro Schintz, p. 337.

1844 Mustela (Martes) leucopus, Schintz, p. 337.

1844 Mustela (Martes) vulpina, Schintz, p. 377.

1855 Mustela zibellina var. americana Brandt p. 16.

1865 Martes americana var. abietinoides, huro and leucopus Gray, p. 106.

1874 Mustela martimus Ames, p. 69.

1885 Mustela americana, True, p. 610

1912 Martes americana americana, Miller, p. 92.

Type locality: "North America" (Turton 1802); "Eastern North America" (Miller 1900 and most authors); "Upper Missouri ?" (Elliot 1901); "The region occupied by the small pale martens of southern Canada and the northern United States" (Rhoads 1902).

Type specimen: None, to my knowledge, unless it is that of Mustela martes described by Forster (1772) after Linnaeus' description.

Nomenclature: The authority for the name M. a. americana has generally been attributed to Turton (1806). Rhoads (1902) however pointed out that Turton's 1802 edition just contained the name, and my examination of Turton's earlier edition confirms his conclusion.

Allen (1895) stated that Kerr (1792), while not strictly
Linnaean, was sufficiently so to make his terminology legitimate. He stated that Turton copied many of his names, including "Mustela americana", from Kerr and that it is only due to the scarcity of Kerr's book that these have been attributed to Turton. Although I have not examined Kerr, the authority for the name, on Allen's statement, must rest with him until otherwise is shown.

Classification: Although Baird, as has been pointed out earlier, was the first to accept Turton's designation, Gray (1865) was the first to base the separation on skull morphology - "the small size of the last tubercular molar" in americana. Merriam in his description of caurina (1890) listed many characteristics peculiar to americana. However, credit goes to Rhoads (1902) for the first, and last, thorough analysis of the species. He found Coues', Gray's and Allen's separation of americana from martes, foina and zibellina on the basis of "the slight constriction with resulting rectangular shape" of the upper molar was fully warranted. Further he affirmed Allen's statement that americana almost always lacked the inner cusp of the second lower molar.

Rhoads found that marten from the Cascades and Pacific coast resembled foina however, far more than americana or Rocky Mountain forms, in the characteristics of the upper molar, flat cranium and broad zygomatic breadth. Great dissimilarity with zibellina was noted. On the other hand he found caurina
and foina similar in the small size of the bulla, and breadth and flatness of the brain case. In respect to sagittal crest, frontal constriction and teeth, he found closer relationship between caurina and zibellina.

He concluded that the caurina subspecies group is connected "far more closely with Eurasian than with the American type of marten ..." and are isolated members of the "martes-zibellina group".

Characteristics: Next to abietinoides, from which it is separated with difficulty, it is probably the smallest marten of the americana group. The two, however, are geographically isolated by intermediate populations of abieticola and actuosa.

### MALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>7.982</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.223</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>2.930</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.677</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>.847</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW L</td>
<td>1.215</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.062</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FEMALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>7.252</td>
<td>.086</td>
<td>.258</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>2.683</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.564</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.068</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>.805</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW L</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The symbols in the preceding table represent the following characters: \( C \cdot L \) = Condylorbasal Length; \( R \cdot W \) = Rostral Width; \( U \cdot T \cdot R \) = Upper Tooth Row; \( B \cdot L \) = Bulla Length; \( C W / B L \) = Canine Width = Bulla Length; \( M W \cdot L \) = Upper Molar Width Length. These symbols are used in all descriptive tables following.

Statistical difference of significance (to the .05 level throughout this paper) is shown between the means of the samples of *americana* and *brumalis* in the ratio of canine to bulla length (males and females), condylorbasal length (males only), rostral width (males only), upper tooth row (males only), bulla length (males only) and upper molar length plus width (males only).

A similar significant difference between the means of *abieticola* and *americana* is shown in rostral width and upper molar width plus length (males only).

*Abietinoides* shows a significant difference from *americana* only in the ratio canine width - bulla length (males only).

**Distribution:** Original range, as far as known, as mapped. Four specimens from Lake Mistassini show characteristics intermediate between *americana* and *brumalis*. Five specimens from Sioux Lookout District show none of the characteristics of *abieticola*. The boundaries of the range *americana* mapped in Fig. 2, since they do not disagree with the facts presented in this study, are taken from Cory (1912). Formerly it probably ranged as far south as northern Virginia (Audubon and Bachman 1856). Its southern limit is now probably Algonquin Park (Downing 1948) or possibly the Berkshire Mountains of Massachusetts (Hamilton 1943) De Vos (1951) has mapped its present range in Ontario.
Specimens examined: Gaspe Peninsula, five (N M C); Abitibi District Quebec, three (N M C); Kapuskasing, Ont., one (N M C); Presque Isle Co., Mich., one (U.M); Sioux Lookout Dist., Ont., five (R O M Z); Kenora Dist., Ont., two (R O M Z); Algoma Dist., Ont., 12 (R O M Z); Sudbury Dist., Ont., 59 (R O M Z); Nipissing Dist., Ont., five (R O M Z); Thunder Bay Dist., Ont., seven (R O M Z); Cochrane Dist., Ont., four (R O M Z); Renfrew Dist., Ont., one (R O M Z); Lake Mistassini, Que., four (N M C); Muskoka Twp., Ont., one (R O M Z);

In the above, and in all future discussions, the following abbreviations are used in naming the collections studied:

N M C National Museum of Canada;
U M University of Michigan Museum;
R O M Z Royal Ontario Museum of Zoology;
C M Carnegie Museum;
U B C University of British Columbia Museum;
B C P M British Columbia Provincial Museum;

MARTES AMERICANA BRUMALIS (BANGS 1898)

1898 Mustela brumalis Bangs, p. 502
1901 Mustela atrata brumalis, Elliot, p. 336.
1902 Mustela americana brumalis, Rhoads, p. 448
1912 Martes brumalis, Miller, p. 93.
1934 Martes americana brumalis, Anderson, p. 95

Type locality: "Okkak, Labrador" (Bangs 1898); "Okak, Labrador" (Miller 1900).

Characteristics: "when compared with skulls of true *americana* ... show very striking differences; their large size, short wide rostrums and enormous teeth at once distinguish them" (Bangs 1898)

Rhoads (1902) considered the skulls of *brumalis* "surprisingly identical in size and proportion to those of *actuosa*" and the present study bears out his conclusion.

On the basis of the small sample studied it is the largest North American marten, being most similar to *actuosa*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MALES</th>
<th></th>
<th>FEMALES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>8.643</td>
<td>.118</td>
<td>.204</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.619</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>3.190</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.749</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.051</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C W/B L</td>
<td>.906</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.033</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M W L</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C V</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FEMALES</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>.149</td>
<td>.212</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.475</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.106</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>2.795</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.560</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C W/B L</td>
<td>.900</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M W L</td>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statistical comparison with *americana* has been made under that subspecies discussion. Compared with *actuosa* (the only one similar to it) statistical significance of difference between means is shown in upper molar length plus width (males only). On the basis of the samples at hand no other differences have been detected.

Distribution: Labrador probably as mapped, north to the tree limit, intergrading with *americana* in the region about Lake Mistassini at least. Newsom (1937) records the presence of marten on Anticosti Island, but that they differ from the mainland form in having the "fore-paws and end of tail ... tipped with white hair."

Specimens examined: Fort Chimo, Ungava four (N M C); Grand Falls, Hamilton R., Lab. two (C M); vicinity Northwest R., Lab. three (CM)

**MARTES AMERICANA ATRATA (BANGS 1897)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Catalogue</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td><em>Mustela atrata</em> Bangs, p. 162.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>Martes atrata</em>, Miller, p. 93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type locality: "Bay St. George, Newfoundland" (Bangs 1897)


No specimens of atrata have been examined in this study.

Bangs (1897) states however: "Size about that of *M. americana* (probably somewhat larger); colour very different ... skull slightly different ...
about the same size or larger ...; rostrum narrow, audital bullae much larger and deeper ... and with a more marked 'bottle-nose' projection; dentition rather weaker throughout, with greater spaces between premolar teeth."

Rhoads (1902) stated that Bangs considered this a species on geographic rather than morphologic grounds, and that it is cranially much closer to _americana_ than to _brumalis_. Most authors consider _atrata_ to be a full species however.

Gilpin (1860) remarked that pelages of marten from Newfoundland were much more similar to those of Labrador (_brumalis_) than to those of Nova Scotia (_americana_).

Since Vancouver and Queen Charlotte Islands both bear separate subspecies of marten it is quite possible that examination of Newfoundland specimens will show them to be subspecifically different from mainland forms as well. On the basis of Bangs' description however, _atrata_ cannot be given specific status and on the basis of its geographic position and in the interests of parsimony it must be considered a subspecies of the _americana_ subspecies group until shown to be otherwise.

**Distribution:** Newfoundland.

**Specimens examined:** None.

**MARTES AMERICANA ABIETICOLA (PREBLE 1902)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana abieticola</em></td>
<td>Preble, p. 68.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>Martes americana abieticola</em></td>
<td>Miller, p. 92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Type locality: "Cumberland House, Saskatchewan" (Preble 1902)
Type specimen: U. S. National Museum, No. 19256/34962 (Poole and Schantz 1942)

Characteristics: Described by Preble (1902) as "much longer than Mustela americana ... the sagittal crest being more highly developed ... dentition much heavier except last upper molar which is usually about the same size.... Approaches Mustela a. actuosa but though smaller has heavier dentition."

The several specimens examined during this study show characteristics intermediate between those of americana and actuosa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTR</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>1.690</td>
<td>.069</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>.962</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>.......</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW</td>
<td>1.390</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical comparison with americana has been made under the description of that subspecies.

Significant differences in the comparison of means of actuosa with abieticola were found in upper molar length plus width (males only).

Specimens from Fort Vermilion and Wood Buffalo Park, Alberta and Thelon Game Sanctuary, N. W. T. appear much nearer to actuosa than abieticola. It is quite probable that abieticola should be considered
an intergrading form separating *actuosa* from *americana*. Because of the smallness of the sample studied, it is best to maintain its full subspecific standing until proven otherwise.

**Distribution:** Western Northern Ontario (?), Manitoba, Saskatchewan, and possibly extreme eastern Alberta and Mackenzie district.

**Specimens examined:** Herchmer, Man. two, (N.M.C).

**MARTES AMERICANA ACTUOSA (OSGOOD 1900)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana actuosa</em></td>
<td>Osgood, p. 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td><em>Mustela atrata actuosa</em></td>
<td>Elliot, p. 336.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana actuosa</em></td>
<td>Elliot, p. 139.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1908</td>
<td><em>Mustela americana actuosa</em></td>
<td>Preble, pp. 236-237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>Martes americana actuosa</em></td>
<td>Miller, p. 93.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td><em>Martes boria</em></td>
<td>Miller, p. 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td><em>Martes americana boria</em></td>
<td>Allen, p. 167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type locality:** "Fort Yukon, Alaska" (Osgood 1900)

**Type specimen:** U. S. National Museum No. 6043 (Poole and Schantz 1942).

**Classification:** Three specimens from Mackenzie River district, the approximate type locality of *boria*, show no significant difference from those from Alaska, and constitute justification for accepting Preble's (1908) conclusion that *boria* and *actuosa* represent different colour phases of the same subspecies.
Characteristics: "Similar to *M. brumalis* but larger; ... skull somewhat larger, longer; dentition relatively much weaker; last upper molar decidedly the largest of the subspecies of *Mustela americana*. *M. brumalis* is also large but does not equal *actuosa* ... and has heavier dentition." (Osgood 1900).

Rhoads (1902) found that he could not distinguish *brumalis* from *actuosa* according to Osgood's diagnosis and the present study bears him out. *Brumalis*, further, on the basis of the small sample studied, appears the larger of the two. Further comparison is given under the description of that subspecies.

### MALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C L</strong></td>
<td>8.325</td>
<td>.076</td>
<td>.255</td>
<td>.054</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R W</strong></td>
<td>1.626</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U T R</strong></td>
<td>3.041</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.104</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B L</strong></td>
<td>1.753</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CW/BL</strong></td>
<td>.821</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MW L</strong></td>
<td>1.249</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FEMALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C L</strong></td>
<td>7.677</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R W</strong></td>
<td>1.434</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U T R</strong></td>
<td>2.780</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B L</strong></td>
<td>1.619</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CW/BL</strong></td>
<td>.869</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MW L</strong></td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.037</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statistical comparison with subspecies whose ranges lie to the east of *actuosa* has been made. Compared with *abietinoides* to the south, significance between means was found in condylobasal length (males), rostral width (males and females), bullae length (males and females), upper molar width plus length (females), and upper tooth row (females).

Twenty-seven specimens from north central British Columbia show characteristics intermediate between *actuosa* and *abietinoides*. Even though these show an average coefficient of variability no higher than the latter and the former do (3.7 compared to 4.5 and 3.3), they are probably best classed as intergrades. One skin from Bulkely House, British Columbia (B C P M) was quite different from five *actuosa* skins from Yukon (M V Z) and from six *abietinoides* skins (U B C) from south eastern British Columbia. The Bulkely House specimen was grayer, and with a whiter throat patch than in those of either *actuosa* or *abietinoides*, but in other characteristics showed greater similarity to *actuosa*. Twenty skulls from Fort Nelson B. C. are, however, typical *actuosa*.

In a similar fashion, eight skulls from Fort Vermilion, Alta. and Wood Buffalo Park, Alta. show characteristics intermediate between *actuosa* and *abietinoides*.

Distribution: All of timbered Alaska, excepting the Kenai Peninsula (supposedly), Yukon and the Northwest Territories, apparently intergrading with *abieticola* at about the 110th parallel longitude, and with *abietinoides* in the area ranging from about the 60th parallel latitude south to about the region of the continental divide. Absent from the Rocky
Mountains south of 55° and from the Coast Ranges.

Specimens examined: Fort Vermillion, Alta. five (N M C); Wood Buffalo
Park, Alta. three (N M C); Thelon Game Sanctuary, N W T. one (N M C);
Fort Nelson B.C. twenty (U M); lower Iskut R., B.C. one B C P M);
British Columbia four ( N M C, U B C); Fort Graham, B.C. seven (NMC);
Takla landing, B.C. three ( B C P M); Driftwood R., B.C. three (B C -
P M); Omineca R., B.C. two (U B C); Bulkely House, B.C. two(B C P M);
Charley Creek, Yukon R., Alaska five (M V Z); White R., Y.T. two
(R O M Z); Mackenzie Delta, N.W.T. one (N M C); Kanto Rashke ?,
Alaska two (M V Z); Chicton Mt., Alaska one (M V Z); Swanson Creek,
Alaska three (M.V Z); 30 miles up Willow R., N W.T. two (N.M.C);
Nekukah, Alaska one (M V Z); Alaska six (M V Z, U M); Mackenzie
Distr. N.W.T. one (N M C)

MARTES AMERICANA KENAIENSIS (ELLIOT 1903)

1903 Mustela americana kenaiensis Elliot, p. 151
1905 Mustela kenaiensis, Elliot, p. 421.
1912 Martes americana kenaiensis, Miller, p. 93

Type locality: "Kenai Peninsula, Alaska" Elliot (1903)

Type specimen: Chicago Museum of Natural History No. 9847 (Sanborn 1947).

Classification: No specimens of kenaiensis have been examined during this
study. Elliot (1903) describes it as "smaller than M. a. actuosa,
with longer tail, shorter feet, and general color darker; audital
bullae large, ridged, rectangular, longitudinally produced. Molars heavy; sagittal crest prominent; no gular or abdominal patches ... Skull smaller than those of either actuosa or brumalis."

Elliot's measurements are: condylobasal length 7.95 cm.; upper tooth row 2.5; length of bulla 1.7.

Osgood (1901) considered skulls of kenaiensis far more similar to M.a. americana than to actuosa. Elliot's measurements do not allow accurate comparison with those of actuosa. From the description given of the large bulla however, it is likely that kenaiensis represents the americana subspecies group. On the basis of Elliot's statement that the animal lacks gular or abdominal patches, it is probably best to keep it as a distinct subspecies for the time being.

Distribution: Kenai Peninsula, Alaska.

Specimens examined: None.

MARTES AMERICANA ABIETINOIDES GRAY 1865

1865 Martes americana variety 1, abietinoides Gray, p. 106.
1912 Martes americana abietinoides, Miller, p. 93.

Type locality: "Rocky Mountains" (Gray 1865); "Edge of the humid western slope of the Rocky Mountains between Kicking Horse Pass and the Columbia River" (Rhoads 1902).
Type specimen: None, to my knowledge. Rhoads pointed out that Gray's abietinoides included the range of origenes and on the basis of Richardson's (1829) descriptions, that all of Gray's specimens were true abietinoides: hence Rhoads change of type locality.

Characteristics: "Black-brown; ears pale; head grizzled with white hairs, more or less gray; throat yellow or yellow spotted; throat spot large or broken up into small spots; the head sometimes with only a few gray hairs, and the throat with only a few distinct small spots" (Gray 1865)

Rhoads (1902) described it as possessed of a skull specifically identical with actuosa, and only slightly smaller in size, being intermediate in this respect between americana and actuosa, teeth bullae and skull proportions precisely as in americana.

The present study disagrees with Rhoads' description in that it finds abietinoides the smallest marten of the americana group, but agrees with his statement about the general similarity of proportion and size between abietinoides and M. a. americana. It is most like americana from which it is difficult or impossible to separate on the basis of skull characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>7.858</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.206</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>2.6 .3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>1.514</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>3.8 .3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTR</td>
<td>2.869</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.223</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>7.8 .9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>1.648</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>3.6 .3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>.882</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>4.5 .4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWL</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>4.2 .4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FEMALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>7.094</td>
<td>.091</td>
<td>.491</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>1.342</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT R</td>
<td>2.555</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.108</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>1.537</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>.835</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW L</td>
<td>1.057</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical comparison with *actuosa* and *americana* has been made under the descriptions of these two subspecies. Thirteen specimens taken from the periphery of *abietinoides* range (Wisteria P.O., B.C., Tatuk Lake, B.C. and Tahtsa Lake, B.C.) are typical of the subspecies;

Distribution: British Columbia south of the continental divide, intergrading with *actuosa* to the north; east to the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains, intergrading with *actuosa* and possibly *abieticola*; west to the eastern slopes of the coast range, probably intergrading with *caurina* and south into northern Idaho and Montana, intergrading with *origenes*.

Specimens examined: Tahtsa L., B.C. one (Collection of Robert Webb);
Tatuk L., B.C. one (N.M C); Wisteria P.O., B.C. eleven (B C P M);
Okanagan L., B.C. two (U B C); Jasper, Alta. one (N M C); Yahk, B.C. two (N M C);
Eagle R., B.C. eighteen (U B C); Akalkolex R., B.C. four (B C P M);
Banff Park, Alta. sixteen (U B C); Rocky Mts. west of Banff eight (U B C);
Akamina R., B.C. fourteen (U B C); Blue R., B.C. seven (U B C);
Quesnel L., B.C. one (U B C); Little Prairie, B.C. two (B C P M);
Golden, B.C. one (U.B.C); Fatigue Creek, Alta. one
SUBSPECIES GROUP II, CAURINA.

Nomenclature and classification: Formerly the species *Martes caurina* and its subspecies.

Characteristics: Ratio of canine width at base / bulla length nearer to 1.50 cm. than .90 cm. in males, .95 than .85 in females. Upper molar length plus-width nearer to 1.35 cm. than to 1.20 cm. in males, 1.20 than 1.05 in females.

Distribution: The coast ranges and Sierra Nevadas together with the Rocky mountains south of the 49th parallel; Vancouver and Queen Charlotte Islands and elsewhere as described below.

*MARTES AMERICANA CAURINA* (MERRIAM 1890).

1890 *Mustela caurina* Merriam, p. 27
1901 *Mustela americana caurina*, Osgood, p. 70.
1901 *Mustela atrata caurina*, Elliot, p. 335
1912 *Martes caurina caurina*, Miller, p. 93.
1951 *Martes americana caurina*, Wright, unpublished MS.

Type locality: "Chehalis County, Washington (coast near Gray's harbor)" (Merriam 1890).

Type specimen: U. S. National Museum Collection No. 186,450 (Poole and
Characteristics: "In external appearance ... differs little from *M. americana* ... except that the irregular markings of the throat and under surface generally are orange-red instead of whitish or yellowish.... The skull ... differs ... in the following particulars: the rostral portion is broader and shorter; the audital bullae are shorter and less inflated; the frontals are broader both interorbitally and post-orbitally; the shelf of the palate is less produced behind the plane of the last molar; the first upper pre-molar is smaller and more crowded; the upper molars are larger; the upper sectorial in addition to its larger size, has the inner lobe very much larger and longer, projecting anteriorly beyond the plane of the anterior lobe, the reverse being the case in *M. americana*; the last upper molar is not only larger, but has a much broader saddle; the transverse diameter of the tooth is about one-third greater than in *M. americana*, and the antero-posterior diameter of the inner lobe is both relatively and absolutely much greater; the distance between the outer alveoli of the upper canines equals the greatest length of the audital bullae instead of being much less; the transverse diameter of the upper molar is greater instead of less than the length of the upper sectorial ... the underjaw is heavier." (Merriam 1890).

The present study finds that *caurina*, as well as all the other subspecies of the group, are in general well characterized by the above description.
### MALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S D</th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>7.863</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.639</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>2.920</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>.099</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.471</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>.080</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S D</th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW L</td>
<td>1.337</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FEMALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S D</th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>7.251</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.227</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.082</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>2.670</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.083</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.427</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>.981</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S D</th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW L</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One specimen from Yakutat, Alaska shows greater similarity to *actuosa* than to *caurina* in bulla length and the ratio of canine width to bulla length. Greater similarity to *caurina* is present in rostral width and upper molar length plus width. Another specimen from Hole-in-the-wall, B.C. shows characteristics intermediate between those of *caurina* and *actuosa*.

**Distribution:** The coast range of North America; exact northern and southern limits unknown, but supposedly as far north as the base of the Alaska
pan-handle (Anderson 1946) and as far south as southern Oregon. (Grinnell, Dixon and Linsdale 1937).

Specimens examined: Hole-in-the-wall, B.C. one (UBC); Yakutat, Alaska one (MVZ); Anahim L., B.C. six (MVZ); Manning Park, B.C. twelve (UBC); Rainbow Mts., Mt. Brilliant, B.C. one (NMC); Bella Coola, B.C. one (BCPM); Stuie, Cariboo Mt., B.C. one (NMC); Atnarko, B.C. one (BCPM); Lillooet distr., B.C. five (NMC, BCPM); One-eye L., B.C. one (MVZ); Mason Co., Wash. one (UM); Chilliwack Valley, B.C. one (NMC); Kimequit, B.C. one (NMC); Taliho, B.C. one (NMC); Kleena Kleena, B.C. one (MVZ); coast of northern B.C. one (UBC); Kynoch Inlet, B.C. one (UBC).

**Martes Americana Origines** (Rhoads 1902)

- **1902** Mustele caurina origenes Rhoads, p. 458
- **1912** Martes caurina origenes, Miller, p. 93
- **1951** Martes americana origenes, Wright, unpublished MS.

Type locality: "'Marvine Mountain' (Garfield County?) Colorado" (Rhoads 1902)

Type specimen: U.S. National Museum Collection No. 112, 170 (Poole and Schantz 1942)

Characteristics: "Strikingly different from all other American martens except caurina in the absence of the light coloured cheek patches. Head relatively darker ... than in caurina, ears lacking a white border.... Viewed from above shows an even greater uniformity of colour than does caurina" (Rhoads 1902).
The present study shows no statistically significant differences between the skulls of *origenes* and *caurina*; it appears that Rhoads' determination of the subspecies was made wholly on pelage characteristics. Three skins of *caurina* (M V Z, U B C) and six of *origenes* (M V Z) have been examined and Rhoads' distinction between the two on the basis of these appears to be only doubtfully valid. Because *origenes* is completely isolated geographically, and because of the supposed differences in coloration separating the two, it seems best to maintain the

### MALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>8.017</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.149</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.643</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.076</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.531</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW L</td>
<td>1.426</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FEMALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>7.260</td>
<td>.084</td>
<td>.147</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.428</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>2.636</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.418</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>.930</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW L</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.076</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
subspecies until a larger sample of skulls and skins can be examined.

Distribution: The Rocky Mountains of the United States, formerly south as far as Taos, New Mexico (Seton 1929). According to Wright (letter of July 9, 1951) it extends as far north as Ravalli County, Montana, and central Idaho, where it intergrades with abietinoides (of the americana subspecies group), marten from Glacier National Park and extreme northern Idaho being typical abietinoides.

Dalquest (1948) identifies the marten of far eastern Washington as origenes; Rust (1946) and Davis (1939) call those of western Idaho caurina. In view of Wright's findings however, concerning the northern limit of origenes, and the fact that all of south western British Columbian marten are abietinoides, it is more likely that those of eastern Washington and north western Idaho are abietinoides, or abietinoides-origenes intergrades.

M.a. origenes appears to be geographically isolated from caurina being separated from it by the interior dry belt of western North America.

Specimens examined: Idaho eleven (M V Z, U M); Oregon one (M V Z); Wyoming two (U M); Montana three (M V Z).

MARTES AMERICANA HUMBOLDTENSI$ GRINNELL AND DIXON 1926


1951 Martes americana humboldtensis, Wright, unpublished MS.
Type locality: "Ridge about five miles north east of Cuddeback =Carlotta, Humboldt County, California" (Grinnell and Dixon 1926)

Type specimen: Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, Collection No. 19158, Berkeley (Grinnell and Dixon 1926)

Characteristics: Has been described by Grinnell and Dixon as follows: externally "resembles Martes caurina caurina; decidedly darker, of richer golden brown tone than M. c. sierrae.... Skull smaller than in either ... with rostrum slender, distinctly constricted behind roots of canines; frontal region and postorbital constriction narrow; teeth small; molariform series not so crowded as in sierrae so as to skew the premolars; last upper molar with heel greatly expanded anteroposteriorly, as much so, relative to transverse diameter... as in sierrae, and hence more than in caurina".

Three skulls of humboldtensis that arrived too late for full consideration in this study are considerably smaller than the skulls of any other of the caurina subspecies group. No statistical difference between the means of the condylobasal lengths was found, however.

Until more specimens are made available, and until the ones available are more carefully analyzed, it is best to maintain it as a distinct subspecies.

Distribution: The Cascade Range of California, south of about 38° latitude. According to Grinnell, Dixon and Linsdale (1937) it intergrades
with caurina "to the north of California". Bailey (1936) lists Oregon martens as caurina however; if the two represent distinct subspecies, it must be admitted that the region separating them is unknown.

Specimens examined: Humboldt Co., Calif. two (M V Z); Del Norte Co. Calif. one (M V Z).

MARTES AMERICANA SIERRAE GRINNELL AND STORER 1916.

1916 Martes caurina sierrae Grinnell and Storer, p. 2.
1926 Martes caurina nobilis Hall, p. 127
1951 Martes americana sierrae, Wright, unpublished MS.

Type locality: "Head of Lyell Canon, 9800 feet altitude, Yosemite National Park, California" (Grinnell and Storer 1916)

Type specimen: Museum of Vertebrate Zoology No. 22112, Berkeley, California (Grinnell and Storer 1916).

Hall in 1936 placed nobilis, a Pleistocene subspecies described by him in 1926, in the synonymy of sierrae (p. 87). (= extinct)

Characteristics: Is described by Grinnell and Storer as "similar to Martes caurina caurina, but general coloration paler both above and below ..., sides of face decidedly pale ..., orange of chest very extensive .... Cranium with rostrum short as in caurina but extremely narrow; whole cranium narrower and brain-case relatively higher; sagittal crest very weak; auditory bullae even smaller than in caurina"
Five skulls of *sierras* arrived too late for full consideration in the present study. Qualitative examination shows them to be larger than those of *humboldtensis*, (but not significantly so), and to lie within the range exhibited by *caurina* and *origenes*. One skin (M V Z) shows a summer pelage far paler, and acquired earlier, than do three of *humboldtensis* (M V Z).

As in the case of *humboldtensis* the subspecies must be allowed to stand.

Distribution: The Sierra Nevada Range of California and extreme western Nevada.

Specimens examined: Kern Co. Calif. one (M V Z); Emerald Co. Calif. one (M V Z); Fresno Co. Calif. two (M V Z); Madera Co. Calif. one (M V Z).
broader; molariform teeth more crowded and more skewed out of alignment. Last upper molar decidedly smaller, and its heel far less expanded anteroposteriorly. Skull in general proportions more like that of *Mustela nesophila* Osgood but smaller, and with weaker dentition; last upper molar much smaller and heel even less expanded...." (Grinnell and Dixon 1926).

### MALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S D</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>7.968</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.154</td>
<td>.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.654</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>2.902</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.519</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW L</td>
<td>1.329</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FEMALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S D</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L</td>
<td>7.225</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.094</td>
<td>.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R W</td>
<td>1.468</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U T R</td>
<td>2.605</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.037</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B L</td>
<td>1.441</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW/BL</td>
<td>.964</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW L</td>
<td>1.127</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Vancouverensis* is not, on the basis of the above samples, statistically separable from *caurina*. On a purely geographic basis
at least, and until more skins of marten from Vancouver Island can be compared with those from the mainland, no change in its status seems to be warranted.

Comparison with *nesophila* is made under the description of that subspecies.

Description: Vancouver Island, excluding a narrow strip supposedly along the eastern and southern shores.

Specimens examined: Vancouver Island seventeen (B C P M, N M C, U B C).

**MARTES AMERICANA NESOPHILA** (OSGOOD 1901).

1901 Mustela nesophila Osgood, p. 33.
1912 Martes nesophila, Miller, p. 94
1926 Martes caurina nesophila, Grinnell and Dixon, p. 417.
1951 Martes americana nesophila, Wright, unpublished MS.

Type locality: "Massett, Graham Island, Queen Charlotte Islands, British Columbia" (Osgood 1901).

Type specimen: U. S. National Museum, Biological Survey Collection, No. 78066 (Poole and Schantz 1942).

Characteristics: "Similar to *Mustela caurina* but larger; rostrum shorter and heavier; dentition heavier; premolars larger and more crowded. Last upper molar similar to that of *Mustela americana*, internal length being more nearly equal to external length than in *caurina*.... Especially separable by thick rostrum" (Osgood 1901).
The above sample is statistically separable from *vancouverensis* on the basis of rostral width (males), canine width - / - bulla length (males and females) and upper molar length plus width (females). Similarly it is separable from *caurina* on the basis of rostral width (males) and canine width - / - bulla length (males).

Swarth (1911 and 1912) after examining specimens from Admiralty Island and Kuiu Island, Alaska concluded that they might represent a distinct species. I have examined Swarth's material however, and it is, for the characters studied, statistically...
comparable to *nesophila* and must for the present be considered representative of that subspecies.

**Distribution:** Known beyond doubt only on Graham and Moresby Islands, Q.C.I., Admiralty Island and Kuiu Island, Alaska; mapped by Seton as occurring on all of the Queen Charlotte Islands and all of the Alexander Archipelago; it is not however listed as present on the coastal islands between 51 and 54 degrees by McCabe and Cowan (1945).

**Specimens examined:** Graham Island, Q.C.I. four (B C P M, U B C); Admiralty Island five (M V Z); Kuiu Island one (M V Z).

**Conclusions:**

On the basis of the statistical separability (to the .05 level or better) of the samples just described, there is probably sound evidence for the existence of the following subspecies: *americana, actuosa, abietinoides, caurina, nesophila* and *brumalis, Vancouverensis* and *origenes*, though represented by fairly adequate samples cannot be separated from *caurina*. *Boria* is likely best considered a variant of *actuosa*. The samples of *abieticola sierrae* and *humboldtensis* are too small for adequate analysis. No specimens of *atrata* or *kensiensis* were examined.

As a final check to the above conclusions, all samples were pooled, and treated with an analysis of variance. Significance beyond the .01 level was obtained for all measurements except condylo-basal length in females, and the ratio canine width divided by bulla
length, which was not tested.

Wright's work (1951) indicated that all North American marten are conspecific. As a result of his work and the conclusions arrived at during the present study, the following classification of marten has been prepared. This classification is as natural (phylogenetic) as present knowledge permits. The basis for the decisions involved in its organization are discussed in Part II. The presence of a question name indicates doubt concerning the actuality of a subspecies.

Subspecies group *americana*

- *Martes americana americana* (Kerr 1792)
- *Martes americana abietinoides* Gray 1865
- *Martes americana atrata* ? (Bangs 1897)
- *Martes americana abieticola* ? (Preble 1902)
- *Martes americana brumalis* (Bangs 1898)
- *Martes americana actuosa* (Osgood 1900)
- *Martes americana kenaiensis* ? (Elliot 1903)

Subspecies group *caurina*

- *Martes americana caurina* (Merriam 1890)
- *Martes americana origenes* ? (Rhoads 1902)
- *Martes americana humboldtensis* ? Grinnell and Dixon 1926
- *Martes americana sierra* ? Grinnell and Storer 1916
- *Martes americana vancouverensis* ? Grinnell and Dixon 1926
- *Martes americana nesophila* (Osgood 1901)
Marten appear to follow certain of the rules of geographic distribution listed by Mayr (1942). The caurina group of the humid western coast is darker in colouration than is the americana group of the drier east (Gloger's Rule). Northern subspecies of the americana group (actuosa and brumalis) are larger than those to the south (Bergmann's Rule). I am unable to tell to what degree these size relationships are phylogenetic, and to what degree a result of convergent adaptation. The following discussion (Part II) assumes the relationship to be largely phylogenetic. This assumption is made with the knowledge that it is fully untested, and open to criticism. Only future work will tell how well founded it, and the following discussion based on it, is.

An artificial key to marten skulls is presented in Appendix B.

PART II PHYLOGENY

Discussion:

The literature pertaining to the phylogeny of the mustelids and the genus Martes is sparse, scattered and often lacking in general agreement. As an indication of this lack of interest in the group, Yeager (1941) points out that of the fur animals he considered only badger, otter and raccoon have received less attention than the wolverine, fisher and marten together.

Two approaches have been used in this study in an attempt to determine something of the racial history of marten. These are: (1) an examination of current interpretation of classification and of palaeontological data and (2) an interpretation of certain palaeocological
(1) Classificatory and palaeontological data:

Mustelids have in the past been divided into from two (Cope 1882) to fifteen (Pocock 1921) subfamilies, with from four to eight being most usual. The subfamily Mustelinae, along with Lutrinae and Melinae however, have in almost all cases been considered an objective entity. In a similar fashion, the genus *Martes* has been long recognized as representing a unit separable from most others.

The origin of the genus *Martes* is not well understood.

The situation is the usual one of having innumerable small branches given off near the base of the major splitting of a mammalian group.... In the Mustelidae the situation is further complicated ... by the fact that numerous known Miocene and Pliocene Mustelids are seldom real forerunners of the living genera, but in almost every genus appear to be all that is known of a distinct phylum.... (Simpson 1945)

The same author also remarks that...

all pre-Pleistocene records may be viewed with doubt since they almost always use the generic name in a broader sense than among recent mammals and often prove to be erroneous when the species became better known.

Another difficulty encountered is that palaeontologists have made the Mustelidae, and especially the genus *Martes*, a repository for all doubtful arctoid carnivores. Schlosser (1923) suggested grouping all of these into the subfamily *Stenoplesictinae*, but it has not been acted upon to any great extent. To make one problem more confusing still, not only is the origin of the group obscure, but it is poorly represented in the fossil record (Scott 1937).

The Mustelinids (the oldest of the Mustelids) first appeared
in the lower Oligocene, while the genus *Martes* (in the conservative sense) is first recorded from the lower Pliocene of the old world, and the upper Pliocene of the new (Simpson 1945).

Simpson (1947) believed that migration across the Bering land bridge occurred with varying degrees of intensity through all the Cenozoic subsequent to the Oligocene, excepting for the Recent. He states

the appearance of the Mustelidae, Mustelinae and all of the Musteline genera common to North America and Eurasia is essentially simultaneous on the two land masses.... It is possible but very uncertain, that most of the migration was from the old world to the new.

He added that *Martes* probably crossed first in the upper Pliocene, but that the direction is very much in doubt. Pleistocene migrations were very extensive, and interglacial in the main. (Fig. 4)

The following genera have been suggested by various authors to lie in the direct phylogeny of the genus *Martes*: *Palaeogale*, *Bunaelurus* (Schlosser 1877-90), *Sinictis*, *Plionictis*, *Plesiogulo*, *Oligobunis*, *Amphictis* (Pilgrim 1932) *Mustelavus* (Scott 1937). Species of *Martes* suggested as lying close to modern forms include *Martes kinseyi* (Gidley 1927), *Martes gazini* (Hall 1931), *Martes diluviana* (Hall 1936).

Pilgrim (1932) considered that *Martes* and *Mustela* have been distinct since about the Stampian (middle Oligocene). "*Martes* is, on the whole a survival of a primitive lineage -- it being most similar of all Recent forms to the hypothetical ancestor of the Mustelids."

Little is known regarding Pleistocene Mustelids, either in Britain (Reynolds 1912), Eurasia (Dubois 1928) or America. Hall (1936)
Fig. 4.
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Fig. 4. Distribution in time of the subfamilies (upper) and musteline genera (lower) of mustelidae. Cross bars represent probable time of inter-continental migration. Largely after Simpson (1945) and Simpson (1947).
has presented the most recent survey of American Pleistocene Mustelids, and almost all that immediately follows is from his publication.

Nine genera of Mustelids (so called) are known from Pleistocene deposits, two are extinct and *Enhydra* and *Conepatus* are not recorded, while *Spilogale, Mustela, Mephitis, Lutra, Taxidea, Gulé, and Martes* are. The following then are the known Pleistocene recordings of marten, as listed by Hall.

**Martes americana:** The sole ascription to this subspecies appears to be Allen's (1876) reference to that found in Baird's collection from Pennsylvania. It may or may not be in reality a Recent deposit.

**Martes americana sierrae:** Hall in this (1936) paper placed his previously described (1926) *M. caurina nobilia*, a Pleistocene recovery from California in the synonymy of *sierrae*. He still considered it to be of Pleistocene origin.

**Martes pennanti:** Described by Allen (1876) and Brown (1908) from Pennsylvania and Arkansas. The latter reference is the only one known to me of a recovery of a still living Pleistocene Martes lying outside its Recent range.

**Martes diluviana:** Known from four specimens. Hall ascribed Gidley and Gazin's (1933) description of *M. parapennanti* to this species.

Hall concludes that Osborne's (1925) reference to a marten from Rancho la Brea was an erroneous identification of a weasel (*Mustela*).

I have nowhere found an opinion as to when the separation of the genus *Martes* into the subgenera *Pekania* (fishers) and *Martes* (martens)
occurred. Since the genus arose during the lower Pliocene, and both subgenera are known from the Pleistocene, it follows that the separation must have occurred some time during the Pliocene.

In summary then it appears that the origin of the genus Martes is not at all well understood; that it arose sometime about the lower Pliocene, possibly in Eurasia, having been distinct from Mustela from the Oligocene; that migration back and forth between the old and new world took place from the time of its origin to the Pleistocene; that the separation into its two component subgenera occurred about the Pliocene and that by the Pleistocene both subspecies groups of marten (americana and caurina) were present in North America.

(2) Palaeoecological data:
Zoologists have generally been slow to realize that there exist certain palaeoecological methods useful in the determination of biotic histories. It is well recognized that animal distribution in the present is largely governed by the responses of plants to climate (Shelford 1935, Clements and Shelford 1939). That the same has held in the past has not been so clearly understood. Nevertheless "the outline of the history of animals must coincide with that of the plants on which all animals ultimately subsist" (Hulten 1937).

Cain's (1944) text is the most recent exposition of the subject and the student desiring more detail should turn to that book.

Since so little is known about the former distribution of the marten, and since so much more is known about the former distribution of floras, and if marten have in the past been restricted to one of
those floras, it follows that much of the history of the marten is to be found in the history of that flora. It is upon this hypothesis that the following discussion is based.

It is well recognized that marten, and indeed almost all members of the subfamily Mustelinae are found only within communities of plants dominated by boreal conifers. If one plots the distribution of the coniferous west coast floras, the boreal forest and the lake forest together with that of the marten in North American, the close relationship between the two is apparent. It is equally apparent when the same is done for Asia, but somewhat more obscure in the case of Europe.

It is less easy to demonstrate that marten have, since their origin in the Pliocene, been possessed of the same relationship. It is known, however, that marten are not recognized to have shown specific changes since the Pleistocene, and all fossil recoveries are known from within their present range. Further, Pliocene Charronia from India are not known to be different from the forms now living there (Flower and Lyddeker 1891). In opposition to this, however, is the fact that not all fossil Martes are known to have come from regions contemporaneously boreal in nature, although at the same time the reverse has not yet been demonstrated. It is probably quite safe to conclude that for the Pleistocene at least, and very likely for much or all of the Pliocene, the demands, in terms of environment, of the martens ancestral to those now living, were not very different from what they are today. It seems unlikely that the tolerances of the components of animal communities
would change more than their vegetational substrata, and these it is known have changed very little (Arnold 1949, Cain 1944). Hooper (1949) has, for example, pointed out that all genera of North American rodents can be divided into three groups, each referable to the three major terrestrial floras of the continent.

The present vegetation of North America is derived chiefly from three major floras: the so-called Arcto-tertiary Flora, the Madro-tertiary or Sierra Madrean Flora and the Neotropical-tertiary or Caribbean Flora. Marten today, and in all probability in the past, inhabited the whole of the Arcto-tertiary Flora. This flora appeared sometime between Cretaceous and Eocene times at high latitudes, migrating southward with climatic deterioration until during Miocene and Pliocene times it reached its approximate present position, forming the west coast coniferous forests the boreal forest, the Lake forest and the eastern hardwood forest (Axelrod 1937 and 1939, Berry 1923, Braun 1947 and 1950, Chaney 1936, 1940, 1947, Chaney, Condit and Axelrod 1944, Mason 1947, Simpson 1943, Scharff 1911).

While the large proportion of Mustelinids and the ancestors of marten probably migrated south with the Arcto-tertiary Flora in North America and Eurasia, the true martens (subgenus Martes) are believed to have evolved in Eurasia (Rhoads 1902, Davis 1939, Dalquest 1948) and to have arrived in North America near the beginning of the Pleistocene by crossing the Bering land bridge, as did most characteristic American Pleistocene animals (Colbert 1942, Romer 1945). Rhoads (1902) implied an earlier migration for the americana subgroup than for the caurina,
on the basis of the latter's close cranial relationship to Eurasian species. Davis (1939) likewise hypothesized an earlier migration for the *americana* group. There is some slight evidence besides Rhoads' comparative work that this sequence actually occurred. No true marten are known from North America prior to the Pleistocene. The *caurina* group is known from the Pleistocene of the west, and the *americana* from that of the east; primitive forms are assumed to lie farther from the point of origin than more recent forms (Matthew 1915, Taylor 1937). It seems likely then on the basis of the somewhat tenuous evidence now at hand that *Martes americana* arrived in North America from Eurasia early in the Pleistocene, and that the *americana* group preceded the *caurina*.

The glaciation of the Pleistocene, especially the last (Wisconsin) advance, has been the primary factor determining present day subspeciation and distribution (Deevey 1949).

It appears from analysis of pollen deposits and from geographic data that during the maximum of the last (Wisconsin-Vashon) glaciation, the forests occupied by the marten found refuge in (1) nunataks (2) south of the ice sheet, east of the Driftless area (3) south of the ice sheet in the Rocky Mountains (4) south of the ice sheet in the Coast, Cascade and Sierra Nevada Ranges and (5) in the unglaciated regions of Alaska. With the retreat of the Wisconsin-Vashon sheet, little or no migration occurred from nunataks; the boreal and lake forests moved north from the southeastern refuge, the former north to Labrador and west to near the Mackenzie River region; the Rocky Mountain forests moved north to
It is undoubtedly true that marten likewise spent the Wisconsin-Vashon glaciation in all of the above listed refugia, and followed the migrations of their forest environment to their present position. Evidence for this conclusion is as follows:

(a) In both the old and the new world marten have adapted themselves to the whole of the range of coniferous life form. This indicates that the glacial refugia of marten must have been many and large (since little depauperization of "biotypes" has occurred) and in fact must have been precisely those of the forest it now lives in.

(b) Marten today still have parts of their range extending into all of the several glacial refugia supposedly occupied by the forest they now live in. (Fig. 5).

(c) There is some slight indication that greatest densities of marten are found near the regions of former glacial refugia. One would expect this to be the case if these refugia had in
Fig. 5.
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Fig. 5. Areas of the present day distribution of marten lying outside the range of Pleistocene glaciation. Dotted areas mark marten distribution; solid line represents the boundary of maximum Wisconsin glaciation; dotted lines indicate older glaciations; lines A to E represent trend of post-Wisconsin glacial retreat. It is now believed that Newfoundland underwent complete glaciation during the Wisconsin. After Antevs (1928, 1929, and 1931), Flint (1945 and 1947), and Thwaites (1934).
the past been centers of postglacial dispersal, and if the subsequently acquired areas were still in the process of being populated. This same relationship has been found to occur in the density of forest trees in Canada (Halliday and Brown 1937). See Fig. 6.

We are now in a position to speculate upon the postglacial history of the marten. Since *Martes americana americana* still ranges south into south eastern refugia, it doubtless spent the Wisconsin Ice Age there as did *brumalis*, and subsequently extended its range north to its present range limit. *Abietinoides* and *origenes* still exist in part in the Rocky Mountain refugium, and have since moved north to form their present distribution. *Origenes* has not moved north of the glacial boundary, and has not yet made contact with *caurina* from which it was previously isolated. *Caurina humboldtensis* and *sierrae* still range into the coastal refugium. Of these only *caurina* moved north with the retreat of the Vashon sheet. *Actuosa* and *kenaiensis* still occur in the Yukon refugium; only *actuosa* has migrated eastward, extending its range beyond the Mackenzie and into British Columbia. *Abieticola*, whose distribution lies in the center of the boreal forest, does not range into any of the glacial refugia, and its relationship to these is more difficult to determine. It seems likely, however, that *abieticola* represents an intergradation between *actuosa* and *americana* which has resulted from mixing of two strains on meeting after postglacial migration. The migrations described above are mapped on Fig. 7.

It is important here to take careful notice of the fact that all of the marten migrations described above correspond very closely
Fig. 6. Average number of marten trapped per 1000 square miles of forested land per state or province per year. Figures derived from Anon. (1927-1950), Anon (1950), Minville (1946), Ullman (1949) and Yeager (1950). The number of years considered varies from two (Maine) to thirty-two (Quebec).
in terms of direction and distance travelled, with the migrations of coniferous forest species moving out of the same refugia, as determined by areographic and pollen analytical methods described by the students listed above. Insofar as these studies are accurate, the above doubtless represents a fairly precise picture of the postglacial migration of the marten in north America, and probably of certain other boreal animals as well.

Assuming the above hypotheses to be reasonably sound, there are certain other phylogenetic relationships that can be considered. It will be noticed that all marten of the south eastern and Yukon refugia are of the *americana* group, while those of the Pacific Coast refugium are *caurina*. In the Rocky Mountain refuge however, both groups are represented (*abietinoides* and *origenes*). It follows that *origenes* and *caurina* were separated prior to or by the Vashon (Wisconsin) sheet and that the separation into *americana* and *caurina* subspecies groups must have occurred long before Vashon time, probably, as has been suggested, very early in the Pleistocene and in Eurasia.

It will have likewise been observed from the data presented in Part I of this study that *M. a. actuosa* and *M. a. brumalis* were more similar to each other than they were to any others, and that *abietinoides* and *americana* were also similar. If these similarities can be considered to possess a phylogenetic relationship, it implies that: (1) the separation of *brumalis* from *actuosa* occurred during Wisconsin time at the latest, while they were isolated from each other; that (2) the same is true for *americana* and *abietinoides*; that (3) *abieticola* has arisen
Fig. 7.
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Fig. 7. Assumed post-Wisconsin marten migrations. Those subspecies not marked by an arrow are believed to have moved little or not at all from their location during the Wisconsin maximum.
since Wisconsin time through the interbreeding of *actuosa* and *americana*; and that (4) *actuosa-brumalis* must have been separated from *abietinoides-americana* sometime between the early Pleistocene at the earliest and the Illinoian glacial advance at the latest.

The insular subspecies of marten have yet to be considered. *Atrata* has not been examined but is said by Rhoads (1902) to be closer to *americana* than to *brumalis*; *atrata* probably arose from *americana* either during or subsequent to the Wisconsin. *Vancouverensis* and *nesophila* have doubtless sprung from *caurina*. Vancouver Island is considered to have been glaciated (Flint 1947) and doubtless migration to the island and subspeciation has occurred since Vashon-Wisconsin time. *Nesophila* shows far greater differences from *caurina* than does *vancouverensis* and this may be due to any or all of several facts. It has been suggested that the Queen Charlotte Islands have escaped glaciation (McCabe and Cowan 1945). This would mean that *nesophila* has been isolated for a much longer time than has *vancouverensis*. Small insular populations undergo a change in the frequency of their gene distribution such as to result in a homogenous population with phenotypes nonadaptively different from those of the parent stock (Huxley 1940). Whatever the factor at work is, it is not possible to determine more of the origin of *nesophila* than to ally it with *caurina*.

The foregoing may be summarized as follows: there is a certain amount of evidence to show that pre-*americana* crossed to the new world sometime between the late Pliocene and the Kansan, while pre-*caurina* probably followed at a later date, but also not later than Kansan.
Between the Kansan and the Illinoian americana had divided into abietinoides-americana and brumalis actuosa. Prior to the Wisconsin, origenes and caurina were separated. The advance of the Wisconsin sheet saw a segregation of marten in four refugia, each isolated from the other, during which time or subsequently subspeciation occurred. Of these refugia the south eastern repopulated most of Canada east of the Rockies (brumalis, americana, probably atrata and abieticola in part); the Pacific and Rocky mountain refugia Canada west of the Rockies (caurina, origenes, vancouverensis and abietinoides together with humboldtensis and sierrae); and the Alaska refuge the Alaskan and Canadian northwest (actuosa, kenaiensis and abieticola in part). M. nesophila is of a caurina origin, but its history is poorly understood.

Conclusions:

On the basis of the comparison of skulls described in Part I, and of the discussion of probable history of the marten in Part II, a provisional phylogeny of the subgenus has been prepared, and is presented in Fig. 8. This phylogeny assumes that all subspecies of marten discussed in this paper are valid ones, which is of course, not necessarily the case.

SUMMARY

1. A statistical analysis of 418 skulls of North American marten of the subspecies brumalis, americana, abieticola, actuosa,
Fig. 8.
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Fig. 8. A hypothetical phylogeny of the martens of North America.
abietinoides, caurina, origenes, sierrae, humboldtensis, vancouverensis, and nesophila indicates that these, plus probably those not studied (atrata and keniensis) fall into two natural groups, the americana group (formerly the species americana) and the caurina group (formerly the species caurina). The unpublished work of Wright indicates that these two groups are conspecific, and that only one species, comprised of these two groups, exists on the continent.

2. What is probably adequate evidence for the subspecific status of brumalis, americana, actuosa, caurina and nesophila is presented. Abietinoides is, on the basis of the characters studied, probably separable from americana, while vancouverensis, caurina and origenes are inseparable. All three must for the time being be considered distinct however, until further examination of them is made on the basis of their geographic distribution. Abieticola is not well represented in the samples studied. It possibly represents a population of intergrades of actuosa and americana. Sierrae and humboldtensis are represented by samples too small for analysis.

3. An artificial key to the skulls of martens of North America is presented.

4. The history of martens is not well known. The genus Martes probably arose in the lower Pliocene, possibly in Eurasia, having been distinct from Mustela since the Oligocene. Migration between the old and new world occurred from the time of its origin to the Pleistocene. Separation into the subgenera of fishers and martens probably occurred during the Pliocene.
5. Pre-americana and pre-caurina both appear to have had their origin in the old world, the former preceding the latter in its migration to the new, both arriving sometime between the late Pliocene and the Kansan. Between the Kansan and the Illinoian pre-americana had segregated into abietinoides-americana and brumalis-actuosa. Prior to the Wisconsin origenes and caurina were separated. The advance of the Wisconsin ice sheet resulted in a gathering of marten into four refugia, during which time or subsequently, final subspeciation occurred. Repopulation of North America following the final ice retreat originated by migration from these refugia: atrata, brumalis, americana and abieticolacola in part from a south eastern refuge, origenes and abietinoides from one in the southern Rocky Mountains, humboldtensis, sierrae and caurina from one in the southern coast range, and actuosa and kenaiensis from an Alaskan refuge. Nesophila and vancouverensis are related to caurina, the former much less closely than the latter.

6. A hypothetical phylogeny of North America is diagrammed.
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APPENDICES

A Definitions of measurements used:

1. Condylobasal length: the greatest antero-posterior distance between the anterior end of the premaxillae and the posterior ends of the condyles.

2. Rostral width: the narrowest width of the rostrum behind the canines.

3. Upper tooth row: the shortest distance separating the anterior surface of the upper canine base from the posterior surface of the hypocone of the upper molar.

4. Canine width: the greatest width separating the upper canines at their bases.

5. Bullae length: the shortest length of the auditory bulla, taken from the medial and posterior surface of the foramen lacerum canal to the midpoint of the suture on the jugular process.

6. Upper molar width: the greatest width of the upper molar, when taken in a lateral plane.

7. Upper molar length: the greatest length of the inner moiety of the upper molar, taken in an antero-posterior plane.

Characters 4 and 5 were calculated as a ratio (canine width divided by bulla length)
APPENDICES

B. Provisional artificial key to the skulls of the North American marten.

This key has been designed for skulls and uses skull characteristics insofar as specimens from which to devise them have been available. Where these have been lacking, the key reverts to a purely distributional one.

Many specimens will not be identifiable using this key. This is to be expected since it will be usable only if they are representative of what at present appears typical for that subspecies.

A Canine width - / - bulla length nearer .90 than 1.50 in males, and nearer .85 than .95 in females ............... americana group

(a) From continental North America, excluding the Kenai Peninsula, Alaska.

1. Condylobasal length nearer 8.5 than 8.0 cm. in males, and nearer 7.6 than 7.3 in females.

a Upper molar length plus width nearer 1.35 than 1.20 cm. in males, and nearer 1.20 than 1.05 cm. in females.

(1) From east of Hudsons Bay ..........brumalis

(2) From west of Hudsons Bay ..........abieticola

b Other than above; from west of

Hudsons Bay .........................actuosa
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2. Other than above
   a. Canine width / - bulla length nearer .94 than .98 in males, and nearer .80 than .84 in females.
   From east or south of Hudsons Bay ..................americana
   b. Other than above; from west of Hudsons Bay ..................abietinoides

(b) From Newfoundland, or the Kenai Peninsula
   1. From Newfoundland ........................................atrata
   2. From the Kenai Peninsula ..................................kenaiensis

B Other than above ........................................caurina group

(a) Not from California
   1. Rostral width nearer 1.90 than 1.65 cm. in males, and nearer 1.60 than 1.45 in females. From Queen Charlotte Islands or islands to the north...........nesophila
   2. Other than above
      a. From the mainland
         (1) From the Coast range north of California caurina
         (2) From the Rocky Mountains south of the 49th parallel ..................origenes
      b. From Vancouver Island .......................vancouverensis

(b) From California or extreme western Nevada
   1. From the Coast Range .........................humboldtensis
   2. From the Sierra Nevadas .........................sierras