THE PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS TO VEGETATION ESTABLISHMENT OF SOME SOUTHERN BRITISH COLUMBIA MINE WASTE MATERIALS

by

JAMES WILLIAM MORTON B.Sc., Carleton University, 1971

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES (Dept. of SOIL SCIENCE)

We accept this thesis as conforming to the required standard

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA April, 1976. In presenting this thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirements for an advanced degree at the University of British Columbia, I agree that the Library shall make it freely available for reference and study. I further agree that permission for extensive copying of this thesis for scholarly purposes may be granted by the Head of my Department or by his representatives. It is understood that copying or publication of this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Soil Science Department of

The University of British Columbia 2075 Wesbrook Place Vancouver, Canada V6T 1W5

Ipril 29 /1976 Date (

じ

ABSTRACT

Vegetation establishment on mine wastes is ultimately limited by the edaphic properties of the wastes. This thesis examines, characterizes and interprets the physical properties of some southern British Columbia mine wastes:- to elucidate the feasibility of various reclamation procedures. Waste areas, including both mill tailings, rock dumps and adjacent natural soils are characterized.

The project primarily addresses three areas in British Columbia affected by sulfide mining activities; the Princeton area, the Highland Valley area and the Kimberley area. Waste materials examined were derived from the Similkameen, Copper Mountain, Lornex, Bethlehem and Sullivan mines. Minor examination of the now revegetated Jersey Mine tailings located near Salmo, B.C. was also included.

Field work involved mapping waste materials and natural soils and then systematically sampling the various units delineated. Laboratory methods were employed to define and compare the properties of samples collected.

Limited water storage capacity was found to be a major problem in waste rock dump material. Mill tailings were found to have acceptable available water storage capacities. Some mill tailings may have aeration porosity deficiencies when wet. Cation exchange capacities, while usually adequate in waste rock dump materials, are sometimes very low in mill tailings; a factor that will present serious fertility problems in revegetation.

Some adjacent coarse coniferous forest soils were found to have similar properties to waste rock dump material while some adjacent grass dominated soils were found to have similar properties to the mine tailings. Waste rock dump material appears best suited to eventual revegetation by aborescent species, while mill tailings appear best suited to eventual revegetation by grass or forb species.

Soil processes were found to be both active and rapid in both types of waste material.

Section	Title	Pages	
1	Introduction, Literature Review, Materials and Methods	1 - 16	
2	Mine Waste Materials of the Princeton Area (Similkameen Mine and Copper Mountain Mine)	17 - 33	
3	Mine Waste Materials of the Highland Valley Area.		
	(Bethlehem Mine and Lornex Mine)	34 - 49	
4	Mine Waste Materials of the Kimberley Area (Sullivan Mine)	50 - 68	
5	Jersey Mine Tailings (Salmo, B.C.)	69 - 72	
6	Conclusions and Discussion	73 - 78	
7	Bibliography	79 - 82	
8	Appendix	83 - 116	

III

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
I	Description of Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dumps. Description of Copper Mountain Tailings.	21
II	Particle Size Analysis - Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dumps.	22
III	Particle Density and Bulk Density of Similkameen Mine, Bethlehem Mine, and Lornex Mine Waste Materials.	29
IA	Summary of the Physical Properties of the Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dumps, Copper Mountain Tailings and Adjacent Soil Sites.	30
V	Description of Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps. Descritpion of Lornex Mine Waste Rock Dumps.	37
VI	Particle Size Analysis - Lornex and Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps.	45
VII	Summary of Physical Properties of the Bethlehem Mine and Lornex Mine, Waste Rock Dumps and Tailing and Adjacent Soil Sites.	r s 46
VIII	Description of Sullivan Mine Iron Tailings	54
IX	Description of Sullivan Mine Siliceous Tailings	55
X	Particle Size Analysis - Sullivan Mine Tailings	62

IV

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
XI	Bulk Density and Hydraulic Conductivity	
	Measurements of Sullivan Mine Tailings	63
XII	Particle Density - Sullivan Mine Tailings.	64
XIII	Summary of the Physical Properties of the	
	Sullivan Mine Tailings Materials and Adjacent	
	Soil Sites.	65
XIV	Description of Jersey Mine Talings	
	(Canex Mine)	70
XV	Physical Properties - Jersey Mine	
	(Canex Mine).	71

V

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
1	Location of Study Sites	9
2	Water Retention Curve - Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dumps	24
3	Water Retention Curve - Copper Mountain Tailings.	25
4	Water Retention Curve - Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps.	41
5	Water Retention Curve - Lornex Mine Waste Rock Dumps.	42
6	Diagram of Undisturbed Non-Vegetated Sullivan Mine Iron Tailings.	58
7	Diagram of Sullivan Mine Siliceous Tailings.	59
8	Water Retention Curve - Sullivan Mine Iron Tailings.	56
9	Water Retention Curve - Sullivan Mine Siliceou Tailings.	s 57
10	Water Retention Curve 👙 Jersey Mine (Canex Mine) Tailings.	69

~

VI

LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Title	Page '
1	Mill Tailings (Sullivan Mine) and Waste Rock Dump (Lornex Mine).	7
2	Milkweed Invading Waste Rock Dump (Similkameen	
	Mine) Sandy Loam Textured Mill Tallings (Copper Mountain Mine).	17
3	Sample Sites - Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dumps.	23
4	Forbs Invading Waste Rock Dump (Bethlehem Mine). Sample Pit in Waste Rock Dump (Bethlehem Mine).	' 34
5	Sample SitesBethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps.	38
6	Sample Sites - Lornex Mine Waste Rock Dumps.	39
7	Sample Sites - Sullivan Mine Tailings.	56
8	Horizonation in Iron Tailings (Sullivan Mine) Surface Crust on Iron Tailings (Sullivan	
	Mine).	50

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to Dr. L.M.Lavkulich, Professor, Department of Soil Science, for supervision and assistance.

I must also express gratitude to Pat Dairon, Mark Walmsley and Debbie Nutchey. Many thank to Bernie Von Spindler and Drs. Bomke, de Vries, Nagpal and Rowles for advice and help with the project.

INTRODUCTION

The ultimate objective of this thesis is to outline and interpret the physical properties of mine wastes so as to make the designing of reclamation treatments more effective and realistic.

The establishment of vegetation on metal mine tailings has been attempted for many years. These bject of initial attempts was primarily to stabilize tailings areas to reduce wind erosion and to ensure that water erosion did not threaten the soundness of the tailings dams. Other methods of stabilization, such as the use of chemical polymers or covering materials such as gravel were tried with limited success, (Dean and Havens, 1972). Stand establishment was realized on only a few areas with most attempts unsuccessful. In general tailings areas proved to be highly "unpalatable" to plant life. By the mid-sixties the mining industry had experienced many changes. High grade low waste underground mines began to be replaced by large, low grade high waste surface mines. Tailings ponds increased in size and large acreages of waste rock material began to accumulate.

In British Columbia the need to revegetate mine wastes probably became, and still is, more obvious than elsewhere. Traditionally mines were developed in the back country, but from the mid-sixties to the present time, mines have been largely developed in settled areas and are of the surface mine type rather than the smaller underground operations.

Surface metal mining leaves three features on the landscape; the

tailings, the waste rock dumps and the pit. The pit is to all extent beyond the scope of revegetation. Its almost vertical walls and complete absence of fine material preclude establishment of significant plant life. The waste rock dumps and the dried tailings areas, however, by the nature of their material, offer the biotic community a potential foot hold.

WASTE ROCK DUMPS

Waste rock dumps consist of rock which is too low in grade to be sent to the mill, and overburden, often glacial till, removed from the mining operation. Chemical problems are usually minor unless pyrite or other reduced sulfide content is very high. The main problem is the large size of the fragments that do not go through the crusher. These fragments may be up to several decimeters or more in diameter. The current method for disposing of this material is to dump it in depressions off the sides of hills creating waste rock dumps. Resulting dumps may exceed 700 hectares (1500 acres) for an individual mine (Thirgood and Gilmore. 1971). Some attempt at selective dumping is usually made with coarse material being dumped first followed by fine material or overburden. Traffic from the huge trucks, which may exceed 150 tons gross weight, compact and crush the surface layer on the dump increasing the amount of fine sized material.

MILL TAILINGS

Tailings are the fine textured by-products of the primary extraction of metaliferious minerals. Ore grade rock goes to the mill where it is ground and subjected to the milling processes. Generally the lower grade the deposit, the finer the ore must be ground. Some present mines are grinding rock finer than - 400 mesh with the finer products of the grinding having particle sizes as low as 0.15 to 0.010 microns (Duncan, 1972). This ground rock is subjected to froth floatation processes and the

desired metallic material removed. The undesired silicate gangue minerals and undesired metallic minerals are then pumped as a slurry into the tailings pond.

A number of methods are used to create the tailings pond (Klohn, 1972). In British Columbia, because of the topography, tailings ponds are often created by damming a valley and creating an artificial lake. These ponds may take on considerable size. The Lornex pond in the Highland Valley approaches 700 hectares (1500 acres) in size, (Thirgood, Gilmore, 1971). At the end of the mining operation the water supply is cut off and the tailings pond is allowed to dry up.

The end result is an artificial lacustrine-like deposit. The physical properties are usually more favourable to plant life than in the waste rock dumps.

Previous Research and Revegetation Attempts

Although it has been suggested that water stress may be the most growth limiting factor (McLénnan, 1956) most works in revegetation research have been chemically or vegetatively biased. Good discussions of the chemical properties of mine waste materials are given by Nielson and Paterson (1972) and by Lakulich <u>et al</u> (1975). Nielson and Paterson acknowledge the importance of physical properties to successful vegetation growth as does Gardiner (1974) of Mined-Land Reclamation-Cominco Ltd..

Most plot type experiments have involved massive fertiliser applications, heavy liming and heavy seeding. These have had limited success but appear to be the most popular contemporary approach. (Gordon, 1969; Weston 1973; Young 1969).

Some realization of the importance of retained water is evident by mulching experiments described by Young (1969) and Gordon (1969) at the Copper Cliff and Hollinger Mine tailings areasin Ontario. The need for irrigation both for stand establishment and to control acidity through leaching techniques has initiated some research into physical properties by South African researchers James and Mrost (1965).

Van Lear (1971) of the United States Forest Service has shown that the texture of coal wastes are critical for the growth of K-31 Tall Fescue? He has shown that a relationship exists between toxicity for plants and textural class in potentially toxic material and that this determines the success or failure of stand establishment. Some usage of sewage sludge to provide erosion protection and improve moisture infiltration into the soil has been described by Capp and Gilmore (1973) and indicates an appreciation for the importance of physical parameters to successful revegetation. Water conservation in the form of snow retaining fences, jet netting and straw mulches have been used by Jacoby (1968) to help retain snow for subsequent water reserves.

A good view point of the problem of moisture availability in mining revegetation attempts is given by May (1975). He points out that at least half of western North America. receives less than 38cm. (15 inches)

of precipitation a year and that in many regions at least 80% of this comes as snow. Losses from runoff and evaporation further reduce this amount. He feels the greatest problem in revegetating these areas is the limited moisture availability for plant growth. This limited moisture availability also restricts seed germination and seedling establishment.



MILL TAILINGS (SULLIVAN MINE)



Outline of Study

As indicated earlier, the physical properties of mine wastes in relation to reclamation in areas of limited available precipitation are probably the most limiting to successful revegetation. This study attempts to characterize the various mine wastes encountered and to relate the mine wastes to the surrounding soils in the study area.

Waste materials and adjacent natural soils were mapped in the field after preliminary orientation with existing air photographs and soil survey information.

Sampling density of waste materials varied but attempted to ensure statistical significance of the results by including at least one heavily duplicated sample of each waste type. Mine waste rock dump material from the Similkameen Mine and iron tailings material of the Sullivan Mine were heaviky sampled for greater statistical significance than other areas with the same waste types and were used as a basis of comparison with waste materials from other areas. One complete sample from each natural soil association mapped within the bounds the study area was collected.

Laboratory studies were conducted to define the physical properties of each sample. Interpretations were made on the basis of previous agronomic research and correlations with the properties of adjacent natural soils.

LOCATION OF STUDY SITES



INDEX TO NUMBERED SITES

- 1. PRINCETON Similkameen Mine Copper Mountain Mine
- 2. HIGHLAND VALLEY Lornex Mine Bethlehem Mine
- 3. KIMBERLEY Sullivan Mine
- 4. SALMO Jersey Mine(Canex Mine)

Materials from the Similkameen Mine, Copper Mountain Mine, Lornex Mine and the Bethlehem Mine are somewhat similar, being derived from low grade sulfide containing igneous and volcanic rocks. Wastes from these areas are usually mildly alkaline-alkaline in reaction. Materials from the Sullivan Mine are distinct, being derived from massive sulfide containing sedimentary rocks. Wastes from this mine are usually extremely acid in reaction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling rationale and preparation

Both waste areas and adjacent undisturbed soil sites were sampled for laboratory determinations. Natural soils were sampled according to horizonation to a depth of 100 cm or occurance of rock. Tailings materials that exhibited apparent horizonation were sampled similarly. Waste rock dumps were sampled to a depth of 50 cm with samples representing the 0 to 15 cm and 15 cm to 50 cm material being taken. Deeper samples were not taken due to the difficulties in digging deeper pits. Large samples of all material less than 100 cm were kept with visual estimates made of greater than 100 cm material.

Natural sites were characterized vegetatively with the hope that their physical properties would enable comparative predictions about the suitability of various plant communities for the environment of the waste materials.

Some sites were sampled in much greater density than others with

the hope that statistical significance might outline subtle pedogenic processes such as illuviation. Where possible undisturbed core samples were taken.

Samples were air dried and then carefully rolled with a wooden roller, avoiding the crushing of integral particles. These samples were then sieved through a 2mm sieve with the fine material and coarse fragments both weighed to determine percent fine material. Corrections were made, based on proportions of greater than 100 mm material estimated visually.

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS

The fine fraction of soil constitutes the most active fraction, both in terms of water and gaseous phenomena and nutritional balance. Particle size distributions were determined by the hydrometer method as outlined by Day(1956) with 30% H_2O_2 being used to destroy organic matter. A few Sullivan Mine iron tailings samples were treated with citrate dithionite as outlined by Harris and Lavkulich (1972), to remove amorphous iron oxides. Textural classification was made according to the 1950 U.S.D.A. textural classification system.

WATER RETENTION

The ability of soil to store water for subsequent plant use has long been of considerable interest to agronomists. If water becomes deficient

to the metabolism of the plant, dehydration of the protoplasm occurs and with it retardation of enzymatic, photosynthetic and other life processes of the plant. (Devlin, 1966)

It is customary to designate the available water storage capacity (A.W.S.C.) as the amount of water the soil is able to provide between Field Capacity Tension and Permanent Wilting Point Tension. Field Capacity Tension is the tension at which the drainage rate from the rooting zone has dropped to a low value and Field Capacity then is the water content of a soil at this tension. Permanent Wilting Point Tension is the tension at the lower limit of the available water content range. At this tension, the plant is unable to extract sufficient water for its life processes and suffers irreversible wilting as a result. Permanent Wilting Point then is the water content of a soil at this tension. In practice the 0.1 and 0.3 bar water contents are the laboratory estimates of the Field Capacity of coarse and medium to fine textured soils and the 15.0 bar water content is the laboratory estimate of the Permanent Wilting Point.

Available Water Storage Capacities were determined for the less than 2 mm material and then corrected for the percent coarse fragments in the soil.

In practice, the 0.1 and 0.3 bar water contents are the laboratory estimates of the field capacity of coarse and medium to fine textured

soils, and the 15 bar water content is the laboratory estimate of the Permanent Wilting Point.

Water contents of waste materials were determined at tensions of 0.1, 0.3, 0.9, .3 and .15 bars using porous plate extractors as described in Baver <u>et al</u> (1972). Water contents of adjacent natural soils were determined at tensions of 0.1, 0.3, and 15 bars.

Available Water Storage Capacity was then calculated from differences between the laboratory estimate of the Field Capacity and the Permanent Wilting Point, corrected for coarse fragments.

BULK DENSITY

Bulk density as defined by Buckman and Brady (1960), is the weight of a unit volume of oven dry soil. This volume contains both solids and pores and is a function of soil structure and particle density.

Bulk densities of surface soils within the clay, clay loam and silt loam textural classes normally range from 1.00 to as high as 1.60 gm per cm³ depending on their condition. Very compact subsoils may run as high as 2.00 gm per cm³. Bulk density in combination with particle density enables calculations of total porosity.

Bulk densities were determined for surface layers of waste rock dumps by an <u>in situ</u> method. The method employed involved carefully

digging a pit and removing and weighing all material. The pit was then lined with a plastic bag and filled with water. The plastic bag and water were likewise removed and weighed. The ratio of the two weights constituted the bulk density. Bulk densities of the tailings and undisturbed control sites were obtained through conventional core sampling techniques.

PARTICLE DENSITY

Particle density as defined by Buchman and Brady (1960) is the weight of a unit volume of soil solids. In most soils particle densities range between 2.60 and 2.75 gm per cm³. Soils that contain unusual accumulations of heavier minerals may have particles densities in excess of 2.75 grams per cm.³

Because waste materials are not true soils and unusual mineralogical associations occur, particle densities were determined. The pycnometer method as outlined by Black <u>et al</u> (1965) was used to determine these densities.

TOTAL AND AERATION POROSITY

Porosity consitutes the proportion of voids in a soil volume. These voids enable liquid and gaseous exchange phenomena to occur. Total porosity consists of water retention porosity and aeration porosity. Aeration porosity is the proportion of the soil volume filled with air at field capacity. Baver et al (1972) state the total

porosity of an average soil is about 50%. Sandy soils would be somewhat lower and clay or organic rich soils higher. Smaller pores are able to retain water against gravity, while larger pores are unable to retain this water and are drained and contribute to the aeration porosity. Aeration porosity must be sufficient to allow adequate diffusion of oxygen. This oxygen is necessary for the growth of plants, particularly roots, for nutrient and water absorption, the prevention of the accumulation of toxic inorganic compounds, and microbial soil life (Devlin, 1966). Hanks and Thorp (1956) have shown aeration porosity to be particularly critical to seedlings. They have shown that emergence of wheat declines as oxygen is restricted past certain limits and that the necessary aeration porosity for adequate oxygen diffusion corresponds with 16% aeration porosity for a silty loam and 25% for a fine sandy loam.

Total porosity was calculated, as a volume fraction, from particle densities and bulk densities according to the equation

Total Porosity =
$$1 - \frac{Bulk Density}{Particle Density}$$

Aeration porosity was calculated by subtracting water porosity at field capacity from total porosity.

HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY

Hydraulic conductivity describes the relative ease by which a soil

allows water to pass through a layer or profile and is defined in terms of the velocity of flow of water over the gross cross section per unit hydraulic gradient. Undisturbed core samples were used to determine hydraulic conductivities as described in Baver et al (1972).

CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITY

Cation exchange capacity is a parameter used to quantify the exchangeable cations of a soil and is usually expressed in milliequivalents per 100 gm of soil. Although normally thought of as a chemical parameter, its basic physical nature and effect on physical properties make it of considerable interest in interpretations with other physical properties. Baver <u>et al</u> (1972) cite it as having tremendous impacts on viscosity, swelling and plasticity of a soil. Gill and Reaves (1956) showed that soil-clod shrinkage more closely corresponds to cation exchange capacity than to specific area or plastic index.

Cation exchange capacity was determined by the ammonium acetate method as outlined by Black in Black et al (1965).

Sample Letter Code

Ρ	Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dump
\mathbf{L}	Lornex Mine Waste Rock Dump
В	Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dump
SF	Sullivan Mine Iron Tailings
รรี	Sullivan Mine Siliceous Tailings





SIMILKAMEEN AND COPPER MOUNTAIN MINES - PRINCETON, B.C. WASTE ROCK DUMPS AND TAILINGS

The Similkameen Mine and adjacent Copper Mountain Mine are located 10 miles south of Princeton, B.C.. The Copper Mountain Mine is no longer active but was extensively worked by underground and surface methods until 1957. The medium sized Similkameen open pit mine started in the early 1970's. Daily mill production of the Similkameen Mine was about 15,000 tons at the time of field work. Waste dumps are located adjacent to the pit and tailings are deposited in the Smelter Lake Tailings Area on the opposite side of the Similkameen River. Tailings of the old Copper Mountain Mine are stored in a now dry tailings area adjacent to Princeton townsite.

GEOLOGY AND GEOMORPHOLOGY

1

Both mines are examples of volcanogenic type ore deposits with mineralization occurring in volcanic breccias and associated syenite plugs. Much of the mineralization occurs in pyritic zones with the main metal recovered being copper. The bedrock geology of the area has been reported by Dolmage (1934) and Preto (1972).

Glaciation has left an unconsolidated mantle on the land with glacial till, glacial-fluvial and colluvial deposits being the most widespread. Smaller areas of lake sediment and bedrock are distributed

through the area. Surficial geology of the area is described by Nasmith (1962).

SOILS

Soils of the area are reported in a preliminary reconnaisance soil survey by the Soils Division Canada Agriculture. (Provisional draft, 1974.) Soils of the area range from Dark Brown or Black Chernozems in the valley bottom to Brunizolic and Luvisolic soils at higher elevations.

Soil descriptions and natural vegetation lists of adjacent soils are described in tables A-2 to A-8 of the appendix. A summary of physical properties of the various soils is given in table LV.

CLIMATE

Climatic data for the area is summarized in table A-12 of the appendix. Mean annual precipitation for the area, as reported by Princeton airport (1965 and 1970) is 36 cm. Precipitation in the growing season, May to August, amounts to 10.5 cm. Winter snow amounts to 150 cm. Average maximum temperature at Princeton for this period is 33°C. It is evident from this data that summer drought is of major importance.

DESCRIPTION OF SITES

The sample sites of the Similkameen Mine waste rock dumps are shown

in Plate 2. Sample sites of the adjacent soil and vegetation sites are shown in Figure A-1 of the appendix. Descriptions of the Similkameen Mine waste rock dumps and Copper Mountain tailings are given in tables I. Descriptions of the adjacent soil sites and species lists are given in tables A-2 to A-8 of the appendix.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It was initially felt that insufficient water holding capacity would probably be the most important growth limiting physical property. To elucidate this fact available water storage capacities were determined as outlined in the materials and methods section of this report. Water retention data for the waste materials and natural soils are listed in tables A-9 and A-11 of the appendix. Mean water retention curves for the less than 2mm fraction of the waste materials are shown in figures 2 and 3.

DESCRIPTION OF SIMILKAMEEN MINE WASTE ROCK DUMPS

LAYER

CM

74-P-1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10,11,12,13,14

Grayish brown (10YR 5/2 - 5/3) and 0 - 15А light brownish gray (10 YR 6/2), very stoney, (less than 25% soil sized material)^{*}sandy loam, compacted, fragments angular В 15 - 45 Grayish brown (10 YR 5/2 - 5/3) and light brownish gray (10 YR 6/2), very stoney (less than 25% soil sized material) sandy loam, compacted, fragments angular D 45+ Same as B above but more rocky DESCRIPTION OF COPPER MOUNTAIN TAILINGS (Granby A, B, C) LAYER CM 0 - 25Dark grey (7.5 YR 4/1) and very Α uniform loamy sand В 25 - 50 Dark grey (7.5 YR 4/1) and very uniform sand Dark grey (7.5 YR 4/1) and very C 50 - 100+ uniform loamy sand - sandy loam

Note: Surface completely unstable and prone to blowing.

* soil sized refers to fine earth fraction or less than 2 mm fraction of the material.

PARTI	ICLE SIZE	ANALYSIS	- SIMILKA	MEEN MINE	WASTE ROCK DUMPS
		(by	weight)		
Sample #	< 2mm	Sand %	Silt	Clay	
Similkameen Wa	aste rock	dumps			
0 - 15 cm					
P-1A	31	48	36	16	Loam
P-1A	21	63	24	13	Sandy Loam
P-3A	22	60	28	12	Sandy Loam
P-4A	15	53	33	14	Sandy Loam
P-54	22	68	27	5	Sandy Loam
P-64	19	66	23	11	Sandy Loam
D	26	60	28	12	Sandy Loam
T-0A	10	70	20	6	Sandy Loam
D 104	12	12	16	7	Sandy Loam
I=12A	15	70	10	10	Sandy Loam
P-13A	14	[2	10	10	Sandy Loan
P-14A	10	20	20	14	Sandy Doale
Mean waste	40	67	26	14	Sondy Loom
rock - U-15cm	19	0)	20	11	Sandy Boah
Similkameen wa	aste rock	dumps			
15 A E om					
	17	54	30	7	Sandy Loam - Loam
	10	54	25	17	Sandy Loam
P-25	10	0 I 17 4	20	10	Sandy Doam Sondy Loam
P-3B	18		19	10	Sandy Loam
P-4B	14	00	24	10	Sandy Loan
P-5B	11	66	25	11	Sandy Loam
P-6B	15	42	29	29	Clay Loam - Loam
P-7B	13	64	30	6	Sandy Loam
P-8B	17	60	24	16	Sandy Loam
P-11B	13	55	35	10	Sandy Loam
P-12B	12	58	28	14	Sandy Loam
P-13B	10	60	29	11	Sandy Loam
P-14B	10	65	24	11	Sandy Loam
Mean waste					
rock - 15-40	cm 14	60	28	12	Sandy Loam
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Roadside Berm	L				
Sand		. .	-	•	
P-10A	77	84	8	8	Loamy Sand
P10B	73	80	12	8	Loamy sand-Sandy loam
Copper Mounta	in Tailir	ngs			
Layer A	100	81	14	5	Loamy sand
Layer B	100	81	15	4	Loamy sand
La yer C	100	78	17	5	Loamy sand - sandy loa





Figure 2



. بر ۲۰۰۰

Soil Water Tension (bars)

15

Figure 3

The mean A.W.S.C. for the Similkameen waste rock material, less than 2 mm fraction, was found to be 0.21 cm^3 per cm³. When corrected for the nearly 85% coarse fragments in this material the whole soil A.W.S.C. drops to 0.03 cm per cm. Assuming a deep rooting plant with a rooting depth of 100 cm, 3.4 cm of water is available for plant use at field capacity. This value is extremely low and supports the initial premise that available water storage capacity is a major limitation.

Potential evapotranspiration demands for a somewhat similar northeastern Californian site are described by Gianelli <u>et al</u> (1967). They report 36.9 inches potential maximum demand for a grass or pasture crop for the growing season or 0.39 cm per day. If one considers that our sites are somewhat north of this area but also that 0.39 cm per day represents an average over the growing season, approximately ten days supply of water is available to satisfy this potential maximum demand.

The mean A.W.S.C. for the Copper Mountain tailings was found to be $0.152 \text{ cm}^3/\text{cm}^3$, assuming a deep rooting plant with a rooting depth of 100cm, 15.2 cm of water available for plant base at field capacity. Available water storage capacity does not appear to be a growth limiting factor from cursory laboratory analysis, however, the unusually sharp retention curve suggests drought conditions develop quickly as this material is drained at low water tensions.

Potential reserves of available water for adjacent soils are described in table IV. Site SM-7 classified as a Degraded Dystric Brunisol most closely approximates the water storage capacity of the Similkameen Mine waste rock dumps and is described in table A-6 of the appendix.
This site supports a vegetation component of aborescent or subaborescent species and pinegrass with a large portion of the understory consisting of needles on bare ground.

Particle size distributions for the Similkameen Mine wasterock dumps and Copper Mountain tails are given in table II.

The mean texture for all Similkameen waste rock samples is a very rocky, sandy loam with only 16.4% of the material being less than 2 mm. The surface 15 cm of material is 4% higher in less than 2 mm sized material than deeper samples while the deeper than 15 cm material is 1.2% higher in clay sized material and 1.9% higher in silt sized material than the top 15 cm. This reciprocal relationship quite possibly indicates active and rapid pedogenic illuviation.

The texture of the Copper Mountain tailings ranges from loamy sand to sandy loam. Combined silt and clay sized material increase with depth, again indicating active pedogenic illuviation.

Bulk densities and particle densities for both waste types are given in tableIII. The mean bulk density of the Similkameen Mine waste rock dumps is 1.9 gm per cm³ while it is 1.45 gm per cm³ for the Copper Mountain tailings.

The mean bulk density of the Similkameen Mine waste rock dumps exceed Buckman and Brady's upper limit for similarly textured soils.

However, it one considers the 85% coarse fragments with a rock density of 2.65 gm per cm³ this value does not necessarily indicate the compaction that one would at first expect and may not indicate undue impairment to roct growth.

Particle densities for both waste types are listed in tableIII. Particle densities are in both cases similar to the 2.65 gm per cm³ normal for most soils.

Minimum aeration porosities of the Similkameen Mine waste rock dumps and Copper Mountain tails were found to be 21% and 30% respectively. In reference to Hank and Thorp (1956) aeration porosities for these materials seem adequate.

Cation exchange capacities for the Similkameen Mine waste rock dumps and Copper Mountain Tailings are listed in table IV. Attention is drawn to the extremely high values of the Similkameen Mine wasterock dump samples and extremely low values of the Copper Mountain tailings samples. Soil fertility problems will be present in reclamation attempts of the Copper Mountain tailings.

Particle Density Bulk Density Sample # gm/cm ³ gm/cm ³ Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dumps 9 P-1 2.55 1.75 P-2 2.77 1.59 P-3 2.70 1.59 P-4 2.59 1.69 P-5 1.73 P-6 1.88 P-7 2.67 1.79 P-8 2.65 1.84 P-11 2.68 1.98 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.99 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 2.05 B-1 2.67 1.60 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.26 B-3 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.26 B-4 2.75 1.54	AND LORN	NEX MINE WASTE MATERIALS	
Sample # gm/cm ³ gm/cm ³ Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dumps P-1 2.55 1.75 P-2 2.77 1.59 P-3 2.70 1.59 P-4 2.59 1.69 P-5 1.73 P-6 1.88 P-7 2.65 1.84 P-11 2.66 1.98 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex=Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 Lornex=Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76		Particle Density	Bulk Density
Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dumps P-1 2.55 1.75 P-2 2.77 1.59 P-3 2.70 1.59 P-4 2.59 1.69 P-5 1.73 P-6 1.88 P-7 2.67 1.79 P-8 2.65 1.84 P-11 2.668 1.98 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 2.66 Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.91 74-P-10 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex=Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean	Sample #	gm/cm ³	gm/cm ³
P-1 2.55 1.75 P-2 2.77 1.59 P-3 2.70 1.59 P-4 2.59 1.69 P-5 1.73 P-6 1.88 P-7 2.67 1.79 P-8 2.65 1.84 P-11 2.665 1.84 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps 2.67 1.60 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.79 LornexmMine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem ta	Similkameen Mine Waste	Rock Dumps	
P-2 2.77 1.59 P-3 2.70 1.59 P-4 2.59 1.69 P-5 1.73 P-6 1.88 P-7 2.67 1.79 P-8 2.65 1.84 P-11 2.68 1.98 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 1.91 74-P-10 2.68 2.65 Sand berm) 2.68 2.05 B-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.26 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex Temp. tailings 1 <td>P-1</td> <td>2.55</td> <td>1.75</td>	P-1	2.55	1.75
P-3 2.70 1.59 P-4 2.59 1.69 P-5 1.73 P-6 1.88 P-7 2.67 1.79 P-8 2.65 P-11 2.68 1.96 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 <u>Bethleham Mine Waste Rock Dumps</u> B-1 2.667 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 <u>Lornex⊭Mine Waste Rock Dumps</u> L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76	P-2	2.77	1,59
P-4 2.59 1.69 P-5 1.73 P-6 1.88 P-7 2.67 1.79 P-8 2.65 1.84 P-11 2.68 1.98 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.91 P-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.76 Lornex#Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32	P-3	2.70	1,59
P-5 1.73 P-6 1.88 P-7 2.67 1.79 P-8 2.65 1.84 P-11 2.68 1.98 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 Bethleham Mine Waste Rock Dumps B-1 1.60 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.79 Lornex:#Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex:moder Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex: Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	P-4	2,59	1,69
P-6 1.88 P-7 2.67 1.79 P-8 2.65 1.98 P-11 2.68 1.98 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 Bethleham Mine Waste Rock Dumps 2.63 1.79 B-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.79 Lornexs: Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex: Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex: Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	P-5	_ , , , ,	1,73
P-7 2.67 1.79 P-8 2.65 1.98 P-11 2.68 1.98 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 Bethleham Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.91 F-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.79 Lornexs:Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex® Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	P-6		1.88
P-8 2.65 1.98 P-11 2.68 1.98 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.91 P-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.26 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex@ Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	P-7	2.67	1,79
P-11 2.68 1.98 P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.91 P-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.79 LornexreMine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornexre Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornexr Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	P-8	2.65	1412
P-12 2.65 1.84 P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 74-P-10 (Sand berm) 2.68 Bethleham Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.91 B-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.26 B-5 2.73 1.26 B-5 2.73 1.75 Mean 1.79 1.75 Lornex∞Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex∞ Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex∞ Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	P-11	2,68	1,98
P-13 2.74 1.98 P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 74-P-10 2.68 Sand berm 2.63 P-1 2.63 Bethleham Mine Waste Rock Dumps 2.63 B-1 2.63 B-2 2.67 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 B-5 2.74 Mean 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex⊵Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex⊵ Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex⊵ Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	P-12	2.65	1.84
P-14 2.66 1.85 Mean 1.91 74-P-10 2.68 (Sand berm) 2.68 Bethleham Mine Waste Rock Dumps 2.63 B-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex⊵Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex∞ Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex∞ Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	P-13	2.7/	1 98
Mean 1.00 74-P-10 2.68 (Sand berm) 2.63 Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 B-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornexa Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornexa Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	P-14	2.66	1.85
74-P-10 2.68 Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 B-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 LornexeMine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornexe Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	Mean		1.91
(Sand berm) 2.68 Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps 2.63 1.79 B-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 1.79 Lornex∞Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex∞ Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	74-P-10		
Bethlehem Mine Waste Rock Dumps B-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex Mine Waste Rock Dumps 2.65 1.98 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex® Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	(Sand berm)	2.68	
B-1 2.63 1.79 B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	Bethlehem Mine Waste F	lock Dumps	
B-2 2.67 1.60 B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex∞Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex∞ Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	B-1	2.63	1.79
B-3 2.88 2.05 B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex*Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex® Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	B-2	2.67	1.60
B-4 2.79 1.26 B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex*Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex® Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	B-3	2.88	2.05
B-5 2.74 1.77 Mean 1.79 Lornex*Mine Waste Rock Dumps 1.79 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex® Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	B-4	2.79	1.26
Mean 1.79 Lornex: Mine Waste Rock Dumps 2.65 1.98 L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex: Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	B-5	2.74	1.77
Lornex Mine Waste Rock Dumps L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	Mean		1.79
L-1 2.65 1.98 L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex® Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	Lornex Mine Waste Rock	Dumps	
L-2 2.73 1.54 L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex® Temp. tailings 1 2.59 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62	L-1	2.65	1.98
L-3 2.70 1.75 Mean 1.76 Bethlehem tailings sand 2.67 Lornex© Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	L-2	2.73	1.54
Mean1.76Bethlehem tailings sand2.67Lornex® Temp. tailings 12.59Lornex Temp. tailings 22.621.39	L-3	2.70	1.75
Bethlehem tailings sand2.67Lornex® Temp. tailings 12.59Lornex Temp. tailings 22.621.39	Mean		1.76
Lornex Temp. tailings 1 2.59 1.32 Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	Bethlehem tailings san	d 2.67	
Lornex Temp. tailings 2 2.62 1.39	Lornex@ Temp. tailings	1 2.59	1.32
	Lornex Temp. tailings	2 2.62	1.39

.

.

PARTICLE DENSITY AND BULK DENSITY OF SIMILKAMEEN MINE, BETHLEHEM MINE,

			SUMMARY	OF PHYSICAL	PROPERTIES O	F THE SIMILKAMEE	N MINE	•		TABLE IV
			VASTE ROCK I	DUMPS, COPPER	MOUNTAIN TAI	LINGS AND ADJACE	NT SOIL SITE	S		
Sample	Classification or Type	Layer of Profile	c %2mm (mean)	Textural class (2 mm) (mean)	A.W.S.C. cm/cm (mean)	Bulk Density O-15 cm g/cm ³ (mean)	Total Porosity O-15 cm (mean)	Minimum aeration porosity 0-15 cm (mean)	C.E.C. Meg/100 gm <2mm fraction (mean)	A.W.S.C. 100 cm soil cm water
		. <u>.</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*****		nation
	Similkameen mine waste rock dumps	A	18.5	Sandy Loam	•034	1.91	.28	.21	20.04	3.4
	· .	В	14.3	Sandy Loam	••••	••••	•••	•••	21.98	•••
			1			· ·	-	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
-	Copper Mountain tailings	A	100	Loamy Sand	1.68	1.46	•43	•30	2.16	15.2
		В	100	Loamy Sand	1.36	••••	• • •	• • •	2.03	•••
		C	100	Loamy Sand Sandy Loam	•••	••••	•••	•••	2.30	•••
SM-1	Degraded Dystric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.20	•550	•39	Appendix	5.2
SM-4	Degraded Dystric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.25	•53	•40	Appendix	5.1
SM-5	Orthic Grey Brown Luvisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.27	•53	•39	Appendix	6.0
SM-6	Degraded Eutric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.34	•49	•37	Appendix	14.2
SM-7	Degraded Dystric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.20	•55	•39	Appendix	3.6
SM-8	Degraded Dystric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.11	•58	•47	Appendix	9•4
SM-20	Orthic Dark Brown Chernozem	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.00	.62	•49	Appendix	8.3

SUMMARY

Similkameen Mine Waste Rock Dumps

To summarize the physical properties of the Similkameen Mine waste rock dump material the following points may be made.

Less than 20 percent of the volume of the dump material is less than 2 mm in particle size and considered soil sized. The soil-sized material has a sandy loam textural class. The remainder of the volume of the dump material consists of coarse fragments.

Available water storage capacity is low because of the high proportion of fragments and is only 3.4 percent by volume.

Bulk density is high because of the high proportion of coarse fragments.

Particle density is comparable to that of normal natural soil.

Minimum aeration porosity is adequate for seedling emergence.

Cation exchange capacity is good, suggesting that nutrient status will improve as weathering progresses and that long term benefits may be realized from fertilization. Pedogenic illuviation, evident by increased clay and silt content with depth, is ongoing and indicative of active soil processes.

Comparisons with surrounding natural soils show that coarse textured coniferous forest soils have physical properties similar to the waste dump materials. These sites typically have sparse understories dominated by woody species and a very low density of herbaceous species.

Copper Mountain tailings

To sumar ize the physical properties of the Copper Mountain tailings the following points may be made.

All the material is soil-sized and with a sandy loam - loamy sand textural class.

Available water storage capacity is favourable being approximately 15 percent by volume. Retention curves suggest this material quickly dries as water is drained at low water tensions.

Bulk density is not excessive and particle density is similar to that of the normal soils found in the area.

Minimum aeration porosity is approximately 30 percent by volume and is ample for seedling emergence.

Cation exchange capacity is very low suggesting leaching losses will

reduce both the beneficial effect of weathering on nutrient status and possible long term benefits gained through heavy fertilization. Some means of improving cation exchange capacity either through cultivation of a green manure crop or additions of organic matter should be considered to alleviate this condition. SECTION 2

Mine Wastes of the Princeton

•

ı.

Area.

(Similkameen Mine and Copper Mountain Mine)





SAMPLE PIT IN WASTE ROCK DUMP (BETHLEHEM MINE)

LORNEX AND BETHLEHEM MINES - HIGHLAND VALLEY, B.C.

WASTE ROCK DUMPS AND TAILINGS

INTRODUCTION

The Highland Valley is located north of Merrit and south of Kamloops B.C.. The region has been mined with varying intensities since the beginning of the century. Until the early 1970's mining was restricted to small underground operations but large scale open pit mining commenced at that time.

At the time of field work, the Lornex Mine processed 38,000 tons of ore a day and the Bethlehem Mine about 16,000 tons per day. A third Valley Copper Mine seems imminent.

GEOLOGY AND GEOMORPHOLOGY

These mines represent porphory copper operations with the main metals recovered being copper and molybdenum. The ore occurs as disseminated sulfides in granodiorite and quartz diorite intrusions. Sericite, chlorite, clay minerals and epidote occur as common alteration minerals with a significant proportion of pyrite occuring as an accessory mineral. The bedrock geology has been documented by White <u>et al</u> (1957), Carr (1960) and Cockfield (1961).

The area was glaciated in Pleistocene times and glacial overburden covers most of the land. Glacial till, glacial-fluvial sediments and colluvial material predominate. Smaller areas of lacustrine and organic material occur close to the mines. Surficial geology of the area has been described by Tipper (1971).

SOILS

Soils of the area are reported in a preliminary reconnaissance soil survey by the Soils Division British Columbia Department of Agriculture. (Provisional draft, 1974). -Brunisolic and Luvisolic soils predominate with some Regosols occuring close to the mines.

Soil descriptions and natural vegetation of selected adjacent soils are described in tables A-14 to A-20 of the appendix. A summary of physical properties of the various soils is given in table VII.

CLIMATE

Climatic data for the area is summarized in table A-12 of the appendix. Annual precipitation for the area as reported by the Lornex Weather Station (1971) is 29.5 cm. Precipitation for the growing season, May to August, is 6.5 cm. Winter snow is about 15.8 cm. Average maximum temperatures for summer are 28°C. Temperatures near or below freezing are possible in any month of the year. As is the case at Princeton, moisture relationships become critical to vegetation establishment.

DESCRIPTION OF BETHLEHEM MINE WASTE ROCK DUMPS

74-B-1, 2, 3, 4, 5

LAYER

Cm

A 0 - 15 Light gray (10YR 6/1 - 6/2) very stony (less than 25% soil sized material) ** sandy loam, compacted, fragments angular 15 - 45 Light gray (10 YR 6/1 - 6/2) very strong

(less than 25% soil sized material) sandy boam, compacted, fragments angular

DESCRIPTION OF LORNEX MINE WASTE ROCK DUMPS

74-L-1, 2, 3

LAYER	Cm	
A	0 - 15	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), very stony (less
		than 25% soil sized material) [*] sandy
		loam, compacted, fragments angular
В	15 - 45	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), very stony (less
		than 25% soil sized material) sandy
		loam, compacted, fragments angular
С	45 - 75+	Same as B above

* soil sized refers to fine earth fraction or less than 2 mm fraction of the material.





DESCRIPTION OF SITES

The sample sites of the Bethlehem Mine and Lornex Mine are shown in Plates 4 and 5, respectively. The sample sites at adjacent soil and vegetation listings are shown in Figure A-13 of the appendix. Descriptions of the waste rock dumps are given in table V. Descriptions of the adjacent soil sites and species lists are given in tables A-14 to A-20 of the appendix.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As in the case of the Similkameen Mine waste rock dumps, water stress was suspected of being the most probable growth limiting factor. Available water storage capacities were determined as outlined in the material and methods section of this report. Water retention data for the waste materials and natural soils are listed in tables A-21 and A-23 of the appendix. Mean water retention curves for the less than 2 mm fraction of the waste materials are shown in figures 4 and 5.

The mean A.W.S.C. for the Bethlehem and Lornex Mine waste rock dump materials, less than 2 mm fraction, is 0.20 and 0.19 cm³ per cm³, respectively. When corrected for the high proportion of coarse fragments in the material the whole soil A.W.S.C. drops to 0.08 cm³ and 0.05 cm³, per cm³ for the Bethlehem Mine and Lornex Mine waste rock dumps respectively. Mean A.W.S.C. for the Lornex temporary tailings





pond is 0.19 cm per cm.

Assuming a deep rooting plant with a rooting depth of 100 cm, 4 cm and 5 cm of water is available for plant use in the dumps of the Bethlehem Mine and Lornex Mine, respectively and 19 cm is available in the temporary tailings.

If one considers a maximum evapotranspiration demand of 0.39 cm per day (see Similkameen Mine waste rock dumps), 10 to 13 days of maximum demand water storage is available in the waste rock dumps and 49 days in the temporary tailings; to a depth of 100cm.

Reserves of available water for adjacent soils are listed in table VII. Natural sites, HV-2 and HV-11 were found to have water retention capacities of the same order as those of the waste rock dumps. (Tables A-15 and A-20 of the appendix.) Both these soils were classified as Degraded Brunisols with sparce under stories dominated by woody species. Site HV-10 (Table A-19 of the appendix), classified as an Orthic Sombric Brunisol, has similar water retention values to the Lornex tailings. Its vegetation is dominated by graminoids with a thicker ground cover than HV-2 or HV-11.

Particle size distributions for wate materials of both the Bethlehem and Lornex mines are given in table VI. Waste rock dumps of both mines generally have less than 25% soil size material with the fine fraction having a sandy loam texture. Percentage of combined silt and

clay sized material is equal at both depths in the Lornex dumps but is 2.5% higher in the deeper layers of the Bethlehem dumps. Pedogenic illuviation is considered an active process.

Bulk densities and particle densities for all of the waste materials of the two mines are given in table III. The mean bulk density of the Bethlehem Mine dumps is 1.8 gm per cm³ and of the Lornex dumps is 1.7 gm per cm³. Mean bulk density of the Lornex temporary tailings is 1.37 gm per cm³.

Particle densities of the various waste materials are listed in tableIII and are in all cases similar to values attributed to normal soils.

Minimum aeration porosities for the Bethlehem Mine waste rock dumps, Lornex mine waste rock dumps and Lornex tailings were found to be 24%, 25% and 9% respectively. In reference to Hank and Thorp (1956) aeration porosities of the waste rock dumps seem adequate while those of the tailing may present difficulties in seedling establishment.

Cation exchange capacities of the various waste types are listed in table VII. Attention is drawn to the relatively low values for the tailings material but acceptable values for the waste rock dump fine material.

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS - LORNEX AND BETHLEHEM MINE WASTE ROCK DUMPS

Sample #	% 2mm	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	Textural Class	
L-1A	29	60	28	12	Sandy Loam	
L-2A	<u> </u>	63	24	13	Sandy Loam	
L-3A Moon un ato	24	54	30	16	Sandy Loam-loam	
rock 0-15cm	29	59	28	13	Sandy Loam	
L-1B	18	60	32	8	Sandy Loam	
L-2B	22	61	30	9	Sandy Loam	
L-3B	27	57	27	16	Sandy Loam-Sandy loam	clay
rock 15-40cm	23	59	- 30	11	Sandy Loam	
L-1C	16	73	20	7	Sandy Loam-Loamy	sand
B-1A	18	69	28	12	Sandy Loam	Scalic
B-2A	32	64	26	10	Sandy Loam	
B-3A	20	65	26	9	Sandy Loam	
B-4A	41	57	27	14	Sandy Loam	
B-5A	21	61	28	11	Sandy Loam	
Mean waste	- 1	•	20		Saray Domi	
rock 0-15cm	26	62	27	11	Sandy Loam	
	4.7	(0)			·	
	12	60 50	50	10	Sandy Loam	
D-25	1)	59	28	13	Sandy Loam	
<u>שכ</u> סש האש	10	5 5	<u>30</u>	15	Sandy Loam-Loam	
D-4D D-5D	10	0)	25	12	Sandy Loam	
D	14	21	51	12	Sandy Loam	
rock 15-40 cm	15	59	27	12	Sandy Loam	
Lornex Tailings 1	100	62	31	7	Sandy Loam	
Lornex Tailings 2	100	25	60	15	Silt Loam	
Bethlehem Sand Tailings	100	90	7	3	Sand	

(by weight)

				•						
			· ·							
			· . ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL PROD	PERTIES OF	THE BETHL	EHEM MINE AND	LORNEX MINE	WASTE ROCK DUMPS	AND TAILING	S'AND ADJAC	ENT SOILSSITES	Table VIII
ample	Classification or Type	Luyer or Profile	%2 mm (mean)	Textural class (2 mm) (mean)	A.W.S.C. (cm/cm mean)	Bulk Density O-15 cm g/cm ³ (mean)	Total Porosity O-15 cm (mean)	Minimum aeration porosity 0-15 cm (mean)	C.E.C. Meg/100 gm ≪2mm fraction (mean)	A.W.S.C. 100 cm soil cm water
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Bethlehem mine waste rock dumps	3 A	26.5	Sandy loam	•039	1.79	0.32	.24	14.72	3.90
		В	14.9	Sandy Loam	• • • •				15.57	
	Lornex mine waste rock dumps	A	29.4	Sandy Loam	0.050	1.76	0.34	•25	6.61	5.00
		<u> </u>	23.0	Sandy Loam			••••	•••	-8.51	
	Lornex tailings	A	100.0	Loam	0.194	1.37	0.48	.09	4.70	18.4
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bethlehem tailings sand	A	100.0	Sand	0.075	••••	••••	•••	5.60	7.5 - 2
HV-1	Degraded Dystric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	0.91	0.66	•53		6.1
HV-2	Orthic Eutric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.53	0.42	•••	Appendix	3.4
IV-3	Orthic Regosol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	0.94	••••	••••	Appendix	18.4
IV-4	Degraded Dystric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendis	1.13	0.57	•••	Appendix	•••
iV-5	Orthic Grey Luvisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.24	0.53	.27	Appendix	13.6
IV-10	Orthic Sombric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.03	0.61	.26	Appendix	8.9
IV-11	Degraded Dystric Brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.15	0.57	•52	Appendix	3.0
	······································									

SUMMARY

Lornex and Bethlehem Waste Rock Dumps

To summarize the physical properties of the Lornex and Bethlehem waste rock dump materials, the following points may be made.

Less than 25 percent of the volume of the dumps is less than 2 mm in particle size and considered soil-sized. The soil-sized fraction has a sandy loam textural class. The remainder of the volume of the dump material is occupied by coarse fragments.

Available water storage capacity is low because of the high proportion of coarse fragments and is only 4-5 percent by volume for the two mines.

Bulk density is high because of the high proportion of coarse fragments.

Minimum aeration porosity is adequate for seedling emergence.

Cation exchange capacity is good, suggesting that nutrient status will improve as weathering progresses and that long term benefits may be gained through fertilization.

Pedogenic illuviation, evident by increased clay and silt content with depth, is ongoing and indicative of active soil processes. Comparisons with surrounding natural soils show that coarse textured coniferous forest soils have physical properties similar to the waste dumps. These sites support Douglas Fir and Lodgepole Pine forests with sparsely distributed shrubs on a bare needle covered forest floor. Density of grasses and forbs is low.

Lornex Temporary Tailings

To summarize the physical properties of the Lornex temporary tailings the following points may be made.

The tailings are completely soil-sized with a loam textural class.

Available water storage capacity is excellent being 19 percent by volume.

Bulk density is not excessive.

Minimum aeration porosity is low, less than 10 percent by volume. Previous agronomic research has shown such a low level may inhibit seedling emergence from thoroughly wetted sites (Hanks and Thorpe, 1956). Later spring seeding should be considered in view of this fact.

Cation exchange capacity is very low suggesting leaching may prevent nutritional status from improving with time and reducing long-term benefits from fertilization. Repeated light fertilizations may be required to attain stand establishment. Farming a green manure crop or adding organic matter may improve this situation.

Comparison with local natural soils points out that fine textured grassland soils have physical properties most like the tailings. Cultivation of a grass stand is the obvious path to reclamation of this material.



SURFACE CRUST ON IRON TAILINGS (SULLIVAN MINE)

SULLIVAN MINE: - KIMBERLEY, B.C.

IRON AND SILICA TAILINGS

INTRODUCTION

The Sullivan Mine is located on the edge of the town of Kimberley, British Columbia. It is the largest lead-zinc mine and largest underground mine in the province. As a lead-zinc producer it is one of the largest in the world.

Operation of the mine started in the late nineteenth century and present mill production is near 6,000 tons of ore a day. Much of the coarse waste material is used as backfill in the mining operation and as railway ballast. Extensive concentrator mill tailings have accumulated.

GEOLOGY AND GEOMORPHOLOGY

The ore body occurs as a layered sulfide deposit in sedimentary rocks. It is believed by Freeze (1966) to be of hydrothermal origin. The main products of the mining operation are lead, zinc, silver and iron sinter. Pyrite and other sulfides constitute a major proportion of the waste material.

Pleistocene glaciation has modified the landscape. Unconsolidated glacial overburden was left on most of the area. Glacial till, glacial-

fluvial material and colluvial material predominate. Outcroppings of bedrock and areas of lacustrine sediment also occur. Minor recent alluvial sediments are found adjacent to the St. Mary's River. Surficial geology of the area is described by Fulton (1968) and Clague (1974).

SOILS

Soils of the area are described in a preliminary soil reconnaisance survey by the Soils Division, British Columbia Department of Agriculture. (Provisional draft, 1974.) Podzolic and Brunisolic soils predominate.

Soil descriptions, natural vegetation and physical properties of selected adjacent soils are described in tables A-25 to A-31 of the appendix and tableXIIIof the text.

CLIMATE

. _. _

Climatic data for the area is summarized in table A-12. Mean annual precipitation as reported in 1965 and 1970 is 38 cm. Precipitation over the growing season, May to August, is 14 cm. Winter snow is 153 cm. Average maximum temperatures for this period are 28°C. Kimberley receives a much more favourable distribution of growing season precipitation than does the Highland Valley or Princeton areas.

DESCRIPTION OF SITES

The sample sites of the Sullivan Mine tailings are shown in Plate 7.

Sample sites of adjacent soil and vegetation sites are shown in Figure A-24 of the appendix. Typical descriptions of the Sullivan iron and silica tailings are given in tables XIII & XI. Diagrams of tailings materials are given in Figures 6 and 7. Descriptions of adjacent soil sites and vegetation lists are given in tables A-25 to A-31 of the appendix.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

It was difficult to predict characteristics for these materials by visual interpretation. Water retention data determined by laboratory analysis indicated that water retention was not a growth limiting factor. Water retention data is given in tables A-32 and A-34 of the appendix while curves are given in Figure 8 and 9 of the text. The mean A.W.S.C. for the iron tailings is 0.23 cm³ per cm³ and for the silica tailings is 0.19 cm³ per cm³. Mean A.W.S.C. for silt loam soils is listed as 0.20 cm³ per cm³ in the British Columbia Irrigation Guide (Calver <u>et al</u>). Available water storage capacity is evidently not a problem.

Particle size distributions for these materials are possibly not as meaningful as for other areas because of the severe surface iron dementation that is often present. Distributions for the deeper and usually non-cemented material are probably more diagnostic.

Particle size distributions for the iron and silica tailings are given in table X2. Both tailings types tend to have silt loam textures.

DESCRIPTION OF SULLIVAN MINE IRON TAILINGS

Cms	LAYER	
0–15	A	Strong brown (7.5 YR 5/8), loam - clay
		loam, very strongly cemented, full
		of small round gas holes, possibly
		a relic of gaseous release before
		cementation.
15-38	В	Light olive gray (5 YR 6/2), with some strong
		brown (7.5 YR 5/8), silt loam, sticky
		when wet.
38-51	с	Strong brown (7.5 YR 5/8), interfingered
		with some light blive grey (5 YR 6/2)
		and some black (5 YR 2/1) material,
		silt loam, developing into an iron pan
		(cortein)
51-70	D .	Black (7.5 YR 2/0), loam - silt loam,
		induration.
70–90	Е	Black (7.5 YR 2/0), banded with olive
		(5 YR 4/4), loam, below water table
		and completely saturated.

DESCRIPTION OF SULLIVAN MINE SILICEOUS TAILINGS

Cms	LAYER	
0–20	A	Dark grey (7.5 YR 4/0), sandy loam-loam, structureless.
20 - 25	В	Silt, indurated, much like an "ortstein" interfingered with silty material.
25–46	C	Brown (7.5 YR 4/4), sandy loam, structureless.
46+	D	Dark brown (7.5 YR 3/2), silt loam, somewhat indurated.





Soil Water Tension (bars)



Figure 9

UNDISTURBED NON-VEGETATED SULLIVAN MINE IRON TAILINGS



Figure 6

SULLIVAN MINE SILICEOUS TAILINGS



gray non-cemented material

ortstein with silty clay like material

red rusting non-cemented material

indurated material

The silica tailings are higher in sand-sized material and lower in clay-sized material than are the iron tailings.

Severely cemented samples were found to retain their cementation despite immersions of up to two months in water.

Pre-treatment of a few samples with citrate dithionite solution resulted in dramatic increases in clay sized material. This increase in clay sized material indicates that untreated particles are actually cemented aggregates of smaller particles.

Unlike the other areas studied, which were fairly uniform throughout their profile, the Sullivan sites were found to be differentiated into layers much like classic horizonation in natural soils. The hard cemented surface layer is sometimes absent and in such places would render the tailings more amenable to seeding and other cultural practices. (See figure 6.)

The iron tailings formed from the 1948 "spill" on the bank of the St. Mary's River were found to lack cementation in the surface iron oxide layer. It is not known whether this can be attributed to 26 years of weathering or the periodic flooding to which this area is prone or to other conditions that might have prevailed at this site.

Bulk densities are listed in table XI. Mean bulk densities of the top 15 cm of the iron tailings was found to be 1.15 gms per cm³, and of
the top 15 cm of the silica tailings to be 1.36 gms per cm².

Particle density determinations are listed in tableXIII. Mean particle densities for both materials are 2.80 gms per cm³. Particle density is somewhat lower than originally anticipated for such a minerological assembleage and possibly reflects the intense oxidation and resulting amorphous crystalline structure of the particles.

Minimum aeration porosity of the silica tailings is 21% and of the iron tailings is 22%. In reference to Hank and Thorp (1956) aeration porosities for these materials appear adequate.

Cation exchange capacity is moderate for both tailings types, 8.8 me./100gm. for the iron tailings and 6.4 me./100gm. for the siliceous tailings. Considering the high proportions of iron, aluminium and amorphous crystalline material and the extreme acidity of the tailings, fertility problems will almost invariably be very real.

Hydraulic conductivities for these materials are listed in table XI. Iron cementation appears to be a critical factor influencing this property. Mean hydraulic conductivities for the silica tailings were found to be 60 cm per day and for the iron tailings to be 14 cms per day. Attention is drawn to the tremendous variability in hydraulic conductivity depending on the degree of cementation. Mean values indicate the fate of water ponded on the tailings surface. Schwals <u>et al</u> (1971) list hydraulic conductivity ranges for representative loams as 12-49 cm per day and for clay loams as 3-12 cm per day.

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS - SULLIVAN MINE TAILINGS

			•		
Sample #	%2 mm	% Sand	%Silt	% Clay	Textural Class
SS-1A	100	49	46	5	Sandy Loam - Loam
SS-1B	100	7	84	9	Silt
SS-1C	100	59	37	6	Sandy Loam
SS-1D	100	27	59	14	Silt Loam
Mean silica				·	
tailings	100	35	56	9	Silt Loam
	100		45		Teem olers leem
DI -AR	100	29	47	20	Loam-clay loam
5F-15	100	10	50	14	Silt Loam
51-1U	100	50	21 51	12	Silt Loan
	100	44	21	2	Loam-Silt loam
SF-1E	100	45	41	8	Loam
SF-2A	100	46	44	10	Loam
SF-2B	100	35	52	13	Silt Loam
SF-3A	100	29	51	20	Silt Loam-loam
SF-3B	100	47	39	14	Loam
SF-3C	100	21	62	17	Silt Loam
SF-3D	100	18	64	18	Silt Loam
SF-4A	100	23	47	30	Clay loam-silty clay loam
SF-4B	100	20	60	20	Silt Loam
SF-4C	100	5	76	17	Silt Loam
SF-4D	100	62	31	7	Sandy Loam
SF-4E	100	10	77	13	Silt Loam
Mean Iron					
Tailings	100	30	55	15	Silt Loam

(by weight)

BULK DENSITY AND HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY

MEASUREMENTS BY CORE SAMPLE METHODS OF

- SULLIVAN MINE TAILINGS

Sample Location	Sample Type	Hydraulic Conductivity cm/day	Bulk Densi (gm/cm)
Sullivan Silica Tailing	8		
SS-1	non cemented	113	1.41
**	non cemented	74	1.33
11	partially cemente	d 48	1.62
11	strongly cemented	3	1.10
MEAN		60	1.36

Sullivan Iron Tailings

SF-1A	crusted surface	• • •	1.17
89	crusted surface	4	1.24
ft _	crusted surface	• • •	1.33
SF-4A	silty surface	28	1.03
41	silty surface	14	1.07
69	silty surface	10	1.07
MEAN		14	1.15

Table XII

65

PARTICLE	DENSITY		SULLTVAN	MINE	TATLINGS
TAULTODE	DEMOTIT	-	DOTTT A WIA	LITHE	THTTTMAD

Sample Location	Sample $\#$	Particle Density gm/cm^3
Siliceous tailings area	SS-A	2.90
ff	SS-B	2.72
**	SS-C	2.78
11	SS-D	2.82
Iron Tailings area	SF-1A	2.75
99	SF-1B	2.84
**	SF-1C	2.67
11	SF-1D	3.17
11	SF-1E	3.03
11	SF-2A	2.67
11	SF-2B	2.60
81	SF-2D	2.91
t †	SF-3A	2.59
11	SF-3B	3.16
99	SF-3C	2.75
11	SF-3D	2.71
\$ 9	SF-3E	3.14
11	SF-4A	2.55
•	SF-4B	2.75
11	SF-4C	2.67
11	SF-4D	2.66
0	SF4E	2.81
Mean Iron Tailings		2.80

Mean Silica Tailings

2.80

·				4	•		•	· .	•	
			· · ·						· ·	
			.'	•		н 1 2				
•	SUMMARY	OF THE PHYS	ICAL PROPH	ERTIES OF THE	SULLIVAN MI	E TAILINGS MATER	RIALS AND AD	JACENT SOIL SI	TES Tabl	e XIII
Sample	Classification or Type	Layer or Profile	% 2 mm (mean)	Textural class (2 mm) (mean)	A.W.S.C. cm/cm (mean)	Bulk Density 0 - 15 cm g/cm ³ (mean)	Total Porosity O-15 cm (mean)	Minimum aeration porosity O-15 cm (mean)	C.E.C. Meg/100gm <2mm fraction (mean)	A.W.S.C. 100 cm soil cm water
	Sullivan iron tailings	Mean	100	silt loam	•227	1.13	•59	.22	8.8	22.7
							·			
	Sullivan silica tailings	Mean	100	silt loam	.187	1.36	•51	•21	6.4	18.7
		· .								
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·							
SV-1	Orthic humo-ferric podzol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.37	•46	•••	Appendix	12.2
SV-2	Orthic Humo-ferric podzol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.24	•53	•••	Appendix	2.5
SV-3	Orthic humo-ferric podzol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	0.98	•63	•43	Appendix	8.7
SV-4	Sombric eutric brunisol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.35	•49	•25	Appendix	8.9
SV-5	Orthic humo-ferric podzol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.26	•52	•29	Appendix	13.9
SV-6	Orthic humo-ferric podzol	Appendi x	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.26	•52	•••	Appendix	13.1
SV-7	Orthic humo-ferric podzol	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	1.26	•52	•33	Appendix	7.3

SUMMARY

Sullivan Mine Iron and Siliceous Tailings

To summarize the physical properties of the Sullivan Mine tailings the following points may be made.

All the material is soil sized i.e. <2mm. However, the top 15 cm. of the iron tailings can become so severely cemented that if crusted it cannot be considered soil sized, rather it becomes a continuous crust broken irregularly from heaving. Tailings material below the crust in the iron tailings and all of the siliceous tailings have a silt loam textural class. Shallow iron pans occur in some areas of both tailings types.

Available water storage for non-cemented tailings material is excellent ranging from 19 to 23 percent by volume for the two types.

Bulk densities are not excessive for either tailings types.

Particle densities are 2.80 gm. per cm³ for both tailings types, slightly heavier than normal natural soils.

Minimum aeration porosity is ample for seedling emergence.

The cation exchange capacity of the iron tailings is about 6-9me per 100

gm. It is conjectural whether or not these values are adequate for nutritional requirements in view of the extremely acidic conditions and high concentrations of iron and amorphous minerals that exist.

The main limiting physical property is the severely crusted surface of the iron tailings and presence of shallow cemented iron pans, particularly in the siliceous tailings.

Revegetation of severely cemented regions of the tailings may necessitate additions of soil material above the crust to obtain satisfactory physical conditions for the establishment of vegetation.

JERSEY MINE (CANEX MINE) - SALMO B.C.

TAILINGS

INTRODUCTION AND DISCUSSION

The Jersey Mine (Canex Mine) is located a few miles south-west of Salmo, British Columbia on the southern Trans-Canada Highway. The mine is no longer operational but was mined by underground methods in past years. The mine is described by Green (1954). Mineralization occurred as sphalerite and galena in a dolomitic limestone body. Mill tailings were deposited in a tailings area adjacent to the mine and were drained and "dried up" at the end of mining. They were then successfully revegetated with grasses over a period of a few years (Weston, 1973).

Although not a centre of research in the scope of this project, samples of the tailings materials were collected. Descriptions and physical properties of these materials are given in tables XIV and XV. The water retention curve for these materials is given in figure 10.

It is noted that water storage capacity is adequate for vegetation requirements and that vegetation was eventually established despite exchange capacities that are extremely low.



Soil Water Tension (bars)

DESCRIPTION OF JERSEY MINE TAILINGS (CANEX MINE)

(Salmo, B.C.)

LAYER CMS Dark brown (7.5 YR 3/2), sandy loam, A1 0 - 13 structureless, abundant fine distinct roots (natural soils addition) Dark grey (7.5 YR 4/0), sandy loam, B11 13 - 30 structureless, many fine distinct roots C11 30 - 46+ Very dark grey (7.5 YR 3/0) with strong brown (7.5 YR 5/6) mottles, silt loam, structureless, few fine distinct roots

Table XV

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES - JERSEY MINE (CANEX MINE)

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS

	% 2 mm	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	Textural Class
A B	63 100	65 68	31 27	4	Sandy Loam Sandy Loam
C	100	11	74	15	Silt Loam

Note A is assumed to include soil material spread on surface at time of revegetation.

WATER RETENTION DATA

-/10 bar gm/gm	-1/3 bar gm/gm	-9/10 bar gm/gm	-3 bar gm/gm	-15 bar gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm	A.W.S.C. cm ³ /cm ³
.276	.212	.120	.068	•05	3 .1 59	.147
•159	•132	•034	.014	.010	.149	•219
• 305	.263	.101	•127	.055	.208	•306
	-/10 bar gm/gm .276 .159 .305	-/10 bar -1/3 bar gm/gm gm/gm .276 .212 .159 .132 .305 .263	-/10 bar -1/3 bar -9/10 bar gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm .276 .212 .120 .159 .132 .034 .305 .263 .101	-/10 bar -1/3 bar -9/10 bar -3 bar gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm .276 .212 .120 .068 .159 .132 .034 .014 .305 .263 .101 .127	-/10 bar -1/3 bar -9/10 bar -3 bar -15 bar gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm .276 .212 .120 .068 .055 .159 .132 .034 .014 .010 .305 .263 .101 .127 .055	-/10 bar -1/3 bar -9/10 bar -3 bar -15 bar A.W.S.C. gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm gm/gm .276 .212 .120 .068 .053 .159 .159 .132 .034 .014 .010 .149 .305 .263 .101 .127 .055 .208

1.47

Mean bulk density gm/cm^3 (0 - 15 cm)

Mean Total Porosity (0 - 15 cm) 0.45

Mean Minimum Aeration Porosity (0 - 15 cm) 0.19

Mean C.E.C. 2/mm fraction	Meg./100 gm	A B C	10.53 0.98 1.35	
	······································			

A.W.S.C. cm water (100 cm soil) 26.3

Waste Rock Dumps

To summarize the physical properties of waste rock dumps the following points may be made.

Less than 25 percent of the volume of the dumps is less than 2mm and considered soil-sized material. The soil-sized material has a sandy loam textural class. The remainder of the volume of the dump is occupied by coarse fragments.

Available water storage capacities are low because of the high proportion of coarse fragments and are only 3-5 percent by volume.

Bulk densities are high because of the high proportion of coarse fragments.

Particle densities are comparable to those of normal natural soils.

Minimum aeration porosities are ample for seedling emergence.

Cation exchange capacities are generally favourable suggesting that nutrient status will improve as weathering progresses and that longterm benefits may be realized from fertilization.

Pedogenic illuviation, evident by increased clay and silt content

with depth, is ongoing and indicative of active soil processes.

Comparisons with local natural soils show that coarse textured coniferous forest soils have physical properties most like the waste dumps. These sites typically support Douglas Fir and Lodgepole Pine forests with understories consisting of sparsely distributed low or medium height shrubs growing on bare needle-covered forest floors. This comparison suggests that eventual revegetation will be by aborescent species and not herbaceous species. Grass growth although difficult may be beneficial in achieving immediate aesthetic improvement, surface stabilisation and soil improvement until more 'edaphic aborescent species are established.

CONCLUSIONS

Mill Tailings

To summarize the physical properties of the mill tailings the following points may be made.

All of the material is finer than 2 mm and is considered soilsized. Textural classes for mill tailings are variable amongst mines.

Available water storage capacities are generally good to excellent being 15-25 percent by volume and comparable to irrigated agricultural soils, (Calvert <u>et al</u>).

Bulk densities are generally acceptable for vegetation establishment.

Particle densities of most tailings are comparable to those of normal soils excepting some highly metaliferous tailings which are heavier.

Minimum aeration porosities are usually adequate for seedling emergence. An exception on some finer textured tailings that show low aeration porosity values when thoroughly wet.

Cation exchange capacities of non iron sulfide-rich tailings are generally low, being less than 5 me/100 gm, tailings. Both the Copper Mountain tailings and the Lornex temporary tailings may require repeated fertilization to compensate for this fact. The cation exchange capacities of the Sullivan Mine iron and siliceous tailing (iron sulfide rich) range from 6-9 me/100 gm tailings. It is conjectural whether or not they are adequate for nutritional requirements in view of the extremely acidic conditions and high concentrations of iron and amorphous minerals that exist. Cultivation of an annual green manure crop or additions of organic matter will help improve this property.

Pedogenic horizonation is sometimes obvious as is the case in the Sullivan Mine tailings.

Comparisons with local natural soils show that grassland soils with high water storage capacities most closely approximate the properties of the tailings materials. This comparison suggests that eventual revegetation will be by grasses or forbs and that cultivation to establish this type of vegetation may be successful.

DISCUSSION

A hypothetical reclamation project for a dry climate mine might, on the basis of the physical properties of the waste materials, devise a reclamation scheme as follows.

The waste rock dumps would be initially seeded with grass attempting to get immediate aesthetic improvement, surface stabilization and soil improvement. The dumps could be seeded at a light rate in the fall to ensure that melt water moisture did not escape the germinating seeds in the spring. The surface of the dumps should be mechanically scarified, the seed broadcast and then packed in an attempt to get better seed-soil contact for germination. Fertilizer should be applied in the fall or winter but not summer to ensure that osmotic stresses were not added to drought stresses. Massive applications should be avoided for the same reason. More edaphic drought tolerant shrubs would be planted in accordance with their ultimate greater suitability to the dump environment.

The tailings would be cultivated in the same fashion as an arable soil. Irrigation should be considered for stand establishment, if water is available. Seeding should be done with conventional agricultural implements in the spring, to ensure that germination was not inhibited by low aeration porosity resulting from early season wet conditions. For tailings with low aeration porosities, special tolerant species such as Timothy could be considered. An initial annual crop would be sown to be

turned under to improve cation exchange capacity and as a soil conditioner. Organic additives might be added for the same reason. Fertilization should be done repeatedly at short intervals in light applications in view of the low cation exchange capacity of the material. If irrigation was employed, fertilization might be conducted through the system. At such time as a vigorous stand is established fertilization would be tapered off hoping that nutrient cycling and improved soil conditions would have come into play.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Agriculture Canada, 1974. Soils of the Princeton Area B.C. Vancouver Station, Vancouver. (Provisional Draft.)
- 2. Agriculture Canada, 1974. The System of Soil Classification for Canada. Ottawa.
- Anonymous, 1965 and 1970. Climate for British Columbia.
 B.C. Department of Agriculture, Victoria.
- 4. Anonymous, 1971. Geology, Exploration and Mining in British Columbia. B.C. Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Victoria.
- 5. Armstrong, J.E., Crandell, D.R., Easterbrook, P.J. and Noble, J.B., 1965. Late Pleisticene Stratigraphy and Chronology in Southwestern British Columbia and Southwestern Washington. Bull. Geol. Soc. Am., 76: 321-330.
- Baver, L.D., & Gardner, W.H., & Gardner, W.R., 1972. Soil Physics. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- 7. Black, C.A. (Ed), 1965. Methods of Soil Analysis. American Society of Agronomy, Special Publication No. 9, Madison Wisconsin.
- 8. B.C. Department of Agriculture, 1974. Soils of the West Kootenay Ash-Croft Area, B.C. Kelowna. (Provisional Draft.)
- 9. B.C. Department of Agriculture, 1974. Soils of the West Kootenay -South Area, B.C. Kelowna. (Provisional Draft.)
- 10. Buckman, H.O. & Brady, N.C., 1960. The Nature and Properties of Soils. The MacMillan Co., New York.
- 11. Calver, G.L., Chairman, British Columbia Irrigation Guide. B.C. Department of Agriculture, Victoria.
- Capp, J.D., Gilmore, D.W., 1973. Soil Making Potential of Power Plant Fly Ash in Mined-Land Reclamation. Research and Applied Technology Symposium on Mined-Lands Reclamation, National Coal Association, 1960, p. 180-185.
- 13. Carr, J.M., 1960. Porphyries, Breccias and Copper Mineralization in the Highland Valley. Can. Mining J. 81:71-73.
- 14. Clague, J., 1974. Surficial geology of the southern Rocky Mountain Trench, British Columbia, Ph.D. Thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver.
- Cockfield, W.E., 1961. Geology and Mineral Deposits of the Nicola Map-Area - British Columbia. Geologic Survey of Canada. Ottawa, Mem. 249.

- 16. Day, R.R., 1956. Physical Basis of Particle Size Analysis by the Hydrometer Method. Soil Science 70: 363-374.
- Dean, C.D. & Havens, R., 1972. Reclamation of Mineral Millings Wastes. Bureau of Mines, United States Department of the Interior, Salt Lake City, Utah. Presented at the Annual AIME Meeting, San Francisco, Feb. 21-24, 1972.
- 18. Devlin, R.M., 1966. Plant Physiology. Reinhold Book Corporation, New York.
- 19. Dolmage, V., 1934. Geology and Ore Deposits of Copper Mountain. Geologic Survey of Canada, Ottawa, Mem. 171.
- 20. Douglas, R.J.W. (Ed), 1970. Geology and Economic Minerals in Canada. Geologic Survey of Ganada, Ottawa. Economic Geology Report No. 1.
- 21. Duncan, D.W., 1972. Environmental Problems Associated with the Deposit of Mining and Milling Wastes. The Western Miner, 45,9: 14-20.
- 22. Freeze, A.C., 1966. On the Origin of the Sullivan Orebody in Tectonic History and Mineral Deposits of the Western Cordillera. Can. Inst. of Mining Met. Spec., 8:263-294.
- 23. Fulton, R.J., 1968. Olympia Interglaciation, Parcell Trench, British Columbia. Geol. Soc. Am. 79.
- 24. Gardiner, R.T., 1974. Mined-Land Reclamation Research at Cominco Ltd. Operations in British Columbia. Cominco Ltd., Trail, B.C.
- Gianelli, W.R. & Reaves, C.A., 1967. Vegetation Water Use. Department of Water Resources, The Resources Agency, State of California. Bull. 113-2.
- 26. Gill, W.R. & Reaves, C.A., 1956. Relationships of Atterberg Limits and Cation Exchange Capacity to Some Physical Properties of Soil. Soil Sc. Soc. Am., 20:491.
- 27. Gordon, I.M., 1969. Erosion Control at Hollinger Mine Tailings Site. Proceedings of the first annual meeting of the Can. Mineral Processors Assn., Dept. of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa, Jan. 21 - 23, 1969.
- 28. Green, L.H., 1954. Geology of the Salmo Area, B.C.. Geologic Survey of Canada, Ottawa, Bull. 29.
- 29. Hanks, R.J., & Thorpe, T.C., 1956. Seedling Emergence of Wheat as Related to Soil Moisture Content, Bulk Density, Oxygen Diffusion Rate and Crust Strength. Soil Sc. Soc. Am., 20: 307 - 310.
- 30. Harris, E.A. and Lavkulich, L.M., 1972. Analytical Methods Used in Pedology Laboratory. Department of Soil Science, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, p. 36 step 9.

- 31. Jacoby, P.W., 1968. Revegetation Treatments for Stand Establishments on Coal Spoil Banks. Range Management, 21: 74-97.
- 32. James, A.L., 1966. Stabilizing Mine Dumps with Vegetation. Endeavour., Vol. 25: 154-157.
- 33. James, A.L., Mrost, M., 1965. Control of Acidity at Tailings Dams and Dumps as precursors to Stabilization by Vegetation. Journal of South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, (1965) p. 485-495.
- 34. Klohn, E.J., 1972. Design and Construction of Tailings Dams. Can. Inst. of Mining and Met., Vol. LXXV: 50-66.
- 35. Lavkulich, L.M., Bonke, A.A., Morton, J.W., Dairon, P.A., Walmsley, M.E., and Rowles, C.A., 1975. Pedological Inventory of Three Sulfide Mine Areas in S. British Columbia. Department of Soil Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver.
- 36. McLennan, 1956. A Study of Copper Mountain Tailings. B.Sc. Thesis, Department of Soil Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver.
- 37. May, M., 1975. Moisture relationships and Treatments in Revegetating Strip Mines in the Arid West. J. Range Management, 28: 334-335.
- Murray, D.R. & Coates, D.F., 1973. Information for potential Contractors - Revegetation Project, 1974 - 1977. Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Mines Branch, Ottawa, Internal Report 73/153.
- 39. Nasmith, H., 1962. Late Glacial History and Surficial Deposits of the Okanagan Valley, British Columbia, B.C. Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Victoria.
- 40. Nielson, R.F. & Peterson, H.B., 1972. Treatment of Mine Tailings to Promote Vegetative Stablization. Agricultural Experimental Station, Utah State University, UTAH: Bull. 485.
- Peterson, E.B., Metter, H., 1970. A Background for Disturbed Land Reclamation and Research in the Rocky Mountain Region of Alberta. Canadian Forestry Service. Forest: Research Laboratory, Edmonton, Report A-X-34.
- 42. Peterson, J.R., Oschwind, J., 1973. Amelioration of Coal Mine Spoils with Digested Sewage Sludge. Research and Applied Technology Symposium on Mine-Land Reclamation, National Coal Association.
- 43. Preto, V.A., 1972. Geology of Copper Mountain. B.C. Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Victoria. Bull. 59.

- 44. Schwab, G.O., Frevert, R.K., Barnes, K.K., Edminster, T.W., 1957. Elementary Soil And Water Engineering. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- 45. Soil Survey Staff, Soil Survey Manual, 1956. U.S.D.A. Handbook 18, Washington, D.C.
- 46. Tipper, H.W., 1974. Glacial Geomorphology and Pleistocene History of Central British Columbia., Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa. Bull. 96.
- 47. Thirgood, J.J., Gilmore, W.F., 1971. Land Reclamation at the Lornex Mining Project. The Western Miner, 46, 44, 8: 48-54.
- 48. Van Lear, D.H., 1971. Effects of Soil Texture on Growth of K-31 Tall Fescue. U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Upper Darby, Pa., Research Note NE-141.
- 49. White, W.H., Thomson, R.M., and McTaggart, K.C., 1957. The Geology and Mineral Deposits of the Highland Valley, B.C.. Trans. Can. Inst. of Mining Met., 60:273-289.
- 50. Weston, S., 1973. Report on Developments and Progress in Reclaiming Waste Dumps and Tailings Ponds. The Western Miner, 49, 8: 48954.
- 51. Young, C.A., 1969. The Use of Vegetation to Stabilize Mine Tailings at Copper Cliff. Proceedings of the first annual meeting of the Can. Mineral Resources Ass., Dept. of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa, Jan. 21-23, 1969.
- 52. Bethlehem Mining Ltd., Cominco Mining Ltd., Lornex Mining Ltd., Similkameen Mining Ltd., 1974. Ashcroft, B.C., Trail, B.C., Logan Lake, B.C., Princeton, B.C.. (Personal communication)

SECTION 8

Appendix

Sm	Princeton Area Soil
ΗV	Highland Valley Area Soils
SV	Kimberley Area Soil



•7

•

SM-1

Classification	Degraded Dystric Brunisol
Location	SE Copper Mountain Mine
Elevation	1530 m
Slope and Aspect	20% E
Parent material	Glàcial till - colluvial material
Landform	Steep land
Drainage class	Moderately well

HORIZON	Cm	
LPH	3 - 0	
Ae	0 - 5	Light yellowish brown (10 YR 6/4), sandy loam,
		structureless, many fine distinct roots
Bm	5 - 25	Brown (10 YR 5/3), sandy loam, structureless,
		many fine distinct roots
BC	25 - 60	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), silt loam,
		structureless, few fine distinct roots
С	60+	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), sand structure-
		less

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Douglas fir	Buffalo berry	Grouseberry	Pinegrass	Feathermoss
Engleman spruce	Red twineberry	Blackmountain huckleberry		
Lodgepole Pine	Rose	False-box		

-

Classification	Degraded Dystric Brunisol
Location	Top of Copper Mountain Mine Adjacent to waste dump
Elevation	1300 m
Slope and Aspect	10 20% N
Parent Material	Glacial till and rock
Landförm	Cooluvial slope and rock
Drainage Class	Well drained
HORIZON Cm	

LFH	2 - 0	
Ae	0 - 5	Light yellowish grey (10 YR 6/2), silt loam
		structureless, many fine distinct roots
BM	5 - 23	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), gravelly silt loam,
		structureless, many fine distinct roots
BC	25 - 50	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), gravelly silt loam,
		structureless, few fine roots
C	50 - 65	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), gravelly sandy
		loam, structureless

R 65+

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Lodgepole	Pine	Willow	Bearberry	Pinegrass
		Juniper	Twinflower	Sedge
		Grouseberry	False-box	
		Buffalo berry		

3

SM 5

Classification	Orthic Grey Brown Luvisol
Location	North of junction of Wolf Creek and Lost Horse Gulch
Elevation	1100 m
Slope and aspect	0 - 5% N
Parent Material	Galcial till
Landform	Drumlinized slope
Drainage class	Moderately well drained

HORIZON	Cm	
lfh	2 - 0	
Ae	0 - 8	Pinkish grey (7.5 YR 6/2), loam, structureless
		many fine distinct roots
B+	8 -32	Brown (10 YR 4/3), sandy loam, weak subangular,
		blocky, few distinct roots
C	32 √ – 50	Dark brown (10 YR 3/3), gravelly sandy loam,
		structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

.

Lodgepole Pir	ne Willow	Bearberry	v Pinegra	88
Trembling As	pen Buffalo	berry Timber mi vetch	lk Canada	bluegrass
Douglas Fir	Rose	Pussy_toe	s Downy b	rome

Classification	Degraded Eutric Brunisol
Location	Near Old Princeton Power House
Elevation	870 m
Slope and aspect	40 - 50% W
Parent material	Glacial till and colluvial
Landform	Till slope
Drainage class	Well

HORIZON	Cm	
LFH	2 - 0	
Ae	0 - 5	Greyish brown (10YR 5/2), loam, structureless,
		many fine distinct roots
BM	5 - 25	Brown (10 YR 5/3), loam, structureless, few
		fine distinct roots
С	25+	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), sandy loam,
		structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Douglas fir	Saskatoon berry	Spirea	Idaho fescue
	Creeping mahonia	Arnica	Bluebunch wheatgrass
		Aster	Canada wild-rye
			Annual bluegrass

Classification	1	Degraded Dystric Brunisol
Location		A knoll within the Similkameen Mining operation
Elevation		1170 m
Slope and aspe	ect	0 - 5%
Parent materia	l	Glacial till and rock
Landform		Rock knob
Drainage class	L :	Moderately well
HORIXON Cm	L	
LFH 2 -	0	
Ae 0 -	2	Greyish brown (10 YR 5/2), sandy loam,

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		structureless, many fine distinct roots
Bhm	2 - 5	Greyish brown (10 YR 5/2), loam, weak subangular
		blocky, few find distinct roots
BC	5 - 30	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), weak subangular blocky,
		few find distinct roots
~		

C 30 - 42 Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), loamy sand, structureless R 42+

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Douglas Fir	Rose	False-box	Pinegrass
Lodgepole Pine	Buffalo berry	Bearberry	Western wheatgrass
			Sedge

Classifi	cation	Degraded Dystric Brunisol
Location		Kennedy Lake Road
Elevatio	n	1170
Slope an	d aspect	5 - 10% S
Parent m	aterial	Colluvium and glacial till
Landform		Till slope
Drainage	class	Moderately well
HORIZON	Сш	
LFH	3 - 0	
Ae	0 - 2	Light brownish grey (10 YR 6/2), sandy loam,
		structureless, abundant fine distinct
		roots
Bm	2 - 25	Brown (10 YR 5/3), gravelly sandy loam, weak
		subangular blocky, many fine distinct roots
BC	25 - 5 8	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), gravelly sandy loam,
		structureless, few find distinct roots
С	58+	Brown (10 YR 4/3), gravelly sandy loam,
		structureless
DOMINANT	VEGETATION	

Engleman SpruceRoseFlaseboxPinegrassDouglas FirTwinflowerLodgepole Pine

Classification	Orthic Dark Brown Chernozem
Location	N.E. Princeton townsite adjacent Granby tailings
Elevation	780 m
Slope and aspect	2 - 5% N.W.
Parent material	Galcial till
Landform	Hummock till plain
Drainage class	Well drained

HORIZON	Cm	
Ah	0 - 20	Very dark greyish brown (10 YR 3/2), gravelly
		silty clay loam, medium granular - medium
		blocky, abundant fine distinct rooting
B+	20 - 55	Brown (10 YR 5/3), gravelly silty clay loam,
		medium granular - medium blocky, many fine
		distinct roots
Cca	55 +	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), gravelly silt loam,
		structureless.

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Lower grassland

۰ , ۱,

WATER RETENTION DATA

SEMILKAMEEN AND COPPER MOUNTAIN TAILINGS

WASTE ROCK DUMPS (FINE FRACTION)

Sample	-1/10 bar gm.gm	-1/3 bar gm/gm	-9/10 bar gm/gm	-3 bar gm/gm	-15 bar gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm
Similkameen					·······	
mine waste						
rock dumps						
P-1A	.281	. 216	.176	.127	.112	.169
P-2A	.171	.130	.112	.083	.076	.095
P-3A	.176	.140	.110	.085	.072	.104
P-4A	.174	•136	. 108	.083	.074	.100
P-5A	•174	.131	. 108	.082	.068	.106
P 6 A	•147	•136	.107	.084	.068	.079
P -7 B	.178	•154	.119	.087	.081	.097
P-8A	•173	. 152	.111	.081	.077	.096
P-11A	•174	. 155	.121	.081	.072	.102
P-12A	.201	. 157	.110	.088	•075	.126
P-13A	.212	. 156	. 115	•094	.080	. 132
P-14A	. 205	•144	.121	•094	•090	•115
Sand Berm						
P-10A	•100	•074	•050	•039	•038	•062
Copper Mounta	in	•				
Tailings						
Layer A	•143	•084	•038	.028	.027	.116
Layer B	.115	•065	•032	.024	.022	•093
Layer C	.160	.123	•043	•031	.027	•133

ć

PRINCETON AREA SOIL SITES

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS

Sample ∦	Horizon	% 2 mm	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	Textural Class
SM-1	Ae Bm	74 59	69 71	28 27	3 2	Sandy loam Sandy loam
	BC C	37	93	4	3	loam
SM-4	Ae	71	41	51	8	Silt loam
	BM BC	35	45	52		Silt loam
	c	68	57	35	8	Sandy loam
SM-5	Ae	57	50	38	12	Loam
	Bt	73	52	41	7	Sandy loam
	С	37	71	23	6	Sandy loam
SM-6	Ae	77	51	39	10	Loam
	BM	65	50	41	8	Loam
	С	78	56	34	10	Sandy loam
SM- 7	Ae					
	Bhm	88	54	37	9	Sandy loam
	C	76 52	48 56	40 29	12	Loam Sandy loam
SM-8	Ae	69	55	36	9	Sandy loam
	BM	54	61	25	14	Sandy loam
	BC	67	64	28	8	Sandy loam
	С	66	59	29	12	Sandy loam
SM-20	Ah	54	- 58	26	16	Sandy loam
	Bt	51	53	30	17	Sandy loam
	Cca	66	70	25	5	Sandy loam
1			1			

,

PRINCETON AREA SOIL SITES

WATER RETENTION DATA AND CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITIES

nple rizon	and n	-1/10 bar gm/gm	-1/3 bar gm/gm	-15 bar gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm corrected for fragments	A.W.S.C. cm/cm	C.E.C. 2mm fractic Meg/100 gm
-1:	Ae	.332	234	.140	.192	.142	.170	15.5
- •	Bm	.232	.164	.055	.177	.104	.125	7.4
	BC	.114	.096	.039	.075	.037	.044	
	C	.045	.037	.030	.015	.005	.007	4.9
-4:	Ae		.392	.085	.307	.218	.273	13.6
	Bm		.296	.072	.224	.078	.098	13.8
	BC		.172	.060	.112	.041	.051	
	С		.117	.071	.046	.031	.039	10.8
-5:	Ae		.200	.066	.134	.076	.096	10.7
	Bt	.272	.185	.084	.188	.137	.174	14.3
	С	.206	.138	.085	.121	.044	.056	19.1
-6:	Ae		.253	.089	.164	.126	.169	
	Bm		.158	.106	.052	.034	.046	***
	С	.222	.137	.063	.159	.124	.166	****
-7:	Ae	.343	.256	.079	.264	.232	.278	
	Bhm	.200	.158	.060	.140	.106	.127	
	BC	.176	.146	.073	.103	.053	.064	
	С	.108	.031	.025	.083	.042	.053	
-8:	Ae	.217	.164	.059	.158	.109	.121	10.7
	Bm	.177	.135	.069	.108	.058	.064	11.5
	BC	.225	.155	.062	.163	.109.	.121	*
	С	.222	.162	.076	.146	.096	.106	13.1
-20:	Ah	.244	.159	.084	.160	.086	.086	16.1
	Bt	.223	.140	.081	.142	.072	.072	12.6
	Cca	.222	.159	.063	.159	.105	.105	10.8

SELECTED CLIMATIC DATA*

		Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Mean	Winter
						Preci	pitati	on (mm)							5110w
Princeton	, ·	464	350	183	147	254	305	264	251	206	267	417	493	3,602	14,986
Highland V	alley	259	38	132	206	196	213	10	142	419	79	635	610	2,939	15,773
Kimberley		412	292	221	183	320	523	218	330	252	274	348	424	3,797	15,290
						Mean	Temper	áture (°C)						
Princeton		-7.8	-3.3	-0.5	6.7	11.1	.4.4	17.8	16.6	12.8	6.7	-1.1	-5.2	5.5	
Highland V	alley	07.8	-1.1	-0.5	2.8	7.2	13.9	15.5	15.0	8.9	3.9	-3.3	-7.8	3.9	
Kimberley		-6.5	-4.9	-1.7	5.5	10.5	14.4	17.8	16.6	11.7	5.5	2.2	-6.7	5.0	
						Month	ley Ex	tremes	(°C)						
Princeton	Max	5.5	8.3	15.5	23.8	27.2	31.1	36.6	36.6	26.1)	21.6	13.9	5.0		
	Min	-22.2	-15.0	-16.1	-5.5	-4.4	1.6	2.8	0.5	-5.0	-5.0	-10.5	-23.9		
Highland	Max	5.0	9.4	7.2	12.2	21.6	28 .9	30.0	31.6	25.0	23.9	12.8	4.4		
valley	Min	-27.2	-15.0	-18.9	-8.9	-3.9	-1.1	0.5	2.8	-5.0	-10.0	-27.2	-22.8		
Kimberley	Max	3.3	11.7	10.0	18.9	23.3	28.3	30.0	32.2	22.2	20.5	12.8	6.7		
	Min	- - 17.2	-18.9	-21.1	-3.9	-3.3	-	6.1	0.5	-5.0	-2.2	-13.9	-18.9		

* Taken from Climate of British Columbia, Report for 1965 and 1970.

1

•

Figure A-13



Table A-14

HV 1

Classification	Degraded Dystric Brunisol
Location	West of Bethlehem Mine
Elevation	1400 m
Slope and Aspect	3 - 5% S
Parent material	Glacial fluvial outwash
Landform	Outwash fan
Drainage class	Moderately well drained

HORIZON	Cm	
LFH	5 - 0	
Ae	0 - 3	Light grey (10 YR 6/1) gravelly silt loam,
		structureless, abundant fine distinct
		roots
Bm1	3 - 10	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), gravelly silt loam,
		very weak subangular blocky, abundant
		fine distinct roots
Bm2	10 - 80	Light brownish grey (10 YR 6/2), gravelly
		sandy loam, structureless, few fine
		distinct roots
С	80+	Dark yellowish brown (10 YR $4/4$), gravelly
		sandy loam, structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Lodgepole	Willow	Bearberry	Pinegrass
Douglas Fir	Buffalo berry	Twinflower	
Trembling Aspen	Rose	Timber milk vetch	

l
Classification	Orthic Eutric Brunisol		
Location	North of Witches Brook		
Elevation	1300 m		
Slope and aspect	10 – 15% S	•	
Parent material	Colluvium		
Landform	Colluvial slope		
Drainage class	Moderately well	drained	
HORIZON Cm			
$Ah \qquad 0 - 3$	Dark greyish br	own (10 YR 4/2), loam	, very
	weak suba	ngular blocky, many c	ommon
	fine root	8	
Bm 3 - 10	Greyish brown (10 YR 5/2), gravelly	silt
	loam, ver	y weak subangular blo	cky,
	few distin	nct fine roots	
BC 10 - 20	Light brownish	grey (10 YR 6/2), gra	velly loamy
	sand, str	uctureless	
C 20+	Greyish brown (10 YR 5/2), gravelly	loamy
	sand, str	uctureless	
DOMINANT VEGETATION			
Douglas Fir	Rose	Bearberry	Pinegrass
Ponderosa Pine	Juniper	Pussytoes	Bluebunch wheatgrass
Lodgepole Pine			

Classification	Orthic Regosol
Location	Floodplain at Witches Brook
Elevation	1280 m
Slope and aspect	••
Parent material	Alluvium
Landform	Floodplain
Drainage class	Poorly drained

HORIZON	Cm	
Н	12 - 0	Very dark brown (10 YR), structureless,
		many fine distinct roots
C1	0 - 38	Yellowish, brown (10 YR 5/6), mottled,
		sandy loam, structureless, few
		coarse banded H layers
C2	38+	Greyish brown (10 YR 5/2), mottled,
		sandy loam, structureless

J

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Bog birch	Avens	Annual	bluegrass
Willow	Chickweed		
	Strawberry		
	White clover		

Classification	Degraded Dystric Brunisol
Location	Knoll protruding into Witches Brook
Elevation	1300 m
Slope and aspect	5% W
Parent material	Outwash
Landform	Kame
Drainage Class	Moderately well drained

.

HORIZON	Cm	
LFH	2 - 0	
Ae	0 - 1	Grayish brown (10 YR 5/2), gravelly sandy
		loam, structureless, abundant fine
		distinct roots
Bm	1 - 10	Brown (10 YR 5/3), gravelly loamy sand,
		structureless, abundant fine
		distinct roots
BC	10 - 32	Light brownish gray (10 YR 6/2), gravelly
		loamy sand, structureless, many fine
		distinct roots
с	32+	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), gravelly sand,
		structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Lodgepole Pine	Buffalo berry	Bearberry	Pinegrass
Engleman Spruce	Juniper	Twinflower	
		Timber milk ve	tch

Classification	Orthic Gray Luvisol
Location	Near O.K. Mine
Elevation	1900 m
Slope and aspect	3 - 6% W
Parent material	Glacial till
Landform	Till slope
Drainage class	Moderately well drained

HORIZON	Cm	
LF	8 - 0	
Ae	0 - 5	Grayish brown (10 YR 5/2), gravelly silt
• .		loam, structureless, many coarse
		distinct roots
Bt	5 - 35	Grayish brown (10 YR 5/2), gravelly clay
		loam, weak subangular blocky, few
		coarse distinct roots
Cgj	35+	Brown (10 YR 4/3), gravelly clay loam,
		structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION			
Lodgepole Pine	Willow	Twinflower	Pinegrass
Engleman Spruce	Alder	Lupine	
	Rose		

.

,

,

Classification	Orthic Sombric Brunisol
Location	Lacustrine plain adjacent Witches Brook
Elevation	1250 m
Slope and aspect	
Parent material	Lacustrine sediments
Landform	Lacustrine plain
Drainage class	Moderately well

HORIZON	I Cm	
Ah	0 - 12	Dark grayish brown (10 YR 5/2), silty
		loam, weak subangular blocky, many
		fine distinct roots
Ahe	12 - 25	Light brownish gray (10 YR 6/2), silty
		loam, weak subangular blocky, many
		fine distinct roots
Bm	25 - 30	Dark yellowish brown (lo YR 4/4), silty
		loam, blocky, few fine distinct
		roots
BCgj	30 - 42	Light gray (10 YR 6/1), loam, structureless
Cgj	42 +	Light gray (10 YR 6/1), loam, structureless
	bluegrass	white clover
	wheatgrass	dandelion
	sedge	pasture sage

cinquefoil

.

.

Table A-20

Classification	Degraded Dystric Brunisol
Location	Sand cut on O.K. mine road
Elevation	1500 m
Slope and aspect	10 - 20% N
Parent material	Glacial fluvial outwash
Landform	Outwash Delta
Drainage class	Well drained

HORIZON	Cm	
LF	1 - 0	
Ae	0 - 3	Light brownish gray (10 YR 6/2), gravelly
		sandy loam, structureless, many fine
		distinct roots
Bm	3 - 32	Brown (7.5 YR 5/4), gravelly sandy loam,
		structureless many fine distinct
		roots
с	32+	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), gravelly sandy
		loam, structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

. 1

Lodgepole Pine	Gooseberry	- Arnica	Feather moss
Engelmann Spruce	Black twin_berry	Twinflower	

Table A-21

WATER RETENTION DATA

BETHLEHEM AND LORNEX MINE DUMPS AND TAILINGS

(Fine Fraction)

Sample	-1/10 bar gm/gm	-1/3 bar gm/gm	-9/10 bar gm/gm	-3 bar gm/gm	-15 bar gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm
B-1A	. 178	•167	.112	•084	•066	.112
B-2A	.170	. 146	.103	.114	.061	.100
B-3A	. 189	.163	.112	.082	.078	.111
B-4A	.171	. 165	.13 9	.078	•063	.107
B-5A	•174	•158	•102	.072	•054	. 120
L-1A	.167	•154	.108	.081	.059	.108
L-10	•152	.144	.086	.064	•057	.095
L-2A	. 188	.164	.115	.081	•064	.124
L-3A	.167	•159	.113	.078	<i>4</i> 057	.110
Lornex Tailing	s 1 .223	. 178	.159	•057	.052	.171
Lornex Tailing	s 2 .352	• 326	•231	.134	•098	.218
Bethlehem			•			
Talings Sand	•074	•074	.027	.021	.019	.055

.

HIGHLAND VALLEY AREA SOILS

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS

Sample #	Horizon	% 2 mm	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	Textural Class
HV-1	Ae Bm1 Bm2 C	68 68 34 53	73 69 73 72	22 27 15 15	5 4 12 13	Sandy loam Sandy loam Sandy loam Sandy loam
HV-2	Ah Bm BC C	95 90 90 95	78 •• 80	19 •• •• 17	3 •• 3	Loamy sand Loamy sand
HV-3	H C1	100 100	•• 74	•• 18	•• 8	Sandy loam
HV-4	Ae Bm BC C	75 65 61 55	66 73 71	27 21 18	7 6 11	Sandy loam Sandy loam Sandy loam
HV-5	Ae Bt Cgj	75 77 74	57 46	 35 32	8 22	Sandy loam Loam
HV-10	Ah Ahe Bm BCgj Cgj	100 100 100 100 100	46 45 66 45	43 44 16 37	••• 11 11 18 18	Loam Loam Sandy loam Loam
HV-11	Ae Bm C	58 30 32	22 71 75	63 15 10	15 15 15	Silt loam Sandy loam Sandy loam

--

HIGHLAND VALLEY AREA SOIL SITES

WATER RETENTION DATA AND CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITIES

ample prizo	e and on	-1/10 bar gm/gm	-1/3 bar gm/gm	-15 bar gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm corrected for fragments	A.W.S.C. cm/cm	C.E.C. 2mm fracti Meg/100 gm
[V-1:	Ae	.234	.143	.072	.162	.110	.100	***
	С С	111	.141	039	072	038	.092	
V-2 :	Ah	.239	.148	.076	.163	.155	.237	15.8
	С	.116	.062	.032	.084	.080	.122	5.5
W-3 :	н		1.018	.685	.333	.330	.310	114.5
	C1	.154	.116	.060	.094	.094	.147	8.2
V-5:	Bt	.267	.128	:098	.169	.130	.161	13.9
	Cgj	.247	.183	.116	.131	.097	.120	32.8
V10:	Ahe		.343	.210	.133	.133	.137	
	Bm		.386	.229	.157	.147	.162	27.2
	Cgj		.123	.067	.056	. 056	.058	9.2
V11:	Bm	.156	.107	.066	.090	.027	.031	13.9
	С	.115	.081	. 055	.060	. 019	.022	10.6

.



Classification	Orthic Humo-Ferric Podzol
Location	N.E. Sullivan Hill
Elevation	1430 m
Slope and aspect	3 - 5% S
Parent material	Till and residual rock
Landförm	Till slope
Drainage class	Moderately well

HORIZON	CM	
LFH	1 - 0	
Ае	0 - 8	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), gravelly silt loam,
		platey structure, many fine distinct
		roots
Bf	8 - 25	Brownish yellow (10 YR 6/6), gravelly silt
		loam, weak subangular blocks, few fine
		distinct roots
BC	25 - 40	Light yellowish brown (10 YR 6/4), gravelly
		silt loam, very weak subangular blocks,
		few fine distinct roots
C	40 +	Light brownish grey (10 YR 6/2), gravelly
		silt loam, structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

.

Lodgepole Pine	Sitka alder	Buffalo berry	Grouseberry	Pinegrass
		Juniper	Twinflower	

Table A-26

Classification	Orthic Humo-ferric Podzol
Location	S,W. of Sullivan Hill
Elevation	1500 m
Slope and aspect	5 - 8% N.W.
Parent material	Glacio-fluvial outwash
Landform	Hummocky outwash
Drainage class	Well drained

HORIZON		CM	
LFH	2	- 0	
Ae	0	- 8	Light brownish (10 YR 6/2), sandy loam,
			structureless, many coarse distinct
			roots.
Bf	8	- 18	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/6), very weak sub-
			angular blocks, few fine distinct roots
BC 1	18	- 30	Light brownish grey (10 YR 6/2), sandy
			loam, structureless, few fine roots
С		30+	Greyish brown (10 YR 5/2), loamy sand,
			structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Lodgepole Pine	Sitka Alder	Red twinberry	Pinegrass
Western Larch		Grouseberry	

108

SV 2

Classification	Orthic Humo-ferric Podzol
Location	Adjacent to Siliceous tailings
Elevation	1130 m
Slope and aspect	0 - 2%
Parent material	Till
Landform	Till plain
Drainage class	Moderately well
Note	Affected by dust from silica tailings

HORIZON	Cm	
lfh	3 - 0	
Bf	0 - 20	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/6), silt loam,
		very weak structural blocks, many
		fine distinct roots
BC	20 - 32.3	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), silt loam,
		structureless
С	32+	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), silt loam,
		structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Ponderose Pine	Tall mahonia	Bearberry	Pinegrass
Douglas Fir			
Western Larch			

~

Classification	Sombric Eutric Brunisol
Location	South of tailing area
Elevation	1100m
Slope and aspect	0
Parent material	Glacio-fluvial outwash
Landform	Outwash plain
Drainage class	Well drained

HORIZON	Cm	
Ah	0 - 20	Very dark grayish brown (10 YR 3/2), gravelly
		loam, weak crumbs, abundant fine distinct
		roots
Bm	20 - 40	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), gravelly sandy
		loam, very fine medium subangular blocks,
		many fine distinct roots
С	40+	Light brownish gray (10 YR 6/2), gravelly
		loamy sand, structureless, few fine roots

DOMINANT VEGETATION			
Ponderosa Pine	Fleaban e	Idaho fescue	
	Bearberry	Columbia needlegrass	
	Penstemon	Annual bluegrass	

Classification	Orthic Humo-ferric Podzol
Location	South of iron tailings
Elevation	1130 M
Slope and aspect	0 - 2 S
Parent material	Glacial-fluvial outwash and aeolian
Landform	Outwash plain
Drainage class	Well drained

HORIZON	Cm	
lfh	2 - 0	
Bf1	0 - 10	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/6), gravelly sandy
		loam, structureless, many fine distinct
		roots
Bf2	10 - 30	Brownish yellow (10 YR 6/6), gravelly sandy
		loam, structureless, few fine distinct
		roots
BC	30 - 50	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), very gravelly
		sandy loam, structureless, few fine
		distinct roots
С	50+	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), very gravelly sandy
		loam, structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Western Larch	Buffalo berry	Bearberry	Pinegrass
Lodgepole Pine		Arnica	Idaho fescue
		Spirea	

•

Classification	Orthic Humo-Ferric Podzol
Location	North of Kimberley off old Cherry Creek railway
Elevation	1270 m
Slope and aspect	5% S
Parent Material	Till
Landform	Till slope
Drainage class	Moderately well

HORIZON		Cm	
LFH	7	- 0	
Ae	0	- 1	Dark grayish brown (10 YR 4/2), gravelly silt
			loam, structureless, many distinct roots
Bf	1	- 20	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/6), gravelly silt
			loam, weak subangular blocks, many
			distinct roots
BC	20	- 35	Yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), gravelly sandy
			loam - loam, structureless, few roots
С		35+	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), gravelly sandy loam -
			silt loam, structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION			
Lodgepole Pine	Saskatoon_berry	Bearberry	Pinegrass
Engleman Spruce	Buffalo berry	Twinflower	Quack-grass
Western Larch	Rose	Heart-leaf arnica	

÷.,

.

Classification	Orthic Humo-ferric Podzol
Location	South of Kimberley - Windermere Highway
Elevation	1230 m
Slope and aspect	3 - 5% S
Parent material	Glacial-fluvial outwash
Landform	Outwash plain (hummocky)
Drainage class	Well drained

HORIZON		Cn	n	
LFH	3	-	0	Abundant coarse roots
Ahe	0	-	1	Pale brown (10 YR 6/3), sandy loam,
				structureless, many coarse discontinuous
				roots
Bf	1	-	16	Brownish yellow (10 YR 6/6), sandy loam, very
				weak subangular blocks, many coarse
				discontinuous roots
C1	16	-	32	Light gray (10 YR 7/1), gravelly loamy sand -
				sandy loam, structureless
C2		32	2+	Very pale brown (10 YR 8/4), very gravelly
				loamy sand, structureless

DOMINANT VEGETATION

Lodgepole Pine	Willow	Spirea	Western wheatgrass
Western Larch	Buffalo berry	Vetch	Annual bluegrass
	Juniper	Bearberry	Pinegrass
	Rose		Columbia needle grass

WATER RETENTION DATA - SULLIVAN MINE TAILINGS

Sample	-1/10 bar gm/gm	-1/3 bar gm/gm	-9/10 bar gm/gm	-3 bar gm/gm	-15 bar gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm
SF-1A	a di ai	.332	.218	.166	.189	.143
SF-1B		.397	.237	.212	.157	.240
·SF-1C		.316	.235	.131	.128	.188
SF-1D		.321	.173	.158	.108	.213
SF-1E	·	.276	.251	.247	.123	.153
SF-3A		.291	.215	.208	.078	.212
™⊶SF-3B		.275	.148	.112	.051	.224
'≉ ` ⊶\$ F− 3C		.347	.206	.143	.067	.280
°⇔s F− 3D		.392	.321	.220	.133	.259
·SF-4A		.383	.169	.202	.196	.187
SF-4B		.242	.206	.114	.129	.113
[™]		.371	.222	.172	.132	.239
SF-4D		.223	.162	.121	.105	.118
SF-4E		.292	.223	.147	.099	.193
·SS-1A	.301	.152	.056	.042	.031	.121
"/"SS-1B	.456	.293	.223	.143	.119	.174
%	.327	.197	.134	.109	.095	.102
SS-1D	.390	.243	.206	.102	.090	.153

,

KIMBERLEY AREA SOIL SITES

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS

Sample #	Horizon	% 2 mm	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	Textural Class
SV-1	Ae	90	24	67	9	Silt loam
د	Bf	62	35	58	7	Silt loam
	BC					
	С	71	20	66	14	Silt loam
sv-2	Ae	62	62	36	2	Sandy loam
5	Bf	39	72	22	7	Sandy loam
	BC	<u>-</u>				
	С	27	85	9	6	Loamy sand
GV-3	Bf	62	25	65	10	Silt loam
50-5	BC	64	28	66	6	Silt loam
	C C	49	35	54		Silt loam
	1					
SV-4	Ah	57	52	37	11	Loam
	Bm	36	66	27	7	Sandy loam
	С	41	77	20	3	Loamy sand
	Bf1	01	73	22	5	Sandy loam
30-5	Bf2	75	69	25		Sandy loam
	BC	95	73	22	5	Sandy loam
	c					
	4.0					
50-0	Ae Df		43	51	6	Loam
	BL	60	43	51	8	Loam
		47	40	44	9	Loam
			+5			
SV-7	Ahe					
	Bf .	69	66	32	2	Sandy loam
	C1	85	78	18	4	Loamy sand
	C2	37	84	11	5	Loamy sand
	I	1	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	

•

Table A-34

KIMBERLEY AREA SOIL SITES

WATER RETENTION DATA AND CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITIES

Sampl horix	e and on	-1/10 bar gm/gm	-1/3 bar gm/gm	-15 bar gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm	A.W.S.C. gm/gm corrected for fragments	A.W.S.C. cm/cm	C.E.C. 2mm fraction Meg/100gm
SV-1:	Ae	•••	•311	.070	•241	•217	•297	13.0
	Bf	•••	•296	•063	.233	•144	.197	9.8
	BC	•••	.191	•043	. 148	•096	.132	• • • •
	C	•••	.124	.048	.076	•054	.074	3.2
SV-2:	₿f	.115	.084	.080	.035	.014	.016	31.8
	C	.065	.056	.023	.042	.015	.017	.6.7
SV-3:	Bf	* * *	• 324	•079	•245	.152	.149	•••
	BC	• • •	.206	•064	.142	.091	.089	•••
	C	• • •	.078	.064	.014	.007	.007	• • •
SV-4:	Ah	• • •	•314	.132	.182	.104	•140	26.1
	Bm	.196	.130	.044	.152	•054	.073	4.9
	C	.172	.107	.031	•141	.058	.078	2.8
S V- 5:	Bf1	.201	.127	.043	.158	.144	.181	•••
	BC	•231	.183	.063	.168	.126	. 159	• • •
	C	. 135	.085	•038	•197	.092	.116	• • •
sv-6:	Bf	• • •	.156	.110	.046	.041	.051	17.1
	BC	•264	. 185	•052	.212	.106	•133	• • •
	C	.293	.136	.038	•255	.124	.155	2.0
s ⊽- 7:	Bf	.216	.185	•069	.147	.101	.126	•••
	C1	.114	.185	•035	•079	.067	.084	•••
	C2	.150	•070	.027	•123	•046	.058	•••