GEOGRAPHIC AND HOST-INDUCED VARIATIONS OF <u>HAEMATOLOECHUS BUTTENSIS</u> AND A RE-EVALUATION OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE GENUS IN CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES

by

MURRAY JAMES KENNEDY

B.Sc., University of British Columbia, 1971 M.Sc., University of British Columbia, 1974

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

All the second

THE DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY

We accept this thesis as conforming to the required standard

. . .

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

March, 1978 Murray James Kennedy, 1978 In presenting this thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirements for an advanced degree at the University of British Columbia, I agree that the Library shall make it freely available for reference and study. I further agree that permission for extensive copying of this thesis for scholarly purposes may be granted by the Head of my Department or by his representatives. It is understood that copying or publication of this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Department of Zoology

The University of British Columbia 2075 Wesbrook Place Vancouver, Canada V6T 1W5

March 20, 1978 Date

ABSTRACT

Of the fifteen species of flukes referred to the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> Looss, 1899, in Canada and the United States, nine are considered to be synonyms of one or another of the six species considered to be valid. Species identification is primarily based on the ratio of the transverse diameter of the oral sucker to the acetabulum (0/A), the anterior extent of the extracaecal loops along the ovary or testes, and orientation of the testes. The species considered valid are: H. longiplexus Stafford, 1902; H. breviplexus Stafford, 1902; H. varioplexus Stafford, 1902 (= H. similiplexus, = H. parviplexus, = H. buttensis, = H. floedae, = H. uniplexus); H. medioplexus Stafford, 1902; H. complexus (Seely, 1906) (= H. coloradensis, = H. confusus, = H. oxyorchis); H. kernensis Ingles, 1932 (= <u>H. tumidus</u>). Flukes are discussed using the name previously considered valid.

H. <u>buttensis</u>, experimentally reared in the laboratory, was used to study morphological variations resulting from changes in technical procedures and environment.

Techniques used to prepare study specimens of flukes affected some taxonomic characters previously used to separate species. The presence of spines, position of oral sucker, and size of flukes were affected by temperature of fixative, and the use of distilled water. Pressure added to the coverslip affected length and width of ovary, testes, sucker, and body.

The size of flukes, experimentally reared in the frog, <u>R. pretiosa</u>, was affected by temperature at which the host was maintained, the numbers of metacercariae fed to frogs, and the

ii

age of the worm. Host size and sex had no apparent influence on fluke morphology.

Sixty-day-old flukes were experimentally reared in either <u>R. pretiosa</u>, <u>B. boreas</u>, <u>R. clamitans</u>, or <u>R. aurora</u>. The effects of developing in different frog hosts were to alter the anterior extent of the extracaecal uterine loops relative to the posterior testis, the distribution of the vitellaria, and the size and shape of ovary, testes, and size of the body and suckers. The O/A ratio remained relatively uniform in flukes from all hosts.

Flukes recovered from frogs fed infected dragonflies had a larger body size (length and width), larger testes and ovaries, and had extracaecal loops reaching farther along the posterior testis than did flukes recovered from frogs fed infected damselflies.

The O/A ratios for <u>H. longiplexus</u>, <u>H. breviplexus</u>, <u>H. complexus</u>, <u>H. buttensis</u>, <u>H. coloradensis</u>, and <u>H. medioplexus</u> did not vary among specimens collected from different localities. No significant difference in this ratio occurred between flukes that had inhabited more than one host.

The O/A ratio of <u>H. parviplexus</u> was significantly greater when <u>R. sylvatica</u> was the definitive host than when <u>R. catesbeiana</u> was the host. This ratio also differed significantly between specimens collected from two localities in Nebraska, even though the host in both localities was <u>R. catesbeiana</u>.

Pooled data for <u>H. varioplexus</u> and <u>H. similiplexus</u> indicated that flukes from <u>R. catesbeiana</u>, <u>R. clamitans</u>, <u>**R.** pipiens</u>, and <u>**B.** woodhousei</u> differed in their O/A ratios and egg lengths and widths.

Ovary and testes may be lobed or unlobed. Uterine loops extend from the posterior portion of the worm to near the anterior border of the anterior testis in <u>H. varioplexus</u>, <u>H. buttensis</u>, and <u>H. parviplexus</u>. The extracaecal loops reach to the posterior border of the ovary in <u>H. breviplexus</u> and beyond the anterior border of the ovary in <u>H. longiplexus</u>.

In flukes containing extracaecal uterine loops, the left loop was absent in 4.2% of the <u>H. parviplexus</u> and 8.3% of <u>H. varioplexus</u> examined. The right loop was absent in 9.0% of <u>H. buttensis</u> examined.

Egg size (length and width) did not vary geographically in <u>H. longiplexus</u>, <u>H. complexus</u>, <u>H. breviplexus</u>, <u>H. buttensis</u>, <u>H. coloradensis</u>, or <u>H. medioplexus</u>. Egg lengths of <u>H. parviplexus</u> differed in flukes from <u>R. sylvatica</u> and <u>R. catesbeiana</u>.

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract
Table of Contents v
List of Tables
List of Figures and Maps ix
List of Appendices xiv
Acknowledgements
Introduction
Life Cycle of <u>Haematoloechus</u> <u>buttensis</u>
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results and Discussion
Part I. Morphological variation
1. Effects of Fixation and Flattening on Adult
Norphology 13
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Preparation Method I
Results of Method I
Preparation Method II
Results of Method II
Preparation Method III
Results of Method III 23
Preparation Method IV
Results of Method IV
2. Variation in flukes developed in the natural
definitive host, <u>R. pretiosa</u>

Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results
3. Variation in flukes developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u>
maintained at different temperatures
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results
4. Effects of host size on development of <u>H. buttensis</u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Introduction
Naterials and Methods
Results
5. Effects of host sex on development of <u>H. buttensis</u>
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results
6. Effects of worm burder on development of <u>H. buttensis</u>
53
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results
7. Variation in worms developed in different
definitive hosts
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results

.

8. Variation due to development in different
insect intermediate hosts
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results
9. Morphological variation in <u>H. buttensis</u> when
cercariae are developed in various snail hosts
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results
10. Discussion and Conclusions from Experimental Results
Part II. Taxonomy and Morphological Variation
Introduction
Materials and Methods
Results
Generic Diagnosis
Valid Species Group I
Valid Species Group II
Discussion and Conclusions
A. Differentiation of species
B. Examining Type Specimens
Part III. Taxonomic Discussion
A Key to the <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp. in Canada and
the United States 173
Summary
Literature Cited
Appendix

ана (1997) Стала (1997)

LIST OF TABLES

viii

LIST OF FIGURES AND MAPS

Figure

Figure
1 Life cycle of <u>Haematoloechus buttensis</u>
2 Digrammatic figure of <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp
3 Percent increase in body size of flukes maintained
in distilled water
4 Percent increase in body size of flukes maintained
at different temperatures
5. Effect of fixation and temperature on body size
of <u>H</u> . <u>buttensis</u>
6 Effect of flattening on <u>H. buttensis</u>
7 Change in body proportion of <u>H. buttensis</u> developed
in <u>Repretiosa</u>
8 Metacercaria from <u>Ischnura</u> <u>perparva</u>
9 Five-day-old fluke developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u>
10 Fourteen-day old fluke developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u>
11 Twenty-one day old fluke developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u> 37
12 Twenty-eight day old fluke developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u> 37
13 Sixty-day old fluke developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u>
14-18 variation in size, shape and position of ovary
and testes of 60-day old worms developed in <u>R</u> . <u>pretiosa</u> 38
19 Change in O/A ratio with age of <u>H. buttensis</u>
20 Relationship between worm age and sucker diameter
of <u>H</u> . <u>buttensis</u>
21 Influence of temperature and age of worm
on <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u>

ix

20.000

39 Variations in <u>H. buttensis</u> reared in different

43 H. longiplexus showing variation in extracaecal 44 H. longiplexus showing variation in extracaecal 45 Abnormal extent of extracaecal uterine loops in H. longiplexus 46 Abnormal extent of extracaecal uterine loops in H. longiplexus 101 48 variation in egg measurements of H. longiplexus from 49 0/A ratio of H. parviplexus from collections made in 50-52 Some variation in shape of ovaries and testes and in extent of extracaecal uterine loops in H. parviplexus 53 Length and width of eggs of H. parviplexus from 54 O/A ratio of H. varioplexus and H. similiplexus from 55 Length and width of eggs of <u>H. varioplexus</u> and 56-59 Variation in extent of extracaecal uterine loops 60. Lobed ovaries and overlap of testes in <u>H. buttensis</u> 122 61. Lobed ovaries and overlap of testes in <u>H. buttensis</u> 122

xi

different parts of the uterus of H. buttensis 195

xii

Map 1 Variation in O/A ratio between populations of

.

. . .

en de la composición de la composicinde la composición de la composición de la composición de la compo

. . . .

. .

. . .

. . . .

. . .

LIST OF APPENDICES

1 Summary of measurements used
2 Recipes for fixatives and solutions
3 Flow sheet for preparing study specimens
4 Effect of flattening on <u>H</u> . <u>buttensis</u>
5 Average measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in
<u>R. pretiosa</u>
6 Measurements and standardized measurement system
7 Average measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u> maintained at 12°C 212
8 Average measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u> maintained at 20°C 213
9 Average measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u> maintained at 27°C 214
10 <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u> (30-35 mm)215
11 <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u> (45-50 mm)
12 H. buttensis developed in R. pretiosa (55-60 mm)217
13 <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u> (65-70 mm)218
14 <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in female <u>R. pretiosa</u>
15 <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in male <u>R. pretiosa</u>
16 Effect of crowding on <u>H. buttensis</u>
17 Average measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in
<u>B</u> <u>pretiosa</u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18 Average measurements of <u>H</u> . <u>buttensis</u> developed in
<u>R. aurora</u>
19 Average measurements of \underline{H} . <u>buttensis</u> developed in
<u>R. clamitans</u>
20 Average measurements of \underline{H} . <u>buttensis</u> developed in
<u>b. borease</u>

xiv

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. J.R. Adams, for his financial and moral support, and for his great patience.

I would also like to thank Drs. J.D. Lynch, B.B. Babero, G.J. Bowers, M.L. Eberhard, R. Campbell as well as Mr. Daniel Brooks and Mr. Mike Baker for sending me mounted or preserved specimens.

The following people contributed live specimens: Drs. J.R. Adams, R.J.G. Lester and his wife, Judy, Dr. H. Ching, Ms. L. Killick, Ms. M. Weston, Mr. and Mrs. W. Reid, Mr. and Mrs. Bonnyman, Mr. And Mrs. Barsaloux, Mr. R. Russell, and Mr. D. Edamura. I thank them all.

Special thanks go to Dr. R. Lichtenfels at the U.S. National Museum who provided study space and permission to examine type specimens, and to Dr. M.H. Pritchard for lending me numerous slides of lung flukes from the Manter collection.

Mrs. M.F.I. Smith, National Museums, Ottawa, checked the identifications of the snails, Mr. Rob Cannings, Provincial Museum, Victoria, identified the damselfly and dragonfly naiads, and Ms. D. Hards made cross-sections of some of the intermediate hosts.

I would also like to thank the following people for critical reading of the manuscript: Drs. J.R. Adams, D. Chitty, H. Ching, H. Kasinsky, J.D. McPhail, C.O. Person, G.G.E. Scudder, and J. Mary Taylor.

xvi

INTRODUCTION

the principal standard used by Morphology is taxonomists in naming, identifying and parasitological classifying most groups of parasitic organisms (Crites, 1962). Physiological changes developing in an organism as a result of environmental variation may parallel morphological changes, although the latter may or may not be obvious (Chandler, 1923).

As pointed out by Haley (1962), there has long been a need for studies to determine the influence of different host environments on parasite structure, physiology and behaviour. Intraspecific variation may result from the influence of varying environments encountered by a parasite among individuals of a single host species and, to a greater degree, from that of the various environments encountered by a parasite in hosts of different species.

Intraspecific variation among parasitic animals occurs frequently and, according to Wharton (1957), is similar to that known to exist among free-living animals. Wharton also noted that differences resulting from intraspecific variability present taxonomic problems. According to Stunkard (1957), among hermaphroditic self-fertilizing organisms such as helminths, the "genetic" species is valueless, and classification of these organisms must depend on the use of other criteria. "The concept of species", he states, "must be based on a correlation of larval structure, life history, physiology, host relationships and morphological comparisons of adults." However, he states that "morphology is the main factor defining species of

parasites." Wright (1960) disagreed with Stunkard in that he considered acceptance of the "genetic" species to be a more constructive approach toward trematode taxonomy. Mayr (1969) defined a species as: "groups of interbreeding natural populations that are reproductively isolated from other such groups."

Cross- and self-insemination have been demonstrated for some species of trematodes (Nollen, 1968; Moseley and Nollen, 1973). Reproductive isolation in concurrent infections has also been demonstrated (Nollen, Pyne, Moseley and Bunker, 1975). Therefore, the biological species concept could be applied to trematode taxonomy; but, the sheer volume of experimental study required for its adequate application makes it difficult to apply to more than a few thoroughly studied organisms. The use of morphology in defining species of helminth parasites will remain for quite some time.

The genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> comprises a group of widely distributed plagiorchioid flukes, parasitic in the lungs of Amphibia. No less than 56 species of trematodes have been referred to this genus world-wide and, at one time or another, have been allocated to as many as five genera. Odening (1958) assigned all species to the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> and recognized three major groups: 1) Old World; 2) Australia; and 3) America, the latter divided into three subgroups: North, South, and Central America. He later (Odening, 1960b) employed four genera for the same assembly of species.

The validity of several species in the North American subgroup has been questioned by Cort (1915a), Manter (1938),

and Harwood (1932). These authors indicated the desirability of establishing experimental infections in the laboratory to ascertain species validity. The need for such studies on intraspecific variations was also emphasized by Haley (1962). Studies of this type have been undertaken for several genera e.g. <u>Telorchis</u> by Watertor (1965); <u>Prosthogonimus</u> by Boddeke (1960 a, b) and others.

The uncertain taxonomy of species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> seems to have arisen from primary reliance on morphological features of sexually mature worms for which the degree of variation was unknown; many species of this genus are known from only one type specimen. These taxonomic characters, defined by Mayr (1969: 121) as "any attribute of a member of a taxon by which it differs or may differ from a member of a different taxon." Are subject to varied interpretations and technical manipulations.

The complex life histories of digenetic trematodes include, in addition to the sexually mature adult in the definitive host, several larval stages whose morphology and developmental aspects provide additional taxonomic information. The development of "haematoloechid" taxonomy is hindered by the fact that life histories have been determined for only ten species. Krull (1930, 1931) described the life histories of <u>H. medioplexus</u> Stafford, 1902 and <u>H. parviplexus</u> (Irwin, 1929). In 1932 Krull reported on part of the life cycle of <u>H. longiplexus</u> Stafford, 1902 and, in 1933 and 1934 on all stages of <u>H. complexus</u> (Seely, 1906). The life histories of <u>H. complexus</u> (Seely, 1906). The life histories of <u>H. complexus</u> were described by Ingles (1933), Schell (1965), and Dronen (1975) respectively.

and series

The life history of <u>H</u>. <u>similis</u> (Looss, 1899) was described by Grabda-Kazubska (1960), Ginetsinkaya and Dobrovolskij (1968), and Smirnova and Ibrasheva (1967). Dollfus, Doby and Laurent (1960) investigated the life cycle of <u>H</u>. <u>bombynae</u> (Zeder, 1800). The life history of <u>H</u>. <u>asper</u> Looss, 1899 was described by Dobrovolskij (1965), and by Ginetsinkaya and Dobrovolskij (1968). Many of the above life cycles are incompletely known, and three are European.

Specimens of <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp. collected by the author in the spring and summer of 1974 in British Columbia indicated a great deal of morphological variation in characters used to separate species in this genus. My attempts to identify the worms led to the conclusion that either as many as six species or as few as three species occurred here.

The purpose of this thesis is to examine some of the variations in morphology of the fifteen species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> described from Canada and the United States and to use these data to assess the validity of the described species. This will be done in two parts.

Part I: Experimental

Laboratory experiments investigate the morphological variation which occur in this genus by using <u>H. buttensis</u> Ingles, 1936 as a model.

<u>Rana pretiosa</u> Baird and Girard, 1853 the usual definitive host of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> in British Columbia, is used to determine the effects on important taxonomic characters of host ambient temperature, size, sex and number of worms present. Variation in

flukes, developed in different definitive hosts, is also examined. As well, variations in flukes are examined when different insect second intermediate hosts or molluscan first intermediate hosts are used.

Aspects of preparing specimens for examination are investigated to establish their effects on adult morphology.

Part II: A. Geographical Variation:

Specimens of some species collected from various localities in Canada and the United States are compared and analyzed for morphological variation.

Data from this part of the thesis have been derived by examining specimens from new collections made by the author or cooperating colleagues. These data are supplemented by examining specimens borrowed from museums and other universities. Obvious errors in the identification of borrowed specimens have been corrected.

B. Examination of type specimens:

A re-examination of 9 out of the 15 type specimens available has been done to determine the accuracy o descriptions of the type material. All species are initially considered valid and are discussed under their appropriate names. A tentative taxonomic revision is made at the end of the thesis.

The results from the above investigations should provide a practical classification of the species from the United States and Canada. It should also contribute information on factors important in the delineation of species within other genera of trematodes, as well as within the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u>.

Introduction:

Haematoloechus buttensis Ingles, 1936 was recovered from Rana pretiosa collected from a lake 6 miles east of Greenwood, B.C., during the summer of 1975. Frogs contained from one to ten lung flukes each. Examination of damselfly and dragonfly naiads from the same lake, revealed that only the damselfly naiad (Ischnura perparva Selys, 1876) was infected with a metacercaria similar to that of other <u>Haematoloechus</u> spp. Naiads of I. cervula Selys, 1876 and the dragonfly, Aeschna palmata Hagen, 1856 in the same lake, were not infected. <u>Physa</u> <u>nuttalli</u>, Lea, 1864 collected from the same location, contained cercariae, in sporocysts, which fit the general description of cercariae previously described for other frog lung flukes. Stagnicola elodes (Say, 1821) and <u>Helisoma</u> trivolvis (Say, 1816) were recovered from the lake, but did not contain any trematode infections. These observations suggested that <u>H. buttensis</u> had as intermediate hosts the snail <u>P. nuttalli</u> and the naiad I. perparva in B.C.

Since the life history of <u>H. buttensis</u> has not been reported, I had to determine the life-cycle before I could do other experimental work on host-induced variability. The results are presented here in brief. A detailed account will be published separately.

Materials and Methods:

Sources of Experimental Animals

Resources were not available for establishing and breeding

laboratory-reared stocks of all the hosts needed for the experimental work. However, precautions were taken to select stocks of hosts which were free of parasites. This was done by examining potential hosts from many localities in British Columbia. Localities found to contain uninfected stocks of potential hosts were sampled on at least two other subsequent occasions.

The closest localities to Vancouver which contained uninfected hosts were selected as sources for experimental animals. Part of the sample was rechecked every time a new collection was made. The localities and numbers of hosts examined are given below.

<u>Physa nuttalli</u> was collected from a stream 15 miles south of Penticton. One-hundred snails were selected at random and examined for trematode infections. No infection was found.

Naiads of <u>Ischnura</u> <u>perparva</u> were collected from a stream 15 miles east of Lumby. Fifty naiads were examined for trematode infections. All were negative for trematode infections of any kind.

<u>R. pretiosa</u> was collected from a stream 15 miles east of Lumby, B. C. Fifteen frogs were examined for lung fluke infections. All were negative. Frogs were kept an additional two weeks to allow any flukes that might have been acquired naturally to mature enough to be separated from experimental infections.

Damselfly and dragonfly larvae were identified by Robert Cannings, Provincial Museum, Victoria. Snails were identified by Mrs. M.F.I. Smith, National Museum of Canada, Ottawa.

Methods for Infecting Hosts

Fifty <u>P. nuttalli</u> were infected by allowing them to ingest eggs of <u>H. buttensis</u> from Manning Park for one hour, after which snails were washed to removed excess eggs and placed in finger bowls containing dechlorinated water. Two snails were dissected one hour after feeding on eggs. Two more snails were examined every hour for the first five hours, every three hours for the next 18 hours, then every five days until cercariae emerged. Snails were maintained in aquaria on a diet of boiled lettuce.

Ten cercariae, shed from experimentally infected <u>Physa nuttalli</u>, were placed in each of 50 petri dishes, each containing one <u>I</u>. <u>perparva</u> naiad. Two naiads were examined after five hours, and an additional two every day for 10 days.

Ten frogs were each fed ten metacercariae, which had been dissected from experimentally infected naiads. Two frogs were necropsied at 5, 7, 21, and 30 days. All frogs were maintained at 20°C on a diet of earthworms.

Results and Discussion:

First Intermediate Host

The eggs of <u>H. buttensis</u> were 0.024-0.029 (0.026) mm long by 0.012-0.017 (0.015) wide, operculate, light brown, and contained miracidia when laid.

Gravid worms deposit embryonated eggs in the lung cavity of <u>Rana pretiosa</u>. Eggs are carried from the lungs up the bronchioles and through the glottis by ciliary action of the lung and bronchiole cells (Krull, 1931). Eggs are swallowed and were found in faeces upon passing through the digestive tract.

They sink to the bottom of the pond, but do not hatch until they are swallowed by the snail Physa nuttalli (Fig. 1). Eggshells and some miracidia are passed in the faeces. Miracidia in P. nuttalli migrate through the intestinal wall. Mother sporocysts develop in the intestinal wall of the snail, or may be found free in the haemocoel. Cort et al. (1954) noted that the development of mother sporocysts in this way typically occurs in members of the superfamily Plaqiorchioidea. Mother sporocysts have been observed in only three other species of Haematoloechus: Schell (1965) reported a mother sporccyst belonging to H. breviplexus in the intestinal wall of the snail Gyraulus similaris (Baker, 1919); Rankin (1939) demonstrated the existence of a mother sporocyst stage in H. merchanti : and in Dronen (1975) demonstrated the H. coloradensis, where development of a mother sporocyst on the intestinal surface in the snail <u>Physa</u> <u>virgata</u>.

Daughter sporocysts developed in the snail's hepatic gland and produced cercariae in approximately 30 days. Up to 250 cercariae were shed per night by a single heavily infected snail. Cercariae swam actively and survived up to 36 hours at 20°C.

Figure 1. Life cycle of <u>Haematoloechus buttensis</u>.

.

· · · · ·

. . . .

11

···· ·



Second Intermediate Host

Under experimental conditions cercariae infected the larval stages of damselflies by penetrating the abdominal wall and passing through the the haemocoel, where they did not encyst. Metacercariae were also recovered from the head region, but were not seen to penetrate the cuticle of this area. Metacercariae never encysted on the rectal gills of larvae.

My field collections of potential intermediate hosts suggested that the damselfly <u>Ischnura perparva</u> is the usual insect host in British Columbia. Adult damselflies may harbor up to 73 metacercariae, though this is rare. My field observations indicate that two to three metacercariae per infected damselfly is more common.

Infection of Definitive Host

Frogs were experimentally infected through eating infected naiads, but they can also become infected by eating infected adult damselflies or dragonflies (Krull, 1931). The author has often seen tenerals, resting on stems of vegetation, captured and eaten by frogs. Experimental observations demonstrated that, upon being released from the damselfly, the young flukes migrate forward through the oesophagus, pass through the glottis and enter the lungs by way of the bronchi. Flukes matured in about 14 to 21 days. Lung flukes may remain in frogs for up to 15 months, after which they are lost and new ones take their place (Krull, 1930, 1931). I have found up to 74 H. buttensis in а single lung of <u>R. pretiosa</u>.

PART I. MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATION

1. EFFECTS OF FIXATION AND FLATTENING ON ADULT MORPHOLOGY Introduction

Measurements of parasites have long been considered of significance in the characterization of new species (Ulmer, 1950). References to measurements in the literature often omit precise information about the techniques employed. Flattening trematodes prior to measurement, or fixing worms in solutions of different temperatures, may have a profound effect on their morphology. These changes in morphology may result in new species being described by different authors using different techniques. It is therefore important to examine the effects of various preparatory techniques on fluke morphology.

described Several authors who nev species of Haematoloechus, did not record their prefixative and fixative techniques (Seely, 1906; Irwin, 1929; Harwood, 1932; Ingles, 1932, 1936). Cort (1915a) usually transferred flukes into distilled water before killing them. This was followed by killing the worm in either cold or in hot fluid. H.W.Manter (communication to G.J. Spencer, 1934) also used distilled water. Worms were then killed by placing them on a slide, adding a drop of killing solution (A.F.A.) to the worm, applying a coverslip and exerting pressure on the coverglass to flatten the specimen.

Krull (1931: 222) placed flukes in cold water to relax them and to get them to shed eggs before killing them. This required from ten to sixty minutes. He stated that "This treatment causes no serious changes in structure, except that parts of the

13

cuticle slough off. Worms were then plunged into hot 70% alcohol. Stafford (1902: 597) states only that "worms are killed in a mixture of glacial acetic acid and alcohol."

Characters considered by previous authors to be important in delineating species in this group are : the presence and extent of extracaecal longitudinal folds of the uterus, egg size, degree of lobation of testis and ovary, general shape and position of the testes, degree of spination of the tegument, and the ratio between the transverse diameters of the oral sucker and the acetabulum (Fig. 2). A description of these and other measurements is given in Appendix 1.

Materials and Methods

To determine the effects of fixation and flattening on the adult morphology of <u>H. buttensis</u> I fed metacercariae, developed in naiads of the damselfly <u>Ischnura perparva</u>, to each of ten male, adult Rana pretiosa of approximately the same length (55-59 mm snout urostyle length). Twenty-one days later, 157 gravid adults were recovered from the lungs of these infected definitive experimentally hosts. One-hundred thirty-five of these worms were used to determine the effects various preparatory methods have morphological on some characters.

All specimens were measured and drawn to scale. All drawings were made with the aid of a Bausch and Lomb microprojector. Measurements are in millimeters unless otherwise stated.

Preparation Method I

This method investigates morphological changes in flukes kept in one of two commonly used pre-fixative solutions: distilled water and amphibian Ringer's Solution (Appendix 2).

Three worms were placed in each of eight 90 mm round pyrex petri dishes. Four of the dishes contained 25 ml each of distilled water and the remaining four dishes contained 25 ml each of Ringer's solution (Appendix 2). All of the dishes were kept at 6°C. A sample of three worms from a dish of each solution was taken at 3, 5, 7 and 10 minutes. These samples were fixed in hot 70% ethanol, stained by the method given in Appendix 3 and mounted unflattened on a slide using a number 1 cover glass (18 mm sg).

The percent increase in a measurement is calculated by subtracting the measurement in Frog Ringer's solution (FR) from the corresponding measurement in distilled water (DW), dividing the difference by FR, then multiplying the quotient by 100 %.

Figure 2. Diagrammatic figure of adult <u>Haematoloechus</u>-sp., showing principal parts of anatomy.

.





Results of Method I

Twenty-one-day old worms fixed and stained under similar conditions, but differing only in respect to treatment in different pre-fixative solutions, showed considerable variation in body length and width and the presence of spines on the tegument. Body length and width of adults treated in distilled water are greater than those of flukes placed in Ringer's solution by as much as 40% and 100% respectively. Frog Ringer's solution did not affect spination, but cuticular spines of worms maintained in distilled water were lost (Table 1).

Differences in measurements of flukes maintained in distilled water compared with those of flukes in Ringer's solution increased with time (Fig. 3). The progressive difference in length and width is a result of an increase in these flukes maintained in distilled water. No dimensions for significant increase in size occurred in flukes kept in froq Ringer's solution for 3, 5, 7 or 10 minutes.

Preparation Method II

I tested the effect of the temperature of distilled water and of Ringer's solution on the morphology of <u>H. buttensis</u> by placing three worms in each of 24 petri dishes (described in method I). Groups of four dishes were kept at either 6° C, 20°C or 40°C. One dish from each group was sampled at 3, 5, 7 and 10 minutes. Post-fixative handling was as for Method I.
Results of method II

Body length and width did not differ in flukes maintained in Frog Ringer's solution at different temperatures (Table 2). No significant increase in length or width occurred when flukes from distilled water but at different temperatures were compared at corresponding times. Flukes maintained in distilled water at 6°C or 20°C lose their spines after three minutes. Spines were lost prior to three minutes in distilled water kept at 40°C.

The body length and width of worms in distilled water increased with time. The percent increase in length or width of flukes maintained in distilled water, compared with that of worms sampled at a corresponding time and temperature, but from Frog Ringer's solution, increased with time (Fig. 4). Worms, kept in Ringer's solution, and sampled at 3, 5, 7 and 10 minutes, had spines over their entire tegument.

<u>Frog Ringer's</u>				i
Time (min.)	3	5	7	10
Character	·. · ·	-		
Spines	present	present	present	present
Body Length	3.14(2.78-3.29)	3.28(2.81-3.44)	2.95(2.73-3.30)	3.26(2.90-3.50)
Body Width	0.66(0.60-0.81)	0.63(0.61-0.71)	0.68(0.64-0.77)	0.65(0.53-0.70)
Distilled water				
Time (min.)	3	5	7	10
Character				
Spines	present	absent	absent	absent
Body Length	3.33(2.91-3.50)	3.41(3.17-3.56)	3.56(3.40-3.89)	4.57(4.30-4.81)
Body Width	0.68(0.57-0.75)	0.71(0.63-0.80)	0.89(0.76-0.98)	1.35(1.12 -1.44)

Table 1. Effect of pre-fixative solution on some characters of 21-day-old H. buttensis.

All solutions were used at $6^{\circ}C$.

Fig. 3 Percent increase in body length and width of flukes maintained in distilled water over those maintained in Frog Ringer's solution. The percent increase is calculated by subtracting the measurement in Frog Ringer's solution (FR) from the corresponding measurement in distilled water (DW), dividing the difference by FR, then multiplying the guotient by 100.

.

 $\%I = (DW - FR) / FR \times 100$



Table 2. The effect of temperature on morphology of 21-day old H. buttensis reared in

<u>R. pretiosa</u> maintained at 6 °C, 20 °C or 40 °C.

Frog Ringer's					
Time (min.)		3	5	· 7	10
Character	°c			•	
Spines	6	present	present	present	present
	20	present	present	present	present
	40	present	present	present	present
Body Length	6	3.47(3.28-3.67)	3.61(3.40-3.93)	3.40(3.22-3.69)	3.39(3.17-3.66)
	20	3.52(3.33-3.71)	3.57(3.33-3.77)	3.35(3.18-3.58)	3.46(3.21-3.72)
	40 [.]	3.26(3.19-3.51)	3.49(3.37-3.71)	3.55(3.25-3.79)	3.57(3.17-3.70)
Body Width	6	0.72(0.71-0.85)	0.69(0.60-0.73)	0.76(0.64-0.83)	0.66(0.59-0.75)
	20	0.75(0.69-0.87)	0.65(0.55-0.77)	0.67(0.61-0.76)	0.70(0.59-0.73)
	40	0.77(0.65-0.80)	0.73(0.61-0.81)	0.73(0.63-0.79)	0.71(0.65-0.88)
Distilled Water					
Spines	6	present	absent	absent	absent
	20	present	absent	absent	absent
	40	absent	absent	absent	absent
Body Length	6	3.69(3.41-3.92)	3.88(3.59-3.99)	4.11(3.76-4.23)	4.61(4.24-4.71)
2007 20gm	20	3.81(3.61-4.12)	4.00(3.72-4.18)	4.22(3.96-4.38)	4.73(4.66-5.03)
	40	3.77(3.52-4.18)	3.98(3.67-4.11)	4.33(4.01-4.45)	4.67(4.39-4.84)
Body Width	· 6	0.77(0.68-0.89)	0.81(0.70-0.86)	1.01(0.89-1.11)	1.27(1.15-1.35)
	20	0.81(0.73-0.88)	0.79(0.67-0.86)	1.13(1.05-1.22)	1.31(1.19-1.39)
	40	0.83(0.75-0.88)	0.80(0.71-0.94)	1.07(0:99-1.14)	1.35(1.28-1.47)

21

Fig. 4 Percent increase in body length and width of flukes maintained at different temperatures in distilled water over those maintained at a corresponding temperature but in Frog Ringer's solution. The percent increase is calculated by subtracting the measurement in Frog Ringer's solution (FW) from the corresponding measurement in distilled water (DW), dividing the difference by FR, then multiplying the quotient by 100.

% I= (DW - FR) / FR x 100



Preparation Method III

The effects of different fixatives used at 6°C or 70°C on fluke morhphology were investigated. Flukes were washed for one minute in Ringer's solution, and three worms were placed in each of ten petri dishes. The 10 dishes were separated into two equal groups. Each group contained a dish with one of the following five fixative solutions:

A.F.A. (Appendix 2)

70% ethanol

Schaudinn's fixative (Appendix 2)

Bouin's fixative (Appendix 2)

10% formalin

Fixatives in the first and second groups were used at 6°C and 70°C, respectively. The post-fixation process was the same in all cases (Appendix 3).

Results of Method III

Measurements of worms fixed in different solutions, but at the same temperature, did not differ significantly. However, worms from hot (70°C) fixatives were longer and narrower than worms fixed in the same solution at 6°C (Fig.5 and Table 3). Twenty percent (3/15) of the worms fixed at 6°C had subterminal suckers. The O/A and O/P ratios, lobing of testes and ovary, and extent of extracaecal loops were not affected by fixative solution or temperature. Table 3. Effect of temperature and fixative on length and width of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u>.

.

Fixative Solution						
Charact	te r	10% Formalin	A.F.A.	70% Ethanol	Schaudin's	Bouin's
Length	Cold	3.02(2.80-3.14)	3.16(3.00-3.25)	3.32(3.23-3.47)	2.84(2.76-3.05)	3.23(3.11-3.41)
	Hot	4.57(4.21-4.62)	5.04(4.85-5.17)	4.83(4.67-4.92)	4.70(4.55-4.83)	4.74(4.65-5.02)
Width	Cold	0.63(0.59-0.68)	0.65(0.62-0.70)	0.61(0.57-0.63)	0.66(0.62-0.73)	0.59(0.56-0.68)
· .	Hot	0.41(0.37-0.45)	0.39(0.32-0.41)	0.45(0.40-0.52)	0.42(0.33-0.45)	0.44(0.40-0.51)

24

.....

Fig.5 The effects of fixative and temperature on body length and width of 21-day-old <u>H. buttensis</u> reared in <u>R. pretiosa</u>.





Preparation Method IV

This method investigated the effects on fluke morphology of weight added to the coverslip while drying slides. Nine worms were prepared for mounting on slides in the manner described in Appendix 3. Each of the nine worms was mounted in permount on a separate slide. The slides were divided into three equal groups. A 5g weight was placed on the coverslip of each slide in group 1, a 10g weight on those of group 2 and no weight in group 3. Slides were dried for five days and measurements were taken on 15 characters (Appendix 4).

Results of Method IV

The size of a character increased with increased weight on the coverslip during drying (Fig. 6). Body length ranged from a mean of 3.05 in unflattened worms to 5.43 in worms flattened with 10g. Body widths varied from 0.63 to 1.51, anterior testis length ranged from 0.63 to 0.83. Measurements for other characters are given in Appendix 4.

The size ratio of the oral sucker to acetabulum diameters did not change markedly with increased weight during drying. This ratio varied between 2.5 and 2.8.

.

and the second second

. . *.* . . .

Fig. 6 The effect of flattening on some characters of 21-day-old <u>H. buttensis</u>.

-

.



Character

2. VARIATION IN FLUKES DEVELOPED IN THE NATURAL DEFINITIVE HOST RANA PRETIOSA.

Introduction

More information is needed about host-dependent variation in parasitic helminths in order better to assess the stability of taxonomic characters used in identification (Haley, 1962).

The influences of different hosts, as well as of individuals of a single host species, on variations in parasite structure has received increased attention over the past fifteen years. Schiller (1959), Haley (1962), and others, have pointed out that this variation has caused considerable confusion in classifying helminth parasites.

Despite the cautioning of the above authors, many taxonomists continue to describe new species from a small number of specimens. A small sample size could result in a narrow range of variation in any particular character. Subsequent samples from the same or other host species could result in specimens with variations in characters different from those of the first sample. This would be most pronounced if the host in some way influenced parasite development. Accordingly, Watertor (1967) noted that the developmental rates of experimentally reared Telorchis bonnerensis Waitz, 1960 differed markedly when reared in different amphibian or reptilian hosts. Blankespoor (1974) experimenting with <u>Plagiorchis noblei</u> Park, 1936, Boddeke (1960a) with <u>Prosthogonimus</u> ovatus, and others, have noted similar host-dependent variations in development.

Factors such as host age, number of worms present, and

temperatures may also alter fluke morphology (Haley, 1962).

The purpose of this section of the thesis was to investigate morphological variation in <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in its normal host <u>R. pretiosa</u>. This study should provide information on the characters most suitable for use in separating species within the genus. As well, it should provide guide lines for studying variation in <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in different host species.

Materials and Methods

Source of Experimental Animals

Large numbers of uninfected or "clean" frogs, snails and naiads of Odonata were needed for this and other experiments. It was considered impractical to try to rear these animals in the laboratory and so natural sources of uninfected animals were sought.

Uninfected snails, <u>Physa nuttalli</u>, were collected on four separate occasions, over a two-year period, from a pond fifteen miles south of Penticton, B.C. Fifty snails from each sample were examined for natural infections with trematodes, but none was found. Some of the snails remaining from the first two collections were used as a source of "clean" hosts in subsequent experiments. The last two collections were examined as an additional assurance that snails from the collecting site were not infected.

A source of uninfected damselfly naiads, <u>Ischnura perparva</u>, was discovered in a stream fifteen miles east of Lumby, B. C. Fifty naiads were collected and examined for natural trematode infections. Metacercariae are visible when live naiads are illuminated from beneath and observed under a stereoscopic microscope. Necropsies were performed on the fifty naiads to assure no metacercariae were present. No metacercariae were found. In addition, each naiad was microscopically examined prior to use in all experiments. This assured that no natural infections occurred in naiads used for experiments.

A stream located fifteen miles east of Lumby, B. C. served as a source of uninfected <u>Rana pretiosa</u>. Fifteen frogs, from a larger sample, were examined for helminth infections of the lungs, but none was found. Subsequent examination of <u>R</u>. <u>pretiosa</u> from the same locality in 1975 and 1976 demonstrated that lung flukes were not present in frogs in that area. Frogs to be used in experiments were kept an additional two weeks at 20°C. Faecal smears were taken during the two-week period and examined for fluke eggs. No eggs were present. The two-week guarantine would have allowed any flukes that were already in the lungs to mature enough to be separated from those from experimental infections.

Infected <u>Rana pretiosa</u> were collected from a beaver pond one mile east of Manning Park Lodge in Manning Park. Frogs were sampled from this pond on five different occasions during the spring and summer of 1974. Examination of these samples revealed that only one species of lung fluke was present. The morphology of this fluke coincided with the description of <u>H. buttensis</u> published by Ingles in 1936. Eggs from these worms served as the source for experimental infections.

Experimental Infections

Adult <u>H. buttensis</u>, obtained from the lungs of <u>R. pretiosa</u>, were placed in a dish of pond water for release of eggs. Groups of 25 snails were infected by allowing them to ingest eggs for one hour, after which the snails were removed and placed in aguaria containing pond water, where they were left for approximately 30 days for the cercariae to develop and emerge. Infected snails were maintained at 20° C.

Emerging cercariae were pipetted from fish tanks, and 200 cercariae were placed in each of 10 finger bowls filled with pond water. Ten naiads were placed in each bowl and left for 24 hours, after which they were transferred to plastic containers and maintained at 20°C. After five days naiads were dissected and metacercariae removed. Frogs were infected by wrapping ten metacercariae in moistened bread, stringing a thread through the bread and lowering the wad into a tank containing one frog. Frogs usually ate the bread within three minutes. Fifteen frogs were infected in this way. Samples of three frogs were examined and lung flukes collected at 5, 14, 21, 28 and 60 days. Specimens were prepared using the standardized procedure given in Appendix 3. Drawings of selected flukes were made with the aid of a Bausch and Lomb microprojector and camera lucida. All measurements were made as described in Appendix 1 and are in millimeters unless otherwise stated.

Results

The experiment yielded /00 specimens of <u>H. buttensis</u> of known ages. Comparative measurements are presented in Appendix 5. Comparisons of the morphological features used by Ingles (1936) in his description of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> are presented with my experimental results.

Variations with Age, Growth and Maturity:

1. Maturity:

All worms were mature by 14 days after infecting frogs. Maturity is here defined as being reached when worms contain eggs in their uterus. My experiments indicate that worms may become mature when less than 2.0 mm long (Appendix 5). Ingles (1936) did not mention the size at which <u>H. buttensis</u> becomes mature. His type description is based on ten worms that varied in length from 3.2 mm to 10 mm. Therefore, they were probably all adults.

2. Body Size:

Type Description: "Length averages 7.4 mm and varies from 3.2 mm to 10 mm. Width averages 1.3 mm and varies between 0.7 mm and 2.2 mm" (Ingles, 1936, pp. 78-80).

Experimental Results: Flukes recovered from <u>R. pretiosa</u> 60 days after initial exposure were on average 3.4 times longer (6.01) than fourteen-day-old mature worms (1.75). Average body width increased from 0.51 in fourteen-day-old worms to 1.26 in 60-day-old worms. The fastest relative growth occurred between the metacercarial stage and five-day-old worms, and between 14 and 21 days (Fig. 7). Adult flukes thus ranged from 1.61 to 6.67 mm long.

3. Vitellaria:

Type Description: "Vitellaria lateral and dorsal to caeca; extend across dorsal region anterior to ovary; seventeen groups were counted in one young fluke." (Ingles, 1936, p.80).

Experimental Results: Vitellaria are not evident until 14 days post infection. They extend across the dorsal region anterior to the ovary and down the lateral margins of the worms. The right vitellaria length is consistently greater than the left. However, the percent difference between the two changes with age, which indicates a difference in growth rate between the left and right vitellaria. At 14, 21, 28 and 60 days the percent difference is 21.4, 7.0, 34.0 and 39.6, respectively. Therefore, the left vitellaria grew much faster relative to the right side between 14 and 21 days, which corresponds to the fastest growth period of the fluke. The right side develops faster than the left side after 21 days.

4. Ovary and Testes:

Type Description: "Ovary kidney-shaped, never lobed, averages 0.44 in length by 0.32 in width; its range varies from 0.26 to 0.55 and from 0.20 to 0.37 respectively; usually on right side..... Testes nearly same size and shape; length averages 0.82; width averages 0.64; range from 0.45 to 1.03 and 0.48 to 0.87 respectively." (Ingles, 1936, p.80). Fig. 7 Relationship between body length, body width, and relative growth, and age of worms developed in <u>Rana pretiosa</u>. The mean and range is given for the length and width of worms of different age groups.



₹78

Experimental Results: the ratio of the length of the testes (sum of both testes lengths) to that of the ovary remained fairly constant for 14, 21 and 28-day-old flukes, but increased for 60-day-old worms, the ratios being 2.7:1, 2.6:1, 2.5:1 and 3.3:1, respectively. This suggests an increase in egg production at this time.

The ovary changed from a smooth round organ at fourteen days to an elliptical, highly lobed form by 60 days (Figs. 8 to 13). The ovary increased in length from 0.08 to 0.71 and in width from 0.08 to 0.36 during the study period.

Testes changed from elliptical, smooth organs to elongate forms which either remained smooth or developed some degree of lobing (Figs. 14 to 18). The anterior testis increased in length from an average of 0.34 in 14- day-old worms to 1.12 in 60-day-old worms, and 0.22-0.48 in width. The posterior testis increased from 0.31-1.22 and 0.22-0.52 for length and width respectively.

5. Longitudinal Folds of the Uterus:

Type Description: "Uterus runs posteriorly between testes; extracaecally the folds may extend to anterior level of anterior testis on the same side as the ovary; on the opposite side they extend only half as far; it returns to anterior part of the body between the testes and has many folds anterior to the acetabulum." (Ingles, 1936, p.80).

Experimental Results: the right extracaecal uterine loop extends forward a distance of 1/4 to 3/4 the length along the posterior testis. The left loop extends forward 1/2 the distance to the posterior testis, from the end of the worm, to 3/4 the distance along the posterior testis. The relative lengths of both uterine folds (body length/uterine loop length) decreased with age. The right fold decreased in relative length from 16.1:1 at 14 days to 4.0:1 at 60 days. The left fold decreased in relative length from 19.6:1 at 14 days to 5.6:1 at 60 days.

Fig. 8.	Metacercaria from	Ischnura	perparva.	
Fig. 9	Five-day-old	fluke	developed	in
	Rana pretiosa.			
Fig. 10	Fourteen-day-old	fluke	developed	in
· · · ·	<u>Rana pretiosa</u> .			
Fig. 11	Twenty-one-day-old	WOIM	developed	in
	<u>Rana pretiosa</u> .			
Fig. 12	Twenty-eight-day-o	ld worm	developed	in
	<u>Ranaspretiosa</u> . >			
Pig. 13	Sixty-day-old	fluke	developed	in
- Alter and	<u>Rana pretiosa</u> .			













Figures 14 to 18.

.

Variation in size, shape and position of ovary and testes of 60-day-old worms developed in <u>Rana</u> pretiosa.

. . .

. . . .

.



6. Spination:

Type Description: "Cuticle armed with spines posteriorly to the acetabulum.: (Ingles, 1936, p. 78).

Experimental Results: Flukes of all ages had spines. Spines were uniformly distributed over the entire body surface. Spines appeared to be less numerous and were smaller on the posterior portion of the body.

7. Sucker ratio:

Type Description: "Oral sucker subterminal averages 0.33 mm in length by 0.46 mm in width; it ranges from 0.18 to 0.14 and from 0.29 to 0.47 respectively <u>[sic]</u>. Acetabulum located in first half of body and smaller than oral sucker; it averages 0.26 in length by 0.31 in width; it ranges from 0.15 to 0.36 in length and 0.24 to 0.37 in width. Ratio of length of oral sucker to acetabulum is 1:0.7, and range of variation of this ratio is 1:0.8 to 1:0.6 " (Ingles, 1936, pp.78-80).

Experimental Results: The oral sucker in 60-day-old worms was terminal and averaged 0.25 long by 0.29 wide. The acetabulum was median and always closer to the ovary than to the anterior end. Acetabulum diameter increased from an average of 0.07 in fourteen-day-old flukes to 0.11 in 60-day-old worms. Oral sucker diameter increased from 0.18 to 0.29 during the same time. The ratio for post metacercaria worms remained constant for sucker all age groups. Five-day-old worms had only a slightly smaller mature worms (2.5:1 to 2.6:1) (Fig. 19). ratio (2.35:1)than Metacercariae have an O/A ratio significantly smaller than that worms recovered from frogs (Fig. 19). The smaller O/A ratio in

8. Eggs:

Type Description: "Eggs operculate, brown with completely formed miracidia when laid; average 0.027 by 0.014; range 0.025 to 0.030 and 0.011 to 0.017 for length and width respectively" (Ingles, 1936, p. 80).

Experimental Results: Eggs are present first in area "C" of the uterus (Appendix 6, Fig.8#) 14 days after initial exposure and average 0.017 by 0.015. Eggs increase in size as they pass along the uterus until, at 21 days, they average 0.024 by 0.016 and are found in area "A" (Appendix 6, Fig.8#). Thereafter egg size remains constant regardless of fluke age.

Fig.19 Change in O/A ratio with age of worm. The mean and range is given for each age group.



Worm Age (Days)

Fig.20 Relationship between age of worms developed in <u>Rana pretiosa</u> and diameter of oral sucker and acetabulum. The position of the measurements for metacercariae has been staggerd for clarity. The mean and range is given for each age group.



Age of Worm (Days)

3. VARIATION IN FLUKES DEVELOPED IN <u>RANA</u> <u>PRETIOSA</u> MAINTAINED AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES

INTRODUCTION

The temperature range at which larval and adult helminths live and develop varies considerably from species to species. A direct relationship exists between temperature and rate of growth and development to a certain point, after which various unfavourable effects may occur (Watertor, 1965).

This study examines the effect of temperature on the morphology of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> when reared in <u>R</u>. <u>pretiosa</u>, kept at 12°C, 20°C or 27°C.

Materials and Methods

Three groups of 15 frogs each were fed 10 metacercariae each. Each group was maintained in aguaria (50 cm by 25 cm by 30 cm) at either 12°C, 20°Cor 27°C. Wet paper towels were kept on the bottom of the tanks to provide moisture for the frogs. The tops of the tanks were covered with glass sheets to prevent excessive evaporation. Samples of three frogs were examined from each group at 5, 14, 21, 28 and 60 days post-infection. <u>Physa nuttalli</u> and <u>Ischnura perparva</u> served as the intermediate hosts.

Results

Growth and development of 204 experimentally-reared worms resulting from the feeding experiments with adult <u>R. pretiosa</u> were studied and measured. Measurements of taxonomically

significant characters are given in Appendices 7 to 9 inclusive.

Worms maintained at a higher temperature were longer and wider than worms of a comparable age but developed at a lower temperature. Worms reared at 27°C attained the largest sizes (length and width) of all age groups studied (Fig. 21). Worm length and width increased with age of worms maintained at 20°C and 27°C. Worms at 12°C did not show this age-related increase (Fig. 21).

Flukes reared at 27°C had the largest (length and width) oral suckers for each age group studied (Fig. 21). The only exception was in 14-day-old worms. Worms in this age group, developed at 20°C and 27°C, had similar oral sucker widths (Appendix 8 and 9). The length and width of the oral sucker in worms reared at 20°C and 27°C increased with age. Worms at 12°C did not show an age-related increase in these measurements (Fig. 21).

The diameter of the acetabulum did not increase in worms developed at 12°C. However, this measurement showed an increase with age in flukes reared at 20°C and 27°C (Fig. 21). Five- and 14-day-old worms, reared at 12°C had wider acetabula than did similarly aged worms developed at 20°C or 27°C. By 21 days, the acetabula of all flukes were the same size, but by 28 and 60 days worms developed at 20°C and 27°C had wider acetabula than did worms developed at the lowest temperature.

Older worms had larger testes at all temperatures studied. There was a marked increase in length of testes as well as rate of growth in worms Keessed on at 20°C compared with those at

- 44
12°C. Norms at 27°C had shorter testes than those at 20°C (Fig. 22).

The ovary did not develop in worms maintained at 12°C. The ovary length in flukes developed at 20°C was greater than that develope 27°C (Fig. 22). All flukes reared at 20°C and 27°C were gravid by 14 days. Worms maintained at 12°C did not mature.

The increase in length of the posterior portion of the worm was greatest in worms developed at higher temperatures. This increase is expressed by comparing the distance of the posterior margin of the anterior as well as the posterior testis from the posterior end of the worm (Fig. 23). The greatest rate of increase occurred at 27°C between 14 and 21-day-old worms. This corresponds to the highest rate of increase in size of the ovary and testes, and the increase in egg production in worms at this time

The O/A ratio of flukes did not differ with age of worm or when the host was maintained at 20°C or 27°C. Worms of all ages reared at 12°C had a significantly lower O/A ratio, essentially that of the metacercariae.

Fig. 21 The influence of temperature and age of worm on some characters of <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u>.



Age of Worm (Days)and Character Measured

Fig. 22 The effect of temperature on the length of the ovary, anterior testis, and posterior testis of worms reared in <u>R. pretiosa</u>.

.



Age (Days)

Fig. 23 Relative growth of the hind body. Distance between the posterior margin of the anterior and posterior testis from the end of the worm.

,



Age (Days)

4. EFFECT OF HOST SIZE ON DEVELOPMENT OF H. BUTTENSIS

Introduction

Relationships between size and form of parasites and size (age) of definitive hosts have been observed by many parasitologists, but few have examined these relationships in detail. Dogiel (1966) and others have summarized the literature on this relationship.

Materials and Methods

The experiments utilized 15 specimens of <u>R. pretiosa</u> in each of 4 size groups: 30-35 mm; 45-50 mm; 55-60 mm; and 65-70mm. Ten metacercariae from <u>I. perparva</u> were fed to each frog in all groups. Frogs were maintained at 20° C for 60 days. Samples of three frogs were taken from each group at 5, 14, 21, 28 and 60 days post-infection and examined for lung flukes.

Results

Measurements of all specimens recovered from these experiments indicate that length (age) of <u>R. pretiosa</u> does not affect the size or shape of adult <u>H. buttensis</u> (Appendices 10 to 13). Worm length or width did not differ in samples from frogs of different size groups (Fig. 24). The increase in size of all characters measured did not differ in flukes developed in frogs of different sizes. Fig. 24 The relationship between the length (A) and width (B) of <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in different size groups of <u>R. pretiosa</u>.
S-V is the snout-vent length of the frog.

.

.



5. EFFECT OF HOST SEX ON MORPHOLOGY OF H. BUTTENSIS

Introduction

Another area of interest to parasitologists is the influence of the sex of the host on trematodes. Hollis (1972) found a significant difference in seasonal <u>previolence</u> of <u>Haematoloechus medioplexus</u> between male and female <u>Rana pretiosa</u>. He concluded that female gonadotropins were involved in the seasonal prevalence. However, no mention was made of differences in morphology of flukes recovered from male or female frogs. Such differences as do exist between male and female frogs may alter the morphology of developing flukes.

The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of host sex on the morphology of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u>.

Materials and Methods

Fifteen male and fifteen female <u>R. pretiosa</u> were each infected with 10 metacercariae which had developed in <u>I. perparva</u>. Frogs were maintained at 20°C, and samples of three frogs were taken from each group at intervals of 5, 14, 21, 28 and 60 days.

Results

Adult <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in either male or female frogs show no significant differences in morphology. Figure 25 illustrates this for body length, body width, and O/A ratio. Values for other characters measured can be found in Appendices 14 and 15. Fig. 25 The influence of host sex on body length, body width, and O/A ratio of flukes developed in either male or in female <u>Rana pretiosa</u>.

. . .

.. . -

. . .

...



Age (Days)

6. EFFECT OF WORM BURDEN¹ ON DEVELOPMENT OF <u>H. BUTTENSIS</u>

Introduction

Worm burden resulting in crowding can be an important factor in regulating the size of flukes (Blankespoor, 1970). This experiment was conducted to determine the effect of varying numbers of worms on size of adult <u>H. buttensis</u>.

Materials and Methods

Five groups of three male <u>R</u>. <u>pretiosa</u>, of approximately the same size (45-50), were fed either 10, 20, 40, 80 or 160 metacercariae. Infected frogs were maintained for 60 days at 20°C on a diet of beef heart and earthworms.

Results

The effect of degree of "crowding" on 930 experimental worms reared in R. pretiosa is summarized in Table 4 from data in Appendix 16. The number of worms recovered from frogs increased with increased number of metacercariae fed. However, the percentage of gravid worms decreased significantly. An analysis of the data, using linear regression, gave a coefficient, b=-0.19 which had a significance of 0.05 when а t-test was used. The percent survival of metacercariae decreased initially and then increased slightly (Table 4). The numbers of worms recovered from the left lung were the same as that for the right lung. The percent gravid flukes for left and right lung

¹Worm burden is the number of worms recovered from the host

did not differ significantly (X², P>0.05%). The average body size of flukes decreased with increased numbers of metacercariae fed (Fig. 26). Worms recovered from frogs fed 160 metacercariae were on an average 1/3 the size as those recovered from frogs fed only 10 metacercariae.

.,

.

. . .

. .

# metacercariae	# worms	%	# worms		# gravid worms		% gravid worms		*average body
		Burvivar	Left	Right	Left	Right	Lui Left	ng Right	size (L _X W)/2
30	23	76.7	14	9	14	9	100	100	3.77(2.38-5.29)
60	46	76.7	25	21	21	21	84.0	100	3.36(2.13-4.73)
120	84	70.0	41	43	28	27	68.3	63.0	2.91(1.63-3.75)
240	122	50.8	62	60	32	34	51.6	56.7	1.95(1.31-2.63)
480	306	63.8 _.	<u>148</u>	158	59	52	39.9	32.9	1.26(0.89-1.80)
		Total	2 90	291	154	143			

Table 4. Effects of crowding on 60-day-old H. buttensis in Rana pretiosa.

*measurements in square millimeters

Fig. 26

.

Decrease in body size of <u>H. buttensis</u> after 60 days of development in <u>R. pretiosa</u> which were fed either 30, 60, 120, 240 or 480 metacercariae.

The mean and ranges are graphed.

.

. . . .



7. VARIATION IN WORMS DEVELOPED IN DIFFERENT DEFINITIVE HOSTS

Introduction

Increasing attention has been focussed in recent years on the variations in a parasite's structure, physiology, and behaviour resulting from its development in different host species (Kinsella, 1971). The following experiments were conducted to determine if morphological changes in adult <u>H. buttensis</u> occur when they are reared in different amphibian hosts. Ninety amphibians representing six species of definitive hosts were used.

Materials and Methods

Metacercariae, obtained by the method described for "Development in Natural Hosts" (p.31), were fed to the following definitive hosts: <u>Bufo boreas</u> Baird and Girard, 1852; <u>Hyla regilla</u> Baird and Girard, 1852; <u>Rana aurora</u> Baird and Girard, 1852; <u>Rana Clamitans</u> Latreille, 1802; <u>Rana pipiens</u> Schreber, 1782; and <u>Rana pretiosa</u>.

All experimental hosts were caught in the wild from non-infected populations; collecting localities for hosts are given in Table 5. Wild-trapped hosts were held in the laboratory for 21 days, and the feces were checked periodically to verify that natural infections of lung flukes were not present.

Fifteen frogs of each species were infected in the manner described on page 31° . All frogs were maintained at 20°C on a diet of beef heart supplemented with earthworms during the developmental period of the parasites. Samples of three frogs of each species were examined at 5, 14, 21, 28 and 60 days. Specimens of <u>H. buttensis</u> from the various hosts were then compared with specimens of similar age from <u>R. pretiosa</u> as well as with younger and older worms.

· --

· ..

Host	Collecting locality				
<u>Bufo</u> boreas	Haney, B.C.				
<u>Hyla regilla</u>	U.B.C. Endowment Lands				
Rana aurora	Chemainus Lake, near Duncan, B.C.				
Rana clamitans	Blaine, Washington				
Rana pipigns	Foxborough, Ontario				
<u>Rana pre:iosa</u>	15 miles east of Lumby, B.C.				

Table 5. Collecting sites for uninfected definitive hosts.

Results

Comparative measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u> from experimental hosts are presented in Appendices 17 to 20. Most rapid development took place in the natural host <u>R. pretiosa</u> and in <u>B. boreas</u>, which is infrequently found naturally infected. Development in <u>R. aurora</u> was the same as that found for <u>R. clamitans</u>; in both, the flukes took about one week longer to produce eggs than did flukes developing in <u>B. boreas</u> or <u>R. pretiosa</u>. No worms were found in <u>R. pipiens</u> or <u>H. regilla</u> at any time during the sampling period (Table 6); they were judged to be unsuitable hosts.

Figures 29 to 34 and Figures 36 to 38 illustrate some variations occurring in 60-day old worms from <u>R. pretiosa</u>. <u>R. aurora, R. clamitans</u> and <u>B. boreas</u>.

Body size:

Adult <u>H. buttensis</u> recovered from <u>R. pretiosa</u> were usually larger than those obtained from <u>B. boreas</u>, <u>R. aurora</u> or <u>R. clamitans</u> for all ages sampled. Therefore, the former host, of those tested, appears to be the optimal one at sixty days. <u>H. buttensis</u> from <u>R. pretiosa</u> had an average length 6.41 (Fig. 27). Sixty-day old flukes developed in <u>R. aurora</u> or in <u>R. clamitans</u> were 4.95 and 4.88 long, respectively and in <u>B. boreas</u> 5.35 (Fig. 27).

Table 6.	Variations	in rate of	development of \underline{H} .	<u>buttensis</u> maturing
	in various	amphibiar	hosts.	•.

Host	First appearance of gravid worms [*] (days)
<u>Bufo</u> boreas	21
<u>Rana aurora</u>	28
<u>Rana</u> clamitans	28
Rana pipiens	No worms present
<u>Rana pretiosa</u>	14
<u>Hyla regilla</u>	No worms present

Determined by dissecting frogs, removing <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> and **preparing slides of the worms**.

The relationship between body length, body width, and O/A ratio of <u>H. buttensis</u> developed in different frog hosts.

R. a. <u>Rana aurora</u>

Fig. 27

2 T 2

a second second

.

R. c. <u>Rana clamitans</u>

R. p. <u>Rana pretiosa</u>

. <u>.</u> . . .

B. b. Bufo boreas



Flukes recovered from <u>R. clamitans</u> 28 and 60 days post-infection were the smallest of comparably aged worms recovered during this study (Fig. 27). Worms recovered from <u>B. boreas</u> were intermediate in size.

Average body widths were greater for flukes from <u>R. pretiosa</u> at all ages examined. Average body widths for 60-day-old flukes from <u>R. pretiosa</u> were 1.40; from <u>B. boreas</u>, 1.37 and from <u>R. aurora</u> and <u>R. clamitans</u> 1.20 and 1.17 respectively (Fig. 27). Worms, regardless of host, showed an increase in length and width with increased age of fluke. Sucker size:

The oral sucker was consistently larger than the acetabulum in all flukes observed. Oral sucker width varied in flukes harboured by different host species. The maximum width (0.372) of the oral sucker was attained by a 60-day-old fluke developed in <u>B. boreas</u>. The maximum diameter of the acetabulum was 0.123 which occurred in a 60-day-old worm developed in <u>R. clamitans.</u> The smallest diameter in a 60-day-old worm occurred in B. boreas (0.082). The O/A ratio of mature worms developed in B. boreas was greater (2.9-3.1) than for flukes developed in the cther host species (2.4-3.1). The larger O/A ratio in flukes from B. boreas is a result of smaller acetabulum diameter in those worms.

Fig. 28 The effect of different amphibian hosts on oral sucker length, oral sucker width, and acetabulum diameter of <u>H. buttensis</u>.

. .

.

• • • • • •

.

.. 4



Ovary size and shape:

Variations in gonad size in adult <u>H. buttensis</u> recovered from different host species are even more pronounced than variations in this organ in flukes obtained from a single host species.

Flukes possessing unusually large ovaries were obtained from experimental infections in <u>R. clamitans</u> (Figs. 31, 33 and 35.). The ovary of one adult sixty-day-old <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> from <u>R. clamitans</u> measured 0.85 long and 0.73 wide. The ovary did not become apparent until 21 days after infecting frogs. This was also true for flukes developed in <u>R. aurora</u>. Infections in <u>R. aurora</u> yielded <u>H. buttensis</u> adults with small ovaries. The smallest ovary in a 60-day-old fluke from <u>R. aurora</u> was 0.392 long by 0.113 wide.

The largest testes were found in one adult 60-day-old worm from <u>R. clamitans</u>, the anterior testis of which measured 1.32 long by 0.58 wide and the posterior testis measured 1.46 long by 0.65 wide. The smallest testis was found in a sixty-day-old worm from <u>R. aurora</u>; the anterior testis measured 0.62 long by 0.31 wide, and the posterior testis 1.25 long by 0.28 wide (Fig. 35).

Gonad size and uterine loop variation in flukes developed in different definitive hosts.

. .

Fig. 29 Fluke developed in Rana pretiosa.

Fig. 30 Fluke developed in Rana aurora.

Fig. 31 Fluke developed in <u>Bana clamitans</u>.

Fig. 32 Fluke developed in <u>Bufo</u> boreas.

Fig. 33 Fluke developed in Rana clamitans.

Fig. 34 Fluke developed in Rana aurora.

66A

Extracaecal Uterine Loops 29





20 mm

32

33



Fig. 35The effect of different amphibian hosts on
testes and ovary length of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u>.

. . . .



Vitellaria:

Vitellaria became evident 14 days post-infection in B. boreas and R. pretiosa but only after 21 days in R. clamitans and R. <u>aurora</u>. The length of the vitellaria on the right side of the worm was consistently shorter than that on the left (Figs. , 36 to 38). The anterior limit of vitelline follicles occurred between the acetabulum and pharynx in 60-day-old worms recovered from all hosts. Vitelline follicles in flukes from R. aurora and R. clamitans reached a level just anterior to the acetabulum (Figs. 37 and 38). However, in worms from R. pretiosa and B. boreas, vitelline follicles extended further anterior to the region between the acetabulum and pharynx (Fig. 36). Specimens from R. pretiosa and B. boreas had a solid band of vitellaria across the body between the pharynx and acetabulum. In specimens from <u>R</u>. <u>aurora</u> and <u>R</u>. <u>clamitans</u> the vitelline band often lav beneath the acetabulum. Incomplete bands were sometimes observed, and in three cases a transverse band was absent ffig. 38). Much greater variability characterizes the posterior limits of the vitelline follicles in specimens recovered from all amphibian hosts. A band of vitelline follicles usually extended medially half-way across the worm from the posterior part of the left vitelline band passing posteriad of the posterior testis.

The experimental studies demonstrate that the presence or absence of a vitelline band, or the extent of the anterior or posterior vitellaria, are unreliable criteria for distinguishing species within this genus.

Distribution of vitellaria Fig. 36 in WOTES developed in <u>Rana</u> pretiosa. Fig. 37 Distribution of vitellaría in . WOTMS developed in <u>Rana aurora</u>. Fig. 38 Distribution vitellaria of in worms developed in <u>Rana</u> <u>clamitans</u> showing absence

of vitelline band.

All flukes drawn in ventral view.





1

£

69A
Extracaecal folds of the uterus:

Extracaecal longitudinal folds of the uterus were first evident at 14 days post-infection in <u>R. pretiosa</u> and <u>B. boreas</u> but did not become evident until the twenty-eighth day in <u>R. clamitans or <u>R. aurora</u>. The right longitudinal fold was longer than the left in specimens developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u>. The reverse was true for specimens developed in <u>B. boreas</u> (compare Figs. 29 with 32). The right and left loops were of about the same length in specimens from <u>R. aurora</u> and <u>R. clamitans</u>.</u>

The right uterine folds of 60-day old worms developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u> reached a distance half-way along the posterior testes. The left loop just reached the posterior part of the posterior testis (Fig. 29). Extracaecal loops reached about half-way to the posterior testis in worms developed in <u>B. boreas</u>. The left loop extended somewhat beyond the right (Fig. 32). These uterine loops extended only 1/3 the way to the posterior testis in 60-day-old worms developed in <u>R. aurora</u> and <u>R. clamitans</u> (Figs. 30,31,33 and 34).

Development in different definitive hosts altered the anterior extent of the uterine loops. The uterine loops extended the farthest in the natural host <u>R</u>. <u>pretiosa</u>, and the least in <u>R</u>. <u>aurora</u> and <u>R</u>. <u>clamitans</u>.

Spination:

Spines were uniformly distributed over the entire body surface of flukes of all ages from all hosts.

Eggs were not present in the uterus until 14 days post-infection in <u>R. pretiosa</u>; 21 days in <u>B. boreas</u>; and 28 days in <u>R. aurora</u> and <u>R. clamitans</u>. Eggs were of approximately the same length and width in 60-day old worms developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u>, <u>R. clamitans</u> and <u>B. boreas</u>. Eggs were smallest in worms from <u>R. aurora</u> (Appendices 17-20).

. **.** . .

8. VARIATION DUE TO DEVELOPMENT IN DIFFERENT INSECT INTERMEDIATE

HOSTS.

Introduction

Having demonstrated that some taxonomic characters are altered when flukes develop in different definitive hosts, we must now establish whether changes occur when worms develop in different intermediate hosts.

Materials and Methods

Infected snails, <u>P. nuttalli</u>, maintained at 20°C on a diet of boiled lettuce, shed xiphidiocercariae (of the "ornata group") of <u>H. buttensis</u> approximately 28 days after ingestion of the eggs.

Insect nymphs were collected from a small pond in Haney, B.C. This pond does not contain any frogs; naturally-occurring infections of <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp. are therefore absent.

Ten cercariae recovered from experimentally infected snails were placed in each of sixty 60-mm plastic petri dishes. Twenty dishes contained one naiad each of the damselfly, Ischnura perparya, twenty contained I. cervula and twenty larva each of the dragonfly Aeschna palmata. All contained one larvae were approximately 16 mm long. Cercariae and insects were left together for five hours, then naiads were removed and washed, and all naiads of a species were placed in a 100-mm plastic petri dish. Five days later, metacercariae from the two species of damselflies and the dragonfly were judged to be infective to frogs. Groups of fifteen <u>R. preticsa</u>, 50-55 mm

long, were infected with metacercariae from one of the insect hosts. Frogs were maintained at 20°C. Samples of three frogs were examined from each group 5, 14, 21, 28 and 60 days post-infection.

Results

Flukes recovered from frogs fed infected damselflies differed from those from frogs fed infected dragonflies in having a smaller body size (length and width), smaller gonad size, and shorter extent of the extracaecal uterine loops (Table 7). The mean and range for body length and width, and gonad lengths are shown in Figure 39. The average length of worms with I. cervula or I. perparva intermediate hosts is 6.63 and 6.39, respectively. Worms averaged 7.33 long when Aeschna palmata was the insect host. Body width measured 1.52, 1.46 and 1.69, respectively. Larger average gonad size was attained in worms that had A. palmata as their insect host and in these worms both extracaecal loops extended the full length of the posterior testis. The left extracaecal loop extended 1/4 to 1/3 the length of the posterior testis and the right loop extended 1/2 to 3/4 the length of the posterior testis when the insect host is either <u>I. cervula</u> or <u>I. perparva</u>. The ratio of the oral sucker diameter to acetabulum diameter was the same (2.4) to (2.7)regardless of insect host.

			•
Character	I. <u>cervula</u>	Intermediate host . <u>I. perparva</u>	<u>A. palmata</u>
Body length	6.63(6.27-7.24)	6.39(5.88.6.93)	7.33(7.08-8.35)
Body width	1.52(1.33-1.60)	1.46(1.37-1.68)	1.69(1.61-2.01)
O/A ratio	2.5 (2.4 -2.6)	2,5(2.4-2.7)	2.6 (2.4 - 2.7)
Ovary length	0.79(0.74-0.82)	0.73(0.69-0.79)	0.86(0.81-0.94)
Ovary width	0.41(0.37-0.45)	0.38(0.34-0.42)	0.45(0.42-0.53)
Ant. testis length	1.25(1.18-1.32)	1.16(1.14 - 1.30)	1.36(1.28-1.47)
Ant. testis width	0.52(0.49-0.61)	0.46(0.40-0.57)	0.54(0.47-0.69)
Post. testis length	1.33(1.29-1.42)	1.24(1.19-1.36)	1.45(1.33-1.56)
Post. testis width	0.57(0.50-0.65)	0.52(0.49-0.67)	0.61(0.53-0.76)
Extracaecal loops ¹ L.	1/4 to 1/3 PT.	1/4 to 1/3 P.T.	full length
Extracaecal loops R.	1/2 to 3/4 P.T.	1/2 to 3/4 P.T.	full length

Table 7. Morphological variation in 60-day old <u>H. buttensis</u> reared in <u>Ischnura cervula</u>,

Ischnura perparva, or <u>Aeschna palmata</u> as the second intermediate host.

¹Extent of extracaecal uterine loop along the posterior testis.

Fig. 39 Variations in some body measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u> reared in different insect intermediate hosts.

~ · · · ·

-

· ·

. .

.

.

...

. . .

. ...



Character Measured

75A

9. MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATION IN <u>H. BUTTENSIS</u> WHEN CERCARIAE ARE DEVELOPED IN VARIOUS SNAIL HOSTS.

Introduction

Underwood and Dronen (1977) noted that measurements of cercariae shed from ancylid snails (Ferrissiasp.) experimentally infected with H. breviplexus, differed from those of the same lung fluke given by Schell (1965), who used Gyraulussp. as molluscan host. Underwood and Dronen did not compare the adult stages. However, they noted that the differences may indicate that these flukes, identified as <u>H. breviplexus</u> in Idaho and Texas, are not the same species. They stated that cross-infection studies, utilizing hosts and parasites from Idaho and Texas, are necessary to determine that the differences are not a result of host-induced variation.

Materials and Methods

To determine the possible effects of various first intermediate hosts on the morphology of adult <u>H. buttensis</u>, experimental infections of <u>Physa nuttalli</u>, <u>Stagnicola elodes</u> and <u>Helisoma trivolvis</u> were attempted.

Eggs of <u>H. buttensis</u> used for experimental feedings were originally obtained from worms in naturally infected <u>R. pretiosa</u> collected in Manning Park. Flukes removed from the lungs were placed in dechlorinated tapwater. After one hour, mature eggs released by the worms were fed to 30 each of the three species of snails.

Cercariae which developed in and were shed from snails were

placed in 100-mm plastic petri dishes. Each dish contained ten cercariae and one <u>I. perparva</u>. Twenty naiads in all were infected in this way. Five days later the metacercariae were fed to <u>R. pretiosa</u> 50-55 mm in snout-vent length. Fifteen frogs were exposed and maintained at 20°C. Samples of three frogs were examined at 5, 14, 21, 28 and 60 days.

Results

.

. . .

Only <u>Physa nuttalli</u> became infected. Infected snails shed cercariae approximately 28 days after ingestion of the eggs. Flukes recovered from <u>R. pretiosa</u> which were previously developed in <u>I. perparva</u> and <u>P. nuttalli</u> showed no morphological variations different from those in experiments on "Development in <u>Rana pretiosa</u>" (Appendix 21). 10. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS FROM EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Body Size:

The length and width of the body of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> increased by as much as 78% and 140% respectively when weight was added to the coverslip during the drying process of slide preparation.

In this study, reduced growth rate in <u>H. buttensis</u> occurred in flukes maintained at 12°C. Worms maintained in hosts at higher temperatures (20°C and 27°C) were larger than flukes of a comparable age maintained in hosts kept at lower temperatures.

Studies on the effects of temperature on trematode development are limited. Willey (1941) observed that the trematode <u>Zygocotyle lunata</u> (Diesing, 1836) matured more rapidly in ducks than in rats. He attributed this to the higher body temperature of birds.

Wohlgemuth (1920) and later Izyumova (1956) reported that variations in water temperature affect development of the monogenetic trematode, <u>Dactylogyrus vastator</u> Nybelin, 1924, a parasite on the gills of fish.

Watertor (1965) found that the growth and development of adult <u>Telorchis bonnerensis</u> Waitz, 1960 were greatly altered when experimentally infected definitive hosts (adult <u>Ambystoma tigrinum</u> Green, 1825) were maintained at various constant temperatures of 10°, 22°, 30° and 34°C. Studies on other parasitic organisms have demonstrated similar responses to temperature.

Smaller <u>H. buttensis</u> were recovered from <u>R. pretiosa</u> experimentally infected with larger numbers of metacercariae. The body size of flukes decreased as much as two-thirds in heavily infected frogs (Table 4). The number of worms present in a host has been shown to be an important size-controlling factor in trematodes (Lyubinski and Kulakovskaya, 1940; Willey, 1941; Dawes, 1962; Watertor, 1965, and others). This has also been demonstrated for nematodes (Chitwood, 1957; Haley and Parker, 1961) and tapeworms (Pavlovski and Gnezdilov, 1949, 1953; Brooks and Mayes, 1976; and others). Rankin (1937) observed that specimens were unusually small when large numbers of worms were present in natural infections of the salamander trematodes, <u>Brachycoelium, Plagitura</u>, and <u>Megalodiscus</u>,

Body lengths were on an average 18%-30% greater in 60-dayold <u>H. buttensis</u> reared in <u>R. pretiosa</u> than in those reared in amphibian hosts which are seldom naturally infected. Similarly, 60-day-old flukes were as much as 15% longer when the dragonfly naiad was used as second intermediate host than when a damselfly naiad was used.

Experimental studies by by Beaver (1937) on Echinostomum revolutum Froelich, 1802 developing in avian and mammalian hosts, the work of Rankin (1937) on Brachycoelium sp. collected from various species of naturally infected salamanders, and studies by Wharton (1940) and Watertor (1967, 1968) on several species of <u>Telorchis</u>spfrom chelonian and amphibian hosts, present further evidence that morphological changes may occur when adult trematodes mature in different species of definitive hosts. Boddeke (1960b) studied experimental infections of Prosthogonimus ovatus and observed great morphological variations among these flukes from different

avian hosts. Blankespoor's 1974 studies on <u>Plagiorchis noblei</u> in avian hosts demonstrate th flukes recovered from passerine birds were usually larger (in length and width) than those obtained from gallinaceous birds.

Development of <u>H. buttensis</u> in four size classes of <u>R. pretiosa</u> did not greatly affect the morphology of this fluke. As well, fluke morphology was not affected by the sex of the host.

Herrick (1925) and Ackert (1935) observed marked differences in length of Ascaridia galli (Shrank, 1788) from chickens of varying ages. Worms from older birds were significantly smaller than those from younger birds. Bouchard (1951) found the parasites in larger hosts (frogs of various species) to be larger regardless of the parasite species. According to Read and Rothman (1958), the size of Hymenolepis diminuta (Rudolphi, 1819) was a function of the age of the rat host. Bourns (1966), working with natural infections of <u>Plagiorchis</u> noblei in nestling red-winged blackbirds, found a higher percentage of gravid adults in older hosts. A longer time was required to become gravid in domestic chickens.

Blankespoor (1974) infected 1-, 7- and 20-day old laboratory-reared house sparrows and noted that age of sparrows did not greatly affect the body size of adult <u>P. noblei</u>.

Gonad Size and Shape:

The length and width of ovary increased as much as 27% and 64% respectively when weight was added to the cover slip during slide preparation. Similarly, anterior and posterior testes

increased as much as 32% and 50% in length and width, respectively.

A major problem in identifying species of the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> has been the lack of experimental data on character changes with increasing size of worms. My experimental studies on <u>H. buttensis</u> reared in adult <u>R. pretiosa</u> provided evidence of pronounced age-related variation in gonad size and shape. Both the ovary and testes continued to increase in length and width during the study period. Ovaries changed from smooth to highly lobed, and testes either remained smooth or became highly lobed.

Several authors have used the degree of lobing in ovaries and in testes as a species-specific character. Ingles (1936) used it to help him distinguish <u>H. buttensis</u> (ovary never lobed) from <u>H. parviplexus</u> (ovary deeply lobed). Ingles (1932) also used it to separate <u>H. confusus</u> (ovary and testes lobed) from <u>H. coloradensis</u> (ovary and testes unlobed).

Manter (1938) in his key to North American species of frog lung flukes made extensive use of degree of lobation of testes and ovary to separate species.

The ovary and testes were smaller in worms maintained in hosts at 27°C compared with worms developed at 20°C. This response to temperature produced longer and wider worms with smaller gonads at 27°C than at 20°C. This is an important observation because frogs are often collected from different areas with vastly different environmental conditions

The size of the ovary and testes and degree of lobation of these organs were also affected when worms were reared in

different definitive hosts. Flukes possessing unusually large ovaries were obtained from experimental infection in <u>R. clamitans</u>. Infections in <u>R. aurora</u> yielded adult worms with small ovaries. The longest anterior testes were found in worms reared in <u>R. clamitans</u>, the smallest in worms from <u>R. aurora</u>. However, the longest posterior testes were found in worms reared in <u>B. boreas</u> and the smallest in <u>R. pretiosa</u>.

Sucker Size and O/A Ratio:

The length and width of the oral sucker and acetabulum of <u>H. buttensis</u> increased when weight was added to the coverslips during slide preparation. Sucker size also varies with age of worm and host species. An increase in size of the suckers occurred during the 60-day study period. However, the 0/A ratio remained fairly constant regardless of age of worm or method of PREPARATION.

Blankespoor (1970) determined the effects of flattening and fixation on morphology of adult <u>Plagiorchis noblei</u> Park, 1936 developed in a five-day old chick. He found that various degrees of flattening had little effect on the size-ratio of muscular structures such as the oral sucker and acetabulum. Similar results were found by Boddeke (1960a) in his studies with the fluke <u>Prosthogonimus ovatus</u> Rudolphi, 1803. He concluded that the only taxonomic character useful in distinguishing species within that genus is the ratio between the diameter of the oral and ventral suckers.

Blankespoor (1974) reported the unreliability of sucker size in <u>Plagiorchis noblei</u> reared in a variety of avian and mammalian hosts. However, he noted that the O/A ratio was a stable taxonomic character in adults of this species. Berrie (1960). Boddeke (1960a) and Watertor (1967) have also found significant differences in sucker size between adult flukes recovered from different host species.

Spination:

My experiments demonstrated that distilled water caused live <u>H. buttensis</u> to lose spines; the warmer the water the more rapid the loss. This finding is important because some workers (Cort, 1915a) assembled their specimens in water before fixation. The presence or absence of spines has been used to separate the following species:

- H. <u>coloradensis</u> (Cort, 1915a) (spined) from <u>H. complexus</u> (Seely, 1906) (no spines)
- H. <u>confusus</u> Ingles, 1932 (spines) from <u>H. oxyorchis</u> Ingles 1932 (no spines)

H. <u>tumidus</u> Ingles, 1932 (spined) from <u>H. kernensis</u> Ingles, 1932 (no spines)

Spination was not affected by: fluke age; species, sex or size of host; or temperature at which the infected host was maintained.

Egg Size:

Egg size did not differ in flukes older than 21 days. Eggs averaging 0.024 by 0.016 in size were found in the anterior portion of the uterus in 21- to 60-day old worms. Egg size was not affected by any of the other variables investigated. Extracaecal Loops:

The lengths of the extracaecal loops differed in flukes from different frog hosts as well as from different insect hosts (Figs. 29-35, Table 7). The variations found experimentally for <u>H. buttensis</u> overlap those reported as being a distinguishing feature for <u>H. floedae</u> and <u>H. parviplexus</u>. Measurements from three other described species that have extracaecal uterine loops (<u>H. similiplexus</u>, <u>H. uniplexus</u> and <u>H. varioplexus</u>) also fall within the range of those described here. This suggests that a single highly variable species may be present and not six distinct species.

Distribution of the Vitellaria:

This thesis has demonstrated that vitelline distribution was affected by developing in different definitive hosts. Vitelline follicles extended further anterior in worms reared in <u>R. pretiosa or B. boreas</u> than in worms reared in <u>R. aurora</u> or <u>R. clamitans</u>. The band of vitellaria extending across the worms developed in <u>R. pretiosa</u> and <u>B. boreas</u> was incomplete or absent in worms developed in <u>R. aurora</u> and <u>R. clamitans</u>.

Rankin (1937), Wharton (1940), Watertor (1967) and Blankespoor (1974) have also shown the unreliability of vitelline distribution as a taxonomic character.

Summary:

Several trematode species have been shown to undergo morphological changes when subjected to various methods of fixation or flattening. These changes make it very difficult to compare the absolute size and shape of various flukes when different handling procedures are used. Thus, statements such as "It [H. varioplexus Stafford, 1902] rivals No.2 [H. <u>breviplexus</u>] in size" (Stafford, 1902, p.906); "the pharynx was somewhat smaller than is usual" [H. <u>floedae</u> Harwood, 1932] (Manter, 1938, p. 31) and "The specimens of <u>H. longiplexus</u> from <u>R. pipiens</u> are on the average smaller than those described by Stafford from the bullfrog" (Cort, 1915a, p. 213), are difficult to interpret.

Changes in fluke morphology resulting from different methods of fixation and flattening have also been demonstrated by Ulmer (1950), working with the trematode <u>Postharmostomum helicis</u> (Leidy, 1847); Gilford (1955), working with <u>Allassogonoporus vespertilionis Macy</u>, 1940 from bats; and by Angel (1959) working with <u>Plagiorchis maculosus</u> (Rudolphi, 1802).

Kavelaars and Bourns (1968) indicated that many of the differences seen in adult <u>Plagiorchis peterborensis</u> Kavelaars and Bourns, 1968 reared in a laboratory mouse (<u>Mus musculus</u> Linnaeus, 1758), resulted from various killing methods and degrees of flattening.

The validity of a species, in a group, should be carefully considered when characters used for species determination are altered by changing handling techniques. The degree of variation caused by various procedures should be studied for each group, and an effort be made to standardize these procedures.

A major problem in identifying species of the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> has been the lack of experimental data on

. 85

host-induced morphological modifications.

In the present study I have demonstrated that adult <u>H. buttensis</u> can be experimentally established in four species of definitive hosts and three species of insect second intermediate hosts. <u>H. buttensis</u> has previously been described from two other frog hosts (<u>R. boylei</u>, and <u>R. catesbeiana</u> Shaw, 1802) (Appendix 23). The apparent lack of host specificity in laboratory infections, as well as the morphological variations which occurred in flukes developed in different frog and insect hosts, casts doubt on the use of host specificity alone for delineating species within the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u>.

Host specificity of adult trematodes has been used in separating one species from another; yet morphological differences that constitute the criteria for the definition of new species may be the result of the influence of the particular host species (Stunkard, 1957).

Rankin (1938) noted considerable variation in characters in species of <u>Brachycoelium</u> collected from twenty different species of salamanders. This variation rendered identification of the flukes with described species impossible. As a result of his study, Rankin (1938) reduced twelve species to synonymy. Similar conclusions from studies on other flukes have been arrived at by Beaver (1937), Boddeke (1960a), Kinsella (1971) and others.

The characters which appear to be the most stable in <u>H. buttensis</u> and consequently may have the greatest value for separating species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> are: the O/A ratio, egg size of 21-day old and older worms, position of the extracaecal loops relative the the testes and ovary, spination of the

tegument and position of the testes.

· · ·

.

.

PART II. TAXONOMY AND MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATION

Introduction

Our present knowledge of species of <u>Heamatoloechus</u> from and the United States is spotty. Most published reports Canada of new species deal only with one or two localities which are usually close together (Stafford, 1902; Cort, 1915a; Ingles, 1932,1936; and others). Brooks (1976) has noted that a large number of amphibian parasite surveys have been published in North America, but in most cases, unless new taxa were no morphological data have been presented described, or specimens made available by deposition in a museum collection. То date no attempt has been made to collect over a broad geographical area and to make intraspecific and interspecific comparisons of characters regarded as important in separating species of this group.

A study was undertaken to assess the variation in certain characters from flukes collected from several localities in Canada and the United States. Emphasis is placed on those characters previously used to delineate species in this group.

Materials and Methods

Collections of trematodes made from British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Washington and Oregon by the author, were examined for variation.

Shipments of live frogs were sent by air by several Canadian and American collectors to the University of British Columbia. Fresh material was dissected on arrival and flukes

recovered from the lungs were fixed in hot 70% alcohol and stained with Harris's Haematoxylin (see Appendix .3 for procedure). Host species, sex, size and presence of other worm parasites were also recorded. Two thousand cne hundred and sixty-two lung flukes collected in this manner were examined. Slides of specimens borrowed from private and institutional collections were also examined for variation. The total number of specimens examined from these sources was 422.

Results

In the present study, 2851 amphibians, representing 14 species and five families, were collected and examined for natural infections of <u>Haematoloechus</u> by the author (Table 8). Natural infections were found in seven species representing two families. Within the family Ranidae, frog species R. catesbeiana, R. clamitans, <u>R. pipiens</u>, R. pretiosa and <u>**R. sylvatica</u>** LeConte, 1825 contained natural infections</u> of Haematoloechus, as did toads Bufo americanus Helbrook, 1836 and B. boreas of the family Bufonidae. The highest percentage of natural infections was found in members of the Ranidae.

Other known definitive hosts of <u>Haematoloechus</u> in Canada and the United States are given in Appendix 23.

Of the 2851 frogs examined in this investigation, 1090 (38.2%) harbored infections of <u>Haematoloechus</u>. Prevalence² of natural infections among these hosts ranged from 2.9 to 64.0 percent. The highest prevalence of infection was in <u>Rana pipiens</u>

²Prevalence is defined here as the percent of animals in a population which are infected.

(64.0%) and in <u>R. pretiosa</u> (46.5%).

·

infections of <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp p .				
Host	<pre># adults examined</pre>	# infected	* Lung fluke present	
Ranidae				
Rana aurora	65	0	·	
R. catesbeiana	81	14	II 1- 1	
R. clamitans	112	14	H. longiplexus H. longiplexus	
R. pipiens	602	385	H. breviplexus	
<u>R. pretiosa</u>	1405	653	H. <u>medioplexus</u> H. <u>medioplexus</u>	
R. sylvatica	77	18	H. Duttensis	
R. grylio Stejneger, 190	1 32	0	n. parviplexus	
lylidae <u>Hyla regilla</u> <u>Pseudacris triseriata</u>	196 12	0		
ufonidae		Ū		
Bufo americanus	o	2		
B. boreas	243	2	<u>H. medioplexus</u>	
B. marinus	2-13	(<u>H. buttensis</u>	
caphiopodidae	5	U		
<u>Scaphiopus hammondi</u>	5	0		
scaphidae				
Ascaphus truei	10	0_		
Total	2851	1090		

Table 8. Summary of amphibian hosts examined for natural

*Identifications are based on type descriptions and type specimens, when available.

The maximum number of flukes recovered from a single frog (R. clamitans) was 358. The mean³ number of flukes per infected R. pretiosa was 3.8 and for R. clamitans it was 64. The high mean found in R. clamitans was a result of large numbers of flukes in two of the infected frogs. The specimens of R. clamitans collected from Maple Ridge, B.C. contained both H. longiplexus and H. breviplexus. The remaining nine infected R. clamitans contained only H. breviplexus. All other frogs collected from any one locality contained only a single species of Haematoloechus.

and the second

Examination of prepared slides of <u>Haematoloechus</u> and worm specimens fixed and sent in vials supplied an additional source of flukes for examination. This material represents collections by many people from numerous locations throughout Canada and the United States. A total of 2584 specimens was examined.

Variations in characters previously considered as important for separating species in this genus will be discussed for the above material under each species heading.

³The mean number of parasites per infected host is called the intensity of infection by some authors.

Generic Diagnosis

Haematoloechus Looss, 1899

syn. <u>Pneumonoeces</u> Looss, 1902

Ostiolum Pratt, 1903

Pneumobites Ward, 1917.

The genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> Looss, 1899 is here defined as follows: (modified after Yamaguti, 1971)

Haematoloechidae. Body elongate, spinose or not. Acetabulum in anterior or middle third of body, and usually smaller than the oral sucker. Testes oblique or symmetrical, in posterior half of body. Cirrus and cirrus pouch present, containing seminal vesicle. Genital pore ventral to pharynx. Ovary lobed or not, close to acetabulum. Seminal receptacle large, often larger than ovary. Laurer's canal absent. Vitellaria follicular, dorsal and lateral, extending for variable length. Uterus coiled, occupying most of hindbody, with or without extracaecal uterine loops. The ascending limb passes intercaecally through the forebody. Eggs, numerous, embryonated, do not hatch until ingested by appropriate snail. Excretory vesicle Y-shaped. Parasitic in the lungs of Anura. Type species <u>Haematoloechus</u> variegatus (Rudolphi, 1819) Looss, 1899.

Species of this genus considered valid from North America may be divided into two groups:

Group I: Extracaecal uterine loops are present and extend anteriad for varying lengths. This group includes <u>H. longiplexus</u>, <u>H. breviplexus</u>, <u>H. varioplexus</u>, and <u>H. kernensis</u>.

Group II: extracaecal uterine loops are absent. This group

- .

includes <u>H. medioplexus</u> and <u>H. complexus</u>.

.

.

" · · ·

1

. /

a

Valid Species

Group I -

<u>Haematoloechus longiplexus</u> Stafford <u>Haematoloechus longiplexus</u> Stafford, 1902: 901.

(Fig. 42)

<u>Pneumonoeces</u> <u>longiplexus</u> Stafford, 1905: 687. <u>Pneumobites longiplexus</u> Ward, 1917: 5.

Re-description (measurements based on 20 specimens):

Body elongate, 4.90-8.64 (5.86) long by 1.50-2.27 (1.77) . . . wide. Tequment spined or not. Oral sucker terminal, 0.286-0.469 (0.380) long by 0.330-0.512 (0.149) wide. Acetabulum medial, slightly posterior of ovary, 0.18-0.260 (0.197) wide. O/A ratio 1.6:1.0 to 2.6:1.0 (2.2:1.0). Pharynx muscular, 0.165-0.336 (0.243) long by 0.154-0.276 (0.26) wide. 0/P ratio 1.9:1.0 to 2.5:1.0 (2.2:1.0). Intestinal caeca: narrow tubes, extending to near posterior extremity. Testes parallel, elongate, lobed or not. Ovary lobed or not, anterior to acetabulum, pretesticular. Extracaecal uterine loops present, extending anterior beyond the ovary, often extending to the level of the pharynx. Genital pore ventral to pharynx. Vitellaria follicular, symmetrically placed on each side of the body. Extent ranging from about level of intestinal bifurcation, and terminating posterior to the testes. Eggs operculate, 0.022-0.025 (0.023) long by 0.015-0.018 (0.016) wide.

Host: <u>Rana catesbeiana</u>.

Site of infection: lungs.

For the Consection of

Specimens examined:

Thirty-one specimens, Nova Scotia, Halifax ex <u>R. catesbeiana</u>, (Mr. and Mrs. Eonnyman), author's coll.; 2 specimens, Iowa, ex <u>R. catesbeiana</u>, Dr. R. Campbell coll,; 110 specimens, Nebraska, ex <u>R. catesbeiana</u>, H.W. Manter Laboratories coll.; 23 specimens, New York, ex <u>R. catesbeiana</u>, author's coll.; 17 specimens, Ontario, Belleville, ex <u>R. catesbeiana</u>, author's coll.; 20 specimens, British Columbia, Maple Ridge, ex <u>R. catesbeiana</u>, author's coll. Type specimens were not available to the author. Fig.40 <u>Haematoloechus parviplexus</u>

(Pneumonoeces parviplexus of Irwin, 1929) redrawn from the type specimen.

Fig. 41 H. Dreviplexus redrawn from Stafford (1902).

Fig. 42 <u>H. longiplexus</u> redrawn from Stafford (1902). Several structures have been omitted for clarity. All specimens are depicted in ventral view. Stafford (1902) did not give a scale to his drawings and so absolute size is unknown for his type drawings.

- -



Discussion:

Stafford (1902) described <u>H. longiplexus</u> as being spineless. His type material for this species was not available for examination. However, of the 203 specimens examined by me, from six localities (Map 1), 170 had spines. All flukes from Iowa; Belleville, Ontario; Maple Ridge, B.C.; and New York had spines; 12.9% (4/31) of the worms from Halifax and 26.4% (29/110) from Nebraska had none.

The extracaecal loops in <u>Helongiplexus</u> commonly lie between the posterior border of the pharynx at their greatest extent and 1/2 the distance between the ovary and pharynx at their least extent (Figs. 43 and 44). The position of these loops did not vary in worms from different collection sites. The following results are based on 203 worms examined: 69% of the worms had uterine loops of equal or near equal length. Of these, 55% had both loops reaching the level of the pharynx or 90% of the distance from the ovary to the pharynx. Twenty-eight percent had the loops extending 75-85% of this distance and sixteen percent had loops extending about one-half the distance. Thirty-one percent of the specimens had uterine loops of unequal length. Of these, 72.7% had shorter left uterine loops. Extreme variation in extracaecal loop extent occurred in 2% of the specimens examined from Nebraska (Fig. 45 and 47).

Elongate, parallel or near parallel testes (Fig. 44) occurred in 98% of the specimens. Abnormal testes position involved either the left or right testis (Fig. 45 and 47). These specimens were also from Nebraska.

Eighty percent of the anterior and posterior testes were

unlobed. Twenty percent of the anterior and posterior testes were lobed and 51.5% of the ovaries were lobed, 48.5% unlobed.

The O/A ratio ranged from 1.5:1.0 to 2.8:1.0 with a mean of 2.1:1.0. Variations in the O/A ratios between populations of <u>H. longiplexus</u> are given in Map 1. There was no significant difference in the O/A ratio when the means of five localities were compared using a one-way analysis of variance. The Iowa sample was too small to be compared with those from other localities.

The ratio between the transverse diameters of the oral sucker and pharynx (O/P ratio) did not differ in flukes from the five different localities. The O/P ratio had a range of 1.9:1.0 to 2.8:1.0 and a mean of 2.2:1.0.

different localities (Fig. 48).

Map 1. Variation in O/A ratio between populations of <u>H. longiplexus</u>. Each locality shows the mean (horizontal line), standard deviation (open rectangle), and range (vertical line). The samples size is given below each range.

. . .

. .

. . .



Fig.43 H. longiplexus showing variation in extracaecal uterine loops. Fig.44 H. longiplexus showing variation in extracaecal uterine loops. Fig. 45 Abnormal extent of extracaecal uterine loops in <u>H. longiplexus</u>. Fig.46 Abnormal extent of extracaecal uterine loops in H. longiplexus. Abnormal testes position in H. longiplexus. Fig. 47



101A
. Fig.48

• • :

Variation in egg measurements of H. longiplexus from different localities, indicating the mean, range, and standard deviation (open rectangle), with the sample size indicated for each locality.

.



LOCALITY

102A

<u>Haematoloechus</u> breviplexus Stafford

Haematoloechus breviplexus Stafford, 1902: 904.

(Fig. 41)

<u>Pneumonoecus breviplexus</u> Stafford, 1905: 687. <u>Pneumobites breviplexus</u> Ward, 1917: 5.

Re-description (based on 20 specimens):

Body elongate 7.13-10.99 (8.86) long by 1.80-2.27 (2.06) wide. Tegument spined or not. Oral sucker terminal, 0.465-0.573 (0.526) 40 long by 0.462-0.558 (0.489) wide. Acetabulum medial. may overlie the ovary or be anterior to it, 0.165-0.198 (0.176) wide. 0/A ratio 2.3:1.0 to 3.4:1.0 (2.8:1.0). Pharynx muscular. 0.198-0.264 (0.225) long by 0.209-0.252 (0.236) wide. 0/P ratio 1.8:1.0 to 2.4:1.0 (2.1:1.0). Intestinal caecae narrow tubes, extending to near posterior extremity. Testes, elongate, overlap 35% to 60% of their lengths, lobed or not. Ovary lobed or from not, pretesticular. Extracaecal uterine loops present, extend from the anterior border of the posterior testis, to the posterior border of the ovary. Genital pore ventral to pharynx. Vitellaria, follicular, symmetrically placed on each side of the body. Extent ranging from about level of intestinal bifurcation, and terminating posterior to the testes. Figgs operculate, 0.019-0.025 (0.023) long by 0.015-0.019 (0.017), wide.

Host: R. catesbeiana, R. clamitans, R. grylio, R. utricularia.

Site cf infection: Lungs

Specimens examined:

Seventeen specimens, British Columbia, Maple Ridge, ex., author's coll.; 1 specimen, R. catesbeiana, Florida, Jacksonville, ex R. utricularia, Dr. G.J. Bowers coll.: 3 specimens, Louisiana, Avery Island, ex R. grylio, Dr. M.L. Eberhard coll.; 3 specimens, Massachusetts, North Dartmouth, ex R. catespeiana, Dr. R.A. Campbell coll. : 1 specimen, Mississippi, ex <u>R. catesbejana</u>, Dr. J.D. Lynch coll.; 10 specimens, Nevada, LasVegas, ex <u>R. catesbeiana</u>, Dr. B.B. Babero coll.; 10 specimens, Nova Scotia, Halifax, ex R. catesbeiana, (Mr. and Mrs. Bonnyman), author's coll.; 12 specimens, Ontario, Belleville, ex R. catesbeiana, (Dr. R.J.G. Lester), author* coll.; 5 specimens, Virginia, Richmond, ex R. <u>clamitans</u>, Dr. R.A. Campbell coll. Type specimens were not available to the author.

Discussion:

Sixty-six <u>H. breviplexus</u> from ten localities in Canada and the United States were examined for variation in taxonomically important characters (Map 2).

No significant difference in characters of flukes from the different localities occurred. Too few samples of <u>R. grylio</u>, <u>R. utricularia</u> Harlan, 1826 and <u>R. clamitans</u> were obtained to test for differences in flukes from different hosts. The following results represent pooled data from all localities.

Spines were located on the anterior one-third of the tegument of flukes from Nevada and Louisiana. Two specimens from

Virginia did not have spines. All other specimens examined had spines over their entire surface.

The ovary was lobed in 81.5% of the flukes and smooth in 18.5%. Testes were lobed in 76.9% of the worms and unlobed in 23.1%. Neither testis was lobed more frequently than the other. Both testes were elongate and overlapped for at least 1/3 their length.

Stafford, 1902 used the arrangement of the uterus as a useful character for separating <u>H. longiplexus</u> from <u>H. breviplexus</u>. He noted that the extracaecal uterine loops in <u>H. breviplexus</u> dc not extend beyond the posterior testis, whereas, in <u>H. longiplexus</u> they extend nearly to the pharynx.

I found the extracaecal loops in <u>H</u>. <u>breviplexus</u> to extend to the level of the ovary. The right loop is most frequently the longer (71.4% of the cases) and ranged from 1/2 the distance along the length of the ovary to the level of the ovary. The left loop ranged from the level of the posterior testis to 1/2the distance along the length of the posterior testis. Thus despite the close morphological resemblance to <u>H</u>. <u>longiplexus</u>, the two species do differ in the extent of their extracaecal uterine loops.

The O/A ratio ranged from 2.1:1.0 to 3.4:1.0 with a mean of 2.8:1.0. The O/P ratio did not differ in flukes from different localities. This ratio ranged from 1.4:1.0 to 2.4:1.0 (2.0:1.0).

Egg lengths ranged from 0.019 to 0.025 (0.021) and egg width from 0.015 to 0.018 (0.016).

105

Map 2. Areas providing samples of <u>H. breviplexus</u>. Sample size is represented in the circles.

106

:



<u>Haematoloechus varioplexus</u> Stafford <u>Haematoloechus varioplexus</u> Stafford, 1902: 906. (Fig. 65)

Haematoloechus similiplexus Stafford, 1902: 907 (Fig. 64)

<u>Haematoloechus parviplexus</u> (Irwin, 1929): 74. <u>syn.</u> <u>nov</u>. (Fig. 40)

<u>Haematoloechus floedae</u> Harwood, 1932: 16. <u>syn. nov</u>. (Fig. 66)

Haematoloechus uniplexus Harwood, 1932: 18. syn. nov. (Fig. 67)

<u>Haematoloechus buttensis</u>-Ingles, 1936: 78. <u>syn</u>. <u>nov</u>.

Re-description (based on 78 specimens):

Body elongate, 3.57-11.75 (6.19) long by 0.73- 4.02 (1.31) wide. Tegument spined. Oral sucker terminal, 0.039-0.589 (0.340)long by 0.187-0.589 (0.317) wide. Acetabulum medial, overlies the ovary, 0.066-0.363 (0.156) wide. 0/A ratio 1.1:1.0 to 3.4:1.0 (2.3:1.0:. Pharynx muscular, 0.110-0.297 (0.175) long by 0.110-0.314 (0.165) wide. 0/P ratio 1.2:1.0 to 2.3:1.0 (1.7:1.0). Intestinal caecar narrow tubes, extending to near the posterior extremity. Testes tandem or obligue, round to elliptical, smooth or lobed. Ovary lobed or not, pretesticular. Extracaecal uterine loops present, extending anterior to anterior border of the posterior testis, occasionally extending to mid-region of anterior testis. Genital pore ventral to pharynx. Vitellaria follicular, variable in distribution . Extent ranging from midway between ovary and pharynx to posterior to the posterior testis on the side opposite the ovary or to the middle of the posterior testis on the ovarian side. Eqgs operculate, 0.018-0.043 (0.028) long by 0.013-0.031 (0.017) wide.

Hosts: R. <u>catesbeiana</u>; <u>R. clamitans; R. pipiens; R. blairi</u> Mecham, Littlejohn, Oldham, Brown and Brown, 1973; R. pretiosa; R. sylvatica; R. sphenocephala; B. woodhousei Girard, 1854.

Site of infection:lungs.

Specimens examined:

. . .

A. <u>H. parviplexus</u>:

71 specimens, British Columbia, ex <u>R. sylvatica</u>, author's coll.; 83 specimens, Nebraska, R. catesbeiana, H.W. Manter Laboratories coll.; 1 specinen U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No.8085.

B. H. varioplexus / H. similiplexus :

12 specimens, Ontario, ex <u>R. clamitans</u>, Mr. M. Baker's coll.,; 10 specimens, Virginia, ex R. <u>catesbeiana</u>, Dr. R.A. Campbell coll.; 23 specimens, Nebraska, ex <u>R. pipiens, R. blairi</u>, a angeneration B. woodhousei, H.W. / Manter / Laboratories coll. Type specimens were not available to the author. C. <u>H. buttensis</u>:

One thousand five hundred sixty-two specimens, British Columbia, ex <u>R. pretiosa</u>, author's coll.; 1 type specimen, U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 28926. D. <u>H. floedae</u>:

1 type specimen, U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 30879.

H. uniplexus:

1 type specimen, U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 30880.

Discussion:

H. parviplexus

One hundred and fifty-four specimens of <u>H. parviplexus</u>, from five localities, were examined for variation in characters used to distinguish this species from other North American members of <u>Haematoloechus</u>.

The ovaries were lobed in 87.1% and unlobed in 12.9% of the specimens examined. The anterior testis was lobed in 17.9% and unlobed in 82.1% while the posterior testis was lobed in 14.3% and unlobed in 85.7% of the specimens.

The O/A ratio could be determined for 139 of the flukes. The acetabulum could not be located in the other 15 flukes so a ratio could not be calculated. A one-way analysis of variance followed by a Newman-Kruls Multiple Range Test was used to test for significance between the means of the five localities sampled. There was no significant difference between the means of flukes from Vanderhoof, Slim Lake, or McBride (Fig. 49). All of these flukes occurred in <u>Rana sylvatica</u>. The Atkinson, Nebraska, sample had a mean significantly different from that of the British Columbia samples ($\ll \le 0.001$). The Humboldt sample was also significantly different from the British Columbia sample ($\ll \le 0.001$) and from the Atkinson sample ($\ll < 0.001$). The samples from Nebraska were from <u>R. catesbeiana</u>.

The O/P ratio ranged from 1.2:1.0 to 2.1:1.0 (1.5:1.0). This ratio did not differ significantly in flukes from different

Ε.

localities or hosts.

.

λ.

Variations in the extent of the extracaecal loop, between localities sampled, did not differ. A description of the variation in extracaecal loops is given from pooled results. The tegument of all specimens had spines. O/A ratios of <u>H. parviplexus</u> from collections made in British Columbia and Nebraska.

The range, mean and standard deviation (open rectangle) are given. Sample size is illustrated at the lower limit of each range.

Fig. 49

·, · · ·

49.(2800.)

. .

. 11

ent stations

e arra e e

.



O/A RATIO

LOCALITY

111A

Extracaecal uterine lcops were equal or near equal in length in 31.9% of the flukes examined; the right loop longer than the left in 41.7% and the left longer than the right in 26.4% of the flukes. The left extracaecal loop was absent in 4.2% of the cases. When both loops are present and of equal or near equal length, the variation in extent is from 1/2 the distance from the posterior end to the posterior border of the posterior testis to 3/4 the distance along the length of the posterior testis. The average extent is 1/3 the distance along the length of the posterior testis. When the right loop is longer than the left it extends between 1/5 and 9/10 the distance along the length of the posterior testis, with a mean of 52.6%. The left loop ranges from just reaching the posterior border of the posterior testis to 25.7% the distance along the posterior testis. When the left loop is longest it extends from 20% to 80% this distance, with a mean of 54.5%. The right loop extends from 18% to 50%, with a mean of 30.4%. Some variations in ovary and testis shape and in uterine loops are given in Piqures 50 to 52.

There is no significant difference in widths of eggs from different localities or in the length of eggs from Vanderhoof, Slim Lake, McBride and Humboldt (Fig. 53). Flukes from Vanderhoof, Slim Lake and McBride had <u>R. sylvatica</u> as their definitive host.

Flukes from Nevada had as their definitive host <u>R. catesbeiana</u>. The egg lengths in flukes from <u>R. sylvatica</u> differed significantly from flukes from <u>R. catesbeiana</u> from Atkinson ($\propto < 0.005$). Egg lengths of flukes from Humboldt differed significantly from those of worms from Atkinson $(\propto \le 0.001)$. The tegument of all specimens had spines.

· ·

.

Fig. 50 to 52. Some variations in shape of ovaries and testes and in extent of extracaecal uterine loops in <u>A. parviplexus</u>.

•



114A

Fig. 53 Length and width of eggs of <u>H. parviplexus</u> from different localities, indicating mean, standard deviation (vertical rectangle), and the range (vertical line), with the sample size and frog host indicated for each location.

·- · ·

.

. . .

115

115A



LOCALITY

H. varioplexus and H. similiplexus

age to a com

Seventy-five specimens of flukes labelled either <u>H. varioplexus</u> or <u>H. similiplexus</u> were examined. The range in variation of characters used to separate <u>H. similiplexus</u> from <u>H. varioplexus</u> was too great to be certain of positive identification. Therefore specimens labelled <u>H. varioplexus</u> and <u>H. similiplexus</u> were treated according to host.

The O/A ratio of flukes from different localities and different hosts were calculated and are presented in Figure 54. A Newman-Keuls Multiple Range Test was used to test for significance of means between samples from different localities. There is no significant difference between flukes collected from Genoa and Lincoln, Nebraska when the host is R. pipiens. However, there is a significant difference between these flukes and worms from Genoa recovered from B. woodhousei ($\alpha = 0.02$). A significant difference between flukes from B. woodhousei and R. pipiens also occurs when the data for R. pipiens are pooled $(\propto \le 0.001)$. The O/A ratio of flukes recovered from <u>R. catesbeiana</u> from Richmond, Virginia differed from those in <u>R. clamitans</u> from Long Point, Ontario ($\propto < 0.05$). The means, standard deviations and ranges are given for samples equal to or greater than four and for pooled data (Fig. 54).

The O/P ratio did not differ significantly in flukes from different localities. The ratio ranged from 1.3:1.0 to 2.3:1.0 (1.7:1.0).

Fig. 54

O/A ratios of <u>H. varioplexus</u> and <u>H. similiplexus</u> from different localities, indicating the mean , standard deviation (vertical rectangle) and the range (vertical line), with sample size and frog host indicated for each location. 117



117A

Egg measurements from flukes from Nebraska did not differ significantly. Egg measurements of flukes from Long Pcint, Ontario and Richmond, Virginia differed significantly from each other as well as from Nebraska ($\ll <0.001$) (Fig. 55).

a ja se mati

No difference in extracaecal loops in flukes from different hosts or localities occurred. A description of these loops is given to indicate their variability.

When both extracaecal loops reached the posterior testis (88.9% of the time) the loops were of approximately the same length in 43.3% of the flukes. In 35.1% of the flukes the right loop was longest and in 21.6% the left. When uterine loops were of near-equal length they extend 40-65% the distance along the posterior testis. When the right loop was longest it reached at least 50% the distance along the posterior testis. When the left loop was longest it also reached at least 50% the distance along the posterior testis.

In 11.1% of the cases examined one or both uterine loops failed to reach the posterior testis. Of these cases, 16.7% had loops the same length and 66.7% had the right loop longer than the left, 8.3% had the left loop longest and 8.3% had the left loop missing. Some of the variations in extent of extracaecal loops are given in Figures 56 to 59.

Anterior and posterior testes and ovaries are commonly unlobed. Only 2.6% of the anterior testis examined and 5.7% of the posterior testis examined were lobed, and 5.6% of all ovaries examined were lobed. All specimens had spines distributed over the tegument.

1:18

Fig. 55

· · ·

Length and width of eggs of <u>H. varioplexus</u> and <u>H. similiplexus</u> from different localities, indicating the mean, standard deviation (vertical rectangle) and the range (vertical line), with the sample size and amphibian host indicated for each locality.

119



LOCALITY

119A

Figures 56 to 59.

Variations in extent of extracaccal uterine loops in <u>H. varioplexus</u>.

, **.**



H. buttensis

Section and the

One thousand five hundred sixty-two <u>H. buttensis</u> collected from seventy-four locations in British Columbia were examined. All specimens were from <u>R. pretiosa</u> and were prepared by the author.

Lobed ovaries were found in 93.8% of the specimens examined (Fig. 60). Anterior and posterior testes were lobed in 18.7% of the flukes examined. Testes overlapped 15% to 50% of their length, averaging 32.5% (Fig. 61).

Extracaecal uterine loops are equal or near-equal in length 72.7% of the time (Fig. 62). In these cases the right loop ranged a distance of 1/4 the length of the posterior testis to 3/4 the distance. At the same time the left loop extends 1/2 the distance to the posterior testis from the end of the worm. When the right loop is longest the anterior extent of the right loop reached a distance 1/3 the way along the posterior testis. When the left extracaecal loop is longest the left loop extends, at most, to the posterior margin of the posterior testis. In 9% of the flukes examined the right loop was absent.

The O/A ratio ranged from 2.2:1.0 to 2.9:1.0 with an average of 2.6:1.0. The O/P ratio did not differ in flukes collected from different localities. This ratio had a range of 0.9:1.0 to 1.9:1.0 and a mean of 1.4:1.0.

No significant difference occurred in egg lengths or in egg widths when fukes from different localities were compared. Eggs measured 0.020 to 0.025 (0.023) long by 0.016 to 0.018 (0.017) wide.

Spines covered the entire body surface on all flukes.

Fig.60 Lobed ovaries and overlap of testes in <u>H. buttensis</u>. Fig.61 Lobed ovaries and overlap of testes in <u>H. buttensis</u>. Fig.62 Extent of extracaecal uterine loops in <u>H. buttensis</u>.

. .. .

. .



Examination of the type specimen of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> (U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 8926) showed certain inconsistencies between description and observed morphology.

Ingles' drawing of the type specimen is in dorsal view, not ventral view as stated by him in the caption to his Figure 3. This view depicted the left extracaecal loop extending 1/3 along the length of the posterior testis, and the right loop did not reach the posterior testis. Examination of the type specimen indicated that both extracaecal loops just reach the level of the posterior testis (Fig. 63).

"Cuticle armed with spines posteriorly to the acetabulum" (Ingles, 1936). There are spines over the entire body, but they become smaller and less numerous posterior of the acetabulum.

H. floedae and H. uniplexus

Only the type specimens of <u>H. floedae</u> and <u>H. uniplexus</u> (Figs. 66 and 67) were available for examination. These specimens showed some inconsistencies between description and observed morphology.

<u>H. floedae</u> (U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 30879) :

Harwood described <u>H. floedae</u> as being "entirely without spines" and that "the oral sucker measured 3.6 to 4.4 mm in diameter," p. 16.

My examination of the type specimen showed spines to be present. There are large spines on the oral sucker and anterior 20% of the worm. Spines become smaller posteriorly.

The oral sucker of the type specimen has a transverse diameter of 0.432mm. Therefore I feel Harwood's description should be amended to read: oral sucker measures 0.36 to 0.44 mm

The absence of spines in <u>H. floedae</u> was one character used by Harwood to distinguish this species from <u>H. parviplexus</u>.

H. uniplexus (U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 30880):

1. 1. 1. 1.

Harwood (1932: 18) reported that the "cuticula is smooth and without spines." However, I found small spines over most of the body surface and larger spines in the region of the cral sucker.

No emphasis is placed on the supposed smooth nature of the tegument for species separation. The main feature used for recognizing this species is the single uterine loop. The validity of this character for identifying species in this genus will be discussed in the next section.

÷ . .

Fig. 63 H. buttensis redrawn from the type material.
Pig. 64 H. similiplexus redrawn from Stafford (1902).
Fig. 65 H. varioplexus redrawn from Stafford (1902).
Pig. 66 H. floedae redrawn from the type specimen.
Pig. 67 H. uniplexus redrawn from the type specimen.
Several structures have been omitted for clarity. All specimens are depicted in the ventral view. Stafford (1902) did not give a scale to his drawings and so absolute size is unknown for his type drawings.

ومستعدين والمروقية والمعتمون والمعتمون والمعتمون والمعترين

and the presidence of the last of the second s

125



Haematoloechus kernensis Ingles

Haematoloechus kernensis Ingles, 1932: 191.

(Fig. 69)

the

Haematoloechus tumidus Ingles, 1932: 199. syn. nov.

(Fig. 70)

Description (based on 2 specimens):

Body elongate, 5.51-8.57 (7.04) long by 0.98-2.93 (1.95) wide. Tegument spined. Oral sucker terminal, 0.369-0.614 (0.492) long by 0.351-0.693 (0.522) wide. Acetabulum medial, overlies the ovary or anterior to it, 0.292-0.759 (0.526) wide. O/A ratio 0.9:1.0 to 1.2:1.0 (1.1:1.0). Pharynx muscular, 0.27-0.498 (0.353) long by 0.243-0.473 (0.358) wide. 0/P ratio 1.4:1.0 to 1.5:1.0 (1.5:1.0). Intestinal caecas narrow tubes, extending to near posterior extremity. Testes oblique, round, lobed or not. Ovary not lobed, pretesticular. Extracaecal uterine loops present, extending anterior to the posterior border of the anterior testis. Genital pore ventral to pharynx. Vitellaria, follicular, symmetrically placed on each side of the body. Extent ranging from midway between acetabulum and pharynx and terminating posterior to the posterior testis on the side opposite to the ovary and extending midway along the posterior testis the side of the ovary. Eggs operculate, 0.023-0.036 on (0.030) long by 0.016-0.020 (0.018) wide.

Host: <u>Rana aurora dravtoni</u> Camp, 1917

Site of infection: Lungs.

126

Specimens examined:

One type specimen, U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 8654; 1 type specimen, U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 8657.

v n

.

.
Fig. 68

4.4

Haematoloechus complexus

.....

(Pneumonoeces complexus of Seely, 1906) redrawn from Seely (1906). No scale given. <u>H. kernensis</u> redrawn from the type specimen. <u>H. tumidus</u> redrawn from the type specimen.

.

(<u>Pne</u> redr Fig. 69 <u>H</u>. <u>k</u> Fig. 70 <u>H</u>. <u>t</u>



Discussion:

Examination of the type specimen of <u>H. kernensis</u> (U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 8654) and <u>H. tumidus</u> (U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 8657), showed certain inconsistencies between description and observed morphology.

Ingles (1932) states that "The cuticula of <u>Haematoloechus kernensis</u> is entirely smooth, there being no indication of spines at any place," p. 191. The absence of spines is one of the main features distinguishing this fluke from <u>H. tumidus</u>, which is spined.

Examination of the type material revealed the presence of spines. Spines are sparsely distributed anterior of the acetabulum, more concentrated at the level of the acetabulum and posterior to it. The tegument appears to be sloughed off in several places anterior to the acetabulum. Spines are present around the middle portion of the worm where the tegument is intact.

Ingles (1932) states that "<u>Haematoloechus tumidus</u> differs from all the previously described species of this genus in being larger, and in having the acetabulum larger than the cral sucker," p.200. However, Ingles measured the length and width of living animals. When he measured mounted specimens he found them to be smaller, but even then he felt their size to be generally larger than that of other species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> previously described. Size alone is a poor criterion to use in species separation of lung flukes.

<u>H. tunidus</u> differs from <u>H. kernensis</u> mainly in having spines. However, I have demonstrated that <u>H. kernensis</u> is

spined. Therefore I feel that the two species should be synonymized and that <u>H. kernensis</u> has page priority and is the valid name. The above two species have been reported only one other time, that by Ingles (1936).

More specimens are needed to assess the relationship of the above two species to other <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp. ω hich also contain extracaecal loops.

Group II

Haematoloechus medioplexus Stafford, 1902: 908.

(Fig. 75)

Pneumonoeces medioplexus Stafford, 1905: 5.

Ostiolum formosum Pratt, 1903; Stafford, 1905; 5. syn. nov.

Re-description (based on 25 specimens):

Body elongate, 8.43-9.15 (8.71) long by 0.93-1.53 (1.05) wide. Tegument spined. Oral sucker terminal, 0.275-0.365 (0.327) long by 0.275-0.352 (0.314) wide. Acetabulum medial, overlies ovary, 0.083-0.099 (0.083) wide. 0/A ratio 3.0:1.0 to 3.9:1.0 (3.4(1.0). Pharynx muscular, 0.176-0.231 (0.204) long by 0.192-0.242 (0.203) wide. O/P ratio 1.3:1.0 to 1.6:1.0 (1.5:1.0). Intestinal caecae narrow tubes, extending to near posterior extremity. Testes tandem, round to elliptical, lobed or not. Ovary lobed or not, pretesticular. Extracaecal uterine loops absent. Genital pore ventral to pharynx. Vitellaria follicular, variable in y distribution. Extent ranging from midway between ovary and pharynx to posterior to the posterior testis on the side opposite the ovary or half-way along the posterior testis on the ovarian side. Eggs operculate, 0.025-0.030 (0.026) long by 0.015-0.021 (0.018) wide.

Hosts: R. pipiens, R. pretiosa, B. americanus.

Site of infection: Lungs. Specimens examined:

19 specimens, Alberta, ex R. pipiens and B. americanus,

author's coll.; 54 specimens, British Columbia, ex <u>R. pipiens</u> and <u>R. pretiosa</u>, author's coll.; 1 specimen, Iowa, ex <u>R. pipiens</u>, Dr. R.A. Campbell coll.; 87 specimens, Ontario, ex <u>R. pipiens</u> (from Dr. R.J.G. Lester and Mr.M. Baker), author's coll.; 14 specimens, Nebraska, ex <u>R. pipiens</u>, H.W. Manter Laboratories coll.; 18 specimens, Saskatchewan, ex <u>R. pipiens</u>, author's coll.; 1 specimen, Wisconsin, ex <u>R. pipiens</u>, Dr. J.D. Lynch coll.; 232 specimens, Wisconsin, ex <u>R. pipiens</u> (Biological Supply), author's coll.

Discussion:

H. medioplexus

Four hundred and twenty-six specimens of <u>H. medioplexus</u> from 27 collecting loclities in Canada and the United States were examined. The following variations in characters of major taxonomic importance are presented below. The results given for ovaries, testes and uterine loops are for pooled data from all localities.

Extracaecal uterine loops were absent in all specimens examined. Seventy-seven point eight percent of the ovaries were unlobed and 22.2% lobed. The anterior testis were lobed in 82.5% of the specimens, unlobed in 17.5%. Posterior testes were lobed in 88.9% of the specimens and unlobed in 11.1% of the specimens. The O/A ratio could be determined for only 371 flukes. The range, mean and standard deviation for ten collecting sites are given in Figure 71. Nebraska represents pooled data from six localities in the state. No significant difference in O/A ratio occurred American the means of the ten localities (Analysis of

132

• •••••

Variance p > 0.05). <u>R</u>. <u>pipiens</u>, <u>B</u>. <u>boreas</u>, and <u>R</u>. <u>pretiosa</u> were the definitive hosts for all flukes. When all data are pooled, the ratio ranged from 2.6:1.0 to 4.3:1.0 (3.5:1.0). The O/P ratio did not differ in flukes from different localities. The range of the O/P ratio was from 1.1 to 1.6:1.0 (1.4:1.0).

No significant difference occurred in egg length or in width when worms from different localities were compared. Egg length ranged from 0.024 to 0.035 (0.028) and width from 0.015 to 0.021 (0.018).

All specimens were spined.

and the second second

.

.

Fig. 71

.

O/A ratio of <u>H. medioplexus</u> from different localities, indicating the mean, standard deviation (vertical rectangle) and the range (vertical line), with the sample size indicated for each location. Nebraska represents pooled data from six localities in that State.



LOCALITY

134A

Haematoloechus complexus (Seely)

Haematoloechus complexus (Seely, 1906): 249.

(Fig. 68)

<u>Haematoloechus coloradensis</u> Cort, 1915a: 213. <u>syn.</u> <u>nov</u>. (Fig. 74)

Haematoloechus oxyorchis Ingles, 1932: 193. <u>syn. nov</u>. (Fig. 72)

<u>Haematoloechus confusus</u> Ingles, 1932: 195. <u>syn</u>. <u>nov</u>. (Fig. 73)

Re-description (based on 50 specimens):

Body elongate, 2.73-7.72 (5.82) long by 0.35-1.95 (1.23) wide. Tegument spined or not. Oral sucker terminal, 0.253-0.513 (0.379) long by 0.242-0.492 (0.375) wide. Acetabulum medial, overlies the ovary, or anterior to it, 0.120-0.450 (0.271) wide. 0/A ratio 1.0:1.0 to 3.5:1.0 (4.5:1.0) Pharynx muscular. 0.127-0.432 (0.228) long by 0.122-0.369 (0.208) wide. O/P ratio 1.2:1.0 to 2.4:1.0 (1.9:1.0). Intestinal caeca narrow tubes extending to near posterior extremity. Testes tandem, round, lobed or not. Ovary lobed or not, pretesticular. Extracaecal uterine loops absent. Vitellaria follicular, symmetrically placed on each side of the body, Extent ranging from midway between ovary and pharynx to posterior to posterior testis on the side opposite the ovary, or half-way along the posterior testis on the ovarian side. Eggs operculate, 0.023-0.043 (0.034) long by 0.014-0.024 (0.019) wide.

Host: R. blairi, R. pipiens, R. catesbeiana.

Site of infection: Lungs.

Fig. 72H. oxyorchis redrawn from the type material.Fig. 73H. confusus redrawn from the type specimen.Fig. 74H. coloradensis (Pneumonoeces coloradensis of Cort, 1915a) redrawn from Cort (1915a).

. . . .

Fig. 75 H. medioplexus redrawn from Stafford (1902). Several structures have been omitted for clarity. All specimens are depicted in the ventral view. Stafford (1902)) did not give a scale to his drawings and so absolute size is unknown for *Figs75* type drawings.

. . . .

.



136A

Specimens examined:

• . • .

and the second

Sec. Sec.

A. <u>H. complexus</u>:

105 specimens, Nebraska, ex <u>R. blairi</u>, H.W. and the second second Manter Laboratories coll.; 5 specimens, Nevada, ex <u>R</u>. <u>pipiens</u>, Dr.B.B. Babero coll.

> The type specimen was not available to the author.

B. <u>H. coloradensis</u>:

specimens, Nebraska, ex <u>R. pipiens</u>, 10 H. Ø. Manter laboratories coll.; 5 specimens, Florida, er <u>R. catesbeiana</u>, Dr. G.J. Bowers coll.

The type specimen was not available to the author.

C. <u>H. oxyorchis</u>:

1 type specimen, U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 8655, ex <u>Rana</u> <u>aurora</u> <u>draytoni</u>.

H. <u>confusus</u>:

1 type specimen, U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll no. 8656, ex <u>Rana aurora draytoni</u>,

Discussion:

H. complexus

H. <u>complexus</u> (<u>Pneumonoeces</u> <u>complexus</u> of Seely, 1906) was described by Seely (1906) as without spines. However, he states that this may have been due to maceration of the worm. Only patches of intact tegument remained and these did not have spines. Unfortunately Seely did not mention the position of these patches. Type material was not available for examination. Of the 105 <u>H</u>. <u>complexus</u> examined from Nebraska and five from Nevada, 90 had spines over the entire body surface. Spines are smaller and less numerous posterior of the acetabulum.

Extracaecal loops are absent in this species. The anterior testis was lobed in 6% of the specimens, the posterior testis in 11%. All ovaries were unlobed.

The O/A ratio ranged from 1.0:1.0 to 2.4:1.0 with a mean of 1.4:1.0. The worm with the 2.4:1.0 ratio had a very small acetabulum. The O/P ratio of flukes from different localities did not differ significantly. The mean O/P was 1.9:1.0 with a range of 1.6:1.0 to 2.0:1.0.

The widths of eggs did not differ significantly in flukes from different localities (Fig. 76).

Egg lengths from the four localities in Nebraska did not differ significantly (Fig. 76). The egg lengths of flukes from Nevada differed significantly (Newman-Keuls, $\ll > 0.05$) from the egg lengths of flukes of pooled data from Prague, Davey and Mead (Nebraska) ($\ll < 0.05$), however, the sample size from Nevada is very small (n=5). More samples from this locality are needed.

Egg length had a range of 0.030 to 0.043 (0.036). Egg width had a range of 0.017 to 0.025 (0.020).

H. coloradensis

When all anterior testes were compared. 86.7% were observed to be lobed. This also holds for the posterior testes. All ovaries examined were lobed.

Only 15 specimens from the United States and Canada were examined. Extracaecal loops were absent in all of these specimens. However, short loops do occur occasionally (21.0% of the time) but do not extend extracaecally (Figs. 79 and 80). The form of coiling of the posterior uterus depended on the host. When the host was <u>R. pipiens</u>, the coils formed tight spring-like loops (Fig. 77). When the host was <u>R. blairi</u>, the coils formed loose twists (Fig. 78).

The O/A ratio ranged from 1.0:1.0 to 1.4:1.0 with a mean of 1.2:1.0. The O/P ratio did not differ significantly in flukes from different localities or hosts. This ratio ranged from 1.2:1.0 to 2.0:1.0 (1.4:1.0).

No difference occurred in egg length or width in flukes from different hosts or localities. Egg length ranged from 0.031to 0.038 (0.035) and width from 0.017 to 0.024 (0.019).

and the second second second

All specimens were spined.

Fig. 76 Length and width of eggs of <u>H. complexus</u>from different localities, indicating the mean, standard deviation (vertical rectangle) and range (vertical line), with the samples size indicated for each locality. / Data for Verdon and Humboldt were pooled as are data for Prague, Davey and Mead. <u>R. blairi</u> was the host for all flukes from Nebraska and R. pipiens for flukes from inging a s Nevada. 🔬



140A

LOCALITY

Fig. 77 The shape of posterior uterine coils of <u>H. coloradensis</u> when the fluke is recovered from <u>R. pipiens</u>.

Fig. 78 The shape of posterior uterine coils of <u>H. coloradensis</u> when the fluke is recovered from <u>R. blairi</u>.

Fig. 79-80 Some variations in uterine loops of <u>H. coloradensis</u>.





79



78



80 ...

H. oxyorchis and H. confusus

Examination of the type specimens of <u>H</u>. <u>oxyorchis</u> (U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 8655) and <u>H</u>. <u>confusus</u> (U.S.N.M. Helm. Coll. No. 8656) revealed some discrepancies between description and observed morphology.

<u>H. oxyorchis:</u>

Ingles (1932: 193) described <u>H. oxyorchis</u> as having "cuticula free from spines." I found that the tegument appeared to be lacking anterior of the acetabulum. Small spines are present on the anterior and mid-body where the tegument is intact. These spines are very small, barely protruding through the tegument. Spines are absent posterior of the posterior testes.

The main feature used to distinguish <u>H</u>. <u>oxyorchis</u> from <u>H</u>. <u>confusus</u> has been the supposed absence of spines from the former species. The presence of spines in the type specimen of <u>H</u>. <u>oxyorchis</u> suggests that these two species should be synonymized.

H. <u>confusus</u>:

"eggs average 26 microns in length and 15 microns in width" (Ingles, 1932). The average measurement of 25 eggs measured from location A (see Appendix 22, Fig. 81) was, 0.031 mm long by 0.023 mm wide. The discrepancy between Ingles' measurements and mine may be due to measuring eggs from a different part of the uterus.

Egg size and the presence of spines were used by Ingles to

-

.

distinguish this species from <u>H. complexus</u>, described by Seely (1906). The type specimens of <u>H. complexus</u> is not available for examination. The difference in egg size between <u>H. complexus</u> (0.029 mm by 0.014 mm) and <u>H. confusus</u> is not sufficient for species separation.

est is the

.

.

.

. . .

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

A. Differentiation of Species

H. longiplexus and H. breviplexus:

H. longiplexus can only be confused with one other North American haematoloechid:: H. breviplexus. They are similar in their O/A ratios, 2.0:1.0 for both species (Stafford, 1902; Cort, 1915a). My observations show a range of 2.1:1.0 to 3.4:1.0 (2.8:1.0) for H. breviplexus and 1.5:1.0 to 2.8:1.0 (2.2:1.0) for H. longiplexus. These ratios did not vary geographically (Nap 1). These species are also similar in shape of testes and ovary and in general body size. Two features serve to separate these species: the extent of the extracaecal loops and the orientation of the testes.

The extracaecal loops in <u>H. longiplexus</u> are the longest in any North American species, and extend anteriorly to near the pharynx (Stafford, 1902; Cort, 1915a). Some variation does exist, but the loops are never found less than 1/2 the distance between the ovary and the pharynx. Uterine loops in <u>H. breviplexus</u> are shorter and extend from the anterior border of the posterior testis to the posterior border of the ovary (Cort, 1915a). My observations indicate a slightly greater variation for the left uterine loop. This loop may extend from 1/2 the length of the posterior testis to the level of the anterior border of the anterior testis. However, in <u>H. breviplexus</u> the loops never extend anterior beyond the level of the ovary.

The elongate testes are parallel in H. longiplexus, that is

they overlap for more than 70% of their length. The testes are unequal in size, the smaller testis occasionally extending 20% of its length anterior of the larger testis. The testes of <u>H. breviplexus</u> are also elongate, but they overlap for only 1/2 their length (Stafford, 1902). This overlap ranged from 35% to 60% in my collections. The testes were never parallel.

The extent of the extracaecal loops and the shape and orientation of testes serve to separate these two species from other North American representatives of <u>Haematoloechus</u> and from one another.

H. varioplexus and H. similiplexus:

The extent of the extracaecal loops, size and shape of testes and ovaries, and O/P ratio are similar for these two species.

The uterine loops in both species commonly extend a distance 40 to 60% along the posterior testis. The ovary and testes are usually unlobed, but lobing in some specimens in both species was noted. The O/P ratio did not differ between these two species. This ratio ranged from 1.3:1.0 to 2.3:1.0 (1.7:1.0) for both species.

The O/A ratio was not reported by Stafford (1902) for either species. Cort (1915a) reported the O/A ratio for <u>H. similiplexus</u> as averaging 4:3 (1.33:1.0). He did not examine specimens of <u>H. varioplexus</u>. Brooks (1976) considered <u>H. similiplexus</u> and <u>H. varioplexus</u> synonyms and reported the O/A ratio as having a range of 1.0:0.69 to 0.84 (1.5:1 to 1.2:1). However, when the data for O/A ratio are plotted according to host, and not fluke species, the C/A ratio varies with host. The same is true for egg size.

H. varioplexus has an egg length of 0.029, whereas H. similiplexus is reported as having an egg length of 0.039 (Stafford, 1902) Cort (1915a) recorded an egg length range of 0.034 to 0.040 for <u>H. similiplexus</u>. Later, while examining specimens of H. similiplexus from R. pipiens from Oshkosh, Wisconsin, Cort (1915b) noted that the eggs were smaller than previously reported for this species. These individuals had an average egg length of only 0.034, and the limits of variation were from 0.030 to 0.037. Brooks (1976) cited an egg length range for H. varioplexus of 0.032 to 0.037. Bouchard (1951) noted variations in <u>H. similiplexus</u> from the lungs of R. <u>clamitans</u> and <u>R. septentrionalis</u> collected in Maine. He reported that some specimens had an egg length average of 0.029. He also noted that some of these flukes had longer extra-crural uterine folds than reported by Cort(1915a) and Stafford (1902). Figure 55 demonstrates the great variation occurring in eqg measurements. This variation appears to be related to host and not geographical influences. Thus H. varioplexus and <u>H. similiplexus</u> cannot be separated.

H. parviplexus:

Irwin (1929) and later Brooks (1976) described the ovary of <u>H. parviplexus</u> as being deeply lobed. My experimental results using <u>H. buttensis</u> show that great variation can occur in the degree of lobing of the ovary and testes, even in worms of the same age. The ovary may be unlobed (Fig. 50) or deeply lobed

(Figs. 51 and 52). Collections of <u>H. parviplexus</u> from five localities show that lobing in ovaries and testes is highly variable and may or may not occur. There is therefore too much variability in this character to be used in species separation.

Uterine folds in <u>H. parviplexus</u> are reported by Irwin (1929) as never reaching the anterior border of the posterior testis; scarcely reaching the posterior testis in some, while in others the loops may extend along 3/4 the length of the posterior testis. A similar degree of variation was found in the 154 specimens examined by me.

I have shown, in this thesis, that the O/A ratio for this species is variable, and may be host-related (Fig. 49) as well as varying geographically.

This species cannot therefore be separated from <u>H. buttensis</u>.

H. <u>buttensis</u>:

1.188.4

Ingles (1936) used a combination of characters to separate his newly-described species, <u>H. buttensis</u> (Fig. 63) from other members of the genus with which it may be confused.

<u>H. buttensis</u> differed from <u>H. parviplexus</u> (Irwin, 1929) notably in the O/A ratio, the shape of the ovary, and the extent of the extracaecal uterine folds. Variations in the shape of the ovary and the extent of the extracaecal uterine folds have been discussed in the previous section. The main feature distinguishing <u>H. buttensis</u> from <u>H. parviplexus</u> was stated to be the O/A ratio, <u>H. buttensis</u> having an average ratio of 1.0:0.7 (1.4:1.0) (Ingles, 1936). Plukes collected from <u>R. pretiosa</u> from British Columbia had an average O/A ratio of 2.6:1.0 (2.2:1.0 to 2.9:1.0).

<u>H. parviplexus</u> has a ratio of 4:1 (Irwin, 1929). However, Brooks (1976) found the Nebraska collection to range from 2.3:1.0 to 2.6:1.0, and my collections from British Columbia averaged 3.0:1.0 (2.6 to 3.4:1.0). Therefore, the O/A ratio in these two species is too similar to be used as a distinguishing character.

H. <u>buttensis</u> is separated from <u>H. similiplexus</u> Stafford, 1902 (Fig. 64) by having smaller eggs, average 0.027 by 0.014 (0.025 to 0.030 and 0.022 to 0.017 for length and widthrespectively), and larger testes. Testes measured 0.82 long by 0.64 wide (0.45 to 1.03 by 0.48 to 0.87). Both testes were described as being nearly the same size and shape. Vitellaria were also considered as differently distributed. However, specimens examined by me did not differ in vitelline distribution. Stafford (1902) gave the egg measurements of H. similiplexus as averaging 0.039 by 0.019, but stated that "variations a little above and below occurred." H. similiplexus was described from the lungs of <u>R. virescens</u> (= <u>R. pipiens</u>) and B. lentiginosus (= B. americanus). He described H. varioplexus from the lungs of <u>R. clamitans</u>. The type description was very incomplete, and Stafford trusted his drawings to illustrate its chief characteristics. No scale was given for his drawings.

As shown above, <u>H. buttensis</u> cannot be separated from <u>H. parviplexus</u> or from <u>H. similiplexus</u> and <u>H. varioplexus</u>.

H. uniplexus:

The type description of <u>H. uniplexus</u> Harwood, 1932 (Fig. 67) is based on a single specimen. This fluke is distinguished from all other worms of the genus (considered here) by possessing a single short extracaecal uterine loop. The variations in extracaecal uterine loops have already been discussed for several species. The frequency of occurrence of a single loop in samples collected from numerous localities indicates that this alone is not a good character for separating species in this genus. This species has not been reported since its original description.

H. floedae:

Harwood (1932) separated <u>H. floedae</u> from <u>H. parviplexus</u>, which it most closely resembles, by the smaller pharynx, larger acetabulum, the smooth tegument, smaller eggs and the longer longitudinal uterine folds (Figs. 66 and 40 respectively).

The O/P ratio in <u>H. floedae</u> is nearly 1:2; (0.5:1) the ratio does not fall below 2:5 (0.4:1) (Harwood, 1932). In <u>H. parviplexus</u> this ratio is 3:2 (Irwin, 1929).

The O/A ratio in <u>H. floedae</u> is 3:1 (Harwood, 1932). In <u>H. parviplexus</u> this ratio is 4:1 (Irwin, 1929). Eggs of <u>H. floedae</u> vary from 0.021 by 0.017 to 0.017 by 0.013. Eggs of <u>H. parviplexus</u> range in size from 0.023 to 0.029 in length and from 0.016 to 0.019 in width, averaging 0.025 by 0.017.

The lengths of the extracaecal folds of the uterus in <u>H. floedae</u> have been shown, by examining the type specimen, not to extend beyond 1/2 the distance along the anterior testis.

Uterine folds on the ovarian side of the body are often shorter than its mate (Harwood, 1932).

Variations in O/A ratio, egg measurements, and extent of extracaecal loops have previously been discussed for <u>H. parviplexus</u>.

Because of the variation in egg measurements, O/A ratios, and extent of extracaecal loops, it is impossible to separate <u>H. varioplexus</u>, <u>H. similiplexus</u>, <u>H. parviplexus</u>, <u>H. buttensis</u>, <u>H. floedae</u> and <u>H. uniplexus</u> from one another. However, the extracaecal loops never extend beyond the anterior testis. Therefore, this group can be separated from <u>H. longiplexus</u> and <u>H. breviplexus</u>.

H. complexus, H. confusus, and H. oxyorchis:

Ingles (1932) states that "<u>H. confusus</u> more closely resembles <u>H. complexus</u> than any other described lung fluke. It agrees favourably with this latter species in size, type of uterus, the lobed testes and ovary, and in ratio of the oral sucker to the acetabulum. It differs from <u>H. complexus</u>, however, in having spines, in having smaller eggs, in the arrangement of the vitellaria, and in the testes and ovary always being lobed" [sic].

<u>H. Oxyorchis</u> differs from <u>H. Complexus</u> in having smaller eggs. Egg size was the main feature distinguishing <u>H. Oxyorchis</u> from <u>H. complexus</u>.

Type specimens of <u>H. complexus</u> were not available for inspection. However, Seely (1906) states that this species is "without spines" but notes that "this may have been due to maceration". Eighty-two percent of the specimens examined by me had spines.

The egg sizes of these two species overlap the low egg size range of <u>H. complexus</u>. I feel that there is not enough difference in these measurements to varrant separation of these two species from <u>H. complexus</u>.

I have shown that in collections of <u>H. Complexus</u> six percent of the anterior and eleven percent of the posterior testis were lobed.

H. coloradensis:

Cort (1915a) reviewed the North American lung flukes and described <u>H. coloradensis</u> n. sp. in the same paper. He stated that <u>H. coloradensis</u> (Fig. 74) is most closely related to <u>H. complexus</u> (Seely, 1906) (Fig. 68) in having the same body shape and general arrangement of the uterus as that species. Differences between these two species were noted in the O/A and O/P ratios and in size of eggs.

The O/A ratio for <u>H. coloradensis</u> is 5:4 (=1.3:1.0) (Cort, 1915a) and 1.1:1.0 for <u>H. complexus</u> (Seely, 1906). My collections show that the ratios overlap completely for the two species. The ratios ranged from 1.0 to 1.4:1.0 (1.2:1.0) for <u>H. coloradensis</u> and 1.0 to 2.4:1.0 (1.4:1.0) for <u>H. complexus</u>.

The O/P ratio for <u>H. coloradensis</u> is 10:7 (=1.4:1.0) (Cort. 1915a) and was not given for <u>H. complexus</u> by Seely (1906). Ny data show a great deal of overlap in this ratio for the two species, <u>H. coloradensis</u> has an O/P ratio range of 1.2 to 2.4:1.0 (1.4:1.0) and <u>H. complexus</u> has a range of 1.6 to 2.0:1.0 The average egg measurement for <u>H. complexus</u> is 0.029 by 0.014 (Seely, 1906) and 0.034 by 0.020 for <u>H. coloradensis</u> as given by Cort, 1915a. Specimens examined by me indicated almost a complete overlap in these measurements for the two species. The average measurement for <u>H. coloradensis</u> was 0.035 by 0.019 and for <u>H. complexus</u> 0.036 by 0.020.

Because of the overlap in measurements used in attempting to separate these two species the separation is not valid.

B. / Examining Type Specimens.

A re-examination of available type specimens of North American <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp ρ . indicated some errors in earlier observations.

The absence of spines reported for six species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> has been used to help separate these species from those flukes which bear spines. Ingles (1932) reported that <u>H. oxyorchis</u> differed from <u>H. confusus</u> in being spineless. Similarly, the absence of spines in <u>H. floedae</u> was one character used by Harwood (1932) to distinguish this species from <u>H. parviplexus</u>. Examination of the type specimens of all the above four species demonstrated that all had spines.

<u>H. kernensis</u> was described by Ingles (1932) as having no spines. This feature was used to help separate that species from <u>H. tumidus</u> (described in the same paper), which had spines. <u>H. uniplexus</u> was also described as being spineless (Harwood, 1932), but Harwood did not use this character to separate it from other <u>Haematoloechus</u> spp. Re-examining the type specimens of <u>H. kernensis</u>, <u>H. tumidus</u> and <u>H. floedae</u> demonstrated that all were spined.

The type specimen of <u>H. complexus</u> was not available for examination. This species was described by Seely (1906) as being without spines. Seely stated that "this may have been due, however, to maceration," p. 249. I have shown experimentally that flukes lose their spines when treated in distilled water. Furthermore, I have shown that a certain proportion of flukes of each species collected in the field, including <u>H. complexus</u>, do not have spines. Because of the errors made in reporting spines, the experimental results demonstrating the loss of spines during pre-fixing treatment, and the variation found in field observations, this character cannot be considered reliable for species separation in this genus.

The shape of testes and ovaries has also been used to separate species in this genus.

Ingles (1932) used these features to help separate <u>H. kernensis</u> from <u>H. tumidus</u>. The ovary is lobed and the testes are unlobed in <u>H. tumidus</u> Ingles, 1932. The shape of the testes was also used by Ingles (1932) to separate <u>H. Confusus</u> from <u>H. oxyorchis</u>. Examination of the type specimens of <u>H. confusus</u> and comparison with <u>H. oxyorchis</u> indicated that the shape of the testes of the two species was not different (Figs. 73 and 72). The presence or absence of lobing of ovaries and testes has been shown, in this thesis, to be highly variable for several other members of this genus.

Ingles' (1936) drawing of <u>H. buttensis</u> showed that the left extracaecal uterine loop extended 1/3 the way along the length of the posterior testis, and the right loop did not reach the posterior testis. Examination of the type specimen indicated that both extracaecal loops just reach the level of the posterior testis. Examination of the type specimen of <u>H. floedae</u> demonstrated that the left extracaecal loop extended only a distance 1/2 way along the anterior testis. The right loop extended half-way along the posterior testis. Harwood's drawing of the type specimen shows both loops extending a distance 1/2 way along the ovary. It is very important to be correct in

155

. .

. .

.

. .

PART III. TAXONOMIC DISCUSSION

Haematoloechus Looss, 1899, one of the most commonly encountered genera of frog trematodes, has been reported from practically every continent. Although Looss named the genus in 1899, he renamed it Pneumonoeces in 1902. This was done because the genus <u>Haematoloecha</u> had been given to an hemipteran by Stal in 1874. Harwood (1932), and Ingles (1932) independently pointed out that the name first chosen by Looss for this genus was not invalidated. and should stand in accordance with the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. However, some authors, notably Skrjabin and Antipin (1962), retained Pneumonoeces.

Additional generic names have been applied to trematodes of this group. Ward (1917) accepted the genus Pneumonoeces but stated that one group of flukes, in this genus, should be separated as a genus, to which he applied the name new Pneumobites and gave Pneumobites longiplexus (Haematoloechus longiplexus of Stafford, 1902) as the type of the genus. Ward characterized this genus as having elongate lateral and nearly symmetrical testes, and lobed ovary -in contrast to the round, median testes and entire ovary of Pneumonoeces. Extracaecal longitudinal folds of the uterus are more pronounced in Pneumobites, reaching nearly the length of the body. Ward included Pneumobites breviplexus (H. breviplexus of Stafford, 1902) in this new genus.

In my thesis I have demonstrated that the geographical variation in extent of extracaecal loops, and orientation of the testes is constant for the above two species, regardless of collecting locality. However, the lobed nature of the ovary and testes is highly variable.

Mayr (1969) states that "a genus is a taxonomic category containing a single species, or a monophyletic group of species, which is separated from other taxa of the same rank (other genera) by a decided gap". Mayr (1969) recommended that the size of the gap be in inverse ratio to the size of the taxon. The soundest genera are based on an overall appreciation of the members of the taxon under consideration (Mitchener, 1957) and ideally should be based on the occurrence of correlated character complexes (Mayr, 1969)

To erect new genera based on incomplete knowledge of a few representatives of the world fauna currently recognized under the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> is clearly unwise.

Variations in extent of extracaecal loops, and orientation of testes are not known for many European species. The characters proposed by Ward(1917) to characterize the genus <u>Pneumobites</u> are present in different combinations in some European species. For example, <u>H. Sibericus</u> has round testes but also elongate extracaecal loops, which extend at least as far as is found in <u>H. breviplexus</u>, which Ward included in <u>Pneumobites</u>. Similarly, there are species in which the testes are parallel, but round and smooth (<u>H. nanchangensis</u> reported from Japan). Therefore, I feel that the genus <u>Pneumobites</u>-should be rejected, and <u>Haematoloechus</u> retained.

The extent of the extracaecal loops and the shape and orientation of testes serve to separate <u>H. longiplexus</u> and <u>H. breviplexus</u> from one-another as well as from all other North

American representatives of <u>Haemtaoloechus</u>. Because of the consistent character differences, I propose that these two species remain as valid species.

Natural infections of H. longiplexus have most frequently described from R. catesbeiana, but have been recorded from been six species of Ranidae and one of Bufonidae. H. breviplexus has been reported from R. catesbeiana, but occurs in two other also ranids and one bufonid. The above flukes have been reported only from North America and have not so far been reported north of 500N parallel or south of the 280N parallel. The geographic the. distribution of these species follows closely that described for R. catesbeiana. The occurrence of these flukes in R. catesbeiana and occasionally in R. clamitans may be related to differences in seasonal reproductive timing of the host, or food preferences at the time when infected odonates are available to frogs. Therefore, host specificity of H. lengiplexus for R. catesbeiana, as suggested by reported field observations, may ecological factors. Habitat preferences of be a result of <u>Rescatesbeiana</u> and <u>R. clamitans</u> were demonstrated by Stewart and Sanderson (1972). The different preferences resulted in <u>R. catesbeiana</u> taking Coenagrionidae (Odonata) as a food item, whereas R. clamitans did not consume any odonates.

Ostiolum Pratt, 1903 was differentiated from <u>Haematoloechus</u> Looss, 1899 only on the absence of extracaecal loops in the former and the presence of one or two loops in the later. Odening (1960a) and Skrjabin (1964) have also accepted this genus as being valid. If this genus is accepted, on the basis of this character designation, two genera would be recognized:
es departa da

Ostiolum Pratt, 1903, and <u>Haematoloechus</u> Looss, 1899. The genus <u>Osticlum</u> would include five North American species presently recognized in the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> : <u>H. medioplexus</u>, <u>H. complexus</u>, <u>H. coloradensis</u>, <u>H. oxyorchis</u>, and <u>H. confusus</u>. A single character may justify the creation of a new species but it cannot be the sole criterion for the erection of a new genus (Verster, 1969). If the above criterion was followed it would be necessary to erect at least four new genera: one to accommodate <u>H. uniplexus</u>, in which there is a single extracaecal uterine loop; one to accommodate species with egg lengths greater than 0.058 mm; one to accommodate species with ventral suckers equal to or larger than the oral sucker; and one to accommodate species with round or oval testes.

I have shown, experimentally, and by analysis of field collections, that variations within the genus may result from differences in age and degree of maturity, extent of crowding, species of host, and other factors. Because of these variations, I feel that the genus <u>Ostiolum</u> should not be accepted, and that the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> should contain the above five species. I recognize only two of the above five species as being valid. I consider <u>H. medioplexus</u> valid. This species can be distinguished from the other four species of the group by its O/A ratio, which remained constant regardless of collecting locality. This ratio can serve to separate <u>H. medioplexus</u> from all other <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp. which do not contain extracaecal uterine loops.

H. <u>medioplexus</u> occurs primarily in <u>R. pipiens</u>, but has been recorded infrequently from seven other species of Ranidae and onespecies of Bufonidae. My records show that <u>H. medioplexus</u> has not been recorded above the 50°N parallel or below the 40°N parallel, or west of the Cascade mountains. The life-cycle of <u>H. medioplexus</u> may have developed by adaptation to the early breeding season of <u>R. pipiens</u>. Therefore, metacercariae of <u>H. medioplexus</u> may be available to <u>R. pipiens</u> at a time when it is using the pond most heavily and may not be available to other frogs using the pond later in the season. <u>R. pipiens</u> may be displaced from the ponds when other frogs are using the area. This displacement may result in a shift in food items taken by **R. pipiens**.

H. <u>complexus</u> is the other species in this group which I consider valid. <u>H. oxyorchis</u>, <u>H. confusus</u> and <u>H. coloradensis</u> are here considered synonyms of <u>H. complexus</u>.

Egg size, and lobation of testes and ovary, as well as absence of spines in H. complexus and H. oxyorchis were the main features previously used to separate these species from one another. The range of egg sizes of H. coloradensis and H. complexus did not vary geographically. However, egg length and width of these two species overlap completely, and are therefore unreliable for separating them. The egg sizes of H. oxyorchis and H. confusus overlap completely with each other and with the lower limit of egg length of H. complexus. I have shown that egg size varies in <u>H. buttensis</u> when this fluke is developed in different frog hosts. I have also shown that egg length varies geographically for several other members of this genus. Separating species on the basis of egg size alone is therefore very unreliable.

Lobation of overy and testes is also an unreliable character to use for separating species in this genus. I have demonstrated experimentally that lobing in these structures is dependent, in part, on the age of the worm. Furthermore, field collections of <u>H. complexus</u> and <u>H. coloradensis</u> have shown that lobation may or may not be present. This character is therefore unusable for separating species.

For the above reasons, <u>H. complexus</u>, <u>H. oxyorchis</u>, <u>H. confusus</u> and <u>H. coloradensis</u> are considered synonyms. <u>H. complexus</u> (Seely, 1906) is the valid name.

H. complexus uses R. pipiens most frequently its as definitive host; as does <u>H</u>. <u>medioplexus</u>. <u>H</u>. <u>complexus</u> may even have arisen from H. medioplexus. All flukes designated by me as H. complexus occur between the 30° N and 400 N parallels. <u>H. coloradensis</u> has been reported from various localities in Colorado, Idaho and Nebraska. Two species (H. oxyorchis Utah, Con and H. complexus) recorded from the west coast by Ingles (1932a) may have been derived from what Cort (1915) has called H. coloradensis. "A Little Ice Age" followed some time after the close of the Wisconsin. Dumas (1966) believed the cooler, moister climatic conditions of this age permitted an invasion across the of the Rockies of the eastern pond frog R. pipiens. low passes It is during this time that <u>R. pipiens</u> may have passed on to lung fluke, H. ccloradensis, and what Ingles <u>R. aurora</u> the (1932) has called <u>H. oxyorchis</u> and <u>H. confusus</u>. These latter lung flukes are morphologically very similar to <u>H. coloradensis</u>, and the distribution of <u>H. coloradensis</u> in <u>R. pipiens</u> suggests this may be the stock which supplied Ingles with his flukes.

Ingles (1936) states that <u>H. tumidus</u> is always found in frogs which inhabit streams and never in frogs from ponds. The pond-inhabiting frogs are always infected with <u>H. oxyorchis</u>. If my transfer theory is correct, then <u>H. oxyorchis</u> may have been transferred from <u>R. pipiens</u> to <u>Rana aurora draytoni</u> and adapted to a pond-type life cycle. <u>H. tumidus</u> may be the original lung fluke <u>Rana aurora draytoni</u> of the stream type.

What was originally described as <u>H. complexus</u> (Seely, 1906) may also have arisen from <u>H. coloradensis</u> but is now using <u>R. blairi</u> and <u>R. utricularia</u> (both previously considered <u>R. pipiens</u>) as its amphibian host.

Odening (1960a) included in the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u> all those frog lung flukes which contained extracaecal loops. Flukes without extracaecal loops were included in the genus <u>Osticlum</u>. Odening did not accept <u>Pneumobites</u> Ward, 1917 as being valid. In a previous paper Odening (1958) included all frog lung-flukes in the genus <u>Haematoloechus</u>. The validity of the species which have extracaecal loops is discussed below. <u>H. longiplexus</u> and <u>H. breviplexus</u> have been discussed earlier and will not be included here.

H. <u>kernensis</u> and <u>H. <u>tumidus</u> are separated on the basis of absence of spines in <u>H. kernensis</u>, differences in O/P ratio, and shape of ovary and testes. I have pointed out earlier, in the discussion on examining type specimens, that the O/P ratios are the same for both species, and that spines are present on <u>H. kernensis</u>. The presence or absence of lobing of ovaries and testes has been shown, in this thesis, to be highly variable for several other members of this genus. Therefore, I recommend that</u> H. <u>tumidus</u> be considered a synonym of <u>H. kernensis</u>. The type description of <u>H. kernensis</u> should be amended to read "tegument with spines".

Some of the <u>R. aurora</u> collected from Kern County, California by Ingles (1932, 1936) contained <u>H. kernensis</u>. This fluke has never been collected outside that vicinity nor hasit ever been reported from any other amphibian host. <u>H. tumidus</u>, also from <u>R. aurora</u> was reported by Ingles (1932, 1936) from Kern County north to the San Francisco Bay region. It is always found in frogs which inhabit streams and never in frogs which inhabit ponds (Ingles, 1936).

The following five species, which also contain extracaecal loops, are considered synonyms of <u>H. yarioplexus</u>. They are: <u>H. similiplexus</u>, <u>H. parviplexus</u>, <u>H. buttensis</u>, <u>H. floedae</u> and <u>H. uniplexus</u>. These species are characterized as having extracaecal loops that do not extend to the anterior margin of the anterior testis. This group differs from <u>H. kernensis</u> in having O/A ratios usually greater than 1.1:1.0.

H. <u>Uniplexus</u> was previously separated from the other five species by having only one extracaccal loop. I have demonstrated that as much as 8.5% of the specimens in three of the species in the <u>H. varioplexus</u> group also had only one extracaecal loop.

The extent of the extracaecal uterine loops has been important in separating <u>H. parviplexus</u>, <u>H. buttensis</u>, and <u>H. floedae</u> from one another as well as from <u>H. varioplexus</u>. I have demonstrated, experimentally, that the extracaecal uterine folds were in part host-dependent for <u>H. buttensis</u>. Examination of <u>H. buttensis</u> from several localities in B.C., has shown further that the uterine folds may extend as far as the anterior border of the posterior testis or as little as 1/3 the distance from the end of the worm to the posterior testis. I have also shown a considerable variation in this character for <u>H. varioplexus</u> and <u>H. parviplexus</u>. The descriptions of the extent of extracaecal loops in <u>H. Similiplexus</u> and <u>H. floedae</u> lie within this range of variation. It thus becomes impossible to separate these species using this character.

Egg size has been used to separate <u>H. similiplexus</u> from <u>H. varioplexus</u> ; <u>H. buttensis</u> from <u>H. similiplexus</u> and <u>H. floedae</u> from <u>H. parviplexus</u>.

Egg size in some species can vary considerably. The egg size of <u>H. parviplexus</u> varied with host as well as varying geographically. The egg lengths of <u>H. varioplexus</u> and <u>H. similiplexus</u> varied with host.

Species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> occur, under natural conditions, in a variety of amphibian hosts (see Appendix 23 for a list of known definitive hosts). A wider range of potential hosts has been demonstrated experimentally for <u>H. buttensis</u> in this thesis than has been found under natural conditions in British columbia.

Individual species may differ from each other through slight differences in size, arrangement and location of various structures. Stunkard (1965) states that: "the problem of the taxonomist then is to distinguish between variations within a particular design and between different designs." He went on to state that "consideration must be given to the possibility that representatives of a single species may complete their

development in different host-species and furthermore that, as a result of development in different hosts, individuals of the same species may manifest differences in size and shape, in rate of growth and sexual maturity, and in extent of development of various tissues and organs."

Dronen (1975, 1977) noted that all odonates tested, <u>Anax</u> sp., <u>Libella</u> sp., <u>Tramea</u> sp., and <u>Enallagma</u> sp., became infected with metacercariae of <u>H</u>. <u>coloradensis</u>, and could serve as second intermediate hosts. Schell (1965) used the dragonfly, <u>Aeschna</u> <u>multicolor</u> Hagen, 1861 as an experimental host for <u>H</u>. <u>breviplexus</u>; Dronen (1977) used <u>Libellula</u> sp. In different areas different odonate species may be preferred as second intermediate hosts.

Dronen (Personal Communications) noted that the cercariae of <u>H. breviplexus</u> were larger in the snail, <u>Ferrissia</u>, which he used as first intermediate host than in <u>Gyraulus similaris</u> (Baker, 1919) used by Schell (1965).

Species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> can and do utilize a wide range of hosts. Therefore, it is not advisable to use host-specificity as the sole criterion for diagnosing species.

Stunkard (1957) states "it is abundantly clear that flatworm parasites are able to acquire new hosts, and that they change hosts with differing ecological situations. It is equally clear that development in different host-species and under different physiological conditions of the individual host may profoundly alter the parasite. In many instances, varieties or races peculiar to certain hosts, hostal varieties, may be recognized, but there is no sound reason to believe that they represent different species". Forms showing marked host specificity could be considered subspecies rather than species.

In view of the demonstrated variability in characters used to separate the above six species I recommend that they become synonyms, and that <u>H. varioplexus</u> be the valid name.

In this thesis I have recommended recognizing only six species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> from Canada and the United States as being valid. They are as follows:

H. longiplexus Stafford, 1902

H. breviplexus Stafford, 1902

H. varioplexus Stafford, 1902 (= H. parviplexus, = H. buttensis, = H. similiplexus, = H. floedae, = H. uniplexus)

<u>H. kernensis</u> Ingles, 1932 (= <u>H. tumidus</u>)

H.m.medioplexus Stafford, 1902

S. Fr

<u>H. complexus</u> (Seely, 1906) (= <u>H. coloradensis</u>, = <u>H. confusus</u>, = <u>H. oxyorchis</u>).

The above findings suggest several possibilities in regard to relationships between speciation and dispersal of the host, and the lung flukes they contain. These ideas are still highly speculative and incomplete. However, they do add support to current theories of speciation in some North American Ranidae, and help to clarify some aspects of haematoloechid systematics in North America. For these reasons I have outlined my ideas below.

Current species distribution in North America is attributed to Pleistocene and Recent climatic changes (Porter, 1972). The southeastern United States has been the major center of distribution for North American Ranidae (Porter, 1972).

Leopard frogs from North America are comprised of numerous (Pace, 1974). Four separate species of these (<u>Rana pipiens, R. utricularia, R. berlandieri</u>, and <u>R. blairi</u>) were at one time considered a single species, belonging to the R. pipiens Complex. Pace (1974) distinguished the above four by certain morphological, biochemical, and species vocal characteristics. The geographical ranges of these species are in general mutually exclusive with contiguous boundaries, and are depicted in Pace (1974).

Pace (1974) suggested that Florida populations of <u>R. utricularia</u> and Texas populations of <u>R. berlandieri</u> are derived from the same ancestral stock. The Texas population (<u>R. berlandieri</u>), speciated from <u>R. utricularia</u> but the Florida population did not. <u>R. utricularia</u> may have invaded from the south, meeting <u>R. pipiens</u> north of their present zone of interaction (Pace, 1974) (Map 3).

concept of the <u>H. complexus</u> group Later My and =H. oxyorchis) fits, and (=<u>H.coloradensis</u>, =<u>H. confusus</u>, supports this interpretation. Lung flukes from R. berlandierimorphologically, more similar to those: found in are <u>R. utricularia</u> than those recovered from <u>R. blairi</u> or <u>R. pipiens</u> (Fig. / 81). This suggests a closer relationship to flukes from R. utricularia.

It is not known where <u>R</u>. <u>blairi</u> survived glaciation, if it was a distinct species at that time (Pace, 1974). Its spread into the midwest followed the post-Wisconsin expansion of the Prairie Peninsula (Smith, 1957). The morphology of lung flukes from <u>R</u>. <u>blairi</u> is intermediate between those from the southwestern <u>R</u>. <u>pipiens</u> and those from <u>R</u>. <u>utricularia</u>. Similarities between their lung flukes suggest a southwestern origin for <u>R</u>. <u>blairi</u>, perhaps around Arizona and New Mexico, at which time <u>R</u>. <u>blairi</u> may not have been distinct. This hypothesis, while extremely tenuous, nevertheless may account for the greater similarity of <u>H</u>. <u>complexus</u> from <u>R</u>. <u>blairi</u> to <u>H</u>. <u>complexus</u> from <u>R</u>. <u>pipiens</u> than to lung flukes from <u>R</u>. <u>utricularia</u>.

Where did the <u>H. complexus</u> (<u>-H. oxyorchis</u>, <u>-H. confusus</u>), found in <u>R. aurora</u> originate? I suggested earlier that they arose from what was previously considered <u>H. coloradensis</u>. In many respects the flukes from <u>R. aurora</u>, in northern California, are similar to flukes (<u>-H. coloradensis</u>) found in southwestern <u>R. pipiens</u>. This suggests to me a later transfer of flukes to <u>R. aurora</u> from <u>R. pipiens</u>. The ancestral lung fluke was probably what was previously considered <u>H. coloradensis</u>.

R. <u>clamitans</u> and <u>R. catesbeiana</u> are native to eastern United States. The natural western limits are uncertain because of their introduction into many localities (Conant, 1958) (Map 4). The above two species form a natural group distinct from other North American Ranidae (Wallace, <u>et. al.</u>, 1973). These frogs contain <u>H. longiplexus</u> and <u>H. breviplexus</u> which I have shown form a group guite distinct from other North American haematoloechids. <u>H. longiplexus</u> and <u>H. breviplexus</u> exhibit very little morphological variation regardless of collecting locality.

I have insufficient data to warrant a good hypothesis concerning the spread of the <u>H. varioplexus</u> group recognized in this thesis. More specimens are needed from many localities before any predictions can be made.

· · · ·

. . . .

····

Map 3. The distribution of the <u>Rana pipiens</u> Complex (After Pace, 1974) and their lung flukes in North America.

•



Map 4. The distribution of <u>R. catesbeiana</u> and <u>R. clamitans</u> (After Conant, 1958) and their lung flukes in North America.

.

a sa sa sa

17.1



Fig. 81 A proposed derivation of the body types which constitute <u>H. complexus</u> (=<u>H. oxyorchis</u>, =<u>H. confusus</u>, =<u>H. coloradensis</u>).

. .

÷

172

- .

.

. . . .



172A

A KEY TO THE HABMATOLOECHUS SP., IN CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES

1... 2(1) Extracaecal uterine loops extending beyond anterior testis 2* Extracaecal uterine loops do not reach anterior testis 3(2) Testes parallel or nearly parallel: extracaecal uterine loops extending beyond ovary 3 Testes overlap 1/3 to 1/2 their length; uterine loops extend to ovary H. breviplexusextracaecal 4(2*) Testes elliptical: O/A ratio usually greater than 1.4:1.0: if less than 1.4:1.0 testes not round H. varioplexus 4. Testes round: 0/A ratio 0.8:1.0 to 1.1:1.0 kernensis-5(1) 0/A ratio greater than 2.0:1.0 (2.6 to 4.3:1.0) O/A ratio 2.0:1.0 or less (1.2 to 2.0:1.0) 51

SUMMARY

1. Flattening of worms during mounting caused great variation in size and shape of the body, gonads, and suckers. Body size increased with increased temperature of fixative, and when distilled water was used to assemble live flukes before fixation. Tegumental spines were lost during this pre-fixative treatment. The O/A ratio was the only character measured that did not change.

2. Variation in <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> resulted from differences in age and degree of maturity; from extent of "crowding," from type of host and temperature at which it was maintained. The size and shape of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> was not affected by host size or sex.

3. Adult <u>H. buttensis</u> were reared experimentally in four different species of frogs and three species of insects. Size, shape, and position of ovary and testes, body size, and extent of vitellaria were so variable that they were obviously unreliable for separating species. Stable characters included the O/A ratio, spined tegument, and to some degree, extent of the extracaecal uterine loops.

4. <u>H. longiplexus</u> and <u>H. breviplexus</u> collected from various localities had two characters suitable for species identification: extent of extracaecal uterine loops, and degree of overlap of the anterior and posterior testes. There was was no overlap in these characters, which are therefore, considered good taxonomic features.

5. Examination of specimens labelled <u>H. varioplexus</u> or <u>H. similiplexus</u> indicated that characters previously used to distinguish these species overlapped so much that it was impossible to make a positive identification. Specimens were therefore treated according to host. When this was done it was noted that the O/A ratio and egg size varied with host.

6. Analysis of the O/A ratios for <u>H. parviplexus</u> showed a significant variation between specimens from B.C. and those from Nebraska. Both a geographic and a host effect was indicated. As well, egg length of worms from Atkinson, Nebraska differed from those of worms from B.C. or Humboldt, Nebraska. Other characters measured did not show significant host or geographic variation.

7. Nine species have previously been described as containing paired extracaecal uterine loops. However, as much as 9% of the specimens examined from field collections contained a single extracaecal loop. This suggested that <u>H. uniplexus</u>, described from a single specimens as having one extracaecal loop, is not a valid species.

8. Examination of type specimens revealed that some errors in published descriptions had been made for <u>H. floedae</u>, <u>H. kernensis</u>, <u>H. oxyorchis</u>, <u>H. uniplexus</u> and <u>H. buttensis</u>. These findings, together with conclusions drawn from experiments on <u>H. buttensis</u> and examination of collections of other lung flukes, led to the conclusion that <u>H. floedae</u>, <u>H. oxyorchis</u>, <u>H. uniplexus</u> and <u>H. buttensis</u> were not valid species.

9. Marked morphological variation and lack of host specificity documented during this study indicated that the following six species are valid:

H. longiplexus Stafford, 1902 H. breviplexus Stafford, 1902 H. <u>varioplexus</u> Stafford, 1902 (= <u>H. similiplexus</u>, = <u>H. buttensis</u>, = <u>H. parviplexus</u>, = <u>H. floedae</u>, = <u>H. uniplexus</u>). <u>H. medioplexus</u> Stafford, 1902

<u>H. complexus</u> (Seely, 1906) (= <u>H. coloradensis</u>, = <u>H. confusus</u>, =<u>H. oxyorchis</u>).

<u>H. kernensis</u> Ingles, 1932 (= <u>H. tumidus</u>).

A redescription of the above six species was given.

•

. . . .

10. A key to the six species recognized in this thesis is provided.

. .

. . .

······

.

LITERATURE CITED

a se a de la servició de la servició

- Ackert, J.E. 1935. Factors in the resistance of chickens to the nematode <u>Ascaridia lineata</u>. Trans. Dyn. Develop. Mosc. 20: 413-422.
- Angel, M. 1959. An account of <u>Plagiorchis maculosus</u> (Rud.), its synonymy and its life history in South Australia. Trans. R. Soc. S. Aust. 82: 265-281.
- Beaver, P.C. 1937. Experimental studies on <u>Echinostoma</u> <u>revolutum</u> (Proelich), a fluke from birds and mammals. Ill. Biol. Monogr. 15: 1-96.
- Berrie, A.D. 1960. The influence of various definitive hosts on the development of <u>Diplostomum phoxini</u> (Strigeida, Trematoda). J. Helminthol. 34: 205-210.
- Blankespoor, H.D. 1970. Host-parasite relationships of an avian trematode, <u>Plagiorchis noblei</u> Park, 1936. Ph.D thesis, Iowa State Univ. 180 pp.
- Blankespoor, H.D. 1974. Host-induced variation in <u>Plagiorchis</u> <u>noblei</u> Park, 1936 (Plagiorchiidae: Trematoda). Am. Midl. Nat. 92: 415-433.
 - Boddeke, R. 1960a. The life history of <u>Prosthogonimus</u> <u>ovatus</u> Rudolphi. I. Experiments in birds. Trop. Geogr. Med. 12: 263-292.
 - Boddeke, R. 1960b. The life history of <u>Prosthogonimus ovatus</u> Rudclphi. III. Taxonomy and economical aspects. Trop. Geogr. Med. 12: 378-387.
- Brooks, D.R. 1976. Parasites of amphibians of the Great Plains. Part 2. Platyhelminths of amphibians in Nebraska. Bull. Univ. Nebr. State Mus. 10: 65-92.
- Brooks, D.R., and Mayes, M.A. 1976. Morphological variation in natural infections of <u>Oochoristica bivitellobata</u> Loewen, 1940 Cestoidea: Anoplocephalidae). Trans. Nebr. Acad. Sci. 3: 20-21.
- Bouchard, J.L. 1951. The platyhelminths parasitizing some northern Maine Amphibia. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 70: 245-250.
 - Bourns, T.K.R. 1966. <u>Plagiorchis noblei</u> in nestling red-winged blackbirds. J. Parasitol. 52: 974.
 - Chandler, A.C. 1923. Speciation and host relationships of parasites. Parasitol. 15:326-339.

- Chitwood, M.B. 1957. Intraspecific variation in parasitic nematodes. Syst. Zool. 6: 19-23.
- Conant, R. 1958. A field guide to reptiles and amphibians. The Peterson field guide series. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston. 366 pp.
- Cort, W.W. 1915a. North American frog lung flukes. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 34: 203-243.
- Cort, N.W. 1915b. Egg variations in a trematode species. J. Parasitol. 2: 25-26.
- Cort, W.W., Ameel, D.J., and Van der Woude, A. 1954. Germinal development in the sporocysts and rediae of the digenetic trematodes. Exp. Parasitol. 3: 185-225.
- Crites, J.L. 1962. Morphology as a basis of identification and classification of parasites. J. Parasitol. 48: 652-655.
- Dawes, B. 1962. On the growth and maturation of <u>Fasciola</u> <u>hepatica</u> L. in the mouse. J. Helminthol. 36: 11-38.
- Dobrovolskij, A. A. 1965. Some new data on the life-cycle of <u>Opisthioglyphe ranae</u> Frohlich, 1791 (Plagiorchiidae). Helminthologia (Bratisl.). 6: 205-221.
- Dogiel, V.A. 1966. General parasitology. Oliver and Boyd, London. 516 pp.
- Dollfus, R.P., Doby, J., and Laurent, P. 1960. Sur une xiphidiocercair parasitant <u>Limnaea</u> <u>truncatula</u> (O.F. Miller) en Haute-Savoie et s'enkystant dans des larves de moustigues. Bull. Soc. Zool. Fr. 85: 331-347. [In French]
- Dronen, N.O. Jr., 1975. The life cycle of <u>Haematoloechus</u> <u>coloradensis</u>. Cort, 1915 (Digenea: Plagiorchiidae), with emphasis on host susceptibility to infection. J. Parasitol. 61: 657-660.
- Dronen, N.O. Jr. 1977. Studies on the population structure of two species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> Looss, 1899 (Digenea: Plagiorchiidae) in raniid frogs in New Mexico. Proc. Helminthol. Soc. Wash. 44: 68-72.
- Dumas, P.C. 1966. Studies of the <u>Rana</u> complex in the Pacific Northwest. Copeia, 1966: 60-78.

Gilford, J.H. 1955. A restudy of the genus <u>Allassogonoporus</u> (Olivier, 1938) Macy, 1940. J. Parasitol. 41(Suppl.):27-28.

Ginetsinskaya, T.A., and Dobrovolskij, A.A. 1968. On the fauna of larval trematodes from fresh water molluscs of Volga Delta. Trudy Astrakh. Zapovedn. 6: 45-89. Grabda-Kazubska. B. 1960. Life-cycle of <u>Haematoloechus</u> <u>similis</u> (Looss, 1899) (Trematoda, Plagiorchiidae). Acta. Parasitol. Pol. 8: 357-367. Haley, A.J. 1962. Role of host relationships in the systematics of helminth parasites. J. Parasitol. 48: 671-678. Haley, J.A. and Parker, J.C. 1961. Effects of population density on adult worm survival in primary Nippostrongylus-<u>brasiliensis</u> infections in the rat. Proc. Helminthol. Soc. 28: 176-180. Harwood, P.D. 1932. The helminths parasitic in the Amphibia and Reptilia in Houston, Texas and vicinity. Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus., 81: 1 (Art. 17): 1-71. Herrick, C.A. 1925. Studies on the resistance of chickens to the nematode Ascaridia perspicillum. An. J. Hyg. 6: 153-172. s. teat. Hollis, P.D. 1972. Host sex influence on the seasonal incidence of <u>Haematoloechus medioplexus</u> (Trematoda: Plagiorchiidae) in <u>Rana pipiens</u>. J. Parasitol. 58: 128. Ingles, L.G., 1932. Four new species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> (Trematoda) from <u>Rana aurora</u> <u>draytoni</u> from California. Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 37: 189-201. Ingles, L.G. 1933. Studies on the structure and life-history of Osticlum oxyorchis (Ingles) from the California red-legged frog Rana aurora draytoni. Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 39: 135-162. A R A R A R Ingles, L.G. 1936. Worm parasites of California Amphibia. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 55: 73-92. Irwin, M.S. 1929. A new lung fluke from <u>Rana clamitans</u> Latreille. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 48: 74-79. Izyumova, N.A. 1956. Materials on the biology of Dactylogyrus <u>vastor</u> Nybelin. Cited in: Bull. State Sci. Res. Inst. Lake and River Fish. 49: 123-124.

and a substant

Kavelaars, J., and Bourns, T.K.R. 1968. Plagiorchis peterborensis sp. n. (Trematoda: Plagiorchiidae), a parasite of Lymnaea stagnalis appressa, reared in the laboratory mouse, Mus musculus. Can. J. Zool. 46: 135-140. Kim, K.C., Brown, B.W. Jr., and Cook, E.F. 1966. A quantitative taxonomic study of the Enderleinellus suturalis complex (Anoplura: hoplopeuridae). Syst. Zool. 12: 134-148. Kinsella, J.M. 1971. Growth, development, and intraspecific variation of <u>Quinqueserialis</u> guinqueserialis (Trematoda: Notocotylidae) in rodent hosts. J. Parasitol. 57: 62-70. Krull, W. 1930. The life history of two North American frog lung flukes. J. Parasitol. 16: 207-212. Krull, W. 1931. Life history studies on two frog lung flukes. Pneumonoeces medioplexus and Pneumobites parviplexus. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 50: 215-277. Krull, W.H. 1932. Studies on the life history of Pneumobites longiplexus (Stafford). Zool. Anz. 99: 231-239. Krull, W.H. 1933. Studies on the life history of a frog lung fluke, <u>Haematoloechus</u> <u>complexus</u> (Seely, 1906) Krull, n. comb. Z. Parasitenkd. 6: 192-206. Krull, W.H. 1934. Some additional notes on the life history of a frog lung fluke, <u>Haematoloechus complexus</u> (Seely, 1906) . . 1 Krull. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 53: 196-199. Lyubinski, G.A. And Kulakovskaya, O.P. 1940. L'intensite de l'invasion et variabilite de l' <u>Opisthorchis felineus</u> (Riv. 1884). Med. Parasitol. Mosc. 9: 434-438.[In French] Manter, H.W. 1938. A collection of trematodes from Florida Amphibia. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 57: 26-38. $(1,2,\ldots,2,N_{1})$ Mayr, E. 1969. Principles of systematic Zoology. McGraw-Hill Book Co. 428 pp. Michener, C.D. 1957. Some basis for higher categories in classification. Syst. Zool. 6: 160-173.

180

Moseley, C. and Nollen, P.M. 1973. Autoradiographic studies on the reproductive sytem of Philophthalmus hegeneri Penner and Fried, 1963. . . .¹ J. Parasitol. 59: 650-654. Nollen, P.M. 1968. Autoradiographic studies on reproduction in <u>Philophthalmus megalurus</u> (Cort, 1914) (Trematoda). J. Parasitol. 54: 43-48. Nollen, P.M., Pyne, J.L., Moseley, C. and Bunker, D. 19 Inseminatory behaviour of Philophthalmus megalurus and Philophthalmus hegeneri in concurrent infections 1.1.1 in chicks. Int. J. Parasitol. 5: 99-101. Odening, K. 1958. Zur Systematik von Haematoloechus (Plagiorchiidae). Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berl. 34: 63-108.[In German] Odening, K. 1960a. Plagiorchiidae III (Haematoloechinae) und Omphalometridae. Das Tierreich (Berlin). 75: 1-77. [In German] Odening, K. 1960b. Revision der Unterfamilie Haematoloechinae Preitas and Lent, 1939 (Trematoda: Plagiorchiidae). Sond. Monats. dtsch. Akad. Wiss. Berl. 2: 449-454. [In German] Pace, A.E. 1974. Systematic and biological studies of the leopard frogs (<u>Rana pipiens</u> Complex) of the United States. Univ. Mich. Mus. 2001. Misc. Publ. 48. 140 pp. -Pavlovski, E.N., and Gnezdilov, V.G., 1949. The factor of multiplicity in the experimental infection with broad tapeworm. Dokl. Adad. Nauk. S.S.S.R. 67: 755-758. Pavlovski, E.N., and Gnezdilov, V.G. 1953. Intraspecific and interspecific relationships of the components of the parasitic biocenosis in the intestine of the host. Zool. Zh. 32: 165-174 [In Russian] Porter, K.B. 1972. Herpetology. W.B. Saunders Co. Toronto. 524 pp. Rankin, J.S. Jr. 1937. An ecological study of parasites of some North Carolina salamanders. Ecol. Monogr. 7: 169-269. Rankin, J.S. Jr. 1938. Studies on the trematode genus Brachycoelium Duj. I. Variation in specific characters with reference to the validity of the described species. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 57: 358-375.

a Seata e e

- Rankin, J.S. Jr. 1939. The life cycle of the frog bladder fluke <u>Gorgoderina attenuata</u> Stafford, 1902 (Trematoda: Gorgoderidae). Am. Midl. Nat. 21: 476-488.
- Read, C.P., and Rothman, A.H. 1958. The carbohydrate requirement of <u>Moniliformis</u> (Acanthocephala). Exp. Parasitol. 7: 191-197.

Schell, S.C. 1965. The life history of <u>Haematoloechus</u> <u>breviplexus</u> Stafford, 1902 (Trematoda: Haplometridae McMullen, 1937), with emphasis on the development of the sporocysts. J. Parasitol. 51: 587-593.

Schiller, E.L. 1959. Experimental studies on morphological variation in the cestode genus <u>Hymenolepis</u>. III. X-irradiation as a mechanism for facilitating analysis in <u>H. mana</u>. Exp. Parasitol. 8: 427-470.

- Seely, L.B. 1906. Two Distomes. Biol. Bull. 10: 249-254.
- Skrjabin, K.I. 1964. Reys to the trematodes of animals and man. English translation by Dooley, R.W., edited by Arai, H.P., University of Illinois Press. 351 pp.
- Skrjabin, K.I., and Antipin, D.N. 1962. The superfamily Plagiorchioidea Dollfus, 1930, p. 47-163. In K.I. Skrjabin, Trematodes of animals and man. Vol. 20. Akad. Nauk, CCCR, Noscow. (In Russian).
- Smirnova, V.A., and Ibrasheva, S.I. 1967. Trematoda's larvae from the freshwater snails in the western Kazakhstan. Trud. Inst. Zool., Alma-Ata. 27: 53-87 [In Russian]
- Smith, P.N. 1957. An analysis of post-Wisconsin biogeography of the Prairie Peninsula region based on distributional phenomena among terrestrial vertebrate populations. Ecology, 38: 205-218.
- Stafford, J. 1902. On the American representatives of <u>Distomum varieqatum</u>. Zool. Jahrb. 16: 895-912.
 - Stewart, M.M., and Sanderson, P., 1972. Comparative food habits of sympatric mink frogs, bullfrogs, and green frogs. J. Herpetol. 6: 241-244.
- Stunkard, H.W. 1957. Intraspecific variation in parasitic flatworms. Syst. Zool. 6: 7-18.

Stunkard, H.W. 1965. Variation and criterion for generic and specific determination of diphyllobothriid cestodes. J. Helminthol. 39:281-296.

- Ulmer, M.J. 1950. A critique of methods for the measurement of parasitic worms. Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci. Arts Lett. 36: 149-152.
- Underwood, H.T., and Dronen, N.O. 1977. The molluscan intermediate hosts for species of <u>Haematolcechus</u> Looss, 1899 (Digenea: Plagiorchiidae) from raniid frogs of Texas. J. Parasitol. 63: 122.
 - Verster, A. 1969. A taxoncmic revision of the genus <u>Taenia</u> Linnaeus, 1758 <u>s. str</u>. Onderstepoort J. vet. Res. 36: 3-58.

Wallace, D.G., King, M., and Wilson, A.C. 1973. Albumin differences among ranid frogs:Taxonomic and phylogenetic implications. Syst. Zool., 22: 1-13.

- Ward, H.B. 1917. On structure and classification of North American parasitic worms. J. Parasitol. 4: 1-11.
 - Watertor, J. 1965. Intraspecific variation among trematodes of the genus <u>Telorchis</u>. PhD. Thesis Iowa State Univ. 102 pp.

Watertor, J.L. 1967. Intraspecific variation of adult <u>Telorchis</u> <u>bonnerensis</u> (Trematoda: Telorchiidae) in amphibian and reptilian hosts. J. Parasitol. 53: 962-968.

- Watertor, J.L. 1968. Effects of temperature stress on growth and development of larval and adult <u>Telorchis bonnerensis</u> (Trematoda: Telorchiidae). J. Parasitol. 54: 506-509.
- J. Parasitol. 54: 506-509. Wharton, G.W. 1940. The genera <u>Telorchis</u>, <u>Protenes</u>, and <u>Auridistomum</u> (Trematoda: Reneferidae). J. Parasitol. 26: 497-518.
 - Wharton, G.W. 1957. Intraspecific variation in the parasitic Acarina. Syst. Zool. 6: 24-28.
 - Willey, G.H. 1941. The life history and bionomics of the trematode, <u>Zygocotyle lunata</u> (Paramphistomidae). Zoologica (N.Y.). 26: 65-88.
- Wohlgemuth, 1920. Die Wirkung von Temperature Schwankungen auf die Parasiten der Pishkaut. Cited in Bull. State Sci. Res. Inst. Lake and River Fish. 49: 124.

Wright, C.A. 1960. Relationships between trematodes and molluscs. Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol. 54: 1-7.

Yamaguti, S. 1971. Synopsis of Digenetic Trematodes of Vertebrates. Vol.1. Keigaku Pub. Co. Ltd. Tokyo, Japan. 1074 pp.

Addendum

Odening, K. 1964. Zur Taxionomie der Trematodenunterordnung Plagiorchiata.

Monatsber Deut. Akad. Wess. Berlin. 6:191-198.

(in German)

Appendix 1. Summary of measurements used.

- AC Diameter of Acetabulum: The greatest acetabular diameter measured orthogonal to the long axis of the worm.
- ATE Anterior Testis from Posterior End. The distance between the posterior end of the anterior testis and the posterior end of the worm.
- ATL Anterior Testis Length. Greatest length of the anterior testis measured along the long axis of the worm.
- ATS Anterior Testis Shape. Designated as either smooth, mildly lobed, or highly lobed.
- ATW Anterior Testis Width. Greatest width of the anterior testis measured orthogonal to the long axis of the worm.
- BL Body Length. Greatest body length.
- BW Body Width. Measured at the widest part of the worm.
- EL Egg Length. The greatest length of an egg.
- EW Egg Width. The greatest width of an egg.
 - Egg measurements were made on eggs found in the most anterior portion of the uterus (Fig. 84).
 - LVL Length of Left Vitellaria. Distance between the anterior and posterior extent of the vitellaria, exclusive of the central band of vitellaria which extends between the left and right vitelline areas.
 - 0/A Oral sucker width divided by acetabulum width.
 - OAC Ovary from Acetabulum. The distance between the posterior border of the acetabulum and the anterior border of the ovary.

OAT Ovary from Anterior Testis. The distance between the posterior border of the ovary and the anterior margin of the anterior testis (Fig. 82).

Ovary Length. Greatest ovary length measured along the long axis of the worm.

OL .

- OSH Ovary Shape. Designated as either smooth, mildly lobed or highly lobed.
- OSL The Length of the Oral Sucker. The area anterior to the oral sucker, when the sucker is subterminal, is not included (Fig. 82).
- OSW. Width of the Oral Sucker. This measurement includes only the oral sucker proper, and does not include the area lateral to the oral sucker (Fig. 82).
- OW... Ovary Width. Greatest ovary width measured orthogonal to the long axis of the worm.
- PL Pharynx Length. Includes the entire length of the pharynx, even when the pharynx extends some distance into the oral sucker (Fig. 82).
- PTE Posterior Testis from Posterior end. The distance between the posterior margin of the posterior testis and the end of the worm.
- PTL Posterior Testis Length. Greatest length of the posterior testis (Fig. 82).
- PTS Posterior Testis Shape. Designated as either smooth, mildly lobed, or highly lobed.
- PTW Posterior testis width. Greatest width of the posterior testis measured orthogonal to the long axis of the worm. PW Pharynx Width. Greatest pharynx width.

RUF Length of Right Longitudinal Fold of Uterus. The anterior extent of that part of the uterus which extends to the outside of the left intestinal caecum. The fold is measured from its most posterior extent.

RVL Length of Right Vitellaria, Distance between the anterior and posterior extent of the vitellaria, exclusive of the central band of vitellaria which extends between the right and left vitelline areas.

THS Separation of Testes. This is the distance

between the posterior end of the most anterior testis and the anterior end of the most posterior testis. TO Overlap of Testes. The vertical distance between the testes. A positive value is given when testes actually overlap with one another. A negative value denotes testes separated by some distance.

TT Tegument Thickness.

N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

VA Anterior Extent of Vitellaria. Measured between the anterior extent of the vitellaria and the posterior end of the worm.

VE Distance of Vitellaria from end of worm. The distance between the posterior vitelline level and the posterior end of the fluke.

Fig. 82. Delineation of some worm measurements.

The uterus has been excluded for clarity.

Fig. 83 Delineation of some worm measurements. The testis, ovary and acetabulum have been omitted for clarity.



Appendix 2. Formulae for fixatives and solutions used in experiments.

A second second

AFA (alcohol-formol-acetic) fixative
Alcohol, 85%
Formalin, commercial
Acetic acid, glacial
Bouin's (Picro-formol-acetic) fixative
Picric acid, saturated aqueous solution
Formalin, commercial
Acetic acid, glacial
Pormalin, 10%
Water, distilled
Formalin, commercial
Frog Ringer's Solution
KClassica in the second state is a second state in the second state in the second state in the second state is a second state in the second state
NaCl
CaC12
NaHCO30.20 gm Distilled Water Schaudinn's fixative
Mercuric chloride, saturated aqueous sol
Alcohol, 95%
Acetic acid, glacial



Appendix 3. Flow sheet for preparing whole mounts.
Unflattened	, Weight 5 grams	used 10 grams
3.05(2.86-3.60)	4.67(3.85-4.91)	5.43(4.71-5.88)
0.63(0.55-0.74)	0.95(0.88-1.13)	1.51 (1.27-1.69)
0.09(0.08-0.09)	0.11 (0.10-0.12)	0.13(0.12-0.14)
0.21(0.19-0.23)	0.24(0.21-0.27)	0.27(0.24-0.30)
0.23(0.20-0.25)	0.29(0.26-0.31)	0.35(0.32-0.38)
2.6 (2.6 -2.8)	2.6 (2.5 -2.6)	2.7
0.13(0.12-0.15)	0.15(0.12-0.16)	0.18(0.15-0.20)
0.15(0.13-0.16)	0.22(0.19-0.24)	0.31(0.27-0.33)
0.52(0.40-0.61)	0.63(0.59-0.67)	0.66(0.61-9.76)
0.25(0.19-0.31)	0.35(0.28-0.44)	0.41(0.34-0.53)
0.63(0.57-0.74)	0.79(0.68-0.85)	0.83(0.75-0.93)
0.32(0.26-0.40)	0.43(0.39-0.53)	0.48(0.42-0.61)
0.67(0.59-0.78)	0.84(0.79-1.01)	0.89(0.85-1.07)
0.41(0.35-0.55)	0.51(0.45-0.66)	0.57(0.50-0.75)
	Unflattened 3. 05(2. 86-3. 60) 0. 63(0. 55-0. 74) 0. 09(0. 08-0. 09) 0. 21 (0. 19-0. 23) 0. 23(0. 20-0. 25) 2. 6 (2. 6 -2. 8) 0. 13 (0. 12-0. 15) 0. 15 (0. 13-0. 16) 0. 52(0. 40-0. 61) 0. 25(0. 19-0. 31) 0. 63(0. 57-0. 74) 0. 32(0. 26-0. 40) 0. 67(0. 59-0. 78) 0. 41 (0. 35-0. 55)	UnflattenedWeight 5 grams $3.05(2.86-3.60)$ $4.67(3.85-4.91)$ $0.63(0.55-0.74)$ $0.95(0.88-1.13)$ $0.09(0.08-0.09)$ $0.11(0.10-0.12)$ $0.21(0.19-0.23)$ $0.24(0.21-0.27)$ $0.23(0.20-0.25)$ $0.29(0.26-0.31)$ $2.6(2.6-2.8)$ $2.6(2.5-2.6)$ $0.13(0.12-0.15)$ $0.15(0.12-0.16)$ $0.15(0.13-0.16)$ $0.22(0.19-0.24)$ $0.52(0.40-0.61)$ $0.63(0.59-0.67)$ $0.25(0.19-0.31)$ $0.35(0.28-0.44)$ $0.63(0.57-0.74)$ $0.79(0.68-0.85)$ $0.32(0.26-0.40)$ $0.43(0.39-0.53)$ $0.67(0.59-0.78)$ $0.84(0.79-1.01)$ $0.41(0.35-0.55)$ $0.51(0.45-0.66)$

Appendix 4. Effect of flattening on character measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u>.

All measurements in millimeters.

;

	<u>Metacercaria</u>	5 days	14 days	21 days	28 davs	60 days
# recovered	10	24	18	20	21	17
Body length	0.68(0.57-0.80)	0.99(0.92-1.11)	1. 75(1. 61 - 1. 98)	3.10(2.43-3.61)	3.90(3.50-4.59)	6.01(5.58-6.67)
Body width	0.29(0.24-0.35)	0.34(0.33-0.36)	0.51(0.47-0.59)	0.68(0.53-0.85)	0.82(0.78-0.87)	1.26(1.12 - 1.46)
Acetabulum	0.09(0.08-0.12)	0.05(0.05-0.06)	0.07(0.06-0.07)	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.10(0.09-0.11)	0.11 (0.09-0.12)
Oral sucker length	0.11 (0.10-0.13)	0.12(0.11-0.13)	0.16(0.14-0.18)	0.20(0.19-0.22)	0.24(0.23-0.25)	0.25(0.21-0.29)
Oral sucker width	0.12(0.09-0.15)	0.13(0.12-0.13)	0.18(0.17-0.20)	0.22(0.19-0.24)	0.25(0.24-0.26)	0.29(0.25-0.32)
O/A ratio	1.2 (1.0 - 1.3)	2.4 (2.2 -2.4)	2.6 (2.5 -2.7)	2.5 (2.1 -2.7)	2.5 (2.4 -2.6)	2.6 (2.4 -2.8)
Pharynx length	0.06(0.04-0.07)	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.12(0.11-0.13)	0.13(0.12-0.15)	0.15(0.13-0.20)	0.19(0.17-0.23)
Pharynx width	0.06(0.04-0.07)	0.10(0.08-0.11)	0.13(0.12-0.14)	0.15(0.14-0.18)	0.17(0.15-0.18)	0.20(0.18-0.23)
Ovary length	Absent	0.08(0.06-0.10)	0.24(0.20-0.32)	0.48(0.33-0.53)	0.55(0.50-0.62)	0.71(0.71-0.74)
Ovary width	Absent	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.17(0.04-0.20)	0.23(0.11-0.34)	0.28(0.24-0.35)	0.36(0.31-0.40)
Ant. testis length	0.03(0.02-0.05)	0.13(0.11-0.21)	0.34(0.29-0.37)	0.62(0.51-0.74)	0.60(0.54-0.67)	1,12 (1,05 - 1,21)
Ant. testis width	0.03(0.02-0.04)	0.09(0.06-0.11)	0.22(0.19-0.29)	0.34(0.25-0.40)	0.42(0.39-0.48)	0.48(0.31-0.59)
Post. testis length	0.04(0.02-0.06)	0.13(0.11-0.17)	0.31(0.26-0.33)	0.65(0.53-0.78)	0.75(0.65-0.90)	1.22(1.15 -1.33)
Post. testis width	0.03(0.02-0.04)	0.08(0.05-0.11)	0.22(0.18-0.27)	0.38(0.25-0.54)	0.45(0.35-0.53)	0.52(0.40-0.65)

Appendix 5. Average measurements of <u>Haematoloechus</u> buttensis of varying ages developed in <u>Rana pretiosa</u>.

All measurements in millimeters.

and the second

Appendix 6. Measurements and standardized measurement system.

Thirty-five characters were measured for each individual, the number being determined on the basis of those characters most commonly used to identify members of the genus.

Before the measurements were taken, all the slides of the fluke samples were mixed without identification of the fluke species. All the measurements were recorded, the slides them reidentified, and the data re-grouped according to the fluke species. In this way biases due to changes in measurement technique and progressive and personal error were minimized.

Egg sizes vary in different parts of the uterus (Fig. 84). For this reason it is important to choose a site in the uterus from which all egg measurements are done. The most anterior part of the uterus (Site A, Fig. 84) was chosen. The eggs are embrycnated when they reach this site and hence are the most fully developed and best represent the true egg size and shape of the species being measured.

All measurements were made over a one-year period (1976). All 35 characters were measured on one specimens, then a second specimen was measured, and so on until all the specimens had been measured.

All measurements were made by means of a Leitz compound microscope equipped with a linear-graduated ocular micrometer that had been calibrated from a standard stage micrometer to the nearest 0.1 micrometer.

Before measuring a character on each specimen, the correct magnification for that character was set. The specimen was focused on the ventral side and the character was always in Fig. 84 Variation in size and shape of eggs taken from different parts of the uterus of <u>H. buttensis</u>.

:



84

195A

Errors and biases in measurement may arise from personal experience, personal visual aberrations, measuring habits, and inadequacies of the measurements system (Kim, Brown, and Cook, 1966).

The reliability of measurements in this study was assessed for each of 28 characters. One individual of each species of <u>Haematoloechus</u> studied was measured on all 28 characters at three widely spaced times (Tables A to 0).

Before measuring a character on each specimen, the correct magnification for that character was set. The specimen was focused on the correct side and the character was always in focus. The reliability of measurements for each species was tested and the results are presented in Tables A to 0 inclusive.

The most unreliable measurement is tequment thickness (TT), with coefficients of variation 33.3% for <u>H. coloradensis</u> and for <u>H. longiplexus;</u> 20.0% 24.5% for H. complexus; H. varioplexus; 19.6% for H. parviplexus; 17.9% for <u>H. oxyorchis;</u> 15.9% for <u>H. confusus;</u> 15.8% for <u>H. kernensis</u>; 13.3% for <u>H. breviplexus</u> and <u>H. buttensis</u>; 17.6% for H. medioplexus; 10.8% for H. similiplexus; 10.6% for H. uniplexux; 10.2% for H. floedae; and 6.0% for H. tumidus.

H. <u>coloradensis</u> had a C.V. Of -10.1% for the character, overlap of testes. <u>H. medioplexus</u> had a -10.2% C.V. For the same character.

		MEASUREMENT	5	****							
CHARACTER	1 (JAN 16/76	2) (APP. 10/76	3 1 (SEP 10/76)	MEAN	MAX	MIN	STD DEV	VARLANCE	cv		
********	***************	*****	****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******	*****	*****	*******	*******		
A6 ()()	198.0	203.0	201.0	203.7	203.0.	198.3	2.5	⁶ 6. 3	1.3		
	5c1.J	557-C	506.0	564.7	567.0	561.0	3.2	10.3	0.6		
0.2 M	514-9	510.0	516.0	513.6	516.0	510.0	3.2	10.2	0.6		
	/11.2	209.0	212.3	213.7	212.0	234.0	1.6	2.4	2.7		
P #	251.9	257.C	· 255.5	254.8	257.0	251.9	2.6	6.9	1.0		
	551.0	550.0	563.0	\$53.0	563.0	950.0	7.0	49.0	0.7		
0.7	651.0	652.3	. 654.0	652.3	654.0	651.0	1.6	2.5	0.2		
	-62.0	-59.0	-64.5	-61.8	-59.0	-64.5	2.8	7.6	-4.5		
UAL	-372.0	-370.0	-377.0	-373.0	-373.0	-377.0	3.6	13.0.	-1.5		
AIL	1332.0	1307.0	1340.0	1326.7	1340.0	1307.0	17.4	332.5	1 3		
ATW	564.0	576.C	- 591.0	585.3	591.0	576.0	8.1	66 3	1.4		
ATE	2017.0	2055.0	2085.0	2072.3	2385.3	2055.0	15.5	241 5	1.4		
PTL	1550.0	1565.C	1542.5	1532.5	1565.0	1542.5	11.5	131 5	0.7		
PTW	170.0	749.0	775.0	764.7	775.0	749.0	13.9	100.5	0.7		
PTE	1364.0	1355.0	1369.0	1362.7	1369.0	1355 0	7 1	170.0	1.8		
TU	. 961.0	960.0	952.0	457.7	961.0	\$52.0	4.0))/ 5	0.5		
THS	-46.0	-46.0	-41.0	-44.3	-41.0	-44 1	7.7	24.5	0.5		
RUF	4601.0	4ć40.C	4685.0	41.68.7	4685 0	40.0	2	0.5	-6.5		
LUF	4216.0	4200.0	4232.5	4216.2	4000.0	4040.0	24+0	016.0	0.5		
RVL +	5580.0	5545.0	5590.0	5571.7	5500 0	72JJ.J	10.2	264.0	0.4		
LVL	4402.0	4450.C	4465.0	66 19 0	2393.J		24.5	655.5	0.4		
VA	5850.0	5850.0	5911.0	5 4 4 J 7 5 0	440J.U	4402.0	32.9	1030.0	0.7		
VE	651.0	645.0	ASS 0	2003.3	3933.3	5850.0	26.7	712.0	3.5		
BL	8857.0	8550 0		00100	655.0	645.0	5.0	25.5	J. 8		
Bw -	1758-0	1780.0	10070.0	8914.0	8950.0	8695.0	31.5	992.0	0.4		
TT	12.5	100.0	1000-0	1192.1	1800-0	1783.0 .	11.0	122.0	3.6		
FI	20.0	22 0	10.0	10.8	12.5	10.0	1-4	2.1	13.3		
FW	20.0.	22.0	20.0	20.7	22.0	23.0	1-2	1.3	5.6		
L ¹¹	16.3	10.4	12-2	15.9	16.3	15.5	2.4	^ >	7 6		

Table A. RELIABILITY FCR 28 CHAPACTERS MEASURED OF H.BREVIPLEXUS

Table B. RELIABILITY FOR 28 CHARACTERS MEASURED OF H.BUTTENSIS

		NEACHDENENT	c			****	* * * * *		
	· •	neAJUKEMENI					÷		
CHARACTER	(JAN 17/7)	6)(APR 11/76)(SEP 10/76)	MEAN	МАХ	MIN	STD DEV	VARIANCE	c۷
AC	101.0	99.5	102.0	100.8	102.0	99.5	1.3	1.6	1.2
OSL	250.8	245.0	253.0	249.6	253.0	245.0	4.1	17.1	1.7
CSW	330.0	325.0	330.0	328.3	330.0	325.0	2.9	8.3	0.9
PL	209.0	204.0	207.0	236.7	239.3	204.0	2.5	6.3	1.2
PH 1	247.5	245.C	245.0	245.8	247.5	245.0	1.4	2.1	0.6
CL	558.0	547.0	563.0	555.0	503.0	547.0	8.2	67.0	1.5
U H	248.0	250.0	259.0	252.3	259.0	248.0	5.9	34.3	2.3
GAT	-558.0	-540.C	-540.0	-546.0	-540.0	-558.0	10.4	108.C	-1.9
OAC	-53.0	-55.0	-50.0	-52.7	-50.0	-55.0	2.5	6.3	-4.8
ATL	1953.0	1923.0	1955.0	1943.7	1955.J	1923.0	17.9	321.5	0.9
ATW	465.0	455.0	455.0	458.3	465.0	455.0	5.8	33.3	1.3
ATE	2170.0	2143.0	2150.0	2153.3	2173.3	2143.3	15.3	233.5	3.7
PTL	1302.0	1325.0	1335.0	1324.0	1335.0	1302.0	19.1	363.0	1.4
PTW	' 558.0	545.0	560.0	554.3	500.0	545.0	8.1	66.3	1.5
PIE	1193.5	1175.0	1195.0	- 1187.8	1195.0	1175.0	11.1	124.0	0.9
TO	403.0	415.C	415.0	411.0	415.0	403.0	6.9	48.0	1.7
THS	-341.0	-345.0	-350.0	-345.3	-341.J	-350.0	4.5	20.3	-1.3
RUF	1891.0	1683.0	1900.0	1890.3	1900.0	1880.0	10.0	100.5	0.5
LUF	1240.0	1240.0	1245.0	1241.7	1245.0	1243.0	2.9	6.5	0.2
RVL ·	3038.0	3040.0	3045.0	3041.0	3345.3	3039.0	2.8	8. 0	0.1
LVL	4278.0	· 4275.0	4260.0	4277.7	4280.0	4275.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VA	5161.5	5165.0	5175.3	5167.2	5175.0	5101.5	6.3	40.0	5.1
VE	1023.0	1015.0	1015.0	1017.7	1023.0	1015.0	4.5	21.5	0.5
BL	6153.5	6100.0	6125.0	6126.2	6153.5	6100.0	26.9 .	720.0	3.4
BW	1302.0	1300.0	1315.0	1305.7	1315.0	1300.0	8.2	66.5	2.6
TT	12.5	10.0	10.0	10.8	12.5	10.0	1.4	2.1	13.3
EL	25.0	23.0	25.5	25.2	25.5	25.0	0.3	0.1	1.1
Eu	12 5	12 5	12 7	1 7 2	17 6	1.2 1	0 3	~ •	3 3

- TT	-	L	1	_	\boldsymbol{c}
- L	a	υ	۲	e	: U.

RELIABILITY FCR 28 CHARACTERS MEASURED OF H.COLORAUENSIS

	1	PICKOUNCHENT	, ,						
CHARACTER	(MAY 14/7)	6) (MAY 21/76)(JUN 3/76)	MEAN	MAX.	MIN	STD DEV	VARIANCE	C۷
AC	352 0	35.1 0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	*****	******	****	*****	******	*******
051	252.0	355.0	323.0	351.7	353.0	350.0	1.5	2.3	0.4
	230.0	3702U 3755	399.0	397.0	399.0	346.0	· 1.7	3.0	3.4
PI.	2.55	202.0	332.0	333.5	335.5	332.0	1.8	3.3	0.5
2	257 /	357.5	303.0	305.3	307.0	303.0	2.1	4.2	2.7
	506 0	201.6	259.0	257.8	259.0	257.0	1.1	1.1	0.4
00 00		51C+U .	511.0	511.0	510.0	506.0	5.0	25.0	1.0
	423+3	423.0	420.0	423.2	426.0	420.0	3.0	2.1	2.7
	273.5	565.C	569.0	564.2	573.5	565.0	4.3	18.1	0.7
	132.0	125.9	134.0	130.3	134.0	125.3	4.7	22.3	3.6
AT 12	521.J	516.0	523.0	522.0	527.0	516.0	5.6	31.0	1.1
A1 M	558.0	563.C	565.0	562.0	565.0	558.U	3.6	13.0	2.5
AIE	2294.0	2263.0	2278.0	2278.3	2294.3	2203.0	15.6	742.0	2.0
PIL	496.0	493.0	494.0	443.3	496.0	490.0	3.1	0 3	5.1
PIN	620.0	620.0	618.0	619.3	620.0	618.0	1.2	1 5	0.0
PTE .	1927.0	1554.0	1958.0	1947.7	1958.0	1927.0	17.9	322 0	5.2
10	-59.0	-90.0	-110.0	-99.7	-90.0	-110.0	10.0	100.3	0.9
THS	312.0	343.0	327.0	327.3	343.0	312.0	15 5	200.3	-10.1
RUF	275.0	204.0	280.0	273.0	280.0	244 1		240.5	4.1
LUF	275.0	275.0	281.0	277.0	281.0	275 1	0.2	87.0	3.0
RVL	3211.0	3200.0	3242.0	3217.7	3242.0	212.0	2.2	12.0	1.3
LVL	4128.0	4159.0	4125.0	4148.3	4150 0	6120 0	21.7	47,2,0	5.7
VA	5046.0	5057.0	5035.0	5166.3	5457 4	4128.0	17.9	320.0	0.4
VE	1147.0	1116.0	1147.0	1136 7	11/7 0	5035.0	11.0	120.0	J. 2
6L	61 73.0	6131.0	6213.0	6179 0	12147.0	1110.0	17.9	320.5	1.6
BW	1355.0	1364.0	1350.0	1270 7	1201 0	0131.0	42.1	1824.0	J .7
TT	7.5	5.0	10.0	1217+1 7 c	1372.7	1304.0	15.0	242.0	1.1
EL	37.5	37.0	35.0	(+)	10.0	> 0	2.5	6.3	33.3
Ew	21.3	20.0	0.CC	20.5	37.5	32.0	1.3	1.S	3.6
-		20.0	. 23.3	23.4	21.3	23.3	J - 8	0.6	3.7

Table D. RELIABILITY FOR 28 CHAPACTERS MEASURED OF H.COMPLEXUS

¢.	¢.	*	÷.	☆	¢۵	÷	¢.	ø	*	1	盦	★	盦	*	カ	
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· · ·	-	-	-	-	-	

		MEASUPEMENT	5						
CHARACTER	1 (MAY 14/76	2)(MAY 21/76	3 (JUN 3/76)	MEAN	MAX	MIN	STD DEV	VARIANCE	CV
AC	286.0	280.C	289.0	285.0	289.0	280.0	4.6	21.0	1.6
CSL	405.9	403.0	405.0	403.6	405.9	400.0	. 3.2	10.2	0.8
DSW	431.5	400.0	400.0	400.5	401.5	400.0	0.9	0.8	0.2
PL	198.0	195.0	200.0	197.7	200.0	195.0	2.5	6.3	1.3
Pr	231.0	228.0	235.0	231.3	235.0	228.0	3.5	12.3	1.5
CL 1	450.5	456.0	463.0	458.5	403.0	456.0	3.9	15.3	0.9
ĊW	319.0	315.0	322.0	318.7	322.0	315.0	3.5	12.3	1.1
GAT	613.8	613.0	616.3	613.3	616.0	613.0	3.0	9. 3	5.5
DAC	33.0	33.0	21.0	32.3	33.0	31.0	1.2	1.3	3.6
ATL	744.0	743.0	731.0	738.3	744.0	731.0	6.7	44.5	0.9
ATA	675.8	683.J	683.0	679.6	683.3	675.8	3.6	13.0	0.5
ATE	1555.0	1521.0	1530.0	1538.0	1555.0	1521.0	17.0	290.0	1.1
PTL	899.0	868.0	500.0	665.0	900.0	804.0	18.2	331.0	2.0
PTW	744.0	732.C	737.0	737.7	744.0	732.0	6.0	36.5	0.6
PTE	562.V	570.0	980.0	971.3	982.0	570.0	6.4	41.5	2.7
TO	- 137.6	135.0	143.3	137.5	143.3	135.0	2.5	6.3	1.5
THS	-267.0	-360.C	-360.0	-362.3	- 300.0	-367.0	4.0	16.3	-1.1
RUF	713.0	715.0	719.0	715.7	719.3	713.0	3.1	9.5	2.4
LUF	868.0	860.0	869.0	865.7	869.0	850.0	4.9	24.5	0.6
RVL	3394.0	3372.0	3396.0	3388.0	3358.0	3372.0	13.9	192.0	2.4
LVĒ	4312.0	4300.0	4290.0	4300.7	4312.0	4290.0	11.0	120.0	0.3
VA VA	5321.0	5300.0	5200.0	5307.0	5321.0	5300.0	12.3	152.0	0.2
VE	504.0	500.0	495.0	499.9	504.6	495.0	4.8	23.1	1.0
BL	6173.0	6185.C	6183.0	. 6157.9	6143.0	6153.0	5.7	32.0	0.1
BW	1271.0	1265.0	1275.0	1279.3	1275.0	1205.0	5.0	25.5	0.4
TT	7.5	5.0	10.0	7.5	10.0	5.0	2.5	6.3	33.3
EL	32.5	32.5	35.0	33.3	35.0	32.5	1.4	2.1	4.3
EW ·	17.5	17.5	17.0	17.3	17.5	17.0	5.3	J. 1	1.7

	•	MEASUREMENT	s						
CHARACTER	1 (NOV 9/76	2)(NCV 13/76	3)(NOV 11/76)	MEAN	MAX	MIN	STD DEV	VARIANCE	c۷
AC	297.9	300.0	296.0	298.0	300.0	296.0	2.0	4. 0	0.7
OSL	455.9	493.2	496.0	495.0	496.0	493.2	1.6	2.6	0.3
DSW	436.5	435.6	437.5	436.5	437.5	435.6	1.0	1.0	0.2
ዖር	278.0	375.6	380.0	377.9	380.0	375.6	2.2	4.9	0.6
2 M	328.5	327.5	230.2	328.7	330.2	327.5	1.4	1.9	0.4
OL	333.0	335.0	335.0	334.3	335.0	333.0	1.2	1.3	0.3
DW	206.0	305.0	307.3	306.1	307.3	305.0	1.2	1.3	0.4
DAT	45.0	47.0	45.9	46.0	47.0	45.0	1.0	1.0	2.2
- 0AC	36.0	34.2	35.0	35.1	30.0	34.2	0.9	0. 8	2.6
ATL	513.0	512.0	510.0	511.7	513.0	510.0	1.5	2.3	0.3
ATH	351.0	347.0	. 349.5	349.2	351.0	347.0	2.0	4.1	5.6
ATE	1278.0	1279.3	1275.8	1277.7	1274.3	1275.8	1.6	2.5	0.1
PTL ,	486.0	488.C	485.7	480.6	405.0	465.7	1.3	. 1.7	U.3
PTW	468.0	471.3	473.0	404.8	471.3	468.0	1.7	2.8	. 0.4
PTE	691.J	257.5	893.5	889.7	841.0	887.5	1.9	3.5	0.2
TO	405.0	400.5	403.4	0.د40	405.0	400.5	2.3	5.3	0.6
THS	-55.0	-97.0	-103.0	-98.9	-97.0	-100.0	1.2	1.5	-1.2
RUF	ABSENT	ABSENT	ABSENT						
LUF	AUSENT	AUSENT	ABSENT						
RVL.	2187.J	2193-9	2185.3	2188.4	2193.0	2185.3	4.9	24. 0	J.Z
LVL	1647.0	1651.5	1048.5	1648.9	1651.3	1647.0	3.5	12.0	0.2
VA	2501.0	2556.7	2959.0	2958.9	2961.0	2956.7	2.8	8- 0	0.1
VE	765.3	763.0	766.7	764.9	766.7	• 763.0	1.9	3.5	Ú.2
BL	3937.5	3935.0	3938.5	3437.0	3938.5	3935.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8 W	882.9	600.1	883.0	881.7	883.0	883.1 -	1.6	2.5	3.2
TT	13.8	10.0	12.0	11.9	13.8	10.0	1.9	3.6	15.9
EL	30.9	20.0	31.0	30.6	31.0	30.0	0.0	0.3	1.8
Fu	22.6	22.5	22.0	22.4	22.6	22.0	0.3	0.1	1.4

Table E. RELIABILITY FOR 28 CHARACTERS MEASURED OF H.CONFUSUS

.

.

****** ***

201

4. J.

Table F. RELIABILITY FOR 28 CHARACTERS MEASURED OF H.FLOEDAE

		MEASUREMENT	5						
CHARACTER	1 (NGV 9/76	.2)(NOV 10/76	3 1 (NCV 11/76)	MEAN	MAX.	MIN	STD DEV	VAPIANCE	CV
*********	163 0	165 O	15/ 5	*****	1 - 1 - 1	14.2 0	• • •	******	*******
	133+0	155.0	134.5	154.2	155.0	123.0	1.5	1.1	5.7
	433.5	441.5	449.0	448.8	450.0	447.5	. 1.3	1.6	0.3
0.2 M	432.0	430.0	431.3	431+1	432.0	430.0	1.0	1.0	0.2
PL	102.J	100.0	159.3	102.4	162.0	159.3	1.4	2.5	5.9
P #	17(+1	195.7	195.0	197.3	198.7	196.0	1 - 4	1.9	0.7
	854.4	871.0	867.7	869.4	· 8/1.J	867.7	1.7	3. 0	5.2
UW	\$35.5	537.0	533.0	535.2	537.3	533.0	2.0	4.i	0 . 4
CAT	189.0	166-0	186.8	187.9	189.0	186.8	1.1	1.3	3.0
UAC .	-531.J	-528.0	-530.0	-525.7	-528.0	1.0 د 1 - 5	1.5	2.3	- 0.3
ATL .	1006.0	1005.0	2011.3	1036-1	1011.3	1005.0	3.2	10.0	0.3
HIA	535.5	537-1	538.6	537.1	538.0	535.5	1.6	2.4	0.3
ATE	1417.5	1422.0	1425.0	1421-5	1425.0	1417.5	3.9	15.5	0.3
PTL	. 1023.8	1025.0	1021.8	1023.5	1025.0	1021.8	1.6	2.5	0.2
PIN	510.3	512.0	506.7	513.3	512.0	508.7	1.6	2.7	0.3
PTE	756.3	758.0	755.0	756.3	758.0	755.0	1.0	2.5	0.2
TO	4 6 9 . 5	407.1	410.0	408.9	410.0	407.1	1.6	2.5	0.4
THS	-126.3	-125.0	-122.0	-124.3	-122.0	-120.0	2.1	4.3	-1.7
RUF	2772.0	2770.C	2765.0	2769.0	2772.0	2705.0	2.8	e. 0	9.1
LUF	2929.5	2931.0	2933.4	2931.3	2933.4	2929.5	2.8	S 🛂 O	5.1
RVL	2666.5	2260.0	2601.3	2665.3	2066.3	2801.3	4.9	24.0	J. Z
LVL	3937.5	3935.0	3944.0	3938.8	. 3944.0	3935.0	4.9	24.0	0.1
VA	4003.5	4065.0	4000.0	4362.8	4065.0	4000.0	2.8	٤ . כ	2.1
VE	453.5	488.0	492.2	490.2	492.2	480.0	2.1	4.5	0.4
BL	5056.1	5393.0	5100.0	5096.6	5100.0	5033.0	2.8	6.0	5.1
BW	1354.5	1350.0	1250.3	1353.6	1356.3	1350.0	3.2	15.5	2.2
TT	13.1	8.5	8.5	9.0	10.1	8.5	0.9	0.9	10.2
EL	22.1	22.0	22.5	22.2	22.5	22.4	0.3	0. B	1.2
ĒŴ	14.3	14.0	13.5	13.9	14.3	13.5	2.4	5.2	2.9

Table G. R	ELIABILITY	FCR 28	CHARACTERS	MEASURED	OF	H-KERNENSIS
------------	------------	--------	------------	----------	----	-------------

		MEASUREMENTS										
	1	2	3									
CHARACTER	(NOV 9/76	(NOV 10/76)	(NOV 11/76)	MEAN	MAX	MIN	STD DEV	VARIANCE	cv			
**********	****	****	****	****	*****	*****	*****	********	*******			
AC	432.0	430.0	433.0	431.7	433.0	430.0	1.5	2.3	3.4			
OSL	459.0	460.0	400.0	459.7	460.0	459.0	0.6	0.3	C.1			
OSW	451.50	450.0	453.0	451.3	453.0	450.0	1.5	2.3	2.3			
PL	280.0	290.0	293.5	289.5	293.5	288.0	1.3	1.8	0.5			
PW	294.3	295.0	293.0	294.1	245.0	243.0	1.0	1.0	0.3			
CL	630.0	635.0	632.5	632.5	635.0	630.0	2.5	6.5	2.4			
OW	427.5	426.5	428.0	427.3	428.0	420.5	0.8	0.6	0.2			
CAT	459.0	460.0	441.5	455.5	460.0	447.5	7.0	48.3	1.5			
CAC	-52.2	-52.0	-50.0	-51.4	-50.0	52.2	1.2	1.5	-2.4			
ATL	913.5	915.0	\$12.0	913.5	915.0	912.0	1.5	2.5	2.2			
ATW	570.5	973-0	- 575.0	974.8	976.5	973.0	1.7	3.0	0.2			
ATE	2101.1	2100.0	2105.0	2102.0	2105.0	2100.0	3.5	12.5	2.2			
PTL	1020.6	1023.0	1018.0	1019.5	1020.6	1013.0	. 1.4	2.0	0.1			
PTW *	1039.5	1037.0	1035.3	1037.3	1039.5	1035.3	2.1	4.5	0.2			
PTE	1221.7	1234.0	1237.5	1234.4	1237.5	1231.7	2.9	8.5	0.2			
TU	- 157.5	155.0	155.0	155.8	157.5	155.0	1.4	2.1	0.9			
THIS	-535.5	-537.0	-533.0	-535.2	-533.0	-537.0	2.7	4.1	-1.4			
RUF	AUSENT	ABSENT	ABSENT						•••			
LUF	AUSENT	ABSENT	ABSENT									
RVL -	3643.0	3841.0	3841.0	3841.7	3843.0	3841.0	0.0	1 1	·			
LVL	2666.5	2868.0	2865.0	2865.5	2868.0	2865-0	0.0	0.0				
VA	5166.6	5155.0	5158.0	5159.9	5166.6	5155-0	4.9	24.0	2.0			
VE	1428.1	1400.0	1413.0	1406-0	1412.2	1400 0	5 0	27.5	J•1			
BL	7194.6	7190.1	7197.5	7194.1	7197.5	7160.0	2.0	33.3	0.4			
6 W	1038.0	1640.5	1637.3	1634-6	1440.5	1637 3	2.0	0.0	0.0			
TT	22.1	17.0	19.0	19.7	23.1	17 0	2.07	C.) 0 7	J•2			
EL	36.0	36.0	35.0	35.7	22.1	21.0	2.4	7.1	12-8			
EW	23.1	22.5	22.5	22.7	23.1	22.5	0.3	0.1	1.5			

LEXUS
l

m . .

		MEASUPEMENT	S			****			
CHARACTER	- 1 (JAN 15/76	2)(APR 9/76	3)(SEP 10/7)	MEAN	MAX	MIN	STD DEV	VAPI ANCE	cv
AC	187.0	189.C	192.0	180 2		******	*****	******	*******
OSL	573.5	563.0	568-0	107.J	192.0	187.0	Z • 2	6.3	1.3
OSW	558.0	563.0	555.0		5/3.5	563.0	5.3	27.6	3.9
PL	264.0	263.0	262.0	262.0	563.0	555.0	4.0	16.3	0.7
PH	231.0	236.0	231.0	203.0	204.0	262.0	1.0	1.0	0.4
OL	1365.3	1120.0	1069 0	232.1	236.0	231.0	2.9	8.3	1.2
04	620.0	604.0	A 16 0	1093.3	1126.3	1069.0	29.4	864.5	2.7
DAT	124.0	111.5	114 0	610.7	626.0	634.0	.11.4	129.5	1.8
. UAC	-356.0	= 23.0	-334 0	110.3	124.5	0. 11	5.5	33.3	4.7
ATL	1240.0	1271 0		- 33/ . /	-323.0	-356.0	16.3	282.3	-5.0
ATW	58.0	571 0	1249.J	1253-3	1271.5	1240.0	16.0	254.5	1.3
ATE	2759.0	2/.04 0	273.0	500.0	573.3	559.0	6.9	46.0	1.2
PTL	1550.0	1621 0	2121.0	2728.0	2759.0	2695.0	30.5	928.0	1.1
PTW	427 3	1201-0	1555.0	1562.0 .	1581.0	1553.3	16.7	. 278. 0	1.1
PIE	1491 0		620.0	623.7	631.0	620.0	6.4	40.5	1 0
10	4071.0	1860.0	1907.0	1886.0	1407.0	1000.0	23.9	572.0	1 3
THE		414.3	414.0	410.3	414.0	403.0	6.4	47.3	1.5
PHC	-248.0	-212.0	-237.0	-232.3	-212.0	-248.0	18.4	340 3	-7.0
	4455.0	4526.0	4541.0	4520.7	4541.0	4455.0	23.3	544 0	-1.9
	4278.0	4294.0	4293.3	4287.3	4294.0	.42/8.0	8.0	A4 0	0.5
	5/33.0	5704.0	5723.0	5720.0	5733.0	5704.0	15.0	224.0	0.2
	4245-1	4254.Ŭ	4277.0	4263.7	4277.0	4246-0	15 5	227.0	0.3
VA	6241.0	6572.0	6531.0	6548.0	6572.0	6531 0	21 4	224-2 151 - 0	5.4
VE	651.0	640.0	656.0	649.0	656-0	463 3	21.4	456.0	0.3
BL	7812.0	7800.0	7862.0	7824.7	7862.0	7833 3	0.2	57.0	1.3
84	2139.0	2122.0	2150.0	2137.0	2150 0	2124 6	2.20	1112.0	3.4
11	16.3	10.0	12.5	12.9	16 3	CI22+U ·	14.2	200.5	0.7
EL	22.5	22.5	. 25.0	23.3	25 1	10.0	3.2	10.1	24.5
EW	17.5	16.0	17.0	16.8	17 5	22.5	1.4	2.1	6 . Z
		-		10.0		10.0	0.8	0.6	4.5

204

-*C1

RELIABILITY FCR 28 CHARACTERS MEASURED OF H.MEDIOPLEXUS Table I.

.7

MEASUREMENTS

		~	ſ						
CHARAC TER	(JAN 15/76)	1 (APF. 9/76	1 (SEP 10/76)	MEAN	MAX	MIN	STD DEV	VAD! ANCE	ĺ
ΦC	78.8	******	F		****	***			ن ر * *
120			0.20	18.6	82.0	75.0		- C -	
		0.000	368.0	368.3	374.0	36.4.11	. U		•
	283.8	2 5 4 . 0	288.0	288.6	C . 40C		•••	1 .00	-
. ר מ	242.0	247.0	240.0	26.4.1			1.0	Z6.3	
32	154.0	167.0			0 - 1 + 7	240.0	3.6	13.0	-
õ				149.3	203.0	197.0	3.7		
		1 - 2 - 1	155.0	740.3	755.0	722.0	a 1		-1 1
	341.0	346.0	336.0	341.7	14.145				
DAT	0.12	50.0	94.23				C • 7	16.3	
OAC	44.1)			7 • • • •	C. 34	C.C9	 ۳	C •6	
A 1 1				43.0	46.0	34.0	3.6	1 3, 0	ο α
	0.000	0.00	883.O	873.7	863.0	804.0			
	5.326	547.0	535.0	540.7	558.3		<pre></pre>		
ATE	2573.0	2542.0	2603.0	2570.7				132.3	2.1
PTL	620-0	1.00.7				2042.0	30.5	920.0	
D.L.				6.020	631.0	C.9C3	11.0	. C.171	-
		2 00 - C	0.694	582.0	589.0	556.0	1.01		•
	1643.0	1612.0	1626.0	1627.7	1643.0	1412 0			
10	-124.0	-113.0	-101-0				1.0.0	242.0	
THS -	-445.0	- 447			C • T C T =	C. + 21-	11.5	132.3	-10.2
110				-455.3	-434.0	467.0	18.5	347.3	
	2 4 0 • 0	C-867	223.0	217.0	223.0	208-0	0		
LUF	176.0	175.0	101.0	171.3	C . (A (1 7 5 5	- 6		
R V L	4367.0	4397.0	0.9144	4.147			2.0	10.3	. 1. 8
LVL	4345.0	4376.0			0.1704	4-357.U	28.3	032.0	0.0
44				4 363 . 1	4376.0	4345.0	15.5	24.0.0	2 ° C
			0.000	5028.3	C.++ad	5611.0	C.11	23 11. 0	
		1 230.0	1296.0	1292.7	1302.0	1283.0	11.4	120 5	
	10201	(633.3	7688.3	7433.0	7600.0	76.0.0			5 <
	U . 0 . J	826.0	806.0	812.7	C - 97 8	80V - 0			
-			ي و	4.1					*
EL	25.0	27.5	0.20	2 1. 2			0.0	3.6	17.6
m X	18.8	0.41				0.02	1.4	2.1	s. S
	• • •	> > >		4 0 4	18.8	17.5	0.7	0.4	3.6

205

è

					SURED OF	0,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10	***		
	,	MEASUFEMENT	5						
CHARACTER	[NOV 9/76)(NGV 10/76){NOV 11/76)	MEAN	МАХ	MIN	STD DEV	VARIANCE	cv
AC	291.6	290.0	29C 1	************	· # 本 本 本 本 本 本 本 + + + + + + + + + + + +	****	*****	******	*******
OSL	365.0	373.0	20715	290.2	291.6	289.1	1.3	1.6	0.4
USW	351.0	349.0	350 7	371.2	373.0	369.0	2.0	4.1	0.5
PL	227.0	205.0	2001	350.2	351-0	349.0	1.1	1.2	0.3
P #	243.0	245.0	241 2	200.8	208.3	235.3	1.7	2.8	3.8
OL	585.0	587.0	547 E	243+1	245.0	241.2	1.9	3.6	0.0
Ow	333.0	345.0	7.2.2	585.2	567.0	583.5	1.8	3.1	2.3
GAT	153.0	150.5	155 0	333.9	335.0	333.0	1.0	1.0	0.3
DAC	180.0	178.8	102.0	152.8	155.0	150.5	2.3	5.1	1.5
ATL	724.0	735.0	720 5	180.3	182.0	178.0	1.6	2.7	3.9
ATW	612.0	610.0	· 613 /	131.5	735.0	729.0	3.2	10.0	9.4
ATE	1602.0	1417.0	1600 0	611.8	613.4	613.0	1.7	3. 0	0.3
PTL	675.0	x 0 C 7 + C		1603.0	1607.0	1600.0	3.7	13.5	2.2
PTH .	648.0	651 3	C/3.0	674.5	675.0	673.5	1.0	1.0	0.1
PTE	643 3	041 4	047.1	648.5	653.3	647.1	1.6	2.5	0.2
TO	46.0	301.4	50J.5	961.6	. 963.0	900.5	1.2	1.5	0.1
THS	-117 1	-115 0	33.6	34.7	30.0	33.6	1.2	1.5	2 5
RUE	AHSONT		-115.0	-115.7	-115.0	· - 117.0	1.2	1.3	-1.7
LUF	AUCOLI	AGSER.I	ABSENT						-1.0
RVI	ADJENI	ABZENI	ABSENT						
I VI	3760.0	3913.0	3904.0	3906.7	3910.0	3934.0	2.8	8.3	~ •
	2740.5	2142.5	2744.0	2742.3	2744.0	2740.5	0.0	0.0	5.1
VE	4318.5	4303.0	4385.0	4381.2	4385.0	4378.5	2.8		0.0
	540.0	542.0	545.0	542.3	545.0	540.0	2.5	4 3	0.1
0L 8u	2212.2	• 5516.C	5515.6	5514.7	5516.0	5512.5	2.8	0.5	0.5
5 T	516.5	579.0	975.0	976.8	979.0	975.0	2 0	0.0	0.1
· · ·	6.9	7.0	5.0	6.3	7.0	5.0	1.1	4. J	J.Z
5L	23.1	22.5	22.5	22.7	23.1	22.5	A • L 0 3	1.2	1/49
C, 17	15.7	15.0	15.5	15.4	15.7	15.0	0.5		1.5

Table J. RELIABILITY FCR 28 CHARACTERS MEASURED OF H.OXYCRCHIS

					×	*******	****		
		MEASUREMENT	S				•		
CHAPACTER	1 (MAY 14/76	2)(MAY 21/76	3)(JUN 3/76)	MEAN	MAX	NIN	STG DEV	VARLANCE	CV
AC .	27.5	90.0	85.5	87.7	90.0	85.5	2_3	ማዋት የሚያ መድር የ 1 1 1 1	2.6
OSL	220.0	225.0	230.5	225.2	233.5	220.0	5.3	27.6	2.3
OSW	167.0	167.3	192.5	158.0	192.5	187.0	3.2	10.1	1.7
የረ	143.0	148.0	140.0	143.7	148.0	140.0	4.0	16.3	2.9
PW	154.0	159.0	152.0	155.0	155.0	152.0	3.6	13.0	2 3
OL	6:03	795.C	800.0	800.3	806.0	745.0	5.5	30.5	0.7
. OM	356.5	351.0	350.0	354.5	350.5	351.0	3.1	5.3	2.5
GAT	186.0	191.0	187.0	183.0	191.0	186.0	2.6	7.0	1.4
GAC	-122.0	-130.0	-128.0	-130.0	-128.0	-132.0	2.0	4.0	-1.5
ATL	682.0	687.0	- 670.0	682.3	687.0	670.0	4.5	20.5	:).7
ATH	468.0	461.0	476.3	468.3	470.0	401.0	7.5	56.3	1.6
ATE	2339.0	2326.0	2333.0	2333.3	2339.0	2325.0	5.5	30.5	0.2
PTL	744.3	730.0	740.0	728.0	744.3	730.0	7.2	52.0	1.7
PTW	553.0	556.C	570.0	501.3	570.0	555.0	7.0	57.3	1.3
PTE	1225.0	1200.0	1207.0	1210.7	1225.0	1200.0	12.9	166.5	1.1
T O	-138.0	-130.0	-133.0	-133.7	-130.0	-138.0	4.0	16. 7	= 3.0
THS	-353.0	-347.0	- 345.0	- 348.3	-345.0	-352.0	4.2	17.3	=1.2
RUF	1501.0	1550.0	1560.0	1563.7	1581.0	1550.0	15.9	252.0	1.5
LUF	1612.0	1612.0	1528.0	1017.3	1628.0	1612.0	9.2	85.5	2.0
RVL ·	. 3303.0	3280.0	3332.0	3305.0	33,2.0	3230.0	26.1	0 034	2.0
LVL	4173.0	4143.0	4180.0	4145.3	418.0.0	4143-0	19.6	396 3	5.0
VA	5275.0	52/9.0	5246.0	5267.3	5272.0	5248.0	16 7	280 0	0.3
VE	1101.0	1050.0	1105.0	1195.7	1105.0	1090.0	7.8	200.0	· · · · · ·
θL	6422.0	6400.0	6400.0	64.)7.3	6422 3	6400.0	14.1	200.0	0.7
8 ₩	1160.0	1155.0	117.3.9	1164.3	1170.0	1155 0	4 7 • L 4 7	64.5	J•2
TT	12.5	8.5	10.0	10.3	17.5	5 5	2 1	60.5	10.1
EL	22.8	22.8	23.5	23.0	23.5	22 H	2.5	7.4	17.0
En	15.0	15.0	14.0	14.7	15.0	14.0	0.6	0.3	3.5.

Table K. RELIABILITY FCR 28 CHARACTERS MEASURED OF H.PARVIPLEXUS

Table L. PELIABILITY FCR 28 CHAPACTERS MEASURED OF H.SIMILIPLEXUS

MEASUREMENTS

	1	~	ſ			•			
CHARACTER	(MAY 10/76	111 5776	1 (SEP 3/76)	ME AN	MAX	NIW	STC DEV	VAP.I ANCE	20
	"你是你有人口口有你里有子	****	***	化化化化化化化化	*****	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	****		
AC	144.1	143.0	145.0	144.0	145.0	143.0	C.1		r
05L	. 0. 27 0	370.C	271.2	371.7	374.3	970.0			
OST	341.0	343.0	340.1	4-145	343.0	34.0.1			0. • •
٩ ۲	158.0	195.5	197.0	196.8	1911-0		· ·	2 • 2	* • • •
Ъх	173.8	175.0	175.0	174.6	175 0			0 u	0.
с С	62120	1. 1. 1						^ . .	0.4
				0 - 1 20	0 4 7 • 0	6.5.0	2.3	4.0	т. С
	י ר הי נו ה	2.00	0.400	505.3	536.0	535.0	0.0	0. J	0.1
UAL	C • 11	15.0	C.03	17.3	0.00	15.0	2.5		4 (* 9 (* 9 (*
UAC	-150.0	-142.J	-144.0	-154.J	-102.0	- 164.3	2.5		
	1 C c 5 . J	1080.5	1 C 6 7 . O	1064.2	C.7801	1060.5) (1 (1		• (*
A1 h	456.0	500.0	500.0	498.7	500.0	6.0004		4 4 • 0	• • • •
ATE	2546.0	2345.3	2050.0	0.7.05	2050.0	C 1900		n u n c	
PTL	0.1721	1273.0						^ .	
P1 (16121	1200.0	5. 2	6• 5	0.2
			0.000	1.5.7.7	0.004	491.0	4.5	20.3	2.0
	1054 ° 0	1:55.3	1053.0	1054.0	1055.0	1053.0	1.0	0.1	
10	310.0	310.C	212.0	310.7	0.ž1č	316.0	~ 1		
THS	C * C ?	13.0	10.5	18.8	0.02	15.0) - •	- u
RUF	1964.0	1583.3	1950.0	1 4114 . 7			 		n 1 n 1
LUF	2573.0	2515.0	2570.0	2573 7	0.0140		•••		•••
RVL	(1212	C - 2447					n - 	ດ. ດີ	···
				7.005	1001	4011.0	2.6	C•:	0.1
י איי גיי		2 - 1 + 2 2		2542.7	2544.0	2341.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.40.0	5045.0	5049.0	5046.7	5245.0	5045.0	0.0	0.0	C ° C
2	C	140.3	745.3	743.0	745.0	74 J. J	2.5	C . Z	
	5963.0	5565.C	5560.0	5462.7	0.4964	5 560.0			, c , c
	1519.0	1520.0	1517.5	1513.8	C.C241	1517.5	0.	5 V 5 C	
	30.0	25.0	25.0	25.7	30.0	25.0	0.0	 	• •
EL	20.0	19.0	20.5	19.8	20.5	0.01			
EH	12.5	12.3	. 12.5	12.3	12.5	12.0	0 e e c		5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
								• • •	1

208

٠,

Table M. RELIABILITY FCR 28 CHARACTERS MEASURED OF H. TUMIDUS

	1	MEASUREMENT	s			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****		
	1	2	. 3						
CHARACTER	(NCV 9/76)(1.GV 10/76)(NOV 11/76)	MEAN	MAX	MIN	STD DEV	VAPIANCE	CV.
AC.	750.7	755 1	741 0	********	*******	·······	******	******	*******
651	616 3	410 0	101.0	(58+4	761.5	755.0	3.1	915	J. 4
050	6.02 0		010.0	613.4	616.0	610.0	3.1	؟. 5	0.5
	1072.19	501.00 205 D	092.0	695.0	697.0	693.0	2+0	4.0	2.3
. L 	1,77 6	472+3	555.5	497.7	500.0	495.3	2.3	5.5	3.5
	412+3	408+1	474.0	471.5	474.0	465.1	3.1	9.5	0.7
	1090.2	1092+0	1091-0	1994.1	1050.2	1051.0	2.7	7.5	0.3
	049.0	043.5	658+1	641.5	645.8	638.1	3.9	15.5	3.6
	12(.)	100.0	159.3	.157.3	159.3	155.0	2.2	4.7	1.4
	-434.1	-437.0	-438.5	-436.7	-434.7	-438.5	2.3	3.8	-3.4
	1764.5	1760.0	. 1766.0	1763.5	1706.0	1700.0	3.5	12.0	0.2
ATT	1102.5	1100.5	1105.2	1102.7	1105.2	1100.5	2.2	5.0	0.2
AIE	2583.0	2590.0	2592.0	2500.3	2592.0	2583.3	4.9	24.0	2.2
PIL	17:4.0	1770.0	1768.3	1767.4	1770.0	1704.0	3.9	15.5	0.2
	1354.5	1348.6	1350.0	1351.0	1354.5	1346.0	5.1	9.5	0.2
PTE	1609.7	1600.0	1605.0	1604.9	1009.7	1600.0	4.9	24.5	0.7
10	693.0	690.0	695.5	692.8	695.5	690.0	2.7	7.5	· · · ·
тн 5	233.5	280.0	285.1	282.9	285.1	283.3	2.6	6.8	2.4
RUF	2110.5	2105.2	2103.5	2106.4	2110.5	2103.5	4.6	21.0	0.7
LUF	1850.5	1855.0	1860.1	1857.9	1860.1	1855.0	3.7	12 5	0.2
RVL ·	5071.5	5065.0	5073.0	5269.8	5073.0	5065.0	4 9	24.0	5.2
LVL	6174.0	6170.0	6175.0	6173.0	6175.0	6170.0	5 7	24.0	0.1
VA	4340.7	4335.0	4333.7	4336.5	4747.7	4733 7	2.1	32.0	0.1
VE	545.0	949.0	947.6	947.2	969.9	945 0	7.0	16.0	5.1
6L	6568.0	8579.0	8567.7	8571.6	8574 0	74310	2.5	4.0	0.2
Bir .	2929.5	2933.C	2930.0	2930.8	2933.0	2024 5	0.3	40.0	5.1
TT -	19.4	17.5	17.5	1 1 1	10	4769.3 **	0.5	0.0	0.0
έL	30.4	36.5	37.0	34.5	12.44	11.5	1.1	1.2	6.0
EW	14.9	19.5	20.0	14.8	20.0	19.5	0.3	0.1 0.1	· 0.9 ·

Table N. RELIABILI

RELIABILITY FOR 28 CHAPACTERS MEASURED OF H.UNIPLEXUS

		MEASUFEMENT	S						
CHARACTER	1 (NCV 9/76	2)(NUV 10/76	3 1(NCV 11/76)	MEAN	NAX	MIN	STD DEV	VAPIANCE	C V
۵٢	£3.1	83 1		un .	63 11	01 7	*************	· · ·	********
n.c.	222 5	22.0	04+2 111 4	02.44		201.0	1.5		
	216 7	215 0	210 0-	247+0	221.44	227.0	. 2.3	2.4	1.5
	110.0	110 3	121 4	210.0	210.0	215.J	1.2	23	0.7
	17.7 7	157.6	142.0	117+6	121+4	118.5	1.1	2.9	1.4
	110.0	(31.0	140.0	138.0	140.0	137.5	- 1.3	1.7	0.9
	432.49	431+0	427+0	431+2	432.9	425.0	1.7	2.8	0.4
C #	121+4	. 130.0	133.0	131.5	133.1	130.0	1+5	2.3	1.1
UAI	-90.0	-92.0	- 89.0	-90.3	-89.0	-92.0	1.5	2.3	-1.7
UAC	-164.4	-166.4	-187.0	-165.9	-164.4	-107.0	1.4	1.9	-3.7
ATL	441.0	439.7	443.5	441.4	443.5	439.7	2.0	2.8	J. 4
ATH .	166.5	166.0	165.0	165.0	160.5	105.0	U. 8	0.6	0.5
ATE	855.0	1.523	863.3	おンて・9	800.0	855.0	2.5	6.5	0.3
PTL	405.0	401.3	467.9	404.7	407.9	401.3	3.3	11.0	9.0
PTW	159.0	167.5	193.0	187.8	153.J	107.5	2.9	ε.1	1.5
PTE	456.8	495.0	500.0	497.3	500.0	495.0	2.5	6.5	0.5
10	81.0	79.0	81.8	80.6	81.8	15.0	1.4	2.1	1.8
THS	27.3	26.0	25.4	26.1	27.3	25.4	3.8	J. 7	3.1
RUF	ABSENT	ABSENT	ABSENT						
LUF	549.0	547.C	551.0	549.0	551.0	547.0	2.0	4.0	0.4
RVL	2321.9	2330.0	2324.0	2327.3	2330.0	2324.0	3.7	14:3	5.2
£VL (1075.8	1079.C	1673.0	1675.9	1679.0	1673.0	3.9	15.0	0.2
· VA	2555.0	2600.0	2593.4	2590.3	2600.0	2593.4	4.0	16.5	5.2
VE	1004.9	1000.0	1008.6	1004.5	1008.6	1000.0	4.3	18.5	0.4
бĹ	3206.6	3254.0	3771.1	3432.6	3771.1	3259.0	293.5	86144.0	8.6
BW	711.0	756.4	712.0	739.8	712.0	729.4	3.0	5.0	3.4
TT	5.2	2.3	7.5	8.2	9.2	7.5	0.9	5.8	10.6
EL	20.3	20.5	21.0	20.8	21.0	20.5	5.3	2.1	1.2
EW	16.6	16.0	15.5	10.0	16.0	15.5	2.6	5.3	3.4

**** RELIADILITY FCR 28 CHARACTERS MEASURED OF H.VARIOPLEXUS Table O.

***** 2 1.1 0.6 0.9 0.0 1.5 0.6 0.5 9.0 1.4 2.5 0.9 0.5 4.0 0.0 3 . C 0.1 4.0 0.5 1.3 7.1 - 5. -. 6 -. . Ξ -~ VARI ANCE ر. ب 3192.0 520.00 476.0 0. 6 0. 3 9.5 133.3 560.0 115.6 910.0 6256.0 5.1 247.0 57.5 146.2 STD DEV ***** 2.4 8. 2.5 3.1. 4.2 2.3 11.574.1 46.2 23.0 23.7 10.8 31.2 8°0 0.0 5 2.1 0.0 512.0 526.0 215.0 534.0 311.0 -30.0 1131.0 3100.0 163.0 310.0 15.0 **** 239.0 496.5 402.5 0.047 4648.0 5550.0 6804.0 22.0 15.0 200.0 846.3 -496.3 6.9484 540.0 1942.0 Z I W *** 517.0 242.0 C•924 215.0 312.0 3110.0 166.5 319.0 -25.0 22.0 467.0 746.0 -470.0 5556.0 23.5 922.0 175.0 3255.0 1584.J 4693.0 5.1.5 5566.0 MAX 315.7 -482.3 3108.3 3072.0 515.3 527.7 C.7.24 743.3 7.5.73.7 22.7 218.7 240.7 31017 5-2.8 208.0 404.8 1148.0 164.8 530.7 910.3 +o73.3 6635.0 1:66.3 18.0 101.1 MEAN 3/76) C.1191 -27.0 221.0 317.0 166.5 517.0 529.0 241.0 4434.J 17.0223.5216.0 6-055 534.0 1215.0 467.0 146.0 312.0 175.0 3150.0 3099.0 4693.0 501.5 0.1411 -401.J 0.272.0 6 5 6 6 . 0 C. + 821 (MAY 14/76)(MAY 21/76)(JUN MEASUREMENTS 1131.0 -25.0 402.5 22.0 215.0 234.0 530.3 311.0 15.0 163.0 496.3 200.0 092.0 740.0 310.0 -470.0 3100.0 3110.0 4649.5 4645.0 5550.0 6 8 U 4 - 0 1942.0 526.0 540.0 512.1 242.0 528.0 1162.0 C. 445 496.0 0.915 310.0 165.0 535.0 -30.0 2:9.3 465.0 522.0 C.954-3255.0 SCC 7.0 C- 225 5 0.010: 5596.0 1573.0 22.0 15.5 5-1-2 6035.0 ******* CHARACTER. USL OSH: OAT 0V0 A T # ATE PTM ATL PTL PTΕ RUFUF E S RVL ۲ ۲ ž š V V ۲ 5 ٨N ۲ د ដ 5 x 0 11

Age (days) No, of specimer	Metacercariae 18 5	. 5 13	14 8	21 9	28 11	60 7
Body length	0,69(0,59-0,77)	0, 74(0, 63=0, 86)	0,76(0,66-0.82)	0.77(0.64-0.80)	0,75(0,68-0,82)	0,80(0,79-0,85)
Body width	0,27(0,21-0,33)	0.29(0.23-0.32)	0.28(0.22-0.32)	0.28(0.23-0.31)	0.29(0.25-0.34)	0.30(0.28-0.36)
Acet. diam. ^a	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.08(0.07-0.10)	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.08(0.07-0.09)
O.S. diam. ^b	0.11 (0.09-0.13)	0.10(0.09-0.14)	0.11 (0.08-0.15)	0.11 (0.09-0.13)	0.12(0.10-0.14)	0.12(0.11 -0.15)
O.S. length ^C	0.10(0.09-0.11)	0.10(0.09-0.11)	0.10(0.09-0.10)	0.11 (0.10-0.12)	0.11 (0.10-0.13)	0.12(0.11 -0.13)
O/A ratio	1.2 (1.1 - 1.3)	1.5 (1.3 -1.6)	1.5 (1.2 - 1.7)	1.3 (1.2 -1.4)	1.5 (1.4 -1.6)	1.6 (1.5 -1.7)
Phyn. diam. ^d	0.05(0.04-0.07)	0.05(0.04-0.08)	0.06(0.05-0.08)	0.08(0.06-0.09)	0.08(0.08-0.11)	0.09(0.08-0.10)
Phyn. length ^e	0.05(0.04-0.07)	0.05(0.04-0.06)	0.06(0.04-0.08)	0.07(0.06-0.08)	0.07(0.07-0.09)	0.08(0.07-0.09)
Ovary diam.	N. D.	N.D.	N. D.	N. D.	N. D.	N. D.
Ovary length	N. D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N. D.	N.D.
A.T. Diam.f	0.02(0.02-0.03)	0.02(0.01-0.03)	0.02(0.02-0.03)	0.04(0.03-0.06)	0.07(0.05-0.09)	0.09(0.07-0.11)
A.T. length ^g	0.03(0.02-0.04)	0.05(0.04-0.06)	0.06(0.04-0.07)	0.08(0.06-0.09)	0.10(0.08-0.12)	.0.14(0.11 -0.20)
A.T. from ena	0.02(0.01-0.03)	0.04(0.02-0.07)	0.07(0.05-0.09)	0.09(0.08-0.11)	0.13(0.09-0.15)	0.24(0.20-0.26)
P.T. diam. ⁱ	0.03(0.02-0.04)	0.05(0.04-0.07)	0.00(0.04-0.08)	0.06(0.05-0.08)	0.07(0.05-0.09)	0.09(0.06-0.11)
P. T. length ^j	0.04(0.03-0.05)	0.06(0.05-0.07)	0.07(0.05-0.08)	0.09(0.06-0.10)	0.09(0.07-0.12)	0.13(0.11-0.17)
P.T. from end ^k	0.01(0.01-0.02)	0.03(0.01-0.04)	0.05(0.03-0.07)	0.07(0.05-0.09)	0.08(0.06-0.11)	0.11 (0.10-0.13)

Appendix 7. Average measurements (mm) of <u>H. buttensis</u> maintained at 12⁰C

a Diameter of acetabulum

g Length of anterior testis

b Diameter of oral sucker

c Length of oral sucker

d Diameter of pharynx

e Length of pharynx

f Diameter of anterior testis

h Distance of anterior testis from posterior end of worm

i Diameter of posterior testis

j Length of posterior testis

k Distance of posterior testis from posterior end of worm

N.D. Not developed

Age (days) No. of specimens	5 21	14 18	21 19	28 11	60 14
Body length	1.06(0.94-1.20)	1.78(1.73-1.88)	3.27(2.66-3.74)	4.10(3.55-4.43)	6.30(5.78-7.01
Body width	0.35(0.33-0.37)	0.51(0.48-0.53)	0.75(0.70-0.84)	0.81(0.77-0.84)	1.40(1.22-1.52
Acet. diam. ^a	0.05(0.04-0.06)	0.07(0.06-0.08)	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.11 (0.09-0.12)	0.11 (0.09-0.13)
O.S. diam. ^b	0.12(0.11-0.13)	0.18(0.17-0.20)	0.22(0.19-0.25)	0.26(0.24-0.28)	0.29(0.25-0.33)
O.S. length ^c	0.11(0.10-0.13)	0.16(0.14-0.19)	0.21(0.19-0.23)	0.24(0.23-0.26)	0.25(0.22-0.29)
O/A ratio	2.3 (2.2 -2.6)	2.6 (2.5 -2.8)	2.5 (2.2 -2.7)	2.5 (2.3 - 2.7)	2.6 (2.5 -2.7)
Phyn. diam. ^d	0.09(0.07-0.11)	0.14(0.12-0.16)	0.16(0.1418)	0.17(0.16-0.19)	0.21(0.18-0.24)
Phyn. length ^e	0.08(0.06-0.11)	0.12(0.10-0.14)	0,13(0,12-0,16)	0.15(0.13-0.21)	0.20(0.17-0.24)
Ovary diam.	0.07(0.06-0.09)	0.18(0.14-0.20)	0.23(0.14-0.36)	0.29(0.26-0.34)	0.38(0.33-0.42)
Ovary length	0.08(0.06-0.11)	0.25(0.20-0.31)	0.49(0.33-0.51)	0.56(0.50-0.63)	0.72(0.70-0.75)
A.T. diam. ^f	0.09(0.06-0.11)	0.24(0.20-0.29)	0.35(0.26-0.39)	0.44(0.41 - 0.49)	0.49(0.33-0.61)
A.T. length ^g	0.14(0.11-0.21)	0.35(0.29-0.39)	0.63(0.52-0.75)	0.62(0.57-0.68)	1.15 (1.07 - 1.31)
A.T. from end ^h	0.24(0.21-0.27)	0.39(0.33-0.45)	0.80(0.45-1.06)	1.12(0.83-1.39)	1.79 (1.48 - 2.25)
P.T. diam. ⁱ	0.08(0.06-0.11)	0.24(0.18-0.28)	0.39(0.27-0.52)	0.46(0.36-0.54)	0.52(0.41 - 0.66)
P.T. length ^j	0.13(0.11-0.17)	0.32(0.25-0.32)	0.66(0.53-0.78)	0.77(0.66-0.91)	1, 23 (1, 17 -1, 35)
P.T. from end ^k	0.12(0.09-0.13)	0.17(0.15-0.19)	0.64(0.39 - 1.26)	0.51(0.26-0.63)	1.01 (0.92-1.33)

Appendix 8. Average measurements (mm) of H. buttensis maintained at 20°C

a Diameter of acetabulum

b Diameter of oral sucker

c Length of oral sucker

d Diameter of pharynx

e Length of pharynx

f Diameter of anterior testis

g Length of anterior testis

h Distance of anterior testis from posterior end of worm

i Diameter of posterior testis

j Length of posterior testis

k Distance of posterior testis from posterior end of worm ...

Age (days)		14	21	28	60
No. of specimens	17	19	13	14	10
-Body length	1.98(1.85-2.12)	3.71(3.69-3.83)	3.96(3.88-4.05)	4.63(4.27-4.88)	7.40(6.83-7.94)
Body width	0.37(0.32-0.41)	0.49(0.44-0.52)	0.75(0.69-0.87)	0.79-0.76-0.83)	1, 42 (1, 25 - 1, 59)
Acet. diam. ^a	0.06(0.04-0.07)	0.07(0.05-0.08)	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.10(0.08-0.13)	0.12 (0.08-0.14)
O.S. diam. ^b	0.13(0.11-0.14)	0.17(0.15-0.19)	0.23(0.18-0.26)	0.27(0.25-0.29)	0.31(0.26-0.33)
O.S. length ^c	0.12(0.09-0.14)	0.17(0.14-0.18)	0.22(0.20-0.24)	0.25(0.23-0.27)	0.26(0.23-0.28)
O/A ratio	2.6 (2.1 -2.8)	2.7 (2.4 - 3.0)	2.7 (2.6 -2.9)	2.8 (2.2 - 3.0)	2.8 (2.4 - 3.1)
Phyn. diam. ^d	0.08(0.07-0.10)	0.12(0.10-0.15)	0.14(0.13-0.17)	0.16(0.14-0.21)	0.19(0.17-0.23)
Phyn. length ^e	0.07(0.06-0.10)	0.10(0.09-0.13)	0.12 (0.11-0.16)	0.13(0.11-0.18)	0.17(0.15-0.21)
Ovar y diam.	0.06(0.05-0.08)	0.16(0.13-0.18)	0.19(0.14-0.24)	0.23(0.20-0.31)	0.32(0.29-0.37)
Ovary length	0.07(0.06-0.10)	0.22(0.19-0.27)	0.44(0.31-0.50)	0.50(0.43-0.59)	0.65(0.61-0.70)
A.T. diam. ^f	0.07(0.05-0.08)	0.20(0.18-0.27)	0.29(0.25-0.33)	0.37(0.34-0.42)	0.40(0.31-0.53)
A.T. length ^g	0.12(0.09-0.18)	0.29(0.26-0.35)	0.54(0.49-0.61)	0.51(0.47-0.59)	.0.99(0.93-1.17)
A.T. from end	0.68(0.63-0.74)	1.14 (1.11 -1.20)	2.35(2.29-2.41)	3.29(3.01-3.42)	5. 31 (5. 17 - 5. 42)
P.T. diam. ⁱ	0.07(0.05-0.09)	0.19(0.16-0.23)	0.32(0.24-0.47)	0.38(0.27-0.43)	0.44(0.39-0.54)
P.T. length ^j	0.11 (0.08-0.15)	0.27(0.21-0.29)	0.54(0.48-0.63)	0.65(0.56-0.79)	1.04(0.96-1.17)
P.T. from end ^k	0.35(0.29-0.41)	0.48(0.39-0.53)	1.90(1.78-2.11)	1, 52(0, 79-1, 88)	3.10 (2.95-3.22)

Appendix 9. Average measurements (mm) of <u>H. buttensis</u> maintained at 27°C.

a Diameter of acetabulum

b Diameter of oral sucker

c Length of oral sucker

d Diameter of pharynx

e Length of pharynx

f Diameter of anterior testis

g Length of anterior testis

h Distance of anterior testis from posterior end of worm

i Diameter of posterior testis

j Length of posterior testis

k Distance of posterior testis from posterior end of worm

Appendix 10. Average measurements of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> of varying ages developed

in Rana pretiosa having a snout-vent length of 30-35 mm.

Age (days) No. of specimens	5 25	14 21	21 · 23	28 19	60 18
Body length	1.25(1.21-1.33)	1.81(1.78-1.98)	3.33(3.17-3.63)	3.88(3.77-3.95)	6.46(6.28-6.77)
Body width	0.38(0.35-0.52)	0.50(0.57-0.57)	0.73(0.63-0.86)	0.83(0.80-0.94)	1.43(1.34-1.57)
Acetabulum diam.	0.06(0.06-0.07)	0.07(0.07-0.08)	0.09(0.08-0.09)	0.11(0.10-0.12)	0.11(0.10-1.13)
Oral Sucker diam.	0.13(0.12-0.14)	0.18(0.17-0.19)	0.23(0.23-0.27)	0.26(0.23-0.27)	0.28(0.26-0.30)
Oral Sucker length	0.12(0.09-0.13)	0.17(0.16-0.18)	0.22(0.19-0.23)	0.24(0.23-0.26)	0.25(0.23-0.26)
O/A Ratio	2.4 (2.3 -2.5)	2.5 (2.4 -2.7)	2.5 (2.4 -2.7)	2.6 (2.3 -2.7)	2.6 (2.4 -2.8)
Ovary width	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.17(0.15-0.18)	0.26(0.24-0.28)	0.30(0.28-0.33)	`0.39(0.37-0.41)
Ovary length	0.08(0.06-0.09)	0.24(0.22-0.25)	0.48(0.46-0.49)	0.56(0.55-0.59)	0.73(0.68-0.74)
Ant. Testis width	0.10(0.09-0.11)	0.25(0.22-0.28)	0.33(0.28-0.35)	0.39(0.38-0.42)	0.46(0.43-0.49)
Ant. Testis length	0.14(0.13-0.18)	0.35(0.32-0.37)	0.58(0.54-0.60)	0.62(0.58-0.63)	1.17(1.11-1.19)
Post. Testis width	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.24(0.21-0.26)	0.42(0.39-0.43)	0.47(0.46-0.49)	.0.53(0.49-0.54)
Post. Testis length	0.14(0.13-0.16)	0.33(0.30-0.34)	0.67(0.63-0.69)	0.74(0.72-0.77)	1.29(1.24-1.33)

All measurements in millimeters.

Appendix 11. Average measurements of <u>H</u>. <u>buttencis</u> of varying ages developed in

Age (days)	5	.14	21	28	60
No. of specimens	22	23	2i	26	25
Body Length	1.11(1.07=1.17)	1.77(1.62-1.79)	3.12(2.88-3.37)	3.91(3.84-4.05)	6.11(5.83-6.72)
Body Width	0.35(0.33-0.38)	0.54(0.46-0.57)	0.70(0.58-0.87)	0.85(0.77-0.92)	1.35(1.06-1.48)
Acetabulum diam.	0.05(0.05-0.06)	0.07(0.07-0.08)	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.11(0.09-0.12)	0.12(0.10-0.13)
Oral Sucker diam.	0.14(0.13-0.15)	0.19(0.17-0.20)	0.22(0.20-0.24)	0.26(0.25-0.27)	0.28(0.26-0.30)
Oral Sucker length	0.12(0.11-0.14)	0.16(0.15-0.17)	0.21(0.18-0.21)	0.24(0.24-0.26)	0.26(0.23-0.27)
O/A Ratio	2.4 (2.3 -2.5)	2.5 (2.4 -2.7)	2.5 (2.3 -2.6)	2.5 (2.4 -2.6)	2.6 (2.4 -2.7)
Ovary width	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.18(0.17-0.20)	0.24(0.23-0.27)	0.29(0.28-0.31)	0.36(0.34-0.38)
Ovary length	0.83(0.07-0.10)	0.24(0.23-0.26)	0.48(0.45-0.50)	0.56(0.54-0.57)	0.71(0.68-0.74)
Ant. Testis width	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.22(0.21-0.25)	0.35(0.32-0.38)	0.42(0.41-0.44)	0.51(0.48-0.53)
Ant. Testis length	0.13(0.13-0.17)	0.36(0.35-0.40)	0.63(0.61-0.66)	0.64(0.62-0.67)	1.14(1.06-1.19)
Post. Testis width	0.09(0.07-0.10)	0.22(0.21-0.25)	0.38(0.35-0.39)	0.44(0.42-0.45)	0.53(0.49-0.54)
Post. Testis length	0.13(0.12-0.14)	0.32(0.32-0.35)	0.66(0.63-0.67)	0.74(0.72-0.75)	1.24(1.20-1.25)

Rana pretiosa having a snout-vent length of 45-50 mm.

All measurements in millimeters.

Appendix 12. Average measurements of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> of varying ages developed

in Rana pretiosa having a snout-vent length of 55-60 mm.

Age (days)	5	14	21 .	28	60
No. of specimens	18	16	24	17	17
Body length	1.26(1.24-1.32)	1.76(1.75-1.84)	3.31(3.29-3.47)	3.79(3.63-3.99)	6.44(5.87-6.75)
Body width	0.37(0.34-0.38)	0.47(0.45-0.50)	0.69(0.63-0.71)	0.80(0.73-0.91)	1.37(1.22-1.43)
Acetabulum diam.	0.06(0.06-0.07)	0.08(0.07-0.08)	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.10(0.09-0.11)	0.11(0.10-0.12)
Oral Sucker diam.	0.12(0.12-0.13)	0.17(0.15-0.18)	0.24(0.23-0.25)	0.25(0.25-0.27)	0.28(0.26-0.29)
Oral Sucker length	0.12(0.11-0.12)	0.18(0.16-0.18)	0.21(0.20-0.22)	0.24(0.23-0.26)	0.25(0.24-0.28)
O/A Ratio	2.5 (2.4 -2.6)	2.5 (2.3 -2.7)	2.6 (2.3 -2.6)	2.7 (2.4 -2.8)	2.6 (2.5 -2.7)
Ovary width	0.08(0.08-0.09)	0.18(0.16-0.20)	0.25(0.21-0.27)	0.32(0.29-0.33)	.0.38(0.37-0.40)
Ovary length	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.25(0.23-0.26)	0.47(0.45-0.48)	0.56(0.54-0.57)	0.71(0.66-0.72)
Ant. Testis width	ú.12(0.11-0.14)	0.25(0.23-0.26)	0.33(0.31-0.36)	0.39(0.37-0.41)	0.46(0.41-0.49)
Ant. Testis length	0.14(0.12-0.16)	0.34(0.32-0.38)	0.57(0.55-0.60)	0.63(0.61-0.64)	1.16(1.10-1.21)
Post. Testis width	0.10(0.10-0.13)	0.25(0.22-0.26)	0.41(0.40-0.44)	0.46(0.43-0.47)	0.52(0.49-0.53)
Post. Testis length	0.15(0.13-0.16)	0.34(0.33-0.36)	0.67(0.64-0.67).	0.74(0.71-0.77)	1.26(1.21-1.26)

All measurements in millimeters.

Appendix 13. Average measurements of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> of varying ages developed

in <u>Rana pretiosa</u> having a snout-vent length of 65-70 mm.

Age (days)	5	14	21	28	60
No. of specimens	17	26	15	19	21
Body length	1.25(1.15-1.30)	1.78(1.69-1.80)	3.30(2.97-3.51)	3.86(3.72-4.10)	6.44(6.00-6.99)
Body width	0.36(0.35-0.37)	0.49(0.42-0.52)	0.69(0.52-0.83)	0.82(0.71-0.93)	1.38(1.19-1.52)
Acetabulum diam.	0.06(0.06-0.07)	0.07(0.06-0.08)	0.09(0.07-0.09)	0.10(0.09-0.10)	0.10(0.09-0.11)
Oral Sucker diam.	0.13(0.12-0.13)	0.17(0.16-0.18)	0.23(0.20-0.24)	0.25(0.23-0.26)	0.27(0.25-0.28)
Oral Sucker length	0.11(0.10-0.15)	0.17(0.17-0.19)	0.21(0.20-0.22)	0.24(0.22-0.25)	0.25(0.22-0.27)
O/A Ratio	2.3 (2.1 -2.4)	2.4 (2.3 -2.6)	2.5 (2.3 -2.7)	2.6 (2.5 -2.7)	2.5 (2.2 -2.6)
Ovary width	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.17(0.15-0.19)	0.25(0.21-0.28)	0.32(0.30-0.35)	0.38(0.35-0.42)
Ovary length	0.09(0.07-0.10)	0.24(0.22-0.26)	0.46(0.45-0.48)	0.55(0.54-0.58)	0.70(0.65-0.72)
Ant. Testis width	0.11(0.09-0.12)	0.24(0.22-0.26)	0.32(0.31-0.36)	0.38(0.35-0.40)	0.45(0.43-0.49)
Ant. Testis length	0.13(0.12-0.17)	0.34(0.31-0.37)	0.57(0.52-0.58)	0.62(0.59-0.65)	1.15(1.04-1.18)
Post. Testis width	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.24(0.20-0.26)	0.40(0.37-0.42)	0.47(0.44-0.49)	0.52(0.50-0.55)
Post. Testis length	0.14(0.12-0.17)	0.33(0.31-0.35)	0.66(0.64-0.68)	0.74(0.72-0.76)	1.27(1.21-1.28)

All measurements in millimeters.

Appendix 14. Average measurements of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> of varying ages developed

in male Rana pretiosa having a snout-vent length of 50 mm.

Age (days)	5	14	21.	28	60
No. of specimens	22	23	26	19	. 25
Body length	1.06(0.95+1.18)	1.78(1.59-1.88)	3.00(2.66-3.57)	3.96(3.78-4.61)	6.48(5.83-6.78)
Body width	0.33(0.32-0.36)	0.55(0.48-0.62)	0.66(0.51-0.83)	0.82(0.78-0.87)	1.26(1.18-1.49)
Acetabulum diam.	0.06(0.06-0.07)	0.08(0.07-0.08)	0.08(0.07-0.08)	0.12(0.11-0.13)	0.13(0.13-0.15)
Oral Sucker diam.	0.12(0.11-0.12)	0.18(0.15-0.18)	0.23(0.22-0.25)	0.27(0.26-0.28)	0.29(0.27-0.32)
Oral Sucker length	0.10(0.09-0.11)	0.17(0.16-0.18)	0.23(0.21-0.24)	0.25(0.24-0.27)	0.27(0.26-0.29)
O/A Ratio	2.4 (2.3 -2.6)	2.4 (2.3 -2.7)	2.6 (2.4 -2.7)	2.6 (2.5 -2.8)	2.6 (2.5 -2.7)
Ovary width	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.18(0.13-0.26)	0.24(0.15-0.36)	0.28(0.21-0.33)	0.37(0.30-0.40)
Ovary length	0.10(0.09-0.11)	0.26(0.25-0.36)	0.52(0.48-0.65)	0.54(0.48-0.61)	0.74(0.72-0.76)
Ant. Testis width	0.12(0.09-0.13)	0.24(0.22-0.28)	0.35(0.29-0.51)	0.43(0.35-0.47)	0.50(0.46-0.61)
Ant. Testis length	0.12(0.11-0.18)	0.35(0.28-0.37)	0.65(0.55-0.72)	0.63(0.58-0.70)	1.16(1.10-1.37)
Post. Testis width	0.09(0.07-0.11)	0.23(0.20-0.30)	0.38(0.28-0.47)	0.45(0.36-0.54)	0.54(0.48-0.62)
Post. Testis length	0.14(0.12-0.17)	0.32(0.29-0.56)	0.66(0.52-0.69)	0.79(0.68-0.93)	1.36(1.20-1.46)

All measurements in millimeters.

Appendix 15. Average measurements of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> of varying ages developed

in female Rana pretiosa having a snout-vent length of 50 mm.

Age (days)	<u>,</u> 5	14	21	28	60
No. of specimens	27	24	19	21	23
Body length	1.09(1.04-1.22)	1.83(1.71-1.94)	3.09(2.75-3.49)	4.08(3.63-4.45)	6.51(5.93-6.81)
Body width	0.35(0.32-0.36)	0.57(0.50-0.60)	0.67(0.53-0.83)	0.84(0.76-0.88)	1.33(1.27-1.51)
Acetabulum diam.	0.07(0.06-0.08)	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.09(0.08-0.09)	0.12(0.12-0.13)	0.13(0.12-0.14)
Oral Sucker diam.	0.12(0.12-0.13)	0.18(0.16-0.19)	0.23(0.22-0.25)	0.26(0.26-0.28)	0.29(0.26-0.30)
Oral Sucker length	0.10(0.09-0.11)	0.17(0.16-0.18)	0.23(0.20-0.24)	0.26(0.24-0.27)	0.27(0.26-0.29)
O/A Ratio	2.3 (2.2 -2.5)	2.5 (2.3 -2.7)	2.5 (2.4 -2.7)	2.6 (2.4 -2.7)	2.6 (2.5 -2.8)
Ovary width	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.18(0.14-0.27)	0.24(0.16-0.34)	0.27(0.22-0.32)	0.37(0.28-0.39)
Ovary length	0.10(0.09-0.11)	0.25(0.25-0.34)	0.50(0.47-0.63)	0.54(0.46-0.59)	0.72(0.68-0.73)
Ant. Testis width	0.12(0.10-0.13)	0.24(0.21-0.26)	0.36(0.31-0.50)	0.44(0.37-0.47)	0.52(0.48-0.59)
Ant. Testis length	0.12(0.12-0.17)	0.37(0.29-0.38)	0.64(0.54-0.74)	0.62(0.57-0.68)	1.15(1.13-1.36)
Post. Testis width	0.09(0.07-0.11)	0.24(0.22-0.32)	0.40(0.29-0.48)	0.46(0.38-0.52)	0.55(0.45-0.58)
Post. Testis length	0.15(0.13-0.17)	0.33(0.31-0.39)	0.67(0.55-0.67)	0.80(0.69-0.89)	1.40(1.25-1.53)

All measurements in millimeters.

Number of	# worms	%	# wo	rms lung	# gravid	l worms lung	% grav per	id worms lung	*Average body
metacercariae	recovered	survival	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	size (LxW)/2
10	7	70.0	3	4 .	3	4	100	100	3.72(2.38-5.29)
10	10	100	7	3	7	3	100	100	3.92(3.26-5.07)
10	6	60 . 0	4	2	4	2	100	100	3.65(3.62-4.37)
20	16	80.0	8	8	6	8	75.0	100	3.29(2.74-3.91)
- 20	15	75.0	9	6	7	6	77,8	100	3. 31(2. 13-4. 73)
20	15	75.0	8	7	8	7	100	100	3.47(2.86-4.55)
40	25	62.5	14	11	10	7	71.4	63.6	2.80(2.25-3.33)
40	27	67.5	9	18	8	11	88.9	61.1	3. 07(2.60-3.75)
40	32	80.0	18	14	10	9	55.6	64.3	2.85(1.63-3.53)
80	43	53.8	20	23	12	12	60.0	52.2	1.99(1.73-2.43)
80	27	33.8	. 11	16	9	7	81.8	43.8	1.80(1.31-2.50)
80	52	65.0	31	21	11	15	35.5	71 4	2.05(1.66-2.63)
. 160	85	53.1	50	35	23	19	46.6	5A 2	1.20(0.89-1.47)
160	101	63.1	49	52	17	21	34 7	54.5	1.27(0)98-1.67)
160	120	75.0	49	71	19	12	38.0	40.4	
	Number of metacercariae 10 10 10 20 20 20 20 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Number of metacercariae recovered 10 7 10 10 10 10 10 6 20 16 20 15 20 15 40 25 40 27 40 32 80 43 80 27 80 52 160 85 160 101 160 120	Number of metacercariae# worms recovered% survival10770.01010100101010010660.0201680.0201575.0201575.0402562.5402767.5403280.0804353.8802733.8805265.01608553.116010163.116012075.0	Number of metacercariae# worms recovered $\frac{\%}{survival}$ $\frac{\#}{Right}$ 10770.031010100710660.04201680.08201575.09201575.08402562.514402767.59403280.018804353.820802733.811805265.0311608553.15016010163.14916012075.049	Number of metacercariae# worms recovered $\frac{\# worms}{per lung}$ Right $\frac{\mu worms}{per lung}$ Right10770.03410101007310660.042201680.088201575.096201575.087402562.51411402767.5918403280.01814804353.82023802733.81116805265.0312116010163.1495216012075.04971	Number of metacercariae# worms recovered% survival# worms per lung Right# gravic per Left10770.0343101010073710660.0424201680.0886201575.0967201575.0878402562.5141110402767.59188403280.0181410804353.8202312802733.811169805265.03121111608553.150352316010163.149521716012075.0497119	Number of metacercariae recovered# worms survival# worms per lung Right# gravid worms per lung Right10770.034341010100737310660.04242201680.08868201575.09676201575.08787402562.51411107402767.5918811403280.01814109804353.820231212805265.0312111151608553.15035231916010163.14952172116012075.049711912	Number of matacercariae# worms survival# worms per lung Right# gravid worms per lung Right# gravid worms per lung Right# gravid worms 	Number of metacercariae# worms survival# worms per lung Right# gravid worms per lung Right% gravid worms per lung Right10770.034341001001010100737310010010660.04242100100201680.0886875.0100201575.0967677.8100201575.08787100100402562.5141110771.463.6402767.591881188.961.1403280.0181410955.664.3804353.82023121260.052.2802733.811169781.843.8805265.03121111535.571.41608553.15035231946.654.316010163.14952172134.740.4

Appendix 16 Effects of crowding on 60-day-old <u>Haematoloechus buttensis</u> in <u>Rana pretiosa</u>,

^{*}Measurements in square millimeters.

87

Appendix 17. Average measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u> of varying ages developed in <u>Rana pretiosa</u>.

Age (d	ays)	5	14	21	20	<u> </u>
No. of speci	mens	21	19	18	16	18
Acetabulum	0,054(0.053-0.056)	0.069(0.0 <u>6</u> 5-0.075)	0.088(0.076-0.096)	0.098(0.090-0.109)	0.104(0.096-0.110)
Oral sucker length	0.119 (0.115 - 0.123)	0.169(0.160-0.181)	0.211 (0.199-0.227)	v. 237(0. 229-0. 243)	0.241(0.211-0.263)
Oral sucker width	0.129(0.120-0.135)	0.180(0.175-0.190)	0.230(0.198-0.253)	0.251(0.236-0.267)	0.273(0.250-0.286)
O/A ratio	2.4 (2.2 -2.6)	2.6 (2.4 -2.7)	2.6 (2.5 -2.8)	2.6 (2.5 -2.7)	2.6 (2.5 -2.7)
Ovary length	0.082(0.067-0.097)	0.243(0.211-0.301)	0.501(0.438-0.529)	0.553(0.522-0.603)	0.723(0.691-0.730)
Ovary width	0.079(0.071-0.089)	0.171 (0.153-0.190)	0.246(0.163-0.307)	0.285(0.231-0.337)	0.372(0.337-0.396)
Ant. testis length	0.136(0.117 -0.168)	0.338(0.299-0.365)	0.611 (0.526-0.730)	0.608(0.567-0.667)	1.147 (1.069-1.237)
Ant. testis width	0.088(0.065-0.101)	0.235(0.201-0.273)	0.339(0.253-0.401)	0.411 (0.359-0.467)	0.474(0.380-0.540)
Post. iestis length	0.138(0.127-0.151)	0.331(0.288-0.350)	0.669(0.597-0.745)	0.745(0.680-0.837)	1.263(1.216 -1.300)
Post. testis width	0.079(0.059-0.101)	0.233(0.200-0.279)	0.402(0.277-0.513)	0.474(0.400-0.521)	0.528(0.440-0,637)
Body length	1.061(0.979-1.200)	1.777(1.726-1.821)	3.265(2.760-3.715)	3.918(3.650-4.300)	6.413(5.780-7.005)
Body width	0.352(0.329-0.757)	0.507(0.480-0.587)	0.746(0.689-0.987)	0.809(0.771-0.850)	1. 399 (1. 220 - 1. 518)
Egg length			0.017(0.016-0.018)	0.024(0.020-0.02 9)	0.023(0.022-0.024)	0.023(0.022-0.025)
Egg width			0.016(0.015-0.017)	0.017(0.016-0.018)	0.018(0.017 - 0.019)	0 018/0 0/0 -0 0191

All measurements in millimeters.

A == (daw		5	14	21	28	60
No. of specime	ens	17	13	14	15	11
Acetabulum	0.061(0.055-9.063)	0.077(0.069-0.081)	0.099(0.087-0.103)	0.110 (0.101 -0.121)	0.111 (0.099-0.122)
Oral sucker	0.133 (0.126-0.142)	0.189 (0.170 - 0.204)	0.237(0.212-0.243)	0.265(0.287-0.280)	0.270(0.250-0.291)
Oral sucker	0.145 (0.137-0.158)	0.201(0.188-0.206)	0.258(0.225-0.279)	0.281(0.250-0.289)	0.306(0.267-0.322)
width O/A ratio		2.4	2. 6	2.6	2.6	2.8
Ovary length		Absent	Absent	0.203(0.148-0.213)	0.388(0.320-0.416)	0.448(0.392-0.506)
Ovary width		Absent	Absent	0.075(0.066-0.082)	0.113 (0.087-0.121)	0.126(0.113 -0.136)
Ant. testis	0.084(0.052-0.119)	0.212 (0.188-0.253)	0.390(0.288-0.427)	0.412(0.339-0.480)	0.706(0.619-0.785)
length Ant. testis	0.065(0.044-0.082)	0.165 (0.128-0.203)	0.254(0.213-0.304)	0.281(0.265-0.313)	ò, 361 (0, 305-0, 389)
width Post testis	0.133(0,119 -0,184)	0.324(0.266-0.352)	0.675(0.583-0.797)	0.778(0.719-0.850)	1, 325 (1, 247 -1, 381)
length Post. testis	0.048(0.033-0.073)	0.133(0.096-0.175)	0.225(0.188-0.264)	0.240(0.203-0.288)	0.312(0.276-0.370)
width Body length	0.802(0.753-0.948)	1.439 (1.267 - 1.680)	2.319(1.926-2.551)	3. 278(2. 981 - 3. 464)	4.951 (4.320-5.219)
Body width	0.265(0.257-0.288)	0.378(0.364-0.397)	0.550(0.497-0.622)	0.634(0.581-0.714)	1.202(0.995-1.367)
Egg length		Absent	Absent	Absent	0.019 (0.017-0.020)	0.020(0.018-0.022)
Egg width		Absent	Absent	Absent	0.016(0.015 -0.018)	0.017 (0.016 - 0.017

Appendix 18. Average measurements of <u>H. buttensis</u> of varying ages developed in <u>Rana aurora</u>,

All measurements in millimeters.

Age (d	Age (days) 5		14	21	28	60
No, of specir	nens	14	12	15	13	9
Acetabulum	0.062(0.057-0.065)	0.080(0.071-0.087)	0.101 (0.093-0.105)	0.112 (0.105-0.117)	0.119 (0.109-0.123)
Oral sucker length	0.136(0.128-0.142)	0.193(0.157-0.201)	0.241(0.235-0.241)	0.270(0.262-0.291)	0.275(0.270-0.273)
Oral sucker width	0.147(0.139-0.152)	0.205(0.190-0.211)	0.262(0.257-0.266)	0.286(0.270-0.295)	0.312(0.300-0.324)
O/A ratio		2.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
Ovary length		Absent	Absent	0.521(0.473-0.562)	0.601(0.512-0.682)	0.870(0.689-0.851)
Ovary width		Absent	Absent	0.453(0.401-0.528)	0.467(0.409-0.521)	0.682(0.614-0.737)
Ant. testis length	0.152(0.117 -0.167)	0.378(0.348-0.417)	0.679(0.613-0.705)	0.693(0.649-0.761)	1. 284 (1. 172 - 1. 322)
Ant. testis width	0.095(0.077-0.117)	0.252(0.175-0.277)	0.363(0.311 -0.427)	0.440(0.416-0.491)	0.507(0.466-0.582)
Post. testis length	0.160(5.136-0.182)	0.397(0.335-0.427)	0.743(0.669-0.879)	0.827(0.774-0.865)	1. 402(1. 325-1. 457
Post. testis width	0.140(0.072-0.112)	0.277(0.250-0.289)	0.427(0.401-0.518)	0.502(0.460-0.463)	0.560(0.537-0.648)
Body length	0.823(0.764-0.989)	1.367(1.217 -1.592)	2.247(2.032-2.574)	3.186(2.951-3.324)	4.877(4.429-5.243)
Body width	0.259(0.245-0.277)	0.370(0.348-0.391)	0.538(0.450-0.552)	0.636(0.599-0.682)	1.167 (0.928-1.245)
Egg length		Absent	Absent	Absent	0.018(0.017-0.019)	0.023(0.022-0.025)
Egg width		Absent	Absent	Absent	0.016(0.015 -0.017)	0.017(0.016-0.018)

Appendix 19 Average measurements of H. buttensis of varying ages developed in Rana clamitans.

All measurements in millimeters.

Age (da	ys)	5	14	21	28	60
No. of specin	nens	19	17	17	21	20
Acetabulum	0.049	(0.047-0.051)	0.061 (0.057-0.065)	0.076(0.063-0.083)	0.091(0.083-0.099)	0.100(0.082-0.120)
Oral sucker	0.137	(0.128-0.145)	0.188(0.167-0.212)	0.235(0.215-0.257)	0.270(0.255-0.282)	0.291(0.242-0.346)
Oral sucker width	0.135	(0.124-0.141)	0.185(0.171 - 0.206)	0.237(0.195-0.258)	0.264(0.253-0.280)	0.305(0.244-0.372)
O/A ratio	2.7	(2.6 -2.8)	3.1 (2.9 -3.2)	3.1 (2.9 -3.3)	2.9 (2.8 -3.1)	3.0 (2.9 -3.1)
Ovary length		Absent	0.231(0.190-0.304)	0.457(0.313-0.514)	0.527(0.475-0.595)	0.684(0.552-0.825)
Ovary width		Absent	0.085(0.066-0.098)	0.133(0.130-0.194)	0.097(0.086-0.107)	0.132(0.105-0.159)
Ant. testis length	0. 096	(0.080-0.128)	0.244(0.210-0.271)	0.448(0.367-0.539)	0.473(0.431-0.504)	0.811 (0.652-0.983)
Ant. testis width	0.073	(0.052-0.085)	0.186(0.148-0.266)	0.285(0.208-0.333)	0.316(0.256-0.389)	0.406(0.326-0.490)
Post. testis length	0.153	(0.127-0.201)	0.373(0.314-0.397)	0.776(0.628-0.901)	0.895(0.775-1.070)	1. 523(1. 227 - 1. 835)
Post. testis width	0.053	(0.036-0.069)	0.146(0.117 - 0.179)	0.248(0.166-0.345)	0.216(0.169-0.252)	0.343(0.271-0.416)
Body length	0.879	(0.824-0.962)	1.534(1.429-1.771)	2.695(2.112 - 3.224)	3.431(3.083-4.037)	5.353(4.325-6.450)
Body width	0.309	(0.290-0.321)	0.429(0.422-0.542)	0.625(0.575-0.786)	0.743(0.707-0.784)	1. 366 (1. 104 -1. 638)
Egg length		Absent	Absent	0.023(0.019-0.025)	0.023(0.023-0.026)	0.023(0.023-0.025)
Egg width		Absent	Absent	0.016(0.014-0.018)	0.018(0.017-0.018)	0.017(0.017-0.018)

Appendix 20. Average measurements of H. buttensis of varying ages developed in Bufo boreas.

All measurements in millimeters.
Appendix 21. Average measurements of <u>H</u>. <u>buttensis</u> of varying ages developed in

Rana pretiosa when Physa nuttalli was the first intermediate host.

والمحادث			•		
Age (days)	· 5	14	21	28	60
No. of specimens	19	17	23	20	19
Body lengtl:	1.11(0.97-1.19)	1.81(1.77-1.88)	3.17(2.74-3.59)	4.11(3.58-4.47)	6.64(5.89-6.96)
Body width	0.34(0.32-0.36)	0.57(0.51-0.59)	0.68(0.66-0.79)	0.83(0.80-0.83)	1.32(1.27-1.61)
Acetabulum diam.	0.07(0.05-0.07)	0.08(0.07-0.09)	0.08(0.08-0.09)	0.12(0.10-0.14)	0.14(0.12-0.15)
Oral Sucker diam.	0.12(0.10-0.13)	0.18(0.17-0.21)	0.24(0.22-0.27)	0.27(0.24-0.29)	0.29(0.27-0.33)
Oral Sucker length	0.11(0.10-0.12)	0.17(0.15-0.19)	0.23(0.20-0.24)	0.25(0.24-0.28)	0.28(0.26-0.30)
0/A Ratio	2.5 (2.3 -2.6)	2.5 (2.4 -2.7)	2.6 (2.4 -2.8)	2.5 (2.3 -2.6)	2.6 (2.5 -2.7)
Ovary width	0.10(0.09-0.10)	0.19(0.16-0.21)	0.24(0.17-0.33)	0.29(0.28-0.34)	0.38(0.34-0.42)
Ovary length	0.11(0.10-0.12)	0.27(0.22-0.32)	0.52(0.39-0.54)	0.56(0.53-0.63)	0.74(0.73-0.77)
Ant. Testis width	0.12(0.07-0.14)	0.25(0.22-0.32)	0.36(0.28-0.39)	0.44(0.43-0.52)	0.55(0.36-0.61)
Ant. Testis length	0.12(0.11-0.18)	0.36(0.30-0.39)	0.65(0.55-0.74)	0.65(0.60-0.71)	1.18(1.00-1.36)
Post. Testis width	0.09(0.08-0.10)	0.24(0.20-0.26)	0.39(0.29-0.47)	0.46(0.38-0.57)	0.55(0.47-0.69)
Post. Testis length	0.15(0.12-0.17)	0.33(0.27-0.34)	0.67(0.55-0.77)	0.80(0.76-0.92)	1.38(1.27-1.48)

All measurements in millimeters.

	<u>H. buttensis</u> Ingles (1936)	H. <u>similiplexus</u> Stafford (1902)	H. similiplexus	<u>H. varioplexus</u> Stafford (1902)	<u>H</u> . <u>floedae</u> Harwood (19 32)
Acetabulum	0.31 (0.24-0.37)	(0.38) (0.41)			
Oral sucker length	0.33(0.18-0.14)	(0.44) (0.51)			
Oral sucker width	0.46 (0.29-0.47)	(0.41)(0.57)			(3.6-4.4)
O/A ratio	1:0.7 (1:0.6-1.08)	(1.1:1.0) (1.4:1.	0) 4:3		3:1
Pharynx length	0.22				
Pharynx width	0.21			•	
Ovary length	0.44 (0.26-0.55)		(0.29) (0.46)		(0.65-0.83)
Ovary width	0.32 (0.20-0.37)		(0.21) (0.43)		(0. 32-0. 45)
Ant. testis length			(0.34) (0.48)		(0.7 - 1.1)
Ant. testis width			(0.34) (0.45)	• .	(0, 32-0, 65)
Post. testis length	0.82 (0.45-1.03)		(0.46) (0.56)		(0.8-1.2)
Post. testis width	0.64 (0.43-0.87)		(0.34) (0.58)		(0.34-0.7)
Body length	7.4 (3.2 -10.0)	(3 - 8)	1.9 (1.8-5.8)	10.5	(4.4-10.0)
Body width	1.3 (0.7 -2.2)		(0.67-1.96)	2.0	(1.2-1.6)
Egg length	0.027(0.025-0.030)	0.039	0.038(0.034-0.04) 0.029	(0.017-0.021)
Egg width	0.014(0.011 -0.017)	0.019	0.018(0.017-0.02	1) 0.018	(0.013-0.018)

Appendix 22 Summary of some measurements given in type descriptions.

*A redescription from Cort, 1915a.

Appendix 22 continued

	<u>H. uniplexus</u> Harwood 1932	<u>H. parviplexus</u> (Irwin 1929)	<u>H. breviplexus</u> Stafford (1902)	[*] <u>H</u> . <u>breviplexus</u>	<u>H. longiplexus</u> Stafford (1902)
Acetabulum	0.08		0.16		0.23
Oral sucker length					(0.46) (0.38)
Oral sucker width	0.23	· · ·	0.32	(0.4) (0.28)	(0.46) (0.49)
O/A ratio	1:3	4:1	1:2	2:1	
Pharynx width	0.14	•		(0.19) (0.195)	· · · ·
Ovary length		(1.16) (0.79)		1. 57	
Ovary width		0.495 (0.314)	·	0.92	
Ant. testis length	0.5	1.023 (1.04)		1. 71	
Ant. testis width	0.16	0.578 (0.495)		1.03	
Post. testis length	0.48	(1. 469) (1. 02)		2. 31	. .
Post. testis width	0.16	(0.528) (0.512)		1.1	•
Body length	4.25	(3.9-8.49	12.0	(5.8) (9.4)	7.0 or 8.0 (15)
Body width	0.7	(0.85-1.6)	(2.0-2.5)	(1.8) (2.74)	About 2.0 (3.0)
Egg length	(0.021-0.017)0.025(0.023-0.029)	0.022	0.023(0.021-0.026	o) 0.022
Egg width	(0.017-0.013)0.017(0.016-0.019)	0.017	0.014(0.013-0.016)	0.017

Appendix 22 continued

	[™] H. <u>longiplexus</u>	H. complexus Seely (1906)	<u>H. kernensis</u> Ingles (1932)	<u>H. tumidus</u> Ingles (1932)	
Acetabulum	0.7	0.38	0.44	0.68	
Oral sucker length	0.36		0.44		
Oral sucker width	0.42	0.4		0.61	
O/A ratio	(5:2 - 2:1)	•	1:1 (7:6-15:16)	5:6	
Pharynx length	0.22		· · ·	0.49	
Pharynx width	0.18		0, 31		
Ovary length	0.85	0.7		0.87	•
Ovary width	0.72	0.32	0.37 (0.58)		
Ant. testis length	1.08	1.1			
Ant. testis width	0.27	0.92	0.97	0.16	
Post. testis length	1. 26	1.4			
Post. testis width	0.32	0.92	9.96	0.15	
Body length	4.6	(5-8)	6.3 (5.5-7.0)	3.1 (6. 4-9.7)	
Body width	2.0	1.7	1.54	2.5	
Egg length	0.025(0.022-0.087)	0.029	0.030(0.025-0.039)	0.032(0.030-0.036)	
Egg width	0.015(0.014-0.017)	0.014	0.016	0.017	

Appendix 22 continued

	<u>H. oxyorchis</u> Ingles (1932)	<u>H. confusus</u> Ingles (1932)	[¥] H. <u>coloradensis</u>	<u>H. medioplexus</u> Stafford (1902)	* <u>H. medioplexus</u>
Acetabulum	0.32	0.32	0.7	(0.12) (0.15)	0.08
Oral sucker length		0.44		(0.22)	(0.39) (0:40)
Oral sucker width	0.41	0.46		(0.31) (0.24)	(0.37) (0.35)
O/A ratio	5:4 (7:6-8:5)	4:3 (5:4-9:5)	5:4 (7:6-4:3)		4:1
Pharynx length		0.34			0.29
Pharynx width	0.31	0.28			0.26
Ovary length	0.58	0.40	(0.30 - 0.49)		0.56
Ovary width	0.51	0.19	(0.22 - 0.42)		• 0,28
Ant. testis length		0.51	(0.42 - 0.64)		0. 56
Ant. testis width	0.76	0.44	(0.46 - 0.60)		0.64
Post testis length		0.50	(0.46 - 0.74)		0.64
Post. testis width	0.78	0.42	(0.44 - 0.86)		0.6
Body length	5.8 (3.8-6.5)	3. 9 (3.3-4.9)	8.1	11.0	(3.9-7.8)
Body width	0.87	0.9	1.55	1.25	(0.59-1.2)
Egg length ().027(0.026-0.0 0	30) ,026(0,024-0.02	0.034(0.032-0.039) 29)	0.028	0.026(0.022-0.0 29)
Egg width	0.017	0.015	0.020(0.018-0.021)	0.018	0.015(0.013-0.017)

230

				Haematol	oechus			
Host	<u>bravielexus</u>	<u>buttens!s</u>	<u>coloradensis</u>	<u>comalexus</u>	<u>confusus</u>	floedae	<u>kernensis</u>	longi liaxus
Ranidae								<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Rana aurora							13	
R.aurora draytoni					9		9	
<u>R.blairi</u>			41	41			,	41
<u>R.boyli</u>		13						
<u>B</u> . <u>cstesbeiana</u>	1,4,12,30,37, 38,42.	38				8,15,28, 33.		1,8,11,12,15, 29,32,35,36, 38,41.
<u>R.clatitans</u>	4,23,42.					8		8,21.
R.prylio								15,17:
R.montezime				18				
R. salmises								
R.palustris								
<u>R.piciens</u>	1		4,27,29,31, 40,41.	3,4,10,12, 18,22,36, 41.	25			32,36.
<u>R.cretiosa</u>	32(experimental	1)						32
<u>R.pretiosa</u>		٢						
<u>Resulvatica</u>			-					•
R.sertentrionalis					•			21
R.schenscephala				3,4,12.				
<u>Risvlvitica</u>								
wfonidae								
<u>Bufo</u> <u>americanus</u>	4							
B.microscarhus		•	27,31.					
E.woodhousil			27,31.	41		•		41
Hylidae								
<u>Hvla</u> <u>chrysoscelis</u>				41				
Listafford(1902) Listafford(1905) Jisely(1906) Licort(1915) JiFortner(1923) SiFrwin(1929) Thrull(1931) Linarwood(1932) Jingles(1932) Linries(1933)	12.Brandt(1 13.Ingles(1) 14.Bennett 15.Manter(1 16.Caballer 17.Parker(1 18.Caballer 19.Runkin(1 20.Uribe-Fi 21.Buchard	.936) .936) (1938) .938) .941) .941) .941) .945) .945) .945) .01951) 1954)	23.Najarian 24.Turner(1 25.Cheng an 26.Cheng(19 27.Francsen 28.Loftin(1 29.Waitz(19 30.Enight, 3 31.Farry an 32.uchol(1 23.Loobt	(1955) (958) (a Provenza(19 (60) (and Grundman (360) (61) (arbay and Mor (a Grundman(19 (905) (a Moreleas (1)	60) (1960) rison 65)	34.Campbell(35.Clark and 36.Ulmer(197 37.Kollis(19 38.babero an 39.Cain and 40.Dronen(19 41.Brooks(19 42.Rooks (19 42.Rooks anu 43.kennedy(11	1968) Longest(19 0) 72) a Golling(1 French(1975 75) 76) 	970) 974)

Appendix 2.3 Known Hosts of Adult <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp. in Canada and the United States

.

.

Appendix 23 cout.

Known Hosts of Adult <u>Haematoloechus</u> sp. in Canada and the United States.

		Haematoloechus						
Host	medioplexus	<u>oyxorchia</u>	parviplexus	similiplexus	tumidu	s uniplexus	varioplexus	
lanidae						·		
Rana Aurora					13			
R. aurora drayton1		9,10.		*	9			
<u>R.blairi</u>	41						41	
<u>B.boyli</u>							• •	
R.catesbeinna	39		14,38,41.	1,34,35.			1	
<u>R.clatitans</u>	19,21.		6,14,23,29,	21				
R. zrylio		••	4J•			·		
<u>R.montzume</u>	15		16				· ·	
<u>R.malmices</u>	20				•		×	
R. palustris	19,21.	· · ·						
R. cipiens	1,4,5,18,19, 29,36,37,41.			1,4,5,36.			41.	
R. rretiosa				24			. ·	
R. Fretiosa								
<u>R</u> . <u>svlvatica</u>								
R.sectentrionalis	21			21			•	
R.schenocechala						8		
R.sylvatica							23	
ufonidae								
Eufo americanus	1			1,4,36.			÷	
B.microscaphus								
B.woodhousii			41 '				41	
ylidae								
<u>Hyla</u> chrysoscelis								
.Stafford(1902) .stafford(1905) .Seely(1906) .Cort(1915) .Fortner(1923) .Irwin(1923) .krull(1931) .Harwoon(1932) .Ingles(1932) 1.frowbridge and Hef	12.3 randt(13. Ingles(14. Bannett 15. Manter(16. Caballe 17. Parker(18. Caballe 19. dankin(20. Uribe-F 21. Bouchar	1936) 1936) (1938) 1938) 1938) ro(1941) 1941) ro(1942) 1945) iderahita(1) d(1951) 1954)	23.Najar 24.Turne 25.Cheng 26.Cheng 27.Frand 28.Lofti 29.Xaitz 30.tni;h 948) (1905 31.Purry 32.Schel	ian(1955) r(1958) and Provenza(1 (1960) sen and Grundman n(1960) (1961) t, Jarbay and Mo) and Grundman(1 1(1905)	960) n(1960) rrison 965)	34.Campbell(1960 35.Clark and Lo: 36.Ulmer(1970) 37.Hollis(1972) 38.Babero and Go 39.Cain and Free 40.Dronen(1975) 41.Brooks(1975) 42.Rosen and Jar 43.Reinnedy(unput	3) ngest(1970) hth(1975) his(1976) plished)	