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#### Abstract

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a very valuable tool for studying the brain. Currently, MRI is the only non-invasive method for investigating myelin. A unique MRI pulse sequence which is used to investigate myelin is a 48 echo CPMG experiment with $\mathrm{TR}=3800 \mathrm{~ms}$ and TE 10 and 50ms. Unfortunately, this experiment takes over 33 minutes to complete, making clinically less feasible to use. By collecting higher order regions of k -space at shorter TR times, the experiment can be shortened, but at a cost of increasing image blurrines and at a potential loss of data. The purpose of this thesis was to investigate collecting different regions of $k$-space at different TR times in order to try and optimize a new 48 echo variable TR pulse sequence.

Simulations were first performed using five spin-echo images with different TR. By creating simulated variable TR images, we were able to qualitatively investigate the resulting blurriness of the images. Visual assesment of the created images and the difference images allowed us to determine what degree of resolution deterioration would still allow us to differentiate between important structures. It was decided that the simulation for 60 out of 128 lines collected at a shorter TR had the optimal decrease in scan time, without too great a compromise in image quality. The variable TR CPMG experiment was then run on 9 phantoms with different $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ relaxation times. By studying samples with known $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ relaxation times, we were able to investigate the reliability of the variable TR pulse sequence. Comparing decay curves showed no difference between 0 and 100 lines of $k$-space collected at a shorter TR - it was only when all 128 lines of $k$ space were collected at the shorter TR that a decrease in amplitude of the decay curve occurred. Experiments showed that proton density, GMT2 and chi squared of the $T_{2}$ decay curve fit for the phantoms were unaffected up to and including 100 lines of $k$-space collected at TR of 2120 ms . Finally, in-vivo studies were performed on five volunteers. Comparing the difference in decay curves, proton density and geometric mean $T_{2}$ showed only very minor differences between data collected using the constant TR sequence and data collected using the variable TR program in which 60 out of 128 k -space lines were collected at a shorter TR of 2120 ms . Experiments showed small differences in myelin water fraction, which could be explained by ROI's being drawn slightly different on the constant and variable images. The chi squared was less for the variable TR, which could be caused by smoothing introduced when collecting different $k$-space lines at different TR's.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Brain and Myelin

The central nervous system (CNS) contains $10^{12}$ special cells called neurons which facillitate the transmission of electrical signals from one location in the body to another. The transmission of such signals is what allows us to function. The CNS can be divided into grey matter and white matter. The grey matter contains the cell bodies of neurons, while the white matter contains the nerve fibers which actually transport signals. What gives the white matter it's distinctive coloring is the insulating layer which surrounds it: myelin. Myelin is made up of a $80 \%$ lipid and $20 \%$ protein bilayer which wraps itself around the axon of neurons. See Figure 1.1


Figure 1.1 Myelin sheaths wrapped around axon [1]

This insulating layer acts to speed up the velocity of signal transmission by ten to one hundred times. Myelin is of critical importance because speed is fundamental in sending signals around our body. Without myelin it would take much longer for a signal to get from our brain to our extremties, resulting in slower reaction time. This is because sodium-potassium channels which propagate the nerve signals would have to be placed all along the nerve fiber, instead of just at places with no myelin, or nodes. Figure 1.2 shows a schematic of the breakdown of the human brain.


An electron micrograph of a neuron is shown in Figure 1.3 and 1.4 The myelin is not a continuous coating over the axon - there are discontinuities called Nodes of Ranvier. It is these discontinuities which allow the conduction signal to propagate at a much faster rate.


Figure 1.4 Electron micrograph of a Node of Ranvier [3]

### 1.2 Multiple Sclerosis

Myelin plays an important role in signal transmission and there are many different myelin related diseases. One such disease is multiple sclerosis (MS). The disease of MS was first described in the 1830's. Two descriptions were published which described a disease which caused multiple areas of discoloration and shrinkage throughout the brain. [4] This is where the name multiple sclerosis, or many scars, originates. We have come a long way in the last century and a half, during which time many interpretations of the disease have been explored with the aid of diagnostic tools and many hypotheses concerning the pathogenesis of MS have come and gone.

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is an inflammatory disease which is characterized by the destruction of the CNS myelin, which includes the brain, spinal cord and optic nerves. MS both promotes the removal of the myelin which forms the white matter in the CNS and also impairs the body's ability to repair the subsequent areas of demyelination. The hallmarks of MS include areas of demyelination, oligodendrocyte loss and axonal degeneration. Figure 1.5 shows nerve conduction in a partially demyelinated axon. The signal cannot propagate as quickly or efficiently through the portion where there is myelin degredation.


Figure 1.5 Nerve conduction in a normal portion (top) and a demyelinated portion (bottom) of the axon. In demyelinated region the action potential is diffused over a larger area, reducing conduction velocity. [1]

Over 50,000 Canadians suffer from this disease, with women being affected three times more often than men. People living in a cold climate have a higher likelihood of developing the disease than those residing in a warm climate. It has been shown that someone over the age of 15 moving from a cold climate to the tropics is much more likely to develop MS than a native of the warm climate. However, someone who emigrates to the tropics before the age of 15 is no more likely to develop MS than is a native. Genetics are also thought to play a factor in MS susceptibility [1]. In the last 20 years a powerful diagnostic tool has become clinically available to aid in the describing and diagnosing of multiple sclerosis: MRI.

### 1.3 Magnetic Resonance Imaging

### 1.3.1 Theory

The structure of the atom is such that it is made up of a nucleus surrounded by orbiting electrons. The nucleus is then made up of protons and neutrons. Certain atoms which have either an odd number of neutrons or protons have a non zero spin angular momentum $\mathbf{j}$, as well as an associated magnetic moment $\mathbf{m}$. A constant which can be derived from the ratio of the magnetic moment to the angular momentum is called the gyromagnetic ratio, or $\gamma$, and is specific to each atom.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{|\mathbf{m}|}{|\mathbf{j}|} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some examples of nuclei with a non-zero spin angular momentum are ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{39} \mathrm{~K},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$. The hydrogen nucleus, sometimes simply referred to as the proton, is the nucleus which forms the basis of MRI. When these nuclei are not in the presence of an external magnetic field they are randomly oriented and the net angular momentum and magnetic moment of the system is zero. However, when these nuclei are placed in an
external magnetic field, $\mathbf{B}$, the system becomes polarized and the net angular momentum and magnetic moment are no longer zero.


Figure 1.6 Nuclei orient either with or against external magnetic field [5]

Each nuclei rotates, like a spinning top, at a frequency called the Larmor frequency, which is given the symbol $\omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\gamma \mathbf{B} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy of a nucleus in an external magnetic field is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=-\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathrm{B} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (1.1) we obtain the relation that $\mathbf{m}=\gamma \mathbf{j}$ and with the knowledge that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{j}=\frac{h S}{2 \pi} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can define $E$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=-\frac{\gamma h S}{2 \pi} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S= \pm 1 / 2$ for a particle with spin number $s=1 / 2$, there are two $(2 s+1)$ possible energy states and hence also this number of possible orientations in which the nuclei can exist while being acted upon by an external magnetic field.

From statistical mechanics we know that the probability of the nuclei being found in the two states is not equal. The Boltzmann distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E}) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{E}{k T}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the probability of a nucleus being in a certain energy state. At a temperature of 300 K and magnetic field of 1.5 T , the ratio between the upper ( $\mathrm{E}-$ ) and lower ( $\mathrm{E}+$ ) energy state populations is

$$
R=\frac{P(E-)}{P(E+)}=\exp \left(\frac{\Delta E}{k T}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{\gamma h B}{2 \pi k T}\right) \approx 1+\left(\frac{\gamma h B}{2 \pi k T}\right)=1.000102
$$

Because R is larger than one it can be concluded that there is a slight excess of nuclei in the lower energy state and as a result there is a non zero total magnetic moment $\mathbf{M}$ when the nuclei are placed in an external magnetic field [5]. Figure 1.10 shows this phenomenon, also known as Zeeman energy splitting.


### 1.3.2 MRI Signal Detection and Localization

For a group or an ensemble of nuclei in a certain volume, the equation of motion for the magnetization vector $\mathbf{M}$ (the sum of all the nuclei) is given by the Bloch equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{M}=\gamma \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{B}-\frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}} \hat{x}+\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Y}} \hat{y}}{T_{2}}-\left(\frac{\mathbf{M}_{z}-\mathbf{M}_{0}}{T_{1}}\right) \hat{z} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The magnetic moment $\mathbf{m}$ for each nuclei is pointing either in the direction of the external field (low energy state) or against the direction of the external field (high energy state).

Since there is a slight excess of individual magnetization vectors pointing in the direction of the applied field, $\mathbf{M}$ will point also in this direction. When you tip $\mathbf{M}$ away from its equilibrium value of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{O}}$ this magnetization vector precesses, similar in motion to a tipped rotating top in a gravitational field. See figure 1.11 below.


Eventually $\mathbf{M}$ will return to its thermal equilibrium value of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{O}}$. This is called relaxation. There are two kinds of relaxation which contribute to the return of M to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{O}}$. The first is called longitudinal relaxation or spin-lattice ( $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ) relaxation. This involves relaxation along $B$, towards $M_{O}$ and is caused by interactions between the nucleus and the surrounding lattice. The second is called transverse relaxation or spin-spin ( $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ) relaxation. This involves relaxation perpendicular to $B$, towards zero and is caused by interactions between different nuclei.

In order to tip the magnetization vector away from $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{O}}$, an oscillating magnetic field placed perpendicular to the external field $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and tuned to the Larmor frequency, is applied to the nuclei. Photons will be absorbed if this radio frequency (RF) is at the

Larmor frequency and nuclei will be excited from low to high energy. This is called RF excitation. It is important for the RF to be on resonance (i.e. at the Larmor frequency) because otherwise the RF has little effect. The angle the net magnetization is flipped is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\gamma \mathrm{B}_{1} \tau \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both the duration of the RF pulse and the size of the amplitude determines the size of the flip angle. After the excitation occurs there can be a transverse component of the magnetization vector. This transverse component can be detected as an induction signal in a receiver coil placed around the patient due to the magnetic flux caused by the precession of the transverse magnetization. Even after the RF is turned off, $\mathbf{M}$ will continue to precess until it relaxes back to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{O}}$ via $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ relaxation. While this is happening a free induction decay signal or FID can be detected. (See Figure 1.12)


Figure 1.9 Free Induction Decay [5]

However, for the technique of MRI, simply receiving a signal from the entire body is useless. Ideally, we want to investigate a signal in a certain location and extract as much information as possible from it in order to create an accurate image representation. To do this, a successful MR imager must contain the following components: (a) Main
magnet which will provide the homogeneous magnetic field, (b) RF system for excitation and signal detection, (c) Gradient system made up of gradient amplifiers and coils (This component is very important because this is what links spatial location to the Larmor frequency of the nuclei), and (d) Computer to synchronize the actions of all the components.

The gradient system of the MR scanner allows the use of magnetic field gradients for the basis of three signal localization techniques - slice selection, frequency encoding and phase encoding.

Slice Selection - Selecting a particular slice is done by making the magnetic field vary from point to point in the patient's body by using a gradient coil. This makes each position have its own Larmor frequency and when the RF pulse with a bandwidth of frequencies is transmitted, only a certain portion or slice of the patient will be excited and send back an induction signal. Although such a slice selective gradient can be physically applied in any desired direction it is usually given the logical name Gz for convenience. This $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ gradient is usually on when the RF is on, in order to excite the right slice.

Frequency Encoding - After the desired slice is selected we now need to obtain information about individual pixels within that slice when the induction signal is received. To get spatial information in the $x$ direction of the slice, we apply another gradient, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$, called the frequency encoding gradient. This $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$ gradient is applied during the time the echo is received, i.e. during readout time.

Phase Encoding - In addition to obtaining magnitude information from each pixel in the selected slice, it is also possible to obtain direction or phase information for the individual induction signals. To obtain spatial information in the $y$ direction, another gradient, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}$, called the phase encoding gradient, is applied in the $y$ direction. This magnetic field gradient in the $y$ direction is turned on for a certain period of time between the slice
selection and frequency encoding, causing the nuclei at different Y positions to have different phases.

### 1.3.3 Pulse Sequences

In order for the computer component of the MR system to run, programs must be written which tell the computer what to do and when to do it. These programs are known as pulse sequences and can be pictorially represented, as shown in Figure 1.13


Figure 1.10 A spin echo pulse sequence diagram [6]

In this particular pulse sequence the RF excitation $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ pulses are simply Gaussian in nature. There are other possible shapes for the RF pulse, including the commonly used sinc function and rectangular pulse. The Fourier transform of the pulse shape gives the slice profile. The slice selective $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ gradient is on during both the $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$. During the $90^{\circ}$ pulse there is first a positive gradient which selects the slice and
then a negative gradient which acts to rephase all of the spins in the slice select direction. Immediately before and after the $180^{\circ}$ pulse there are crusher gradients in place, which are applied to offset any error that may occur if the $180^{\circ}$ pulse is not exactly $180^{\circ}$. These crusher gradients help eliminate stimulated echoes, as well as diminish signal from flow effects. At the time the FID or echo occurs and the data is collected, the $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$ gradient is on. If a positive gradient were to be applied directly, everything would end up being dephased and decreased in signal. So instead, a gradient is first applied in the negative direction just before the echo occurs. This negative gradient has an area equal to half of that of the readout gradient (see Figure 1.14). Then, a positive gradient is applied such that the spins will rephase at the center of the pulse, corresponding to the midpoint of the echo, at time TE. The time from the original $90^{\circ}$ pulse until it is repeated is called time TR.


### 1.3.4 K-space and image reconstruction

The complex induction signal which is detected in MRI can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(k_{x}, k_{y}\right)=\iint M(x, y) e^{i \alpha k_{x}} e^{i y k_{y}} d x d y \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is the 2 dimensional distribution of the transverse magnetization and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $k_{y}$ are variables defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{x}=\gamma G_{x} t  \tag{1.10}\\
& k_{y}=\gamma G_{y} T \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This 2 dimensional distribution of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}$ is referred to as k -space. Figure 1.15 shows a map of $k$-space.


Figure 1.12 Induction signal related to k -space [5]

Once the entire set of data to fill all of $k$-space has been collected, a Fourier transform is applied to the data and changes it from frequency to image domain. The outer portions of
k -space (refered to as high order lines) correspond to fine detail, while the inner portion or low order k-space gives contrast. Figure 1.16 shows the significance of the different spatial frequency components.


Figure 1.13 (a) shows a complete k-space FT, (b) has only the central portion of k -space (giving contrast) and (c) contains the peripheral portion of k space, giving fine detail [5]

## Chapter 2

## General Methods

### 2.1 MRI Pulse Sequences

Many different quantities can be investigated with magnetic resonance imaging and as a result there are hundreds of different pulse sequences which have been invented.

### 2.1.1 General CPMG Experiment

To investigate the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ relaxation time, i.e. how fast the transverse component of magnetization relaxes towards zero, a spin echo pulse sequence is traditionally used. The sequence can be written as

$$
90_{x}-\tau / 2-\left(180_{y}-\tau / 2\right)_{n}
$$

In this experiment, a $90^{\circ}$ rf pulse is first applied along the x direction, tipping the magnetization from the positive z axis into the xy plane. The spins which make up the net magnetization begin to dephase and spread out. After a certain time $\tau / 2$ a $180^{\circ}$ pulse along the $y$ direction is applied, causing the spins to flip by $180^{\circ}$ and eventually rephase. Once the magnetization has completely rephased, an induction signal or echo is produced and detected. See figure 2.1


Figure 2.1 Spin echo pulse sequence: (a) a $90^{\circ}$ rf pulse is applied and (b) shows the dephasing beginning. In (c) (at maximum dephasing) a $180^{\circ}$ pulse is applied and (d) shows rephasing. In
(e) the magnetization has completely rephased, producing a large induction signal or spin echo.

### 2.1.2 48 Echo CPMG Experiment

Traditionally, the spin echo experiment has a single $180^{\circ}$ pulse after the first $90^{\circ}$ and the experiment must be repeated many times with many different tau values, so that a decay curve may be plotted and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ times extracted. A unique modification of this experiment is to have a train of $180^{\circ}$ pulses after the $90^{\circ}$ pulse and take data readings after each $180^{\circ}$ pulse. This is called a Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) echo train [7,8]. The pulse sequence used in this work was a 48 echo CPMG, where $48180^{\circ}$ pulses follow a single $90^{\circ}$. In this experiment, the first $32180^{\circ}$ pulses were 10 ms apart, and the last 16 were 50 ms apart. This 48 echo CPMG experiment is a modification of a 32 echo experiment in which all $180^{\circ}$ pulses are 10 ms apart. The $48180^{\circ}$ pulses were rectangular composite pulses flanked by slice-select crusher gradient pulses of alternating sign with descending amplitude for elimination of stimulated echoes and signal from outside the selected slice [9]. The pulse sequence diagram for the first portion of this experiment is shown in Figure 2.


Time (ms)
Figure 2.2 A pulse sequence diagram for a portion of our 48 echo sequence

### 2.2 Data Analysis

After a particular pulse sequence has been applied and data has been collected, analysis must be done to the data to extract the desired information. The CPMG experiment described in the previous section provides $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ information. The first step towards determining the $T_{2}$ relaxation times is creating a plot of signal amplitude versus echo time as in figure 2.3


This data is then fit to a multi-exponential curve of the form

$$
\operatorname{Amp}(T E)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i} e^{\frac{-T E}{T_{2}}}
$$

using a modified non-negative least squares fitting routine (NNLS) [10,11] One hundred and sixty $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ times ranging from 1 ms to 10 s are input and there are no apriori assumptions
about the number of exponential components in the decay curve. NNLS analysis gives a discrete $T_{2}$ distribution made up of delta functions as the lowest chi squared fit to a decay curve. The true $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ distribution from brain is more likely composed of a continuous distribution of relaxation times, so to accommodate for this, chi squared was allowed to increase from 1-2\% by minimizing solution roughness, as well as chi squared. [12] A typical $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ distribution is shown in figure 2.4 .


Figure 2.4 Different water pools in brain

The $T_{2}$ relaxation times are dtermined by the environment that the hydrogen is found in. The hydrogen signal found in brain by MRI is primarily in the form of water and can be separted into three environments or different pools based on relaxation time. The first
pool is Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), which is a liquid that surrounds the brain and prevents it from hitting the skull. CSF has the longest relaxation time of around 1 s . The second pool makes up Intra and extracellular water which is trapped within and surrounding the cells. Intra and extracellular water has an average relaxation time of about 80 ms . The third environment of water is myelin water which is trapped between the myelin bilayers that surround the axon. Myelin water has a relaxation time of about $10-40 \mathrm{~ms}$. The amount of myelin water present is hypothesized to directly correlate to the amount of myelin. [7]

One method of looking at the amount of myelin present is to determine the fraction of myelin water in different white and grey matter structures in the brain. This is done by drawing a region of interest (ROI) in a certain area on an image and calculating the fraction of myelin water in that area. The area underneath of each $T_{2}$ peak corresponds to the number of protons which contribute to that signal. The fraction of myelin water is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f r=\frac{\text { Area }_{\text {myelin.water.peak }}}{\text { Area }_{\text {all.peaks }}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 2.5 shows different white and grey matter structures and Figure 2.6. shows myelin fraction for different structures.


Figure 2.5 White and grey matter structures in brain


Figure 2.6 Fraction myelin water versus structure

Another method of examining the amount of myelin water in brain is to determine the fraction myelin water at each pixel in the image and display that as a resulting image.

Figure 2.7 shows such a resulting myelin map. Brigher intensity means more myelin.


Both investigating specific regions of interest and creating whole brain myelin maps are valuable tools for studying myelin.

### 2.3 Motivation

In order to better study the intermediate $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ component(s), especially in lesions, our 32 echo $T_{2}$ measurement sequence (TE10-TE320) was lengthened by 16 echoes with echo spacing 50 ms , as described in section 2.1 . To maintain the same $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ weighting, the TR was increased from 3 s to 3.88 s . Scan time is defined as

$$
\text { Scan.Time }=\mathrm{TR} * \mathrm{~N}_{\text {averages }} * \mathrm{~N}_{\text {phase_encodes }}
$$

where the number of averages $=4$ and the number of phase encodes is 128 . By changing the TR from 3 s to 3.88 s , the scan time increases to 33 minutes. To investigate multiple sclerosis using $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ relaxation, the scan time must be clinically feasible, so the goal of this thesis was to shorten the acquisition time for $T_{2}$ measurement by collecting higher order k -space lines with shorter TR. [13,14], with out sacrificing image quality.

## Chapter 3

## Variable TR Simulations

### 3.1 Summary

Variable TR MRI images were simulated using five spin-echo images collected at different TR times. Different lines of k-space were extracted from each image and recombined to make a single image using several computer programs. The resulting images were qualitatively analyzed.

### 3.2 Introduction

The time available on the MRI scanner is both limited and expensive, so often before collecting actual data, computer simulations are performed to investigate expected results. By simulating many different possible outcomes of the variable TR pulse sequence, we were given the opportunity to visually inspect the images. This gave a clearer idea of what the variable TR images would look like and allowed us to wisely choose which parameters to use in the future during actual data collection.

### 3.3 Methods

Five spin-echo weighted images were collected from one volunteer for the same slice at five different TR times. The five different TR times were: $1000 \mathrm{~ms}, 1400 \mathrm{~ms}$, $1880 \mathrm{~ms}, 2260 \mathrm{~ms}$ and 2680 ms . Constant parameters for all five scans were $\mathrm{TE}=10 \mathrm{~ms}$, FOV $=22 \mathrm{~cm}$, bandwidth 32 kHz , matrix size $256 \times 128$ and NEX $=4$. All experiments were done on a 1.5 T General Electric Signa clinical MR Scanner. Figures 3.1 to 3.5 show the five $T_{1}$ weighted images.


Figure 3.1 Spin-echo image with TR of 1000 ms


Figure 3.2 Spin-echo image with TR of 1400 ms


Figure 3.3 Spin-echo image with TR of 1880 ms


Figure 3.4 Spin-echo image with TR of 2260 ms


The amount of signal seen in the five previous images increases as TR increases. As discussed in section 1.3.4, TR is the repetition time between collecting data for successive line of k -space. As this time increases, the amount of magnetization which has relaxed back to equilibrium increases and there is more longitudinal signal available to excite at the beginning of the next experiment.

### 3.4 Results

### 3.4.1 Various Combinations of TR

The raw or k -space data from these five images were used to create variable TR simulations. Specific lines were chosen from each image and combined into a single matrix. Figure 3.6 a shows the k -space trajectory for $\mathrm{n}=5$. The first 5 lines were taken from the $\mathrm{TR}=1000 \mathrm{~ms}$ image. Then 1 line from $\mathrm{TR}=1440 \mathrm{~ms}, 1$ line from 1880 ms and 1 line from 2260 ms . The middle 112 lines were taken from the image with $T R=2680 \mathrm{~ms}$.

A ramp down occurs with 1 line from the $\mathrm{TR}=2260 \mathrm{~ms}, 1$ line from the $\mathrm{TR}=1880 \mathrm{~ms}$ and 1 line from $T R=1400 \mathrm{~ms}$. The last 5 lines are from TR of 1000 ms . Figure 3.6b shows the Fourier transform of the data, the resulting image.


Figure 3.6 (a) k-space trajectory and (b) image for $\mathrm{n}=5$ (31 minutes)

Figures 3.7 to 3.15 show similar $k$-space trajectories and images for $n=10,15,20,25$, $30,35,40,45$ and 50 . Table 3.1 summarizes the time for each scan.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3.7 (a) k-space trajectory and (b) image for $\mathrm{n}=10$ ( 30 minutes)


Figure 3.8 (a) k-space trajectory and (b) image for $\mathrm{n}=15$ (29 minutes)


Figure 3.9 (a) k-space trajectory and (b) image for $\mathrm{n}=20$ (28 minutes)


Figure 3.10 ( a) k-space trajectory and (b) image for $\mathrm{n}=25$ ( 26 minutes)


Figure 3.11 (a) k-space trajectory and (b) image for $\mathrm{n}=30$ (25 minutes)


Figure 3.12 ( a) k-space trajectory and (b) image for $\mathrm{n}=35$ (24 minutes)



Figure 3.14 ( a) k-space trajectory and (b) image for $\mathrm{n}=45$ (22 minutes)


Figure 3.15 ( a) k-space trajectory and (b) image for $\mathrm{n}=50$ (21 minutes)

As $n$ increases, the bluriness in the image also increases. As discussed in section 1.3.5, the higher order regions of $k$-space correspond to fine detail, so if this data is collected at a shorter TR time there is less longitudinal signal available for each excitation. Therefore,
the more lines that are collected at shorter TR, the blurrier the image becomes. Table 3.1 summarizes the amount of time each of the previous images would take to collect using the 48 echo variable TR sequence.

Table 3.1 Summary of Scan time as a function of $n$

| $\mathbf{n}$ | Scan Time (minutes) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 31.0 |
| 10 | 29.9 |
| 15 | 28.7 |
| 20 | 27.6 |
| 25 | 26.5 |
| 30 | 25.3 |
| 35 | 24.2 |
| 40 | 23.1 |
| 45 | 22.0 |
| 50 | 20.9 |

### 3.4.2 Difference Images of Simulations

To investigate the amount of resolution (sharpness) lost by increasing $n$, each simulated image was subtracted from the TR $=2680 \mathrm{~ms}$ image (figure 3.5). Figures 3.16 to 3.18 show these difference images.



Figure 3.17 Difference images for $\mathrm{n}=25,30,35$ and 40


Figure 3.18 Difference images for $\mathrm{n}=45$ and 50

In the difference images for larger $n$, the differences become more noticable. Figure 3.17, $\mathrm{n}=35$ shows some white matter structures beginning to appear, indicating they are not present in the simulated image. By figure $3.18, \mathrm{n}=50$ many structures are more visible.

### 3.5 Discussion

From the five spin-echo images, 10 variable TR simulations were created. Since the higher order k -space lines contribute to the sharpness or resolution of the final image, when a greater number of these lines were simulated to be collected at a shorter TR, the bluriness of the image increased. Investigating the difference of each simulated image with the spin-echo image with the longest $T R$, showed that as $n$ increased, the amount of detail lost also increased.

### 3.6 Conclusion

By creating simulated variable TR images, we were able to qualitatively investigate the resulting bluriness of the images. Visual assessment of the created images and the difference images allowed us to determine what degree of resolution deterioration would still allow us to differentiate between important structures. It was decided that the simulation for $\mathrm{n}=30$ had the optimal decrease in scan time, without too great a compromise in image quality.

## Chapter 4

## 48 Echo Variable TR on Phantoms

### 4.1 Summary

Nine phantoms were made with different combinations of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ relaxation times. These phantoms were scanned using the 48 echo variable TR pulse sequence, as well as a $T_{1}$ saturation recovery. The relaxation times are summarized and the decay curves are shown. The dependence on $n$ of density, geometric mean $T_{2}$ and chi squared are shown.

### 4.2 Introduction

Before performing MRI experiments on humans, it is typical to run the pulse sequences on a phantom. A phantom is a sample which takes the place of a live subject in the scanner and has known $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ relaxation times. By scanning a phantom, one can determine how well a new pulse sequence works by seeing if one obtains a good image. The expected relaxation times, density, and a reasonable chi squared can also be quantitatively examined.

### 4.3 Materials and Methods

### 4.3.1 Phantom Preperation

Nine different phantoms were made with different combinations of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ relaxation times. The phantoms were made using nickel chloride and $1 \%, 2 \%$ and $4 \%$ agarose gel. The solutions were poured into 20 cm long, 2 cm wide pyrex tubes and flame sealed under vacuum.

### 4.3.2 48 Echo Variable TR Pulse Sequence

In order to try and experimentally reproduce the results obtained in from the simulations in chapter 3, different lines of $k$-space needed to be collected at varying TR times. The traditional 48 echo experiment with a constant TR is described in section 2.1.2. This pulse sequence was modified such that certain lines of data could be collected at different TR times. Figure 4.1 shows a plot of TR as a function of $k$-space with scanner input parameters labeled.


Figure 4.1 Scanner input parameters

Input parameters into the variable TR experiment were TR, TRmin, viewmin and viewmax. For example, for $n=30$ where the first and last 30 lines of $k$-space are to be collected at a shorter $\mathrm{TR}, \mathrm{TR}=3800 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{Trmin}=2120 \mathrm{~ms}$, viewmax $=34$ and viewmin $=$ 30.

### 4.4 Results

### 4.4.1 $\quad \mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ Relaxation times

The phantoms were first scanned using the 48 echo CPMG experiment outlined in section 2.1.2, with a TR of 3800 ms for all views and 2 averages (NEX) to determine the
$\mathrm{T}_{2}$. A saturation recovery experiment was used to find the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ relaxation times. Table 4.1 shows the different relaxation times for the nine phantoms.

Table 4.1 Phantom $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ Relaxation Times

| Phantom | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{m s})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( m s )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 330 | 83 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 580 | 28 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 606 | 101 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1640 | 28 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 300 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1570 | 356 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1640 | 108 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 372 | 188 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 718 | 258 |

### 4.4.2 Decay Curves

In order to investigate the differences between the variable and non-variable TR 48 echo pulse sequence, the decay curves from the phantoms bottles collected at various values of $n$ were compared to the constant TR results. Figures 4.2 to 4.10 show decay curves for each phantom for $\mathrm{n}=0$ to $\mathrm{n}=64$.



Figure 4.3 Decay Curve for phantom 2




Decay Curves for $\mathrm{n}=0$ to 64
(Phantom 6: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=1.57 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=356 \mathrm{~ms}$ )


Figure 4.7 Decay Curve for phantom 6

Decay Curves for $\mathrm{n}=0$ to 64
(Phantom 7: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=1.64 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=108 \mathrm{~ms}$ )


Figure 4.8 Decay Curve for phantom 7



### 4.4.3 Density

The density of the hydrogen nuclei of each phantom was investigated as a function of $n$. Figure 4.11 shows how density varied in each phantom.


### 4.4.4 Geometric Mean $T_{2}$ Relaxation

The geometric mean $T_{2}$ (GMT2) relaxation for each phantom was calculated as a function of $n$. Figure 4.12 shows how the GMT2 varied with $n$.

## GMT2 for all phantoms



Figure 4.12 Geometric mean $T 2$ of each phantom as a function of $n$

### 4.4.4 Chi Squared

The chi squared or degree of misfit for each phantom was calculated as a function of $n$. Figure 4.13 shows how the chi squared varied with $n$.


### 4.5 Discussion

When examining the decay curves of the nine phantoms, a change in amplitude is seen only when all lines of $k$-space are collected at a shorter TR. In all cases, the decay curves were exactly the same for between $\mathrm{n}=0$ and $\mathrm{n}=50$. The greatest change in signal at the short TR occurs for those phantoms with longer $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ relaxation times. The proton density remained virtually unchanged as n increased from 0 to 50 and then took a sharp drop at $\mathrm{n}=64$ where all of k -space is collected at the shorter TR. Likewise, the geometric mean $T_{2}$ also remain fairly constant as $n$ ranged from 0 to 64 . This indicates that collecting some regions of k -space at shorter TR values does not significantly affect the proton density and the GMT2. For phantoms with long $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ times, there was a shift to shorter measured $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ times at higher n values. This is not understood. A new pulse sequence with larger gradient killers at the sequence end is being prepared in order to investigate this further. The chi squared fluctuated rather wildly as the number of lines
collected at a shorter TR increased. In some cases the chi squared increased, while for others the chi squared decreased.

### 4.6 Conclusions

By studying samples with known $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ relaxation times, we were able to investigate the reliability of the variable TR pulse sequence. Comparing decay curves showed no difference between $\mathrm{n}=0$ and $\mathrm{n}=50$ - it was only when all 128 lines of k space were collected at the shorter TR that a decrease in amplitude of the decay curve occurred. Experiments showed that proton density, GMT2 and chi squared of the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ decay curve fit were primarily unaffected up to and including 100 lines of k -space collected at TR of 2120 ms

## Chapter 5

## 48 Echo Variable TR in-vivo

### 5.1 Summary

Two 48 echo CPMG experiments, one with constant TR of 3.8 s and one with variable $T R(n=30, T R \min =2.12 \mathrm{~s})$, were performed on five healthy, normal volunteers. Regions of interest (ROI's) were drawn for 11 different white and grey matter structures including major and minor forceps, genu and splenium of the corpus callosum, posterior internal capsules, putamen, thalamus, and caudate. The decay curves are shown for both experiments. The difference of density, geometric mean T 2 , fraction myelin and Chi Squared are shown for the constant and variable TR.

### 5.2 Introduction

After qualitatively looking at the simulated brain images (Chapter 3), and performing the 48 echo experiments on the phantoms with 10 different values for $n$ (Chapter 4), $n=30$ was determined to be the best choice as far as decrease in scan time without significant loss in image quality, or distortion of density and geometric mean $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ or increase of chi squared. The final step was to try the MRI experiment on human brain in-vivo.

### 5.3 Methods

Five volunteers were scanned. For all MRI experiments in this chapter, the 48 echo CPMG variable TR pulse sequence described in section 4.3.2 was used. Parameters were: $\mathrm{TR}=3800 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{TRmin}=2120 \mathrm{~ms}$, NEX $=4, \mathrm{FOV}=22 \mathrm{~cm}$, viewmin $=34$ and viewmax $=30$. All experiments. were performed on a GE 1.5 T scanner.

### 5.4 Results

### 5.4.1 Decay Curves

In order to investigate the differences between the variable and non-variable TR 48 echo pulse sequence in-vivo, the decay curves from certain regions of interest were plotted for both the constant and variable TR $(\mathrm{n}=30)$ for one volunteer. Figures 5.1 to 5.7 show decay curves for some ROI's.


Figure 5.1 Decay curves for right water bottle



Figure 5.3 Decay curves for the right genu


Figure 5.4 Decay curves for the left genu


Figure 5.5 Decay curves for left and right insular cortex



Figure 5.7 Decay curves for left and right major forceps

### 5.4.2 Density

As for the phantoms, the density of the hydrogen nuclei of each ROI was investigated. The proton density for the variable TR sequence was compared to the constant TR , as shown in figure 5.8.


### 5.4.3 Geometric Mean $\mathbf{T}_{2}$ Relaxation

The geometric mean $T_{2}$ (GMT2) relaxation for each ROI was investigated. The comparison of GMT2 for the variable TR to the constant TR is shown in figure 5.9


### 5.4.4 Fraction Myelin Water

The fraction myelin water for each ROI was investigated. The fraction myelin water for the variable TR was compared to the constant TR, as shown in figure 5.10


### 5.4.5 Chi Squared

The chi squared or degree of misfit for each ROI was investigated. The chi squared for the variable TR was compared to the constant TR, as shown in figure 5.11


### 5.5 Discussion

When examining the decay curves of the various gray and white matter structures, no difference in amplitude is seen when comparing the constant TR with the variable TR for $n=30$. In all cases, the variable and constant TR decay curves were the same. Plotting the proton density of variable to constant TR gave a linear relation, indicating that the proton density was virtually unaffected by the collecting high order regions of $k$-space at a shorter TR. Likewise, the geometric mean $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ was not affected by collecting data with the variable TR sequence. Graphing the myelin water fraction from the variable TR sequence against the values obtained from the constant TR showed a fairly linear relationship. There was a greater fluctuation than for proton density and GMT2. One possible explanation is that the redrawing of the ROI's on the variable TR image was not in exactly the same place as for the constant TR. This would affect the myelin water fraction far more than the proton density or GMT2 because the myelin water signal only
makes up a small portion of the total signal. The chi squared or degree of misfit for the variable TR does not linearly corelate to the constant TR chi squared either. In fact, the chi squared is less for the variable TR. A possible explanation for this is that collecting different lines of k -space at different TR values adds smoothing to the image and reduces the amount of misfit.

### 5.6 Conclusion

Comparing the difference in decay curves, proton density and geometric mean $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ showed only very minor differences between data collected using the constant TR sequence and data collected using the variable TR program in which 60 out of $128 \mathrm{k}-$ space lines were collected at a shorter TR of 2120 ms . Experiments showed small differences in myelin water fraction, which could be explained by ROI's being drawn slightly different on the constant and variable images. The chi squared was less for the variable TR, which could be caused by smoothing introduced when collecting different $k$ space lines at different TR's.

## Chapter 6

## Concluding Remarks

### 6.1 Conclusions

Simulations of the variable TR sequence, using the raw data from five $T_{1}$ weighted scans, showed that excessive blurring occurred when more than 60 out of 128 lines of $k$-space were collected at a shorter TR. Phantom experiments showed that proton density, GMT2 and chi squared of the $T_{2}$ decay curve fit were unaffected up to and including 100 lines of $k$-space collected at TR of 2120 ms . Constant TR and variable TR ( 60 out of 128 lines at TR $=$ 2120 ms ) data collected in-vivo showed only small differences in density, GMT2, fraction myelin water and $\chi^{2}$ for all five volunteers.

For the 48 echo CPMG $T_{2}$ relaxation sequence, it was found that 60 lines of high order k -space could be collected at a shorter TR of 2120 ms without affected image quality, proton density, GMT2, fraction myelin water and chi squared. The resulting scan time was shortened from 33 minutes to 25 minutes.

### 6.2 Future Work

The variation of TR across $k$-space that implemented for this research project can be described by figure 6.1, shown below.


Figure 6.1 TR across k-space

There are many other k-space trajectories which could be investigated as well. One possible thing to change is the slope of the increase and decrease of k -space when moving from TRmin to TR. Figure 6.2 illustrates a possible variation where the slope is gradual.


Another possible modification to the k -space trajectory would be to try having TRmin much smaller than the current value of 2120 ms , as shown in figure 6.3


Figure 6.3 TRmin smaller

One other interesting question which has arisen for this project concerns the apparent dependence of $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ on TR. In chapter, figure 4.12 shows that as more and more lines of k -space are collected at a shorter TR value, the geometric mean $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ for certain phantoms (those with long $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ ) decreases. This is an interesting and unexpected result and we have hypothesized one possible source of this is inaffectiveness of the killer gradient.

## Appendix A

## Source Code for Variable TR Simulations

## MAKEV.PRO

; Usage $v=$ makeV (n, nphase, top)
FUNCTION makeV, n, nphase, top
;program to make vector $V$ that has nphase elements ranging from ; 0 to 4 which will define which $T R$ will be used for certain
;region of $k$-space
;TR (ms) Element in V
;1000 0
;1400 1
;1880 2
;2260 3
;2680 4
top $=$ nphase $-2 * n-6$
; Define zero portions of $V$
$\mathrm{V}=$ intarr(nphase)
$\mathrm{V}(0: n-1)=0$
; Define ramp up
$V(n)=1$
$V(n+1)=2$
$V(n+2)=3$
; Top portion
$V(n+3: n+t o p+2)=4$
; Ramp down
$V(n+$ top +3$)=3$
$V(n+$ top +4$)=2$
$V(n+t o p+5)=1$
; Not required since initialization zeroes vector $V$
$; ; V((n+$ top +6$):($ nphase-1)) $=0$
return, V
End

## MAKE3D.PRO

FUNCTION make3d, f1, f2, f3, f4, f5, ctr1000, ctr1400, ctr1880, ctr2260, ctr2680, mtr1000, mtr1400, mtr1880, mtr2260, mtr2680, threed

```
;load files
f1 = '/export/Time/BCCH/varTR2/rawdata/alex5TR/P56832'
f2 = '/export/Time/BCCH/varTR2/rawdata/alex5TR/P57344'
f3 = '/export/Time/BCCH/varTR2/rawdata/alex5TR/P57856'
f4 = '/export/Time/BCCH/varTR2/rawdata/alex5TR/P58368'
f5 = '/export/Time/BCCH/varTR2/rawdata/alex5TR/P58880'
header = bytarr(40964)
; remove header from raw data
openr, unit, fl, /get_lun
f = fstat(unit)
tr1000 = intarr(512, 128)
readu, unit, header, tr1000
free_lun, unit
openr, unit, f2, /get_lun
f = fstat(unit)
tr1400 = intarr(512, 128)
readu, unit, header, tr1400
free_lun, unit
openr, unit, f3, /get_lun
f = fstat(unit)
tr1880 = intarr(512, 128)
readu, unit, header, tr1880
free_lun, unit
openr, unit, f4, /get_lun
f = fstat(unit)
tr2260 = intarr(512, 128)
readu, unit, header, tr2260
free_lun, unit
openr, unit, f5, /get_lun
f = fstat(unit)
tr2680 = intarr(512, 128)
readu, unit, header, tr2680
free_lun, unit
; separate real and imaginary parts
```

```
rtr1000 = tr1000(indgen(256L)*2, *)
itr1000 = tr1000(indgen(256L)*2 +1, *)
rtr1400 = tr1400(indgen(256L)*2, *)
itr1400 = tr1400 (indgen(256L)*2 +1, *)
rtr1880 = tr1880(indgen(256L)*2, *)
itr1880 = tr1880(indgen(256L)*2 +1, *)
rtr2260 = tr2260(indgen(256L)*2, *)
itr2260 = tr2260(indgen(256L)*2 +1, *)
rtr2680= tr2680(indgen(256L)*2, *)
itr2680 = tr2680(indgen(256L)*2 +1, *)
; add zeros in vertical direction
rtr1000z = intarr(256, 256)
rtr1000z(*, 64:191) = rtr1000
itr1000z = intarr(256, 256)
itr1000z(*, 64:191) = itr1000
rtr1400z = intarr(256, 256)
rtr1400z(*, 64:191) = rtr1400
itr1400z = intarr(256, 256)
itr1400z(*, 64:191) = itr1400
rtr1880z = intarr(256, 256)
rtr1880z(*, 64:191) = rtr1880
itr1880z = intarr(256, 256)
itr1880z(*, 64:191) = itr1880
rtr2260z = intarr(256, 256)
rtr2260z(*, 64:191) = rtr2260
itr2260z = intarr(256, 256)
itr2260z(*, 64:191) = itr2260
rtr2680z = intarr(256, 256)
rtr2680z(*, 64:191) = rtr2680
itr2680z = intarr(256, 256)
itr2680z(*, 64:191) = itr2680
```

; create complex array with real and imaginary parts of $k$-space

```
ctr1000 = complex(rtr1000z, itr1000z)
ctr1400 = complex(rtr1400z, itr1400z)
ctr1880 = complex(rtr1880z, itr1880z)
ctr2260 = complex(rtr2260z, itr2260z)
ctr2680 = complex(rtr2680z, itr2680z)
```

; making the image

```
ctr1000image = fft(ctr1000, 1)
ctr1400image = fft(ctr1400, 1)
ctr1880image = fft(ctr1880, 1)
ctr2260image = fft(ctr2260, 1)
ctr2680image = fft(ctr2680, 1)
; absolute value of image and other corrections
mtr1000 = abs(ctr1000image)
mtr1000 = shift(mtr1000, 128, 128)
mtr1000 = rotate(mtr1000, 3)
mtr1400 = abs(ctr1400image)
mtr1400 = shift(mtr1400, 128, 128)
mtr1400 = rotate(mtr1400, 3)
mtr1880 = abs(ctr1880image)
mtr1880 = shift(mtr1880, 128, 128)
mtr1880 = rotate(mtr1880, 3)
mtr2260 = abs(ctr2260image)
mtr2260 = shift(mtr2260, 128, 128)
mtr2260 = rotate(mtr2260, 3)
mtr2680 = abs(ctr2680image)
mtr2680 = shift(mtr2680, 128, 128)
mtr2680 = rotate(mtr2680, 3)
;making the whole thing into 1 big 3d vector !!!!
threed = complexarr (256, 256, 5)
threeD(*, *, 0) = ctr1000
threeD(*, *, 1) = ctr1400
threeD(*, *, 2) = ctr1880
threeD(*, *, 3) = ctr2260
threeD(*, *, 4) = ctr2680
return, threeD
End
```


## MAKEVARIMAGE.PRO

FUNCTION makevarimage, $V$, threeD, rawvarTR, imagevarTR, magvarTR, $n$, top, time

```
; this program creates variable TR images which has the first n
; lines of k space at TR = 1000ms, ramps up and then has 128 -
; 2*n - 6 lines at TR = 2680ms
; creating the complex array which will hold of the raw data
rawvarTR = complexarr (256, 256)
; pulling the correct lines out of the raw data files using V
tr1000lines = where(V eq 0)
tr1000lines = tr10001ines + 64
rawvarTR(*, tr1000lines) = threeD(*, tr1000lines, 0)
tr14001ines = where(V eq 1)
tr1400lines = tr1400lines + 64
rawvarTR(*, tr1400lines) = threeD(*, tr14001ines, 1)
tr18801ines = where(V eq 2)
tr1880lines = tr18801ines + 64
rawvarTR(*, tr1880lines) = threeD(*, tr18801ines, 2)
tr2260lines = where(V eq 3)
tr22601ines = tr22601ines + 64
rawvarTR(*, tr2260lines) = threeD(*, tr22601ines, 3)
tr26801ines = where(V eq 4)
tr26801ines = tr26801ines +64
rawvarTR(*, tr26801ines) = threeD(*, tr26801ines, 4)
;making the image
imagevarTR = fft(rawvarTR, 1)
;absolute value and other corrections
magvarTR = abs(imagevarTR)
magvarTR = shift(magvarTR, 128, 128)
magvarTR = rotate(magvarTR, 3)
tvscl, magvarTR
time = (n*2*2.12 + top*3.8 + 17.80)*4/60
print, time
return, magvarTR
End
```

