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Abstract

The effect of alkali metal chlorides (LiCl, NaCl, KCl, CsCl) on the adsorption of guar gum, a naturally occurring polysaccharide, onto quartz from dilute aqueous solutions of the electrolytes was investigated. The adsorption of guar gum onto quartz and the resulting colloidal stability of the system were determined through adsorption, zeta potential and turbidity measurements.

This thesis analyzes a previously undescribed phenomenon of enhanced polysaccharide adsorption in the presence of cesium and potassium cations. At the same time, lithium and sodium cations show no effect on the polysaccharide adsorption density compared to that observed in distilled water. These differences in the behavior of the simple alkali chlorides are attributed to their water structure breaking or water structure making capabilities. In this approach, since hydrogen bonding is the main adsorption mechanism, the polymer adsorption process is treated as a competition between polymer and water molecules for polar surface sites on the quartz surface. While water structure-breaking cations disturb the interfacial water layer allowing guar gum to more densely adsorb on the exposed surface silanol groups, structure-making cations better fit into the interfacial water layer and do not affect the guar gum-water competition for the polar surface sites.

The results strongly suggest that simple alkali metal chlorides are not totally indifferent, and even in dilute solutions their water-structure making/breaking capabilities should be taken into account to better understand the behavior of a model system such as quartz-guar gum.

The study is relevant to several industrial flotation processes where polysaccharides are used as selective depressants. This thesis should be a valuable contribution to our understanding of the mechanisms of polysaccharide adsorption on mineral surfaces.
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Objectives

1. To demonstrate the role of simple alkali metal cations in the adsorption of guar gum onto quartz.

2. To show the effect of alkali metal ions on the flocculation/dispersion of quartz by guar gum.

3. To propose a mechanism of quartz-guar gum interactions in the presence of alkali metal cations.
CHAPTER 1 Introduction

The mode of action of natural and synthetic polysaccharides in the selective flotation of minerals has been a subject of very extensive research over the past 20 years. Starches, dextrins, various gums and synthetic derivatives of cellulose (e.g. carboxymethyl cellulose) have been tested as slime blinders, selective depressants of naturally hydrophobic minerals, and selective depressants of base metal sulfides.

There is only a limited number of successful industrial applications of these very environmentally friendly reagents. In potash flotation, a process that is carried out in a saturated KCl/NaCl brine, guar gum is routinely used to “blind” water insoluble slimes such as clays, carbonates and quartz. The role of guar gum is to adsorb on these sub-micron particles and prevent a cationic amine collector from adsorbing onto these unwanted particles.

In iron ore processing, hematite can be separated from quartz by a reverse flotation process in which starch selectively flocculates and blinds hematite allowing quartz to be floated using a cationic collector.

Certain sulfide ore operations use carboxymethyl cellulose to depress the floatability of naturally hydrophobic gangue minerals such as talc or graphite. In the flotation of platinum group metals-bearing ores guar gum is used to depress talc.

In the current industrial practice of sulfide ore flotation, the selective separation of bulk flotation concentrates is potentially a very important area of polysaccharide application since toxic depressants such as sodium bisulfide (NaHS) or cyanides are still standard reagents.

In all these processes, the use of polysaccharides is based on a “trial-and-error” approach rather than on a sound scientific evidence.
The common view that polysaccharides are non-selective (i.e. that they indiscriminately adsorb on all minerals), the general lack of understanding of the mechanisms involved in polysaccharide adsorption, and the fact that a wide chemical variety of these reagents exists strongly hinder their wider application.

As will be discussed, a number of different adsorption mechanisms were proposed in the literature even for the same mineral-polysaccharide system. As this thesis will show, a very important, previously undescribed phenomenon has systematically been overlooked in all the studies on polysaccharide adsorption. Simple alkali metal chlorides, very common salts in mineral processing systems, are routinely assumed to be indifferent, so-called background electrolytes towards mineral surfaces. The presented analysis of the results strongly indicates that adsorption data for a given mineral-polysaccharide system can be misinterpreted if the water structure-making or breaking properties of the alkali metal chlorides are not taken into account. This dissertation opens a whole new area for further research of polysaccharide-mineral interactions.
1.1 Water Structure and Aqueous Solution

Physicochemical methods of fine particle processing, e.g. flotation, flocculation, oil agglomeration, and even filtration, rely on interfacial phenomena occurring at the mineral-solution interface. Reagent adsorption, changes in the resulting wettability of minerals, or the state of fine particle aggregation/dispersion are just some of the underlying processes that have been researched in quite a detail. However, the main focus of all the past studies was on “the mineral surface”, or “the reagent”. Although the presence of water molecules at the mineral-solution interface is taken for granted, the role of the interfacial water and its structure have never been adequately correlated with mineral-reagent interactions.

1.1.1 Ion-Water Interactions

Liquid water has long been known to possess distinctive structural features, i.e. it retains a certain degree of similarity to ice. The amount of this “ice-likeness” may be altered by changes in temperature and pressure, and may also be affected by the presence of solutes (Frank and Wen, 1957). In particular, charged species strongly distort the structure of surrounding water, as a consequence of the change of microscopic balance of intermolecular forces, from that of water-water interactions in the pure solvent to ion-water interactions in the resulting solution (Chialvo et al., 1999).

Because ion-water interactions are determining factors in the behavior of aqueous solutions, with wide application in biological, geochemical, environmental and industrial processes, the structure of water and the effect of ions upon it have been a subject of numerous investigations. These studies were frequently based on the scheme introduced by Frank and Wen (1957), and Gurney (1953) who analyzed the behavior of ions in terms of their water-structure breaking and water-structure making capabilities. This model is schematically illustrated in Figure 1.1.

Figure 1.1 shows that an ion in aqueous solution is surrounded by three concentric regions. Region A is composed of immobilized water molecules, which are highly
ordered by the ionic field. In region B, the water molecules are less ordered. These water molecules are more random in organization than the ones in the water networks or water clusters in bulk water (note that bulk water is composed of free water molecules and water networks or water clusters whose component water molecules cannot move as freely as free water molecules). Region C represents bulk water.

Figure 1.1. A simple model for the water structure modifications produced by a small ion (Frank and Wen, 1957).

Region A: immobilized water molecules (hydration sheath);
Region B: less ordered water molecules (region of structure breaking);
Region C: bulk water.
In region A, water molecules are tightly bound to the ion. Region B extends farther away from the ion and is referred to as the region of structure breaking. Only at larger distances, where the ionic field is weak, water molecules form the "normal" ice-like structure. Small (in terms of crystallographic radii), strongly hydrated ions reinforce the "normal" structure of water and the region of structure breaking (region B) disappears. In contrast, large poorly hydrated ions disturb the ice-like structure and generate an extensive region of structure breaking so that region A disappears. Structure-breaking ions are also referred to as chaotropes whereas structure-makers are known as kosmotropes (De Xammar Oro, 2001).

Experimentally, these two effects can readily be distinguished through viscosity measurements. Increasing concentrations of structure-breaking ions result in a decrease of the viscosity of aqueous solutions. On the other hand, increasing amounts of structure-makers significantly increase the viscosity of water (Stokes and Mills, 1965). In general, the variation of the relative viscosity $\eta_r$ with the molarity $c$ can be represented by Jones and Dole equation:

$$\eta_r = \frac{\eta}{\eta_0} = 1 + A_\eta c^{1/2} + B_\eta c$$

where $\eta$ and $\eta_0$ are the viscosities of the solution and solvent respectively, $A_\eta$ is a constant depending on the long-range coulombic forces, and $B_\eta$ is an adjustable parameter which is related to the size of the ions and to different ion-solvent interactions.

The Jones and Dole equation is limited to fairly low concentrations (< 0.1 M). For higher concentrations, additional parameters are required. In most cases, it is sufficient to add a second order term, $c^2$ (Kaminsky, 1957):

$$\eta_r = \frac{\eta}{\eta_0} = 1 + A_\eta c^{1/2} + B_\eta c + D_\eta c^2$$

The viscosity $B_\eta$ coefficient provides a very useful quantitative measure of ions' effects on water structure (Gurney, 1953). For structure breaking ions, the viscosity $B_\eta$ coefficients are negative, while structure making ions give positive $B_\eta$ coefficients.
Figure 1.2 shows the relative viscosity versus concentration for aqueous solutions of halide salts. All the curves follow the parabolic equation 2. It is clear from Figure 1.2 that increasing concentrations of LiCl and NaCl result in relative viscosities greater than 1, while the remaining alkali halides (KCl and CsCl) continuously decrease relative viscosities. On this basis, Li\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) are classified as structure making ions while K\(^+\) and Cs\(^+\) are classified as structure breaking ions.

![Graph showing viscosity of alkali halide solutions as a function of concentration.](image)

**Figure 1.2.** Viscosity of alkali halide solutions as a function of concentration. (Weast, 1971).

It is also important to point out that the free energies of hydration of alkali metal cations vary greatly from Li\(^+\) to Cs\(^+\). A selection of so-called “best” single-ion data is given in Table 1 (Franks, 1972). The free energies of hydration also show that the
hydration of Li\(^+\) is more thermodynamically favorable than the hydration of Cs\(^+\). This ordering of ions from the least to the most hydrated is known as the Hofmeister series (Napper, 1970).

### Table 1

Free energies of hydration of hydrogen cation and alkali metal cations at 25 \(^0\)C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ions</th>
<th>H(^+)</th>
<th>Li(^+)</th>
<th>Na(^+)</th>
<th>K(^+)</th>
<th>Cs(^+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free energies of hydration [kcal/mol]</td>
<td>-260.5</td>
<td>-123.5</td>
<td>-98.3</td>
<td>-80.8</td>
<td>-71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.1.2 Interfacial Water

Water molecules tend to adsorb on all surfaces, especially on polar surface sites. Most insoluble metal oxides (if not all) adsorb water by chemisorption to form surface hydroxyl groups on top of which water molecules are physically adsorbed. The two species, surface hydroxyl groups and adsorbed water molecules, can readily be identified by infrared spectroscopy (Little, 1966).

There is considerable evidence that liquid water becomes highly structured as some types of surfaces are approached. If the solid surface is crystalline and the surface atoms are in an orderly arrangement, e.g. quartz, one can imagine an orderly arrangement of adsorbed water molecules which, through hydrogen bonding, could cause an extension of such an order (ice-like structure) for some distance into the solution, producing a network of water molecules (Drost-Hansen, 1977). However, such ordered structuring of water is highly unlikely to occur on the surface of amorphous silica, on which the SiOH groups have no regularity beyond two or three silanol sites (Iler, 1979).

The interfacial water structure is fundamentally different in nature from the normal structure of the clusters in bulk water:

1. The interfacial structure is more stable with respect to temperature and hydrostatic pressure than the bulk structure (Horne et al, 1968). For example, interfacial water remains “liquid” down to about –40°C (Schufle and Venugopalan, 1967).

2. Compared with bulk water, the interfacial water has a higher viscosity (Henniker, 1949).

3. Ion transport processes such as diffusion and electrical conductivity are roughly 10 times slower in interfacial water than in bulk water (Spiegler and Coryell, 1953; Richman and Thomas, 1956).

4. The interfacial water has a lower vapor pressure (Franks, 1972).

5. The interfacial water has a higher specific gravity (Franks, 1972).
It is quite certain that the properties of the interfacial water mentioned above are determined by interactions with the surface. The SiOH groups are stationary and are often ordered into patterns which might fit into the ice structure. Within a thin film or pore, the dimension of the film or pore may affect water structure by not allowing sufficient space for the development of bulk water structure (Franks, 1972).
1.2 Surface Chemistry of Quartz

1.2.1 General Information

Quartz is the main gangue mineral in practically every flotation separation. A significant proportion of the available literature concerning polymer adsorption at the solid–aqueous solution interface concerns quartz (Trompette et al., 1994; Bohmer and Koopal, 1992). Consequently, the chemistry associated with quartz surfaces has been widely studied, as summarized in comprehensive reviews by Bolt (1957), Iler (1979) and Bergna (1994). It should be noted that some features described here for quartz are derived from silica. Although the differences between amorphous silica and crystal quartz are obvious, they do have numerous common surface properties and hence are considered similar by some researchers (Kisielev, 1970; Staszczuk, 1985).

The surface properties of quartz are determined by the presence of functional groups on the surfaces of quartz. In general, several different functional groups can be found on the surface of quartz, depending on the preparation or origin of the mineral and, if in solution, the nature of that solution. Functional groups commonly associated with the quartz surface are depicted schematically in Figure 1.3.

![Functional groups schematic](image.png)

**Figure 1.3.** Functional groups that occur on the surfaces of quartz (Bergna et al., 1994).
When surface chemical groups are mainly siloxane Si-O-Si groups, the surfaces of quartz are hydrophobic; when the surfaces expose silanol Si-OH groups, they are hydrophilic (Iler, 1979). Hydrophobic quartz can reversibly be rendered hydrophilic by hydroxylating the siloxane Si-O-Si groups into silanol Si-OH groups (Lamb and Furlong, 1982).
1.2.2. Quartz-Water Interface

When quartz is exposed to water, it reacts to form surface silanol groups (Si₅OH) (Iler, 1979):

\[ \text{SiOSi}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} = 2 \text{Si}_5\text{OH} \]

The silanol groups are commonly believed to be the sites for adsorption of water molecules (Iler, 1979). In a detailed study of the behavior of water on the surfaces of quartz, Klier and Zettlemoyer (1977) showed that water molecules sit “oxygen down” on the SiOH groups. Michelle et al. (1990) observed that decreasing the number of surface silanol groups by dehydroxylation led to reduced adsorption of water on the surfaces of quartz.

The water film on the surfaces of quartz is strongly bonded to quartz and hence possesses properties different from those of bulk water (Staszczuk, 1985). There is evidence from viscosity measurements that a monolayer of water molecules is immobilized on the SiOH surface by hydrogen bonding (Iler, 1979). Furthermore, Yalamanchili et al. (1995) detected an ordered ice-like interfacial water structure on hydrophilic silicon surface by in-situ Fourier transform infrared/internal reflection spectroscopy, and such an ordered ice-like interfacial water structure is believed to exist on all the surfaces of hydrophilic minerals. Iler (1979) also reported that the first two layers of water molecules on the surfaces of quartz possess quite a high viscosity and further deduced that the viscosity is probably shear-sensitive because of breaking of the hydrogen bonds between the first layer of water molecules and silanol groups.

As the temperature is raised, the hydrated water is removed and the hydroxyl groups condense to form siloxane bonds, evolving water (Iler, 1979):

\[ 2 \text{Si}_5\text{OH} = \text{SiOSi}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]
Michelle et al. (1990) reported that on dry quartz surface's silanol groups start to condense and evolve water extensively above 170 °C. At 500 °C, approximately half of the silanol groups are removed. But, in this stage, most of the remaining hydroxyl groups are still in the neighborhood of another hydroxyl group. Above 750 °C, only isolated silanol groups remain. At 1000 °C, only 0.4 OH groups/Å² remain on the surfaces of quartz, compared to 4.6 OH groups/Å² for the fully hydroxylated quartz surface (Bergna et al., 1994). However, when dehydroxylated quartz is treated with water, surface OH groups can form again as a result of rehydroxylation (Zhuravlev, 2000).
1.2.3 Surface Charge of Quartz

Just like other mineral oxide surfaces, quartz has a surface charge character that is defined by the relative concentrations of $H^+$ and $OH^-$ (the potential determining ions) in solution, as given by the following equations.

$$SiOH + H^+ \leftrightarrow SiOH_2^+ \quad K_1 \quad (3)$$

$$SiOH + OH^- \leftrightarrow SiO^- + H_2O \quad K_2 \quad (4)$$

While SiO$^-$ groups are responsible for the negative charge of quartz, SiOH$_2^+$ groups render quartz positively charged. Therefore, it is the relative magnitude of the equilibrium constants $K_1$ and $K_2$ in equations (1) and (2) that determine the net charge on the quartz surface. The p$K$ values proposed in the literature (Hiemstra et al., 1989) are in the following ranges: $pK_1 = 3.0 \pm 1.0$ and $pK_2 = 7.0 \pm 1.0$.

Duval et al. (2002) reported the densities of the main functional groups on quartz as a function of pH (Figure 1.4).

![Figure 1.4. Surface densities of the functional groups on quartz as a function of pH.](Duval et al. 2002)
It is generally accepted that the point of zero charge (pzc) for quartz occurs at approximately pH 2 (Bolt, 1957), and is somewhat dependent on the exact nature of the surface. Fig. 1.4 demonstrates that, at pH 2, the density of SiO\(^-\) groups is equal to that of SiOH\(_2^+\) groups, thus defining the point of zero charge (pzc) of quartz. At pH values higher than the pzc, the density of SiO\(^-\) groups is higher than the density of SiOH\(_2^+\) groups, rendering quartz negatively charged. In contrast, at pH values lower than the pzc, the density of SiO\(^-\) groups is lower than the density of SiOH\(_2^+\) groups, resulting in positively charged quartz surfaces. Practically, all industrial flotation separations are carried out at neutral or alkaline pH values. In these systems, the quartz surface therefore is negatively charged.

When analyzing the quartz surface charge, the structure of the oxide layer is never a negligible factor. Hydroxylated quartz has a high density of hydroxyl groups that are in close proximity to one another (Bolt, 1957). It is also generally believed that adjacent groups (vicinal) can interact with each other through hydrogen bonding. Consequently, the hydroxyl hydrogen atoms are strongly bound at normal pH levels, resulting in the low surface charge of hydroxylated quartz.
1.2.4 Electrical Double Layer Around a Charged Particle

The ion concentration profile that develops around a charged particle in an electrolyte solution is commonly referred to as the electrical double layer (EDL). The layer of counter-ions close to the particle surface is usually strongly bound by electrostatic forces and as such is called “the inner layer” or “the compact layer”. Ions farther away from the surface are weakly attracted to the particle surface and these loosely attached ions form “the diffuse layer” (Figure 1.5).

![Diagram of Electrical Double Layer](image-url)

**Figure 1.5.** A modern view of the electrical double layer (Bockris and Reddy, 1998).
The compact (inner) layer is divided further into the Inner Helmholtz Plane (IHP) and the Outer Helmholtz Plane (OHP). The IHP runs through the centers of specifically adsorbed ions, while the OHP runs through the centers of hydrated counter-ions at the distance of closest possible approach to the surface. The diffuse layer lies beyond the OHP.

When two charged particles randomly move in an electrolyte solution, the diffuse layer of EDL consisting of weakly attached ions is sheared off and the compact layer becomes exposed to other approaching or colliding particles. Due to this shearing effect, the compact layer is said to end at the shear plane, essentially where the OHP ends. By definition, the electrical work needed to bring a unit charge from infinity to the shear plane is referred to as the zeta potential. As such, the zeta potential can be viewed as the effective energy barrier that a charged particle senses as it approaches another charged particle (Hunter, 1993).

Adsorption of metal ions at mineral–water interfaces is a complex process involving many possible variables. Certain types of ions affect the surface charge by reacting with surface functional groups. Such ions are referred to as potential determining ions (PDI). The surfaces of oxide minerals possess hydroxyl groups due to strong hydration. These groups react with acids or bases to produce positive or negative sites (e.g. reaction 3 and 4 for quartz). Therefore, for oxide minerals, H\(^+\) and OH\(^-\) ions are potential determining ions.

Ions that are not potential determining but are capable of strongly interfering the adsorption of PDI are called specifically adsorbing ions. These ions often show a strong chemical affinity towards the mineral surface.

However, there is no clear rule as to which kind of metal ions are permitted to move into the specifically adsorbed layer. Polyvalent and divalent ions have been reported to be capable of specific adsorption. Alkali metal salts (chlorides, nitrates, perchlorates) are normally treated as indifferent electrolytes towards mineral surfaces.
This means that the adsorption of alkali metal cations (counterions) on negatively charged mineral surfaces takes place only through electrostatic attraction without forming any permanent chemical bonds with the negative surface sites. Thus, the negatively charged surface sites are not neutralized by the cations, but are only partially screened. The extent of screening, which is also a function of electrolyte concentration, affects the measured zeta potentials. The higher the concentration of the indifferent electrolyte, the more efficient the screening of the surface charges and the lower the zeta potentials. This effect is also known as the compression of the electrical double layer (Hunter, 1993).

Iler (1979) and Bockris and Reddy (1998) summarized the development of the theories on the adsorption of metal ions onto quartz:

It was first recognized that metal ions, attracted by the negative charge of quartz, are kept in motion near the surface of quartz by thermal energy. Then it was realized that large ions cannot approach the surface of quartz as closely as small ions can. Note that after hydration, large ions, such as potassium and cesium, become smaller, while small ions, such as sodium and lithium ions, become larger (Table 2).

### Table 2

Crystallographic and hydrated radii for alkali metal cations

(Robinson, 1959; Shannon and Prewitt, 1969)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Li⁺</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Cs⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystallographic radii (Å)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrated radii (Å)</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although the exact hydration number for cesium and potassium ions is not known, the hydrated and crystallographic radii of the ions are assumed to be equal since the hydration of these ions is very poor.

Other models of the electrical double layer have also been developed to model the adsorption of ions onto oxides, for example the site-binding model (Yates et al., 1974) and the tripple layer model (Davis et al., 1978; Hayes et al., 1987, 1988). Based on the tripple layer model, Sveriensky (2001) predicted the distances of adsorbed alkali ions from the surface of quartz. Sverienksy (2001) argued that for alkali ions to directly adsorb on the surfaces of minerals i.e. to enter the inner layer, they must lose their hydration water. Thus the calculated distance of closest approach correlated well with the hydrated radii of alkali metal ions.

The affinity of ions with different degrees of hydration towards oxide surfaces can be explained by a thermodynamic model of ion adsorption at the oxide-solution interface developed by James and Healy (1972). These authors made a clear distinction between the adsorption behavior of oxides characterized by a low dielectric constant and those characterized by a high dielectric constant. The model demonstrated that a low-dielectric oxide surface, such as quartz \((\varepsilon = 4.6)\), will preferentially interact with less hydrated cations while strongly hydrated cations will not as easily adsorb on such a surface. The opposite was predicted for titania, an oxide characterized by a dielectric constant of 120. These differences were attributed to the ease or difficulty for a metal ion to exchange its secondary hydration sheath for the interfacial water molecules upon approaching to the surface (adsorption was assumed to take place in the Inner Helmholtz Plane of the double layer). The structure of the interfacial water, as judged from the calculated values of the dielectric constant for these immobilized water molecules, was also an important factor.

Generally, for a series of ions with fixed charge, this solvation energy barrier for adsorption decreases as the crystallographic ionic size increases. The crystallographic
radii of alkali metal cations, and thus their affinity towards the quartz surfaces, increase in the order Li$^+$ < Na$^+$ < K$^+$ < Cs$^+$, but their hydrated radii increase in the opposite direction.

The ion adsorption sequences can also be explained by the “like adsorb like” concept (Dumont et al., 1990). According to this approach, surfaces with a high heat of immersion preferentially adsorb well-hydrated ions while surfaces with a low heat of immersion preferentially adsorb poorly hydrated ions. The degree of hydration of mineral surfaces is related to its heat of immersion and thus to the pzc of the mineral (Healy and Fuerstenau, 1965). Accordingly, high-pzc minerals preferentially adsorb well-hydrated ions and low-pzc minerals (e.g. quartz, pzc at pH~2) preferentially adsorb poorly hydrated ions. This concept satisfactorily explains the observed adsorption sequences on quartz and a number of other oxides (Dumont et al., 1990).

Bockris and Reddy (1998) also stated that for alkali metal cations to enter the inner layer, the metal cations should be dehydrated, but only partial dehydration is required. They argued that, since small alkali metal cations tightly adsorb a large number of water molecules, these metal cations cannot easily get rid of their hydration sheath and thus will not enter the inner layer. On the contrary, large metal cations can only loosely adsorb much fewer water molecules. Such metal cations can easily throw away their hydration sheath and hence tend to enter the inner layer. For the alkali metal cations to enter the inner layer, some of the water molecules immobilized on mineral surfaces should be removed to make room for the alkali metal cations to adsorb.

This modern view of the electrical double layer clearly recognizes the presence of differently oriented water molecules at the interface. Bockris and Reddy (1998) and Bockris and Khan (1993) concluded that the water molecules in the inner-Helmholtz plane (IHP) are completely immobilized and strongly oriented, whereas water molecules in the outer Helmholtz plane (OHP) are only weakly oriented. According to, Drost-Hansen (1977) the immobilized water molecules on solid surfaces are capable of
producing a network of water molecules or water clusters through hydrogen bonding for some distance into the solution.
1.3 Guar Gum

1.3.1 General Information

Guar gum is a natural nonionic polysaccharide, obtained from the seeds of two annual leguminous plants, *Cyamopsis tetragonalobus* and *psoraloides*. Since its commercial introduction in 1953, the consumption of guar gum has grown rapidly. The rapid growth is largely because of guar gum's function not only in the traditional role of a viscosity builder for water systems, but also as a hydrogen-bonding, reagent-type chemical for such industries as mining and paper making (Maier et al., 1993).

Figure 1.6 shows a schematic depiction of the structure of a guar gum monomer.

![Figure 1.6. A structural formula of guar gum.](Image)

Guaran, the functional polysaccharide in guar gum is a chain of (1→4)-linked β-D-mannopyranose units with α-D-galactopyranose units connected to the mannose backbone through (1→6) glycosidic linkages. The poly-mannose chain is randomly substituted with galactose units at a mannose-to-galactose ratio of 1.8-1.0 (Whistler and Hymowitz, 1979; Painter et al., 1979). The least substituted sections of the guar gum molecules show the greatest tendency to associate and precipitate, facilitated by the *cis*->
configuration of the mannose OH groups, while the more densely substituted regions serve to solubilize the precipitated gel-like structure (Dea, 1993).

Guar gum's unusually high ability to increase the viscosity of aqueous solutions is due to its large hydrodynamic volume and the nature of its specific intermolecular interactions (entanglements) (Maier et al., 1993). Like most high-molecular-weight polymers, guar gum shows pseudoplastic or shear thinning behavior in solution. The degree of pseudoplasticity of a guar gum solution increases with concentration and molecular weight. Solutions of guar gum do not exhibit yield stress properties (Bilgen, 1972).

Guar gum is stable over a wide pH range. In solutions of pH 3 or less, guar gum is hydrolyzed by acids, resulting in rapid loss of viscosity. Above pH 11, hydration of guar gum is depressed and low viscosity results. In industries, guar gum is still used where high pH conditions could hydrolyze synthetic polyamides, such as in hydrometallurgical leaching of aluminum from bauxite, soda from trona, and uranium from its ores. Thermal degradation occurs when guar gum solution is heated to 80 to 95 °C for extended periods of time, resulting in loss of viscosity (Goldstein and Alter, 1959; Maier et al., 1993).
1.3.2 Hydration of Guar Gum

A factor that has received little attention is the effect of hydration of a polymer on its adsorption properties. Ishihara et al. (1998) found that protein adsorption is reduced on highly hydrated substrates, while Haigh et al. (2000) showed a clear trend of increasing adsorption of IgG (immunoglobulin-G, an important blood protein) onto hydrogel surfaces with decreasing hydration. These phenomena have been attributed to the layers of water sterically inhibiting the adsorption of protein or IgG onto bio-substrates, which should also be an important aspect of interactions between polymers and mineral surfaces.

Most polymers influence the mobility and structuring of water beyond the immediate interface to a thickness of several molecular diameters (Blanshard, 1970; Barfod, 1988; Rickayzen, 1989). Yakubu et al. (1990) identified four forms of water on corn starch: tightly bound water, weakly bound water, surface trapped water and bulk water.

Okazaki et al. (1996) proposed the following mechanism for hydration of polymers:

1. Hydration of polymers is dominated by hydration to the hydroxyl group.

2. The hydration to the hydroxyl group is characterized as hydrogen bonding between the lone-pair electron on oxygen of the hydroxyl group and the proton of water.

3. The stability of hydrogen-bonding hydration is dependent on the electron-pair donation (EPD) and acceptance (EPA) abilities of water molecules.

4. These properties are enhanced or reduced via hydration to ions.

Takano et al (1998) measured the swelling ratios of PVP gels (poly N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone), with a molecular weight of $6.3 \times 10^5$, in aqueous solutions of chlorides (Figure 1.7).
Figure 1.7. Swelling ratio of PVP gels in aqueous solutions of chlorides. (Takano et al. 1998).

The swelling ratios were estimated as \((d/d_0)^3\), where \(d_0\) is the diameter of the water-swollen gel and \(d\) is that of the salt solution-swollen gel. Figure 1.7 clearly demonstrates the effect of structure makers and breakers on the hydration of PVP gels. In the presence of Li\(^{+}\), a structure maker, the diameters of PVP gels increase because of a thicker water layer on the gel surfaces; while in the presence of K\(^{+}\) and Cs\(^{+}\), structure breakers, the diameters of PVP gels decrease due to a thinner water layer on the surfaces of PVP gels.

Goldstein and Alter (1959) studied the effect of AlCl\(_3\), CaCl\(_2\) and NaCl on the hydration of guar gum. The structure making capabilities of the cations increase according to the sequence Al\(^{3+}\) > Ca\(^{2+}\) > Na\(^{+}\). It was found that at electrolyte concentrations higher than 10% (by weight), the viscosities of guar gum solutions increased dramatically following the same sequence, with AlCl\(_3\) producing the highest viscosity. This trend coincides well with the observations of Takano et al (1998) (Figure 1.7), i.e. when the concentration of an electrolyte is high enough, the effect of metal ions on the hydration of polymers becomes significant and depends on the structure making/breaking capabilities of the ions.
1.3.3 Adsorption of Polymers

The adsorption behavior of long chain polymers (flocculants) is quite complex and not well understood. There are many theories of polymer adsorption, as reviewed by Fleer and Scheutjens (1993) but, generally speaking, it is accepted that a polymer molecule adsorbs simultaneously onto many sites on a solid surface assuming conformations referred to as trains, loops and tails as shown in Figure 1.8.

![Figure 1.8. Configuration of an adsorbed polymer molecule on a solid surface.](image)

The actual attachment to the solid surface can take place through many different interactions (Gregory 1987):

- **ionic (electrostatic) interaction**, when an adsorbate adsorbs on a surface bearing oppositely charged ionic groups, e.g. anionic carboxymethyl-cellulose on positively charged oxide surfaces.

- **hydrophobic bonding**, responsible for adsorption of nonpolar segments of polymers and surfactants on hydrophobic surfaces, e.g. aliphatic side chains of cellulose ethers on hydrophobic coals.

- **hydrogen bonding** takes place when the surface and the polymer have suitable H-bonding sites e.g. hydroxyl groups of polysaccharides and oxide minerals.

- **ion binding**, sometimes it is found that a certain amount of divalent metal ions (such as Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$) is required to promote adsorption of anionic polyelectrolytes onto negatively charged surfaces. These metal ions are known to bind strongly to
carboxylate groups and serve as links between these groups and negative sites on the surface. This mechanism is involved in the adsorption of anionic polymers (polyacrylates) on calcium-activated quartz surface.

- *dipole-crystal-field effects*, this mechanism is common in adsorption of polymers onto a crystalline salt-type surface, e.g. flocculation of minerals such as apatite and fluorite by polyacrylamide.

Practically all of the above mechanisms were proposed to explain interactions between polysaccharides and mineral surfaces. While *hydrogen bonding* was considered as the primary adsorption mechanism for starch (Balaji and Iwasaki, 1969; Hanna, 1973; Afenya, 1982), *hydrophobic bonding* was proposed for the adsorption of dextrin onto inherently hydrophobic minerals, such as talc, molybdenite and bituminous coal. (Wie and Fuerstenau, 1974; Haung et al., 1978; Miller et al., 1984). This was later apparently confirmed by experiments with oxidized coal (Miller et al., 1983). In the case of oxidized, hydrophilic coals, dextrin adsorption was substantially lower than on hydrophobic, demineralized ones. Evidence for *chemical interaction* of starch with calcite and hematite was also reported (Somasundaran, 1969; Khosla et al., 1984). The findings of Subramanian and Laskowski (1993) also indicate that dextrin adsorption on hydrophobic graphite, another hydrophobic model mineral, is associated with chemical interactions of dextrin with metal impurities rather than with hydrophobic bonding since the adsorption density decreased substantially after leaching trace metals off the naturally hydrophobic graphite surface.

The importance of *metallic sites* was demonstrated quite convincingly by Liu and Laskowski (1989). It was reported that while dextrin did not adsorb onto either hydrophilic quartz or methylated hydrophobic quartz, it adsorbed strongly onto both these samples when the quartz surface was activated with lead ions. Based on such results, Liu et al. (2000) generalized that all polysaccharides adsorb on mineral surfaces through complexation with metal-hydroxyl surface sites, and the nature of the interaction, whether hydrogen bonding or chemical, is of acid-base type and strongly depends on the acidity of the surface metal-hydroxyl groups. This postulate was consistent with the
observation that the adsorption of guar gum onto talc was strongly dependent on the surface concentration of magnesium sites (Rath et al., 1995; Rath et al., 1997).

In the case of guar gum, Whistler (1973) reported that the straight chain configuration of the molecule with its regularly occurring \(\alpha\)-galactopyranosyl branches makes it well suited to form hydrogen bonds with mineral surfaces. Wang et al (2005) observed a substantial decrease in guar gum adsorption onto talc in the presence of urea, a hydrogen bond breaker. Based on this observation, and due to the fact that guar gum adsorption was not affected by ionic strength (adjusted with KCl), Wang et al concluded that hydrogen bonding was the main driving force behind guar gum adsorption onto talc. In a comparative study of guar gum and dextrin, Rath et al. (1997) reported higher adsorption density of guar gum onto talc than that of dextrin. This phenomenon was attributed to the more favorable cis-configuration of the hydroxyl groups in mannose, as opposed to the trans-configuration in dextrin, apart from the differences in their molecular weights. In contrast, Steenberg and Harris (1984) and Jenkins and Ralston (1998) proposed that the adsorption of guar gum on talc occurs mainly at the basal planes via hydrophobic force.

Rath and Subramanian (1997) proposed that the adsorption mechanism of guar gum on mica is governed by hydrogen bonding and chemical interaction. They also reported that adsorption densities of guar gum onto mica increase with pH (adjusted with KOH).

Pawlik et al. (2003) reported that the amount of guar gum adsorbed on illite, a typical potash ore component, was independent of ionic strength over a wide range of salt concentrations. The adsorption density of the polysaccharide on illite did not measurably change with ionic strength from that of distilled water to about 50%-saturated KCl/NaCl potash brine (~3M KCl/NaCl). However, guar gum adsorption on dolomite dramatically decreased over the same range of ionic strengths, and no reliable explanation of that phenomenon was proposed.
Adsorption of polymers affects the stability of fine particles towards aggregation. High molecular weight polymers can cause particle aggregation, the so-called “bridging flocculation”, by simultaneously adsorbing on several particles. When two particles coated with polymers are brought together it is possible for the loops and tails (Figure 1.8) of one polymer molecule to adsorb onto bare patches on the other particle and form “bridges”. However, when adsorption densities are so high that the adsorbed polymers cannot find available adsorption sites on another particle, the effectiveness of flocculants drops dramatically resulting in steric stabilization (Napper, 1970, 1977). The densely adsorbed polymer molecules provide a mechanical barrier that prevents particles from aggregating. This interparticle “repulsion” can be considered to arise from a swelling pressure at the point of sufficiently close approach (Kitchener, 1972). Therefore, depending on the dosage, even the same polymer can induce two opposite effects: flocculation or dispersion (steric stabilization).
CHAPTER 2 Experimental Procedures

2.1 Materials

Natural guar gum, Rantec KP4000, was obtained from Rantec Corporation. In Canada, Rantec KP4000 is widely used by the potash industry as a slime blinder. Guar gum has one of the highest molecular weights of all naturally occurring water-soluble polymers. Cheng et al. (2002) found that the molecular weight of natural guar gum is $1.935 \times 10^6$, while Robinson et al. (1982) reported that the molecular weight of five commercial guar gums ranged from $4.4 \times 10^5$ to $16.5 \times 10^5$. The molecular weight of KP4000 was calculated to be $1.39 \times 10^6$ by Pawlik and Laskowski (2004) based on their viscosity data using the following formula (Robinson et al., 1982):

$$[\eta] = 3.8 \times 10^{-4} M^{0.723}$$

Where $[\eta]$ is the intrinsic viscosity and $M$ is the molecular weight.

As natural guar gum contains some insoluble residue, the following method was used to purify guar gum stock solutions and determine the percentage of the insoluble organics. First, a 100 ppm solution of raw guar gum was centrifuged at 10000g over various periods of time. Then the phenol-sulfuric acid method developed by Dubois et al. (1956) was used to measure the absorbance of the guar gum solutions before and after centrifuging (see section 2.2.1 for the analytical details). It was found that the absorbance values did not change further after a centrifuging time of 30 minutes. The absorbance of the “raw” solution was 1.4711 and it decreased to 1.2957 after centrifuging. Therefore, a correction factor of 0.8808 ($1.2957 / 1.4711 = 0.8808$) was applied to obtain the actual guar gum concentrations. The correction factor indicates that the KP4000 sample contains about 12% of water-insoluble residue, which agrees well with previously published data for natural guar gums (Chatterji and Borchartd, 1981).

A stock solution of guar gum (1g/L) was prepared every other day by quickly adding 0.5 g of guar gum powder into 500 ml of vigorously stirred water and mixing for 4 hours to ensure complete hydration and dissolution of guar gum. Such a prolonged
dissolution procedure is also practiced by various operations utilizing guar gum. The stock solution was then centrifuged at 10000g for 30 minutes to remove any undissolved impurities.

A limited number of adsorption tests was performed with the use of dextrin (Tapioca Dextrin 12, from A.E. Staley, MW=56,000). Dextrin adsorption experiments were carried out for reference in distilled water, in 0.01M KCl and NaCl, and in 0.1M KCl and NaCl solutions. Dextrin was completely soluble in water and was used “as received”.

A quartz sample for this study was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The sample was described by the supplier as “silicon dioxide, finely ground, naturally occurring microcrystalline silica, historically called amorphous”. An x-ray analysis of this material confirmed that the sample is actually quartz and the positions of the observed diffraction

![X-ray diffraction pattern](image)

**Figure 2.1.** An X-ray diffraction pattern for the quartz sample.
peaks match perfectly the diffraction data for a standard quartz sample ("Selected Powder Diffraction Data for Minerals", 1974). An x-ray diffractogram for the Sigma-Aldrich quartz is shown in Figure 2.1.

The BET (Brunauer Emmett Teller) specific surface area for the sample, determined from nitrogen adsorption after outgassing at 200°C under vacuum, was found to be 6.0 m²/g. The microporosity of the sample was measured using an Autosorb-1MP BET analyzer (Quantachrome) equipped with a 1-mm Hg pressure transducer. The density functional theory (DFT) method was used to obtain the pore size distribution, which is presented in Figure 2.2 as the differential specific surface area contained within the pores.

![Figure 2.2. The pore size distribution of the quartz sample.](image)
The particle size distribution of the quartz sample, as determined with the use of a Malvern Mastersizer 2000, fell entirely in the range from 0.3 to 13.8 microns with a volume-average size of 2.3 microns (Figure 2.3). The specific surface area calculated from the size distribution, assuming perfectly spherical and smooth particles, was 1.5 m$^2$/g.

The cumulative fraction of the specific surface area contained in the pores smaller than 62 Å was 4.3 m$^2$/g. The difference between the total BET area and the internal pore surface area is 1.7 m$^2$/g and agrees reasonably well with the value calculated from the size distribution. Since the radius of gyration of guar gum in aqueous solutions is about 980 Angstroms (Frollini et al., 1995), the polymer cannot enter any of the pores shown in Figure 2.2. Thus the value of 1.7 m$^2$/g was used for calculating the polymer adsorption densities since that is the external surface area accessible to guar gum.
Cesium chloride (crystalline, 99.9% pure), cesium hydroxide (50 wt% aqueous solution, 99% pure) and lithium hydroxide (crystalline, 98%+ pure), were supplied by Aldrich. Lithium, sodium and potassium chlorides, as well as sodium and potassium hydroxides, were all ACS-certified chemicals from Fisher. In some tests, hydrochloric acid (Fisher) was also used for pH adjustments.

All the experiments were carried out in the pH range from 3 to 11, i.e. on the alkaline side of the point of zero charge when the quartz surfaces were negatively charged.
2.2 Methods

2.2.1. Adsorption tests

In the adsorption tests, 5 g of quartz was first conditioned with 12.5 ml of salt solution or distilled water in a thermostated shaker for 20 minutes. Before conditioning, desired pH values were approximately set by adding concentrated solutions of HCl, LiOH, NaOH, KOH or CsOH to the samples. Then 12.5 ml of a guar gum solution of known concentration were added and the entire mixture was conditioned for 1 hour. Afterwards, the samples were centrifuged to remove quartz and the supernatant was assayed for guar gum following the phenol-sulfuric acid method developed by Dubois et al (1956) for polysaccharides. At this point, the pH of the supernatants was measured and reported. Dextrin assays were performed with the same procedure. The calibration curve for dextrin is shown in Appendix 2.

The analytical procedure for the phenol-sulfuric acid method involved the following steps. First, a 4-ml aliquot of a supernatant was transferred to a beaker. Second, 1.5 ml of a 60g/dm³ phenol solution was added to the sample. Then, 10 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid was carefully introduced while thoroughly stirring to avoid excessive boiling and hence spilling of the mixture. First 2-3 ml of the acid were added drop by drop, and the remaining amount was allowed to flow freely. The reacting mixture was stirred again and left to cool at room temperature for 30 minutes. Depending on the concentration of guar gum in solution, the reacting mixture acquires a more or less intense yellow color with the maximum absorbance at 488 nm. The absorbance of each sample was measured at 488 nm using a Cary 50 UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Varian). The guar gum concentration was read from a calibration curve (Appendix 1).

In all cases, blank samples were prepared by conditioning 5 g quartz with 25 ml of an appropriate salt solution or distilled water. The amount of guar gum adsorbed was calculated from the difference between the initial (known) and equilibrium (measured) concentrations.

The amount adsorbed was calculated from the following formula:
$A = \frac{(C_{in} - C_{eq}) \times 0.025L}{5g \times S_{BET}}$

Where $A$ is amount of guar gum adsorbed, $C_{in}$ is the initial guar gum concentration (known), $C_{eq}$ is the equilibrium guar gum concentration (measured), and $S_{BET}$ is the specific surface area ($1.7 m^2/g$).

The standard deviation in these experiments was 2-5%.

2.2.2. Zeta Potential Measurements

In order to correlate the adsorption of alkali metal cations on quartz with the colloidal stability of the quartz suspensions, a series of zeta potential measurements was carried out. In addition, since ion diffusion at the quartz-solution interface can affect the measured zeta potentials, a number of tests were performed for long and short conditioning times with the background electrolytes.

The zeta potential studies were performed through electroacoustic measurements using a Colloidal Dynamics ZetaProbe. The zeta potential was calculated using O'Brien's model (O'Brien, 1988; O'Brien, 1990; O'Brien et al., 1995). The tests were carried out at 10% (wt) solids. Electrokinetic Sonic Amplitude (ESA) is an electroacoustic effect that occurs when an alternating electric field of known amplitude and frequency is applied to a suspension of fine charged particles. The electric field causes the particles to move back and forth (oscillate) due to their surface charges, and in so doing each particle generates a tiny pressure wave of some amplitude and frequency. The velocity of this oscillatory motion is proportional to the surface charge of the particles. All the pressure waves then add up to a macroscopic sound wave that travels through the suspension to the measuring electrodes coupled with pressure transducers (Hunter, 1993).
As the frequency of the applied field is increased, the inertial forces acting on the particles increase causing both a decrease in the magnitude of particle mobility and an increase in the phase lag between the applied field and measured signal. This simply means that small particles easily keep up with changes in the electric field, while the ESA signal from large particles is significantly delayed with respect to the applied field, particularly at higher field frequencies. At low frequencies, when particles are able to quickly respond to any changes in the electric field, the inertia effects can be neglected (Hunter, 1993).

The pH of the quartz suspensions was adjusted to 11 with the corresponding base to avoid introducing foreign cations, and then the zeta potential measurements were performed as automatic titrations from high to low pH using 2.0 M HCl as the titrant. After pH adjustment the suspension was allowed to equilibrate while stirred for 5 minutes before the zeta potential measurement was performed. The zeta potential of quartz was measured in 0.01 and 0.1 M alkali metal chloride solutions.

The background electrolyte ions can also produce an ESA signal, usually significant at salt concentrations above about 0.01 - 0.1 M concentrations. For this reason the background electrolyte signal was measured and subtracted from the total signal only for 0.1M salts. For each suspension measured, a salt solution of the same salt type and concentration as in the continuous phase of the suspension was prepared. The background signal of these solutions was measured at pH 5.8 and subtracted from the total signal measured for the corresponding suspension at all pH values investigated.

The difference between two titration runs under the same conditions was less than 3 mV.
2.2.3 Turbidity

Turbidity measurements are a simple and convenient tool to study the flocculation process. As the flocculated particles grow in size, their settling rates increase. After a given period of time, the amount of solids left in suspension is a measure of the effectiveness of flocculation. Strongly flocculated suspensions produce very clear supernatants of very low turbidities, while poorly flocculated or dispersed systems remains turbid over a long period of time.

Changes in the turbidities of the quartz-guar gum suspensions were measured with a Hach Model 2100AN Laboratory Turbidimeter. The instrument is a nephelometer, comprised of a 90 deg detector to monitor scattered light, a forward-scatter light detector, a transmitted-light detector, and a back-scatter light detector.

The experiments were performed by first conditioning 0.5g quartz with 50 ml of salt solutions or distilled water in a thermostated shaker for 20 minutes, then adding 50 ml guar gum solution and conditioning for 1 hour. Finally, the samples were allowed to settle for 10 minutes, the supernatants of which (30 ml) were collected as representative samples to measure turbidities. When fully dispersed by high pH in distilled water, the turbidity of a reference quartz suspension was just below the upper measuring limit of the instrument.

The experimental error (standard deviation) in these tests was about 200 NTU at a turbidity of 6500 NTU.
CHAPTER 3 Results

3.1 Zeta Potential Measurements

As Figures 3.1 and 3.2 demonstrate, the magnitude of the zeta potential of quartz particles at any pH value follows the Hofmeister series. The zeta potentials are most negative in the presence of lithium and least negative in the presence of cesium. The results indicate that cesium cations adsorb at the quartz-solution interface in higher quantities than lithium, thus more efficiently screening the negative surface charges around the quartz particles, and hence decreasing the magnitude of the zeta potential.

![Graph showing zeta potential vs pH for different salt concentrations.](image)

**Figure 3.1.** Zeta potential of quartz in 0.01 M solutions of salts.
The zeta potential results show that increasing concentrations of the electrolytes compress the electrical double layer around quartz particles. The absolute values of the zeta potentials are more negative in 0.01 M salt solutions than in 0.1 M salt solutions. In comparison to the trends in 0.01M electrolytes, the same qualitative differences between individual salts can be seen in 0.1M solutions.

Figures 3.3 to 3.6 indicate that no significant effect of prolonged conditioning with the electrolytes, over a period of 24 hours, on the measured zeta potentials was observed.

![Diagram of zeta potential of quartz in 0.1 M solutions of salts.]

**Figure 3.2** Zeta potential of quartz in 0.1 M solutions of salts.
Figure 3.3 Zeta potential of quartz in 0.01M CsCl, conditioned for 30 minutes and 24 hours.

Figure 3.4 Zeta potential of quartz in 0.01M KCl, conditioned for 30 minutes and 24 hours.
Figure 3.5 Zeta potential of quartz in 0.01M NaCl, conditioned for 30 minutes and 24 hours.

Figure 3.6 Zeta potential of quartz in 0.01M LiCl, conditioned for 30 minutes and 24 hours.
Figure 3.7 The effect of guar gum addition on the zeta potential of the quartz particles. Guar gum concentration 120 mg/L.

Figure 3.7 illustrates the effect of guar gum addition (at 120 mg/L) on the zeta potential of the quartz particles. The guar gum concentration was such that the adsorption density of the polymer was at its plateau so the surfaces of the particles were saturated with the polymer. Even though there is a clear decrease in the magnitude of the zeta potential values, compared to the curves from Figure 3.1, there are still the same relative differences between the results for different background electrolytes.
3.2 Adsorption Measurements

Figures 3.8 and 3.9 present adsorption isotherms of guar gum onto quartz at a pH value of 5.2 (natural pH for the quartz suspensions) in distilled water, in the presence of 0.01M, and 0.1M alkali metal chlorides. In 0.01M electrolytes, the data for guar gum fall on two curves. The adsorption densities of guar gum in distilled water, 0.01M sodium chloride, and 0.01M lithium chloride do not differ significantly, while the amount of guar gum adsorbed on quartz almost doubles in the presence of 0.01M cesium and potassium chlorides. There is a marked increase in the adsorption density for all 0.1M salts (Figure 3.9) compared to the results in 0.01M electrolytes, but still cesium and potassium chlorides give consistently higher adsorption densities than sodium and lithium.

![Figure 3.8 Adsorption isotherms of guar gum on quartz at pH 5.2 and alkali metal chloride concentration of 0.01M. A set of adsorption results for a dextrin sample is also included.](image)

Distilled Water
- 0.01M LiCl
- 0.01M NaCl
- 0.01M KCl
- 0.01M CsCl
- Dextrin Distilled Water
- Dextrin 0.01M KCl
- Dextrin 0.01M NaCl
- Dextrin 0.1M KCl
- Dextrin 0.1M NaCl
In contrast, the adsorption densities of dextrin in distilled water are very low—less than 0.05 mg/m² at an equilibrium concentration of 95 mg/L—and the adsorption isotherms are linear. Even though the affinity of dextrin towards quartz is low, Figure 3.8 indicates that there is a small but measurable increase in dextrin adsorption in 0.1 M KCl.

![Graph showing adsorption isotherms](image)

**Figure 3.9** Effect of ionic strength on the adsorption of guar gum at pH 5.2.

The apparent effect of pH on guar gum adsorption in distilled water is illustrated in Figure 3.10. It should be pointed out that since the natural pH of the quartz-distilled water system was 5.2, only values higher than 5.2 required hydroxide addition. All pH values below 5.2 were set using hydrochloric acid so no metal cations were introduced into the system below pH 5.2. Seemingly, additions of potassium and cesium hydroxides bring about an increase in the adsorption of guar gum, while sodium and lithium hydroxides have practically no effect.
Figure 3.10 Effect of pH on guar gum adsorption on quartz. pH adjustments were made with various hydroxides or with hydrochloric acid. Initial guar gum concentration 90 mg/L.

As Figure 3.11 shows, this apparent effect of pH in Figure 3.10 is not really due to changes in the pH values, but results from increasing concentrations of metal cations introduced with the strong bases at higher pH. pH 11 is equivalent to a cation concentration of 0.001 M. It is noteworthy that once a background electrolyte is present in the system, the “effect” of pH (KOH and CsOH addition) disappears. The adsorption data fall on two curves in a way similar to the data in Figures 3.8 and 3.9. These results confirm the notion that it is the concentration of the monovalent cations that determines the magnitude of guar gum adsorption.
Figure 3.11 Adsorption of guar gum as a function of pH in the presence of 0.01 M background electrolytes. Initial guar gum concentration 90 mg/L.

Some of the most significant results are illustrated in Figure 3.12 which shows the adsorption density as a function of pH when two different cations are present in the guar gum-quartz system – one from the background salt and one from the base. Interestingly, when NaCl is used to provide constant ionic strength, additions of KOH produce the same effect as in Figure 3.10 and guar gum adsorption increases at higher pH values. The same observation can be made for the LiCl-KOH combination - pH adjustments with the use of KOH clearly increase the adsorption density of guar gum. The results for the NaCl-KOH and LiCl-KOH combinations are very similar to the potassium and cesium curves in Figure 3.10. However, when KCl is used as the background electrolyte, NaOH additions do not affect the adsorption of the polymer. It may thus be concluded that it is the presence of potassium ions (and very likely cesium ions) that determines the response of the mixed electrolytes.
Figure 3.12 Co-effect of background electrolyte and added base on the adsorption of guar gum. Initial guar gum concentration 90 mg/L.

Figure 3.13 further demonstrates the co-effect of K$^+$ and Na$^+$ on guar gum adsorption. While the total ionic strength was kept constant at 0.01M, adsorption of guar gum increased with increasing amounts of KCl, which confirms that potassium ions determine the response of mixed electrolytes.

The data therefore strongly suggest that Na$^+$ and Li$^+$ ions are truly indifferent in dilute solutions while potassium and cesium are capable of enhancing guar gum-quartz interactions even at concentrations as low as $10^{-5}$ mol/L (pH 9 adjusted with KOH or CsOH). Sodium and lithium cations start affecting guar gum adsorption at concentrations of the order of 0.1M.
Figure 3.13 Co-effect of KCl and NaCl on the adsorption of guar gum at natural pH (5.2). Ionic strength 0.01 M. Initial guar gum concentration 120 mg/L.
3.3 Turbidity Measurements

Effect of alkali metal ions on the stability of quartz particles towards aggregation is demonstrated in Figure 3.14. Turbidity values were expressed in nephelometric turbidity units (NTU). The results indicate that at a given electrolyte concentration turbidities increase according to the sequence $\text{Cs}^+ < \text{K}^+ < \text{Na}^+ < \text{Li}^+$, with $\text{Li}^+$ producing the highest turbidities. In other words, aggregation of quartz is stronger in the presence of $\text{Cs}^+$ than in the presence of $\text{Li}^+$. In addition, turbidities decrease with increasing electrolyte concentrations.

![Figure 3.14](image)

**Figure 3.14** Effect of alkali ions on the stability of quartz (pH 5.2).

Figure 3.15 illustrates the effect of guar gum on the colloid stability of the guar gum-quartz suspensions in the presence of 0.01M alkali metal chlorides at pH 5.2. Guar gum acts as a flocculant towards quartz particles. The flocculation of quartz by guar gum in distilled water is rather weak. In the presence of all the salts even traces of the polysaccharide produce supernatants with the clarity of pure water. As the guar gum concentration increases, the results again tend to fall on two curves; one for $\text{Li}^+$ and $\text{Na}^+$, and one for $\text{Cs}^+$ and $\text{K}^+$. In 0.01M sodium and lithium chlorides, the turbidity of the system can easily be brought back to that of the quartz-distilled water system, while
much higher concentrations of guar gum are needed to sterically redisperse the quartz particles in the presence of cesium and potassium.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 3.15** Turbidity of the guar-quartz system in the presence of 0.01N electrolytes at natural pH.

Flocculation of quartz by guar gum is still possible even at pH 10 (Figure 3.16). The data again fall on two curves but maximum flocculation (lowest turbidity) in the presence of sodium and lithium is significantly weaker compared to cesium and potassium. At pH 10 increasing guar gum concentrations more readily redisperse the system than at pH 5.2 but full redispersion again appears to be very difficult in the presence of potassium and cesium. The restabilization of the system at pH 10 seem to be aided by stronger electrostatic repulsion between the particles.

As shown in Figure 3.17, the system is destabilized towards aggregation even further in 0.1M electrolytes, and full redispersion of quartz is impossible in the studied guar gum concentration range. The turbidity values for Cs and K are very low and it is difficult to conclude whether the data fall on the same curve, but there are some measurable differences between sodium and lithium cations.
**Figure 3.16** Turbidity of the guar gum-quartz system in the presence of 0.01M electrolytes at pH 10.

**Figure 3.17** Turbidity of the guar gum-quartz system in the presence of 0.1M electrolytes at natural pH.
CHAPTER 4 Discussion

The affinity of alkali metal cations towards silica surfaces generally follows the Hofmeister series ($\text{Li}^+\text{, Na}^+, \text{K}^+, \text{Cs}^+$) with cesium cations adsorbing in greater quantities than lithium (Tadros and Lyklema, 1968; Sonnefeld et al., 1995). This trend also manifests itself in the zeta potential results for the quartz sample (Figure 3.1 and 3.2) with cesium producing the least negative zeta potentials. Such enhanced adsorption for a series of ions of the same charge but of a different size is typically interpreted in terms of some sort of poorly defined, non-electrostatic “specific interaction” (Tadros and Lyklema, 1968; James and Healy, 1972; Lyklema, 2003) between the surface and the ions.

Tadros and Lyklema (1968) and Lyklema (1968) postulated that the observed differences in the adsorption densities of alkali metal cations at the silica-solution interface were largely determined by the ability of the ions to penetrate a porous, gel-like surface layer present around the silica particles. Thus, less hydrated, effectively smaller cesium and potassium cations exhibit higher affinities towards such a surface compared to larger strongly hydrated lithium and sodium ions.

Vermeulen and Cantwell (1995) reported similar diffusion effects for sodium ions adsorbing on a fused silica-type of surface. The slow diffusion of sodium manifested itself by a drift of the zeta potential towards an “equilibrium” value. Interestingly, Tadros and Lyklema stated that conditioning times between 30 and 90 minutes were necessary to achieve constant electromotive force readings during potentiometric titrations of silica suspensions in the presence of alkali metal chlorides (Tadros and Lyklema, 1968). Long conditioning times were also required in electroosmotic studies of the silica-solution interface (Huang et al., 1993).

Even though the quartz sample used in this study was microporous (Figure 2.2), no significant effect of prolonged conditioning with the electrolytes, over a period of 24 hrs, on the measured zeta potentials was observed suggesting that ion diffusion effects
were not pronounced in the studied system. It should also be pointed out that crystalline minerals are less soluble than amorphous ones so the formation of a “gel layer” is less likely to occur on quartz than on amorphous silica.

It is interesting to note that even though the four cations adsorb in different quantities on quartz, as seen from the zeta potential results, only two sets of data are consistently observed in guar gum adsorption; those in the presence of Cs and K, and those for Li and Na. The same can be said about the flocculation of quartz. As expected, in the absence of guar gum the coagulating power of the background cations towards quartz increases in the order \( \text{Li}^+ < \text{Na}^+ < \text{K}^+ < \text{Cs}^+ \) and this trend correlates well with the decreasing magnitude of the zeta potentials (Figure 3.1). The zeta potentials of guar-coated quartz particles are also different in the presence of the four cations, even under the conditions of surface saturation by the polymer, and yet the stability results do not follow four different curves. Although hydrogen bonding is weaker than electrostatic repulsion, it seems that, regardless of the type of the background electrolyte, hydrogen bonds between the quartz surface and the polysaccharide are sufficiently numerous to overcome electrostatic repulsive forces between the negatively charged quartz particles and to cause bridging flocculation. Therefore, the stability of the quartz-guar gum system correlates much better with the adsorption density of the polymer rather than with the magnitude of electrostatic repulsion. The fact that the adsorption data fall on two curves suggests that there is a threshold “value” of the structure-breaking capabilities of the alkali metal cations for disrupting the interfacial water and enhancing guar gum adsorption. Following the arguments developed by James and Healy (1972), this critical transition should be related to the hydration energy of the cations.

The results also suggest that guar gum adsorption does not interfere with the charging mechanism of the quartz surface and the adsorbed macromolecules simply move the shear plane farther away from the mineral surface thus decreasing the magnitude of the zeta potential.
Flocculants are most efficient at adsorption densities corresponding only to a fraction of the complete surface coverage (Kitchener, 1972). This agrees well with the turbidity results that show that quartz flocculation is most pronounced at very low initial guar gum concentrations. At higher polymer adsorption densities, steric stabilization takes place and brings about redispersion of the quartz particles. As the guar gum concentration increases, the conditions for the onset of steric stabilization, i.e. surface saturation by the polymer, are met first in the presence of sodium and lithium. Significantly higher concentrations of the polysaccharide are necessary to reach the saturation level for cesium and potassium and to induce steric effects. As the initial concentration of guar gum gradually increases, there is a critical guar gum dosage at which the quartz surface becomes saturated by the polymer in the presence of Li and Na, but the adsorption density in the presence of K and Cs at this critical initial dosage is still far from saturation. In other words, at such a transition concentration, steric effects start redispersing the quartz suspensions in the presence of Li and Na, but flocculation will still proceed in the presence of K and Cs until the saturation level is reached at higher guar gum dosages. It is not the absolute adsorption density that determines the onset of steric stabilization, but rather a relative/fractional coverage of the surface. These observations generally explain well the fact that the stability of the guar gum-quartz system at a given guar gum concentration is always better in the presence of sodium and lithium than in the presence of cesium and potassium.

Based on these results, the following mechanism of guar-quartz interactions is suggested. Guar gum adsorption on quartz should be viewed as competing with the adsorption of water molecules since the same adsorption sites are most likely involved in both processes if hydrogen bonding is assumed to be the driving mechanism. When a water-structure-making cation approaches the negatively charged quartz surface its presence does not change the interfacial water structure and therefore does not affect the “competition equilibrium” – the same amount of the polysaccharide adsorbs in water as in a structure maker solution. In this context, it is noteworthy that the hydrogen cation is also a water-structure maker.
In contrast, structure-breaking regions around potassium and cesium ions, combined with higher adsorption of these ions, produce areas of disturbed water layers on the quartz surface thus allowing guar gum to attach itself to the now more accessible surface silanol groups. Both the hydroxyl groups of the polysaccharide and the surface silanol groups on quartz are hydrated in aqueous solutions. For a hydrogen bond to form between the polymer and the surface, the hydration water must somehow be removed. The postulate that a structure breaking cation can cause such an effect and facilitate adsorption seems to be in-line with this type of reasoning.

The proposed interpretation agrees well with the recent observation that structure-breaking ions in concentrated brines can displace interfacial water molecules from a hydrophilic solid surface to such an extent that the surface becomes slightly hydrophobic (Hancer, 2001).

It is noteworthy that none of the studies referenced in the literature review section provide any discussion or explanation as to which polysaccharides are “allowed” to break through the tight layer of water molecules on the mineral surface and form all the different types of direct bonds with the surface. In other words, the presence of the interfacial water and the effect of structure-making or breaking capabilities of background electrolytes (usually sodium or potassium salts) on polysaccharide adsorption have so far been completely ignored.

There are no systematic data available on interactions between guar gum and alkali metal cations in dilute electrolyte solutions. Recent viscosity measurements for a number of modified guar gums indicate that guar gum molecules are not affected by potassium nitrate concentrations up to 0.1M (Shortridge et al., 2000). Some limited results obtained in concentrated electrolytes suggest that guar gum is extremely stable at high ionic strengths (~3M salts) in terms of its solubility and ability to maintain high viscosity (Pawlik and Laskowski, 2004; Maier et al., 1993) indicating that the conformation of the polymer in solution does not change with electrolyte additions. Gittings et al. (2001) found that the fractal dimension of guar gum changed very little in
1M NaCl compared to that in distilled water. Such observations typically mean that polymer-cation interactions are not strong even in concentrated salt solutions. On the other hand, Napper found that aggregation of a sterically stabilized dispersion (polyvinyl acetate stabilized by polyethylene oxide) could be induced in 2-molar alkali metal chloride solutions at higher temperatures (50-90 °C) (Napper, 1970). This type of coagulation is caused by changes in the "goodness" of the solvent resulting from increasing polymer-polymer interactions and diminishing polymer-solvent interactions in the presence of electrolytes. The coagulating power of alkali metal cations in destabilizing such systems was opposite to what one would expect if the simple "salting-out" mechanism was assumed, i.e. lithium cation, the most hydrated ion in the series, was the weakest coagulant (Napper, 1970). Napper attributed the observed behavior of the ions to their water structure-making or breaking capabilities, but also indicated that such a response is probably very system-specific.

In this work, it was assumed that polymer-alkali metal ion interactions were negligible in the overall phenomenon, at least at the electrolyte concentration levels and temperatures studied. In this respect it should also be pointed out that, due to electrostatic attraction between the negatively charged quartz surface and cations in solution, the concentration of the cations at the negatively charged quartz surface is much higher than in the bulk solution. Therefore, the effect of the alkali metal cations on the interfacial water is much more significant than any effects related to the hydration of guar gum.

The "indifferent character" of alkali metal cations should perhaps be revisited. While low concentrations of sodium and lithium affect the quartz-guar gum system only by compressing the electrical double layer around the quartz particles, potassium and cesium additionally disturb the structure of the interfacial water and thus affect the adsorption of the polymer. From this point of view, the apparent effect of pH is noteworthy as it may lead to erroneous conclusions if the effect of the cation is not "decoupled" from the true effect of the surface charge. This type of pH and ionic strength-dependent adsorption is typically associated with adsorption of ionic polymers.
In solutions containing both structure-making and structure-breaking ions, it is the structure breaker that controls the response of the system (Figures 3.12 and 3.13). Such mixed solutions are very common in mineral processing. The usual assumption that the role of the electrolytes is just to provide constant ionic strength may not be sufficient to fully understand the underlying mechanisms. As the data show, a sodium chloride concentration of 0.01M does not produce any effect of ionic strength on guar gum adsorption (with respect to distilled water), while the same concentration of KCl does (Figure 3.8).

In more concentrated electrolyte solutions (~0.1M) additional factors should also be considered, e.g. competitive hydration between the ions and the polymer, solvency effects, and the conformation of guar gum molecules. Clearly, additional work is needed to elucidate the role of such effects in guar gum adsorption.

As reported in many studies, guar gum exhibits high-affinity type of adsorption on various mineral surfaces which is quite inconsistent with the assumed weak hydrogen bonding interactions between the polymer and the mineral surfaces. As demonstrated in this study, very high affinity adsorption isotherms were also obtained for the quartz sample. According to the acid-base interaction model of polysaccharide adsorption proposed by Liu et al. (2000), guar gum should not adsorb on the acidic quartz surface in such high quantities. The relatively high molecular weight of the polysaccharide, and hence increased chemical affinity compared to shorter chain polymers (e.g. dextrin), can account to some extent for such adsorption behavior. The randomness of substitution of the polymannose main chain by galactose is never perfect. The least substituted sections of the guar molecules show the greatest tendency to associate and precipitate, while the more densely substituted regions serve to solubilize the polymer chain (Dea, 1993). It is reasonable to assume that in a natural guar gum solution a fraction of the macromolecules form intermolecular entanglements which could adsorb on the solid surface. This “aggregate” adsorption of entire entanglements would well explain the high adsorption densities. Unfortunately, this type of particulate effects in polysaccharide adsorption have never been adequately studied.
As indicated earlier, the adsorption of dextrin on quartz is very low and in contrast to guar gum the effect of the background electrolyte is not so obvious. Thus the described effect of alkali metal cations on guar gum adsorption is also related to the molecular weight of adsorbing polysaccharides. A similar effect of alkali metal chlorides on the adsorption of a high molecular weight (MW = 5 million) dextran on quartz was also observed (see Appendix 4). The results for dextrin also strongly suggest that the quartz surface was free from metal impurities which would otherwise "activate" the surface resulting in much higher dextrin adsorption densities than the observed ones.
CHAPTER 5 Conclusions

In dilute electrolyte solutions, guar gum adsorption on quartz strongly depends on the water structure-making or breaking properties of the background cations. The adsorption density of the polymer is independent of the pH of the quartz suspensions, but misleading trends may be observed if the effect of the base cation is not separated from the true effect of the surface charge.

Since the adsorption density of guar gum does not change with the surface charge (pH) on the quartz surface, and assuming that the quartz surface is free from impurities, it is postulated that hydrogen bonding is the main adsorption mechanism.

An additional phenomenon in guar gum-quartz interactions is suggested in which water structure-breaking cations disturb the interfacial water layer allowing guar gum to more densely adsorb on the exposed/dehydrated surface silanol groups. Structure-making cations better fit into the interfacial water layer and do not affect the guar gum-water competition for the polar surface sites.

The results strongly suggest that simple alkali metal chlorides are not totally indifferent, and their water-structure making/breaking capabilities should be taken into account to better understand the behavior of a model system such as quartz-guar gum.

Differences in the adsorption densities of guar gum correlate with the stability of quartz suspensions. The flocculation of quartz is much more efficient in solutions of structure breaking ions. At the same time, steric stabilization is much more difficult in the presence of such electrolytes.
RECOMMENDATIONS:

The results of this work show that although NaCl and LiCl did not affect adsorption of guar gum at low concentration (0.01M), they did increase adsorption of guar gum when the salt concentration was high enough (0.1M). However, the mechanism behind this phenomenon is not fully understood. It is possible that there is a transition electrolyte concentration at which solvency effects start to play a dominant role. In concentrated salt solutions, the conformation and hydration of guar gum can be affected significantly, which would influence the adsorption behavior of guar gum. Therefore, additional adsorption studies in concentrated salt solutions need to be carried out to investigate the role of solvency effects in greater detail.

The effect of alkali metal cations also seems to be related to the molecular weight of polymers. For a high molecular weight polymer, i.e. guar gum, its adsorption on quartz increased significantly in the presence of KCl and CsCl, while for a low molecular weight polymer, i.e. dextrin, its adsorption on quartz was not affected by KCl so significantly. Thus it seems that the higher the molecular weight, the more significant the effect of alkali metal cations. Ideally, a series of guar gums of different molecular weights should be used to research this effect. Other polymers adsorbing through hydrogen bonding could also be investigated.

The solids concentration in the reported tests was relatively high. The vast majority of adsorption studies reported in technical literature were performed at much lower solids contents. It is possible that some volume exclusion effects play a role in the adsorption process – guar gum always exhibits a distribution of molecular weights and possibly only certain molecular weight fractions actually adsorb on the mineral surfaces at higher solids contents. Polymer diffusion effects (mixing conditions) are also known to be significant in more concentrated suspensions. A systematic study on the influence of the solids content on the adsorption process is therefore recommended.
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APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Calibration Curve for Guar Gum

![Graph of Calibration Curve for Guar Gum]
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Appendix 2. Calibration Curve for Dextrin
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Appendix 3. Calibration Curve for Dextran.
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Appendix 5. Data of Adsorption Measurements

Table 1

Numerical data for Figure 3.8 titled “Adsorption isotherms of guar gum on quartz at pH 5.2 and alkali metal chloride concentration of 0.01M. A set of adsorption results for a dextrin sample is also included”. Adsorption data for dextrin are in Table 1a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</th>
<th>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2681</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.3039</td>
<td>109.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.3100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.3246</td>
<td>128.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.3274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>0.3449</td>
<td>149.3</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>0.3452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162.5</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>0.3545</td>
<td>163.0</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>0.3475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179.9</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>0.3735</td>
<td>179.4</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>0.3536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>0.3776</td>
<td>193.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>0.3647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01M LiCl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distilled Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1129</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.1554</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.1527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.1682</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.1711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>0.1728</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>0.1833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>0.1821</td>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>0.1935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.8</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>0.1878</td>
<td>132.1</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>0.2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>0.1918</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>0.2029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01M NaCl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.1776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>0.1776</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>0.1835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>0.2034</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>0.2034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>0.2101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1a
Adsorption data for dextrin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distilled Water</th>
<th>0.01M KCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial</strong></td>
<td><strong>Equilibrium</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.9</td>
<td>95.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125.8</td>
<td>111.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.1M NaCl</th>
<th>0.1M KCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial</strong></td>
<td><strong>Equilibrium</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>75.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.01M NaCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Numerical data for Figure 3.9 titled "Effect of ionic strength on the adsorption of guar gum at pH 5.2".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.1 M CsCl</th>
<th></th>
<th>0.1 M LiCl</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</td>
<td>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2708</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3250</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.3510</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.6</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.3674</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165.2</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>0.3798</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182.7</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>0.3994</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203.5</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>0.4009</td>
<td>106.7</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 M KCl</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 M NaCl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</td>
<td>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2663</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3221</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.3673</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.3967</td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>0.4139</td>
<td>126.6</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181.7</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>0.4308</td>
<td>144.7</td>
<td>55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202.2</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>0.4395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217.2</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>0.4457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Numerical data for Figure 3.10 titled “Effect of pH on guar gum adsorption on quartz. pH adjustments were made with various hydroxides or with hydrochloric acid. Initial guar gum concentration 90 mg/L”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>KOH Initial Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</th>
<th>NaOH Initial Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>0.1863</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>0.1972</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.2069</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.2157</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.2451</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2622</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2650</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LiOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CsOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>0.1900</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>0.1911</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>0.1876</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>0.1860</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>0.1846</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>0.1867</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4

Numerical data for Figure 3.11 titled “Adsorption of guar gum as a function of pH in the presence of 0.01 M background electrolytes. Initial guar gum concentration 90 mg/L”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.01M KCl+KOH or HCl</th>
<th>0.01M CsCl+CsOH or HCl</th>
<th>0.01M NaCl+NaOH or HCl</th>
<th>0.01M LiCl+LiOH or HCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</td>
<td>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01M KCl+KOH or HCl</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.2520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01M CsCl+CsOH or HCl</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01M NaCl+NaOH or HCl</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01M LiCl+LiOH or HCl</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5

Numerical data for Figure 3.12 titled "Co-effect of background electrolyte and added base on the adsorption of guar gum. Initial guar gum concentration 90 mg/L".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>0.1753</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>0.1668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>0.1842</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>0.1747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>0.1866</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>0.1761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>0.1893</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>0.1761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.2088</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>0.2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.2351</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>0.2145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.2593</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Initial Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Adsorbed Amount (mg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6

Numerical data for Figure 3.13 titled “Co-effect of KCl and NaCl on the adsorption of guar gum at natural pH (5.2). Ionic strength 0.01M. Initial guar gum concentration 120 mg/L”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction of KCl in mixture with NaCl</th>
<th>Equilibrium Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Amount Adsorbed (mg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>0.2036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.2855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>0.3089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.3311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>