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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to present a survey of some important results in the classification of differentiable manifolds. We begin with the Poincare conjecture and its partial solution using the h -cobordism theorem. We review next the work of Kervaire and Milnor, concerned with the diffeomorphism classes of homotopy spheres. The surgery problem developed from their work, and we present its solution in the simply-connected case, by Browder. This solution amounts to the surgery invariant theorem, the fundamental surgery theorem and associated results. We end our discussion with the plumbing theorem, and several important classification theorems of Browder, Novikov and Wall.
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Chapter I. The Poincaré Conjecture.
§1. The Poincaré Conjecture and the h -cobordism Theorem.

The original form of the Poincare conjecture was the following: 1.1 If $M$ is a closed 3-manifold such that $H_{*}(M) \approx H_{*}\left(S^{3}\right)$, then $M \cong S^{3}$.

This was shown to be false, through the following counter-example: The binary icosahedral group $I^{*}$ is defined by the generators $A, B$, and $C$, and relations $A^{3}=B^{2}=C^{5}=A B C$ between them. $I^{*}$ is perfect, and is a subgroup of $S^{3}$. Define a closed 3 -manifold $M=S^{3} / I^{*}$. Then $\pi_{1}(M)=I^{*}$, and $H_{1}(M)=\pi_{1}(M) a b=I_{a b}^{*}=1$. By Poincaré duality, $H_{2}(M)=1$. Thus, $H_{*}(M)=H_{*}\left(S^{3}\right)$, but $M$ is not homeomorphic to $S^{3}$, because $\pi_{1}(M)=I^{*}$, whereas $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}\right)=1$.

The failure of the original conjecture led to an amended formulation: 1.2 If $M$ is a closed, simply-connected 3 -manifold, then $M \approx S^{3}$.

Note that, by the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem, the Poincare duality theorem, and the universal coëfficient theorem, the hypothesis that $M$ is simply-connected implies that in fact $\pi_{*}(M) \cong \pi_{*}\left(\hat{S}^{3}\right)$, and hence that $M \simeq S^{3}$.

Although there have been partial results concerning this conjecture, it has not yet been completely settled.

The Poincare conjecture can be extended to dimensions other than 3: 1.3 If $M$ is a closed $n$-manifold which is homotopically equivalent to $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$, it is homeomorphic to $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

This statement has been proved for $\mathrm{n} \neq 3,4$. In fact, 1.3 can be stated in an apparently weaker form which is, by the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem, actually equivalent to 1.3:
1.4 If $M$ is a closed, simply-connected n-manifold with the
integral homology of $S^{n}$, then $M$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n}$.
We will prove the generalized Poincaré conjecture in dimensions greater than 4 by means of the $h$-cobordism theorem.

A smooth manifold triad is defined to be a triple ( $\mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ), where $W$ is a compact, smooth manifold, and the boundary of $W$ is the disjoint union of two open and closed submanifolds $V$ and $V^{\prime}$.
1.5 Theorem (h-cobordism theorem) : Suppose the triad ( $\mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ) has
the properties: (1) $W, V$, and $V^{\prime}$ are simply-connected,
(2) $H_{t}(W, V)=0$,
(3) $\operatorname{dim} W=n \geq 6$.

Then $W$ is diffeomorphic to $V \times[0,1]$.

The following proposition is central to the proof of the generalized conjecture:
1.6 Proposition: Suppose $W$ is a compact simply-connected smooth n-manifold, $n \geq 6$, with a simply-connected boundary $V$. Then the following four assertions are equivalent:
(1) $W$ is diffeomorphic to $D^{n}$.
(2) $W$ is homeomorphic to $D^{n}$.
(3) $W$ is contractible.
(4) $W$ has the integral homology of a point.

Proof: It is clear that $(1) \rightarrow(2) \rightarrow(3) \rightarrow(4)$, so that we need only prove $(4) \rightarrow(1) . \quad$ If $D_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ is a smooth $n$-disc imbedded in intW, then ( $W \backslash i n t D_{0}, \partial D_{0}, V$ ) satisfies the conditions of the h-cobordism theorem. In particular, by excision $H_{*}\left(W \backslash i n t D_{0}, \partial D_{0}\right) \xlongequal{\cong} H_{\star}\left(W, D_{0}\right)=0$.

Since the cobordism $(W ; \phi, V)$ is the composition of $\left(\mathrm{D}_{0} ; \phi, \partial \mathrm{D}_{0}\right)$ with a product cobordism $\left(W \backslash i n t D_{0} ; \partial D_{0}, V\right), W$ is homeomorphic to $D_{0}$. A theorem of Milnor shows that the composition preserves differentiable structures, so that $W$ is in fact diffeomorphic to $D_{0}$. QED

We are now ready to prove the generalized conjecture. Proof of 1.4: Case 1: $n>5$. If $D_{0} \subseteq M$ is a smooth $n$-disc, then $M \backslash i n t D_{0}$ satisfies the hypothesis of 1.6. In particular, $H_{i}\left(M \backslash i n t D_{0}\right) \cong H^{n-i}\left(M \backslash i n t D_{0}, \partial D_{0}\right) \quad$ by Poincaré duality $\cong H^{n-i}\left(M, D_{0}\right) \quad$ by excision $\cong \begin{cases}0 \text { if } i>0 & \quad \text { by the exact cohomology sequence. } \\ \mathbb{Z} \text { if } i=0 & \end{cases}$

Consequently, $M=\left(M \backslash i n t D_{0}\right) \cup D_{0}$ is diffeomorphic to a union of two copies $\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of the n -disc with the boundaries identified under a diffeomorphism $h: \partial D_{1}^{n} \rightarrow \partial D_{2}^{n}$. Such a manifold is called a twisted sphere. The proof is completed by showing that any twisted sphere $M=D_{1}^{n} U_{h} D_{2}^{n}$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n}$. Let $g_{1}: D_{1}^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be an embedding onto the southern hemisphere of $S^{n} \subseteq R^{n+1}$. (I.e. the set $\left\{x \mid\|x\|=1, x_{n+1} \leq 0\right\}$.) Each point of $\tilde{D}_{2}^{n}$ may be written $t v, 0 \leq t \leq 1, v \in \partial D_{2}^{n}$. Define $g: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ by $g(u)=g_{1}(u)$ for $u \in D_{1}^{n}$, $g(t v)=\sin \left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) g_{1}\left(h^{-1}(v)\right)+\cos \left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) e_{n+1}$, where $e_{n+1}=(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in R^{n+1}$, for all points $t v \in D_{2}^{n}$. Then $g$ is a well-defined injective continuous map onto $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$, and is hence a homeomorphism. This completes the proof for case 1 .

Case 2: $n=5$. We use here:
1.7 Theorem: Suppose $M^{n}$ is a closed, simply-connected smooth manifold with the homology of $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$. Then if $\mathrm{n}=4,5$, or 6 , M bounds a smooth, compact, contractible manifold.

Thus, 1.7 and 1.6 imply tha"t $M^{5 \times 6}$ bounds a manifold homeomorphic to $D^{6}$, so that $M^{5}$ is homeomorphic to $S^{5}$.

Remark: The generalized conjecture holds in dimensions 1 and 2 as well. The proof is trivial, because of the well-known classification of 1- and 2-manifolds.

By using 1.7 and 1.6 one can show that in fact a simply-connected homology $n$-sphere is diffeomorphic to $S^{n}$, for $n=5,6$. However, Milnor has proved that this is not true for $n=7$. The next section will be devoted to an examination of this result.
§2. Exotic Differential Structures on the 7-Sphere.

The invariant $\lambda\left(M^{7}\right)$

For every closed oriented smooth 7-manifold satisfying the hypothesis 2.1

$$
H^{3}(M)=H^{4}(M)=0
$$

we will define a residue class $\lambda(M)$ modulo 7. According to Thom every closed smooth 7 -manifold $M$ is the boundary of a smooth 8-manifold,B. The invariant $\lambda(M)$ will be defined as a function of the index $\tau$ and the Pontrjagin class $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{B}^{8}$.

If $\mu \in \mathrm{H}_{7}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{M}^{7}\right)$ is the distinguished generator, then an orientation $v \in H_{8}\left(B^{8}, M^{7}\right)$ is determined by the relationship $\partial v=\mu$. Define a quadratic form over the group $H^{4}\left(B^{8}, M^{7}\right) /$ torsion by the formula $\alpha \rightarrow\left\langle\nu, \alpha^{2}>\right.$. Let $\tau\left(B^{8}\right)$ be the index of this form (the number of positive terms minus the number of negative terms when the form is diagonalized over R).

Let $p_{1} \in H^{4}\left(B^{8}\right)$ be the first Pontrjagin class of the tangent bundle of $\mathrm{B}^{8}$. (For the definition of Pontrjagin classes, see [Milnor 1974].) The hypothesis 2.1 (together with the long cohomology sequence of the pair $\left(B^{8}, M^{7}\right)$ ) implies that the inclusion homomorphism $1: H^{4}\left(B^{8}, M^{7}\right) \rightarrow H^{4}\left(B^{8}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, we can define a 'Pontrjagin number' $\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{B}^{8}\right)=\left\langle\nu,\left(\mathrm{r}^{-1} \mathrm{p}_{1}\right)^{2}>\right.$.
2.2 Theorem: The residue class of $2 q\left(B^{8}\right)-\tau\left(B^{8}\right)$ modulo 7 does not depend on the choice of the manifold $B^{8}$.

Define $\lambda\left(M^{7}\right)$ as this residue class. As an immediate consequence, we have:
2.3 Corollary: If $\lambda\left(M^{7}\right) \neq 0$ then $M$ is not the boundary of an 8 -manifold with fourth Betti number zero.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: Let $B_{1}^{1}, B_{2}^{8}$ be manifolds both having boundary $M^{7}$. (We may assume they are disjoint.) Then $\mathrm{C}^{8}=\mathrm{B}_{1}^{8} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{M}^{7}} \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{8}$ is a closed 8-manifold which possesses a differentiable structure compatible with that of $B_{1}^{8}$ and $B_{2}^{8}$. Choose that orientation $v$ for $\dot{e}^{8}$. which is consistent with the orientation $\nu_{1}$ of $B_{1}^{8}$ (and therefore consistent with $-\nu_{2}$ ).
Let $\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right)$ denote the Pontrjagin number $\left\langle\nu, \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right)\right\rangle$.
According to [Thom 1954] we have

$$
\tau\left(C^{8}\right)=\left\langle\nu, \frac{1}{45}\left(7 p_{2}\left(C^{8}\right)-p_{1}^{2}\left(C^{8}\right)\right\rangle,\right.
$$

and therefore

$$
45 \tau\left(C^{8}\right)+q\left(C^{8}\right)=7<\nu, P_{2}\left(C^{8}\right)>\equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 7)
$$

This implies
(1)

$$
2 q\left(C^{8}\right)-\tau\left(C^{8}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 7)
$$

2.4 Lemma: Under the above conditions we have
(2)
(3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(C^{8}\right)=\tau\left(B_{1}^{8}\right)-\tau\left(B_{2}^{8}\right), \text { and } \\
& q\left(C^{8}\right)=q\left(B_{1}^{8}\right)-q\left(B_{2}^{8}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Formulae (1), (2), and (3) clearly imply that

$$
2 \mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}^{8}\right)-\tau\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}^{8}\right) \equiv 2 \mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}^{8}\right)-\tau\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}^{8}\right), \quad(\bmod 7)
$$

which is just the statement of the theorem.
Proof of Lemma 2.4: Consider the diagram:

Note that for $\mathrm{n}=4$ these homomorphisms are all isomorphisms.

If $\alpha=j h^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1} \oplus \alpha_{2}\right) \in H^{4}(C)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nu, \alpha^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\nu, j h^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} \oplus \alpha_{2}^{2}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\nu_{1} \oplus\left(-v_{2}\right), \alpha_{1}^{2} \oplus \alpha_{2}^{2}>=\left\langle\nu_{1}, \alpha_{1}^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle\nu_{2}, \alpha_{2}^{2}\right\rangle\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the quadratic form of $C$ is the 'direct sum' of the quadratic form: of $B_{1}$ and the negative of the quadratic form of $B_{2}$. This clearly implies formula (2).

Define $\alpha_{1}=i_{1}^{-1} p_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $\alpha_{2}=i_{2}^{-1} p_{1}\left(B_{2}\right)$. Then the relation

$$
\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}(\mathrm{C})\right)=\mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)
$$

implies that $j h^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1} \oplus \alpha_{2}\right)=p_{1}$ (C). The computation (4) now shows that

$$
\left\langle v, p_{1}^{2}(C)\right\rangle=\left\langle v_{1}, \alpha_{1}^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle v_{2}, \alpha_{2}^{2}\right\rangle,
$$

which is just formula (3). This completes the proof of the lemma and of the theorem.

The following property of the invariant $\lambda$ is clear:
2.5 Lemma: If the orientation of $M$ is reversed, then $\lambda(M)$ is multiplied by -1 .

As a consequence we have:
2.6 Corollary: If $\lambda\left(M^{7}\right) \neq 0$ then $M^{7}$.possesses no orientation-reversing diffeomorphism onto itself.

## A partial characterisation of the $n$-sphere

Consider the following hypothesis concerning a closed manifold $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}}$ : 2.7 There exists a differentiable function $f: M \rightarrow R$ having only two critical points $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}$. Furthermore, these critical points are non-degenerate.
(That is, if $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ are local coördinates in a neighbourhood of $x_{0}$ (or $x_{1}$ ) then the matrix $\left(\partial^{2} f / \partial u_{i} \partial u_{j}\right.$ ) is nonsingular at $x_{0}$ (or $x_{1}$ )). 2.8 Theorem: If $M^{n}$ satisfies hypothesis 2.7 then there exists a homeomorphism of $M$ onto $S^{n}$ which is a diffeomorphism except possibly
at a single point.
Proof: This result is entirely due to [Reeb 1952].
The proof will be based on the orthogonal trajectories of the manifolds $f=$ constant. Normalise the function so that $f\left(x_{0}\right)=0, f\left(x_{1}\right)=1$. According to [Morse 1925, Lemma 4] there exist local coördinates $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ in a neighbourhood $V^{\prime} x_{0}$ so that $f(x)=v_{1}+\ldots+v_{n}$ for $x \in V$. (Morse assumes that f is of class $\mathrm{C}^{3}$, and constructs coordinates of class $C^{1}$, but the same proof works in the $C^{\infty}$ case.) The expression $d s^{2}=d v_{1}^{2}+\ldots+d_{n}^{2}$ defines a Riemannian metric in the neighbourhood $V$. Choose a differentiable Riemannian metric for $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}}$ which coïncices with this one in some neighbourhood $V^{\prime}$ of $x_{0}$. (This is possible by [Steenrod 1951, 6.7 and 12.2].) Now the gradient of $f$ can be considered as a contravariant vector field.

Following Morse we consider the differential equation

$$
\frac{d x}{d t}=\operatorname{grad} f /|\operatorname{grad} f|^{2}
$$

In the neighbourhood $V^{\prime}$ this equation has solutions

$$
\left(v_{1}(t), \ldots, v_{n}(t)\right)=\left(a_{1} \sqrt{t}, \ldots, a_{n} \sqrt{t}\right) \quad \text { for } 0 \leq t<\varepsilon \text {, }
$$

where $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$ is any $n$-tuple with $\sum \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=1$. These can be extended uniquely to solutions $x_{a}(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Note that these solutions satisfy the identity $f\left(x_{a}(t)\right)=t$.

Map the interior of the unit sphere of $R^{\mathrm{n}}$ into $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}}$ by the map

$$
\left(a_{1} \sqrt{t}, \ldots, a_{n} \sqrt{t}\right) \rightarrow x_{a}(t) .
$$

It is easily verified that this defines a diffeomorphism of the open $n$-cell onto $M \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}$. The assertion of the theorem now follows. Given any diffeomorphism $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{n}-1}$, an n -manifold can be obtained as follows.
2.9 Construction: Let $M^{n}(g)$ be the manifold obtained from two copies of $R^{\mathrm{n}}$ by matching the subsets $R^{\mathrm{n}} \backslash\{0\}$ under the diffeomorphism

$$
u \rightarrow v=\frac{1}{|u|^{\prime}} g\left(\frac{u}{|u|}\right) .
$$

(Such a manifold is clearly homeomorphic to $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$. If g is the identity map, then $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{g})$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$.)
2.10 Corollary: A manifold $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}}$ can be obtained by the construction 2.9 if and only if it satisfies the hypothesis 2.7 .

Proof: If $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{g})$ is obtained by the construction 2.9 , then the function

$$
F(x)=\frac{|u|^{2}}{\left(1+|u|^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{\left(1+|v|^{2}\right)}
$$

will satisfy the hypothesis 2.7 . The converse can be estabiished by a slight modification of the proof of theorem 2.8.

## Examples of 7-manifolds

Consider 3 -sphere bundles over the 4 -sphere, with the rotation group $\operatorname{SO}(4)$ as structural group. The equivalence classes of such bundles are in one-to-one correspondence (by [Steenrod, 1951, §18]) with the elements of the group $\pi_{3}(S O(4)) \cong Z \oplus Z$. A specific isomorphism between the groups is obtained as follows. For each $(h, j) \in Z \oplus Z$, let $f_{h j}: S \rightarrow S O(4)$ be defined by $f_{h j}(u) \cdot v=u^{h} \cdot v \cdot u^{j}$, for $v \in R$. (Quaternion multiplication is understood on the right of the equation.)

Let $I$ be the standard generator for $H^{4}\left(S^{4}\right)$. Let $\xi_{h j}$ be the sphere bundle corresponding to $\left[f_{h j}\right] \in \pi_{3}(S O(4))$.
2.11 Lemma: The Pontrjagin class $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left(\xi_{\mathrm{hj}}\right)$ equals $\pm 2(\mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{j})_{\mathrm{l}}$.
(The proof will be given later. One can show that the characteristic class $\overline{\mathrm{c}}\left(\xi_{\mathrm{hj}}\right)$ (see [Steenrod 1951]) is equal to $\left.(\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{j})_{\mathrm{l}}\right)$

For each odd integer $k$ let $M_{k}^{7}$ be the total space of the bundle $\xi_{h j}$, where $h$ and $j$ are determined by the equations $h+j=1, h-j=k$. This manifold has a natural differentiable structure and orientation, which will be described later.
2.12 Lemma: The invariant $\lambda\left(M_{k}^{7}\right)$ is the residue class modulo 7 of $k^{2}-1$.
2.13 Lemma: The manifold satisfies the hypothesis 2.7 .

Combining these we have:
2.14 Theorem: For $k^{2} \neq 1 \bmod 7$ the manifold $M_{k}^{7}$ is homeomorphic, but not diffeomorphic, to $S^{7}$.
(For $k= \pm 1$ the manifold $M_{k}^{7}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{7}$, but it is not known whether this is true for any other $k$ with $k^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 7$. )

Clearly any differentiable structure on $S^{7}$ can be extended throughout $R^{8} \backslash\{0\}$. However:
2.15 Corollary: There exists a differentiable structure on $\mathrm{S}^{7}$ which cannot be extended throughout $R^{8}$.

This follows immediately from the preceding assertions, together with coro11ary 2.3 .

Proof of Lemma 2.11: It is clear that the Pontrjagin class $P_{1}\left(\xi_{h j}\right)$ is a linear function of $h$ and $j$. Furthermore it is known to be independent of the orientation of the fibre. But if the orientation of $S^{3}$ is reversed, then $\xi_{h j}$ is replaced by $\xi_{-j,-h}$. This shows that $\mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\xi_{\mathrm{hj}}\right)$ is given by an expression of the form $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{j}) \mathrm{l}$. Here c is a constant which will be evaluated later.
Proof of Lemma 2.12: Associated with each 3-sphere bundle $M_{k}^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ there is a 4-cell bundle $\rho_{k}: B_{k}^{8} \rightarrow S^{4}$. The total space $B_{k}^{8}$ of this bundle is a differentiable manifold with boundary $M^{7}$. The cohomology group $H^{4}\left(B_{k}^{8}\right)$ is generated by the element $\alpha=\rho_{k}^{*}(\imath)$. Choose orientations $\mu, v$ for $M_{k}^{7}$ and $B_{k}^{8}$ so that $\left\langle\nu,\left(i^{-1}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=+1$. Then the index $\tau\left(B_{k}^{8}\right)$ will be 1 . The tangent bundle of $B_{k}^{8}$ is the Whitney sum of (1) the bundle of vectors tangent to the fibre, and (2) the bundle of vectors normal to the fibre. The first bundle (1) is induced (under $\rho_{k}$ ) from the bundle
$\xi_{h j}$, and therefore has Pontrjagin class $p_{1}=\rho_{k}^{*}(c(h-j) i)=c k \alpha$. The second is induced from the tangent bundle of $\mathrm{S}^{4}$, and therefore has first Pontrjagin class zero. Now by the Whitney product theorem:

$$
\mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}}^{8}\right)=\mathrm{ck} \alpha+0
$$

For the special case $k=1$ it is easily verified that $B_{1}^{8}$ is the quaternion projective plane $Q P^{2}$ with an 8 -cell removed. But the Pontrjagin class $\mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{QP}^{2}\right)$ is known to be twice a generator of $\mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)$. Therefore the constant $c$ must be $\pm 2$, which completes the proof of 2.11 . Now $q\left(B_{k}^{8}\right)=\left\langle\nu,\left(i^{-1}( \pm 2 k \alpha)\right)^{2}>=4 k^{2}\right.$, and $2 q-\tau=8 k^{2}-1 \equiv k^{2}-1 \quad(\bmod 7)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.12.

Proof of Lemma 2.13: As coordinate neighbourhoods in the base space $S^{4}$ take the complement of the north pole, and the complement of the south pole. These can be identified with the Euclidean space $R^{4}$ under stereographic projection. Then a point which corresponds to $u \in R^{4}$ under one projection will correspond to $u^{\prime}=\frac{u}{|u|^{2}}$ under the other.

The total space $M_{k}^{7}$ can now be obtained as follows (cf. [Steenrod 1951 §18]). Take two copies of $R^{4} \times S^{3}$ and identify the subsets $\left(R^{4} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times S^{3}$ by the diffeomorphism $\quad(u, v) \rightarrow\left(u, v^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{u}{|u|^{2}}, \cdots \frac{u^{h} v u^{j}}{|u|}\right)$, (using quaternion multiplication). This makes the differentiable structure of $M_{k}^{7}$ precise.

Replace the coorrdinates $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ by ( $\left.u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $u^{\prime \prime}=u^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$.
Consider the function $f: M_{k}^{7} \rightarrow R$. defined by $f(x)=\frac{R(v)}{\sqrt{1+\mid u^{2}} \sqrt{1+\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}}}$,
where $R(v)$ denotes the real part of the quaternion $v$. It is easily verified that $f$ has only two critical points (namely (u,v)=(0, $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{l})$ ) and that these are non-degenerate. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.13.
§3. Groups of Homotopy Spheres.

The following results about homotopy $n$-spheres are proved in [Kervaire, Milnor 1963]:
(1) The $h$-cobordism classes of homotopy $n$-spheres form an abelian group $\theta_{\mathrm{n}}$ under the connected sum operation.
(2) The h-cobordism classes of homotopy $n$-spheres which bound parallelisable manifolds form a subgroup $b P_{n+1}$ of $\theta_{n}$. (This will be proved below.)
(3) The quotient group $\theta_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{b} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the cokernel of the Hopf-Whitehead homomorphism $J_{n}$ (where $J_{n}: \pi_{n}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ ), and is finite.
(4) The group $b P_{n+1}$ is finite, for $n \neq 3$. (In particular, it is zero for $n$ even, and finite cyclic for $n$ odd, $n \neq 3$. )
(5) Thus, the group $\theta_{\mathrm{n}}$ of (h-cobordism classes of) homotopy n -spheres is finite, for $\mathrm{n} \neq 3$.

We recall from above that every homotopy $n$-sphere, $n \neq 3,4$, is homeomorphic to $S^{n}$. [Smale 1962] has shown that two homotopy $n$-spheres, $\mathrm{n} \neq 3,4$, are $h$-cobordant if and only if they are diffeomorphic. Thus (for $n \neq 3,4$ at least) the group $\theta_{n}$ can be described as the set of diffeomorphism classes of differentiable structures on $S^{n}$, and the last result above can be interpreted as stating that there are only finitely many essentially different such structures, for each $n, n \neq 3,4$.

We will now prove assertions (2) and (3) above.
Let $M$ be an s-parallelisable closed $n$-manifold. (I.e. ${ }^{\tau} M^{\oplus \varepsilon}{ }^{1}$ is trivial, where $\tau_{M}$ is the tangent bundle of $M$, and $\varepsilon^{l}$ is the trivial line bundle.) Choose an embedding $i: M \leftrightarrow S^{n+k}$, with $k>n+1$. Such an
embedding exists and is unique up to differentiable isotopy.
3.1 Lemma (Kervaire, Milnor): An n-dimensional submanifold of $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}$, $n<k$, is s-parallelisable if and only if its normal bundle is trivial.

Thus $\nu_{M}$ is trivial. Let $\phi$ be a trivialisation of $v_{M}$. Then the Pontrjagin-Thom construction yields a map $p(M, \phi): S^{n+k} \rightarrow S^{k}$. The homotopy class of $p(M, \phi)$ is a well-defined element of the stable homotopy group $\Pi_{n}=\pi_{n+k}\left(S^{k}\right)$. Allowing the trivialisation to vary, we obtain a set $p(M)=\{p(M, \phi)\} \subseteq I_{n}$.
3.2 Lemma: $p(M) \subseteq \Pi_{n}$ contains the zero of $\Pi_{n}$ if and only if $M$ bounds a parallelisable manifold.

Proof: <=. If $M=\partial W$ and $W$ is a parallelisable manifold, then, because of dimensional considerations, the embedding $i: M \leftrightarrow S^{n+k}$ can be extended to an embedding of $W$ into $D^{n+k+1}$, and $W$ will have trivial normal bundle. Choose a trivialisation $\psi$ of $\nu_{W}$ and let $\phi=\psi \mid M$. The Pontrjagin-Thom map $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{M}, \phi): \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{k}}$ extends over $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+1}$, and hence is null-homotopic.
$=>$ : If $p(M, \phi) \simeq 0$, we have a map $F: D^{n+k+1} \cong S^{n+k} \times[0,1] / S^{n+k} \times 1 \rightarrow S^{k}$ which satisfies $F \mid S^{n+k_{\times 0}}=p(M, \phi)$, and $F \mid S^{n+k_{\times l}}=\varepsilon_{*}$, the constant map. F can be made regular at * (the base point), relative to $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \times 0$, so we shall assume, without loss of generality, that it is. Then $\mathrm{F}^{-1}(*) \subseteq \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}+1}$ is a submanifold W , and $\phi$ can be extended to a trivialisation $\psi$ on $W$. By Lemma 3.1 above and the following lemma, $W$ is parallelisable.
3.3 Lemma: A connected manifold with non-vacuous boundary is s-parallelisable if and only if it is parallelisable. [Kervaire, Milnor] This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.
3.4 Lemma: If $M_{0}$ is $h$-cobordant to $M_{1}$, then $p\left(M_{0}\right)=p\left(M_{1}\right)$.

Proof: If $M_{0}+\left(-M_{1}\right)=\partial W$, choose an embedding of $W$ in $S^{n+k_{x}}[0,1]$ such that $M_{q} \rightarrow S^{n+k_{x q}}$ for $q=0,1$. Then a trivialisation $\phi_{q}$ of $\nu_{M_{q}}$ extends to a
trivialisation $\psi$ on $W$ ，which restricts to a trivialisation $\phi_{1-q}$ on $M_{1-q}$ ． Clearly $(W, \psi)$ gives rise to a homotopy between $p\left(M_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)$ and $p\left(M_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)$ ． 3.5 Lemma：If $M$ and $M^{\text {P }}$ are $s$－parallelisable then $p(M)+p\left(M^{\prime}\right) \subset p\left(M \not M^{\prime}\right) \subset I_{n}$ ． Proof：Construct a manifold $W$ with boundary（ $-M$ ） $\boldsymbol{L}\left(-M^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{L}\left(M \not M^{\prime}\right)$ as follows： beginning with $M \times[0,1] \mu M^{\prime} \times[0,1]$ ，join the boundary components $M \times 1$ and $M^{\prime \prime} \times 1$ by a smooth connected sum．This sum can be extended smooth1y over neighbourhoods of the joined portions，in $M \times[0,1]$ and $M^{\prime} \times[0,1]$ ．（The details of this construction are given in［Kervaire，Milnor 1963］．） The manifold $W$ has the homotopy type of the one－point union $M V M^{\prime}$ ． Embed．W in $\left.S^{n+k_{x}} \times 0,1\right]$ such that $(-M)$ and $\left(-M^{*}\right)$ are mapped into
 lies in $S^{n+k} \times 1$ ．Given trivialisations $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ of the normal bundles of $(-M)$ and $\left(-M^{\prime}\right)$ ，it is not．hard to see that there exists an extension defined throughout $W$ ．Let $\psi$ denote the restriction to $M^{M} M^{\prime}$ of this extension．Then clearly $p(M, \phi)+p\left(M^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ is homotopic to $p\left(M \not M M^{\prime}, \psi\right)$ ． This completes the proof．
3.6 Lemma：The set $p\left(S^{n}\right) \subset I_{n}$ is a subgroup of the stable homotopy group $\Pi_{n}$ ．For any homotopy sphere $\Sigma$ the set $p(\Sigma)$ is a coset of this subgroup $p\left(S^{n}\right)$ ．Thus the correspondence $\Sigma \rightarrow p(\Sigma)$ defines a homomorphism $p^{\prime}$ from $I_{n}$ to the quotient group $\Pi_{n} / p\left(S^{n}\right)$ ．

Proof：Combining the previous lemma with the identities
（1） $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}} ⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三^{\mathrm{n}} \tilde{\cong}^{n} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{n}}$
（2） $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}} ⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 \Gamma \cong \cong$
（3）$\Sigma$ 非 $(-\Sigma) \simeq S^{n}$ ，we obtain
（1） $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \subset \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ，which shows that $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is a subgroup of $I_{n}$ ，
（2）$p\left(S^{n}\right)+p(\Sigma) \subset p(\Sigma)$ ，which shows that $p(\Sigma)$ is a union of cosets of this subgroup，and
（3） $\mathrm{p}(\Sigma)+\mathrm{p}(-\Sigma) \subset \mathrm{p}\left(S^{n}\right)$ ，which shows that $\mathrm{p}(\Sigma)$ must be a single coset．

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.6.
By Lemma 3.2 the kernel of $p^{\prime}: \theta_{n} \rightarrow \Pi_{n} / p\left(S^{n}\right)$ consists exactly of all $h$-cobordism classes of homotopy $n$-spheres which bound parallelisable manifolds. Thus, these elements form a group which we denote by bP ${ }_{n+1} \subset \theta_{n}$. It follows that $b P_{n+1}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Pi_{n} / p\left(S^{n}\right)$. Since $\Pi_{n}$ is finite [Serre 1951], this completes the proof of assertions (2) and (3). (The relationship with the Hopf-Whitehead homomorphism, mentioned in assertion (3), is established in [Kervaire 1959, p.349].)

Chapter II. The Fundamental Theorem of Surgery.
§4. The Surgery Problem.

The technique of surgery, which Kervaire and Milnor used to obtain their results on homotopy spheres, discussed above, was also a key element in Browder's solution of the surgery problem (which was based on work by Kervaire/Milnor, and Novikov).

Very informally, this problem can be stated as follows:
Given a map $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ between manifolds, when can f and M be modified to $f^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime}$ such that $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence?

To state a more precise version of this problem, we shall first need a few definitions.

A Poincaré pair ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) of dimension m is a pair of CW complexes such that there is an element $[X] \in H_{m}(X, Y)$ of infinite order for which $[X] n:: H^{q}(X) \rightarrow H_{m-q}(X, Y)$ is an isomorphism for all $q$. This property is called Poincaré duality, and [X] is called the orientation class of (X,Y).

Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) be a Poincaré pair of dimension $m$ ( Y may be empty), ( $M, \partial M$ ) a smooth compact oriented m-manifold with boundary, and $f:(M, \partial M) \longrightarrow(X, Y)$ a map. A cobordism of $f$ is a pair $(W, F)$ where $W$ is a smooth compact $(m+1)$-manifold, $\partial W=M U U^{m} \cup M^{\prime}{ }^{m}, \partial U=\partial M U \partial M^{\prime}, F:(W, U) \longrightarrow(X, Y)$, and $F \mid M=f$. If $U=\partial M x I$ and $F(x, t)=f(x)$ for $x \in \partial M, t \in I$, then ( $W, F$ ) will be called a cobordism of $f$ rel $Y$.

Let us assume that $k \gg m$ and that ( $M, \partial M$ ) is embedded in ( $D^{m+k}, s^{m+k-1}$ ) with normal bundle $\nu^{k}$, so that $\nu \mid \partial M$ is equal to the normal bundle of $\partial M$
 $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{M}, \partial \mathrm{M}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ of degree 1 together with a bundle map $\mathrm{b}: \nu^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \xi^{\mathrm{k}}$ covering f. A normal cobordism ( $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{B}$ ) of ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b}$ ) is a cobordism ( $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{F}$ ) of f ,
together with an extension $B: \omega^{k} \rightarrow \xi^{k}$ of $b$, where $\omega^{k}$ is the normal bundle of $W^{m+1}$ in $D^{m+k} \times I$, where the embedding is such that $(M, \partial M) \subset\left(D^{m+k_{x}}, S^{m+k-1} \times 0\right)$, $\left(M^{\prime}, \partial M^{\prime}\right) \subset\left(D^{m+k} \times 1, S^{m+k-1} \times 1\right)$ and $U \subset S^{m+k-1} \times I$.

A normal cobordism rel $Y$ is a cobordism rel $Y$ such that it is a normal cobordism and $B(v, t)=b(v)$ for $v \in \nu \mid \partial M, t \in I$.

The precise version of the surgery problem is:
Problem:Given a normal map $(f, b), f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(X, Y), b: \nu^{k} \rightarrow \xi^{k}$, when is (f,b) normally cobordant to a homotopy equivalence of pairs?

A related question is the
Restricted Problem: Given a normal map $(f, b), f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(X, Y), b: \nu \longrightarrow \xi$, when is ( $f, b$ ) normally cobordant rel $Y$ to ( $f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ), where $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence?

The solution to the restricted problem is given by the following two theorems:
4.1 The Invariant Theorem: Let (f,b) be a normal map, as above, such that $\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{M}$ induces an isomorphism in homology. Then there is an invariant $\sigma(f, b)$ defined, $\sigma=0$ if $m$ is odd, $\sigma \epsilon$ if $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $\sigma \epsilon \quad$ if $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ such that $\sigma(f, b)=0$ if (f,b) is normally cobordant to a map inducing a homology isomorphism.
: 4 :2 The Fundamental Surgery Theorem: Let ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b}$ ) be a normal map, as above, and suppose (1) $f \mid \partial M$ induces an isomorphism in homology, (2) $X$ is simply-connected, and (3) $m \geq 5$. If $m$ is odd then ( $f, b$ ) is normally cobordant re1 $Y$ to a homotopy equivalence $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow X$. If $m$ is even, then ( $f, b$ ) is normally cobordant. rel $Y$ to ( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ) such that $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}: \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is a homotopy equivalence if and only if $\sigma(f, b)=0$.

Our discussion of surgery follows very closely the treatment of [Browder 1972], and consists of the definition of the invariant $\sigma$,
the statement and proof of certain properties it has, the proof of the Invariant and Fundamental theorems, and the statement of certain consequences of the Fundamental theorem, particularly the technique of plumbing and the Plumbing Theorem. Finally we will use the latter to derive some classification results for manifolds.
§5. The Surgery Invariant.
Before defining $\sigma$ we shall recall some pertinent facts about quadratic and bilinear forms over $Z$ and $Z_{2}$.

A symmetric bilinear form ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) on a Z -module V satisfies: (1) $(x, y)=(y, x)$ and (2) $\left(\lambda x+\lambda^{\prime} x^{\prime}, y\right)=\lambda(x, y)+\lambda^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)$ for $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in Z$, $x, x^{\prime}, y \in V$. If $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ is a basis for $V$ and $a_{i j}=\left(b_{i}, b_{j}\right)$, then the matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ represents $(\cdot, \cdot)$ in the sense that $(x, y)=x A y{ }^{t}$ (where $x$ and $y$ on the right are representations of the elements in the basis $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ ). If we pass to a new basis by an invertible matrix $M$, so that $b^{\prime}=\mathrm{Mb}$, then in terms of the new basis ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) is represented by MAM ${ }^{t}$.

The bilinear form ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) defines a quadratic form $\mathrm{q}: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ by $q(x)=(x, x)$. We have $(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}(q(x+y)-q(x)-q(y))$ so that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is derivable from $q$. Each of $q$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is said to be associated to the other. The form ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) also defines naturally a bilinear form ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) : $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$. 5.1 Proposition: If ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) is a symmetric bilinear form on a finite dimensional vector space $V$ over $Q$ into $Q$, then there is a basis for V such that the matrix representing $(\cdot, \cdot)$ in that basis is diagonal. Define the signature of a bilinear form (and hence of the associated quadratic form) to be the number of positive diagonal entries minus the number of negative diagonal entries, using a diagonal matrix representing the form. The signature is, in fact,
invariant under a change of basis, and we shall think of it as an invariant of quadratic forms over $Z$, taking values in $Z$.

A quadratic (or bilinear) form over $Z$ is called nonsingular if the determinant of the matrix $A$ representing it is 1 . Over a field it is called nonsingular if the determinant is nonzero.
5.2 Proposition: Let $q$ be a nonsingular quadratic form on a finite dimensional vector space V over $R$. Then $\operatorname{sgn}(\mathrm{q})=0$ if and only if there is a subspace $U \subset V$ such that:
(1) $\operatorname{dim}_{R} \mathrm{U}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{dim}_{R} \mathrm{~V}$ and (2) $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=0$ for $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{U}$.

Some results we will use follow.
5.3 Proposition: Let $q$ be a nonsingular quadratic form $\mathrm{V} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ and suppose $q$ is indefinite (i.e. neither positive nor negative definite). Then there is $x \in V, x \neq 0$ such that $q(x)=0$.
5.4 Proposition: Let $q$ be a nonsingular quadratic form $V$ and suppose $2 \mid q(x, x)$ for all $x \in V$ ( $q$ is called even). Then $8 \mid \operatorname{sgn}(q)$.

A quadratic form $q$ on a $Z_{2}$-vector space $V$ is a function $q: V \rightarrow Z_{2}$ such that $\mathrm{q}(0)=0$ and $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y})-\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{y})=(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is bilinear. Two quadratic forms $q, q^{\prime}$ on $V$ are equivalent if there is an automorphism $\alpha: V \longrightarrow V$ such that $q=q^{\prime} \circ \alpha$. Under this definition, it is clear that $(x, y)=(y, x)$ and $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{q}(2 \mathrm{x})-2 \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})=0$ so that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a symplectic bilinear form. If ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) is nonsingular, it follows that V is of even dimension, and that we may find a basis $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ for $V$ such that $\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$, $\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)=\left(b_{i}, b_{j}\right)=0$. Such a basis is called symplectic. We shall now classify $Z_{2}$-vector spaces with nonsingular quadratic forms, and thereby define the Arf invariant of such forms.

Let $U$ be the 2 -dimensional $Z_{2}$-vector space, with basis $a, b$, such that $(a, a)=(b, b)=0,(a, b)=1$. There are two quadratic forms on $U$
compatible with $(\cdot, \cdot): q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$, defined by $q_{1}(a)=q_{1}(b)=1, q_{0}(a)=$ $\mathrm{q}_{0}(\mathrm{~b})=0$. Note that for both $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})=1$. (The notations $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{q}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ will remain fixed throughout §5.)
5.5 Lemma: Any nonsingular quadratic form on a 2-dimensional $Z_{2}$-vector space is equivalent to $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ or $\mathrm{q}_{1}$.

Since such a space has only 4 elements, the isomorphism is easy to construct.

If $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ are quadratic forms on spaces $V$ and $V^{\prime}$, then $q \oplus q^{\prime}$ is the quadratic form on $V \oplus V^{\prime}$ given by $\left(q \oplus q^{\prime}\right)\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=q(v)+q^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$.
5.6 Lemma: On $\mathrm{U} \oplus \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{q}_{0} \oplus \mathrm{q}_{0}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{q}_{1} \oplus_{1}$.

The proof consists of a simple rearrangement of bases.
Now we can begin classifying forms.
5.7 Proposition: A nonsingular quadratic form $q$ on a $Z_{2}$-vector space (which must have even dimension 2 m ) is equivalent either to

$$
\mathrm{q}_{1} \oplus\left(\oplus^{\mathrm{m}-1} \mathrm{q}_{0}\right) \quad \text { or to } \oplus^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{q}_{0}
$$

Proof: Let $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, m$ be a symplectic basis of $V$, and let $V_{i}$ be the subspace spanned by $a_{i}, b_{i}$, and let $\psi_{i}=q \mid V_{i}$. Then by the nature of the basis, $q=\underset{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \psi_{i}$, and by Lemma $5.5 \psi_{i}$ is equivalent to $q_{0}$ or $q_{1}$. By Lemma $5.6 \mathrm{q}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{q}_{1} \cong \mathrm{q}_{0} \oplus \mathrm{q}_{0}$, so q is equivalent to either $\oplus^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ or $\mathrm{q}_{1} \oplus\left(\oplus^{\mathrm{m}-1} \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$. QED

To complete the classification, we must show that $\phi_{0}={ }^{m}{ }^{m} q_{0}$ is not equivalent to $\phi_{1}=q_{1} \oplus\left(\oplus^{m-1} q_{0}\right)$. This is clear from the 5.8 Proposition: The quadratic form $\phi_{1}$ on $V$ sends a majority of elements of $V$ to $1 \in Z_{2}$, while $\phi_{0}$ sends a majority of elements to $0 \in Z_{2}$.

The proof is by induction on the dimension of $V$.
Using this notation, we define the Arf invariant of a nonsingular quadratic form $q$ on $V$ as follows:
$\operatorname{Arf}(\mathrm{q})=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & \text { if } & \tilde{q}^{\tilde{=} \phi_{0}} \\ 1 & \text { if } & \tilde{q}^{\tilde{=} \phi_{1}}\end{array}\right.$.
Thus we have:
5.9 Theorem: (Arf) Two nonsingular quadratic forms on a finite dimensional $Z_{2}$-vector space are equivalent if and only if they have the same Arf invariant.

In analogy with a previous result concerning quadratic forms over $Z$, we have the
5.10. Proposition: Let $q$ be a nonsingular quadratic form on the $Z_{2}$-vector space $V$. Then $\operatorname{Arf}(q)=0$ if and only if there is a subspace $U \subset V$ such that
(1) $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{Z}_{2}} \mathrm{U}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{rank}_{\mathrm{Z}_{2}} \mathrm{~V}$, and (2) $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})=0$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{U}$.

Given a bilinear form ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) on a vector space $V$, define $R$, the radical of $V$, to be $\{x \in V \mid(x, y)=0$ for all $y \in V\}$.

If $\mathrm{q}: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{2}$ is a quadratic form with $(\cdot, \cdot)$ as associated bilinear form, we have defined $\operatorname{Arf}(q)$ only if $R=0$. If $q \mid R \equiv 0$, it is easily seen that $q$ defines $q^{\prime}$ on $V / R$, and the radical of $V / R$ is zero. In this case we may define $\operatorname{Arf}(q)$ to be $\operatorname{Arf}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. If $q \mid R \neq 0$, it doesn't make sense to define the Arf invariant, and in fact the equivalence of the form is determined by rankV and rankR.

Thus we have:
5.11 Theorem: Let $q: V \rightarrow Z_{2}$ be a quadratic form over $Z_{2}$, $R$ the radical of the associated bilinear form. Then the $\operatorname{Arf}$ invariant $\operatorname{Arf}(q)$ is defined if. and only if $q \mid R \equiv 0$. In general, if $q \mid R \equiv 0$, then $q$ is determined up to isomorphism by $\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{2}} V$, $\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{2}} R$, and $\operatorname{Arf}(q)$, while if $q \mid R \neq 0$, then $q$ is determined by rank $Z_{2} V$ and rank $_{Z_{2}} R$.
Note: Browder uses the notation $c(q)$ for the Arf invariant.
We will now define an invariant $I$ which detects maps in the
cobordism class of a homology isomorphism.
A map $f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ between Poincaré pairs of the same dimension is said to be of degree 1 if $f_{*}[X]=[A]$, where $f_{*}: H_{*}(X, Y) \rightarrow H_{*}(A, B)$ is the map in homology induced by $f$. We denote the map induced $H_{*}(X) \rightarrow H_{*}(A)$ by $\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{x}$, and similar notation in cohomology.
5.12 Theorem: Maps of degree 1 split, i.e. with notation as above, there are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{*}: H_{*}(A, B) \rightarrow H_{*}(X, Y), & \beta_{*}: H_{*}(A) \rightarrow H_{*}(X), \\
\alpha^{*}: H^{*}(X, Y) \rightarrow H^{*}(A, B), & B^{*}: H^{*}(X) \longrightarrow H^{*}(A),
\end{array}
$$

such that $\mathrm{f}_{*} \alpha_{*}=1, \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{*} \beta_{*}=1, \alpha^{*} \mathrm{f}^{*}=1, \beta^{*} \overline{\mathrm{f}}^{*}=1$.
The splittings are defined straightforwardly using the Poincare duality isomorphisms, and their inverses.

It follows from this theorem that there are direct sum splittings $H_{*}(X, Y)=\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{f}_{*} \oplus i m \alpha_{*}, \quad H_{*}(X)=\operatorname{ker} \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\star} \oplus i m \beta_{\star}$, $\mathrm{H}^{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{im} \mathrm{f}^{*} \oplus \operatorname{ker} \alpha^{*}, \mathrm{H}^{*}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{im} \overline{\mathrm{f}}^{*} \oplus \operatorname{ker} \beta^{*}$.

Thus we establish the following notation:
$K_{q}(X, Y)=\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)_{q} \subset H_{q}(X, Y), \quad K_{q}(X)=\left(\operatorname{ker} \bar{f}_{*}\right)_{q} \subset H_{q}(X)$,
$K^{q}(X, Y)=\left(\operatorname{ker} \alpha^{*}\right)^{q} \subset H^{q}(X, Y), \quad K^{q}(X)=\left(\operatorname{ker} \beta^{*}\right){ }^{q} \subset H^{q}(X)$,
(and similarly for (co)homology with coefficients).
$\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{q}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{q}}$ have the following property:
In the exact homology and cohomology sequences of the pair ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ), all the maps preserve the direct sum splitting, so induce a diagram, commutative up to sign, with exact rows:

The proof of this property consists of the proof that the direct sum splittings are preserved by the Poincare duality map ( $[\mathrm{X}] \mathrm{n} \cdot$ ) and the homology maps.

From this sequence, and using the definition of the $K^{q}$ groups, we develop the following diagram, with exact rows and columns:


Suppose $m=\operatorname{dim}(X, Y)=4 k$ and consider the pairing $K^{2 k}(X, Y ; Q) \otimes K^{2 k}(X, Y ; Q) \rightarrow Q$ given by $(x, y)=(x \cup y)[X]$.

This is symmetric because the dimension is even.
Define $I(f)$ to be the signature of $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $K^{2 k}(X, Y ; Q)$. Note that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the rational form of the integral form defined on $K^{2 k}(X, Y) /$ torsion by the same formula. If $(f \mid Y){ }^{*}: H^{*}(B ; Q) \rightarrow H^{*}(Y ; Q)$ is an isomorphism, then so is $j^{*}: K^{2 k}(X, Y ; Q) \rightarrow K^{2 k}(X ; Q)$, and so $(x \cup y)[X]=\left(\left(j^{*} x\right) \cup y\right)[X]$.

But we have the following property of the $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{q}}$ groups:
5.13 Proposition: Under the pairing $H^{q}(X ; F) \otimes H^{m-q}(X, Y ; F) \quad F$ given by $(x, y)=(x \cup y)[X]$, where $F$ is a ring, $K^{m-q}(X, Y ; F)$ is orthogonal to $\overline{\mathrm{f}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{F})\right), \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{F})$ is orthogonal to $\mathrm{f}^{*}\left(\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{F})\right)$, and on $K^{q}(X ; F) \otimes K^{m-q}(X, Y ; F)$ the pairing is nonsingular if $F$ is a field. If $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Z}$, it is nonsingular on $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{X}) /$ torsion $\otimes \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}) /$ torsion.

The proof is straightforward verification, depending on certain elementary properties of the cup and cap products.

Taking $q=2 k$ and $F=Q$, we see that the pairing ( $\cdot$, ${ }^{\circ}$ ) defined above is nonsingular. Similarly if $(f \mid Y)^{*}: H^{*}(B) \rightarrow H^{*}(Y)$ is an isomorphism, then the integral form is nonsingular. In particular this is the case if $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{B}=\phi$.
5.14 Theorem: Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ be a map of degree 1 between Poincaré pairs of dimension $m=4 k+1$. Then $I(f \mid Y)=0$.

Proof: The proof is an application of Proposition 5.2.
5.15. Proposition: Under the hypotheses of the theorem we have $\operatorname{rank}_{Q}\left(\mathrm{im} i^{*}\right)^{2 k}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}_{Q} K^{2 k}(Y ; Q)$, where $i^{*}: K^{2 k}(X ; Q) \rightarrow K^{2 k}(Y ; Q)$ is induced from the inclusion $i: Y \rightarrow X$.

Proof: We have a diagram, commutative up to sign:

In this diagram the rows are exact and the vertical maps are isomorphisms. Hence $\left(\mathrm{im} i^{*}\right)^{2 k}=\left(\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{i}_{*}\right)_{2 k}$. It is easily shown that the Universal Coëfficient Formulae hold for $K^{*}$ and $K_{*}$, and thus, since $Q$ is a field, $K^{2 k}(Y ; Q) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2 k}(Y ; Q), Q\right), K^{2 k}(X ; Q) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2 k}(X ; Q), Q\right)$, and $i^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(i_{*}, 1\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{rank}_{Q}\left(i m i^{*}\right)^{2 k}=\operatorname{rank}_{Q}\left(i m i_{*}\right){ }_{2 k}$, and $\operatorname{rank}_{Q}\left(\operatorname{im} i_{*}\right)_{2 k}+\operatorname{rank}_{Q}\left(\operatorname{ker} i_{*}\right){ }_{2 k}=\operatorname{rank}_{Q} K_{2 k}(Y ; Q)=\operatorname{rank}_{Q} K^{2 k}(Y ; Q)$. Hence, $\operatorname{rank}_{Q}\left(i m i^{*}\right)^{2 k}=\frac{1}{2} r a n k_{Q} K^{2 k}(Y ; Q)$.

QED
5.16 Lemma: With the hypotheses of 5.15 , $\left(i m i^{*}\right)^{2 k} \subset K^{2 k}(Y ; Q)$ annihilates itself under the pairing (•, $)$.
Proof: $\left(i^{*} x, i^{*} y\right)=\left(\left(i^{*} x\right) \cup\left(i^{*} y\right)\right)[Y]=\left(i^{*}(x \cup y)\right)[Y]=(x \cup y)\left(i_{*}[Y]\right)=0$ since $i_{*}[Y]=i_{*} \partial[X]=0$ in $H_{4 k}(X)$.
Proof of Theorem 5.14: By 5.15, (im $\left.i^{*}\right)^{2 k}{ }_{c K} K^{2 k}(Y ; Q)$ is a subspace of rank $=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank} K^{2 k}(Y ; Q)$, and by 5.16 it annihilates itself under the
pairing. Hence by Proposition $5.2, \operatorname{sgn}(\cdot, \cdot)=0$ on $K^{2 k}(Y ; Q)$, so that $I(f \mid Y)=0$.

QED
The sum of Poincaré pairs is defined as follows:
If ( $X_{i}, X_{0} \cup Y_{i}$ ) $i=1,2$ are Poincaré pairs of dimension $m$, such that $X_{1} \cap X_{2}=X_{0}, Y_{i} \cap X_{0}=Y_{0}$, and ( $X_{0}, Y_{0}$ ) is a Poincaré pair of dimension m-1, then it follows [Browder 1972, p.13] that ( $\mathrm{X}_{1} \cup \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}_{1} \cup \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ ) is a Poincaré pair of dimension $m$, called the sum of ( $X_{i}, X_{0} \cup Y_{i}$ ) along ( $X_{0}, Y_{0}$ ).

If ( $X, Y$ ) and ( $A, B$ ) are the sums, respectively, of ( $X_{i}, Y_{i} \cup X_{0}$ ) and $\left(A_{i}, B_{i} \cup A_{0}\right)$, and $f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ with $f\left(X_{i}\right) \subset A_{i}$, then the following are equivalent:
(1) f has degree 1
(2) $f_{0}=f \mid\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)$ has degree 1
(3) $f_{i}=f \mid\left(X_{i}, Y_{i} u X_{0}\right)$ have degree 1
(all with appropriate orientations).
We say that f is the sum of $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{2}$.
5.17 Theorem: Suppose $f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$, a degree 1 map, is the sum of two maps $f_{i}:\left(X_{i}, X_{0} \cup Y_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(A_{i}, A_{0} \cup B_{i}\right), i=1,2$, and suppose that the map on the intersection $f_{0}^{*}: H^{*}\left(A_{0}, B_{0} ; Q\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0} ; Q\right)$ is an isomorphism.

Then $I(f)=I\left(f_{1}\right)+I\left(f_{2}\right)$.
If ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) is a Poincaré pair of dimension $\mathrm{m}=4 \mathrm{k}$ we may consider the symmetric pairing $H^{2 k}(X, Y ; Q) \otimes H^{2 k}(X, Y ; Q) \rightarrow Q$ given by $(x, y)=(x \cup y)[X]$, and we define $I(X, Y)$ to be the signature of $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $H^{2 k}(X, Y ; Q)$.
5.18 Theorem: $I(f)=I(X, Y)-I(A, B)$.

Thus we have the important theorem
5.19. Theorem: Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ be a map of degree 1 between Poincare pairs of dimension $m=4 k$. Suppose $(f \mid Y)^{*}: H^{*}(B ; Q) \rightarrow H^{*}(Y ; Q)$ is an isomorphism and that $f$ is cobordant re1 $Y$ to $f^{\prime}:\left(X^{\prime}, Y\right) \rightarrow(A, B)$ such that
$\mathrm{f}^{\prime *}: \mathrm{H}^{*}(\mathrm{~A} ; Q) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} ; Q\right)$ is an isomorphism. Then $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{f})=0$.
Proof: Let $U$ be the cobordism rel $Y$ between $X$ and $X^{\prime}$, so that $\partial U=X U X^{\prime}$, $X n X^{\prime}=Y$; ( $U, \partial U$ )is a Poincaré pair of dimension $m+1$, compatibly oriented, and $F$ is the map $(U, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ such that $F|X=f, F| X^{\prime}=f^{\prime}$. We may consider F as a map of degree $1 \mathrm{G}:\left(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A} \times 0 \cup \mathrm{~B} \times I \cup \mathrm{~A} \times 1)$. By Theorem 5.14, $I\left(G \mid X \cup X^{\prime}\right)=0$, and by Theorem 5.17 $I\left(G \mid X \cup X^{\prime}\right)=I(f)-I\left(f^{\prime}\right)$. Now $I\left(f^{\prime}\right)=0$ since $\mathrm{f}{ }^{*}$ is an isomorphism, and hence $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{f})=0$.

QED
Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) be a $Z_{2}$-Poincaré pair of dimension $m$ (i.e. ( $X, Y$ ) satisfies Poincaré duality for homology with coefficients in $Z_{2}$ ). Define a linear $\operatorname{map} \ell_{i}: H^{m-i}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow Z_{2}$ by $\ell_{i}(x)=\left(S q^{i} x\right)[X]$, where $S q^{i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ Steenrod square (see [Steenrod 1962]) and $[\mathrm{X}] \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$ is the orientation class. By Poincare duality, $H^{i}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right) \otimes H^{m-i}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow Z_{2}$ given by $(x, y)=(x \cup y)[X]$ is a nonsingular pairing, so that $H^{i}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)$ is isomorphic, using this pairing to $\operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{m-i}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right), Z_{2}\right)$, and hence $\ell_{i}(x)=\left(x, v_{i}\right)$ for a unique $v_{i} \in H^{i}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)$, for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$.

Define the Wu class of $X$ to be $V=1+v+v+\ldots, v_{i} \in H^{i}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)$ as above. 5.20 Proposition: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ) be $Z_{2}$-Poincare pairs of dimension m , $f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ a map of degree $1(\bmod 2)$ (i.e. $f_{*}[X]=[A]$ for $f_{*}$ defined on homology with $Z_{2}$ coëfficients). Then $v_{i}(X)=\bar{v}_{i}+f^{*}\left(v_{i}(A)\right)$, where $\overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{X})$.

The proof consists of a calculation to show that $v_{i}(X)-f{ }^{*}\left(v_{i}(A)\right) \in K^{i}(X)$ 5.21. Proposition: With notation as in 5.20 , suppose $m=2 q$. Then the pairing ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) on $K^{q}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right)$ is symplectic (i.e. ( $\left.x, x\right)=0$ for all $x$ ) if and only if $f^{*}{ }_{V_{q}}(A)=v_{q}(X)$.
Proof: $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{2}[\mathrm{X}]=\left(\mathrm{Sq}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{x}\right)[\mathrm{X}]=(\mathrm{xuv}(\mathrm{X}))[\mathrm{X}]=\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{X})\right.$ ) for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$, and since $K^{q}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right)$ and (im $\left.f^{*}\right)$ are orthogonal by Proposition 5.13, $\left(x, f^{*} v_{i}(A)\right)=0$ for $x \in K^{q}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right)$. Hence for $x \in K^{q}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right),(x, x)=\left(x, \bar{v}_{q}\right)$
by Proposition 5.20. Then $(x, x)=0$ if and only if $\bar{v}_{q}=v_{q}(X)-f{ }_{v_{q}}(A)=0$. 5.22 Corollary: Let $(X, Y)$ and $(A, B)$ be oriented Poincare duality pairs of dimension $m=4 \ell$, and let $f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ be of degree 1 . If $f^{*} v_{2 \ell}(A)$ $=v_{2 \ell}(X)$, then the pairing $(x, y)=(x \cup y)[X]$ (for $x, y \in K^{*}(X, Y) /$ torsion) is even (i.e. $2 \mid(x, x)$ for all $x$ ).

This follows from the fact that $(x, x)$ reduced mod 2 is zero by 5.21 and thus $(x, x)$ must be even.
5.23 Corollary: Let $(X, Y)$ and (A,B) be oriented Poincaré pairs of dimension $m=4 \ell, f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ of degree 1 such that $(f \mid Y)_{*}: H_{*}(Y) \rightarrow H_{*}(B)$ is an isomorphism. If $f^{*}\left(v_{2 \ell}(A)\right)=v_{2 \ell}(X)$, then $I(f)$ is divisible by 8 .

This follows directly from 5.22 and Proposition 5.4.
Let us now investigate the $W u$ class, with the aim of showing that it is preserved by normal maps.

Let (X,Y) be a pair of spaces, and $\xi^{k}$ a fibre bundle over $X$ with fibre $F$ such that $H_{*}\left(F ; Z_{2}\right)=H_{*}\left(S^{k-1} ; Z_{2}\right)$. Then we may define the Thom space $T(\xi)=X \cup c E(\xi)$ using the projection of $\xi$ as attaching map. There is a Thom class $U \in H^{k}\left(T(\xi) ; Z_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot U U: H^{q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{q+k}\left(T(\xi) ; Z_{2}\right) \\
& \cdot U U: H^{q}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{q+k}\left(T(\xi), T(\xi \mid Y) ; Z_{2}\right) \\
& \cdot \cap U: H_{S}\left(T(\xi), T(\xi \mid Y) ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{s-k}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right) \\
& \cdot \cap U: H_{S}\left(T(\xi) \cdot ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{S-k}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are isomorphisms. Let $h: \pi_{r}(A, B) \rightarrow H_{r}\left(A, B ; Z_{2}\right)$ be the Hurewicz homomorphism mod 2. We have the following important theorem of Spivak:
5.24 Theorem: Let (X,Y) be an n-dimensional Poincaré pair, with $X$ simply-connected and $Y$ a finite complex up to homotopy type. Then there is a spherical fibre space $\xi$ with $X$ as base space, its fibre a homotopy $(k-1)$-sphere, and an element $\alpha \in \pi_{n+k}(T(\xi), T(\xi \mid Y))$ such that $h(\alpha) \cap U=[X]$.

The fibre bundle $\xi$ is called the Spivak normal fibre space of $X$, and can also be defined for homology with coëfficients.
5.25 Proposition: Let $(X, Y)$ be a $Z_{2}$-Poincaré pair of dimension $m$, $\xi^{k}$ a $Z_{2}$ Spivak normal fibre space over $X$ (i.e. the fibre of $\xi$ is a $Z_{2}$ homology (k-1)-sphere), $\alpha \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\xi), T(\xi \mid Y))$ such that $h(\alpha) \cap U=[X]$ in $H_{m}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right)$. Then $V(X) \cup U=S q^{-1}(U)$.

We recall the fact that the Thom class $U \in H^{k}\left(T(\xi) ; Z_{2}\right)$ is characterised by the fact that $j^{*}(U)$ generates $H^{k}\left(\Sigma F ; Z_{2}\right)=Z_{2}$, where $j: \Sigma \mathrm{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}(\xi)$ is the inclusion of the Thom complex over a point into the whole Thom complex.
5.26 ... Proposition: Let $b: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{\prime}$ be a map of fibre spaces covering $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, where $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ have fibre $F, H_{*}\left(F ; Z_{2}\right)=H_{*}\left(S^{k-1} ; Z_{2}\right)$. Then $b$ induces a map of Thom complexes $T(b): T(\xi) \rightarrow T\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$, and $T(b)^{*} U^{\prime}=U$, where $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ are the Thom classes of $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$.

Proof: Let $E, E^{\prime}$ be the total spaces of $\xi, \xi^{\prime}$ resp., so that the following diagram commutes:


Hence, $f, b$ induce $T(b): X U_{\pi}^{-} c E \rightarrow X^{\prime} U_{\pi}, c E^{\prime}$, and the diagram commutes. Hence $j^{*} T(b)^{*} U^{\prime}=j^{\prime}{ }^{*} U^{\prime}$, so that $j^{*} T(b)^{*} U^{\prime}$ generates $H^{k}\left(\Sigma F ; Z_{2}\right)$, and thus $T(b)^{*} U^{\prime}=U$. QED

5.27 Corollary: Let $(X, Y)$ and $(A, B)$ be $Z_{2}$ Poincare pairs of dimension $m$, $\xi^{\prime}$ a fibre space over $A$ with fibre $F$ a (k-1)-dimensional $Z_{2}$ homology sphere. Let $f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ be of degree 1 in $Z_{2}$ homology, and let $\xi=f^{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose there is an element $\alpha \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\xi), T(\xi \mid Y))$ such that $h(\alpha) \cap U=[X]$. Then $f^{*}(V(A))=V(X)$, in particular $f^{*} v_{q}(A)=v_{q}(X)$ for all $q$. Proof: By 5.26 , if $b: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{\prime}$ is the natural map, $T(b)^{*} U^{\prime}=U$. Setting $V(X)=V$,
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$, we have, using 5.25, $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{b})^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \cup U^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f}^{*} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \mathrm{UT}^{\prime}(\mathrm{b})^{*} \mathrm{U}^{\prime}=\mathrm{f}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime}\right) \cup U$ $=T(b){ }^{*}\left(S q^{-1} U^{\prime}\right)=S^{-1} T(b){ }^{*} U^{\prime}=S q^{-1} U=V U U$. Hence $f^{*} V^{\prime}=V$.
5.28 Theorem: Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ) be oriented Poincaré pairs of dimension $m=4 \ell, f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ of degree 1 such that $(f \mid Y)_{*}$ is an isomorphism, and $\xi^{\prime}$ a fibre space over A with fibre $F$ a $Z_{2}$ homology ( $\mathrm{k}-1$ )-sphere. Set $\xi=\mathrm{f}^{*} \xi^{\prime}$ and suppose there is $\alpha \in \pi_{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{T}(\xi), \mathrm{T}(\xi \mid \mathrm{Y})$ ) such that $h(\alpha) \cap U$ equals the orientation class of (X,Y) reduced mod 2 . Then $I(f)$ is divisible by 8.

Proof: By 5.27, $\mathrm{f}^{*} \mathrm{v}_{2 \ell}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{v}_{2 \ell}(\mathrm{X})$, so by $5.23 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{f})$ is divisible by 8.
Let $(f, b)$ be a normal map, $f:(M, \partial M) \longrightarrow(A, B)$ of degree $1, M$ a smooth oriented m-manifold with boundary, (A,B) an oriented Poincare pair of dimension $m, m=4 \ell$, and $b: v \rightarrow \eta$ a linear bundle map covering $f, v$ the normal bundle of $(M, \partial M) \subset\left(D^{m+k}, S^{m+k-1}\right), \eta$ a $k-p l a n e$ bundle over $A$. 5.29. Corollary: If ( $f, b$ ) is a normal map with ( $f \mid \partial M)_{*}$ an isomorphism, then $I(f)$ is divisible by 8.

Proof: The pair: (f,b) satisfies the conditions of 5.28 , where $\xi^{\prime}=\eta$ is a linear bundle over ( $A, B$ ).

Thus, we may make the following definition:
Let $(f, b)$ be a normal map $f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B)$, etc. with $(f \mid \partial M)_{i}$ an isomorphism, $m=4 \ell$ the dimension of $M$. Define $\sigma(f, b)=\frac{1}{8} I(f)$. Then the Invariant Theorem for $\mathrm{m}=4 \ell$ follows from Theorem 5.19.

Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ) be oriented Poincaré pairs of dimension $\mathrm{m}=2 \mathrm{q}$, and let $f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ be a map of degree 1 . Let $\xi$ be the Spivak normal fibre space of ( $X, Y$ ), and $n$ that of ( $A, B$ ), and let $\alpha \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\xi), T(\xi \mid Y)), \beta \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\eta), T(\eta \mid B))$ be the elements defined such that $h(\alpha) \cap U_{\xi}=[X], h(\beta) \cap U_{\eta}=[A]$, where $U_{\xi}, U_{\eta}$ are the Thom classes of $\xi, n$ and $h$ is the Hurewicz homomorphism. Let $b: \xi \rightarrow \eta$ be a map of fibre spaces
covering f. We shall call the pair ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b}$ ) a normal map of Poincaré pairs. Note that this definition is analogous to that of a normal map given above. We also define normal cobordism.and normal cobordism rel $B$ of Poincaré pairs by the same analogy.

Browder [1972, III.4] defines, using Spanier and Whitehead's S-theory, a quadratic form $\psi: K^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}_{2}$ with associated bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$, where $(x, y)=(x \cup y)[X]$ for $x, y K^{q}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right)$. If $(f \mid Y){ }^{*}: H^{*}\left(B ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(Y ; Z_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism, it follows from Proposition 5.13 that ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) is nonsingular on $K^{q}\left(X, Y ; Z_{2}\right)\left(\cong K^{q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)\right)$. Then the Arf invariant of $\psi$ is defined.

Let (f,b) be a normal map of Poincare complexes, $f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$, and suppose that $(f \mid Y){ }^{*}: H^{*}\left(B ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(Y ; Z_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Then define the Kervaire invariant $c(f, b)=\operatorname{Arf}(\psi)$.

Now we will develop some properties of the Kervaire invariant.
Let $(f, b)$ be a normal map, $f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$, etc. and suppose in addition that $Y$ and $B$ are sums of Poincaré pairs along the boundaries, and that f sends summands into summands. In particular, suppose that $Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}, Y_{0}=Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}, B=B_{1} \cup B_{2}, B_{0}=B_{1} \cap B_{2}, f\left(Y_{i}\right) \subseteq B_{i}$, and that ( $B_{i}, B_{0}$ ) and $\left(Y_{i}, Y_{0}\right)$ are Poincaré pairs compatibly oriented with ( $X, Y$ ) and ( $A, B$ ). If $\xi, \eta$ are the Spivak normal fibre spaces of ( $X, Y$ ) and ( $A, B$ ), then $\xi\left|Y_{i}, \eta\right| B_{i}$ are the corresponding Spivak normal fibre spaces, so that if $f_{i}=f\left|Y_{i}, b_{i}=b\right|\left(\xi \mid Y_{i}\right)$, then ( $f_{i}, b_{i}$ ) are all normal maps, $i=0,1,2$. Note that if $f_{2}^{*}: H^{*}\left(B_{2} ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(Y_{2} ; Z_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism then it follows that $\mathrm{f}_{0}^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{0} ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{0} ; Z_{2}\right)$ is also an isomorphism. 5.30 Theorem: Let ( $f, b$ ) be a normal map as above, so that $f \mid Y$ is the sum of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ on $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$, etc. Suppose $f_{2}^{*}: H^{*}\left(B_{2} ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(Y_{2} ; Z_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Then $c\left(f_{1}, b_{1}\right)=0$.

This theorem has the following corollaries:
5.31 Corollary: If ( $f, b$ ) is a normal map and is normally cobordant rel $Y$ to $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right), f^{\prime *}: H^{*}\left(A, B ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(X^{\prime}, Y ; Z_{2}\right)$ an isomorphism, then $c(f, b)=0$.
5.32. Corollary: If $(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b})$ is a normal map, $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$, then $c(f|Y, b|(\xi \mid Y))=0$.

The first corollary is derived from the theorem by using the normal cobordism as a normal map, the second by taking $\mathrm{Y}_{2}=\phi$.

The proof of Theorem 5.30 relies on the definition of $\psi$, and is given in [Browder 1972, III.4].

Let $(f, b), f:(X, Y) \rightarrow(A, B)$ be a normal map of Poincare pairs, and suppose ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ) are sums of Poincaré pairs, i.e. $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}_{1} \cup \mathrm{X}_{2}$, $A=A_{1} \cup A_{2}, X_{0}=X_{1} \cap X_{2}, A_{0}=A_{1} \cap A_{2}, Y_{i}=X_{i} \cap Y, B_{i}=A_{i} \cap B, f\left(X_{i}\right) \subseteq A_{i}$, and ( $\left.X_{i}, X_{0} \cup Y_{i}\right)$, $\left(A_{i}, A_{0} \cup B_{i}\right)$ are Poincaré pairs oriented compatibly with ( $X, Y$ ) and ( $A, B$ ).

Set $f_{i}=f\left|X_{i}:\left(X_{i}, X_{0} \cup Y_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(A_{i}, A_{0} \cup B_{i}\right), f_{0}=f\right| X_{0}:\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(A_{0}, B_{0}\right)$, and $b_{i}$ the appropriate restriction of $b$.

Now suppose that $(\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{Y})^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(\mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{f}_{0}^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}_{0} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$ are isomorphisms. It follows easily from arguments with the Mayer-Vietoris sequence that $\left(f_{i} \mid X_{0} \cup Y_{i}\right)^{*}$ are isomorphisms, so $c(f, b), c\left(f_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, and $c\left(f_{2}, b_{2}\right)$ are all defined.
5.33 Theorem: $c(f, b)=c\left(f_{1}, b_{1}\right)+c\left(f_{2}, b_{2}\right)$.

Proof: We shall present a partial proof here; the balance is to be found in [Browder 1972].

Let $\psi, \psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ be the quadratic forms defined on $K^{q}(X, Y)$, $K^{q}\left(X_{1}, X_{0} \cup Y_{1}\right)$ and $K^{q}\left(X_{2}, X_{0} \cup Y_{2}\right)$ respectively. An argument with the Mayer-Vietoris sequence (which is really the exact sequence of the triple of pairs $\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) \subset(X, Y) \subset\left(X, Y \cup X_{0}\right)$, where the last pair is replaced by the excisive pair $\left(X_{1}, X_{0} \cup Y_{1}\right) \cup\left(X_{2}, X_{0} \cup Y_{2}\right)$ ) gives an isomorphism

$$
\rho_{1} \oplus \rho_{2}: K^{q}\left(X_{1}, X_{0} \cup Y_{1}\right) \oplus K^{q}\left(X_{2}, X_{0} \cup Y_{2}\right) \rightarrow K^{q}(X, Y),
$$

where $\rho_{1}$ is defined by the diagram

$$
K^{q}(X_{1}, \underbrace{\cong}_{\left.\mathrm{X}_{0} \cup Y_{1}\right)} K^{q}\left(X, X_{2} \cup Y\right)
$$

where the isomorphism comes from an excision, and the vertical arrow is induced by inclusion (similarly for $\rho_{2}$ ).

It remains to show $\psi\left(\rho_{i} x\right)=\psi_{i}(x), x \in K^{q}\left(X_{i}, X_{0} \cup Y_{i}\right)$. Then $\psi$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\psi_{1} \oplus \psi_{2}$, so that $\operatorname{Arf}(\psi)=\operatorname{Arf}\left(\psi_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Arf}\left(\psi_{2}\right)$.

The remainder of the proof is given on pp. 72-73 of Browder.
Now suppose (A,B) is a Poincare complex of dimension m, and $\xi$ is a linear bundle over $A, f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B)$ is of degree 1 , and $b: \nu \rightarrow \xi$ is a linear bundle map covering $f, v$ is the normal bundle of ( $M, \partial M$ ) in ( $D^{m+k}, S^{m+k-1}$ ); i.e. ( $f, b$ ) is a normal map in the original sense. Then by Theorem I.4.19 of Browder, there is a fibre homotopy equivalence (unique up to homotopy) $b^{\prime}: \xi \rightarrow n$ such that $T\left(b^{\prime}\right)_{*}\left(T(b)_{*}(\alpha)\right)=\beta$, where $\alpha \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\nu), T(\nu \mid \partial M))$ and $\beta \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\eta), T(\eta \mid B))$ are the elements such that $h(\alpha) \cap U_{V}=[M]$ and $h(\beta) \cap U_{\eta}=[A]$. Then $b^{\prime} b: \nu \rightarrow \eta$, and ( $f, b^{\prime} b$ ) is a normal map of Poincaré pairs, and we define $\sigma(f, b)=c(f, b ' b) \in Z_{2}$ if $m=4 k+2$ and $(f \mid \partial M){ }^{*}$ on $Z_{2}$ cohomology is an isomorphism.
5.34 Proposition: The value of ( $f, b$ ) is independent of the choice of $B \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\eta), T(n \mid B))$, and thus depends only on the normal map ( $f, b$ ).

The proof of 5.34 is provided in [Browder 1972].
With this definition of $\sigma(f, b)$ for $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, we see that Corollary 5.31 provides the proof of the Invariant Theorem for $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and thus completes the proof of that theorem.

We have also proved the following two properties of the invariant $\sigma$ :
5.35 Proposition: (Addition Property) Suppose ( $f, b$ ) is a normal map which is the sum of two normal maps $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right)$, and such that $f\left|\partial M_{,} f\right| \partial M_{i} i=1,2$, and $f \mid M_{0}$ induce isomorphisms in homology. Then $\sigma(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b})=\sigma\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)+\sigma\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right)$.

This property is proved for $m=4 \ell$ by Theorem 5.17 , and for $m=4 \ell+2$ by Theorem 5.33. It is vacuously true for $m=2 q+1$.
5.36 Proposition: (Cobordism Property) Let (f,b) be a normal map, $f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(X, Y), b: \nu \rightarrow \xi$, and set $f^{\prime}=f\left|\partial M: \partial M \rightarrow Y, b^{\prime}=b\right|(\nu \mid \partial M): \nu|\partial M \longrightarrow \xi| Y$. If $m=2 k+1$ then $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=0$.

This property follows from Theorem 5.14 for the case $m=4 \ell+1$ and from Corollary 5.32 for the case $m=4 \ell+3$.

Let us call the quantity $I(X, Y)$ defined above the index of $X$. Then by the Hirzebruch Index Theorem [Hirzebruch 1966], we have Index $M=L_{k}\left(p_{1}\left(\xi^{-1}\right), \ldots, p_{k}\left(\xi^{-1}\right)\right)[X]$, and Theorem 5.18 gives us directly the following
5.37. Proposition: (Index Property) If $Y=\phi, m=4 k,(f, b)$ a normal map, then $8 \sigma(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b})=$ index M -index X , and index X equals the signature of the quadratic form on $H^{2 k}(X ; Q)$ given by $\langle x U x,[X]\rangle$, where $[X]$ is the orientation class in $H_{4 k}(X ; Q)$.

Finally we state without proof the
5.38 Proposition: (Product Formulae) Let ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ ), ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ ) be normal maps $f_{i}:\left(M_{i}, \partial M_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(X_{i}, \partial X_{i}\right) . \quad$ Suppose $\sigma\left(f_{1} \times f_{2}, b_{1} \times b_{2}\right), \sigma\left(f_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\sigma_{1}$, and $\sigma\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right)=\sigma_{2}$ are all defined (i.e. $\mathrm{f}_{1} \times \mathrm{f}_{2}\left|\partial\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} \times \mathrm{M}_{2}\right), \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\right| \partial \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are all homology isomorphisms with appropriate coëfficients).

Then (1) $\sigma\left(\mathrm{f}_{1} \times \mathrm{f}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{1} \times \mathrm{b}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right) \sigma_{2}+\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right) \sigma_{1}+8 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$ when $\mathrm{M}_{1} \times \mathrm{M}_{2}$ is of dimension $4 k$, where $I\left(X_{i}\right)$ is the index of $X_{i}$,
(2) $\sigma\left(f_{1} \times f_{2}, b_{1} \times b_{2}\right)=\chi\left(X_{1}\right) \sigma_{2}+\chi\left(X_{2}\right) \sigma_{1}$ when $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ is of dimension $4 \mathrm{k}+2$
where $X\left(X_{i}\right)$ is the Euler characteristic of $X_{i}$.
Note that $I(X)=0$ by definition if $\operatorname{dim} X \neq 0(\bmod 4)$.
§6. Surgery below the Middle Dimension.

We will now describe the technique of surgery, the use of which will enable us to solve the surgery problem.

Suppose that $\phi: S^{\mathrm{P}} \times \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}+1=\mathrm{m}$, is a differentiable embedding, into the interior of $M$ if $\partial M \neq \phi$. Let $M_{0}=M \backslash i n t(i m \phi)$. Then $\partial M_{0}=\partial M u \phi\left(S^{p} \times S^{q}\right)$. Define $M^{\prime}=M_{0} U_{\phi} D^{p+1} \times S^{q}$, with $\phi(x, y)$ identified to $(x, y) \in S^{p} \times S{ }^{q}=\partial\left(D^{p+1} \times S^{q}\right)$. Then $M^{\prime}$ is a manifold, $\partial M^{\prime}=\partial M$, and $M^{\prime}$ is said to be the result of surgery using $\phi$, on $M$. It is sometimes denoted by $\chi(M, \phi)$ (e.g. by Milnor). We may define a cobordism $W_{\phi}^{m+1}$ between $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
W_{\phi}=M \times[0,1] \cup\left(D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}\right) \text { such that }(x, y) \in S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \subset \partial\left(D^{p+1} \times D{ }^{q+1}\right) \text { is }
$$ identified with $(\phi(x, y), 1) \in M \times I$. Clearly $\partial W_{\phi}=M \cup(\partial M \times I) \cup M^{\prime}$, and $W_{\phi}$ is called the trace of the surgery. As we have defined it, $W_{\phi}$ is not a smooth manifold with boundary. However, it has a canonical smooth structure (i.e. it is PL-homeomorphic to a smooth manifold) which is described in [Milnor 1961]. (Milnor calls $\left.W_{\phi} \omega(M, \phi).\right)$

If $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{m}+1}$ is a manifold with $\partial \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{MU}(\partial \mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{I}) \cup \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ has $\partial W^{\prime}=$ $M^{\prime} \cup\left(\partial M^{\prime} \times I\right) \cup M^{\prime \prime}$, then we may define the sum of the two cobordisms by taking $\bar{W}=W u W '$ and identifying $M^{\prime} \subset \partial W$ with $M^{\prime} \subset \partial W^{\prime}$. Then it is clear that $\partial \bar{W}=M \cup(\partial M \times I) \cup M^{\prime \prime}$ :
6.1 Theorem: Let $W$ be a cobordism with $\partial W=M \cup(\partial M \times I) \cup M^{\prime}$. Then there is a sequence of surgeries based on embeddings $\phi_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$, each surgery being on the manifold which results from the previous surgery, and such that $W$ is the sum of $W_{\phi_{1}}, \ldots, W_{\phi_{k}}$.

The proof is an immediate consequence of the Morse Lemma, and a lucid proof may be found in [Mi1nor 1961].
6.2. Proposition: If $M^{\prime}$ is the result of surgery on $M$ based on an embedding $\phi: S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M$, then $M$ is the result of surgery on $M^{\prime}$ based on an embedding $\psi: S^{q} \times D^{p+1} \rightarrow M^{*}$ such that the traces of the two surgeries are the same.
6.3 Proposition: Let $\phi: S^{P} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M^{m}$ be a smooth embedding in the interior of $M, p+q+1=m$, and let $W_{\phi}$ be the trace of the surgery based on $\phi$. Then $\mathrm{W}_{\phi}$ has $\mathrm{Mu}_{\phi} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{P}+1}$ as a deformation retract, where $\bar{\phi}=\phi \mid S^{\mathrm{P}} \times 0$.
Proof: $W_{\phi} \cong(M \times I) U_{\phi}\left(D^{p+1} \times D{ }^{q+1}\right)$, image $\phi \subset M \times I$, so we may deform $M \times I$ to $M \times 1$ leaving $M \times 1 u_{\phi}\left(D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}\right)$ fixed. Then $D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}$ may be deformed onto $\left(D^{p+1} \times 0\right) \cup\left(S^{p} \times D^{q+1}\right)$, leaving this latter subspace fixed. This then yields the deformation retraction of $W_{\phi}$ to $M \bar{\phi}_{\phi} D^{p+1}$.
6.4 Proposition: (a) Let $f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B)$ be a map, $M$ an oriented smooth m-manifold, ( $A, B$ ) a pair of spaces, and let $\phi: S^{p_{x}} D^{q+1} \rightarrow$ int $M$ be a smooth embedding, $p+q+1=m$. Then $f$ extends to $F:\left(W_{\phi}, \partial M \times I\right) \rightarrow(A \times I, B \times I)$ to get a cobordism of $f$ if and only if $f \circ \bar{\phi}$ is homotopic to the constant map $S^{p} \rightarrow A$.
(b) Suppose in addition that $\eta^{k}$ is a linear $k-p l a n e$ bundle over $A$, $b: v^{k} \rightarrow \eta^{k}$ is a linear bundle map covering $f, v$ the normal bundle of $(M, \partial M) \subset\left(D^{m+k}, S^{m+k-1}\right), k \gg m$. Then $b$ extends to $\bar{b}: \omega \longrightarrow \eta$ covering $F$, where $\omega$ is the normal bundle of $W_{\phi} \subset D^{m+k} \times I$, if and only if $b \mid\left(\nu \mid \phi\left(S^{p}\right)\right)$ extends to $\omega \mid D^{p+1} \times 0$, covering $F \mid D^{p+1} \times 0$.
Proof: Since $M \cup_{\phi} D^{p+1}$ is a deformation retract of $W_{\phi}$, it follows that $f$ extends to $W_{\phi}$ if and only if $f$ extends to $M \cup \underset{\phi}{ } \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{p}+1}$. But the latter is true if and only if $f \circ \bar{\phi}$ is null-homotopic, which proves (a).

For (b), it follows from the bundle covering homotopy property, and the fact that $M U-D^{p+1}$ is a deformation retract of. $W_{\phi}$, that $b$ extends
to $\omega$ if and only if $b$ extends to $\omega / D^{p+1} \times 0$. QED

If ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b}$ ) is a normal map, $\phi: S^{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}}} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{+1} \rightarrow$ int $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{m}$, $f:(M, \partial M) \longrightarrow(A, B)$, and if the trace of $\phi$ can be made a normal cobordism by extending $f$ and $b$ over $W_{\phi}$, we will say that the surgery based on $\phi$ is a normal surgery on ( $f, b$ ).

From Theorem 6.1, it follows easily that any normal cobordism rel B is the composite of normal surgeries.

Let $\phi: S^{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}+1} \rightarrow$ int $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{m}}$ be an embedding, with $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}+1=\mathrm{m}$. $\mathrm{W}_{\phi}$ is the trace, and $M^{\prime}$ the result of the corresponding surgery. We will investigate the effect of surgery on the homotopy of $M$; in particular, we will examine the relation between the homotopy groups of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, below the 'middle dimension'.
6.5. Theorem: If $p<\frac{m-1}{2}$ then $\pi_{i}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \tilde{=}_{i}(M)$ for $i<p$, and

$$
\pi_{p}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \tilde{\approx}_{p}(M) /\left\{\bar{\phi}_{\| \|^{\pi}}\left(S^{p}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\{G\}$ denotes the $Z\left[\pi_{1}(M)\right]$ submodule of $\pi_{p}(M)$ generated by $G$. Proof: By 6.3, $\mathrm{W}_{\phi}$ is of the same homotopy type as $\mathrm{Mu}_{\phi} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{p}+1}$. Hence
 we have also that $W_{\phi} \cong W_{\psi} \simeq M^{\prime} U_{\psi} \bar{D}^{q+1}$, where $\psi: S^{q_{\times D}}{ }^{P^{+1}} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ gives the surgery which reverses the effect of surgery base on $\phi$. Hence $\pi_{i}\left(W_{\phi}\right) \tilde{=}_{i}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ for $i<q, \pi_{q}\left(W_{\phi}\right) \cong \tilde{=}_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) /\left\{\bar{\psi}_{\| F^{\prime}} \pi_{q}\left(S^{q}\right)\right\}$. Since $p<\frac{m-1}{2}$, $q \gg p$, so $\pi_{i}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong_{i} \pi_{i}\left(W_{\phi}\right)$ for $i \leq p$ and the result follows. QED

Let $(f, b)$ be such that $f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B), b: v^{k} \rightarrow \eta^{k}, k \gg m, \eta$ a linear bundle over $A, v$ the normal bundle of $(M, \partial M) \subset\left(D^{m+k}, S^{m+k-1}\right)$, and let $\bar{\phi}: S^{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow$ int. M be a smooth embedding. Suppose that f extends to $\overline{\mathrm{F}}: \overline{\mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$, where $\bar{M}=M U_{\bar{\phi}} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{p}+1}$. We consider the problem of 'thickening $\overline{\mathrm{M}}$ to a normal cobordism', i.e. of extending $\bar{\phi}$ to a smooth embedding $\phi: S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow$ int $M^{m}$, $p+q+1=m$ such that $\bar{\phi}=\phi \mid S^{p} \times 0$, and so that $F:\left(W_{\phi}, \partial M \times I\right) \rightarrow(A \times I, B \times I)$ can be
covered by a bundle map $\bar{b}: \omega \rightarrow \eta$ extending $b$, where $\omega$ is the normal bundle of $W_{\phi}$ in $D^{m+k_{x I}}$, and $F$ is the extension of $\bar{F}$, unique up to homotopy. (When this is possible, normal surgery based on i申 will kill the class of $\bar{\phi}$ in $\left.\pi_{p}(M).\right)$ Let $V_{k, q+1}$ be the space of orthonormal k -frames in $R^{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}+1}$.
6.6 Theorem: There is an obstruction $O \in \pi_{p}\left(V_{k, q+1}\right)$ such that $0=0$ if and only if $\bar{\phi}$ extends to $\phi$ such that $F: W_{\phi}-A$ can be covered by $\overline{\mathrm{b}}: \omega \rightarrow \eta$ extending b as above.

Proof: Since $k$ is very large, we may extend the embedding $M \subset D^{m+k}$ to $M \breve{\phi}_{D^{D}}^{p+1} \subset D^{m+k_{x I}}$, with $D^{p+1}$ smoothly embedded and meeting $D^{m+k_{\times 0}}$ perpendicularly. The normal bundle $\gamma$ of $D^{p+1} \subset D^{m+k} \times I$ is trivial. $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$ defines a homotopy of $\mathrm{f} \circ \bar{\phi}$ to a point, which is covered by a bundle homotopy $b$ on $\nu \mid \bar{\phi}\left(S^{p}\right)$, ending with a map of $\nu \mid \bar{\phi}\left(S^{p}\right)$ into a single fibre of $n$, i.e. a trivialisation of $\nu / \bar{\phi}\left(S^{p}\right)$, which is well-defined up to homotopy. This trivialisation of $\nu \mid \bar{\phi}\left(S^{p}\right)$, which is a subbundle of $\gamma \mid \bar{\phi}\left(S^{p}\right)$, which is also trivial, therefore defines a map $\alpha$ of $S^{p}$ into the $k-f r a m e s$ of $R^{q+k+1}, \alpha: S^{p} \rightarrow V_{k, q+1}$, which gives an element $\alpha \in \pi_{p}\left(V_{k, q+1}\right)$. Now if $\bar{\phi}$ extends to $\phi$ and $b$ extends to $\overline{\mathrm{b}}$ as above, then the normal bundle $\omega$ of $\mathrm{W}_{\phi}$. restricted to $D^{p+1}, \omega \mid D^{p+1}$ is a subbundle of $\gamma$ extending $\nu \mid \bar{\phi}\left(S^{p}\right)$, and $\bar{b}$ defines an extension of $\alpha$ to $\alpha^{\prime}: \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{p}+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}+1}$. Hence $0=0$ in $\pi_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}+1}\right)$.

Conversely, if $0=0$, then $\alpha$ extends to $\alpha^{\prime}: D^{p+1} \rightarrow V_{k, q+1}$, and $\alpha^{\prime}$ defines a trivial subbundle $\omega^{\prime}$ of dimension $k$ in $\gamma$, extending $\nu \mid \bar{\phi}\left(S^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$. The subbundle $\omega^{\prime \prime}$ orthogonal to $\omega^{\prime}$ in $\gamma$ is trivial (being a bundle over $D^{p+1}$ ) and the total space of $\omega^{\prime \prime}$ is $D^{p+1} \times R^{q+1} \subset D^{p+1} \times R^{q+k+1}$, the total space of $\gamma$ (all up to homeomorphism). Since $\omega " / \bar{\phi}\left(S^{p}\right)$
equals the normal bundle of $\bar{\phi}\left(S^{P}\right)$ in $M$, this embedding defines $\phi: S^{P_{\times}}{ }^{q+1} \longrightarrow M$, and $\alpha^{\prime}$ defines the extension of $b$ to $\bar{b}: \omega \longrightarrow n$, where $\omega / D^{p+1}=\omega^{\prime}$ by construction. QED

We shall now study $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}+1}$ in order to analyse the obstruction 0 . ( $O$ will often be referred to as 'the obstruction to thickening ( $\bar{M}, \overline{\mathrm{~F}}$ ) to a normal cobordism'.)

Recall that the group $S O(k+q+1)$ acts transitively on the set of orthonormal $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{frames}$ in $R^{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}+1}$ and $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q}+1)$ is the subgroup leaving a given frame fixed. Hence $V_{k, q+1}=S O(k+q+1) / S O(q+1)$, and $V_{k, q+1}$ is topologised to make this a homeomorphism. Further, we recall that $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{n}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{n}+1) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is a fibre bundle map, where p is the map which evaluates an orthogonal transformation on the unit vector $\mathrm{v}_{0}=(1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \in R^{\mathrm{n}+1}$, i.e. $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}\right)$. (For this material, reference may be made to [Husemoller 1966].)
6.7 Lemma: $i_{*}: \pi_{i}(S O(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{i}(S O(n+1))$ is an isomorphism for $i<n-1$, and a surjection for $i \leq n-1$.

Proof: $\pi_{i}\left(S^{n}\right)=0$ for $i<n$, so the result follows from the exact homotopy sequence of the fibration $S O(n+1) \xrightarrow{p} S^{n}$ :

$$
\ldots \rightarrow \pi_{i+1}\left(S^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{i}(S O(n)) \xrightarrow{i_{i \rightarrow \pi_{i}}(S O(n+1)) \xrightarrow{p_{H \rightarrow \pi_{i}}}\left(S^{n}\right) \rightarrow \ldots \quad \text { QED }}
$$

6.8 Lemma: The map $p: S O(n+1) \rightarrow S^{n}$ is the projection of the principal SO(n) bundle associated with the oriented tangent bundle of $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$. Proof: Let $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ be a tangent frame to $S^{n}$ at $v_{0}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. Define a map e:SO(n+1) $\rightarrow$ F, the bundle of frames of $s^{n}$, by $e(T)$ is the frame $\left(T\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, T\left(f_{n}\right)\right.$ ) at $T\left(v_{0}\right) \in S^{n}$. Then $e$ is surjective, and injective. Hence e is a homeomorphism, and the lemma follows.
6.9.... Lemma: The composite $\pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}(S O(n)) \underline{p}_{\# \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$ is the boundary in the exact sequence of the tangent $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ bundle to $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$, and
is 0 if n is odd，and multiplication by 2 if n is even． Proof：The tangent $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ bundle．is obtained from the bundle of frames by taking the quotient by $S O(n-1)$ SO（n），the structure group of the bundle．Hence we have the commutative diagram：


It follows that in the exact sequence for the right hand bundle， $\bar{\partial}=p_{\| ⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三} \partial: \pi_{i}\left(S^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi i_{i-1}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$ ．Now by the Euler－Poincare Theorem the tangent sphere bundle has a cross－section（there is a nonsingular tangent vector field）if and only if the Euler characteristic $X(M)$ is zero．More precisely，the only obstruction to a cross－section to the tangent sphere bundle of a manifold $M^{m}$ is $\chi(M) g$ ，where $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{M} ; Z)$ is the class dual to the orientation class of M ．Now if $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ，the obstruction to a cross－section can also be identified with the characteristic map（see［Steenrod 1951，23．4］） $\bar{\partial}: \pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$ ． Hence $\bar{\partial}=0$ if n is odd，multiplication by 2 if n is even． 6．10 Theorem：$p_{\|}: \pi_{n}(S O(n+1)) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right)$ is surjective if and only if $\mathrm{n}=1,3$ ，or 7 ．

Proof：If $p_{\sharp}$ is surjective，then there is a map $\alpha: S^{n} \rightarrow S O(n+1)$ such that $p \circ \alpha \simeq 1$ ，and hence the principal bundle of ${ }^{\tau} S^{n}$ has a section and is ． therefore trivial，i．e． $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is parallelisable．But it is known that $S^{\mathrm{n}}$ is parallelisable if and only if $\mathrm{n}=1,3$ or 7 ．

6．11 Corollary：ker $i_{\#}: \pi_{n-1}(S O(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(S O(n+1))$ is $Z$ if $n$ is even， $Z_{2}$ if $n$ is odd and $n \neq 1,3,7$ ，and 0 if $n=1,3,7$ ．

Proof：ker $i_{\# \#}=\partial \pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right) \cong_{n}\left(S^{n}\right) / p_{\text {非 }} \pi_{n}(S O(n+1))$ ．If $n$ is odd，by 6.9 $\mathrm{p}_{\text {非 }} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{n}+1)) \supseteq 2_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ ，and by 6.10 the inclusion is strict，if $\mathrm{n} \neq 1,3,7$ ， hence $\pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right) / p_{n} \pi_{n}(S O(n+1)) \cong Z_{2}$ if $n$ is odd，$n \neq 1,3,7$ ．If $n=1,3$ ，or 7 ， $p_{\#}$ is surjective，so ker $i_{\# ⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三}=0$ ．

If $n$ is even，by $6.9 \mathrm{p}_{\text {非 }}{ }^{2}$ is a monomorphism，so $\partial: \pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(S O(n))$ is a monomorphism，so ker $i_{\#} \cong$ ．

QED
6．12 Theorem：$\pi_{i}\left(V_{k, m}\right)=0$ for $i<m, \pi_{m}\left(V_{k, m}\right)=Z_{2}$ if $m$ is odd，$Z$ if $m$ is even， $k \geq 2$ ．Further $j_{\sharp}: \pi_{i}\left(V_{k, m}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{i}\left(V_{k+1, m}\right)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq m, k \geq 2$ ， and $j_{\# ⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三}: \pi_{m}\left(V_{1, m}\right)=\pi_{m}\left(S^{m}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{m}\left(V_{k, m}\right)$ is surjective，and an isomorphism if m is even，where j is inclusion．

Proof：First，take $k=2$ ，so that $V_{2, m}=S O(m+2) / S O(m)$ and we have a natural fibration over $S^{m+1}=S O(m+2) / S O(m+1)$ with fibre $S^{m}=S O(m+1) / S O(m)$ ．Also we have a commutative diagram of fibre bundles：


By the naturality of the homotopy exact sequences we have：


By $6.9 \mathrm{p}_{⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三} \circ \partial=0$ if m is even， $\mathrm{p}_{⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三} \circ \partial$ is multiplication by 2 if $m$ is odd． Hence $\partial^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}_{⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三} \circ$ ，and from the exact homotopy sequence of the fibre bundle，

$$
\pi_{i+1}\left(S^{m+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial^{\prime}} \pi_{i}\left(S^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{j_{j}} \|_{i}\left(V_{2, m}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{i}\left(S^{m+1}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } i \leq m,
$$

we deduce that $j_{\#}$ is surjective for $i \leq m$ ，and $\pi_{i}\left(V_{2, m}\right)=0$ for $i<m$ ， $\pi_{m}\left(V_{2, m}\right)=2$ if $m$ is even，$\pi_{m}\left(V_{2, m}\right)=Z_{2}$ if $m$ is odd．

Consider next the natural inclusion $V_{k, m} \rightarrow V_{k+1, m}$ given by
including $S O(m+k) \rightarrow S O(m+k+1)$ in such a way that the subgroup $S O(m)$ is preserved. We have the commutative diagram:

and a corresponding diagram incorporating the exact sequences,


By Lemma 6.7, $i_{\sharp}$ is an isomorphism for $i<m+k-1$, and, since $k \geq 2$, it follows that $j_{\#}$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq m$.

QED
The following theorem describes what can be accomplished toward solution of the surgery problem, by the use of surgery below the middle dimension.
6.13 Theorem: Let ( $M, \partial M$ ) be a smooth compact m-manifold with boundary, $m \geq 4, \nu^{k}$ the normal bundle for $(M, \partial M) \subset\left(D^{m+k}, S^{m+k-1}\right), k \gg m$. Let $A$ be a finite complex, $B \subseteq A, \eta^{k}$ a $k-p l a n e ~ b u n d l e ~ o v e r ~ A, ~ l e t ~ f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B)$, and let $\mathrm{b}: \cup \longrightarrow \mathrm{n}$ be a linear bundle map covering f .

Then there.is a cobordism $W$ of $M$, with $\partial W=M U(\partial M \times I) U M^{\prime}, \partial M^{\prime}=\partial M \times I$, an extension $F$ of $f, F:(W, \partial M \times I) \rightarrow(A, B)$ with $F|\partial M \times t=f| \partial M$ for each $t \in I$, and an extension $\bar{b}$ of $b, b: \omega \rightarrow \eta$, where $\omega$ is the normal bundle of $W$ in $D^{m+k} \times I$, such that $f^{\prime}=F \mid M^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is $\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]$-connected ([a] is the greatest integer not larger than a).

Proof: The proof is by induction: we shall assume that $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ is $n$-connected, $n+1 \leq\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]$, and show how to construct $W, F$, etc. as above, with $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}+1)$-connected $(\mathrm{n}+1$ is any nonnegative integer).

If $n+1=0$, we need only show how to make the map induced on $\pi_{0}$ surjective. Since $A$ is a finite complex, A has only a finite number of components, $A=A_{1} \| A_{2} \mu \ldots A_{r}$. Let $a_{i} \in A_{i}$, and take $M^{\prime}=M \nu S_{1}^{m} \mu . . .4 S_{r}^{m}$, where $S_{i}^{m}$ is the $m$-sphere. Let $W=M \times I u D_{1}^{m+1} \mu \ldots U D_{r}^{m+1}$ and let $F: W \rightarrow A$ be defined by $F \mid M \times t=f$ for each $t \in I, F\left(D_{i}^{m+1}\right)=a_{i}$. Since the normal bundle of $D_{i}^{m+1}$ is trivial, and the extension condition on the bundle map is easy to fulfill on the $D_{i}^{m+1}$, it follows directly that $b$ extends to $\bar{b}$ over $W$. Clearly the map induced by $f^{\prime}=F \mid M^{\prime}$ is onto $\pi_{0}(A)$, which proves the initial step of our induction.

Now assume $n+1=1$, $f: M \longrightarrow A$ is 0 -connected. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be two components of $M$ such that $f\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(M_{2}\right)$ are in the same component of $A$. Take two points $x_{i} \in$ int $M_{i}, i=1,2$, and define $\bar{\phi}: S^{0} \rightarrow M$ by $\bar{\phi}(1)=x_{1}, \bar{\phi}(-1)=x_{2}$. Since $f\left(\bar{\phi}\left(S^{0}\right)\right.$ ) lies in a single component of $A$, it follows that $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ extends to $\overline{\mathrm{f}}: \mathrm{MU}_{\bar{\phi}} \mathrm{D}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}$. Then, since $\mathrm{m} \geq 4$, it follows from Theorems 6.6 and 6.12 that $\bar{\phi}$ extends to $\phi: S^{0} \times D^{m} \rightarrow M$ defining a normal cobordism of $f$ to $f$ ' and reducing the number of components of $M$. Using this argument repeatedly, we arrive at a 1-to-1 correspondence of components.

Now we consider the fundamental groups. Let $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{t}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\ell}\right\}$ be presentations of $\pi_{1}(A)$ and $\pi_{1}(M)$, resp. Let $s$ copies of $S^{0}$ be embedded disjointly in an m-cell $D^{m}$ int $M$, $\phi^{\prime}: S^{0} \rightarrow M$, and assume the base point of $M$ is in $D^{m}$ and $f\left(D^{m}\right)=*$, the
 free group on s generators $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}$, where each $g_{i}$ is the homotopy class of a loop in $D^{m} U\left(\underset{S}{u D^{l}}\right)$ consisting of a path in $D^{m}$, one of the $D^{1}$ 's, and another path in $D^{m}$. Hence $\pi_{1}(\bar{M})=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s} ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right\}$. Define $\overline{\mathrm{f}}: \overline{\mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ extending f by letting the image of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{D}^{1}$
traverse a loop representing the generator $a_{i}$. Then $\bar{f}_{\neq 1}: \pi_{1}(\bar{M}) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(A)$ is surjective, and furthermore we may represent $\bar{f}_{\|}$on the free groups $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right\}$ by a function $\alpha$, with $\alpha\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{\prime}$, $x_{i}^{\prime}$ a word in the $a_{j}$, and $\alpha\left(g_{i}\right)=a_{i}$. Then as above, we may extend $\phi^{\prime}$ to $\phi:\left(U S^{0}\right) \times D^{m} \rightarrow M$ to define a normal cobordism of $f$, and with $W_{\phi}=\bar{M}$, and $F: W_{\phi} \rightarrow A$ homotopic to $\overline{\mathrm{f}}: \overline{\mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$. (Here $\mathrm{W}_{\phi}$ is the trace of the simultaneous surgeries.). By Proposition 6.2, $\pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \tilde{\cong}_{\pi_{1}}\left(W_{\phi}\right)$, where $M^{\prime}$ is defined by $\partial W_{\phi}=M \cup(\partial M \times I) \cup M^{\prime}$, and hence $f_{\#}^{\prime}: \pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(A)$ is surjective, $\pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ has the same presentation as $\pi_{1}(\bar{M})$, and $f_{\#}^{r}$ is also represented by $\alpha$ on the free groups. In particular, $f^{\prime}$ is 1 -connected.

Let us consider the exact sequence of the map $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ in homotopy, $\because \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(f) \longrightarrow \pi_{n}(M) \longrightarrow \pi_{n}(A) \longrightarrow \pi_{n}(f) \longrightarrow \ldots$
Recall that the elements of the groups $\pi_{n+1}(f)$ are defined by commutative diagrams:
 where $k$ is the inclusion of the boundary,
and all maps and homotopies preserve base points. Thus $\beta$ defines a map $\overline{\mathrm{f}}: \mathrm{Mu}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{n}+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}$ extending f .
6.14 Lemma: Let $f: M \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be $n$-connected, $n>0$, and let $(B, Q) \in \pi_{n+1}(f)$ be the element represented by the above diagram (*). If $\overline{\mathrm{f}}: \mathrm{Mu}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{n}+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}$ is defined by $\beta$ as above, then $\pi_{i}(\bar{f})=\pi_{i}(f)=0$ for $i \leq n$, and $\pi_{n+1}(\bar{f}) \tilde{\cong}_{n+1}(f) / K$, where $K$ is a normal subgroup containing the $\pi_{1}(M)$ module generated by the element $(\beta, \hat{\alpha})$ in $\pi_{n+1}(f)$.

Proof of Lemma 6.14: Consider the commutative diagram:


Here $i: M \mapsto M u_{\alpha} D^{n+1}$ is inclusion, and $j_{\#}$ is induced by ( $1, i$ ) on the diagram (*) (i.e. $j_{\#}\left[\beta^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right]=\left[\beta^{\prime}, i \circ \alpha^{\prime}\right]$ ). Clearly, $i_{\#}$ is an isomorphism for $\ell<n$, and surjective for $\ell=n$, so it follows easily that $\pi_{\ell}(\bar{f})=\pi_{\ell}(f)=0$ for $\ell \mathrm{n}$ (by the Five Lemma).

Clearly any map of $S^{n}$ into $\mathrm{MU}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ is homotopic to a map into M , so that any pair ( $\beta^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}$ ) : $S^{\mathrm{n} \alpha^{\prime}} \mathrm{MU} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{n+1}}$ is homotopic to a pair of

the form ( $\beta^{\prime \prime}, i \circ \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ ):


Hence $j_{\#}: \pi_{n+1}(f) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\bar{f})$ is surjective.
Clearly $(\beta, \alpha)$ is in the kernel of $j_{\#}$ and hence everything obtained from $(\beta, \alpha)$ by the action of $\pi_{1}(M)$ is also in ker $j_{\#}$, which proves the 1emma. QED

We have already shown that we may assume, without loss of generality, that $f: M \rightarrow A$ is 1-connected, and that the fundamental groups have presentations $\pi_{1}(M)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s} ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\ell}\right\}, y_{i}$ words in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ only, $\pi_{1}(A)=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{t}\right\}$, with $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(A)$ presented by the function (on the free groups) $\alpha\left(x_{j}\right)=x_{j}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)$ a word in $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}, j=1, \ldots, k$, and $\alpha\left(g_{i}\right)=a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s$.
6.15 Lemma: ker $f_{\#}$ is the smallest normal subgroup containing the words $x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}\right)\right), j=1, \ldots, k$ and $r_{i}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}\right), i=1, \ldots, t$. Proof of Lemma 6.15: Adding the relations $x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}(\bar{g})\right)$ makes $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}$ into a set of generators. Adding the $r_{i}(\bar{g})$ makes the group into $\pi_{1}(A)$, with $\alpha$ defining the isomorphism. The map $\alpha$ annihilates $x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}(\bar{g})\right)$
and $r_{i}(\bar{g})$ ，so that these elements generate ker $f_{\#}$ as a normal subgroup． For each element $x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}(\bar{g})\right)$ and $r_{i}(\bar{g})$ choose an element $\bar{x}_{j}, \bar{r}_{i} \in \pi_{2}(f)$ such that $\bar{x}_{j}=x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}(\bar{g})\right), \quad \bar{r}_{i}=r_{i}(\bar{g})$ ，and choose embeddings $S^{1} \rightarrow M$ to represent the $\bar{x}_{j}$ and $\bar{r}_{i}$（also denoted by $\bar{x}_{j}$ and $\bar{r}_{i}$ ）such that their images．are all disjoint，which is possible by general position，since m 4．Let $\bar{M}=M \cup\left({ }_{k+t} \mathrm{D}^{2}\right)$ ，with the 2 －discs attached by these embeddings． It follows from Lemma 6.14 that $\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\text {非 }}: \pi_{1}(\overline{\mathrm{M}}) \rightarrow \pi_{1}$（A）is an isomorphism． Using again Theorems 6.6 and 6.12 ，it follows that there is a normal cobordism $W$ ，and a map $F: W \longrightarrow A$ such that $\bar{M} \subset W$ is a deformation retract and $F \mid \bar{M}=\bar{f}$ ，so that $F_{\#}: \pi_{1}(W) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(A)$ is an isomorphism．By Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 ，it follows that if $M^{\prime}$ is the result of surgery，then $f_{\| ⿰ 扌}^{\prime}: \pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(A)$ is an isomorphism，and hence $\pi_{2}(A) \rightarrow \pi_{2}(f)$ is surjective， and thus $\pi_{2}(f)$ is abelian．

We now proceed to the induction step．Suppose $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ is n －connected， $n>0$ ，and if $n=1$ suppose $\pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(A)$ is an isomorphism，so that $\pi_{2}(f)$ is abelian．

6．16 Lemma：$\pi_{n+1}(f)$ is a finitely generated module over $\pi_{1}(M)$ ．
This lemma is proved using universal covering spaces［Browder 1972］．
Now we may represent each of this finite number of generators in $\pi_{n+1}(f)$ by a diagram．

from Whitney＇s embedding theorem（＇general position＇）that we may choose $\left(\beta_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ so that the $\alpha_{i}$ have disjoint images．Setting $\bar{M}=M u\left(u_{i} D_{i}^{n+1}\right)$ ， $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ attached by $\alpha_{i}, \overline{\mathrm{f}}: \overline{\mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ defined by the $\beta_{i}$ ，we may apply Theorems 6.6 and 6.12 to thicken $\bar{M}$ to a normal cobordism $W$ of $M$ ，and using 6．14， $\pi_{\ell}(\bar{f})=0$ for $\ell \leq n+1$ ．If $M^{\prime}$ is the result of the surgeries
(i.e. $\left.\partial W=M \cup(\partial M \times I) \cup M^{\prime}\right)$, from Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 it follows that $\pi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \cong \pi_{i}(\bar{f})=0$ for $i \leq n+1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.13. QED

Note that we have always used the low dimensionality of the groups involved to ensure that 0 was zero (by Theorem 6.12) and to find representatives of elements of $\pi_{n+1}(f)$ which were embeddings. To derive results in higher dimensions, we shall have to find other means of dealing with these obstacles.

## §7. Initial Results in the Middle Dimension.

Let $(A, B)$ be an oriented Poincare pair of dimension $m$, let $M$ be an oriented smooth compact m-manifold with boundary $\partial \mathrm{M}$, and let $f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B)$ be a map of degree 1 . Let $\eta^{k}$ be a linear $k-p$ lane bundle over $A, k \gg m$, and let $\nu^{k}$ be the normal bundle of ( $M, \partial M$ ) in $\left(D^{m+k}, S^{m+k-1}\right)$. Suppose $b: v \longrightarrow n$ is a linear bundle map covering $f$. Then ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b}$ ) is what we have called a normal map. (Recall that we defined a normal cobordism of (f,b) rel B to be an (m+1)-manifold $W$ with $\partial W=M \cup(\partial M \times I) \cup M^{\prime}$, together with an extension of $f$, $F:(W, \partial M \times I) \rightarrow(A, B)$ for which $F|\partial M \times t=f| \partial M$ for each $t \in I$, and an extension $\bar{b}$ of $b$ to the normal bundle $\omega$ of $W$ in $D^{m+k^{\prime}} \times$.)

Suppose further that $A$ is a simply-connected CW complex, $m \geq 5$, and that $(f \mid \partial M)_{\star}: H_{夫}(\partial M) \rightarrow H_{*}(B)$ is an isomorphism.
7.1 Theorem: There is a normal cobordism rel B of (f,b) to ( $f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ) such that $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is $\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1-$ connected if and only if $\sigma(f, b)=0$.

In particular, this is true if $m$ is odd.
The proof of this theorem will occupy the balance of the present chapter. First note the ultimate corollary.
7.2. Corollary: (Fundamental. Theorem of Surgery) The map $f^{\prime}$ above is a homotopy equivalence. Hence, (f,b) is normally cobordant rel B to a homotopy equivalence if and only if $\sigma(f, b)=0$. In particular, there is such a normal cobordism if $m$ is odd.

Proof of Corollary 7.2: By the naturality of the exact homology sequence of pairs, we have
 $f^{\prime}\left|\partial M^{\prime}=f\right| \partial M$, we see that $\left(f^{\prime} \mid \partial M^{\prime}\right)_{*}$ is an isomorphism in each dimension. By 7.1, $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is $\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]+1$-connected, so that $f_{x}^{\prime}: H_{i}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{i}(A)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq \frac{m}{2}$. Thus by the Five Lemma, $\bar{f}_{t}^{\prime}: H_{i}\left(M^{\prime}, \partial M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{i}(A, B)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq \frac{m}{2}$. Since $f^{\prime}$ is a map of degree 1 , it follows from Poincaré duality that $f^{\prime *}: H^{j}(A) \rightarrow H^{j}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism for $j \geq m-\frac{m}{2}=\frac{m}{2}$. Now $f^{\prime * j}: H^{j}(A) \rightarrow H^{j}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is given by $f^{\prime *}{ }^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(f_{*_{j}}^{\prime}, Z\right)+\operatorname{Ext}\left(f_{* j-1}^{\prime}, Z\right)$, according to the Universal Coëfficient Theorem, where $f_{*_{j}}^{\prime}: H_{j}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{j}(A)$, etc.

Since $f_{*_{i}}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq \frac{m}{2}$, it follows that $f^{* j}$ is an isomorphism for $j \leq \frac{m}{2}$, and hence $f^{\prime \prime}: H^{j}(A) \rightarrow H^{j}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism for all j. Thus, $H^{*}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=0$, and the Universal Coéfficient Theorem implies that $H_{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=0$. But $M^{\prime}$ and $A$ are simply-connected, so that by the Relative Hurewicz Theorem and the. Theorem of Whitehead we have the result: $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is a homotopy equivalence. This establishes the corollary.

We shall develop certain preliminary results before proceeding with the proof of Theorem 7.1.

By Theorem 6.13, we may assume that $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{A}$ is $\left[\frac{\mathrm{m}}{2}\right]$-connected, i.e. $\pi_{i}(f)=0$ for $i \leq\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]$. Set $\ell=\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]$. Since $A$ and $M$ are simply-connected, it follows from the Relative Hurewicz Theorem that $\pi_{\ell+1}(f) \tilde{=}_{\ell+1}(f)$. This gives a commutative diagram:

where $h$ is the Hurewicz homomorphism, and $f_{\sharp}$ is the map induced by $f$ in homotopy. Recall that $f_{*}$ is surjective, and splits by Theorem 5.12. It follows that (ker $\left.f_{*}\right)_{\ell}=h\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{\sharp}\right)_{\ell}$.

Whitney's embedding theorem states: 'Let $\mathrm{c}: \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{m}}$ be a continuous map of smooth manifolds, $\mathrm{m} \geq 2 \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{n}>2$, M simply-connected, V connected. Then $c$ is homotopic to a smooth embedding.' (A proof can be found in [Milnor 1965].)

Since $\ell \leq \frac{m}{2}$, it follows from Whitney's embedding theorem that any element $x \in \pi_{\ell+1}$ (f) may be represented by $(\beta, \bar{\phi})$, where $\bar{\phi}: S^{\ell} \rightarrow$ int $M$ is a smooth embedding, and $B: D^{\ell+1} \rightarrow A, \beta \circ i=f \circ \bar{\phi}$. Set $\bar{M}=M U \bar{\phi}^{l+1}, \bar{f}: \bar{M} \rightarrow A$ the extension of $f$ defined using $\beta$.

We should like to thicken ( $\overline{\mathrm{M}}, \overline{\mathrm{f}}$ ) to a normal cobordism; i.e. to perform normal surgery using $\bar{\phi}$, and to examine $\pi_{\ell+1}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$, where $f^{\prime}$ is the map on the result of the surgery, with the hope of having killed the homotopy class of $\bar{\phi}$. However, there are two difficulties we must face: First, if $m=2 \ell$, then according to Theorems 6.6 and 6.12, there is an obstruction $O$ to thickening ( $\bar{M}, \bar{f}$ ) to a normal cobordism, which lies in a nontrivial group $\pi_{\ell}\left(V_{k, \ell}\right)$.

Second, although we may compute $\pi_{\ell+1}(\bar{f})$ using Lemma 6.14, it is no longer clear how this group is related to $\pi_{\ell+1}$ (f'), if $\ell=\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]$.

We shall first direct our attention toward the second difficulty.
Unless stated otherwise, we shall assume henceforth that (f,b) is a normal map stisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 7.1 , and $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{A}$ is $q$-connected, where $q=\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]$, i.e. $m=2 q$ or $2 q+1$.
7.3 Lemma: $f$ is $(q+1)$-connected if and only if $f_{*}: H_{q}(M) \rightarrow H_{q}(A)$ is an isomorphism, i.e. if and only if $K_{q}(M)=0$.

Proof: By the Relative Hurewicz Theorem, $\pi_{q+1}(f) \cong{ }_{q}{ }_{q+1}(f)$, and by Theorem $5.12, \mathrm{f}_{x}: \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}+1}(\mathrm{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}+1}$ (A) is surjective, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{q+1}(f) \cong\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right){ }_{q} \cong K_{q}(M) \tag{QED}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we need not examine homotopy, but will study the effect of surgery on homology. The following lemma will allow us to simplify our arguments by considering only the case of closed manifolds.

Let $\left(f_{i}, b_{i}\right), i=1,2$, be two disjoint copies of the normal map $(f, b)$, so that $f_{i}:\left(M_{i}, \partial M_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(A_{i}, B_{i}\right), i=1,2$, is just $f$ renamed. Then by the Sum Theorem for Poincare pairs [Browder 1972, I.3.2], $A_{3}=A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ with $B_{1}$ identified to. $B_{2}$ is a Poincare complex (called the double of $A$ ), $M_{3}=M_{1} \cup M_{2}$, united along $\partial M_{1}=\partial M_{2}$, is a smooth closed oriented manifold, and $f_{3}=f_{1} \cup f_{2}, b_{3}=b_{1} \cup b_{2}$ define a normal map $\left(f_{3}, b_{3}\right): M_{3} \rightarrow A_{3}$. Since $(f \mid \partial M)_{*}$ is an isomorphism, the Mayer-Vietoris sequences imply that $H_{i}\left(f_{3}\right)=0$ for $i<q+1$, and
$H_{q+1}\left(f_{3}\right) \cong K_{q}\left(M_{3}\right) \cong K_{q}\left(M_{1}\right) \oplus K_{q}\left(M_{2}\right)$.
Now suppose $\phi: S^{q} \times D^{m-q} \rightarrow i n t M_{1}$ is a smooth embedding such that $\mathrm{f}_{1} \circ \phi \simeq \varepsilon_{*}$ (the constant map), and such that $\phi$ defines a normal surgery on $M_{1}$ and, by inclusion, on $M_{3}$ (with respect to $\left(f_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ and ( $\left.f_{3}, b_{3}\right)$ ). If a prime denotes the result of surgery, we have $M_{3}^{1}=M_{1}^{\prime} \cup M_{2}$ and $K_{q}\left(M_{3}^{\prime}\right) \cong K_{q}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus K_{q}\left(M_{2}\right)$. This follows from the fact that the surgery has not affected the factor $M_{2}$ in the decomposition of $M_{3}$.

Thus we have:
7.4 Proposition: The effect of normal surgery on $K_{q}(M)$ is the same as the effect of the induced surgery on $K_{q}\left(M_{3}\right)$, and hence to compute its effect, we may assume $\partial M=B=\phi$.

This construction will simplify the algebra in our discussion.
Let $\phi: S^{q^{\prime}} \times D^{m-q} \rightarrow$ int $M$ be a smooth embedding which defines a normal surgery on $M$ (with respect to ( $f, b$ )). Set $M_{0}=M \backslash$ int im $\phi$, and let. $M^{\prime}=M_{0} \cup D^{q+1} \times S^{m-q-1}$, so that $\phi\left(S^{q} \times S^{m-q-1}\right)$ is identified with $S^{q} \times S^{m-q-1}=$ $\partial\left(D^{q+1} \times S^{m-q-1}\right)$. Then $M^{\prime}$ is the result of the surgery on $M$. Since $\phi$ defines a normal surgery, $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong H_{q}(A) \oplus K_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, and we wish to determine how $K_{q}(M)$ changes to $K_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ (which is the same as the change of $H_{q}(M)$ to $\left.H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

We formulate some useful results concerning the relation between Poincare duality in manifolds and submanifolds.
7.5 Proposition: Let $U$ and $W$ be compact m-manifolds with boundary, $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow$ int $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{g}:(\mathrm{W}, \partial \mathrm{W}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W} \backslash$ int U$)$ embeddings, with orientations compatible. Then the following diagram commutes:

so that for $x \in H^{q}(U / \partial U), f_{*}^{\prime}([U] \cap x)=[W] \cap \bar{g}^{*}(x)$, where $\bar{g}: W / \partial W \longrightarrow U / \partial U$. Proof: If $\overline{\mathrm{f}}:(\mathrm{U}, \partial \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W} \backslash$ int U$)$, then $\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\star}[\mathrm{U}]=\mathrm{g}_{*}[\mathrm{~W}]$, since we have oriented $U$ and $W$ compatibly. Then the commutativity follows from the naturality of the cap product.

QED
7.6 Corollary: Set $E$ equal to the normal tube of $f: N^{n} \rightarrow W^{m}, N$ closed and oriented, and let $\bar{g}: W / \partial W \longrightarrow E / \partial E=T(\nu)$, where $\nu$ is the normal bundle of $N^{n} \subset W^{m}$. Let $U \in H^{m-n}(T(\nu))$ be the Thom class. Then $[W] n^{*}{ }^{*}=f_{t}[N]$.

Proof: Since $[E] \cap U=[N]$ by 7.5, $f_{*}([E] \cap U)=f_{*}[N]=[W] \cap\left(\bar{g}^{*} U\right)$. QED
The intersection pairing in homology, $\cdot: H_{q}(M) \otimes H_{m-q}(M, \partial M) \rightarrow Z$ is defined by $x \cdot y=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(x^{\prime} \cup y^{\prime}\right)[M]$, where $x^{\prime} \in H^{m-q}(M, \partial M), y^{\prime} \in H^{q}(M)$ are dual to $x, y$, i.e. $[M] n x^{\prime}=x,[M] n y^{\prime}=y$. This induces an intersection product $\cdot: H_{q}(M) \otimes H_{m-q}(M) \rightarrow Z$ by $x \cdot y=x \cdot j_{*}(y)$, where $j: M \rightarrow(M, \partial M)$ is inclusion.

The properties of the bilinear form ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) on cohomology induce analogous properties for the intersection pairing, such as
(a) With coedfficients in a field $F, H_{q}(M ; F) \otimes H_{m-q}(M, \partial M ; F) \rightarrow F$ is a nonsingular pairing. (Thils also holds over $Z$, modulo torsion.)
(b) If $x \in H_{q}(M), y \in H_{m-q}(M), x \cdot y=(-1)^{q(m-q)} y \cdot x$.
7.7. Proposition: Let $x \in H_{q}(M), y \in H_{m-q}(M, \partial M), x^{\prime} \in H^{m-q}(M, \partial M), y^{\prime} \in H^{q}(M)$ be such that $[M] n x^{\prime}=x ;[M] n y^{\prime}=y$. Then $x \cdot y=x^{\prime}(y)$.

Proof: $x \cdot y=\left(x^{\prime} \cup y^{\prime}\right)[M]=x^{\prime}\left([M] n y^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}(y)$, using elementary properties of the cup and cap products.

Now let $\phi: S^{q} \times D^{m-q} \rightarrow$ int $M$ be a smooth embedding. Set $E=S^{q} \times D^{m-q}$, $M_{0}=M \backslash \phi($ int $E), M^{\prime}=M_{0} \cup\left(D^{q+1} \times S^{m-q-1}\right)$, the result of surgery based on $\phi$.

Following [Kervaire, Milnor 1963] we will consider the exact sequences of the pairs ( $M, M_{0}$ ) and ( $M^{1}, M_{0}$ ).

As usual, we have the excision $\phi:(E, \partial E) \rightarrow\left(M, M_{0}\right)$ which induces isomorphisms on the relative homology and cohomology groups. Thinking of $E$ as the normal tube of $S^{q} \subset M$, let $U \in H^{m-q}(E, \partial E)=Z$ be the Thom class, a generator (cf. 7.6). If $\mu=[E] \cap U$, then $\mu=i_{*}\left[S^{q}\right], i: S^{q} \rightarrow E$, and $\mu \cdot x=U(x)$ for any $x \in H_{m-q}(E, \partial E)$ by 7.7. This induces an isomorphism $H_{m-q}(E, \partial E) \rightarrow Z$ by property (a) above. Let $j: M \leftrightarrow\left(M, M_{0}\right)$ be the inclusion. 7.8 Proposition: $\mu \cdot\left(j_{*}(y)\right)=\left(\phi_{*}(\mu)\right) \cdot y$.

Proof: $\mu \cdot\left(j_{*}(y)\right)=U\left(j_{*}(y)\right)=\left(j^{*} U\right)(y)=\left(\phi_{*}(\mu)\right) \cdot y$, using 7.7 and 7.6 , and identifying $j_{*}: H_{*}(M) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(M, M_{0}\right)$ with the collapsing map

$$
\bar{j}_{*}: H_{*}(M) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(M / M_{0}\right) \tilde{=} H_{*}(E / \partial E) .
$$

7.9 Corollary: The following sequence is exact:
 where $\mathrm{x}=\phi_{*}(\mu), \mu \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}} \times \mathrm{D}{ }^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{q}}\right)$ is the image of $\left[\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}}\right]$, the orientation class of $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}}$.

Proof: The sequence is that of ( $M, M_{0}$ ), replacing $H_{m-q}\left(M, M_{0}\right)$ by
using the diagram
and using 7.8 to identify $\mathrm{x} \cdot$.

Thus there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}+1}\left(\mathrm{M}_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}+1}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{y}^{\bullet}} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}^{\prime}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}^{-}\left(\mathrm{M}_{0}\right){\xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0}_{\longrightarrow}
$$

where $y=\psi_{*}\left(\mu^{\prime}\right), \mu^{\prime}=k_{*}^{\prime}\left[S^{m-q-1}\right]$ generates $H_{m-q-1}\left(D^{q+1} \times S^{m-q-1}\right)$,
$\psi: \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{q}-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is the natural embedding, and $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}: \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{q}-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{q}-1}$ is inclusion.

Let $\lambda \in H_{r+1}\left(S^{q_{\times D}}{ }^{r+1}, S^{q^{\prime}} S^{r}\right)=Z$ be the generator such that $U(\lambda)=1$, and similarly for $\lambda^{\prime}$. (We shall allow $\lambda$ and $\mu \cdot \lambda, \lambda^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime} \cdot \lambda^{\prime}$ to be confused.)
7.10 Lemma: $i_{*} d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\phi_{*}(\mu)=x$ and $i_{*}^{\prime} d^{d}(\lambda)=\psi_{*}\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)=y$.

Proof: Let $m=q+r+1$. We have a commutative diagram:


Clearly, if $\lambda \in H_{r+1}\left(S^{q^{\prime}}{ }^{r+1}, S^{q} \times S^{r}\right)$ such that $U(\lambda)=1$, then $\partial_{1} \lambda=1 \otimes\left[S^{r}\right] \in H_{r}\left(S^{q^{\prime}} \times S^{r}\right)$. We also have the commutative diagram

and $\mathrm{i}_{2 *}\left(1 \otimes\left[\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{r}}\right]\right)=\mu^{\prime}$.

Hence $\quad i_{*}^{\prime} d(\lambda)=i_{*}^{\prime} \partial \phi_{*}(\lambda)=i_{*}^{\prime} \phi_{0} \partial_{l}(\lambda)=\psi_{*} i_{2}\left(1 \otimes\left[S^{r}\right]\right)=\psi_{*}\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)=y$.
A similar argument proves the other assertion. QED
7.11 Theorem: Let $\phi: S^{\mathrm{q}_{\times D}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ be an embedding, $M$ a closed m-manifold, $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{r}+1, \mathrm{q} \leq \mathrm{r}+1$. Suppose $\bar{\phi}_{\star}\left[\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}}\right]=\phi(\mu)=\dot{x}$ generates an infinite cyclic direct summand of $H_{q}(M)$. Then rank $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)<$ rank $H_{q}(M)$, and torsion $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \xlongequal{\tilde{n}}$ torsion $H_{q}(M)$, i.e. the free part of $H_{q}(M)$ is reduced and the torsion part is not increased. Further $H_{i}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong H_{i}(M)$ for $i<q$.
7.12 Corollary: Let (f,b) be a normal map, $f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B),(f \mid \partial M) *$ an isomorphism, and let $\phi: S^{\mathrm{q}_{\times D}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}+1} \rightarrow$ int M be an embedding which defines a normal cobordism of $(f, b), q \leq r+1$. Suppose $\phi_{\boldsymbol{*}}(\mu)=x$ generates an infinite cyclic direct summand of $K_{q}(M)$. Then rank $K_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)<r a n k K_{q}(M)$, and torsion $K_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong$ torsion $K_{q}(M)$, while $K_{i}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong K_{i}(M)$ for $i<q$.

The corollary follows directly from. 7.11 and Proposition 7.4.
With a field of coefficients we have analogous results:
7.13 Theorem: Let $\phi, M$ be as in 7.11 , and suppose $\phi_{*}(\mu)=x \neq 0$ in $H_{q}(M ; F)$. Then $\operatorname{rank}_{F} H_{q}\left(M^{\prime} ; F\right)<\operatorname{rank}_{F} H_{q}(M ; F)$, and $H_{i}\left(M^{\prime} ; F\right) \cong H_{i}(M ; F)$ for $i<q$.
7.14 Corollary: With the hypotheses of 7.12 , suppose only that
$\phi_{*}(\mu)=x \neq 0$ in $K_{q}(M ; F)$. Then $\operatorname{rank}_{F} K_{q}\left(M^{\prime} ; F\right)<\operatorname{rank}_{F} K_{q}(M ; F)$ and
$K_{i}\left(M^{\prime} ; F\right) \cong K_{i}(M ; F)$ for $i<q$.
The proof of 7.14 is similar to that of 7.12 .
Proof of. Theorem 7.11: Consider the exact sequence of Corollary 7.9:

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{r+1}\left(M_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H_{r+1}(M) \xrightarrow{x \cdot} Z \xrightarrow{d} H_{r}\left(M_{0}\right) \longrightarrow H_{r}(M) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $x$ generates an infinite cyclic direct summand, it follows from property (a) of the intersection pairing that there is an element $y \in H_{r+1}(M)$ such that $x \cdot y=1$ (since $\partial M=\phi$ ).

Hence $x$ • is surjective and we get

$$
i_{*}: H_{r}\left(M_{0}\right) \cong_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{M}) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{r}+1}\left(\mathrm{M}_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}_{t}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{r}+1}(\mathrm{M}) \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow 0
$$

Consider the exact sequence of Corollary 7.9 for ( $M^{\prime}, M_{0}$ ) and the diagram from Lemma 7.10:

where $i_{*} d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=x$. Since $x$ generates an infinite cyclic direct summand, it follows that. i $^{\text {d' }}$ ' splits, so that $d^{\prime}$ splits, and
$H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right) \cong 2 \oplus H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \quad i_{*}^{\prime}: H_{q+1}\left(M_{0}\right)=H_{q+1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$
From (3) it follows that rank $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=r a n k H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right)-1$, and since $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{r}$ or $\mathrm{r}+1$, from (1) it follows that rank $H_{q}(M) \geq$ rank $H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right)$, so that rank $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)<$ rank $H_{q}(M)$ (the difference being 1 if $q=r, 2$ if $q=r+1$ ). From (1) it follows that torsion $H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to torsion $H_{q}(M)$, and from (3) it follows that torsion $H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right) \cong$ torsion $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Hence torsion $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \approx$ torsion $H_{q}(M)$. QED

The proof of 7.13 is almost identical, using (1), (2), and (3) with coëfficients in $F$, and using property (a) of intersection with coëfficients in F. The details are omitted.

To proceed further in the proof of the Fundamental Theorem, we must consider different dimensions separately; in particular, we must distinguish 3 cases: $m$ odd, $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, and $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
§8. The Proof of the Fundamental Theorem for m odd.

From Corollary 7.12 we may deduce the following theorem.
8.1 Theorem: Let $(f, b)$ be a normal map, $f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B)$, A simplyconnected, $(f \mid \partial M)_{*}$ an isomorphism, $m=2 q+1 \geq 5$. There is a normal cobordism rel $B$ of ( $f, b$ ) to ( $f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ), such that $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is $q$-connected,
and $K_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong$ torsion $K_{q}(M)$.
Proof: By Theorem 7.11, we may first find a normal cobordism rel. B to $\left(f_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, such that $f_{1}: M_{1} \rightarrow A$ is $q$-connected. We note that the surgeries used in 7.11 are on embedded spheres of dimension less than $q$, so that it follows from Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 that $K_{q}\left(M_{1}\right) \cong \mathcal{K}_{q}(M) \oplus F$, where $F$ is the free abelian group produced by killing torsion classes in $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{q}-1}(\mathrm{M})$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that $f$ is $q$-connected. Let $x \in K_{q}(M)$ be a generator of an infinite cyclic direct summand. Since $f$ is $q$-connected, it follows from the Relative Hurewicz Theorem that $\pi_{q+1}(f) \cong \tilde{H}_{q+1}(f)$, and $H_{q+1}(f) \cong K_{q}(M)$ by Theorem 5.12. Since $q<\frac{m}{2}$, it follows from the Whitney Embedding Theorem that we may represent
 Then $\beta$ defines a map $\overline{\mathrm{f}}: \overline{\mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ where $\overline{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{Mu}{ }_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}+1}$, and by Theorem 6.12, since $\mathrm{q}<\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{q}$, the obstruction to thickening $\overline{\mathrm{M}}$ to a normal cobordism is zero. If $x^{\prime} \in \pi_{q+1}$ (f) is such that a represents $x \in K_{q}(M)$, then by Corollary 7.11, $K_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ has rank one less than $K_{q}(M)$, and the same $\because, \quad 幺$ torsion subgroup. Iterating this procedure until the rank is zero proves the theorem. QED

We derive an important diagram by uniting the two exact sequences of Corollary 7.9.
8.2 Lemma: We have a diagram:

where $i_{*} d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=x=\phi_{*}(\mu), i_{*}^{\prime} d(\lambda)=y=\psi_{*}\left(\mu^{\prime}\right), \mu$ is a generator of $H_{q}\left(S^{q} \times D^{q+1}\right)$, $\mu^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{I}_{\times S} \mathrm{q}^{\prime}}\right.$, etc.

Hence, $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) /\left(i_{*}^{\prime} d Z\right) \cong H_{q}(M) /\left(i_{*} d^{\prime} Z\right)$.
Proof: This follows directly from Corollary 7.9 and Lemma 7.10, and the fact that $H_{q}(M) /\left(i_{*} d^{\top} Z\right) \cong H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right) /\left(d^{\prime} Z \oplus d Z\right) \cong H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) /\left(i_{*}^{\prime} d Z\right)$. QED If $x=i_{*} d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is a torsion element of order $s$, then $x^{\cdot}$ is the zero map, so that part of the diagram of 8.2 becomes the short exact sequence:


Since $i_{*}$ is a homomorphism, sd'( $\left.\lambda^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} i_{*}=i m d$, so we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sd}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=d(n)=d((-t) \lambda)=-\operatorname{td}(\lambda), \text { and } \operatorname{sd}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{td}(\lambda)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right)$, for some $t \in Z$.
8.3 Lemma: Suppose $x$ is a torsion element of finite order $s$ in $H_{q}(M)$. Then $y$ is of infinite orđerr if $t=0$, and of (finite) order $t$ if $t \neq 0$. Proof: Since $d(\lambda)$ is of infinite order by (i) (which implies that $d$ is injective), (2) shows that $d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is also of infinite order if $t \neq 0$ (since $s \neq 0$ ). Clearly ty $=t i_{*}^{\prime} d(\lambda)=i_{*}^{\prime}\left(-s d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$, since $i_{k}^{\prime} \circ d^{\prime}=0$, and using (2). Hence (order y)|t.

If $t^{\prime} y=0$, then $t^{\prime} i_{*}^{\prime} d(\lambda)=i_{*}^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime} d(\lambda)\right)=0$, so $t^{\prime} d(\lambda) \in$ ker $i_{*}^{\prime}=i m d^{\prime}$, and $t^{\prime} d(\lambda)=-s^{\prime} d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ for some $s \in Z$, or $s^{\prime} d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+t^{\prime} d(\lambda)=0$ in $H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right)$. Applying $i_{*}$, we get $s^{\prime} i_{*} d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=s^{\prime} x=0$, so $s^{\prime}=\ell \cdot s$. Subtracting $\ell$ times (2) from $s^{\prime} d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+t^{\prime} d(\lambda)=0$ we get $\left(t^{\prime}-\ell t\right) d(\lambda)=0$. But $d(\lambda)$ is of infinite order, so $t^{\prime}-\ell t=0$, or $t^{\prime}=\ell t$. Hence $t \mid t^{\prime}$, and $t=o r d e r y$.

Suppose $t=0$ so that $s^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=0$. Then ker $i_{f}^{\prime} \subseteq$ torsion $H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right)$, so $i_{*}^{\prime}$ is injective on $d Z$, and hence $y=i_{*}^{\prime} d(\lambda)$ is of infinite order in $H_{q}\left(M^{\top}\right)$. Consider the commutative diagram on the next page, in which $d$ and $d$ ' are from the exact sequences of Corollary 7.9.

Recall that $\lambda \in H_{q+1}\left(S^{q^{\prime}} D^{q+1}, S^{q_{X}} S^{q}\right)$ is such that $\partial \lambda=1 \otimes\left[S^{q}\right]$, and $\lambda^{\prime} \in H_{q+1}\left(D^{q+1} \times S^{q}, S^{q}{ }_{\times S}{ }^{q}\right)$ is such that $\partial^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}=\left[S^{q}\right] \otimes 1$.

Suppose $M$ is closed, so that $\partial M_{0}=S^{q} \times S^{q}$, and $\phi_{0}: S^{q} \times S{ }^{q} \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion of the boundary. Then we have the exact sequence diagram of Poincaré duality:


Thus, $\left[S^{q_{x}}{ }^{q}\right] \cap\left(i m \phi_{0}^{*}\right)=$ ker $\phi_{0}$.
By (3), $d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\phi_{0 *} a^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\phi_{0 *}\left(\left[S^{q}\right] \otimes 1\right)$, and

$$
d(\lambda)=\phi_{0_{*}} \partial(\lambda)=\phi_{0_{*}}\left(1 \otimes\left[s^{q}\right]\right),
$$

so that (2) can be rewritten as $\phi_{0 *}\left(s\left(\left[S^{\mathrm{q}}\right] \otimes 1\right)+t\left(1 \otimes\left[\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{q}}\right]\right)\right)=0$.
8.4 Lemma: Let $q$ be even. Then $\phi_{0 *}\left(s\left(\left[S^{q}\right] \otimes 1\right)+t\left(1 \otimes\left[S^{q}\right]\right)\right)=0$ implies either $s=0$ or $t=0$.

Proof: Let $U \in H^{q}\left(S^{q}\right)$ be such that $U\left[S^{q}\right]=1$. Then $\left[S^{q} \times S^{q}\right] \cap(U \otimes 1)=1 \otimes\left[S^{q}\right]$ and $\left[S^{q^{q}} \times S^{q}\right] \cap(1 \otimes U)=\left[S^{q}\right] \otimes 1$, in $H_{q}\left(S^{q} \times S^{q}\right)$. Hence

$$
\left[S^{q_{x S}}{ }^{q}\right] \cap(s(1 \otimes U)+t(U \otimes 1))=s\left(\left[S^{q}\right] \otimes 1\right)+t\left(1 \otimes\left[S^{q}\right]\right),
$$

and by (4) it follows that $s(1 \otimes U)+t(U \otimes 1)=\phi_{0}^{*}(z)$ for some $z \in H^{q}\left(M_{0}\right)$. But $\left.\phi_{0}^{*}: H^{2 q}\left(M_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{2 q}\left(S^{q}{ }_{\times S}\right)^{q}\right)$ is zero, as $\phi_{0}$ is the inclusion of the (connected) boundary of $M_{0}$. Hence $(s(I \otimes U)+t(U \otimes I))^{2}=\phi_{0}^{*}\left(z^{2}\right)=0$.

But $(s(1 \otimes U)+t(U \otimes 1))^{2}=2 s t(U \otimes U)$ if $q=d i m ~ U$ is even. Hence it is zero if and only if $s=0$ or $t=0$.

QED
Proof of Theorem 7.1 for $m=2 q+1$, q even: By. Theorem 8.1, we may assume $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{A}$ is q -connected and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{M})$ is a torsion group. Let $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{M})$ be the
generator of a cyclic. summand of order $s$. Let $\phi: S^{q^{q}} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M$ be an embedding with $\phi_{*}(\mu)=x$, and defining a normal cobordism of ( $f, b$ ). Assume $M$ is closed, using Proposition 7.4. Consider the diagram of Lemma 8.2. By Lemma 7.10, $i_{f^{\prime}} \mathrm{l}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{x}$, a generator of a summand $Z_{s} \subseteq H_{q}(M)$. By (2) and Lemma 8.4, sd'( $\left.\lambda^{\prime}\right)=0$, so " $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ generates a cyclic direct summand $Z_{s} \subseteq H_{q}(M)$.

From (1) it follows that torsion $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of torsion $H_{q}(M)$, and since $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong H_{q}\left(M_{0}\right) / d^{\prime} Z$, it follows that torsion $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of torsion $H_{q}(M)$ with at least one cyclic summand $Z_{s}$ missing, so the same is true for $K_{q}^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. (It follows also that rank $H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=$ rank $H_{q}(M)+1$.)

By Theorem 8.1 we may find a normal cobordism of ( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ) to ( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime \prime}$ ) with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ torsion $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right)$.

Iterating these constructions a finite number of times (since $K_{q}(M)$ is finitely generated) will produce an ( $f_{1}, b_{1}$ ) normally cobordant to ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b}$ ) with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)=0$, and $\mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{q}+1)$-connected. This completes the proof for $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. QED Proof of Theorem 7.1 for $m=2 q+1$, $q$ odd : Let $\phi: S^{q} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M$ be an embedding which defines a normal cobordism, i.e. so that ( $f, b$ ) extend over the trace of the surgery based on $\phi, W_{\phi}$. Let $\omega: S^{q} \rightarrow S O(q+1)$, with $S O(q+1)$ acting on $D^{q+1}$ from the right, and define a new embedding $\phi_{\omega}: S^{q^{\prime}} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M$ $\therefore$ by $\phi_{\omega}(x, t)=\phi(x, t \omega(x))$. Then $\phi_{\omega}$ defines a surgery with the result $M^{\prime}=M_{0} u_{\omega} ; D^{q+1} \times S^{q}$, where $M_{0}$ comes from surgery using $\phi$, and $\omega^{\prime}$ is the diffeomorphism $S^{q} \times S^{q} \rightarrow S^{q} \times S^{q}$ given by $\omega^{\prime}(x, y)=(x, y \omega(x))$.
8.5 Lemma: The trace of the surgery based on $\phi_{\omega}$ also defines a normal cobordism if and only if the homotopy class [ $\omega$ ] goes to zero in $\pi_{q}(\operatorname{SO}(q+k+1))$, i.e. $i_{\sharp}[\omega]=0$ where $i: S O(q+1) \rightarrow S O(q+k+1)$ is inclusion.

Proof：The map $\phi_{i \omega}: S^{q_{\times D}}{ }^{q+1}{ }_{\times R}{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \times R^{\mathrm{k}}$ given by $\phi_{\mathrm{i} \omega}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{r})=(\phi(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t} \omega(\mathrm{x})), \mathrm{r})$ $=\left(\phi_{\omega}(x, t), r\right)$ defines a new framing of the normal bundle to $S^{q}$ in $D^{m+k}$ ， i．e．of $\nu \mid S^{q} \oplus \nu^{\prime}$ ，where $v$ is the normal bundle of $M \subset D^{m+k}, v^{\prime}$ the normal bundle of $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}} \subset \mathrm{M}$ ．Then $\phi_{\omega}$ defines a normal cotiordism if and only if the framing extends to a framing of the normal bundle of $D^{q+1}$ in $D^{m+k} \times I$ ， so that the first part of the frame defines an embedding of $D^{q+1} \times D^{q+1}$ in $D^{m+k} \times I$ extending $\phi_{\omega}: S^{q_{\times D}}{ }^{q+1} \subseteq M \subset D^{m+k}$ ，and the second part of the frame extends the trivialisation of $\nu / \phi\left(S^{q} \times D^{q+1}\right)$ defined by $b: \nu \rightarrow n$ ，to a trivialisation of the normal bundle of $D^{q+1} \times D^{q+1}$ ，and hence that of $M \times I \cup D^{q+1}{ }_{\times D}{ }^{q+1}$ ．

Now $S^{q}=\partial D^{q+1}, D^{q+1} \subset D^{m+k} \times I$ such that the normal bundle of $S^{q}$ in $D^{m+k} \times 0$ is the restriction to $S^{q}$ of $\gamma$ ，the normal bundle of $D^{q+1}$ in $D^{m+k} \times I$ ．Now $\gamma$ has a framing defined on $S^{q}$ by the map $\hat{\Phi}: S^{q} \times D^{q+1} \times R^{k} \rightarrow E(v)$ ， $\hat{\phi}(x, t, r) \neq(\phi(x, t), r)$ since $\phi$ defined a normal cobordism．The difference of these two framings is a map of $S^{q}$ into $S O(q+k+1)$ which is obviously $i \omega$ ． Hence the frame $\phi_{i \omega}$ extends over $D^{q+1}$ if and only if $i \omega$ is homotopic to zero in $S O(q+k+1)$ ． QED

By Lemma 6．7，$\pi_{q}(S O(q+r)) \rightarrow \pi_{q}(S O(q+r+1))$ is an isomorphism for $r>1$ ， so that ker $i_{\| ⿰ 习 习}, \mathbf{i}_{\# \#}: \pi_{q}(S O(q+1)) \rightarrow \pi_{q}(S O(q+k+1))$ ，is the same for all $k \geq 1$ ． For $k=1$ ，the exact homotopy sequence of the fibre space

$$
\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q}+1) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q}+2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}+1}
$$

gives the result that（ker $\left.\mathrm{i}_{\text {非 }}\right)_{\mathrm{q}}=\partial_{0} \pi_{\mathrm{q}+1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{q}+1}\right.$ ），where $\partial_{0}: \pi_{\mathrm{q}+1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{q}+1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q}+1)$ ） is the boundary of the exact sequence．Hence from Lemma 8．5，if $\phi: S^{q} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M$ defines a normal cobordism，then we may change $\phi$ by $\omega: S^{q} \rightarrow S O(q+1)$ if $[\omega] \in \partial_{0} \pi_{q+1}\left(S^{q+1}\right)$ ，and $\phi_{\omega}$ will still define a normal cobordism．

Now we will compare the effect of the surgeries based on $\phi$ and $\phi_{\omega}$ ．

Let $\mathrm{g} \dot{\mathrm{i}}=\left[\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}}\right] \otimes 1, \quad \mathrm{~g} \dot{\prime}=1 \otimes\left[\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{q}}\right] \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}} \times \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ ．
8．6 Lemma：Let $\bar{g}$ be a generator of $\pi_{q+1}\left(S^{q+1}\right)$ ，and let $[\omega]=m \partial_{0}(\vec{g})$ ，


Proof：Reca11 that Lemma 6.19 says that the composition

$$
\overbrace{q+1}\left(S^{q+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{0} \rightarrow \pi}(S O(q+1)) \longrightarrow p_{q}\left(S^{q}\right)
$$

is multiplication by 2，if $q$ is odd．Now，中ó is represented by the composition $S^{q_{\times S}} \xrightarrow{q^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}} S^{q_{\times S}}{ }^{q} \xrightarrow{\phi_{0}} \rightarrow M_{0}$ ，where $\omega^{\prime}$ is given by $(x, y) \rightarrow(x, y \omega(x))$ ． If y is taken to be the base point $\mathrm{y}_{0} \in \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{q}}$ ，then by definition $\mathrm{y}_{0} \omega(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{p} \omega(\mathrm{x})$ ， where $p: S O(q+1) \rightarrow S^{q}$ is the bundle projection．Hence on $S^{q^{\prime}} \mathrm{y}_{0}, \phi^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{0}\right)$ $=\phi_{0}(x, p \omega(x))$ ，so $\phi_{0}^{j}=\phi_{0}(1 \times p \omega) \Delta$ on $S^{q_{x y_{0}}}$ ，where $\Delta: S^{q} \rightarrow S^{q_{x}} S^{q}$ is given by $x \longrightarrow(x, x)$ ．

If $g \in \pi_{q}\left(S^{q}\right)$ is the generator，$i_{1}(x)=\left(x, y_{0}\right), i_{2}(x)=\left(y_{0}, x\right), g_{j}=\left(i_{j}\right)_{i n} g$ ， then $\Delta_{\| ⿰ ⺝ 刂} g=g_{1}+g_{2}$ ，and $h\left(g_{j}\right)=g_{j}^{\prime}$ ，where $h$ is the Hurewicz homomorphism．


$$
=\phi_{0 \text { 非 }}\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)+2 \mathrm{~m} \phi_{0_{\text {非 }}}\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\omega\left(y_{0}\right)$ is the identity of $S 0(q+1)$ ，we have $\phi_{0}\left|y_{0} \times S^{q}=\phi_{0}\right| y_{0} \times S^{q}$ ， so $\phi_{0}{ }_{\text {非 }}\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)=\phi_{0 \text { 非 }}\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)$ ．The result in homology follows by applying h ．QED

Returning to the diagram of Lemma 8.2 ，where $d(\lambda)=\phi_{0 *}\left(1 \otimes\left[S^{\mathrm{q}}\right]\right)$ $=h \phi_{0 \text { 非 }}\left(g_{2}\right)$ ，and $d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=h \phi_{0_{\# 1}}\left(g_{1}\right)$ ，if we costruct the analogous diagram using $\phi_{\omega}$ instead of $\phi$ ，we find $d_{\omega}(\lambda)=h \phi_{\omega^{0} \#}\left(g_{2}\right)=d(\lambda)$ ，and $d_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=h \phi_{\omega^{0} \|}\left(g_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+2 \operatorname{md}(\lambda) \text {, or } d(\lambda)=d_{\omega}(\lambda), d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=d_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)-2 \operatorname{md}_{\omega}(\lambda) \text {. Hence (2) becomes } \\
& \quad s\left(d_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)-2 \operatorname{md}_{\omega}(\lambda)\right)+\operatorname{td}_{\omega}(\lambda)=0, \text { or } \operatorname{sd}_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+\left(t-2 \mathrm{~ms}^{2}\right) d_{\omega}(\lambda)=0 . \text { (5) } \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

8．7 Proposition：Let $p$ be a prime and let $x \in K_{q}(M)$ be an element of finite order such that $(x) \neq 0$ in $K_{q}\left(M ; Z_{p}\right)$ ，where（ $\left.\cdot\right)_{p}$ denotes reduction $\bmod p$ ．Let $\phi: S^{q} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow$ int $M$ be an embedding which represents $x$ ，i．e． $\phi_{*}(\mu)=x$ ，and which defines a normal surgery of $(f, b)$ ．Then one may choose $\omega: S^{q} \rightarrow S O(q+1)$ so that $\phi_{\omega}: S^{q} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow$ int $M$ also defines a normal
surgery of $(f, b)$, order (torsion $\left.K_{q}\left(M_{\omega}^{\dagger}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{corder}\left(\operatorname{torsion} K_{q}(M)\right)$, and $\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{p}} K_{q}\left(M_{\omega}^{\prime} ; Z_{p}^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{p}} K_{q}\left(M ; Z_{p}\right)$. (The order of a torsion group $T$ is the smallest positive integer $n$ such that $n x=0$ in $T$ for all $x \in T$.) Proof: By Lemma 8.2, $H_{q}(M) /(x) \cong H_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right) /(y)$, where ( $x$ ) indicates the subgroup generated by $x$. If the order of $x$ is $s$, then (2) gives $s d^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{td}(\lambda)=0$, and Lemma 8.3 states that the order of $y$ is $t$ if $t \neq 0$, and is infinite if $t=0$. By Lemma 8.5 we may change $\phi$ so that (2) becomes (5): $\quad \operatorname{sd}_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+(t-2 m s) d_{\omega}(\lambda)=0$, so that $H_{q}(M) /(x) \cong \overbrace{q}\left(M_{\omega}^{\prime}\right) /\left(y_{\omega}\right)$ with order $y_{\omega}=t-2 m s$ if $t-2 m s 0$, and $y_{\omega}$ of infinite order if $t-2 m s=0$. Choose $m$ so that $-s \leq(t-2 m s) \leq s$, which guarantees that order $y_{\omega} \leq$ order $x$ or $y_{\omega}$ is of infinite order. Hence, order (torsion $H_{q}\left(M_{\omega}^{\top}\right)$ ) is not larger than order (torsion $H_{q}(M)$ ), and so order (torsion $K_{q}\left(M_{\omega}^{\top}\right)$ ) is less than or equal to order (torsion $K_{q}(M)$ ). But if $(x) \neq 0$, then by Corollary 7.14, $\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{p}} K_{q}\left(M_{\omega}^{\prime} ; Z_{p}\right)<\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{p}} K_{q}\left(M ; Z_{p}\right)$. QED We are now able to complete the proof of Theorem 7.1 for $m \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Let ( $f, b$ ) be a normal map, and by Theorem 8.1 we may assume $f$ is $q$-connected, and $K_{q}(M)$ is a torsion group. Let $p$ be the largest prime dividing order $K_{q}(M)$, and let $x \in K_{q}(M)$ be an element such that $(x) \neq 0$ in $K_{q}\left(M_{p} Z_{p}\right) \cdot "$ By Whitney's embedding theorem we may find an embedded $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{q}}$ int $\mathrm{M}^{2 \mathrm{q}+1}$ representing x , and by Theorems 6.6 and 6.12 , we may extend this embedding to an embedding $\phi: S^{q_{\times D}}{ }^{q+1} \rightarrow$ int $M$ such that $\phi$ defines a normal surgery on (f,b).

By Proposition $8.7, \phi$ may be chosen so that order (torsion $K_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ ) Sorder (torsion $\left.K_{q}(M)\right)$, and $\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{p}} K_{q}\left(M^{\prime} ; Z_{p}\right)<\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{p}} K_{q}\left(M ; Z_{p}\right)$. Proceeding in this fashion step by step, we will find after a finite number of such surgeries, a normal cobordism of (f,b) to ( $f_{1}, b_{1}$ ) such that $f_{1}$ is $q$-connected, order (torsion $K_{q}\left(M_{l}\right)$ ) sorder (torsion $K_{q}(M)$ ),
and $\operatorname{rank}_{Z_{p}} K_{q}\left(M_{1} ; Z_{p}\right)=0$. Since the Universal Coëfficient Theorem holds for the $K_{*}, K^{*}$ groups, $K_{q}\left(M_{1} ; Z_{p}\right) \cong K_{q}\left(M_{1}\right) \otimes Z_{p}$, because $K_{i}\left(M_{1}\right)=0$ for $i<q$, and it follows that $K_{q}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is a torsion group of order prime to $p$, and order $K_{q}\left(M_{1}\right)$ sorder $K_{q}(M)$. Since $K_{q}(M)$ has p-torsion, it follows that, in fact, order $K_{q}\left(M_{l}\right)$ <order $K_{q}(M)$. Hence we have reduced the order of the kernel, and so a finite number of iterations will make the order of the kernal zero, thus producing a normal cobordism of ( $f, b$ ) with some $(\bar{f}, \bar{b})$, where $\bar{f}$ is $q$-connected and $K_{q}(\bar{M})=0$. Hence $\bar{f}$ is actually (q+1)-connected, which proves Theorem 7.1 for $m=3(\bmod 4)$. This also completes the proof of Theorem 7.1 for m odd.
§9. The Proof of the Fundamental Theorem for $m$ even.

Set $m=2 q$. Let $(f, b)$ be a normal map with $f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B)$ such that $(f \mid \partial M)_{\star}: H_{\star}(\partial M) \longrightarrow H_{\star}(B)$ is an isomorphism, and $f$ is q-connected. Then $K_{i}(M)=0$ for $i<q$, and by Poincaré duality $K^{m-i}(M, \partial M) \cong K^{m-i}(M)=0$ for $i<q$. Since the $K_{t}$ and $K^{*}$ groups satisfy the Universal Coëfficient Theorem, it follows that $K_{i}(M)=0$ for $i>q$, and $K_{q}(M)$ is free. Let $x \in K_{q}(M)$ be represented by an embedding $\alpha: S^{q}$ int $M$, so that $(\beta, \alpha) \in \pi_{q+1}$ (f), and define $\bar{M}=M U_{\alpha} D^{q+1}, \bar{f}: \bar{M} \rightarrow A$ extending $f$, defined using $\beta: D^{q+1} \rightarrow A$. By Theorem 6.6, there is an obstruction $0 \in \pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right)$ (which is $Z$ if $q$ is even, $Z_{2}$ if $q$ is odd) such that $O=0$ if and only if $\bar{f}: \bar{M} \longrightarrow A$ can be thickened to a normal cobordism. Let $x^{\prime} \in K^{q}(M, \partial M)$ be defined by $[M] \cap x^{\prime}=x \in K_{q}(M)$. Recall that, as part of our definition above of the surgery invariant $\sigma(f, b)$, we defined a bilinear pairing ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) on $K^{q}(M, \partial M)$, and made use of a quadratic form $\psi: K^{q}\left(M, \partial M ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow Z_{2}$.
9.1 Theorem: The obstruction 0 to thickening $\bar{f}: \bar{M} \rightarrow A$ to a normal cobordism is given by

$$
O=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \text { if } q \text { is even, } O=\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right) \text { if } q \text { is odd, }
$$

where $(\cdot)_{2}$ denotes reduction mod 2 .
Before proving Theorem 9.1 , we shall use it to complete the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Theorem 4.1 states that if $(f, b)$ is normally cobordant rel $B$ to a homotopy equivalence, then $\sigma(f, b)=0$. Thus, our intent is to assume that $\sigma(f, b)=0$, and then to construct a normal cobordism of (f,b) to a homotopy equivalence.

First, suppose $q$ is even. Then (f,b) $=\frac{1}{8} I(f)$, so that if $(f, b)=0$, it follows that $I(f)$, the signature of $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $K^{q}(M, \partial M)$, is zero. By Theorem 6.13 we may assume that $K^{i}(M) \cong K^{i}(M, \partial M)=0$ for $i<q$, and is free for $i=q$. By Proposition 5.3 , there is an $x^{\prime} \in K^{q}(M, \partial M)$ such that $\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=0$, so by $9.1,[M] \cap x^{\prime}=x \in K_{q}(M)$ can be represented by $\phi: S^{q_{\times D}}{ }^{q} \rightarrow$ int $M$, (i.e. $\phi_{*}(\mu)=x, \mu$ the generator of $\left.H_{q}\left(S^{q_{\times D}}\right)^{q}\right)$, such that the surgery based on $\phi$ defines a normal cobordism of ( $f, b$ ). But we may choose $x^{\prime}$ to be indivisible. (for otherwise, $x^{\prime}=k x^{\prime \prime}$, where $x^{\prime \prime}$ is indivisible, and $\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=0=\left(k x^{\prime \prime}, k x^{\prime \prime}\right)=k^{2}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$, so $\left.\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)=0\right)$, so the generator of a direct summand of $K^{q}(M, \partial M)$. Hence, by Corollary 7.12, rank $K_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{rank} K_{q}(M)$, and $f^{\prime}$ is still $q$-connected, where $f^{\prime}:\left(M^{\prime}, \partial M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(A, B)$ results from normal surgery based on $\phi$ (in fact, the rank decreases by 2 : see Lemma 8.2). Since ( $f, b$ ) and ( $f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ) are normally cobordant, $I\left(f^{\prime}\right)=I(f)=0$ (see Theorem 5.14), and we may repeat the procedure. In fact, if we iterate the process until $K_{q}$ is reduced to zero, the resulting map is ( $q+1$ )-connected, as desired.

Now take $q$ odd. Then $\sigma(f, b)=c(f, b)$ is the Arf invariant of on $K^{q}\left(M, \partial M ; Z_{2}\right)$. If $\sigma(f, b)=0$, then there is certainly some $y \in K^{q}\left(M, \partial M ; Z_{2}\right)$ for which $\psi(y)=0$ (see for example Propsition 5.8 or 5.10). If $f$ is $q$-connected, then $K^{q}\left(M, \partial M ; Z_{2}\right) \cong K^{q}(M, \partial M) \otimes Z_{2}$, and $y=\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}$ for some indivisible $x^{\prime} \in K^{q}(M, \partial M)$. By $9.1, x=[M] \cap x^{\prime}$ is represented by $\phi: S{ }^{q} \times D \rightarrow$ int $M$ such that $\phi$ defines a normal cobordism, and by Corollary 7.12, $\operatorname{rank} K_{q}\left(M^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{rank} K_{q}(M)$, with $f^{\prime}$ stil1 q-connected. But $\sigma\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(f, b)=0$, since $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ is normally cobordant to ( $f, b$ ), so we may proceed as above to produce a ( $q+1$ )-connected map. This completes the proof of Theorem 7.1, and hence of the Fundamental Theorem.

The balance of this section will be taken up by the proof of Theorem 9.1.

Let $(f ; b)$ be a normal map, $f:(M ; \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B), M$ is of dimension $m=2 q$, and $f$ is $q$-connected. Choose an $x \in K_{q}(M)$, and let it be represented by an embedding $\alpha: S^{q} \rightarrow$ int $M$. Let $\zeta^{q}$ denote the normal bundle of the image of $\alpha$ in $M$, and set $\bar{M}=M U_{\alpha} D^{q+1}$. Then $f$ may be extended to $\bar{f}: \bar{M} \rightarrow A$. Let $O \in \pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right)$ be the obstruction to thickening $\bar{M}$ and $\bar{f}$ to a normal cobordism (cf. Theorem 6.6), and let $\partial: \pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right) \rightarrow \pi q_{q-1}(S O(q))$ be the connecting homomorphism in the exact sequence of the fibre bundle $\mathrm{p}: \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}) / \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q})$, with fibre $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q})$.

We define the characteristic map of a $k-p l a n e$ bundle over a sphere as follows: let $\xi^{k}=\left(E, S^{n}, \pi\right)$ be a $k-d i m e n s i o n a l$ orientable vector bundle. If $S^{n}=\left\{\left(x_{i}\right) \in R^{n+1} \mid x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}=1\right\}$, then we may define two subsets $D_{+}^{n}$ and $D_{-}^{n}$ such that $D_{+}^{n}$ (resp. $D_{-}^{n}$ ) is the hemisphere centred on the $N$ (resp. S) pole of $S^{n}$, i.e. $D_{+}^{n}=\left\{\left(x_{i}\right) \in S^{n} \mid \dot{x}_{n} \geq 0\right\}$, and similarly for $D_{-}^{n}$. Clearly $S^{n}=D_{+}^{n} \cup D_{-}^{n}$, and it is easy to show that $D_{+}^{n} n D_{-}^{n} \cong S^{n-1}$ (the 'equator' of $S^{n}$ ).

Since the restrictions of $\xi$ to $D_{+}^{n}$ and $D_{-}^{n}$ are both trivial, we may choose trivialisations $\tau_{+}$and $\tau_{-}$such that $\tau_{+}: E \mid D_{+}^{n} \rightarrow D_{+}^{n} \times R^{\mathrm{k}}$ (similarly for $\tau_{-}$). Since $\tau_{+}$and $\tau_{-}$are fibre isomorphic, the map $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{x}}: R^{\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow R^{\mathrm{k}}$ defined for each $x \in S^{n-1} \cong_{D_{+}}^{n}{ }^{n} D_{-}^{n}$ by $\tau_{-}{ }^{\circ} \tau_{+}^{-1}(x, y)=\left(x, s_{x}(y)\right)$ is in fact an orientation-preserving linear transformation of $R^{k}$, i.e. $s_{x} \in \operatorname{SO}(\mathrm{k})$. Thus, we have defined a map $c(\xi): S^{n-1} \rightarrow S O(k)$ given by $c(\xi)(x)=s$, This is called the characteristic map of $\xi$, and although it is not unique, it is well-defined up to homotopy. (Thus it can be held that the characteristic 'map' is not really a map, but only an element of $\left.\pi_{n-1}(S O(k)).\right)$

With $\zeta$ and $O$ defined as above, we have
9.2 Proposition: $\partial O$ is the characteristic map of $\zeta$, an element of $\pi_{q-1}(\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q}))$.
Proof: Choose a base point. $J_{0} \in S O(q+\mathrm{k})$, a $(\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{k})$-frame in $R^{q+\mathrm{k}}$. Let $\mathrm{p}: \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}} \cong \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q})$ be the projection, given by selecting the first $k$ elements of $a(k+q)$-frame. Let $x_{0} \in S^{q}$ be a base point such that, if $h: S \rightarrow$ $S^{q}(q+k) / S O(q)=v_{k, q}$ represents 0 , then $h\left(x_{0}\right)=p\left(J_{0}\right)$. Divide $S^{q}$ into two cells, $S^{q}=D_{+}^{q_{U D}}{ }_{-}^{q}$, so that $x_{0} \in D_{+}^{q_{n D}} D_{-}^{q_{\cong}} S^{q-1} \cong \partial D_{+}^{q}=\partial D_{-}^{q}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $h\left(D_{-}^{q}\right)=p\left(J_{0}\right)$, since $\mathrm{D}_{-}^{\mathrm{q}}$ is contractible. Let $\hat{\mathrm{h}}: \mathrm{D}_{+}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{k})$ be such that $\hat{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=J_{0}$ and $\mathrm{p} \circ \hat{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{h}$ on $\mathrm{D}_{+}^{\mathrm{q}}$. Then $\mathrm{ph}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}-1}\right)=\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}-1}\right)=\mathrm{p}\left(J_{0}\right)$, so that the first k elements of $\hat{h}(y)$ for $y \in S^{q-1}$ make up the base frame of $V_{k, q}$. Let $i: S O(q) \rightarrow S O(q+k)$ be the representation of $S O(q)$ acting on the subspace of $R^{\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{k}}$ orthogonal to the space spanned by $\mathrm{p}\left(J_{0}\right)$. Then there is a map $\gamma: S^{\mathrm{q}-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q})$ such that $\hat{h}(\mathrm{y})=J_{0}(\mathrm{i} \circ \gamma(\mathrm{y}))$. By the definition of $\partial$, $\gamma$ represents $\partial 0 \epsilon \pi_{\mathrm{q}-1}(\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{q}))$ (see [Steenrod 1951]).

Now $\zeta$ is the orhtogonal bundle to the trivial bundle spanned by $h(x)$ ，for $x \in S^{q}$ ．Since $h\left(D_{-}^{q}\right)=p\left(J_{0}\right)$ ，the last $q$ vectors in $J_{0}$ give a trivialisation of $\zeta$ over $D_{-}^{q}$ ，and since $p \hat{h}=h$ ，the last $q$ vectors of $h(x)$ ，for $x \in D_{+}^{q}$ ，give a trivialisation of $\zeta$ over $D_{+}^{q}$ ．Since $\gamma(y)$ ，for $y \in S^{q-1}$ ，sends the last part of $J_{0}$ into the last part of $\hat{h}(y)$ ，it follows that $\gamma$ is $c(\zeta)$ ，the characteristic map of $\zeta$（see［Steenrod 1951，（18．1）］）．

QED
From our discussion above of the homotopy properties of $S O(n)$ ， we derive the following

9．3 Proposition：The boundary $\partial: \pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(S O(q))$ is a monomorphism for $q \neq 1,3$ ，or 7 ．

Proof：By comparing various related fibre bundles，we produce the following commutative diagram：

where the $p_{j}$ are the projections of fibre bundles，and $i_{j}$ are inclusions of fibres．Let ${ }_{j}$ be the connecting homomorphism in the homotopy exact sequence of the bundle with projection $p_{j}$ ．By Lemma 6.9 ，if $q$ is even， $\mathrm{p}_{⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三}^{\prime} \partial_{1}: \pi_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{q}-1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{q}-1}\right)$ is multiplication by two，and is thus injective．
 monomorphism，and since by Theorem $6.12 \pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right)=Z$ if $q$ is even，it follows that $\partial_{3}=\partial$ is a monomorphism if $q$ is even．

If $q \neq 1,3$, or 7 ，and $q$ is odd，then by Corollary 6.11 ker $i_{\text {非 }}=Z_{2}$ ， where $i_{\neq \#}: \pi_{q-1}(S O(q)) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(S O(q+1))$ ．Hence $\partial_{1}$ is onto $Z_{2} \subset \pi_{q-1}(S O(q))$ ，
and since $j_{j}^{\prime}: \pi_{q}\left(S^{q}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right)$ is surjective by Theorem 6．12，$\partial_{1}=\partial_{3} \circ j_{j ⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 一}^{\prime}$ ， it follows that $\partial_{3}\left(\pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right)\right) \supseteq Z_{2}$ ．Since $\pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right)=Z_{2}$ for $q$ odd（by 6．12）， we have $\partial_{3}=\partial$ a monomorphism for $q \neq 1,3$ ，or 7 ．QED

Thus for $q \neq 1,3$ ，or 7 ，the obstruction 0 to doing normal surgery on a particular $S^{q}$ embedded in $\mathrm{M}^{2 \mathrm{q}}$ can be identified with the characteristic map of $\zeta$ ，the normal bundle of the chosen $S^{q}$ in $M, O \in \operatorname{ker} i_{\#} \subset^{\circ \pi}{ }_{q-1}(S O(q))$ ， and is therefore zero if $\zeta$ is trivial．Now ker $i_{\#}$ is generated by $\partial_{1}(1)$ ， where $l \in \pi_{q}\left(S^{q}\right)$ is the class of the identity，so that $\partial_{1}(1)$ is the characteristic map for the tangent bundle $\tau$ of $S^{q}$ ．It follows that $0=\lambda\left(\partial_{1}(1)\right)$ for some $\lambda \in Z$ ．

If $q$ is even，the Euler class $X(\tau)=2 g \in H^{q}\left(S^{q}\right)$ ，where $g$ is the generator for which $g\left[S^{q}\right]=1$ ．This follows from the general formula $\chi\left(\tau_{M}\right)=\chi(M) g$ ； or may be deduced for $M=S^{q}, q$ even，using the fact that ${ }^{\tau} M$ is equivalent to the normal bundle of the diagonal $M$ in $M \times M$ ．For if $U \in H^{q}\left(E, E_{0}\right)$ is the Thom class，it follows from Corollary 7.6 that $\left[S^{q} \times S^{q}\right] \cap n{ }^{*} U=\left[S^{q}\right] \otimes 1+1 \otimes\left[S^{q}\right]$ ， the homology class of the diagonal，where $\eta: S^{q_{\times S}}{ }^{q} \rightarrow E / E_{0}$ is the natural collapsing map．Hence $\eta^{*} U=g \otimes 1+1 \otimes g$ ，and $\eta^{*}\left(U^{2}\right)=\left(\eta^{*} U\right)^{2}=(g \otimes 1+1 \otimes g)^{2}=2 g \otimes g$ ， if $q$ is even．Since $\eta^{*}$ is an isomorphism on $H^{2 q}$ ，it follows that $U^{2}=2 g U$ ， so $\chi(\tau)=2 \mathrm{~g}$ ，since by definition $\chi(\xi) \mathrm{U}_{\xi}=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\xi}\right)^{2}$ for a bundle $\xi$ ．

The Euler class is represented by the universal Euler class $X \in H^{q}$（BSO（q）），where BSO（q）is the classifying space for oriented $q-p l a n e$ bundles（see［Husemoller 1966］or［Steenrod 1951］）．That is，if $c: X \rightarrow B S O(q)$ is the classifying map of a $q-p l a n e$ bundle $\xi$ over $X, c^{*}(\gamma)=\xi$ ， where $\gamma$ is the universal $q$－plane bundle over BSO $(q)$ ，then $\chi(\xi)=c *(\chi)$ ． If $c: S{ }^{q} \rightarrow B S O(q)$ represents $\tau_{S}{ }^{q}$ ，then $c^{*}(X)=2 g$ as above，but if $c^{\prime}: S{ }^{q} \rightarrow B S O(q)$ represents $\lambda\left(\tau_{S} q\right)$ in the homotopy group $\pi_{q-1}(S O(q))$ ，then $\lambda c$ and $c^{\prime}$ are homotopic，i．e．$[\lambda c]=\left[c^{\prime}\right]$ in $\pi_{q}(B S O(q))$ ．Hence $c^{\prime *}=\lambda c^{*}$ ，
so we have:
9.4 Lemma: If $q$ is even and $\partial_{2} O=\lambda \partial_{1}(1)$, then $\chi(\zeta)=2 \lambda g$, where $\zeta$ is the normal bundle of $\alpha\left(S^{q}\right)$ in $M^{2 q}$, representing an element in $K_{q}(M)$, $O$ the obstruction to doing a normal surgery on this $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}}$.
9.5 Lemma: $X(\zeta)\left[S^{q}\right]=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$, where $[M] \cap x^{\prime}=x, \alpha: S^{q} \longrightarrow M^{2 q}$ is an embedding representing $x \in K_{q}(M)$, $\zeta$ the normal bundle of $\alpha\left(S^{q}\right)$, as above.
Proof: $X(\zeta) U=U^{2}$ by definition of $x$, where $U \in H^{q}\left(E(\zeta) / E_{0}(\zeta)\right.$ ) is the Thom class. Clearly $(\chi(\zeta))\left[S^{q}\right]=(\chi(\zeta) U)[E]=U^{2}[E]=\left(\eta{ }^{*} U\right)^{2}[M]$, where $[E] \in H_{2 q}\left(E(\zeta) / E_{0}(\zeta)\right)$ is the orientation class, so $[E]=\eta_{*}[M]$, where $\eta: M / \partial M \mapsto E / E_{0}$ is the natural collapsing map.

By Corollary 7.6, $[M] \cap \eta^{*}{ }^{*}=x$, so that $\eta^{*} U=x^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
X(\zeta)\left[S^{q}\right]=\left(\eta^{*} U\right)^{2}[M]=\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}[M]=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

By 9.4 and 9.5 for $q$ even, $\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=2 \lambda$ where $\partial_{2} O=\lambda \partial_{1}(1)$. By 9.3 $\partial_{2}$ is a monomorphism for $q$ even, so we may identify $O$ with ( $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}$ ), which proves Theorem 9.1 for $q$ even.

Finallyr, we turn our attention to the case of $q$ odd.
Let $\alpha_{i}: S^{q} \rightarrow M^{2 q}$, $i=1,2$; be eubbeddings representing $x_{i} \in K_{q}(M)$, where, as usual, $K_{q}(M)$ is defined using a normal map $(f, b), f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(A, B)$, $(f \mid \partial M)_{*}: H_{*}(\partial M) \rightarrow H_{*}(B)$ an isomorphism. Suppose the $\alpha_{i}$ have disjoint images, and let $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ be the obstructions to doing normal surgery on $\alpha_{1}\left(S^{q}\right)$ and $\alpha_{2}\left(S^{q}\right)$ respectively. Join $\alpha_{1}\left(S^{q}\right)$ to $\alpha_{2}\left(S^{q}\right)$ by an arc, disjoint (except, of course, at its endpoints) from both images. By thickening this to a tube $T \cong D^{q_{x}}[1,2]$ we may take

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}\left(S^{q}\right) \backslash\left(D^{q^{\prime}} \times 1\right)\right) \cup \partial_{0} T \cup\left(\alpha_{2}\left(S^{q}\right) \backslash\left(D^{q} \times 2\right)\right),
$$

where $\partial_{0} T=\partial D^{q_{x}}[1,2], D^{q_{\times i}=T \cap \alpha_{i}}\left(S^{q}\right)$. This subset of $M$ is homeomorphic to $S^{q}$, and so gives us an embedding $\alpha: S^{q} \rightarrow M$ representing $x_{1}+x_{2}$, which can be made differentiable by 'rounding the corners'.
9.6. Lemma: $O=O_{1}+O_{2}$ in $\pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right)$, where $O$ is the obstruction to doing surgery on $\alpha\left(S^{q}\right)$.

Proof: Since $T \subset M$, we may multiply $T$ by $[0, \varepsilon]$ to obtain $T \times[0, \varepsilon] \subset M \times I$. If we have $M \subset D^{m+k}$, then $M \times I \subset D^{m+k} \times I$, and by composing embeddings we produce $T \times[0, \varepsilon] \subset D^{m+k_{\times I}}$. Choose $D_{i}^{q+1} \subset D^{m+k_{x}} \times$ such that $\alpha_{i}\left(S^{q}\right)=\partial D_{i}^{q+1}$, and $D_{i}^{q+1}$ meets $D^{m+k_{\times 0}}$ transversally in $\alpha_{i}\left(S^{q}\right)$. Then we may assume that a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{i}\left(S^{q}\right)$ in $D_{i}^{q+1}$ is given by $\alpha_{i}\left(S^{q}\right) \times[0, \varepsilon]$. Set $D^{q+1}=\left\{D_{2}^{q+1} \backslash\left(D^{q^{\prime}} \times 1 \times[0, \varepsilon]\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\left(\partial D^{q} \times[1,2] \times[0, \varepsilon]\right) \cup\left(D^{q^{q}} \times[1,2] \times \varepsilon\right)\right\}$

$$
u\left\{\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\mathrm{q}+1} \backslash\left(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}} \times 2 \times[0, \varepsilon]\right)\right\}
$$

This is a ( $q+1$ ) -cell meeting $D^{m+k_{x 0}}$ transversally in $\alpha\left(S^{q}\right)$, and we may smooth this $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}+1}$, together with $\alpha\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{q}}\right)$, by 'rounding corners'. The smoothed $D^{q+1}$ is the union of three cells, $D^{q+1}=A_{1} \cup B \cup A_{2}$, which correspond to the three expressions in braces, in the expression for $D^{q+1}$ above, after closure and smoothing. Assume $A_{i} \subset D_{i}^{q+1}$. Then $C_{i}=D_{i} \backslash$ int $A_{i}$ is a $(q+1)-c e l l, \partial C_{i} \cap \partial D_{i}=F_{i}, F_{i}$ a $q-c e l l$ in $\partial D_{i}$, $B \cap A_{i}=\partial C_{i} \cap A_{i} \subset \partial B$ and $\partial B \backslash\left(\left(\partial C_{1} \cap A_{1}\right) \cup\left(\partial C_{2} \cap A_{2}\right)\right)=S^{q-1} \times I$.

Since the definition of the obstruction $O$ doesn't depend on the choice of the framing of the normal bundle $\gamma$ of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{q}+1}$, we may assume that the framings over $D^{q+1}, D_{1}^{q+1}$, and $D_{2}^{q+1}$ have been chosen so that the framings over $D^{q+1}$ and $D_{i}^{q+1}$ coincide over $A_{i}$. Further we may assume that the framings of $v$, the normal bundle of $M$ in $D^{m+k}$, over $\alpha\left(S^{q}\right), \alpha_{1}\left(S^{q}\right)$, and $\alpha_{2}\left(S^{q}\right)$, induced by $b$, have been chosen so that over $F_{i}$. they are all the same, coming from a framing of $v \mid T$ (note that $T$ is a cell), and the framings of $\gamma, \gamma_{1}$, and $\gamma_{2}$ may be assumed to extend that of $\nu$ over $T \cap \alpha\left(S^{q}\right), T \cap \alpha_{i}\left(S^{q}\right)$ (as is appropriate). Thus the three maps $\beta, \beta_{i}, i=1,2, \beta: \alpha\left(S^{q}\right) \rightarrow V_{k, q}, \beta_{i}: \alpha_{i}\left(S^{q}\right) \rightarrow V_{k, q}$ defining $O$ and $O_{i}$, may be taken to be the base $k$-frame over $\operatorname{Tn\alpha }\left(S^{q}\right)$,
$T \cap \alpha_{i}\left(S^{q}\right)$, and $\beta\left|\left(\alpha_{i}\left(S^{q}\right) \cap \alpha\left(S^{q}\right)\right)=\beta_{i}\right|\left(\alpha_{i}\left(S^{q}\right) \cap \alpha\left(S^{q}\right)\right)$. It follows that for the homotopy classes, $[\beta]=\left[\beta_{1}\right]+\left[\beta_{2}\right]$ in $\pi_{q}\left(V_{k, q}\right)$, or $O=O_{1}+O_{2}$. QED 9.7. Lemma: If $O=0$, then $\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)=0$, with notation as above.

Proof: Since $0=0$, we can perform normal surgery based on $\alpha: S^{q} \rightarrow M^{2 q}$, so that the trace is a normal cobordism $W^{2 q+1}, W=M \cup(\partial M \times I) \cup M^{\prime}$, and if $i: \partial W \rightarrow W$ and $k: M \rightarrow \partial W$ are inclusions, $i_{\star} k_{\star} x=0$. It follows from elementary results about $K_{*}$ and $K^{*}$ (see p. 21 above), that $x^{\prime \prime}=i^{*} z_{z}$, $z \in K^{q}(W)$, where $x^{\prime \prime} \in \bar{K}^{q}(\partial W)$ is defined by $[\partial W] \cap x^{\prime \prime}=k_{\star} x$, and $K^{q}(W)$ comes from the map $F: W \rightarrow A \times I$ extending $f$ on $M$.

If $K^{q}\left(\partial W ; Z_{2}\right)$ is defined for the map $\partial F: \partial W \rightarrow A \times O U B \times I U A \times 1$, and $\psi_{0}$ is the quadratic form $K^{q}\left(\partial W ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow Z_{2}$ used in the definition of the Kervaire invariant, it follows from a lemma in [Browder 1972, III.4.13] that $\psi_{0}\left(\left(i^{*} \cdot z\right)_{2}\right)=\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)_{2}\right)=0$. Now $\partial F$ is clearly the sum of ( $f, b$ ) on $M$ and ( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ) on $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ (the result of surgery). By an intermediate result in the proof of Theorem $5.12, \psi_{0}\left(\eta^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)=\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right), x^{\prime} \in K^{q}(M, \partial M)$, so it remains to show that $\eta^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}=\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)_{2}$ (where $\eta: \partial W \rightarrow M / \partial M$ ).

Consider $k_{*} x=k_{*}\left([M] \cap x^{\prime}\right)=k_{*}\left(\eta_{*}[\partial W] \cap x^{\prime}\right)=[\partial W] \cap \eta^{*} x^{\prime}$, using identities of the cap product (cf. Corollary 7.6), so that since $[\partial W] n x^{\prime \prime}=k_{*} x$, it follows that $x^{\prime \prime}=\eta^{*} x^{\prime}$, and hence $\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)=0$. QED

Now we prove that $0=\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)$. If $0=0$, then $\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)=0$ by 9.7, so it remains to show that if $0=1$ then $\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)=1$.

By taking the connected sum with the map $S^{q} \times S^{q} \rightarrow S^{2 q}$, or alternately doing a normal surgery on. a $S^{q-1} \subset D{ }^{2 q} \subset M^{2 q}$, we may add to $K_{q}(M)$ the free module on two generators $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, corresponding to $\left[S^{q}\right] \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes\left[S^{q}\right]$ in $H^{q}\left(S^{q} \times S^{q}\right)$, and add to $K^{q}(M, \partial M)$ the elements $g_{1}, g_{2}$ such that $\left[M \sharp\left(S^{q_{\times}} S^{q}\right)\right] \cap g_{i}=a_{i}$, with $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=1,\left(g_{i}, g_{i}\right)=0, i=1,2$, orthogonal to the or original $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{M}, \partial \mathrm{M})$, and such that $\psi\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)=\psi\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)=0$.

Hence $\psi\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}+\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)=\psi\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)+\psi\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}, \mathrm{~g}_{2}\right)=1$.
If $\beta: S{ }^{q} \longrightarrow M \neq\left(S^{q^{\prime}} S^{q}\right)$ represents the diagonal class $a_{1}+a_{2}$, it follows from 9.7 that the obstruction $O$ to surgery on $\beta$ is 1 , since if it were zero, then $\psi\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)$ would be zero. Then on the sum embedding $\alpha+\beta$ representing $x+\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)$, the obstruction $0^{\prime \prime}=0+0^{\prime}$ by Lemma 9.6 , so that $O^{\prime \prime}=1+1=0$. Hence $\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}+\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)\right)=0$ by 9.7. But since $\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2},\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)\right)=0$,

$$
\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}+\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)\right)=\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)+\psi\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)=\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)+1=0,
$$

we see that $\psi\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)=1$.
QED
This completes the proof of Theorems 9.1 and 7.1, and thus of the Fundamental Theorem.

Chapter III. Plumbing and the Classification of Manifolds.
§10. Intersection and Plumbing.

Let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be smooth submanifolds of dimension $p$ (resp. q) of a smooth m-manifold $M$, such that $p+q=m$. A point $x \in N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ will be called discrete if there is an open neighbourhood $V$ of $x$ in $M$ such that $V \cap N_{1} \cap N_{2}=\{x\}$. Note that if every point in $N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ is discrete, then $N 1 \cap N_{2}$ is a discrete subset of $M$.

If $x \in N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ is discrete and $V$ is as above (i.e. $V$ is open in $M$ and $\left.V \cap N_{1} \cap N_{2}=\{x\}\right)$ then $\left(V \backslash N_{1}\right) \cup\left(V \backslash N_{2}\right)=V \backslash\{x\}$. Thus we have a pairing $H^{q}\left(V, V \backslash N_{1}\right) \otimes H^{p}\left(V, V \backslash N_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(V, V \backslash\{x\})$ given by the relative cup product.

Suppose that $M, N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are oriented, and let $[M]_{x} \in H_{m}(M, M \backslash\{x\})$, $\left[N_{1}\right]_{y} \in H_{p}\left(N_{1}, N_{1} \backslash\{y\}\right)$ and $\left[N_{2}\right]_{z} \in H_{q}\left(N_{2}, N_{2} \backslash\{z\}\right)$ be the generators compatible with the orientations. Let $E_{i}, i=1,2$, be a tubular neighbourhood of $N_{i}$ in $M, E_{i}^{0}=E_{i} \backslash N_{i}$. Then the inclusion $\left(E_{i}, E_{i}^{0}\right) \subset\left(M, M \backslash N_{i}\right)$ is an excision, so $H^{*}\left(M, M \backslash N_{i}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(E_{i}, E_{i}^{0}\right)$. If the $E_{i}$ are oriented, and $r_{i}$ denotes the inclusion $\left(V, V \backslash N_{i}\right) \subset\left(E_{i}, E_{i}^{0}\right)$, then by the Thom Isomorphism Theorem there is an element $U_{1} \in H^{q}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}^{\rho}\right)$ such that $r_{1}^{*} U_{1} \in H^{q}\left(V, V N_{1}\right)$ is a generator, and $\cdot U U_{1}$, $\cdot U_{1}$ are isomorphisms (similarly for $N_{2}$ ). We shall also assume that the orientations are compatible, i.e. so that $[M]_{x} \cap r_{i}^{*} U_{i}=\left[N_{i}\right]_{x}$ for $x \in N_{i}$.

Under the preceding conditions we may define the sign or orientation of a discrete point $x \in N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ by $\operatorname{sgn}(x)=\left(r_{1}^{*} U_{1} \cup r_{2}^{*} U_{2}\right)[M]$, using the pairing above. We shall call x a (homologically) transverse point of intersection if $\operatorname{sgn}(x)= \pm 1$. Note that geometrically transverse points are also homologically transverse. (A point $x \in N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ is geometrically transverse if $x$ has an open neighbourhood $V$ in $M$ such that there is a diffeomorphism
$\left.\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}^{\circ} \mathrm{N}_{1}, \mathrm{~V} \cap \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(R^{\mathrm{m}}, R^{\mathrm{P}} \times 0,0 \times R^{\mathrm{q}}\right).\right)$
If $N_{1}$ is compact and $N_{1} \cap N_{2} \cap \partial M$ is empty, it has been shown that given an $\varepsilon>0$ there is a diffeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M$, which is the identity on $\partial M$, and is $\varepsilon$-isotopic to $1_{M}$, such that $h\left(N_{1}\right) \cap N_{2}$ consists solely of (geometrically) transverse points.

On p. 50 above we defined a pairing $\cdot: H_{p}(M) \otimes H_{q}(M) \rightarrow Z$ by $x \cdot y=\left(x^{\prime} \cup y^{\prime}\right)[M]$, where $x^{\prime} \in H^{q}(M, \partial M), y^{\prime} \in H^{P}(M)$ are defined by $[M] . n x^{\prime}=x,[M] n y^{\prime}=j_{x} y$, and $j$ is inclusion.

Lef $N_{1}^{p}, N_{2}^{q}$ be compact oriented submanifolds of $M^{m}$, a compact. oriented manifold with boundary, $m=p+q$, and suppose $N_{1}$ is closed in $M$, $\partial \mathrm{M} \cap \mathrm{N}_{1}=\phi$, and. $\partial \mathrm{M} \cap \mathrm{N}_{2}=\partial \mathrm{N}_{2}$. Assume further that $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ intersect (homologically) transversally. Let $i_{j}: N_{j} \rightarrow M$ denote the inclusions. We state without proof the following theorem from [Browder 1972]. 10.1. Theorem: $\left(i_{1_{\star}}\left[N_{1}\right]\right) \cdot\left(i_{2 *}\left[N_{2}\right]\right)=\Sigma \operatorname{sgn}(x)$, where the sum is taken over all points $x \in N_{1} \cap N_{2}$.

Thus, the intersection of the orientation classes counts the number of intersection points, with sign.

If $N^{q}$ is a closed submanifold lying in the interior of $M^{2 q}$, with normal bundle $\zeta^{q}$, then we may consider how $N$ intersects itself. It is possible (see above) to change N by an $\varepsilon$-isotopy so that it intersects itself transversally. Then Theorem 10.1 gives us: $i_{*}[N] \cdot i_{*}[N]=\Sigma \operatorname{sgn}(x)$, the sum running over the points of self-intersection. However, we can also interpret this result using the normal bundle $\zeta$ : 10.2 Proposition: $i_{*}[N] \cdot i_{*}[N]=\chi(\zeta)[N]$, where $\chi(\zeta)$ is the Euler class of $\zeta$. We are now prepared to describe the construction known as plumbing disc bundles.

Let $\zeta_{i}$ be a $q-p l a n e$ bundle over a smooth $q$-manifold $N_{i}$, and let $E_{i}$
be the total space of the closed disc bundle associated to $\zeta_{i}$. Suppose that $\zeta_{i}, E_{i}$ and $N_{i}$ are oriented compatibly for $i=1,2$.

Choose $x_{i} \in N_{i}$ and $B_{i} \subset N_{i}$ a $q$-cell with $x_{i} \in i n t B_{i}$. Since $B_{i}$ is contractible, $\zeta_{i} \mid B_{i}$ is trivial, and that part of $E_{i}$ lying over $B_{i}$ is diffeomorphic to $B_{i} \times D_{i}$, where $D_{i}$ is a $q$-disc, such that the fibres are mapped to $x \times D_{i}$. We may choose diffeomorphisms

$$
h_{-}, h_{+}: B_{1} \rightarrow D_{2}, \quad k_{-}, k_{+}: D_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}
$$

where a subscripted + indicates orientation-preserving, and a indicates orientation-reversing.

We plumb $E_{1}$ with $E_{2}$ at $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ by identifying the subsets of the disjoint union $E_{1} E_{2}$ given by $B_{1} \times D_{1}$ and $B_{2} \times D_{2}$ using the map $I_{+}(x, y)=\left(k_{+} y, h_{+} x\right)$ or the map $I_{-}(x, y)=\left(k_{-} y, h_{-} x\right)$. We shall say that the plumbing is with sign +1 if. $I_{+}$is used, and with sign -1 if $I_{-}$ is used. The resulting manifold is denoted by $E_{1} \square E_{2}$, and it can be smoothed in a canonical way.

Since both of $I_{+}$and $I_{-}$preserve orientation if $q$ is even, and reverse it if $q$ is odd, $E_{1} \square E_{2}$ can be oriented compatibly with $N_{1}, \zeta_{1}$, $N_{2}$, and $\zeta_{2}$ if $q$ is even, and with $N_{1}, \zeta_{1},-N_{2}$, and $\zeta_{2}$ if $q$ is odd. Note that $N_{i} \subset E_{i} \subset E_{1} \square E_{2}$, where the inclusions are obvious, and that $N_{1} \cap N_{2}=\left\{x_{1}\right\}=\left\{x_{2}\right\}$ (in $E_{1} \square E_{2}$ ), which is a transversal intersection, and that the sign of $x$ is the same as the sign of the plumbing. (Of course, all of this discussion can be applied to the case of plumbing one manifold with itself, if we choose two distinct points in it and take $E_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{2}$.)

If we choose several pairs: of points in $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, we may plumb $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ together repeatedly, choosing the sign of each plumbing. We will still denote the result by $E_{1} \square E_{2}$, and we see from 10.1 that
$\mathrm{i}_{1_{*}}\left[\mathrm{~N}_{1}\right] \cdot \mathrm{i}_{2 *}\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]$ is determined by the way we choose the sign of the plumbings. Thus, if we choose a number $\mathrm{n}_{12}$, and $\mathrm{plumb} \mathrm{E}_{1}$ with $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ at $\mathrm{n}_{12}$ points, always with sign +1 , then we have $\mathrm{i}_{1}\left[\mathrm{~N}_{1}\right] \cdot \mathrm{i}_{2} *\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{n}_{12} \cdot$ We may go on to plumb with other disc bundles, by making sure that the points in $N_{1} \cup N_{2}$ we choose to plumb at are well away from the finite number of points in $N_{1} \cap N_{2}$, and by choosing the signs of the plumbings, we may cause $i_{*}\left[N_{j}\right] \cdot i_{*}\left[N_{k}\right]=n_{j k}, j \neq k$, to take on any value we like. (Note that we must have $n_{k j}=(-1)^{q_{n k}}{ }_{j}$.) The self-intersections are determined by the Euler class $\chi\left(\zeta_{i}\right)$, according to Proposition 10.2. Thus, we arrive at the remarkable
10.3 Theorem: Let $M$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with integer entries, and with even diagonal entries. Then for $k>1$ there is a manifold $W^{4 k}$ with boundary such that $W$ is ( $2 k-1$ )-connected, $\partial W$ is ( $2 k-2$ )-connected, $\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{k}}(W)$ is free abelian, the matrix of the intersection pairing $H_{2 k}(W) \otimes H_{2 k}(W) \longrightarrow Z$ is given by $M$ (or equivalently, $M$ is the matrix of the bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $H^{k}(W, \partial W)$ ), and there is a normal map ( $f, b$ ), with $f:(W, \partial W) \rightarrow\left(D^{4 k}, S^{4 k-1}\right)$ for which $M$ is the intersection matrix on $K_{2 k}(W)$. The proof is provided in detail in [Browder 1972]. We have from the same source the
10.4. Lemma: In the construction of 10.3 , $\partial W$ is a homotopy sphere if and only if the determinant of $M$ is $\pm 1$.

Consider the following $8 \times 8$ matrix due to Hirzebruch:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
2 & 1 & & & & & \\
1 & 2 & 1 & & & 0 & \\
& 1 & 2 & 1 & & & \\
& & 1 & 2 & 1 & & & \\
& & & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
& 0 & & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
& & & & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
& & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

This matrix is, as required, symmetric and even on the diagonal. Simple computation shows that $\left|M_{0}\right|=1$ and that the signature of $M_{0}$ is 8 .

We may quickly prove the following theorem of Milnor.
10.5 Theorem: Let $k>1$. There is a manifold $W$ and a normal map ( $f, b$ ), $f:(W, \partial W)=\left(D^{4 k}, S^{4 k-1}\right)$ such that $(f \mid \partial W)$ is a homotopy equivalence, and $\sigma(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b})=1$.

Proof: Let $W$ be the 4 k -manifold with boundary constructed in Theorem 10.3 using the matrix $M_{0}$. Since $\left|M_{0}\right|=1$, we have by 10.4 that $\partial W$ is a homotopy sphere. By 10.3 , the bilinear form ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) on $\mathrm{K}^{2 \mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{W}, \partial \mathrm{W})$ has matrix $M_{0}$, and $\operatorname{sgn} M_{0}=8$. Thus, if ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b}$ ) is the normal map of 10.3 , it follows that $\sigma(f, b)=\frac{1}{8} I(f)=\frac{1}{8}$ sgn $M_{0}=1$. QED

A somewhat different construction in dimensions congruent to 2 mod 4 gives us the following theorem of Kervaire. 10.6 . Theorem: For $q$ odd there is a manifold $U$ and a normal map ( $g, c$ ) such that $g:(U, \partial U) \rightarrow\left(D^{2 q}, S^{2 q-1}\right)$ with $\sigma(g, c)=1$.

Taking Theorems 10.5 and 10.6 together with Proposition 5.35 (the Addition Property of $\sigma$ ), we derive immediately the Plumbing Theorem: 10.7 ... Theorem: If $\mathrm{m}=2 \mathrm{k}>4$, then there is an m-manifold M with boundary, and a normal map $(g, c), g:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow\left(D^{m}, S^{m-1}\right), c: \nu^{k} \rightarrow \varepsilon^{k}$ (where $\varepsilon^{k}$ is the trivial bundle over $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ), with $\mathrm{g} \mid \partial \mathrm{M}$ a homotopy equivalence and with $\sigma(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c})$ taking on any desired value.
:i. The Homoto Types of Stucth Ranifolcs and Classit cation.

It: has ast shom by [Browder: 1962] : An: [Novikov 1964] then:

§11. The Homotopy Types of Smooth Manifolds and Classification.

It has been shown by [Browder 1962] and [Novikov 1964] that certain necessary conditions for a space to be of the homotopy type of a smooth manifold are sometimes also sufficient.

In the theorem we will use the following notation: $h: \pi_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ is the Hurewicz homomorphism, $\xi$ is an oriented $k$-plane bundle over a space $X$, $\mathrm{U} \in \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{T}(\xi))$ is its Thom class, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are its Pontrjagin classes, and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are the Hirzebruch polynomials.
11.1 Theorem: Let $X$ be a simply-connected Poincare complex of dimension $m \geq 5, \xi$ an oriented $k-p$ lane bundle over $X, k>m+1, \alpha \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\xi))$ such that $h(\alpha) \cap U=[X]$. If (1) $m$ is odd, or
(2) $m=4 k$ and index $X=\left(L_{k}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)\right)[X]$,
then there is a homotopy equivalence $f: M \leftrightarrow X$, for some smooth $m$-manifold $M$, such that $\nu=f^{*}(\xi)$ is the normal bundle of an embedding $M \subset S^{m+k}$, and $f$ can be found in the normal cobordism class represented by $\alpha$. Outline of Proof: A representative $\overline{\mathrm{f}}: \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{k}} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}(\xi)$ of $\alpha$ is chosen, and the manifold. $M$ is defined by pulling $X$ back to a submanifold of $S^{m+k}$ via $\bar{f}$ (after some modifications). The map $\bar{f}$ induces a normal map ( $f, b$ ) with $f: M \rightarrow X, b: V \rightarrow \xi$. Then by the Fundamental Theorem of Surgery (4.2), (f,b) is normally cobordant to a homotopy equivalence if $m$ is odd, and if $m=2 q$ then ( $f, b$ ) is normally cobordant to a homotopy equivalence if and only if $\sigma(f, b)=0$. But if $m=4 k$, then by the Index Property (Proposition 5.35), $\sigma(f, b)=\left(L_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}\right)\right)[X]$-index $X$, which is zerotwhen (2) holds. QED Remark: If $\mathrm{m}=6,14,30$, or 62 (none of which are covered by 11.1), then with the above hypotheses there is a homotopy equivalence $f: M \rightarrow X$ with $f^{*}(\xi)=\nu$, but $f$ may not be normally cobordant to a map representing $\alpha$.

We have defined above the connected sum of Poincaré complexes for the purpose of the Addition Property. Given Poincare pairs ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ), $k-p$ lane bundles $\xi_{i}$. over $X_{i}$, smooth manifolds ( $M_{i}, \partial M_{i}$ ), and normal maps $\left(f_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ such that $f_{i}:\left(M_{i}, \partial M_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$, we have the Poincare pair ( $\mathrm{X}_{1} \# \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ ), the smooth manifold $\mathrm{M}_{1} \# \mathrm{M}_{2}$ with boundary $\partial \mathrm{M}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \partial \mathrm{M}_{2}$, and the normal map $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1} \# \mathrm{f}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{1} \# \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{f}_{1} \# \mathrm{f}_{2}:\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} \# \mathrm{M}_{2}, \partial \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathbf{u} \partial \mathrm{M}_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \# \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathbf{L} \mathrm{Y}_{2}\right)$ and $b_{1} \# b_{2}: v_{\#} \rightarrow \xi_{1} \# \xi_{2}$, where $\nu_{\#}$ is the normal bundle of $M_{1} \# M_{2}$ in $D^{m+k}$. If $M_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$ are all nonempty, we may define the connected sum along (components of) the boundary. See [Browder 1972] for details. We produce analogous constructs: $M_{1} \Perp M_{2}, X_{1} \Perp X_{2}$, and maps $f_{1} \Perp f_{2}, b_{1} \Perp b_{2}$. Note that $\partial\left(M_{1} \Perp M_{2}\right)=\partial M_{1} \# \partial M_{2}$, and that $\left(X_{1} \Perp X_{2}, Y_{1} \# Y_{2}\right)$ form a Poincare pair. Then $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{Hf}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{1} \Perp \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right)$ is a normal map.
11.2 Proposition: Let ( $f, b$ ), ( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}$ ) be normal maps with $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{M}, \partial \mathrm{M}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$, $g:(N, \partial N) \rightarrow\left(D^{m}, S^{m-1}\right)$. Then ( $f \Perp g, b \| c$ ) is normally cobordant to ( $f, b$ ). This proposition together with previous results leads to the 11.3 .. Theorem: Let (X,Y) be a m-dimensional Poincare pair with X simply-connecetd and $Y$ nonempty, m $\geqslant 5$, and let ( $f, b$ ) be a normal map with $f:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(X, Y)$ and $(f \mid \partial M)_{*}$ an isomorphism. Then there is a normal map $(g, c), g:(U, \partial U) \longrightarrow\left(D^{m}, S^{m-1}\right)$ with $g \mid \partial U$ a homotopy equivalence, such that (fug,buc) is normally cobordant rel $Y$ to a homotopy equivalence. In particular, (f,b) is normally cobordant to a homotopy equivalence. Proof: By the P.lumbing Theorem (10.7) there is a ( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}$ ) as above with $\sigma(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c})=-\sigma(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b})$. By the Addition Property, Proposition 5.35, $\sigma$ ( $\mathrm{f} \mu \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{b} \mu \mathrm{c}$ ) $=\sigma(f, b)+\sigma(g, c)=0$, so by the Fundamental Theorem (4.2) (fug,b\&c) is normally cobordant rel $Y$ to ( $f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ), where $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence. (Note that $\left.\left(X \Perp D^{m}, Y \sharp S^{m-1}\right) \cong(X, Y)\right)$. Then 11.2 shows that ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b}$ ) is normally cobordant to ( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ).

Recall that a cobordism $W$ between $M$ and $M^{\prime}$（i．e．$\partial W=M U U U M^{\prime}$ ， $\left.\partial M \subset U, \partial M^{\prime} \subset U\right)$ is an $h$－cobordism if the inclusions $M \subset W, M^{\prime} \subset W, \partial M \subset U$ ， and $\partial M^{\prime \prime} \subset U$ are all homotopy equivalences．

With this definition we can state the classification theorem of Novikov，and its corollary．

11．4．Theorem：Let $X$ be a simply－connected Poincaré complex of dimension $m \geq 4$ ，and $\left(f_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1$ ，be normal maps with $f_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow X$ ， where $M_{i}$ is a smooth m－manifold．Suppose that $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are homotopy equivalences．If $f_{0}$ is normally cobordant to $f_{1}$ ，then there is a normal map $(g, c)$ with $g:(U, \partial U) \rightarrow\left(D^{m+1}, S^{m}\right)$ ，where $g \mid \partial U$ is a homotopy equivalence，such that $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ is $h$－cobordant to（ $f_{1} g\left|\partial U, b_{1} c\right| \partial U$ ）． In particular，$M_{0}$ is $h$－cobordant to $M_{1}$ if $m$ is even，and to $M_{1} ⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 ⿻ ⿻ 一 𠃋 十 一 ~(\partial U) ~$ if $m$ is odd．

11．5 Corollary：Let $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ be closed smooth simply－connected manifolds of dimension not less that 5．A homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is homotopic to a diffeomorphism $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}: \mathrm{M} ⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 \Sigma 匚 \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ for some homotopy sphere $\Sigma=\partial U$ ，$U$ parallelisable（thus $M$ is homeomorphic to $M ⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 \Sigma \Sigma$ ）if and only if there is a bundle map $b: \nu \longrightarrow V^{\prime}$ covering $f$ such that $T(b)_{*}(\alpha)=\alpha^{\prime}$ ，where $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ are the natural collapsing maps $\alpha \in \pi_{m+k}(T(v)), \quad \alpha^{\prime} \in \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Finally we have a theorem of Wall and its corollary．
11．6．Theorem：Let $(X, Y)$ be a Poincare pair of dimension $m \geq 6$ ，with
both．$X$ and $Y$ simply－connected，$Y$ nonempty．．Let $\xi$ be a $k$－plane bundle over $X$ ，and choose $\alpha \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\xi), T(\xi \mid Y))$ such that $h(\alpha) \cap U=[X]$ ．Then the normal map represented by $\alpha$ is normally cobordant to a homotopy equivalence $(f, b), f:(M, \partial M) \longrightarrow(X, Y)$ ，which is unique up to $h$－cobordism． In particular，（ $X, Y$ ）has the homotopy type of a differentiable manifold，
unique up to $h$-cobordism in the given normal cobordism class.
We will prove the existence part of this theorem. The proof of uniqueness (as well as the other proofs omitted from this section) is to be found in [Browder 1972, II.3].

Proof: Let ( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ) with $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}:\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}, \partial \mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ be a normal map representing $\alpha$. By the Cobordism Property, $5.36, \sigma\left(f^{\prime}\left|\partial M^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right| \partial M^{\prime}\right)=0$, so that by the Fundamental Theorem (4.2) ( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left|\partial \mathrm{M}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right| \partial \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ ) is normally cobordant to a homotopy equivalence. This normal cobordism extends to a normal cobordism of ( $f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ) to some ( $f^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}$ ) such that $f^{\prime \prime} \mid \partial M^{\prime \prime}$ is a homotopy equivalence. By Theorem 11.3, (f",b") is normally cobordant to a homotopy equivalence, (f,b).
11.7 Corollary: Let $M$ and $M^{\top}$ be compact smooth simply-connected manifolds of dimension $\mathrm{m} \geq 6$, with $\partial \mathrm{M}$ and $\partial \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ simply-connected and nonempty. Then a homotopy equivalence $f:(M, \partial M) \longrightarrow\left(M^{\prime}, \partial M^{\prime}\right)$ is isotopic to a diffeomorphism $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ if and only if there is a bundle map. $\mathrm{b}: \nu \longrightarrow \nu^{\prime}$ covering $f$ such that $T(b)_{*}(\alpha)=\alpha^{\prime}$, where $\nu, \nu^{\prime}$ are the normal bundles, and $\alpha \in \pi_{m+k}(T(\nu), T(\nu \mid \partial M)), \alpha^{\prime} \in \pi_{m+k}\left(T\left(\nu^{\prime}\right), T\left(\nu^{\prime} \mid \partial M^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are the collapsing maps.
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