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#### Abstract

This thesis consists of two parts. The first part (chapters 1 and 2) consists of an introduction to theory of Coxeter groups and Artin groups. This material, for the most part, has been known for over thirty years, however, we do mention some recent developments where appropriate. In the second part (chapters $3-5$ ) we present some new results concerning Artin groups of finite-type. In particular, we compute presentations for the commutator subgroups of the irreducible finite-type Artin groups, generalizing the work of Gorin and Lin [GL69] on the braid groups. Using these presentations we determine the local indicability of the irreducible finite-type Artin groups (except for $F_{4}$ which at this time remains undetermined). We end with a discussion of the current state of the right-orderability of the finite-type Artin groups.
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## Chapter 0

## Introduction and Statement of Results

### 0.1 Introduction

A number of recent discoveries regarding the Artin braid groups $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ complete a rather interesting story about the orderability ${ }^{1}$ of these groups. These discoveries were as follows.

In 1969, Gorin and Lin [GL69], by computing presentations for the commutator subgroups $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ of the braid groups $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$, showed that $\mathfrak{B}_{3}^{\prime}$ is a free group of rank $2, \mathfrak{B}_{4}^{\prime}$ is the semidirect product of two free groups (each of rank 2), and $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ is finitely generated and perfect for $n \geq 5$. It follows from these results that $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ is locally indicable ${ }^{2}$ if and only if $n=2,3$, and 4 .

Neuwirth in 1.974 [Neu74], observed $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ is not bi-orderable if $n \geq 3$. However, Patrick Dehornoy [Deh94] showed the braid groups are in fact right-. orderable for all $n$. Furthermore, Dale Rolfsen and Jun Zhu [RZ98] proved (non-constructively ${ }^{3}$ ) that the subgroups $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ of pure braids are bi-orderable.

So, by this point in time (1998), the orderability of the braid and pure braid groups were known. What remained unknown was the relationship between a right-ordering on $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ and a bi-ordering on $\mathcal{P}_{n}$. That is, does a right-ordering on $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ restrict to a bi-ordering on $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ ?

This question was recently answered by Rolfsen and Rhemtulla [RR02].

[^0]by determining the connection between local indicability and orderability. In particular, they showed that since the braid groups $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ are not locally indicable for $n \geq 5$ a right-ordering on $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ could not restrict to a bi-ordering on $\mathcal{P}_{n} .{ }^{4}$

This thesis is concerned with investigating whether these results on the braid groups extend to all finite-type Artin groups. In particular, we are concerned with determining the local indicability of the finite-type Artin groups.

### 0.2 Outline and Statement of Results

In Chapter 1 we give a quick yet thorough introduction to the theory of Coxeter groups.

In Chapter 2 we introduce Artin groups and develop their basic theory. Most of these results have been known for over thirty years, however, we do mention recent developments where appropriate.

The remaining chapters consist of recent and new results.
In Chapter 3 we follow the direction of Gorin and Lin and compute presentations of the commutator subgroups of the finite-type Artin groups. The results here are new (aside from the particular case of the braid groups which were done, of course, by Gorin and Lin).

In Chapter 4 we use these presentations to extend the results of Gorin and Lin on the braid groups to the class of finite-type Artin groups as follows.

Theorem 0.1 The following are finitely generated and perfect:

1. $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$ for $n \geq 4$,
2. $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}^{\prime}$ for $n \geq 5$,
3. $\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}^{\prime}$ for $n \geq \dot{5}$,
4. $\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}^{\prime}$ for $n=6,7,8$,
5. $\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}^{\prime}$ for $n=3,4$.

Hence, the corresponding Artin groups are not locally indicable.

[^1]On the other hand, we show the remaining finite-type Artin groups are locally indicable (excluding the type $F_{4}$ which at this time remains undetermined) .

In Chapter 5 we discuss the orderability of the finite-type Artin groups. We show that in order to determine the right-orderability (bi-orderability) of the finite-type Artin groups it is sufficient to determine whether the positive Artin monoid is right-orderable (bi-orderable). Furthermore, we show that in order to prove all finite-type Artin groups are right-orderable it suffices to show the Artin group of type $E_{8}$ is right-orderable.

## Chapter 1

## Basic Theory of Coxeter Groups

The first comprehensive treatment of finite reflection groups was given by H.S.M. Coxeter in 1934. In [Cox34] he completely classified the groups and derived several of their properties, using mainly geometrical methods. He later included a discussion of the groups in his book Regular Polytopes [Cox63]. Another discussion, somewhat more algebraic in nature, was given by E. Witt in 1941 [Wit41]. A more general class of groups; the Coxeter groups, to which finite reflection groups belong, has since been studied in N. Bourbaki's chapters on Lie Groups and Lie Algebras [Bou72], [Bou02]. Another discussion appears in Humphrey's book Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups [Hum72].

In this chapter we develop the theory of Coxeter groups with emphasis on the "root system" (following Deodhar [Deo82]). The approach we táke here is precisely that of Humphreys [Hum72]. All of the results found in this chapter may be found in some form or another in Humphreys book, however, its inclusion here has primarily two purposes: (1) to make this thesis self contained for the convience of the reader and (2) to draw a comparison with the theory of Artin groups developed in chapter 2. The material has been reorganized and emphasis has been put on the parts of the theory we wish to compare with the theory of Artin groups.

### 1.1 Definition

Let $S$ be a finite set. A Coxeter matrix over $S$ is a matrix $M=\left(m_{s s^{\prime}}\right)_{s, s^{\prime} \in S}$ indexed by the elements of $S$ and satisfying
(a) $m_{s s}=1$ if $s \in S$,
(b) $m_{s s^{\prime}}=m_{s^{\prime} s} \in\{2, \ldots, \infty\}$ if $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ and $s \neq s^{\prime}$.

A Coxeter matrix $M=\left(m_{s s^{\prime}}\right)_{s, s^{\prime} \in S}$ is usually represented by its Coxeter graph F . This is defined by the following data.
(a) $S$ is the set of vertices of $\Gamma$.
(b) Two vertices $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ are joined by an edge if $m_{s s^{\prime}} \geq 3$.
(c) The edge joining two vertices $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ is labelled by $m_{s s^{\prime}}$ if $m_{s s^{\prime}} \geq 4$.

The Coxeter system of type $\Gamma$ (or $M$ ) is the pair $(W ; S)$ where $W$ is the group having the presentation

$$
W=\left\langle s \in S:\left(s s^{\prime}\right)^{m_{s s^{\prime}}}=1 \text { if } m_{s s^{\prime}}<\infty\right\rangle
$$

The cardinality $|S|$ of $S$. is called the rank of ( $W, S$ ). The canonical image of $S$ in $W$ is a generating set which may conceivably be smaller than $S$, that is, under the above relations two generators in $S$ may be equal in $W$. In 1:3 we show this does not happen. Furthermore, we show in theorem 1.14 that no proper subset of $S$ generates $W$. In the meantime, we may allow ourselves to write $s \in W$ for the image of $s \in S$, whenever this creates no real ambiguity in the arguments. We refer to $W$ itself as a Coxeter group of type $\Gamma$ (or $M$ ), when the presentation is understood, and denote it by $W_{\Gamma}$. Although a good part of the theory goes through for arbitrary $S$, we shall always assume that $S$ is finite. However, this does not mean that the Coxeter group $W$ is finite.

Here are a couple of examples.
Example 1.1 If $m_{s s^{\prime}}=\infty$ when $s \neq s^{\prime}$ then $W$ is the free product of $|S|$ copies of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. This group is sometimes referred to as a universal Coxeter group.

Example 1.2 It is well known that the symmetric group on $(n+1)$-letters is the Coxeter group associated with the Coxeter graph;

where vertex $i$ corresponds to the transposition $(i i+1)$.
When a group is given in terms of generators and relations it is quite difficult to say anything about the group - for example, is the group trivial or
not? In our case it is quite easy to see that $W$ has order at least 2 . Consider the map from $S$ into $\{ \pm 1\}$, defined by taking each element of $S$ to -1 . Since this map takes each relation $\left(s s^{\prime}\right)^{m_{s s^{\prime}}}$ to 1 it determines a homomorphism $\epsilon: W \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ sending the image of each $s \in S$ to -1 . The map $\epsilon$ is the generalization for an arbitrary Coxeter group of the sign character of the symmetric group.
Theorem 1.3 There is a unique epimorphism $\epsilon: \dot{W} \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ sending each generator $s \in S$ to -1 . In particular, each $s$ has order 2 in $W$.

Note that when $|S|=1, W$ is just a group of order 2, i.e. $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. When $|S|=2$, say $S=\left\{s, s^{\prime}\right\}, W$ is the dihedral group of order $2 m_{s s^{\prime}} \leq \infty$.

### 1.2 Length Function

We saw that the generators $s \in S$ have order two in $W$, so each $w \neq 1$ in $W$ can be written as a word in the generators with no negative exponents: $w=s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{r}$ for some $s_{i}$ (not necessarily distinct) in $S$. If $r$ is as small as possible we call it the length of $w$, written $l(w)$, and we call any expression of $w$ as a product of $r$ elements of $S$ a reduced expression. By convention $l(1)=0$. Note that if $s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{r}$ is a reduced expression then so are all initial segments, i.e. $s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{i}, i \leq r$. Some basic properties of the length function are included in the following lemma, whose proof is straightforward.

Lemma 1.4 The length function l has the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& l(w)=l\left(w^{-1}\right)  \tag{L1}\\
& l(w)=1 \text { iff } w \in S  \tag{L2}\\
& l\left(w w^{\prime}\right) \leq l(w)+l\left(w^{\prime}\right)  \tag{L3}\\
& l\left(w w^{\prime}\right) \geq l(w)-l\left(w^{\prime}\right)  \tag{L4}\\
& l(w)-1^{\circ} \leq l(w s) \leq l(w)+1, \text { for } s \in S \text { and } w \in W . \tag{L5}
\end{align*}
$$

Property ( $L 5$ ) tells us that the difference in the lengths of $w s$ and $w$ is at most 1 , the following theorem tells us that this difference is exactly 1.

Theorem 1.5 The homomorphism $\epsilon: W \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ 'of theorem 1.3 is given by $\epsilon(w)=(-1)^{l(w)}$. Thus, $l(w s)=l(w) \pm 1$, for all $s \in S$ and $w \in W$. Similarly for $l(s w)$.

Proof. Let $w \in W$ have reduced expression $s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{r}$, then

$$
\epsilon(w)=\epsilon\left(s_{1}\right) \epsilon\left(s_{2}\right) \cdots \epsilon\left(s_{r}\right)=(-1)^{r}=(-1)^{l(w)} .
$$

Now $\epsilon(w s)=\epsilon(w) \epsilon(s)=-\epsilon(w)$ implies $l(w s) \neq l(w)$.
In our study of Coxeter groups we will often use induction on $l(w)$ to prove theorems. It will therefore be essential to understand the precise relationship between $l(w)$ and $l(w s)$ (or $l(s w)$ ). It is clear that if $w \in W$ has a reduced expression ending in $s \in S$ then $l(w s)=l(w)-1$, however it is not clear at this point whether the converse is true: for $w \in W$ and $s \in S$ if $l(w s)=l(w)-1$ then $w$ has a reduced expression ending in $s$. This turns out to be true, see section 1.5 , but to prove this we need a way to represent $W$ concretely.

### 1.3 Geometric Representation of $W$

Since Coxeter groups are generalizations of finite orthogonal reflection groups it should be no surprise that we wish to view $W$ as a "reflection group" on some real vector-space $V$. It is too much to expect a faithful representation of $W$ as a group generated by (orthogonal) reflections in a euclidean space. However, we can get a reasonable substitute if we redefine a reflection to be merely a linear transformation which fixes a hyperplane pointwise and sends some nonzero vector to its negative.

Define $V$ to be the real vector space with basis $\left\{\alpha_{s}: s \in S\right\}$ in one-to-one correspondence with $S$. We impose a geometry on $V$ in such a way that the "angle" between $\alpha_{s}$ and $\alpha_{s^{\prime}}$ will be compatible with the given $m_{s s^{\prime}}$. To do this, we define a symmetric bilinear form $B$ on $V$ by requiring

$$
B\left(\alpha_{s}, \alpha_{s}^{\prime}\right)=-\cos \frac{\pi}{m_{s s^{\prime}}}
$$

In the case of $m_{s s^{\prime}}=\infty$ the expression is interpreted to be -1 . From this definition we have $B\left(\alpha_{s}, \alpha_{s}\right)=1$, while $B\left(\alpha_{s}, \alpha_{s}^{\prime}\right) \leq 0$ for $s \neq s^{\prime}$. Note that $B$ is not necessarily positive definite, i.e. there are Coxeter groups $W$ for which some $v \in V$ does not satisfy $B(v, v)>0$. Consider the following example.

Example 1.6 For the universal Coxeter group of rank two;

$$
W=\left\langle s_{1}, s_{2}: s_{1}^{2}, s_{2}^{2}\right\rangle
$$

take $v=\alpha_{s_{1}}+\alpha_{s_{2}} \in V$. It is easy to check $B\left(\alpha_{s_{1}}+\alpha_{s_{2}}, \alpha_{s_{1}}+\alpha_{s_{2}}\right)=0$.
Moreover, the following example shows that $B$ may not even be positive semidefinite.

Example 1.7 For the Coxeter group

$$
W=\left\langle s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}: s_{1}^{2}, \dot{s}_{2}^{2}, s_{3}^{2},\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right)^{4},\left(s_{1} s_{3}\right)^{4},\left(s_{2} s_{3}\right)^{4}\right\rangle
$$

take $v=\alpha_{s_{1}}+\alpha_{s_{2}}+\alpha_{s_{3}} \in V$. Since $B\left(\alpha_{s_{i}}, \alpha_{s_{j}}\right)=-\cos \frac{\pi}{4}<-\frac{2}{3}$ for $i \neq j$, then $B(v, v)<-1$.

For each $s \in S$ we can now define a reflecton $\sigma_{s}: V \longrightarrow V$ by the rule:

$$
\sigma_{s}(\lambda)=\lambda-2 B\left(\alpha_{s}, \lambda\right) \alpha_{s}
$$

Clearly $\sigma_{s}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)=-\alpha_{s}$, while $\sigma_{s}$ fixes $H_{s}=\left\{\lambda \in V: B\left(\alpha_{s}, \lambda\right)=0\right\}$ pointwise. In particular, we see that $\sigma_{s}$ has order 2 in $G L(V)$.

Theorem 1.8 There is a unique homomorphism $\sigma: W \longrightarrow G L(V)$ sending s to $\sigma_{s}$, and the group $\sigma(W)$ preserves the form $B$ on $V$. Moreover, for each pair $s, s^{\prime} \in S$, the order of ss' in $W$ is precisely $m_{s s^{\prime}}$.

For a proof of this theorem see Humphreys [Hum72]. To avoid cumbersome notation, we usually write $w\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$ to denote $\sigma(w)\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$. The last statement in the theorem removes the possibility of $s=s^{\prime}$ in $W$ even though $s \neq s^{\prime}$ in $S$, as promised in section 1.1. We will show next that this representation is indeed a faithful one. To do this we need to introduce the concept of a root system.

### 1.4 Root System

For a Coxeter system ( $W, S$ ) a root system $\Phi$ of $W$ is a set of vectors in $V$ satisfying the conditions:
(R1) $\quad \Phi \cap \mathbb{R} \alpha=\{ \pm \alpha\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$
$(R 2) \quad s \Phi=\Phi$ for all $s \in S$

The elements of $\Phi$ are called roots. We will only be concerned with the specific root system given by $\Phi=\left\{w\left(\alpha_{s}\right): w \in W, s \in S\right\}$. It is clear that axiom (R2) is satisfied for this choice of $\Phi$, to check axiom ( $R 1$ ) it suffices to note that since $W$ (more precisely $\sigma(W)$ ) preserves the form $B$ on $V$ (theorem 1.8), $\Phi$ is a set of unit vectors. Note that $\Phi=-\Phi$ since if $\beta=w\left(\alpha_{s}\right) \in \Phi$ then $-\beta=w s\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$ is also in $\Phi$. If $\alpha$ is any root then it can be expressed in the form

$$
\alpha=\sum_{s \in S} c_{s} \alpha_{s} \quad\left(c_{s} \in \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

If $c_{s} \geq 0$ for all $s \in S$ then we call $\alpha$ a positive root and write $\alpha>0$. Similarly, if $c_{s} \leq 0$ for all $s \in S$ then we call $\alpha$ a negative root and write $\alpha<0$. We write $\Phi^{+}$and $\Phi^{-}$for the respective sets of positive and negative roots. It may come as some surprise that these two sets exhaust $\Phi$, this follows from the following theorem. The proof of this theorem is nontrivial, we refer the reader to Humphreys [Hum72] for proof. The set of roots $\left\{\alpha_{s}: s \in S\right\}$ are called simple roots.

Theorem 1.9 Let $w \in W$ and $s \in S$. Then

$$
l(w s)>l(w) \text { iff } w\left(\alpha_{s}\right)>0 .
$$

Equivalently,

$$
l(w s)<l(w) \text { iff } w\left(\alpha_{s}\right)<0 .
$$

This tells us the precise criterion for $l(w s)$ to be greater than $l(w): w$ must take $\alpha_{s}$ to a positive root. This is the key to all further combinatorial properties of $W$ relative to the generating set $S$.

Corollary 1.10 The representation $\sigma: W \longrightarrow G L(V)$ is faithful.
Proof. Let $w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\sigma)$. If $w \neq 1$ then it has reduced expression $s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{r}$ where $r \geq 1$. Since $l\left(w s_{r}\right)=r-1<l(w)$ then $w\left(\alpha_{s_{r}}\right)<0$ by theorem 1.9. But $w\left(\alpha_{s_{r}}\right)=\alpha_{s_{r}}>0$, which is a contradiction.

Another consequence of Theorem 1.9 is that the length of $w \in W$ is completely determined by how it permutes $\Phi$. For $w \in W$ let $\Pi(w)$ denote the set of positive roots sent to negative roots by $w$, i.e $\Pi(w)=\left\{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}: w(\alpha)<0\right\}$.

Theorem 1.11 (a) If $s \in S$, then $s$ sends $\alpha_{s}$ to its negative, but permutes the remaining positive roots. That is, $\Pi(s)=\left\{\alpha_{s}\right\}$.
(b) For all $w \in W, l(w)=|\Pi(w)|$.

This theorem provides valuable information about the internal structure of $W$, see section 1.5. We refer the reader to Humphreys [Hum72] for the straightforward proof.

If $W$ is infinite the length function takes on arbitrarily large values (recall we are assuming $S$ is finite). It follows from theorem 1.11 that $\Phi$ is infinite. One the other hand, if $W$ is finite ( $\Phi$ is also finite by definition) it contains a unique element of maximal length. Indeed; clearly $W$ must contain at least one element of maximal length, say $w_{0}$. For $s \in S, l\left(w_{0} s\right)<l\left(w_{0}\right)$ so $w_{0}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)<$ 0 . Thus, $w_{0}$ sends all positive roots to negative roots, i.e. $\Pi\left(w_{0}\right)=\Phi_{i}^{+}$. Suppose that there is another element $w_{1} \in W$ of maximal length, then $w_{1}^{-1}$ is also of maximal length and so $\Pi\left(w_{1}^{-1}\right)=\Phi^{+}$. It follows that $w_{0} w_{1}^{-1}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)=\Phi^{+}$, so $l\left(w_{0} w_{1}^{-1}\right)=0$. Therefore $w_{0}=w_{1}$ so we have uniqueness. Since $w_{0}$ and $w_{0}^{-1}$ have the same length uniqueness of the maximal element implies $w_{0}=w_{0}^{-1}$, moreover it follows from theorem 1.11 that $l\left(w_{0}\right)=\left|\Phi^{+}\right|$.

### 1.5 Strong Exchange Condition

We are now in a position to prove some key facts about reduced expressions in $W$, which is at the heart of what it means to be a Coxeter group.

Theorem 1.12 (Exchange Condition) Let $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{r}\left(s_{i} \in S\right.$ ), not necessarily a reduced expression. Suppose a reflection $s \in S$ satisfies $l(w s)<l(w)$. Then there is an index ifor which $w s=s_{1} \cdots \widehat{s}_{i} \cdots s_{r}$ (omiting $s_{i}$ ). If the expression for $w$ is reduced, then $i$ is unique.

There is a stronger version of this theorem, called the Strong Exchange Condition' in which the simple reflection $s$ can be replaced by any element $\dot{w} \in W$ which acts on $V$ as a reflection, in the sense that there exists a unit vector $\alpha \in V$ for which $w(\lambda)=\lambda-2 B(\lambda, \alpha) \alpha$. It turns out that the vector $\alpha$ must be a root for $w$ to act on $V$ in this way. On the other hand, to each positive root $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$, there is a $w \in W^{\dot{\prime}}$ which acts on $V$ as a reflection along $\alpha$. Indeed, take $w^{\prime} \in W, s \in S$ such that $\alpha=w^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$. Then $w=w^{\prime} s\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ is
such an element. Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of positive roots $\Phi^{+}$and the set of reflections in $W$. For a complete discussion see Humphreys ([Hum72] sec. $5.7,5.8$ ).

Before we prove theorem 1.12 we need to make the following observation. If $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ and $w \in W$ satisfy $\alpha_{s^{\prime}}=w\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$ then $w s w^{-1}=s^{\prime}$. Indeed, $w s w^{-1}(\lambda)=w\left(w^{-1}(\lambda)-2 B\left(w^{-1}(\lambda), \alpha_{s}\right) \alpha_{s}\right)$ and since $B$ is $W$-invariant the result follows.
Proof. Since $l(w s)<l(w)$ then $w\left(\alpha_{s}\right)<0$. Because $\alpha_{s}>0$ there exists an index $i \leq r$ for which $s_{i+1} \cdots s_{r}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)>0$ but $s_{i} s_{i+1} \cdots s_{r}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)<0$. From theorem 1.11 we have $s_{i+1} \cdots s_{r}\left(\alpha_{s}\right)=\alpha_{s_{i}}$; and by the above observation $s_{i+1} \cdots s_{r} s s_{r} \cdots s_{i+1}=s_{i}$, from which it follows $w s=s_{1} \cdots \widehat{s_{i}} \cdots s_{r}$.

In case $l(w)=r$ consider what would happen if there were two distinct indices $i<j$ such that $w s=s_{1} \cdots \widehat{s_{i}} \cdots s_{r}=s_{1} \cdots \widehat{s_{j}} \cdots s_{r}$. After cancelling, this gives $s_{i+1} \cdots s_{j}=s_{i} \cdots s_{j-1}$, or $s_{i} \cdots s_{j}=s_{i+1} \cdots s_{j-1}$, allowing us to write $w=s_{1} \cdots \widehat{s_{i}} \cdots \widehat{s_{j}} \cdots s_{r}$. This contradicts $l(w)=r$.

Corollary 1.13 (a) (Deletion Condition) Suppose $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{r}\left(s_{i} \in S\right.$ ), with $l(w)<r$. Then there exists $i<j$ such that $w=s_{1} \cdots \widehat{s_{i}} \cdots \widehat{s_{j}} \cdots s_{r}$.
(b) If $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{r}$, $\left(s_{i} \in S\right)$, then a reduced expression for $w$ may be obtained by omitting on even number of $s_{i}$.

Proof. (a) There exists an index $j$ such that $l\left(w^{\prime} s_{j}\right)<l\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ where $w^{\prime}=$ $s_{1} \cdots s_{j-1}$. Applying the exchange condition gives $w^{\prime} s_{j}=s_{1} \cdots \widehat{s_{i}} \cdots s_{j-1}$, allowing us to write $w=w^{\prime} s_{j} \cdots s_{r}=s_{1} \cdots \widehat{s_{i}} \cdots \widehat{s_{j}} \cdots s_{r}$.

### 1.6 Parabolic Subgroups

In this section we show that for a Coxeter system $(W, S)$ the subgroup of $W$ generated by a subset of $S$ is itself a Coxeter system with the obvious Coxeter graph.

Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system with values $m_{s s^{\prime}}$ for $s, s^{\prime} \in S$. For a subset $I \subset S$ we define $W_{I}$ to be the subgroup of $W$ generated by $I$. At the extremes, $W_{\emptyset}=1$ and $W_{S}=W$. We call the subgroup $W_{I}$ a parabolic subgroup . (More generally, we refer to any conjugate of such a subgroup as a parabolic
subgroup.) Let $l_{I}$ denote the length function on $W_{I}$ interms of the generators $I$.

Theorem 1.14 (a) For each subset $I$ of $S$, the pair $\left(W_{I}, I\right)$ is a Coxeter system with the given values $m_{\text {ss' }}$.
(b) Let $I \subset S$. If $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{r}\left(s_{i} \in S\right)$ is a reduced expression, and $w \in W_{I}$, then all $s_{i} \in I$. In particular, the function lagrees with the length function $l_{I}$ on $W_{I}$, and $W_{I} \cap S=I$.
(c) The assignment $I \longmapsto W_{I}$ defines a lattice isomorphism between the collection of subsets of $S$ and the collection of subgroups $W_{I}$ of $W$.
(d) $S$ is a minimal generating set for $W$.

Proof. For (a). The set $I$ and the corresponding values $m_{s s^{\prime}}$ give rise to an abstractly defined Coxeter group $\bar{W}_{I}$, to which our previous results apply. In particular, $\bar{W}_{I}$ has a geometric representation of its own. This can obviously be identified with the action of the group generated by all $\sigma_{s}(s \in I)$ on the subspace $V_{I}$ of $V$ spanned by all $\alpha_{s}(s \in I)$, since the bilinear form $B$ restricted to $V_{I}$ agrees with the form $B_{I}$ defined by $\bar{W}_{I}$. The group generated by these $\sigma_{s}$ is just the restriction to $V_{I}$ of the group $\sigma\left(W_{I}\right)$. On the other hand, $\overline{W_{I}}$ maps canonically onto $W_{I}$, yielding a commutative triangle:


Since the map $\bar{W}_{I} \longrightarrow G L\left(V_{I}\right)$ is injective by corollary 1.10 , we conclude that $W_{I}$ is isomorphic to $\bar{W}_{I}$ and is therefore itself a Coxeter group.

For (b), use induction on $l(w)$, noting that $l(1)=0=l_{I}(1)$. Suppose $w \neq 1$ and let $s=s_{r}$. Since $w \in W_{I}$ it also has a reduced expression $w=t_{1} \cdots t_{q}$, where $t_{i} \in I$. Now,

$$
w\left(\alpha_{s}\right)=\alpha_{s}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} c_{i} \alpha_{t_{i}} \quad\left(c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

According to theorem $1.9 l(w s)<l(w)$ implies $w\left(\alpha_{s}\right)<0$, so we must have $t_{i}=s$ for some $i$, forcing $s \in I$. Now, $w s=s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1} \in W_{I}$, and the-expression is reduced. The result follows by induction.

To prove (c), suppose $I, J \subset S$. If $W_{I} \subset W_{J}$, then, by (b), $I=W_{I} \cap$ $S \subset W_{J} \cap S=J$ Thus $I \subset J$ (resp. $I=J$ ) if and only if $W_{I} \subset W_{J}$ (resp. $W_{I}=W_{J}$ ). It is clear that $W_{I \cup J}$ is the subgroup generated by $W_{I}$ and $W_{J}$. On the other hand, (b) implies that $W_{I \cap J}=W_{I} \cap W_{J}$. This yields the desired lattice isomorphism. To prove (d), suppose that a subset $I$ of $S$ generates $W$ then $W_{I}=W=W_{S}$, so by (c) $I=S$.

If $\Gamma$ is the Coxeter graph associated with the Coxeter system $(W, S)$ then theorem 1.14 tells us that the Coxeter graph associated with $\left(W_{I}, I\right)$ is precisely $\Gamma_{I}$ : the subgraph induced by $I$, that is, the subgraph of $\Gamma$ with vertex set $I$ and all edges (from $\Gamma$ ) whose endpoints are in $I$. Another way to view this result is that every induced subgraph of $\Gamma$ is a Coxeter graph for some (parabolic) subgroup of $W$.

We say that the Coxeter system $(W, S)$ is irreducible if the Coxeter graph is connected. In general, let $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{r}$ be the connected components of $\Gamma$, and let $I_{i}$ be the corresponding sets of generators from $S$, i.e. the verticies of $\Gamma_{i}$. Thus if $s \in I_{i}$ and $s^{\prime} \in I_{j}$, we have $m_{s s^{\prime}}=2$ and therefore $s s^{\prime}=s^{\prime} s$. The following theorem shows that the study of Coxeter groups can be largely reduced to the case when $\Gamma$ is connected.

Theorem 1.15 Let ( $W, S$ ) have Coxeter graph $\Gamma$, with connected components $\Gamma_{1}$, $\ldots, \Gamma_{r}$, and let $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{r}$ be the corresponding subsets of $S$. Then

$$
W=W_{I_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{I_{r}},
$$

and each Coxeter system ( $W_{I_{i}}, I_{i}$ ) is irreducible.
Proof. Since the elements of $I_{i}$ commute with the elements of $I_{j}, i \neq j$, it is clear that the indicated parabolic subgroups centralize each other, hence that each is normal in $W$. Moreover, the product of these subgroups contains $S$ and therefore must be all of $W$. According to theorem 1.14(c), for each $1 \leq$ $i \leq r-1,\left(W_{I_{1}} W_{I_{2}} \ldots W_{I_{i}}\right) \cap W_{I_{i+1}}=\{1\}$. It follows that $W=W_{I_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{I_{r}}$ (for example, see [Gal98]).

### 1.7 The Word and Conjugacy Problem

Let a group $G$ be given in terms of generators and relations.
(i) For an arbitrary word $w$ in the generators, decide in a finite number of steps whether $w$ defines the identity element of $G$, or not.
(ii) For two arbitrary words $w_{1}, w_{2}$ in the generators, decide in a finite number of steps, whether $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ define conjugate elements of $G$, or not.

The problems (i) and (ii) are called the word problem and the conjugacy problem, respectively, for the presentation defining $G$. It is shown in [Nov56], [Boo55] that there exist presentations of groups in which the word problem is not solvable, and there exist presentations of groups in which the conjugacy problem is not solvable [Nov54].

A very nice solution to the word problem for Coxeter groups was found by Tits [Tit69]. It allows one to transform an arbitrary product of generators from $S$ into a reduced expression by making only the most obvious types of modifications coming from the defining relations. Here is a brief description.

Let $F$ be a free group on a set $\Sigma$ where $\Sigma$ is in bijection with $S$, and let $\pi: F \longrightarrow W$ be the resulting epimorphism. The monoid $F^{+}$generated by $\Sigma$ already maps onto $W$. If $\omega \in F^{+}$is a product of various elements $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we can define $l(\omega)$ to be the number of factors involved. If $m=m_{s t}$ for $s, t \in S$, the product of $m$ factors of $\sigma$ and $\tau ; \sigma \tau \sigma \cdots$, maps to the same element of $W$ as the product of $m$ factors $\tau \sigma \tau \cdots$. Replacement of one of them by the other inside a given $\omega \in F^{+}$is called an elementary simplification of the first kind; it leaves the length undisturbed. A second kind of elementary simplification reduces length, by omitting a consecutive pair $\sigma \sigma$. Write $\Sigma(\omega)$ for the set of all elements of $F^{+}$obtainable from $\omega$ by a sequence of elementary simplifications. Since no new elements of $\Sigma$ are introduced and length does not increase at each step, it is clear that $\Sigma(\omega)$ is finite. It is also effectively computable. Clearly the image of $\Sigma(\omega)$ under $\pi$ is a single element of $W$.

Theorem 1.16 Let $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in F^{+}$. Then $\pi(\omega)=\pi\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ iff $\Sigma(\omega) \cap \Sigma\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, $\pi(\omega)=1$ iff $1 \in \Sigma(\omega)$.

One direction is obvious. To go the other way, Tits assumes the contrary and analyses a minimal counterexample (in terms of lexicographic ordering
of pairs $\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ ): both elements must have the same length and $\Sigma(\omega)$ consists of elements of equal length, etc., leading eventually to a contradiction.

Much less seems to be known about the conjugacy problem for Coxeter groups. Appel and Schupp [AS83] have given a solution for extra large Coxeter groups (those for which all $m_{s s^{\prime}} \geq 4$ when $s \neq s^{\prime}$.)

### 1.8 Finite Coxeter Groups

In this section we restrict our attention to finite Coxeter groups. We will classify all finite irreducible Coxeter groups in terms of their Coxeter graphs, in fact, we will give a complete list of all Coxeter graphs corresponding to finite irreducible Coxeter groups. According to theorem 1.15 every finite Coxeter group is isomorphic to a direct product of groups from this list.

Recall in 1.3 the bilinear form $B$ was not necessarily positive definite, the next theorem tells us that it is precisly when $W$ is finite.

Theorem 1.17 The following conditions on the Coxeter group $W$ are equivalent:
(a) $W$ is finite.
(b) The bilinear form $B$ is positive definite.

The proof of this theorem is rather involved and so we refer the reader to Humphreys [Hum72].

If $(W, S)$ is a Coxeter system with Coxeter graph $\Gamma$ (resp. Coxeter matrix $M$ ) then we say that $\Gamma$ (resp. $M$ ) is of finite-type if $W$ is finite. Also, if the bilinear form $B$ is positive definite then we call $\Gamma$ positive definite as well. Theorem 1.17 tells us that $\Gamma$ is positive definite if and only if it is of finitetype. Therefore, to classify the irreducible, finite Coxeter groups we just need to determine all connected, positive definite Coxeter graphs. Classification of all connected positive definite Coxeter graphs turns out to be relatively straightforward. For a wonderful discussion and solution of the problem see Humphreys ([Hum72] sec. $2.3-2.7$ ). It is shown in [Hum72] that the graphs in figure 1.1 are precisely all the connected positive definite Coxeter graphs.

The letter beside each of the graphs in figure 1.1 is called the type of the Coxeter graph, and the subscript denotes the number of vertices. Recall example 1.2 shows the symmetric group on $(n+1)$-letters is a Coxeter group of type $A_{n}$.


Figure 1.1: All the connected positive definite Coxeter graphs

| $W_{\Gamma}$ injects into $W_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma$ | $\Gamma^{\prime}$ |
| $A_{n}$ | $A_{m}$ (for $\left.m \geq n\right)$, |
|  | $B_{m}($ for $m \geq n+1)$, |
|  | $D_{m}($ for $m \geq n+2)$, |
|  | $E_{8}($ for $n \leq 7)$, |
|  | etc. |
| $B_{2}$ | $B_{n}($ for $n \geq 2)$, |
|  | $F_{4 \prime}$ |
|  | $I_{2}(4)$ |
| $B_{3}$ | $B_{n}($ for $n \geq 3)$, |
|  | $F_{4}$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $E_{7}, E_{8}$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $E_{8}$ |
| $H_{3}$ | $H_{4}$ |
| $I_{2}(5)$ | $H_{3}, H_{4}$ |

Table 1.1: Inclusions among Coxeter groups
The remarks after theorem 1.14 imply that if $\Gamma$ is an induced subgraph of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ then the corresponding Coxeter group $W_{\Gamma}$ injects into $W_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$. Table 1.1 lists some such inclusions for the Coxeter graphs in figure 1.1.

## Chapter 2

## Basic Theory of Artin Groups

The braid groups, which are the Artin groups of type $A_{n}$, were first introduced by Artin in [Art25], he further developed the theory in [Art47a,b] and [Art50]. Since their introduction the braid groups have gone through a serious line of investigation. One of the most influential papers on the subject was that of Garside [Gar69], in which he solved the word and conjugation problems. Later, the connection of the braid groups with the fundamental group of a particular complex hyperplane arrangement lead to a natural generalization: the Artin groups. In this chapter we introduce the Artin groups and discuss some of their basic theory. We follow closely the work of Brieskorn and Saito [BS72], which is a generalization of the work of Garside.

### 2.1 Definition

Let $M$ be a Coxeter matrix over $S$ as described in section 1.1, and let $\Gamma$ be the corresponding Coxeter graph. Fix a set $\Sigma$ in one-to-one correspondence with $S$. In the following we will often consider words beginning with $a \in \Sigma$ and in which only letters $a$ and $b$ occur, such a word of length $q$ is denoted $\langle a b\rangle^{q}$ so that

$$
\langle a b\rangle^{q}=\underbrace{a b a}_{\text {q factors }}
$$

The Artin system of type $\Gamma$ (or $M$ ) is the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma)$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is the group having presentation

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left\langle a \in \Sigma:\langle a b\rangle^{m_{a b}}=\langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}} \text { if } m_{a b}<\infty\right\rangle
$$

The group $\mathcal{A}$ is called the Artin group of type $\Gamma$ (or $M$ ), and is sometimes denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$. So, similar to Coxeter systems, an Artin system is an Artin group with a prescribed set of generators.

There is a natural map $\nu: \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow W_{\Gamma}$ sending generator $a_{i} \in \Sigma$ to the corresponding generator $s_{i} \in \mathcal{S}$. This map is indeed a homomorphism since the equation $\left\langle s_{i} s_{j}\right\rangle^{m_{i j}}=\left\langle s_{j} s_{i}\right\rangle^{m_{i j}}$ follows from $s_{i}^{2}=1, s_{j}^{2}=1$ and $\left(s_{i} s_{j}\right)^{m_{i j}}=1$. Since $\nu$ is clearly surjective it follows that the Coxeter group $W_{\Gamma}$ is a quotient of the Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$. The kernel of $\nu$ is called the pure Artin group, generalizing the definition of the pure braid group. From the observations in section 1.1 it follows that $\Sigma$ is a minimal generating set for $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$. The homomorphism $\nu$ has a natural set section $\tau: W_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ defined as follows. Let $w \in W$. We choose any reduced expression $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{r}$ of $w$ and we set

$$
\tau(w)=-a_{1} \cdots a_{r} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} .
$$

By Tits' solution to the word problem for Coxeter groups (sec. 1.7), the definition of $\tau(w)$ does not depend on the choice of the reduced expression of $w$. Note that $\tau$ is not a homomorphism.

The Artin group of a finite-type Coxeter graph is called an Artin group of finite-type. In other words, $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is of finite-type if and only if the corresponding Coxeter group $W_{\Gamma}$ is finite. An Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is called irreducible if the Coxeter graph $\Gamma$ is connected. In particular, the Artin groups corresponding to the graphs in figure 1.1 are irreducible and of finite-type. These Artin groups are our main interest in the remaining chapters.

### 2.2 Positive Artin Monoid

We now introduce the positive Artin monoid associated to the Artin system $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma)$. All of the basic properties of Artin groups will follow from the study of the positive Artin monoid.

Let $F_{\Sigma}$ be the free group generated by $\Sigma$ and $F_{\Sigma}^{+}$the free monoid generated by $\Sigma$ inside $F_{\Sigma}$. We call the elements of $F_{\Sigma}$ words and the elements of $F_{\Sigma}^{+}$ positive words. The positive words have unique representations as products of elements of $\Sigma$ and the number of factors is the length $l$ of a positive word. In the following we drop the subscript $\Sigma$ when it is clear from the context. An
elementary transformation of positive words is a transformation of the form

$$
U\langle a b\rangle^{m_{a b}} V \longrightarrow U\langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}} V
$$

where $U, V \in F^{+}$and $a, b \in \Sigma$. A positive transformation of length $\mathbf{t}$ from a positive word $U$ to a positive word $V$ is a composition of $t$ elementary transformations that begins with $U$ and ends at $V$. Two words are positive equivalent if there is a positive transformation that takes one into the other. We indicate positive equivalence of $U$ and $V$ by $U=_{p} V$. Note, it follows from the definition that positive equivalent words have the same length. We use $=$ to denote equality in the group and $\equiv$ to express words which are equivalent letter by letter.

The monoid of positive equivalence classes of positive words relative to $\Gamma$ (or $M$ ) is called the positive Artin monoid (or just the Artin monoid) and is denoted $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$. The natural map $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is a homomorphism. We will see that for $\Gamma$ of finite-type this map is injective. Recently, Paris [Par01] has shown that for arbitrary Artin groups this map is injective.

### 2.3 Reduction Property

The main result in this section concerns the positive Artin monoid and it accounts for most of the results we will encounter in this chapter. The statement is as follow.

Lemma 2.1 (Reduction Property) For each Coxeter graph we have the following rule: If $X$ and $Y$ are positive words and $a$ and $b$ are letters such that $a X={ }_{p} b Y$ then $m_{a b}$ is finite and there exists a positive word $U$ such that

$$
X={ }_{p}\langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}-1} U \text { and } Y={ }_{p}\langle a b\rangle^{m_{a b}-1} U .
$$

In other words, if $a X={ }_{p} b Y$ then there is a positive transformation of the form
taking $a X$ to $b Y$.
The proof of this is long and tedious, we refer the reader to [BS72] for proof:

An analogous statement holds for reduction on the right side. We see this as follows. For each positive word

$$
U \equiv a_{i_{1}} \cdots a_{i_{k}}
$$

define the positive word rev $U$ by

$$
\operatorname{rev} U \equiv a_{i_{k}} \cdots a_{i_{1}}
$$

called the reverse or reversal of $U$. Clearly $U={ }_{p} V$ implies rev $U={ }_{p}$ rev $V$ by the symmetry in the relations and the definition of elementary transformation. It is clear that the application of rev to the words in lemma 2.1 gives the right-hand analog.

It follows from the reduction propery that the positive Artin monoid is left and right cancellative.

Theorem 2.2 If $U, V$ and $X, Y$ are positive words with $U X V=_{p} U Y V$ then $X={ }_{p} Y$.

Proof. It suffices to show that left cancellativity holds since right cancellativity follows by applying the reversal map rev. For $U$ a word of length 1 , say $a$, the reduction property implies that if $a X=p a Y$ then a word $Z$ exists such that

$$
X={ }_{p}\langle a a\rangle^{m_{a a}-1} Z \equiv Z \text { and } Y={ }_{p}\langle a a\rangle^{m_{a a}-1} Z \equiv Z
$$

Thus $X=p Y$. The result follows by induction on the length of $U$.
Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be positve words. We say $X$ divides $Z$ (on the left) if

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
Z \equiv X Y & \text { (if working in } \left.F^{+}\right) \\
Z=p_{p} X Y & \left(\text { if working in } \mathcal{A}^{+}\right)
\end{array}
$$

and write $X \mid Z$ (interpreted in the context of $F^{+}$or $\mathcal{A}^{+}$).
The term reduction property, which comes from [BS72], is appropriate as this property (in conjunction with left cancellativity) allows the problem of whether a letter divides a given word to be reduced to the same problem for a word of shorter length. In the following section we describe a method to determine when a given word is divisible by a given generator.

### 2.4 Divisibility Theory

In this section we present an algorithm used to decide whether a given letter divides a positive word (in $\mathcal{A}^{+}$), and to determine the smallest common multiple of a letter and a word if it exists.

### 2.4.1 Chains

Let $a \in \Sigma$ be a letter. The simplest positive words which are not multiples of $a$ are clearly those in which $a$ does not appear, since a letter appearing in a word must appear in all positive equivalent words by the definition of elementary transformation and the nature of the defining relations. Further, the words of the form $\langle b a\rangle^{q}$ with $q<m_{a b}$ are also not divisible by $a$. This follows from the reduction property. Of course many other quite simple words have this property, for example concatenations of the previous types of words in specific order, called $a$-chains, which we will now define.

Let $C$ be a non-empty word and let $a$ and $b$ be letters. We say $C$ is a primitive $a$-chain with source $a$ and target $a$ if $m_{a c}=2$ for all letters $c$ in $C$. We call $C$ an elementary $a$-chain if $C \equiv\langle b a\rangle^{q}$ for some $q<m_{a b}$. The source is $a$ and the target is $b$ if $m_{a b}$ even and $a$ if $m_{a b}$ odd. An $a$-chain is a product $C \equiv C_{1} \cdots C_{k}$ where for each $i=1, \ldots, k, C_{i}$ is a primitive or elementary $a_{i}$-chain for some $a_{i} \in \Sigma$, such that $a_{1}=a$ and the target of $C_{i}$ is the source of $C_{i+1}$. This may be expressed as:

$$
a=a_{1} \xrightarrow{C_{1}} a_{2} \xrightarrow{C_{2}} a_{3} \cdots \xrightarrow{C_{k-1}} a_{k} \xrightarrow{C_{k}} a_{k+1}=b,
$$

The source of $C$ is $a$ and the target of $C$ is the target of $C_{k}$. If this target is $b$ then we say: C is a chain from $a$ to $b$.

Example 2.3 Let $\Sigma=\{a, b, c, d\}$ and $M$ be defined by $m_{a c}=m_{a d}=m_{b d}=2$, $m_{a b}=m_{b c}=3, m_{c d}=4$.

- $c, d, c d^{2} c^{7}$ are primitive a-chains with target $a$,
- $b, b a$ are elementary $a$-chains with targets $a$ and $b$, respectively
- $a, a b, c, c b$ are elementary $b$-chains with targets $b, a, b, c$, respectively,

The word

is a d-chain with target $b$, since $C_{1}$ is a primitive d-chain with target $d_{1} C_{2}$ is an elementary d-chain with target $c, C_{3}$ is an elementry $c$-chain with target $b, C_{4}$ is an elementary $b$-chain with target $a, C_{5}$ is a primitive a-chain with target $a$, and finally $C_{6}$ is a simple $a$-chain with target $b$. The chain diagram for this example is:

$$
d \xrightarrow{C_{1}} d \xrightarrow{C_{2}} c \xrightarrow{C_{3}} b \xrightarrow{C_{4}} a \xrightarrow{C_{5}} a \xrightarrow{C_{6}} b .
$$

As the example 2.3 indicates there is a unique decomposition of a given $a-$ chain into primitive and elementary factors if one demands that the primitive factors are a large as possible. The number of elementary factors is the length of the chain.
Remark. If $C$ is a chain from $a$ to $b$ then rev $C$ is a chain from $b$ to $a$.
We have already noted that primitive and elementary $a$-chains are not divisible by $a$, the next lemma shows that this is also the case for $a$-chains.

Lemma 2.4 Let $C=C_{1} \cdots C_{k}$ be a chain from a to $b$ (where $C_{i}$ is a primitive or elementary chain from $a_{i}$ to $a_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ ) and $D$ is a positive word such that a divides $C D$. Then $b$ divides $D$, and in particular a does not divide $C$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on $k$. Suppose $k=1$.
Suppose $C=x_{1} \cdots x_{m}$ is primitive, so $m_{a x_{i}}=2$ for all $i$. Then $x_{1} \cdots x_{m} D$ $={ }_{p} a V$ for some positive word $V$. By the reduction property there exists a word $U$ such that $x_{2} \cdots x_{m} D={ }_{p}\left\langle a x_{1}\right\rangle^{m_{a x_{1}}-1} U=a U$. Continuing in this way we get that $a$ divides $D$, where $a$ is the target of $C$.

Supppose $C=\langle b a\rangle^{q}$ is elementary, where $m_{a b}>2$ and $0<q<m_{a b}$. Then

$$
\langle b a\rangle^{q} D={ }_{p} a V
$$

for some positive word $V$. By the reduction property, $\langle a b\rangle^{q-1} D={ }_{p}\langle a b\rangle^{m_{a, b}-1} U$ for some positive word $U$. So by cancellation, theorem 2.2,

$$
D={ }_{p} \begin{cases}\langle a b\rangle^{m_{a b}-q} U & \text { if } \dot{q} \text { is odd, } \\ \langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}-q} U & \text { if } q \text { is even. } .\end{cases}
$$

so $D$ is divisible by $a$ if $q$ is odd, and $b$ if $q$ is even, which in each case is the target of $C$.

This begins the induction. Suppose now $k>1$. By the inductive hypothesis $a_{k}$ divides $C_{k} D$, and by the base case, $b \equiv a_{k+1}$ divides $D$.

The last claim follows by taking $D$ equal to the empty word.

Corollary 2.5 If $C$ is an $a$-chain such that a divides $C b$, then $b$ is the target of $C$ :

### 2.4.2 Chain Operators $K_{a}$

An arbitrary word will in general not be an $a$-chain, for any particular $a$, and. so we need to know firstly whether, given an arbitrary word $U$, there exists an $a$-chain $C$ which is positive equivlent to $U$, and secondly how to calculate it and its target. We define operators $K_{a}$ for each generator $a$ which take as input a word $U$ and output either

- a word beginning with $a$ if $U$ is divisible by $a$, or
- an $a$-chain equivalent to $U$ if $U$ is not divisible by $a$.
$K_{a}$ is called a chain operator (the $K$ stands for Kette, German for chain).
To state the precise definition of $K_{a}$, we need some preliminary definitions and notation. We call a primitive $a$-chain of length one or an elementary $a$ chain a simple $a$-chain, that is, a simple a-chain is a word of the form $\langle b a\rangle^{q}$ where $q<m_{a b}$ (where $m_{a b}=2$ is allowed). For a simple $a$-chain of the form $C=\langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}-1}$ we call $C$ imminent and let $C^{+}$denote $\langle a b\rangle^{m_{a b}}$, so $C^{+}={ }_{p} C c$ where $c$ is the target of $C$. If $D$ is any positive nonempty word denote by $D^{-}$ the word obtained by deleting the first letter of $D$. For every letter $a \in \Sigma$, we define a function

$$
K_{a}: F^{+} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} F^{+}
$$

recursively. Let $U$ be a word. If $U$ is empty, begins with $a$ or is a simple $a$-chain then

$$
K_{a}(U): \equiv U
$$

Otherwise, write $U \equiv C_{a} D_{a}$ where $C_{a}$ and $D_{a}$ are non-empty words, and $C_{a}$ is the largest prefix of $W$ which is a simple $a$-chain, with target $b$, say. The rest of the definition of $K_{a}(U)$ is recursive on the lengths of $U$ and $D_{a}$ :
$K_{a}(U): \equiv \begin{cases}C_{a} K_{b}\left(D_{a}\right) & \text { if } K_{b}\left(D_{a}\right) \text { does not begin with } b ; \text { or } \\ C_{a}^{+} K_{b}\left(D_{a}\right)^{-} & \text {if } C_{a} \text { imminent and } K_{b}\left(D_{a}\right) \text { begins with } b ; \text { or } \\ K_{a}\left(C_{a} b K_{b}\left(D_{a}\right)^{-}\right) & \text {otherwise }\end{cases}$
Observe that $K_{a}(U)$ is calculable.

Example 2.6 Computing $K_{a}(U)$. Let $\Sigma$ and $M$ be as defined in example 2.3. First we will compute $K_{a}$ of the word $U=b c b a b d c$ (notice $U$ is not an $a$-chain). By the, recursive nature of the definition of $K_{a}$ we first need to decompose $U$ as follows:

$$
\dot{U}=\underbrace{b}_{C_{1}} \cdot \underbrace{c}_{C_{2}} \cdot \underbrace{b a}_{C_{3}} \cdot \underbrace{b d c}_{D}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is an a-chain with target $a, C_{2}$ is an a-chain with target a, and $C_{3}$ is an $a$-chain with target $b$. Since $D$ begins with the letter $b$ then $K_{b}(D) \equiv D$. Since $C_{3}$ is imminent, $K_{a}\left(C_{3} \cdot D\right) \equiv C_{3}^{+} D^{-} \equiv a b a d c$. Since $C_{2}$ is imminent, and $K_{a}\left(C_{3} \cdot D\right)$. begins with the letter $a$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{a}\left(C_{2} \cdot C_{3} D\right) & \equiv C_{2}^{+} \cdot K_{a}\left(C_{3} \cdot D\right)^{-} \\
& \equiv a c \cdot b a d c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $K_{a}\left(C_{2} C_{3} D\right)$ begins with a but $C_{1}$ is not imminent, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{a}(U) & \equiv K_{a}\left(C_{1} \cdot C_{2} C_{3} D\right) \\
& \equiv K_{a}\left(C_{1} \cdot a c b a d c\right) \quad \text { since } K_{a}\left(C_{2} C_{3} D\right) \equiv a c b a d c \\
& \equiv K_{a}(b a \cdot c b a d c) \quad \text { by definition of } K_{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the definition of $K_{a}$ to the word bacbadc just returns the same word (try. it!). Therefore,

$$
K_{a}(U) \equiv b a c b a d c
$$

which can be seen to be an a-chain positive equivalent to $U$, with target $d$.
For our second example we will compute $K_{a}$ of the word $W \equiv$ bacbacab. Again we need to decompose $W$ as follows:

$$
W \equiv \underbrace{b a}_{C_{1}} \cdot \underbrace{c b}_{C_{2}} \cdot \underbrace{a}_{C_{3}} \cdot \underbrace{c a b}_{D}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is an a-chain with target $b, C_{2}$ is an $b$-chain with target $c$, and $C_{3}$ is a c-chain with target $c$. Since $D$ begins with the letter $c$ then $K_{c}(D) \equiv D$, so $K_{c}\left(C_{3} D\right) \equiv C_{3}^{+} D^{-} \equiv c a \cdot a b$. Since $C_{2}$ is imminent, $K_{c}\left(C_{2} \cdot C_{3} D\right) \equiv b c b \cdot a a b$. Finally, since $C_{1}$ is imminent, $K_{a}(W) \equiv a b a \cdot c b a a b$.

Lemma 2.7 Lét $U$ be positive and $a \in \Sigma$. Then
(a) $K_{a}(U)={ }_{p} U$ and $K_{a}(U)$ is either empty, begins with $a$ or is an a-chain,
(b) $K_{a}(U) \equiv U$ if and only if $U$ is empty, begins with a, or is an a-chain,
(c) a divides $U$ if and only if $K_{a}(U)$ begins with a.

Proof. (a) If $U$ is empty, begins with $a$ or is a simple $a$-chain then $K_{a}(U) \equiv U$ and we are done. Otherwise, write $U \equiv C_{a} D_{a}$ where $C_{a}$ and $D_{a}$ are nonempty and $C_{a}$ is the longest prefix of $U$ which is a simple $a$-chain. Let $c$ denote the target of $C_{a}$. Since $l\left(D_{a}\right)<l(U)$ then by induction on length, $K_{c}(D)={ }_{p} D_{a}$ and $K_{c}(D)$ is either a $c$-chain or begins with $c$. If $K_{c}(D)$ is a $c$-chain then it cannot begin with $c$ (lemma 2.4), so $K_{a}(U) \equiv C_{a} K_{c}\left(D_{s}\right)$ which is an $a$ chain, and moreover $K_{a}(U)={ }_{p} C_{a} D_{a} \equiv U$. Otherwise $K_{c}(D)$ begins with c. Considering first when $C_{a}$ is imminent, we have $K_{a}(U) \equiv C_{a}^{+} K_{b}\left(D_{a}\right)^{-}$, which begins with $a$, and moreover,

$$
K_{a}(U)={ }_{p} C_{a} c K_{c}\left(D_{a}\right)^{-} \equiv C_{a} K_{c}(D)={ }_{p} C_{a} D_{a} \equiv U
$$

Otherwise se have $K_{c}\left(D_{a}\right)={ }_{p} D_{a}, K_{c}\left(D_{a}\right)$ begins with $c$ and $C_{a}$ is not imminent; so

$$
K_{a}(U) \equiv K_{a}\left(C_{a} c K_{c}\left(D_{a}\right)^{-}\right)
$$

Now $C_{a} c$ is a simple $a$-chain of length greater than the length of $C_{a}$ so by another induction, $K_{a}\left(C_{a} c K_{b}\left(D_{a}\right)\right)$ begins with $a$ or is an $a$-chain, and

$$
K_{a}\left(C_{a} c K_{c}\left(D_{a}\right)^{-}\right)={ }_{p} C_{a} c K_{c}\left(D_{a}\right)^{-} \equiv C_{a} K_{c}\left(D_{a}\right)=_{p} C_{a} D_{a} \equiv U .
$$

(b) The direction ( $\Rightarrow$ ) follows from (a). To see the other direction notice the result is clear if $U$ is empty, begins with $a$ or is a simple $a$-chain. Suppose $U$ is a nonempty $a$-chain, so $U \equiv C_{a} D_{a}$ where $C_{a}$ is a simple $a$-chain with target $c$, say and $D_{a}$ is a $c$-chain. By induction since $l\left(D_{a}\right)<l(U)$,

$$
K_{c}\left(D_{a}\right) \equiv D_{a}
$$

Since $D_{a}$ is a $c$-chain it does not begin with the letter $c$ thus by definition of $K_{a}$,

$$
K_{a}(U) \equiv C_{a} K_{c}\left(D_{a}\right) \equiv C_{a} D_{a} \equiv U
$$

(c) This follows from (a) and lemma 2.4

### 2.4.3 Division Algorithm

Let $U$ and $V$ be words. We present an algorithm to determine whether $U$ divides $V$ (in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$) and in the case $U$ divides $V$ it returns the cofactor, i.e. the word $X$ such that $V={ }_{p} U X$. This can be done relatively easily using the chain operators $K_{a}$.

Write $U \equiv a_{1} \cdots a_{k}$. If $U$ is to divide $V$ then certainly $a_{1}$ must divide $V$, this can be determined by calculating $K_{a_{1}}(V)$ and checking if $a_{1}$ is the first letter: If $a_{1}$ is not the first letter then $a_{1}$, and hence $U$, cannot divide $V$. Otherwise, we have $K_{a_{1}}(V) \equiv a_{1} K_{a_{1}}(V)^{-}$. If $U \equiv a_{1} \cdots a_{k}$ were to divide $V={ }_{p} K_{a_{1}}(V) \equiv a_{1} K_{a_{1}}(V)^{-}$then it is necessary for $a_{2}$ to divide $K_{a_{1}}(V)^{-}$. This can be determined by checking the first letter of $K_{a_{2}}\left(K_{a_{1}}(V)^{-}\right)$. Continuing this way we either get that some $a_{i}$ does not divide

$$
\left.K_{a_{i}}\left(K_{a_{i-1}} \cdots K_{a_{2}}\left(K_{a_{1}}(V)^{-}\right)^{-} \cdots\right)^{-}\right)
$$

in which case $U$ does not divide $V$, or $a_{i}$ divides the above word for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, in which case $U$ divides $V$ and the cofactor $X$ is

$$
\left.K_{a_{k}}\left(K_{a_{k-1}} \cdots K_{a_{2}}\left(K_{a_{1}}(V)^{-}\right)^{-} \cdots\right)^{-}\right)^{-}
$$

We reformulate the above observations into the following definition. Let $U$ and $V$ be words. If $U$ is empty then define $(V: U): \equiv V$. Otherwise write $U \equiv W a$ for some word $W$ and some letter $a$. We make the recursive definition:

$$
(V: U) \equiv \begin{cases}\infty & \text { if }(V: W)=\infty, \text { or if } \\ & K_{a}(V: W) \text { does not begin with } a ; \text { or } \\ K_{a}(V: W)^{-} & \text {otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

## Some remarks on the definition.

1. By induction of the length of $\dot{U}$, if $X$ is any word then $(U X: U) \equiv X$.
2. Since $K_{a}(X)$ is calculable for any word $X$, then $(V: U)$ is also calculable, for any pair of words $V$ and $U$. Thus the following result gives a solution to the division problem in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$.

Lemma 2.8 The word $U$ divides $V$ precisely when $(V: U) \neq \infty$, in which case

$$
V={ }_{p} U(V: U) .
$$

Proof. If $U$ is empty then the result clearly holds. so we may write $U \equiv W a$ for some word $W$ and some letter $a$. Suppose $U$ divides $V$, so there is a word $X$ such that $U X \equiv W a X={ }_{p} V$. By induction $(V: W) \neq \infty$ and $V={ }_{p} W(V$ : $W)$. By cancellation, $a X=_{p}(V: W)$, so $a$ divides $(V: W)$. By lemma 2.7, $K_{a}(V: W)$ begins with $a$, so $(V: U) \neq \infty$ and $(V: U)={ }_{p} X$.

On the other hand, suppose $(V: U) \neq \infty$. Then $(V: W) \neq \infty$, and in fact $K_{a}(V: W)$ has to begin with $a$. By induction $V={ }_{p} W(V: W)$, so

$$
V={ }_{p} W(V: W)={ }_{p} W K_{a}(V: W)={ }_{p} W a K_{a}(V: W)^{-}={ }_{p} U(V: U)
$$

by the definition of $(V: U)$.
Since we have a solution to the division problem in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$we get a solution to the word problem in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$for free.

Corollary 2.9 Two positive words $U$ and $V$ are positive equivalent precisely when ( $V: U$ ) is the empty word.

In section 2.6 we will show how to use this to solve the word problem in finite-type Artin groups $A_{\Gamma}$.

### 2.4.4 Common Multiples and Divisors

Given a set of words $V_{i} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$where $i$ runs over some indexing set $I$, a common multiple of $\left\{V_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ is a word $U \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$such that every $V_{i}$ divides $U$ (on the left). A least common multiple is a common multiple which divides all other common multiples. If $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ are both least common multiples then they divide one another, it follows by cancellativity and the fact that equivalent words have the same length that $U={ }_{p} U^{\prime}$. Thus, when a common multiple exists, it is unique. By a common divisor of $\left\{V_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ we mean a word $W$ which divides every $V_{i}$. A greatest common divisor of $\left\{V_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ is a common divisor into which all other common divisors divide. Similarly, greatest common divisors, when they exist, are unique.

With the help of the chain operators $K_{a}$ defined in 2.4.2 we get a simple algorithm for producing a common multiple of a letter $a$ and a word $U$, if one exists.

The essence of the method lies in lemma 2.4 which can be rewritten to say: If $C$ is an a-chain to $b$, and $U$ is a common multiple of $a$ and $C$ then $U$ is a common

## multiple of $a$ and $C b$.

Given an arbitrary word $X$, to calculate a common multiple with a generator $a$, we begin by applying $K_{a}$ to $X$. If $K_{a}(X)$ begins with $a$ then we are done ( X is divisible by $a$ and so itself is a common multiple of $a$ and $X$ ). Otherwise, $K_{a}(X)$ is an $a$-chain, we determine its target $b$, and then concatenate it to get $K_{a}(X) b \equiv X^{\prime}$. If $K_{a}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ begins with $a$ then we may stop; otherwise we repeat the process. If a common multiple exists, then the process will hault, producing a word which is in fact the least common multiple of $a$ and $X$.

Let $a$ be a letter and $W$ a word. The $a$-sequence of $W$ is a sequence $W_{0}^{a}, W_{1}^{a}, \ldots$ over $F^{+}$defined as follows. Set $W_{0}^{a}: \equiv K_{a}(W)$, so by lemma 2.7, either $W_{0}^{a}$ is empty, an $a$-chain or begins with $a$. Then for $i \geq 1$, define recursively

$$
W_{i}^{a}: \equiv \begin{cases}a & \text { if } W_{i-1}^{a} \text { is empty; } \\ W_{i-1}^{a} & \text { if } W_{i-1}^{a} \text { begins with } a ; \\ K_{a}\left(W_{i-1}^{a} b\right) & \text { if } W_{i-1}^{a} \text { is an } a \text {-chain to } \mathrm{b}\end{cases}
$$

By lemma 2.7, $W_{i}^{a}$ is either an $a$-chain of begins with $a$ (or if $i=0, W_{i}^{a}$ may be empty). The $a$-sequence converges to a word $W_{k}^{a}$ precisely when $W_{k}^{a}$ begins with $a$. The following definition is intended to capture a notion of the limit of the $a$-sequence of $W$.

$$
L(a, W): \equiv \begin{cases}W_{k}^{a} & \text { if } W_{k}^{a} \equiv W_{k+1}^{a} ; \text { or } \\ \infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The following example illustrates the way in which $L(a, W) \equiv \infty$
Example 2.10 Let $\Sigma=\{a, b, c\}$ and $M$, the Coxeter matrix, be defined by $m_{a b}=$ $m_{a c}=m_{b c}=3$. (Note, by the results in $1.8 \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is not of finite type.) Consider the word $W \equiv b c$. Observe that for any $k \geq 1, U_{k} \equiv(b a c b a c)^{k}$ is an a-chain with target a. The first member of the $a$-sequence of $W$ is $W_{0}^{a} \equiv b c \equiv U_{0} b c$, and then for all $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{6 k}^{a} \equiv U_{k} b c, \quad W_{6 k+1}^{a} \equiv U_{k} b c a, \quad W_{6 k+2}^{a} \equiv U_{k} b a c a, \\
& W_{6 k+3}^{a} \equiv U_{k} b a c a b, \quad W_{6 k+4}^{a} \equiv U_{k} b a c b a b, \quad W_{6 k+5}^{a} \equiv U_{k} b a c b a b c,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $W_{6 k+6}^{a} \equiv U_{k} b a c b a c b c \equiv U_{k+1} b c$ and so on. Thus, the $a$-sequence never converges to a word, and so $L(a, b c) \equiv \infty$.

The following result characterizes the situation when $L(a, W) \neq \infty$.
Lemma $2.11 L(a, W) \neq \infty$ precisely when $a$ and $W$ have a common multiple, in which case $L(a, W)$ is a least common multiple of $a$ and $W$ begins with $a$.

Proof. If $W$ is empty then $W_{0}^{a} \equiv W$ and $W_{i}^{a} \equiv a$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus $L(a, W) \equiv a$, and so the result holds trivially. So we may that suppose $W$ is nonempty.

Suppose that $a$ and $W$ have a common multiple $M$. By lemma 2.7, we know that $W_{0}^{a} \equiv K_{a}(W)={ }_{p} W$ and so divides $M$. Since $W$ is nonempty, $W_{0}^{a}$ either begins with $a$ or is an $a$-chain, is a multiple of $W$ and divides $M$. We will show that the same is true of all $W_{i}^{a}$, using induction on $i$. Suppose that, for a given $i \geq 0, W_{i}^{a}$ is a multiple of $W$ and divides $M$. If $W_{i}^{a}$ begins with $a$, then $W_{j}^{a} \equiv W_{i}^{a}$ for all $j \geq i$, and so we are done. Otherwise, $W_{i}^{a}$ is an $a$-chain to $b$ and, by lemma 2.4, $M$ is a common multiple of $W_{i}^{a} b={ }_{p} K_{a}\left(W_{i}^{a} b\right) \equiv W_{i+1}^{a}$ and $a$. Since $W$ divides $W_{i}^{a}$ then $W$ must also divide $W_{i+1}^{a}$. Thus we have shown that when $a$ and $W$ have a common multiple, every element of the $a$-sequence of $W$ is a multiple of $W$, and divides $M$. Since elements of the $a$ sequence increase in length until an element begins with $a$, and since divisors of $M$ cannot exceed $M$ in length, eventually there is a first $W_{k}^{a}$ which begins with $a$. Hence $L(a, W) \equiv W_{k}^{a}$. Futhermore, we have shown that $L(a, W)$ divides every common multiple $M$ of $a$ and $W$, making it a least common multiple.

On the other hand, suppose $L(a, W) \neq \infty$. Then there is a first number $k \geq 0$ such that $W_{k}^{a}$ begins with $a$. If $k=0$, then $L(a, W) \equiv W_{0}^{a}={ }_{p} W$. If $k>0$ then by definition of the $a$-sequence, there are letters $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ which are targets of the $a$-chains $W_{0}^{a}, \ldots, W_{k-1}^{a}$, respectively, and for each $i<k$, $W_{i}^{a} b_{i+1}={ }_{p} W_{i+1}^{a}$, so $L(\dot{a}, W) \equiv W_{k}^{a}={ }_{p} W_{0}^{a} b_{1} \cdots b_{k}={ }_{p} W b_{1} \cdots b_{k}$. hence $L(a, W)$ is a common multiple of $a$ and $W$.

Thus we have in $L(a, W)$ a calculator of least common multiples of a generator and a word. By repeated application of this operation, we can obtain least common multiples of arbitrary pairs of words.

Let $V$ and $W$ be words. Define recursively:
$\mathrm{L}(\dot{V}, W): \equiv \begin{cases}W & \text { if } V \text { is empty; or } \\ a L\left(U, L(a, W)^{-}\right) & \text {if } V \equiv a U, L(a, W) \neq \infty \text { and } \\ & L\left(U, L(a, W)^{-}\right) \neq \infty ; \text { or } \\ \infty & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
Similar to lemma 2.11 we get the following lemma.
Lemma 2.12 $L(V, W) \neq \infty$ precisely when $V$ and $W$ have a common multiple, in which case $L(V, W)$ begins with $V$ and is a least common multiple of $V$ and $W$. Moreover, $L(V, W) \neq \infty$ precisely when $L(W, V) \neq \infty$, in which case $L(V, W)=p$ $L(W, V)$.

We can also compute the least common multiple of any finite collection of words by induction on the number of words. In particular, let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}$ be words and let 1 denote the empty word. Define recursively:
$\mathrm{£}\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}\right): \equiv \begin{cases}1 & m=0 ; \text { or } \\ V_{1} & \text { if } m=1 ; \text { or } \\ \infty & m \geq 2 \text { and } L\left(V_{2}, \ldots, V_{m}\right)=\infty ; \text { or } \\ L\left(V_{1}, L\left(V_{2}, \ldots, V_{m}\right)\right) \quad \text { if } m \geq 2 \text { and } L\left(V_{2}, \ldots, V_{m}\right) \neq \infty .\end{cases}$
The next result follows by induction on $m$ using lemma 2.12.
Lemma $2.13 L\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}\right) \neq \infty$ precisely when $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}$ have a common multiple, in which case $L\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}\right)$ begins with $V_{1}$ and is a least common multiple of $V_{1}, \ldots, \dot{V}_{m}$. Moreover, for any permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots m\}, L\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}\right) \neq \infty$ if and only if $L\left(V_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, V_{\sigma(m)}\right) \neq \infty$, in which case $L\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}\right)=p$ $L\left(V_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, V_{\sigma(m)}\right)$.

Corollary 2.14 Let $\Omega$ be a finite set of words. Then $\Omega$ has a common multiple if and only if it has a least common multiple.

Since $\Sigma$ is finite then an infinite set of words in $F^{+}$must have elements of arbitrary length. Since positive equivalent words have the same length it
follows that a common multiple must be at least as long as any of the factors. So an infinite set of words can have no common multiples. On the other hand, the empty word divides every other word, so an arbitrary nonempty set $\Omega$ of words has a common divisor. If $D$ denotes the set of all common divisors of $\Omega$, then $D$ is finite by the preceding discussion. Since every element of $\Omega$ is a comon multiple of $D$, then by corollary $2.14, D$ has a least common multiple, which is a greastest common divisor of $\Omega$. Thus, greatest common divisors for nonempty sets of words always exist.
Remark. The only letters arising in the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of a set of words are those occurring in the words themselves.
Proof. For the greatest common divisor it is clear, because in any pair of positive words exactly the same letters occur. For the least common multiple, recall how we found $L(a, W): W_{0}^{a} \equiv K_{a}(W)$, and $W_{i+1}^{a} \equiv W_{i}^{a}$ if $W_{i}^{a}$ starts with $a$, or $W_{i+1}^{a} \equiv K_{a}\left(W_{i}^{a} b\right)$ if $W_{i}^{a}$ is an $a$-chain from $a$ to $b$. But if $b \neq a$, then the only way we can have an $a$-chain from $a$ to $b$ is if there is an elementary subchain somewhere in the $a$-chain containing $b$. So $W_{i+1}^{a}$ only contain letters which are already in $W_{i}^{a}$.

### 2.4.5 Square-Free Positive Words

When a positive word $U$ is of the form $U \equiv X a a Y$ where $X$ and $Y$ are positive words and $a$ is a letter then we say $U$ has a quadratic factor. A word is square-free relative to a Coxeter graph $\Gamma$ when $U$ is not positive equivalent to a word with a quadratic factor. The image of a square-free word in $A_{\Gamma}^{+}$is called square-free.

Lemma 2.15 Let $V$ be a positive word which is divisible by a and contains a square. Then there is a positive word $\tilde{V}$ with $\widetilde{V}={ }_{p} V$ which contains a square and which begins with a. Thus, if $W$ is a square-free positive word and $a$ is a letter such that $a W$ is not square free then a divides $W$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the length of $V$. Decompose $V$, as

$$
V \equiv C_{a}(V) D_{a}(V)
$$

where $C_{a}(V)$ and $D_{a}(V)$ are non-empty words, and $C_{a}(V)$ is the largest prefix of $V$ which is a simple $a$-chain. Without loss of generality we may assume that $V$ is a representative of its positive equivalence class which contains a square and is such that $l\left(C_{a}(V)\right)$ is maximal.

When $C_{a}(V)$ is the empty word it follows naturally that $\widetilde{V} \equiv V$ satisfies the conditions for $\tilde{V}$. For nonempty $C_{a}(V)$ we have two cases:
(i) $D_{a}(V)$ contains a square. By the induction assumption, one can assume, without loss of generality that $D_{a}(V)$ begins with the target of the simple $a$ chain $C_{a}(V)$. Thus, since the length of $C_{a}(V)$ is maximal, $C_{a}(V)$ is of the form $\langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}-1}$. From this it follows that when $D_{a}(V)^{-}$contains a square then $\widetilde{V} \equiv a C_{a}(V) D_{a}(V)^{-}$satisfies the conditions for $\widetilde{V}$, and otherwise $\widetilde{V} \equiv$ $a^{2} C_{a}(V) D_{a}(V)^{--}$does.
(ii) Neither $C_{a}(V)$ nor $D_{a}(V)$ contains a square. Then $V$ is of the form $V \equiv\langle b a\rangle^{q} D_{a}(V)$ where $q \geq 1$, and $D_{a}(V)$ begins with $a$ if $q$ is even, and $b$ if $q$ is odd. If $q$ even then $\langle b a\rangle^{q}$ is a simple $a$-chain with target $b$ so, by lemma 2.4, since $a$ divides $\langle b a\rangle^{q} D_{a}(V), b$ divide $D_{a}(V)$. But $D_{a}(V)$ begins with $a$ so by an application of the reduction property there exists $E$ such that

$$
D_{a}(V)={ }_{p} \cdot\langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}} E .
$$

Similarly, for $q$ odd. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{V} \equiv a\langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}-1}\langle b a\rangle^{q} E & \text { if } m_{a} b \text { is even, } \\
\widetilde{V} \equiv a\langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}-1}\langle a b\rangle^{q} E & \text { if } m_{a} b \text { is odd }
\end{array}
$$

satisfies the conditions.
To prove the second statement, we have that there exists a positive word $U$, such that $a U$ contains a square and $a W==_{p} a U$ from the first statement. It follow from cancellativity that $U={ }_{p} W$ and, since $W$ is square free, that $U$ does not contain a square. So $U$ begins with $a$ and $W$ is divisible by $a$.

From this lemma we get the following result concerning the $a$-sequence of a square-free word $W$, which will be needed in the next section.

Lemma 2.16 If $W$ is a square-free positive word and $a$ is a letter then each word $W_{i}^{a}$ in the a-sequence of $W$ is also square-free.

Proof. $W_{0}^{a}$ is square-free since $W_{0}^{a}=_{p} W$. Assume $W_{i}^{a}$ is square-free. Then either $W_{i+1}^{a} \equiv W_{i}^{a}$ or $W_{i+1}^{a}={ }_{p} W_{i}^{a} b_{i}$ where $b_{i}$ is the target of the chain $W_{i}^{a}$. If $W_{i}^{a} b_{i}$ is not square-free then $b_{i} \mathrm{rev} W_{i}^{a}$ is not square-free and by lemma 2.15, the $b_{i}$-chain rev $W_{i}^{a}$ is divisible by $b_{i}$, in contradiction to lemma 2.4.

Let $Q F \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$be the set of square-free elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$. Consider the canonical map of $Q F \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$into the Coxeter group $W_{\Gamma}$ defined by the composition of the canonical maps $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow W_{\Gamma}$. It follows from theorem 3 of Tits [Tit69] that

$$
Q F \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+} \longrightarrow W_{\Gamma} \quad \text { is bijective }
$$

Thus, $Q F \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$is finite precisely when $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is of finite type (i.e. $W_{\Gamma}$ is finite). This result is needed in the next section.

### 2.5 The Fundamental Element

Let $M$ be a Coxeter matrix over $\Sigma$, and let $I \subset \Sigma$ such that the letters of $I$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$have a common multiple. Then the uniquely determined least common multiple (which exists by lemma 2.13) of the letters of $I$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$is called the fundamental element $\Delta_{I}$ for $I \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$.

The word "fundamental", introduced by Garside [Gar69], refers to the fundamental role which these elements play. It is shown in [BS72] that when $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is irreducible (i.e. $\Gamma$ connected) and there exists a fundamental element $\Delta_{\Sigma}$, then $\Delta_{\Sigma}$ or $\Delta_{\Sigma}^{2}$ generates the center of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$. The conditions for the existence of $\Delta_{\Sigma}$ are very strong and are outlined in the following two theorems, which appear in [BS72].

Theorem 2.17 For a Coxeter graph $\Gamma$ the following statements are equivalent:
(i) There is a fundamental element $\Delta_{\Sigma}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$.
(ii) Every finite subset of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$has a least common multiple.
(iii) The canonical map $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is injective, and for each $Z \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ there exist $X, Y \in A_{\Gamma}^{+}$with $Z=X Y^{-1}$.
(iv) The canonical map $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is injective, and for each $Z \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ there exist $X, Y \in A_{\Gamma}^{+}$with $Z=X Y^{-1}$, where the image of $Y$ lies in the center of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$.

Theorem 2.18 Let $\Gamma$ be a Coxeter graph. There exists a fundamental element $\Delta_{\Sigma}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$if and only if $\Gamma$ is of finite-type (i.e. $W_{\Gamma}$ is finite).

To prove theorem 2.18 we need to recall the theorem of Tits we discussed at the end of section 2.4.5 on page 34: $\Gamma$ is of finite-type if and only if $Q F \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$ is finite. It is shown in [BS72] that every element of $Q F \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$divides $\Delta_{\Sigma}$ thus if $\Delta_{\Sigma}$ exists then $Q F \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$must be finite. To prove the converse suppose that $\Delta_{\Sigma}$ does not exist in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$. Let $J=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\} \subset \Sigma$ be such that $\Delta_{J}$ exists but $\Delta_{J \cup\left\{a_{k+1}\right\}}$ does not exist (here we have assumed $\Sigma$ has been ordered). Then the $a_{k+1}$-sequence of $\Delta_{J}$ does not terminate. Since $\Delta_{J}$ is square-free (see [BS72]) then by lemma 2.16 every element of the $a_{k+1}$-sequence of $\Delta_{J}$ is square free (and distinct). Thus $Q F \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$is infinite.

It is important to note that in theorem 2.17 the positive words $X$ and $Y$ such that $Z=X Y^{-1}$ are calculable. This can be seen from the proof given in [BS72]. We use this fact in 2.6 to solve the word problem for finite-type Artin groups.

For a complete discussion on properties of the fundamental element see [BS72]. There it is shown that the image of the fundamental element of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$in the Coxeter group $W_{\Gamma}$ is precisely the longest element. Also they give formulae for the fundamental elements of irreducible finite-type Artin groups, i.e. the Artin groups corresponding to the Coxeter graphs in figure 1.1.

### 2.6 The Word and Conjugacy Problem

In this section we use the machinary developed thus far to give a quick solution to the word problem for finite-type Artin groups. The conjugacy problem is also discussed.

Let $U, V \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$, where $\Gamma$ is of finite-type. We want to decide if $U=V$. By theorem 2.17 we know there exists (calculable) positive words $X_{1}, X_{2}, Y_{1}, Y_{2} \in$ $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$such that

$$
U=X_{1} Y_{1}^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad V=X_{2} Y_{2}^{-1}
$$

where the images of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are central in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$. To decide if $U=V$ it is equivalent to decide if $X_{1} Y_{2}=X_{2} Y_{1}$, but since the canonical map $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is injective this is equivalent to deciding if $X_{1} Y_{2}={ }_{p} X_{2} Y_{1}$. In 2.4.3 we gave a solution to the word problem for $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$, thus a solution to the word problem for $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ follows.

In [BS72] it is shown elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$and $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ can be put into a normal form using the fundamental element. This also gives a solution to the word
problem in both $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$and $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$. Brieskorn and Saito also give a solution to the conjugacy problem in finite type Artin groups.

Another solution to the word and conjugacy problems appears in [Cha92]. It is shown that finite-type Artin groups are biautomatic in which case they are known to have solvable word and conjugacy problems.

Some infinite-type Artin groups have been shown to have solvable word and conjugacy problems. Appel and Schupp [AS83] solve these problems for Artin groups of extra-large type (i.e. $m_{a b} \geq 4$ for all $a, b \in \Sigma$ ).

### 2.7 Parabolic Subgroups

Let $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}, \Sigma\right)$ be an Artin system with values $m_{a b}$ for $a, b \in \Sigma$. For a subset $I \subset \Sigma$ we define $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_{I}}$ to be the subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ generated by $I$. We call the subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_{I}}$ a parabolic subgroup. (More generally, we refer to any conjugate of such a subgroup as a parabolic subgroup.)

Van der Lek [Lek83] has shown that for each $I \subset \Sigma$ the pair $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_{I}}, I\right)$ is an Artin system associated with $\Gamma_{I}$. That is, parabolic subgroups of Artin groups are indeed Artin groups. A proof of this fact also appears in [Pa97]. Thus the inclusions among Coxeter groups in table 1.1 also hold for the associated Artin groups: Crisp [Cri99] shows quite a few more inclusions hold among the irreducible finite-type Artin groups. Table 2.1 lists these inclusions. Notice that every irreducible finite-type Artin group embeds into an Artin group of type $A, D$ or $E$.

Similar to that of Coxeter groups we have that the study of Artin groups can be largely reduced to the case when $\Gamma$ is connected.
Theorem 2.19 Let $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}, \Sigma\right)$ have Coxeter graph $\Gamma$, with connected components $\Gamma_{1}$, $\ldots, \Gamma_{r}$, and let $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{r}$ be the corresponding subsets of $\Sigma$. Then

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}=\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_{I_{1}}} \oplus \cdots \ominus \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_{I_{r}}},
$$

and each Artin system $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_{I_{i}}}, I_{i}\right)$ is irreducible.
Cohen and Wales [CW01] use this fact and the fact that irreducible finite type Artin groups embed into an Artin group of type $A, D$ or $E$ to show all Artin groups of finite-type are linear (have a faithful linear representation) by showing Artin groups of type $D$, and $E$ are linear, thus generalizing the recent result that the braid groups (Artin groups of type $A$ ) are linear [ $\mathrm{BiO1}],[\mathrm{Kr02}]$.

| $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ injects into $A_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma$ | $\Gamma^{\prime}$ |
| $A_{n}$ | $A_{m}(m \geq n)$, |
|  | $B_{n+1}(n \geq 2)$, |
|  | $D_{n+2 \prime}$ |
|  | $E_{6}(1 \leq n \leq 5)$, |
|  | $E_{7}(1 \leq n \leq 6)$, |
|  | $E_{8}(1 \leq n \leq 7)$, |
|  | $F_{4}, H_{3}(1 \leq n \leq 2)$, |
|  | $H_{4}(1 \leq n \leq 3)$ |
|  | $I_{2}(3)(1 \leq n \leq 2)$ |
| $B_{n}$ | $A_{n}, A_{2 n-1}, A_{2 n}, D_{n+1}$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $E_{7}, E_{8}$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $E_{8}$ |
| $F_{4}$ | $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$, |
| $H_{3}$ | $D_{6}$ |
| $H_{4}$ | $E_{8}$ |
| $I_{2}(m)$ | $A_{m-1}$ |

Table 2.1: Inclusions among Artin groups

### 2.8 Geometric Realization of Artin Groups

In this section we discuss how finite-type Artin groups appear as fundamental groups of complex hyperplane arrangements. From this point of view we can see that finite-type Artin groups are torsion free.

Let $\left(W_{\Gamma}, S\right)$ be a Coxeter system where $W_{\Gamma}$ is finite and $|S|=n$. Let $V$ be the associated (real) $n$-dimensional vector space, and $B$ the bilinear form on $V$ introduced in section 1.3. We know from theorem 1.17 that $V$ is a Euclidean space. Let $T$ denote the set of reflections in $W$. For each $t \in T$ let $H_{t}$ denote the hyperplane in $V$ (pointwise) fixed by $t$. Let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{t}\right\}_{t \in T}$ be the collection of such hyperplanes. The complement of $\mathcal{H}$ in $V$ is defined by

$$
M(\mathcal{H})=V \backslash \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H
$$

Note that since $V$ is a real vector space $M(\mathcal{H})$ is not connected. However, if we "complexify" $V$ and the arrangement of hyperplanes $\mathcal{H}$ we get a connected space. This is done as follows. The complexification of $V$ is $V_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The complexification of a hyperplane $H$ is the hyperplane $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ having the same equation as $H$. The complexification of $\mathcal{H}$ is the arrangement $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}=\left\{H_{\mathbb{C}}: H \in\right.$ $\mathcal{H}\}$ in $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. The topological space

$$
M\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)=V_{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}} H
$$

is our primary interest.
Before we proceed any futher we need to make some definitions. A collection of hyperplanes $\mathcal{H}$ in a (real) vector space is called a (real) arrangement of hyperplanes. We say $\mathcal{H}$ is central if all the hyperplanes of $\mathcal{H}$ contain the origin. We say further that $\mathcal{H}$ is essential if the intersection of all the elements of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\{0\}$. Call $\mathcal{H}$ simplicial if it is central and essential, and if all the chambers of $\mathcal{H}$ (i.e. connected components of $V \backslash \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ ) are cones over simplices. The following theorem indicates the importance of knowing an arrangement is simplicial.

Theorem 2.20 (Deligne [Del72]). Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a simplicial arrangement of hyperplanes. Then $M\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is an Eilenberg-Maclane space (i.e. its universal cover is contractible).

The importance of this theorem lies in the fact that if $M(G)$ is a finite dimensional Eilenberg-Maclean space for a group $G$ then $G$ has finite cohomological dimension and so, from a result in homological algebra, $G$ is torsion-free.

Let us return now to our particular hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{H}$ defined above. It follows from our work in chapter 1 that the arrangement of hyperplanes $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{t}\right\}_{t \in T}$ is central and essential. Futhermore, Deligne [Del72] showed that $\mathcal{H}$ is simplicial. Thus, it follows from theorem 2.20 that $M\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is an Eilenberg-Maclean space. Deligne has shown that the fundamental group of $M\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is precisely the pure Artin group associated with $\Gamma$ :: Moreover, Deligne showed that $W_{\Gamma}$ acts freely on $M\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ so that $M\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}\right) / W_{\Gamma}$ is also an Eilenberg-Maclean space and $\pi_{1}\left(M\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}\right) / W_{\Gamma}\right)$ is the Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is torsion-free.

For arbitrary Artin groups $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ (not necessarily of finite-type) more general constructions of $K\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}, 1\right)$-spaces have been done, for example see [CD95].

An algebraic argument showing finite-type Artin groups are torsion free was discovered by Dehornoy [Deh98]. The proof uses the divisibility theory we developed in this chapter.

## Chapter 3

## Commutator Subgroups of Finite-Type Artin Groups

Gorin and Lin [GL69] gave a presentation for the commutator subgroup $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ of the braid group $\mathfrak{B}_{n}, n \geq 3$, which showed $\mathfrak{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ is finitely generated and perfect for $n \geq 5$. This has some interesting consequences concerning $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ and "orderability", which we discuss in chapter 5 . In this chapter we extend the work of Gorin and Lin and compute presentations for the commutator subgroups of all the other irreducible finite-type Artin groups; those corresponding to the Coxeter graphs in figure 1.1. This will be applied in chapter 4 to "local indicability" of finite-type Artin groups.

### 3.1 Reidemeister-Schreier Method

We will use the Reidemeister-Schreier method to compute the presentation for the commutator śubgroups so we give a brief overview of this method in this section. For a complete discussion of the Reidemeister-Schreier method see [MKS76].

Let $G$ be an arbitrary group with presentation $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots ; a_{n}: R_{\mu}\left(a_{\nu}\right), \ldots\right\rangle$ and $H$ a subgroup of $G$. A system of words $\mathcal{R}$ in the generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is called a Schreier system for G modulo H if (i) every right coset of $H$ in $G$ contains exactly one word of $\mathcal{R}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{R}$ forms a system of right coset representatives), (ii) for each word in $\mathcal{R}$ any initial segment is also in $\mathcal{R}$ (i.e. initial segments of right coset representatives are again right coset representatives). Such a Schreier system always exists, see for example [MKS76]. Suppose now that we have fixed a Schreier system $\mathcal{R}$. For each word $W$ in the generators
$a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ we let $\bar{W}$ denote the unique representative in $\mathcal{R}$ of the right coset $H W$. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{K, a_{v}}=K a_{v} \cdot{\overline{K a_{v}}}^{-1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $K \in \mathcal{R}$ and generator $a_{v}$. A theorem of Reidemeister-Schreier (theorem 2.9 in [MKS76]) states that $H$ has presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle s_{K, a_{\nu}}, \ldots: s_{M, a_{\lambda}}, \ldots, \tau\left(K R_{\mu} K^{-1}\right), \ldots\right\rangle \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is an arbitrary Schreier representative, $a_{v}$ is an arbitrary generator and $R_{\mu}$ is an arbitrary defining relator in the presentation of $G$, and $M$ is a Schreier representative and $a_{\lambda}$ a generator such that

$$
M a_{\lambda} \approx \overline{M a_{\lambda}}
$$

where $\approx$ means "freely equal", i.e. equal in the free group generated by $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$. The function $\tau$ is a Reidemeister rewriting function and is defined according to the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(a_{i_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots a_{i_{p}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\right)=s_{K_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{1}}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots s_{K_{i_{p}}, a_{i_{p}}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{i_{j}}=\overline{a_{i_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots a_{i_{j-1}}^{\epsilon_{j-1}}}$, if $\epsilon_{j}=1$, and $K_{i_{j}}=\overline{a_{i_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots a_{i_{j}}^{\epsilon_{j}}}$, if $\epsilon_{j}=-1$. It should be noted that computation of $\tau(U)$ can be carried out by replacing a symbol $a_{v}^{\epsilon}$ of U by the appropriate s-symbol $s_{K, a_{\nu}}^{\epsilon}$. The main property of a Reidemeister rewriting function is that for an element $U \in H$ given in terms of the generators $a_{\nu}$ the word $\tau(U)$ is the same element of $H$ rewritten in terms of the generators $s_{K, a_{i}}$.

### 3.2 A Characterization of the Commutator Subgroups

The commutator subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of a group $G$ is the subgroup generated by the elements $\left[g_{1}, g_{2}\right]:=g_{1} g_{2} g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}^{-1}$ for all $g_{1}, g_{2} \in: G$. Such elements are called commutators. It is an elementary fact in group theory that $G^{\prime}$ is a normal subgroup in $G$ and the quotient group $G / G^{\prime}$ is abelian. In fact, for any normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ the quotient group $G / N$ is abelian if and only if $G^{\prime}<N$. If $G$
is given in terms of a presentation $\langle\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{R}\rangle$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is a set of generators and $\mathcal{R}$ is a set of relations, then a presentation for $G / G^{\prime}$ is obtained by abelianizing the presentation for $G$, that is, by adding relations $g h=h g$ for all $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$. This is denoted by $\langle\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{R}\rangle_{\mathrm{Ab}}$.

Let $U \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$, and write $U=a_{i_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots a_{i_{r}}^{\epsilon_{r}}$, where $\epsilon_{i}= \pm 1$. The degree of $U$ is defined to be

$$
\operatorname{deg}(U):=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \epsilon_{j}
$$

Since each defining relator in the presentation for $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ has degree equal to zero the map deg is a well defined homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ into $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}$ denote the kernel of $\operatorname{deg} ; \mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}=\left\{U \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}: \operatorname{deg}(U)=0\right\}$. It is a well known fact that for the braid group (i.e. $\Gamma=A_{n}$ ) $\mathcal{Z}_{A_{n}}$ is precisely the commutator subgroup. In this section we generalize this fact for all Artin groups.

Let $\Gamma_{\text {odd }}$ denote the graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by removing all the evenlabelled edges and the edges labelled $\infty$. The following theorem tells us exactly when the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is equal to $\mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}$.

Theorem 3.1 For an Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}, \Gamma_{\text {odd }}$ is connected if and only if the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is equal to $\mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}$.

Proof. For the direction $(\Longrightarrow)$ the hypothesis implies

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} / \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{Z}
$$

Indeed, start with any generator $a_{i}$, for any other generator $a_{j}$ there is a path from $a_{i}$ to $a_{j}$ in $\Gamma_{o d d}$ :

$$
a_{i}=a_{i_{i}} \longrightarrow a_{i_{2}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow a_{i_{m}}=a_{j} .
$$

Since $m_{i_{k} i_{k+1}}$ is odd the relation

$$
\left\langle a_{i_{k}} a_{i_{k+1}}\right\rangle^{m_{i_{k} i_{k+1}}}=\left\langle a_{i_{k+1}} a_{i_{k}}\right\rangle^{m_{i_{k} i_{k+1}}}
$$

becomes $a_{i_{k}}=a_{i_{k+1}}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} / \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$. Hence, $a_{i}=a_{j}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} / \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$. It follows that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} / \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime} & \simeq\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}: a_{1}=\cdots=a_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \simeq \mathbb{Z},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the isomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} / \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$
U \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime} \longmapsto \operatorname{deg}(U)
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}=\operatorname{ker} \phi=\mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}$.
We leave the proof of the other direction to theorem 3.2, where a more general result is stated.

For the case when $\Gamma_{o d d}$ is not connected we can get a more general description of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ as follows. Let $\Gamma_{\text {odd }}$ have $m$ connected components; $\Gamma_{\text {odd }}=$ $\Gamma_{1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \Gamma_{m}$. Let $\Sigma_{i} \subset \Sigma$ be the corresponding sets of vertices. For each $1 \leq k \leq m$ define the map

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{k}: \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

as follows: If $U=a_{i_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots a_{i_{r}}^{\epsilon_{r}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ take

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{k}(U)=\sum_{\cdot i \leq j \leq r \text { where } a_{a_{j} \in \Sigma_{k}}} \epsilon_{j}
$$

It is straight forward to check that for each $1 \leq k \leq m$ the map deg ${ }_{k}$ agrees on $\langle a b\rangle^{m_{a b}}$ and $\langle b a\rangle^{m_{a b}}$ for all $a, b \in \Sigma$. Hence, $\operatorname{deg}_{k}: \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a homomorphism for each $1 \leq k \leq m$. Let

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}^{(m)}:=\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq m} \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)
$$

The following theorem tells us that this is precisely the commutator subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\Gamma$ be a Coxeter graph such that $\Gamma_{\text {odd }}$ has $m$ connected components. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}=\mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}{ }^{(m)}$.

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}{ }^{(m)}$ since commutators certainly lie in the kernel of $\operatorname{deg}_{k}$ for each $k$. To show the opposite inclusion let $W \in \mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}{ }^{(m)}$, i.e. $\operatorname{deg}_{k}(W)=$ 0 for all $1 \leq k \leq m$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} / \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime} & \simeq\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}:\left\langle a_{i} a_{j}\right\rangle^{m_{a_{i} a_{j}}}=\left\langle a_{j} a_{i}\right\rangle^{m_{a_{i} a_{j}}}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Ab}} \\
& \simeq\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}: a_{i}=a_{j} \text { iff } i \text { and } j\right. \text { lie in the same connected } \\
& \left.\simeq \mathbb{Z}^{m}, \quad \text { component of } \Gamma_{\text {odd }}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the isomorphism given by

$$
U \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime} \longmapsto\left(\operatorname{deg}_{1}(U), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}_{m}(U)\right),
$$

then $W \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ must be the identity in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} / \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ (since it is in the kernel). In which case $W \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$.

It is this characterization of the commutator subgroup which allows us to use the Reidemeister-Schreier method to compute its presentation. In particular, we can find a relatively simple set of Schreier right coset representatives.

### 3.3 Computing the Presentations

In this section we compute presentations for the commutator subgroups of the irreducible finite-type Artin groups. We will show that, for the most part, the commutator subgroups are finitely generated and perfect (equal to its commutator subgroup).

Figure 3.1 shows that each irreducible finite-type Artin group falls into one of two classes; (i) those in which $\Gamma_{\text {odd }}$ is connected and (ii) those in which $\Gamma_{\text {odd }}$ has two components. Within a given class the arguments are quite similar. Thus, we will only show the complete details of the computations for types $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$. The rest of the types have similar computations.

### 3.3.1 Two Lemmas

We will encounter two sets of relations quite often in our computations and it will be necessary to replace them with sets of simpler but equivalent relations. In this section we give two lemmas which allow us to make these replacements.

Let $\left\{p_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, a, b$, and $q$ be letters. In the following keep in mind that the relators $p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1}$ split up into the two types of relations $p_{k+2}=p_{k}^{-1} p_{k+1}$ (for $k \geq 0$ ), and $p_{k}=p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1}$ (for $k<0$ ). The two lemmas are:

Lemma 3.3 The set of relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1}=1, \quad p_{k} a p_{k+2} a^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1} a^{-1}=1, \quad b=p_{0} a p_{0}^{-1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(A_{n}\right)_{\text {odd }} \quad(n \geq 1)$

$\left(B_{n}\right)_{\text {odd }} \quad(n \geq 2)$

-••

$\left(D_{n}\right)_{\text {odd }} \quad(n \geq 4)$

$\left(E_{6}\right)_{\text {odd }}$

$\left(E_{7}\right)_{\text {odd }}$

$\left(E_{8}\right)_{o d d}$

$\left(F_{4}\right)_{o d d}$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{\text {odd }}$

$\left(H_{4}\right)_{\text {odd }}$


$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(I_{2}(m)\right)_{\text {odd }}(m \geq 5) & \underset{a_{1}}{\bullet} & m \\
\ddots & \stackrel{\bullet}{a_{2}} & m \text { odd } \\
a_{1} & \overrightarrow{a_{2}} & m \text { even }
\end{array}
$$

Figure 3.1: $\Gamma_{\text {odd }}$ for the irreducible finite-type Coxeter graphs $\Gamma$
is equivalent to the set

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1} & =1  \tag{3.5}\\
p_{0} a p_{0}^{-1} & =b  \tag{3.6}\\
p_{0} b p_{0}^{-1} & =b^{2} a^{-1} b  \tag{3.7}\\
p_{1} a p_{1}^{-1} & =a^{-1} b  \tag{3.8}\\
p_{1} b p_{1}^{-1} & =\left(a^{-1} b\right)^{3} a^{-2} b \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.4 The set of relations:

$$
p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1}=1, \quad p_{k} q=q p_{k+1}
$$

is equivalent to the set

$$
p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1}=1, \quad p_{0} q=q p_{1}, \quad p_{1} q=q p_{0}^{-1} p_{1}
$$

The proof of lemma 3.4 is straightforward. On the other hand, the proof of the lemma 3.3 is somewhat long and tedious.
Proof. [Lemma 3.4] Clearly the second set of relations follows from the first set of relations since $p_{2}=p_{0}^{-1} p_{1}$. To prove the converse we first prove that $p_{k} q=q p_{k+1}(k \geq 0)$ follows from the second set of relations by induction on $k$. It is easy to see then that the same is true for $k<0$. For $k=0,1$ the result clearly holds. Now, for $k=m+2$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{m+2} q p_{m+3}^{-1} q^{-1} & =p_{m+2} q p_{m+2}^{-1} p_{m+1} q^{-1}, \\
& =p_{m+2}\left(p_{m+1}^{-1} q\right) p_{m+1} q^{-1} \quad \text { by } \mathrm{IH}(k=m+1) \\
& =p_{m+2} p_{m+1}^{-1}\left(q p_{m+1}\right) q^{-1}, \\
& =p_{m+2} p_{m+1}^{-1}\left(p_{m} q\right) q^{-1} \quad \text { by IH }(k=m), \\
& =p_{m+2} p_{m+1}^{-1} p_{m}, \\
& =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. [Lemma 3.3] First we show the second set of relations follows from the first set. Taking $k=0$ in the second relation in (3.4) we get the relation

$$
p_{0} a p_{2} a^{-1} p_{1}^{-1} a^{-1}=1
$$

and, using the relations $p_{2}=p_{0}^{-1} p_{1}$ and $b=p_{0} a p_{0}^{-1}$, (3.8) easily follows. Taking $k=1$ in the second relation in (3.4) we get the relation

$$
p_{1} a p_{3} a^{-1} p_{2}^{-1} a^{-1}=1
$$

Using the relations $p_{3}=p_{1}^{-1} p_{2}$ and $p_{2}=p_{0}^{-1} p_{1}$ this becomes

$$
p_{1} a p_{1}^{-1} p_{0}^{-1} p_{1} a^{-1} p_{1}^{-1} p_{0} a^{-1}=1
$$

But $p_{1} a p_{1}^{-1}=a^{-1} b($ by (3.8)) so this reduces to

$$
a^{-1} b p_{0}^{-1} b^{-1} a p_{0} a^{-1}=1
$$

Isolating $b p_{0}^{-1}$ on one side of the equation gives

$$
\dot{b}_{0}^{-1}=a^{2} p_{0}^{-1} a^{-1} b
$$

Multiplying both sides on the left by $p_{0_{i}}$ and using the relation $p_{0} a p_{0}^{-1}=b$ it easily follows $p_{0} b p_{0}^{-1}=b^{2} a^{-1} b$, which is (3.7). Finally, taking $k=2$ in the second relation in (3.4) we get the relation

$$
p_{2} a p_{4} a^{-1} p_{3}^{-1} a^{-1}=1
$$

Using the relation $p_{4}=p_{2}^{-1} p_{3}$ this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2} a p_{2}^{-1} p_{3} a^{-1} p_{3}^{-1} a^{-1}=1 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{2} a p_{2}^{-1} & =p_{0}^{-1} p_{1} a p_{1}^{-1} p_{0} \quad \text { by } p_{2}=p_{0}^{-1} p_{1} \\
& =p_{0}^{-1} a^{-1} b p_{0} \quad \text { by }(3.8) \\
& =a^{-2} b a^{-1} a \quad \text { using (3.4) and (3.7) } \\
& =a^{-2} b
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{3} a p_{3}^{-1} & =p_{1}^{-1} p_{2} a p_{2}^{-1} p_{1} . \quad \text { by } \mathrm{p}_{3}=\mathrm{p}_{1}^{-1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \\
& =p_{1}^{-1} a^{-2} b p_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality follows from the previous statement. Thus, (3.10) becomes

$$
a^{-2} b p_{1}^{-1} b^{-1} a^{2} p_{1} a^{-1}=1
$$

Isolating the factor $b p_{1}^{-1}$ on one side of the equation, multiplying both sides by $p_{1}$, and using the relation (3.8) we easily get the relation (3.9). Therefore we have that the second set of relations (3.5)-(3.9) follows from the first set of relations (3.4).

In order to show the relations in (3.4) follow from the relations in (3.5)(3.9) it suffices to just show that the second relation in (3.4) follows from the relations in (3.5)-(3.9). To do this we need the following fact: The relations

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{k} a p_{k}^{-1} & =a^{k} b  \tag{3.11}\\
p_{k} b p_{k}^{-1} & =\left(a^{-k} b\right)^{k+2} a^{-(k+1)} b  \tag{3.12}\\
p_{k}^{-1} a p_{k} & =a b^{-1} a^{k+2}  \tag{3.13}\\
p_{k}^{-1} b p_{k} & =\left(a b^{-1} a^{k+2}\right)^{k} a \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

follow from the relations in (3.5)-(3.9). The proof of this fact is left to lemma 3.5 below. From the relations (3.11)-(3.14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k+1} a p_{k+1}^{-1}=a^{-(k+1)} b=a^{-1} \cdot a^{-k} b=a^{-1} \dot{p}_{k} a p_{k}^{-1} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k+1}^{-1} a p_{k+1}=a b^{-1} a^{k+3}=a b^{-1} a^{k+2} a=p_{k}^{-1} a p_{k} a \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are in a position to show that that the second relation in (3.4) follows from the relations in (3.5)-(3.9). For $k \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{k} a p_{k+2} a^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1} a^{-1} & =p_{k} a p_{k}^{-1} \underbrace{p_{k+1} a^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1}} a^{-1} \quad \text { by (3.5) } \\
& =p_{k} a p_{k}^{-1}\left(a^{-1} p_{k} a p_{k}^{-1}\right)^{-1} a^{-1} \quad \text { by }(3.15) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $k<0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{k} a p_{k+2} a^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1} a^{-1} & =p_{k+1} \underbrace{p_{k+2}^{-1} a p_{k+2}} a^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1} a^{-1} \quad \text { by }(3.5) \\
& =p_{k+1}\left(p_{k+1}^{-1} a p_{k+1} a\right) a^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1} a^{-1} \quad \text { by }(3.16) \\
& =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the relations

$$
p_{k} a p_{k+2} a^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1} a^{-1}=1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

follow from the relations in (3.5)-(3.9).
To complete the proof of lemma 3.3 we need to prove the following.
Lemma 3.5 The relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{k} a p_{k}^{-1} & =a^{k} b \\
p_{k} b p_{k}^{-1} & =\left(a^{-k} b\right)^{k+2} a^{-(k+1)} b \\
p_{k}^{-1} a p_{k} & =a b^{-1} a^{k+2} \\
p_{k}^{-1} b p_{k} & =\left(a b^{-1} a^{k+2}\right)^{k} a
\end{aligned}
$$

follow from the relations in (3.5)-(3.9).
Proof. We will use induction to prove the result for nonnegative indices $k$, the result for negative indices k is similar. Clearly this holds for $k=0,1$. For $k=m+2$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{m+2} a p_{m+2}^{-1}= & p_{m}^{-1} p_{m+1} a p_{m+1}^{-1} p_{m} \quad \text { by (3.5), } \\
& =p_{m}^{-1} a^{-(m+1)} b p_{m} \quad \text { by induction hypothesis (IH), } \\
= & \left(p_{m}^{-1} a^{-(m+1)} p_{m}\right)\left(p_{m}^{-1} b p_{m}\right), \\
= & \left(p_{m}^{-1} a p_{m}\right)^{-(m+1)}\left(p_{m}^{-1} b p_{m}\right), \\
= & \left(a b^{-1} a^{m+2}\right)^{-(m+1)}\left(a b^{-1} a^{m+2}\right)^{m} a \quad \text { by IH, } \\
= & \left(a b^{-1} a^{m+2}\right)^{-1} a, \\
= & a^{-(m+2)} b, \\
p_{m+2} b p_{m+2}^{-1}= & p_{m}^{-1} p_{m+1} b p_{m+1}^{-1} p_{m} \quad \text { by }(3.5), \\
= & p_{m}^{-1}\left(a^{-(m+1)} b\right)^{m+3} a^{-(m+2)} b p_{m} \quad \text { by IH, } \\
= & \left(\left(p_{m}^{-1} a p_{m}\right)^{-(m+1)}\left(p_{m}^{-1} b p_{m}\right)\right)^{m+3}\left(p_{m}^{-1} a p_{m}\right)^{-(m+2)} p_{m}^{-1} b p_{m}, \\
= & \left(\left(a b^{-1} a^{m+2}\right)^{-(m+1)}\left(a b^{-1} a^{m+2}\right)^{m} a\right)^{(m+3)} \\
& \cdot\left(a b^{-1} a^{m+2}\right)^{-(m+2)}\left(a b^{-1} a^{m+2}\right)^{m} a \quad \text { by IH, } \\
= & \left(a^{-(m+2)} b\right)^{m+3}\left(a b^{-1} a^{m+2}\right)^{-2} a, \\
= & \left(a^{-(m+2)} b\right)^{m+4} a^{-(m+3)} b ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for the other two equations. Thus, the result follows by induction.

### 3.3.2 Type $A$

The first presentation for the commutator subgroup $\mathfrak{B}_{n+1}^{\prime}=\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the braid group $\mathfrak{B}_{n+1}=\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$ appeared in [GL69] but the details of the computation were minimal. Here we fill in the details of Gorin and Lin's computation.

The presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}:\right. & a_{i} a_{j}=a_{j} a_{i} \text { for }|i-j| \geq 2, \\
& \left.a_{i} a_{i+1} a_{i}=a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+1} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

Since $\left(A_{n}\right)_{\text {odd }}$ is connected then by theorem $3.1 \mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}=\mathcal{Z}_{A_{n}}$. To simplify notation in the following let $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ denote $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}=\mathcal{Z}_{A_{n}}$. Elements $U, V \in \mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$ lie in the same right coset precisely when they have the same degree:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_{n} U=\mathcal{Z}_{n} V & \Longleftrightarrow U V^{-1} \in \mathcal{Z}_{n} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{deg}(U)=\operatorname{deg}(V)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus a Schreier system of right coset representatives for $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$ modulo $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{a_{1}^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

By the Reidemeister-Schreier method, in particular equation (3.2), $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ has generators $s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{j}}:=a_{1}^{k} a_{j}\left(\overline{a_{1}^{k} a_{j}}\right)^{-1}$ with presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{j}}, \ldots: s_{a_{1}^{m}, a_{\lambda}}, \ldots, \tau\left(a_{1}^{\ell} R_{i} a_{1}^{-\ell}\right), \ldots, \tau\left(a_{1}^{\ell} T_{i, j} a_{1}^{-\ell}\right), \ldots\right\rangle \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$; and $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $a_{1}^{m} a_{\lambda} \approx$ $\overline{a_{1}^{m} a_{\lambda}}$ ("freely equal"), and $T_{i, j}, R_{i}$ represent the relators $a_{i} a_{j} a_{i}^{-1} a_{j}^{-1},|i-j| \geq 2$, and $a_{i} a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+1}^{-1} a_{i}^{-1} a_{i+1}^{-1}$, respectively. Our goal is to clean up this presentation.

The first thing to notice is that

$$
a_{1}^{m} a_{\lambda} \approx \overline{a_{1}^{m} a_{\lambda}}=a_{1}^{m+1} \Longleftrightarrow \lambda=1
$$

Thus, the first type of relation in (3.17) is precisly $s_{a_{1}^{m}, a_{1}}=1$, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Next, we use the definition of the Reidemeister rewriting function (3.3) to express the second and third types of relations in (3.17) in terms of the generators $s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{j}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau\left(a_{1}^{k} T_{i, j} a_{1}^{-k}\right) & =s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{i}} s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{j}} s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{i}}^{-1} s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{j}}^{-1}  \tag{3.18}\\
\tau\left(a_{1}^{k} R_{i} a_{1}^{-k}\right) & =s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{i}} s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{i+1}} s_{a_{1}^{k+2}, a_{i}}{\stackrel{s}{a_{1}^{k+2}, a_{i+1}}}_{-1} s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{i}}^{-1} s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{i+1}}^{-1} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $i=1, j \geq 3$ in (3.18) we get

$$
s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{j}}=s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{j}}
$$

Thus, by induction on $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{j}}=s_{1, a_{j}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j \geq 3$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is generated by $s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}}=a_{1}^{k} a_{2} a_{1}^{-(k+1)}$ and $s_{1, a_{\ell}}=a_{\ell} a_{1}^{-1}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \leq \ell \leq n$. To simplify notation let us rename the generators; let $p_{k}:=a_{1}^{k} a_{2} a_{1}^{-(k+1)}$ and $q_{\ell}:=a_{\ell} a_{1}^{-1}$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \leq \ell \leq n$. We now investigate the relations in (3.18) and (3.19).

The relations in (3.19) break up into the following three types (using 3.20):

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1} & (\text { taking } i=1)  \tag{3.21}\\
p_{k} q_{3} p_{k+2} q_{3}^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1} q_{3}^{-1} & \text { (taking } i=2)  \tag{3.22}\\
q_{i} q_{i+1} q_{i} q_{i+1}^{-1} q_{i}^{-1} q_{i+1}^{-1} & \text { for } 3 \leq i \leq n-1 . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

The relations in (3.18) break up into the following two types:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
p_{k} q_{j} p_{k+1}^{-1} q_{j}^{-1} & \text { for } 4 \leq j \leq n,(\text { taking } i=2) \\
q_{i} q_{j} q_{i}^{-1} q_{j}^{-1} & \text { for } 3 \leq i<j \leq n,|i-j| \geq 2 . \tag{3.25}
\end{array}
$$

We now have a presentation for $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ consisting of the generators $p_{k}, q_{\ell}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}, 3 \leq \ell \leq n-1$, and defining relations (3.21)-(3.25). However, notice that relation (3.21) splits up into the two relations

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
p_{k+2}=p_{k}^{-1} p_{k+1} & \text { for } k \geq 0 \\
p_{k}=p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} & \text { for } k<0 \tag{3.27}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, for $k \neq 0,1, p_{k}$ can be expressed in terms of $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$. It follows that $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is finitely generated. In order to show $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is finitely presented we need to be able to replace the infinitly many relations in (3.22) and (3.24) with finitely many relations. This can be done using lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 , but this requires us to add a new letter $b$ to the generating set with a new relation $b=p_{0} q_{3} p_{0}^{-1}$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is generated by $p_{0}, p_{1}, q_{\ell}, b$; where $3 \leq \ell \leq n-1$, with defining relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{0} q_{3} p_{0}^{-1}=b, \quad p_{0} b p_{0}^{-1}=b^{2} q_{3}^{-1} b, \quad p_{1} q_{3} p_{1}^{-1}=q_{3}^{-1} b, \quad p_{1} b p_{1}^{-1}=\left(q_{3}^{-1} b\right)^{3} q_{3}^{-2} b, \\
q_{i} q_{i+1} q_{i} q_{i+1}^{-1} q_{i}^{-1} q_{i+1}^{-1} \quad(3 \leq i \leq n-1) \\
p_{0} q_{j}= \\
q_{j} p_{1} \quad(4 \leq j \leq n), \quad p_{1} q_{j}=q_{j} p_{0}^{-1} p_{1} \quad(4 \leq j \leq n) \\
\\
q_{i} q_{j} q_{i}^{-1} q_{j}^{-1} \quad(3 \leq i<j \leq n,|i-j| \geq 2) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Noticing that for $n=2$ the generators $q_{k}(3 \leq k \leq n)$, and $b$ do not exist, and for $n=3$ the generators $q_{k}(4 \leq k \leq n)$ do not exist, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6 For every $n \geq 2$ the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$ is a finitely presented group. $\mathcal{A}_{A_{2}}^{\prime}$ is a free group with two free generators

$$
p_{0}=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1}, p_{1}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}^{-2}
$$

$\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$ is the group generated by

$$
p_{0}=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1}, \quad p_{1}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}^{-2}, \quad q=a_{3} a_{1}^{-1}, \quad b=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1} a_{3} a_{2}^{-1}
$$

with defining relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=p_{0} q p_{0}^{-1}, \quad p_{0} \dot{b p_{0}^{-1}}=\dot{b}^{2} q^{-1} b \\
p_{1} q p_{1}^{-1}=q^{-1} b, \quad p_{1} b p_{1}^{-1}=\left(q^{-1} b\right)^{3} q^{-2} b
\end{gathered}
$$

For $n \geq 4$ the group $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{0}=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1}, \quad p_{1}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}^{-2}, \quad q_{3}=a_{3} a_{1}^{-1} \\
b=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1} a_{3} a_{2}^{-1}, \quad q_{\ell}=a_{\ell} a_{1}^{-1} \quad(4 \leq \ell \leq n-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

with defining relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=p_{0} q_{3} p_{0}^{-1}, \quad p_{0} b p_{0}^{-1}=b^{2} q_{3}^{-1} b, \\
p_{1} q_{3} p_{1}^{-1}=q_{3}^{-1} b, \quad p_{1} b p_{1}^{-1}=\left(q_{3}^{-1} b\right)^{3} q_{3}^{-2} b, \\
p_{0} q_{i}=q_{i} p_{1}(4 \leq i \leq n), \quad p_{1} q_{i}=q_{i} p_{0}^{-1} p_{1}(4 \leq i \leq n) \\
q_{3} q_{i}=q_{i} q_{3}(5 \leq i \leq n), \quad q_{3} q_{4} q_{3}=q_{4} q_{3} q_{4}, \\
q_{i} q_{j}=q_{j} q_{i}(4 \leq i<j-1 \leq n-1), \quad q_{i} q_{i+1} \dot{q}_{i}=q_{i+1} q_{i} q_{i+1} \quad(4 \leq i \leq n-1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 3.7 For $n \geq 4$ the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group of type $A_{n}$ is finitely generated and perfect (i.e. $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$ ).
Proof. Abelianizing the presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$ in the theorem results in a presentation of the trivial group. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$.

Now we study in greater detail the group $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$, the results of which will be used in section 4.2.1. From the presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$ given in theorem 3.6 one can easily deduce the relations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{0}^{-1} q p_{0}=q b^{-1} q^{2}, & p_{0}^{-1} b p_{0}=q, \\
p_{1}^{-1} q p_{1}=q b^{-1} q^{3}, & p_{1}^{-1} b p_{1}=q b^{-1} q^{4} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $T$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$ generated by $q$ and $b$. The above relations and the defining relations in the presentation for $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$ tell us that $T$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$. To obtain a representation of the factor group $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime} / T$ it is sufficient to add to the defining relations in the presentation for $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$ the relations $q=1$ and $b=1$. It is easy to see this results in the presentation of the free group generated by $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime} / T$ is a free group of rank 2 , $F_{2}$. We have the exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime} / T \longrightarrow 1
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime} / T$ is free then the exact sequence is actually split so

$$
\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime} \simeq T \rtimes \mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime} / T \simeq T \rtimes F_{2},
$$

where the action of $F_{2}$ on $T$ is defined by the defining relations in the presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$ and the relations above. In [GL69] it is shown (theorem 2.6) the group $T$ is also free of rank 2 , so we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8 The commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group of type $A_{3}$ is the semidirect product of two free groups each of rank 2;

$$
\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime} \simeq F_{2} \rtimes F_{2} .
$$

### 3.3.3 Type $B$

The presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}: \quad\right. & a_{i} a_{j}=a_{j} a_{i} \text { for }|i-j| \geq 2, \\
& a_{i} a_{i+1} a_{i}=a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+1} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
& \left.a_{n-1} a_{n} a_{n-1} a_{n}=a_{n} a_{n-1} a_{n} a_{n-1}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T_{i, j}, R_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-2)$, and $R_{n-1}$ denote the associated relators $a_{i} a_{j} a_{i}^{-1} a_{j}^{-1}$, $a_{i} a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+1}^{-1} a_{i}^{-1} a_{i+1}^{-1}$, and $a_{n-1} a_{n} a_{n-1} a_{n} a_{n-1}^{-1} a_{n}^{-1} a_{n-1}^{-1} a_{n}^{-1}$, respectively.

As seen in figure 3.1 the graph $\left(B_{n}\right)_{\text {odd }}$ has two components: $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, where $\Gamma_{2}$ denotes the component containing the single vertiex $a_{n}$. Let deg ${ }_{1}$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{2}$ denote the associated degree maps, respectively, so from theorem 3.2

$$
\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}^{\prime}=\mathcal{Z}_{B_{n}}^{(2)}=\left\{U \in \mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}: \operatorname{deg}_{1}(U)=0 \text { and } \operatorname{deg}_{2}(U)=0\right\}
$$

For simplicity of notation let $\mathcal{Z}_{B_{n}}^{(2)}$ be denoted by $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$.
For elements $U, V \in \mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_{n} U=\mathcal{Z}_{n} V \Leftrightarrow & U V^{-1} \in \mathcal{Z}_{n} \\
\Leftrightarrow & \operatorname{deg}_{1}(U)=\operatorname{deg}_{1}(V), \text { and } \\
& \operatorname{deg}_{2}(U)=\operatorname{deg}_{2}(V)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus a Schreier system of right coset representatives for $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}$ modulo $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}: k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

By the Reidemeister-Schreier method, in particular equation (3.2), $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{s}_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{k}, a_{j}} & :=a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} a_{j}\left(\overline{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} a_{j}}\right)^{-1} \\
& = \begin{cases}a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} a_{j} a_{n}^{-\ell} a_{1}^{-(k+1)} & \text { if } j \neq n \\
1 & \text { if } j=n .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

with presentation

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Z}_{n}=\left\langle s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}}, \ldots:\right. & s_{a_{1}^{p} a_{n}^{q}, a_{\lambda}}, \ldots \\
\vdots \quad & \tau\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} T_{i, j}\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}\right)^{-1}\right), \ldots, \quad(1 \leq i<j \leq n,|i-j| \geq 2) \\
& \tau\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} R_{i}\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}\right)^{-1}\right), \ldots, \quad(1 \leq i \leq n-2), \\
& \left.\tau\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} R_{n-1}\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}\right)^{-1}\right), \ldots\right\rangle, \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $a_{1}^{p} a_{n}^{q} a_{\lambda} \approx \overline{a_{1}^{p} a_{n}^{q} a_{\lambda}}$ ("freely equal"). Again, our goal is to clean up this presentation.

The cases $n=2,3$, and 4 are straightforward after one sees the computation for the general case $n \geq 5$, so we will not include the computations for these cases. The results are included in theorem 3.9. From now on it will be assumed that $n \geq 5$.

Since

$$
a_{1}^{p} a_{n}^{q} a_{\lambda} \approx \overline{a_{1}^{p} a_{n}^{q} a_{\lambda}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}^{p+1} a_{n}^{q} & \lambda \neq n \\
a_{1}^{p} a_{n}^{q+1} & \lambda=n
\end{array} \Leftrightarrow \lambda=n \text { or; } \lambda=1 \text { and } q=0,\right.
$$

the first type of relations in (3.28) are precisely

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{k}, a_{n}}=1, \text { and } s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{1}}=1 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second type of relations in (3.28), after rewriting using equation (3.3), are

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}}^{-s} s=\frac{s}{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} a_{i}, a_{j}} s \frac{-1}{a_{1}^{k} e_{n}^{\ell} a_{i} a_{j} a_{i}^{-1}, a_{i}} \frac{-1}{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} a_{i} a_{j} a_{i}^{-1} a_{j}^{-1}, a_{j}} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq i<j \leq n,|i-j| \geq 2$. Taking $i=1$ and $3 \leq j \leq n-1$ gives: for $\ell=0$ (using (3.29));

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{j}}=s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{j}}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so by induction on $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{j}}=s_{1, a_{j}} \text { for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1, \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\ell \neq 0$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{1}} S_{a_{1}^{k+1} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}} S_{a_{1}^{k+1} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{1}}^{-1} S_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}}^{-1} . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will come back to relation (3.33) in a bit.
Taking $i=1$ and $j=n$ in (3.30) (and using (3.29)) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{1}} s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell+1}, a_{1}}^{-1} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by induction on $\ell$ (and (3.29)) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{1}}=1 \text { for } k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $2 \leq i \leq n-2, i+2 \leq j \leq n$ in (3.30) gives

$$
\begin{cases}s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}}^{s} s_{a_{1}^{k+1} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}}^{s_{a_{1}^{k+1} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}}^{-1} s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}}^{-1}} & \text { for } j \leq n-1,  \tag{3.36}\\ s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}}^{s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell+1}, a_{i}}} & \text { for } j=n .\end{cases}
$$

In the case $j=n$ induction on $\ell$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}}=s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{i}} \quad(2 \leq i \leq n-2) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

So from (3.32) it follows

$$
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}}= \begin{cases}s_{1, a_{i}} & 3 \leq i \leq n-2  \tag{3.38}\\ s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}}, & i=2\end{cases}
$$

We come back to the case $j \leq n-1$ later.
Returning now to (3.33), we can use (3.35) to get

$$
s_{a_{1}^{k+1} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}}=s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} \cdot a_{j}} \quad(3 \leq j \leq n-1)
$$

Thus, by induction on $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}}=s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}} \quad(3 \leq j \leq n-1) . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $3 \leq j \leq n-2$ we already know this (equation (3.38)), so the only new information we get from (3.33) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}}=s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}} \quad(k \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting all the information we have obtained from $\tau\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} T_{i, j}\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}\right)^{-1}\right)$, $1 \leq i<j \leq n,|i-j| \geq 2$, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{1}} & =1 \quad(k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}} & = \begin{cases}s_{1, a_{i}}, & 3 \leq i \leq n-2, \\
s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}} & i=2,\end{cases}  \tag{3.41}\\
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}} & =s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}},
\end{align*}
$$

and (from (3.36)), for $2 \leq i \leq n-3$ and $i+2 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}} s_{a_{1}^{k+1} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}} s_{a_{1}^{k+1} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}}^{-1} s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{j}}^{-1} . \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation breaks up into the following cases (using (3.41))

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}} s_{1, a_{j}} s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{2}}^{-1} s_{1, a_{j}}^{-1} \quad \text { for } i=2,4 \leq j \leq n-2  \tag{3.43}\\
s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}} s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}} s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{2}}^{-1} s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}}^{-1} \quad \text { for } i=2, j=n-1 \\
s_{1, a_{i}} s_{1, a_{j}} s_{1, a_{i}}^{-1} s_{1,1, a_{j}}^{-1} \quad \text { for } 3 \leq i \leq n-3, i+2 \leq j \leq n-2 \\
s_{1, a_{i}} s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}} s_{1, a_{i}}^{-1} s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}}^{-1} \quad \text { for } 3 \leq i \leq n-3, j=n-1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

The third type of relations in (3.28); $\tau\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} R_{i}\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}\right)^{-1}\right)$, after rewriting using equation (3.3), are

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}} s_{a_{1}^{k+1} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i+1}} s_{a_{1}^{k+2} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i}} s_{a_{1}^{k+2} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i+1}}^{-1} s_{a_{1}^{k+1} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i} .}^{-1} s_{a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{i+1}}^{-1}, \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which break down as follows (using (3.41)):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{2}} s_{a_{1}^{k+2}, a_{2}}^{-1} s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}}^{-1} \quad(i=1),  \tag{3.45}\\
s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}} s_{1, a_{3}} s_{a_{1}^{k+2}, a_{2}}^{-1} s_{1, a_{3}}^{-1} s_{a_{1}^{k+1}, a_{2}}^{-1} s_{1, a_{3}}^{-1} \quad(i=2), \\
s_{1, a_{i}} s_{1, a_{i+1}} s_{1, a_{i}}^{-1} s_{1, a_{i+1}}^{-1} s_{1, a_{1}}^{-1} s_{1, a_{i+1}^{-1}}^{-1}, \quad \text { for } 3 \leq i \leq n-3 \\
s_{1, a_{n-2}} s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}} s_{1, a_{n-2}} s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}}^{-}, \\
s_{1, a_{n-2}}^{-1} s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}}^{-1}, \quad(i=n-2),
\end{array}\right.
$$

The fourth type of relations in (3.28); $\tau\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell} R_{n-1}\left(a_{1}^{k} a_{n}^{\ell}\right)^{-1}\right)$, after rewriting using equation (3.3), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}} s_{a_{n}^{\ell+1}, a_{n-1}} s_{a_{n}^{\ell+2}, a_{n-1}}^{-1} s_{a_{n}^{\ell+1}, a_{n-1}}^{-1} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have made extensive use of the relations (3.41).
From (3.41) it follows that $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is generated by $s_{a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}}, s_{1, a_{i}}$, and $s_{a_{n}^{\ell}, a_{n-1}}$ for $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $3 \leq i \leq n-2$. For simplicity of notation let these generators be denoted by $p_{k}, q_{i}$, and $r_{\ell}$, respectively. Thus, we have shown that the following is a set of defining relations for $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{k} q_{j}=q_{j} p_{k+1} & (4 \leq j \leq n-2, k \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
p_{k} r_{\ell}=r_{\ell} p_{k+1} & (k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
q_{i} q_{j}=q_{j} q_{i} & (3 \leq i<j \leq n-2,|i=j| \geq 2), \\
q_{i} r_{\ell}=r_{\ell} q_{i} & (3 \leq i \leq n-3), \\
p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1} & (k \in \mathbb{Z}),  \tag{3.47}\\
p_{k} q_{3} p_{k+2} q_{3}^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1} q_{3}^{-1} & (k \in Z), \\
q_{i} q_{i+1} q_{i}=q_{i+1} q_{i} q_{i+1} & (3 \leq i \leq n-3), \\
q_{n-2} r_{\ell} q_{n-2}=r_{\ell} q_{n-2} r_{\ell} & (\ell \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
r_{\ell} r_{\ell+1} r_{\ell+2}^{-1} r_{\ell+1}^{-1} & (\ell \in \mathbb{Z}),
\end{align*}
$$

The first four relations are from (3.43), the next four are from (3.45), and the last one is from (3.46).

The fifth relation tells us that for $k \neq 0,1, p_{k}$ can be expressed in terms of $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$. Similarly the last relation tells us that for $\ell \neq 0,1, r_{\ell}$ can be expressed in terms of $r_{0}$ and $r_{1}$. From this it follows that $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is finitely generated. Using lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 to replace the first, second and sixth relations, assuming we have added a new generator $b$ and relation $b=p_{0} \dot{q}_{3} p_{0}^{-1}$, we arrive at the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9 For every $n \geq 3$ the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}$ is a finitely generated group. Presentations for $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}^{\prime}, n \geq 2$ are as follows:
$\mathcal{A}_{B_{2}}^{\prime}$ is a free group on countably many generators:

$$
\left[a_{2}^{\ell}, a_{1}\right](\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0, \pm 1\}), \quad\left[a_{1}^{k} a_{2}, a_{1}\right](k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\})
$$

$\mathcal{A}_{B_{3}}^{\prime}$ is a free group on four generators:

$$
\left[a_{1}^{-1}, a_{2}^{-1}\right], \quad\left[a_{3}, a_{2}\right]\left[a_{1}^{-1}, a_{2}^{-1}\right], \quad\left[a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}\right]\left[a_{1}^{-1}, a_{2}^{-1}\right], \quad\left[a_{1} a_{3}, a_{2}\right]\left[a_{1}^{-1}, a_{2}^{-1}\right]
$$

$\mathcal{A}_{B_{4}}^{\prime}$ is the group generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{k}=a_{1}^{k} a_{2} a_{1}^{-(k+1)}=\left[a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}\right]\left[a_{1}^{-1}, a_{2}^{-1}\right], \quad(k \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
q_{\ell}=a_{4}^{\ell} a_{3}\left(a_{1} a_{4}^{\ell}\right)^{-1}=\left[a_{4}^{\ell}, a_{3}\right]\left[a_{2}^{-1}, a_{3}^{-1}\right]\left[a_{1}^{-1}, a_{2}^{-1}\right], \quad(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}),
\end{gathered}
$$

with defining relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1} \quad(k \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
p_{k} q_{\ell} p_{k+2}=q_{\ell} p_{k+1} q_{\ell} \quad(k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
q_{\ell} q_{\ell+1}=q_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+2} \quad(3 \leq i \leq n-3)
\end{gathered}
$$

For $n \geq 5$ the group $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}^{\prime}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{0}=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1}, \quad p_{1}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}^{-2}, \quad q_{3}=a_{3} a_{1}^{-1}, \quad r_{\ell}=a_{n}^{\ell} a_{n-1}\left(a_{1} a_{n}^{\ell}\right)^{-1} \quad(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
b=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1} a_{3} a_{2}^{-1}, \quad q_{i}=a_{i} a_{1 .}^{-1} \quad(4 \leq i \leq n-2)
\end{gathered}
$$

with defining relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{0} q_{j}=q_{j} p_{1}, \quad p_{1} q_{j}=q_{j} p_{o}^{-1} p_{1} \quad(4 \leq j \leq n-2) \\
p_{0} r_{\ell}=r_{\ell} p_{1}, \quad p_{1} r_{\ell}=r_{\ell} p_{0}^{-1} p_{1} \quad(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
q_{i} q_{j}=q_{j} q_{i} \quad(3 \leq i<j \leq n-2,|i=j| \geq 2) \\
q_{i} r_{\ell}=r_{\ell} q_{i} \quad(3 \leq i \leq n-3), \\
p_{0} q_{3} p_{0}^{-1}=b, \quad p_{0} b p_{0}^{-1}=b^{2} q_{3}^{-1} b, \\
p_{1} q_{3} p_{1}^{-1}=\dot{q}_{3}^{-1} b, \quad p_{1} b p_{1}^{-1}=\left(q_{3}^{-1} b\right)^{3} q_{3}^{-2} b, \\
q_{i} q_{i+1} q_{i}=q_{i+1} q_{i} q_{i+1} \quad(3 \leq i \leq n-3) \\
q_{n-2} r_{\ell} q_{n-2}=r_{\ell} q_{n-2} r_{\ell} \quad(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
r_{\ell} r_{\ell+1} r_{\ell+2}^{-1} r_{\ell+1}^{-1} \quad(\ell \in \mathbb{Z})
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 3.10 For $n \geq 5$ the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group of type $B_{n}$ is finitely generated and perfect.

Proof. Abelianizing the presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}^{\prime}$ in the theorem results in a presentation of the trivial group. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}^{\prime}$.

### 3.3.4 Type $D$

The presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}:\right. & a_{i} a_{j}=a_{j} a_{i} \text { for } 1 \leq i<j \leq n-1,|i-j| \geq 2, \\
& a_{n} a_{j}=a_{j} a_{n} \text { for } j \neq n-2, \\
& a_{i} a_{i+1} a_{i}=a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+1} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
& \left.a_{n-2} a_{n} a_{n-2}=a_{n} a_{n-2} a_{n}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

As seen in figure 3.1 the graph $\left(D_{n}\right)_{\text {odd }}$ is connected. So by theorem 3.1

$$
\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}^{\prime}=\mathcal{Z}_{D_{n}}=\left\{U \in \mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}: \operatorname{deg}(U)=0\right\} .
$$

The computation of the presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}^{\prime}$ is similar to that of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$, so we will not include it.

Theorem 3.11 For every $n \geq 4$ the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}$ is a finitely presented group. $\mathcal{A}_{D_{4}}^{\prime}$ is the group generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{0}=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1}, p_{1}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}^{-2}, q_{3}=a_{3} a_{1}^{-1} \\
q_{4}=a_{4} a_{1}^{-1}, b=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1} a_{3} a_{2}^{-1}, c=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1} a_{4} a_{2}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

with defining relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=p_{0} q_{3} p_{0}^{-1} ; \quad p_{0} b p_{0}^{-1}=b^{2} q_{3}^{-1} b, \\
p_{1} q_{3} p_{1}^{-1}=q_{3}^{-1} b, \quad p_{1} b p_{1}^{-1}=\left(q_{3}^{-1} b\right)^{3} q_{3}^{-2} b, \\
c=p_{0} q_{4} p_{0}^{-1}, \quad p_{0} c p_{0}^{-1}=c^{2} q_{4}^{-1} c \\
p_{1} q_{4} p_{1}^{-1}=q_{4}^{-1} c, \quad p_{1} c p_{1}^{-1}=\left(q_{4}^{-1} c\right)^{3} q_{4}^{-2} c, \\
q_{3} q_{4}=q_{4} q_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

For $n \geq 5$ the group $\dot{\mathcal{A}}_{D_{n}}^{\prime}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{0}=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1}, \quad p_{1}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}^{-2} \\
& q_{\ell}=a_{\ell} a_{1}^{-1}(3 \leq \ell \leq n), \quad b=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1} a_{3} a_{2}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with defining relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=p_{0} q_{3} p_{0}^{-1}, \quad p_{0} b p_{0}^{-1}=b^{2} q_{3}^{-1} b, \\
p_{1} q_{3} p_{1}^{-1}=q_{3}^{-1} b, \quad p_{1} b p_{1}^{-1}=\left(q_{3}^{-1} b\right)^{3} q_{3}^{-2} b \\
p_{0} q_{j}=q_{j} p_{1}, \quad p_{1} q_{j}=q_{j} p_{0}^{-1} p_{1} \quad(4 \leq j \leq n) \\
q_{i} q_{i+1} q_{i}=q_{i+1} q_{i} q_{i+1} \quad(3 \leq i \leq n-2) \\
q_{n} q_{n-2} q_{n}=q_{n-2} q_{n} q_{n-2} \\
q_{i} q_{j}=q_{j} q_{i} \quad(3 \leq i<j \leq n-1,|i-j| \geq 2) \\
q_{n} q_{j}=q_{j} q_{n} \quad(j \neq n-2)
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 3.12 For $n \geq 5$ the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group of type $D_{n}$ is finitely presented and perfect.

### 3.3.5 Type $E$

The presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}, n=6,7$, or 8 , is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}: \quad\right. & a_{i} a_{j}=a_{j} a_{i} \text { for } 1 \leq i<j \leq n-1,|i-j| \geq 2, \\
& a_{i} a_{n}=a_{n} a_{i} \text { for } i \neq 3, \\
& a_{i} a_{i+1} a_{i}=a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+1} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
& \left.a_{3} a_{n} a_{3}=a_{n} a_{3} a_{n}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

As seen.in figure 3.1 the graph $\left(E_{n}\right)_{\text {odd }}$ is connected. So by theorem 3.1.

$$
\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}^{\prime}=\mathcal{Z}_{E_{n}}=\left\{U \in \mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}: \operatorname{deg}(U)=0\right\} .
$$

The computation of the presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}^{\prime}$ is similar to that of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$.
Theorem 3.13 For $n=6,7$, or 8 the commutator subgrouip $\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}$ is a finitely presented group. $\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}^{\prime}$ is the group generated by
$p_{0}=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1}, \quad p_{1}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}^{-2}, \quad \dot{q}=a_{\ell} a_{1}^{-1} \quad(3 \leq \ell \leq n), \quad b=a_{2} a_{1}^{-1} a_{3} a_{2}^{-1}$,
with defining relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=p_{0} q_{3} p_{0}^{-1}, \quad p_{0} b p_{0}^{-1}=b^{2} q_{3}^{-1} b, \\
p_{1} q_{3} p_{1}^{-1}=q_{3}^{-1} b, \quad p_{1} b p_{1}^{-1}=\left(q_{3}^{-1} b\right)^{3} q_{3}^{-2} b, \\
p_{0} q_{j}=q_{j} p_{1}, \quad p_{1} q_{j}=q_{j} p_{0}^{-1} p_{1} \quad(4 \leq j \leq n), \\
q_{i} q_{i+1} q_{i}=q_{i+1} q_{i} q_{i+1} \quad(3 \leq i \leq n-2), \\
q_{n} q_{3} q_{n}=q_{3} q_{n} q_{3}, \\
q_{i} q_{j}=q_{j} q_{i} \quad(3 \leq i<j \leq n-1,|i-j| \geq 2), \\
q_{i} q_{n}=q_{n} q_{i} \quad(4 \leq i \leq n-1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 3.14 For $n=6,7$, or 8 the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group of type $E_{n}$ is finitely presented and perfect.

### 3.3.6 Type $F$

The presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{F_{4}}$ is -

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{F_{n}}=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}:\right. & a_{i} a_{j}=a_{j} a_{i} \text { for }|i-j| \geq 2, \\
& a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}=a_{2} a_{1} a_{2} \\
& a_{2} a_{3} a_{2} a_{3}=a_{3} a_{2} a_{3} a_{2} \\
& \left.a_{3} a_{4} a_{3}=a_{4} a_{3} a_{4}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

As seen in figure 3.1 the graph $\left(E_{n}\right)_{\text {odd }}$ has two components: $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, where $\Gamma_{1}$ denotes the component containing the vertices $a_{1}, a_{2}$, and $\Gamma_{2}$ the component containing the vertices $a_{3}, a_{4}$. Let $\operatorname{deg}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{2}$ denote the associated degree maps, respectively, so from theorem 3.2

$$
\mathcal{A}_{F_{4}}^{\prime}=\mathcal{Z}_{F_{4}}^{(2)}=\left\{U \in \mathcal{A}_{F_{4}}: \operatorname{deg}_{1}(U)=0 \text { and } \operatorname{deg}_{2}(U)=0\right\} .
$$

By a computation similar to that of $B_{n}$ we get the following.
Theorem 3.15 The commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{F_{4}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group of type $F_{4}$ is the group generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{k}=a_{1}^{k} a_{2} a_{1}^{-(k+1)} \\
&=\left[a_{1}^{k}, a_{2}\right]\left[a_{1}^{-1}, a_{2}^{-1}\right] \quad(k \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
& q_{\ell}=a_{4}^{\ell} a_{3} a_{4}^{-(\ell+1)} \\
&=\left[a_{4}^{\ell}, a_{3}\right]\left[a_{4}^{-1}, a_{3}^{-1}\right] \quad(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}),
\end{aligned}
$$

with defining relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{k+1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1} \quad(k \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad q_{\ell+1} q_{\ell+2}^{-1} q_{\ell}^{-1} \quad(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
p_{k} q_{\ell} p_{k+1} q_{\ell+1}=q_{\ell} p_{k} q_{\ell+1} p_{k+1}(k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z})
\end{gathered}
$$

The first two types of relations in the above presentation tell us that for $k \neq 0,1, p_{k}$ can be expressed in terms of $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, and similarly for $q_{\ell}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_{F_{4}}^{\prime}$ is finitely generated. However, $\mathcal{A}_{F_{4}}^{\prime}$ is not perfect since abelianizing the above presentation gives $\mathcal{A}_{F_{4}}^{\prime} / \mathcal{A}_{F_{4}}^{\prime \prime} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{4}$.

### 3.3.7 Type $H$

The presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}, n=3$ or 4 , is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}: \quad\right. & a_{i} a_{j}=a_{j} a_{i} \text { for }|i-j| \geq 2, \\
& a_{1} a_{2} a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}=a_{2} a_{1} a_{2} a_{1} a_{2}, \\
& \left.a_{i} a_{i+1} a_{i}=a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+1} \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq n-1\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

- As seen in figure 3.1 the graph $\left(H_{n}\right)_{\text {odd }}$ is connected. So by theorem 3.1

$$
\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}^{\prime}=\mathcal{Z}_{H_{n}}=\left\{U \in \mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}: \operatorname{deg}(U)=0\right\} .
$$

The computation of the presentation of $\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}^{\prime}$ is similar to that of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}^{\prime}$.
Theorem 3.16 For $n=3$ or 4 the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}$ is the group generated by

$$
p_{k}=a_{1}^{k} a_{2} a_{1}^{-(k+1)} \quad(k \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad q_{\ell}=a_{\ell} a_{1}^{-\ell} \quad(3 \leq \ell \leq n)
$$

with defining relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{k} q_{j}=q_{j} p_{k+1} \quad(4 \leq j \leq n) \\
p_{k+1}^{\prime} p_{k+3} p_{k+4}^{-1} p_{k+2}^{-1} p_{k}^{-1}(k \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
p_{k} q_{3} p_{k+2} q_{3}^{-1} p_{k+1}^{-1} q_{3}^{-1} \\
q_{i} q_{i+1} q_{i}=q_{i+1} q_{i} q_{i+1} \quad(3 \leq i \leq n-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

The second relation tells us that for $k \neq 0,1,2,3, p_{k}$ can be expressed in terms of $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}$, and $p_{3}$. Thus; $\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}^{\prime}$ is finitely generated. Abelianizing the above presentation results in the trivial group. Thus, we have the following.

Corollary 3.17 For $n=3$ or 4 the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}^{\prime}$ of the Artin group of type $H_{n}$ is finitely generated and perfect.

### 3.3.8 Type $I$

The presentation of $I_{2}(m), m \geq 5$, is

$$
\mathcal{A}_{I_{2}(m)}=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}:\left\langle a_{1} a_{2}\right\rangle^{m}=\left\langle a_{2} a_{1}\right\rangle^{m}\right\rangle .
$$

In figure 3.1 the graph $\left(I_{2}(m)\right)_{\text {odd }}$ is connected for $m$ odd and disconnected for $m$ even. Thus, different computations must be done for these two cases. We have the following.

Theorem 3.18 The commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{I_{2}(m)}^{\prime \prime}$ of the Artin group of type $I_{2}(m)$, $m \geq 5$, is the free group generated by the $(m-1)$-generators

$$
a_{1}^{k} a_{2} a_{1}^{-(k+1)} \quad(k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-2\}),
$$

when $m$ is odd, and is the free group with countably many generators

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[a_{2}^{\ell}, a_{1}\right] \quad(\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-(m / 2-1)\}), \quad\left[a_{1}^{j} a_{2}^{\ell}, a_{1}\right] \quad(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2, \ldots, m / 2-3),} \\
{\left[a_{1}^{m / 2-2} a_{2}^{\ell}, a_{1}\right](\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{m / 2-1\}), \quad\left[a_{1}^{k} a_{2}, a_{1}\right](k \in \mathbb{Z}) .}
\end{gathered}
$$

when $m$ is even.

### 3.3.9 Summary of Results

Table 3.1 summarizes the results in this section. The question marks (?) in the table indicate that it is unknown whether the commutator subgroup is finitely presented. However, we do know that for these cases the group is finitely generated. If one finds more general relation equivalences along the lines of lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 then we may be able to show that these groups are indeed finitely presented.

| Type $\Gamma$ | finitely generated/presented | perfect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{n}^{\prime}$ | yes/yes | $n=1,2,3:$ no, <br> $n \geq 4:$ yes |
|  |  | $/$ |
| $B_{n}$ | $n=2:$ no, $n \geq 3:$ yes | $n=2,3,4:$ no, |
| $n \geq 3:$ yes, $n \geq 3: ?$ |  |  |
| $D_{n}$ | yes $/$ yes | $n=4:$ no, |
|  |  | $n \geq 5:$ yes |
| $E_{n}$ | yes $/$ yes | yes |
| $F_{4}$ | yes/? | no |
| $H_{n}$ | yes $/ ?$ | yes |
| $I_{2}(m)(m$ even $)$ <br> $(m$ odd $)$ | no/no | no |

Table 3.1: Properties of the commutator subgroups

## Chapter 4

## Local Indicability of Finite-Type Artin Groups

Locally indicable groups first appeared in Higman's thesis [Hig40a] on group rings. He showed that if $G$ is a locally indicable group and $R$ an integral domain then the group ring $R G$ has no zero divisors, no idempotents other than 0 and 1 , and no units other than those of the form $u g$ ( $u$ a unit in $R$, $g \in G$ ). Higman's results have subsequently been extended to larger classes of groups, for example right-orderable groups. Our primary interest in local indicability is its application to the theory of right-orderability which is the topic of chapter 5 .

### 4.1 Definitions

A group $G$ is indicable if there exists a nontrivial homomorphism $G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (called an indexing function). A group $G$ is locally indicable if every nontrivial, finitely generated subgroup is indicable. Notice, finite groups cannot be indicable, so locally indicable groups must be torsion-free.

Every free group is locally indicable. Indeed, it is well known that every subgroup of a free group is itself free, and since free groups are clearly indicable the result follows.

Local indicability is clearly inherited by subgroups. The following simple theorem shows that the category of locally indicable groups is preseved under extensions.

Theorem 4.1 If $K, H$ and $G$ are groups such that $K$ and $H$ are locally indicable and
fit into a short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow K \cdot \xrightarrow{\phi} G \xrightarrow{\psi} H \longrightarrow 1
$$

then $G$ is locally indicable.
Proof. Let $g_{1}, \ldots, \dot{g}_{n} \in G$, and let $\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle$ denote the subgroup of $G$ which they generate. If $\psi\left(\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle\right) \neq\{1\}$ then by the local indicability of $H$ there exists a nontrivial homomorphism $f: \psi\left(\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the map

$$
f \circ \psi:\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

is nontrivial. Else, if $\psi\left(\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle\right)=\{1\}$ then $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in \operatorname{ker} \psi=\operatorname{Im} \phi$ (by exactness), so there exist $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n} \in K$ such that $\phi\left(k_{i}\right)=g_{i}$, for all $i$. Since $\phi$ is one-to-one (short exact sequence) then $\phi:\left\langle k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\rangle \longrightarrow\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle$ is an isomorphism. By the local indicability of $K$ there exists a nontrivial homomorphism $h:\left\langle k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, therefore the map

$$
h \circ \phi^{-1}:\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

is nontrivial.

Corollary 4.2 If $G$ and $H$ are locally indicable then so is $G \oplus H$.
Proof. The sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\phi} G \oplus H \xrightarrow{\psi} G \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $\phi(h)=(1, h)$ and $\psi(g, h)=g$ is exact, so the theorem applies.
If $G$ and $H$ are groups and $\phi: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$. The semidirect product of $G$ and $H$ is defined to be the set $H \times G$ with binary operation

$$
\left(h_{1}, g_{1}\right) \cdot\left(h_{2}, g_{2}\right)=\left(h_{1} \cdot g_{1} * h_{2}, g_{1} g_{2}\right)
$$

where $g * h$ denotes the action of $G$ on $H$ determined by $\phi$, i.e. $g * h:=$ $\phi(g)(h) \in H$. This group is denoted by $H \rtimes_{\phi} G$.

Corollary 4.3 If $G$ and $H$ are locally indicable then so is $H \rtimes_{\phi} G$.

Proof. If $\psi: H \rtimes_{\phi} G \longrightarrow G$ denotes the map $(h, g) \longmapsto g$ then $\mathrm{ker} \psi=\mathrm{H}$ and the groups fit into the exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\text { incl. }} H \rtimes_{\phi} G \xrightarrow{\psi} G \longrightarrow 1
$$

The following theorem of Brodskii [Bro80], [Bro84], which was discovered independently by Howie [How82], [How00], tells us that the class of torsionfree 1-relator groups lies inside the class of locally indicable groups. Also, for 1-relator groups: locally indicable $\Leftrightarrow$ torsion free.

Theorem 4.4 A torsion-free 1-relator group is locally indicable.
To show a group is not locally indicable we need to show there exists a finitely generated subgroup in which the only homomorphism into $\mathbb{Z}$ is the trivial homomorphism.

Theorem 4.5 If $G$ contains a finitely generated perfect sugroup then $G$ is not locally indicable.

Proof. The image of a commutator $[a, b]:=a b a^{-1} b^{-1}$ under a homomorphism into $\mathbb{Z}$ is 0 , thus the image of a perfect group is trivial.

### 4.2 The Local Indicability of Finite-Type Artin Groups

Since finite-type Artin groups are torsion-free (see section 2.8), theorem 4.4 implies that the Artin groups of type $A_{2}, B_{2}$, and $\dot{I_{2}}(m)(m \geq 5)$ are locally indicable. In this section we determine the local indicability of all ${ }^{1}$ irreducible finite-type Artin groups.

It is of interest to note that the discussion in section 3.2, in particular theorem 3.2, shows that an Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ and its commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ fit into a short exact sequence:

$$
1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}^{m} \longrightarrow 1
$$

[^2]where $m$ is the number of connected components in $\Gamma_{o d d}$, and $\phi$ can be identified with the abelianization map. Thus, the local indicability of an Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is completely determined by the local indicability of its commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ (by theorem 4.1). In other words,
$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} \text { is locally indicable } \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{\prime} \text { is locally indicable. }
$$

This gives another proof that the Artin groups of type $A_{2}, B_{2}$, and $I_{2}(m)(m \geq$ 5 ) are locally indicable, since their corresponding commutator subgroups are free groups as shown in Chapter 3.

### 4.2.1 Type $A$

$A_{A_{1}}$ is clearly locally indicable since $A_{A_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and, as noted above, $\mathcal{A}_{A_{2}}$ is also locally indicable.

For $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}$, theorem 3.8 tells us $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$ is the semidirect product of two free groups, thus $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}^{\prime}$ is locally indicable. It follows from our remarks above that $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}$ is also locally indicable.

As for $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}, n \geq 4$, corollary 3.7 and theorem 4.5 imply that $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$ is not locally indicable.

Thus, we have the following theorem.
Theorem $4.6 \mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$ is locally indicable if and only if $n=1,2$, or 3 .

### 4.2.2 Type $B$

We saw above $\mathcal{A}_{B_{2}}$ is locally indicable. For $n=3$ and 4 we argue as follows.
Let $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{n+1}$ denote the $(n+1)$-pure braids in $\mathfrak{B}_{n+1}=\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$, that is the braids which only permute the first $n$-strings. Letting $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ denote the generators of $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}$ a theorem of Crisp [Cri99] states

Theorem 4.7 The map

$$
\phi: \mathcal{A}_{B_{n}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}
$$

defined by

$$
b_{i} \longmapsto \dot{a}_{i}, \quad b_{n} \longmapsto a_{n}^{2}
$$

is an injective homomorphism onto $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{n+1}$. That is, $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}} \simeq \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{n+1}<\mathfrak{B}_{n+1}=\mathcal{A}_{A_{n}}$.

By "forgetting the $n^{\text {th }}$-strand" we get a homomorphism $f: \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{n}$ which fits into the short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{B}_{n} \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $K=\operatorname{ker} \mathbf{f}=\left\{\beta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{\mathrm{n}+1}\right.$ : the first $n$ strings of $\beta$ are trivial $\}$. It is known that $K \simeq F_{n}$, the free group of rank $n$. Since $F_{n}$ is locally indicable and $\mathfrak{B}_{n}(n=3,4)$ is locally indicable then so is $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}$, for $n=3,4$. Futhermore, the above exact sequence is actually a split exact sequence so $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}} \simeq \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{n+1} \simeq$ $F_{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{B}_{n}$.

As for $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}, n \geq 5$, corollary 3.10 and theorem 4.5 imply that $\mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}$ is not locally indicable, for $n \geq 5$.

Thus, we have the following theorem.
Theorem $4.8 \mathcal{A}_{B_{n}}$ is locally indicable if and only if $n=2,3$, or 4 .

### 4.2.3 Type $D$

It follows corollary 3.12 and 4.5 that $\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}$ is not locally indicable for $n \geq 5$. As for $\mathcal{A}_{D_{4}}$, we will show it is locally indicable as follows.

A theorem of Crisp and Paris [CP02] says:
Theorem 4.9 Let $F_{n-1}$ denote the free group of rank $n-1$. There is an action $\rho: \mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n-1}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}} \simeq F_{n-1} \rtimes \mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}}$ and $\rho$ is faithful.

Since $\mathcal{A}_{A_{3}}$ and $F_{3}$ are locally indicable, then so is $\mathcal{A}_{D_{4}}$. Thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem $4.10 \mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}$ is locally indicable if and only if $n=4$.

### 4.2.4 Type $E$

Since the commutator subgroups of $\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}, n=6,7,8$, are finitely generated and perfect (corollary 3.14) then $\mathcal{A}_{E_{n}}$ is not locally indicable.

### 4.2.5 Type $F$

Unfortunately, we have yet to determine the local indicability of the Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{F_{4}}$.

### 4.2.6 Type $H$

Since the commutator subgroups of $\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}, n=3,4$, are finitely generated and perfect (corollary 3.17) then $\mathcal{A}_{H_{n}}$ is not locally indicable.

### 4.2.7 Type $I$

As noted above, since the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{A}_{I_{2}(m)}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{I_{2}(m)}(m \geq 5)$ is a free group (theorem 3.18) then $\mathcal{A}_{I_{2}(m)}^{\prime}$ is locally indicable and therefore so is $\mathcal{A}_{I_{2}(m)}$. One could also apply theorem 4.4 to conclude the same result.

## Chapter 5 <br> Open Questions: Orderability

In this chapter we discuss the connection between the theory of orderable groups and the theory of locally indicable groups. Then we discuss the current state of the orderability of the irreducible finite-type Artin groups.

### 5.1 Orderable Groups

A group or monoid $G$ is right-orderable if there exists a strict linear ordering < of its elements which is right-invariant: $g \leqslant h$ implies $g k<h k$ for all $g, h, k$ in $G$. If there is an ordering of $G$ which is invariant under multiplication on both sides, we say that $G$ is orderable or for emphasis bi-orderable .

Theorem 5.1 $G$ is right-orderable if and only if there exists a subset $\mathcal{P} \subset G$ such that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{P} \cdot \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P} \text { (subsemigroup) }, \\
\quad G \backslash\{1\}=\mathcal{P} \sqcup \mathcal{P}^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Given $\mathcal{P}$ define $<$ by: $g<h$ iff $h g^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}$. Given $<$ take $\mathcal{P}=\{g \in G$ : $1<g\}$.

In addition, the ordering is a bi-ordering if and only if also

$$
g \mathcal{P} g^{-1} \subset \mathcal{P}, \quad \forall g \in G
$$

The set $\mathcal{P} \subset G$ in the previous theorem is called the positive cone with respect to the ordering $<$.

The class of right-orderable groups is closed under: subgroups, direct products, free products, semidirect products, and extension. The class of orderable groups is closed under: subgroups, direct products, free products, but not necessarily extensions. Both left-orderability and bi-orderability are local properties: a group has the property if and only if every finitely-generated subgroup has it.

Knowing a group is right-orderable or bi-orderable provides useful information about the internal structure of the group. For example, if $G$ is rightorderable then it must be torsion-free: for $1<g$ implies $g<g^{2}<g^{3}<$ $\cdots<g^{n}<\cdots$. Moreover, if $G$ is bi-orderable then $G$ has no generalised torsion (products of conjugates of a nontrivial element being trivial), $G$ has unique roots: $g^{n}=h^{n} \Rightarrow g=h$, and if $\left[g^{n}, h\right]=1$ in $G$ then $[g, h]=1$. Further consequences of orderablility are as follows. For any group $G$, let $\mathbb{Z} G$ denote the group ring of formal linear combinations $n_{1} g_{1}+\cdots n_{k} g_{k}$.

Theorem 5.2 If $G$ is right-orderable, then $\mathbb{Z} G$ has no zero divisors.
Theorem 5.3 (Malcev, Neumann) If $G$ is bi-orderable, then $\mathbb{Z} G$ embeds in a division ring.

Theorem 5.4 (LaGrange, Rhemtulla) If $G$ is right orderable and $H$ is any grooup, then $\mathbb{Z} G \simeq \mathbb{Z} H$ implies $G \simeq H$

It may be of interest of note that theorem 5.2 has been conjectured to hold for a more general class of groups: the class of torsion-free groups. This is known as the Zero Divisor Conjecture. At this time the Zero Divisor Conjecture is still an open question.

The theory of orderable groups is well over a hundred years old. For a general exposition on the theory of orderable groups see [MR77] or [KK74].

Conrad [Con59] investigated the structure of arbitrary right-orderable groups, and defined a useful concept which lies between right-invariance and bi-invariance. A right-ordered group $(G,<)$ is said to be of Conrad type if for all $a, b \in G$, with $1<a, 1<b$ there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $a<a^{N} b$. The following theorems gives the connection between orderable groups and locally indicable groups (see [RR02]).

Theorem 5.5 For a group $G$ we have

$$
\text { bi-orderable } \Rightarrow \text { locally indicable } \Rightarrow \text { right-orderable. }
$$

Theorem 5.6 A group is locally indicable if and only if it admits a right-ordering of Conrad type.

One final connection between local indicability and right-orderability was given by Rhemtulla and Rolfsen [RR02].

Theorem 5.7 (Rhemtulla, Rolfsen) Suppose $(G,<)$ is right-ordered and there is a finite-index subgroup $H$ of $G$ such that $(H,<)$ is a bi-ordered group. Then $G$ is locally indicable.

An application of this theorem is as follows. It is known that the braid groups $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=\mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}}$ are right orderable [DDRW02] and that the pure braids $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ are bi-orderable [KR02]. However, theorem 4.6 tells us that $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ is not locally indicable for $n \geq 5$ therefore, by theorem 5.7, the bi-ordering on $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ and the right-ordering on $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ are incompatible for $n \geq 5$.

### 5.2 Finite-Type Artin Groups

The first proof the that braid groups $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ enjoy a right-invariant total ordering was given in [Deh92], [Deh94]. Since then several quite different approaches have been applied to understand this phenomenon. ${ }^{1}$ However, it is unknown whether all the irreducible finite-type Artin groups are right-orderable. For a few cases one can use theorem 5.6 along with the results of chapter 4 to conclude right-orderability.

One approach is to reduce the problem to showing that the positive Artin monoid is right-orderable.

### 5.2.1 Ordering the Monoid is Sufficient

We will show that for a Coxeter graph $\Gamma$ of finite-type the Artin group $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is right-orderable (resp. bi-orderable) if and only if the Artin monoid $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$is right-orderable (resp. bi-orderable). One direction is of course trivial.

[^3]Let $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ be an Artin group of finite-type. Recall that theorems 2.17 and 2.18 tell us that:

For each $U \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ there exist $U_{1}, U_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$, where $U_{2}$ is central in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ such that

$$
U=U_{1} U_{2}^{-1}
$$

All decompositions of elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ in this section are assumed to be of this form.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$is right-orderable, let $<^{+}$be such a right-invariant linear ordering. We wish to prove that $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ is right-orderable.

The following lemma indicates how we should extend the ordering on the monoid to the entire group.

Lemma 5.8 If $U \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ has two decompositions;

$$
U=U_{1} U_{2}^{-1}=\bar{U}_{1} \bar{U}_{2}^{-1}
$$

where $U_{i}, \bar{U}_{i} \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$and $U_{2}, \bar{U}_{2}$ central in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$, then

$$
U_{1}<^{+} U_{2} \Longleftrightarrow \bar{U}_{1}<^{+} \bar{U}_{2}
$$

Proof. $U=U_{1} U_{2}^{-1}=\bar{U}_{1} \bar{U}_{2}^{-1}$ implies $U_{1} \bar{U}_{2}={ }_{p} \bar{U}_{1} U_{2}$, since $U_{2}, \bar{U}_{2}$ central and $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$canonically injects in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$. If $U_{1}<{ }^{+} U_{2}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow U_{1} \bar{U}_{2}<^{+} U_{2} \bar{U}_{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \text { since }^{+}{ }^{+} \text {right-invariant, } \\
& \Rightarrow U_{1} \bar{U}_{2}<^{+} \bar{U}_{2} U_{2} \\
& \text { since } U_{2} \text { central, } \\
& \Rightarrow \bar{U}_{1} U_{2}<^{+} \bar{U}_{2} U_{2} \\
& \Rightarrow{\text { since } U_{1} \bar{U}_{2}={ }_{p} \bar{U}_{1} U_{2}}_{\Rightarrow \bar{U}_{1}<^{+} \bar{U}_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last implication follows from the fact that if $\bar{U}_{1}+\geq \bar{U}_{2}$ then either: (i) $\bar{U}_{1}=\bar{U}_{2}$, in which case $U=1$ and so $U_{1}=U_{2}$. Contradiction. (ii) $\bar{U}_{1}{ }^{+} \geq \bar{U}_{2}$, in which case $\bar{U}_{1} U_{2}{ }^{+} \geq \bar{U}_{2} U_{2}$. Again, a contradiction.

The reverse implication follows by symmetry.
This lemma shows that the following set is well defined:

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left\{U \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}: U \text { has decomposition } \dot{U}=U_{1} U_{2}^{-1} \text { where } U_{2}<^{+} U_{1}\right\}
$$

It is an easy exercise to check that $\mathcal{P}$ is a positive cone in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ which contains $\mathcal{P}^{+}$: the positive cone in $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$with respect to the order $<^{+}$. Thus, the right-invariant order $<^{+}$on $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$extends to a right-invariant order $<$on $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$. Furthermore, one can check that if $<^{+}$is a bi-invariant order on $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$then $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies:

$$
U \mathcal{P} U^{-1} \subset \mathcal{P}, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} .
$$

Thus, the bi-invariant order $<^{+}$on $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{+}$extends to a bi-invariant order $<$on $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$.
Open question. Determine the orderability of the finite-type Artin monoids by giving an explicit order condition.

### 5.2.2 Reduction to Type $E_{8}$

Table 2.1 shows that every irreducible finite-type Artin group injects into one type $A, D$, or $E$. Thus, if Artin groups of these three types are right-orderable then every finite-type Artin group is right-orderable. It is know that Artin groups of type $A$, i.e. the braid groups, are right orderable. Also, by theorem 4.9, and the fact that free groups are right-orderable, it follows that $\mathcal{A}_{D_{n}}$ is right-orderable. Finally, the Artin group of types $E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ naturally live inside $\mathcal{A}_{E_{8}}$, so it suffices to show $\mathcal{A}_{E_{8}}$ is right-orderable. At this point in time it is unknown whether $\mathcal{A}_{E_{8}}$ is right-orderable. As section 5.2.1 indicates it is enough to decide whether the Artin monoid $\mathcal{A}_{E_{8}}^{+}$is right-orderable.
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$a$-chain, see chain, $a$-chain
abelianize, 42
Artin
extra large type, 36
finite-type, 19
group, 19
degree, 42
pure Artin group, 19
irreducible, 19, 34
monoid, 20
length, 20
parabolic subgroup, 36
system, 18
bilinear form $B, 7$
chain
a-chain, 22
source, 22
target, 22
elementary; 22
source, $22^{`}$
target, 22
imminent, 24
length, 23
primitive, 22
source, 22
target, 22
simple, 24
chain operator, 24
common divisor, 28
common multiple, 28
commutator, 41
commutator subgroup; 41
conjugacy problem, 14
Coxeter
element
maximal length, 10
extra large type, 15
graph, 5
finite-type, 15
positive definite, 15
type, 15
group, 5
universal, 5
length, 6
matrix, 4
finite-type, 15
parabolic subgroup, 11
system, 5
rank; 5
deletion condition, 11
divides, 21
on the left, 21
elementary simplification
first kind, 14
second kind, 14
elementary transformation, 20
exchange condition, 10
strong, 10
extension, 66
freely equal, 41
fundamental element, 34
greatest common divisor, 28
group
Artin, see Artin, group
Coxeter, see Coxeter, group
perfect, 44
symmetric, 5
group ring, 73
hyperplane
arrangement of, 38
central, 38
simplicial, 38
indicable, 66
irreducible, 13
least common multiple, 28
length, 19
linear, 36
locally indicable, 1, 66
matrix
Artin, see Artin, matrix
Coxeter, see Coxeter, matrix
orderable, 1, 72
bi-orderable, 1, 72
Conrad type, 73
right, 1, 72
positive cone, 72
positive definite, 7
positive equivalent, 20
positive semidefinite, 8
positive transformation, 20
quadratic factor, 32
reduced expression, 6
reduction property, 20,21
reflection, 7, 38
Reidemeister
rewriting function, 41
Reidemeister-Schreier method, 40
reverse, 21
root, 9
negative, 9
positive, 9
simple, 9
root system, 8
Schreier-system, 40
semidirect product, 67
sequence
$a$-sequence, 29
square-free, 32
subgraph
induced, 13
system
Artin, see Artin, system
Coxeter, see Coxeter, system
word problem, 14
Zero Divisor Conjecture, 73


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A group $G$ is right-orderable if there exists a strict total ordering < of its elements which is right-invariant: $g<h$ implies $g k<h k$ for all $g, h, k \in G$. If in addition $g<h$ implies $k g<k h$, the group is said to be orderable, or for emphasis, bi-orderable.
    ${ }^{2}$ A group $G$ is locally indicable if for every nontrivial, finitely generated subgroup there exists a nontrivial homomorphism into $\mathbb{Z}$ (called an indexing function).
    ${ }^{3}$ Rolfsen and Djun Kim construct a bi-ordering on $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ in [KR02].

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ see theorem 5.7.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ with the exception of type $F_{4}$ which at this time remains undetermined.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a wonderful look at this problem and all the differents approaches used to understand it see the book [DDRW02].

