### FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

#### FOR CYCLIC LOADING OF CONCRETE FILLED STEEL TUBE PILE

by

### **MIN YOUNG PARK**

#### **B.Eng., CHUNG-ANG UNIVERSITY, 1993**

#### M.Eng., CHUNG-ANG UNIVERSITY, 1995

#### A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF

#### THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

### MASTER OF APPLIED SCIENCE

in

#### THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

**Department of Civil Engineering** 

We accept this thesis as conforming

to the required standard

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

April 2001

© Min Young Park, 2001

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirements for an advanced degree at the University of British Columbia, I agree that the Library shall make it freely available for reference and study. I further agree that permission for extensive copying of this thesis for scholarly purposes may be granted by the head of my department or by his or her representatives. It is understood that copying or publication of this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Civil Engineering Department of

The University of British Columbia Vancouver, Canada

Date \_\_\_\_\_ April . 25. 2001

### ABSTRACT

A non-linear finite element analysis is presented to predict the lateral response of a concrete-filled steel tube (CFT) pile subjected to cyclic loading. The computer program CFTPILE was developed as a part of this study. The pile is modeled as a beam element on a non-linear soil medium, which is able to resist compression only. Information on the soil-pile interaction and the stress-strain relationships for concrete and steel is required in order to calculate the response of the pile. The soil-pile interaction is assumed to follow a P-y curve proposed by Yan and Byrne. The formation of gaps between pile and soil is taken into account in the analysis. Tomii and Sakino's confined concrete model is adopted to determine the stress-strain relationship for the concrete inside steel tube. Steel is assumed to be elasto-perfectly plastic. Numerical examples are presented for a CFT and a Hollow section pile.

Variability of pile capacity caused by the uncertainty in soil properties is investigated using the computer program RELAN and a response surface involving six random variables. The cumulative distribution function for maximum pile capacity is calculated for cases with and without correlation between the soil variables.

ii

ABSTRACTii
TABLE OF CONTENTSiii
LIST OF TABLESiv
LIST OF FIGURESv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSvi
1 INTRODUCTION1
2 ANALYSIS MODEL
2.1 The finite element model
2.2 Modeling of the soil
2.3 Modeling of the concrete
2.4 Modeling of the steel17
3 NUMERICAL EXAMPLES19
4 UNCERTAINTY IN SOIL PROPERTIES AND ITS INFLUENCE ON
VARIABILITY OF MAXIMUM LOAD24
5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH
REFERENCES
APPENDIX A
APPENDIX B

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Random variables and their related statistics	25
Table 2. Correlation Coefficients	27

### LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.1 Beam element model
Fig.2 Pile cross-section7
Fig.3 Normalized P-y curve9
Fig.4 Load-displacement relationship for soil11
Fig.5 Tomii and Sakino's confined concrete model14
Fig.6 Stress-strain relationship for the concrete model16
Fig.7. Behaviour of concrete micro-cube16
Fig.8 The stress-strain relationship for steel
Fig.9 Maximum soil Young's modulus E <sub>max</sub> 19
Fig.10 Displacement history20
Fig.11 Comparison between CFT and Hollow section (thickness=30mm)21
Fig.12 Force-displacement curve for CFT pile (thickness=10mm)21
Fig.13 Force-displacement curve for Hollow pile (thickness=10mm)22
Fig.14 Lateral displacement along the CFT pile (thickness = 10mm)23
Fig.15 Cumulative distribution function (CFT, thickness=30mm)27
Fig.16 Variability in maximum soil Young's modulus
Fig.17 Cumulative distribution function (CFT, thickness=10mm)29
Fig.18 Cumulative distribution function (Hollow, thickness=10mm)29
Fig.19 Horizontal forces acting on mass

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to gratefully acknowledge the guidance of my supervisor, Dr. Ricardo O. Foschi. I could not have completed this thesis without his support, which have broaden my understanding of structural engineering.

Also, I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Helmut G.L. Prion for his helpful suggestions.

I wish to thank my wife for her patience and encourgement.

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

A pile using a concrete filled steel tube (CFT) has many advantages when compared to a hollow steel tube or a reinforced concrete member. For example, a hollow steel tube section has to meet certain limitation of diameter-thickness ratio (D/t) in order to have enough rotation capacity without triggering local bucking. On the other hand, the CFT member shows a significant yielding plateau in the load-deflection curve as a beam member. A test reported by Prion and Boehme (1994) shows a ductile behaviour of CFT members indicating the fact that neither concrete nor steel tubes are individually able to absorb significant amounts of energy under cyclic loading. The concrete inside the steel tube keeps it from buckling locally and the external steel casing prevents premature spalling and crushing of the concrete, providing a ductile behaviour that is superior to a hollow steel tube.

Research has been done with regard to the behaviour of hollow steel tube piles under earthquake load. When the pile is subjected to an earthquake excitation, it is required to have high-energy absorption capacity in order to resist the earthquake load in a ductile manner. This is provided by filling the steel tube pile with concrete.

The objective of this work is to present a non-linear finite element analysis to determine the lateral response of a CFT pile subjected to static cyclic loading. The model presented in this study is based on the analysis program HYST (Foschi, 2000), which was developed to calculate hysteresis loops of shear connectors in a non-linear medium, namely wood. Using the model, a CFT pile analysis program called CFTPILE (Appendix B), was developed as part of this work. The pile response to lateral cyclic head loading

has a non-linearity, which is caused by the elasto-plastic properties of the steel tube, the non-linear concrete behaviour, the non-linear soil-pile interaction and the formation of gaps between soil and pile.

Stress-strain relationships for steel and concrete were defined in this analysis to calculate stresses at each point over the cross-section and along the member. The soil-pile interaction was determined using P-y curves developed by Yan and Byrne (1992).

The analysis required the calculation of the tangent stiffness matrix at each step of loading. This was done numerically using a beam finite element.

### 2 ANALYSIS MODEL

#### 2.1 THE FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

To find the lateral response of a CFT pile subjected to cycling loading, the lateral load associated with a given displacement of the pile cap is obtained by a non-linear finite element analysis.

A beam element model is shown in Fig1.





Each node at the ends of the element has five degrees of freedom: w, w', w", u and u'. u is the axial displacement in the x-direction at the centroid of the cross section, and w is the lateral displacement in the y-direction. w', w" and u' are their derivatives with respect to x. The displacement u(x) and w(x) are assumed to be, respectively, a cubic polynomial and a fifth-order polynomial. These functions can be written in matrix form using the vectors of shape functions and their derivatives, and the degrees-of-freedom vectors **a**:

 $u(x) = N_0^T a$  $u'(x) = N_1^T a$  $w(x) = M_0^T a$ Eq. 1  $w'(x) = M_1^T a$  $w''(x) = M_2^T a$ *a*1 a2 *a*3 *a*4  $\begin{cases} u \\ w \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & n4 & n5 & 0 & 0 & n9 & n10 \\ m1 & m2 & m3 & 0 & 0 & m6 & m7 & m8 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$ а5 аб Eq. 2 a7 a8 *a*9 *a*10

where  $a_1 \sim a_5$ : Degrees-of-freedom at i node  $(w_i, w_i', w_i', u_i, u_i')$ 

 $a6 \sim a10$ : Degrees-of-freedom at j node  $(w_j, w_j', w''_j, u_j, u'_j)$ 

 $n4 \sim n10$ ,  $m1 \sim m8$ : Shape functions. These are presented in Appendix A.

Using the assumption of plane section remaining plane, the strain  $\epsilon$  along the element can be expressed as

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - y \cdot \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2$$
 Eq. 3

where y is a distance from the centroid to the point at which the strain is determined. In order to formulate the equilibrium equation the principle of virtual work is adopted.

$$\delta W = \delta W_i - \delta W_e = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 4}$$

where the internal work is

$$\delta W_{i} = \int_{V_{s}} \sigma_{s}(\varepsilon) \cdot \delta \varepsilon \cdot dV + \int_{V_{c}} \sigma_{c}(\varepsilon) \cdot \delta \varepsilon \cdot dV$$
$$= \int_{V_{s}} \sigma_{s}(\varepsilon) \cdot \delta \mathbf{a}^{T} [(\mathbf{N}_{1} - \mathbf{y} \mathbf{M}_{2}) + \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{a}] dV$$
$$+ \int_{V_{c}} \sigma_{s}(\varepsilon) \cdot \delta \mathbf{a}^{T} [(\mathbf{N}_{1} - \mathbf{y} \mathbf{M}_{2}) + \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{a}] dV \qquad \text{Eq. 5}$$

Here,  $\sigma_s(\varepsilon)$ : Steel stress in the member

 $\sigma_{c}(\varepsilon)$  : Concrete stress in the member

*Vs* : Volume of steel tube only

*Vc* : Volume of concrete only

The external work is, on the other hand,

$$\delta W_e = -\int_0^L p(|w|) \cdot \left(\frac{w}{|w|}\right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{M}_0 \cdot dx + F \cdot \delta \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{M}_{0(x=L)} + P \cdot \delta \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{N}_{0(x=L)}$$
 Eq. 6

Here, F : Lateral load applied at x = L in the y-direction

- P : Axial load applied at x = L in the x-direction
- *p* : Soil reaction
- L : Pile length

Since  $\delta \mathbf{a}$  is arbitrary, the principle of virtual work results in a system of non-linear equations equivalent to a vector  $\psi$  being zero at the solution  $\mathbf{a}$ .

$$\psi = \int_{V_s} \sigma_s(\varepsilon) \cdot [(\mathbf{N}_1 - \mathbf{y} \ \mathbf{M}_2) + \mathbf{M}_1 \ \mathbf{M}_1^T \mathbf{a}] \ dV$$
  
+ 
$$\int_{V_c} \sigma_c(\varepsilon) \cdot [(\mathbf{N}_1 - \mathbf{y} \ \mathbf{M}_2) + \mathbf{M}_1 \ \mathbf{M}_1^T \mathbf{a}] \ dV$$
  
+ 
$$\int_{0}^{L} p(|w|) \cdot \left(\frac{w}{|w|}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_0 \cdot dx - F \cdot \mathbf{M}_{0(x=L)} - P \cdot \mathbf{N}_{0(x=L)} = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. 7}$$

To find the solution, an iteration procedure using the Newton-Raphson method is applied to make the vector  $\psi$  approach zero with an allowable tolerance.

Using the Newton-Raphson method the solution vector **a** is obtained by

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^* + [\nabla \psi^*]^{-1} \cdot \{\neg \psi^*\}$$
 Eq. 8

where  $[\nabla \psi^*]$  is the tangent stiffness matrix.

In detail,

$$\{\psi_i\} = \int_{0-R}^{L} \sigma_s(\varepsilon) \cdot [\mathbf{N}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{M}_2 + \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{M}_1^T \mathbf{a}]_i \cdot b_s(\mathbf{y}) \cdot d\mathbf{y} \cdot d\mathbf{x}$$
  
+ 
$$\int_{0-r}^{L} \sigma_c(\varepsilon) \cdot [\mathbf{N}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{M}_2 + \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{M}_1^T \mathbf{a}]_i \cdot b_c(\mathbf{y}) \cdot d\mathbf{y} \cdot d\mathbf{x}$$
  
+ 
$$\int_{0}^{L} p(|\mathbf{w}|) \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{|\mathbf{w}|}\right) \mathbf{M}_{0i} \cdot d\mathbf{x} - F \cdot \mathbf{M}_{0i(\mathbf{x}=L)} - P \cdot \mathbf{N}_{0i(\mathbf{x}=L)}$$
Eq. 9

 $R, r, b_s(y)$  and  $b_c(y)$  are shown in Fig.2.



Fig.2 Pile cross-section

The element of the matrix  $[\nabla \psi]$  in the *i* th row and *j* th column is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \Psi_{ij} &= K_{ij} = \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-R}^{R} b_{s}(y) \cdot \frac{d\sigma_{s}}{d\varepsilon} \cdot [\mathbf{N}_{1} \cdot y \cdot \mathbf{M}_{2} + \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{a}]_{i} \cdot [\mathbf{N}_{1} \cdot y \cdot \mathbf{M}_{2} + \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{a}]_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot dy \cdot dx \\ &+ \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-R}^{R} b_{s}(y) \cdot \sigma_{s}(\varepsilon) \cdot \mathbf{M}_{1i} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} j \cdot dy \cdot dx \\ &+ \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-r}^{r} b_{c}(y) \cdot \frac{d\sigma_{c}}{d\varepsilon} \cdot [\mathbf{N}_{1} \cdot y \cdot \mathbf{M}_{2} + \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{a}]_{i} \cdot [\mathbf{N}_{1} \cdot y \cdot \mathbf{M}_{2} + \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{a}]_{i} \cdot [\mathbf{N}_{1} \cdot y \cdot \mathbf{M}_{2} + \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{a}]_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot dy \cdot dx \\ &+ \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-r}^{r} b_{c}(y) \cdot \sigma_{c}(\varepsilon) \cdot \mathbf{M}_{1i} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} j \cdot dy \cdot dx \\ &+ \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-r}^{r} b_{c}(y) \cdot \sigma_{c}(\varepsilon) \cdot \mathbf{M}_{1i} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} j \cdot dy \cdot dx \\ &+ \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{d} |w| \cdot \mathbf{M}_{0i} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{0j} \cdot dx \end{aligned}$$

Then Eq.8 is solved to find a new vector **a** for each step of displacements. Convergence is achieved when Eq.11 and Eq.12 are satisfied.

For the residual force vector  $\left\{\psi\right\},$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{NEQ} \psi_i^2 \le Tol1$$
 Eq. 11

)

For the displacement correction vector  $\{a - a^*\}$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{NEQ} (a_i - a_i^*)^2 \le Tol2$$
 Eq. 12

where NEQ is the number of equations and \* indicates the values at the previous iteration.

Tol1 and Tol2 are specified tolerances.

Integrations are conducted by a Gaussian integration scheme involving a coordinate transformation from x, y to normalized coordinates  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . These have a range from -1 to 1.

### 2.2 MODELLING OF THE SOIL

Soil-pile interaction is represented using a P-y curve which was proposed by Yan and Byrne(1992) in order to predict pile response to lateral pile head loading. This curve has a nonlinear relationship shown in Fig.3.



Fig.3 Normalized P-y curve

The relationship is given by

$$\frac{P}{E_{\max} \cdot D} = \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{y}{D}\right)^{\beta}$$
 Eq. 13

where

P: Soil reaction (force/unit length)

D: Pile diameter

y: Lateral pile deflection

E<sub>max</sub>: Soil maximum Young's modulus

 $\beta$  : Value of about 0.5

 $P/(E_{max}D)$  and y/D are percentages.

 $\alpha$  is a function of soil relative density and can be expressed as

$$\alpha = 5 \cdot (D_r)^{-0.8} \qquad \text{Eq. 14}$$

where  $D_r$  is the relative density in percentage.

The normalized P-y curve has an initial linear portion with a slope of 45°. The intersecting point  $(X_0, Y_0)$  with the power function from Eq.13 can be found by

$$X_0 = Y_0 = \frac{y}{D} (\%) = \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1-\beta}\right)$$
 Eq. 15

This is required to avoid the infinite slope which Eq.13 implies at y = 0. In Eq.13,  $E_{max}$  increases with soil depth.

When the pile head displacement is reversed during cyclic loading, a gap between the pile and the soil is formed. This gap is a function of depth. It is assumed that the soil is not able to take any tensile load in order to model the soil gapping. Fig.4 shows the load-displacement relationship for soil used in the program CFTPILE.



Fig.4 Load-displacement relationship for soil

In the numerical procedure, the program keeps track of a previous state soil displacement,  $D_0$  (shown in Fig.4), at each side of the pile.  $D_0$  is associated with the maximum displacement,  $w_0$ , achieved along the backbone curve. With an initial slope, K,  $D_0$  is defined as

$$D_0 = w_0 - p_0 / K$$
 Eq. 16

Note that the initial slope K is equal to the maximum Young's modulus of soil,  $E_{max}$ . The following algorithm can find a soil reaction p(w) for a new w.

$$if (w \le D_0) \to p = 0$$
  

$$if (w > D_0) \to p = \min of [p_1 = K(w - D_0), p_2 = p(w)]$$
  

$$if (p = p_2) \to update D_0: D_0 = w - p/K$$
  

$$if (p = 0) or (p = p_1) \to D_0 unchanged$$
  
Eq. 17

The displacement  $D_0$ , at either side of the pile and as a function of depth, gives the magnitude of the gap along the pile.

#### 2.3 MODELLING OF THE CONCRETE

Confined concrete can be defined as that which is restrained in the directions at right angle to the applied stress. If the compression zone of a concrete beam or column is confined by closely spaced steel stirrup ties or steel casing, the ductility of the concrete is enhanced and large ultimate curvatures may be reached (Kent and Park, 1971). When concrete is subjected to cyclic compressive loading it has been assumed that an "envelope" curve exists and that this envelope curve is approximately the same as the complete stress-strain curve obtained under monotonically increasing strain. This assumption was shown to be true for confined concrete, as well as for plain, unconfined concrete (Shah, Fafitis and Arnold, 1983). It was also shown that the similarity of monotonic and cyclic stress-strain envelopes indicated that the specimens subjected to unloading and reloading cycles experienced very little or no strength degradation due to cycling.

When it comes to the degradation of elastic modulus in concrete subjected to cyclic load, concrete shows a gradual decrease of its elastic modulus after it reaches its peak stress, but it is not significant when compared to the one for unconfined concrete. It is known that the degradation is a direct consequence of volumetric expansion. Confining pressure to concrete gives a lower amount of expansion and this results in a lower amount of stiffness degradation during unloading.

In a CFT pile the tube confines the concrete inside the steel tube. However, the behaviour is somewhat different from that for the concrete confined by closely spaced steel stirrup ties. The steel tube undergoes axial loads and bending moments, as well as providing confinement, while steel stirrup ties in a reinforced concrete member mainly provides confining pressure. In order to take these differences into account, Tomii and Sakino (1979) proposed a model to determine the stress-strain relationship for the concrete in CFT.

In this paper the model proposed by Tomii and Sakino, which is shown in Fig.5, is adopted to calculate the stresses in the concrete.



Fig.5 Tomii and Sakino's confined concrete model

A parabolic part of the curve (A-B) was represented by Eq.18,

$$\frac{\sigma_c}{f'_c} = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon_c}{\varepsilon_{cb1}}\right) - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_c}{\varepsilon_{cb1}}\right)^2$$
 Eq. 18

where

$$\varepsilon_{cb1} = 0.012354165 \times \sqrt{f'_c}$$

 $f'_{c}$ : Compressive strength of concrete core in GPa

 $\sigma_c$ : Concrete stress at given strain  $\varepsilon_c$  in GPa

 $\varepsilon_{cbl}$ : The strain when the stress in concrete reaches f'c

After reaching the maximum stress  $f'_c$ , the stresses are assumed to decrease following a multi-linear function, shown in Fig.5, to a minimum  $\sigma_1$ . This minimum, for confined concrete, depends on the ratio D/t according to Eq.19.

$$\sigma_1 = (1.6 - 0.025 \times D/t) \cdot f'_c$$
 Eq. 19

where

 $\sigma_1$ : The stress at the strain,  $\varepsilon_{cb3}$ 

D: Diameter of the CFT pile

t : Thickness of the pile tube

In Fig.5,  $\varepsilon_{cb2}$  and  $\varepsilon_{cb3}$  are constant values. ( $\varepsilon_{cb2} = 0.005$ ,  $\varepsilon_{cb3} = 0.015$ )

The bond between the steel section and the concrete is assumed to be perfect. Tensile stresses in concrete are ignored. Therefore, the concrete stress is present only when the strain is compressive. In order to calculate the stress in concrete during unloading and reloading, the assumption is made that the unloading and reloading response is linear with a slope equal to the initial tangent modulus.

Fig.6 shows the stress-strain relationship for concrete, which is used in the program CFTPILE. Let us assume that, at a point along the member, the strain is  $\varepsilon_1$  for the first time as shown in Fig.6. If we cut out a micro-cube at that point, as shown in Fig 7, the original cube is compressed by the amount of  $\varepsilon_1$  as shown in Fig 6 and Fig 7.







# Fig.7. Behaviour of concrete micro-cube

Now let us assume that unloading starts and the current strain arrives at the value of  $\varepsilon_2$  at which there is no stress in the concrete. As shown in Fig.6 the elastic strain recovery is  $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  can be referred to as the residual strain when the load is removed. From the point of  $\varepsilon_2$ , if the strain follows the path  $\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ , the concrete starts to undergo tension and it develops cracks as soon as the strain becomes smaller than  $\varepsilon_2$ , in order to agree with the assumption that the tensile stress in concrete is ignored. Then the stress in the concrete will be zero through the path  $0 \rightarrow \varepsilon_3 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow$  until the strain reaches  $\varepsilon_2$  again where the cracks are closed. Now reloading starts as the strain becomes greater than  $\varepsilon_2$ . Let us assume that we reach the point  $\varepsilon_4$ . After unloading, we reach the point  $\varepsilon_5$ , which is the new residual strain. If the loading is reversed, again, the cracks which have existed since the first load reversal was made will open again and the concrete can not take any load. The whole loop will be repeated for subsequent strain cycles.

#### 2.4 MODELLING OF THE STEEL

The stress-strain relationship in steel tube is assumed to be elasto-perfectly plastic. The relationship is shown in Fig.8, in which E is the modulus of elasticity and  $\sigma_y$  is the yield stress. Knowing the previous state of stress  $\sigma_0$  at  $\varepsilon_0$ , the new stress,  $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ , in the steel tube is obtained by the following algorithm.

$$F(\varepsilon) = \sigma_0 + E \cdot (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)$$
  
if  $|F(\varepsilon)| \le \sigma_y \to \sigma(\varepsilon) = F(\varepsilon)$  Eq. 20

$$if|F(\varepsilon)| > \sigma_y \to \sigma(\varepsilon) = \sigma_y \cdot \frac{F(\varepsilon)}{|F(\varepsilon)|}$$





#### 3 NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

A pile with a length of 30,000mm and an outside diameter of 1500mm is considered for an example. The surrounding soil is assumed to be dense sand having a relative density of 75%.  $E_{max}$  of the soil is assumed to be 0.12 GPa at the depth of 4,000 mm, 0.2 GPa at the depth of 10,000 mm, 0.28 GPa at the depth of 20,000 mm. These values are based on the fitted curve for  $E_{max}$  shown in the Yan and Byrne (1992) reference. A linear interpolation is used for values of  $E_{max}$  at depths other than those mentioned above. The values of  $E_{max}$  at different depths are illustrated in Fig.9.



Fig.9 Maximum soil Young's modulus E<sub>max</sub>

For the material properties of concrete and steel, the steel is assumed to have an elastic modulus of 200 GPa with a yield stress  $\sigma_y = 0.25$  GPa, and the concrete to have an initial tangent stiffness of 30.18 GPa with a compressive strength of 0.03 GPa. A cyclic displacement with a maximum value of 15 mm is enforced to the pile cap. The displacement history is shown in Fig.10.



Fig.10 Displacement history

The displacements were divided in 640 individual steps. No axial load was applied and tolerances for the residual force vector and displacement correction vector were  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ . Numerical integration is conducted using 5 Gaussian points in the x-direction and 16 in the y-direction. Fig.11, 12 and 13 show the results of calculation for different conditions. These figures show calculated hysteresis loops for the pile-soil system. Maximum force-displacement curves for a CFT and a Hollow section with the thickness of 30 mm are illustrated in Fig.11.



Fig.11 Comparison between CFT and Hollow section (thickness=30mm)



Fig.12 Force-displacement curve for CFT pile (thickness=10mm)



Fig.13 Force-displacement curve for Hollow pile (thickness=10mm)

Fig.12 and Fig 13 show a maximum force-displacement curve for either a CFT or a Hollow section with a wall thickness of 10mm.

It is observed that the pile shown in Fig.11, with wall thickness of 30 mm, mainly remains in the elastic region, while the one with thickness of 10 mm (Fig.12,13) goes far beyond the elastic limit, resulting in the presence of a lateral force at a displacement of zero. In other words, it can be explained that the pile in Fig.12 has a residual displacement although the lateral force is removed. Also, this accounts for the curves in Fig.11 showing that the last loading cycle follows the same force-displacement path as the previous one, resulting in overlapping. The maximum force increases up to 8 % when the pile with a 30 mm tube is filled with concrete, while there is a 23 % increment for the pile with 10 mm wall thickness. Because of the small strains developed in the section of

the 30 mm pile, the pile remains mainly elastic and the contribution of the concrete is smaller than in the case of the thinner tube. Fig.14 shows the lateral displacements along the CFT pile with the 10 mm tube when the displacement history reaches the maximum value of 15 mm.



Fig.14 Lateral displacement along the CFT pile (thickness = 10mm)

It is seen that only the upper third of the pile undergoes deformations, with largest curvatures and moments about 10m below the ground line.

# 1 UNCERTAINTY IN SOIL PROPERTIES AND ITS INFLUENCE ON VARIABILITY OF MAXIMUM LOAD

In this work an attempt was made to investigate how the uncertainty in soil properties can influence the variability in maximum load. For a specific displacement history, there are many factors that determine the maximum lateral force, such as pile material properties, pile size, and soil properties. These factors are considered to be uncertain, which plays a role in the reliability of the structure. Good quality control in fabrication will yield a small coefficient of variation, such as 0.05, for the geometric parameters of the structure, and 0.1 for the material (Geschwindner,1994). On the other hand, the variation in soil properties is considered to be relatively large. Also, soil shows a larger effect on the maximum force than the other variables such as material properties of the steel and the concrete.

In order to discuss the variability of maximum load applied to the pile cap, during the deformation history shown in Fig.10, a performance function is defined as

$$G = F_{\max}^{+} - F$$

where

 $F_{max}$  is the maximum force, a random variable, obtained from CFTPILE for specific values of the soil and pile properties. F is any load level. Entering different levels of F, the performance function can be used to calculate the probability of G < 0 or  $F_{max} < F$ . These probabilities are the coordinates of the cumulative distribution function for  $F_{max}$ .

For the purpose of an example, let us assume that the soil properties are the only random variables to be taken into account, having a coefficient of variation (COV) of 0.25 for the soil maximum Young's modulus  $E_{max}$  and COV = 0.1 for the depth at which the  $E_{max}$  is taken. The same cyclic displacement shown in Fig.10 is applied to the pile cap. Using the same pile and soil properties (See Fig.9) used in the previous numerical example, the soil properties are given as follows.

 $E_{1m} = 0.12$  GPa at the depth of  $Z_{m1} = 4,000$  mm  $E_{2m} = 0.2$  GPa at the depth of  $Z_{m2} = 10,000$  mm  $E_{3m} = 0.28$  GPa at the depth of  $Z_{m3} = 20,000$  mm

Where the subscript m indicates the variable mean value. All variables are assumed to have a Normal distribution. These variables and their related statistics are summarized in Table 1.

Variable No.	Variables	Mean Value	COV	Mean $+2\sigma$	Mean-2 $\sigma$
1	E <sub>max</sub> 1	0.12	0.25	0.18	0.06
2	E <sub>max</sub> 2	0.2	0.25	0.3	0.1
3	E <sub>max</sub> 3	0.28	0.25	0.375	0.125
4	Z1	4,000	0.1	4,800	3,200
5	Z2	10,000	0.1	12,000	8,000
6	Z3	20,000	0.1	24,000	16,000

Table 1. Random variables and their related statistics.

Using these N = 6 random variables, a response surface for the maximum force  $F_{max}$  is constructed by

$$F_{\max} = a_1 + a_2 \cdot E_1 + a_3 \cdot E_1^2 + a_4 \cdot E_2 + a_5 \cdot E_2^2 + a_6 \cdot E_3 + a_7 \cdot E_3^2 + a_8 \cdot Z_1 + a_9 \cdot Z_1^2 + a_{10} \cdot Z_2 + a_{11} \cdot Z_2^2 + a_{12} \cdot Z_3 + a_{13} \cdot Z_3^2$$
Eq. 1

In order to find the constants,  $a_1 \sim a_{13}$ , 13 numerical analyses were conducted changing the variables, using the mean and mean  $\pm 2 \cdot \sigma$  values for each of those six variables. First, an analysis was done using the variable means, and then each variable, in turn, was changed to mean  $\pm 2\sigma$  and then to mean  $-2\sigma$ . This generated 2N+1 data sets, or 13 in this case. Since the six variables were assumed to have a Normal distribution, about 95 % of the population fell within two standard deviations on either side of the mean. As a result of the 13 analyses, 13 values of  $F_{max}$  were obtained, which are  $F_{max1} \sim F_{max13}$ , allowing calculation of the response surface coefficients  $a_1 \sim a_{13}$ .

Using the response surface for  $F_{max}$ , a cumulative distribution function was constructed by the software RELAN (2001).

The calculated cumulative distribution functions for  $F_{max}$  are shown in Fig.15 ~ 17. In each figure, two curves are drawn for different conditions. One is for the case that there is no correlation between the variables  $E_{max}$ . The other is for the case that there are certain correlations between those. These correlations are expressed using a Correlation Coefficient  $\rho$  and, for the example, they were assumed as shown in the following Table

2.

Related Variables	Correlation Coefficient
1 and 2 ( $\rho_{12}$ )	0.8
2 and 3 ( $\rho_{23})$	0.8
1 and 3 ( $\rho_{13}$ )	0.7

- Variable 1, 2 and 3 are referred to in Table 1

Table 2.	Correlation	Coefficients

Fig.15 shows the cumulative distribution function for the CFT pile with 30 mm of thickness.



Fig.15 Cumulative distribution function (CFT, thickness=30mm)

Since the pile mainly remains in the elastic region, as explained previously, the variation in the soil properties plays the main role in the variability of maximum lateral force. Fig.16 shows how the correlation between the variables influences the soil Young's modulus.



THE CASE THAT THE VARIABLES HAVE THEIR MIN. AT THE SAME TIME
 THE CASE THAT THE VARIABLES HAVE THEIR MEAN VALUES

(3) :UNLIKELY TO HAPPEN WITH CORRELATION COEFF. APPROACHING I

 $\langle \hat{4} \rangle$  :THE CASE THAT THE VARIABLES HAVE THEIR MAX. AT THE SAME TIME

Fig.16 Variability in maximum soil Young's modulus

Due to the correlation between the variables, it is more likely to happen that the variables 1, 2 and 3 have their maximum or minimum values at the same time. This accounts for the curve with correlations in Fig.15 showing lower probabilities at higher levels of force and higher probabilities at lower levels of force. However, this trend does not appear for the thinner wall tube (Fig.17 and 18).

Fig.17 and 18 show, respectively, the cumulative distribution function for the CFT pile with 10 mm thickness and for the Hollow section with 10 mm thickness.



Fig.17 Cumulative distribution function (CFT, thickness=10mm)



Fig.18 Cumulative distribution function (Hollow, thickness=10mm)

The maximum force-displacement relationship for the piles shown in Fig.17 and 18 involve some plastic behaviour as we have seen previously. Not only the soil property variation but also the plastic behaviour in the pile influence, in this case, the variability in maximum load.

It may be concluded that variability in soil properties has a substantial effect on the variability of the maximum load, but that correlations of soil properties with depth of the pile may not be important to the same extent.

#### 5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

A non-linear finite element analysis, CFTPILE, has been presented for the calculation of CFT pile response with application of static cyclic loading to the pile cap. It was also investigated how the maximum force is influenced by the uncertainty in soil properties using the computer program RELAN.

Although only static cyclic loading was dealt with in this paper, the approach can also be used to find the pile structure response under dynamic excitation such as an earthquake. Fig.19 shows a pile subjected to an earthquake with ground acceleration a(t).



Fig.19 Horizontal forces acting on mass

If a structure is assumed to have a mass M at the pile cap and a displacement  $\Delta$  caused by earthquake with acceleration a(t) at the base, the equation of motion will be

$$M \cdot \Delta + F(\Delta) = -M \cdot a(t)$$
 Eq. 17

This approach can be used only if the free-field soil displacement is assumed to be uniform or independent of depth, an assumption which may have to be corrected for long piles. This may be a topic for future research. However, this thesis has made a contribution to the calculation of the force  $F(\Delta)$ , which changes with the earthquake demand  $\Delta$ .

### REFERENCES

Alder, H.L., Roessler, E.B. (1977) Introduction to Probability and Statistics, W. H. Freeman and Company

Attard, M.M., Setunge, S. (1996) "Stress-Strain Relationship of Confined and Unconfined Concrete" ACI Material Journal, V.93, No.5, Sep.-Oct.

Benjamin, Jack R., Cornell, C. Allin, (1970) Probability, Statistics, and Decision for Civil Engineers, McGraw-Hill

Chandrupatla, T.R., Belegundu, A.D., (1997) Introduction to Finite Elements in Engineering, Prentice-Hall, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.

Eisley, J. G.,(1989) Mechanics of Elastic Structures, Classical and Finite Element Methods., Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Foschi, R.O, Folz, B., Yao, F., Li, H., Baldwin, J. (2001). RELAN: Reliability Analysis Software. Department of Civil Engineering, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.

Foschi, R.O (2000). "Modeling Hysteretic Response For Reliability-Based Design in Earthquake Engineering"

Geschwindner, L.F., Disque, R.O., Bjorhovde, R.(1994) Load and Resistance Factor Design of Steel Structures, Prentice Hall

Hajjar, J.F., Gourley, B.C., (1997) "A Cyclic Nonlinear Model for Concrete-Filled Tubes.I: Formulation", ASCE, J. of Structural Engineering, Vol.123, No.6, June

Imran, I., Pantazopoulou,S.J.,(1996) "Experimental Study of Plain Concrete under Triaxial Stress", ACI Material Journal, V.93, No.6, Nov.-Dec.

Kent, D.C., Park, R. (1971)."Flexural members with confined concrete" J. Structural Division. ASCE. No.ST7, July.1971.pp1969-1989

Prion, H.G.L, Boehme, J.,(1994) "Beam-column behaviour of steel tubes filled with high strength concrete" Can. J. Civ. Eng. 21, 207-218

Roeder, Charles W., Cameron, B., Brown, C.B. (1999) "Composite Action in Concrete Filled Tubes" ASCE, J. of Structural Engineering, Vol. 125, No. 5, May

Shah, S. P., Fafitis, A., Arnold, R. (1983) "Cyclic Loading of Spirally Reinforced Concrete" J. Structural Engineering, Vol. 109, No. 7, July.

Tomii, M., Sakino, K. (1979). "Elasto-Plastic Behavior of Concrete Filled Square Steel Tubular Beam-Columns" Trans. of A.I.J. No.280, June.

Uy Brian (2000) "Strength of Concrete Filled Steel Box Columns Incorporating Local Buckling", ASCE, J.of Structural Engineering, Vol.126, No.3, March

Wright, H.D.(1995) "Local Stability of Filled and Encased Steel Section", ASCE, J.of Structural Engineering, Vol. 121, No. 10, Oct.

Yang, T.Y.(1986) Finite Element Analysis, Prentice-Hall

Yankelevsky, D.Z., Reinhardt, H.W.(1987) "Response of Plain Concrete to Cyclic Tension", ACI Materials Journal, Sep.- Oct.

Yan, L., and Byrne, P.M. (1992). "Lateral pile response to monotonic pile head loading" Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 29:955-970

Zhang Weizi, Shahrooz, B.M.(1999), "Strength of Short and Long Concrete-Filled Tubular Columns", ACI Structural Journal, V.96, No.2, March-April

# APPENDIX A

# **Beam Finite Element Shape Functions**

Shape functions for w

$$M_{0}(1,\xi) = (8 - 15\xi + 10\xi^{3} - 3\xi^{5})/16$$

$$M_{0}(2,\xi) = (5 - 7\xi - 6\xi^{2} + 10\xi^{3} + \xi^{4} - 3\xi^{5})(\Delta/32)$$

$$M_{0}(3,\xi) = (1 - \xi - 2\xi^{2} + 2\xi^{3} + \xi^{4} - \xi^{5})(\Delta^{2}/64)$$

$$M_{0}(4,\xi) = 0$$

$$M_{0}(5,\xi) = 0$$

$$M_{0}(6,\xi) = (8 + 15\xi - 10\xi^{3} + 3\xi^{5})/16$$

$$M_{0}(7,\xi) = (-5 - 7\xi + 6\xi^{2} + 10\xi^{3} - \xi^{4} - 3\xi^{5})(\Delta/32)$$

$$M_{0}(8,\xi) = (1 + \xi - 2\xi^{2} - 2\xi^{3} + \xi^{4} + \xi^{5})(\Delta^{2}/64)$$

$$M_{0}(9,\xi) = 0$$

Shape functions for w'

$$M_{1}(1,\xi) = (-15 + 30\xi^{2} - 15\xi^{4})(2/16\Delta)$$

$$M_{1}(2,\xi) = (-7 - 12\xi + 30\xi^{2} + 4\xi^{3} - 15\xi^{4})/16$$

$$M_{1}(3,\xi) = (-1 - 4\xi + 6\xi^{2} + 4\xi^{3} - 5\xi^{4})(\Delta/32)$$

$$M_{1}(4,\xi) = 0$$

$$M_{1}(5,\xi) = 0$$

$$M_{1}(6,\xi) = (15 - 30\xi^{2} + 15\xi^{4})(2/16\Delta)$$

$$M_{1}(7,\xi) = (-7 + 12\xi + 30\xi^{2} - 4\xi^{3} - 15\xi^{4})/16$$

$$M_{1}(8,\xi) = (1 - 4\xi - 6\xi^{2} + 4\xi^{3} + 5\xi^{4})(\Delta/32)$$

$$M_1(9,\xi) = 0$$

$$M_1(10,\xi) = 0$$

Shape functions for w"

$$M_{2}(1,\xi) = (60\xi - 60\xi^{3})/(4/16\Delta^{2})$$

$$M_{2}(2,\xi) = (-12 + 60\xi + 12\xi^{2} - 60\xi^{3})(2/16\Delta)$$

$$M_{2}(3,\xi) = (-4 + 12\xi + 12\xi^{2} - 20\xi^{3})/16$$

$$M_{2}(3,\xi) = (-4 + 12\xi + 12\xi^{2} - 20\xi^{3})/16$$

$$M_{2}(4,\xi) = 0$$

$$M_{2}(5,\xi) = 0$$

$$M_{2}(5,\xi) = (-60\xi + 60\xi^{3})/(4/16\Delta^{2})$$

$$M_{2}(6,\xi) = (-60\xi + 60\xi^{-3})/(4/16\Delta^{2})$$

$$M_{2}(7,\xi) = (12 + 60\xi - 12\xi^{2} - 60\xi^{-3})(2/16\Delta)$$

$$M_{2}(8,\xi) = (-4 - 12\xi + 12\xi^{2} + 20\xi^{-3})/16$$

$$M_{2}(9,\xi) = 0$$

$$M_{2}(10,\xi) = 0$$

Shape functions for u

$$N_{0}(1,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{0}(2,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{0}(3,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{0}(4,\xi) = (2 - 3\xi + \xi^{3})/4$$

$$N_{0}(5,\xi) = (1 - \xi - \xi^{2} + \xi^{3})/(\Delta/8)$$

$$N_{0}(6,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{0}(7,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{0}(8,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{0}(9,\xi) = (2+3\xi-\xi^{3})/4$$

$$N_{0}(10,\xi) = (-1-\xi+\xi^{2}+\xi^{3})/(\Delta/8)$$

Shape functions for u'

$$N_{1}(1,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{1}(2,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{1}(3,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{1}(4,\xi) = (-3 + 3\xi^{2})/(2\Delta)$$

$$N_{1}(5,\xi) = (-1 - 2\xi + 3\xi^{2})/4$$

$$N_{1}(6,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{1}(6,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{1}(7,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{1}(8,\xi) = 0$$

$$N_{1}(9,\xi) = (3 - 3\xi^{2})/(2\Delta)$$

$$N_{1}(10,\xi) = (-1 + 2\xi + 3\xi^{2})/4$$

•

## APPENDIX B

# CFTPILE

# Source Code

DIMENSION A(10000)

С	
c	
с *	INPUT
С	UNIT FOR GEOMETRIC VARIABLES AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES : MM, GPA
С	SP:BEAM SPAN
C	NCROSS: BEAM CROSS-SECTION TYPE
C	IF CROSS-SECTION IS RECIANGULAR ENTER U
C	TE CROSS-SECTION IS CIRCULAR ENTER I
ĉ	if cross-section is circular tube with concrete
c	inside enter 3
c	D: DIAMETER
С	DIN:INSIDE DEAMETER
C	E:STEEL YOUNG'S MODULUS
С	SY:STEEL YIELD STRESS
С	CNT:CONCRETE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH
С	NLAYER: NO. OF LAYER
C	NPLAY: THE NO.OF LAYERS WITH DIFFERENT PROPERTIES
C	NEMAX: SOIL MAX, YOUNG'S MODULUS
C C	TTYD. LAVED TYDE
c	TIPE LATER TIPE
c	IFIN: THE NO. OF THE LAST ELEMENT IN THE LAYER
č	TL: THE LAYER THICKNESS
č	NGX:THE NO. OF GAUSS POINT IN THE X-DIRECTION
С	NGY:THE NO. OF GAUSS POINT IN THE Y-DIRECTION
С	FX:AXIALLY APPLIED LOAD
С	NNBC: THE NO. OF NODES WITH SUPPORT CONDITIONS
С	NBC:THE NODE NO.
С	KBC:NO. OF SUPPORT CONDITION AT THE NODE
C	IBC:CODES FOR THE SPECIFIED SUPPORT CONDITION
c	FOR W=1
C C	FOR $W = 2$ FOR $W'' = 3$
c c	FOR $W = 5$ FOR $U=4$
č	FOR U'=5
č	NSPF: THE NODE NO. WITH THE SPECIFIED DISPL.
С	TOLF: TOLERANCE FOR THE OUT-OF-BALANCE VECTOR
С	TOLX: TOLERANCE FOR THE LENGTH OF THE CHANGE IN DEFORMATION VECTOR
	OPEN (UNIT=2, FILE=NAME, STATUS='OLD')
	READ (2,40) TITLE
	READ (2,*) SP
	READ (2, ^) NCROSS
	IF (NCROSS.EQ.0) READ $(2, *)$ D, DO IF (NCROSS.EQ.0) READ $(2, *)$ D
	IF (NCROSS.EQ.1) READ (2, *) D.DIN
	IF (NCROSS_EQ.2) READ $(2, *)$ D, DIN
С	if (ncross.eg.3) read (2,*) d,din
•	READ $(2, *)$ E, SY
	if (ncross.eq.3) read (2,*) cnt
	read(2,*)medium
	READ (2,*) NLAYER, NPLAY
	read(2,*) alpha,beťa,nemax
	do 451 i=1,nemax
	read(2, $\star$ ) emax(1), dpt(1)
451	continue
	NELEM=U
	םער 100 ב-1,NLAILK סגאס (2 *) דעעס(ד) דפרב(ד) דפיא(ד) ליי.
	NETEM1 = TETN(T) - TEEG(T) + 1
	NELEM+NELEM1
	DELTA(I)=TL(I)/NELEM1
460	CONTINUE

```
READ (2, *) NGX, NGY
     CALL GAUSS (NGX, EGX, HGX, IERR)
      CALL GAUSS (NGY, EGY, HGY, IERR)
      READ (2, \star) FX
      READ (2, *) NNBC
      IF (NNBC.EQ.0) GO TO 480
      DO 470 I=1,NNBC
       READ (2,*) NBC(I), KBC(I), (IBC(I,J), J=1, KBC(I))
470 CONTINUE
480 READ (2,*) NLDIS
      IF (NLDIS.EQ.0) READ (2,*) NSPF
      IF (NLDIS.GT.0) READ (2,*) (ILAY(I), I=1, NLDIS)
      READ (2,*) TOLX, TOLF
     CLOSE (2)
490 WRITE (*,500)
500 FORMAT(/' ENTER NAME OF FILE WITH INPUT DISPLACEMENT HISTORY'/)
      READ (*,40) NAME1
      OPEN (UNIT=3, FILE=NAME1, STATUS='OLD')
      READ (3,*) NSTEP
      READ (3,*) (A(I), I=1, NSTEP)
      CLOSE (3)
      WRITE (*,510)
 510 FORMAT (/' ENTER STEP NUMBER AT WHICH TO SHOW SHAPE'/)
      READ (*,*) NSTP
     WRITE (*,520)
 520 FORMAT (/' ENTER 1 TO SHOW COMPREHENSIVE INTERMEDIATE RESULTS'/
             ' ENTER O TO SKIP'/)
    1
     READ (*,*) NSCRC
     WRITE (*,521)
 521 FORMAT (/' ENTER 1 TO SHOW SUMMARY OF INTERMEDIATE RESULTS'/
          ' ENTER O TO SKIP'/)
    1
      READ (*,*) NSCR
      DO 530 I=1, NLAYER
       DO 530 J=IBEG(I), IFIN(I)
 530
       IDISP(J) = 0
      IF (NLDIS.EQ.0) GO TO 550
      DO 540 I=1,NLDIS
       DO 540 J=IBEG(ILAY(I)), IFIN(ILAY(I))
 540
       IDISP(J) = 1
 550 DO 580 I=1,NGY
        IF (NCROSS.EQ.0) B(I)=B0
        IF (NCROSS.EQ.1) B(I)=D*DSQRT(1.0D0-EGY(I)**2)
        IF (NCROSS.EQ.2) GO TO 560
        if (ncross.eq.3) go to 560
        GO TO 580
 560
        RATD=DIN/D
        IF (DABS(EGY(I)).GE.RATD) GO TO 570
        B(I)=D*(DSQRT(1.0D0-EGY(I)**2)-DSQRT(RATD**2-EGY(I)**2))
        if (ncross.eq.3) bc(i)=din*(dsqrt(1-egy(i)**2))
        GO TO 580
        B(I)=D*DSQRT(1.0D0-EGY(I)**2)
 570
 580 CONTINUE
WRITE (*,590)
590 FORMAT(/' ENTER NAME OF OUTPUT FILE'/)
      READ (*,40) NAME2
      WRITE (*,600)
 600 FORMAT (/' ENTER MULTIPLICATION FACTOR FOR CALCULATED LOADS'/)
      READ (*,*) FLOAD
      OPEN (UNIT=4, FILE=NAME2, STATUS='UNKNOWN')
      P1 = 0.0D0
      WRITE(4,130) P1, P1
С
С
                  _____
С
С
   * MAIN PROGRAM, SIZE OF VECTORS
С
```

```
NEO = (NELEM+1) * 5
      LHB=10
      NA=NEQ*LHB
С
С
   *
      INITIALLY, SET CONVERGED VECTORS TO ZERO
С
       DO 610 I=1, NEQ
         R(I) = 0.0D0
         XOC(I) = 0.0D0
 610
       R(NEQ-1) = FX
       DO 650 II=1, NLAYER
         DO 640 I=IBEG(II), IFIN(II)
           DO 630 IX=1,NGX
              DOPC(I, IX) = 0.0D0
              DONC(I, IX) = 0.0D0
              DO 620 IY=1,NGY
                SOC(I, IX, IY) = 0.0D0
                EPSOC(I, IX, IY) = 0.0D0
                rc(i, ix, iy) = 0.0d0
 620
             CONTINUE
 630
           CONTINUE
 640
         CONTINUE
 650
      CONTINUE
С
      OBTAINS SHAPE FUNCTIONS AT INTEGRATION POINTS
С
   *
С
       DO 670 II=1, NLAYER
         CALL SHAPES (NOL, N1L, MOL, M1L, M2L, NGX, EGX, DELTA(II))
         DO 660 I=1,10
           DO 660 J=1,NGX
           N1(II,I,J) = N1L(I,J)
           MO(II, I, J) = MOL(I, J)
           M1(II,I,J) = M1L(I,J)
           M2(II,I,J) = M2L(I,J)
         CONTINUE
 660
 670
      CONTINUE
С
       IF (NLDIS.EQ.0) KA = (NSPF-1) * 5 + 1
       OPEN (UNIT=8, FILE='SHAPEP', STATUS='UNKNOWN')
OPEN (UNIT=9, FILE='SHAPEN', STATUS='UNKNOWN')
OPEN (UNIT=7, FILE='SHAPEW', STATUS='UNKNOWN')
С
С
     STARTS SOLUTION FOR EACH STEP
   *
С
       IF (NSCRC.EQ.0) WRITE (*,680)
 680 FORMAT (/' ENTER STEP AT WHICH SWITCHING TO SHOWING'/
                ' COMPREHENSIVE INTERMEDIATE RESULTS'/)
      1
       IF (NSCRC.EQ.0) READ (*,*) NSTPR
С
       DELTAX = 0.0D0
       DELTAF = 0.0D0
       A0=0.0D0
       cs = 0.0d0
       cs2 = 0.0d0
       dcsdep = 0.0d0
       r0 = 0.0d0
       DO 1490 NST=1,NSTEP
         IF (NSCRC.EQ.0.AND.NST.EQ.NSTPR) NSCRC=1
         NSINT=1
         A1=A(NST)
         AA=A1
С
С
   STARTS ITERATIONS WITHIN THE STEP AO TO AA
С
С
С
```

```
C Sets vectors to the initial values for the step, starting from
С
  the last converged values
С
        NDE=0
 690
        NITER=0
        IF (NSCRC.EQ.1) WRITE (*,700) NST, NDE, A0, A1, AA
FORMAT(' DATA=',I4,' NDE=',I3/
' A0=',F8.3,' A1=',F8.3,' AA=',F8.3/)
 700
     1
        DO 710 I=1,NEQ
        XO(I) = XOC(I)
 710
        CONTINUE
 718
        DO 740 JL=1,NLAYER
           DO 730 IE=IBEG(JL), IFIN(JL)
             DO 720 IX=1,NGX
               DOP(IE,IX)=DOPC(IE,IX)
               DON(IE, IX) = DONC(IE, IX)
 720
             CONTINUE
 730
           CONTINUE
 740
         CONTINUE
С
C OBTAIN THE REACTION FORCES AND STRESSES FOR THE CURRENT VECTOR {X0}
С
 750
        DO 810 JL=1, NLAYER
           Q00=Q0(ITYP(JL))
           Q10=Q1(ITYP(JL))
           Q40=Q4(ITYP(JL))
           DMAX0=DMAX(ITYP(JL))
           XKO=XK(ITYP(JL))
           PMAX0=PMAX(ITYP(JL))
      z1=0.0d0
      if(jl.eq.1) go to 752
      do 751 i=1,jl-1
      zl=zl+tl(i)
 751 continue
 752 continue
           DO 800 IE=IBEG(JL), IFIN(JL)
             DO 760 I=1,10
               JE = (IE - 1) * 5 + I
 760
               XE(I) = XO(JE)
             DO 790 IX=1,NGX
               W=0.0D0
               EPS1=0.0D0
               EPS2=0.0D0
               EPS3(IE, IX) = 0.0D0
      xie : the depth under consideration. measured from the bottom
С
      xie=zl+(ie-ibeg(jl)+1)*delta(jl)-(1.0+egx(ix))*delta(jl)/2.0d0
      interpolate to find Emax along the pile
С
      dpt(0) = 0.0d0
      emax(0) = 0.0d0
      temax=0.0d0
      dpt(nemax+1) = sp
      emax(nemax+1) = emax(nemax)
      xie=sp-xie
      do 761 i=0,nemax
      if(dpt(i).eq.dpt(i+1)) then
      write(*,*)' INPUT DATA ERROR. DPT(I) MUST BE DIFFERENT FROM DPT
     1(I+1)'
      pause
      else
      end if
      if(xie.eq.dpt(i)) then
     temax=emax(i)
      go to 762
      else
      end if
      if(xie.gt.dpt(i).and.xie.lt.dpt(i+1)) then
```

slop=(emax(i+1)-emax(i))/(dpt(i+1)-dpt(i))temax=emax(i)+(xie-dpt(i))\*slop go to 762 else end if 761 continue DO 770 K=1,10 762 W=W+MO(JL,K,IX) \* XE(K)EPS1=EPS1+N1(JL,K,IX)\*XE(K) EPS2=EPS2+M2(JL,K,IX)\*XE(K) 770 EPS3(IE, IX) = EPS3(IE, IX) + M1(JL, K, IX) \* XE(K) IF (IDISP(IE).EQ.0) WT=W IF (IDISP(IE).EQ.1) WT=W-AA AW=DABS (WT) IF (WT.GE.0.0D0) D0=D0PC(IE,IX) IF (WT.LT.0.0D0) D0=D0NC(IE,IX) IF (WT.GE.0.0D0) SW0(IE,IX)=1.0D0 IF (WT.LT.0.0D0) SW0(IE,IX) =-1.0D0 CALL PYSOIL (AW, ALPHA, BETA, D, TEMAX, D0, P, DPDW) с 771 IF (WT.GE.0.0D0) DOP(IE,IX)=D0 IF (WT.LT.0.0D0) DON(IE,IX)=D0 PO(IE, IX) = PDPDW0(IE, IX)=DPDW DO 780 IY=1,NGY Y=EGY(IY)\*D/2.0D0 EPS=EPS1-Y\*EPS2+(EPS3(IE, IX)\*\*2)/2.0D0 STO=SOC(IE, IX, IY) EPO=EPSOC(IE, IX, IY) r0=rc(ie,ix,iy) CALL STRESS (STO, EPO, EPS, E, SY, S, DSDEPS) IF(NCROSS.EQ.3) THEN CALL CONCT (NCROSS, D, DIN, R0, EPS, CNT, CS, DCSDEP) ELSE ENDIF С 779 rr(ie, ix, iy) = r0cs0(ie, ix, iy) = csdcsde0(ie,ix,iy) = dcsdep SO(IE, IX, IY)=S EPSO(IE, IX, IY) = EPSDSDE0(IE, IX, IY) = DSDEPS 780 CONTINUE 790 CONTINUE 800 CONTINUE 810 CONTINUE С FOR EACH ELEMENT, CONSTRUCT TANGENT STIFFNESS MATRIX AND \* С RIGHT HAND SIDE, AND ADD TO GLOBALS С С DO 820 I=1,NA C(I) = 0.0D0820 CONTINUE DO 900 JL=1, NLAYER DO 890 IE=IBEG(JL), IFIN(JL) DO 860 I=1,10 DO 850 J=1,I CE(I, J) = 0.0D0DO 840 IX=1,NGX DPDW=DPDW0(IE,IX) CE(I,J)=CE(I,J)+HGX(IX)\*DPDW\*M0(JL,I,IX)\*M0(JL,J,IX)\* DELTA(JL)/2.0D0 1 FACI=N1(JL,I,IX)+M1(JL,I,IX)\*EPS3(IE,IX) FACJ=N1(JL,J,IX)+M1(JL,J,IX)\*EPS3(IE,IX) DO 830 IY=1,NGY Y=EGY(IY)\*D/2.0D0

	1 2	DSDEPS=DSDE0(IE,IX,IY) S=S0(IE,IX,IY) dcsdep = dcsde0(ie,ix,iy) FAC1=FACJ-Y*M2(JL,J,IX) FAC2=FACI-Y*M2(JL,I,IX) CE(I,J)=CE(I,J)+HGX(IX)*HGY(IY)*(DSDEPS*FAC1*FAC2* B(IY)+S*M1(JL,I,IX)*M1(JL,J,IX)*B(IY))*(DELTA(JL)* D)/4.0D0
	3 4	+ hgx(ix)*hgy(iy)*(dcsdep*fac1*fac2*bc(iy)+cs0(ie, ix,iy)*m1(jl,i,ix)*m1(jl,j,ix)*bc(iy))*(delta(jl)
830	5	*din/4.0d0) CONTINUE
840 850		CONTINUE CONTINUE
860		CONTINUE DO 880 I=1,10
		II = (IE - 1) * 5 + I
		JJ = (IE-1) * 5 + J
870		C(IJ) = C(IJ) + CE(I, J)
880 890		CONTINUE
900		CONTINUE
C 902		DO 910 I=1,NEQ
910		PSI(I)=0.0D0 DO 970 JL=1,NLAYER
		DO 960 IE=IBEG(JL), IFIN(JL) DO 940 I=1.10
		PSIE(I) = 0.0D0 DO 930 IX=1 NGX
		SW=SWO(IE,IX)
	1	PSIE(I)=PSIE(I)+HGX(IX)*P*SW*MO(JL,I,IX)*DELTA(JL)/
	T	FACI=N1(JL, I, IX)+M1(JL, I, IX)*EPS3(IE, IX)
		Y = EGY(IY) * D/2.0D0
		S=SO(IE,IX,IY) FAC2=FACI-Y*M2(JL,I,IX)
	.1	<pre>PSIE(I)=PSIE(I)+HGX(IX)*HGY(IY)*S*FAC2*B(IY)* (DELTA(JL)*D)/4.0D0</pre>
	2	+hgx(ix)*hgy(iy)*cs0(ie,ix,iy)*fac2*bc(iy)* (delta(il)*din)/4.0d0
920	Š	CONTINUE
930 940		CONTINUE
		II = (IE-1) + 5 + I
950		PSI(II)=PSI(II)+PSIE(I) CONTINUE
96 <u>0</u> 970		CONTINUE
		DO 980 I=1,NEQ RM(I)=R(I)-PSI(I)
980 C		CONTINUE
Č C +	च	nforces W displacement and then introduces support conditions
C <sup>×</sup>	Ę	The second secon
С		IF (NLDIS.GT.0) GO TO 1030
		IF (KA.EQ.1) GO TO 1000 K1=KA-LHB+1

		K2=KA-1 IF (K1.LE.0) K1=1	
		DO 990 J=K1, K2 II = (J-1) * (IHB-1) + K2	
		RM(J) = RM(J) - C(II) * (AA - XO(KA))	
99 10	90 000	C(II)=0.0D0 IF (KA.EQ.NEQ) GO TO 1020	
		K1 = KA + 1 $K2 = KA + LHB - 1$	
		IF (K2.GT.NEQ) K2=NEQ	
		DO 1010 I=K1,K2 II=(KA-1)*(LHB-1)+I	
10	110	RM(I) = RM(I) - C(II) * (AA - XO(KA))	
10	020	KK = (KA - 1) * (LHB - 1) + KA	
		C(KK) = 1.0D0 RM(KA) = AA-X0(KA)	
с 10	030	IF (NNBC.EO.0) GO TO 1100	
		DO 1090 IB=1,NNBC	
		K = (NBC(IB) - 1) * 5 + IBC(IB, J)	
		IF (K.EQ.1) GO TO 1050 K1=K-LHB+1	
		K2=K-1	
		$DO \ 1040 \ I = K1, K2$	
		11 = (1 - 1) * (LHB - 1) + K RM(I) = RM(I) + C(II) * X0(K)	
10	040 050	C(II)=0.0D0 IF (K.EO.NEO) GO TO 1070	
-		K1=K+1	
		KZ=K+LHB-1 IF (K2.GT.NEQ) K2=NEQ	
		DO 1060 I=K1,K2 II=(K-1)*(LHB-1)+I	
1 (	260	RM(I) = RM(I) + C(II) * XO(K)	
10	070	KK = (K-1) * (LHB-1) + K	
		C (KK) =1.0D0 RM(K) =-X0(K)	
10	080	CONTINUE	
1:	100	CONTINUE	
с с	Co	omputes magnitudes of the out-of-balance	vector,
с с	ar	nd checks convergence if NITER > 0	
-		RMC=0.0D0	
		RMC=RMC+RM(I)**2	
1:	110	CONTINUE IF (NITER.EQ.0) then	
		RMP = RMC	
		rmcp=rmc	
		IF (NITER.EQ.0) GO TO 1113	
C C C	* (	Checks convergence	
v		if(rmcp.lt.rmc) then	
с		ncount=ncount+1 pause	
		endif KF=0	

```
KM=0
         IF (RMC.LT.TOLF) KF = 1
         IF (RMAX.LT.TOLX) KM = 1
         IF (NSCRC.EQ.1) WRITE(*,1150) NST, NSINT, NDE, NITER,
     1
                                         RMP, RMC, KF,
     1
                                         RMAX, KM
 1150 FORMAT(/' DATA =', I5, ' INTERMEDIATE STEP=', I4, ' NDE=', I4,
1 ' NITER =', I3/' INIT. BAL. VECTOR=', E12.5,
     1 ' CURRENT BAL. VECTOR=', E12.5,' KF=', I2/
     1 ' CURRENT DELTA(X)=', E12.5, ' KM=', I2)
         IF (NSCRC.EQ.1) PAUSE
С
         IF (KF.EQ.1.AND.KM.EQ.1) GO TO 1190
С
C
 1113
         CALL DECOMP (NEQ, LHB, C, IERROR)
         IF (IERROR.EQ.1) THEN
           IF (NSCRC.EQ.1) WRITE (*,1130)
 1130
         FORMAT(/' DECOMPOSITION FAILED'/)
С
           GO TO 1170
         END IF
         CALL SOLV (NEQ, LHB, C, RM)
С
С
   * Finds length of displacement correction vector
С
         RMAX=0.0D0
         DO 1140 JL=1, NLAYER
          DO 1140 IE=IBEG(JL), IFIN(JL)
           JA = (IE - 1) * 5 + 1
           RMAX = RMAX + RM(J\dot{A}) * * 2
           IF (IE.EQ.IFIN(NLAYER)) THEN
           JA = IE + 5 + 1
           RMAX = RMAX + RM(JA) * * 2
           END IF
 1140
         CONTINUE
С
         NITER = NITER + 1
         DO 1160 I=1, NEQ
         XO(I) = XO(I) + RM(I)
 1160
        CONTINUE
          IF (NITER.EQ.1000) GO TO 1170
С
       if(ncount.eq.10) go to 1170
       rmcp=rmc
         GO TO 750
С
 1170
        AA = (A0 + AA) / 2.000
         NDE=NDE+1
С
      IF (NDE.GT.11) THEN
           WRITE (*,1180) NST, NSINT, NDE-1, NITER, AO, AA
           FORMAT (/' CANNOT FIND SOLUTION AT STEP NST=', 14/
 1180
                   ' NSINT= ',14,' NDE= ',14,' NITER= ',14/
     1
                   ' AO= ',E12.5,' AA= ',E12.5)
     1
           GO TO 1495
         END IF
         GO TO 690
C
 1190
         IF (AA.EQ.A1) GO TO 1260
         IF (AA.NE.A1) GO TO 1200
С
C * Convergence is achieved at AA not equal to A1
C * NSINT is a counter for the number of intermediate, converged steps
С
С
 1200
         DO 1210 I=1, NEQ
           XOC(I) = XO(I)
 1210
```

```
DO 1250 II=1, NLAYER
          DO 1240 IE=IBEG(II), IFIN(II)
            DO 1230 IX=1,NGX
              DOPC(IE, IX)=DOP(IE, IX)
              DONC(IE, IX)=DON(IE, IX)
              DO 1220 IY=1,NGY
                 SOC(IE, IX, IY) = SO(IE, IX, IY)
                EPSOC(IE, IX, IY) = EPSO(IE, IX, IY)
                 rc(ie,ix,iy) = rr(ie,ix,iy)
 1220
              CONTINUE
 1230
            CONTINUE
 1240
          CONTINUE
 1250
        CONTINUE
С
        A0=AA
        AA= A1
        NSINT=NSINT+1
      if(nsint.eq.20) go to 1490
        IF (RMAX.GT.DELTAX) DELTAX = RMAX
        IF (RMC.GT.DELTAF) DELTAF = RMC
        NDE=0
        flag=0.0d0
        GO TO 690
C
С
   *
     Convergence has been achieved at AA = A1
С
 1260
        A0=AA
        DO 1270 I=1,NEQ
 1270
          XOC(I) = XO(I)
        DO 1310 II=1, NLAYER
          DO 1300 IE=IBEG(II), IFIN(II)
             DO 1290 IX=1,NGX
               DOPC(IE, IX)=DOP(IE, IX)
               DONC(IE, IX) = DON(IE, IX)
               DO 1280 IY=1,NGY
                 SOC(IE, IX, IY) = SO(IE, IX, IY)
                 EPSOC(IE, IX, IY) = EPSO(IE, IX, IY)
                 rc(ie, ix, iy) = rr(ie, ix, iy)
 1280
               CONTINUE
 1290
            CONTINUE
 1300
          CONTINUE
 1310
        CONTINUE
        IF (RMAX.GT.DELTAX) DELTAX = RMAX
        IF (RMC.GT.DELTAF) DELTAF = RMC
С
      Computes the load (FYO for fixed layers, FY1 for moving layers)
С
   *
С
        IF (NLDIS.GT.0) GO TO 1350
        FY0=0.0D0
        DO 1340 JL=1, NLAYER
           DO 1330 IE=IBEG(JL), IFIN(JL)
             DO 1320 IX=1,NGX
               FY0=FY0+HGX(IX)*P0(IE,IX)*SW0(IE,IX)*DELTA(JL)/2.0D0
 1320
             CONTINUE
          CONTINUE
 1330
 1340
         CONTINUE
        GO TO 1390
С
 1350
        FY1=0.0D0
         FY0=0.0D0
         DO 1380 JL=1,NLAYER
           DO 1370 IE=IBEG(JL), IFIN(JL)
             DO 1360 IX=1,NGX
               IF (IDISP(IE).EQ.1) FY1=FY1+HGX(IX)*P0(IE,IX)*SW0(IE,IX)*
     1
                DELTA(JL)/2.0D0
               IF (IDISP(IE).EQ.0) FY0=FY0+HGX(IX)*P0(IE,IX)*SW0(IE,IX)*
```

```
DELTA(JL)/2.0D0
     1
 1360
             CONTINUE
 1370
           CONTINUE
1380
        CONTINUE
С
 1390
        IF (NLDIS.EQ.0) WRITE (4,130) AA, FY0*FLOAD
        IF (NLDIS.GT.0) WRITE (4,130) AA, FY0*FLOAD
        IF (NSCR.EQ.1) WRITE (*,1400) NST, NSINT, NITER, RMAX, KM, RMC, KF
 1400 FORMAT (' FINISHED DATA No. ', 15, ' TOTAL STEPS =', 14,
               NITER LAST STEP =', I3/
DELTA(X)=', E12.5, ' KM=', I2, ' DELTA(F)=', E12.5, ' KF=', I2)
     1
        .
         ,
     1
 IF (NLDIS.GT.0) WRITE (*,1420) NST, AA, FY0*FLOAD, FY1*FLOAD
IF (NLDIS.EQ.0) WRITE (*,1410) NST, AA, FY0*FLOAD
1410 FORMAT(' DATA =',15,' A=',E14.6,' F=',E14.6/)
1420 FORMAT(' DATA =',15,' A=',E14.6,' F0=',E14.6,' F1=',E14.6/)
С
С
   Store shape if the step is as specified
Ċ
         IF (NST.NE.NSTP) GO TO 1490
         DO 1480 JL=1, NLAYER
           ZL=0.0D0
           IF (JL.EQ.1) GO TO 1440
           DO 1430 I=1,JL-1
             ZL=ZL+TL(I)
 1430
           CONTINUE
 1440
           CONTINUE
           DO 1470 IE=IBEG(JL), IFIN(JL)
             DO 1450 IX=1,NGX
               XIE=ZL+(IE-IBEG(JL)+1)*DELTA(JL)-(1.0+EGX(IX))*DELTA(JL)/
     1
                 2.000
              WRITE (8,130) DOPC(IE, (NGX+1-IX)),XIE
               WRITE (9,130) -DONC(IE, (NGX+1-IX)), XIE
 1450
             CONTINUE
             IEE = (IE - 1) * 5 + 1
             XIEE=ZL+(IE-IBEG(JL))*DELTA(JL)
             WRITE (7,130) X0(IEE),XIEE
             IF (JL.EQ.NLAYER.AND.IE.EQ.IFIN(JL)) GO TO 1460
             GO TO 1470
             XIEE=ZL+(IE+1-IBEG(JL))*DELTA(JL)
 1460
             IF (JL.EQ.NLAYER.AND.IE.EQ.IFIN(JL)) IEE=IE*5+1
             IF (JL.EQ.NLAYER.AND.IE.EQ.IFIN(JL)) WRITE (7,130) X0(IEE),
              XIEE
     1
 1470
           CONTINUE
 1480
         CONTINUE
 1490 CONTINUE
      WRITE(*,1491) DELTAX, DELTAF
     FORMAT (' MAXIMUM DELTA (X) =', E12.5, ' MAXIMUM DELTA (F) =', E12.5/)
1491
С
 1495 continue
      CLOSE (4)
       CLOSE (8)
       CLOSE (9)
       CLOSE (7)
       STOP
       END
                    С
Ċ
       SUBROUTINE SHAPES (NO, N1, M0, M1, M2, NG, EG, DELTA)
       IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
       REAL*8 MO, M1, M2, NO, N1
       DIMENSION EG(9), M0(10,9), M1(10,9), M2(10,9)
       DIMENSION NO(10,9), N1(10,9)
       DO 10 I=1,NG
         X=EG(I)
         X2=X**2
         X3=X**3
```

```
X4=X**4
         X5=X**5
С
С
       SHAPES FOR W(X)
С
         MO(1, I) = (8.0D0 - 15.0D0 \times X + 10.0D0 \times X3 - 3.0D0 \times X5) / 16.0D0
         M0(2,I)=(5.0D0-7.0D0*X-6.0D0*X2+10.0D0*X3+X4-3.0D0*X5)*(DELTA/
     1
          32.0D0)
         M0(3, I) = (1.0D0 - X - 2.0D0 + X2 + 2.0D0 + X3 + X4 - X5) + (DELTA + 2) / 64.0D0
         MO(4, I) = 0.0D0
         M0(5, I) = 0.0D0
         MO(6, I) = (8.0D0+15.0D0*X-10.0D0*X3+3.0D0*X5)/16.0D0
         MO(7, I) = (-5.0D0 - 7.0D0 + X + 6.0D0 + X2 + 10.0D0 + X3 - X4 - 3.0D0 + X5) + (DELTA/
     1
          32.0D0)
         M0(8,I)=(1.0D0+X-2.0D0*X2-2.0D0*X3+X4+X5)*(DELTA**2)/64.0D0
         M0(9, I) = 0.0D0
         MO(10, I) = 0.0D0
С
С
     SHAPES FOR W'(X)
С
         M1(1,I) = (-15.0D0+30.0D0*X2-15.0D0*X4)*2.0D0/(16.0D0*DELTA)
         M1(2,I) = (-7.0D0 - 12.0D0 + X + 30.0D0 + X2 + 4.0D0 + X3 - 15.0D0 + X4) / 16.0D0
         M1(3,I) = (-1.0D0-4.0D0*X+6.0D0*X2+4.0D0*X3-5.0D0*X4)*DELTA/
         32.0D0
      1
         M1(4, I) = 0.0D0
         M1(5,I) = 0.0D0
         M1(6,I) = (15.0D0-30.0D0*X2+15.0D0*X4)*2.0D0/(16.0D0*DELTA)
         M1(7, I) = (-7.0D0+12.0D0*X+30.0D0*X2-4.0D0*X3-15.0D0*X4)/16.0D0
         M1(8,I)=(1.0D0-4.0D0*X-6.0D0*X2+4.0D0*X3+5.0D0*X4)*DELTA/32.0D0
         M1(9, I) = 0.0D0
         M1(10, I) = 0.0D0
С
       SHAPES FOR W"(X)
С
С
         M2(1,I)=(60.0D0*X-60.0D0*X3)*4.0D0/(16.0D0*(DELTA**2))
         M2(2, I) = (-12.0D0+60.0D0*X+12.0D0*X2-60.0D0*X3)*2.0D0/(16.0D0*X)
     1
         DELTA)
         M2(3, I) = (-4.0D0+12.0D0*X+12.0D0*X2-20.0D0*X3)/16.0D0
         M2(4, I) = 0.0D0
         M2(5,I) = 0.0D0
         M2(6,I)=(-60.0D0*X+60.0D0*X3)*4.0D0/(16.0D0*(DELTA**2))
         M2(7, I) = (12.0D0+60.0D0*X-12.0D0*X2-60.0D0*X3)*2.0D0/(16.0D0*X)
         DELTA)
      1
         M2(8,I)=(-4.0D0-12.0D0*X+12.0D0*X2+20.0D0*X3)/16.0D0
         M2(9, 1) = 0.0D0
         M2(10, I) = 0.0D0
С
С
       SHAPES FOR U(X)
С
         NO(1, I) = 0.0D0
         NO(2, I) = 0.0D0
         NO(3, I) = 0.0D0
         NO(4, I) = (2.0D0 - 3.0D0 + X + X3) / 4.0D0
         NO(5,I)=(1.0D0-X-X2+X3)*DELTA/8.0D0
         NO(6, I) = 0.0D0
         NO(7, I) = 0.0D0
         NO(8,I)=0.0D0
         NO(9, I) = (2.0D0+3.0D0*X-X3)/4.0D0
         NO(10, I) = (-1.0D0 - X + X2 + X3) * DELTA/8.0D0
С
С
       SHAPES FOR U'(X)
С
         N1(1,I) = 0.0D0
         N1(2, I) = 0.0D0
         N1(3, I) = 0.0D0
         N1(4, I) = (-3.0D0+3.0D0*X2) / (2.0D0*DELTA)
```

52

```
N1(5,I) = (-1.0D0 - 2.0D0 + X + 3.0D0 + X2) / 4.0D0
       N1(6, I) = 0.0D0
       N1(7, I) = 0.0D0
        N1(8, I) = 0.0D0
        N1(9, I) = (3.0D0 - 3.0D0 * X2) / (2.0D0 * DELTA)
        N1(10, I) = (-1.0D0+2.0D0*X+3.0D0*X2)/4.0D0
10
      CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END
С
С
                _____
С
      SUBROUTINE STRESS (STO, EPO, EPS, E, SY, S, DSDEPS)
      IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H, O-Z)
      S=STO+E*(EPS-EPO)
      DSDEPS=E
      S1=SY+0.001D0*E*EPS
      S2=-SY+0.001D0*E*EPS
      IF (S.GE.S1) DSDEPS=0.001D0*E
      IF (S.GE.S1) S=S1
      IF (S.LE.S2) DSDEPS=0.001D0*E
      IF (S.LE.S2) S=S2
      RETURN
      END
С
С
      subroutine conct(NCROSS,d,din,r0, eps, cnt,cs, dcsdep)
      implicit real*8(a-h,o-z)
      DT = D/T
      IF(DT.LT.64.0D0) DT=64.0D0
      IF (NCROSS.EQ.3) THEN
      t = (d - din) / 2.0d0
      cnt=-cnt
      ceb1=-0.012354165d0*dsqrt(-cnt)
      ec=2.0D0*cnt/ceb1
      if(eps.gt.0.0d0) then
      cs=0.0d0
      dcsdep=0.0d0
      endif
      if (eps.le.r0) go to 11
      cs = 0.0d0
      dcsdep = 0.0d0
      go to 13
      if (eps.lt.r0) go to 12
 11
      cs = 0.0d0
      dcsdep = ec
      go to 13
 12
      cs = ec*(eps-r0)
      dcsdep = ec
      if(eps.ge.ceb1.and.eps.le.0.0d0) then
      cs2=2.0D0*(cnt*eps)/ceb1-(cnt/ceb1**2)*eps**2
      endif
      if(eps.gt.-0.005d0.and.eps.lt.ceb1) cs2=cnt
      if(eps.ge.-0.015d0.and.eps.le.-0.005d0) then
      cs1=(1.6d0-0.025d0*DT)*cnt
      cs2=cs1+((cnt-cs1)/(-0.015d0+0.005d0))*(eps+0.005)
      endif
      if(eps.lt.-0.015d0) then
      cs2=(1.6d0-0.025d0*DT)*cnt
      endif
      if(eps.gt.0.0d0) cs2=0.0d0
      if (cs.gt.cs2) go to 13
      cs = cs2
      r0 = eps-cs/ec
      if(eps.le.0.0d0.and.eps.ge.ceb1) then
      dcsdep = 2.0d0*cnt/ceb1-2.0d0*(cnt/ceb1**2)*eps
```

```
endif
      if(eps.ge.-0.005d0.and.eps.lt.ceb1) dcsdep=0.0d0
      if(eps.gt.-0.015d0.and.eps.lt.-0.005d0) then
      dcsdep=(-cnt+cs1)/(-0.015+0.005)
      endif
      if(eps.lt.-0.005) dcsdep=0.0d0
  13 cnt=-cnt
      endif
      return
      end
С
                      _____
С
С
      SUBROUTINE PYSOIL (AW, ALPHA, BETA, D, TEMAX, D0, P, DPDW)
      IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
      IF (AW.GE.DO) GO TO 763
      P=0.0D0
      DPDW=0.0D0
      GO TO 771
 763 IF(AW.GT.D0) GO TO 764
      P=0.0D0
      DPDW=TEMAX
      GO TO 771
764 P=TEMAX* (AW-D0)
      dpdw=temax
      x=(d/100.0d0)*alpha**(1.0d0/(1.0d0-beta))
      if(aw.ge.x) then
      pub=(temax*d*alpha*((1.0d0/d)**beta)*aw**beta)/10.0d0
      else
      pub=temax*aw
      end if
      if(p.lt.pub) go to 771
      duq=q
      if(aw.lt.x) then
      dpdw=temax
      else
      dpdw=0.1d0*temax*d*alpha*((1.0d0/d)**beta)*beta*aw**(beta-1.0d0)
      endif
      d0=aw-p/temax
771
      return
      end
С
      SUBROUTINE DECOMP (N, LHB, A, IERROR)
      IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,O-Z)
      DIMENSION A(2550)
      IERROR=0
      KB=LHB-1
      TEMP=A(1)
      IF (TEMP.LE.0.0D0) IERROR=1
      IF (IERROR.EQ.1) RETURN
      TEMP=DSQRT (TEMP)
      A(1) = TEMP
      DO 10 I=2, LHB
        A(I) = A(I) / TEMP
 10
      CONTINUE
      DO 60 J=2,N
        J1=J-1
        IJD=LHB*J-KB
        SUM=A(IJD)
        KO=1
        IF (J.GT.LHB) KO=J-KB
        DO 20 K=KO,J1
          JK=KB*K+J-KB
          TEMP=A(JK)
          SUM=SUM-(TEMP**2)
```

CONTINUE 20 IF (SUM.LE.0.0D0) IERROR=1 IF (IERROR.EQ.1) RETURN A(IJD) = DSQRT(SUM) DO 50 I=1,KB II=J+I KO=1IF (II.GT.LHB) KO=II-KB SUM=A(IJD+I) IF (I.EQ.KB) GO TO 40 DO 30 K=KO,J1 JK=KB\*K+J-KB IK=KB\*K+II-KB TEMP=A(JK) SUM=SUM-A(IK) \*TEMP 30 CONTINUE 40 A(IJD+I) = SUM/A(IJD)50 CONTINUE 60 CONTINUE RETURN END С \_\_\_\_\_ С SUBROUTINE SOLV (N, LHB, A, B) IMPLICIT REAL\*8 (A-H, O-Z) DIMENSION A(2550), B(255) С С \* FORWARD SUBSTITUTION \* С KB=LHB-1 TEMP=A(1)B(1) = B(1) / TEMPDO 20 I=2,N I1 = I - 1KO=1IF (I.GT.LHB) KO=I-KB SUM=B(I) II=LHB\*I-KB DO 10 K=KO,I1 IK=KB\*K+I-KB TEMP=A(IK) SUM=SUM-TEMP\*B(K) 10 CONTINUE B(I) = SUM/A(II)20 CONTINUE С С \* BACKWARD SUBSTITUTION \* С N1=N-1LB=LHB\*N-KB TEMP=A(LB) B(N) = B(N) / TEMPDO 40 I=1,N1 I1 = N - I + 1NI = N - IKO=N IF (I.GT.KB) KO=NI+KB SUM=B(NI) II=LHB\*NI-KB DO 30 K=I1,KO IK=KB\*NI+K-KB TEMP=A(IK) SUM=SUM-TEMP\*B(K) 30 CONTINUE B(NI) = SUM/A(II)

40	CONTINUE
	RETURN
	END

сс

SUBROUTINE GAUSS (N, E, H, IERR) REAL\*8 E(32), H(32)M = (N-2) \* (N-3) \* (N-4) \* (N-5) \* (N-6) \* (N-7) \* (N-8)M=M\*(N-9)\*(N-10)\*(N-11)\*(N-12)\*(N-15)\*(N-16)\*(N-32)IF (M.NE.0) GO TO 260 IERR=0 IF (N.EQ.32) GO TO 240 IF (N.EQ.16) GO TO 220 IF (N.EQ.15) GO TO 200 IF (N.EQ.12) GO TO 180 ΙF (N.EQ.11) GO TO 160 (N.EQ.10) GO TO 140 ΙF IF (N.EQ.9) GO TO 120 IF (N.EQ.8) GO TO 100 (N.EQ.7) GO TO 80 ΙF IF (N.EQ.6) GO TO 60 IF (N.EQ.5) GO TO 40 IF (N.EQ.4) GO TO 20 IF (N.EQ.3) GO TO 10 E(1)=0.577350269189626D0 E(2) = -E(1)H(1) = 1.0D0H(2) = H(1)RETURN 10 E(1)=0.774596669241483D0 E(2) = 0.0D0E(3) = -E(1)H(1) = 0.5555555555556D0H(2)=0.88888888888888889D0 H(3) = H(1)RETURN 20 E(1)=0.861136311594053D0 E(2)=0.339981043584856D0 H(1) = 0.347854845137454D0H(2) = 0.652145154862546D0DO 30 I=1,2 E(5-I) = -E(I)H(5-I) = H(I)30 RETURN 40 E(1) = 0.906179845938664D0E(2)=0.538469310105683D0 E(3) = 0.0D0H(1)=0.236926885056189D0 H(2) = 0.478628670499366D0H(3) = 0.56888888888889D0DO 50 I=1,2 E(6-I) = -E(I)50 H(6-I) = H(I)RETURN E(1)=0.932469514203152D0 60 E(2)=0.661209386466265D0 E(3)=0.238619186083197D0 H(1) = 0.171324492379170D0H(2)=0.360761573048139D0 H(3) = 0.467913934572691D0DO 70 I=1,3 E(7-I) = -E(I)70 H(7-I) = H(I)RETURN E(1)=0.949107912342759D0 80 E(2)=0.741531185599394D0

	E(3)=0.405845151377397D0
	H(1) = 0.129484966168870D0
	H(2) = 0.27970539148927700 H(3) = 0.38183005050511900
	H(4)=0.417959183673469D0 DO 90 I=1,3
90	E(8-I) = -E(I) H(8-I) = H(I)
100	RETURN E(1)=0.960289856497536D0
100	E(2) = 0.796666477413627D0 E(3) = 0.525532400016320D0
	E(3) = 0.3233240991832900 E(4) = 0.18343464249565000
	H(1) = 0.101228536290376D0 H(2) = 0.222381034453374D0
	H(3)=0.313706645877887D0 H(4)=0.362683783378362D0
	DO 110 I=1,4 E(9-T) = -E(T)
110	H(9-I) = H(I)
120	E(1) = 0.968160239507626D0
	E(2) = 0.83803110732883800 E(3) = 0.613371432700590D0
	E(4)=0.324253423403809D0 E(5)=0.0D0
	H(1)=0.081274388361574D0 H(2)=0.180648160694857D0
	H(3) = 0.260610696402935D0 H(4) = 0.312347077040003D0
	H(5) = 0.330239355001260D0
120	E(10-I) = -E(I)
130	H(10-1) = H(1) RETURN
140	E(1)=0.973906528517172D0 E(2)=0.865063366688985D0
	E(3)=0.679409568299024D0 E(4)=0.433395394129247D0
	E(5) = 0.148874338981631D0 H(1) = 0.066671344308688D0
	H(2) = 0.149451349150581D0 H(2) = 0.210086262515082D0
	H(3) = 0.219086362515982D0 H(4) = 0.269266719309996D0
	H(5)=0.295524224714753D0 DO 150 I=1,5
150	E(11-I) = -E(I) H(11-I) = H(I)
160	RETURN E(1)=0.978228658146057D0
	E(2) = 0.887062599768095D0 E(3) = 0.730152005574049D0
	E(4) = 0.519096129206812D0
	E(6) = 0.269543155952345D0 E(6) = 0.0D0
	H(1) = 0.055668567116174D0 H(2) = 0.125580369464905D0
	H(3)=0.186290210927734D0 H(4)=0.233193764591990D0
	H(5) = 0.262804544510247D0 H(6) = 0.272925086777901D0
	DO 170 I=1,5 F(12-T) = F(T)
170	H(12-I) = H(I)
	RETURN

180	$ \begin{array}{l} {\rm E} (1) = 0.981560634246719D0 \\ {\rm E} (2) = 0.904117256370475D0 \\ {\rm E} (3) = 0.769902674194305D0 \\ {\rm E} (4) = 0.587317954286617D0 \\ {\rm E} (5) = 0.367831498998180D0 \\ {\rm E} (6) = 0.125233408511469D0 \\ {\rm H} (1) = 0.047175336386512D0 \\ {\rm H} (2) = 0.106939325995318D0 \\ {\rm H} (2) = 0.106939325995318D0 \\ {\rm H} (3) = 0.160078328543346D0 \\ {\rm H} (4) = 0.203167426723066D0 \\ {\rm H} (5) = 0.233492536538355D0 \\ {\rm H} (6) = 0.249147045813403D0 \\ {\rm DO} \ 190 \ {\rm I} = 1, 6 \\ {\rm E} (13 - {\rm I}) = - {\rm E} ({\rm I}) \\ {\rm H} (13 - {\rm I}) = {\rm H} ({\rm I}) \\ \end{array} $
200	RETURN E (1) = $0.987992518020485D0$ E (2) = $0.937273392400706D0$ E (3) = $0.848206583410427D0$ E (4) = $0.724417731360170D0$ E (5) = $0.570972172608539D0$ E (6) = $0.394151347077563D0$ E (7) = $0.201194093997435D0$ E (8) = $0.0D0$
210	$\begin{array}{l} H(1) = 0.030753241996117D0 \\ H(2) = 0.070366047488108D0 \\ H(3) = 0.107159220467172D0 \\ H(4) = 0.139570677926154D0 \\ H(5) = 0.166269205816994D0 \\ H(6) = 0.186161000015562D0 \\ H(7) = 0.198431485327112D0 \\ H(8) = 0.202578241925561D0 \\ DO 210 I=1,7 \\ E(16-I) = -E(I) \\ H(16-I) = H(I) \end{array}$
220	RETURN E (1) = 0.989400934991650D0 E (2) = 0.944575023073233D0 E (3) = 0.865631202387832D0 E (4) = 0.755404408355003D0 E (5) = 0.617876244402644D0 E (6) = 0.458016777657227D0 E (7) = 0.281603550779259D0 E (8) = 0.095012509837637D0 H (1) = 0.027152459411754D0 H (2) = 0.062253523938648D0 H (3) = 0.095158511682493D0 H (4) = 0.12462897125534D0 H (5) = 0.149595988816577D0 H (6) = 0.169156519395003D0 H (7) = 0.182603415044924D0 H (8) = 0.189450610455068D0 D0 230 I=1,8 E (17-I) = -E (I)
230	H(17-I) = H(I) H(17-I) = H(I) RETURN
240	E(1) = 0.997263861849482D0 $E(2) = 0.985611511545268D0$ $E(3) = 0.964762255587506D0$ $E(4) = 0.934906075937740D0$ $E(5) = 0.896321155766052D0$ $E(6) = 0.849367613732570D0$ $E(7) = 0.794483795967942D0$ $E(8) = 0.732182118740290D0$ $E(9) = 0.663044266930215D0$ $E(10) = 0.587715757240762D0$

E(11)=0.506899908932229D0 E(12)=0.421351276130635D0 E(13)=0.331868602282128D0 E(14)=0.239287362252137D0 E(15)=0.144471961582796D0 E(16)=0.048307665687738D0 H(1) = 0.007018610009471D0H(2)=0.016274394730906D0 H(3) = 0.025392065309262D0H(4)=0.034273862913021D0 H(5) = 0.042835898022227D0H(6) = 0.050998059262376D0H(7) = 0.058684093478536D0H(8) = 0.065822222776362D0H(9) = 0.072345794108849D0H(10)=0.078193895787070D0 H(11) = 0.083311924226947D0H(12) = 0.087652093004404D0H(13) = 0.091173878695764D0H(14)=0.093844399080805D0 H(15)=0.095638720079275D0 H(16) = 0.096540088514728D0DO 250 I=1,16 E(33-I) = -E(I)H(33-I) = H(I)RETURN

260

250

270

WRITE (\*,270)
FORMAT(' WRONG CHOICE FOR NUMBER OF GAUSS INTEGRATION POINTS'/) IERR=1 RETURN END