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## ABSTRACT

Convective heat transfer in a rotary kiln was studied as a function of operating parameters. The experiments were carried out in a steel kiln of $n .19 \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter and 2.44 m in length. The operating parameters covered included gas flow rate, solid throughput, rotational speed, degree of solid holdup, inclination angle, particle size and temperature. To minimize radiation effects, air was used as the heating medium and maximum inlet air temperatures were limited to 650 F . Ottawa sand was used in all the runs except in the study of the effect of particle size where limestone was employed. The experiments were conducted under conditions where the bed height along the kiln was maintained constant and the bed was in the rolling mode.

Both the heat transfer coefficients from the gas to the solids bed and the gas to the rotating wall were found to he significantly influenced ky gas flow rate. Increasing rotational speed increases the gas to bed heat transfer, but decreases the gas to wall heat transfer. The former effect is relatively small. The effect of degree of fill was slightly negative in the gas to solids bed heat transfer, and insignificant in the heat transfer from the gas to wall. The effects of inclination angle , solic throughput , particle size and temperature were
found negligible over the range tested. One of the major findings in this study is that contrary to suggestions in the literature, the coefficients for gas to bed heat transfer are about an order of magnitude higher than those for gas to wall. The higher coefficients for gas to solids hed are attributed to two factors, the underestimation of the true area by basing coefficients on the plane chord area and the effect on the gas film resistance of the rapid particle velocity on the hed surface.

The experimental data were correlated in a form suitahle for design purposes, and the results compared with meager data available in the literature.

A mathematical model was developed for convective heat transfer from the gas to a rolling solids bed. The model requires the knowledge of the gas to particle heat transfer coefficient and the rolling velocity of the aerated particles. The model gives a reasonable prediction of the gas to bed coefficient in a rotary kiln using values of the gas to particle coefficient taken from the literature. The required data on the surface velocity of particles was ohtaineत in a lucite kiln of the same size. Residence time distribution of particles was also studied hriefly to verify that solids were nearly in axial plug flow.

A simple mathematical model of a rotary kiln "heat exchanger is presented. This model predicts gas, solids and wall temperatures in a kiln as a function of the kiln design and
operating parameters using the heat transfer correlations developed in this work.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

The rotary kiln is one of the most widely used industrial reactors for high temperature processes involving solids. It consists of a metal cylinder, lined with brick, rotated about its inclined axis as shown in Figure l-l. The solid feed is introduced into the upper end of the kiln by various methods, including inclined chutes, overhung screw conveyors and slurry pipes. The charge then travels down along the kiln by axial and circumferential movements, due to the kiln's inclination and rotation.

Kiln inclination depends on the process with a typical range of values from $0.02-0.063 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$. Different rotational speeds are used depending on the process and kiln size from very low, i.e., a peripherial speed of $0.015 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, for $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{Ti}_{i} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ pigment kiln , to $0.227 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for a cement kiln, to $0.633 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for a unit calcining phosphate material. The sizes of industrial kilns range from $1.7 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{I} . \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{x} 11.8 \mathrm{~m}$ long for firing light weight aggregate, to $5.9 \mathrm{~m} \times 125 \mathrm{~m}$ for iron ore direct reduction. Rotary kilns are versatile reactors in that particle size and solid density are not restricted as in the case of fluidized or spouted beds, direct firing or indirect heating may be used, and the kiln can operate in either cocurrent or counter-current


Figure l-1 Basic Components of Rotary Kiln
flow. The latter feature is important where high extent of solid conversion is required. Solids may be fed either in the dry state, or as a wet paste.

The main uses of rotary kilns are in the processes of calcining, fusing, nodulizing, roasting, incinerating, and reducing of solid materials. Lime, magnesia and alumina are calcined to release carbon dioxide and water, at temperatures in the ranges of l260-l500 K. The nodulizing process is applied to phosphate rock and certain iron ores with temperatures, 1500 to 1600 K . Roasting occurs at temperatures between 800 K and 1600 K , to oxidize and drive off sulfur and arsenic from various ores, including gold, silver, iron, etc. The rotary kiln is successfully used as a pre-combustion reactor for incineration of plastics wastes (1). The temperatures in this process are in the range of $570-970 \mathrm{~K}$. Iron ore reduction is typical of reducing processes carried out in rotary kilns. The reaction temperatures are around 1300 K . Other major applications of rotary kilns include production of expanded aggregate, production of activated carbon (in two stages, carbonizing 670-770 K , and activation, ll70-1270 K ), recovery of zinc from other metals (1200 K, Waelz process), and production of plaster of paris (382 to 404 K ).

A considerable portion of the kiln length may be used to dry solids and bring them up to reaction temperature. In a typical wet process cement kiln, $60 \%$ of the 137 meter kiln length is required to dry the slurry and heat solids to 1100 K , whereas the calcining zone and burning zones occupy $22 \%$ and

18\% of the length, respectively. Stelco reported (2) performance data for its first commercial iron ore direct reduction kiln in the $S L / R N$ process. About $70 \%$ of the 125 meter kiln length is used to preheat the solids up to 1120 K , leaving only $30 \%$ for reduction. The thermal design of a rotary kiln is thus obviously important.

To design a kiln one should calculate the length of each individual zone for drying, heating, and chemical reaction based on heat transfer and kinetic data. Unfortunately there are few detailed data available in the literature for the calculation of heat flow.

The heat transfer process is complex, particularly in a fired kiln in which radiation, convection and conduction all provide contributions to the transfer of heat from and to the gas, the wall and the solids. The modes of heat transfer in a fired kiln are shown in Figure l-2. The gas, a heat source, provides heat to the solids, a heat sink, and the wall, a regenerator. The wall, after receiving heat from the gas, transmits it by direct radiation to the solids bed surface, and by conduction when it rotates to the underside of the bed. A portion of the heat the wall receives passes to the surroundings through its outer shell as a heat loss. In a direct fired kiln of large diameter the major amount of heat that reaches the solid bed is transferred by radiation from the hot gas. Radiation from the exposed wall to the charge usually ranks next in importance. Convection from the gas and conduction from the underside wall provides less than one quarter of the total heat received by the solid charge. However, in

-- - Conduction

Figure 1-2 Heat Transfer Modes in Rotary Kiln.
kilns used for bicarbonate calcination (3) where the temperatures are around $450-470 \mathrm{~K}$, and in the drying and parts of the heating sections of fired kilns, convection and conduction contributions are expected to outweigh that of radiation. Also, Brimacombe and Watkinson (4) have shown that in direct fired kilns of small diameter operating at solid temperatures up to 1100 K convection from the gas is the primary mode of heat transfer to the solids. However, there is no information available to determine under what conditions convection can be ignored in a fired kiln.

Recent investigators have attempted to simulate and model the performances of alumina kilns $(5,6)$, cement kilns. $(7,8)$, iron ore reduction kilns $(9,10)$, aggregate kilns (11) and simple heat exchanger kilns (12-17, 54). In these studies a number of differential equations were formulated to predict gas and solid temperature profiles along the kiln. The important parameters of the models included heat transfer coefficients for gas to solids, wall to solids, and gas to wall. Unfortunately there are no detailed data on heat transfer coefficients to incorporate into the models. The values of the coefficients were either calculated by using equations the reliability of which is to be verified, or values of the coefficients were assumed without further justification. The paucity of data for rotary kilns was also recognized by a working party report of the Institute of Chemical Engineers in 1971 (18). The report called for a comprehensive study of heat transfer processes over wide temperature ranges between solids bed, gas and wall in rotary kilns.

The purpose of the present work was to make an experimental investigation of convection heat transfer in the reaction-free system of a non-fired rotary kiln. The study was to cover the effects of a variety of operating parameters on heat transfer coefficients. These parameters included gas velocity and temperature, solid throughput, inclination angle, rotational speed, solid bed holdup, and particle size. The aim was to determine which of these factors were important in governing heat transfer from the gas to the solids in rotary kilns, and to report the results in a manner suitable for design purposes.

## CHAPTER 2

## LITERATURE REVIEW

To investigate the heat transfer mechanisms from the gas to the solids bed, it is essential to understand bed behavior and how it is affected by kiln operating parameters. During the movement of free flowing material in a rotating kiln, two velocity components appear: the radial motion of particles of the charge material due to the kiln's rotation and the axial motion of particles along the kiln mainly due to inclination. The latter type of particle motion determines the residence time of solid material in the kiln, whereas the former type of particle motion provides solid mixing.

## 2.I Mechanism of Charge Movement

An excellent description of circumferential motion of the solid bed in a rotary drum was given by Rutgers (19). In a rotating cylinder a solid particle is taken up the wall to a particular height, depending on wall friction, specific gravity and shape. A mass of granules may be taken up higher on account of the interaction between the particles and the restricted relative movements of the individual grains within the mass. The center of gravity of the whole mass of the particles is displaced to a position eccentric to the axis of
the cylinder. The eccentricity decreases with increasing loading and it becomes somewhat greater with higher speeds of rotation.

For each drum radius there is a critical angular speed of rotation where centrifuging starts and a particle is taken along with the moving wall. This is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{c}}=\sqrt{\mathrm{g} / / \mathrm{R}} \text { or } \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=42.3 / \sqrt{\mathrm{D}} \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R, D$ in meter. Below $N_{C}$, the critical rpm, several types of movement of decreasing intensity may be discerned. Figure 2-1 gives a cross-sectional picture of these types of radial motion. In cataracting, which takes place about 0.55 to $0.6 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ depending somewhat on particle shape, some of the particles are showered through the upper section of the cylinder and fall downwards. At lower speeds of $0.1<N / N_{C}<0.6$ cascading occurs. Here the cross-sectional free surface has the typical lunar or kidney shape of Figure 2-la. At speeds below 0.l $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$, a relatively thin layer of particles rolls down the linear surface as shown in Figure 2-1b. If the speeds are considerably lower a slumping motion may occur where the particles no longer roll down the surface continuously. The slumping phenomena, as depicted in Figure 2-2, was described by Zablotny (20) and Pearce (16). During rotary motion of the cylinder the surface of the.charge gradually

(a)

(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{C}(\mathrm{rpm})=\frac{42.3}{\sqrt{D}} \tag{~m}
\end{equation*}
$$

I. CENTRIFUGING
$\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{Nc}$
2. CATARACTING
$\mathrm{Nc}>\mathrm{N}>0.6 \mathrm{Nc}$

## 3 CASCADING

$0.6 \mathrm{Nc}>\mathrm{N}>0.1 \mathrm{Nc}$
4 ROLLING
O.1 $\mathrm{Nc}>\mathrm{N}$

Figure 2-1. Types of Particle Movement in Rotary Kiln (19).


Figure 2-2 Mechanism of Slumping of Charge in a Rotary Kiln (20).


Figure 2-3 Path of a Particle in an Ideal Rotary Kiln (20).
moves from position $C-C$ into the position marked by the straight line $A-A, f o r m i n g ~ t h e ~ a n g l e, ~ \lambda, ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ h o r i z o n t a l, ~$ which was defined as the angle of repose by Sullivan (2l), or static angle of slide by Zablotny. In this thesis the term sliding will be restricted to motion related to slippage at the wall of the kiln and Zablotny's angle of slide will be called the angle of repose. At the moment when the surface of the charge attains position $A-A$ the surface layer of the charge is detached in the upper part of the segment and then slumping of the material begins. The quantity of slumping material is shown schematically in Figure 2-2 by the $A-O-B$, which simultaneously forms the angle $\phi$, called the shearing angle. After rapid slumping of that part of the material, the surface of the charge is in dynamic equilibrium, as it is situated under an angle defined as the dynamic angle of repose. A new surface $B-O-B$ is then moved up to $A-O-A$, which results in a second slumping. The shearing angle must be determined experimentally. It depends on the physical properties of the charge material as well as the rotational speed. The shearing angle diminishes to zero as the rotational speed increases until a continuous rather than periodic slumping occurs. This action is referred to as rolling.

Henein, Brimacombe and Watkinson (22) reported experimental results on slumping and rolling beds in a 0.4 meter diameter rotary cylinder and kiln. They indicated that the transition between slumping to rolling not only depends on rotational speed, but also on the local holdup ratio of material
in the kiln, and on the size and shape of particles. They have suggested that the mode of the bed behaviour may strongly influence the transfer of heat in the kiln. The criteria for transition from slumping to rolling have not yet been well defined.

Industrial rotary kilns are rotated at speeds ranging from 0.4 to 3 rpm depending on processes and sizes. The diameters of typical industrial kilns are 1.8 to 5.9 m which, in turn, have apparent critical speeds $N_{C}$ of 32 to 17 rpm. Most industrial kilns are thus operated at speeds of $0.1>$ $N / N_{C}>0.01$, where the surface of the bed is expected to be in rolling motion. For instance the 5.9 m . diameter Stelco kiln (2) operates at a rotational speed of 0.44 rpm or $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ 0.0257 .

Themelis, et al (23) stated that rotational speed was the major factor of dynamic similarity for scale-up. In view of the types of motion of particles in a kiln described above, it would be appropriate to say the fraction of the critical speed instead of the rotational speed may be a better factor to use, so that similarity of bed motion be preserved in scale-up. According to the latter criterion, to scale-up a laboratory kiln of diameter 0.19 m with a rotational speed of 3 rpm to an industrial scale kiln of 3.0 m diameter, the rotational speed has to be reduced to 0.75 mpm , according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=N^{\prime} \sqrt{D^{\prime} / D} \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This should maintain the same pattern of bed motion. Details of bed behaviour, solids motion, effect of particle size distribution and shape in kiln sections of various diameters are under study by Henein, Brimacombe and Watkinson (22).

Kilns used. in industrial processes have inclination angles of about $i^{\circ} 4^{\circ}$, that is, considerably less than the dynamic angle of repose, which is about $30-40^{\circ}$ for most solid materials. Thus the mass of material does not slide axially. During rotation of the kiln, individual particles of freeflowing material are reportedly (20) shifted along the axis of the kiln in flattened helical motion, the components of which are the path of a particle in the depth of the bed in the plane of rotation and a path on the surface of the charge as shown in Figure 2-3. A particle of material located in the bottom layer of the charge at point 1 , during rotation, moves together with the charge along the path arc l-1'. Then by the effect of the force of gravity, that particle rolls a short axial distance from point $l^{\prime}$ along the slanting surface to point 2, from which it again travels together with the charge along the path 2-2', and emerges on the surface at point $2^{\prime}$, etc. The progressive axial transport of the entire charge results, therefore; from the sum of the rolls of individual particles over the surface (i.e., l-1' and 2-2') along the kiln. However, this picture is probably valid only for an ideally rolling bed.
2.2 Retention Time and Holdup

One of the most important factors in design of rotary kilns is the retention time or residence time of the charge for heating or chemical reaction. As early as 1927, Sullivan, Maier and Ralston (2l) pioneered an extensive experimental study of the effects of operating parameters on retention time in rotary kilns. The experiments were conducted in 2.13 meter long kilns with varying diameters of $0.076,0.152,0.292$ and 0.5 meters. The parameters covered inclination angle, rotational speed, angle of repose, solid feed rate, kiln diameter, temperature, and discharge constrictions. A wide range of materials was employed in their study, including Ottawa sand, coal, sawdust, and copper slag. They found that the retention time is proportional to the square root of the static repose angle of the solid, and inversely proportional to kiln diameter, rotational speed, and inclination angle of kiln. As expected the time of passage is independent of temperature at least up to 1170 K . At fixed rotational speed and inclination angle the retention time for a given material and kiln size is independent of the solid feed rate over a considerable range. Under these conditions increasing feed rate results in increasing hold-up of solids. The following empirical relationship was presented for a kiln with no discharge constrictions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{1.77 \mathrm{~L} \sqrt{\theta_{0}}}{\alpha_{0} \mathrm{nD}} \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a kiln with an end constriction, a lengthy coefficient was multiplied to the above equation.

Bayard (24) later proposed a formula based on his own data and that of Sullivan et al.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{0.31(24+\theta) \mathrm{L}}{\alpha \mathrm{n} D} \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation differs from equation 2-3 in the form of the dependence on the repose angle of the solid.

Analytical expressions for the relationship of retention time and other parameters were developed in 1951 by Saeman (25) for light loading kilns,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t}=\frac{L \sin \theta}{\pi \mathrm{D}(\alpha+\psi \cos \theta)} \tag{2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Pickering et al. (26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t}=\frac{L \sin \theta^{\prime}}{\pi D \sin \alpha} \tag{2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ and $\theta^{\prime}$ are static and dynamic angle of repose, respectively. $\psi$ is angle between bed surface and kiln axis. Varentsov and Yufa (27) applied dimensional analysis
to investigate role of various factors affecting the moving rate of solids in a rotary kiln. A series of experiments was conducted in kilns 6 m long with inside diameters of 0.3 and 0.55 m . Solid material used in these tests included marble chips, sand, coke and pyrite. Four particle size ranges were investigated: $0.35-0.56,1.51-1.70,3.81-4.40$ and $7.07-7.28 \mathrm{~mm}$. The following equation was proposed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{m}^{*} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\pi D \mathrm{D}} \operatorname{Re} 0.01 \mathrm{Ga}^{-0.33}\left(\frac{\theta}{\alpha}\right)^{0.66}\left(\frac{4 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{2}}{\left.\pi D^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)^{0.08}\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}}{D}\right)^{0.93} \tag{2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}^{*}$ is a coefficient depending on the kiln diameter. The value of $m$ * was correlated by utilizing the data of Sullivan et al. for various diameters. For $D=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, the value of m * is $1.7 \times 10^{-3} ; 0.75 \times 10^{-3}$ for $D=1.0 \mathrm{~m} ; 0.25 \times 10^{-3}$ for $D=2.0 \mathrm{~m}$. Gas velocity, in equation $2-7$, has little effect on retention time ( $\bar{t} \propto R e^{0.01}$ ), and particle size doesn't exercise a significant influence as seen in the equation since the sum of the powers of particle size, $d_{p}$, appearing in $G a$ $\left(-d_{p}{ }^{3}\right), 4 d_{p}{ }^{2} / \pi D^{2} \eta$ and $d_{p} / D$, is 0.1 . It was also concluded that gas temperature doesn't affect the solid throughput in the kiln. The holdup, $\eta$, although appearing in $4 d_{p}{ }^{2} / \pi D^{2} \eta$, does not have a significant effect on retention time either.

Zablotny (20) also employed dimensional analysis and carried out experiments in a kiln of 3.55 m long and 0.352 m diameter. The following equation was presented,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t}=\frac{1.36 \mathrm{~L}}{\pi \mathrm{D} \mathrm{n}}\left(\frac{\theta}{\alpha}\right)^{0.85} \tag{2-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The retention time, obtained from the ratio of bed weight/discharge rate, gives the average time of solids residing in the kiln by assuming uniform bed depth and constant axial volumetric rate of solids along the kiln. These assumptions are not always valid in industrial kilns where the bed depths along the kiln are not uniform or the particles change in physical properties due to reaction or drying.

Vahl and Kingma (28) showed that differentials in bed height exist along a horizontal rotating cylinder and thus solids can be transported continuously through it. This contradicts the empirical equation of Sullivan et al., according to which the transport would be nil in horizontal rotary kilns. Vahl and Kingma derived a differential equation which related the solid throughput to the bed height $\tau$ with distance x from the feed for a horizontal cylinder. Based on this equation Kramers and Croockewit (29) introduced the inclination angle and gave the following equation for rotary kilns:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{4}{3} \pi n R^{3}\left(\frac{\tan \alpha}{\sin \theta}-\frac{d \tau}{d x} \cot \theta\right)\left(2 \frac{\tau}{R}-\frac{\tau^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{2-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By approximation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\frac{\tau}{R}-\frac{\tau^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)^{3 / 2} \simeq 1.24 \frac{\tau}{R} \tag{2-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introducing the dimensionless group, $N_{\phi}=\frac{F \sin \theta}{\mathrm{nR}^{3} \tan \alpha}$ and $N_{k}=\frac{R \cos \theta}{L \tan \alpha}$, and the boundary condition, $\tau=\tau_{L}$ for $x=L$, equation 2-9 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau_{L}}{\operatorname{RN} \phi}-\frac{\tau}{\operatorname{RN} \phi}+0.193 \frac{\frac{\tau_{L}}{\mathrm{RN}}-0.193}{\frac{\tau}{\mathrm{RN}_{\phi}}-0.193}=\frac{\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{LN} N_{k} \mathrm{~N}_{\phi}} \tag{2-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation shows, in dimensionless form, the relationship of bed height $\frac{\tau}{R}$ (or holdup $n$ ) and distance $\frac{x}{L}$, and other operating parameters. When $\frac{{ }^{\tau} L}{R N_{\phi}}=0.193$, a constant height is obtained over the whole length of the kiln. After rearrangement this equation can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=1.295 \tau_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{D}^{2} \tan \alpha / \sin \theta \tag{2-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{\tau}$ L is the bed depth, which is related to holdup by the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta & =\frac{1}{2 \pi}(\beta-\sin \beta) \\
\text { and } \frac{\tau}{R} & =1-\cos \frac{\beta}{2} \tag{2-13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the central angle of the sector occupied by the solids in the cross-section of the kiln.

The retention time can be derived from the ratio of bed weight/solid throughput,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\frac{\pi}{4} \mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{~L} \eta}{\mathrm{~F}} \tag{2-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of equations 2-12 and 2-13 into equation 2-14 and approximation of $\tan \alpha \simeq \alpha$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\epsilon}_{\tau}=1.21 \frac{L \sin \theta}{n D \alpha} \frac{\eta}{1-\cos \frac{\beta}{2}} \tag{2-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The retention time for a uniform bed depth is thus not only a function of the group, $L \sin \Theta / n D \alpha$, but also depends on holdup $n$.

Saeman (25) obtained a similar analytical expression for an inclined kiln with various degrees of loading,

$$
F=\frac{\pi}{6} n D^{3} \sin ^{3} \frac{\beta}{2}(\psi \cos \theta+\alpha) / \sin \theta \quad(2-16)
$$

For uniform bed depth, $\psi \cos \theta=0$, and substitution of equation 2-13 into equation 2-11 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t}_{\tau}=1.5 \frac{L \sin \theta}{n D \alpha} \frac{\eta}{\sin ^{3} \frac{\beta}{2}} \tag{2-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The retention time in this equation has a different dependence of holdup term from equation 2-12. (Note that $\beta$ is function of $\eta$ ).

From equation 2-9 one will note that there are four operating parameters, which are interrelated in a rotary kiln operated at uniform bed depth: solid throughput, holdup, rotational speed and inclination angle. For example, at constant holdup and inclination angle, rotational speed can not be increased without solid throughput being increased. Thus, three of the four operating variables in a uniform bed depth kiln are independent.

### 2.3 Residence Time Distribution

The conversion of solids in a rotary kiln reactor depends not only on chemical parameters and the mean residence time of particles in the reactor but also, in general, on the spread in residence times and the way the spread is brought about. It is therefore important to know what spread in residence time distribution is caused by the flow pattern of the solids in the kiln. As indicated above, the particles in a rotary kiln have velocity components in both the longitudinal (axial) direction and the transverse (radial) direction. Due to their more or less random behaviour, the movements are often described mathematically by a type of diffusion or mixing coefficient, in the axial and radial directions. The axial velocity profile as well as the axial mixing coefficient will contribute to a spread in residence
time. Conversely, the radial mixing coefficient will diminish the effect of the axial velocity profile and thereby reduce the spread in residence time. In a rotary kiln the radial mixing coefficient causes a more or less uniform distribution of element particles over the crosssection of the kiln, which tends to make the radial gradient of solid concentration in a reacting system zero.

A survey of the mixing of granular materials in rotary cylinders has been given by Rutgers (19) together with some experimental data on axial dispersion. A 0.16 x 0.50 meter rotary cylinder was equipped with varying dimensions and shapes of the inlet and outlet sections, which resulted in various holdups of the solids. His results showed that axial dispersion coefficient is directly proportional to the square root of rotational speed at constant residence time in a horizontal cylinder, and approximately inversely proportional to the square root of holdup at constant rotational speed. The Peclet number in his work is in the order. of magnitude of two to three.

Tracer methods are usually used to study residence time distribution. In rotary kiln tests tracer particles identical to the feed except for color are readily prepared by use of food dyes. If $c_{o}$, the initial concentration of tracer particles, represents the number of tracer particles injected divided by the total weight of particles in the holdup volume, and $c(\zeta, z)$ is the concentration at $z=\frac{x}{L}$ and $\zeta=\frac{t}{\bar{t}}(\bar{t}$, average residence time), then the axial dispersion model for
the tracer in dimensionless form is $(30,31,32)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial C(\zeta, z)}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{\partial C(\zeta, z)}{\partial \zeta}=\frac{1}{P} e^{\frac{\partial^{2} C(\zeta ; z)}{\partial z^{2}}} \tag{2-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C(\zeta, z)=c(\zeta, z) / c_{0}
$$

and

$$
\text { Pe }=\bar{u} L / D \text { Peclet number }
$$

For an impulse input of tracers injected into the inlet of the rotary cylinder, which initially contained no tracer, Abouzeid et al. (30) presented the following set of initial and boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& C(0, z)=0  \tag{2-16a}\\
& C(\zeta, 0)-\frac{1}{\operatorname{Pe}} \frac{\partial C(\zeta, 0)}{\partial z}=\delta(\zeta)  \tag{2-16b}\\
& \frac{\partial C(\zeta, 1)}{\partial z}=0 \tag{2-16c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(\zeta) & =1 & & \zeta=0 \\
& =0 & & \zeta>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moriyama and Suga (32) replaced the second boundary condition,
equation 16 c , with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\zeta, \infty)=\text { finite } \tag{2-16d}
\end{equation*}
$$

and solved equation 2-15. This condition, they argued, corresponds to the fact that the mixing region of the particles is limited to the surface region of the bed in the kiln and that this region is almost unaffected by the dam near the discharge end.

The solutions of equation 2-15 with initial and boundary conditions (equations $2-16 a, b$ or $c$ ) were lengthy and given in the publication by Abouzeid et al. (30). The Peclet numbers are usually derived from the variance $\sigma_{t}^{2}$ or the relative variance $\sigma_{\Theta}^{2}$ of the experimental residence time distribution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\theta}^{2}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{t}}{\bar{t}}\right)^{2} \tag{2-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of from the solution of equation $2-15$. The relationship of relative variance and Peclet number is obtained (19, 33) for a closed-closed system in the axial dispersion model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\Theta}^{2}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{Pe}}-\frac{2}{\mathrm{Pe}^{2}}[1-\exp (-\mathrm{Pe})] \tag{2-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of Pe , in rotary kilns, are large enough that the following approximation is valid.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P e \simeq \frac{2}{\sigma_{\Theta}{ }^{2}} \tag{2-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moriyama and Suga (32) applied dimensional analysis to obtain the relationship of Pe and other operating variables. Based on their data on a plastic horizontal cylinder (0.20 x 2.0 meter) and that of Matsui (34), the following equation was presented,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P e=1.06 \times 10^{4}\left(F / D^{3} \mathrm{n}\right)^{0.516}(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{D})^{0.524}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{D}\right)^{5.55-0.604 \mathrm{f}} \tag{2-20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where f is friction coefficient (= $\left.\tan \Theta^{\prime}\right)$. The Peclet numbers in their studies are in the range of 250-5000. Axial dispersion coefficients were found to be directly proportional to rotational speed.

The effects of operating parameters in addition to rotational speed on residence time distribution were reported by Abouzeid, et al. (30). The experiments were conducted in a small scale cylinder of 0.08 x 0.24 m . It was found that the axial dispersion coefficient increases with increasing inclination angle, rotational speed and solid feed rate, but
is independent of particle size. Lu, et al. (35) applied a multi-stage combined model to describe the mixing condition of particles in a rotating cylinder with cross air flow. The model consisted of a series of stages, each stage comprising a plug-flow reactor, a complete-mixing reactor with back flow and a dead volume. Although the model was claimed to fit their experimental data well, the resultant equation is probably too complicated to be practical and requires too many parameters to be specified.

A study of the effect of particle segregation on particle motions was presented by Sugimota and co-workers (36-38). The effect of cross air flow was shown by Lu, et al. (35).

The transport of solids in rotary cylinder devices is well represented by the axial dispersion model according to several investigators, (30-32). Unfortunately there are no detailed data to predict the values of $D$ except the correlation equation of Moriyama and Suga (equation 2-20). This equation was established from the data obtained from laboratory scale horizontal cylinders of $L / D \simeq 10$.

The results of several investigations on the relationship of axial dispersion coefficient and rotational speed are compared in Figure 2-4. As shown in the figure there is still no agreement on how the axial dispersion coefficient is related to rotational speed, i.e. whether $D \propto N$ or $D \propto \sqrt{N}$ or other types. As well, the dispersion coefficients differ by an order of magnitude depending on the physical properties of the


Figure 2-4 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relationship of Axial Dispersion } \\ & \text { Coefficient and Rotational Speed. }\end{aligned}$
particulate material (particle size, internal friction, shape), kiln dimensions, degree of fill, and solid throughput. There are very few data points in the rotational speed region typical of the rotary kiln. As indicated in equation 2-20 the ratio of $L / D$ has a significant effect on Peclet number. In typical industrial kilns (39) the ratio of L/D ranges from 14 for petroleum coke kilns to 30 for long lime kilns. However the experimental kilns used for the RTD experiments have a smaller $L / D$ ratio, about 3 for cylinders of Rutgers, Abouzeid et al. and Sigumoto et al., and about 10 for Matsui and Moriyama and Suga. These investigators did not study the influence of $L / D$.

Wes et al. (31) used an industrial scale drum, 0.6 m I.D. $x 9.0 \mathrm{~m}$ long to study the RTD. In their experiments the samples were taken at 3.65 m from the entrance and at the end of the rotating drum, which may represent two different L/D ratios. The results of their experiments are given in Table 2-1. Pe increases from 55 to 204 at $N=6$ rpm, and from 46.9 to 145 at $N=2 \mathrm{rpm}$, when $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{D}$ increases from 6.09 to 15. This suggests that the Peclet number in industrial kilns may be even higher. This high Peclet number then characterizes the solid flow in a rotary kiln as essentially plug flow (33).

### 2.4 Surface Time

The surface time is the time the individual particle spends on the aerated surface layer before it returns to the bed. After residing in the bed and moving along with the wall,

## TABLE 2-1

Effect of Kiln Length on $D$ and $P e$ (Wes, et al.)

| L (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L/D } \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\mathrm{rpm}) \end{gathered}$ | 7 (\%) | $\bar{t}$ (s) | $\begin{gathered} D \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Pe $(-)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.65* | 6.08 | 6 | 20.1 | $2.33 \times 10^{3}$ | $10.4 \times 10^{-5}$ | 55.1 |
|  |  | 6 | 20.0 | 2.26 | 12.3 | 47.9 |
|  |  | 2 | 35.4 | 3.97 | 7.15 | 46.9 |
| 9 | 15 | 6 | 19.8 | 5.63 | 7.05 | 204 |
|  |  | 6 | 19.8 | 5.65 | 7.59 | 189 |
|  |  | 2 | 31.4 | 8.94 | 6.24 | 145 |

*The midway distance where samples were taken.
it returns to the surface layer. The time this particle resides in the bed is referred as bed time. The sum of surface time and bed time is called the cycle time. Cycle time, surface time and bed time are functions of rotational speed, holdup, kiln diameter, particle physical properties and radial position in the kiln. Since the particle travels along the kiln in a helical motion, cycle time, surface time and bed time are defined as averages taken over a certain length of the kiln.

Surface time is expected to be important in heat transfer processes where particles in the surface layers are exposed to hot gases. Heat transferred from the gas phase to the surface layer of the solids is distributed to the bulk material by solid mixing taking place in the circulatory bed. In a direct fired kiln it has been estimated (18) that surface temperature will rise by 80 K in 0.1 seconds, and by 270 K in one second, in which latter period the surface is renewed. At a depth of 1 mm below the surface layer the heat supply may account to only one percent of that radiated to the surface. Surface time is also believed to play an important role in the convective heat transfer process. The experimental results of Wes et al. (31) showed that some sort of penetration mechanism might exist for convection from the gas to the downflowing particles. Thus, according to penetration theory, a short surface time gives a higher average heat transfer coefficient. In addition a short time yields more particles flowing down the surface that certainly
increases the heat flux.
Although heat transmission by radiation and convection to the aerated surface layer is important, there is very little information in the literature about surface time except from the work of Hogg, Shoji and Austin (40). They reported that in their rotary cylinder, 0.095 m in diameter and 0.248 m long the fraction of surface time to cycle time is 0.49 at a rotating speed of 90 rpm or $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.64$. In a rotary kiln where rotating speeds are operated below 6 rpm , the fraction of surface time to cycle time is far below 0.49 . There is also lack of information in the literature about the thickness of the surface layer. It is believed that the thickness depends on rotational speed, internal particle friction, inclination angle, holdup, etc. In rotary soda calciners (3) the thickness of the layer was stated theoretically equal to the diameter of the particles at 4 rpm where the rolling pattern starts. No sizes of the calciners and the particles were given.

In the present work some experiments are carried out to study the influence of operating variables on surface time, and a film study on the thickness of the surface layer is reported.

### 2.5 Heat Transfer

Bowers and Reed (41) correlated heat transfer data from four industrial kilns: limestone calcination, dry cement burning, dolomite calcination and shale expansion. From the
former two kilns: they concluded that the overall gas-bed heat transfer coefficient has the following relationship with kiln diameter,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0}=18.6 \mathrm{D} \tag{2-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { where } U_{O}: & \text { overall gas-solid heat transfer } \\
& \text { coefficient }\left(W / \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~K}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

D : kiln diameter (m)

Equation 2-21 is obviously unsatisfactory for design purposes and can only show the order of magnitude of heat transfer coefficients, because the correlation was based on a limited number (6) of scattered data. It also excludes the effects of rotational speed, solid and gas throughput, inclination angle, particle size and does not reflect the complexity of the actual process that occurs in the kiln.

## 2.5a Conduction

Heat transmission by conduction occurs at the underside of the bed which is in contact with the rotating wall. At the moment when the particles return from the surface layer to the bed, in which they become at rest relative to the wall and to their neighbours, the particles adjacent to the wall receive heat from the wall (Point A in Figure 2-5),


Figure 2-5 Bed-Wall Heat Transfer by Conduction.
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Figure 2-6 Penetration Model for Bed-to-Wall Heat Transfer Proposed by Wachters and Kramers (3).
until they move up to the point (B in Figure 2-5) and roll on the surface again. Therefore, Wachters and Kramers (3), Wes et al. (42), Lehmberg et al. (43) and Nikitenko (44) proposed an unsteady state penetration model for heat conduction. The following assumptions were made:
a) The temperature of the bulk material far from the wall is constant during the contact time tc.
b) The region at the wall in which heat conduction takes place is thin compared with the radius of the cylinder, so that the curvature may be neglected.
c) Tangential heat conduction can be neglected.
d) At $t \simeq O$ the contents of the wall layer are mixed with the bulk material.

A heat balance for a circumferential element consisting of particles adjacent to the wall yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=a \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{2-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a is the heat diffusivity of particles, $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$. The initial and boundary conditions used by Wes et al. were

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(0, y)=T_{S} \\
& T(t, 0)=T_{W}  \tag{2-23}\\
& T(t, \infty)=T_{S}
\end{align*}
$$

Experimental measurements were made by Wes et al. in an industrial scale drum of $0.6 \times 9.0 \mathrm{~m}$. Potato starch and yellow dextrine solids with particle sizes of $15 \sim 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, were used in the heating process to determine heat transfer coefficients, $h_{w s}$ from the wall to the solids. The experimental results were claimed to be in agreement with the following equation, which is derived from the simple penetration model with equation 2-23.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathrm{ws}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\sqrt{\pi a t_{\mathrm{c}}}} \quad \text { or } \quad 2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{a} \beta}} \tag{2-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nikitenko (44) used the following set of initial and boundary conditions.

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(0, y)=T_{S} \\
& T(t, 0)=T_{W}  \tag{2-25}\\
& \frac{d T(t, \infty)}{d y}=T(0, y)
\end{align*}
$$

and obtained the following relationship.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{S W}=0.15 \rho_{s} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\beta}}-8 \tag{2-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

No explanation was made of the use of the last boundary condition, and no experimental results were displayed in his paper.

In a study by Wachters and Kramers (3), experiments were conducted in a $0.152 \times 0.475 \mathrm{~m}$ copper cylinder and values of $h_{w s}$ were reported to be $1 / 3$ to $1 / 2$ of those predicted from equation 2-24. They assumed that whereas the bulk of the granular bed has a uniform temperature there is a thin layer at the wall always consisting of the same particles and that when these roll back, they only mix among themselves. Based on these assumptions, the wall layer d' in thickness, was proposed that was initially at a temperature $T_{i}$ for $o<y<d^{\prime}$ as given in Figure 2-6. The average temperature of the layer was assumed to not change during the time of contact, etc. Thus the following boundary conditions were used,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T(0,0<y<d) & =T_{i} \\
T(0, y>d) & =T_{S}  \tag{2-27}\\
T(t, 0) & =T_{W} \\
T(t, \infty) & =T_{S}
\end{array}
$$

Their experimental results indicated that at higher speed (or lower $t_{C}$ ) where $d^{\prime}>4 \sqrt{a t_{C}}$, the wall layer reduces the
heat transfer coefficients by a factor of 3 with respect to that obtained from a simple penetration model,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathrm{ws}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}}{3 \sqrt{\pi a t_{\mathrm{C}}}} \quad 10 \mathrm{rpm} \leq \mathrm{N} \leq 40 \tag{2-28}
\end{equation*}
$$

At relatively low speed (high contact time, $t_{c}$ ), hws gradually changed according to

$$
h_{W S}=\left[\frac{\sqrt{\pi a t_{c}}}{2 k_{s}}+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 k_{s}} d^{\prime}\right]^{-1} \quad \mathrm{~N} \leq 10 \quad(2-29)
$$

The $\sim$ wall layer thickness, $d^{\prime}$, was obtained by extrapolating the experimental data from the above equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{\prime}=1.12 \times 10^{-3} \sqrt{\beta} \tag{2-30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $d^{\prime}$ were 1.8 mm and 1.5 mm with $\beta=2.53$ and 1.83 radians, respectively in their experiments. However the dependence of $d$ ' in equation 2-30 on $\beta$, the central angle
of the solids bed, can not be physically explained.
Recently Lehmberg et al. (43) introduced the concept of the presence of a gas film between the wall and the solids into the penetration model. The presence of a gas film was also recognized by Epstein and Mathur (45) for wall to bed heat transfer in the annulus of a spouted bed. In order to fit their own experimental data Lehmberg et al. proposed two heat transfer regions near the wall. The simple penetration model as given in equation 2-24 was applied in the region between the wall and $\delta_{u}$, the thickness at the contact point between wall and particle. The basis of the model is illustrated in Figure 2-7. In the second region, bounded by $\delta_{u}$ and the particle radius, the gas film was added to the model. In addition to the first parameter $\delta_{u}$, they introduced a second parameter $h^{\prime}$, (termed the relative heat transfer coefficient), which is the ratio of the gas film heat transfer coefficient $h_{g}$ ' to the effective thermal conductivity of solids, $k_{s}$. The overall wall-bed heat transfer coefficients across these two regions was given in lengthy form as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{w s}= & \frac{\sqrt{\rho_{p} C_{p p} k_{s}}}{\sqrt{t_{c}}} \cdot\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}-\frac{1}{h^{\prime} \sqrt{a t_{c}}}+\frac{1}{h^{\prime} \sqrt{a t^{\prime}}} \exp \left(h^{\prime} a_{c}\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(h^{\prime 2} a t_{c}\right)\right] \\
\text { where } h^{\prime} & =\frac{h_{g}^{\prime}}{k_{s}} \\
& =4 \frac{k_{g}}{k_{s}} \cdot \frac{1}{d_{p}} \quad\left(\ln \frac{d_{p}}{2 \delta_{u}}-1+\frac{2 \delta_{u}}{d_{p}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2-7 Two-region Penetration Model for Wall-Bed Heat Transfer Proposed by Lehmberg et al. (43)

By adjusting the values of $h^{\prime}$ and $\delta_{u}$ equation 2-3l was reported in good agreement with their own experimental data. $\delta_{u}$ was found equal to $9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, compared to the particle sizes of $157,323,794$ and $1038 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The mean thickness of the gas film ( ${ }^{1} / h^{\prime}$ ) increases with increasing particle sizes: The parameters, $h$ ' and $\delta_{u}$ were determined experimentally, therefore equation 2-31 for wall to bed heat transfer coefficient is not readily used for design purpose. For long contact time, $\delta_{u}$ was also reported to be a function of time. In addition equation 2-31 can not fit the data of Wes et al. unless $h^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$ (or ${ }^{1} / h^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ ). Since no model yet proposed could satisfactorily represent all the published experimental data, an attempt was made in this work to correlate all the available data within the frame of the penetration model. This correlation is discussed in Chapter 6.
2.5b Convection

The following empirical relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{g w}=0.0981 \mathrm{G}_{g}^{0.67} \tag{2-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{g}=$ gas mass flux $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (cross section kiln) is recommended by Porter et al. (46) for the convective heat transfer coefficient from gas to wall in a rotary kiln, and is also used to predict the gas to bed coefficient. In an
earlier publication (47) the same authors used an equation with a smaller exponent on gas mass flux, and which included the effect of kiln diameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gw}}=0.0608 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.46} / \mathrm{D} \tag{2-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

A third expression used for convective heat transfer coefficient in kilns is a modified Nusselt type equation for heat transfer in tubes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gW}}=5.2 \times 10^{-2}\left(\frac{k_{g}}{\mathrm{D}}\right) \quad\left(\frac{\mathrm{Du}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}}{\mu_{\mathrm{g}}} \cdot \frac{\mu_{g} C_{p g}}{k_{g}}\right)^{0.786} \tag{2-34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gygi (48) used this expression to calculate convective heat transfer coefficient for cement kiln in the preheating zone. However, no expression has been reported to evaluate heat transfer coefficient from the gas to the solid bed. Several investigators $(5,12)$ have used equation 2-32 for gas to wall convection to calculate heat flow from gas to charge. The area is taken to be the chord length times the kiln length. This convective coefficient is apparently independent of the speed of rotation, particle size, and inclination of the kiln. The equations $h_{g s}=h_{g w}=0.023 \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{g}}}{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{0.8} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}^{0.4}$ were also used for modelling iron ore reduction (9) and $h_{g s}=h_{g W}=c\left(k_{g} D\right)^{0.2}$
$\left.\left(U_{g} / C_{p g}\right)\right)^{0.8}$ for an alumina kiln (6). In other modelling studies specific values were used with no reported justification including $h_{g s}=22.8 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{gw}}=28.4 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ for wet process cement kilns $(7,8) ; h_{g s}=h_{g w}=14.0 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ for a light weight aggregate kiln (11); and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}}=1.5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ for a rotary kiln heat exchanger (15).

Wes et al.(42) published experimental values of 5.1 and $4.9 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ for wall to air heat transfer coefficient in an empty drum equipped with flights, with 550 and $336 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ $m^{2}$ air mass flux, respectively. They also reported local convective heat transfer coefficients from potato starch and yellow dextrine to cool air in a horizontal rotating drum. The results are given in Figure 2-8. Wes et al. claimed there might exist a kind of penetration mechanism for the heat transfer to the down flowing solids on the surface because of a linear relationship of $h_{s g} \propto \sqrt{N}$ between 3 rpm to 6.5 rpm . One can conclude from the work of Wes et al. that the gas to solids convection coefficient is roughly 12 to 25 times the magnitude of the gas to wall convection coefficient in a rotary kiln with low flights. Friedman and Marshall (49) gave $h_{g s}$ of $26.5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ at $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{g}}=782 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{m}^{2}$ air mass rate, and of 20.4 and $38.2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ at $G_{g}=15.60 \mathrm{~kg} /$ hr. $\mathrm{m}^{2}$.

Brimacombe and Watkinson (4) recently reported experimental data of 120 to $240 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ for gas to solids convection coefficients. The experiments were conducted in a pilot scale fired rotary kiln, which has 0.406 m I.D. and


Figure 2-8 Convective Heat Transfer Coefficient from Bed to Air, Data of Wes, et al (42)
5.5 m long. The gas flow rates ranged 545 to $820 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with average temperature, 675 to 830 K , and the solid throughput were 70 to $135 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Their data show that heat transfer coefficient increases with increasing gas temperature and gas flow rate, and the effect of solid throughput is insignificant.

Chen et al. (50) studied the effect of air cross flow on the gas to particle heat transfer in a rotary dryer. The dryer was constructed with two concentric cylinders. The inside cylinder was 0.15 m in diameter and made of perforated plate with 1.5 mm holes, 10 mm square pitch, covered by a screen of 150 mesh. Hot air was allowed to flow through the annualar space into the inside cylinder. The heat transfer coefficients between gas and particles were found to be in the same range of the data in the fixed bed.

## 2.5c Radiation

The Stefan-Boltzmann equation has been widely used for the thermal analysis of a rotary kiln, due to the lack of reported data. The radiative heat transfer coefficient is strongly dependent on the form, $\left(T_{i}{ }^{4}-T_{j}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T_{i}-T_{j}\right)$. Table 2-2 lists equations used for radiant heat transfer by several investigators who were modelling rotary kilns. A similar table was drawn up by Venkateswaren (54). The temperature dependence of Lyon et al. was simplified to $2\left(T_{i}{ }^{3}+T_{j}{ }^{3}\right)$. These sets of equations have different values of factors which are dependent on emissivities of gas, solid
and wall. Kaiser and Lane (5l) have recommended in Saas' equations that the term $f \varepsilon_{s}$ in $h_{w s, r}$ should be replaced by the expression of Eckert and Drake (52) given in Table 2-2 whitch takes into account re-radiation from solids. $T_{S}$, the solid bed temperature; was used in the equations shown in Table 2-2, instead of the bed surface temperature. The latter may be larger than $T_{S}$ by a few hundred degrees as indicated by Luethge (53). Since radiation takes place to the aerated charge surface, the calculated value of $h_{r}$ based on the equations in Table 2-1 would appear to overestimate the radiation contribution.

## TABLE 2-2 Radiant Heat Transfer Coefficient

| Investigators | $h_{\text {gw, }}$ | $h_{g s, r}$ | $\mathrm{h}_{\text {wS, }} \mathrm{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saas (12) | $\rho \varepsilon_{g}\left(T_{g}{ }^{4}-T_{W}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T_{g}-T_{W}\right)$ | $\rho \varepsilon_{g}\left(T_{g}{ }^{4}-T_{s}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T_{g}-T_{S}\right)$ | $\rho \varepsilon_{S I^{*}}\left(T_{W}^{4}-T_{S}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T_{W}-T_{S}\right)$ |
| Iyons et al. (7) | $2 \rho \varepsilon_{g} \varepsilon_{W}\left(T_{s}{ }^{3}+T_{w}{ }^{3}\right)$ | ${ }^{2 \rho \varepsilon_{g} \varepsilon_{S}}\left(T_{g}{ }^{3}+T_{S}{ }^{3}\right)$ | $2 \rho \frac{A_{w}}{A_{S}} \varepsilon_{s} \varepsilon_{w}\left(T_{w}{ }^{3}+T_{S}{ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Toyama et al. (1l) | $\frac{\rho}{M * *} \varepsilon_{w} \varepsilon_{s}\left[1+\left(1-\varepsilon_{g}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{g}\right) \frac{A_{S}}{A_{W}}\right]_{\frac{T_{g}}{T_{g}-T_{w}^{4}}}^{T_{G}-T_{w}}$ | $\frac{\rho}{M} \varepsilon_{s} \varepsilon_{g}\left[1+\left(l-\varepsilon_{w}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{g}\right) \frac{A_{s}}{A_{W}}\right] \frac{T_{g}^{4}-T_{S}{ }^{4}}{T_{g}-T_{s}}$ | $\frac{\rho}{M} \varepsilon_{s} \varepsilon_{w}\left(1-\varepsilon_{g}\right) \frac{A_{S}}{A_{W}} \frac{T_{w}^{4}-T_{S}{ }^{4}}{T_{w}-T_{S}}$ |
| Manitius et al. (6) | $4.96 \times 10^{-8} \varepsilon_{W}\left[\hat{\varepsilon}_{g} T_{g}{ }^{4}-\varepsilon_{g}\left(T_{W}\right) T_{W}{ }^{4}\right]$ | $4.96 \times 10^{-8} \varepsilon_{S}\left[\hat{\varepsilon}_{g} T_{g}^{4}-g_{S}^{\left(T_{S}\right) T_{S}^{4}}\right]$ | $4.96 \times 10^{-8} \varepsilon_{S} \varepsilon_{W}\left[\left(1-\varepsilon_{g}\right) \cdot T_{W}^{4}-\left(i-\varepsilon_{g}\right) T_{S}^{4}\right]$ |
| Riffaud et al. (5) | $\rho \varepsilon_{g}\left(T_{g}{ }^{4}-T_{w}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T_{g}-T_{w}\right)$ | $\rho \varepsilon_{g}\left(T_{g}{ }^{4}-T_{S}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T_{S}-T_{S}\right)$ | $\rho \varepsilon_{1}^{*}\left(T_{w}^{4}-T_{s}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T_{w}-T_{s}\right)$ |
| Wingfield et al. (9) | $\rho \varepsilon_{g}\left(T_{s}{ }^{4}-T_{W}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T_{g}-T_{W}\right)$ | $\rho \varepsilon_{g}\left(T_{g}{ }^{4}-T_{s}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T_{g}-T_{S}\right)$ | $\rho \varepsilon_{S}\left(T_{w}{ }^{4}-T_{S}{ }^{4}\right) /\left(T W^{-T} S^{\prime}\right)$ |

* $\varepsilon_{S f}$ is recormended (47) by subscitution of $\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{S}}+\frac{A_{S}}{D}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{W}}-1\right)\right]^{-1}$
** $M=1-\left(l-\varepsilon_{W}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{g}\right)^{\prime}\left[1-\left(\varepsilon_{S}+\varepsilon_{g}-\varepsilon_{S} \varepsilon_{g}\right) \frac{A_{S}}{A_{\mathrm{W}}}\right]^{\mathrm{S}}$
* $\varepsilon_{I}$ function of $\varepsilon_{S}$ and $\varepsilon_{w}$


## CHAPTER 3

## SCOPE OF PRESENT WORK

As has been shown above few experimental data on heat transfer coefficients have been published for convection in rotary kilns. No systematic study of the effects of operating parameters on convective heat transfer could be found in the literature. Some equations $(46,47,48)$ have been proposed for the convection process, but none have had experimental verification, and included effects of operating parameters other than gas velocity.

It was the objective of the present work, therefore, to make an experimental study of the influence of kiln operating parameters on convective heat transfer coefficients in a non-fired rotary kiln. Conditions were chosen to minimize radiative effects. The parameters to be studied included kiln rotational speed, inclination angle, gas velocity, solid charge feed rate, degree of fill, particle size and temperature.

In order to understand the heat transfer process, knowledge of the particle motion in the kiln is required. The secondary objective, therefore, was to study the relationship of solid charge feed rate, charge load, kiln rotational speed and inclination angle, especially under conditions of
uniform bed depth along the kiln. It was also intended to investigate the factors influencing the time particles spend on the surface of the bed. A brief study of residence time distribution in the kiln was also included in this work. With this experimental information, a model of the convection process in a rotary kiln was to be formulated and design equations presented.

## CHAPTER 4

## APPARATUS AND MATERIALS

### 4.1 Apparatus

A schematic diagram of the experimental system used for heat transfer and particle motion experiments is shown in Figure 4-1. It consists of five primary components: the rotary kiln, the solid feeding system, the receiving system, the air heating system and the instrumentation and recording system. Two kilns of the same size were used. A lucite cylinder was primarily used in the investigation of particle motion in the kiln, and a steel cylinder was used for the heat transfer experiments.
4.la Kilns

The transparent lucite rotary cylinder was 2.44 m in length, 0.1905 m I.D. and 6.35 mm in wall thickness, and provided with end flanges of 0.254 m diameter. The solids inlet flange had an opening of 0.076 m diameter, whereas three different flanges with openings of $0.133,0.114$ and 0.089 meters were used at the solids outlet end to maintain the desired bed depths. During the initial experiments slip was found between the smooth kiln wall and the solids bed.


Figure 4-1 Schematic Diagram of Apparatus.

TABLE 4-1

Key to Figure 4-l

| A | Rotameter |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | End box |
| C | Temperature controller |
| D | Variable speed drive |
| E | Solid receiving cone |
| F | Screw feeder |
| G | Chain and sprocket |
| H | Electric furnace |
| K | Rotary kiln |
| L | Funnel and chute |
| M | Dam |
| P | Suction pump |
| R | Temperature recorder |
| S | Slip ring |
| Ta | Air temperature probe |
| Ts | Solids bed temperature probe |
| Tw | Wall temperature probe |
|  | 2.54 cm steel pipe |
| - - - | Suction line |
| - - - | Potential transmitting line |

Eight equally spaced strips were then glued along the interior surface of the cylinder, parallel to its longitudinal axis. The strips were 4.80 mm wide and 3.2 mm high. The cylinder sat on six metal $0.067 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ long rollers, equipped with rubber O-rings. The cylinder was rotated on the rollers by a friction belt driven by a $\frac{1}{4}$ horsepower variable speed motor. The kiln with the accessories was supported by a steel frame which could be adjusted to a desired inclination angle to the horizontal.

The kiln used for the heat transfer experiments was constructed of seamless, cold drawn mild steel pipe. It was the same size as the lucite cylinder, 0.1905 m I.D. x 2.44 m long x 6.35 mm wall thickness. The $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{D}$ ratio was thus 12.8. The interior surface of the tube was coated with 1 mm thick layer of refractory cement, and was roughened to prevent the solids bed from slipping during rotation. The outside wall was insulated with two layers of 3.2 mm thick ceramic paper, then covered with 0.208 m diameter, 0.076 m thick fiberglass pipe insulation. The opening of the steel inlet end plate was 0.076 m diameter. Three outlet plates with openings of $0.133,0.114$ and 0.089 m diameter allowed holdups of $6.5 \%$, $11 \%$ and $17 \%$, respectively. Both ends of the rotating kiln were sealed with carbon rings in 0.254 m diameter $x 0.254 \mathrm{~m}$ long end boxes. The construction of the end boxes and carbon rings is shown in Figure 4-2. The kiln was rotated on four metal rollers by a sprocket and chain driven by a $\frac{1}{2}$ horsepower variable speed motor. The support for the


Figure 4-2 End Box and Seal System
steel kiln was the same construction as that for the lucite cylinder.
4.lb Feeding System

The feeding system consisted of a bulk material storage hopper, a screw feeder with a constant rate controller, and a feed chute. The feeding equipment was manufactured by Mechanical Development Corporation, Wisconsin, Model \#400 SCR. The hopper capacity was $0.035 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, and the maximum feed rate was $0.142 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$. The feed rate was controlled manually by an adjustable controller capable of achieving an accuracy of $1-2 \%$ for most dry materials. The calibration of this equipment for Ottawa sand and polystyrene is given in the appendix. The material delivered by the feeder was dropped essentially instantaneously into the rotary kiln through a feed chute, which was made of a funnel and a 45 degree 0.013 m diameter copper tube, bent into the end of the kiln.
4.1c Receiving System

For the heat transfer experiments a cone of about $0.04 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ volume was attached to the discharge end box. The construction is shown in Figure 4-3. The bottom side of the discharge box was welded with a 0.102 m diameter short pipe with a flange at the other end of the pipe. The cone was sealed and clamped to the discharge flange. Under the average solid throughput the cone became full every thirty minutes.

-Figure 4-3 Conical Receiver

Then the cone was replaced with another empty one. The interchange took only a few seconds so that it would not disturb the system. For residence time distribution studies, the conical receivers were not used.

## 4.1d Air Heating System

An electric furnace was used to heat air before it entered the kiln. The furnace was constructed of a 0.0635 m diameter and 0.62 m long stainless steel tube, packed with 0.0177 m ceramic Berl saddles. The tube was heated by two semi-cylinder heating elements, each having 3.6 kW capacity. The system, then, was insulated in $\mathrm{a} 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{x} 0.30 \mathrm{~m} x 0.76 \mathrm{~m}$ long fire brick box. The furnace was controlled by an on-off temperature controller which had a temperature deviation $=2 \mathrm{~K}$.
4.le Thermocouples

Twenty thermocouples were used to measure the temperatures of solids bed and air at five axial locations along the kiln - three for solids bed and one for air at each location. The arrangement of the thermocouples is shown schematically in Figure 4-4. The original purpose of the installation of the three thermocouples for solids bed at one location was to measure radial temperature distribution in the bed.

The thermocouples were constructed of 30 gauge ironconstantan, and supported by a 0.0127 m diameter, 2.54 m long steel tube. The probes were situated at the distances,


- Figure 4-4 Schematic Diagram of Thermocouples Arrangement
$0.21,0.72,1.25,1.78$ and 2.32 meters from the solid feed end of the kiln. All the probe wires were extended through the supporting tube out of its two ends to the recorder. The supporting tube was passed through the center of the rotary kiln.

The thermocouples were calibrated in a white oil constant temperature bath up to 400 K . They were also calibrated in freezing tin (504.8 K) and zinc (692.4 K) baths. The calibration data given in the appendix showed a maximum deviation of 1.8 K against the ASTM standard table (55). The air temperature probe was shielded, and air was sucked through it by a pump located outside the kiln. The construction of the shield and suction system is shown in Figure 4-5. The design of the shield suction thermocouples was modified from the design of Hills et al. (56). In their study the minimum suction rate for the efficient operation of a 1.5 mm pt - pt/Rh thermocouple was $15 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ at an air flow rate of about $1.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$. A similar curve was obtained for the iron-constantan thermocouples used in this study. The minimum necessary suction rate was about $25 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, as shown in Figure 4-6. At a typical kiln operation in this study the suction rate was about $0.6 \%$ of the air flow rate through the kiln. The wall temperatures were measured by four iron-constantan thermocouples which were installed on the kiln wall at positions, $0.31 \mathrm{~m}, 0.91 \mathrm{~m}, 1.52 \mathrm{~m}$ and 2.13 m from the solid charge end. Since the kiln rotated it was necessary to construct commutator rings to transmit the thermocouple potentials to a suitable measuring device. The


Figure 4-5 Diagram of Suction Thermocouple


Figure $4-6$ Typical Response of Suction Thermocouple
construction of commutator rings is shown in Figure 4-7. Eight suitable rings, 0.254 m O.D., made of 6.35 mm diameter copper wire, were constructed and mounted in electrical and thermally insulating plastic rings attached to the kiln wall. Copper strips were then used to connect the commutator ring and iron-constantan wires to a multipoint recorder. Calibration of this device indicated its error within $\pm_{2} \mathrm{~K}$. The variation which was noted was believed to be caused by thermal and electrical effects in the commutator assembly. Four additional thermocouples were installed in the insulation layer at the same axial locations as the wall thermocouples. The thermocouples were located at 6.35 mm from the outside wall. The temperature measurements by these thermocouples permit a calculation of the wall heat loss.

### 4.2 Materials

In the residence time distribution (RTD) experiments transparent STYRON polystyrene particles supplied by Dow Chemical of Canada Ltd were used. These particles are of elliptic cylinder shape with dimensions of $1.9 \times 3.1 \times 3.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ and apparent density of $653 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Tracer particles were colored by a red food dye and thus were not different in physical properties from the bulk material.

Alumina was used in a film study of particle lateral and radial velocity. To obtain the particle velocity an individual particle was identified at a location in the kiln's cross section and was traced for a certain time. Thus large

particles of opaque alumina of 6.35 m in diameter were used.
In the major part of the heat transfer study inert Ottawa sand was used. The particle size was $20-30$ mesh (average diameter 0.73 mm ) . The physical properties of Ottawa sand have been reported by others $(46,57)$ and are listed in Table 4-2.

Limestone was used to study the effect of particle size on heat transfer. Limestone was sieved into three sizes, 10-20 mesh, 20-28 mesh and 28-35 mesh. The average particle sizes were $1.26,0.73$ and 0.51 mm , respectively. Physical properties are also given in Table 4-1.

No gas flow was used during the particle motion study, since the effect of gas flow on the particle throughput in a rotary kiln was reported $(21,27)$ to be negligible.

In the heat transfer experiments where convection is of primary interest preheated air was used rather than combustion gases because of its transparent property to radiation. Since air contains only minimal amount of water vapor and carbon dioxide, gas to wall and gas to solids radiation can be neglected. Measurement of moisture in the incoming air showed a partial pressure of water of 0.004 atm . At the temperatures of the present study, gas/wall radiation coefficients were about $0.07 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$, a factor of 35 to 70 times less than the convective coefficients measured. Air transport and thermodynamic properties are given in the literature (46, 58, 59).

TABLE 4-2

Physical Properties of Ottawa Sand and Limestone

Ottawa sand $(49,59)$

| Thermal conductivity | 0.268 | $\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m} \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bulk density | 1650 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
| Particle density | 2627 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
| Specific heat | 0.775 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | 0.821 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | at 373 K |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Limestone

| Thermal conductivity (58) | 0.692 | $\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m} \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bulk density* | 1680 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
| Specific heat* | 0.914 | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{K}$ | at 373 K |
|  | 0.966 |  | at 423 K |
|  | 1.018 |  | at 473 K |

*Measured

## CHAPTER 5

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE
5.1 Retention Time and Solid Throughput

Polystyrene particles were used for solid throughput runs in the lucite cylinder, while Ottawa sand was used in the steel cylinder. In the: latter runs the end boxes and the long tube for thermocouples were removed.

Before starting, the cylinder was set at a predetermined inclination angle. Then the end plates were attached at the both ends of the cylinder. The cylinder was rotated at a fixed rotational speed. The solid material was then fed into the cylinder through the screw feeder until the desired holdup was reached. Mass throughput was measured by collecting and weighing the material leaving the discharge end in a definite time interval ( $\mathrm{l}^{\sim} 5$ minutes depending on flow rate). Rotation was continued until a steady state condition was attained. This was achieved when the constant discharge rate became equal to the feed rate from the screw feeder. About $30 \sim 60$ minutes were required to reach steady state in most cases.

To ensure that a uniform bed depth was obtained the bed depth had to be constantly monitored at both ends of the cylinder. If the bed depth was not uniform, adjustments were made
to the feed rate. When the uniform bed depth at steady state condition was obtained, the feeder was switched off and the material in the cylinder was removed, and weighed to determine the holdup. The retention time was then calculated by dividing the bed weight by the solid throughput.
5. 2 Residence Time Distribution

Prior to introduction of tracer material steady state flow conditions for the uniform bed depth were assured as described in the previous section. A known number of colored tracer particles was injected into the feed chute at an arbitrary zero time and samples were taken as soon as the first tracer arrived at the end of the cylinder. It was assumed that the tracer was injected over a sufficiently small time interval that the idealized impulse stimulus was realized. Separate samples were taken over thirty seconds intervals until all the tracer material had discharged from the kiln. The rotation was then stopped and the material holdup was determined.

Tracer concentration in each of the discharge samples was evaluated by direct counting of the colored particles and weighing of the total sample. This gave information on the concentration $c\left(t_{i}\right)$, at a number of discrete times, $t_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots M$, where $M$ denotes the last sampling interval in which tracer appeared in the discharge.

The relationships are given below of the mean, $\bar{t}$, and variance, $\sigma_{t}^{2}$, of the residence time distribution for the tracer as functions of the exit age distribution function E. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{t}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} t E(t) d t \tag{5-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\sigma}_{t}^{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty}(t-\bar{t})^{2} E(t) d t \tag{5-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equations can be approximated (30) for the discrete system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{M} t_{i} E\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t \tag{5-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{2} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{M}\left(t_{i}-\bar{t}\right)^{2} E\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t \tag{5-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{c\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} c\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}} \tag{5-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

About 1.0 g tracer was used in a $0.08 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.24 \mathrm{~m}$ drum of Abouzeid et al (30) at a solid throughput of $8.64 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and rotational speed of 42 rpm . In this study the tracer weight was 13.7 g . Only one of the runs used 115 g of tracer material.

The relative variance and Peclet number were then calculated as outlined in Chapter 2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\theta}^{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\bar{t}^{2}} \tag{2-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pe} \approx \frac{2}{\sigma_{\theta}^{2}} \tag{2-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second equation allowed the calculation of axial dispersion coefficient, $D_{,}$, according to

$$
D=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{u}}}{\mathrm{Pe}}
$$

where $\bar{u}$ is the average axial velocity, $\bar{u}=\frac{L}{t}$.

### 5.3 Surface Time

Steady state flow was first achieved as described in
Section 5.1. A limited number of colored particles were dropped into the cylinder through the feed chute. A length of 0.2 m in the middle of the lucite cylinder was chosen as the test section. When the colored particle reached the test section, the time count was started and the number of the cycles which this colored particle
reappeared on the surface was noted until it passed the other end of the test section. The above procedure was repeated at least five times and the average value was taken.

The average axial distance the particle advanced on the surface for each cycle was

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}} \tag{5-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad l_{t}$ : the length of test section

$$
n_{t}: \text { the total number of cycles in the section }
$$

and the cycle time, $t_{t}$, i.e. the time the particle spent for each cycle was calculated by dividing the total time in the test section by $n_{t}$. To obtain the surface time $t_{s}$, the time the particle rèsiding in the bed, $t_{b}$, must be known. and this was obtained with the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{b}=\beta / 2 \pi n \tag{5-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the central angle the solids bed occupied. The surface time, therefore, was obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{s}=t_{t}-t_{b} \tag{5-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The particle axial speed on the surface was calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{a}=d_{a} / t_{s} \tag{5-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This value represents the actual axial speed of the individual particle on the surface. The lateral speed was equal to that of the bed width divided by $t_{s}$.

$$
v_{1}=l_{s} / t_{s}
$$

### 5.4 Heat Transfer

5.4a Experimental Procedure

The kiln was first adjusted to the desired inclination angle and the conical solid receiver was attached at the discharge end. The air system was then turned on and the air flow was measured by a calibrated rotameter. The electric furnace was switched on and the temperature controller was set at a desired temperature. The kiln was allowed to heat up for about 3 to 4 hours before rotation of the kiln was started and the feeding began. The feed rate was determined according to Figure 6-9. The temperatures of the air, the bed and the wall were continuously recorded.

The conical receiver was filled up every $15^{\sim} 45$ minutes at $50 \sim 18 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ solid throughput. Just before the receiver was full, it was removed and replaced by another empty one. The exchange of the cones was done within a few seconds and there was no fluctuation of air temperature observed in the measurements. About one hour was required for the solid flow to reach
the steady state condition. Then the material in the conical receiver was emptied and weighed, and the solid discharge rate calculated. The solid flow was assumed to be at steady state if both the feed rate and the discharge rate were equal.

The recordings of the temperature readings were continued until the steady conditions prevailed. Then the suction pump was switched on and the readings of air temperature taken once the temperatures levelled off.

A complete run usually took 5 to 8 hours.
5.4b. Preliminary Tests

In early heat transfer tests, the kiln was insulated with 6.35 mm ceramic insulation paper, and covered with 3.2 mm asbestos cloth and 25.4 mm thick fiberglass insulation material. Heat balances on these tests indicated that as much as $50 \%$ of the heat given up by the air was lost through the kiln wall. To reduce these large heat losses from the kiln, fiberglass was replaced with 0.203 m fibred asbestos pipe insulation, 51 mm thick. The kiln end boxes and discharge system were also insulated. By this procedure the heat loss under most operating conditions was reduced to less than $20 \%$ of the total heat given up by the air in passing through the kiln. A similar problem was indicated by Friedman and Marshall (49) for heat transfer experiments in a rotary cylinder. In addition the heat loss was found to be non-uniform along the kiln making interpretation of the data subject to some error. This was due to the presence of the sprocket, rollers and slip rings which could not be in-
sulated. The commutator was located between 1.02 and 1.22 meter from the charge end. The central section of the kiln between 1.25 m and 1.78 m was chosen as the test section of the kiln since this section was far enough away from the two ends of the kiln to minimize the end effects on heat transfer and particle motion, and did not suffer from non-uniform heat loss because of the presence of sprocket, roller or slip ring.
5.4c Operating Range

Table 5-1 shows the range of operating variables covered in this study. Air throughput ranged from 18.6 to $95 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. On an empty kiln basis the air flux was from 650 to $3300 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ which is about in the range of industrial kilns. The inlet air temperature was varied from 373 K to 650 K . The solid feed rate was in the range of $11 \sim 66 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ or $400^{\sim} 1750 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$. The ratio of air/solid feed rate ranges from 0.5 to 5 which compares with 1~2 typical for industrial kilns. Rotational speeds covered a range of 0.9 to 6.0 rpm . The kiln bed was always in the rolling mode at these rotational speeds. One run was carried out at 0.4 rpm during which the bed was in the slumping state. The slope of the kiln was varied from $1.36^{\circ}$ to $4.1^{\circ}$ and the degree of fill was set in the range of 6.5 to $17 \%$.

## Table 5-1 Kiln Operating Conditions

| Air throughput | $18.6-95 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Air mass velocity* | $650-3300 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Air inlet temperature | $373-650 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| Solids used | Ottawa - sand and limestone |
| particle sizes | $0.51-1.26 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| solids throughput | $11-50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| solids mass velocity* | $400-1750 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
|  |  |
| Rotational speed | $0.9-6 \mathrm{rpm}$ |
| Kiln slope | $1.36^{\circ}-4.1^{\circ}$ |
| percent fill | $6.5-17 \%$ |

$18.6-95 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ $650-3300 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{hr}$ 373 - 650 K
$0.51-1.26 \mathrm{~mm}$
$11-50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ $400-1750 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{m}{ }^{2}$

Kiln slope
$6.5-17 \%$

* Superficial - based on cross-sectional area of empty kiln


## CHAPTER 6

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Particle Motion
6.1 Type of Bed Movement

Figure 6.l gives a series of photographs showing different types of bed movement in the cross section of rotating cylinder. The photographs were made using a bed of polystyrene particles at various rotational speeds. As shown in the figure the rolling type of movement is seen at a rotational speed of $N /{ }_{c}$ cess than 0.l. Showering of particles is observed at rotational speeds above $N / N_{c}=0.6$. The results are in agreement with the observation and description by Rutgers (19). The first three photographs for the rolling type of particle movement give a measured value of the dynamic angle of repose of $27^{\circ}$ for polystyrene.
6.2 Lateral and Radial Velocity

A film study of particle movement in the cross section was made. Alumina spheres of 6.35 mm diameter were used. The rotational speed was set at 4.78 rpm , which gave a rolling bed at the holdup ratio of $26 \%$. Figure $6-2$ shows traces of the positions of two individual particles with time. The first particle was taken on the aerated surface about 0.06 m from Point $A$ as
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Figure 6-1 Photographs of Bed Motions
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shown in the figure. It took 0.46 seconds to roll a distance of 0.11 m down the surface (at a speed of $0.24 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) before it returned to the bed. Once in the bed, adjoining the wall it rotated at the same angular speed as did the kiln. It spent almost 5 seconds to travel a distance of 0.23 m (at a speed of $0.046 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) before it returned to the bed surface. It stayed on the top of the surface layers for a while, then entered a second layer, in which it travelled at a lower velocity than it did in the first layer. However it did not return to the bed at the same location as the first time. The lateral velocity of particles decreased from $0.24 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in the first layer to $0.107 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in the second layer. Thus it is interesting to see the particle velocity profile across the surface layers. A second particle was traced which travelled in the surface layer near the boundary between the surface layers and the bed as seen in Figure 6-2. It took more time to travel even a shorter distance than the first one. The velocity was $0.042 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

Figure 6-3 plots both radial and lateral velocity profiles against kiln radius position. The ordinate is the kiln radius along the centerline, $O B$, of the bed as shown in Figure 6-3. There are two regions, evident in Figure 6-2 and in Figure 6-3, the surface region in which particles roll down the inclined bed, and the bed region where particles move as a rigid body with the kiln rotation. The boundary between them is defined as the dividing line, beyond which the particles on both sides move in opposite directions. The boundary is shown in Figure 6-2 and Figure 6-3, and is approximated by


Figure 6-3 Particle Velocity in a Rotary Kiln.
2.5 particle diameters below the surface. The particle velocities in the surface region and the bed region are referred as lateral velocity and radial velocity, respectively. In the region of surface layers the velocity drops significantly from the aerated surface to the boundary. This is attributed to the internal friction of surface layer particles. The frictional force exerted on the particle increases substantially with the distance down from the aerated surface. Inside the bed the particles move together with neighbouring particles at the angular speed. Their linear radial speeds are represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}=2 \pi \mathrm{nr} \tag{6-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees with the measured speed as given in Figure 6-3.
6.3 Surface Time

It has been postulated above, that the surface time or particle velocity on the surface plays an important role on heat transfer and chemical reaction. Inasmuch as the relationship of retention time with the operating parameters is well established, it is worthwhile to obtain a relationship of retention time and surface time.

A set of experiments using colored polystyrene as tracers in a bed of the same material was carried out by visual observation using a stopwatch. The rotational speed was varied and the solid flow rate also varied in such a way that the holdup ratio was maintained constant. Retention time and surface time
were calculated by the procedures described in Chapter 5. The results plotted in Figure $6-4$ show the relationship of retention time and surface time to rotational speed. Retention time, as expected varies as $\mathrm{N}^{-1}$, whereas surface time is proportional to rotational speed to the power -0.5 .

It is also of interest to know the effect of rotational speed on the ratio of surface time to retention time. Figure 6-5 shows the replot of Figure 6-4 and includes the data of Hogg et al (40) for comparison. Hogg et al calculated a value of 0.49 for the ratio of surface time to retention time at a much higher rotational speed, 90 rpm , which resulted in a cataracting type of bed in their $0.095 \times 0.248 \mathrm{~m}$ rotary cylinder. The data of the present study were obtained in both rolling and cascading types of beds. As seen in Figure $\%-5$ an increase of rotational speed results in a higher fraction of time the individual particles are exposed to the fluid for heat transfer and chemical reaction. However, it also reduces retention time and surface time in a given length of the kiln as attested by Figure 6-4. The implication of this result is important for analysis of chemical reaction and heat transfer.

Figure 6-6 gives a plot of lateral velocity and axial velocity of the particles on the aerated surface versus rotational speed. The lateral velocity in Figure 6-6 represents an average value. The slopes for both of lateral velocity and axial velocity vs. rotation speed in the log-log plot are about 0.5. Lateral velocity, $\ddot{V}_{1}$ shows much higher values than axial velocity, $V_{a}$. However, the ratio of $V_{1} / V_{a}$ is little affected by rotational


Figure 6-4 Retention Time and Surface Time versus Rotational Speed.


Figure 6-5 The Ratio of Surface Time to Rotation Time versus $N / N_{C}$.


Figure 6-6 Surface Velocity versus Rotational speed.
speed as given in Table 6-1. This is in agreement with the analytical result (25),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{\sin \theta}{\alpha \cdot+\psi \cos \theta} \tag{6-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is the dynamic angle of repose, $\alpha$ the angle of inclination and $\psi$ the angle between the surface of the particle and the cylinder axis.

For the present experiments the dynamic angle of repose is $27^{\circ}$ and $\alpha$ is $1.58^{\circ}$. By assuming $\psi=0$, a value of $V_{1} / V_{a}$, 16.5 is obtained. This value is a little higher than the values given in Table 6-1.

Equation, 6-2 gives the effect of inclination angle, $\alpha$, on the ratio of $V_{l} / V_{a}$. The inclination angle, the angle of the kiln axis to the horizontal is obviously a major factor for axial transport of particles, $V_{a}$. The effect of $\alpha$ on $V_{1}$ is expected to be insignificant.

Table 6-1 Relationship of $V_{1} / V_{a}$ vs. N
\(\left.\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{N} <br>
(\mathrm{rpm}) \& \mathrm{V}_{1} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}} <br>

(-)\end{array}\right]\)| 5 | 16.3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6.9 | 16.0 |
| 10 | 15.1 |
| 15.4 | 14.5 |

### 6.4 Solid Throughput and Retention Time

Although the effects of rotational speed and inclination angle on solid throughput were studied theoretically and experimentally in the literature, none of these studies reported the effects on solid throughput in a system in which the bed height or degree of fill is uniform along the kiln. The degree of fill is thought to be one of major factors influencing the heat transfer processes since it relates to the surface area for heat transfer. One industrial kiln was reported (2) to have $5 \%$ fill at the charge end up to $31 \%$ at the discharge end. The local heat transfer processes are therefore expected to be affected by the degree of fill along the kiln.

In order to eliminate this effect the bed height should be maintained as uniform as possible along the kiln. An attempt was made in a series of experiments to determine the throughput with varying inclination angle and rotational speed. The experiments were carried out under conditions of uniform bed height along the kiln by carefully monitoring hed depth at the two ends of the kiln. In all experiments the angle, $\psi$, between the bed surface and the kiln axis was no larger than 0.0014 radians or about 0.1 degree. Ottawa sand was used.

The experimental results under these conditions are depicted in Figures 6-7, 8, and 9. Figure 6-7 illustrates the effect of rotational speed with varying inclination angle. The extrapolation of the line to a rotational speed of zero gives no throughput of solids. The linear relationship of the through-


Figure 6-7 Effect of Rotational Speed on Solid Throughput in a Uniform Bed Depth Rotary Kiln.


Figure 6-8 Effect of Inclination Angle on Solid Throughput in a Uniform Bed Depth Rotary Kiln.


Figure 6-9 Relationship of Solid Throughput and Degree of Fill in a Uniform Bed Depth Rotary Kiln.
put and rotational speed is in agreement with previous work (19-21, 24-29, 40, 60-62). It has been shown above that increasing rotational speed increases the frequency of the solid particle appearing on the bed surface. Since axial movement of particulate material takes place only on the bed surface, the increasing frequency of appearance of particle on the bed surface increases axial movement in a given time, which increases axial velocity. Since the solid throughput is equal to axial velocity multiplied by the cross section area which is uniform along the kiln, the solid throughput correspondingly increases in a way that it is linearly proportional to rotational speed. The solid lines in Figures 6-7 and 6-8 represent the following equation (25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{s}=\frac{\pi}{6} n D^{3} \rho \alpha \sin ^{3} \frac{\beta}{2} / \sin \theta \tag{2-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The experimental data are found in good agreement with the theory.

The effect of inclination angle on the solid throughput is given in Figure 6-8. The linearity of the relationship can be explained as follows. Increasing inclination angle increases the axial displacement of the particle while it is on the surface. It also means that axial velocity increases in proportion.

Degree of fill is plotted against solid throughput in Figure 6-9 for a uniform bed-depth kiln at various rotational speeds and inclination angles. In order to operate at a higher
degree of fill, the solid feed rate must be increased to maintain the uniform bed depth.

In previous work (19-21, 24-29, 40, 60-62) the solid throughput was determined by setting two operating variables, rotational speed and inclination angle. In doing so the degree of fill might vary significantly from one end of the kiln to the other. The average degree of fill was usually experimentally determined by removing the holdup out of the kiln and dividing the volume of holdup by the kiln volume. Although a theoretical analysis was done (29), the equation for the degree of fill was lengthy and complex.

By operation under conditions for uniform bed depth in the heat transfer study, four independent operating variables, solid throughput, rotational speed, degree of fill, and inclination angle, are reduced to three through equation 2-16. Therefore if one sets rotational speed and feed rate, there is only one inclination angle that will give a certain uniform bed depth. The retention time was simply obtained by dividing the bed weight by solid throughput. The results for the same series of experiments are given in Figure 6-10. As expected the retention time is inversely proportional to the rotation speed. However degree of fill has little impact on retention time for a uniform bed kiln as shown in Figure 6-11. Increasing degree of fill requires an increase of feed rate to maintain the bed at the same height. As a result, retention time, as a ratio of bed weight to solid throughput remains constant.


Figure 6-10 Effect of Rotational Speed and Inclination Angle on Retention Time in a Uniform Bed Depth Rotary Kiln.


Figure 6-1l Effect of Degree of Fill on Retention Time in a Uniform Bed Depth Rotary Kiln.

### 6.5 Residence Time Distribution

For modelling of heat transfer and/or chemical reaction in a rotary kiln it is generally assumed that solid moves in plug flow in the axial direction and is completely mixed in the radial direction. The validity of the former assumption can be examined by the use of the axial dispersion model. The Peclet number was reportedly $(30,31,38)$ high enough (above 50) that the solid flow in axial direction can be considered as plug flow. In this study four RTD runs were conducted in the lucite cylinder. The impulse stimulus method was used. The experimental conditions are listed in Table 6-2 and the results are given in Figure 6-12, plotted as the F-curve versus time. The tracer of 115 g was used in Run R1 whereas about 13.7 g was used in other runs. Based on the experimental results the standard deviation, Peclet number and axial dispersion coefficient were calculated and also are given in Table 6-2. The results indicate the Peclet numbers are in the range 371 to 567 and increase with decreasing rotational speed. However decreasing rotational speed decreases axial dispersion coefficient.

Now it is of interest to compare the experimental data with that calculated from the axial dispersion model with the obtained Peclet numbers.

The axial dispersion model was represented by equation 2-15. Moriyama and Suga (32) solved this equation with initial and boundary conditions as given in equations $2-16 a, b$ and $d$. The last boundary condition describes a rotary kiln having

## Table 6-2

Operating Conditions and Calculation Results of RTD Experiments

Run No.
RI
R2
RB
RU

| Tracer weight | 0.115 | 0.0137 | 0.0138 | 0.0136 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Bed Weight
8.7
9.4
8.4
9.3
(kg)

| Rotation speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | 6.87 | 15.6 | 5.5 | 4.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solid Throughput <br> $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ | 28.7 | 61.2 | 19.8 | 19.8 |
| Inclination angle <br> (degree) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |


| $\sigma_{\theta} \mathrm{x} 10^{+2}(-)$ | 7.04 | 7.34 | $5.94:$ | 7.24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Pe}(-)$ |  | 404 | 371 | 567 |

TIME AFTER TRACER FED, min.


Figure 6-12 Cumulative Response Curve in a Rotary Kiln.
constriction at the discharge end more reasonably than equation 2-16c proposed by Abouzeid et al (30). The solution of Moriyama and Suga for large $\mathrm{Pe}(>50)$ is given in equation 6-3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\xi)=\frac{\xi}{1+\xi} \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Pe} \xi}{\pi}} \operatorname{Exp}\left[-\frac{(1-\xi)^{2} \mathrm{Pe}}{4 \xi}\right] \tag{6-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation Pe , the only parameter in the model, is determined from the experimental data and is given in Table 6-2. Figures 6-13, 14,15 and 16 compare the experimental data and equation 6-3. The figures show a reasonable agreement, however the peak concentration is underestimated in each case.

Since solid transport through a rotary kiln. can be represented by the axial dispersion model, it is useful to investigate the effects of kiln operating parameters on Peclet number or the axial dispersion coefficient $D$. The effect of operating parameters, especially rotational speed, on $D$ has been studied by many investigators $(19,30-32,34,36,62)$. The relationship between $D$ and $N / N_{C}$ based on the present experiments is shown in Figure 6-17, along with the experimental results of other investigators. $D$ is plotted against $N / N_{C}$, instead of $N$, because $N / N_{C}$ is able to indicate the flow pattern of particles in a rotary cylinder, as described in Section 2-1. As discussed in Section 2-3 and seen in Figure 2-4 there has been little agreement on the relationship between $D$ and $N$. The experimental results of Abouzeid, et al (30), Rutgers (19) and Sugimoto et


Figure 6-13 Residence Time Distribution ( $\mathrm{Pe}=404$ )



Figure 6-15 Residence Time Distribution ( $\mathrm{Pe}=567$ )


[^0]

Figure 6-17 Relationship of $D$ and $N / N_{C}$.
al (36-38) indicated that $D$ was proportional to the square root of rotation speed, however those of Matsui (34) and Moriyama and Suga (32) reported $D$ was directly proportional to rotation speed. The result of the present experiments agree with Matsui, and Moriyama and Suga. The discrepancy in slopes seems to be related to differences in flow regimes of bed particles. Figure 6-17 clearly indicates that $D$ is directly proportional to $N$ at $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}<0.1$ where the rolling type of bed movement was obtained, whereas for the cascading bed, $N / N_{C}>0.1, D$ is proportional to the square root of N .

The high Peclet numbers obtained indicate that the solid flow can be considered as plug flow in kilns of the size used in this study.

Heat Transfer
6.6 Solid and Gas Temperature

## 6.6a Solid Temperature

The bed temperature in radial direction is usually assumed uniform in the modelling of a rotary kiln although the surface temperature is likely to be higher. The former assumption was confirmed in this study by measurements with three radially spaced thermocouples inserted into the bed. The radial bed temperatures along the kiln in two sets of data are given in Figure 6-18. Temperatures at different bed depths are within $\pm 2 \mathrm{~K}$, and the bed can be taken as radiálly isothermal in the bulk. Effective radial mixing is a major contribution to uni-


Figure 6-18 Radial Solic Temperature in the Bed
formity of radial bed temperatures. The radial solid flows are in the rolling pattern under conditions tested. Heat is transferred from air to the exposed surface layer which is well mixed when rolling down to the foot of the bed surface. Immediately a new fresh layer repeats the same phenomena as the previous layer. With such fast turnover of solid material and short exposure time on the surface, the material appears to be thermally mixed when it emerges into the bed. Thus, a single bed temperature can adequately represent the solid temperature at a given axial position.
6.6b Gas Temperature

The calculations of heat balance and heat transfer require the average gas temperature at a given axial position. To determine a true bulk temperature both radial gas temperature and velocity profiles would be required along the kiln.

Figure 6-19 shows a radial gas temperature profile taken at 1.7 m from the gas entrance using a portable shielded probe. In the absence of gas velocity data, the average gas temperature was calculated assuming plug flow of gas using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}_{g}(x)=\underset{i}{\sum} T_{g_{i}}(x, r) A_{i} / \Sigma A_{i} \tag{6-3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the present test, the average gas temperature was 409.2 K , compared to the centerline gas temperature of 412.5 K . If a velocity distribution that accounted for the velocity decrease near the wall were used to calculate a bulk temperature, the value would be even closer to the centerline temperature. The wall and solids temperatures are shown as 347 K and 376 K respectively. The


Figure 6-19 Average Radial Temperature Profile
appropriate driving forces for heat transfer are thus $\Delta T_{g w}=$ $409.2-347=66.2 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gs}}=409.2-376=33.2 \mathrm{~K}$. Experimental difficulties precluded the routine measurement of both radial and axial temperatures. Instead the temperature 25.4 mm from the centerline was used to approximate the average temperature at a given axial position. This will give a slightly larger calculated $\Delta T$ for both gas/solids and gas/wall coefficients. In the present case the $\Delta T_{g s} \simeq 412.5-376=36.5 \mathrm{~K}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gw}}=412.5-367=$ 65.5 K . Thus reported heat transfer coefficients will be conservative. The effect of using the near-centerline temperature rather than the bulk temperature will be small since on average $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gs}} \simeq 67 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\Delta T_{g W}=70 \mathrm{~K}$. However a 3 K deviation in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ will make coefficients about $10 \%$ low at low percentage fill, and low solids throughputs where $\Delta T$ gs are about $30-40 \mathrm{~K}$. On average the reported coefficients will be about $4 \%$ low.

### 6.7 Axial Temperature Distribution

Figure 6-20 is a typical curve of the axial temperature distributions found along the kiln. Air temperatures and sand temperatures were taken at five locations, whereas wall temperatures were taken at four locations. The smooth curves along experimental curves were obtained by the use of spline functions. For most runs the sand temperature is higher than the wall temperature until they approach each other near the discharge end. This reflects the greater rate of heat transfer to the bed than to the wall, and the relatively high heat loss through the wall. In this typical run as shown in Figure $6-20$ the temperature profiles are not linear and the temperature difference between gas and solids temperature is fairly constant along the kiln at about 55 K . The temperature driving force will be reduced to


53 K if the average gas temperature as discussed in the previous section is used. This results only in $4 \%$ increase of heat transfer coefficient if the average gas temperature is used instead of the centerline temperature.

Figure 6-2l shows the reproducibility of temperature readings for two runs at the same operating conditions carried out two days apart. The data were reproducible within 2.5 K for solids temperature, 1.5 K for wall temperature and 1.0 K for gas temperature. The effect of air flowrate on temperature distribution is depicted in Figure 6-22. The air entering the kiln for these two runs was kept at the same temperature. The temperatures of air, sand and wall for high air flowrate were found to be higher than those for lower flowrate. The reason can be explained as follows. The heat transfer coefficient from gas to solids is a function of gas flowrate as $h \propto W_{g}^{n}$, where $n$ is less than unity. Then an increase of gas flowrate increases the heat flow rate from gas to solids accordingly if $T_{g}-T_{S}$ remains about the same. Since $Q \propto W_{g} C_{p g} \Delta T_{g}$, thus $\Delta T_{g}$, the gas temperature drop, becomes depending on gas flowrate in a form of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}^{-(1-\mathrm{n})}$. Therefore increasing gas flowrate decrease the gas temperature drop.

The effect of rotational speed and sand throughput on the temperature profiles is given in Figure 6-23. The temperatures of air, sand and wall for a higher rotational speed were found to be lower than those for a low speed. These effects are explained below. As noted in Section 6.4 , in order to main-


Figure 6-21 Reproducibility of Axial Temperature Profiles along Rotary Kiln


Figure 6-22 Effect of Air Flow Rate on Axial Temperature Profiles along Rotary Kiln


Figure 6-23 Effect of Sand Throughput and Rotational speed on Temperature Distribution
tain a uniform bed depth along the kiln, doubling rotational speed requires a double sand feedrate. Meanwhile an increase of rotational speed increases the gas to solids heat transfer coefficient as will be demonstrated in Section 6.10, which results in an increase in heat flow from gas to sands accordingly. Since $Q \propto{ }_{g}{ }^{\Delta T} T_{g}$, the gas temperature drop is expected to be higher for a higher rotational speed at a constant gas flowrate, $W_{g}$. Thus the solid temperature is also expected to be lower for a high rotational speed. Figure 6-24 shows the effect of air inlet temperature. Air temperature near the discharge end drops much more quickly for the high inlet temperature run than for the low temperature run.

### 6.8 Calculation Method for Heat Transfer Coefficient

The calculation of heat transfer coefficients was based on a simple but realistic equation with the following assumptions:

1. The gas phase is in plug flow and at uniform temperature at each axial position.
2. Since the solid bed temperature is radially uniform, therefore, the solid temperature is a function of axial distance only. Solids move in plug flow.
3. The wall temperature is taken to be independent of angle and time. The experimental results show insignificant fluctuations of wall temperature under conditions used.
4. The bed surface is assumed flat, and in the calculation of gas/bed coefficients its area is taken equal to the


Figure 6-24 Fffect of Air Inlet Temperature on Temperature Distribution
chord length times the bed length.
The above assumptions are valid for the whole length of the kiln except the zone of the solid inlet, where the particles fall from the feeding chute and shower through the air stream. In this region the temperature of the particles rises rapidly.

From an enthalpy balance taken over an incremental axial length of kiln as illustrated in Figure 6-25, the following equations can be easily derived:

Gas phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H_{g}}{d x}=q_{g s}(x)+q_{g w}(x) \tag{6-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solid phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H_{s}}{d x}=q_{g s}(x)-q_{s w}(x) \tag{6-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term in equation 6-5 is written with the sign appropriate to the case where $T_{S}>T_{W} . H$ is the enthalpy and is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{g}=W_{g} \bar{C}_{p g}\left(T_{g}-T_{g_{r}}\right) \tag{6-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{s}=W_{s} \bar{C}_{p s}\left(T_{s}-T_{s_{r}}\right) \tag{6-7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6-25 Differential Section of Rotary Kiln.
where $T_{g_{r}}, T_{S_{r}}$ are reference temperatures for gas and solid respectively and $q$ represents a heat flow per unit length. The substitution of equations 6-6 and 6-7 into equations 6-4 and 6-5 respectively, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H_{\dot{g}}}{d x}=C_{p g}{ }^{W}{ }_{g} \frac{d T_{g}}{d x} \tag{6-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H_{s}}{d x}={ }^{C}{ }^{p s} \cdot{ }^{W}{ }_{s} \frac{d T}{d x} \tag{6-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The heat transfer terms, $q_{g s}(x), q_{g w}(x)$ and $q_{s w}(x)$ are defined in terms of heat transfer coefficients,

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{g S}(x)=h_{g S}(x) l_{S}\left(T_{g}-T_{S}\right)  \tag{6-10}\\
& q_{g W}(x)=h_{g W}(x) 1_{W}\left(T_{g}-T_{W}\right)  \tag{6-11}\\
& q_{S W}(x)=h_{S W}(x) l_{W}\left(T_{S}-T_{W}\right) \tag{6-12}
\end{align*}
$$

With equations 6-8 to 6-12, equations 6-4 and 6-5 become

$$
C_{p g} W_{g} \frac{d T_{g}}{d x}=h_{g s}(x) l_{s}\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right)+h_{g w}(x) l_{w}\left(T_{s}-T_{w}\right)
$$

$$
C_{p s} W_{s} \frac{d T_{s}}{d x}=h_{g s}(x) 1_{s}\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right)-h_{s w}(x) 1_{w},\left(T_{S}-T_{w}\right)
$$

In these two equations, Th, Ts are obtained from experimental measurements and the axial gradients, $\frac{d T g}{d x}$ and $\frac{d T s}{d x}$ are calculated from the temperature distribution along the kiln by use of spline functions. However, there are three unknown variables, $h_{g s}(x), h_{g W}(x)$ and $h_{s w}(x)$ in equations $6-13$ and $6-14$. In order to evaluate the heat transfer coefficients from gas to solid, and gas to wall, one must know $h_{\text {sw. }}(x)$, the heat transfer coefficient from solid to wall. Fortunately the solid bed-wall heat transfer coefficients are available in the literature and these experimental data have been correlated under the frame of penetration model. The result is given in the next section. Once $h_{s w}(x)$ is known, then heat transfer rate from gas to solid bed is calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{g S}(x)=\frac{d H_{s}}{d x}+q_{S W}(x) \tag{6-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

that, in turn, is used to calculate heat transfer rate from gas to wall, $q_{s w}(x)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{g w}(x)=\frac{\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{q}}}{d \mathrm{x}}-q_{g s}(x) \tag{6-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$q_{g s}(x), q_{g w}(x)$ are local heat transfer rates. The average heat transfer rate per unit length of kiln can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{q}_{g s}=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} q_{g s}(x) d x /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \tag{6-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{q}_{g w}=\iint_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} q_{g w}(x) d x /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \tag{6-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The logarithmic mean heat transfer coefficients for gas to solids and gas to wall are represented by

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{g s}=\bar{q}_{g s} / I_{s}\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right) l m  \tag{6-19}\\
& h_{g w}=\bar{q}_{g w} / I_{w}\left(T_{g}-T_{w}\right) l_{m} \tag{6-20}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where

$$
\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right)_{1 m}=\frac{\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right)_{x_{2}}-\left(T_{g}-T_{S}\right)_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}}{\ln \frac{\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right)_{x_{\dot{2}}}}{\left(T_{g}-T_{S}\right)_{x_{1}}}}
$$

and

$$
\left(T_{g}-T_{W}\right)_{l m}=\frac{\left(T_{g}-T_{W}\right)_{x_{1}}-\left(T_{g}-T_{W}\right)_{x_{1}}}{\ln \cdot \frac{\left(T_{g}-T_{W}\right)_{x_{2}}}{\left(T_{g}-T_{W}\right)_{x_{1}}}}
$$

The heat lost through the kiln wall to the surroundings can be estimated by the heat conduction equation for the insulation material

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{l}(x)=\frac{2 k_{i}\left(T_{w^{7}}-T_{i n}\right)}{\ln \left(D_{i n} / D_{w}\right)} \tag{6-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i}$ : thermal conductivity of insulation material. $D_{w}$, $D_{\text {in }}$, diameters where temperature probes for wall and insulation material.

From experimental measurements of wall temperature and the temperature of insulation material the heat loss through the wall may be calculated.

A heat balance over a section of the wall yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(x)=q_{g W}(x)+q_{S W}(x) \tag{6-22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subtraction of equation 6-4 from equation 6-5 also gives the $\operatorname{sum} \circ f^{\prime} q_{g W^{\prime}}(x)+q_{S W}(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H_{g}}{d x}-\frac{d H_{S}}{d x}=q_{g w}(x)+q_{S W}(x) \tag{6-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two equations are used to check the heat loss through the wall. A local heat balance deviation was defined:

Local Deviation $=100\left[q_{S}(x)+q_{l}(x)-q_{g}(x)\right] / q_{g}(x)$

Thus negative deviations indicated that more heat was lost from the gas than was gained by the solids and lost through the wall.

### 6.9 Bed to Wall Heat Transfer

In order to evaluate heat transfer from gas to solids bed and wall, heat transfer from solids bed to wall or vice versa must be known. Although some experimental data for wall to solids bed heat transfer $(3,42,43)$, together with proposed models are available in the literature, as described in Chapter 2 no proposed model yet satisfactorily represents all the published experimental data. An attempt was made to correlate the data within the frame of the penetration model. The simple penetration model with I.C. and B.C. as given in equations 2-23 leads to the following dimensionless equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{S w^{1} W^{\prime}}}{k_{s}}=2 \sqrt{\frac{n R^{2} \beta 2}{a}} \tag{6-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 6-26 .plots the published data (3, 42, 43) in terms of $\frac{h_{s w^{1} w^{\prime}}}{k_{s}}$ versus $\frac{n R^{2} \beta}{a}$. The correlation in the figure shows a very interesting result. The Nusselt number, $\frac{h_{s w} l_{w}}{k_{s}}$ increases


Figure 6-26 Correlation of Solids Bed-to-Wall Heat Transfer Coefficient.
with increasing $n R^{2} \beta / a$ according to the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{S w^{1}} w^{\prime}}{k_{s}}=11.6\left[\frac{n R_{\beta}^{2}}{a}\right]^{0.3} . \quad\left[\frac{n R_{B}^{2}}{a}\right] \leq 10^{4} \tag{6-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

At values of $n R^{2} \beta / a>10^{4}$ the dependence of $h_{S W} l_{w}, / k_{s}$ on $n R^{2} /$ a becomes stronger, then $h_{S W} I_{W} / k_{S}$ approaches a limiting value which depends on particle sizes. The data with coarse particles tend to level off quiclily beyond $n R^{2} B / a=10^{4}$, whereas with fine particles, $h_{s w} l_{w} / k_{s}$ continues to increase. The values of $h_{s w}{ }^{1}{ }^{\prime} / k_{s}$ estimated from equation $6-25$ are lower than those from the simple penetration model from which equation 6-24 is derived. The negative deviation can be readily explained by the presence of a gas film near the wall, as postulated hy Botterill et al (63) for the fluidized bed, Epstein and Mathur (45) for the spouted hed and Lehmberg et al (43) for the rotary kiln.

Ernest (64) carried out experiments on moving beds with definite contact time, $t_{C}$, using particle sizes $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}-700 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A limiting value of heat transfer coefficient hetween wall and bed was found at very short contact time, $t_{c}<0.1$ second. The limiting value depended on particle size. The contact time between the wall and the particles near the wall is approximately of the order of ten seconds under conditions of this study. The range of $n R^{2} \beta / a$ is from $1 n^{3}$ to $1 n^{4}$. Therefore equation $6-25$ is used to calculate the heat transfer coefficients for the solids
bed and the wall.
6.10 Heat Transfer Coefficients
6.10a Local Heat Transfer Coefficient

The length of the kiln taken to be the test section in this study is the central 0.53 meters which gives a $x / D$ ratio of 2.78. The test section is situated between 1.25 m (L/n $=6.56$ ) from the solid charge end and $0.66 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{D}=3.46)$ from the discharge end of the kiln.

The local heat flow per unit length from the gas and to the solids was calculated as described in Section 6.8. mable 6-3 shows the results of heat flow determination within the test section from a typical experiment. In the test section the gas gives up the overall heat of 211.2 W of which about $73 \%$ goes to heat up the solids. The heat flow from the solids to the wall accounts for the one third of the heat lost through the wall. The local heat halance deviation varies from -5.9\% towards the charge end to $+5.1 \%$ in the middle of the test section, then to $-12.4 \%$ near the hot end. However the overall heat balance in the test section deviates only -1. 4 。 In their high temperature experiment in the pilot kiln, Brimacombe and Watkinson (4) reported the local heat balance deviation of $+20 \%$ near the charge end to $-20 \%$ near the hot end. the change in deviation, in their result, from negative to positive was thought to be due to the neglect of downstream radiation. The local heat transfer coefficients from the gas to

## Table 6-3

Local Heat Flows and Heat Transfer Coefficients

| x (m) | 1.25 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.50 | 1.67 | 1.78 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ (K) | 462.0 | 464.3 | 469.3 | 474.6 | 480.4 | 492.0 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathrm{K})$ | 374.0 | 377.0 | 384.1 | 392.2 | 400.9 | 417.0 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ (K) | 369.2 | 372.9 | 380.3 | 388.0 | 395.7 | 410.5 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{K})$ | 343.6 | 346.1 | 351.5 | 357.7 | 364.7 | . 387.5 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\text {SW }}(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m})$ | 57.2 | 50.0 | 45.1 | 50.1 | 61.4 | 77.9 |
| $q_{S}(W / m)$ | 169.2 | 190.8 | 226.5 | 252.1 | 267.3 | 268.1 |
| $q_{g s}(W / m)$ | 226.4 | 240.8 | 271.7 | 302.2 | 329.7 | 34.5 .9 |
| $q_{g}(W / m)$ | 322.6 | 335.0 | 361.5 | 390.6 | 422.2 | 485.3 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{gw}}(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m})$ | 96.3 | 94.1 | 89.9 | 88.4 | 93.4 | 139.4 |
| $q_{g w}+q_{S W}(W / m)$ | 153.6 | 144.1 | 135.7 | 138.5 | 154.8 | 217.3 |
| $q_{1} \quad(\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m})$ | 134.0 | 140.2 | 150.7 | 158.6 | 162.2 | 157 |
| local deviation, ( \% ) | -5.9 | -1. $2 \%$ | 4.3 | 5.1 | 1.3 | -12.4 |
| $\left.\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}}^{\text {local }} \text { (W/m}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ | 16.13 | -17.3 | 20.0 | :2300 | 25.9 | 28.9 |
| $\left.\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gw}}^{\text {local }} \text { (W/m } \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ | 2.53 | 2.51 | 2.47 | 2.49 | 2.69 | 4.17 |

the solids and to the wall were calculated hased on equations 6-10 and 6-11. The calculation results are included in Table 6-3 and shown in Figure 6-2,7 in which the local heat transfer coefficients are plotted against $x$, the distance from the charge end and against the ratio of $x / D$. As shown in Figure 6-27 both $h_{g s}(x)$ and $h_{g w}(x)$ increase as $x$ increases towards the hot end. The $h_{g s}(x)$ gradually increases from $16.1 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ to $28.9 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$. The same trend was also obtained hy Brimacombe and Watkinson (4) in their pilot kiln. In a typical run of their experiments the local heat flow received by the solids doubled from one end of the test, section to the another end. Since the temperature difference, ( $\left.T_{G}-T_{S}\right)$ remained the same over the test section in their study, the local heat transfer coefficients, thus; doubled from one end of the test section to another end. The shape of the increasing $h_{g w}(x)$ as shown in Figure $6-26$ is found consistent with that for hot gas flowing through a tube near the entry region. As reported by Rohsenow and Hartnett(65) the local Musselt number increases sharply towards the gas entry region. Comparisons of the present results with those in the literature are given in section 6-10h.

The logarithmic mean heat transfer coefficients $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g w}$ over the test section are calculated by equations 5-19 and 6-20. The values of $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g w}$ are $22.4 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~F}$ and $3.0 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ respectively for the typical run as given in Table 6-3.


Figure 6-27 Local Heat mransfer Coefficient

## 6.l0b Fiffect of Air Temperature

Figure 6-28 shows the effect of average air temperature on heat transfer coefficient. Air temperatures, in this investigation, range from 359 K to 579 K . The air temperature has no effect on the gas to solids hed heat transfer coefficient. Watkinson and Brimacombe (63) reported a significant effect of gas temperature, ranging 650 K to 830 K , in a direct-fired rotary kiln. The average convective gas-solid coefficients, in their results, are in the range of 120 to $240 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$. These values are much higher than the values, $18-53 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ obtained in the present study for a non-fired kiln. Figure $f-28$ also shows that air temperature has little effect on $h_{g w}$. The values of $h_{g s}$ are about an order of magnitude higher than $h_{g w}$. This difference is discussed below.

6-10c Effect of Gas Flowrate

The effect of gas flowrate on heat transfer coefficients for both gas-solids and gas-wall was studied at constant solids throughput, rotational speed and inclination angle, which, in turn, results in constant degree of fill. The gas flow rate was varied over the range of 18.6 to $95 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$, or 653 to 3334 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (kiln cross section). The Reynolds number in the gas phase varied from 1600 to 7800. The heat transfer coefficients, $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g w}$, are plotted against gas flow rate in Figure 6-29. As expected, raising the gas flow rate increases both gas to solids and gas to wall heat transfer coefficients.


Figure 6-28 Effect of Gas Temperature on Feat Transfer Coefficient


Figure 6-29 Effect of Gas Flow Rate on Heat Transfer Coefficient

As was noted above the values of $h_{g s}$ are about an order of magnitude higher than $h_{g w}$. The higher values of $h_{g s}$ are attributed to two related factors. The coefficient for the gas to solids heat transfer is based on the chord length of a plane bed surface. However the total surface area of particles on top of a static bed can be more than twice the plane surface area depending on particle arrangement. The other significant factor contributing to higher $h_{g s}$ :is rapid particle motion in lateral direction, which would prohihit the development of a viscous layer that would exist even on a rough plate, or on the kiln walls, and would present an even larger surface area for heat transfer.

If the thermal resistance of the gas/bed interface is pictured as consisting of two resistances in series, one on the gas side, and the other on the solid bed side, then the strong dependence of $h_{g s}$ on gas flow rate suggests the major resistance for heat flow from the gas phase to the bed is on the gas side. Any means that could reduce this resistance would definitely increase the heat flow. At the normal rotational speed of the rotary kiln the particles roll on the surface at speeds much greater than the circumferential speed of the kiln. Thus, the interface consists of a rolling mass of particles which would create turbulence in the gas phase near the bed surface.
6.10d Effect of Rotational Speed

Figure 6-30 shows the effect of rotational speed on the

$\begin{aligned} \text { Figure 6-30 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Effect of Rotational Speed on Heat } \\ \\ \\ \text { Transfer Coefficient. }\end{array}\end{aligned}$
heat transfer coefficients, $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g w}$. The effect of gas flow rate is excluded by dividing $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g w}$ with $W_{g}^{0.575}$ and $W_{g}^{n .475}$ respectively.

As seen in the figure rotational speed has a slightly positive effect on $h_{g s}$, and a significant negative effect on $h_{g w}$. The slightly positive effect of $N$ on $h_{g s}$ can he explained as follows. When heat is transferred from the gas to a stationary bed, heat first has to reach the bed surface by convection through a gas film and then penetrate into the bed by conduction. The convective heat transfer coefficient to a stationary hed can be estimated by the equations $(66,67)$ developed for gas flowing over a rough flat plate or through a rough empty tube. As the kiln starts to rotate at low speed, the hed slumps as described in Chapter 2 . In a slumping bed the bed surface remains tranquil between slumps. On the tranquil surface heat is transferred to the exposed rurden surface from the gas to create a thin hot layer of particulate material. When the burden slumps the gas "film" near the bed surface is suddenly agitated and the hot solid layer would mix with a layer mass of cooler material, leaving a fresh top surface to absorb heat during the next slump period. The periodic function of gas "film" disturbance and solid mixing certainly increases the heat transfer rate from the gas to the solid bed compared with that in a stationary bed. Under the slumping condition, the bed mixing is then expected to control the heat uptake hy the bed. Figure 6-3l illustrates the expected effect of $N$ on $h_{g s}$


Figure 6-3l Effect of N on $h$ in Both Slumping
with several types of bed movement. The coefficient $h_{g s}$ is expected to increase substantially with increasing $N$ until at a speed is reached where rolling starts. Once rolling begins the dominating thermal resistance is thought to shift to the gas side. Particle lateral velocity on the bed surface is high enough to convey any heat received through the gas "film" as described before. In the rolling bed an increase of rotational speed increases the lateral velocity of surface particle as

$$
V_{1} \propto N^{0.5}
$$

as shown in Figure 6-6. Since rotational speeds in this study range from 1 to 6 rpm , the lateral velocity increases by ahout 2.5 times, which apparently does not alter the gas side resistance markedly. As a result increasing rotational speed in the rolling bed increases the heat transfer coefficients from gas to solids bed only slightly.

The negative effect of increasing the rotational speed on the gas to wall coefficient appeared somewhat surprising. However, Cannon (68) reported the same effect of rotational speed on convective gas-wall heat transfer. Fis experiments were carried out with air flowing through an 1.52 m long empty rotating pipe, 0.0254 m in diameter. Laminar and transition regions of gas flow were investigated. The most significant effect of rotation was found to be in the transition region. He concluded that pipe rotation tends to stabilize the laminar flow so that transition occurs at higher Reynolds numbers.

Thise: would suggest that, at constant flow conditions (same Reynolds number), increasing rotational speed would decrease the heat transfer coefficient in the transition region. Reynolds number in gas phase varied from 1600 to 7800 in this study, of which most data are in the range of 2000 to 4000 which is not in the fully turbulent region.

By regression analysis the slope of $h_{g s} / W_{g}^{0.575}$ vs. N is 0.091 , and that of $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gw}} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.475}$ vs. N is -0.297 .
6.l0e Fffect of Degree of Fill

The effects of degree of fill on $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g w}$ are given in Figure 6-32. The ordinate are $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.575}{ }_{\mathrm{NT}}^{0.091}$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gW}} /$ $W_{g}^{0.475} 5_{N}-0.297$ which exclude the effects of gas flow rate and rotational speed. It appears in the figure that $h_{g s}$ decreases very slightly as the degree of fill increases while $h_{g w}$ is independent of the degree of fill.

The negative effect of $n$ on $h_{g s}$ can be explained as follows. As described above, in the rolling bed, the transfer of heat from the gas to the solids bed can be thought of as comprising two steps. Heat is first transferred to the surface particles which mix with particles of other surface layers before the mixture returns into the bed. The mixed particles will remain in the bed until they emerge again on the bed surface. This suggests that the ratio of bed surface to hed volume is an important factor in the heat transfer process. The bed surface available for gas-bed heat transfer increases at a given size of kiln as the holdup increases according to


Figure 6-32 Effect of Degree of .Fill on Heat Transfer Coefficient.

$$
A_{S} \propto \eta^{0.27} \quad 0.0 \Delta<n<0.30
$$

however, the ratio of hed surface to bed volume decreases significantly as

$$
\frac{\text { Bed surface }}{\text { Bed volume }} \propto \eta^{-0.73}
$$

Although the heat transfer rate, $q_{g s}$, is directly proportional to the bed surface area, experimental data in Table 6-4 for various degrees of fill at the same operating conditions show a much weaker dependence of $q_{g s}$ on $A_{s}$. In addition, the temperature differences, ( $\left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)_{\mathrm{lm}}$, were found akout the same in these three runs. Thus, the heat transfer coefficients, according to

$$
h_{g s}=\frac{q_{g s}}{A_{s} \Delta T_{l m}}
$$

have a slightly negative dependence on the degree of fill. The slope of $h_{g s} / W_{g}^{0.575} N^{0.091}$ vs. $n$ in the $\log -\log$ plot as shown in Figure 6-32 is -0.171.

It is expected that the gas to wall heat transfer coefficients are independent of the degree of fill,
6.10f Effect of. Solid Throughput and Inclination Angle

Figure 6-33 plots the term, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.575 \mathrm{n}^{-0 .} 171_{\mathrm{N}} 0.091}$ against solids throughput with inclination angle as parameter,

Table 6-4<br>Effect of Degree of Fill on Heat Transfer Rate and Bed Surface




Figure 6-33 Fffects of Solid Throughput and Inclination Angle on Gas-Solids Bed Heat Transfer Coefficient
while the plot of $h_{g w} / W_{g}^{n .475} N_{N}-0.297$ vs. solid throughput is given in Figure 6-34. The results of regression analysis show that both solid throughput and inclination angle have insignicant effects on $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g w}$. In a rolling bed, inclination angle would be expected to have no effect on heat transfer. Solid particles move through a rotary kiln as a result of continuous axial movements of particles on the bed surface. Axial velocity of particles is ahout one order of magnitude less that lateral velocity as seen in Figure $6-6$ when particles roll on the bed surface. The effect of this slow axial movement on heat transfer is negligible compared with rapid lateral movements of particles, which are caused hy the kilns rotation.

The regression analysis leads to the following equations for gas-solids heat transfer,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{g s}=2.44 \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.575} \mathrm{n}^{-0.171_{\mathrm{N}} 0.091} \tag{6-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for gas to wall,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gw}}=0.822 \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.475_{\mathrm{N}}-0.297} \tag{6-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.l0g Effect of Particle Size

Limestone with three तifferent particle sizes was used to study the effect of particle size. The three sizes used were 10-20, 20-38 and 28-35 Tyler mesh which corresponds to


Figure 6-34 Effect of Solid Throughput and Inclination Angle on Gas-Wall Heat Transfer Coefficient
average particle sizes of $1.26,0.72$ and 0.51 mm , respectively. Three runs of experiments were carried out for each of two different air flow rates, $18.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $34.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Whe experiments were carried out at temperatures much below calcining conditions. During the experiments a large amount of fine dust was created when limestone was fed into the kiln. The solid feed rate was originally set at $34 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$, however the solid output at discharge end was measured only $24-27 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. The dust accounts for $20-30 \%$ of feed rate. Most of this dust was immediately carried away when limestone dropped into the bed from the chute and then did not enter the kiln. Over the range covered, the effect of particle size on heat transfer is insignificant as seen in Table 6-5. The narrow size range of particles may be the reason for the insignificant particle size effect. However Brimacombe and Watkinson (69) have also reported little effect on heat flow to limestone in a rotary kiln calciner over particle size range of 0.75 to 2.65 mm . Change in particle size by a factor of 2.5 apparently neither generates further turbulence in the gas "film", nor produces significant increase in actual surface area per plane chord surface area available for heat transfer in a closed-packed array. The Biot numbers for the three sizes of particles are very small, $0.036,0.021$ and $0.015\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{p}}=20 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.692 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}\right.$ assumed), therefore the temperature within the particles becomes uniform after ahout one second of exposure to heat transfer.

Heat transfer coefficients from air to the limestone bed

Table 6-5

Gas to Solids Heat Transfer Coefficient:

|  | 1.26 | imestone $0.73$ | 0.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.6 $20.6-21.6 *$ <br> 34 $30.6-34.6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.9 \\ & 34.1 \end{aligned}$ | $23.7$ $34.7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.4 \\ & 31.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $W_{S}$ (limestone) <br> $W_{s}$ (Ottawa Sand) <br> $\mathrm{N}: 3 \mathrm{rpm}$ <br> * $\quad W / m^{2}{ }^{2}$ | $24$ $34$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr} \\ & 36 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |  |

are about the same as the case of Ottawa sand.
6.10h Comparison with Previous Work

A comparison was made of the experimental data in the present study on the effect of gas flowrate with two equations recommended in Perry's Chemical Fngineering Handbook:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=0.7981 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.67} \tag{2-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=0.0608 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{g}} 0.46 / \mathrm{D} \tag{2-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$G_{g}$ represents the gas flowrate per cross section area of the kiln. Equations 2-32 and 2-33 are plotted in Figure 6-35 with the experimental data obtained in this study.. Included in Figure 6-35 are the data of Friedman and Marshall (49) in a rotary dryer. The data were for the conditions that no flights were used.

The heat transfer coefficients predicted by equations 2-32 and 2-33 lie between the experimental data for $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}}$ and $h_{g w}$. Equation 2-32 was given based on the assumption that at high temperature the wall-film resistance to convection heat transfer from the gas to the wall is limiting and that at any point the bed temperature approaches the wall temperature. Although equations $2-32$ and $2-33$ were recommended for gas to wall heat transfer, these equations have been used for gas to solids bed. In fact, the present work shows that $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}}$ is about


Figure 6-35 Comparison of Experimental Data on Heat Transfer Coefficients.
one order of magnitude higher than $h_{g w}$ as seen in Figure 6-35. Although $h_{g w}$ shows more scatter than $h_{g s}$, the magnitude of $h_{g w}$ is the same as that for air flowing through a non-rotating tube. Figure 6-36 depicts the heat transfer data by Kreith (55) for air flowing through a $25.4-\mathrm{mm}-\mathrm{ID}, \mathrm{l} .52-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{long}$ tube. The ratio of $L / D$ of the tube he used was 60. In a typical case an air flow rate of $34 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ at temperature 400 K through an empty kiln of 0.19 m ID ( $G_{g}=1200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), the size used in this study, results in a gas-to-wall heat transfer coefficient $1.7 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ according to Figure 6-36 (Nu = 10, $\mathrm{Re}=2900$ ). This calculated value of $h_{g w}$ is the same order of magnitude as, however, lower than the experimental $h_{g w}, 2 \sim 4.7 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$, as given in Figure 6-35. The higher experimental values may result from the short length of the test section ( $L / D=2.8$ ) in the kiln, compared with the long tube $(L / D=60)$ used by Kreith.

To $\mathfrak{F a t i o n a l i z e ~ t h e ~ e f f e c t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ s h o r t ~ l e n g t h ~ o n ~ h e a t ~ t r a n s - ~}$ fer three runs were carried out in the empty kiln, Table 6-6 shows the results from these three runs, together with the data from Wes, et al for a 0.6-m-ID, 9.0-m-long empty drum. The heat transfer coefficients in this work were calculated over a test section of 0.53 m long, 0.56 m from the gas entry end. Thus, the test section was located between the distance of. $x / D=3.47$ and $x / D=6.25$ from the end of the kiln. The air flow rates for the test runs of this study and Wes et al were in the transition region with Reynolds number, ranging from 2160 to 4140. The transition flow may complicate the explanation of


Table 6-6 Comparison of Air-Wall Heat Transfer Coefficients in Fmpty Kilns

|  | ${ }^{W}{ }_{\mathrm{G}}$ | ${ }^{G}{ }_{g}$ | Re | $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gw}}$ | $\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{gw}}$ | $\operatorname{Tuf}_{f}^{*}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{Nu}}{ }_{\text {gw }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | k.g/hr | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $(-)$ | $W / m^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ | ( - ) | ( - ) | $\mathrm{Nu}_{f}$ |
| This work*** | 34.0 | 1193 | 2547 | 5.40 | 32.2 | 8.2 | 3.9 |
|  | 34.7 | 1193 | 2980 | 5.66 | 34.2 | 10.2 | 3.4 |
|  | 50.5 | 1772 | 4147 | 6.67 | 39.8 | 15.9 | 2.7 |
| Wes et al** | 95.7 | 336.3 | 2160 | 4.9 | 80.1 | 5.5 | 14.6 |
|  | 155.0 | 548.5 | 352 n | 5.1 | 83.4 | 12. 5 | 6.7 |

* Taken from Kreith (58) for air flowing through a 25.4-mm-IN, 1.52-m-long heated tube $(I / D=60)$
** For a 0.6-m-ID, $9 \sim m-1$ ong empty drum $(L / D=15)$
*** For a test section of 0.53-m-long
the effect of the entry section. The gas to wall heat transfer coefficients were found ahout the same for Wes, et al and this study, however Wes et al had the much higher Nusselt number because of larger value of kiln diameter. The values of $\mathrm{Nu}_{f}$ in Table 6-6 are considered for a fully-developed flow and taken from Figure 6-36. The Nusselt numbers in this study were found about 2.7 to 3.9 times $\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{f}}$. The reason for this higher number is mainly due to the entrance effect. Figure 6-37 plots the variation of the local Ilusselt number versus $x / n$ in the combined thermal and hydrodynamic entry region of a tube with constant heat rate per unit of length. The curve is for laminar flow ( $\mathrm{Re}=2100$ ). The test section of the kiln used in this study falls between $x / D=3.47$ and 6.25 shown in $F i g u r e$ 6-37. This region gives an average Nusselt number of 11.3 $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ which is 2.6 times the Nusselt numher, $\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{f}}=4.36$, for the fully-developed flow in a tube. This numrer, 2.f, of $N u_{g w} / N u_{f}$ is for the laminar flow however, it may well be that the same multiple exists, for the transition flow.


Figure 6-37 Variation of Local Nusselt Number in Thermal Fntry Region of a Tube with Constant Heat Rate Per Unit of Length (65)

### 6.11 Correlation of Heat Transfer Coefficients

Cannon (68) studied the heat transfer from fluid flowing through a rotating pipe. In his analysis the heat transfer coefficient is a function of the variables listed in the following equation

$$
h=f_{l}\left(\omega, L, u_{g}, \mu_{g}, \rho_{g}, C_{p g}, D, k_{g}\right)
$$

Dimensional analysis of this group of variables yields

$$
\mathrm{Nu}=\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Re}, \operatorname{Re}_{\varphi}, \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{D}, \operatorname{Pr}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Re}_{\omega}=D^{2}{ }^{W} \rho_{g} / \mu_{g}$, the rotational Reynolds number.
In a rotary kiln heat transfer is more complicated than that in an empty tube. The heat transfer coefficient is expected to depend on the following group of variables

$$
h=f_{3}\left(D, L, N, W_{g}, \mu_{g}, C_{p g}, k_{g}, \rho_{g}, W_{s}, d_{p}, k_{s}, C_{p s}, \rho, \eta, \alpha\right)
$$

Dimensional analysis leads to the following equation,

$$
\frac{h D_{e}}{k_{g}}=f_{4}\left(\frac{D_{e}^{2 \rho(w}}{\mu_{g}}, \frac{W_{g}}{D_{e^{\mu}}}, \frac{L}{D}, \frac{C_{p g}^{\mu}}{k_{g}}, n, \alpha, \frac{W_{s}}{W_{g}}, \frac{k_{s}}{k_{g}} \frac{\rho}{\rho}, \frac{C_{p s}}{C_{p g}}\right)(6-28)
$$

where $D_{e}$ is the equivalent diameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{e}=\frac{4 A \operatorname{cross} \operatorname{section}}{\text { Wetted Perimeter }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2 \pi-\beta+\sin \beta}{\pi-\frac{\beta}{2}+\sin \frac{\beta}{2}}\right) \tag{6-29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Operating variables are of major interest in this study. Since only one fluid (air) and solids (Ottawa sand and limestone) of slightly different $k_{s} / k_{g}, C_{p s} / C_{p g}$ and $\rho_{s} / \rho_{g}$ were used in the experiments, the terms, $C_{p g}{ }^{\mu} g^{\prime} / k_{g}, k_{s} / k_{g}, \rho_{s} / \rho_{g}$ and $C_{p s} / C_{p g}$ can be neglected in equation (6-28. In addition, the experiments were carried out in a kiln of single size, and the results show that $W_{S}$ and $\alpha$ have no effects on heat transfer. Therefore equation 6-28 is simplied to equation 6-30.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N u=a_{0} R^{a_{1}} \cdot \operatorname{Re}_{\dot{\omega}} a_{n} a_{3} \tag{6-30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are coefficients to be determined. Equation 6-30 is applicable to both gas-solid and gas-wall heat transfer.

Multiple linear regression was used to correlate the experimental data. The data of $N u_{g s} y i e l d$ the following equation for gas to solid bed,
$\ln \mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{gs}}=-0.777+0.535 .1 \mathrm{nRe}+0.134 \operatorname{lnRe}{ }_{\omega}-0.341 \ln \eta$
that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{gs}}=0.46 \mathrm{Re}^{0.535} \operatorname{Re}_{\omega} 0.104 n^{-0.341} \tag{6-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

'The result of regression analysis for Nugs is given in Table 6-7. Figure 6-38 shows the comparison of the experimental data with calculations based on equation 6-32 for gas-solids bed heat transfer.

The same procedure was carried out for the correlation of gas-to-wall Nusselt number. The resultant equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{gw}}=1.54 \mathrm{Re}^{0.575} \operatorname{Re}_{\omega}^{-0.292 .} \tag{6-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The regression analysis for equation 6-33 is given in Table 6-8. The degree of fill is insignificant for a $95 \%$ confidence limit. The comparison of the experimental data with equation 6-33 is given in Figure 6-39. The data for $\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{gw}}$ is relatively scattered. This is not surprising for experiments in a flow range covering the transition region.

### 6.12 Scaleup

For purposes of design and modelling, it is of interest to examine predicted heat transfer coefficients for larger size kilns using equations 6-32 and 6-33. Both equations were obtained from experimental results in a kiln of single size, 0.191 m . in diameter. The prediction of the effect of kiln size from these two equations should be considered as a projection only, and is subject to experimental verification in larger size kilns.

Equation 6-32 for $\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{gs}}$ may be rewritten in the form,

Table 6-7 Result of Regression Analysis for Mugs

Equation 6-31
$\ln N u_{g s}=b_{0}+b_{1} \operatorname{lnRe}+b_{2} \operatorname{lnRe} e_{\omega}+b_{3} \ln +b_{4} \ln a$ 95\% confidence interval

1. For $\ln \mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{gs}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{2}=0.9185 \quad \text { Standard error }=0.1063 \\
& F-\text { probability }=0.00
\end{aligned}
$$

2. For independent variables Significant variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Coefficients } \quad \text { Standard } \\
& \text { serror }
\end{aligned}
$$

| constant | -0.777 | 0.356 | 4.769 | 0.033 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ln \operatorname{Re}$ | 0.535 | 0.039 | 185.4 | 0.000 |
| $\ln \operatorname{Re}_{\omega}$ | 0.104 | 0.031 | 11.25 | 0.002 |
| $\ln \eta$ | -0.341 | 0.057 | 35.8 | 0.000 |

Insignificant variable

|  | Partial correlation | F-ratio | F-probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ln \alpha$ | 0.054 | 0.1137 | 0.7344 |



Figure 6-38 Comparison of Experimental Data with Predicted Values for $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ugs }}$.

## Table 6-8 Result of Regression Analysis for $\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{gv}}$

Equation 6-33

$$
\ln N u_{g w}=a_{0}+a_{1} \ln R e+a_{2} \ln R e_{\omega}+a_{3} \ln \eta+a_{4} \ln \alpha
$$

1. For $\ln \mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{gw}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{2}=0.6390 \quad \text { Standard error }=0.2524 \\
& F-\text { probability }=0.00
\end{aligned}
$$

Significant variables
Coefficient F-ratio F-probability

| constant | 0.432 | 0.8779 | 0.2426 | 0.6303 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In Re | 0.575 | 0.0807 | 50.79 | 0.000 |
| In Re ${ }_{\omega}$ | -0.292 | 0.0731 | 15.91 | 0.003 |

Insignificant variables

> Partial correlation F-ratio F-prohability
$\ln n$
0.1322
0.7118
0.4085
$\ln \alpha$
0.1465
0.8769
0.3575
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Figure 6-39 Comparison of Experimental Data with Predicted Values for $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ugw }}$.

$$
\frac{h_{g s^{D}}}{k_{g}}=0.46\left(\frac{D_{e} \bar{u}_{g}^{\rho} g}{\mu_{g}}\right)^{0.535}\left(\frac{D_{e}^{2} \omega \rho \rho_{g}}{\mu_{g}}\right)^{n .104} n^{-n .341}
$$

whence the dependence of $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}}$ on $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}} \propto \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}-0.257 \tag{6-34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly the dependence of $h_{g w}$ on $D_{e}$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gw}} \propto \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}-1 \tag{6-35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the equivalent diameter is directly proportional to the kiln diameter,

$$
\frac{D_{e}}{D}=\frac{2 \pi-\beta+\sin \beta}{\pi-\frac{\beta}{2}+\sin \frac{\beta}{2}}=\text { constant for fixed } n
$$

therefore, the predicted dependence of $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g w}$ on the kiln diameter are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}} \propto \mathrm{D}^{-0.257} \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{gw}} \propto \mathrm{D}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

For forced convection in an empty non-rotating tube, the
heat transfer coefficients are dependent on the tube diameter as

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \propto D^{-0.2} \text { for turbulent flow }  \tag{6-36}\\
& h \propto D^{-0.67} \text { for laminar flow } \tag{6-37}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 6-40 plots $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g w}$ versus $D$ hased on equations 6-32 and 6-33 at constant mass flux and temperature. The gas mass flux is typical of industrial kilns, where with larger diameters, the gas flow is in the fully turbulent region. Included in the plot are the equations recommended in Perry's handbooks (14, 15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=0.0981 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.67} \tag{2-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=0.0608 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{G}}^{0.46} / \mathrm{D} \tag{2-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above two equations were given to predict the heat transfer coefficient from gas to refractory wall in industrial kilns, and have subsequently been used for gas to solid hed by many investigators. As seen in Figure 6-40 equation 2-33 is about one order higher than equation 2-32 for industrial scale kilns. The predicted gas to solids coefficients by equation 2-32 and by equation 2-33 are close to each other at large diameter. The predicted gas to wall coefficient by equation 6-33 are about one half those predicated hy equation 6-32.


Figure 6-40 Predicted Heat Transfer Coefficients for Scaleup

## CHAPTER 7

A MODFL FOR GAS TO BED HEAT TRANSFFR

The experimental results show that the convective heat transfer coefficient from the gas to solids is about one order of magnitude higher than that from gas to wall. The higher coefficients are attrihuted to two factors: the motion of particles on the bed surface and the use of a plane surface area rather than the true surface used in the calculation of the heat transfer coefficient.
7.1 True Surface Area

Figure 7-1 shows the heat transfer path from the gas to the solid bed. The heat transfer rate is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{g s}=h_{g s} A_{s}\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right) \tag{7-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{S}$ is the plane area of bed surface and $T_{S}$ is the hed temperature. In this equation $T_{g}$ and $T_{S}$ are measurable and $A_{s}$ is only function of kiln radius and the degree of solid fill. Therefore, $h_{g s}$ is calculated if $\Omega_{g s}$ can be measured. However, this equation expresses only an indirect representation of the actual heat transfer mechanism. A direct expression for gas-


Figure 7-1 Heat Transfer from Gas to Solids Bed
solid heat transfer rate should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{g s}=h_{g s}^{\prime} A_{s}^{\prime}\left(T_{g}-T_{s}^{\prime}\right) \tag{7-1A}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{s}^{\prime}$ is the true exposed surface area of the bed, which is function of particle size, shape and array, and $T_{S}^{\prime}$ is the bed surface temperature. Since $T_{S}^{\prime}$ is about the same as $T_{S}$ in the present experiments as will be seen later, the relationship of $h_{g s}$ and $h_{g s}^{\prime}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{g s}=h_{g s}^{\prime} \frac{A_{s}^{\prime}}{A_{s}} \tag{7-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio of $A_{S}^{\prime} / A_{S}$ in equation $7-2$ is ohviously important in determining heat transfer coefficient. The values of $A_{s}^{\prime} / A_{s}$ depend on the types of particle arrays, and is about 1.78 for a cubic array as in Figure $7-2 \mathrm{~A}$ to 2.42 for a structure as shown in Figure 7-2B. The film study (Section 5.2) shows that Figure $7-2 \mathrm{~B}$ can best descrihe the array of larger alumina particles of $d_{p}=6.35 \mathrm{~mm}$. However a cubic array may be used to approximate the condition for Ottawa sand of 0.73 mm .

The second factor which contributes to the higher gassolid heat transfer coefficient is the motion of surface particles. As described in Chapter 6, the particles roll down on the surface at a speed much higher than the kiln circumferential velocity. The moving particles not only agitate the gas boundary layer adjacent to the bed surface, but also continuously remove
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Figure 7-2 Array of Surface Particles
the heat received by the particles themselves. These phenomena are expected to increase the heat transfer coefficient from the gas to the bed over that of a flat plate for example.

### 7.2 Individual Particle Feat Transfer

First consider an individual particle in the first layer, just coming out of the bed with a temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$. It receives heat from the gas while it is rolling on the surface. Part of the received heat may be transferred by conduction to the particles of the adjacent layers. In a low temperature process the conduction heat may compose only a small fraction of the total heat received by the particle.

The equation governing the heat balance of a single particle rolling down the surface is given,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}{ }_{p} C_{p_{p}} \cdot \frac{d T}{d t}=h_{p} S_{1}\left(T_{g}-T\right)-h_{d^{S}} l^{\prime}\left(T-T T_{S}\right) \tag{7-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{p}, \rho_{p}, C_{p_{p}}$ are volume, density and specific heat of particle, respectively.
$S_{1}$ and $S_{1}$ are exposed and covered surface area of particle in the first layer,

$$
S_{1}^{\prime}=\pi d_{p}^{2}-S_{1}
$$

The last term of Equation (7-3) accounts for heat transfer to particles below. Since the experiments in this study were carried out at low temperature, the conduction term is
neglected relative to the gas to particle convection.
It is of interest to know whether the temperature within the particle becomes uniform after the particle surface temperature suddenly changes. The thermal diffusivity of Ottawa sand is $0.277 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ and the particle diameter is 0.73 mm . The time (surface time, $t_{S_{1}}$ ) for the particle exposed to the gas stream is ahout one second. Thus, the value of Fourier modulus at ${ }_{s l} / r_{p}^{2}$ is 2.08 . This value shows the particle center temperature is immediately raised to the surface temperature according to Carslaw and Jaeger (70).

Let be the particle temperature before it returns to the bed, and $t_{s_{1}}$ is the surface time of the particles in the first layer. Integration of equation $7-3$ with the following conditions,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t=0 & T=T_{S} \\
t_{S_{1}} & T=T_{S 1} \tag{7-4}
\end{array}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{s_{1}}-T_{s}}{T_{g}-T_{s}}=1-\exp \left(-\frac{h_{p} S_{1}}{V_{p^{\rho}} p^{C} p_{p}} t_{s_{1}}\right) \tag{7-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ottawa sand used for the experiments has a diameter of $n, 73 \times 10^{-3} m$, which gives $V_{p}=0.204 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}=0.837 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The values of $C_{p_{p}}$, and $\rho_{p}$ for the sand are $0.603 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{gK}$ and $2527 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$,
respectively. The gas to particle heat transfer coefficient $h_{p}$, and the surface time, $t_{s l}$ are reasonahly assumed at $15 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ (Section 7. A) and 1 second respectively. The substitution of the above values yields

$$
\frac{h_{p} s_{1}}{V_{p}^{p} p_{p} c_{p}} t_{S_{1}} \approx 0.04
$$

Thus, equation 7-5 can be approximater as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{S 1}-T_{S}}{T_{g}-T_{s}}=\frac{h_{p} S_{1} t_{S 1}}{V_{p} p_{p} c_{p}} \tag{7-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equation $7-6$ is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p} \rho_{p} C_{p_{p}}\left(T_{S_{1}}-T_{S}\right)=h_{p} S_{1} t_{S l}\left(T_{G}-T_{S}\right) \tag{7-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side of this equation represents the heat accumulated by a particle while it stays on the aerated bed surface, and the right-hand side represents the heat received by the particle by convection from the gas. For a temperature driving force, $T_{g}-T_{s}=50 \mathrm{~K}$, the particle temperature is raised only 2 K . Considering the low thermal conductivity of Ottawa sand and the temperature difference of 2 K heat transfer : to the particles underneath by conduction will be insignificant.

However, conduction should not be neglected for material of high thermal conductivity, such as metal pellets in high temperature processes.

A similar derivation for particles in the secondary layer of the surface region which ignores heat received and transferred by conduction results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{s_{2}}-T_{s}}{T_{g}-T_{s}}=1-\exp \left(-\frac{h_{p} S_{2}}{V_{p} p_{p} C_{p}} t_{s_{2}}\right) \tag{7-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The particles in the second layer will have different surface time and exposed surface area from that in the first layer.
7.3 Gas to Bed Heat Transfer Coefficient

It is assumed that no particles below the first two aerated layers of the surface region receive heat by convection from the gas, where the temperatures of the aerated surface particles are raised to $T_{S_{1}}$ and $T_{S_{2}}$ from $T_{S}$. The heated particles then mix with the particles in the other surface layers as they return to the bed. The number of particles emerging from the bed to the surface region per unit time is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}=\frac{\pi}{4} M_{\Delta} x \cdot n\left(l_{s}^{2}-8 R_{k} \cos \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \tag{7-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the number of particles per unit volume and $k$ is the thickness of the surface region. $\Delta x$ is a short distance
of kiln length.
The detailed derivation of equation 7-9 is given in the appendix. If the rolling rates of particles in the first and second layers respectively are expressed as,

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{1} \Delta \mathrm{xV}{ }_{11}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{2}=\mathrm{m}_{2} \Delta x \mathrm{~V}_{12} \tag{7-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then a thermal halance of the mixing process can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}\left(T_{s m}-T_{s}\right)=F_{1}\left(T_{s 1}-T_{s}\right)+F_{2}\left(T_{S_{2}}-T_{s}\right) \tag{7-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{s m}$ is the temperature of the mixture before it returns to the bed. After rearrangement, equation 7-11 becomes,

$$
T_{s m}-T_{s}=\frac{F_{1}}{F_{m}}\left(T_{s_{1}}-T_{s}\right)+\frac{F_{2}}{F_{m}}\left(T_{s_{2}}-T_{s}\right)
$$

The following equation is obtained after substituting equations 7-4 and 7-8 in the above equation,

$$
\frac{T_{s m}-T_{s}}{T_{g}-T_{s}}=\frac{F_{1}}{F_{m}}\left[1-\exp \left(-\frac{h_{p} c_{1}}{V_{p} \rho_{p} C_{p}} t_{s 1}\right)\right]+\frac{F_{2}}{F_{m}}\left(1-\exp \left(\frac{h_{p} S_{2}}{v_{p} \rho_{p} c_{p}} t_{S_{2}}\right)\right]
$$

.. Therefore, the heat abṣorbed by the rolling particles is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{g s}=F_{m} \rho_{p} C_{p} V_{p}\left(T_{s m}-T_{s}\right) \tag{7-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations $7-1,7-9,7-12$ and $7-13$, and letting $A_{s}=$ $\Delta x \cdot l_{s}$, one will obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{g s}= & \frac{\rho_{p} V_{p} C_{p_{p}}}{l_{s}}\left\{m_{1} V_{11}\left[1-\exp \left(-\frac{h_{p} s_{1}}{V_{p} \rho_{p} C_{p_{p}}} t_{s_{1}}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+m_{2} V_{12}\left[1-\exp \left(-\frac{h_{p} S_{2}}{V_{p} \rho_{p} C_{p_{p}}} \cdot t_{s_{2}}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{7-14}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to evaluate $h_{g s}, V_{l}$ (or $t_{s}$ ) and $h_{p}$ must he determined. Unfortunately there is no information in the literature on the relationship of $V_{1}$ to other operating parameters. For an ideal system the following equation is derived (see Appendix)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa V_{11}=\frac{\pi}{2} n\left(1 l_{s}^{2}-8 R \kappa \cos \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \tag{7-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the thickness of surface region. The velocity, $V_{12}$, of particles in the second layer can be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{12}=\left(1-\frac{d_{p}}{k}\right) v_{11} \tag{7-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to Figure 5-2. In equation $7-15$, $k$ and $V_{l}$ are related to rotational speed N and the degree of fill. Furthermore, the experimental results in Figure 5-5 show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{11} \propto \sqrt{\mathrm{~N}} \tag{7-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, there is still a need to know $k$, which is also unavailable in the literature. Based on the film study $\mathrm{V}_{11}=0.24 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ was observed for alumina sphere at 4.78 rpm . It seems reasonable to assume $V_{11} \simeq 0.20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ at 3 rpm for Ottawa sand and this value will be used to calculate $k$ and $h_{g s}$.
7.4 Gas to Particle Heat Transfer Coefficient

No information on the heat transfer coefficient from gas to rolling particles, $h_{p}$, for a system similar to rotary kiln was reported in the literature. Since the bed in rotary kiln is close to the fixed bed system, heat transfer data for the fixed bed will be used as a guide, although the gas flow pattern is different as described below.

Kunii and Levenspiel (71) reported a correlation of
heat transfer data for fixed bed in terms of $N u_{p}$ versus $\operatorname{Re}_{p}$, which is reproduced in Figure 7-3. $N u_{p}$ and $R e_{p}$ are definer as,

$$
\operatorname{Re}_{p}=\frac{u_{o}{ }_{0} g^{d} p_{p}}{\mu_{g}}
$$



Figure 7-3 Reported Results for Gas-to-Particle Heat Transfer in Fixèd Bed.
(Kunii \& Levenspiel, 71).
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N u_{p}=\frac{h_{p f} d_{p}}{k_{g}} \tag{7-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. $u_{0}$ is the relative velocity between the particle and the fluid. To use this approach for the surface of the bed in the kiln where the aerated particles are rolling perpendicular to the gas flow, $u_{0}$ in equation 7-18 is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=\sqrt{u_{g}^{2}+v_{1}{ }_{i}^{2}} \tag{7-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{g}$ is the gas superficial velocity through the empty space of the kiln.

$$
u_{g}=\frac{W_{g} / \rho g}{\pi R^{2}(I-\eta)}
$$

The value of $u_{g}$ is expected to be higher than that of the true gas velocity near the bed surface.

The gas is flowing over the bed in the rotary kiln whereas in the fixed bed the gas is flowing through the bed. So, the effectiveness of gas-particle heat transfer in a rotary kiln is expected to be lower than that in a fixed bed. The value of this effectiveness is not known. In a fixed bed each particle has adjacent particles surrounding it, however, in rotary kiln about the half surface area of the aerated particles is exposed to the gas stream. It is, therefore, likely that
$h_{p}$ for the aerated particle in a rotary kiln is roughly a fraction of $h_{p f}$ in a fixed hed.

Chen et al (50) reported the gas to particle heat transfer in a rotary dryer. In their experiments hot air, instead of flowing through the dryer, was passed through the hed from the wall which was made of plate with holes and pitch covered with a screen. The data of the heat transfer coefficients between gas and particles were found to be in the range of fixed bed. The data are also shown in Figure 7-3.
7.5 Comparison with Fxperimental Data

The comparison of the experimental data with equation $7-14$ is given in Figure $7-4$ where $h_{g s}$ is plotted versus $W_{g}$. All the experimental data are included. The input data for calculation for equation 7-14 are given in Table 7-1 and the calculated results are given in Table 7-2. Three curves with $h_{p}$ are taken as $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$ and 1 times that for a fixed bed. The curve with $\frac{1}{2} h_{p f}$ fits the data better than the other two. The value of $h_{p}$ taken as one half of $h_{p f}$ seems reasonable since only half of surface area of the aerated particle is exposed to the gas stream. If the value of $h_{p}$ for the aerated particle is taken from $N u_{g}=2$ which corresponds to an isolated sphere at low Reynolds number this results in a much higher value of heat transfer coefficient than $h_{p f}$, and the prediction of $h_{g s}$ would be considerably higher.

In equation 7-lis the exponential terms are found to be


Figure 7-4 Comparison of Theoretical Curve with Experimental Data.

## Table 7-1

Input data for Equation 7-14

For Particle (Ottawa sand)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{p}=0.73 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} \\
& \rho_{p}=2527.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}}=0.603 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{gK} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.204 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{1}=0.837 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{2}=0.042 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~m}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{2}=2.17 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{no} . \text { of particles } / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For air (at 422 K )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\mathrm{g}} & =2.369 \times 1 \mathrm{n}^{-5} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{s} \\
\mathrm{\rho}_{\mathrm{g}} & =0.833 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{g}} & =0.031 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

Operating conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N} & =3 \mathrm{rpm} \\
\mathrm{n} & :=11 \% \\
\mathrm{~V}_{11} & =0.20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 7-2

## Calculations for Gas-Particle Heat Transfer


$+\quad$ assumed

* taken from Figure 7-3
quite small. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{h_{p} S_{1}}{V_{p^{\rho}} p_{p} C_{p}} \cdot \frac{1}{V_{11}} \approx 0.042 \\
& \frac{h_{p} S_{2}}{V_{p_{p}}{ }_{p} C_{p_{p}}} \cdot \frac{1}{V_{12}} \approx 0.005
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mathrm{V}_{1}=0.20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ at $\mathrm{N}=3 \mathrm{rpm}$. The ratio of these two terms indicates that the top layer probably receives about 8 times as much as heat from the gas than does the second layer, and the second layer could probably be ignored in the ahove analysis.

The effect of rotational speed on $h_{g s}$ calculated from equation 7-14 is shown in Figure 7-5. The calculated results are given in Table 7-3. The slope of $h_{g s}$ versus $N$ is 0.1 which coincides roughly with the experimental data.

The mathematical model for gas-solid heat transfer has been established from a single particle. In the model two factors which significantly affect the gas to solid bed heat transfer are the particle array on the top bed layer and the gas to rolling particle heat transfer coefficient. The cubic packing array was assumed for Ottawa sand bed. The gas-torolling particle heat transfer coefficient, taken as one-half value of that for fixed bed, yields a reasonable prediction for the rotary kiln. To make the model more adaptable further


Figure 7-5 Effect of Rotational Speed on Theoretical Gas-Solids Beतr Heat Transfer Coefficient

Table 7-3

## Calculation of $h_{g s}$ from Equation 7-14

| $N(r p m)$ | 1.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ | 0.447 | 0.447 | 0.447 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | 0.116 | $0.20^{+}$ | 0.283 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | 0.462 | 0.490 | 0.529 |
| $\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 11.8 | 12.6 | 13.6 |
| $\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}$ | n. 60 | 0.62 | 0.66 |
| $N u_{p}=\frac{3}{2} N u_{p}^{*}$ | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 |
| $h_{p} \quad W / m^{2} K$ | 12.7 | 13.1 | 14.0 |
| $\mathrm{k} \times 10^{3}, \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.221 | 0.369 | 0.504 |
| $h_{g s} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ | 23.8 | 24.8 | 26.5 |

$+\quad$ assumed

* taken from Figure 7-3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta=11 \% \\
& W_{g}=34.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}
\end{aligned}
$$

, work on the thickness of the mixing zone and the particle lateral velocity is required. Both $\kappa$ and $V_{1}$ are used to determine the temperature of the mixing particles in the surface region.

## CHAPTER 8

## MODELLING OF ROTARY KILN HEAT EXCHANGER

As noted in the first two chapters a number of studies on rotary kiln modelling have keen published (5-14), however, there was a lack of experimental data on heat transfer to incorporate into the models. Although Watkinson and Brimacombe (4) reported some results on heat transfer in a direct-fixed kiln, 0.406 m inside diameter, their data are still not in a form readily adapted for scaleup to industrial size kilns.

Kern (15) estahlished a model for heat transfer in a rotary heat exchanger. In his model , the rotating wall temperature is formulated in terms of gas and solid temperatures by taking into account the periodic cooling and heating of the wall. By eliminating the wall temperature, he obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d T_{g}}{d x}=a_{1} T_{S}+a_{2} T_{g}+a_{3} \\
& \frac{d T_{S}}{d x}=b_{1} T_{S}+b_{2} T_{g}+b_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ are functions of the mass flow rates, rotational speed and the heat transfer coefficients. The heat transfer coefficients were assumed, $h_{o}=5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sg}}=1.5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ (for solid bed to gas), and $h_{s w} / h_{w g}=3$. The assumed values of heat transfer coefficients do not seem to be reliahle in terms of the measured values reported in the present study.

A model of a rotary kiln heat exchanger in the low temperature range where radiation effects can be neglected is presented here. The heat transfer coefficients established in Chapter 6 can be used in the model. Thus, the equations used earlier to calculate heat transfer coefficients from temperature profiles are just inverted to calculate temperature profiles from heat transfer coefficients. The equations governing the heat balances on gas and solid phases over a small differential distance are given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{g} C_{p g} \frac{d T}{d x}=h_{g s^{\prime}}\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right)+h_{g w} 1_{w}\left(T_{g}-T_{w}\right)  \tag{8-1}\\
& W_{s} C_{p s} \frac{d T}{d x}=h_{g s^{\prime}} l_{s}\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right)-h_{s w} I_{w}^{\prime}\left(T_{s}-T_{w}\right) \tag{8-2}
\end{align*}
$$

The heat capacities, $C_{p g}$ and $C_{p s}$, are assumed constant over the temperature range of interest. The wall temperature, $T_{w}$, is assumed circumferentially constant at a particular location. This simplifying assumption is justified by the experimental results given in Chapter 6 : and by those of reference (4).

The inside refractory temperature (if there is a lining material in the kiln), $T_{w}$, in equations $8-1$ and $8-2$ must be known in order to obtain the gas and solid temperature profiles. Therefore a heat balance over the wall is set up to obtain $T_{w}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Gas to Wall Solids to Wall }=\begin{array}{l}
\text { Heat loss through } \\
\text { convection }+ \text { convection } \\
\text { Wall by Conduction } \\
h_{g w} I_{w}\left(T_{g}-T_{w}\right)+h_{S w} I_{w}^{\prime}\left(T_{s}-T_{w}\right)=\frac{2 \pi k_{w}\left(T_{w}-T_{w O}\right)}{\ln \left(R_{2} / R_{1}\right)}
\end{array} .
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{\text {wo }}$ is the outside lining temperature. It is further assumed that there is no temperature drop across the metal kiln shell. Therefore, the heat loss through the kiln shell to the surroundings is given by

$$
\frac{2 \pi k_{W}\left(T_{W}-T_{W O}\right)}{\ln \left(R_{2} / R_{1}\right)}=h_{o} l_{o}\left(T_{w o}-T_{o}\right)
$$

Substitution of equations 8-3 and 8-4 into equations 8-1 and 8-2 yields two first order linear equations for gas and solids temperatures which can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T_{g}}{d z}=D_{1} T_{g}-D_{2} T_{s}-D_{3} T_{o} \tag{8-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T_{S}}{d z}=E_{1} T_{g}-E_{2}^{T}{ }_{S}+E_{3} T_{0} \tag{8-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=x / L$, and the coefficients $D_{n}$ and $F_{n}$ are functions of mass flow rate and heat transfer coefficients as given in Table 8-1. Kern (15) obtained somewhat similar equations for the gas and solids temperature.

Equations 8-5 and 8-6 can be solved if a set of houndary conditions are given. In this study both the inlet gas and solid temperatures are fixed as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{z}=0 & \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{SO}} \\
\mathrm{z}=1 & \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gL}} \tag{8-7}
\end{array}
$$

The heat transfer coefficients used in Table 8-1 are calculated from the following equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{g s}=0.46 \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{g}}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}} \mathrm{Re}^{0.54} \operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{w}}^{0.10} \eta^{-0.31}  \tag{6-31}\\
& h_{g w}=1.54 \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{g}}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}} \mathrm{Re}^{0.58} \mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{w}}^{-0.29} \quad(\epsilon-32) \\
& h_{S W}=11.6 \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}}{I_{W}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{nR}^{2} \beta}{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{0.3} \tag{6-2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It is further assumed that the bed height along the kiln is uniform. For a non-uniform bed system, Kramers and Crooclewit (29) developed a formula for bed height along the kiln as function of operating parameters. Since the gas-solid heat transfer coefficients depend on the degree of fill, the assumption of

Tahle 8-1

The Coefficient for Fquations 8-5 and 8-6

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}=B_{1}+B_{2}-B_{2} A_{5} \\
& D_{2}=B_{1}+A_{2} A_{5} B_{2} \\
& D_{3}=A_{4} A_{5} B_{2} \\
& E_{1}=B_{3}+B_{4} A_{5} \\
& E_{2}=B_{3}+B_{4}-A_{2} A_{5} B_{4} \\
& E_{3}=B_{4} A_{4} A_{5}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\frac{h_{o} l_{o} \ln \left(R_{2} / R_{1}\right)}{2 k_{W}} \\
& {\underset{\Delta}{A}}^{A_{1}}=\frac{A_{1} A_{3}}{1+A_{1}} \\
& A_{2}=\frac{h_{s w} 1_{w}^{\prime}}{\tilde{h}_{g w}{ }^{1}{ }_{w}} \\
& A_{3}=\frac{2 k_{w}}{h_{g w}{ }^{1}{ }_{w} \ln \left(R_{2} / R_{1}\right)} \\
& B_{1}=\frac{h_{g s}{ }^{1} s^{L}}{W_{g} C_{p g}} \\
& B_{3}=\frac{h_{g s^{1}}{ }^{L}}{W_{s} C_{p s}} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{2}=\frac{h_{\mathrm{gw}}{ }^{I} \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{g}} C_{\mathrm{pg}}} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{4}=\frac{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sw}} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{w}}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{WsCps}}
\end{aligned}
$$

uniform bed depth makes the prohlem simpler. Once the operating parameters ( $N, \alpha, n, D$ ) are fixed, the solid throughput for $a$ uniform bed system can be calculated from the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}=77.7!\pi_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{ND}^{2} \rho_{\mathrm{s}} \tan \alpha / \sin \theta \tag{2-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
{ }^{\tau}{ }_{L}=\frac{D}{2}\left(1-\cos \frac{\beta}{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\sin \beta) \tag{2-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gas and solid temperature profiles can be obtained by solving equations 8-5 and 8-6 with equation 8-7. Equation 8-7 gives the boundary conditions at opposite ends of the kiln, which makes an analytical solution difficult. Therefore, Runge Kutta method with trial and error was used. First, Tgo was assumed at $z=0$ and equations $8-5$ and $8-6$ were solved with boundary conditions $T_{s}=T_{s o}, T_{g}=T_{g o}$ at $z=0$. Gas temperature at $z=1$ was then calculated and compared with $T \mathrm{gL}$ as given in equation 8-7. If the calculated gas temperature was not equal to $T_{g L}$, another value for $T$ go was assumed and the procedure repeated until the calculated gas temperature equal to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gL}}$. A flow chart for computer program is given in Figure 8-1. If both the boundary conditions are set at the same end of the kiln, equations 8-5 and 8-6 can be solved analytically.

A kiln, 3 m I.D. by 80 m long with 0.15 m thick refract-

Figure 8-1 Flow Chart of Computer Program for Temperature Proliles

ory lining is selected to illustrate the model. The rotational speed, inclination angle and the degree of fill are chosen so that the solids throughput is about the same order as an industrial lime kiln. The necessary parameters for modelling are collected in Table 8-2. The inlet gas temperature is kept at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, while the inlet solid temperature at the other end of the kiln is fixed at 350 k .

Fquations 8-5 and 8-6 for temperature profile are solved with the aid of Table 8-1 for the coefficients. Heat transfer coefficients are calculated from equations 6-26, 31 and 32, while solid throughput is calculated from equation 2-12. The results for gas and solid temperature profiles are depicted in solid lines in Figure 8-2. The temperatures are expressed in dimensionless form, ( $\left.T-T_{\text {SO }}\right) /\left(T_{g 1}{ }^{-T}{ }_{\text {SO }}\right)$.

Included in Figure 8-2 are the temperature profiles with heat transfer coefficients calculated from the equations recommended in Perry's Chemical Fngineering Handbook (46, 47).

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=0.0981\left(\frac{W_{g}}{A_{C}}\right)^{0.67} \tag{2-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=0.061\left(\frac{W_{g}}{A_{c}}\right)^{0.46} / \mathrm{D} \tag{2-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

As expected the local solids temperature increases considerably as the heat transfer coefficients increase. The gas temperature drops accordingly.

Table 8-2 Modelling Parameters

Solid phase : Ottawa sand
Gas phase : Air
$D=3 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~L}=80 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gL}}=500 \mathrm{~K}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {so }}=350 \mathrm{~K}$
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{O}}=10 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.043 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$
$\mathrm{N}=1 \mathrm{rpm}$
$\alpha=0.7^{\circ}$
$\eta=11 \%$
$\frac{W_{s}}{A}=2130 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{m}^{2}(\mathrm{kiln}$ cross section $) ~$

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Figure 8-2 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Effect of Heat Transfer Coefficient on } \\ \text { T.emperature Profiles }\end{array}\end{array}$

Figure 8-3 gives the effect of gas flow rate on the temperature profile. The results are also as expected. Increasing gas flow rate increases both gas-solid and gas-wall heat transfer coefficient. As a result, the solid temperature increases repidly, however, the gas temperature drops are small due to the high gas flow rate.

The wall temperature for the operating conditions given in Table 8-2 is illustrated in Figure 8-4. Wall temperatures are consistently higher than the solid temperatures along the kiln due to the presence of the thick refractory lining, and low heat loss. For comparison, a rotary kiln of the same dimension having no refractory lining is assumed. The kiln wall is 0.051 m in thickness, made of mild steel having thermal conductivity $45.2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{K}$. In this case, the wall temperature drops below the solid temperature, and the gas temperature also drops due to high heat loss through the wall. This type of behaviour was found in the present experimental study. The effect of kiln length on the temperature profile is given in Figure 8-5 . For a lime kiln equipped with a preheater, the kiln length of the 3 m I.D. kiln is typically about 50 m . For a short kiln, the exit gas temperature is expectedly, higher than that for a long kiln if the entering gas temperatures are maintained the same for both cases.

The effect of kiln diameter is of most interest to the designer of the kiln. The switch of kiln diameter involves a change of heat transfer coefficients and solid throughput. It may also alter the flow pattern if the rotational speeds are


Figure 8-3 Effect of Gas Flow Rate on Modelling of Rotary Kiln.


Figure 8-4 Effect of Refractory Insulation on Modelling of Rotary Kiln.


Figure 8-5 Effect of Kiln Length on Modelling of Rotary Kiln.
maintained the same. In order to compare the effect of kiln diameter the solid flow pattern in kilns of different diameters should be kept the same, which can be accomplished by maintaining the same Froude number,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N}{N_{C}}=\frac{N^{\prime}}{N_{C}^{\prime}}=\text { constant or } \\
& \frac{N}{N^{\prime}}=\frac{D^{\prime}}{n}=\text { constant }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the rotational speed must he adjusted accordingly if the change of the kiln size is necessary. The second factor that should be taken into account is the solid throughput per kiln cross area. This factor will govern the residence time in kilns of the same length. In this calculaton, the solid throughputs per kiln cross section are maintained constant at varying kiln diameters. According to equation 2-l2, the inclination angle must be adjusted to keep the same degree of solid fill. Fortunately, the effect of inclination angle on heat transfer is nil.

Figure 8-6 shows the effect of three different kiln diameters, $3 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~m}$ and l m for a 50 m long kiln. Roth the ratio of $N / N_{C}$ and solid residence time are kept constant. As seen in the figure, small diameter kilns show high heat transfer efficiency. This is due to higher heat transfer coefficients for small kilns. Figure 8-7 gives the effect of kiln diameter at fixed L/D ratio. Although small kilns have a shorter kiln


Figure 8-6 Fffect of Kiln Diameter on Modelling of Rotary Kiln Heat Exchanger


Figure 8-7 Fffect of Filn Diameter on Temperature Profile at Fixed L/D ratio
length, the strong effect on heat transfer coefficients overcomes the negative effect of short length.

The model of the rotary kiln heat exchanger has been developed for low temperature and non-reacting systems. The high temperature process requires a radiative term added into Equations 8-1 and 8-2 which will result in non-linear firstorder equations. For a reaction system an additional term, the heat of reaction, should be added, and the equation for material balance should be supplemented as well.

## CHAPTER 9

## CONCLUSIONS

Convective heat transfer from gas to solids hed and wall has been studied experimentally as a function of operating parameters. The parameters covered included temperature level, gas flow rate, solid throughput, inclination angle, rotational speed, degree of fill and particle size. Tests were done on both limestone and Ottawa sand. It was found that the heat transfer coefficient from the gas to solids bed based on the plane chord area is roughJy an order of magnitude higher than that from gas to wall. The experimental results show that both solid throughput and inclination angle have no influence on heat transfer coefficients and the effect of degree of fill on the gas to wall heat transfer coefficient is insignificant. The effect of particle size is negligible, which possirly results from the narrow range of particle sizes tested. The following dimensional equations have been obtained for the correlation of experimental data for Ottawa sand.

$$
h_{g s}=2.44 \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.575} \mathrm{~N}^{0.091} \mathrm{n}^{-0.171}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gw}}=0.82 \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0.475_{\mathrm{N}}-0.297}
$$

The higher gas to solids bed heat transfer coefficients partly result from the underestimation of the true surface area used in the calculation of the coefficient. The actual exposed surface area is about twice as much as the plane chord area. Rapid particle velocity on the hed surface contributes significantly to high gas-solids bed heat transfer. It not only agitates the gas adjacent to the bed surface, but also convects heat by virtue of mixing of the fast-rolling particles into the bed. A mathematical model has been developed to describe the influence of particle movement for a rolling bed.

Since the motion of aerated particles was thought to he important for heat transfer, exploratory experiments on measurements of surface time and surface velocity were made. In the rolling bed the lateral velocity of particles on the bed surface increases with the square root of the rotational speed.

Residence time distribution in a rotary kiln was studied briefly. The results show that the solid flow in a rotary kiln can be assumed as. a plug flow axially and well-mixed radially.

A simple model of a rotary kiln heat exchanger was constructed to illustrate the effects of kiln parameters on temperature profiles in a low temperature kiln.

CHAPTER 10

RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURF WORK

Future work on convective heat transfer in a rotary kiln should entail gathering more data relating to particle mechanics - particularly particle velocity on the beत surface. Besides rotational speed, degree of fill may also be a major factor on surface time, ratio of surface time to retention time and thickness of surface layers. The thickness of surface layers and its associated particle velocity in each layer should be investigated to thoroughly understand the heat transfer mechanism, particularly in high temperature processes such as the directfired kiln. The experiments could be carried out in a lucite kiln using a high speed camera.

In industrial kilns the slumping type of particle movement may also occur. Since it has been indicated that increased bed surface velocity is one of the major factors that enhances heat transfer coefficients, it is to be expected that gas to solids bed heat transfer will increase significantly as the slumping frequency of particle mass increases until the bed reaches the rolling mode. Further work is also suggested to investigate the heat transfer in the slumping bed, particularly the influence of slumping frequencies which in turn are affecter.
by rotational speed.
Although the correlation equations for convective heat transfer coefficients for both gas to bed and gas to wall have been obtained in the present study, it is still inadequate for scaleup and design for industrial kilns. It is thus, suggested that the kilns of at least two sizes larger than one used in the present study be tested, so that the effects of diameter, and length to diameter ratio can be demonstrated.

| A | area | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{S}$ | plane area of bed surface | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {A }} S^{\prime}$ | actual area of bed surface | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $A_{n}$ | constants, defined in Table 8-1 | - |
| a | heat diffusivity | $m^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $B_{n}$ | constants, defined in Table 8-1 | - |
| C | dimensionless concentration | - |
| $\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | specific heat | J!9K |
| C | number of particles per unit weight | $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ |
| D | kiln diameter | m |
| $D_{n}$ | constants, defined in Table 8-1 | - |
| D | axial dispersion coefficient | $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | distance per cycle | m |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | particle diameter | m |
| $d^{\prime}$ | thickness of wall layer | m |
| E | exit gas distribution | $s^{-1}$ |
| $E_{n}$ | constants, defined in Table 8-1 | - |
| F | solid volumetric flow rate | $m^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | emerging rate of particles from bed region to surface region | $s^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | gas mass flux based on empty kiln | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| 9 | acceleration of gravity | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ |
| H | heat flow rate | W |
| h | heat transfer coefficient | $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | conductive heat transfer coefficient | $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $\mathrm{hg}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ | gas-to-bed heat transfer coefficient based on actual surface area | $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $h^{\prime}$ | relative heat transfer coefficient | $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |
| k | thermal conductivity | $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{mK}$ |
| L | kiln length | m |
| $l_{t}$ | length of test section | m |
| $1_{s}$ | bed surface area per unit length | $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| $1_{W}$ | exposed wall area per unit length | $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ |


| $1_{W}{ }^{\prime}$ | covered wall area per unit length | $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | number of particles per unit volume | $\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| m | number of particles per unit area | $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ |
| N | rotational speed | rpm |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | critical rotational speed | rpm |
| n | rotational speed | rps |
| Q | heat transfer rate | W |
| q | heat transfer rate per unit length | W/m |
| R | kiln radius | m |
| r | radius position | m |
| S | exposed area of particle surface | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $S^{\prime}$ | covered area of particle surface | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| T | temperature | K |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{go}}$ | entering gas temperature | K |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{gl}}$ | exit gas temperature | K |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {sm }}$ | particle mixing temperature | K |
| E | retention time or residence time | s |
| $t_{b}$ | bed time | S |
| $t_{c}$ | contact time | S |
| $t_{s}$ | surface time. | S |
| $t_{t}$ | cycle time | 5 |
| $\bar{t}_{T}$ | retention time | S |
| u | average axial velocity | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ | velocity defined in equation 7-19 | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | overall gas-solid heat transfer coefficient | $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | axial velocity on bed surface | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | lateral velocity on bed surface | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | radial velocity in the bed region | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| W | mass flowrate | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| x | distance | m |
| z | $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{L}$ | - |

## Greek letters

| $\alpha$ | Kiln inclination angle | radian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{0}$ | Kiln inclination angle | degree |
| $\beta$ | Central angle of the sector occupied by the solid bed | radian |
| $\theta$ | static angle of repose | radian |
| $\theta^{\prime}$ | dynamic angle of repose | radian |
| $\theta$ o | dynamic angle of repose | degree |
| $\xi$ | dimensionless time | - |
| $\cdots$ | degree of solid fill | - |
| $\lambda$ | Angle between inclined bed \& horizontal | radian |
| $\mu \mathrm{g}$ | gas absolute viscotiy | $\mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $v_{g}$ | gas kinematic viscosity | $\mathrm{m}^{2 / s}$ |
| $\rho_{s}$ | particle bulk density | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| $\rho \mathrm{p}$ | particle true density | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| ${ }^{\rho} \mathrm{g}$ | gas density | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| $\tau$ | bed height | m |
| ${ }^{\tau} \mathrm{L}$ | bed height at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L}$ | m |
| $\sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stefan-Boltzmann constant } \\ & \left(5.67 \times 10^{-8}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K} 4$ |
| $\sigma_{t}$ | absolute variance | S |
| $\sigma_{\theta}$ | relative variance | - |
| $\varphi$ | shearing angle | radian |
| $\psi$ | Angle between bed surface and kiln axis | radian |
| $\omega$ | angular velocity | radian/s |
| $\omega_{c}$ | critical angular velocity | radian/s |
| $\delta_{u}$ | parameter defined in Equation 2-31 | m |
| $\varepsilon_{g}$ | gas emissivity | - |
| $\varepsilon_{S}$ | solid emissivity | - |
| $\varepsilon_{\text {W }}$ | wall emissivity | - |
| K | Thickness of surface region | m |

Dimensionless Groups

| Bi | $\overline{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | Biot number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fo | $a t / r_{p}$ | Fourier number |
| Fr | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | Froude number |
| Ga | $\frac{d^{2}{ }^{2} g_{g}^{3}}{2}$ | Gallileo number |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\frac{R \cos \theta}{L \tan \alpha}$ | defined in Chapter 2 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\phi}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{F} \sin \theta}{\mathrm{nR}^{3} \tan \alpha}$ | defined in Chapter 2 |
| Nu | $\frac{h D}{k_{g}}$ | Nusselt number |
| ${ }^{N u}{ }_{p}$ | $h_{p f}{ }^{d}{ }_{p}$ <br> $k_{g}$ | particle Nusselt number |


| Pe | $u L / D$ | Peclet number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ | $C_{p}{ }^{\mu / k_{g}}$ | Prandtl number |
| $\operatorname{Re}$ | $u_{\rho} \rho_{g} / \mu_{g}$ | Reynolds number |
| $\operatorname{Re}_{p}$ | $\frac{u_{o}{ }^{\rho} g_{p}{ }_{p}}{\mu_{g}}$ | particle Reynolds number |



Rotating Reynolds number or Taylor number

## Subscripts:

| $g$ | gas |
| :--- | :--- |
| $p$ | particle |
| $s$ | bulk solid material, bed |
| $w$ | exposed wall |
| $w^{\prime}$ | covered wall |
| gs | gas to solid bed |
| gw | gas to wall |
| sw | solid bed to covered wall |
| 1 | particle in the first exposed layer |
| 2 | particle in the second layer |
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## APPENDIX A

## CALIBRATION OF EQUIPMENT

1. Thermocouple Calibration

The iron-constantan thermocouples were calihrated in an oil bath equipped with Haake thermo regulator (Model FS) for temperatures up to $400 . \mathrm{K}$. The millivolt readings were recorded on Watanabe Multicorder recorder (Model 641). In addition, the thermocouples were also calibrated at two temperatures, the melting points for tin and zinc (504.8 K and $692.75 \mathrm{~K})$. The metal granules were first heated in the hath until they melted and the heat was then shut off. The thermocouple was then dipped into the metal bath. The millivolt readings were taken and recorded. The results were shown in Figure A-1. The readings 12.60 mV and 23.00 mV , were ohtained for tin and zinc respectively.

Figure A-2 shows the results of thermocouple calibration in the constant temperature baths over the temperature range of 300 to 700 K . The millivolt readings for each thermocouple were fitted by least squares to a quadratic equation of the form,

$$
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \text { (millivolts) }+\mathrm{c} \text { (millivolts) }^{2}
$$



Figure A-l Calibration of Thermocouple in Metal Baths.


Figure A-2 Calibration of Iron-Constantan Thermocouples.

The constants for the above equation are given in Table $A-1$, along with the deviations of the calibrated values from measured values at two temperatures. The measured values were also compared with those tabled in the ASTM Standard manual (55) with the maximum deviation of $\pm 1.8 \mathrm{~K}$. The thermocouple data in the manual are given in Table A-2.
2. Calibration of Rotameter

The rotameters were calibrated against gas meters. The calibration curves are given in Figures $A-3$ and $A-4$.

## Table A-1

## Calibration of Thermocouples

THERMOCOUPLE NO.

A 279.21
17.961
b
C
$343^{\circ} \mathrm{K} \quad 504.8 \mathrm{~K}$

| A | 279.21 | 17.961 | 0.0000 | . 0.36 | 0.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 279.55 | 17.935 | 0.0003 | 0.08 | 0.79 |
| C | 278.11 | 18.187 | -0.0111 | 0.29 | 0.35 |
| D | 277.72 | 18.197 | -0.0108 | 0.30 | 0.39 |
| E | 277.75 | 18.425 | -0.0224 | 0.27 | 0.65 |
| F. | 280.03 | 17.875 | 0.0020 | -n. 53 | 0.78 |
| G | 278.08 | 18.158 | 0.0005 | 0.30 | 0.33 |
| H | 280.32 | 17.327 | 0.0324 | 0.32 | 0.80 |
| I | 279.87 | 17.553 | 0.0062 | 0.17 | 0.87 |
| J | 277.55 | 18.189 | -n.0101 | 0.29 | 0.33 |
| K | 279.12 | 17.681 | 0.0266 | -0.03 | 0.41 |
| L | 276.28 | 18.736 | $-0.0346$ | 9.14 | 0.28 |
| M | 278.38 | 18.047 | -0.0022 | 0.71 | 0.61 |
| N | 269.60 | 20.980 | $-0.1348$ | 0.23 | -n. 81 |
| 0 | 282.91 | 16.707 | . 0.0367 | 0.71 | 0.92 |
| P | 277.70 | 18.104 | -0.0034 | 0.58 | 0.47 |
| Q | 284.13 | 16.078 | 0.0910 | 0.54 | 0.98 |
| R | 273.89 | 19.869 | -0.0529 | 0.61 | -0.10 |
| S | 277.80 | 17.931 | 0.0108 | 0.06 | 0.65 |
| T | 268.41 | 20.874 | -0. 1174 | -0.11 | -1.00 |

$$
T(K)=a+b(m i l l i v o l t s)+c(m i l l i v o l t s)^{2}
$$

Table A-2

Thermocouple nata

# Reference Temperature at 0 . 

| Voltage | Temperature | Temperature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| millivolt | C | \% |
| 1.019 | 20 | 293.15 |
| 1.536 | 30 | 303.15 |
| 2.058 | 40 | 313.15 |
| 2.585 | 50 | 323.15 |
| 3.116 | 60 | 333.15 |
| 3.649 | 70 | 343.15 |
| 4.186 | 80 | 353.15 |
| 4.725 | 90 | 363.15 |
| 5.268 | 100 | 373.15 |
| 6.359 | 120 | 393.15 |
| 7.457 | 140 | 413.15 |
| 8.560 | 160 | 433.15 |
| 9.667 | 180 | 453.15 |
| 10.777 | 200 | 473.15 |
| 12.998 | 240 | 513.15 |
| 15.217 | 280 | 553.15 |
| 16.325 | 300 | 573.15 |
| 18.537 | 340 | 613.15 |



Figure A-3 Air Flow Rate versus Reading on Rotameter Scale (Rotameter 2854).


Figure A－4 Suction Rate versus Reading on Rotameter Scale（Rotameter 2487）．

## APPENDIX B

## SURFACE AREA AND SURFACE VELOCI?Y

1. Determination of $A_{S}^{\prime} / A_{S}$

The ratio of $A_{S}^{\prime} / A_{S}$ is important in determining heat transfer coefficient. The surface region comprises several surface layers. However, only the first two layers seem to be exposed to gas stream. In order to calculate the ratio of $A_{S}^{\prime} / A_{S}$, the exposed surface area of individual particles in each of the first two layers have to be determined. The differential surface area of a spherical particle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d S=\frac{d_{p}^{2}}{\underline{4}} d \theta \sin \zeta d \zeta \tag{B-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The array of particles in the first two layers is either a cubic arrangement as given in Figure 7-2B, or a structure as given in Figure 7-2A. In the latter array, the particles in the first layers are shown in Figure B-l. Therefore, the exposed surface of individual particle can be obtained by integrating the following equation.


FIRST LAYER

SECOND LAYER

Figure B-l Particle Configuration in Surface Layers.

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1} & =\frac{d_{p}^{2}}{4} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{\frac{5}{6} \pi} \sin \zeta d \zeta \\
& =\frac{\pi d_{p}^{2}}{2}\left[1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right] \tag{B-2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the exposed area of the second layer particle is

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{2} & =\frac{1 / 2}{2} \cdot \frac{d_{p}^{2}}{4} \int_{\eta}^{2 \pi} d \theta \int_{\eta}^{2 \pi} \sin \zeta d \zeta \\
& =\frac{3 \pi}{8} \cdot d_{p}^{2} \tag{B-3}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider a plane surface with dimension $3 d_{p}$ by $\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) d_{p}$ as shown in Figure 7-2A. There are two particles in the first layer and 6 particles in the second layer. Thus, the ratio of $A_{S}^{\prime} / A_{S}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{s}^{\prime}}{A_{s}}=\frac{2 S_{1}+6 S_{2}}{3 d_{p}\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) d_{p}}=2.42 \tag{B-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a cubic array as shown in Figure $7-2 \mathrm{~A}$ the aerater area of individual particle in the first layer is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2} d_{p}^{2} \tag{B-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is assumed that all the space other than that occupied by the first layer particles are aerated by the second layer particles. Therefore, the total exposed area of the first layer particles in a plane area, $4 d_{p} x 4 d_{p}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2} d_{p}^{2} \times 16=8 \pi d_{p}^{2} \tag{B-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that of the second layer particles are

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 d_{p} \times 4 d_{p}-16 \frac{\pi}{4} d_{p}^{2}=4(4-\pi) d_{p}^{2} \tag{B-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio of $A_{S}^{\prime} / A_{S}$ for a cubic array is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{S}^{\prime}}{A_{S}}=\frac{8 \pi d_{p}^{2}+4(4-\pi) d_{p}^{2}}{16 d_{p}^{2}}=1.78 \tag{B-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Particle exchange rate between the bed region and the surface region

The temperature of the aerated surface particles will be raised from $T_{S}$ to $T_{S l}$ before they return into the hed region. Just before they return to the bed the heated particles
mix with the particles in the other surface layers, which receive no heat from the gas stream. In order to find the temperature of the mixture the rate of the particles emerging out of the bed region must be determined.

Assume the kiln rotates at a speed, N, as shown in Figure $\mathrm{B}-2$. The angular velocity will be $\omega=2 \pi n$ (radians/s). The velocity of the particles normal to the bed surface is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{N}=\omega r \sin \zeta \tag{B-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l_{S}^{\prime}$ be the length of the boundary between the surface region and the bed, and $M$, the number of particles per unit volume. For a plane area, $\Delta x \frac{l s^{\prime}}{2}$, the emerging rate of the particles is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{m}}=M \Delta x \int_{0}^{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\prime} / 2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{dy} \tag{B-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ is the distance from the central line, $O A$ ' as shorn in Figure $B-2$. Let $h_{B}^{\prime}$ be equal to $O A^{\prime}$. Then

$$
y=h_{B}^{\prime} \tan \zeta
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=h_{B}^{\prime} \sec \zeta \tag{B-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first equation is derived with respect to $\zeta$ and the following equation is obtained


Figure B-2 Emerging Rate of Particles from Bed Region to Surface Region

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=h_{B}^{\prime} \sec ^{2} \zeta d \zeta \tag{B-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, equation $B-10$ is rewritten and integrated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{m} & =M \Delta x \int_{0}^{\frac{\beta^{\prime}}{2}} \omega h_{B}^{\prime 2} \tan \zeta \sec ^{2} \zeta d \zeta \\
& =M \Delta x \omega h_{B}^{\prime} 2\left[\frac{1}{2} \sec ^{2} \zeta\right]_{0}^{\frac{\beta}{}_{\prime \prime}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} M \Delta x \omega h_{B}^{\prime} 2 \tan ^{2} \frac{\beta}{2}^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{8} M \Delta x \omega l_{S}^{\prime 2} \\
& =\frac{\pi}{4} n M \Delta x l_{S}^{\prime} 2 \tag{B-13}
\end{align*}
$$

The term, $l_{s}^{\prime}$, is the length of the boundary line, and related to kiln diameter and degree of fill. Leet $k$ be the thickness of the surface region. Then

$$
h_{B}^{\prime}=h_{B}+k
$$

By taking square on both sides, equation B-l4 is obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{B}^{\prime 2} & =\left(h_{B}+k\right)^{2} \\
& =h_{B}^{2}+2 \dot{k} h_{B}+k^{2} \tag{B-14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
h_{B}^{\prime 2}=R^{2}-\left(\frac{l_{S}^{\prime}}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
h_{B}=R^{2}-\left(\frac{l_{s}}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

equation $B-14$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{S}^{\prime 2}=I_{S}^{2}-8 R_{k \cos \frac{\beta}{2}+\kappa^{2}, ~}^{2} \tag{B-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By neglecting $\kappa^{2}$, equation $B-13$ for $F_{m}$ becomes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{\pi}{4} M \Delta x n\left(l_{S}^{2}-8 R_{k} \cos \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \tag{B-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As indicated in Figure 6-3, the particle velocity in the surface region can be approximated by a linear function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\frac{\zeta}{k} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \tag{B-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta$ is the distance outward from the houndary between two region as shown in Figure $B-3$, and $V_{1}$ is the surface velocity of particles in the first layer. For a width $\Delta x$ of the filn the rate of the particles rolling on the bed surface is represented by


Figure B-3 Particle Velocity Profile in Surface Region.

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\dot{m}} & =M \Delta x \int_{0}^{\kappa} V d \zeta \\
& =\frac{1}{3} M \Delta x \kappa V_{1} \tag{B-18}
\end{align*}
$$

By equating equation $B-16$ and equation $B-18$, one ohtain a relationship of $k$ and $V_{1}$ as functions of $n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa V_{I}=\frac{\pi}{2} n\left(l_{S}^{2}-8 R \kappa \cos \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \tag{B-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the surface velocity, $V_{1}$ was found as a function of square root of rotational speed, the thickness is then depending on the rotational speed and degree of fill by the following equation

$$
\frac{1}{\kappa} \propto \frac{1}{1_{S}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}}}+8 \mathrm{Rcos} \frac{\beta}{2}\right]
$$

## APPERDIX C

## SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

1. Calculation of residence time distribution

The sample calculations for mean residence time, variance, Peclet number and dispersion coefficient are presented. The experimental data for run $R 2$ is given in the first two columns in Table $c-1$. The concentration, $C\left(t_{i}\right)$ represents

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { no. of tracer in the sample } \\
& \text { no. of total tracer used } \\
& \text { wt. of sample } \\
& \text { wt. of bed }
\end{aligned}
$$

In this run, the weight of total color tracer used was 13.7 gm (939 individual tracers). The first sample was collected at $t=8$ minute. After the tracers were fed. The weight of the sample was 243.9 g in which 5 tracers were found. The bed weight was 9.4 kg . Thus $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for the first sample is

$$
C\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{5 / 939}{243.9 / 9400}=0.205
$$

With the same procedure the values of $C\left(t_{i}\right), i=2,3, \ldots M$

## Table C-1

Tabulation of Calculation for RTD run (R2)

are calculated and the results are listed in Tahle C-l. From equation 5-5, the exit age distribution function $E\left(t_{i}\right)$ is defined as

$$
E\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{C\left(t_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i}^{\Sigma C}\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}}
$$

where

$$
\sum_{i} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \Delta t=9.83(\mathrm{~min})
$$

Therefore $F\left(t_{i}\right)$ for the first sample is

$$
E\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{0.205}{9.83}=0.02 .09 \quad(\min )^{-1}
$$

and $E\left(t_{i}\right)$ for all the samples are calculated and tahulated in the second column in Table $c-1$. Then the mean residence time and variance can be calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{M} t_{i} E\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t \tag{5-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{2} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{M}\left(t_{i}-\bar{t}\right)^{2} F\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t \tag{5-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $F\left(t_{i}\right) t_{i} \Delta t_{i}$ for each sample is calculated and tabulated in the fourth column in Table C-l. Since the time interval for each sample $\Delta t_{i}=0.25 \mathrm{~min}$, the summation of the values in the fourth column gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{E} & =\sum_{i=1}^{M} F_{1}\left(t_{i}\right) t_{i} \Delta t \\
& =9.17(\mathrm{~min})
\end{aligned}
$$

The mean residence time allows the calculation of $\left(t_{i}-\bar{E}\right)^{2}{ }_{F}\left(t_{i}\right)$ for each sample. The results are listed in the same table. Therefore,

$$
\delta_{t}^{2}=0.453(\min )^{2}
$$

The relative variance and Peclet numher are then calculated as

$$
\delta_{\theta}^{2}=\frac{\delta_{t}^{2}}{E^{2}}=\frac{0.453}{(9.17)^{2}}=5.39 \times 10^{-3}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Pe}=\frac{2}{\delta_{\theta}^{2}}=371
$$

Then the axial dispersion coefficient, $D$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =\frac{\bar{u} L}{P e}=\frac{L^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{t} P e}} \\
& =\frac{2.44^{2}}{9.17 \times 60 \times 371}=2.91 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Calculation of the heat transfer Coefficient

The computer program that was used routinely for these calculations is appended. The sample calculation is done for run Al6.

The air flow rate and the solid feed rate for the run were $24.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $14.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ respectively. The rotational speed was 1.5 rpm , the inclination angle was 1.2 degree and the solid holdup was $17 \%$. The temperatures of air, sand and wall were measured at $x=1.25 \mathrm{~m}$ and 1.78 m from the solid entrance end. The temperature were then interpolated and given in the table in Appendix E. The local heat flows for solids to wall, gas to solids and gas to wall at a given axial location are calculated. The cetermination of heat flow from solids to bed requires the knowledge of solids to bed heat transfer coefficient which are obtained from Figure 6-26. The diffusivity of Ottawa sand, $a=0.225 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$, the thermal conductivity of Ottawa sand, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.268 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mK}$. The center angle for occupied solids is $\beta=1.98$ radians. Thus, the value of

$$
\frac{\mathrm{nR}^{2} \beta}{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\frac{1.5}{60} \times(0.09525)^{2} \times 1.98}{0.226 \times 10^{-6}}=1987
$$

From equation 6-25, the Nusselt number is

$$
\frac{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sw}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}}=11.6(1987)^{0.3}=113.2
$$

and $I_{w}^{\prime}=\beta R=0.189 \mathrm{~m}$. Thus, the value of $h_{S W}$ is

$$
h_{S W}=113.2 \times 0.268 / 0.189=160.5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}
$$

Since there is a layer of cement about 1 mm thick on the wall, and its thermal conductivity is $0.294 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mK}$ the equivalent heat transfer coefficient across this layer is 0.291/ $1.0 \times 10^{-3}=294 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$. The overall heat transfer coefficient is

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{SW}, \mathrm{O}}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{1605}+\frac{1}{2940}}=103.8 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}
$$

Then the local heat flow from solids bed can he calculated at a given axial location by

$$
q_{S W}(x)=h_{S W, O} I_{w}^{\prime}\left(T_{S}-T_{w}\right)
$$

For instance at $\mathrm{x}=1.25 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}=374.0 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}=369.2 \mathrm{~K}$, the value of $q_{S W}(x)$ at $x=1.25 \mathrm{~m}$ is $57.2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}$. The heat received by the solids is calculated by

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{dx}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ps}}{ }^{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}} \frac{\mathrm{dT}}{\mathrm{dx}}
$$

where

$$
C_{p s}=0.653+0.215 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{gK})
$$

Thus

$$
c_{p} \doteq 0.733 . \quad \text { The value of }
$$

$\mathrm{dT} / \mathrm{dx}$ was obtained by the Spline function

$$
\frac{\mathrm{dT} \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{dx}}=57.3 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{m}
$$

Therefore, the heat received by the solids is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{dx}} & =14.2 \frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{hr}} \times \frac{1000 \frac{\mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}}}{3600 \frac{\mathrm{~S}}{\mathrm{hr}}} \times 0.733 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{gK} \times 57.3 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{m} \\
& =166 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

From equation 6-15, the heat transferred from the gas to the solids is

$$
q_{g s}(x)=\frac{d H_{s}(x)}{d x}+q_{s w}(x)
$$

$$
=223.2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}
$$

Then, the heat which the gas gives up is calculated from equation 6-8

$$
\frac{d H_{g}(x)}{d x}=C_{p g} W_{g} \frac{d T_{g}}{d x}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{p g}=1.0017+0.042 \mathrm{~T} / 1000 . \\
& C_{p g}=1.021 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{gK}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by the Spline function,

$$
\frac{d T_{g}}{d x}=45.9 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{m}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d H_{g}(x)}{d x}=24.6 \frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{hr}} \times \frac{1000 \frac{\mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}}}{3600 \frac{\mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{hr}}} \times 1.021 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{gK} \times .45 .9 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{m} \\
& \quad=320.4 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

From equation 6-16, the local heat flow from the gas to the wall at $\mathrm{x}=1.25 \mathrm{~m}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{g w} & =\frac{d \underline{g}_{g}(x)}{d x}-q_{g s}(x) \\
& =320.4-223.2=97.2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

With the same procedures, the local heat flows at $\mathrm{x}=1.30$, $1.40,1.50,1.60$ and 1.78 m are also calculated. The results are given in the table on page 261.

The average heat transfer rate per unit length for the test section, $\bar{q}_{g s}$ and $\bar{q}_{g w}$ can be calculated from equations 6-17 and 18, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{q}_{g s} & =\int_{n x_{1}}^{x_{2}} q_{g s}(x) d x /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \\
& =291.5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{q}_{g w} & =\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} q_{g w}(x) d x /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \\
& =107.0 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are locations for two ends of the test section. $x_{2}-x_{1}=0.53 \mathrm{~m}$.

Therefore, the logarithmic mean heat transfer coefficients for gas to solids and gas to wall are represented by

$$
h_{g s}=\bar{q}_{g s} / l_{s}\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right) 1_{m}
$$

and

$$
h_{g w}=\bar{q}_{g w} / l_{w}\left(T_{g}-T_{w}\right) l_{m}
$$

respectively, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{g}-T_{s}\right)_{1 m} & =\frac{(462.0-374.0)-(492.0-417.0)}{\ln \frac{462.0-374.0}{492.0-417.0}} \\
& =81.3 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{g}-T_{w}\right) l_{\mathrm{l}} & =\frac{(462.0-369.2)-(492.0-410.5)}{\ln \frac{462.0-369.2}{492.0-410.5}} \\
& =87.0 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{S} & =2 R \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \\
& =2 \times 0.09525 \sin \frac{1.98}{2}=0.175 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{W} & =(2 \pi-\beta) \mathrm{R} \\
& =(6.28-1.98) \times 0.09525=0.410 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the heat transfer coefficients from gas to solids and gas to wall are

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gs}}=291.5 / 0.175 / 81.3=22.5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{gw}}=107.0 / 0.41 / 87.0=3.0 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}
$$



```
    ThIS prCGRAM modelS the rotary kilN based on Equations
    8-1 ANO 8-2, USING THE CORRELATED HEAT TRANSFER COEFFI-
    CIENIS.
    AU(GS)=0.46*RE**(0.535)*REW**(0.104)*FILL**(-0.341)
        NU(GW)=1.54*RE**(0.575) *REW**(-0.292)
    THE CHARCE TEMPERATURE IS FIXED AT 350 K WHILE AIR INLET
    TEMPERATURE IS 500 K
    DIMEASION Y(3),F(3),0(3),TG(100),TS(100),TW(100),2(10C)
    COMMON D1,O2,D3,E1,E2,E3,TO
    REAL L,KH,KS,N,LI,L2,LS,LO
    PI=3.14159
        Y(1)=0.0
        JJ=10
        STEP=0.0.1
        M=10
        ZI=0.0
        NN=3
    J=0
C
    RO=1.15
    RI=1.0
    D=2.C*RT
L=C/3.0*50.
    KS SOLID THERMAL CONCUCTIVITY, W/M K.
    KW REFRACTORY THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY.
OIFF DIFFUSIVITY,M2/SEC
DENS SCLID BULK DENSITY. KG/M3
KS =0.268
Kh=0.043
DIFF=0.225E-C6
CENS=1.602E+03
N ROTATIONAL SPEED. RFM.
FILL DEFREE OF FILL.
ALPH TNCLINATICN ANGLE, DEGREE.
RETA. CENTRAL ANGLE OF THE OCCUPIED BED, RADIAN.
\(A=1.225\)
FILL=0.11
\(A L F H=0.8570\)
```

BETA =1.E8
WRITE 6,149$)$
149 FCRNAT(: READY FCR TGO, TSO IN FCRMAT 2F5.1") RFAC(5,151) Y(2),Y(3) TS1=Y(3)
151 FOFMAT(2FE.1)
$A L P+B=A L P H / 57.32$
$B E E=B E T A / 2$.
$B E E=\operatorname{COS}(B E E)$
$H P=R I *(1$
$H P=R 1 *(1.0-B E E)$
WS : SCl.ID throughput. Calculated by WS = $1.295 * H B * D * * 2 * A L P H A * D E N S * N / S I N(T H E T A)$
$W S=1.295 * H B * D * 2.0$
HS $=W$ S*ALPHA 10.454
WS = WS*DEAS*60.*N
$A P E A=P I * R I * R I$
$W G=K S$
PRE=RFTA/2.0
$B P E=S I N(P B E)$
( DIAMETER
$D F=P I * R I * R I * I I * R I+2 . * R I * B B E$
DR=PI*RI*RI*(1.0-FILL)/DE
TO $=2 \mathrm{c} 3$.

c. CALCULATE THE CCNTACT AREA PER UNIT LENGTH. L1: EXPOSEC AREA.
L2: COVEREC AREA.
LS: BED SURFACE AREA.
c.

L $=(2 . * D I-$ RETA $)$ *RI
$L 2=P E T A * R I$
LS $=2.0$ *RI*EEE
$\mathrm{HC}=1 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{O}$

NNNN $=3$
24 TF(ANAN-10) 21,21,22
$21 \mathrm{~T}=(\mathrm{Y}(2)-273.1 / 10 \mathrm{CO}$. $T T=(Y(3)-273) /$.1000 .

VIS: GAS ARSOLUTE VISCOSITY.
VIK: GAS KINEMATIC VISCOSITY.
AKG: GAS THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY.
23 VIS $=1.734+5.274 * T-12.22^{* T}$ T**2+25.52*T**3

$A K G=2.423+6.089$
$A K G=A K G * 1.0 E-02$
$A K G U N!T H / M K$
$C P G=1 . C C 17+0 . C 42$
$C P S=C .523+1 . C 47$
$C A L C U L A T E R E$, RE=WG/VIS/PI/OE/3EOC*4.C REW $=8.0 / 60 . * P I * D E * D E * N / V I K$
$A K K G S=C .46 * R E * * C .54 * R E K * * 0$. ARKGS $=$ C. $46 * R E * * C .54 * R E K * * 0.10 * F I L L * *(-0.34)$
ANK $C W=1.54 * R E * * 0.58 * R E W * *(-0.29)$ FESSANKGS/DE*AKG
$22 H G S=2.44 * H G * * 0.57$
FSS FHGEFILL** - - 6.171$)^{\prime}$
$H G W=C .822 * W G * * C .48$
51 $\begin{aligned} & H E W=F G H * N * *(-3.297) \\ & H S H=A / 60 . * R \mid * R I * R E T A / D I F F\end{aligned}$
Calculate parameters that are !isted in table 8-1.

## $L C=F[\# P E T A$ $A=R C / R I$


$A 2=F S H * L 2$
$A 3=2 . * D I * K H / H G W / L I / A$
$A 4=A 1 * A 3 /(1,+A 1)$
$A S=1 . /(1 .+A 2+A 4)$

WRITE(6.7CO) ZITI.TGIT,TSIII.THCT
IFII.NE.JJ) GO TO 24
700 FCRMATIIX,F10.3.3(5X,F10.2)
10 CINTINUS
TTT=TG(JJ)-TSI
or $11 \quad 1=1, j J$
Zill=2!
TCP(I) $=(T r G(I)-T S I) / T T T$
TS(I) $=(T S(1)-T S I) / T T T$

TWRITF(6,701) Z.(1).TG(1).TS(1).TW(1)
701 FQRMATIIX,4F10.4i
11 cratinue
stip

# SUBRCUTIAE AUXRK: EGUATIONS FOR RK CALAULATION 

SURFCUTINE AUXRKIY,F
DIMEASICN Y(3), F(3)
CONMON DI,D2,D3, E1,E2,E3, TO
$F(2)=01 * Y(2)-02 * Y(3)-03 * T O$
F(2)=E1\#Y(2)-E2*Y(3) E3*TO
FFTUFN
FNC
EXECUTICA TERMINATED

SSIGNCFF

THIS PROGRAM IS WRITTEN FOR HEAT TRANSFER IN ROTARY
KIIN. A KILN OF 8 INCHES OD, 7.5 ID IS USED FOR EXPFRIMENT TO OBTAIN TEMPERATURE PROFILES OF AIR SANE AND WALL ALCNG THE KILN.
The main objfetive is tr evaiuate heat transfe
COEFFICIENTS RASED ON EXPERIMENTAL DATA.
NUSSELTAND REYNOLOS NUMEERS ARE ALSD CALULATED.

## INPUT CATA: <br> RUN,WA,ROT,WS,RIN.HCLDUP <br> MEASURED TEMPERATURES.

the interpolated temperatures are calculated by THE IISE OF SPLINE FINCTIONS.

DIMENSION X(301,LWI7),LS(7),THE(7),TIE\{7),TSE(7),TAE(7)
DIMENSION TW(30),TI(30), TS(30),TA(30), TSO(30), TAD(30)
DIMENSION.SI(30), S2(30),S3(30)
CIMENSION QSW(3C), OGS(30), OFW(30)
DIMEASICN OA(30), QS(30), HTCGS(30), HTCGW(30)
EOUIVALENCE (S1,OA)
ECUIVAL ENCESS2,OS:
ECUIVALEACEIS3, CGH)
ECUMON EACERS3.GGH
COMMCN /A/LW,LS,TWE,TIE,TSE,TAE
EXTEPNAL FCT
ETERNAL FCT
[ATA DOT/IH./, COR/IHI/
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { RUN RUN NUMBER } & \\ \text { WA ATR FLOW RATE THRDUGH KILN: KG/HR } \\ \text { KS SAND FEED RATE, } & \text { KG/HR }\end{array}$
RCT ROTATATIENAL SPEED.
RIN INCLINATICNAL ANGLE, OEGREE
HCLDUP.
OC 1111 IIII $=1,44$
READ(5,1111 RUN,WA,ROT,WS,RIN,HCLDUP
111 FRRMATIIX,A4,F5.1,F6.2,F4.1, ?X,F4.2,F4.1
पRITE 6,1121 RUN,HA,ROT, WS, IIN, HOLDUP
112 FCPNATIIHI//////22X,THRUN NO., $2 X, A 5 / / 4 X, 16 H A T R$ FLOH RATE
1,F5.1,6H KG/HR, $10 X, 17 H R T T A T I O N A L ~ S P E E D ~, F 4.1,4 H ~ R P M / 4 X . ~$


PER=HOL DUP/100.
LH THERMOCDUPLE LOCATION FOR WALL TEMPERATURE FROM CHARGE ENO, M.

LS
THERMOCOUPLE LOCATICN FOR AIR AND SOLIDS TEMPERATURES, M
The measured wall temferature
TIE MEASURFD INSULATICN TEMPERATURE
TSE MEASURED SAND TENDERATURE
TAE MEASURED AIR TEMPERATURE
$L W(2)=0.31$
$L W(3)=0.91$
$L W(4)=1.52$
$W(5)=2.13$
$S(2)=0.21$
$\begin{array}{ll}L S(2) & =0.21 \\ S(3) & =0.72\end{array}$
$5(3)=0.72$
$5(4)=1.75$
$\operatorname{LS}(4)=1.25$
$L S(5)=1.78$
$S(5)=1.78$
$S(6)=2.32$
REAC MEASURED TEMPERATURES
RFAD(5,10) (TWE(I),I=2,5),(TIE(1),I=2.5 PEAC(5.10) (TSEII), $I=2,6)$, (TAEI 1$), I=2,6)$ READ 5,10 ) TAO,TAG,TSO,TSG, TWO,TWS
OO $16 \quad I=2,6$
WRITE(6,171) LS(I), OOR,TAE(I), TSEII), DO
171 FCRMAT(7X,F4.2,2X,A1,2(F6.0.2X),16X,A1)
IFIT.EO.61 GO TO 16
WRITF(G, IT2) LW(I), DOR, TWE(I), TIE(I), DOR
172 FCRNAT(7X,F4. $2,2 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A} 1,16 \mathrm{X}, 2$ (F6.0.2XI, A1)
16 CCNTINUE
WRITE(6,173)
173 FOFMATI/
$x(1)=0.0$
$x(75)=2.4$
$11=24$
$\mu=11+1$
s? $200 \quad I=1,5$
00 TAC(I)=TAEII+1)
DO $201 \quad 1=1,5$
201 TSD(T)=TSE(T+1)
TAE(1) =TAO
TSET1)=TSO
TAE (7)=TAG
DO 202 I $=1,4$
00 $202 I=1,4$
2 TAC(I)=TWEII+1)
on 2 C3 $t=1,4$
203 TSD(I)=T TE(I+1)
ThE(I) = TWO

THE(6) =TM5
TEE(1) =SAINT(4,S3,TSD, X(1),3,S1)
TIE(6)=SAINT(4, S3.TSD. X (25), 3.S1)
LS (1) $=x(1)$
L5(1) $=x(11)$
LH( 6$)=X(25)$
$A=0.1$
DO $11 \mathrm{I}=1.11$
IF (1.FO.13) GO TO 11
IF(I.EC.18) GO TO 11
IFII.EQ.12) GO TO 204
IFII.EQ. 121 GO TO 204
IFII.EQ.17) GO TO 205
IF $11+F 0.17) \in \cap$
$x(1+1)=x(I)+A$
go TO 11
$204 \times(1+1)=1.25$
$x(1+?)=1$
$205 \times(I+1)=1.78$
$x(1+2)=1$ 。

IO FIND INTERPOLATED TEMPERATURES BASED ON measured cata

CALL SPLINE ILH,THE,S2,6, X,TH,SI,IM, 1001
CALL SPLINEILW,TIE,S2,6,X,TI,SI,IM,1001) CALL SOLINEILS,TSF,S2,7,X,TS,TSD,IM,10011 CALL SPLINEILS,TAE, S2,7,X,TA,TAC,IM,IDOII
$\operatorname{SLMA}=0$.
SUM $R=0$.
$S U M I=0$.
$\operatorname{SUM} 1=0.0$
$\operatorname{SUM} 2=0.0$
SUM $2=0.0$
SUM $3=0.0$
CPS=1 0 E-C
EPS $=1.0 E-C S$
$X S T=1.0$
$X \subseteq T=1.0$
calculate the central anglf of tre bed with subrcutine THETA.

CALL THETAIZ,F,DERF,FCT,XST,EPS,IEND,IEK, PERI
KILN RADIUS IS 0.09525 M
RAD=C.CSS25
DE EQUIVALENT DIAMETER, M
SGL CONTACT LENGTH BETWEEN GAS AND SOLID BED, M

SGL=RAO*S1N(Z)*2.0
$A R C=2 . *(3.142-2)$ \#RA
$A R E A=3-142 * R A D * R A D$
$D E=4 . * A R E A *(1 .-P E R) /(S G L+A R C$

Calculate heat transfer coefficients from bed to hall. rtcshe A HEAT DIFFUSIVITY OF SOLID, 0.225XIOE-6 M2/SEC FOR
gitaha sand.
$A=C .225 E-06$
$A A=R O T / 6 C . * R A D * * 2 / A * 2 * * 2$
$A A=A \Delta * * 0.3$
$A A=A \Delta * * 0.3$
$A A=11.6 * A A$
TK THERNAL CONDUCTIVITY, $0.268 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{M}$ K FOR OTTAHA SAND
$T K=0.268$
$\mathrm{EL}=$ ?.* Z *R AD
HTCSW=AA*TK/EL
HTCSNA
$A A A=F T C S W$
ASSUNF THERMAL CCNDUCTIVITY OF CENENT $0.294 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{M} K$ AND ITS
THICKNESS IS 2 MM.
EGFTC $=0.294 / 1.0 * 1000$.
HTCSW=1./HTCSW+1./EOHTC
HTCSW $\mathrm{H}=1.1 \mathrm{HTCSW}$
WRITE(6.175) $2, S G L, A R C, D E, E L, A A A, E O H T C, H T C S H$


2. $\mathrm{FOHTC}=1, F 6.1 .{ }^{1}$

DE $150 \quad N N=1,1 M$
OA IS ANCUAT DF heat relased by air , h/m
$T=$ TA(MM)/1000.
$T=T A M_{M M} / 1000 * 2 * T$
$C P=1.0 . C 42 *(T-0.258)$
$T C P=C \cdot C 42 *(T-0.258)$
$S A=(C P+T C P) * T A(M M)$
$S A=(\Gamma P+1(\rho) * T A C(M M)$
$C A(N)=1.13 .6 * S A * W A$
OS IS THF ANOUNT OF HEAT RECEIVED BY SOLIOS. W/M
$T=T S(M M) / 1000$.
$C P=0 . \epsilon 53+0.215 * T$
$T C F=(.215 *(T-0.298)$
$\mathrm{S} S=(C P+I C P) * T S D(N M)$
OS (NN) $=1.13 .6 * S S * W S$
to calculate heat flux fron bed to wall, osw OSh(MM) =HTCSW*FL*(TS(MM)-TH(NM))
to CALCULATE HEAT FLUX FROM GAS TO RED, DGS(MM)

OGS(MM) $=0$ SH(MM) + OS (MM)

## TO CALCULATE HEAT FLIJX FROM GAS TO WALL. OGW(MM)

 OGW(NM) = OA (MN)-OGS(MM)TO Calculate htc
htcrs liccal heat transfer coefficients, w/uz k
HTCG HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT, H/M2 K
HTCGS(MM) = QGS(MM)/SEL/(TA(MM)-TS(MM))
HTCGH(MM) $=$ DFW (NMI/ARC/ (TAIMN)-TWIMNII
$J=M M$
WPITE(G,115) X(J), TA(J),TS(J),TW(J),TI(JI,QSW(J), 16S(J), DGS(J)

1. CE(J), $6 \sigma$ (J)
c
15 FCFMAT(2X,F4.2.4(F6.1,2X).5(-0PF7.1.2X))
$\because 15$
cCNTINJE
10 FORMATIIOFB. 31
c
$I I=1$
$J J=25$
HRITE(6,183)
183 FCRMATIHI///
TSDIII=OSIII/TAII-TS(II)/SGL
TAD(I)=OA(T)-OS(I)
TACII)=TAD(I)/(TACI)-TW(I))/ARC
WRITE(E, 116) X(II,HTCGSII),HTCGH(I),TSD(I),TAD(I)
500 CCATINUE
116 FCFNAT(2X.F4.2.4F12.2)
$K K=1 E$
$11=13$
$K K K=K K-1$
SUM $4=0.0$
SUM $5=0.0$
SUME $=C . C$
CO 153 I=IT, KKK
c.

SEG=x(I+1)-XII)
$A V G=(H T C S S(I)+H T C G S I I+1) 1 / 2.0$
$\operatorname{SUNA}=\operatorname{SUNA} A V G * S E G$
$A V r_{1}=(H T C G W(I)+H T C G W I I+1) / 12.0$
SUNE=SUMP + AVG*SEG
$A V C=(\operatorname{OSS}(1)+\operatorname{OGS}(T+1) / 2.0$
$S U^{\prime} M=S U N 1+A V G * S E G$
$A V G=(O G W(I)+Q G W(I+1) / / 2.0$
SUM2 $=$ SUM $2+A V G * S E G$
$A \cup f=(\operatorname{CS}(I)+\operatorname{CS}(I+1)) / 2.0$
SUM $4=$ SUM $4-A V G * S E G$
$\mathrm{C}=(\mathrm{SA}(1)+C A(I+1)) / 2$.
SUM $=$ SUN $5+C * S E G$
$A=0 A(11-051!)$
B=OAIITII-OS(I +1
AVG=(A+P)/2.0
SUN3 = SUN3+AVG*SEG
C
153 CCNTINUE
OSWT=HTCSW*EL*(TS116)-TW(16))*0.53
SUM $7=0$ SWT $T+\operatorname{SIJM4}$
SUN $=$ OSh + SUM
SUNG
HRITE(6,176) OSKT, SUM4,SUM7,SUN5,SUN8

IE10.4,' OGT='.E10.4." OSWT=*,E10.41

AHA MEAN GAS/SELID HTC DERIVED FROM INTEGRATION
AHB DF LOCAL HTC DIVIDED BY KILN TEST SECTION LENGHT.
AHE MEAN GAS/WALL HTC OERIVED FROM INTEGRATION ff local heat transfer ceefficients.
$J J=K K$

$A H A=\subseteq U M A / B B$
$A H A=$ SUMA $/ B B$
$A H E=$ SUMR $/ 9 A$
$A=1 A!I I-T S I I I)$
$A=T A G I)-T S I J J$
$B=T A(J J)-T S(J J)$
$C=A-P$
$C=A-P$
$B=A / B$
IFIA.RO.B) GO TO 161
$T=C / A L O G(D)$
T=CTALGT
GO TC 1 ?
$T=A$
HRS LCEAPITHM MEAN GAS/SOLID HTC
162 HRS LOCAARITHM M
HR S $=$ SUM $1 / T / S G L / A B$
$H R S ?=S L M 4 / 1 / S G L / P B$
HBST=SUNT/T/STI/BB
HRITE (6, 177) A,B, T, HRST


1. LOG $T=1, F 5.1,{ }^{\circ}$ ATP-SCLIO HTC='.F5.21
$\Delta=T A!J J!-T W(J J)$
=TBIII-TWIII
$C=A-B$
(FIA.EO.B) GC TO 163
$T=C / A L O G 1 D)$
$T=C / A L O G 10$
$G C T O \quad 164$
$163 \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{A}$
164 HBh $3=$ SUN $3 / T /$ ARC/BB
$H P h=S U M 2 / T / A R C / B B$
```
        RATSUM= SUM4/SUM5
        MaTSUM=SUM/SUMS
        RITEI6,178) A,B,T,HBN8
    FERMATI/2X, AIR - WALL: DEF T1=0,F5.1," DEL T2=0,F5.1
    I: LCG, T=,F5.1," AIR - WALL HTC=1,F5.21
    HRITE(6.173)
    WRTTF(6.10) HBS,HQH,AHA,AHB,HBS2,H3W3,RATSUN,HBS7,HEW8
    THE fOLLCHING is to calculate NuSSELT NUmber
    ANC REYNCLES NUMBER.
    AK ATR THERMAL CCNDUCTIVITY, J/S M K
    VIS AIR VISCOSITY, N S/MZ
    AIR AVERAGF TEMPERATURE IN TEST SECTICN
    RE REYNOLOS NO., V#DEN*DE/VIC
    = WA/VIC/DE/4./PIE/3600.
    NUSSEIT NC., HETRA*DF/AK
    DE EOUIVALENT DIAMETER OF KILN, M
T={TA\JJ\+TA(ITI\/2.0
TAA=T
    TSS=(TS(II)+TS(JJ))/2.0
    TGL=TTWIIII+TWIJJII/2.C
    T=(TAA+TSS)/R.0
    =(TAA+TSS)/2.0
    CALL PRCPTY(T,AK,VIS,DEN)
            PIE=3.142
        REH=?./6C.*PIE*DE *DE**ROT*DEN/VIS
        RE=WA/VIS/DIE/DE/j600.*4.
        RE=WA/VIS/DI
        AU=FPS*CE/AK
        CALL PACPTY(TTT,AK,VIS,DEN
        CALL PRCPTY'TTT,AK,VIS,O
        WRITEIE,12EI PE,NU,WNU
    126 FCRNAT ///15X,12HREYNOLOS NO.,IPE12.3/
        15X,12HNUSSELT NO..1PE12.3,1PE12.3)
        HFITET10,1211 RUN,WA,ROT.WS,RIN,HCIDUP
    121 FRRNATIIX,A5,F5.1,FG.2,3X,3FS.1)
    HRITFIII,122; RUN,HBST,HEWB,RE,AU, KNU,REW
    122 FORNAT{1X,A5,GF12.2)
    1111 CONTINUE
    WRITE(6,129)
    F9RMAT(1H1)
    PLCT TEMPERATURE PROFILES VS. KILN LENGTH.
```

$C$
$C$
c
C
$C$
$C$
$C$
$C$
$C$

CALL KILNPLOT(RUN,WA,ROT,WS,RIN,HCLOUP)
subrcutine theta to calculate central angle of the bed.

SURFQUTINE THETAIX,F,DERF,FCT,XST,EPS,IEND,IER,PERI IFR=0
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{XST}$
TCL $=X$
CALL FCT(TOL,F,DERF, PER)
TCLF $=100 . * E P S$
CC $61=1, I$ FNO
IF(F) 1,7,1
1 IF(NERF)2, 2,2
2 CX=F/RERF
Tri $=x$
( $\triangle L L$ FCT(TCL,F,DERF,PER)
TCL=EPS
$A=A B S(X)$
IF(A-1.0) 4,4,3
3 TCL=TCL*A
4 IF(ABS(DXI-TML) 5,5,6
5 IF (AQS(F)-TOLF) 7,7.6
6 CCNTINUE
$I F P=1$
7 RETURN
8 IER=2
CETIRN
END
functicn for central angle calculation.

SURRCUTINE FCT(X,F, DERF,PER)
PIE $=3.14159$
F=X-SIN(2.*XI/2.O-PER*PIE
DERF=1.-COS(2.*X)
RETLRN
END
טuט

SURROUTINE PROPTY TO CLACULATE AIR PROPERTY.

## SUBROUT INE PROPTY(X, AKK, AVIS, ADEN)

DIMENSICN T(20), OEN(20), CPG(20), VIS(20), VKS (20) CIMENSION P(20), AK (20), PR(2C), YF(20)
DE $20 \quad 1=1,11$
20 REAC(7,22) TIII, DENCY, CPGII, VISCII,VKS (II), AK(II, PRII)
22 FOFMAT (7F10.4)
OC $25 \quad 1=1,11$
VISII=VIS (I)*1.0E-C5
VKSIII=VKS(I)*1.0E-03
25 CONTINUE
CC $3 C \quad 1=1,12$
$T(I)=(T I I)+460.1 / 1.8$
$D F N(1)=D F N(I) * 16.018$
DFA(II=DFN(I)*16.018
CPC(I)=CPG(I)*0.41月EAE+C4
VIS(I)=VIS(1)*1.4882
VKSII $=V K S I I)=0 . C 92$
AK(I)=AK (I) 1.7308
AKIII=AK
CCATIAUE
CCNTINUE
AKK= SAINTI $11, T, A K, X, 5, Y F I$
AKK $=$ SAINT(11,T,AK, $X, 5, Y F)$
$A V I S=S A I A T(11, T, V I S, X, 5, Y F) ~$
AVIS =SAIAT (11,T,VIS, $X, 5, Y F$ )
ADEN=SAIAT (II,T,OEN, $X, 5, Y F)$
RETURN
END
this subroutine plots the temperature profiles fOR AIR. SAND AND WALL VS. KILN LENGTH POSITION. FOR AIR, SAND AND WALL VS. KILN LENGTH POSIT
SURRCUTINE KILNPLOT (RUN, HA,ROT, WS,RIN,HOLDUP)

DIMENSIDN X(30), TAi 301, TS(301, TW(30), TII 30 ) CCMMCN /A/LW,LS,TWE,TIE,TSE,TAF
DIMEASICN TAE(8),TSE(8);TWE(8),TIE(8),LS(8),LW(8)
DIMENSION SII301,5213CI
REAI LS,LH
$1 L N=1$
1002
$\theta=0.0$
$\mathrm{CCC}=7.0$
$C C C=C C C+A$
CALL PLCT $(8.0,0.0,-3)$
CALL PLOTIC.C.2.0,+1
CALL PLCT (5.0.A. +2 )
CALL FLCT $5.0, C C C,+1$
CALL PLOTIB,CCC,+11
CALL PLCT(A,A,+1)
$0011 \quad 1=1.6$
$x \mathrm{x}=1-1$

CALL SYMBOL $(X X, A, 0,14,14,180,0,-1)$
$Z=x \times * 0.5$
$x \neq x=x-0.15(2 x+1,7,0.14,2,0.0 .1)$
11 ccatinue

DC $40 \quad 1=2.6$
$L S(I)=L S(I)+L S(I)$
TAE (I)=(TAE(I)-AAAA)/5C. $+A$
TSE (I) $=(T S E(J)-A A A A) / 50 .+A$
CALL SYMROLILS(I), TAEII),0.14,30,0.0,-11
CALL SYMANLILSIII,TSEII),0.14,3,0.0.-1)
[F(I.FQ.6) GO TO 40
$K(I)=1 W(I)+1 W(1)$
THE (1)=(TWE(T)-AAAA)/50.+A
CALL. SYMBCL(LW(I), THE (I),0.14,2,0.0,-1)
40 CONTINUE
$\stackrel{C}{C}$
CALL PLOT(0.0.TA(1), +3)
CALL LIAE(X,TA,25,-1)
CALL PLOTCO.0.TS1:1),+31
CALL LINE (X, TS, 25,-1)

CALL PLOT(0.0. TW(1),+3)
CALL LINE $X, T H, 25,-11$
$W W H=8.5$
CALL SYMPOL $10.5, W W W, 0.14$, RRUN NO.
1.0 .0 .311

CALL SYYPOL(2.96.WWH. 0.14,PUN,0.0.4) WWW FWWW-0.?
CAIL SYUBCLIC.5,WWW.0.14.0ATR FLCW RATE
1.C.0.311
(ALL AIJMBER(2.96.WWH.0.14,WA,0.0.1)
$W W W=W W W-0 . ?$
CALL SYMACLIO.5.W甘W,0.14,'SAND THROUGHPUT
1.0.C.311

CALL NUMBERI2.96.hWW. 0.14.h5.0.C.11
Wh = WWW-0.2
CALL SYNAOL (0.5,WHH.0.14., POTATICNAL SPEED
1.0.0.311
(ALI MIIMRER $12.96, W W W, 0.14$, ROT, 0.0 .1$)$
Whh $=W H W-0$. ?
CALL SYMPCLL 0.5, hWH. 0.14. 'INCLIAATICN ANGLE $^{\text {I }}$
1,0.C.31)
CALI PUMAFR $12.96, W W H, 0.14, R I N, 0.0 .11$
WWW=WWH-0.2
CALL SYNRCL 10.5 .WHH,0.14, DEEGREE CF FILL
1.C.C. 311

CALL NUNPER12.96,WWH, 0.14; HOLDUP, 0.0.11 Whh whht 0.4
$V V V=C .5$
$V V W=0.9$
CALL SYMROL (VVV,KWH,0.14,30,0.0,-1)
CALL SYMADLIVVH, WEH,0.14,'AIR, 0.0 .41
Wh $=W W H-0.2$
CALL SYMBCOLIVVV,WWW,0.14,3,0.0,-11
CALL SYMANL (VVH, WWH,0.14,'SAND', 0.0.4)
hhh=hhw -0.?
CALL SYMBILIVVV,hKH,0.14,2,0.0.-11)
CALL SYMAOL IVVW,WWW,0.14, 'WALL', 0.0.4)

IFIILM.EC.4) GO TO 1001
$1 L H=1 L M+1$
GC TC 1002
1001 CALL PLOYND
STCP
EAD
EXECUTICN TERMINATEO

SSIGNOFF


THIS PROGRAM IS POR A PLOT OF NUGS（EXP）VS．HOGS（PRED） ARD FOR RDGV（EXP）VS．FUGM（PRED）
THE PLOTS ARE SHORN IH PIGURES 6－34 E 6－35．
DIMERSION $Y(50), X(50), A A(50), S S(50)$
READ（5，11）（I（I），X（I），I＝1，44）
11 PORMAT（6X，E12．4．12X．E12．4）
$C C=2.0$
$A=0.0$
$B=5.757$
$\mathrm{C}=0.0$
$\mathrm{D}=5.757$
$C=C+C C$
$C=C+C C$
$D=D+C C$
CALL PLOT（3．0，2．0，－3）
CALL PLOT（A，C，+3 ）
CALL PLOT（ $B, C,+2$ ）
CALL PLOT（B，D，＋2）
CALL PLOT $\left(A_{2}, D_{i}+2\right)$
CALL PLOT（A，C，+2 ）
$A A(1)=5.0$
DO $21 I=1,15$
$A A(I+1)=A A(I)+1.0$
21 COATINOE
$A A(17)=25.0$
$A A(18)=30.0$
$A A(19)=40.0$
AA $(20)=50.0$
$A A(21)=60.0$
A A（22）$=70.0$
DO $85 I=1.44$
$\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I}) / 50$ ．
$Y(I)=\operatorname{ALOG}(T(I)) * 2.5+\mathrm{CC}$
$\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{I}) / 50$ ．
$X(I)=\operatorname{ALOG}(X(I)) * 2.5$
8
85 CONTINOE
DO $80 \quad I=1,20$
$S S(I)=A A(I) / A A(I)$
SS（I）$=\operatorname{ALOG}(\mathrm{SS}(\mathrm{I})) * 2$
80 COETINUE
DO $90 \quad I=1,20$
CALL SYBBOL（SS（I），C，0．14，14，180．0．－1）
$2 Z=A A(I) * 10$ ．
GO TO $(2,2,2,2,2,2,4,4,4,4,2,4,4,4,4,2,2,2,2,21, I$
4 GO TO 7
2 TX＝SS（I）－0．14

TI＝CC－0． 20
CALL $\operatorname{HOMBER}(T X, T Y, 0.14,22,0.0,-1)$
7 SS（I）$=S S(I)+C C$
CALL SYMBOL（ $1, S S$（I） $0,14,15,180,0,-1$ ）$, 3,3,3)$
5 GO TO 90
3 TX＝A－0． 14
TY＝SS（I）－0．14
CALL NUMBER（TX，TY，0．14，22，90．，－1）
90 CONTINUE

1，90．，28）

$A A(1)=A A(1) * 10.0$
DO $95 \quad \mathrm{I}=1,15$
CALL SIMBOL（X（I），Y（I），0．14，1，0．0．0．1）
95 COHTINOE
DO $96 \mathrm{I}=16,34$
CALL SYABOL（X（I）， $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I}), 0,14,0,0.0,-1)$
96 Continoe
DO $97 \mathrm{I}=35,44$
CALL SYMBOL（X（I），Y（I），0．14，2，0．0，－1）
97 CONTINUE
CALL PLOT（A，C，＋3）
CALL PLOT $(B, D,+2)$
$\mathrm{DD}=\mathrm{C}+2.0$
$\mathrm{BB}=\mathrm{B}-2.0$
$E E=P B+0.20$
CALL SYMBOL（B8，DD，0．14，1，0．0，－1）
CALL SYMBOL（EE，DD，0．14，178 PILL, $0.0 .10)$
DD＝DD－0．25
CALL SYMBOL（BB，DD，0．14，0，0．0，－1）
CALL SYMBOL（EE，DD，0．14，＇11X：，0．0．3）
DD＝DD－0． 25
CALL SYMBOL（BB，DD，0．14，2，0．0，-1 ）
CALL SYMBOL（EE，DD，0．14， 6.5 $^{\circ}, 0,0,4$ ）
CALL SYMBOL $(0,5,6,8,0,14$ ．GAS／SOLID REAT TRARSPER $\cdot 0.0,23$ ）
$\operatorname{READ}(5,12) \quad(\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{I}=1,44)$
$c$
$\mathrm{CC}=2.0$
$\mathrm{A}=0.0$
$B=6.2$
$C=0.0$
$\mathrm{D}=6.2$
$D=6.2$
$C=C+C C$
$C=C+C C$
$D=D+C C$

## CALL PLOT (3, 0, 2, 0, -3) <br> CALL PLOT ( $A_{,}, C_{p}+3$ )

CALL PLOT ( $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C},+2$ )
CALL PLOT ( $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D},+2$ )
CALL PLOT (A, D, +2)
CALL PLOT (A, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}+2$ )
AA (1) $=5.0$
DO $22 \quad I=1,15$
$A A(I+1)=A A(I)+1.0$
22 CONTINUE
$A A(17)=25.0$
$A A(18)=30.0$
$A A(19)=40.0$
$A A(20)=50.0$
$A A(21)=60.0$
$A A(22)=70.0$
Do $81 \mathrm{I}=1,20$
$\operatorname{SS}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{AA}(\mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{AA}(1)$
$\operatorname{SS}(I)=A L O G(S S(I)) * 2.5$
81 COATINUE
c
D0 $91 \mathrm{I}=1,20$
$22=A A(I)$
CALL SYMBOL (SS (I), C, 0. 14, 14, 180.0, -1)
GO TO $(1,1,1,1,1,1,6,6,6,6,1,6,6,6,6,1,1,1,1,1), I$
6 GO TO 10
$1 T X=S S(I)$
TY=CC-0. 20
CALL NOMBER(TY, TY, 0. 14,2Z,0.0,-1)
$10 \mathrm{SS}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{SS}(\mathrm{I})+\mathrm{CC}$
CALL SYMBOL (A, SS (I) , 0. 14, 15, 180.0, -1)
GO T0 $(8,8,8,8,8,8,9,9,9,9,8,9,9,9,9,8,8,8,8,8), I$
8 GOTO 91
$8 T X=A-0.14$
CALL NUABER(TX,TY,0.14,22,90.,-1)
91 CONTINUE

1.90..28)

CALL SYMBOL(1.0.1.2,0.14, 'NLSSELT MOB EER, PREDICTED', 0.0. 25)
DO $94 . I=1.44$
$\mathbb{X}(\mathrm{I})=\mathbb{X}(\mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{AR}(\mathrm{I})$
$Y(I)=Y(I) / A A(1)$
$X(I)=A \operatorname{LOG}(X(I)) * 2.5$
$I(I)=\operatorname{ALOG}(I(I)) * 2.5+C C$
94 COHTINUE
D0 $99 \mathrm{I}=1.44$
CALL SYMBOL (X(I), Y(I) , 0. 14, 2, 0.0, -1)

99 CORTINOE
CALL PLOT (A, C, +3)
CALL PLOT $(B, D,+2)$
 Call plotidd
STOP
EXECOTION TERMIMATED

| Run No. | W | N | $\propto$ | $\eta$ | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 11 | 24.6 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 25.0 |
| A 12 | 24.6 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 25.0 |
| A 13 | 24.6 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 25.0 |
| A 14 | 24.6 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 25.0 |
| A 15 | 24.6 | 1.50 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 14.2 |
| A 16 | 24.6 | 1.50 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 14.2 |
| A 17 | 24.6 | 1. 50 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 14.2 |
| A 18 | 18.6 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 15.0 | 21.0 |
| A19 | 18.6 | 1. 60 | 2.20 | 17.0 | 29.1 |
| A 20 | 18.6 | 1.60 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 15.0 |
| A 21 | 34.0 | 1.50 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 15.0 |
| A 22 | 34.0 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 34.0 |
| A23 | 34.0 | 1.50 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 15.0 |
| A 24 | 34.0 | 6.00 | 1.20 | 17.0 | 50.5 |
| A25 | 34.0 | 1.50 | 3.40 | 17.0 | 39.0 |
| A 26 | 34.0 | 3.20 | 2.20 | 11.0 | 34.6 |
| A27 | 50.5 | 3. 20 | 2.20 | 11.0 | 34.0 |
| A 28 | 50.5 | 3. 10 | 3.00 | 11.0 | 52.7 |
| A29 | 50.0 | 3.10 | 1.20 | 11.0 | 19.4 |
| A 30 | 50.0 | 1.60 | 2.20 | 11.0 | 18.2 |
| A3 1 | 50.5 | 6.00 | 2.20 | 11.0 | 66.3 |
| A 32 | 81.0 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A33 | 65.5 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 34 | 73.0 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A35 | 81.0 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 36 | 34.0 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 37 | 34.0 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 38 | 34.0 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 39 | 34.0 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 40 | 18.6 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 41 | 18.6 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 42 | 18.6 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A4 3 | 18.6 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 44 | 50.0 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 11.0 | 36.0 |
| A 45 | 65.5 | 0.90 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 12.0 |
| A 46 | 34.0 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 13.3 |
| A47 | 34.0 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 35.8 |
| A 48 | 65.5 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 35.8 |
| A49 | 65.0 | 0.90 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 11.7 |
| A 50 | 95.5 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 35.8 |
| A51 | 95.5 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 15.8 |
| A 52 | 34.0 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 11.3 |
| A53 | 95.5 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 16.1 |
| A 54 | 81.0 | 0.95 | 2.00 | 6.5 | 12.0 |

$450.0486 .0 \quad 524.0 \quad 574.0 \quad 635.0 \quad 334.0 \quad 356.0 \quad 378.0431 .0 \quad 521.0 \quad 320.0 \quad 351.0 \quad 397.0 \quad 500.0$ 425.0460 .0489 .0535 .0 592.0 $323.0 \quad 339.0 \quad 356.0397 .0473 .0314 .0333 .0372 .0445 .0$ $402.0423 .0457 .0493 .0 \quad 538.0 \quad 321.0 \quad 337.0 \quad 354.0 \quad 392.0447 .0 \quad 313.0 \quad 333.0 \quad 353.0 \quad 427.0$ $372.0 \quad 392.0411 .0 \quad 436.0 \quad 457.0 \quad 314.0 \quad 327.0 \quad 341.0368 .0 \quad 405.0 \quad 308.0 \quad 326.0 \quad 352.0 \quad 395.0$
 $414.0438 .0 \quad 462.0 \quad 494.0 \quad 535.0 \quad 341.0 \quad 356.0 \quad 374.0 \quad 417.0 \quad 473.0 \quad 324.0 \quad 347.0 \quad 387.5 \quad 447.0$ $470.0 \quad 505.0543 .0594 .0 \quad 652.0 \quad 364.0 \quad 383.0410 .0473 .0554 .0 \quad 341.0 \quad 370.0425 .0 \quad 520.0$ $351.5 \quad 378.9412 .2445 .0488 .0 \quad 313.9 \quad 325.6 \quad 338.9 \quad 363.9402 .8 \quad 308.0 \quad 323.0 \quad 349.0 \quad 335.0$




 $357.0 \quad 374.0 \quad 390.6407 .0 \quad 430.0 \quad 308.3 \quad 315.0 \quad 322.2 \quad 336.7360 .0 \quad 302.0 \quad 311.6330 .0355 .0$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}370.0 & 385.0 & 392.9 & 414.0 & 437.0 & 313.8 & 323.3 & 333.3 & 350.0 & 376.1 & 306.0 & 321.6 & 340.0 & 354.8\end{array}$


 $378.0 \quad 388.0 \quad 399.0410 .0420 .5329 .4341 .1337 .2373 .7394 .4320 .0339 .0360 .0387 .0$



 $396.8411 .1422 .2433 . J 444.4326 .7 \quad 348.7366 .7388 .9414 .2315 .8 \quad 345.0375 .5404 .0$
 $420.0445 .4475 .7 \quad 513.3 \quad 550.0 \quad 322.8 \quad 343.3 \quad 369.4 \quad 399.7443 .0 \quad 312.6 \quad 341.1 \quad 380.4425 .5$






 $412.0425 .04434 .4441 .1448 .0 \quad 361.1 \quad 373.9 \quad 391.7$ 416.7.434.4 $339.8 \quad 365.0400 .0 \quad 428.0$

 $400.0408 .0420 .6432 .0 \quad 447.0 \quad 327.8 \quad 341.1 \quad 360.0 \quad 382.0409 .4318 .0 \quad 340.2369 .0395 .0$ $419.0 \quad 427.0 \quad 435.0 \quad 442.3 \quad 448.0 \quad 368.9 \quad 378.3 \quad 397.3417 .4433 .3 \quad 347.6 \quad 374.4 \quad 402.5 \quad 430.0$ $404.0 \quad 410.2 \quad 417.2 \quad 424.0 \quad 431.0 \quad 375.0 \quad 377.8 \quad 391.7407 .2418 .9355 .0 \quad 374.0 \quad 395.0 \quad 415.0$ $406.6412 .2417 .6422 .0 \quad 427.0 \quad 370.6 .377 .2390 .6402 .7418 .3 \quad 351.4372 .2393 .3413 .0$
 $403.3409 .5414 .4413 .5422 .8 \quad 374.4375 .6 \quad 388.9404 .4415 .6347 .0369 .0393 .0410 .0$ $419.0427 .0434 .4442 .0450 .0 \quad 373.3 \quad 381.7 \quad 397.2419 .7435 .0351 .0364 .4 .400 .0430 .0$


RUN NO. A12

| 1.25 | 489.0 | 356.0 | 353.9 | 66.9 | 48.3 | 248.7 | 294.8 | 471.3 | 176.5 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 402.5 | 358.5 | 356.8 | 71.4 | 53.2 | 274.7 | 314.3 | 502.9 | 188.6 |
| 1.40 | 500.0 | 364.4 | 363.1 | 79.6 | 63.7 | 329.7 | 359.1 | 561.1 | 202.0 |
| 1.50 | 508.4 | 271.3 | 370.4 | 86.9 | 74.8 | 385.0 | 409.2 | 612.3 | 203.5 |
| 1.60 | 517.4 | 379.4 | 378.9 | 93.2 | 86.7 | 452.6 | 461.9 | 657.6 | 195.9 |
| 1.78 | 535.0 | 397.0 | 397.5 | 102.2 | 109.7 | 578.5 | 565.5 | 722.0 | 155.5 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A R C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$
$\begin{array}{cccc}Q S W T=0.1072 F+02 & G S T=0.2141 E+03 & 0.9 S T=0.2248 E+03 & \text { QGT }=0.3240 E+03 \\ Q G W T=0.9912 F+02 & H T C G S=19.6 & H T C W=3.4 & \end{array}$
$R E=2.108 E+03 \quad N U=9.350 E+01 \quad N U W=1.630 E+01$

RUN NO.
413

| 1.25 | 452.0 | 354.0 | 349.9 | 66.5 | 48.3 | 248.6 | 341.2 | 466.4 | 125.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 455.4 | 356.5 | 352.9 | 69.1 | 53.5 | 275.9 | 358.5 | 485.0 | 126.5 |
| 1.40 | 462.5 | 362.4 | 359.3 | 73.8 | 63.2 | 326.7 | 355.4 | 518.2 | 122.8 |
| 1.50 | 470.1 | 369.1 | 365.5 | 77.7 | 71.8 | 372.6 | 432.2 | 546.2 | 114.1 |
| 1.60 | 478.1 | 376.7 | 374.4 | 80.9 | 79.3 | 413.4 | 465.1 | 569.0 | 103.9 |
| 1.78 | 493.0 | 392.0 | 390.6 | 84.8 | 90.1 | 473.9 | 506.3 | 595.9 | 90.7 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A R C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$
QSWT $=0.3160 E+02 \quad$ QST $=0.1974 E+03 \quad Q G S T=0.2290 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.2879 E+03$
QGWT $=0.5891 E+02 \quad H T C G S=27.2 \quad H T C G W=2.7$
$R E=2.181 E+03 \quad N J=1.354 E+C 2 \quad N U W=1.325 E+01$

RUN NO. A14
$1.25 \quad 411.0 \quad 341.0 \quad 338.7 \quad 40.5 \quad 36.8 \quad 188.1 \quad 220.7 \quad 283.2 .62 .5$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}1.30 & 413.1 & 342.9 & 340.9 & 42.1 & 40.2 & 205.8 & 234.8 & 294.8 & 60.0 \\ 1.40 & 417.4 & 347.3 & 345.6 & 45.0 & 46.3 & 237.6 & 261.0 & 315.3 & 54.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}1.50 & 422.1 & 352.2 & 350.9 & 47.5 & 51.4 & 264.5 & 282.5 & 332.2 & 49.7 \\ 1.60 & 426.9 & 357.5 & 356.8 & 49.3 & 55.5 & 286.4 & 297.0 & 345.6 & 48.7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1.78 & 436.0 & 368.0 & 368.7 & 51.5 & 60.4 & 313.3 & 303.1 & 360.7 & 57.6\end{array}$
$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A R C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$
QSWT $=0.9579 E+01 \quad$ QST $=0.1336 E+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.1482 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.1748 E+03$
QCWT $=0.2658 E+02 \quad H T C G S=25.4 \quad H T C G W=1.8$
$R E=2.330 E+03 \quad N I=1.327 E+02 \quad N U W=9.948 E+00$

RUN NCi. A15

| 1.25 | 348.3 | 312.8 | 310.4 | 19.8 | 15.6 | 44.6 | 73.1 | 137.7 | 64.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.30 | 349.3 | 313.6 | 311.3 | 21.3 | 17.8 | 50.8 | 79.1 | 143.4 | 69.3 |
| 1.40 | 351.6 | 315.6 | 313.2 | 23.6 | 21.9 | 62.7 | 51.6 | 164.6 | 73.0 |
| 1.50 | 354.0 | 318.0 | 315.5 | 25.0 | 25.9 | 74.1 | 104.2 | 174.0 | 63.8 |
| 1.60 | 356.6 | 320.8 | 318.2 | 25.4 | 29.6 | 85.0 | 115.9 | 176.6 | 60.7 |
| 1.79 | 361.0 | 326.7 | 324.0 | 23.5 | 35.9 | 103.3 | 135.0 | 164.0 | 29.1 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A R C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$
$\begin{array}{lccc}Q S W T=0.1597 F+02 & Q S T=0.3979 E+02 \quad Q G S T=0.5576 E+02 & Q G T=0.8792 E+02 \\ \text { QGWT }=0.3216 E+02 & H T C G S=18.9 \quad H T C W=4.0 & \end{array}$
$R E=2.593 E+03 \quad N J=1.110 E+02 \quad N U W=2.336 E+01$

RUN NO. AlG

| 1.25 | 462.0 | 374.0 | 369.2 | 45.9 | 57.2 | 169.2 | 226.4 | 322.6 | 96.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 464.3 | 377.0 | 372.9 | 47.7 | 64.4 | 190.8 | 240.9 | 335.0 | 94.1 |
| 1.40 | 469.3 | 384.1 | 380.3 | 51.4 | 76.2 | 226.5 | 271.7 | 361.5 | 89.9 |
| 1.50 | 474.6 | 392.2 | 388.0 | 55.5 | 84.4 | 252.1 | 302.2 | 360.6 | 33.4 |
| 1.60 | 480.4 | 400.9 | 395.7 | 60.0 | 89.0 | 267.3 | 328.7 | 422.2 | 93.4. |
| 1.78 | 492.0 | 417.0 | 410.5 | 68.9 | 88.5 | 268.1 | 345.5 | 485.3 | 139.4 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A R C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$
QSWT $=0.2655 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.127 S E+03 \quad Q G S T=0.1545 E+03 \quad Q G T=0.2112 E+03$
QGWT $=0.5669 E+0 ? \quad H T C G S=22.5 \quad H T C G W=3.0$
$R E=2.134 E+03 \quad N U=1.119 E+02 \quad N U W=1.368 E+01$

RUN NO. A17

| 1.25 | 543.0 | 410.0 | 395.9 | 82.5 | 81.5 | 246.1 | 523.3 | 583.5 | 60.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 547.2 | 414.3 | 400.7 | 85.6 | 90.2 | 272.9 | 540.9 | 605.7 | 64.7 |
| 1.40 | 556.1 | 424.1 | 411.0 | 91.3 | 105.9 | 322.2 | 579.8 | 646.4 | 66.6 |
| 1.50 | 565.4 | 435.4 | 422.5 | 96.3 | 119.5 | 365.9 | 613.6 | 682.4 | 63.8 |
| 1.60 | 575.3 | 447.9 | 435.3 | 100.7 | 131.0 | 403.7 | 651.8 | 713.7 | 61.8 |
| 1.78 | 504.0 | 473.0 | 461.2 | 106.7 | 146.2 | 457.0 | 683.3 | 757.9 | 69.6 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A P C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$.
QGWT=0.3397E+02 HTCGS=30.5 HTCGW=1.1
$R E=1.930 F+03 \quad N U=1.323 E+C 2 \quad N U W=4.959 E+00$

RUN NO. AlB

| 1.25 | 410.0 | 338.9 | 336.3 | 60.8 | 32.1 | 137.5 | 193.0 | 321.6 | 128.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 413.1 | 340.6 | 339.6 | 62.1 | 34.6 | 143.2 | 191.1 | -328.3 | 137.3 |
| 1.40 | 419.4 | 344.3 | 343.2 | 64.3 | 39.9 | 171.4 | 194.1 | 340.4 | 146.3 |
| 1.50 | 425.9 | 348.6 | 348.0 | 66.2 | 45.7 | 196.8 | 208.2 | 359.6 | 142.3 |
| 1.60 | 432.6 | 353.4 | 352.9 | 67.7 | 52.0 | 224.6 | 235.7 | 359.5 | 123.2 |
| 1.78 | 445.0 | 363.9 | 362.3 | 69.6 | 64.6 | 280.9 | 216.0 | 365.2 | 53.2 |

$Z=0.95 \quad S G L=0.15 \quad A R C=0.42 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.18$
$Q S W T=0.6056 E+01 \quad$ QST $=0.1091 E+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.1242 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.1852 E+03$
QGWT $=0.7107 E+02 \quad H T C G S=18.3 \quad H T C G W=4.1$
$R F=1.730 E+03 \quad N U=1.044 E+02 \quad N U W=2.003 E+01$

RUN MO. AIG

| 1.25 | 405.0 | 332.2 | 329.2 | 68.5 | 29.9 | 175.7 | 235.9 | 361.9 | 125.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 40.5 | 333.8 | 331.3 | 71.9 | 33.7 | 199.3 | 249.1 | 380.4 | 131.3 |
| 1.40 | 416.0 | 337.5 | 335.6 | 77.8 | 40.1 | 238.2 | 275.0 | 411.7 | 135.7 |
| 1.50 | 424.0 | 341.8 | 340.1 | 82.3 | 45.1 | 268.3 | 301.8 | 435.6 | 133.7 |
| 1.60 | 432.4 | 346.5 | 344.7 | 85.3 | 48.6 | 289.7 | 323.8 | 451.9 | 128.0 |
| 1.78 | 449.0 | 355.5 | 353.9 | 87.1 | 51.0 | 306.0 | 338.0 | 462.1 | 124.1 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A R C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$
$Q S W T=0.1778 E+02 . \quad Q S T=0.1381 E+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.1559 E+03 \quad Q G T=0.2272 E+03$
QGWT $=0.7127 E+02 \quad H T C G S=22.4 \quad H T C G N=3.9$
$R E=1.776 E+03 \quad N U=1.211 E+02 \quad N U W=2.006 E+01$

| 1.25 | 427.2 | 352.8 | 347.2 | 69.0 | 41.1 | 126.9 | 239.1 | 365.6 | 126.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.30 | 430.7 | 355.0 | 350.0 | 69.1 | 45.8 | 141.6 | 241.5 | 365.8 | 124.3 |
| 1.40 | 437.6 | 360.0 | 355.7 | 69.1 | 53.6 | 166.2 | 250.1 | 366. 5 | 116.5 |
| 1.50 | 444.5 | 365.6 | 361.8 | 69.3 | 59.3 | 184.4 | 261.2 | 367.6 | 106.5 |
| 1.60 | 451.4 | 371.8 | 368.0 | 69.6 | 62.9 | 196.4 | 271.8 | 365.1 | 97.3 |
| 1.78 | 464.0 | 383.3 | 379.7 | 70.2 | 64.1 | 201.5 | 272.2 | 372.8 | 100.6 |
| $Z=0.99$ | SGL | 0.16 | $A R C=$ | 41 | $D E=0$. | FL | 0.19 |  |  |
| QSWT $=0.4067 \mathrm{~F}+02$ |  |  | QST $=0.9447 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  | QGST $=0.1351 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | QGT $=0.1952 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  |  |
| QGWT $=0.6008 E+02$ |  |  | HTCGS $=20.6$ |  | HTCGw $=3.4$ |  |  |  |  |
| $R E=1.70$ | OEF+03 | $\mathrm{NIJ}=1.076 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  | $N U W=1.656 E+01$ |  |  |  |  |

RUN NO.

| 1.25 | 418.0 | 360.3 | 351.2 | 35.8 | 36.3 | 112.6 | 290.7 | 346.6 | 55.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 419.8 | 302.2 | 353.8 | 35.2 | 38.8 | 120.5 | 285.1 | 340.9 | 55.8 |
| 1.40 | 423.2 | 366.3 | 359.1 | 34.3 | 43.0 | 133.9 | 274.6 | 331.8 | 57.3 |
| 1.50 | 426.6 | 370.8 | 36.4 .7 | 33.7 | 46.3 | 144.2 | 263.8 | 325.8 | 62.0 |
| 1.60 | 430.0 | 375.5 | 370.4 | 33.2 | 48.5 | 151.5 | 251.8 | 322.9 | 71.1 |
| 1.78 | 436.0 | 384.4 | 380.9 | 33.6 | 49.9 | 156.8 | 224.6 | 325.4 | 100.8 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A R C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$
$Q S W T=0.6340 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.7498 E+02 \quad$ QSST $=0.1384 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.1745 E+03$
QGWT $=0.3611 E+02 \quad H T C G S=30.0 \quad H T C G W=2.7$
$R E=3.151 E+03 \quad N U=1.550 E+02 \quad N U W=1.445 E+01$

RUN NO. $\quad A 22$

| 1.25 | 407.0 | 341.7 | 339.0 | 34.9 | 29.5 | 204.8 | 264.7 | 337.5 | 72.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 408.8 | 343.3 | 341.0 | 35.5 | 32.7 | 227.6 | 278.5 | 342.9 | 64.4 |
| 1.40 | 412.4 | 346.9 | 344.9 | 36.9 | 38.1 | 265.7 | 308.1 | 357.0 | 48.9 |
| 1.50 | 416.2 | 350.3 | 343.8 | 39.8 | 42.0 | 293.6 | 333.4 | 375.2 | 36.8 |
| 1.60 | 420.1 | 355.2 | 352.7 | 41.1 | 44.5 | 311.4 | 367.1 | 397.7 | 30.6 |
| 1.78 | 428.0 | 363.3 | 359.8 | 46.4 | 45.1 | 317.5 | 395.1 | 448.9 | 53.9 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A R C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$
QSWT $=0.2375 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.1503 E+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.1740 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.2035 E+03$
QGWT $=0.2941 E+02 \quad H T C G S=31.7 \quad H T C G W=2.0$
$R E=3.247 E+03 \quad N U=1.737 E+02 \quad N U W=8.804 E+00$

RUN NO. A23

| 1.25 | 418.0 | 365.0 | 355.7 | 32.2 | 32.9 | 102.2 | 285.3 | 321.2 | 35.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 419.7 | 365.6 | 357.8 | 33.7 | 33.1 | 102.8 | 275.8 | 326.3 | 50.5 |
| 1.40 | 423.1 | 370.0 | 362.3 | 34.7 | 34.3 | 107.0 | 253.0 | 336.3 | 78.3 |
| 1.50 | 426.6 | 373.6 | 367.0 | 35.7 | 36.9 | 115.2 | 243.4 | 346.0 | 102.5 |
| 1.60 | 430.2 | 377.4 | 372.0 | 36.7 | 40.7 | 127.4 | 234.6 | 355.4 | 120.8 |
| 1.78 | 437.0 | 385.6 | 381.0 | 38.4 | 50.8 | 159.8 | 250.6 | 371.7 | 121.1 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A P C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$ QGWT $=0.5125 F+02 \quad H T C G S=30.1 \quad H T C G W=4.0$
$R E=3.140 E+03 \quad N J=1.562 E+02 \quad N U W=2.050 E+01$

RUN NO.

| 1.25 | 390.6 | 322.2 | 321.1 | 38.6 | 19.2 | 195.6 | 223.0 | 373.0 | 150.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 392.6 | 323.2 | 322.7 | 41.1 | 21.1 | 215.6 | 227.9 | 397.2 | 169.3 |
| 1.40 | 396.9 | 325.5 | 326.0 | 44.7 | 24.6 | 251.7 | 238.8 | 431.7 | 193.0 |
| 1.50 | 401.5 | 328.1 | 329.3 | 46.3 | 27.6 | 282.5 | 251.7 | 447.6 | 195.9 |
| 1.60 | 406.1 | 331.0 | 332.6 | 46.0 | 30.0 | 307.9 | 256.6 | 444.9 | 178.3 |
| 1.78 | 414.0 | 336.7 | 338.9 | 40.6 | 33.0 | 340.0 | 285.7 | 352.7 | 107.0 |

$Z=0.99 \quad S G L=0.16 \quad A R C=0.41 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.19$
QSWT $=-.1634 \mathrm{~F}+02 \quad$ QST $=0.1482 \mathrm{E}+03^{\circ} \quad$ QGST $=0.1319 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.2247 E+03$
QGWT $=0.9282 E+02 \quad$ HTCGS $=21.4 \quad$ HTCGW $=5.9$
$R E=3.379 E+03 \quad N U=1.212 E+02 \quad N U W=3.169 E+01$

RUN NO. $\Delta 25$


RUN NO.
A26

| 1.25 | 398.9 | 333.3 | 331.4 | 24.6 | 22.1 | 155.7 | 175. | 237.6 | 42.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.30 | 400.1 | 334.4 | 332.5 | 24.5 | 23.3 | 164.0 | 195.8 | 236.9 | 41.1 |
| 1.40 | 402.6 | 336.9 | 336.0 | 25.2 | 26.2 | 184.9 | 203.0 | 243.2 | 40.1 |
| 1.50 | 405.2 | 339.7 | 339.3 | 26.9 | 29.9 | 211.5 | 219.5 | 259.8 | 40.3 |
| 1.60 | 408.0 | 342.9 | 342.8 | 29.7 | 34.5 | 244.0 | 246.2 | 286.8 | 40.7 |
| 1.78 | 414.0 | 350.0 | 349.6 | 37.4 | 44.6 | 317.2 | 324.9 | $3 \in 1.7$ | 36 |
| $Z=0.84 \quad S C$ |  | 0.14 | $\triangle R C=0.44$ |  | $D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | QSWT $=0.4212 \mathrm{~F}+01 \quad Q$ | QST $=0.1185 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | QGST $=0.1228 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | QGT $=0.1467 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  |  |
| QGWT $=0.2396 E+02$ |  |  | HTCGS $=25.1$ |  | HTCGW= 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
| REF $=3$ | 9F+03 | $\mathrm{NJJ}=1.472 \mathrm{~F}+02 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  | $U W=7.930 E+00$ |  |  |  |  |

RUN NO. A27

| 1.25 | 388.9 | 334.4 | 333.2 | 21.3 | 27.6 | 191.3 | 215.9 | 304.7 | 38.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 390.0 | 335.0 | 334.7 | 22.7 | 31.0 | 214.8 | 233.0 | 326.0 | 83.1 |
| 1.40 | 392.4 | 339.2 | 337.8 | 24.5 | 35.3 | 245.3 | 273.5 | 351.8 | 78.3 |
| 1.50 | 394.9 | 342.8 | 341.0 | 24.8 | 36.5 | 253.7 | 291.9 | 355.1 | 63.2 |
| 1.60 | 397.3 | 346.4 | 344.1 | 23.4 | 34.4 | 239.9 | 286.3 | 336.1 | 49.7 |
| 1.78 | 401.0 | 351.7 | 350.3 | 17.1 | 22.7 | 158.7 | 188.3 | 245.0 | 56.7 |

$Z=0.84 \quad \mathrm{SGL}=0.14 \quad \mathrm{ARC}=0.44 \quad \mathrm{DE}=0.17 \quad \mathrm{EL}=0.16$
$Q S W T=0.2025 E+n 2 \quad Q S T=0.1187 E+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.1389 E+03 \quad Q G T=0.1719 E+03$
QGWT $=0.3295 E+02 \quad H T C G S=35.6 \quad H T C G: V=2.7$
$R E=4.742 E+03 \quad N U=2.024 E+02 \quad N U W=1.643 E+01$


RUN NO. $\quad 429$

| 1.25 | 396.0 | 353.9 | 351.0 | 19.0 | 30.0 | 119.9 | 178.4 | 270.4 | 92.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 397.0 | 355.4 | 352.8 | 19.0 | 30.4 | 121.3 | 174.9 | 270.3 | 95.4 |
| 1.40 | 398.9 | 358.5 | 356.2 | 19.0 | 30.9 | 123.9 | 170.8 | 269.6 | 98.8 |
| 1.50 | 400.7 | 361.6 | 359.4 | 18.9 | 31.5 | 126.3 | 171.5 | 269.6 | 97.2 |
| 1.60 | 402.6 | 364.8 | 362.4 | 18.8 | 32.0 | 128.5 | 177.6 | 267.3 | 89.7 |
| 1.78 | 406.0 | 370.6 | 367.5 | 18.6 | 32.7 | 132.0 | 194.6 | 264.0 | 69.4 |

$Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad A R C=0.44 \quad \mathrm{DE}=0.17 \quad \mathrm{EL}=0.16$ QGWT $=0.5110 E+02 \quad H T C G S=31.2 \quad H T C G W=5.3$
$R E=4.573 E+03 \quad N U=1.824 E+02 \quad N U W=2.800 F+01$

RUN ND.
430

| 1.25 | 399.0 | 357.2 | 349.8 | 22.2 | 30.7 | 115.1 | 249.8 | 315.4 | 65.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 400.1 | 358.7 | 351.5 | 21.8 | 30.2 | 113.6 | 244.6 | 310.2 | 65.5 |
| 1.40 | 402.3 | 361.7 | 355.1 | 21.2 | 30.0 | 112.7 | 232.1 | 301.1 | 69.0 |
| 1.50 | 404.3 | 364.7 | 359.1 | 20.7 | 30.5 | 114.8 | 216.3 | 293.8 | 77.5 |
| 1.60 | 406.4 | 367.8 | 363.0 | 20.3 | 31.7 | 119.9 | 197.5 | 288.3 | 90.8 |
| 1.78 | 410.0 | 373.9 | 372.1 | 19.9 | 36.0 | 136.5 | 163.9 | 282.8 | 113.9 |

$Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad A R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
QSWT $=0.5376 E+02 \quad$ QST $=0.6322 E+02 \quad$ QGST $=0.1170 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.1564 E+03$ QGNT $=0.3947 E+02 \quad H T C G S=39.9 \quad H T C G W=3.9$
$R E=4.541 \mathrm{~F}+03 \quad \mathrm{NU}=2.148 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad \mathrm{NUW}=2.472 \mathrm{E}+01$

RUN NO. A31

| 1.25 | 375.6 | 321.5 | 320.1 | 21.3 | 16.7 | 223.9 | 255.1 | 304.7 | 49.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 375.7 | 322.4 | 321.1 | 22.2 | 17.3 | 232.2 | 259.9 | 318.0 | 58.1 |
| 1.40 | 379.0 | 324.1 | 323.2 | 23.4 | 18.4 | 247.0 | 267.5 | 334.6 | 67.1 |
| 1.50 | 381.3 | 326.0 | 325.5 | 23.6 | 19.3 | 259.5 | 271.1 | 327.9 | 66.8 |
| 1.60 | 393.7 | 328.0 | 328.1 | 22.9 | 20.1 | 269.7 | 269.2 | 327.9 | 58.7 |
| 1.78 | 397.5 | 331.7 | 333.2 | 19.3 | 21.0 | 282.3 | 247.7 | 276.1 | 28.4 |

$Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad \Delta R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
$Q S W T=0.6145 E+01 \quad Q S T=0.1368 F+03 \quad Q G S T=0.1430 E+03 \quad Q G T=0.1695 E+03$
QGWT $=0.2649 E+02 \quad H T C G S=34.5 \quad H T C G W=2.1$
$R E=4.891[+03 \quad N U=2.011 E+02 . \quad N U W=1.392 E+01$

| 1.25 | 426.1 | 366.7 | 362.9 | 17.8 | 38.3 | 285.7 | 362.2 | 411.2 | 48.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 427.9 | 368.7 | 365.2 | 18.9 | 40.8 | 305.1 | 375.2 | 435.5 | 60.7 |
| 1.40 | 420.0 | 373.0 | 369.9 | 20.7 | 44.9 | 336.1 | 399.4 | 476.8 | 77.4 |
| 1.50 | 431.1 | 377.6 | 374.6 | 21.9 | 47.5 | 356.5 | 418.4 | 506.3 | 87.8 |
| 1.60 | 433.4 | 382.4 | 379.3 | 22.7 | 48.6 | 366.3 | 428.9 | 524.3 | 95.3 |
| 1.78 | 437.5 | 391.1 | 388.2 | 22.9 | 47.1 | 356.5 | 415.0 | 527.7 | 112.7 |

$\begin{array}{lcccc}Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad A R C=0.44 & D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16 \\ Q S W T=0.3232 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.1826 E+03 & Q G S T=0.2155 E+03 \quad Q G T=0.2622 E+03\end{array}$
QGNT $=0.4671 E+02$
HTCGS $=54.3$
HTCGU $=3.6$
$R E=7.053 E+03$
$N U=2.944 E+02$
$N U W=1.908 E+01$

RUN NC. A33

| 1.25 | 418.3 | 358.3 | 354.8 | 21.8 | 36.4 | 270.3 | 340.7 | 406.6 | 65.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 419.4 | 360.2 | 357.2 | 22.5 | 37.9 | 282.2 | 342.0 | 418.7 | 76.6 |
| 1.40 | 421.7 | 364.1 | 361.9 | 23.5 | 40.6 | 302.6 | 346.9 | 433.5 | 91.6 |
| 1.50 | 424.1 | 368.3 | 365.5 | 24.3 | 42.6 | 318.2 | 353.9 | 452.3 | 73.4 |
| 1.60 | 426.5 | 372.6 | 371.0 | 24.7 | 43.9 | 329.2 | 362.2 | 460.1 | 97.9 |
| 1.78 | 431.0 | 380.6 | 378.9 | 24.6 | 44.8 | 336.8 | 371.2 | 459.1 | 87.9 |

$Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad A R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
QSAT $=0.1890 E+0 ? \quad Q S T=0.1664 E+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.1853 E+03 \quad Q G T=0.2364 E+03$
QGWT $=0.5106 E+02 \quad H T C G S=44.7 \quad H T C G W=3.8$
$R E=5.803 F+03 \quad N J=2.487 E+02 \quad N U W=1.972 E+01$

RUN NO. $\quad 434$

| 1.25 | 423.3 | 362.7 | 358.4 | 21.1 | 35.7 | 266.2 | 354.5 | 437.6 | 83.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.30 | 424.4 | 364.5 | 360.4 | 22.0 | 37.7 | 281.1 | 364.9 | 458.1 | 93.2 |
| 1.40 | 426.7 | 368.5 | 304.6 | 23.6 | 41.1 | 307.5 | 385.7 | 450.1 | 104.4 |
| 1.50 | 429.1 | 372.7 | 309.1 | 24.5 | 44.0 | 329.3 | 403.8 | 510.2 | 106.3 |
| 1.60 | 431.6 | 377.3 | 373.8 | 24.9 | 46.1 | 346.6 | 415.5 | 518.3 | 102.4 |
| 1.78 | 436.0 | 385.8 | 383.1 | 24.2 | 48.5 | 365.9 | 420.8 | 502.9 | 82.1 |

$Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad A R C=0.44 \quad D F=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
$Q S N T=0.3949 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.1729 E+03 \quad Q G S T=0.2124 E+03 \quad Q G T=0.2631 E+03$
QGWT $=0.5079 E+02 \quad H T C G S=51.0 \quad H T C G W i=3.7$
$R E=6.404 E+03 \quad N U=2.756 E+02 \quad N U W=2.072 E+01$

```
RUN NO. . A35
```

| 1.25 | 422.2 | 366.7 | 362.3 | 19.7 | 34.4 | 256.6 | 346.0 | 454.5 | 108.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 473.2 | 368.5 | 364.8 | 19.8 | 35.9 | 268.3 | 342.9 | 457.1 | 114.2 |
| 1.40 | 425.2 | 372.2 | 369.7 | 20.1 | 38.8 | 290.9 | 341.5 | 462.5 | 121.0 |
| 1.50 | 477.2 | 376.2 | 374.5 | 20.3 | 41.6 | 312.6 | 346.7 | 469.5 | 121.8 |
| 1.60 | 429.2 | 380.5 | 379.3 | 20.6 | 44.3 | 333.4 | 353.3 | 474.5 | 116.7 |
| 1.78 | 433.0 | 388.9 | 387.7 | 21.1 | 48.7 | 368.5 | 393.0 | 487.8 | 94.8 |

$Z=0.0 .4 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad A R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
QSiNT $=0.1895 \mathrm{~F}+02 \quad$ QST $=0.1667 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.1848 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad$ QST $=0.2491 \mathrm{E}+03$
QGWT $=0.6435 E+02 \quad H T C G S=49.5 \quad H T C G H=5.3$
$R E=7.096 E+03$
$N U=2.739 E+02$
$\mathrm{NUW}=2.706 \mathrm{E}+01$

RUN NO.
436

| 1.25 | 395.6 | 334.4 | 330.6 | 31.7 | 27.5 | 201.9 | 278.2 | $3 C 6.2$ | 28.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 397.2 | 335.8 | 332.0 | 32.6 | 29.0 | 212.3 | 289.1 | 314.8 | 25.7 |
| 1.40 | 400.6 | 338.8 | 335.0 | 34.4 | 31.5 | 231.4 | 309.4 | 332.3 | 22.9 |
| 1.50 | 404.1 | 342.1 | 338.3 | 36.3 | 33.7 | 248.0 | 325.3 | 350.3 | 25.0 |
| 1.60 | 407.3 | 345.6 | 342.0 | 38.2 | 35.5 | 262.1 | 334.3 | 368.9 | 34.6 |
| 1.78 | 415.0 | 352.2 | 349.8 | 41.7 | 38.0 | 281.2 | 330.9 | 403.8 | 72.9 |

$Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad A R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
QSWT $=0.4093 E+02 \quad$ QST $=0.1309 E+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.1719 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.1875 E+03$
0 WWT $=0.1562 E+02$
$R E=3.157 E+03 \quad N U=2.066 E+02 \quad N U W=7.145 E+00$

RUN NO. A37

| 1.25 | 476.7 | 369.4 | 362.1 | 62.1 | 52.1 | 390.0 | 537.2 | 604.1 | 66.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 479.8 | 372.0 | 365.5 | 62.9 | 52.1 | 390.5 | 523.1 | 611.4 | 88.3 |
| 1.40 | 486.2 | 377.3 | 372.2 | 64.8 | 53.1 | 398.7 | 500.9 | 630.4 | 129.5 |
| 1.50 | 492.8 | 382.7 | 379.0 | 67.3 | 55.3 | 416.4 | 490.0 | 655.4 | 165.4 |
| 1.60 | 499.7 | 388.4 | 385.9 | 70.5 | 58.7 | 443.8 | 494.2 | 686.5 | 192.3 |
| 1.78 | 513.0 | 399.7 | 398.4 | 77.7 | 68.1 | 517.9 | 544.3 | 757.5 | 213.2 |

$Z=0.84 \quad \mathrm{SGL}=0.14 \quad \triangle R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
$Q S W T=0.3904 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.2293 E+03 \quad Q G S T=0.2683 E+03 \quad Q S T=0.3538 E+03$
QGWT $=0.8550 E+02 \quad H T C G S=32.3 \quad H T C O ̈ W=3.2$
$R E=2.789 E+03 \quad N J=1.650 E+02 \quad N U W=1.604 E+01$

RUN NO. $\quad 438$

| 1.25 | 440.6 | 355.0 | 348.4 | 50.5 | 42.1 | 312.6 | 446.3 | 489.5 | 43.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 443.2 | 357.1 | 350.5 | 52.2 | 42.5 | 315.3 | 449.2 | 506.0 | 56.8 |
| 1.40 | 449.5 | 361.4 | 355.0 | 55.1 | 43.7 | 325.5 | 455.6 | 534.7 | 79.1 |
| 1.50 | 454.2 | 365.9 | 359.5 | 57.6 | 45.8 | 342.0 | 462.5 | 558.8 | 96.2 |
| 1.60 | 460.0 | 370.6 | 365.4 | 59.6 | 48.7 | 364.7 | 470.0 | 578.3 | 108.3. |
| 1.78 | 471.0 | 380.0 | 376.5 | 61.9 | 56.0 | 421.7 | 492.9 | 601.7 | 108.8 |

$Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad \Delta R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
QGWT=0.4353E+0? HTCGS=37.8
HTCGW = 2.0
$R E=2.927 E+03 \quad N U=1.980 F+02 \quad N U W=1.183 E+01$

RUN NO. A39

| 1.25 | 475.0 | 369.4 | 363.2 | 58.5 | 49.8 | 372.1 | 498.3 | 568.5 | 70.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 477.0 | 371.9 | 366.2 | 59.4 | 49.2 | 368.8 | 484.5 | 577.2 | 92.3 |
| 1.40 | 484.0 | 376.8 | 372.3 | 62.4 | 50.2 | 377.1 | 459.5 | 606.6 | 137.1 |
| 1.50 | 400.5 | 382.0 | 378.7 | 67.0 | 53.8 | 405.6 | 473.1 | 652.3 | 179.2 |
| 1.60 | 497.5 | 387.7 | 385.4 | 73.3 | 60.1 | 454.4 | 500.2 | 714.1 | 214.0 |
| 1.78 | 512.0 | 400.0 | 398.4 | 88.9 | 78.2 | 594.8 | 626.6 | 866.6 | 240.0 |

$Z=0.94 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad A R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
$Q S H T=0.357 G F+02 \quad Q S T=0.2324 E+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.2682 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.3614 E+03$ QGWT $=0.9320 E+02 \quad H T C G S=32.7 \quad H T C G W=3.6$
$R E=2.792 \mathrm{~F}+03 \quad \mathrm{NU}=1.672 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad \mathrm{NUW}=1.791 \mathrm{E}+01$

RUN NO. A4O

| 1.25 | 461.1 | 352.0 | 348.3 | 87.9 | 38.2 | 282.5 | 353.0 | 466.6 | 108.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.30 | 465.7 | 353.9 | 350.9 | 95.0 | 37.7 | 279.7 | 340.6 | 504.7 | 164.1 |
| 1.40 | 475.7 | 357.7 | 356.5 | 104.8 | 39.3 | 291.8 | 316.4 | 557.3 | 240.9 |
| 1.50 | 486.4 | 301.9 | 362.7 | 108.6 | 44.0 | 327.6 | 310.7 | 578.1 | 267.4 |
| 1.60 | 497.2 | 366.6 | 369.5 | 106.5 | 51.9 | 387.3 | 323.8 | 567.2 | 238.4 |
| 1.78 | 515.0 | 377.8 | 383.2 | 87.6 | 74.0 | 556.1 | 447.1 | 467.1 | 20.0 |

$Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad \triangle R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
$Q S N T=-.8945 E+01 \quad$ QST $=0.1942 E+03 \quad$ QGST $=0.1853 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.2845 E+03$ QGWT $=0.9921 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad H T C G S=20.1 \quad H T C G W=3.5$
$R E=1.558 E+03 \quad N U=1.032 E+02 \quad N U n=1.851 E+01$

RUN NO. A41

| 1.25 | 410.6 | 332.2 | 325.7 | 64.1 | 22.1 | 162.1 | 294.5 | 338.9 | 44.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.30 | 413.7 | 333.3 | 327.0 | 60.9 | 20.2 | 147.6 | 273.7 | 322.3 | 48.6 |
| 1.40 | 419.7 | 335.2 | 330.2 | 58.6 | 19.7 | 144.2 | 246.0 | 310.1 | 64.2 |
| 1.50 | 425.6 | 337.3 | 334.1 | 61.6 | 23.9 | 175.2 | 240.9 | 326.0 | 85.1 |
| 1.60 | 432.1 | 340.1 | 339.0 | 69.8 | 32.7 | 240.7 | 264.3 | 370.0 | 105.7 |
| 1.78 | 447.0 | 348.3 | 349.7 | 98.1 | 60.5 | 447.0 | 418.9 | 520.5 | 101.6 |
| $z=0$. | SGL | 0.14 | ARC $=$ | 44 | $D E=0$. | 7 EL | 0.16 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & Q S W T=0.3483 E+02 \\ & Q G W T=0.3909 E+02 \\ & R E=1.691 E+03 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | QST $=0.1210 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | QGST $=0.1558 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | QGT $=0.1949 E+03$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | HTCGS $=23.5$ |  | HTCGW $=1.9$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $U=1.277 E+02$ |  | $U \mathrm{~W}=1.161 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |  |  |

RUN NO.
A42

| 1.25 | 384.4 | 321.7 | 319.7 | 54.6 | 19.0 | 138.2 | 175.4 | 288.1 | 109.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 397.1 | 322.7 | 320.8 | 53.6 | 19.1 | 139.1 | 175.7 | 282.8 | 107.1 |
| 1.40 | 392.4 | 324.6 | 323.4 | 52.6 | 20.7 | 151.1 | 175.9 | 277.7 | 100.8 |
| 1.50 | 397.7 | 326.9 | 326.3 | 53.0 | 24.3 | 177.0 | 187.7 | 280.3 | 92.5 |
| 1.60 | 403.1 | 329.5 | 329.9 | 54.9 | 29.7 | 216.8 | 209.2 | 290.3 | 81.1 |
| 1.78 | 413.5 | 336.1 | 337.8 | 61.9 | 44.2 | 324.1 | 289.5 | 327.5 | 38.0 |

$Z=0.84 \quad S G L=0.14 \quad A R C=0.44 \quad D E=0.17 \quad E L=0.16$
QSWT $=0.5679 F+01 \quad Q S T=0.1062 F+03 \quad Q G S T=0.1119 F+03 \quad Q G T=0.1543 E+03$
$Q G W T=0.42^{\prime}+2 E+02 \quad$ HTCGS $21.3 \quad$ HTCGW $=2.6$
$R F=1.765 E+03 \quad N J=1.216 E+02 \quad N U W=1.612 E+01$

RUN ND. $\quad 443$


RUN NO. $\quad 444$

| 1.25 | 433.9 | 360.0 | 356.1 | 31.6 | 40.2 | 298.9 | 379.9 | 450.4 | 71.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.30 | 435.5 | 362.0 | 358.8 | 32.0 | 39.7 | 295.2 | 359.5 | 456.4 | 96.9 |
| 1.40 | 433.7 | 366.0 | 364.4 | 33.1 | 40.1 | 299.5 | 331.6 | 471.7 | 140.2 |
| 1. 50 | 442.1 | 370.1 | 369.9 | 34.5 | 42.7 | 319.3 | 323.0 | 451.6 | 168.6 |
| 1.60 | 445.7 | 374.6 | 375.3 | 3.6 .2 | 47.3 | 354.7 | 337.2 | 516.0 | 176.8 |
| 1.78 | 452.5 | 384.2 | 385.2 | 40.1 | 60.8 | 458.5 | 439.1 | 571.5 | 132.4 |
| $z=0$. | SGL | 0.14 | ARC $=$ | . 44 | $D E=0.17$ | 7 EL | 0.16 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { QSWT }=0.1965 \mathrm{~F}+01 \\ & Q G W T=0.8111 \mathrm{E}+02 \\ & R E=4.337 \mathrm{E}+03 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | QST $=0.1824 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | QGST $=0.1844 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | QGT $=0.2655 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | HTCGS $=34.4$ |  | HTCGW $=4.8$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $U=1.896 \mathrm{~F}+02 \quad \mathrm{~N}$ |  | $U \mathrm{~W}=2.449 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |  |  |

RUN NO.
145

| 1.25 | 434.4 | 391.7 | 383.7 | 14.0 | 42.5 | 107.3 | 224.3 | 262.0 | 37.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 435.1 | 393.9 | 386.7 | 14.0 | 44.6 | 112.8 | 217.2 | 261.6 | 43.8 |
| 1.40 | 435.5 | 398.5 | 392.8 | 13.7 | 47.7 | 120.8 | 204.8 | 256.3 | 51.5 |
| 1.50 | 437.8 | 403.4 | 398.8 | 13.1 | 49.0 | 124.6 | 151.3 | 245.1 | 53.8 |
| 1.60 | 439.1 | 408.3 | 404.7 | 12.2 | 48.8 | 124.3 | 176.5 | 228.0 | 51.4 |
| 1.78 | 441.1 | 416.7 | 414.5 | 9.8 | 44.1 | 112.9 | 145.1 | 182.4 | 37.3 |

$Z=0.70 \quad S G L=0.12 \quad A R C=0.47 \quad D E=0.18 \quad E L=0.13$
QSWT $=0.3534 \mathrm{~F}+02 \quad$ QST $=0.6325 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad$ QGST $=0.9858 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad$ QGT $=0.1246 \mathrm{E}+03$
QGWT $=0.2605 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad \mathrm{HTCGS}=46.6 \quad \mathrm{HTCGW}=2.8$
$R E=5.345 \mathrm{~F}+03 \quad \mathrm{NU}=2.55 \mathrm{lE}+02 \quad N U W=1.499 \mathrm{E}+01$

RUN NO. 446

| 1.25 | 413.3 | 361.7 | 355.6 | 28.2 | 40.9 | 112.6 | 203.5 | 273.2 | 69.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 414.7 | 363.8 | 358.2 | 27.6 | 43.6 | 120.0 | 204.0 | 266.5 | 62.5 |
| 1.40 | 417.4 | 368.4 | 363.3 | 26.5 | 47.4 | 130.9 | 206.4 | 256.4 | 50.0 |
| 1.50 | 420.0 | 373.2 | 368.4 | 25.9 | 49.3 | 136.5 | 208.7 | 250.8 | 42.1 |
| 1.60 | 422.6 | 378.2 | 373.4 | 25.8 | 49.3 | 136.8 | 208.5 | 249.7 | 41.2 |
| 1.78 | 427.3 | 386.7 | 382.2 | 26.7 | 44.4 | 123.9 | 190.8 | 258.9 | 68.1 |

$Z=0.70 \quad S G L=0.12 \quad A R C=0.47 \quad D E=0.18 \quad E L=0.13$
QSWT $=0.3827 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.6886 E+02 \quad Q S S T=0.1071 E+03 \quad$ QGT=0.1358E+03 QGWT $=0.2967 E+02 \quad H T C G S=36.1 \quad H T C G W=2.3$
RE $=$ ?.905E+03 $\quad N U=2.068 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad \mathrm{NU}=1.245 \mathrm{E}+01$

| 1.25 | 398.9 | 336.1 | 334.9 | 31.1 | 27.3 | 199.4 | 222.0 | 300.0 | 78.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 400.4 | 337.5 | 336.5 | 30.5 | 27.6 | 201.2 | 217.9 | 294.2 | 76.3 |
| 1.40 | 403.5 | 340.3 | 340.0 | 30.1 | 28.6 | 208.8 | 214.1 | 290.6 | 76.4 |
| 1.50 | 406.5 | 343.2 | 343.5 | 30.8 | 30.3 | 222.0 | 217.0 | 297.5 | 80.5 |
| 1.60 | 409.6 | 346.4 | 347.1 | 32.6 | 32.8 | 240.7 | 227.5 | 315.0 | 87.6 |
| 1.78 | 416.0 | 352.8 | 354.0 | 38.6 | 39.2 | 288.5 | 266.5 | 373.3 | 106.8 |

$Z=0.70 \quad S G L=0.12 \quad A R C=0.47 \quad D E=0.18 \quad E L=0.13$
$Q S W T=-.2667 E+01 \quad Q S T=0.1228 E+03 \quad Q G S T=0.1202 E+03 \quad$ QGT $=0.1661 E+03$
QGWT $=0.4592 E+02$
HTCGS $=29.5$
HTCGW $=3.0$
$R E=3.036 E+03$
$N U=1.751 E+02 \quad N U W=1.718 E+01$

RUN NO.

| 1.25 | 418.0 | 360.0 | 356.5 | 24.1 | 40.4 | 298.4 | 361.4 | 449.9 | 88.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 419.2 | 362.0 | 359.0 | 25.1 | 40.6 | 300.9 | 356.0 | 467.4 | 111.4 |
| 1.40 | 421.8 | 366.1 | 363.7 | 26.5 | 41.2 | 305.4 | 348.2 | 493.1 | 144.9 |
| 1.50 | 424.5 | 370.3 | 368.2 | 27.2 | 41.6 | 309.3 | 346.9 | 506.3 | 159.5 |
| 1.60 | 427.2 | 374.4 | 372.1 | 27.2 | 41.9 | 312.4 | 354.0 | 507.0 | 153.0 |
| 1.78 | 432.0 | 382.0 | 378.7 | 25.5 | 42.2 | 316.2 | 370.6 | 476.7 | 100.1 |

$Z=0.70 \quad S G L=0.12 \quad \triangle R C=0.47 \quad D E=0.18 \quad E L=0.13$
$\begin{array}{cccc}Q S W T=0.1992 E+02 & 0 S T=0.1637 E+03 & Q G S T=0.1836 E+03 & \operatorname{HTCGS}=52.6 \quad H T C G W=5.4\end{array}$
$R E=5.598 E+03 \quad N J=3.064 E+02 \quad N U W=2.870 E+01$

RUN ND. $\quad 149$

| 1.25 | 435.0 | 397.8 | 390.1 | 15.1 | 41.6 | 102.7 | 214.9 | 279.3 | 64.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.30 | 435.8 | 399.9 | 392.4 | 15.0 | 41.7 | 103.2 | 212.2 | 277.8 | 65.6 |
| 1.40 | 437.2 | 40.4.1 | 397.1 | 14.7 | 41.7 | 103.5 | 205.0 | 271.7 | 65.7 |
| 1. 50 | 438.7 | 408.2 | 401.7 | 14.1 | 41.3 | 102.7 | 198.5 | 261.6 | 63.2 |
| 1.60 | 440.1 | 412.3 | 406.3 | 13.4 | 40.5 | 100.9 | 189.0 | 247.5 | 58.7 |
| 1.78 | $442 \cdot 3$ | 419.4 | 414.6 | 11.5 | 39.0 | 95.0 | 165.7 | 212.5 | 46.7 |
| $L=0.70$ S |  | $S C L=0.12$ | $\triangle R C=0.47$ |  | $D E=0.18 \quad E L=0.13$ |  |  |  |  |
| QSWT $=0.5078 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  | QST $=0.5360 \mathrm{~F}+02$ |  | QGST $=0.1044 E+03$ |  | $Q G T=0.1349 E+03$ |  |  |
| QGWT $=0.3055 E+02$ |  |  | HTCGS $=54.7$ |  | HTCGW $=3.5$ |  |  |  |  |
| RE $=5$. | E+03 |  | 25 E |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |


| 1.25 | 417.2 | 391.7 | 385.5 | 11.9 | 25.1 | 189.4 | 301.3 | 322.9 | 21.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.30 | 417.8 | 392.9 | 337.2 | 11.2 | 23.1 | 174.1 | 277.1 | 304.6 | 27.6 |
| 1.40 | 418.8 | 395.1 | 390.7 | 10.4 | 20.1 | 152.0 | 231.2 | 281.4 | 50.1 |
| 1.50 | 419.9 | 397.0 | 394.3 | 10.2 | 18.7 | 141.2 | 190.4 | 275.9 | 85.5 |
| 1.60 | 420.9 | 398.8 | 398.0 | 10.6 | 18.8 | 141.9 | 156.8 | 288.2 | 131.4 |
| 1.78 | 423.0 | 402.5 | 404.8 | 13.1 | 22.7 | 171.9 | 130.1 | 355.2 | 225.1 |
| $z=0$. | SGL | 0.12 | ARC= | 47 | $D E=0.1$ | 8 EL | 0.13 |  |  |
| $Q S W T=0.2607 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.8244 E+0 ? \quad Q G S T=0.1085 E+03 \quad Q U T=0.1590 E+03$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QGWT $=0.5044 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  | HTCGS $=73.1$NJ $=3.903 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  | HTCGW=8.4 |  |  |  |  |
| $R E=7$ 。 | OE +03 | Nu |  |  | $=5.08$ | +01 |  |  |  |

RUN NG. $\quad \Delta 51$

| 1.25 | 417.6 | 390.6 | 383.9 | 9.5 | 24.2 | 80.3 | 179.6 | 258.2 | 78.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 418.1 | 391.8 | 385.7 | 9.2 | 22.6 | 75.1 | 156.3 | 249.1 | 82.8 |
| 1.40 | 419.0 | 393.9 | 389.1 | 8.6 | 20.7 | 69.0 | 141.1 | 233.8 | 92.8 |
| 1.50 | 419.8 | 396.0 | 392.6 | 8.2 | 20.6 | 63.8 | 119.3 | 222.4 | 103.1 |
| 1.60 | 420.6 | 398.1 | 396.2 | 7.9 | 22.3 | 74.4 | 103.4 | 214.9 | 111.5 |
| 1.78 | 422.0 | 402.7 | 402.6 | 7.8 | 29.7 | 99.3 | 101.3 | 211.3 | 109.9 |

$Z=0.70 \quad S G L=0.12 \quad A R C=0.47 \quad D E=0.18 \quad E L=0.13$
$Q S W T=0.2677 F+02 \quad Q S T=0.4077 E+02 \quad \mathrm{EGST}=0.6754 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad \mathrm{QGT}=0.1199 \mathrm{~F}+03$
QGWT $=0.5232 E+C 2 \quad$ HTCGS $=45.5 \quad H T C G W=8.2$
$R E=7.983 E+03 \quad N U=2.495 E+02 \quad N U W=4.648 E+01$

RUN NO. A52

| 1.25 | 414.0 | 368.3 | 358.9 | 31.4 | 42.6 | 99.9 | 239.7 | 303.4 | 63.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.30 | 415.6 | 370.5 | 361.6 | 31.5 | 43.5 | 102.1 | 234.3 | 304.5 | 70.6 |
| 1.40 | 419.7 | 374.9 | 366.9 | 31.4 | 44.6 | 105.1 | 224.4 | 304.2 | 79.8 |
| 1.50 | 421.8 | 379.4 | 372.0 | 30.9 | 45.1 | 106.4 | 215.2 | 298.9 | 82.7 |
| 1.60 | 424.9 | 383.8 | 376.9 | 29.8 | 44.7 | 105.8 | 205.6 | 288.8 | 79.2 |
| 1.78 | 430.0 | 391.7 | 385.5 | 26.7 | 42.1 | 100.1 | 192.7 | 258.8 | 66.1. |
| $\begin{aligned} & Z=0.70 \quad S G L= \\ & Q S W T=0.5821 E+02 \\ & Q G W T=0.4115 E+0 ? \end{aligned}$ |  | $0.12 \quad \mathrm{ARC}=0.47$ |  |  | $D F=0.18 \quad E L=0.13$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $Q S T=0.5512 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  | QGST $=0.1133 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  | $Q G T=0.1545 E+03$ |  |  |
|  |  | HTCGS $=41.8$ |  |  | HTCGW $=3.4$ |  |  |  |  |

RUN NO. • $\triangle 53$

| 1.25 | 414.4 | 388.9 | 384.3 | 7.5 | 31.2 | 105.4 | 174.7 | 204.3 | 29.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 414.8 | 390.5 | 386.4 | 7.2 | 30.9 | 104.6 | 165.0 | 154.7 | 29.7 |
| 1.40 | 415.5 | 393.5 | 390.5 | 6.9 | 30.3 | 102.7 | 147.3 | 186.2 | 38.8 |
| 1.50 | 416.2 | 396.5 | 394.3 | 7.1 | 29.5 | 100.3 | 133.4 | 161.7 | 58.3 |
| 1.60 | 416.9 | 399.4 | 397.6 | 7.8 | 28.6 | 97.4 | 124.2 | 211.3 | 87.1 |
| 1.78 | 418.5 | 404.4 | 402.6 | 10.4 | 26.6 | 90.9 | 117.5 | 281.9 | 164.4 |

$\begin{array}{lrrrr}Z=0.70 \quad S G L=0.12 & \triangle R C=0.47 & D E=0.18 \quad E L=0.13 \\ 0 S W T=0.1750 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.5261 E+02 & Q G S T=0.7011 E+02 \quad Q G T=0.1124 E+03\end{array}$
QGWT $=0.4234 E+02 \quad H T C G S=56.3 \quad H T C G W=7.7$
$R E=2.010 E+03 \quad N U=3.259 E+C 2 \quad N U W=4.040 E+01$

RUN NO. 154

| 1.25 | 435.0 | 397.2 | 382.4 | 13.6 | 38.9 | 98.4 | 316.7 | 314.7 | 2.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1.30 | 435.7 | 399.2 | 385.6 | 13.3 | 40.6 | 103.0 | 303.8 | 306.9 | 3.1 |
| 1.40 | 437.0 | 493.4 | 392.1 | 12.9 | 42.8 | 108.8 | 275.3 | 257.2 | 21.9 |
| 1.50 | 438.3 | 407.7 | 398.7 | 12.8 | 43.2 | 110.0 | 243.2 | 295.4 | 52.2 |
| 1.60 | 439.5 | 412.0 | 405.1 | 13.1 | 41.7 | 106.5 | 207.3 | 301.3 | 34.0 |
| 1.78 | 442.0 | 418.9 | 415.9 | 14.4 | 34.5 | 88.4 | 133.3 | 331.7 | 198.4 |

$Z=0.70 \quad S G L=0.12 \quad A R C=0.47 \quad D E=0.18 \quad E L=0.13$
$Q S W T=0.7056 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.5494 E+02 \quad Q G S T=0.1255 E+03 \quad Q G T=0.1622 E+03$
QGWT $=0.3668 E+C ? \quad H T C G S=64.9 \quad H T C G W=3.9$
$R E=6.582 E+03 \quad N U=3.463 E+02 \quad N U W=2.257 E+01$

RUN NO.

| 1.25 | 431.1 | 394.4 | 383.5 | 18.9 | 46.1 | 55.4 | 192.9 | 269.6 | 76.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | 432.0 | 396.7 | 386.3 | 18.8 | 45.0 | 55.1 | 178.8 | 267.3 | 85.5 |
| 1.40 | 433.9 | 401.1 | 393.3 | 18.2 | 42.7 | 52.4 | 147.8 | 257.3 | 109.6 |
| 1.50 | 435.7 | 405.2 | 399.4 | 17.3 | 40.4 | 49.6 | 122.0 | 246.8 | 124.8 |
| 1.60 | 437.3 | 409.1 | 405.0 | 16.1 | 38.0 | 45.9 | 08.0 | 225.8 | 131.3 |
| 1.78 | 440.0 | 415.6 | 413.9 | 13.2 | 33.8 | 41.7 | 63.4 | 187.6 | 124.2 |

$Z=0.70 \quad S G L=0.12 \quad A K C=0.47 \quad D E=0.18 \quad E L=0.13$
$Q S W T=0.3834 E+02 \quad Q S T=0.2606 E+02 \quad Q G S T=0.6440 E+02 \quad Q G T=0.1265 E+03$
QGWT $=0.6206 E+02 \quad$ HTCGS $23.0 \quad$ HTCGW $=7.0$
$R E=4.085 E+03 \quad N U=1.809 E+02 \quad N U W=3.816 E+01$


[^0]:    - Figure 6-16 *Residence Time Distribution ( $\mathrm{Pe}=382$ )

