MATERNAL ATTITUDES TOWARDS CHILDREN

AND FAMILY

AS A FACTOR IN UNDERACHIEVEMENT

bу

JANICE MARIE RICHARDSON

B.A., The University of British Columbia, 1964

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF

THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF ARTS

in

THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

We accept this thesis as conforming to the required standard

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

© Janice Marie Richardson, 1982

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirements for an advanced degree at the University of British Columbia, I agree that the Library shall make it freely available for reference and study. I further agree that permission for extensive copying of this thesis for scholarly purposes may be granted by the head of my department or by his or her representatives. It is understood that copying or publication of this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Department of		of	Education			
	Univers	_	f British	Columbia		

The University of British Columbia 1956 Main Mall Vancouver, Canada V6T 1Y3

Date	October	13,	1982		

ABSTRACT

MATERNAL ATTITUDES TOWARDS CHILDREN AND FAMILY AS A FACTOR IN UNDERACHIEVEMENT

The purpose of this study was to investigate whether there is a difference in attitude towards children and family between mothers of achieving children and mothers of underachieving children at the grade one and two level, and what the nature of this difference might be.

Subjects identified as achievers for this study were a self-selected intact group who had achieved a grade level score of 2.5 or higher on the Gates McGinite Reading Test, level A, in June, 1982. Underachievers were defined as those who attained a "no score" at this level.

The instrument used in this study was a revised form of the PARI, developed by Schaeffer and Bell (1958), and incorporating Reversed Scale items developed by Zuckerman (1958). A letter was sent home to mothers requesting their voluntary participation in the study. No statistical analysis was possible due to the limited sample size. However, scale means were established for each group.

Mothers of male underachievers had high scores on the scales for Fostering Dependency, Excluding Outside Influences, and Martyrdom. These scales were examined for the possibility of existing trends.

Mothers of female achievers attained low scores on the scales for Fostering Dependency, Excluding Outside Influences, Martyrdom, and Acceleration of Development. These scales were examined for the possibility of existing trends in mothers of female achievers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	
Acknowledgemen	nt
CHAPTER I	PROBLEM
CHAPTER II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE 6 Family Etiology
CHAPTER II	METHODOLOGY
CHAPTER IV	DISCUSSION
CHAPTER V	CONCLUSION
BIBLIOGRAPHY	
APPENDIX A	Copy of Final Form IV PARI 44
APPENDIX B	Reversed PARI Scale 52
APPENDIX C	Original Revised Form of PARI 58
APPENDIX D	Final Revised Form of PARI 66
APPENDIX E	Letters
APPENDIX F	Tables
S	with Zuckerman's Factors
·	Achievement Level
÷	on Zuckerman's Factors A and B
DIOCDADUICAT	TNEODWATTON 90

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my appreciation to me thesis advisor, Dr. Peggy Koopman, and to the other members of my committee, Dr. Sally Rogow and Dr. Frank Echols for their encouragement and assistance. I would like to thank Dr. Ron LaTorre at the Vancouver School Board, and the many administrators, teachers and mothers who so willingly cooperated in my study. I extend my thanks also to my many colleagues, friends and relatives who offered continual support and encouragement, particularly my children, Michelle and Dean, and my mother Ethel. A special thank you goes to my typist, Judie Rousselle.

CHAPTER I

PROBLEM

Introduction to the Problem

Many studies have investigated the relationship between parental attitudes towards child rearing and family life and the achievement level of the children concerned. The literature reports that families of children with learning problems appear to spend less time in communicating with each other and take longer to make decisions. Consequently, underachievers experience an emotional climate which is less positive than that of their normal peers (Owen, Adams, Forrest, Stolz and Fisher 1971, Shaw and Dutton 1962). Although some authors believe the source of the problem is organic (Cruickshank 1967, Johnson and Myklebust 1967, McCarthy and McCarthy 1969), many others consider psychological and/or social factors as contributing to the etiology of underachievement (Cullen 1969, Elardo 1978, Kronick 1976, Miller and Westman 1964 and 1968, Minden 1978, Owen, Adams, Forrest, Stolz and Fisher 1971, Peck and Stackhouse 1973). In their paper on maternal child rearing styles, Elardo and Freund (1978) state:

We know nothing of the socialization methods employed by the parents of learning disabled children. In light of the tradition of focusing on the family as the etiological force which produced all the myriad of psychopathological states, this lacuna in our knowledge is surprising. It should be remedied. (p. 145)

Often the underachieving child is used as a scapegoat or as a stabilizer in the intricate workings of family dynamics (Kronick

1976, Miller and Westman 1964 and 1968, Peck and Stackhouse 1973, Prugh and Harlow 1962). Some studies have indicated that parents hold different attitudes towards children with learning problems than they do towards their siblings (Shaw and Dutton 1962, Philage, Kuna, Becerrill 1975, Goldstein, Cary, Chorost and Dalack 1970). In general, more strongly negative attitudes were expressed towards underachievers than towards achievers. Whether this was a consequence or a cause of underachievement was not ascertained. for the causal interpretation was suggested by Shaw and McCuen (1960). Other studies considering the relationship between parental attitudes towards children and family life have been conducted by Cowen, Heuser, Beach and Rappaport (1970), Harmer and Alexander (1978), Goldman and Barclay (1974), and Shaw and Dutton (1962). With the exception of Harmer and Alexander (1978) whose subjects were parents of underachievers in grades one to three from a lower socio-economic background, most studies dealt with subjects from intact middle-class families. The underachieving students ranged in age from nine to eighteen years. As Shaw and McCuen (1960) discovered, a difference in achievement level can be identified as early as grade one between male achievers and male underachievers. More research is needed to consider parental attitudes towards children and family life at this level.

The findings of this study suggested the possibility that conditions antecedent to school entrance might have at least a partial bearing on the development of underachievement behavior, and more specifically, that parent attitudes might be associated with failure to perform effectively in school...From a

logical point of view, it is easier to build a case for such attitudes as causal rather than resultant factors. The early appearance of underachievement among males lends some support to the causal interpretation. (p. 203)

Thus many studies have identified a difference in parental attitudes towards underachievers. There is some support for the theory that more negative attitudes are causal rather than resultant of learning problems. The necessity for more research at the primary level is indicated.

Statement of Problem

The purpose of this study was to investigate whether there is a difference in attitudes towards children and family between mothers of achieving children and mothers of underachieving children at the grade one and two level, and what the nature of this difference might be.

Significance of the Problem

This paper does not consider the 1 to 3 percent of the population described by the National Advisory Committee on Handicapped Children (1968), "Children with special learning disabilities who [sic] exhibit a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using spoken or written language." Rather, the research reported here investigated the growing number of those described as "learning disabled" on the basis of underachievement. Underachievers were defined in this study as grade two students within the range of normal intelligence who were unable to attain a satisfactory level of academic progress

during the regular school session. Most teachers recognize these students because they usually rank the lowest in reading achievement. They may also demonstrate inattentiveness and may seem withdrawn, or hyperactive and disruptive in class. In tasks testing short term memory, they do especially poorly and seem unable to remember how to perform tasks from one day to the next. Often they do better in math, although this is not always the case. They seem to be able to manipulate counters, particularly for addition, but subtraction, with its vocabulary of separating and taking away, presents more of a problem. In physical education they may be either lethargic, hesitant to take part, or so out of control they have to be sent ten laps around the gym to run off steam. These children often seem immature and do not do well in fine motor skills, such as required for printing, cutting, pasting and drawing. Their work habits are sloppy and they seldom complete assignments. They may have negative attitudes towards school. At the primary level they are often labelled developmentally immature. Their social ineptness mirrors that of a much younger child.

These behaviors, often used to describe learning disabled children, are also typical of children whose parents are inconsistent. It is the contention of this writer that many children who are labelled "learning disabled" have no specific learning disorder, but are children in dysfunctional family situations. The attitudes their parents hold towards child rearing and family life are different and more negative than those of parents whose children meet with academic success.

Between 1979 and 1981, one hundred grade one students enrolled in the Vancouver school system were observed, on a daily basis, by a teaching team in an open area. Twenty-five of these children were considered underachievers. In spite of average intelligence levels, these children did not meet even the minimum requirements for achievement at the grade one level. These students received daily remedial assistance. A school based team which included the principal, teacher, learning assistance teacher, counsellor, psychologist, public health nurse and liaison social worker considered these cases and recommended that the parents receive a program also, geared to complement and facilitate the academic remediation the children were receiving. This program never materialized. Twelve children required psychiatric care and five of them were ultimately referred to a residential treatment centre.

Psychological and educational assessments revealed uneven profiles typical of learning disabled children. Parents of the children in residence now receive family counselling. According to the Commission of Emotional and Learning Disorders in Children (the CELDIC Report):

The family environment is the one that influences the child most significantly during his formative years...However, because next to his family, the school is the natural habitat of the child during his important formative years, we feel strongly that this is the place where tactical intervention should take place while the child with an emotional and learning disorder remains within his own peer group.

In the above cases, had an attitudinal change in the parents occurred at an earlier stage, perhaps some of the tragedy of family stress could have been avoided.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Historically the etiology of learning disorders has been attributed to an organic basis (Cruickshank 1967 Johnson and Myklebust 1967, McCarthy and McCarthy 1969). Certain researchers, however, have begun to recognize the possibility of family etiology as a factor in underachievement (Cullen 1969, Elardo and Freund 1978, Kronick 1976, Miller and Westman 1964 and 1968, Minden 1978, Owen, Adams, Forrest, Stolz and Fisher 1971, Peck and Stackhouse 1973). Such a difference in etiology would obviously play a significant role in the remediation and amelioration of learning problems. More consideration would be given to the idea of prevention rather than remediation. An underachieving child would no longer be treated as an isolated unit, but as an integral part of a family situation. Family therapy, such as that described by Philage (1975) and Klein, Altman, Dreizen, Friedman and Powers (1981) would become an accepted part of a multi-disciplinary approach to underachievement.

Parental attitudes toward child-rearing are capable of being changed as demonstrated by Stott and Berson (1951) in their pre-natal parental education program. Programs resulting in more positive approaches to the parental role have been implemented by Berry, Wenger and Donald (1969), Csapo and Friesen (1975), Center for Field Research and School Services, New York (1973), Minden (1978) and Philage, Kuna and Becerrill (1975), and Klein, Altman, Dreizen, Friedman and Powers (1981).

A review of the literature gave rise to the theory of family etiology as causal rather than resultant of learning problems. Sup-

port for this theory was found in the studies of Cullen (1969), Elardo and Freund (1978), Kronick (1976), Miller and Westman (1964 and 1968), Minden (1978), Owen et al (1971) and Peck and Stackhouse (1973) to mention just a few. Kronick states:

"It is therefore plausible that some learning disabled children may at first have been only temperamentally difficult children; and that, exacerbated by family dysfunction, created the learning disability and the social problems... The posibility should be explored that the family pathology could be one of the etiologies of what has been presumed to date to be a primary learning disability." (p. 117)

In her paper, Kronick is concerned with the mother's need to disassociate the child's learning disability from her own childrearing practices while simultaneously making the child and his learning disability the scapegoat for family malfunction.

Family Etiology

This section of the literature review will deal with family etiology as a causal factor in underachievement. Included will be associated studies on home environment and the development of mental abilities. Family dynamics will be discussed and the interaction between mother and child in particular will be noted, as relating to school achievement and social skills of underachieving children.

Several longitudinal studies have been conducted on the relation—ship betwee home environment and the development of mental abilities.

Bradley and Caldwell (1976a and 1976b) in conjunction with Elardo et al (1975) utilized the Home Observation of the Measurement of the Environment, a measure of the quality of the stimulation found in the environment. Subjects were observed and data recorded for ages six, twelve, eighteen, twenty-four, thirty-six and fifty-four months. The

results of the two studies suggest that the inventory is measuring a complex of environmental factors which may be prerequisite to cognitive development and relative to performance on later tasks. It was observed that children who improved on the mental test performance were encouraged by their mothers to develop new skills and provided with the play materials to do so. It was also noted that the infants whose performance declined were functioning in an atmosphere less well organized and less conducive to learning than those who improved. They concluded that parental behavior does relate to intelligence level during childhood.

Bayley and Schaefer (1964) evidenced similar findings while investigating the developmental aspects of the growth of a child. Subjects of this longitudinal study were sixty-one healthy babies. Over a period of eighteen years, thirteen age-level mental scores were correlated separately by sex with similarly averaged age-level child behavior ratings. Maternal behavior was assessed using the Parental Attitude Research Inventory developed by Schaefer and Bell (1958). They found that between one and four years of age boys with egalitarian, positively evaluating mothers had higher mental test scores. Girls' mental test scores were positively correlated with mothers who were accepting, loving, and who put achievement demands on them. school years the r for the total sample between intelligence tests at ages four and seventeen was .62, similar to the r of .68 for maternal consistency, which in love-hostility ratings was found for mothers of both boys and girls. Results indicated a stable relation between maternal behavior and intelligence scores.

There is a growing amount of evidence to indicate that dysfunc-

tional family life plays an important role as a causal agent in learning problems. As early as 1954 Burt states:

The key to much inattentiveness in the classroom lies in the events of the child's daily life at home. The anxieties, the quarrels, and even the gaities of his relatives, are apt to upset his own self-control; and as every teacher can testify, after each weekend and each long holiday, many a pupil comes back to school worn out, unsettled, and often utterly demoralized. (p. 42)

O'Sullivan, in his 1980 study of teachers' views of the effects of home life on educational achievement, concurred with Burt. He found that teachers in working class areas were significantly more inclined to consider reported violence in the home, unwashed children, parental separation or divorce, drinking problems and argumentative parents as predictors of poor educational response. Teachers in middle-class areas viewed mothers very involved in charitable work as negligent.

Owen, Adams, Forrest, Stolz and Fisher (1971) studied seventysix learning disordered children and their same sex siblings to
discover whether the characteristics of academically handicapped
children could be more precisely identified and to clarify further
the causes and familial patterns of learning disorders. Controls
were seventy-six matched academically successful children and their
same sex siblings. Results indicated familial learning disorders
were most clear in the children with markedly high performance discrepancies on the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised.
Sibling concordance on many variables, and parental language disabilities emphasized familial factors. Emotional antecedents were
critical for the high intelligence and social-deviant groups. The
emotional climate was frequently more unfavourable for the educationally
handicapped child within the total family constellation. The family

atmosphere tended to be less well organized and less emotionally stable than that of the control families. Owen, Adams, Forrest, Stolz and Fisher wondered why such family situation affects one child more than another.

Miller and Westman in two similar studies (1964 and 1968) hypothesized that parents and children resist changes in the reading disability because it contributes to the family's survival. In both cases, subjects ranged in age from ten to fifteen years of They were all two years behind in reading and came from white. intact, middle or working class homes. All had received intensive remedial assistance. Parents were administered the Thematic Apperception Test, a projective test of parents' defense mechanisms. They also took part in an unstructured interview and a semi-structured interview about marital, parental, occupational, social and homemaking roles. Results revealed the child's symptoms and subidentity were compatible with those of the parents. Family members engaged in activities that supported the child's symptoms. Concrete evidence of unrealized learning potential was denied by the parents and the child. Some members of the family reacted to improved reading ability with emotional disturbance. The parents were unable to take effective steps to improve the child's reading ability because this would change the child's role and thus decrease family stability.

Evidence of family pathology as a cause for failure of intellectual development and academic achievement was also cited by Prugh and Harlow (1962). They give the example of a boy who was regarded from infancy as mentally retarded by the mother because of his initial lack of responsiveness. Gradually he conformed to the mother's perceptions of him by his complete lack of scholastic achievement despite a superior

intellectual endowment. Prugh and Harlow attribute this phenomena to distorted relatedness. The child was not viewed as an individual in his own right but as an extension of the mother. Therefore, the child's emotional needs were not adequately met. This viewpoint is in accordance with that of Staver (1953) who believed that in disturbed relatedness, the child serves as the disturbed part of the mother. hypothesized that the mothers have some unconscious need for the child not to learn. Subjects were seventeen intact upper-lower to uppermiddle class families, exhibiting no gross social pathology. children, eight girls and nine boys ranged in age from six to thirteen years, had intelligence scores below ninety, and were at least one year behind in school. All expressed fear of separation and death and the use of helpless ignorance as a method of protection. Later in treatment some of the mothers recognized that they would rather consider their child retarded than consider that his emotional needs were primarily responsible for his lack of intellectual development. Further acknowledgement was granted to the dynamic interaction between mother and child as playing a part in creating or perpetuating the child's learning difficulty.

Doleys, Cartelli and Doster (1976) compared patterns of mother-child interaction in normal, noncompliant and learning disabled groups. Each group (nine pairs in each group) was observed in play situations: ten minutes for the child's game and ten minutes for the mother's game. The mothers were then administered an attitudinal scale measuring attitudes to child-rearing. In their summary, the authors suggested that parents of deviant children are frequently observed to reward inappropriate or undesirable behavior. The attitude data suggested that learn-

ing disability mothers view their children as better adjusted than clinic mothers, but as having more problems in behavior and adjustment than nonclinic mothers. Doleys and colleagues (1976) further suggested that the relatively low level of criticism indicated a tolerant attitude towards academic and nonacademic behavior. They stated:

It is this same tolerant and accepting behavior on the mother's part which may account for the low rate of compliance behavior. Unfortunately, this pattern of noncompliance to commands in the home may generalize to the classroom situation, and the child could become a behavioral problem in the school with the teacher having to spend a disproportionate amount of her time controlling the child's behavior, thereby taking away from instructional or teaching time. (p. 375)

Forehand, King, Peed and Yoder (1974) in an investigation of mother-child interactions comparing noncompliant clinic and nonclinic groups found the clinic mothers significantly more critical. They also dispensed significantly more commands and rewards than the nonclinic mothers. Like Doleys and colleagues, the authors attributed this fact to families of deviant children consistently rewarding undesirable behavior. They also reported significantly more noncompliant behavior in the clinic group.

The communication aspect of family dynamics was researched by Peck and Stackhouse (1973). Based on the research of Miller and Westman (1964 and 1968), Peck and Stackhouse argued that the reading problem was the manifestation of a disturbed family system. Their subjects were fifteen mothers and fathers, and sons with reading problems. Controls were fifteen normal families. Each family member completed an individual opinion questionnaire. The family members were then brought together to reach a consensus on twenty items of the questionnaire on which they had previously disagreed. Results revealed reading problem

families took significantly longer to reach a decision, spent a greater percentage of their decision time in silence, and evidenced fewer exchanges of explicit information and more irrelevant transactions. These findings are consistent with those of Burgess and Conger (1978), and Csapo and Friesen (1975). Peck and Stackhouse conclude that reading problem families have apparently taught the child how not to learn and the child has reciprocated by developing the art of being stupid. The act of going to school and achieving places the child in conflict with his family's process and his own identity as a family member.

Another aspect of family life is the development of social skills.
Rosenthal states:

Intensive case studies of socially adequate and socially aberrant youngsters have shown that self-concept and personal and social adjustment may be heavily influenced by child-rearing practices, including the total constellation of parent-child interactions. (p. 28)

Banas (1972) found that many learning disabled children have trouble with the perception of social situations in which they constantly find themselves. In their study, Elardo and Freund (1978) hypothesized that family etiology is related to the learning disabled child's strengths or weaknesses in social skills. Results indicated that certain maternal behaviors correlated highly with the children's scores on the social measures. The researchers suggest that there may be a fundamental difference between maternal child rearing behavior which reinforces intellectual achievement and that which facilitates social skills in learning disabled children.

Parental Attitudes

In an attempt to throw more light on the relationship betwen home environment and school attainment, Fraser (1959) assessed four aspects

of home environment: cultural, material, motivational and emotional. The motivational aspect was one of parental attitudes towards children and school achievement. Highly significant differences were revealed at the .01 level between the parents of achieving and the parents of underachieving students. A positive relationship between parental attitude towards the child's scholastic achievement and the child's intelligence level was observed (r = .297) and an even closer one between parental attitude and school achievement (r = .391).

This same consistent relationship between parent attitudes towards school and achievement measures was found by Cowan, Huser, Beach and Rappaport (1970). Their study involved a battery of parent assessment techniques which could broaden the base for judging early dysfunction at the primary level. The Parent Attitude Test included a twenty-five item behavior scale, seven and four item scales of home and school attitudes, and an adjective checklist containing seventeen positive and seventeen negative adjectives. Results indicated that children rated more maladjusted by the teacher came out with significantly poorer scores on all scales of parent ratings.

A study investigating the relationship between parental attitudes towards child rearing and children's reading ability was conducted by Harner and Alexander (1978). A short form self report parent question-naire was administered to one hundred seven sets of parents whose children had been referred to a diagnostic centre for reading disabilities. A significant but low correlation was revealed between parental attitudes and reading ability. Parental attitudes were consistently associated with parental judgments. Significant correlations between maternal attitude scores and both intelligence (measured by the PIAT)

and reading achievement (measured by the WRAT) indicate that maternal attitude is stronger and has greater influence than paternal attitude. The authors suggest that much more significant results might have been obtained using the Parent Attitude Research Instrument (PARI) developed by Schaefer and Bell (1958).

Goldman and Barclay (1974) utilized the PARI in an effort to analyze the influence of maternal attitudes on children with reading disabilities. Subjects were thirty-eight reading disabled children with average intelligence and a mean age of 10.9 years. Twenty-nine were male and nine female. All came from middle class intact families. Controls who had average reading ability were matched for age and intelligence. The results of the maternal attitude survey suggest some basic differences in maternal attitudes towards child rearing and family life as expressed by mothers of normal children and mothers of children with reading problems. Difference in attitude ranged from the .05 to the .001 level of significance for the scales on Strictness, Equalitarianism, Comradeship and Sharing, Suppression of Sexuality, Encouraging Verbalization, and Approval of Activity. Goldman and Barclay interpret their results as indicating lack of encouragement in verbal fluency and the minimization of communication. These findings are in keeping with the disturbed family communication patterns observed by Peck and Stackhouse (1973). High scores on the Approval of Activity scaled were seen as need for control. Marital conflict was suggested by scores on Equalitarianism, Comradeship and Sharing and Suppression of Sexuality, as were dissatisfaction in the roles of wife and mother. In summary, Goldman and Barclay noted that the mothers of the children with reading problems in their study demonstrated traits

that were similar to the mothers in other studies.

The findings of Shaw and McCuen (1960) suggest the possibility that conditions antecedent to school entrance might have at least a partial bearing on the development of underachieving behavior, and more specifically, that parent attitudes might be associated with failure to perform effectively in school. The results of this study, using male and female subjects enrolled in grades eleven and twelve, revealed that male underachievers tended to receive lower grades from grade one, becoming significant in grade three. Female underachievers received higher scores than female controls until grade five. A drop in grade point average was observed at grade six, becoming significant at grade nine.

Based on the findings of the above study, Shaw and Dutton (1962) attempted to determine whether or not differences existed between the parents of achievers and the parents of underachievers with respect to attitude, and the nature of these differences. From a population of eight hundred and fifty grade ten and eleven students enrolled in a high school of eighteen hundred students, those with an intelligence level of one hundred ten and above were considered potential subjects. Those with a cumulative grade point average of 2.7 were considered underachievers. Those with a grade point average of 3.0 or above were considered achievers. Individual interviews were set up with parents. Several objective inventories, including the PARI, were administered. In analyzing the data, the F test to determine homogeneity of variance and the t test of significance were carried out between appropriate groups. Mothers of the female underachievers were differentiated from mothers of achievers on ten scales at the .05 level of significance or

These scales were: Fostering Dependency, Martyrdom, Fear of Harming the Baby, Deification of the Parent, Suppression of Aggression, Avoidance of Communication, Inconsiderateness of the Husband, Ascendancy of the Mother, Acceleration of Development, and Dependency on the Mother. These results have been interpreted to mean that the mothers of the female underachievers appear to be more dependent, dominant and in need of respect than mothers of female achievers. They are fearful of their own hostility and cannot tolerate aggression in their daughters. The mothers of male achievers differed from mothers of male underachievers on only two scales: Seclusion of the Mother and Suppression of Sexuality. Fathers of female achievers and underachievers differed significantly on four scales: Marital Conflict, Suppression of Sexuality, Avoidance of the Expression of Affection, and Change Orientation. Interpretation of these findings leads to the conclusion that suppression of overt demonstrations of emotion is accompanied in these fathers by a suppression of any behavior which implies sexuality. Fathers of males differed on Suppression of Sexuality and Irresponsibility of the Father. The results indicated that in general, parents of underachieving children have more negative attitudes toward their children than do the parents of achieving children. Whether or not these attitudes were causal or resultant of underachievement was not revealed in this study. The early appearance of underachievement amongst males lends support to the causal interpretation.

CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY

Population

Subject for this study were grade one and two students enrolled in the public school system in Vancouver, British Columbia, a large metropolitan city with a multi ethnic population. The public school district is divided into four areas with 19 to 24 elementary schools in each area. The West area, which includes the Greek Community is characterized by a predominance of professional and white collar workers. Fifteen out of twenty-four schools were approached in the East area. Six volunteered to participate in the study, a 40% response. Centre area, also with twenty-four schools had a representation of nine where the Gates was administered. Of these, three agreed to participate in the study, a 33 1/3% response. There were no participating schools from the South area during the regular school session. However, the one participating summer school was located in the South and drew on children enrolled in surrounding schools from the same area.

Subgroups

Subjects identified as achievers for this study were a self-selected intact group who had achieved a grade level score of 2.5 or higher on the Gates McGinite Reading Test, Canadian Edition, level A, in June, 1982. They were further identified by their mothers' willingness to participate in the study, and by their geographical location in Centre and East Vancouver.

Subjects identified as underachievers in this study were children enrolled in grade two in 1981-82, and who, in spite of being in the normal intelligence range, required a remedial program at summer school having not completed the requirements for grade two during the regular school session.

Instrumentation

The data collection instrument used in this study, a modified version of the Parent Attitude Research Inventory, more commonly referred to as the PARI, was developed by Schaeffer and Bell in 1958. The focus of their study was on the influence of maternal attitudes on the personality development of the child. They hypothesized that attitude measurement would be a supplement to interview and observation techniques in the study of maternal behavior, if objective attitude measures were shown to be indices of certain patterns of maternal behavior. Emphasis was placed on attitudes contrary to the usual approved methods of child rearing. However, dissatisfaction of many mothers led to the inclusion of three "rapport" scales in order to give the mothers questions with which they could agree. Reliabilities were estimated using Kuder-Richardson Formula 20 for the scales in Trial Format I and II. The five most reliable items were used in Final Form IV which consisted of 23 scales arranged in cyclical order.

The Reversed PARI Scales were developed by Zuckerman (1958) to control for acquiescent response. Items 1, 14 and 21 - Encouraging Verbalization, Equalitarianism, Comradeship and Sharing - were left in their original form as they already had a positive focus. A high negative correlation for the remaining scales indicated content

agreement as a person scoring high on the PARI would score low on the reversed scales.

Factors A (Authoritarian-Control) and B (Hostility-Rejection) were isolated by Zuckerman. Subfactors of Factor A including Harsh Punitive-Control, Suppression and Interpersonal Distance, Over-Possessiveness and Excessive Demand for Striving were extracted by Schaefer and Bell (1958) as was Zuckerman's Factor B. (See Appendix F). Zuckerman's Factor C - Democratic Attitude - encompassing PARI scales 1, 14, and 21, did not differentiate between mothers of achieving and mothers of underachieving high school students (Shaw and Dutton 1962) and thus was not included in this study.

The instrument as used in this study was composed of sixty items divided among twelve scales. Thirty items from the PARI and thirty items from their Reversed Scales were used in order to give the mothers statements with which they could agree, to control for acquiescent response, and to establish content validity. Scales 1, 14, and 21 (Encouraging Verbalization, Equalitarianism, and Comradeship and Sharing) were not included as they did not distinguish between mothers of underachievers and mothers of achievers in Shaw and Dutton's study. Insignificant correlation between the PARI and the Reversed Scales led to the elimination of scales 6, 15 and 19 (Fear of Harming the Baby, Approval of Activity, and Ascendency of the Mother). Scales 3, 12, 17 and 23 (Seclusion of the Mother, Suppression of Aggression, Inconsiderateness of the Husband, and Dependency of the Mother) were not representative of Factors A and B as designated by Zuckerman. Scale 18 (Suppression of Sexuality) was eliminated on the advice of the School Board as they were concerned that certain items might be offensive to some parents. (See Appendix F).

These twelve scales are composed of five items each, totalling 60 items, as compared to 115 items on the PARI. The items used were identified by renumbering the items of the PARI, eleminating items for scales 1, 3, 6, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21 and 23, thus reducing the total number of items to 65. Equivalent items were chosen from the Reversed Scales and renumbered 66-130. Odd numbered items (e.g. 1, 3, 5, 7, 9...65) from this reduced version of the PARI were alternated with even numbered items of the reduced Reverse Scales renumbered 2, 4, 6...64. At this point, all items were still cyclically arranged. However, with the elimination of the five item scale 18, some adjustment was necessary in order to maintain the odd PARI and even Reversed Scale pattern. (See Appendix F). This was done to facilitate the scoring of the questionnaires. All odd numbered PARI items were weighted: strongly agree (A)=4, mildly agree (a)=3, mildly disagree (d)=2, strongly disagree (D)=1. Even numbered items from the Reversed Scales received opposite weightings: (A)=1, (a)=2, (d)=3, (D)=4.

The achievement measure used in this study was the Gates McGinite, a reading achievement test in common use in Vancouver schools whose new edition has been normed to Canadian standards. The level A test, generally administered at the end of grade one or the beginning of grade two as a group test, has two forms 1 and 2, to control for test-retest reliability. It is administered in two parts. The vocabulary section shows a picture and four words. The child is asked to find the word that best accompanies the picture. The comprehension section

is comprised of short paragraphs and accompanying pictures. The child must choose the picture that best illustrates the information conveyed in the story. Vocabulary and comprehension are scored separately. A separate total score is also provided in the manual. Scores in all parts range from a "no score" to a grade 3.6.

Procedure

In October, 1981, permission to conduct the study was received from the U.B.C. Human Subjects Committee and the Vancouver School Board. Letters were sent out from Program Resources at the school board explaining the study and requesting voluntary participation from the principals of ten schools. All declined to participate on the grounds that too much time had elapsed since the administration of the tests.

Eight months later, a second attempt was made to contact schools. During May and June of 1982, names of 31 schools administering the Gates at the grade one level were provided by the school board.

Initial contact was made by covering letters sent to principals and grade one teachers at these schools, explaining the study and requesting voluntary participation. Packets containing an average of twenty Introductory Letters to Parents (see Appendix E) were sent out to each school. A total of 730 form letters requesting voluntary participation in the project were sent home to mothers with achieving and underachieving children at the grade one level. Follow-up phone calls were made to principals and/or teachers approximately ten days after letters were put in school mail to enable time for delivery, distribution and return to schools of form letters. Returned forms were picked up from the schools by the investigator. Of the 20

schools willing to participate, seven had mothers who offered to take part in the study. All were located in either East or Centre Areas.

Mothers were contacted by phone to arrange a suitable time to deliver the questionnaires. An Explanatory Letter was provided with each questionnaire (see Appendix E). The mother's voluntary participation in the study and the matter of confidentiality were reaffirmed. Verbal and written instructions on completing the questionnaire were provided. The investigator returned after half an hour to pick up the questionnaires. In this way, approximately five questionnaires were dropped off and picked up within a two hour period. A total of 18 mothers completed the questionnaires. When teachers were contacted again to establish sex and academic achievement level, it was revealed that sixteen of the mothers had achieving daughters and the other two had achieving sons. The attempt in this study to control for investigator bias, had thus led to the elimination of a criterion group of underachievers.

An attempt to obtain a sample of underachievers was made by sending a letter to summer school principals requesting Introductory Letters to Parents by distributing at registration to parents of grade two students requiring remedial programs. Of the seven volunteers, four spoke no English, two could not be contacted by phone, and one completed the questionnaire.

A more direct approach was used at a summer school operating in August. Questionnaires with Explanatory Letters were sent home instead of Introductory letters with 26 students enrolled in a grade two remedial program. Mothers of two females and ten males completed

the questionnaires. (See Appendix E).

Treatment of the Data

Each questionnaire was coded according to the sex, grade and achievement level of the child involved. For instance, an achieving female in grade one would be coded F-1-A, while an underachieving male in grade two would be coded M-2-U. The questionnaires were then separated into four categories: grade one female achievers, grade one male achievers, grade two male underachievers and grade two female underachievers. It should be noted that there was no response from mothers of underachieving children in grade one, male or female, nor from mothers of achieving students in grade two. This made analysis of the data virtually impossible. However, the investigator felt it worthwhile to examine by inspection the data that were gathered.

For each questionnaire, the even numbered items were scored first; an "A" weighted 1, an "a" 2, a "d" 3 and a "D" 4. Next the odd numbered items were scored in a reverse order; an "A" weighted 4, an "a" 3, a "d" 2, and a "D" 1. Each scale was divided into its five items (see Appendix F for coded results). The questionnaires in each group were arbitrarily numbered 1, 2, 3,...n to facilitate rechecking of data. The score for each item number was then entered in the appropriate space. Means for each scale were computed by totalling the number of items and dividing by the number of subjects in each category. The small sample of mothers of achieving boys and underachieving girls make the interpretation risky. Unfortunately it is impossible to predict whether using a larger and more representative

sample would have produced the same results.

No statistical analysis of Zuckerman's factors was possible in ... this study as the samples of mothers of male achievers and female underachievers were too small to permit analysis. However, the means obtained are shown in Appendix F as possible indicators of trends. Factor C was not included in this study for reasons outlined earlier. Factor A is broken down into four subgroups used by Schaefer and Bell (1958). Each subgroup is composed of the relevant scales. The first subgroup, Harsh Punitive-Control, is composed of scales 2, Breaking the Will, 7, Excluding Outside Influences, and 8, Deification. Scale 10, Avoidance of Communication comprises subgroup 2, Suppression and Interpersonal Distance. Subgroup 3 Over-possessiveness consists of scales 1, Fostering Dependency, 3, Martyrdom and 11, Intrusiveness. Scales 5, Strictness, and 12, Acceleration of Development, make up subgroup 4, Excessive Demand for Striving. Factor B is comprised of scales 4, Marital Conflict, 6, Irritability, and 9, Rejection of the Home-Making Role.

As already mentioned, the possibility of statistical analysis between sex related groups was eliminated due to the sample size and questionable self-selection problem of male achievers and female underachievers. However, closer examination of the data revealed that trends in two of the groups, male underachievers and female achievers, deserved further investigation. In Shaw and Dutton's (1962) study, a mean difference of 2.0 or greater invariably was significant at the .05 level. Therefore, a mean difference of 2.0 or greater was chosen as an arbitrary number on which to base an examination of scale items.

Table 6 (see Appendix F) portrays the mean scores of Zuckerman's (1958) Factors A and B for mothers of male underachievers.

These mothers had attained high scores on scales 1, Fostering

Dependency, 3, Martyrdom, and 7, Excluding Outside Influences.

These scales, therefore, were the ones chosen for closer examination of possible trends in this group.

Table 7 (see Appendix F) shows the mean scores attained by mothers of achieving females. These mothers attained low scores on scales 1, Fostering Dependency, 3, Martyrdom, 7, Excluding Outside Influences, and 12, Acceleration of Development. These scales were examined for possible trends in attitudes of mothers of achieving females.

CHAPTER IV

DISCUSSION

There were several shortcomings in the present study. The major problem encountered was the poor response to the questionnaire. The querying of people's beliefs and attitudes is a delicate matter and permission to carry out the requirements for this study came with ethical concern from the writer, the university, the school board and the principals. When probing for the type of information required in this study, a personal interview often elicits the necessary response in a more tactful manner than does a questionnaire.

The PARI was chosen for this study after careful examination of many similar instruments. The factors isolated by the PARI were deemed relevant to support the hypothesis. The language used in the instrument was considered simple and straight forward as compared to more sophisticated terminology used in certain other instruments. As none of the mothers took exception to any of the questions in the revised form used in this study, this writer believes the instrument to be of value in eliciting certain information. However, a preferable method of collecting data would be to combine this instrument with an interview schedule using an unstructured response format.

The original PARI, developed by Schaefer and Bell (1958) was not approved by the school board. Hesitant to give permission the board suggested that items from the Reversed Scales of the PARI developed by Zuckerman (1958) be incorporated. This resulted in an

instrument which was shortened as described in Chapter III. An interview schedule might have been used to supplement the attitude scale with anecdotal information but since this would have breached confidentiality it was discarded. Previous studies which used the PARI as an attitudinal measure incorporated interview schedules to obtain more complete information. These are reported in the bibliography. No other study cited relied solely on the PARI to establish attitudes towards child-rearing and family life.

One of the original criteria for achievement were children's scores on the Gates McGinite Reading Test. This test, administered in June, 1981, was not given in all schools at the same time, making it impossible to obtain the sample needed. A criterion also could not be established because a double blind situation was used to eliminate investigator bias.

A more viable means of obtaining a criterion group might be based on the results of the Developmental Review Program (DRP), currently administered district—wide in Vancouver at the Kindergarten level. Part of the assessment includes parent interviews. A follow—up interview might be a more efficient way of obtaining information on parental attitudes.

Concern with confidentiality and concern for parents' feelings created several obstacles in developing, and pursuing the research reported here. Of 850 Introductory Letters to Parents distributed, there was only a 2% return, all from mothers of achieving students. Other studies had not informed the parents of the nature of their study and were therefore less threatening. One mother commented, "I don't want to volunteer in case the questions make me look like a bad mother."

Principals were also concerned that parents might be offended. The high percentage of children of varied ethnic backgrounds coming from homes where English was not the first language, was the main reason both principals and teachers gave for not participating in the study. One school cited a 99% enrollment of children with English as a second language.

Information concerning sex of the child and the language spoken at home, was the only information available from the mothers of the underachieving students. These mothers did not receive the Introductory Letter to Parents requesting their names, addresses and phone numbers. Rather, the questionnaire itself, accompanied by an Explanatory Letter, was sent home with each child. The investigator had no direct contact with these mothers at any time, either by phone or in person. The questionnaires were returned anonymously and the identity of the mothers was not revealed.

Trends of Male Underachievers

Mothers of the underachieving boys attained the highest scores on Fostering Dependency, Exclusion of Outside Influences, and Martyrdom. Answers to the questionnaire appeared to indicate that these mothers encouraged the little boys to be dependent on them. Outside influences were not encouraged. A sense of martyrdom sometimes prevailed. Sharing time in class revealed that many little boys were not expected to pick up their toys or perform other age-appropriate tasks in the home which encouraged independence. Observation of their classroom behavior revealed that they had to be reminded to clean up after themselves. Outside influences were not encouraged

and few boys reported involvement in after school community activities. The mothers of the underachieving boys expressed a sense of martyrdom through their responses to the questionnaire.

Fostering Dependency

An extreme case of fostering dependency is illustrated by the following. A little boy arrived in a Vancouver school from out of province. No school records were sent from schools he previously attended. As he was physically small for his age he was placed in first grade. Several weeks later, when his records arrived, it was discovered that this child was nine years old and had been referred to a slow learners' class. Arrangements to transfer him took time, and meanwhile he began learning to read. His report card reflected his progress and his mother did not show up for her parent-teacher conference. The child tearfully returned to school to report that his mother had broken his glasses, without which he could not read. The glasses were not replaced and the child's progress in reading ended.

Excluding Outside Influences

A case of extreme exclusion of outside influences was demonstrated by a little boy whose mother had chosen to bear him out of wedlock. Having made this decision, she quit her job and devoted her whole life to raising this child. A pattern of martyrdom then seemed to emerge. They appeared to have no friends or relatives with whom they associated. She was his sole companion and waited all day outside the classroom door. He was extremely disruptive

in class and would perseverate on an idea to the point of obsession. Academically he was achieving well below his potential. Suggestions from the teacher to involve the little boy in Beavers, or to enquire into the possibility of obtaining a Big Brother were rejected by the mother. After much discussion, she finally agreed to let him go on an overnight camp with his classmates, but withdrew her permission at the last minute. She was totally devoted to this little boy to the exclusion of all others.

Martyrdom

An example illustrating martyrdom was the mother who appeared weekly for conferences with the principal and teacher. The little boy in question was the oldest of three children and the least liked by the mother. She came willingly to the school and complained about the hardships this child had created for her. She constantly compared him, unfavorably, with his younger brother. She stated that her parents lavished undeserving attention on her eldest child. Her sense of martyrdom was displayed by exhibiting cool tolerance towards this little boy. His behavior in class was immature and his academic work well below the level he should have achieved.

All the mothers of these underachieving boys seemed to share certain characteristics. They fostered dependency in their sons by performing tasks the little boys were capable of doing themselves. They did not encourage outside influences such as membership in Beavers, sports or other community activities. They exhibited a strong sense of duty and put the interests of the little boys ahead of personal interests and activities to the point where a sense of

martyrdom was evidenced.

Trends of Female Achievers

Mothers of female achievers attained the lowest scores on Fostering Dependency, Exclusion of Outside Influences, Martyrdom and Acceleration of Development. It appeared that the mothers of the little girls encouraged them to be independent. Classroom discussions revealed that little girls were assigned age-appropriate chores around the house to encourage a sense of responsibility. Outside influences were encouraged and many female youngsters reported after school activities such as piano and dancing lessons, swimming, gymnastics and arts and crafts. The mothers seemed to feel their daughters should be allowed to develop at their own rate. A low score on the Martyrdom Scale possibly reflected the outcome of these other scales. Not feeling the need to accelerate development, encouraging independence and sharing responsibility for raising their daughters, the mothers did not seem to experience a sense of martyrdom. Allied with these attitudes towards childrearing was that expressed by a high score on Avoidance of Communication, indicating that the mothers did not feel the necessity to be aware of every thought, idea and feeling experienced by their daughters.

Fostering Dependency

An example of a mother who did not foster dependency was a young Japanese widow who held many feminist views, annoyed by the stereotype of the submissive oriental woman. She was very proud of her

vivascious, gregarious daughter and encouraged her to be assertive, responsible and self-reliant. The little girl was expected to help around the house. This was reflected in her responsible behavior around the classroom as was her polite but assertive manner of expressing herself.

Excluding Outside Influences

Including, rather than excluding outside influences, was demonstrated by a mother employed outside the home. The little girl had been placed in appropriate daycare since she had been a toddler. Her manner was quiet and self-assured. She did not appear to feel awkward in new situations, and in the classroom was the most reliable child for running messages or completing a task. She was well-coordinated and participated in after school gymnastics. During the summer, she attended a day camp with enrichment activities. Her mother was most open to the suggestion of acquiring a mentor for the little girl to encourage her interest in dance.

Martyrdom

No sense of martyrdom was displayed by the mother, employed outside the home on a part-time basis, who arranged her day so as to be able to walk the several blocks to school with her child. The mother's care and love for her children was demonstrated by the solicitous manner this child exhibited towards her younger siblings. She enjoyed reading and often shared her books with her sisters.

The mother took great pride in her daughter's accomplishments and

encouraged extended family participation in such school activities as sports day and concerts.

Acceleration of Development

One mother who refused to accelerate development was employed outside the home as a child care worker. Her daughter was self-confident, loquacious and out-going. Initially her academic progress was slower than her apparent ability indicated. Discussion with the mother revealed that she believed her daughter would progress when she was ready, and though expressing a willingness to help, refused to push her child. As the school year progressed, so did this little girl, and with little evidence of anxiety, she took her place as an achiever.

All the mothers of the achieving females appeared to have several factors in common. They all liked their little girls, were proud of them and enjoyed being with them. However, they were not obsessed by them, did not push them beyond their ability nor feel martyred by them. They encouraged a sense of responsibility and independence. They were all employed outside the home, at least on a part-time basis and encouraged outside interests in their daughters. All the mothers took an active interest and participation in school activities and were very aware of what went on in the classroom.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

The results from this current study indicate that certain trends bear further investigation. Further research should be directed at the following factors: fostering dependency, exclusion of outside influences, avoidance of communication, martyrdom, and acceleration of development. Parental attitudes towards such delicate matters must be examined in as tactful a manner as possible. A personal interview is the most likely means of achieving this end. Two possible ways of obtaining permission to use an interview schedule are: 1) to approach another school district which might be less reticent about granting permission, or 2) to use an interview schedule, with permission from the Vancouver School Board, as an extension of the interview schedule used for the Developmental Review Program. This latter suggestion would be a feasible way of obtaining a criterion group of suitable size. More complete results may also be obtained if future research were to be directed at members of the Association for Learning Disabilities, daycare mothers, or mothers whose children are enrolled in a tutoring agency. If these mothers were situated in predominantly English speaking localities, the problem of small sample size because of English as a second language would be remedied. An independent investigator collecting data would eliminate the necessity for a double blind situation and thus control the variables of sex, age and grade.

A potential study could be specifically aimed at establishing

whether a difference in attitude towards child-rearing and family life exists between mothers of achieving males and mothers of underachieving males at the grade one level. Results from the DRP identifying boys at risk at the kindergarten level could be obtained from the school board. This would establish a criterion group district-wide. Parents have already signed permission for their children to be tested and have already participated in a sensitive interview regarding how they view their children. A follow-up interview and attitude questionnaire would possibly not be as threatening to these parents as to those never exposed to this type of questioning. A control group could be identified from the results of the same assessment program.

A long term study involving the same children could be established. It should be possible to set up treatment and control groups of children identified as at risk. Those in the control group would receive the accepted academic remediation available in the district. Those in the treatment group would also receive the academic remediation, but in addition, would be involved in family therapy designed by the multi-disciplinary team. This practice is already in accepted usage in the United States (Klein et al., 1981, and Philage, 1975).

The prevention of, rather than remediation of learning problems should be the focus of the eighties. Attention, therefore, must be directed at different segments of the population simultaneously. Family therapy for school-aged children has already been mentioned. Preventive counselling could be aimed at a younger population such as mothers of children enrolled in pre-school centres and nursery

schools.

A look at cultural aspects and their relationship to learning and achievement would also be interesting. With the number of achieving Chinese children reported in this study, further research could be directed at the difference in attitudes towards children and family life held by Chinese mothers as compared with the attitudes held by English-speaking Caucasian mothers.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Banas, N., and Wills, I. Social know-how. Academic Therapy Quarterly, 8, 1, 1972, 113-115.
- Bayley, N., and Schaefer, E. Correlations of maternal and child behaviors with the development of mental abilities: data from the Berkley growth study. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development. (6, Serial No. 97) 1964, 29.
- Becker, W. Consequences of different kinds of parental discipline. In, Hoffman, M. and Hoffman, L. (Eds.) Review of Child Development Research, Vol. 1, New York, Russell Sage, 1964, 169-208.
- Becker, W. Parents Are Teachers, Research Press, Champaign, Illinois, 1971.
- Becker, W., and Krug, R. The parent attitude research instrument a research review. Child Development, 36, 1965, 329-365.
- Becker, W., Peterson, D., Luria, Z., Shoemaker, D., and Hellmer, L. Relations of Factors derived from parent-interview ratings to behaviors problems of five-year-olds. Child Development, 33, 1962, 509-535.
- Bensen, J., Clopper, D., Truss, C., and Russell, M. Prevention, not remediation of infant learning deficits. <u>Journal of</u> Learning Disabilities, 3, 8, 1970, 396-399.
- Bjorklund, G., and Briggs, A. <u>Multi-media instructional materials</u> for child development/parent education programs. Bureau of Education for the Handicapped, Washington, D.C., 1975.
- Blishen, B. A socio-economic index for occupations in Canada.

 The Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology, 4, 1967, 41-53.
- Block, J. Personality characteristics associated with fathers' attitudes towards child-rearing. Child Development, 26, 1955, 41-48.
- Bradley, R., and Caldwell, B. Early home environment and changes in mental test performance in children from 6 to 36 months.

 <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 1976, 12, 93-97.
- Bradley, R., and Caldwell, B. The relation of infants' home environments to mental test performance at 54 months: a follow-up study. Child Development, 1976, 47, 1172-4.

- Bryan, Tanis H., and Bryan, James H. <u>Understanding Learning</u>
 Disabilities, Port Washington, N.Y., Alfred, 1975.
- Bryan, Tanis H., and Bryan, James H. The social-emotional side of learning disabilities, <u>Behavioral Disorders</u>, 1977, 2, 143.
- Burgess, Robert and Conger, Rand. Family interaction in abusive, neglectful and normal families. Child Development, 1978, 49, 1163-1173.
- Burt, Cyril. The Causes and Treatment of Backwardness, University of London Press, London, 3rd ed. rev., 1954.
- Buscaglia, Leo. The Disabled and Their Parents: A Counselling Challenge. Charles B. Slack Inc., 1975, Thorofare, New Jersey.
- Caplan, Paula. Helping parents help their children. Bulletin of the Orton Society, 26, 1976, 108-123.
- Center for Field Research and School Services, New York City Board of Education, Brooklyn, N.Y., July, 1973.
- Commission of Emotional and Learning Disorders in Children (CELDIC): One Million Children. Toronto: Leonard Crainford, 1970.
- Cowen, E.L., Huser, J., Beach, D.R., and Rappaport, J. Parental perceptions of young children and their relation to indexes of adjustment. Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 1970, 34, 97-103.
- Cruickshank, William M. The Brain-injured Child in Home, School and Community. Port Washington, New York: Alfred Publishing Co., 1975.
- Cullen, Kathleen. School and Family: Social Factors in Educational Attainment. Gill and Macmillan, Dublin, 1979.
- Csapo, Marg & Friesen, John. <u>The Parenting Project</u>, Pacific Psychological Associates, 1975, Vancouver, B.C.
- de Hirsch, K., Jansky, J., and Langford, Q. <u>Predicting Reading</u>
 <u>Failure</u>. New York: Harper & Row, 1966.
- Doleys, D.M., Cartelli, L.M., and Doster, J. Comparison of patterns of mother-child interaction, <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 1976, 9, 371-375.
- Dreikurs, Rudolf. <u>Children the Challenge</u>. Hawthorn Books, New York, New York, 1964.
- Elardo, R., Bradley R., and Caldwell, B.M. The relation of infants' home environments to mental test performance from six to thirty-six months: A longitudinal analysis. <u>Child Development</u>, 1975, 46, 71-76.

- Elardo, Richard, Bradley, Robert, Caldwell, Bettye. A longitudinal study of the relation of infants' home environments to language development at age three. Child Development, 1977, 48, 595-603.
- Elardo, Richard, Freund, Judith. Maternal child-rearing styles and the social skills of learning disabled boys. May, 1978 paper presented at the annual international convention, the Council for Exceptional Children.
- Elardo, Richard and Freund, Judith. Maternal Child-Rearing styles and the Social Skills of Learning Disabled Boys, 1978.
- Emmerich, Walter. The parental role: a functional-cognitive approach (monograph of the society for Research in Child Development)

 Chicago: Child Development Publications, Nov. 1969, No. 132, 34 (8).
- Forehand, R.L., King, H.E., Peed, S. and Yoder, P. Mother-child interactions: comparison of a noncompliant clinic group and a non-clinic group. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 1974, 13, 79-84.
- Fraser, Elizabeth. Home Environment and the School, University of London Press Ltd., London, 1959.
- Garbarino, James and Sherman, Deborah. High-risk neighborhoods and high-risk families: the human ecology of child maltreatment. Child Development, 1980, 51, 188-198.
- Grunebaum, M.G., Hurwitz, I., Prentice, W.M. and Sperry, B.M.
 "Fathers of Sons with Primary Neurotic Learning Inhibitions".

 <u>American Journal of Orthopsychiatry</u>, 1962, 32, 462-472.
- Goldman, M., Barclay, A. Influence of maternal attitudes on children with reading disabilities. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1974, 38, 303-307.
- Goldstein, K., Cary, G., Chorost, S., Dalack, J. Family patterns and the school performance of emotionally disturbed boys. Journal of Learnign Disabilities, 3, 1, 1970, 10-15.
- Gordon, Thomas. <u>Parent Effectiveness Training</u>, Plume Books, New York, New York, 1975.
- Harmer, William R., Harrison, Jacquelyn A. Examination of parental attitudes within the diagnostic intervention process. Pub. Feb. 78. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the International Reading Association (23rd, Houston, Texas, May 1-5, 1978).
- Harmer, William R., Alexander, Jacquelyn. Examination of parental attitudes within the diagnostic litervention process. <u>Journal</u> of Learning Disabilities, VII, n.9, Nov. 1978, 590-93.

- Johnson, D.J. and Myklebust, H. <u>Learning Disabilities: Educational</u>
 Principles and Practices, New York: Grune & Stratton, 1967.
- Kealy, Jean and McLeod, John. Learning Disability and socioeconomic status. <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 9(9): 1976, 596-599.
- Kephart, Newell C. The Slow Learner in the Classroom. Columbus, Ohio: Charles E. Merrill, 1971. 2nd edition.
- Kirk, Samuel A. Educating Exceptional Children. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co. 3rd edition, 1972.
- Klein, Rosalynn, Altman, Steven, Dreizen, Kathryn, Friedman, Robert, Powers, Lois. Restructuring dysfunctional parental attitudes toward children's learning and behavior in school: family-oriented. Psychoeducational Therapy, Parts I and II.

 Journal of Learning Disabilities, Volume 14, Number I, January, 1981, Number 2, February, 1982.
- Kronick, Doreen. Three Families: The Effect of Family Dynamics on Social and Conceptual Learning. <u>Academic Therapy</u>, San Rafael, Calif., 1976.
- Kronick, Doreen. The importance of a sociological perspective towards learning disabilities. <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 1976, 9, 115-119.
- Lerner, Janet W. <u>Children with Learning Disabilities</u>. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1971.
- McCarthy, D.L. Minimal Brain dysfunction: A new problem for social work: a symposium, the annual forum of the National Conference on Social Welfare (Dallas, Texas, 1967).
- McCarthy, James and McCarthy, Joan. <u>Learning Disabilities</u>. Boston: Allyn & Bacon, Inc., 1969.
- Miller, D.R. and Westman, J.C. Family team work and psychotherapy, <u>Family Process</u>, 1968, 5, 49-59.
- Miller, D.R. and Westman, J.E. Reading disability as a condition of family stability. Family Process. 1964, 3, 66-76.
- Minden, H. Proceedings of the Subcommittee on Childhood Experiences as Causes of Criminal Behaviour, Senate of Canada, Third Session, Thirtieth Parliament, 1977-78. Issue no. 17. Senate Committee of Canada (Ontario). Standing Senate Committee of Health, Welfare and Science, 20 June 78.
- National Advisory Committee on Handicapped Children, Special Education for Handicapped Children, first annual report. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education, Jan. 31, 1968.

- Nichols, R.G. A factor analysis of parental attitudes of fathers, Child Development, 1962, 33, 791-802.
- Orton, Samuel. Reading, Writing and Speech Problems in Children, New York: W.W. Norton and Co., Inc., 1937.
- O'Sullivan, Denis. Teachers' views on the effects of the home. Educational Research, 22, no. 2, Feb. 1980, 138-143....
- Owen, Freya W., Adams, Pauline A., Forrest, Thomas, Stolz, Lois M., and Fisher, S. "Learning disorders in children: sibling studies." Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 1971, no. 144, 36.
- Peck, B.B. Reading disorders: Have we overlooked something?

 <u>Journal of School Psychology</u>, 1971, 9, 182-190.
- Peck, P.B. and Stackhouse, T.W. Reading Problems and family dynamics, <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 1973, 6, 506-1.
- Philage, Mary Lou et al. A new family approach to therapy for the learning disabled child. <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 8, 8, Oct. 73, 490-9.
- Prugh, Dane G., and Harlow, Robert, G. "Masked deprivation" in infants and young children in Deprivation of Maternal Care: A Reassessment of its Effects. World Health Organization, Geneva, 1962.
- Rosenthal, Joseph H. Self-esteem in dyslexic children. <u>Academic Therapy</u>, 9, 1, Feb. 1973, 27-39.
- Schaefer, E., Bell, R. Development of a parental attitude research instrument. Child Development, 1958, 29, 339-361.
- Shaw, M. G., and Dutton, B.E. The use of the Parent Attitude Research Inventory with the parents of bright academic underachievers. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1962, 53, 203-208.
- Shaw, M.C., and McCuen, J.T. The onset of academic underachievement in bright children. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1960, 51, 103-108.
- Staver, Nancy. "The Child's Learning Difficulty as Related to the Emotional Problem of the Mother". American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 23, 1953, 131-140.
- Stott, L.H., and Berson, M.P. Some changes in attitudes resulting from a preparental education program. <u>Journal of Social</u> Psychology, 1951, 34, 191-202.
- The Vancouver Association for Children with Learning Disabilities VACLD). Statistics Regarding the Learning Disabled Child. Vancouver, B.C., Nov. 1977.

- Wulbert, M., Inglis, S., Kriegsmann, E., and Mills, B. Language delay and associated mother-child interactions. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 1975, 2, 61-70.
- Zuckerman, Marvin. Revised scales to control acquiescence response set in the parental attitude research instrument. Child Development, 1959, 30, 523-532.
- Zunich, Michael. The relation between junior high-school students' problems and parental attitudes toward child rearing and family life. <u>Journal of Educational Research</u>, 1962, 56, 134-138 (a).

APPENDIX A

SAMPLE COPY OF FINAL FORM IV (PARI)

INVENTORY OF ATTITUDES ON FAMILY LIFE AND CHILDREN

Read each of the statements below and then rate them as follows:

A	а	d	D
strongly	mildly	mildly	strongly
agree	agree	disagree	disagree

Indicate your opinion by drawing a circle around the "A" if you strongly agree, around the "a" if you mildly agree, around the "d" if you mildly disagree and around the "D" if you strongly disagree.

There are no right or wrong answers, so answer according to your own opinion. It is very important to the study that all questions be answered. Many of the statements will seem alike but all are necessary to show slight difference of opinion.

1.	Children should be allowed to disagree with their parents if they feel their own ideas are better.		A	а	d	D
2.	A good mother should shelter her child from life's little difficulties.		A	а	d	D
3.	The home is the only thing that matters to a good mother.		A	а	d	D
4.	Some children are just so bad they must be taught to fear adults for their own good.		A	а	d	D
5.	Children should realize how much parents have to give up for them.		A	a	d	D
6.	You must always keep tight hold of baby during his bath for in a careless moment he might slip.	4	A	а	d	D
7.	People who think they can get along in marriage without arguments just don't know the facts.		A	a	d	D
8.	A child will be grateful later on for strict training.		A	а	d	D
9.	Children will get on any woman's nerves if she has to be with them all day.	,	A	а	d	D
10.	It's best for the child if he never gets started wondering if his mother's views are right.	.,	A	а	d	D

11. More parents should teach their children to have A a d D unquestioning loyalty to them. 12. A child should be taught to avoid fighting no matter what happens. 13. One of the worst things about taking care of a home AadD is a woman feels that she can't get out. Parents should adjust to the children rather than AadD always expecting the children to adjust to the parent. 15. There are so many things a child has to learn in A a d D life there is no excuse for him sitting around with time on his hands. 16. If you let children talk about their troubles they A a d D end up complaining even more. 17. Mothers would do their job better with the children AadD if fathers were more kind. 18. A young child should be protected from hearing A a d D about sex. 19. If a mother doesn't go ahead and make rules for the A a d D home the children and husband will get into trouble they don't need to. 20. A mother should make it her business to know AadD everything her children are thinking. 21. Children would be happier and better behaved if AadD parents would show an interest in their affairs. 22. Most children are toilet trained by 15 months of A a d D age. 23. There is nothing worse for a young mother than being AadD alone while going through her first experience with a baby. 24. Children should be encouraged to tell their parents AadD about it whenever they feel family rules are unreasonable. 25. A mother should do her best to avoid any disappoint- A a d D ment for her children.

The women who want lots of parties seldom make good A a d D

26.

mothers.

27. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief A a d D out of a child before he will behave. 28. A mother must give up her own happiness for that AadD of her child. All young mothers are afraid of their awkwardness AadD in handling and holding the baby. 30. Sometime it's necessary for a wife to tell off her AadD husband in order to get her rights. 31. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. AadD 32. Mothers very often feel that they can't stand their AadD children a moment longer. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a AadD child's eyes. The child should be taught to revere his parents AadD above all other grown-ups. 35. A child should be taught to always come to his AadD parents or teachers rather than fight when he is in trouble. Having to be with the children all the time gives AadD a woman the feeling her wings have been clipped. 37. Parents must earn the respect of their children AadD by the way they act. 38. Children who don't try hard for success will feel AadD they have missed out on things later on. Parents who start a child talking about his worries AadD don't realize that sometimes it's better to just leave well enough alone. 40. Husbands could do their part if they were less AadD selfish. 41. It is very important that young boys and girls not AadD be allowed to see each other completely undressed. 42. Children and husbands do better when the mother is AadD strong enough to settle most of the problems. 43. A child should never keep a secret from his parents. AadD 44. Laughing at children's jokes and telling children A a d D jokes makes things go more smoothly.

45. The sooner a child learns to walk the better he's AadD trained. It isn't fair that a woman has to bear just about 46. AadD all the burden of raising children by herself. A child has the right to his own point of view 47. AadD and ought to be allowed to express it. A child should be protected from jobs which might A a d D be too hard for him. A woman has to choose between having a well run AadD home and hobnobbing around with neighbors and friends. 50. A wise parent will teach a child early just who A a d D 51. Few women get the gratitude they deserve for all A a d D they have done for their children. 52. Mothers never stop blaming themselves if their AadD babies are injured in accidents. 53. No matter how well a married couple love one A a d D another, there are always differences that cause irritation and lead to arguments. 54. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to AadD be the best adults. It's a rare mother who can be sweet and even-AadD tempered with her children all day. Children should never learn things outside the AadD home that make them doubt their parents' ideas. 57. A child soon learns that there is no greater AadD wisdom than that of his parents. 58. There is no good excuse for a child hitting Aad D another child. Most young mothers are bothered by the feeling of AadD being shut up in the home than by anything else. Children are too often asked to do all the AadD compromising and adjustment and that's not fair. Parents should teach their children that the way AadD to get ahead is to keep busy and not waste time. 62. Children pester you with all their little upsets A a d. D if you aren't careful from the first.

63. When a mother doesn't do a good job with children AadD it's probably because the father doesn't do his part around the home. Children who take part in sex play become sex AadD criminals when they grow up. 65. A mother has to do the planning because she knows Aad D what's going on in the home. 66. An alert parent should try to learn all her child's AadD thoughts. 67. Parents who are interested in hearing about their AadD children's parties, dates and fun help them grow up right. 68. The earlier a child is weaned from its emotional AadD ties to its parents the better it will handle its own problems. 69. A wise woman will do anything to avoid being by AadD herself before and after a new baby. 70. A child's ideas should be seriously considered in AadD making family decisions. 71. Parents should know better than to allow their AadD children to be exposed to difficult situations. 72. Too many women forget that a mother's place is in AadD the home. Children need some of the natural meanness taken AadD out of them. Children should be more considerate of their A a d D mothers since their mothers suffer so much for them. Most mothers are fearful that they may hurt their AadD babies in handling them. There are some things which just can't be settled 76. AadD by a mild discussion. Most children should have more discipline than they AadD get. 78. Raising children is a herve-wracking job. AadD 79. The child should not question the thinking of the parents.

80. Parents deserve the highest esteem and regard of AadD their children. 81. Children should not be encouraged to box or wrestle AadD because it often leads to trouble or injury. 82. One of the bad things about raising children is AadD that you aren't free enough of the time to do just as you like. 83. As much as is reasonable a parent should try to A a d D treat a child as an equal. A child who is "on the go" all of the time will AadD most likely be happy. 85. If a child has upset feelings it is best to leave AadD him alone and not make it look serious. If mothers could get their wishes they would most AadD often ask that their husband be more understanding. 87. Sex is one of the greatest problems to be contended A a d D with in children. 88. The whole family does fine if the mother puts her A a d D shoulders to the wheel and takes charge of things. 89. A mother has a right to know everything going on AadD in her child's life because her child is part of her. If parents would have more fun with their children, AadD the children would be more apt to take their advice. A mother should make an effort to get her child AadD toilet trained at the earliest possible time. Most women need more time than they are given to AadD rest up in the home after going through childbirth. When a child is in trouble he ought to know he AadD won't be punished for talking about it with his parents. 94. Children should be kept away from all hard jobs AadD which may be discouraging. 95. A good mother will find enough social life in the AadD family. 96. It is sometimes necessary for the parents to break AadD the child's will.

97. Mothers sacrifice almost all their own fun for AadD their children. A mother's greatest fear is that in a forgetful AadD moment she might let something bad happen to the baby. It's natural to have quarrels when two people AadD who both have minds of their own get married. 100. Children are actually happier under strict AadD training. 101. It's natural for a mother to "blow her top" when AadD children are selfish and demanding. 102. There is nothing worse than a child hearing criti-AadD cism of his mother. 103. Loyalty to parents comes before anything else. AadD 104. Most parents prefer a quiet child to a "scrappy" AadD one. 105. A young mother feels "held down" because there are AadD lots of things she wants to do while she is young. 106. There is no reason parents should have their own AadD way all the time, anymore than children should have their own way all the time. 107. The sooner a child learns that a wasted minute is A a d D lost forever the better off he will be. 108. A a d D The trouble with giving attention to children's problems is they usually just make up a lot of stories to keep you interested. 109. Few men realize that a mother needs some fun in AadD life too. 110. There is usually something wrong with a child who AadD asks a lot of questions about sex. 111. A married woman knows that she will have to take AadD the lead in family matters. 112. It is a mother's duty to make sure she knows her AadD child's innermost thoughts. 113. When you do things together, children feel close AadD to you and can talk easier.

- 114. A child should be weaned away from the bottle or A a d D breast as soon as possible.
- 115. Taking care of a small baby is something that no A a d D woman should be expected to do all by herself.

APPENDIX B

Reversed Scale Items for the Parental Attitude Research Instrument developed by Zuckerman (1958)

(This instrument is scored in the same manner as the original ${\tt PARI}$)

11.	A good mother lets her child learn the hard way about life.	AadD
2.	A good mother should develop interests outside the home.	A a d D
3.	A child should never be taught to fear adults.	A a d D
4.	Parents shouldn't feel they have to sacrifice for their children.	A a d D
5.	Mothers worry too much about bathing babies.	A a d D
6.	There is no reason for arguments in a happy marriage.	A a d D
7.	Strict training will make a child resent his parents later on.	A a d D
8.	There is no reason why a day with the children should be upsetting.	A a d D
9.	Children have every right to question their mother's views.	A a d D
10.	Loyalty on the part of children to their parents is something that the parents should earn.	A a d D
11.	Children should be taught to fight so that they can take care of themselves.	A a d D
12.	Taking care of a home doesn't have to coop a woman up.	A a d D
13.	A child needs time just to sit around and do nothing if he feels like it.	A a d D
14.	Children should be encouraged to talk about their problems.	A a d D

15. Fathers generally are kind and helpful. AadD 16. Children should be taught about sex as soon as A a d D possible. It is not the mother's place to make the rules 17. AadD for the home. 18. A child's thoughts and ideas are his own business. AadD 19. Very few children are toilet trained by 15 months AadD of age. 20. A young mother doesn't need any help when going A a d D through her first experience with a baby. 21. A child should learn that he has to be disappointed AadD sometimes. 22. A good mother has an active social life. AadD 23. You can't make a child behave by cracking down A a d D on him. 24. There is no reason why a mother can't be happy AadD any make her child happy too. 25. Most young mothers don't worry much about handling AadD or holding the baby. 26. A good wife never has to argue with her husband. AadD 27. Strict discipline makes children grow up to be A a d D mean or rebellious. Most mothers never get to the point where they AadD can't stand their children. 29. If a parent is wrong he should admit it to his AadD child. 30. A child should be taught that there are many AadD other people he will love and respect as much or more than his own parents. A child should be taught to fight his own battles. AadD 32. Most mothers are content to be with children all AadD the time. 33. There is plenty of time for children to strive AadD for success after they are older.

34. A child should always be encouraged to talk AadD about his troubles. 35. Husbands have a perfect right to their own AadD interests. 36. There is nothing wrong with bathing boys and AadD girls in the same bath tub. 37. The family is better off when the husband settles AadD most of the family problems. 38. Children are entitled to keep their own secrets. AadD 39. A child should take all the time he wants to before A a d D he walks. 40. Women should handle most of child-raising without A a d D much help from others. 41. Children should be encouraged to undertake tough AadD jobs if they want to. .42. A mother can keep a nice home and still have plenty A a d D of time left over to visit with neighbors and friends. 43. There is no need for children to look on parents AadD as their bosses. 44. Most children are grateful to their parents. AadD 45. Little accidents are bound to happen when caring AadD for young babies. 46. If a couple really loves each other there are very AadD few arguments in their married life. 47. If children are given too many rules they will grow AadD up to be unhappy adults. Most mothers can spend all day with the children AadD and remain calm and even-tempered. 49. A child should be encouraged to look for answers AadD to his questions from other people even if the answers contradict his parents. 50. Most children soon learn that their parents were AadD mistaken in many of their ideas. 51. It's quite natural for children to hit one another. A a d D

52. Most young mothers don't mind spending most of AadD their time at home. 53. Children should have lots of time to loaf and play. AadD 54. A mother should be concerned with any problem of A a d D a child no matter how trivial. 55. In most cases the mother rather than the father is A a d D responsible for trouble in the home. 56. Sex play is a normal thing in children. AadD 57. A mother should take a back seat to her husband AadD as far as the planning is concerned. 58. A good parent doesn't try to pry into the child's AadD thoughts. 59. A child needs to be emotionally close to its AadD parents for a long time. 60. A woman should be on her own after having a baby. AadD Children have to face difficult situations on A a d D 61. their own. 62. Mothers should get out of the home fairly often. AadD 63. If a child acts mean he needs understanding rather AadD than punishment. 64. Children don't "owe" their mothers anything. A a d D 65. Most mothers are confident when handling their A a d D babies. 66. Almost any problem can be settled by quietly AadD talking it over. 67. Most children are disciplined too much. AadD AadD 68. Raising children is an easy job. 69. When a child thinks his parent is wrong he AadD should say so. A parent should not expect to be more highly AadD esteemed than other worthy adults in their children's eyes. 71. Children should be taught ways of defending AadD themselves in a fight.

72. If you run your home right, you have plenty of AadD time to do the things you like to do. 73. It isn't good for children to be constantly AadD running from one activity to another. 74. A mother should always be concerned about upset A a d D feelings in a child. Most husbands show good understanding for a AadD mother's problems. 76. Sex is no great problem for children if the AadD parent doesn't make it one. 77. It's up to the father to take charge of the family. AadD Being a mother doesn't give women the right to know 78. AadD everything in their children's lives. 79. Toilet training should be put off until the child AadD indicates he is ready. 80. A woman should be up and around a short time after A a d D giving birth. 81. Children should be encouraged to undertake all AadD kinds of jobs no matter how hard. It is important for a mother to have a social life 82. AadD outside of the family. 83. Children have a right to rebel and be stubborn AadD sometimes. 84. Having children doesn't mean you can't have as AadD much fun as you usually do. 85. Mothers shouldn't worry much about calamities AadD that might happen to their children. 86. Husbands and wives who have different views can AadD still get along without arguments. 87. Strict training makes children unhappy. AadD 88. A mother should keep control of her temper even AadD when children are demanding. 89. A good mother can tolerate criticism of herself, AadD even when the children are around.

90. Loyalty to parents is an over-emphasized virtue. A a d D 91. Most parents prefer a "scrappy" child to a quiet AadD one. 92. Most young mothers are pretty content with home AadD 93. A child should have time to just dawdle or AadD daydream. 94. Anything a child wants to tell a parent is AadD important enough to listen to. 95. Most men try to take their wives out as often AadD as they can. 96. Children are normally curious about sex. AadD 97. Most wives think it best that the husband take the AadD lead in family affairs. 98. Every child should have an inner life which is only A a d D his business. 99. The longer a child is bottle or breast fed the more AadD secure he will feel. 100. Any woman should be capable of taking care of a AadD baby by herself.

APPENDIX C

ORIGINAL REVISED FORM

INVENTORY OF ATTITUDES ON FAMILY LIFE AND CHILDREN

Read each of the statements below and then rate them as follows:

A	а	d	D
strongly	mildly	mildly	strongly
agree	agree	disagree	disagree

Indicate your opinion by drawing a circle around the "A" if you strongly agree, around the "a" if you mildly agree, around the "d" if you mildly disagree and around the "D" if you strongly disagree.

There are no right or wrong answers, so answer according to your own opinion. It is very important to the study that all questions be answered. Many of the statements will seem alike but all are necessary to show slight differences of opinion.

1.	A good mother should shelter her child from life's little difficulties.	A a d D
2.	Some children are just so bad they must be taught to fear adults for their own good.	A a d D
3.	Children should realize how much parents have to give up for them.	AadD
4.	People who think they can get along in marriage without arguments just don't know the facts.	A a d D
5.	A child will be grateful later on for strict training.	A a d D
6.	Children will get on any woman's nerves if she has to be with them all day.	AadD
7.	It's best for the child if he never gets started wondering if his mother's views are right.	A a d D
8.	More parents should teach their children to have unquestioning loyalty to them.	AadD
9.	One of the worst things about taking care of a home is a woman feels that she can't get out.	AadD

If you let children talk about their troubles they A a d D 10. end up complaining even more. A young child should be protected from hearing AadD about sex. A mother should make it her business to know AadD everything her children are thinking. 13. Most children are toilet trained by 15 months AadD of age. 14. A mother should do her best to avoid any dis-AadD appointment for her children. 15. It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief AadD out of a child before he will behave. 16. A mother must give up her own happiness for that AadD of her child. 17. Sometimes it's necessary for a wife to tell off her AadD husband in order to get her rights. Strict discipline develops a fine strong character. 18. A a d D Mothers very often feel that they can't stand their AadD children a moment longer. 20. A parent should never be made to look wrong in a AadD child's eyes. 21. The child should be taught to revere his parents AadD above all other grown-ups. Having to be with children all the time gives a AadD woman the feeling her wings have been clipped. Parents who start a child talking about his worries AadD don't realize that sometimes it's better to leave well enough alone. It is very important that young boys and girls A a d D not be allowed to see each other completely undressed. 25. A child should never keep a secret from his AadD parents. 26. The sooner a child learns to walk the better he AadD is trained. 27. A child should be protected from jobs which might A a d D be too hard for him.

A wise parent will teach a child early just who AadD is boss. 29. Few women get the gratitude they deserve for all AadD they have done for their children. 30. No matter how well a married couple love one AadD another, there are always differences that cause irritation and lead to arguments. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to 31. AadD be the best adults. It's a rare mother who can be sweet and even 32. AadD tempered with her children all day. 33. Children should never learn things outside the AadD home which make them doubt their parents' ideas. 34. A child soon learns that there is no greater AadD wisdom than that of his parents. Most young mothers are bothered by the feeling AadD of being shut up in the home than by anything else. 36. Children pester you with all their little upsets AadD if you aren't careful from the first. 37. Children who take part in sex play become sex AadD criminals when they grow up. 38. An alert parent should try to learn all her AadD child's thoughts. 39. The earlier a child is weamed from its emotional AadD ties to its parents the better it will handle its own problems. 40. Parents should know better than to allow their A a d D children to be exposed to difficult situations. 41. Children need some of the natural meanness taken AadD out of them. 42. Children should be more considerate of their mothers AadD since their mothers suffer so much for them. 43. There are some things which just can't be settled AadD by a mild discussion. 44. Most children should have more discipline than AadD they get. 45. Raising children is a nerve wracking job. A a d D

46. The child should not question the thinking of AadD the parent. 47. Parents deserve the highest esteem and regard AadD of their children. One of the bad things about raising children is AadD that you aren't free enough of the time to do just as you like. If a child has upset feelings it is best to leave AadD him alone and not make it look serious. Sex is one of the greatest problems to contend AadD with in children. 51. A mother has the right to know everything going AadD on in her child's life because her child is part of her. A mother should make an effort to get her child A a d D toilet trained at the earliest possible time. Children should be kept away from all hard jobs AadD which may be discouraging. It is sometimes necessary for parents to break AadD a child's will. 55. Mothers sacrifice almost all their own fun for AadD their children. It's natural to have quarrels when two people who AadD both have minds of their own get married. 57. Children are actually happier under strict AadD training. It's natural for a mother to "blow her top" when AadD children are selfish and demanding. 59. There is nothing worse than a child hearing AadD criticism of his mother. 60. Loyalty to parents comes before anything else. AadD 61. A young mother feels "held down" because there AadD are lots of things she wants to do while she is 62. The trouble with giving attention to children's AadD problems is they usually just make up a lot of stories to keep you interested.

63. There is usually something wrong with a child who AadD asks a lot of questions about sex. 64. It is a mother's duty to make sure she knows her AadD child's innermost thoughts. A child should be weaned away from the bottle or AadD breast as soon as possible. A good mother lets her child learn the hard way 66. AadD about life. 67. A child should never be taught to fear adults. AadD 68. Parents shouldn't feel they have to sacrifice for AadD their children. 69. There is no reason for arguments in a happy AadD marriage. Strict training will make a child resent his A a d D parents later on. 71. There is no reason why a day with the children AadD should be upsetting. 72. Children have every right to question their AadD mothers' views. Loyalty on the part of children to their parents AadD is something that the parents should earn. 74. Taking care of a home doesn't have to coop a AadD woman up. 75. Children should be encouraged to talk about their AadD problems. 76. Children should be taught about sex as soon as AadD possible. 77. A child's thoughts and ideas are his own business. AadD 78. Very few children are toilet trained by 15 months. AadD A child should learn that he has to be disappointed 79. AadD sometimes. You can't make a child behave by cracking down on AadD him. There is no reason why a mother can't be happy and AadD

make her child happy too.

82. A good wife never has to argue with her husband. AadD 83. Strict discipline makes children grow up mean and AadD rebellious. Most mothers never get to the point where they 84. AadD can't stand their children. If a parent is wrong he should admit it to his 85. AadD child. A child should be taught that there are many other AadD 86. people he will love and respect as much or more than his own parents. Most mothers are content to be with children all AadD 87. the time. 88. A child should always be encouraged to talk about AadD his troubles. There is nothing wrong with bathing boys and girls in the same bath tub. 90. Children are entitled to keep their own secrets. AadD A child should take all the time he wants to 91. AadD before he walks. Children should be encouraged to undertake tough AadD 92. jobs if they want to. 93. There is no need for children to look on parents AadD as their bosses. Most children are grateful to their parents. AadD 94. 95. If a couple really loves each other there are AadD very few arguments in their married life. 96. If children are given too many rules they will AadD grow up to be unhappy adults. Most mothers can spend all day with the children AadD and remain calm and even-tempered. 98. A child should be encouraged to look for answers AadD to his questions from other people even if the answers contradict his parents. 99. Most children soon learn that their parents were A a d D mistaken in many of their ideas.

100. Most young mothers don't mind spending most of AadD their time at home. 101. A mother should be concerned with any problem of AadD a child no matter how trivial. 102. Sex play is a normal thing in children. AadD A good parent doesn't pry into the child's 103. AadD thoughts. 104. A child needs to be emotionally close to its A a d D parents for a long time. 105. Children have to face difficult situations on AadD their own. 106. If a child acts mean he needs understanding rather AadD than punishment. 107. Children don't "owe" their mothers anything. AadD 108. Almost any problem can be solved by quietly AadD talking it over. 109. Most children are disciplined too much. AadD 110. Raising children is an easy job. AadD 111. When a child thinks his parent is wrong he AadD should say so. 112. A parent should not expect to be more highly AadD esteemed than other worthy adults in their children's eyes. 113. If you run your home right you have plenty of AadD time to do the things you like to do. 114. A mother should always be concerned about upset AadD feelings in a child. 115. Sex is no great problem for children if the parent A a d D doesn't make it one. 116. Being a mother doesn't give women the right to know A a d D everything in their children's lives. 117. Toilet training should be put off until the child A a d D indicates he is ready. 118. Children should be encouraged to undertake all kinds A a d D of jobs no matter how hard.

119.	Children have a right to rebel and be stubborn sometimes.	A	а	d	D
120.	Having children doesn't mean you can't have as much fun as you usually do.	A	а	d	D
121.	Husbands and wives who have different views can still get along without arguments.	A	а	d	D
122.	Strict training makes children unhappy.	A	а	d	D
123.	A mother should keep control of her temper even when children are demanding.	A	а	d	D
124.	A good mother can tolerate criticism of herself even when the children are around.	A	а	d	D
125.	Loyalty to parents is an over-emphasized virtue.	A	·a	d	D
126.	Most young mothers are pretty content with home life.	A	а	d	D
127.	Anything a child wants to tell a parent is important enough to listen to:	A	а	d	D
128.	Children are normally curious about sex.	A	а	d	D
129.	Every child should have an inner life which is only his business.	A	а	d	D
130.	The longer a child is bottle or breast fed the more secure he will feel.	A	а	d	D

APPENDIX D

FINAL REVISED FORM OF PARI

INVENTORY OF ATTITUDES ON FAMILY LIFE AND CHILDREN

Read each of the statements below and then rate them as follows:

A	a	d	·D
strongly	mildly	mildly	strongly
agree	agree	disagree	disagree

Indicate your opinion by drawing a circle around the "A" if you strongly agree, around the "a" if you mildly agree, around the "d" if you mildly disagree and around the "D" if you strongly disagree.

There are no right or wrong answers, so answer according to your own opinion. It is very important to the study that all questions be answered. Many of the statements will seem alike but all are necessary to show slight differences of opinion.

. 1.	A good mother should shelter her child from life's little difficulties.	A a d D
2.	A child should never be taught to fear adults.	A a d D
3.	Children should realize how much parents have to give up for them.	A a d D
4.	There is no reason for arguments in a happy marriage.	A a d D
5.	A child will be grateful later on for strict training.	A a d D
6.	There is no reason why a day with the children should be upsetting.	AadD
7.	It's best for the child if he never gets to wondering if his mother's views are right.	A a d D
8.	Loyalty on the part of children to their parents is something that the parents should earn.	A a d D
9.	One of the worst things about taking care of a home is a woman feels that she can't get out.	AadD
10.	Children should be encouraged to talk about their problems.	AadD

11. Most children are toilet trained by 15 months AadD of age. 12. A child's thoughts and ideas are his own business. AadD It is frequently necessary to drive the mischief A a d D out of a child before he will behave. A child should learn that he has to be disappointed AadD sometimes. Sometimes it's necessary for a wife to tell off her 15. AadD husband in order to get her rights. 16. There is no reason why a mother can't be happy and AadD make her child happy too. 17. Mothers very often feel that they can't stand their AadD children a moment longer. 18. Strict discipline makes children grow up mean and rebellious. 19. The child should be taught to revere his parents AadD above all other grown-ups. 20. If a parent is wrong he should admit it to his AadD child. 21. Parents who start a child talking about his AadD worries don't realize that sometimes it's better to leave well enough alone. 22. Most mothers are content to be with children all A a d D the time. 23. A child should never keep a secret from his AadD parents. 24. A child should take all the time he wants before AadD he walks. A child should be protected from jobs which might AadD be too hard for him. 26. There is no need for children to look on parents AadD as their bosses. 27. Few women get the gratitude they deserve for all AadD they have done for their children. 28. If a couple really loves each other there are very A a d D

few arguments in their married life.

- 29. Children who are held to firm rules grow up to be A a d D the best adults.
- 30. Most mothers can spend all day with the children A a d D and remain calm and even-tempered.
- 31. Children should never learn things outside the A a d D home which make them doubt their parents' ideas.
- 32. Most children soon learn that their parents were A a d D mistaken in many of their ideas.
- 33. Most young mothers are bothered by the feeling of A a d D being shut up in the house than by anything else.
- 34. A mother should be concerned with any problem of A a d D a child no matter how trivial.
- 35. The earlier a child is weaned from its emotional A a d D ties to its parents the better it will handle its own problems.
- 36. A good parent doesn't pry into the child's A a d D thoughts.
- 37. Children need some of the natural meanness taken A a d D out of them.
- 38. Children have to face difficult situations on their $\mbox{A a d D}$ own.
- 39. There are some things which just can't be settled A a d D by a mild discussion.
- 40. Children don't "owe" their mothers anything. A a d D
- 41. Raising children is a nerve wracking job. A a d D
- 42. Most children are disciplined too much. A a d D
- 43. Parents deserve the highest esteem and regard of $\mbox{A a d D}$ their children.
- 44. When a child thinks his parents are wrong he should A a d D say so.
- 45. If a child has upset feelings it is best to leave A a d D him alone and not make it look serious.

46. If you run your home right you have plenty of time AadD to do the things you like to do. A mother has the right to know everything that is AadD going on in her child's life because her child is part of her. 48. Toilet training should be put off until the child AadD indicates he is ready. Children should be kept away from all hard jobs A a d D which may be discouraging. 50. Children have a right to rebel and be stubborn A.a d D sometimes. Mothers sacrifice almost all their own fun for AadD their children. 52. Husbands and wives who have different views can A a d D still get along without arguments. 53. Children are actually happier under strict A a d D training. A mother should keep control of her temper even 54. AadD when children are demanding. 55. There is nothing worse than a child hearing AadD criticism of his mother. 56. Loyalty to parents is an over-emphasized virtue. A a d D A young mother feels "held down" because there are A a d D lots of things she wants to do while she is still young. 58. Anything a child wants to tell a parent is important AadD enough to listen to. 59. A child should be weaned away from the bottle or A a d D breast as soon as possible. 60. Every child should have an inner life which is A a d D

only his business.

APPENDIX E

INTRODUCTORY LETTER

Dear Parents:

A study is being undertaken at the University of British Columbia on the attitudes of mothers towards child rearing and family life. This study is an attempt to duplicate one conducted twenty years ago to discover if there is any relationship between school achievement and parental attitudes. The cooperation of the Vancouver School Board has been enlisted in order to contact parents of children who were enrolled in grade one in the school year ending June, 1981. Participation in this study is voluntary. Not all parents will be contacted due to limited time and money for the project. If you are willing to participate please sign the form and return it to school with your child. An interviewer will then contact you by phone to arrange a convenient time to drop off the questionnaire. All answers will be strictly confidential. Your cooperation in this study is very much appreciated.

I,
fill out the questionnaire on maternal attitudes towards child
rearing and family life. I understand that my name will not be
used and that all answers will be kept strictly confidential.
(Signature) (Date)
(Address) (Phone)

APPENDIX E

EXPLANATORY LETTER

My name is Janice Richardson. I am a grade one teacher for the Vancouver School Board and a graduate student at the University of British Columbia. Currently, I am involved in a research project for the university that is attempting to establish whether there is any relationship between parental attitudes towards child rearing and family life and learning problems. As you are aware, some children find it easier to learn to read than others. Research indicates that these learning problems begin as early as grade one. Therefore, we felt that interviewing mothers of children who had recently completed grade one might give us some insight. This is strictly voluntary. You do not have to answer any of the questions and you may withdraw at any time. However, we would really appreciate your cooperation. Your answers will be of great value in our study. There are no right or wrong answers. Everyone has his or her own ideas on how children should be raised. We are drawing from a broad spectrum of mothers whose children have just completed grade one. We have no idea how your child has performed academically. Your answers will be kept strictly confidential. Please do not put your name on the questionnaire. All the information will be coded and the original questionnaires destroyed to protect your privacy.

So as not to use too much of your time we have a list of ideas that other mothers have contributed. When you are answering the questions please keep in mind your child who has recently completed grade one.

Circle the large "A" if you strongly agree with the statement.

Circle the small "a" if you mildly agree with the statement.

Circle the small "d" if you mildly disagree with the statement.

Circle the large "D" if you strongly disagree with the statement.

If you have any ideas which you feel should be included, jot them down at the end. I would appreciate having them. Others who have given me their ideas say it is best to work quickly. Give your first reaction. If you read and reread the statements it gets confusing and you can't finish in the amount of time we have. Please do not ask anyone else what they think while you are doing the questions. It is your opinion as a mother that I am interested in. If you complete the questionnaire, I will assume that you are willing to take part. Thank you for your time and opinions.

Table 1

PARI Scale Numbers and Corresponding

Revised Scale Numbers

PARI Scale	Revised Scale				
2	1	Fostering Dependency			
4	2	Breaking the Will			
5	3	Martyrdom			
7	4	Marital Conflict			
8	5	Strictness			
9	6	Irritability			
10	7	Excluding Outside Influences			
11	8	Deification			
13	9	Rejection of the Homemaking Role			
16	10	Avoidance of Communication			
20	11	Intrusiveness			
22	12	Acceleration of Development			

Table 2

PARI Factored Scales

- 1. Encouraging Verbalization
- 2. Fostering Dependency
- 3. Seclusion of the Mother
- 4. Breaking the Will
- 5. Martyrdom
- 6. Fear of Harming the Baby
- 7. Marital Conflict
- 8. Strictness
- 9. Irritability
- 10. Excluding Outside Influences
- 11. Deification of the Parent
- 12. Suppression of Aggression
- 13. Rejection of Homemaking Role
- 14. Equalitarianism
- 15. Approval of Activity
- 16. Avoidance of Communication
- 17. Inconsiderateness of the Husband
- 18. Suppression of Sexuality
- 19. Ascendency of the Mother
- 20. Intrusiveness
- 21. Comradeship and Sharing
- 22. Acceleration of Development
- 23. Dependency of the Mother

Table 3

Scales and Items Used In conjunction With Zuckerman's Factors

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Factor	A - Aut	thoritarian (Control (Z)	
1.	Harsh 1	Punitive-Con	trol (S&B)	
	PARI Scale	Revised Scale	Scale Name	Revised Item Numbers
	(4) (10)	2 7	Breaking the Will Excluding Outside	1.2,13,26,37,50
	(11)	8	Influences Deification	7,20,31,44,55 8,19,32,43,56
2.	Suppres	ssion and Int	terpersonal Distance (S&B)	
	(16)	10	Avoidance of Communication	10,21,34,45,58
3.	Over-po	ssessiveness	s (S&B)	
	(2) (5) (20)	1 3 11	Fostering Dependency Martyrdom Intrusiveness	1,14,25,38,49 3,16,27,40,51 12,23,36,47,60
4.	Excessi	ive Demand fo	or Striving (S&B)	
	(8) (22)	5 12	Strictness Acceleration of	5,18,29,42,53 11,24,35,48,59
Factor	B - Hos	stility-Rejec	ction (Z, S&B)	
	(7) (9) (13)	4 6 9	Marital.Conflict Irritability Rejection of the Home-Making Role	4,15,28,39,52 6,17,30,41,54 9,22,33,46,57

⁽Z) - Zuckerman

⁽S&B) - Schaeffer and Bell

Table 4
Scales and Corresponding Item Numbers

(2) 1	(4) 2	(5) 3	(7) 4	(8) ⁵ ,	J(9) 61	(10) 7	(11) 8	(13) 9	(16) 10	(20) 11	(22) 12
1-P	2-R	3-P	4-R	5-P	6-R	7-P	8-R	9-P	10-R	12-R	11-P
14-R	13-P	16-R	15-P	18-R	17-P	20-R	19-P	22 - R	21-P	23-P	24-R
25 - P	26-R	27-P	28 - R	29-P	30-R	31-P	32-R	33-P	34-R	36 - R	35 - P
38-R	37-P	40 - R	39 - P	42 - R	41-P	44-R	43-P	46-R	45-P	47-P	48-R
49-P	50-R	51-P	52-R	53-P	54-R	55-P	56-R	57-P	58-R	60-R	59-P

(2) - (22): PARI Scale Numbers

1 - 12: Revised Scale Numbers

P: PARI Scales

R: Reversed Scales

Table 5

Number of Participating Students and Mothers Classified by Grade,

Sex and Achievement Level

Achievement Level	Stud	lents	Mothers
reset	G1 1.	rade 2	
	<u> </u>		
Male Achievers	2	0	2
Male Underachievers	0	11	11
Female Achievers	16	0	16
Female Underachievers	0	2	2

Mean Scores for Male Underachievers on Zuckerman's Factors A and B

Table 6

FACTOR A - AUTHORITARIAN CONTROL (Z)	GRADE TWO MALE UNDERACHIEVERS
Harsh Punitive Control (S&B)	
2	11.33
7	11.0
8	11.21
Total Means	11.18
Suppression and Interpersonal Distance (S&B)	·V
10	8.73
Over-Possessiveness (S&B)	
1	10.64
3	12.25
11	13.93
Total Means	12.27
Excessive Demand for Striving (S&B)	
5	15.10
12	12.50
Total Means	13.8
FACTOR A MEANS	11.50
FACTOR B - HOSTILITY-REJECTION (Z,S&B)	
4	13.95
6	11.68
9	12.28
FACTOR B TOTAL MEANS	12.64

Mean Scores for Female Achievers on Zuckerman's Factors A and B

Table 7

FACTOR A - AUTHORITARIAN CONTROL (Z)	GRADE ONE FEMALE ACHIEVERS
Harsh Punitive Control (S&B)	
2	10.63
7	9.0
8	12.0
Total Means	10.54
Suppression and Interpersonal Distance (S&B)	
10	14.56
Over-Possessiveness (S&B)	
1	8.06
3	10.0
11	12.19
Total Means	10.08
Excessive Demand for Striving (S&B)	
5	14.63
12	9.44
Total Means	12.04
FACTOR A MEANS	11.81
FACTOR B - HOSTILITY-REJECTION (Z,S&B)	
4	15.81
6	13.25
9	13.69
FACTOR B TOTAL MEANS	14.25