The purpose of this study is to examine the current telemetry monitoring practices at Mount Saint Joseph Hospital, by reviewing the current protocol, patient safety learning system (PSLS) reports, and conducting surveys. In addition, a review of current literature will be conducted and information will be obtained from similar community-sized hospitals, about best practices on telemetry monitoring. Based on the data obtained, possible recommendations are proposed.
BACKGROUND

- The current protocol involves telemetry monitoring of patients admitted to the medical ward (3rd floor medicine or “3M”). The patients are monitored remotely in ICU by nursing staff. When an alarm or a cardiac concern arises, the ICU nurse pages or calls the medical ward (via a telemetry phone) to inform the primary RN of the change in status.

- A ten-question survey was submitted to both the medical ward and ICU to be completed by all staff members. The data most applicable was found to be the surveys completed by RNs on both the medicine and ICU wards.

- The survey results showed the ICU RNs are less satisfied with the effectiveness of the current practice, with the communication measures, and feel less supported by other care team members when compared to RNs working on medicine.

- The survey results also suggest that the aforementioned are the main concerns, and imply that problems with telemetry monitoring are less of a workload or patient safety issue.

- After contacting 16 similar-sized community hospitals in BC, due to communication barriers, it was difficult to obtain specific information on their telemetry protocols, but a comprehensive list of hospitals and contact information was compiled (see Appendix Table 3).

- The PSLS reports from 2010 to present reveal predominant themes of errors or near-misses pertaining to poor communication between medicine and ICU nurses, updating patient information on new telemetry assignments, equipment issues (missing, uncharged or broken pagers), and patients going out of range.

- Lastly, best practice recommendations are proposed after reviewing current literature. These include improved technology, improved communication methods in conjunction with new technology, and implementation of central telemetry monitoring, to reduce burden on nursing staff.
METHODS

- An anonymous survey was designed and distributed to both ICU and the 3rd floor medicine ward. All staff members were given 2 weeks to complete the survey (see Appendix Fig. 1).

- The current protocol “NCS6341 – Telemetry Monitoring” was obtained from Providence Health Care intranet website. It was found in the Nursing Care Standards and is entitled “Cardiac Monitoring: Telemetry (Remote), protocol for (MSJ – Only)”.

- Patient Safety Learning System (PSLS) reports were run by Elena Cernicka, the PSLS Coordinator. All three authors reviewed PSLS reports independently and selected events that were relevant for the analysis.
  - For example, a report involving a fall with a person on telemetry was not included, as the report was submitted for reasons pertaining to the fall, and not the telemetry.
  - An example of a report included, might be the inability of an ICU RN to reach/contact the primary RN on the medicine ward, when an arrhythmia was detected for a patient on telemetry.

- The website for each of the five health authorities in BC was utilized to obtain information on the size of each hospital, if inpatient telemetry monitoring services were available, and their contact information. Due to communication barriers (call backs not returned, unable to speak to educators) little information was obtained from this search. However, a list of 16 similar-sized community hospitals was generated for future use (see Appendix Table 3).

- The following online databases on the UBC library website were used to conduct the literature search: PubMed, CINAHL, Cochrane, MedLine, and Web of Science.
RESULTS

Fig. 1 RNs from medicine and ICU were asked to rate the effectiveness of the current telemetry protocol. Responses were n= 9 from ICU, n= 8 from medicine.

Fig. 2 RNs from medicine and ICU were asked to rate if they feel patient’s safety is at risk using the current telemetry protocol. Responses were n=8 from ICU, n= 8 from medicine.
Fig. 3 RNs from medicine and ICU were asked, in regards to telemetry monitoring, to rate how well they feel team members are communicating. Responses were n= 9 from ICU, n= 9 from medicine.

Fig. 4 RNs from medicine and ICU were asked to rate when caring for telemetry patients, whether they feel the workload is reasonable. Responses were n= 9 from ICU, n= 8 from medicine.
RESULTS

Fig. 5 RNs from medicine and ICU were asked to rate whether they feel supported by other care team members while caring for cardiac patients. Responses were n= 7 from ICU, n= 9 from medicine.

Fig. 6 Patient Safety Learning System (PSLS) results from 2010-2013.

Fig. 7 Force field analysis of driving versus restraining forces to change current protocol.
DISCUSSION

Given the survey results, PSLS reports and the literature review, the following is a list of problems identified from this study, and the associated recommendations proposed as to how to improve the current telemetry monitoring protocol and practice at MSJ.

PROBLEM: Unclear physician orders, lack of physician follow-up
- Piepenbrink (2011) recommends using a computerized physician order entry (CPOE) system to create an enhanced order set specific to telemetry.
  - This would address the communication concerns, raised by all RNs, observed in the PSLS reports and survey results.
- Reilly & Humbrecht (2007) suggests using specific criteria and assessment tools to identify patients who are at higher risk for developing serious arrhythmias, which can provide safe management of cardiac patients as well as decrease the average time of telemetry monitoring.
  - By having an assessment tool, telemetry charting would be standardized and in one place.

PROBLEM: Nurses dissatisfied with communication
- Wild et al. (2004) recommends interdisciplinary rounds can improve staff satisfaction and contribute to staff retention.
DISCUSSION

PROBLEM: ICU RNs not always available to attend to telemetry patients

• Peterson et al. (2002) suggest contracting telemetry-monitoring services similar to larger hospitals that have dedicated trained telemetry monitor watchers.
  • Having technicians/dedicated telemetry monitoring personnel would address the issue raised in PSLS reports about unanswered alarms, and could be cost effective as there is less training needed for RNs.
  • This was something also suggested by ICU RNs
  • Improved patient care, as dedicated telemetry watchers are more experienced in reading telemetry graphs and nurses have more time to spend with their patients.

• A centralized telemetry monitoring system (TMS) model allows nurses to attend more to the patients rather than monitor continuously. It also prevents the negative effects of alarm fatigue and desensitization by reducing monitor alarms on the unit (Bonzheim et al., 2011).
DISCUSSION

PROBLEM: Equipment malfunctioning (pagers, monitors, tele packs)

- Peterson et al. (2002) highlight that all monitoring systems be upgraded to ones that are more sensitive to detect quality arrhythmias.

- The use of bi-directional voice communication, such as a two-way communication badge technology, reduces alarm hand-off and response time when compared to the one-way communication devices (alphanumeric pagers); it also allows nurses to quickly ask questions and confirm receipt of the information, and thus improves patient care (Bonzheim et al., 2011).
  - To address the pager malfunctions/communication problems raised by RNs in survey and PSLS reports.
DISCUSSION

PROBLEM: Lack of telemetry training for medicine RNs

- Create/use a full-scale online telemetry course that includes orientation, education about telemetry policies, and arrhythmia detection/interpretation, to train nurses on medical/surgical floors with telemetry (Piepenbrink, 2011).
  - Both medicine and ICU RNs expressed concern about the lack of telemetry education provided to medicine RNs. ICU RNs also requested non-mandatory periodic review sessions on interpretation of telemetry graphs.

PROBLEM: Patient transfers

- Modify/expand the MSJ Telemetry Protocol NCS6341 regarding transfer procedures. The protocol states “when patients transfer to other sites, telemetry pack must remain on ward”. Expanding this section to include steps for transfer, would improve communication between staff.
  - The PSLS reports and survey results revealed concerns about transferring patients on telemetry: not updating patient information upon transfer to SPH, from ER, or when leaving the ward (ie. patients leaving ward wearing telemetry packs and incidences of incorrect telemetry packs on incorrect persons) - there is a need for improved updating of patient information.
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</tr>
<tr>
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Appendix Table 2. Nurses written responses from MSJ Telemetry Survey

| Blue + 3M responses, Green = ICU | question M  
| communicate between unit and ICU | because patients are moved with the telemetry page and remove it. page at times not work, sometimes unanswerable page to networking.  
| telemetry pager is often not functional, often ask under electrode hair, electrodes not being changed after, no one cardiac monitoring protocol nurses should be trained with ECG interpretation. communication would be better. RNs on medicine, despite not being responsible for telemetry monitoring would benefit from being better trained around telemetry.  
| word RNs sometimes usually forget to call ICU when pt moved another bed or pt arrive in their unit from ER. When ICU RNs busy on telemetry not monitored sometimes, ICU has only 2 RNs and both RNs are in patients' rooms. Sometimes word nurses don't notify when patients arrive in the ward or with changes on room assignments.  
| when calling page to the ward, there is a delay on the response due to concerns for transferring the pages sometime when it is busy, ICU RNs can't consistently monitor on rhythms.  
| telemetry is currently misused. As an pt are sometimes put on a tube who do not need it. Left on for too long. Some doctors order the ICU can watch the pt not the ward as they think telemetry means close monitoring of patients than they would have if not on tube. Those pts are not admitted with either cardiac conditions or arrhythmias.  

| Question 5  
| communication between ICU and medicine could be improved from both sides.  
| telemetry patients should be seen by cardiologists/assessed at times. Pts are on tube for a long period of time  
| limited number of medical doctors come into ICU to verify rhythms and heart rates. Medical doctors and cardiology should also liaise with ICU nurses regarding telemetry. Patients on telemetry should have cardiology consult.  
| some physicians don't come into ICU to check on patient's rhythm and alarms  
| e.g., one doctor asked if telemetry strips are provided in progress notes instead of ECG section as she never looks in ECG section. There should be a one place to chart for telemetry. Pts who are on tube should have cardiology consult.  

| Question 6  
| sometimes there are more than 2 patients in workload  
| this is a case/care basis in ICU. It is reasonable if ICU has the baseline staffing (S nurses)  

| Question 7  
| CPHs when around are very helpful when caring for cardiac patients with unstable status  
| This depends on the patient workload and status  
| depends on number of patients and RNs in ICU. there were times that there are only 2 RNs with patients in ICU and 6 telemetry depending on workload and condition of pt  

| Question 8  
| medicine RNs would benefit from increased knowledge/more training. Some ICU nurse would attend med. Nursing around to discuss cardiac patients  
| RNs for ECG interpretation, can ICU nurse pass cardiac patients  
| transferring patients on telemetry between hospitals is challenging, pts will go to SHP from MSJ on teletube however one transported back with tube and once back at MSJ we put tube back on. SHP should send pt back on tube if pt needs it  
| possible service/education to read ECG and more knowledge: heart rhythm  
| impaired communication between [ ]  
| isolated RNs dedicated to assess care  
| need to make sure appropriate pts are put on telemetry, make sure pt are taken off telemetry in a timely manner  
| concerns about geographical layout  
| better communication with doctors and ICU RNs. Rationale why patients on telemetry should be clearly stated on medical notes and guidelines for ICU RNs on looking after the tube patients/appearance from ICU RNs  
| improve expectation from ICU RNs communication  
| dedicated RN shift to telemetry  

| Question 9  
| more current telemetry devices like SHP cardiac 5.4P. Medicine nurses should be trained on interpretation of ECG, education around use of electronic devices and space makers  
| for rarely diagnosed patients with concern in rhythm possible monitoring in ICU  
| education/review on ECG interpretation documentation of ICU RNs on interdisciplinary notes, to facilitate communication between RNs/doctors  
| we are following the existing protocol. It is frustrating to be asked to follow an imaginary one that changes with a whim.