Poll: Religious Text (v1.0)

Published on: 23 November 2022

Book of Amos

By Rosanne Liebermann, Aarhus University

Entry tags: Religious Group, Ancient Mediterranean, Abrahamic, Levantine Religion, Syro-Palestinian Religion, Prophecy, Text, Scripture, Canonical texts, Biblical Prophets, Early Jewish Literature, Israelite Religion, Judeans and Israelites, Near East, southern Levant, Temple Buildings and Temple Cult in southern Levant

The book of Amos is one of the prophetic books (Nevi'im) canonized in the Hebrew Bible. It is one of twelve "Minor" or shorter prophetic books, which in the Jewish Tanakh appear together as a single "Book of the Twelve," whereas they are twelve separate books in the Christian Old Testament. The book of Amos consists of 9 chapters associated with the words and actions of a Judean prophet named Amos. Amos is identified as a pastoralist and agriculturalist from Tekoa in the southern kingdom of Judah, whom Yahweh called to prophesy in the northern kingdom of Israel around the year 760 BCE (1:1; 7:14-15). There is no historical evidence concerning this person outside of the book of Amos. The book claims that the reason Amos was commissioned as a prophet was to warn the Israelites about how their abuses of social justice and their wrongheaded and hypocritical worship practices are going to lead to severe punishment from Yahweh. The sayings and events described in the book appear to take place within a short time period (perhaps no more than a year) during the mid-8th century BCE. The punishment predicted by the prophet Amos has a long tradition of being interpreted as referring to Israel's defeat and exile at the hands of the Neo-Assyrian empire in 721 BCE, but the book never refers to this event explicitly. The book of Amos was originally written in Hebrew, but in its oldest forms it has been preserved in slightly different versions: the Masoretic Text in Hebrew and the Septuagint in Greek. Both of these traditions are attested at Qumran, suggesting that the text of the book of Amos had not been finalized by the first century BCE. Many scholars suggest that parts of the book can be traced back to an 8th-century BCE prophet, but the book as a literary product is mostly the work of later Judean editors, including some belonging to the Deuteronomistic school of thought. The text consists mostly of poetic oracles presented as the words of Yahweh spoken through the prophet Amos, but there are also a few short prose extracts (e.g. Amos 7:10-17).



Date Range: 760 BCE - 100 BCE

Region: Ancient Israel and Judah

Region tags: Levant, Israel, Palestine, Judah

Ancient Israel and Judah

Status of Readership:

✓ Elite ✓ Religious Specialists ✓ Non-elite (common people, general populace)

Sources and Corpora

Print Sources

Print sources used for understanding this subject:

- Source 1: Göran Eidevall, Amos: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary. Yale University Press, 2017.
- -Source 2: M. Daniel Carroll R., The Book of Amos. Eerdmans, 2020.

General Variables

Materiality

Methods of Composition

- Written



-with Ink

Medium upon which the text is written/incised

- Papyrus

Notes: The earliest extant manuscripts containing text from the book of Amos are written in ink on papyrus or parchment.

Was the material modified before the writing or incising process?

- Physical preparation

Was the text modified before the writing or incising process?

Other [specify]: It must have sometimes been edited, based on the differences between extant versions
of the book of Amos.

Location

Is the text stored in a specific location?

[Note at which point in time, for reference, if known; select all that apply]

- Field doesn't know

Notes: There are multiple copies and versions of the oldest manuscripts of the book of Amos, so it is not possible to answer this question for all of them.

Is the location where the text stored accompanied by iconography or images?

- Field doesn't know

Is the area where the text is stored accompanied by an-iconic images?

- Field doesn't know

Production & Intended Audience

Production

Is the production of the text funded by the polity? - Field doesn't know Is the text considered official religious scripture? -Yes Notes: The concept of a scriptural canon is anachronistic for the period in which the book of Amos is set (8th century BCE), but the book was widely accepted as part of the Jewish scriptural canon from the first century CE onwards. Its presentation of much of its material as the words of Yahweh suggests a self-conception as sacred text. Today, different Jewish and Christian traditions use scripture in different ways. Is there a culture of oral recitation? - Field doesn't know Is there a story associated with the origins of scripture? – Yes Revealed by a high god? -YesRevealed by other supernatural being? -No Inspired by high god? -Yes Inspired by other supernatural being? -NoOriginated from divine or semi-divine human beings? - No Originated from non-divine human being? -Yes

	Are the scriptures alterable?
	- No
	Notes: The text's presentation of itself as the words of Yahweh suggests that it is not alterable, but the existence of diverse manuscript traditions indicate that it was, in fact, altered until the first century BCE or CE.
	Are there formal institutions (i.e. institutions that are authorized by the religious community or political leaders) for interpreting scriptures?
	– Field doesn't know
	Notes: There is no mention of these in the book of Amos, and scholarly models concerning their existence and nature during the period in which the book of Amos was most likely written and edited is largely conjectural.
	Is there a select group of people trained in transmitting the scriptures? — Field doesn't know
	Is there a codified canon of scriptures?
	- Yes
	Notes: The concept of canon did not exist until after the book of Amos was completed, but for most religious groups that accept the book of Amos as part of their scriptural canon, the canon is now closed.
	Can the canon be altered or added to? — No
	 Are additional commentaries part of the cannon as it is currently understood? No
Writte — No	n in distinctly religious/sacred language?
Intend	ded Audience
This sh	is the estimated number of people considered to be the audience of the text ould be the total number of people who would serve as the intended audience for the text.
Does t	the Religious group actively proselytize and recruit new members?

Notes: The book of Amos does not indicate such an activity, but some later readers of the book have engaged in this.

Are there clear reformist movements?

(Reformism, as in not proselytizing to potential new conservative, but "conversion" - or rather, reform - to the "correct interpretation"?)

-No

Is the text in question employed in ritual practice?

- Field doesn't know

Notes: Scholars do not know how the book of Amos (or parts of it) may have been used when it was first written or spoken. Later religious communities who held it to be scripture employed it in ritual practices in various ways, e.g. reading it aloud during religious services.

Is there material significance to the text?

- Field doesn't know

Notes: The text itself does not indicate that it has any material significance. Later religious communities may have attributed material significance to the versions of the text they used.

Context and Content of the Text (Beliefs and Practices)

Context

Is the text itself accompanied by a	art:
-------------------------------------	------

-No

Are there multiple versions of the text?

– Yes

Are multiple versions viewed as proper?

_ Ve

Notes: The text of the book of Amos used by most Jews and Christians today is based on the Masoretic Text, but evidence from Qumran reveals that the community there used texts attesting to both the Greek and Hebrew versions of the book of Amos, suggesting they were comfortable with both traditions existing side by side.

If multiple versions are proper, is there a differentiation among versions by any means?

- Field doesn't know

	Is there debate about which version is proper?
	– Field doesn't know
s the	text part of a collection of texts?
– Yes	
	Is there a sense of canonization?
	-Yes
	Notes: There is no mention of canonization within the text of the book of Amos itself because this is an anachronistic concept, but the book became part of the canon of Jewish scripture in the first century CE and seems to have been considered part of the canon of "the prophets" and a collection (scroll) containing the twelve minor prophets prior to this.
	ightarrow How is the authority established?
	– Field doesn't know
	Notes: This question is not possible to answer using a yes/no system!
	Can the canon be altered or added to?
	- No
	Have major debates shifted the sense of the place of the text with respect to the larger canon?
	- Yes
	Notes: The Christian canon places the prophetic texts at the end of the Hebrew Bible in order to position them as pointing towards the coming of Christ in the New Testament
	Is the text part of a series of volumes?
	- No
f the	text is not explicitly scripture, is it part of another important literary tradition?
– No	
Conte	ent

Is the text - or does the text include - a ritual list, manual, bibliography, index, or vocabulary? (Select all that apply)

-Other [specify]: None of these

Are there lineages or a single lineage established by the text?

Does the text express a formal legal code? - No Notes: It suggests that there is one, but it does not express it in detail.
Formulating a specifically religious calendar? - No Notes: It refers briefly to the religious calendar, but does not formulate it
Beliefs
Is a spirit-body distinction present in the text? — No
Is belief in an afterlife indicated in the text? — No
Is belief in reincarnation in this world specified in the text? — No
Are there special treatments for adherents' corpses dicated in the text? — No
Does the text indicate if co-sacrifices should be present in burials? — No
Does the text specify grave goods for burial? — No
Are formal burials present in the text? — No
Are there practices that have funerary associations presented in the text? — No
Are supernatural beings present in the text?

-No

– Yes		
	A supi	reme high-god is present
		The supreme high god is anthropomorphic or described in anthropomorphic terms — No
		The supreme high god is a sky deity - No
		The supreme high god is chthonic (of the underworld) - No
		The supreme high god is fused with the monarh (king=high god) – No
		The monarch is seen as a manifestation or emanation of the high god $-\mbox{No}$
		The supreme high god is a kin relation to elites — No
		The supreme high god has another type of loyalty-connection to elites — No
		The supreme high god is unquestionably good - No
		Other features of the supreme high god —Specify: Several times in the book of Amos, Yahweh is described using the imagery of a lion (e.g. 1:2)
		The supreme high god has knowledge of this world — Yes

	Knowledge is restricted to a particular domain of human affairs — Field doesn't know
	Knowledge is restricted to (a) specific area(s) within the sample region – Field doesn't know
	Knowledge is unrestricted within the sample region — Yes Notes: It seems to be, but this is never stated explicitly.
	Knowledge is unrestrict outside of sample region – Field doesn't know
	Can see you everywhere normally visible (in public) — Yes
	Can see you everywhere (in the dark, at home) — Yes
	Can see inside heart/mind (hidden motives) — Yes
	Knows basic character (personal essence) — Yes
	Knows what will happen to you, what you will do (future sight) — Field doesn't know Notes: Yahweh knows the futures of various Levantine nations and the future of individuals (such as Amaziah in 7:17), but it is unclear whether he knows the future actions of every individual.
	Has other knowledge of this world — Yes
Has de	eliberate causal efficacy in the world

→ Can reward Vos
– Yes
Can punish — Yes
Indirect causal efficacy in the world —Yes
Exhibits positive emotion — Field doesn't know Notes: There is nothing in the book to suggest Yahweh does not do this, but there are no examples of him doing it, either.
Exhibits negative emotion — Yes
Possesses Hunger? - No
Can be hurt? - No
Can be tricked? — Field doesn't know
Can be imprisoned? - No
Is it permissible to worship supernatural being other than the high god? – No
The supreme high god possesses/exhibits some other feature —Specify: Yahweh appears to be located in Zion in the book of Amos (1:2), but his knowledge and ability to communicate extend supernaturally beyond this.
The supreme high god communicates with the living

- Yes	
	n waking, everday life – Yes
	n dreams – Field doesn't know Notes: This doesn't happen in the book of Amos, but there is nothing to suggest that it can't
	n trance possession – No
	Through divination practices – No
	Only through religious specialists – Yes
	Only through monarch – No
	Other form of communication with living – Yes Notes: Indirect communication through legal/covenant tradition
→ Does the − No	e text make communication with supreme high-god possible?
Previously human spir – No	rits are present
Non-human supernat – No	cural beings are present
Does the text attest to — No	o a pantheon of supernatural beings?

Are mixed human-divine beings present according to the text? — No
Is there a supernatural being that is physically present in the/as a result of the text? — No
Are other categories of beings present? -Other [specify]: None of these
Does the text guide divination practices? — No
Supernatural Monitoring
Is supernatural monitoring present in the text? — Yes
There is supernatural monitoring of prosocial norm adherence in particular — Yes
Do expectations of ritual offerings play a role in supernatural monitoring?Yes
Libations?
- Yes
Food?
-Yes
Animal sacrifice?
- Yes
Human sacrifice?
- No
→ Sacred objects?

	-No
	Daily life objects? — No
	Other? —Specify: No
Superi – Yes	natural being care about taboos
	Food - No Notes: Not mentioned in Amos
	Sacred space(s) — Yes
	Sacred object(s) - No
	Supernatural beings care about other — Yes Notes: Sexual taboos (2:7)
Superi – Yes	natural beings care about murder of coreligionists
Superi – Yes	natural beings care about murder of members of other religions
Superi – Yes	natural beings care about murder of members of other polities
Superi – Yes	natural beings care about sex

	Adultery
	-No
	Incest
	– Field doesn't know
	Notes: This may be what lies behind the accusation in Amos 2:7 that "father and son go in to the same girl" (see Leviticus 18)
	Taboo about close blood relations (beyond incest) [e.g. from same clan group, village, settlement, so forth].
	-No
	Specifies taboo regarding power relations (i.e. defines what constitutes abusive behavior)
	– Field doesn't know
	Notes: This also may be what lies behind Amos 2:7, as it may be linked to men having intercourse with their slave women/girls.
	Does worship/veneration include sex acts/references?
	-No
	Other sexual practices - No
	_ NO
Super	natural beings care about lying
— Yes	
Cupon	natural beings care about beneuring eaths
– Yes	natural beings care about honouring oaths
Super	natural beings care about laziness
— Yes	
Supor	natural beings care about sorcery
-	d doesn't know
	es: Not mentioned in Amos
Super	natural beings care about non-lethal fighting

Field doesn't knowNotes: Not mentioned
Supernatural beings care about shirking risk — No
Supernatural beings care about disrespecting elders — Field doesn't know Notes: Not mentioned
Supernatural beings care about gossiping – Field doesn't know Notes: Not mentioned
Supernatural beings care about property crimes — Yes
Supernatural beings care about proper ritual observance — Yes
Supernatural beings care about performance of rituals — Yes
Supernatural beings care about conversion of non-religionists — No
Supernatural beings care about economic fairness — Yes
Supernatural beings care about personal hygiene – Field doesn't know Notes: Not mentioned
Supernatural beings care about or expect the maintenance of the place? — Field doesn't know Notes: Not mentioned

Do supernatural beings mete out punishment in the text?
- Yes
 Is the cause or agent of supernatural punishment known? Field doesn't know Notes: It is not explicitly mentioned, but context suggests it the Neo-Assyrian empire is implied
Is the reason for supernatural punishment known?Yes
Done to enforce religious ritual-devotional adherence?Yes
Done to enforce group norms? — Yes
→ Done to inhibit selfishness?− Yes
→ Done randomly− No
Other - No
 Supernatural punishments are meted out in the afterlife? No
Supernatural punishments are meted out in this lifetime?Yes
Highly emphasized by the religious group? — Yes
→ Consists of bad luck?− No

	Political failure?
	-Yes
	Defeat in battle?
	-Yes
	163
	Crop failure or bad weather?
	-No
	Disaster on journeys? — No
	- NO
	Mild sensory displeasure?
	-No
	Extreme sensory displeasure?
	– Yes
	Sickness or illness?
	-No
	Impaired reproduction?
	- No
	Back luck visited on descendants?
	-No
	Other?
	-Specify: Exile from homeland
Do supernatu	ural beings bestow rewards in the text?
- Yes	
	cause/purpose of supernatural rewards known?
– No	

Super – No	natural rewards are bestowed out in the afterlife?
Super – Yes	natural rewards are bestowed out in this lifetime?
	Highly emphasized? - No
	Consists of good luck? - No
	Consists of political success or power? — Yes
	Consists of success in battle? — Field doesn't know Notes: It's implied based on the concept of political independence and the collective memory of Israel's settlement in Canaan
	Consists of peace or social stability? — Yes
	Consists of healthy crops or good weather? — Yes
	Consists of success on journeys? — No
	Reward in this life consists of mild sensory pleasure? - No
	Reward in this life consists of extreme sensory pleasure? - No
	Reward in this life consists of enhanced health? - No

		- No
		Reward in this life consists of fortune visited on descendants? — Yes
		Other? —Specify: Restoration of Davidic kingship and homeland (Amos 9:11-15)
Messi	anism/	Eschatology
Are m	essianio	c beliefs present in the text?
	Is the I	messiah's whereabouts or time of coming known?
	Is the I	messiah's purpose known?
		Messiah is a political figure who restores political rule — Yes
		Messiah is a priestly figure who restores religious traditions — No
Is an e — Yes	schato	logy present in the text?
	Eschat – Yes	ton is in this lifetime
	At spe – No	cified time in future
	At uns	pecified time in near future

- No
At unspecified time in distant future — Yes
At some other time [specify] - No
Adherents need to perform specific tasks to bring about World's end — No
Divine judgment event — Yes
Restoration of the world — Yes
Start of a new temporal cycle — Field doesn't know
Establishment of new political system — Yes
Establishment of new religious system – No
Will anyone survive the eschaton? — Yes
→ All religious in-group members will survive — No
A subset of the religion in-group members will survive — Yes
All members of the sample region will survive

	→ Everyone in the world will survive the eschaton− No
Norms & M	Ioral Realism
Are genera – Yes	Il social norms prescribed by the text?
Is there a c	onventional vs. moral distinction in the religious text?
	at is the nature of this distinction? trongly present & highlighted
Are	specifically moral norms prescribed by the text?
	 Specifically moral norms are implicitly linked to vague metaphysical concepts Yes
	 Moral norms are explicitly linked to vague metaphysical entitites Yes
	→ Linked to impersonal cosmic order (e.g. karma)− No
	→ Linked in some way to an anthropomorphic being− No
	 Specifically moral norms are linked explicitly to commands of anthropomorphic being No
	Specifically moral norms are have no (sic: have no?) special connection to the metaphysical

-No

Ritual purity/ritual adherence/abstention from sources of impurity

Respectfulness/courtesy

-Yes

- No
Familial obedience/filial piety - No
Fidelity/loyalty — Yes
Cooperation - No
Independence/creativity/freedom - No
Moderation/frugality — Yes
Forbearance/fortitude/patience - No
Diligence/self-discipline/excellence - No
Assertiveness/decisiveness/confidence/initiative – No
Strength (physical) — No
Power/status/nobility – No
Humility/modesty - No
Contentment/serenity/equanimity

	- No
	Joyfulness/enthusiasm/cheerfulness – No
	Optimism/hope — No
	Gratitude/thankfulness - No
	Reverence/awe/wonder — Yes
	Faith/belief/trust/devotion — Yes
	Wisdom/understanding — Yes
	Discernment/intelligence - No
	Beauty/attractiveness - No
	Cleanliness (physical)/orderliness — No
	Other important virtues — No
Advoc	acy of Practices
Does t – No	he text require celibacy (full sexual abstinence)?

Does the text require constraints on sexual activity (partial sexual abstinence)?
- Yes
→ Monogamy (males)
– Field doesn't know
Monogamy (females)
– Field doesn't know
Other sexual constraints (males)
- Yes
Notes: Father and son should not "go in to the same girl" (2:7), possibly because this was considered incest according to Leviticus 18
Other sexual constraints (females)
– Field doesn't know
Does the text require castration?
- No
Does the text require fasting?
- Yes
Does the text require forgone food opportunities (taboos on desired foods)?
– Field doesn't know
Does the text require permanent scarring or painful bodily alterations?
-No
Does the text require painful physical positions or transitory painful wounds?
- No
Does the text require sacrifice of adults?
- No
Does the text require sacrifice of children?
- No

Does the text require self-sacrifice (suicide)?
- No
Does the text require sacrifice of property/valuable items?
- Yes
Does the text require sacrifice of time (e.g. attendance at meetings or services, regular prayer, etc.)?
- Yes
Does the text require physical risk taking?
- No
Does the text require accepting ethical precepts?
-Yes
Does the text require marginalization by out-group members?
- No
Does the text require participation in small-scale rituals (private, household)?
- No
Does the text require participation in large-scale rituals?
- Yes
On average, how many participants gather in one location?
– Field doesn't know
Interval of time between performances (in hours)
– Field doesn't know
Are there orthodoxy checks?
– Field doesn't know
Are there orthopraxy checks?
— Field doesn't know

	Does participation entail synchronic practices? — Yes
	s there use of intoxicants? — No
Are exti — No	ra-ritual in-group markers present as indicated in the text?
Does th – Yes	ne text employ fictive kinship terminology?
	Fictive kinship terminology is universal? — No
	Fictive kinship terminology is widespread? – Yes
	Fictive kinship terminology employed but uncommon? — No
Does th – No	ne text include elements that are intended to be entertaining?
Does th – No	ne text specify sacrifices, offerings, and maintenance of a sacred space?

Institutions & Production Environment of Text

Society & Institutions

Society of religious group that produced the text is best characterized as:

- A Faith Elect

Are there specific elements of society that have controlled the reproduction of the text?

- A Segmentary Lineage

Are there specific elements of society involved with the destruction of the text? — Other
Notes: Text was not destroyed
Welfare
Does the text specify institutionalized famine relief? - No
Does the text specify institutionalized poverty relief? – No
Does the text specify institutionalized care for elderly & infirm? — No
Other forms of welfare? —Yes Notes: The text advocates for the welfare of the vulnerable, but not specifically on an institutional level
Education
Are there formal educational institutions available for teaching the text? — Field doesn't know
Are there formal educational institutions specified according to the text? — No
Does the text make provisions for non-religious education? – No
Does the text restrict education to religious professionals? — No
Does the text restrict education among religious professionals? – No

- No		
Is education gendered with respect to this text and larger textual tradition? — Yes		
Does the text specify teaching relationships or ratios? (i.e.: 1:20; 1:1) - No		
Are there specific relationships to teachers that are advocated by the text? - No		
Are there worldly rewards/benefits to education according to the text specified by the text itself? — No		
Bureaucracy		
Is bureaucracy regulated by this text? — No		
Public Works		
Does the text detail interaction with public works? — No		
Taxation		
Does the text specify forms of taxation? - No		
Warfare		
Does the text mention warfare? — Yes		
 Does the text dictate how to control an institutionalized military? No 		

	Does the text restrict/advocate for participation in exogenous military organizations? — No	
	Does the text celebrate/bemoan protection/subjugation by an exogenous military force?	
	- Yes	
Food Production		
Does t	the text mentioned food production/disbursement?	
	Does the text in question dictate how the religious group in question provide food for themselves?	
	- No	
	Does the text celebrate/restrict food provided to the group's adherents by an institution(s) other than the religious group in question?	
	-No	
	Which of the follow are forms of ritual food production [choose all that apply]? — Pastoralism	