Employment and risk of injection drug use initiation among street involved youth in Canadian setting
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Abstract

Objective—Youth unemployment has been associated with labour market and health disparities. However, employment as a determinant of high-risk health behaviour among marginalized young people has not been well described. We sought to assess a potential relationship between employment status and initiation of intravenous drug use among a prospective cohort of street-involved youth.

Method—We followed injecting naïve youth in the At-Risk Youth Study, a cohort of street-involved youth aged 14-26 in Vancouver, Canada, and employed Cox regression analyses to examine whether employment was associated with injection initiation.

Results—Among 422 injecting naïve youth recruited between September 2005 and November 2011, 77 participants transitioned from non-injection to injection drug use, for an incidence density of 10.3 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 8.0-12.6) per 100 person years. Results demonstrating that employment was inversely associated with injection initiation (adjusted hazard ratio: 0.53; 95% CI: 0.33-0.85) were robust to adjustment for a range of potential confounders.
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**Conclusion**—A lack of employment among street-involved youth was associated with the initiation of injection drug use, a practice that predisposes individuals to serious long-term health consequences. Future research should examine if reducing barriers to labour market involvement among street-involved youth prevents transitions into high-risk drug use.
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**1. Introduction**

Suboptimal labour market involvement among youth negatively impacts long-term labour market trajectories and future health disparities. Youth unemployment has been shown to produce enduring wage penalties (Gregg and Tominey, 2005); negative psychological, substance use-related and somatic health outcomes; as well as increased health risk behaviour (Reine et al., 2013; Henkel, 2011; Dooley et al., 1996; Hammarström and Janlert, 2002). However, the impacts of suboptimal labour market outcomes on health risk behaviours among marginalized youth, as a group facing potentially elevated barriers to employment and susceptibility to health risk, have not been fully described. Specifically, to our knowledge very little is known about the degree to which employment prevents transitions to high-risk behaviours among this population. We therefore examined the relationship between employment status and the initiation of injection drug use among a cohort of street-involved youth in Vancouver, Canada.

**2. Data and Methods**

The current study uses data derived from the At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), an ongoing, prospective cohort study of street-involved youth in Vancouver, Canada (Wood et al., 2008) seeking to examine the health harms of drug use among street-involved youth with a specific focus on initiation into injection. Eligible individuals between 14 and 26 years of age who had used illicit drugs other than or in addition to cannabis in the previous 30 days and provided written informed consent were recruited into the study beginning in September 2005. At baseline and semi-annually on an on-going basis thereafter, participants receive a $30 (CAD) honorarium for each study visit, during which they complete a detailed interviewer-administered questionnaire verified for use in the current study context that collects sociodemographic information as well as detailed data about drug use patterns, associated risk exposures, and income sources. Participants are also examined for stigmata of intravenous drug injecting and provide a blood sample for analysis. ARYS has been approved by the University of British Columbia/Providence Health Care Research Ethics Review Board.

Of the 991 street-involved youth recruited into ARYS between September 2005 and November 2011, the current study was restricted to 422 individuals who had never injected at baseline and completed at least one study follow-up. This excluded 390 (39.3%) participants who report injecting at baseline and 179 (18.1%) participants who did not inject at baseline but who did not have a follow-up visit. All observations contributed by eligible participants were included in analyses. The outcome of interest, initiation of injection, was
observed if during the portion of the questionnaire pertaining to drug use activity, a
participant responded affirmatively to the question “In the last 6 months, have you used a
needle to chip, fix or muscle, even once?” The primary covariate of interest was a time-
updated, binary indicator of employment, defined as having a regular job, self-employment
or temporary work as a source of income in the six months prior to interview.

We also considered a range of potential confounders, including sociodemographic variables,
such as age (per year older), gender (female vs. male) and ethnicity (Caucasian vs. other);
and measures of substance use including high-intensity alcohol or marijuana use (≥ daily vs.
< daily) as well as non-injection cocaine, crack-cocaine, crystal methamphetamine and
heroin use (yes vs. no) in the six months prior to interview. Time-updated drug use variables
were lagged to the previous six-month period to account for the possibility of reverse
causality.

We first calculated the incidence density of injection initiation using person time methods.
We then examined baseline characteristics of the sample stratified by injection initiation
over the study period using Pearson’s Chi-square test for dichotomous variables and the
Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables. We subsequently calculated the
cumulative hazard of injection initiation from the time of study enrollment stratified by a
time-updated measure of employment status using Kaplan-Meier methods. Finally, using an
a priori multivariate model building protocol (Maldonado and Greenland, 1993; Rothman
and Greenland, 1998), we formulated a series of Cox proportional hazards regression
analyses. Following univariate analyses, we constructed a full model including all variables.
We then developed a reduced model using a manual stepwise procedure whereby each
iteration excluded the covariate from the previous model that produced the smallest relative
change in the employment coefficient until the minimum change exceeded five per cent. All
variables that modified the employment coefficient by greater than five percent relative to
that of the full model were therefore included in the final multivariate confounding model.
This strategy retains those covariates with greater relevance to the association between the
outcome and primary covariate of interest (Lima et al., 2009; Milloy et al., 2011). Statistical
analyses were performed using SAS 9.2 (SAS, Cary, NC, USA). Tests of significance were
two sided with the minimum threshold for significance set to $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

The present study included the baseline and follow-up observations of 422 street-involved
youth enrolled between December 2005 and November 2011 who were followed for a
median of follow-up time of 20.6 months (interquartile range = 12.6-26.1). Sample baseline
characteristics are described in Table 1. Two hundred fifty-four (60.1%) participants
reported holding regular, self- or temporary employment in the six months prior to baseline
interview and 340 (80.6%) participants reported employment over the study period.
Seventy-seven (18.2%) individuals reported injection initiation for an incidence density of
10.3 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 7.98-12.58) per 100 person years, with the cumulative
incidence of injection initiation (Figure 1) among non-employed participants (46.0%) being
significantly greater than that of employed participants (23.8%) after 50 months (log rank $p$
= 0.004).
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Results from the univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard analyses (Table 1) show that self-reported regular or temporary employment was significantly and negatively associated with an increased risk of initiating injection drug use in both univariate (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.50, 95% CI: 0.31 – 0.81) and multivariate (adjusted HR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.33 – 0.85) analyses. These findings were robust to adjustment for potential confounders, including non-injection crystal methamphetamine use ($p = 0.027$) and heroin use ($p = 0.089$) in the follow-up period preceding injection initiation.

4. Discussion

This longitudinal study of street-involved youth in Vancouver, Canada identifies an inverse association between self-reported employment and initiating injection drug use after adjustment for potential confounders. These findings are consistent with previous literature identifying increases in health risk behaviours among unemployed youth (Dooley et al., 1996; Hammarström and Janlert, 2002; Henkel, 2011). This study additionally reinforces the importance of social and institutional ties in the prevention of suboptimal health outcomes among high-risk youth (Carver and Scheier, 1982; Small et al., 2009; Viner et al., 2012). However, to our knowledge this study is the first to isolate the potential role of employment in preventing the initiation of a drug administration practice that has specific and significant implications for the prevention of drug injection-related harm, including elevated morbidity and mortality related to the transmission of HIV and hepatitis C, and overdose (Mathers et al., 2008; Nelson et al., 2011; Degenhardt et al., 2011). Preventing the initiation or intensification of high-risk drug use practices therefore represents an additional and potentially important rationale for policy and programmatic initiatives seeking to decrease youth unemployment (Viner et al., 2012), particularly among marginalized youth.

A number of limitations common to observational cohort studies apply to the current analysis. As the study population is not a random sample findings may not be generalizable to other populations of street-involved youth. Additionally, study data are based on self-report and may be subject to response bias including recall and social desirability biases, though we know of no reason why youth at risk of initiating injecting would preferentially report higher rates of unemployment. Finally, the relationship between employment and injection initiation may be influenced by variables, such as mental illness or other potential confounders, not examined as part of the ARYS study.

In conclusion, this study reports a previously unidentified inverse association between employment and injection initiation among street-involved youth. The employment of vulnerable young people may therefore mitigate the initiation of high-risk drug use practices. While the problems resulting from youth unemployment are widely acknowledged, these results emphasize the potentially significant health impacts of the economic marginalization of street-involved youth, which warrant specific consideration in efforts to promote the adequate and appropriate involvement of young people in the labour market.
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Highlights

• This study examined employment and injection initiation among street-involved youth
• Results identified an independent inverse association between work and initiation
• Employment may prevent key transitions to high-risk drug use practices among youth
• Findings are specifically relevant to the prevention of injection related harm
• Decreasing unemployment among youth may provide significant health benefits
Figure 1.
Cumulative incidence of injection initiation among street-involved youth stratified by employment status in Vancouver, Canada, 2005-2011 (n=422)
Table 1

Baseline characteristics of injection-naive youth who did and who did not initiate injection drug use and unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios for factors associated with injection drug use initiation among street-involved youth in Vancouver, Canada, 2005-2011 (n = 422).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injection initiation</td>
<td>Unadjusted HR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n=77)</td>
<td>No (n=345)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34 (44.2)</td>
<td>220 (63.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>43 (55.8)</td>
<td>125 (36.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (per year older)</td>
<td>21.3 (19.6, 22.5)</td>
<td>21.6 (19.6, 23.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54 (70.1)</td>
<td>204 (59.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>23 (29.9)</td>
<td>141 (40.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23 (29.9)</td>
<td>110 (31.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>54 (70.1)</td>
<td>235 (68.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Injection Crystal Meth Use&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41 (53.2)</td>
<td>107 (31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>36 (46.8)</td>
<td>238 (69.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Injection Cocaine Use&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31 (40.3)</td>
<td>175 (50.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>46 (59.7)</td>
<td>170 (49.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crack Cocaine Smoking&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>52 (67.5)</td>
<td>184 (53.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>25 (32.5)</td>
<td>161 (46.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Injection Heroin Use&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18 (23.4%)</td>
<td>48 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>59 (76.6%)</td>
<td>297 (86.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Marijuana Use&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>64 (83.1)</td>
<td>316 (91.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>13 (16.9)</td>
<td>29 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Alcohol Use&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14 (18.2)</td>
<td>59 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>63 (81.8)</td>
<td>286 (82.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval

<sup>a</sup> denotes activities in the six months prior to follow-up interview
refers to the activities lagged to the previous available follow-up