

# **The Crescent Beach site and the Place of the Locarno Beach Phase**

Edited by

R.G. Matson  
(rgmatson@shaw.ca)

Laboratory of Archaeology  
University of British Columbia

February 19, 2010

## Table of Contents

### Acknowledgements

|               |   |       |
|---------------|---|-------|
| Chapter I.    | Introduction (Matson and Pratt).....  | 1-3   |
| Chapter II.   | The Crescent Beach Site: Location and Previous Investigations<br>(Pratt and Matson).....                                | 1-10  |
| Chapter III.  | Excavations in 1989 and 1990 at Crescent Beach<br>(Pratt and Matson).....   | 1-10  |
| Chapter IV.   | Stratigraphy and Chronology (Matson)  |       |
| Chapter V.    | Artifact Descriptions   |       |
|               | i) Introduction and Lithics (Pratt).....  | 1-19  |
|               | ii) Ground Stone (Pratt).....   | 20-31 |
|               | iii) Bone and Antler (Pratt).....   | 31-55 |
|               | iv) Beads (Thom).....   | 56-65 |
|               | v) Lithic Debitage (Rankin).....  | 66-71 |
| Chapter VI.   | Faunal Analyses   |       |
|               | i) The Fish (Crockford and Wigen).....  | 1-13  |
|               | ii) The Bird and Mammal Remains.....  | 14-21 |
|               | iii) The Shellfish (Rankin).....  | 22-44 |
|               | iv) Shellfish Seasonality (Matson).....   | 45-48 |
|               | v) Subsistence Summary (Matson).....  | 49-69 |
| Chapter VII.  | Crescent Beach Human Remains, 1989-1990 (Cybulski).....   | 1-4   |
| Chapter VIII. | Features (Matson).....  | 1-11  |
| Chapter IX.   | Cultural Components (Matson).....   | 1-7   |
| Chapter X.    | Reanalysis of Borden Locarno Beach Components   |       |
|               | i) The Locarno Beach Type Site (Pratt).....   | 1-9   |
|               | ii) The Whalen Farm Site (DfRs 3), 1949-50:<br>Re-contextualizing Borden's Whalen Farm (Thom).....                      | 10-34 |
| Chapter XI.   | Labrets and Teeth on the Northwest Coast (Cybuski).....   | 1-20  |
| Chapter XII.  | The Place of the Locarno Beach culture in the Development<br>of the Ethnographic Northwest Coast Cultures (Matson)..... | 1-36  |

### References

## Figures

Figure II-1. Crescent Beach Location.

Figure II-2. Air Photograph of Crescent Beach in 1940 at low tide.

Figure II-3. Location of Excavations at the Crescent Beach site.

Figure III-1. Contour map of 1989 and 1990 excavation locations.

Figure III-2. Plan View North Trench Excavations in 1989.

Figure III-3. Plan View South Trench Excavations.

Figure III-4. Stratigraphic profile of west wall of the North Trench, below 160 cm.

Figure III-5. Plan View North Trench Excavations 1990.

Figure III-6. Stratigraphic profile of west wall of the South Trench, 1990.

Figure III-7. South Trench, at end of excavation, facing North.

Figure III-8. Stratigraphic profile of Unit Fnw, North and West Walls.

Figure III-9. Plan view of Feature 9, showing contour intervals.

Figure III-10. North Trench, looking north, after thunderstorm,

Figure IV-1. Feature 10, Layer C-S, Unit Inw.

Figure IV-2. Unit Mse, Layer CL, showing transition to pebbles.

Figure IV-3. Harris matrix of Crescent Beach excavations.

Figure V-1. Bipolar implements.

Figure V-2. Hammerstones, Mortars and Decorated Ground Stone.

Figure V-3. Anvil Stones (AV).

Figure V-4. Pebble Tool Unifaces.

Figure V-5. Pebble Tools, Biface.

Figure V-6. Cortex Spalls.

Figure V-7. Utilized Flakes.

Figure V-8. Quartz Crystal Microliths.

Figure V-9. Narrow Angled Flakes; Steep Angled Retouched Flakes.

Figure V-10. Contracting Points with Shoulders; Leaf- Shaped Bifaces;  
Contracting Points without shoulders.

Figure V-11. LBF:Leaf Shape Bifaces.

Figure V-12. CSBS:Contracting Stem Bifaces; CSTB:Contracting Stem Biface,  
No Shoulder.

Figure V-13. Abrasive Stones (AS).

Figure V-14. Abrasive Stones recovered by Percy (AS).

Figure V-15. Ground Slate Knives (GSK).

Figure V-16. Leaf-shaped Ground Stone Points (LSGP).

Figure V-17. Lanceolate Concave Base Ground Stone Point (LCBG);  
Straight-stem Ground Stone Point (SSGP).

Figure V-18. Leaf-shaped Facetted Ground Stone Point(FSFP).

Figure V-19. Parallel sided Facetted Ground Stone Points (PSFP).

Figure V-20. Blanket Pin (BPIN) , Ulna Tool (ULTL), Adze (ADZ),  
and Rodent Incisors (RI).

Figure V-21. Gulf Islands Complex (GIC).

Figure V-22. Labrets, Stone (LAB) and Bone (BLAB).

Figure V-23. Labrets, Stone (LAB) and Bone (BLAB), side view.

Figure V-24. Split Rib Awls (SRA).

Figure V-25. Pointed Bones; Bipoints (BIPT), Bird Bone Awls (BAWL), Bone Unipoints (UNPT),  
Ulna Awl (ULNA), Ulna Tool (ULTL).

Figure V-26. Miscellaneous Bone and Teeth Artifacts.

Figure V-27. Bone Chisels with Unilaterally Tapered Ends (BCUT).

Figure V-28. Bone Chisels with Unilaterally Tapered Ends (BCUT); Unident. Bone Wedge Fragments (WBEF, UWCF).

Figure V-29. Non-Facetted Points with Central Cavity (NFPM).

Figure V-30. Unilaterally Barbed Fixed Point (UBFP); Fragments of Unilaterally Barbed Harpoons (FUB); Bilaterally Barbed Harpoon (BBFB).

Figure V-31. Toggling Harpoons (THAR, THH, THPT).

Figure V-32. Harpoon Foreshafts (FSHT).

Figure V-33. Beads, Ground Stone Disc Beads (GSDB) and Shell (SHB).

Figure V-34. Ground Stone Disk Beads - Steatite.

Figure V-35. Large Slate Bead and Pectin Bead.

Figure V-36. Bead Size versus Material.

Figure V-37. Ground Stone Bead Materials by Layer Groups.

Figure V-38. Shell Bead Material by Layer Groups.

Figure V-39. Stages of lithic reduction, South Trench.

Figure V-40. Quartz crystal debitage and tools through time as percent of total debitage.

Figure VI-1. Layers CR to CY of South Trench.

Figure VI-2. Layers BC-I to BC-I(s) of North Trench.

Figure VI-3. Fish from Layers B to CR, South Trench.

Figure VI-4. Fish from Layers BC g1, g3, North Trench.

Figure VI-5. South Trench Shellfish. Total Percent of Shellfish Classes.

Figure VI-6. Shellfish from Layer CN-3, Unit Isw, South Trench.

Figure VI-7. Shellfish from Layer CP-1,2, Unit Isw.

Figure VI-8. *Nucella* and *Saxidomus*, South Trench.

Figure VI-9. *Tresus* and unidentified clam, South Trench.

Figure VI- 10. *Mytilus*, Barnacles and Veneridae by Depth, South Trench.

Figure VI-11. Shellfish from Layer BC G1, Unit Fnw, North Trench.

Figure VI-12. Layer CY, Unit Ksw, Shellfish.

Figure VI-13. Shellfish From Layer BC-H (Feature 9).

Figure VI-14. Furthest Neighbour Cluster analysis of Shellfish Classes.

Figure VI-15. Metric Multidimensional Scaling of R-mode Shellfish.

Figure VI-16. Q-mode Ward's Cluster Analysis of Shellfish Samples.

Figure VI-17. Q-mode Metric Multidimensional scaling of Shellfish samples.

Figure VI-18. A cross-sectioned shell for seasonality.

Figure VI-19. Seasonality of sectioned shells.

Figure VI-20. South Trench Salmon, flatfish, and Herring abundance.

Figure VI-21. Articulated Salmon vertebrae in Unit Isw, Layer CM-2.

Figure VI-22. Salmon, headbones and Quartz.

Figure VI-23. Proportion of salmon and flatfish and abundance of Quartz.

Figure VI-24. Intensification and Niche Width.

Figure VI-25. The Diversity Concepts of "Richness" and "Evenness".

Figure VI-26. "Berger-Parker Dominance Index" for St. Mungo and Locarno.

Figure VI-27. Richness and sample size, Crescent Beach.

Figure V-28. Proportion of Salmon, *Mytilus*, and Abundance of Quartz.

Figure VI-29. Shellfish Sample weights, Units Isw, Inw, and Ksw.

Figure VI-30. Identified Fish remains per litre of Matrix, South Trench.

Figure VII-1. Sketch of Burial 1 before removal.

Figure VIII-1. Feature 3, “hearth”, truncated by Sewer Trench.

Figure VIII-2. Feature 13.

Figure VIII-3. Layer C-W, Units Isw & Inw.

Figure VIII-4. Feature 6.

Figure VIII-5. Feature 7.

Figure VIII-6. Top of Feature 9.

Figure VIII-7. Possible Postmolds (Feature 11) in Feature 9.

Figure VIII-8. Features 416 and 310 from Sequim, Washington.

Figure VIII-9. Feature 169-10, Decatur Island, Washington.

Figure VIII-10. Sequim, Decatur and Crescent Beach domestic features.

Figure IX-1. Harris Matrix of Crescent Beach stratigraphy.

Figure X-1. Locarno Beach site Trench 4.

Figure X-2. Air Photo taken of Whalen Farm environs.

Figure X-3. Contour Map of Borden’s 1949-50 Whalen Farm excavations.

Figure X-4. Modern map locating Whalen Farm Excavations.

Figure X-5. Profile of North Wall of trench.

Figure X-6. Profile of North Wall of Trench from W 0’ to W 10’.

Figure X-7. Example of Borden Artifact Form.

Figure X-8. Unique Whalen Farm Artifacts.

Figure XI-1. Labret abrasion is visible on the mandibular incisors of Bx 1602 from Greenville Locality B.

Figure XI-2. Anterior tooth loss as a probable consequence of labret wear is shown in the mandible.

Figure XI-3. Normal and ‘squared-off jaws’ from the collections of the Field Museum of Natural History.

Figure XI-4. Radiocarbon ages of skeletons with dental labret wear or associated labrets.

Figure XII-1. Location of Locarno Beach and similar components.

Figure XII-2. Plot of Locarno Beach Dates.

Figure XII-3. Metric Multidimensional Scaling and Furthest Neighbour cluster analysis.

Figure XII-4. Metric MDS Locarno sites.

Figure XII-5 Furthest Neighbour Cluster analysis.

Figure XII-6. Data same as in Figure XI-5, Metric MDS.

Figure XII-7. Furthest Neighbour cluster analysis.

Figure XII-8. Metric MDS, same data as Figure X-7.

Figure XII-9. Metric MDS, Southern Locarno and Old Musqueam sites.

## Tables

Table IV-1. Radiocarbon Samples From 1989 Excavations.

Table IV-2. Radiocarbon Samples From 1990 Excavations.

Table V-1. Cores.

Table V-2. Bipolar Implements.

Table V-3. Hammerstones.

Table V-4. Anvilstones.

Table V-5. Hammerstone/Anvilstones.

Table V-6. Pebble Tool Unifaces.

Table V-7. Pebble Tool Bifaces.

Table V-8. Cortex Spalls.

Table V-9. Utilized Flakes.



Table V-10. Quartz Microliths.

Table V-11. Unifacially Steep-Angled Retouched Flakes.

Table V-12. Unifacially Narrow-Angled Retouched Flakes.

Table V-13. Bifacially Retouched Flakes.

Table V-14. Narrow-Angled Formed Unifaces.

Table V-15. Leaf-Shaped Bifaces.

Table V-16. Contracting Stem Biface No Shoulders.

Table V-17. Contracting Stem Bifaces With Shoulders.

Table V-18. Chipped Slate Narrow-Angle Spherical formed Biface.

Table V-19. Biface Proximal Fragments.

Table V-20. Biface Medial Fragments.

Table V-21. Biface Distal Fragments.

Table V-22. Chipped and Ground Stone Narrow-Angled Retouched Implements.

Table V-23. Abrasive Stone.

Table V-24. Ground Stone Knives.

Table V-25. Leaf-Shaped Ground Stone Points.

Table V-26. Contracting Stem Lanceolate-Shaped Ground Stone Point.

Table V-27. Leaf-Shaped Facetted Ground Stone Point.

Table V-28. Parallel Sided Facetted Ground Stone Point.

Table V-29. Ground Stone Disc Beads.

Table V-30. Gulf Island Complex.

Table V-31. Labrets.

Table V-32. Mortars.

Table V-33. Worked Bone End Fragments.

Table V-34. Worked Bone Medial Fragments.

Table V-35. Bone Beads.

Table V-36. Splinter Awls.

Table V-37. Split Rib Awls.

Table V-38. Formed Bone Awls.

Table V-39. Bird Bone Awls.

Table V-40. Ulna Awls.

Table V-41. Unipoints.

Table V-42. Bipoints.

Table V-43. Needles.

Table V-44. Bone Chisels with Unilaterally Tapered Ends.

Table V-45. Non-Facettted Bone Point Lanceolate-Shaped.

Table V-46. Non-Facettted Points With Central Cavity.

Table V-47. Facettted Bone Points.

Table V-48. Facettted Bone Points with Central Channel.

Table V-49. Unidentified Bone Wedge, Chisel, Point Fragments.

Table V-50. Net Gauges.

Table V-51. Ground Molar.

Table V-52. Tooth Pendants.

Table V-53. Antler Wedges.

Table V-54. Worked Antler Medial Fragments.

Table V-55. Worked Antler End Fragments.

Table V-56. Shell Beads.

Table V-57. Average Measurements of Ground Stone Disk Beads.

Table V-58. Average Measurements of Shell Beads.

Table V-59. Average Measurements of Bone Beads.

Table V-60. Average size of Ground Stone Disk Beads in Each Layer Group.

Table V-61. Percentages and Ratios of Stone and Shell Beads.

Table V-62. Stages of Lithic Reduction; South Trench.

Table V-63. Stages of Lithic Reduction; North Trench by percent.

Table V-64. Lithic Material; South Trench by percent.

Table V-65. Lithic Materials; North Trench by percent.

Table V-65. Quartz Crystal Tools and Debitage.

Table VI-1. South Trench Fish by NISP.

Table VI-2. North Trench Fish, Approximate stratigraphic order.

Table VI-3. Fish Remains from Layers BC-H and BC-Hb, Unit Esw, Feature 9.

Table VI-4. Salmon vs. Flatfish by Layer (by NISP).

Table VI-5. Fish Elements by Body Part.

Table VI-6. Salmon vs Flatfish Element Distribution, selected South Trench Layers.

Table VI-7. South Trench Mammals by NISP.

Table VI-8. North Trench Mammals by NISP.

Table VI-9. South Trench Birds by NISP.

Table VI-10. North Trench Birds by NISP.

Table VI-11. Number of Analyzed Samples Generated From Each Excavation Unit.

Table VI-12. Shellfish Analysis.

Table VII-1. Long bone and Clavicle diaphyseal lengths.

Table VIII-1. Crescent Beach Features.

Table IX-1. Marpole Component, South Trench Layers CL-0 to CP.

Table IX-2. Locarno Components, North and South Trenches.

Table IX-3. St. Mungo Components, North and South Trenches.

Table X-1. Locarno Beach site (DhRt 6) Radiocarbon Dates.

Table X-2. Locarno Beach (DhRt 6) Artifacts.

Table X-3. Locarno Beach (DhRt 6) “Cave-In” Artifacts.

Table X-4. Whalen Farm Artifact Tabulations (1949-50).

Table X-5. Whalen Farm Post Mold data.

Table X-6. Whalen Farm Radiocarbon Dates.

Table X-7. Whalen Farm Component Summaries

Table XI-1. Dental labret wear in the mandibles of Crescent Beach and Pender Canal individuals.

Table XI-2. Dental labret wear and anterior tooth loss in the mandibles from Greenville

Table XI-3. Individuals with dental labret wear or possibly related anterior tooth loss in mandibles, or accompanied by a labret, in the Prince Rupert Harbour sites.

Table XI-4. Labret abrasion in studied sample by tooth type or position.

Table XI-5. Sample distributions of individuals with labret wear by biological sex.

Table XI-6. Select calibrated South Coast radiocarbon dates corrected for marine reservoir effect.

Table XII-1. Excavated Locarno Beach Components.

Table XII-2. Locarno Beach Culture Radiocarbon Dates.

Table XII-3. Old Musqueam Marpole Subphase Radiocarbon Dates.

## Acknowledgements

This project was supported by a grant from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada to R.G. Matson “The Origins of the Northwest Coast Ethnographic Pattern; The Place of Locarno Beach Phase” for 1989-1991 (Grant 410-89-1337). The Archaeology Branch authorized the archaeological fieldwork in 1989 with Permit 1989-27 and 1990 with 1990-67. B.C. Heritage Trust provided us with a grant that supported the public interpretation programme for the 1989 Field Season. We wish to thank the Semiahmoo band and Chief B. Charles for agreeing to this research and to band members, Kim Calder, Shawna Charles, and Keriann Thomas for participating in the public interpretation programme. The Surrey Municipality and Burlington Northern Rail also permitted us access to the Crescent Beach Site. Margaret Holm supervised and Greg Brass assisted in the 1989 interpretation programme. The effort of the University of British Columbia Field Schools in both years is also gratefully acknowledged. In 1989 the course was directed by R.G. Matson and included A. David Bailies, W. Grant Beattie, Heather Bennett, Ross Carter, Robbin Chatan, Deborah Hayles, Joyce Johnson, Miles Lavkulich, Chris Marshall, Lee McFarlane, Lora-Lynn Oxenbury and Kimberly Wooten. In 1990, Terry Nield, Terry Ryals, Amber Ridington, Jane Miller, Brian Thom, Michelle Kramer, Leon Komar, and Janine Lorenz participated in the Field School instructed by Richard Pearson. Michael Ryan was the Teaching Assistant in 1989 and Heather Pratt in 1990.

All of the people who lived on Bayview street were very patient and understanding and we thank them all, especially Mr. and Mrs Walsh and Mr. and Mrs. Percival who gave us access to their hose for our water screens and Mr. and Mrs. S. Henry.

Other participants in the field work included Cathy Purss, Jack Grey, Lisa Rankin, Paul Prince, Jose Abundo, Tina Van Gaalen, Susan Woods and Turi King. Julian and Gordon Matson also assisted in the field. Heather Bennett generously volunteered much of her time. In addition to those present as authors in this report, additional laboratory analysis was also carried out by Daniel Tetrault, Deborah Hayles, Lisa Stephen, Grant Beattie, Turi King and the mistress of all laboratory techniques, Kim Wooten.

We would also like to thank Richard Percy for visiting and making available his expertise and the collections obtained in his earlier excavation at Crescent Beach. We would also like to acknowledge the aid of Phil Hobler, Roy Carlson, and Barbara Winters in getting access to the Simon Fraser University Crescent Beach collections.

We would also like to acknowledge the stimulation of interaction with Arnoud Stryd, Geordie Howe and Joanne Curtin of ARCAS, and Alan McMillan of Simon Fraser University as well as the millions of invigorating bytes passed over the bitstream with Dale Croes. Our fellow investigators of the past at UBC – Richard Pearson, David Pokotylo, Brian Chisholm, and Michael Blake – aided in getting this project off the ground and at various other points along the way. Among other things Brian Chisholm offered important advice on how to deal with the marine reservoir effect in dating, and Richard Brolly of ARCAS dug out copies of the Beta radiocarbon reporting forms that helped resolve questions about those dates. Barbara Lane kindly pointed Matson to some important information on sturgeon. After the end of the field project and the production of the 1991 report (Matson, Pratt, and Rankin 1991) several other projects were very important in our revising and in our understanding of what we found. Vera Morgan produced the important report on the Sequim By Pass project and generously shared her knowledge of this time period (Morgan 1999), and Lynn Larson and Dennis Lewarch produced their report on the Archaeology of West Point, Seattle Washington (Larson and Lewarch 1995) and did us the honour of using our 1991 report (Matson, Pratt, and Rankin 1991) as a basis (among others) for their work, making comparisons very easy for us. We thank them. Other important relevant work carried out after ours includes two projects on

Decatur Island. The first by Sara Walker (2003) was provided to us by Stan Gough of Eastern Washington University, who was also so kind as to send along a copy of later work on the same sites by Bard et al. (2007) of which we were unaware. Eric McLay was kind enough to forward information about newly discovered pithouses on Vancouver Island.

Carol Wong, Roshni Nair, and Christa Rathje typed earlier versions of this report, and the illustrations were produced by Susan Matson who also made many editorial suggestions to the text. Joyce Johnson produced illustrations for the 1991 report and aided in its production. Thanks are due to Susan Rowley and her crew in the Museum Renewal Project, Elizabeth McManus, Rachel Kidd, Sarah Moon, Shannon Byrne, Shelley Halle, Wayne Point, Corri MacEwen, and Laura Rock, who provided comments on an earlier version of Chapter V. Patricia Ormerod has also assisted in the production of this version and Kamer Sulran Guzel also provided aid. Thanks to all.

Jerome Cybulski points out that the original data collection for this work was supported in part by the grant from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada awarded to R.G. Matson, and in part by the Office of the Director-General, Research and Collections, Canadian Museum of Civilization. Subsequent research funding was provided by the Canadian Museum of Civilization Physical Anthropology Program. He thanks Professor R.G. Matson for his many courtesies and intellectual stimulation during his two visits to Vancouver supported by the SSHRC grant, the first in 1989 to undertake a brief survey of skeletal collections related to the Strait of Georgia Locarno Beach phase.

Professors Mark Skinner and Roy Carlson graciously permitted Cybulski access to the collections at Simon Fraser University. Permission for the research related to Crescent Beach was trustfully given by Chief Bernard Charles of the Semiahmoo Band under whose jurisdiction the site lies. For their contributions during the preparation of this updated and expanded version of his original report, Cybulski thanks Karen Murchison, Canadian Museum of Civilization, Marina La Salle, University of British Columbia, Andrew Hickok, Simon Fraser University, Professor Dale Croes, South Puget Sound Community College, and Grant Keddie, Royal British Columbia Museum. Cybulski states that “Of course, none of those scholars are responsible for any errors. I am particularly grateful to Chief and Council, and the people of Greenville for their long standing support and interest in my research.”

### List of Contributors

**Susan Crockford** has undertaken the analysis of complex animal bone assemblages (fish, mammals and birds) from both biological and archaeological contexts since 1975 and has a particular interest in the evolution of domestic dogs and other species. She currently works full time for Pacific Identifications and holds an adjunct faculty position in the Anthropology Department, University of Victoria.

Susan J. Crockford  
Pacific Identifications Inc. (see [www.pacificid.ca](http://www.pacificid.ca))  
6011 Oldfield Rd., RR 3  
Victoria BC Canada V9E 2J4  
[sjcrock@shaw.ca](mailto:sjcrock@shaw.ca) or [scrock@uvic.ca](mailto:scrock@uvic.ca)

**Jerome Cybulski** is Curator of Physical Anthropology at the Canadian Museum of Civilization, Gatineau, PQ.

Jerome Cybulski,  
Curator of Physical Anthropology  
Canadian Museum of Civilization  
100 Laurier Street  
Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0M8  
Jerome.Cybulski@civilisations.ca

**R.G. Matson** is a Professor of Archaeology Emeritus, U.B.C., who has been investigating Northwest Coast archaeology since 1972. His interests include the U.S. Southwest and interior B.C. archaeology.

R.G. Matson  
Department of Anthropology  
University of British Columbia  
6303 NW Marine Dr.  
Vancouver, B.C. V6T 1Z1  
rgmatson@shaw.ca

**Heather Pratt** is an archaeologist who has been interested in the prehistory of the Northwest coast since a field school in 1986. Her interests include Northwest coast art and lithics.

Heather Pratt, M.A, RPCA  
Baseline Archaeological Services Ltd.  
556 Harmston Avenue  
Courtenay BC V9N 2X5  
h.pratt@shaw.ca

**Lisa Rankin** is an Associate Professor of Archaeology at Memorial University who began her career on the Northwest coast. Her interests also include Great Lakes and North Atlantic archaeology.

L. Rankin  
Department of Anthropology and Archaeology  
Memorial University  
Queen's College  
St. John's NL A1C 5S7  
lrankin@mun.ca

**Brian Thom** is an anthropologist who has done ethnographic and archaeological research in Coast Salish communities since the early 1990s. Brian is an adjunct assistant professor in anthropology at the University of Victoria, and is a practicing anthropologist for the Hul'qumi'num Treaty Group on Vancouver Island.

Brian Thom, Ph.D.  
Adjunct Assistant Professor  
Department of Anthropology  
University of Victoria  
Cornett Building Room B214  
3800 Finnerty Road (Ring Road)  
Victoria, BC, V8P 5C2

**Rebecca Wigen** has done faunal analysis for Northwest Coast archaeological projects since 1976. Currently she is laboratory instructor for Archaeology and Physical Anthropology, University of Victoria in addition to her part-time work at Pacific Identifications, Victoria.

Rebecca J. Wigen  
Pacific Identifications Inc. (see [www.pacificid.ca](http://www.pacificid.ca) )  
6011 Oldfield Rd., RR 3  
Victoria BC Canada V9E 2J4  
[rjwigen@uvic.ca](mailto:rjwigen@uvic.ca)