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Abstract
We asked survey respondents: How can the UBC Library serve you better? Please tell us!
The respondents replied: By making it easier to FIND resources, people, places, and help.

The University of British Columbia (UBC) Library participated in the LibQUAL+® survey for the first time in January/February 2007. The preliminary quantitative data revealed that, in general, undergraduates were satisfied with library services, with some exceptions, but that the library did not meet the minimum service level for the “Information Control” dimension (collections, access to collections) for graduate students and faculty.

A preliminary perusal of the “comments” survey question (qualitative data) revealed two divergent sets of perceptions.

LibQUAL+® respondents commented positively on these issues:
• the library’s “transition to online” program (moving from print to electronic journals);
• liaison services by subject librarians;
• teaching and learning programs;
• their many positive encounters with staff; and
• the Interlibrary Loan/Document Delivery services (this was not a survey question, but the service received a noteworthy “write-in” vote of confidence).

The two largest libraries are the Koerner Library (Humanities & Social Sciences, including government publications, maps, microforms, circulation) and The Irving K. Barber Learning Centre (Barber).

Barber was in a state of being re-constructed during the 2007 survey period. At the time of the survey, it housed Art+Architecture+Planning, Science and Engineering, Rare Books & Special Collections, University Archives, the Automated Storage Retrieval System, and Circulation. In spring 2008, the newly renovated heritage core and a new wing were opened, including the Learning Commons, meeting rooms, multi-purpose classrooms, social spaces, café, and more.

Other branch libraries are Asian, David Lam (management), Education, Law, Music, Robson Square, Woodward (life sciences), Xwi7xwa (First Nations) and three off-site hospital libraries: Biomedical Branch, Hamber, St. Paul's.

A. General LibQUAL+® Results
The preliminary quantitative data revealed that, in general, undergraduates were satisfied with library services, with some exceptions, but that the library did not meet the minimum service level for the “Information Control” dimension (collections, access to collections) for graduate students and faculty.

A preliminary perusal of the “comments” survey question (qualitative data) revealed two divergent sets of perceptions.

LibQUAL+® respondents commented positively on these issues:
• the library’s “transition to online” program (moving from print to electronic journals);
• liaison services by subject librarians;
• teaching and learning programs;
• their many positive encounters with staff; and
• the Interlibrary Loan/Document Delivery services (this was not a survey question, but the service received a noteworthy “write-in” vote of confidence).

The library system is highly decentralized, with nine libraries on the Vancouver Point Grey site, four libraries off-site in Vancouver and one library at the UBC-Okanagan campus in Kelowna. UBC-O Library conducted its own LibQUAL+® survey in 2007, the results of which are not discussed in this paper.

The library system sprawls over an area of 993 acres (402 hectares), encompasses 12 faculties, enrolls nearly 45,000 students at its Vancouver campus and nearly 5,000 at its Okanagan campus. Of the nearly 50,000 students, 9,000 are graduate students and 6,000 are international students. Over 3,500 faculty and 300 FTE library staff work at UBC.

The library system is highly decentralized, with nine libraries on the Vancouver Point Grey site, four libraries off-site in Vancouver and one library at the UBC-Okanagan campus in Kelowna. UBC-O Library conducted its own LibQUAL+® survey in 2007, the results of which are not discussed in this paper.

Introduction: The Environment
The University of British Columbia Vancouver campus sprawls over an area of 993 acres (402 hectares), encompasses 12 faculties, enrolls nearly 45,000 students at its Vancouver campus and nearly 5,000 at its Okanagan campus. Of the nearly 50,000 students, 9,000 are graduate students and 6,000 are international students. Over 3,500 faculty and 300 FTE library staff work at UBC.

The library system is highly decentralized, with nine libraries on the Vancouver Point Grey site, four libraries off-site in Vancouver and one library at the UBC-Okanagan campus in Kelowna. UBC-O Library conducted its own LibQUAL+® survey in 2007, the results of which are not discussed in this paper.
However, respondents frequently used the word “difficult” to describe their experiences in finding resources, people, places, and help:

- resources are often hidden/invisible/lost, not where they are “supposed” to be;
- service points are hidden, especially in the two largest branch libraries;
- people are sometimes hard to find, subject specialists are too scarce;
- policies and procedures are sometimes difficult to find online;
- online help is hard to find or presented inconsistently;
- some libraries are hard to find;
- some places within libraries are hard to find; and
- some content is hard to find.

B. ATLAS.ti: Discovering “What” and “Why”

ATLAS.ti enabled us to analyze the comments in a systematic way, to uncover patterns, to consolidate common threads, and to focus on the most important concerns.

1. The process: coding the comments

We assigned 126 codes to the 369 comments received from respondents, expanding the individual ideas in the comments to over 3,600 snippets (parts of comments or subtopics). The coding scheme included the three LibQUAL+® dimensions, codes for all 22 core questions and demographics. In addition, we assigned free coding, using simple keywords from the respondents’ own words or concepts that would be meaningful to librarians. The process of coding allowed for serendipitous discovery and was iterative. As we entered more codes, we discovered connections between codes, eliminated repetitious codes, and substituted better terms.

2. The process: analyzing the codes

We sorted the codes by frequency of occurrence and streamlined the coding further by dropping codes, re-coding some comments/snippets, and adding others. The frequency of codes, sorted high to low, began to reveal the potential importance of common themes. For example, we were surprised by the number of concerns about the physical access to collections and the range of perceived gaps in collections. The analysis of the codes also pointed to a number of issues related to access, one of many signs that the collections gaps may have several causes, not only “real” gaps in holdings, but also findability gaps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. ATLAS.ti Codes - Frequency in Descending Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SocSci</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HealthSci</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 23-30*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age to 22*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppSci</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*over 30 not coded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*over 30 not coded

Number of respondents: 755
Number of comments: 369
Number of codes: 126
Number of snippets: 3,656
3. The Process: Creating Code Families
Using the Code Manager in ATLAS.ti, we combined codes into code “families,” representing both broad and narrow concerns. For example, when combined, the codes in the “collections family” connected the specific detailed examples of collections gaps to the broader collections concerns.

Table 2. Code Families

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections Family</th>
<th>Codes</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12print</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13e-info res</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17journals</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/V</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian lang</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browsing</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collections</td>
<td>136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datafiles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-books</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-journals</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibits</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILL/DD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microforms</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspapers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print journals</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBSC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theses</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total codes</td>
<td>584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Individual codes could “belong” to more than one family, creating the possibility of overlap and/or links between themes, e.g., the code “browsing” relates to the “collections family” and “teaching and learning family” and the “physical access” family, depending on the context of the code.

4. Theme Teams Discover “What” and “Why”
Three ATLAS.ti tools helped us to tailor the comments to specific audiences:

- Code Manager: simplifies the process of coding, sorting the codes, revealing the most frequent codes;
- Network Editor: enables understanding the connections between codes; and
- Query Tool: enables sorting, combining codes and code families, creating “queries” and manageable reports (“query reports”).

The 3,656 snippets of comments could now be assembled into manageable printed reports by broad themes. We called for volunteers to assist with the analysis of the “comments” and their associated codes. Thirty-two volunteers responded from across the library system. All three employee groups participated, librarians, library assistants, and “management and professional” staff (systems, circulation supervisors).

The initial sorting of codes, creation of code families, and query reports indicated that four Theme Teams might be appropriate:
Customer services (behaviours, skills, expertise, teaching & learning); Access to information and access to collections; Collections and gaps; and Place and physical access.

In addition, a few individual analysts also volunteered to look at the comments from specific user groups and disciplines. Some tailored query reports were also distributed to branch heads and standing committees (on public services, e-Library services, reference, and instruction). The four Theme Teams were asked to consider the following questions:

- What are the 3-5 main themes/concerns?
- Why are these concerns?
- What issues might be addressed in the short term?
- What issues cannot be addressed now (but might be explained/clarified)?
- What issues might be addressed in the longer term (might require additional staffing or funding resources)?
- Is this a collections gap?
- Is this an access to collections question?
- Is this a findability/navigation question?
- Is this a policy/procedural issue?
- Is this a physical access issue (signage, findability, arrangement, missing/misshelved)?
- Is this a teaching and learning issue?
- How can we best communicate the results from your team to the library administration, staff, users?

Finally, a common overarching word emerged. The big idea was “findability.” LibQUAL+® respondents said: make it easier to find:

- the people (in-person helpers, subject expertise);
- information (about the resources, about contacts, about the places, about help on the Web site);
- resources (the content, access to the content); and
- places (the libraries and inside the libraries).

In response, the Theme Teams recommended ways to address these service gaps, at least in part, either in the short term or longer term.

C. Nesstar: Discovering “Who” and “Where”

We turned to Nesstar WebView for a more detailed analysis of the quantitative data. This analysis would allow us to pinpoint more closely “who” was most concerned and “where”—which libraries, places, disciplines, or functions required attention the most.

In LibQUAL+® terms, the advantages of using Nesstar WebView for this purpose include:

- usability by novice and expert alike;
- a choice of universal or limited access;

The Theme Teams perused their respective reports and identified 14 dominant themes. When all teams assembled to share their stories and compare their findings, four main themes emerged, as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team 1 Customer Services -behaviours, skills, expertise -teaching &amp; learning</th>
<th>Team 2 Access to Information and Access to Collections</th>
<th>Team 3 Collections and Gaps</th>
<th>Team 4 Place and Physical Access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Findability</td>
<td>Findability</td>
<td>Findability</td>
<td>Findability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (teaching &amp; learning)</td>
<td>Education (teaching &amp; learning)</td>
<td>Education (teaching &amp; learning)</td>
<td>Education (teaching &amp; learning)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility</td>
<td>Visibility</td>
<td>Access to collections</td>
<td>Visibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Access to collections</td>
<td>Access to information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, a common overarching word emerged. The big idea was “findability.”
ability to search by survey or by survey variable;
ability to download any statistical program, SPSS, SAS, or other program;
ability to create user-defined variables;
ability to view and manipulate selected data only (layers, subsets, filters);
ability to customize output for specific audiences and purposes
  - view online tables, charts, pdf format
  - export data to spreadsheets, export pdf files; and
perhaps most important, with Web access, assessment teams can view the data online and create/manipulate the tables and charts in consultation with each other.

1. Exploring Data, Searching Surveys, and Variables
We loaded the SPSS data for three datasets:
- UBC Library (UBC-Vancouver and UBC-Okanagan);
- UBC West; and
- UBC CARL (Canadian Association of Research Libraries consortium).

2. Customizing Data: Browsing, Analyzing, Computing, Re-coding
Since UBC Vancouver and UBC-Okanagan data were initially combined into one dataset, we created two new “user-defined” variables: UBC-Vancouver and UBC-Okanagan. The new variable “UBC-Vancouver” allowed us to isolate the data for UBC-V only.

We explored the LibQUAL+® story in more detail through the application of layers, filters, subsets, and additional user-defined variables (re-coding), for example:
- layers (survey, branch library);
- filters (user group, disciplines);
- subsets (the four largest branch libraries or benchmark libraries); and
- user-defined variables (combined branches: Koerner, Barber).

This is illustrated by the following two Nesstar tables:

Table 4. Nesstar WebView Window

Key:
Left column = survey data and variables, including user-defined variables, selecting layers, selecting row/column tables for output
Center column = description, tabulation, analysis views
Top right column = buttons to weight data, make graphs, create subsets, view/print/download output
Table 5. Branch Libraries and Age Group (UBC-V Survey)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Combined Branches</th>
<th>UBCV or UBCO</th>
<th>Tabulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-18 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-21 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-24 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+ years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:
Layer = UBCV (University of British Columbia Vancouver survey)
User-defined variable = “combined branches”
Tabulation = LibQUAL® variables: branch library, age of respondent
Subset = selected 4 libraries

3. Customizing the Output
Customized tables were viewed online or printed out in several ways:
- previewing, viewing and printing PDF files in Nessstar WebView;
- exporting tables to spreadsheets;
- exporting tables as PDF files; and
- downloading data and manipulating spreadsheets.

D. Steps to Assessment
These customized statistical reports and the Theme Teams’ reports point us to the next stage of assessment planning and programming. Some possible investigations to pursue are as follows.
1. Why are respondents in the sciences using the Koerner Library (the “humanities & social sciences” library) in such high numbers?
   It’s a long walk from most science teaching and lab classrooms to the Koerner Library. Is this usage a sign of increasing interdisciplinarity in research? Are science students and faculty using the specialized materials in Koerner for data services, map information, social science literature, browsing, attending information literacy classes, or meeting friends? Will this “gate count” change when Barber gets rediscovered by students and faculty?

2. Why are e-resources so difficult to find?
   Is the collections “gap” really a resource issue or is it perhaps a findability issue? Which resources are hidden? Does usage increase with better visibility on the Web site?

3. How can physical access be improved?
   How can we make the big small(er)? How can we overcome the complexities of a multi-branch, decentralized library system? Can the arrangement of materials be made more consistent between buildings? Can better signage and online information improve wayfinding?

4. How will the results of the next LibQUAL+® survey (2009) compare with the 2007 survey?
   Specifically, what difference will the opening of
Barber have made to perceptions of “library as place”?

Conclusion
In summary, use of both analytical tools, ATLAS.ti and Nesstar, and the Theme Teams’ insightful interpretation of the data helped us to understand the LibQUAL+® story. The clear message from users was to make it easier for them to find people, information, resources, places (and inside the places). This directive informs our assessment plans and programs to improve customer services, the library Web site, access to collections, and to address gaps in our collections.

—Copyright 2008 Margaret Friesen

Nesstar: A Brief Description

Nesstar (Networked Social Science Tools and Resources)
http://www.nesstar.com

Contact: Norwegian Social Science Data Services (NSD)
http://www.nsd.uib.no/nsd/english/index.html

Nesstar is a Web-based software system used to publish and share statistical data. The tools enable finding, browsing, visualizing and analyzing data online, as well as publishing various kinds of survey data.

Nesstar is a complete metadata authoring tool (description of the various elements of the data resource, including documentation) and is DDI compliant (a metadata standard used for documenting datasets developed in European and North American agencies).
http://www.nesstar.com/software/publisher.html

Nesstar WebView is used to view data and metadata that have been published with Nesstar Publisher via a Nesstar Server. Nesstar WebView incorporates the following features:
1. searching and browsing
   • simple and advanced search
   • ability to browse data and accompanying documentation
2. analytical tools
   • display of descriptive statistics
   • crosstabulations
   • correlations
   • regressions
   • compute and recode
   • graphical representations of data in customizable forms
   • application of variable weights
3. data access
   • support for datasets to be downloaded in various statistical formats
   • subset functionality for customizing data according to users’ needs
http://www.nesstar.com/software/webview.html