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#### Abstract

Dagaare is a Mabia/Gur language spoken in northwestern Ghana and parts of Burkina Faso. The aim of this dissertation is to contribute to the understanding of morphophonological patterns such as vowel harmony, tone alternation, as well as aspectual and nominal inflection using theoretic models such as Optimality Theory and Minimalist Syntax. It also contributes to the overall description and documentation of Dagaare.

In Dagaare, there are two main harmony systems - tongue root harmony involving all lexical categories and rounding harmony which generally involves only the imperfective form of the verb. Both harmony patterns are root-controlled with tongue root harmony being bidirectional while rounding harmony is only progressive, spreading rightward. I account for both harmony patterns based on the interaction of markedness and faithfulness constraints within Optimality Theory, arguing that harmony is driven by theoretic constraints such as [SPREAD].

Tone in Dagaare is analysed in simple nouns and verbs as well as in complex cases including compounds, and associative phrases. Default verb forms are shown to involve spreading while imperfective forms always have a suffix tone opposite to that of the root. Nouns are analysed as involving two mechanisms where one involves tone spreading from the suffix to the root and another involves the insertion of a polar tone on the suffix.

With inflectional morphology, I examined verbal and nominal inflection involving aspectual and number marking. I presented a discussion of the default vs. imperfective contrast in verb forms as well as number marking on nouns and nominal modifiers. For the verbs, evidence is shown that the contrast that has been described as perfective vs. imperfective actually involves default vs. imperfective. The final vowel of the default verb is analysed as inherently meaningless while the imperfective suffix always has a dedicated progressive meaning.

For nominal inflection, nouns are categorized into classes based on similarity of the singular suffix and that of the plural suffix. Nominal inflection is shown to result from phonological processes such as harmony, vowel length; morphosyntactic processes such as derivation and semantic factors like individuation while verbal inflection is a result of morphological, phonological and syntactic properties.


## Lay Summary

This dissertation explores the word structure and sound system of Dagaare, a Mabia/Gur language spoken in northwestern Ghana and in some parts of Burkina Faso. The goal is to show how words are formed from different components and how words differ in the expression of grammatical meanings like aspect and number (INFLECTIONAL MORPHOLOGY). It also focuses on the system of sounds and establishes generalisations about which sound combinations are possible and impossible in processes such as vowel harmony and tone. Based on a large database collected with speakers in Ghana, I discuss cases involving verbs, simple nouns, compounds and phrases, and propose a formal analysis showing how sounds agree in particular properties and in specific contexts.

## Preface

This dissertation is the original, intellectual, and independent work of the author, Alexander Angsongna. Feedback and suggestions on the organization, description and analysis of the data presented here were provided by my supervisory committee. The majority of the data reported on in this dissertation was collected through fieldwork in Ghana. The research was approved by the Behavioural Research Ethics Board of the University of British Columbia [certificate \#H1601221].
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## Chapter 1: Introduction to Dagaare

### 1.1 Introduction

In this dissertation there are two main objectives: one is to make a contribution to the understanding of morphophonological patterns such as vowel harmony, tone alternation as well as aspectual and nominal inflection using theoretic approaches such as Optimality Theory (McCarthy, 2011; McCarthy \& Prince, 1993a; Prince \& Smolensky, 2004a) and Minimalist Syntax (Chomsky, 1993, 1995, 1998; Radford, 2004).

Although patterns such as vowel harmony and tone have been well investigated in a number of African languages, there are other languages which have been less researched in terms of these phenomena. Dagaare is an example of such language. For instance, in spite of works on vowel harmony in some African languages (like Yoruba, Dagbani or Akan), discussion on vowel harmony in Dagaare has been relatively scanty with only a brief description of the phenomenon in Bodomo (1997) and an acoustic discussion of tongue root harmony in Ozburn et al. (2018) and Akinbo et al. (in prep.). These studies however have not provided a detailed account of the various patterns of harmony in the language.

Similarly, tone is another pattern with relatively limited discussion in Dagaare even though it is well researched in other African languages (e.g., Margi, Bambara, Dagbani, Buli etc). Studies on Dagaare tone have focused generally on the nominal system with little or no attention to other categories such as verbs, nominalisations, compounds and more. In addition, discussions on inflectional morphology in Dagaare has always been on the morphophonological (e.g., Bodomo 1997; Bodomo \& Marfo, 2006) and lexical (Grimm 2010, 2021) properties of number marking, less so for aspectual inflection on verbs.

This dissertation therefore intends to contribute by attempting to fill the knowledge gap in the literature through a detailed account of harmony patterns, tone alternations and aspectual and nominal inflections in Dagaare. While providing a detailed account for these phenomena, various important issues such as markedness and faithfulness constraints as well as harmonic and tonal domains will be integral in the analysis.

The second objective of this dissertation is to contribute to the description and documentation of Dagaare as well as contributing to theories of phonology/morphology and syntax. The remaining part of this chapter provides a short background on Dagaare, its speakers, varieties, status and closely related languages to Dagaare. A basic sketch of the syntax which may prove relevant to the understanding of the various patterns is also provided in this chapter.

### 1.2 The people and language

### 1.2.1 The Dagaaba people

The language under discussion is Dagaare. A person who speaks Dagaare as a native language is Dagao and the plural of which is Dagaaba. The term Dagao is also used to refer to the homeland of Dagaare-speaking people (Dagaaba). In Burkina Faso (Bodomo 1997), the corresponding terms are Dagara which refers to both the speakers and the language, and Dagarateng which refers to the homeland of speakers of Dagara. According to the 2021 population census report by the Ghana Statistical Service, Dagaare speakers in Ghana constitute $3.9 \%$ which represents 1.2 million. This figure in addition to the number of speakers in Burkina Faso and Ivory Coast puts the total number at approximately 2 million.

The historical background of the Dagaaba is quite unclear. As cited in Bodomo (1997), the general historical literature (e.g., Christaller 1889; Herbert 1975; Tuurey 1987) suggests that the ancestors of the Dagaaba are a subdivision or faction of the Mossi or the Dagomba or both, who moved into their present destination/place and then assimilated the new arrivals or got assimilated by the earlier settlers. In a slightly different account, rather than assuming that Dagaaba are a group that emerged from the Mossi or the Dagomba, Bodomo $(1994,1997)$ argues that the Dagaaba, the Dagomba, the Frafra, the Kusaasi, the Mampruli, the Mossi and many others are descendants of a common ethnolinguistic ancestor, the Mabia, thereby suggesting that Dagaaba are neither a subdivision of the Dagomba nor the Mossi. This discussion, though gives an idea about the origin of the Dagaaba, is still open for further historical investigation.

In political terms, Dagaaba had a more decentralised traditional system of government before the advent of the British Colonial administration. Prior to the advent of the Indirect Rule (a kind of political control by the colonial administration through indigenous chiefs), every village in Dagao (the Dagaaba homeland) was autonomous (Bodomo 1997) with the tindaana (first settler/landowner) being both the religious and political head who in consultation with elders made
laws and ensured the maintenance of law and order. The British policy of Indirect Rule between the 1890 s and 1957, however, effectively and drastically changed the decentralised system of governance among the Dagaaba. The political system since then has been in the form of paramountcies or chiefdoms. At the apex of this system is the Nàá 'chief' who exercises authority over members of a particular village or over sub/divisional chiefs within a paramountcy.

Economically, Dagaaba predominantly engage in agriculture. A few engage in large-scale farming while the majority pursue subsistence farming. The major crops include corn, beans, millet, guinea corn and groundnuts (peanuts) while livestock include cattle, goats, sheep and fowls. Farming is so key to the economy of Dagao that virtually every family engages in at least some subsistence farming. In addition to agriculture, many Dagaaba are involved in trade and other businesses, particularly distribution of goods in the form of wholesale and retail. Moreover, a good number of Dagaaba are found in the tertiary sector providing services in teaching, health, administration, in the civil service, governance and a host of other services.

Finally in terms of culture, there is some variation among villages with respect to some cultural aspects such as marriage, specific festivals, and dance. This notwithstanding, there is some commonality when it comes to other aspects of the culture. One of the most recognizable cultural manifestations is in the traditional food called sáú or sáábư or sáá (also known as $T . Z$ which is an abridged form of tuozaafi - the Hausa name for the same food; Bodomo 1997). It is a common delicacy across all Dagaaba communities. Another common cultural item which cuts across every Dagaaba community is the traditional alcoholic drink called dấázzié (literally: red alcohol; also commonly referred to as pito - a loan version of the Hausa word fitoo for the same kind of drink). It is a drink that is considered a unifying and socialisation item; during evenings and/or weekends it is common to see people in local bars enjoying jokes over this drink.

Moreover, a visible cultural artefact among Dagaaba is the wearing of the smock known as Dàgàkpàrứ̀ (literally: Dagaaba's shirt or the shirt of the Dagaaba) or fugu which represents victory. This smock was previously worn by the elderly mainly for war or solemn events such as funerals. In recent times however, the use of Dàgà-kpàrơờ has extended beyond just the elderly and beyond funerals and festivities. It has become more fashionable, and it is commonly worn for basically every event (e.g., festivals, church service, marriage ceremonies, funerals, at the work place or just
for no particular event). Its use has also gone beyond Dagao as other cultures in other parts of the country have resorted to the wearing of smock.

With regards to cultural instruments, the common instruments among Dagaaba include dzili 'xylophone', lǒyò 'hourglass drum' and tùmpáání 'talking drum' and in terms of dance, the most common dance in many Dagaaba communities is Bawaa. This dance, which comes in various forms, is so common that it is gradually being performed as an annual festival in some communities. Finally, oral art particularly singing, and storytelling are popular cultural practices among Dagaaba. It is common to see groups of workers performing various activities while singing. The belief is that singing alleviates the fatigue that comes with the work and inspires productivity. As for storytelling, most Dagaaba stories are centred around Bádér' 'spider'. The spider is believed to always engage in sneaky, crafty, and cunning exploits which always lead to his downfall. These stories have been handed down through generations and they are believed to transmit good morals to children as they grow.

### 1.2.2 Dagaare Language

### 1.2.2.1 Dagaare, neighbours and status

Dagaare (ISO 639-3) is the major language spoken in northwestern Ghana (the Upper West Region and parts of the Savannah Region) and parts of Burkina Faso and Ivory Coast. Three other closely related varieties to Dagaare include Dagara, Waale and Birifo. They form a linguistic continuum (of various degrees of) intelligibility (Bodomo 1997) and are sometimes considered as one language. To the east, this linguistic group (the Dagaare-Dagara-Birifo-Waale continuum) is bordered by Sisaali, a Grusi language. Sisaali is the language with the closest inter-language contact with Dagaare. To the south, this language group is bordered by Gonja, Safaliba and Vagla. The language continuum also extends in various forms across the Black Volta in Burkina Faso, spoken in places including Dano, Diebougou and Dissin. A variant which is referred to as Wandari (Dakubu, 2005; Tchagbalé \& Mensah, 1983) is reported to be spoken by about 3000 people in a small area in the north-eastern corner of Ivory Coast. Figure 1 shows the main areas in which the various forms of the language are spoken. It should be noted, however, that the language is not limited to its traditional homeland because it has spread across other parts of Ghana as a result of the movement or migration of native speakers.


Fig 1.1: Areas where the various varieties of the linguistic continuum are spoken (Credit: UBC Geography/Angsongna \& Akinbo, 2022)

According to Dakubu (2005), the first ever known record of Dagaare was published in Christaller (1889) and it consisted of the numbers one to ten collected by the German trader Krause. Christaller, based on that data, classified Dagaare as belonging to the 'More' (now Moore/Mossi) sub-group. Following Christaller, Rattray (1932) documented the first ever fairly sizeable wordlists in Dagaare for what was termed 'Dagare' from Wa (now the capital town of the Upper West Region) and 'Lober' from Lawra (a major town in which Lobi, a variant of Dagara is widely spoken).

With regards to the study of Dagaare, it is reported to have begun in the 1950s during the advent of the Catholic missionaries in north-western Ghana with Durand's (1953) first ever DagaareEnglish dictionary and Girault's (1962) work that resulted in a number of publications in French. Dagaare during that time was and is presently to a large extent, the language of evangelisation in northwestern Ghana. The start of the 1960s saw the beginning of linguistic work on Dagaare by

Protestant missionary linguists with works such as Kennedy (1966) and Hall (1973). These missionary linguistic works were followed by early works of academic linguists (mostly native speakers) such as Angkaaraba (1980); Dong (1981); Bemile (1983); Delplanque (1983); Somè (1983); Dakubu (1989); Bodomo, (1989, 1993, 1994, 1997); Saachi (1997) and many others.

With respect to language policy of education in Ghana, Dagaare holds an important status in the Ghana education system. Dagaare is one of the ten (10) regional languages used as an official language of instruction at the basic/lower levels (primary $1-3$ ) and then it is studied as a school subject at the higher levels. In universities particularly the University of Ghana, the University of Education and other colleges of higher education, Dagaare is taught and offered for degree programs. Moreover, apart from the prominence of Dagaare at all levels of education in Ghana, it is a language of active research by linguists and other scholars in West Africa and other parts of the world.

### 1.2.2.2 Genetic/linguistic classification of Dagaare

The closest geographical neighbours (e.g., Sisaali, Gonja, Vagla) of Dagaare are not necessarily the closest relatives in terms of genetic relationship and classification. According to BendorSamuel (1971), Naden (1989) and Swadesh et al. (1966), Dagaare belongs to the northern branch of the so-called West Oti-Volta group of Gur languages. This means that its close genetic relatives are Safaliba, Moore and Gurenc (Farefare). With general regards to the Oti-Volta sub-group, Dagaare is related to other languages such as Dagbani, Kusaal, Mampruli, Buli, Konkomba, Bimoba among others. This group of languages has been referred to as 'Gur languages' a term that is considered as inappropriate because of its (neo-) colonialists' roots and so the term Mabia [má 'mother', biá 'child' = 'sibling'] has since been proposed (Bodomo 1993, 1994, 2005, 2020) to refer to all languages within the so-called Central Gur group. The term Mabia simply refers to the fact that these languages are believed to have descended from a common linguistic parent. Many of these languages are mutually intelligible and therefore grouping them does not mean there are clear boundaries between them (Bodomo 2005). This is because many of them form a continuum and the variation between them is gradual. They share similarities in terms of patterns such as vowel and consonant systems, tone, noun classes, word order etc. Figure 2 below (adopted from Bodomo 2020) shows Mabia languages of Ghana previously termed Gur languages.


Figure 1.2: Mabia languages (Bodomo, 2020)

### 1.2.2.3 Varieties of Dagaare

Considering that Dagaare does not really have a unified standard form recognized by all, it is important that attention is always paid to the diversity that exists when describing the language. As noted in Bodomo (1997), the linguistic continuum involving Dagaare-Dagara-Waale-Birifor presents one of the most complex dialect situations in Ghana. From the north through to the south the dialects gradually overlap each other, thereby making it difficult to draw a distinction between one variety from another. More so, within each variety, there are internal variations even from one village to another. Crucially however, the thing that connects all varieties is some degree of intelligibility among them. Therefore, from a linguistic perspective, it is rather ideal to consider Dagaare, Dagara, Waale and Birifor as variants of one language instead of separate languages.

Four dialects have been identified (Bodomo, 1997; Dakubu, 2005; Angsongna \& Akinbo 2022), namely Northern Dagaare, Central Dagaare, Southern Dagaare and Western Dagaare. These divisions are generally based on geographical criterion. According to Bodomo (1997; 1989), the distinctions are based on the assumption that certain major towns are the centres from which linguistic innovations and influence spread to surrounding areas.

The Northern Dagaare also known as Dagara is spoken in the major towns Lawra and Nandom and their surrounding villages. It is termed northern because it is located in the northmost part of the general language area. The majority of the speakers of this variety are found in Burkina Faso. It is observed (Dakubu 2005) that this variety is generally distinct from the others.

Central Dagaare covers approximately the middle area of the Dagaare-speaking area (Northwestern Ghana). It is spoken in towns including Jirapa, Ullo, Han, Daffiama, Nadowli, Sombo, Duong, Charikpong among others. It is the variety which has been more or less traditionally referred to as 'Dagaare'. This variety has a reasonable level of intelligibility by speakers of other varieties. It has been at the centre of early missionary linguistic work. It is also the variety commonly used on radio and television. More importantly, Central Dagaare is the variety generally used as a language of instruction and as a school subject in basic schools. It is often claimed that the 'Central' tag is not only in reference to its geographical location but also because of its role in education and the general intelligibility it enjoys from speakers of other varieties.

The Southern dialect starts from Kaleo through Loho to Wa and the surrounding towns of Wa such as Kperisi, Danko, Bamaahu etc. It is popularly referred to as Waale, a name derived from the name of the regional capital, Wa. Another variety within this dialect spoken in the Kaleo area is referred to as Manlaale. Just as the central variety is predominantly used as an education and literacy dialect, the southern dialect is used predominantly as a trade language; it is widely used as lingua franca in markets and on radio as well.

Finally, the Western dialect, which is also known as Birifor is spoken in and around Wa West district in places such as Lassie Tuolu and Gurungu and in some parts of the Savannah Region including Tuna, Kalba and Sawla. A good number of speakers of this variety are also found on the Western side of the Black Volta in Burkina Faso and Ivory Coast (Bodomo 1989, 1997; Dakubu 2005). The name Western Dagaare reflects the fact that the traditional homeland is on the western
side of the Black Volta. The speakers of the Western variety are believed to have migrated to their present place in Wa West fairly recently at the start of the twentieth century.

Overall, these varieties have some differences in lexical items, phonology and syntax. Also, in terms of general intelligibility and specific linguistic features, it is observed that the Central and Southern dialects are closely related, and the Northern and Western dialects are also closely related. Mutual intelligibility between these two main divisions is not very high.

Phonologically, all varieties exhibit some differences. For instance, with vowels both Central Dagaare (Angsongna \& Akinbo, 2022; Ozburn et al., 2018; Saanchi, 1997) and Southern Dagaare (Abdul Moomin, 2015) are reported to have ten contrastive vowels while nine vowels are reported in both Northern and Western dialects. Also, while Central has twenty-three consonants including two glides (Angsongna \& Akinbo 2022), Southern Dagaare has twenty-two consonants and two glides (Abdul-Moomin 2015) and both Northern and Western dialects have twenty-five consonants and two glides (Kuubezelle 2013; Dundaa 2013). In addition, there are differences in the types of consonants which occur in intervocalic positions. In Central Dagaare, [r] may be intervocalic or word-final especially in fast speech. In Southern Dagaare the fricative [h] replaces [r] in intervocalic position in some words while [r] occurs in word-final position in those same words for Northern and Western Dagaare. The alveolar fricative [z] in Central and Northern dialects is replaced with the palato-alveolar affricate [ $[\Varangle]$ in Southern and Western dialects. Note, however, that both Central and Northern varieties have both [z] and [ḑ] in their sound systems. This suggests that the Southern and Western dialects have merged the two sounds - they have lost the [z] - [d $]$ contrast (see Dundaa 2015; Abdul-Moomin 2015). Some examples are given below.
(1) Differences among dialects

| Central | Northern | Western | Southern | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bíí-rí | bí́r | bíír | bí́-hí <br> sáá-rì | sáàr | | sáàr |
| :--- | | 'children' |
| :--- |
| 'broom' |

As observed in Angsongna \& Akinbo (2022), the voiceless bilabial implosive [6] and the voiceless glottalized lateral [ $\left.{ }^{?} 1\right]$ are attested in the Northern dialect and in the Western dialect. The voiceless
glottalized palatal $\left[{ }^{[ } \mathrm{j}\right]$ and labial-velar glide $\left[{ }^{[ } \mathrm{w}\right]$ are found only in Western Dagaare, while the voiceless velar fricative [ x ] is attested only in the Northern dialect. None of these consonants are found in Central and Southern Dagaare.

### 1.2.2.4 Dagaare and language contact

Speakers of Dagaare do not usually speak the languages of their closest neighbours. So, some areas which are now Dagaare-speaking are thought to have shifted from another language. For instance, the ancestors of the Birifor are believed to have once spoken Lobi languages and some speakers of Southern Dagaare from Kaleo are believed to have previously spoken Moore (Dakubu 2005).

In terms of borrowing, Dagaare has had contacts with and borrowed from a number of languages including English, Akan, and Hausa. These are languages especially English and Akan, that Dagaaba in Ghana are likely to speak as second languages. As for the variety in Burkina Faso, Beyogle (2015), reports that French is the most likely second language for the speakers. Borrowing usually happen as a resolution for lexical gaps in a particular language. For instance, from English, words are borrowed denoting new technologies, unfamiliar items and activities. Examples include kàrènsiŋ 'kerosene', kìrísimill 'Christmas', hántifrì 'handkerchief', pòlisi 'police', bìritfi/biriḑi 'brick', sùkúù/sàkúù 'school', sákìr̀̀ 'bicycle' and many others. A lot of these forms are so common that they are easily treated as having number suffixes as in biritfi-ríbirídji-rí 'bricks.

A number of words are also borrowed from Akan. Some of them include names of fruits such as à $\eta k a ̀ a ́ a ~ ' o r a n g e ' ~(f r o m ~ A k a n ~ a \eta k a a), ~ k o ̀ d u ' ~ ' b a n a n a ' ~(f r o m ~ A k a n ~ w o r d ~ k w a d u) . ~ T h e s e ~ a r e ~ f r u i t s ~$ which are more commonly produced in the Akan-speaking regions of Ghana. Other words include kàníc̀ 'lamp' (from the Akan kania), pèrikó/pòrikó 'pig' (this is borrowed from the Akan prako which in turn comes from the Portuguese word porco).

Dagaare words of Hausa origin are relatively less common. They are mostly words for abstract concepts which were also initially borrowed by Hausa from Arabic. Some examples include dúnéé 'world' (from the Hausa word duniya), hákílà 'mind' (from the Hausa word hankali), làà fíc̀ 'health/wellnesses'.

In addition, Dagaare is also believed to have had contact with languages such as Mande, Bambara and Arabic possibly through trade (Dakubu 2005). This is reflected in words such as dzilí
'xylophone', kórínḑóy 'harp’ (from the Mande word koringyo), kàrìmá diviner/seer' (from the Mande word karaməgว). From Arabic, the words fàyá ‘power/strength' and fintínà ‘oil lamp’ are borrowed.

Finally, there are also borrowings among the Dagaare dialects. Particularly it is generally observed that Southern dialect (Waale) is spreading as second language due to the trading activities of its speakers across many areas and its use on radio. Some Waale words which have found their way into other varieties include nátírí 'sore', dànlà 'free speech’, sóngósó 'storey building', lùmgbúrì 'orange', sèlìyá 'toilet' etc.

It should be noted that borrowing is not always a case of lexical gap for a particular item but some of the loan words are common that Dagaare speakers switch to using them instead of the original Dagaare words. For instance, there are native Dagaare terms such as dòbáá for pig, kpíćyó for 'power/strength' jéך for mind, fillí for sore, bánḑíráá for toilet, tìndàá for world, m̀máá!rớy for health/wellness and many others.

### 1.2.2.5 Data sources and methods

Most of the data used in this research comes from the variety of Central Dagaare spoken in the Nadowli Kaleo district. Specifically, the data comes from the variety that is spoken in the Sombo area. The data collection was conducted in Ghana between March and April 2018. Though the data was generally collected from twenty-three (23) speakers, the majority of it came from ten speakers: seven males and three females. These speakers were between the ages of 31 and 70 years. The data elicitation of wordlists, phrases and sentences was based on the SIL Comparative African Wordlist (SILCAWL) (Snider \& Roberts, 2004). It also included short stories, songs and description of local events/culture of the people. This data is supplemented by data from the existing literature. As a native speaker, I also provided supplementary data based on my own linguistic intuitions and judgements.

The elicitation was done with a Shure WH30XLR cardioid condenser (a headset microphone) and Rode NGT2 supercardioid condenser (a shotgun microphone) at the sampling rate of 48 kHz and bit depth of 16 bits. The microphones were attached to a Zoom Q8 camera.

The data presented in this work comes from fieldwork funded by an Insight grant from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC) awarded to Douglas Pulleyblank my thesis supervisor and principal Investigator (PI).

### 1.3 Sketch of Dagaare syntax

Every language has a basic unit of utterance, the sentence. A sentence is a set of words containing a subject and a predicate. The predicate is the part of a sentence or clause which contains a verb and says something about the subject. In Dagaare, a sentence must consist of at least a clause. A simple sentence contains a single clause while a compound or complex sentence consists of more than one clause. In this section I give a brief descriptive sketch of the syntax of Dagaare. Since it is not going to be an exhaustive or a thorough discussion, I provide the basic word order of the sentence in Dagaare. I give a brief discussion of the pronominal system, the noun phrase, verb phrase, preverbal particles and the affirmative/focus particle. The main reason for this grammatical sketch is to provide some basic background that will be relevant for the discussion of nominal and verbal inflection in chapter 3 and the discussion of sentence level tonal alternations in chapter 5.

### 1.3.1 Basic sentence structure of Dagaare

Dagaare has a canonical word order of Subject-Verb-Object (SVO) (Bodomo 1993, 1997), although alternate word orders are permitted in certain contexts. In general. the subject precedes the verb, and the verb precedes the object. The sentence in Dagaare contains the following elements: the subject, verb, object and complement (subject, object or adverbial complement). The verb in a sentence may be preceded by a preverbal particle such as tense markers. Note that I consider the so-called perfective forms as default verbs, and I will be glossing them as V for verb. See chapter 3 for further argument in support of default verb form.

A simple sentence in Dagaare may involve just the subject and the verb followed by a post-verbal particle lálná or its clitic form - $\eta$. In other words, a simple sentence may consist of a subject and an intransitive verb as in (2) below. The post verbal particle is referred to as an affirmative or factitive or focus marker. It is either lá or ná depending on the variety of Central Dagaare. In the Jirapa dialect it is lá and in other dialects such as that of sombo, Takpo and Sankana, it is ná. The data for this study comes primarily from the Sombo dialect, hence the use of ná as the postverbal particle. This particle is further discussed in section 1.3.5

| a. à | bí-é | dì-é- $y$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DET | child-SG | eat.V-INTR-FOC |
|  | 'The child has eaten' |  |  |

b. Dàkúráá wà. $V-\varepsilon$-́ $ŋ$

Dakoraa come-INTR-FOC
'Dakoraa has come'
It is possible to have a sentence containing a subject, a copula/linking verb and a subject complement. In Dagaare, these verbs constitute a small but important group. They are verbs which require a subject complement for a sentence to be complete. This is shown below.

| a. | à | dóó | Ì- $\dot{\varepsilon}$ | kúó!r-áá |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DET | man.SG | COP-FOC | farmer-SG |

'The man is a farmer'
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { b. } & \text { à } & \text { kừ̃́ } & \text { wáá-! } \varepsilon & \text { túl!!ún } \\ & \text { DET } & \text { water } & \text { COP-FOC } & \text { hot }\end{array}$
'The water is hot'
For the sentence in (3a), the word kúj́! rááa 'farmer' is the complement for the subject $\dot{a}$ dós 'the man' while the adjective tớl!ứn 'hot' complements the subject à kù̀ $\tilde{y}$ 'the water'. In effect the subject complement usually identifies or characterizes the subject.

A simple sentence may also contain a subject, a verb, and an adverbial complement. Although adverbials may be optional as in (4a), adverbial complements which convey similar information as adverbials are needed for a sentence to be complete.

| a. | Dàkúráá | dì̀n- $\varepsilon \quad$ | ná |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | play-IPFV | FOC |
|  | 'Dakoraa is playing outside' |  |  |

In (4a), it is possible for the sentence to occur without the adverbial jè $\bar{y}$ - $\eta$. This means that the adverbial is optional as in (5a) below. In (4b) however, without the word $j \check{z} \eta \dot{\varepsilon}-\eta$, the sentence is incomplete. This means that jè̀ $\varepsilon$ is an adverbial complement as shown in (5b).
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & \text { Dàkúráá } & \text { dìèn- } \varepsilon & \text { ná } \\ & \text { Dakoraa } & \text { play-IPFV } & \text { FOC }\end{array}$
'Dakoraa is playing'
b. *Dàkứráá bé ná
Dakoraa COP FOC

Moreover, a simple sentence may consist of a subject, a transitive verb and a direct object as shown below.

| (6) | Dàkúráá | dớgí | !ná |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakóné |  |  |
|  | boil.v | FOC | beans |

'Dakoraa has cooked beans'
This sentence is a typical case of transitive construction in the language. In the next example (7), we see that a simple sentence could be made up of a subject, a ditransitive verb, an indirect object and a direct object.

| Dàkúráá | kǔ | ná | ù | má | libí-rì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakoraa | give. $V$ | FOC | 3 SG | mother | money-SG |
| 'Dakoraa has given his mother money' |  |  |  |  |  |

The above sentence is a case of ditransitive construction or double object construction where the verb takes two objects. The indirect object precedes the direct object.

In addition, a simple sentence in Dagaare can be made up of the subject, a transitive verb, a direct object and an object complement.

| à | nú-bà | írì | ná | Dàkúráá | nàá |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DET | person-PL | choose.V | FOC | Dakoraa | chief |

'The people have installed/elected Dakoraa a chief'

It is also possible to have a simple sentence consisting of a subject and a focus particle (negative or affirmative) as indicated in (9a-b).

| a. à dóó | ná |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DET man.SG | FOC |
|  | 'It is the man' |  |


'It is not the man'
Finally, a simple sentence in Dagaare may contain a subject, a focus particle or a negation particle and a subject complement.
a. Dàkúráá ná à nàá
Dakoraa FOC DET chief.SG
'Dakoraa is the chief'
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { b. } & \text { Dàkúráá } & \text { náání } & \text { à }\end{array}$ nàá.

The verb is always assumed to be the nucleus of the sentence and it constitutes the only obligatory part of the sentence. However, in the sentences in (9) and (10) above, there is no overt verb. These kinds of sentences are what Dakubu (1989) refers to as minor sentences while those that contain overt verbs as shown earlier as major sentences. Such sentences raise questions regarding the SVO word order in Dagaare. This section does not attempt to address these questions.

Aside from simple sentences, there are various kinds of compound and complex sentences in Dagaare including serial verb constructions, serial verb nominalisations, multi-aspect constructions, relativization, coordination and subordinate constructions. However, given the complexities in such complex/compound sentences, I do not provide descriptions for such constructions in this chapter as they are not directly related to the rest of this work.

### 1.3.2 Pronominal system

Pronouns are lexical categories which function as nouns or noun phrases. Unlike typical nouns, pronouns do not permit the presence of determiners. Generally, pronouns are divided into various categories, namely personal pronouns, reflexive pronouns, demonstrative pronouns, reciprocal pronouns, interrogative and relative pronouns.

### 1.3.2.1 Personal pronouns

Personal pronouns in Dagaare do not indicate any gender distinction and there is hardly any case marking. The only distinction in terms of nominative and accusative form is found with the firstperson singular forms: $\grave{N}$ and má. As can be seen below there is also a distinction between strong/emphatic and weak pronouns. In addition, there is a tone distinction between subject and object pronouns in the sense that all subject pronouns are low-toned while all object pronouns are high-toned. Another important property of this system is that the third person pronoun has a threeway distinction involving a neutral singular form, human plural form and non-human plural form. The table below shows Dagaare personal pronouns.

Table 1.1: Personal pronouns in Dagaare

|  |  |  | Subject |  |  | Object |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Person | Humanness | Weak | Strong | Gloss | Weak | Strong | Gloss |
| Singular | 1 | +human | Ṅ | maั้ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | I | má | maั้ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | me |
|  | 2 | +human | fù/hù | fỗờ/hỡ̛̃ | you | fú/hú | fỡ | you |
| Plural | 1 | +human | tì | tinníl | we | tí | tìníi | we |
|  | 2 | +human | jè | jèníl | you | j $\varepsilon$ ' | jèníl | you |
| Singular | Non- <br> interactant $3^{\mathrm{rd}}$ | $\pm$ human | ঠ̀ | ónó/úná | s/he/it | Ú | únś/úná | s/he/it |
| Plural |  | -human | à | áná | they | á | áná | they |
|  |  | +human | bà | báná | they | bá | báná | they |

Note that the first-person pronoun is the nasal [ n ] but it is realized as [ m ] or [ y ] when it precedes a bilabial or a velar consonant respectively.

### 1.3.2.2 Reflexive pronouns

In Dagaare reflexive pronouns are formed by the combination of the word mìyé (plural: mìn-ní) which is an intensifier meaning 'self' with any of the personal pronouns.

Table 1.2: Reflexive pronouns in Dagaare

| Number | Person | Humanness | Weak form | Gloss | Strong form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular | 1 | +human | Ṅ mìy | myself | mã̌ắ mìý | me, myself |
|  | 2 | +human | fơ/hờ mìyé | yourself | fỡờ/hỡ̛̃̀ m̀̀ŋ | you, yourself |
| Plural | 1 | +human | tì mìn-ní | ourselves | tìníl mìn-ní | we, ourselves |
|  | 2 | +human | jè mìn-ní | yourselves | jèníl mìn-ní | you, yourselves |
| Singular | Noninteractant$3^{\mathrm{rd}}$ | $\pm$ human | ù mì ${ }^{\text {ć }}$ | him/her/itself | únó/úná mìyć | s/he, him/herself |
| Plural |  | -human | à mìn-ní | themselves | áná mìn-ní | they, themselves |
|  |  | +human | bà mìn-ní | themselves | báná mìn-ní | they, themselves |

### 1.3.2.3 Reciprocal pronouns

Reciprocal pronouns express mutual relationship. They refer to situations where someone or something performs an action on another and receives the same action in return. In Dagaare the commonly used reciprocal pronoun is tááltáábá 'each/one another'. These two forms are in free variation in that they can be used interchangeably.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & \text { tí } & \text { ìn-né } & \text { táá } \\ & \text { 1PL } & \text { respect-IPFV } & \text { RECIP }\end{array}$
Lit: We should be respecting each other'
'We should respect each other/Let's respect each other'
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { b. } & \text { à } & \text { bíí-rí } & \text { nǒy } & \text { ná } & \text { táá } \\ & \text { DET } & \text { child-PL } & \text { like.v } & \text { FOC } & \text { RECIP }\end{array}$
'The children like each other'

### 1.3.2.4 Demonstrative pronouns

These are pronouns that are used to refer to relatively near or, by contrast, relatively far referents. Those that describe referents that are near are proximal demonstratives (PXL) and those that describe referents that are far are distal demonstratives (DIST). Demonstratives in Dagaare have a four-way distinction: $\eta a \dot{a}$ occurs with singular nouns; bá-mà which is a plural of $\eta a ́$ occurs with only plural human nouns; á-mà is another plural of $\eta \dot{a}$ which occurs with only non-human nouns and ná is number neutral. Demonstratives that occur on their own in place of nouns are demonstrative pronouns. They include: ŋá 'this' and its plural forms á-mà and bá-mà, ŋánéć; this one', $\eta$ áà ‘like this/this way', lé '(like) that' They are illustrated in (12-13). The verb bứj-rò is HL but when it occurs in a sentence, preceding the focus particle, it is realized as $\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}$.
a. Ǹ búó-!ró ná yá

1SG want-IPFV FOC DEM.PXL.SG
'I want this'
b. Ǹ búó-!ró ná á-mà

1SG want-IPFV FOC NONHUM-DEM.PXL.PL
'I want these'
a. N bứ-!ró ná yánéé

1SG want-IPFV FOC DEM
'I want this one'
b. zìn yã́ã̀
sit.V DEM
'Sit like this/sit this way!"
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { c. } & \text { ù } & \text { nǒy } & \text { ná } & \text { lé } \\ & \text { 3SG } & \text { like.V } & \text { FOC } & \text { DEM }\end{array}$
'S/he likes that'

### 1.3.2.5 Relative pronouns

Relative pronouns is a referent to an antecedent in a relative clause. That is the pronoun refers to the preceding noun in the clause. In English, relative pronouns include 'who', 'which', 'that' etc. In Dagaare there is one human-neutral relative pronoun ná $y$ which refers to both human and nonhuman referents.
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { a. } & \text { à } & \text { pág-ó }_{\mathbf{i}} & \text { ná } & \text { ná }_{\mathbf{i}} & \text { mò̀ } & \text { sáú } & \text { kù } & \text { má } \\ & \text { DET } & \text { woman-SG } & \text { DEM } & \text { REL } & \text { stir.V } & \text { sao } & \text { give.V } & \text { 1SG.O }\end{array}$
'The woman who has cooked sao for me'

| b. | à | bááa $_{i}$ | ná | ná ${ }_{i}$ | wúólì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DET | dog.SG | DEM | REL | bark.V |

'The dog that has barked'
The relative pronouns and their antecedents in the above sentences are boldened and coindexed.

### 1.3.2.6 Interrogative pronouns

Interrogative pronouns are used to ask questions and they include who, what etc. In Dagaare, interrogative pronouns include: ǎŋ 'who', ăŋ mìné 'who (plural) - human', búò 'which (one)/which (of them)', bǒy 'what', bà-búò 'which of them - human', à-búo 'which of them -non-human'. Like personal and demonstrative pronouns, plural interrogative pronouns show a distinction between human and non-humans.

| a. ǎy | ná | zìy | bé |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | who | FOC | sit.V | there |

'Who is sitting there?'
b. ăy mìné ná zìy bé
who PL FOC sit.V there
'Who are (those) sitting there?'
b. búò ná gây bé
which FOC lie.V there
'Which of them is lying there?'

| c. | bǒy kà | Dàkúráán | dà |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | what $\quad$ C | Dakoraa | buy.v |
|  | 'What has Dakoraa bought?' |  |  |

Having given a description of the various pronouns in Dagaare, now the attention is on the noun phrase.

### 1.3.3 The noun phrase

A noun phrase (NP) is that part of the sentence headed by a noun. In Dagaare, a noun phrase consists of a head noun which could be a proper noun or a bare noun with optional elements which modify the head noun. Such elements include adjectives, quantifiers, demonstratives, possessive determiners/pronouns, or other nouns in associative constructions. A noun phrase can take as many adjectives as possible in Dagaare. Some adjectives in Dagaare include: bilé 'small', kpêy/kpôy 'big', vì̀láá/vìláá 'nice/beautiful', wégì/wógì 'tall', fáá 'bad', zič 'red’, etc. Adjectives always occur post-nominally - they occur to the right of the noun head. When an adjective or a string of adjectives modifies a noun, the final adjective determines the shape of the number suffix. The following examples illustrate the number of number of adjectives following the noun head póg-j́ 'woman-SG'. The description of the noun phrase here is but a background introduction which will feed into the analysis of the structure of the noun phrase in chapter 3 .
a. pòg-bíl-é
woman-small-SG
'A young woman'
b. pòg-bil-íli
woman-small-PL
'Young women'
(17)
a. pòg-bill-wég-ì
woman-small-tall-SG
'A tall young woman'
b. pòg-bìl-wég-rì
woman-small-tall-PL
'Tall young women’
A noun phrase may consist of the noun head. As shown earlier, demonstratives in Dagaare have a four-way distinction and this is illustrated in the following noun phrases. Anttila \& Bodomo (2009), treat the final vowels after singular nouns such as pógó 'woman', bứj 'goat' as epenthetic but not actual singular suffixes. I consider the final vowel as a suffix. See chapter 3 for more discussion.
a. póg-́ y yá
woman-SG
DEM.PXL.SG
'This woman'
b. póg-bó bá-mà
woman-PL HUM-DEM.PXL.PL
'These women'
(19)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. } & \text { bú-ó } & \text { yá } \\ & \begin{array}{l}\text { goat-SG } \\ \text { 'This goat' }\end{array} & \text { DEM.PXL.SG } \\ \text { b. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { búú-rí } \\ \text { goat-PL } \\ \text { 'These goats' }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { á-mà }\end{array} \\ & \end{array}$
(20)
a. póg-ó
woman-SG
ná
'That woman'
b. póg-bó ná
woman-PL DEM.DIST
'Those women'

| a. bú-ó | ná |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | goat-SG | DEM.DIST |
|  | 'That goat' |  |


| b. búú-rí | ná |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | goat-PL | DEM.DIST |

'Those goats'
(21)

Next are cardinal numbers (numerals) which appear to the right of noun heads in an NP. Cardinal numbers agree with the nouns they modify - they are number sensitive in the sense that a singular cardinal number occurs with singular nouns while plural cardinals (two and beyond) occur with plural nouns. In addition, cardinals show a distinction between human and non-human nouns. Consider the following examples with the nouns bi-é 'child-SG', bií-ri' 'child-PL'; báá 'dog.SG' and báá-rí 'dog-PL'.
(22) a. bìjén(ì)

> child-one
'One child'
b. bíi-rí bá-jì
child-PL HUM-two
'Two children'
(23)
a. bà-jén(ì)
dog-one
'One dog'

```
b. báá-rí á-jì
    dog-PL NONHUM-two
    'Two dogs'
```

It should be noted that when a singular cardinal occurs after a singular noun (as in 22a and 23a), the nominal number is always null, with the numeral for 'one' presumably taking the position of singular. That is, when a singular noun is modified by numeral that is cardinality of one, the singular on the noun is marked by the numeral. With a cardinality of two and beyond, there is number marking on the noun as illustrated in (22b and 23b). Observe that the cardinal numeral jén(i) 'one' involves optional epenthesis - the final high vowel can be dropped without a change in meaning.

Another category of elements which forms part a noun phrase in Dagaare involve quantifiers which indicate uncounted quantity. Common quantifiers in Dagaare include zàà 'all'. This is shown below.

| à | póg-bó | zàá | wǎ | ná | yर̂e |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DET | woman-PL | all | come.V | FOC | here |

'All the women have come here'

Finally, the elements that precede the noun head are the definite determiner $\grave{a}$ 'the' as in (25), possessive pronouns as in (26) and other nouns as shown in (27).
à bí-é
DET child-SG
'The child'
(26)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { m̀ } & \text { bí-é } \\ \text { 1SG.POSS } & \text { child-SG } \\ \text { 'My child' } & \end{array}$
(27) nàá bí-é
chief child-SG
‘Chief’s child'

As shown with illustrative examples, the noun phrase in Dagaare is made up of the noun head with optional elements such as determiners, adjectives, quantifiers, numerals or even other nouns. Next is a brief description of the verb phrase in Dagaare.

### 1.3.4 The verb phrase

In this section, I present a brief description of the verb phrase (VP), its position in the sentence and its parts. We have already seen in section 1.3.1 that Dagaare has SVO word order. This basically means that the verbal component of a sentence occurs after the subject and before the object. The verbal component is made up of the main verb, pre-verbal and post-verbal particles. The main verb in Dagaare comes in three main forms, namely the citation form, which is a combination of the default form and the low toned $\grave{a}$ as in (28a), default form as in (28b) and the imperfective form as shown in (28c). There is also another form, dúg! $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon}$ which is a combination of verb root and the clitic form of the focus particle as in (28d). These forms of the verb are discussed further in chapter 3. In line with the proposal of Anttila \& Bodomo (2009), the final high front vowel after consonantfinal (C-final) verbal roots in the default form is epenthetic because it is entirely predictable - it is simply a piece of phonological material and not really a suffix, especially as it contributes no meaning to the verb. This also shows why I refer to such verbs as default forms and gloss them as V.

```
a. à dớgí
INF cook.v
'to cook'
```

| b. | Dàkúráá | dà | dớgí | ná | bénè |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakoraa | PST | cook.V | FOC | beans |  |


| Dàkưráá | dà | dóg-!ró | ná |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dakoraa | PST | cook-IPFV | FOC |

d. Dàkúráá dà dưg-léć béyè

Dakoraa PST cook-FOC beans
'Dakoraa cooked beans'

The sentences in (28b-c) above contain the subject NP followed by a preverbal particle dà which expresses past tense. This is followed by the main verb and its suffix, and it is also followed by the postverbal particle ná which marks focus, and the object, e.g. [VP dà dúgí/-rò ná béy $\grave{\varepsilon}$ ]. For (28d), the verb root and the postverbal particle occur together as dúg-!ćź.

### 1.3.5 Pre-verbal particles

According to Bendor-Samuel (1971) as cited in Bodomo (1997), all Gur/Mabia languages have some particles that optionally precede verbs in verb phrases. For instance, he identifies fifteen such particles in Dagbani while Dong (1981) identifies fifteen in Dagaare. I list the pre-verbal particles in Dagaare in the table below. These particles convey various information in terms of tense, aspect, modality, and polarity. These particles will be relevant in what constitutes the verbal spine in Dagaare discussed later in chapter 3.

Table 1.3: Pre-verbal particles in Dagaare

| Preverbal particle | Interpretation |
| :--- | :--- |
| dà | simple past |
| dà̀ | remote past |
| nà | future |
| kò̀ | future negation |
| bá | negation |
| tá | imperative negation |
| tó̀̀ | imperative (progressive) negation |
| kóy | past irrealis negation |
| nááy | habitual |
| mǎy | as usual/once again |
| yàày | actually |
| sìr̀y | just |
| dí̀̀ | repetitive/again |
| là | still/not yet |
| này |  |

This list has been put into categories including time depth, tense, modality and polarity particles (Bodomo 1997; Dakubu 2005). Each category is described with illustrative examples.

### 1.3.5.1 Tense and time depth particles

These are particles which express the time actions or events occurred. That is, they show the temporal force of actions/events. They include dà, dà $\eta$, nà . The particle dà marks actions/events that occurred in the past. It is used to mark nearly all past tense contexts with the exception of recent perfective, where no tense particle occurs (Griffin et al. in prep). It expresses recent past such as events that occurred a day ago or some years ago.

| Dàkúráá | dà | sé | ná | nénì | kò à | bíí-rí |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakoraa | PST | roast.V | FOC | meat | give.V DET | child-PL |
| 'Dakoraa roasted meat for the children' |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In this sentence, the past tense particle expresses past without specifically indicating the time in the past that event occurred, but it is not usually used to refer to recent past such as events that happened some hours ago within the same day. The particle dày, like dà, generally occurs in the position immediately preceding the verb. It has been termed a remote-past maker (Saanchi 2008). Both tense markers appear to have the same distribution with respect to other pre-verbal particles. Griffin et al. (to appear) also consider dà $y$ an experiential marker, rather than remote-past because it is typically used to talk about actions and events that occurred some long time ago (e.g., years ago), and is infelicitous in more recent contexts.

| a. | Ǹ | dày | dó | ná | à | táy-á |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1SG | REM.PST | climb.V | FOC | DET | mountain-SG |

'I have ever climbed the mountain'
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { b. } & \text { *Ǹ } & \text { dày } & \text { dó } & \text { ná } & \text { à } & \text { táyá } & \text { zínè } \\ & \text { 1SG } & \text { REM.PST } & \text { climb.V } & \text { FOC } & \text { DET } & \text { mountain.SG } & \text { today }\end{array}$ intended: I have ever climbed the mountain today

In terms of expressing actions/events that are yet to happen, the particle nà is used as exemplified below.

| Ǹ | nà | dó | ná | à | táy-á |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | FUT | climb.V | FOC | DET | mountain-SG |
| 'I will climb the mountain' |  |  |  |  |  |

### 1.3.5.2 Modality particles

Modality particles express possibility, necessity, frequency, and ability. In Dagaare, modality particles include mǎy, là, yàà $\eta$, díc̀, nà $\eta$, nááy and sìrìy. This is shown in the sentences below.

| a. | m | mǎy | gàá | ná | sùkúù |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 SG | HAB | go.V | FOC | school |



Observe that the surface tone of the default verb form always alternates based on which elements it occurs with. For instance, when the verb gaa 'go' immediately follows the modality particles as in (32a-e), it is realized as LH. When it is immediately preceded by the negation marker bá as in (32f), it is realized as L and when it is preceded by the modality particle nááy, it becomes HH. The final H tone is what has been assumed as the perfective suffix (Anttila \& Bodomo, in press)

### 1.3.5.3 Polarity particles

These particles express positive or negative actions. The positive polarity marker involves the postverbal particle ná which marks affirmation or focus as in (33a). As for negative polarity particles, they include bá which is a non-future negative as illustrated in (33b). It can occur with the past tense dà to negate actions in the past. The particle kò̀ marks future negation - it indicates that an action will not occur and this is shown in (33c).
a. Ǹ gàá ná sùkúù
1SG go.V FOC school
'I have gone to school'

| b. | Ǹ | bá | gàà | sùkúù |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1SG | NEG | go.V | school |
|  | 'I have not gone to school' |  |  |  |


| c. | N | kò̀y | gáá | sùkúù |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1SG | FUT.NEG | go.V | school |
|  | 'I will not go to school' |  |  |  |

There are also two particles that mark imperative negation; tá is used with the default verb form to stop an action from starting and with the imperfective to stop an action that is already in process. The other is tós which occurs with the imperfective form of the verb to stop an action from beginning. It does not occur with the default verb form.

| a. | tá | zò |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | NEG.IMV | run.V |
|  | 'don't run!' |  |
| b. | tá | zò-r'́ |
|  | NEG.IMV | run-IPFV |
|  | 'don't run (i.e stop running)!' |  |

```
a. tó\grave{ zò-ró}
    NEG.IMV run-IPFV
    'don't run (i.e don't be running)!'
b. *tój̀ zò
    NEG.IMV run.V
```

When tój occurs with the imperfective, it does not only mean stopping an already ongoing action. It is also used to prevent an action which one suspects may occur even if there may be no immediate indication of it.

### 1.3.6 The post-verbal particle

The post-verbal particle lá/ná occurs after the verb in Dagaare and in other Mabia languages such as Moore, Kusaal and Mampruli (Bendor-Samuel 1971; Dong 1981; Bodomo 1997). The particle has been termed a factitive particle or an affirmative marker (Bodomo 1997) or a focus particle (Dakubu 2005; Ali et 2021). As Hiraiwa \& Bodomo (2008) notes, this particle is multi-functional, and its functions are not yet fully known. It has its clitic forms as $-\eta,-e /-\varepsilon$. All these variants can occur optionally in the postverbal position of lá/ná, although some are restricted based on valency of the verb.

The main function of lá/ná is to mark focus and assertion (Bodomo 1997, Dakubu, 2005). It is an obligatory part of positive declarative sentences in Central Dagaare and thus it is usually in complementary distribution with the negation markers (e.g., bá, kòry). The lá, ná, and $-\eta$ variants occur following a DP or nominalized verb in clefting or contrastive focus constructions. It is commonly referred to as lá within some Central varieties such as Jirapa and surrounding communities. It is also commonly referred to as ná among some Central varieties in NadowliKaleo district including Sombo, Sankana, Takpo etc. Given that the data for this dissertation is based on the variety spoken in Sombo (Nadowli-Kaleo district), the post-verbal particle in all instances is represented as ná. I will be glossing this particle and its allomorphs as a focus (FOC) particle, as that is its primary function.

The following constructions demonstrate the distribution of the post-verbal particle in Central Dagaare. In (36a) it occurs after the verb and before the object. In (36b) the preverbal negation bá appears before the verb and the object, whereas (36c) illustrates the complementary distribution
between negation bá and the post-verbal particle. Although this particle and bá occur in different linear positions in the sentence, I take them to be exponents of the same polarity head, as they are in complementary distribution.

| a. | Dàkúráá | nú | ná | kờõ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakoraa | drink.v | FOC | water |  |
|  | 'Dakoraa has drunk water' |  |  |  |


| b. | Dàkứáá | bá $\quad$ nú | kồõ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | NEG $\quad$ drink.v | water |
|  | 'Dakoraa has not drunk water' |  |  |

c

| *Dàkúráá | bá | jú | ná | kờó |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakoraa | NEG | drink.V | FOC | water |

The particle occurs before noun phrase complements but not between noun phrases as shown below.

| a. | Dàkúráá | dà | kù | ná | Dérí | gán-ì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakoraa | PST | give.V | FOC | Dery | book-SG |  |
|  | 'Dakoraa has given Dery a book' |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | *Dàkúráá | dà | kù | Dérí | ná | gán-ì |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | Dakoraa | PST | give. V | Dery | FOC | book-SG |

The particle can occur after the subject in order to make emphasis on the subject as shown in (38) below.

| Dàkúráá | ná | dà | dúqí | béy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakoraa | FOC | PST | cook.V | beans |

'It was Dakoraa who cooked the beans'

It is important to mention that the precise analysis of lá/ná is not provided in this dissertation, but because it is a recurrent morpheme in many sentences, I only describe its distribution.

### 1.4 Theoretical foundations and assumptions

The focus of this dissertation is on the morphophonology of Dagaare as analysed within the framework of Optimality Theory - OT (McCarthy, 2011; McCarthy \& Prince, 1993; Prince \& Smolensky, 1993, 2004) and Minimalist Syntax (Radford 2008). With OT, the central basis is the interaction of universally ranked constraints on output (surface) forms which are evaluated in relation to each other to define the grammar of a language. OT seeks to give an account of how representational well-formedness determines the assignment of grammatical structure. Refer to chapter 4 for detailed overview of Optimality Theory.

Minimalist Syntax (Adger \& Svenonius, 2011; Chomsky, 1993, 1995, 1998; Radford, 2004) has been developed with the goal of understanding the nature of language by modeling the speaker's knowledge in the form of a computational system. Grammar is to be treated as simple as possible and thus Minimalist Syntax has been developed as a reaction to the complexities involved in earlier syntactic analyses. Thus, minimalism is aimed at minimising the theoretical complexities in describing language. Chomsky (2005) suggests that language is a perfect system of optimal design in that natural language grammars create structures designed to interface with other components of the mind - language as the optimal way to link sound and meaning. More concretely, minimalism considers the grammar of language as involving various components: (i) the lexicon - a list of lexical items and their linguistic properties; (ii) the syntax which combines the lexical items by a series of syntactic computations; (iii) the semantic component which maps the syntax into corresponding semantic representations and (iv) the phonetic component which maps the syntactic structure into phonetic representation. This theory is applied in my analysis of the nominal and verbal inflectional morphology in chapter 3.

### 1.5 Structure of dissertation

The dissertation is organised as follows: Chapter 2 presents an introductory description of the general phonology of Dagaare. It presents the consonant and vowel inventories of the language, brief introduction of phonological processes such as vowel harmony, vowel elision and vowel assimilation. This section also presents the phonotactic and distributional restrictions on vowels and consonants. The syllable structure of the language is also discussed in this section.

Chapter 3 focuses on the morphology of the language, particularly inflectional morphology involving verbal and nominal inflection. I show evidence that the so-called perfective is a default verb. That is, the so-called perfective form does not have an inherent perfective meaning as it occurs in various environments. The chapter also shows various verbal and nominal root types and the kind of aspectual or number suffix they take. Moreover, noun classes are discussed and finally the syntax of the noun phrase in Dagaare is presented in this chapter.

In chapter 4, the patterns of harmony (tongue root and rounding/backness harmony) are described and analysed in terms of Optimality Theory. It presents an analysis of tongue root harmony involving both verbs and nouns and rounding harmony which is predominantly restricted to the imperfective form of the verb.

Chapter 5 gives an account of tone in Dagaare. It provides a more comprehensive description of the verbal and nominal tone systems of Dagaare. An analysis is presented in terms of Optimality Theory for the default verb forms, imperfective forms, simple nouns, nominalisations and complex cases like compounds and associative constructions.

Finally, in chapter 6, I present the conclusion, summarizing the entire dissertation, highlighting the contributions, limitations and raising some issues for future research.

## Chapter 2: General introductory phonology

### 2.1 The sound system of Dagaare

### 2.1.1 Orthography

The standard orthography generally used in the writing of Dagaare is the system proposed by the Catholic Church in Ghana (Ali et al 2021). It is based on the Central dialect and generally used by various educational institutions teaching Dagaare. There are however other orthographies found in Nakuma (2002) for Central Dagaare and Somé (2004) which is specific to the Dagara spoken in Burkina Faso. I provide the orthographic representation of each sound and its corresponding International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) value. What I give here is based on the system proposed in Ali et al. (2021) and that of Nakuma (2002). I include the orthographic representation of the advanced low vowel $\boldsymbol{a}$ and its phonetic realisation [ə] which is not included in previous proposals. This leads to a total of thirty-four (34) phonemes which contrasts with the thirty-one (31) in (Ali et al 2021) and the thirty-three (33) in Nakuma (2002). Note that both the retracted high vowel [r] and the mid vowel [e] are represented with $\boldsymbol{e}$ in the orthography while $[\mathrm{J}]$ and $[\mathrm{o}]$ are represented with $\boldsymbol{o}$ orthographically. Though Dagaare is a tone language, tone is usually not marked on the orthography. Hence, though spelled Dagaare or Dàgáárè, the name of the language is [dàgáárì]. In this dissertation, I will be using the orthographic form 'Dagaare'.

Table 2.1: Standard Dagaare alphabet

| Alphabet | Orthography | IPA | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P, p | p $\mathrm{g}^{\text {o }}$ | [pógó] | 'woman' |
| $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{b}$ | bie | [bié] | 'child' |
| T, t | tuo | [tùò] | 'carry' |
| D, d | daa | [dàá] | 'market' |
| K, k | kaa | [kàà] | 'look' |
| G, g | gaa | [gàà] | 'go' |
| M, m | $\boldsymbol{m} \varepsilon$ | [mı̀] | 'build' |
| $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{n}$ | nene | [nénì] | 'meat' |
| F, f | faa | [fáá] | 'bad' |
| S, s | $\boldsymbol{s} \varepsilon$ | [sé] | 'roast' |
| V , v | $v a$ | [vá] | 'hit' |


| Z, z | zie | [zié] | 'place' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L, 1 | $l a$ | [là] | 'laugh' |
| R, r | sare | [sàrì] | 'slip' |
| H, h | haare | [háárì] | 'shoo' |
| W, w | $\boldsymbol{w} a$ | [wà] | 'come' |
| Y, y | yiri | [jírì] | 'house' |
| GB, gb | gbiri | [gbírì] | 'sleep' |
| GY, gy | gyele | [djélè] | 'eggs' |
| KP, kp | kpe | [kpı̀] | 'enter' |
| KY, ky | kys | [ t ¢ ] | 'cut' |
| NG, ng | dungo | [dúyó] | 'animal' |
| NY, ny | nyع | [nє́] | 'see' |
| NGM, ngm | ngmane | [றmání] | 'calabash' |
| A, a | $w \boldsymbol{a}$ | [wà] | 'come' |
| A, a | hạại | [hóóri] | 'yawn' |
| I, i | $b i r i$ | [bíri] | 'seed' |
| E, e | $l e$ | [lé] | 'tie' |
| E, e | de | [dî] | 'take' |
| $\varepsilon, \varepsilon$ | $t \varepsilon$ | [tı́] | 'spread' |
| O, o | $d o$ | [dó] | 'climb' |
| O, o | $k \boldsymbol{o}$ | [kò] | 'give' |
| -, っ | ongo | [ònó] | 'mouse' |
| $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{u}$ | tu | [tú] | 'dig' |

In the orthography, there are six digraphs - sounds that are represented with two letters: $g b, g y$, $k p, k y, n y$ and $n g$ and one trigraph - sound that is represented with three letters: $n g m$. In this dissertation, all data are represented in broad phonetic transcription.

### 2.1.2 Consonant inventory

Dagaare is reported to have twenty-five (25) consonants and two glides (Bodomo, 1997). However, given that Dagaare has four main dialects, it is important to note that the number of consonants varies from dialect to dialect (Angsongna \& Akinbo, 2022). In Central Dagaare, which
is the main focus of this dissertation, there are twenty-three (23) consonants and two glides involving eight (8) main places of articulation. The consonants of the Central dialect are given in the chart below. Symbols in brackets are orthographic representations. There appears to be no orthographic representation for the glottal stop.

Table 2.2: Consonant Inventory of Central Dagaare

|  | Bilabial | Labialdental | alveolar | Palatoalveolar | Palatal | Velar | Labial-velar | Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | $\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{b}$ |  | t d |  |  | $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}$ | $\widehat{\mathrm{kp}}$ gb | ? |
| Affricate |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lc} \widehat{t f} & \widehat{d 3} \\ (\mathrm{ky}) & (\mathrm{gy}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative |  | f v | $\mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{z}$ |  |  |  |  | h |
| Nasal | m |  | n |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{n} \\ \text { (ny) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (\mathrm{ng}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ym} \\ \text { (ngm) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Approx. |  |  | r |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{j} \\ (\mathrm{y}) \end{array}$ |  | w |  |
| Lateral approx.. |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

The consonants $/ \mathrm{kp}, \mathrm{gb} /$ are termed labial-velars because their production involves the simultaneous interaction and movements of both the velum and the lips. Also, in Dagaare when voiceless stops / $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k} /$ occur in word-initial position, there is some degree of aspiration - a strong burst of air that accompanies the release of a stop consonant. Phonetically aspiration is indicated by a superscript $\left[{ }^{\mathrm{h}}\right]$ as in[p $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{óg}^{\prime}$-ढ́] 'woman'.

With regards to the other dialects, the consonant inventories are as follows: in the Southern dialect (Waale), there are twenty-two (22) consonants and two glides. The voiced alveolar fricative /z/ that is present in Central Dagaare is not attested in the Southern dialect. In Northern dialect (Dagara) and Western dialect (Birifor), there are twenty-five (25) consonants with two glides in each of them. Though both Northern and Western dialects have the same number of consonants, it is not the case that both sets of consonants are entirely identical. For instance, as reported in (Angsongna \& Akinbo 2022), the voiceless bilabial implosive / 6 / and voiceless glottalized lateral $I^{2} 1 /$ are attested in the Northern dialect and in the Western dialect. Then voiceless glottalized palatal $I^{P} \mathrm{j} /$ and the voiceless glottalized labial-velar glide $/^{2} \mathrm{w} /$ are found only in the Western dialect, while
the voiceless velar fricative $/ \mathrm{x} /$ is attested only in the Northern dialect. None of these consonants are found in the Central and Southern dialects.

### 2.1.3 Vowel inventory

Previous studies (e.g., Bodomo 1997; Nakuma 2002) report that Dagaare has nine vowels which are paired based on tongue root value except for the low vowel [a] which is claimed to be noncontrastive. Saanchi (1997) however reports that the language has ten vowels with the tenth vowel being an advanced low vowel. Consistent with Saanchi's observation, acoustic studies (Ozburn et al. 2018; Lloy et al. 2019; Angsongna \& Akinbo 2022) have also reported the existence of a tenth vowel in Dagaare and this vowel is the advanced low vowel [ə], a contrastive counterpart of the retracted [a]. The vowel inventory of Central Dagaare is given in the table below, categorised in terms of tongue root position - advanced tongue root (ATR) and retracted tongue root (RTR) height and backness.

Table 2.3: Vowels in Central Dagaare

|  |  | Front | Central | Back |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | ATR | i |  | u |
|  | RTR | I |  | v |
| Mid | ATR | e |  | o |
|  | RTR | $\varepsilon$ |  | 0 |
| Low | ATR |  | $\partial$ |  |
|  | RTR |  | a |  |

Below are some examples from Saanchi (1997), showing the distinction between an advanced low vowel /a/ and a retracted low vowel /a/.
(1) Minimal pairs of advanced vs. retracted low vowels
vá 'scorch.v' vá 'hit.v'
háárì 'yawn.v’ háárì 'shoo.V'
hólí 'widen.v’ hálí 'stink.v’

Similar to what is observed in Central Dagaare, Waali (Southern Dagaare) is also reported to have a ten-vowel system (Abdul Moomin, 2015). As for the Northern and Western dialects, nine vowels are reported where the low [a] is neutral (Dundaa, 2013; Kuubezelle, 2013).

### 2.1.4 Tone

Tone involves the use of pitch to distinguish words in a language. In Central Dagaare, there are two contrastive tone levels: a high (H) tone which is symbolised with the acute accent as in $t u ́$ 'dig' and a low ( L ) tone which is symbolised by the grave accent as in tù 'follow'. There are also cases of downstep - a process in which the second of two H tones is lower than the initial H tone. Downstep is usually marked with the exclamation mark (!) as in súóy-!áá 'rabbit-SG'. Moreover, there is the presence of contour tones in Dagaare. Contours are tones that shift from one pitch to another over the course of a syllable. There are two contour tones in Dagaare: (i) rising contour which involves a syllable that starts off with a L tone and ends with a H tone as in wié 'farm. SG ' and (ii) falling contour which involves a case where a syllable begins with a H tone and ends with a L tone as in $n I ́-\bar{\varepsilon}$ 'person-SG'. Contours tones (especially falling contours) can occur on a short vowel (light syllable) as well and not just long vs. diphthongs as in the preceding examples. An example of a light syllable with falling contour is $z \hat{u}$ 'head.SG'. With regards to other varieties of the language, Southern dialect (Waali) has two contrastive tones ( $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and two contour tones just like the Central dialect. However, the Northern and Western dialects have three tones: high, mid, and low. Detailed description and analysis of tone particularly in Central Dagaare is presented in chapter 5.

### 2.2 Phonotactics

While focusing on some aspects of a language, it is often the case that the description of other aspects including commonly occurring phonemes, sequences of occurrence and co-occurrence restrictions is easily ignored by linguists. This section therefore identifies at least some cooccurrences in Dagaare involving simple words in Dagaare. By simple words I mean one- syllable and two- syllable words. Note that though I refer to the two-syllable words as simple, they are morphologically complex because they contain two morphemes - a root and a suffix. It should also be mentioned that some co-occurrences that are prohibited in simple forms might be possible with some compounds or borrowed words. However, at this point, the description and generalisations do not involve compounds and borrowed words. I present charts of co-occurrences
for both consonants and vowels. They include vowels co-occurring with consonants, consonants co-occurring with consonants and vowels co-occurring with vowels. As for vowel co-occurrence, it will be shown in later sections of this chapter that vowels of different tongue root values do not occur in the same simple word, but I show in this section the sequences that are prohibited or at least unattested even if they agree in the same tongue root feature. These restrictions will be relevant to the discussion of markedness and positional faithfulness.

### 2.2.1 Consonant - vowel co-occurrences

In this section, I show which vowels occur with which consonants, particularly in the initial position of consonant - vowel (CV) words. The alveolar approximant [r] does not occur in wordinitial position and because of that it is omitted in the table, but in other instances like intervocalic position, $[r]$ is present. The presence of a relevant consonant - vowel occurrence is marked with " $\sqrt{ }$ " while impossible co-occurrences have grey-shaded cells. I must mention that some impossible or unattested co-occurrences shown here may be possible in other dialects of Central Dagaare while some impossible combinations in other dialects may be possible in the current dialect under investigation.

Table 2.4: Occurrence of short vowels with consonants in CV words

|  | i | I | e | $\varepsilon$ | o | u | 0 | U | ə | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| b |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| t | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| d | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| k |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| g |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| kp | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| gb | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| tf | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| d ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| v | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| s |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Z |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| m | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| n | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| n |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 1m |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| j | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 1 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| w |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

From the table some patterns are clearly distinguishable with CV forms. For instance, the low vowel [a] and the mid vowel $[\varepsilon$ ] have wider scope of distribution than the other vowels while their corresponding counterparts, the low advanced [ $\rho$ ] and the advanced mid [e] occur exclusively with [v] and [b, ff, l] respectively. The stop consonants [p, b, t, d] occur with more vowels than any other consonant while the fricative [h] and velar [ y ] are the only consonants which exclusively occur with the retracted low vowel [a]. Given that the majority of Dagaare words are disyllabic, the next table shows the vowels that can occur in word final position and the consonants that precede the final vowels. That is, it shows which consonants can occur in intervocalic position and which vowels occur after such consonants.

Table 2.5: Occurrence of short vowels in final position: $-\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{VC}_{2} \mathrm{~V}$

|  | i | I | e | $\varepsilon$ | o | u | 0 | v | ə | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| g | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| kp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| z |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| n | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| y |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| ym |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| j |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| r | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The above table shows that, in Dagaare, intervocalic consonants are restricted to the stops $[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{g}]$, the nasals $[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}]$ and the liquids $[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}]$ with $[\mathrm{g}]$ having wider distribution than the rest, occurring with all vowels except for the advanced [ə]. This indeed is a striking reduction of inventory compared to the initial consonant (CV vs CVCV). The liquids are next to [g] in terms of their distribution. They occur with all vowels except for the high back vowels [ $u, v$ ]. Moreover, they occur in the same environments. That is, both [r, l] occur with same vowels. It is also observed that except for the low vowels which do not necessarily co-occur with same consonants, each other vowel and its contrastive counterpart co-occur with the same consonants. For instance, the high
front vowels [i, I$]$ co-occur with $[\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}]$; all mid vowels co-occur with $[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}]$ and finally the back high vowels $[\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}]$ co-occur exclusively with the stops $[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{g}]$. In the next table I show co-occurrence between consonants and long vowels. The focus is on CVV words.

Table 2.6: Occurrence of long vowels with consonants in initial position in CVV words

|  | ii | II | ee | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | oo | uu | 00 | UU | әә | aa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| t |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| d |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| k |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| kp |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| gb |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| ds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| z |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| m |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| n |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| ym |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| j |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| w |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

As shown on the table, long low vowel [aa] has more distribution than other vowels in CVV cases just like the case with short low [a] in CV words. The long high vowel [II] and the long mid vowels [ $\varepsilon \varepsilon, \mathrm{oo}]$ are restricted to particular consonants - [II] occurs with just the bilabial stop [b]; [ $\varepsilon \varepsilon]$ occurs with only the labial-velars [kp, gb] while [oo] occurs only with the glide [w]. There are never CVV forms involving [ii, ee, əə, ঠঠ]. Having seen long vowels in initial position, the next table shows long vowels in final position.

Table 2.7: Occurrence of long vowels in final position: - $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{VV}$

|  | ii | II | ee | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | oo | uu | 00 | U0 | әә | aa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| kp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| z |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| 1m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| j |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| r | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

Generally, in word-final position of disyllabic words, distribution of long vowels is quite limited. They occur only after sonorant consonants unlike the case of short vowels in final position which occur with some other consonants aside sonorants as in table 2.5 . The table 2.7 above below shows this generalisation. The intervocalic consonant [r] occurs with all possible long vowels in final position except for [00].

The co-occurrence of consonants and diphthongs is given next. There are four diphthongs in Dagaare: [ie, Iع, uo, vจ]. They occur in simple CVV words and the initial position of disyllabic words $\mathrm{CVV}(\mathrm{CV})$ but never in final position - the second syllable of disyllabic words.

Table 2.8: Occurrence of diphthongs in CVV (CV) words

|  | ie | İ | uo | U0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| b | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| t |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| d | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| k |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| g |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| kp |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| gb | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| y |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| d3 |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| f |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |
| V |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| S |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Z | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| h |  |  |  |  |
| m | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| n |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| n |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| y |  |  |  |  |
| nm |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| j | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |
| 1 |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| w | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

The table shows different restrictions in diphthongs than long vowels in that diphthongs do occur with a wider range of consonants than long vowels which occur with only sonorants. Each consonant occurs with at least a diphthong either in a CVV form or in the initial syllable of CVVCV forms except for the velar nasal [ n ] and the fricative [ h$].{ }^{1}$

[^0]
### 2.2.2 Consonant - consonant co-occurrences

This section presents co-occurrences of consonants separated by a vowel. These cases involve $\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{V}) \mathrm{CV}$ forms, and the focus is the consonants that can occur as first in the $\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{V}) \mathrm{CV}$ form and those that can occur as second. With the sole exception of the [r], all consonants can occur in wordinitial position but as seen below, the consonants that commonly occur in second syllables include the two stops $[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{g}]$; nasals $[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}]$ and the liquids $[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}]$.

Table 2.9: Co-occurrences of consonants $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{C}_{2}$

| C 2 <br> C 1 | p | b | t | d | k | g | kp | gb | t5 | ds | f | v | S | z | h | m | n | 1 | ym | j | 1 | r | w |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |
| b |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |
| t |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| d |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| k |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kp |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |
| gb |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| t |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ds |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| f |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| v |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| S |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| z |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| m |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| n |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |
| n |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |
| y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ym |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |
| j |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| w |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |

The table above reveals that the velar [g], the nasals [m, n, y ] and the liquids $[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}]$ occur in the initial position of second syllables in disyllabic forms while the bilabial [b] has a relatively limited
distribution. In some cases, there is presence of $\mathrm{C} \ldots \mathrm{C}$ where both Cs are labials and in other cases there is absence of two labials co-occurring such as *b...b, *gb...b, *f...m, *nm...b, and *v...m. These are probably accidental gaps. The next section shows the co-occurrence restrictions on vowels.

### 2.2.3 Vowel - vowel co-occurrences

Aside from the fact that vowels of different tongue root features do not co-occur, there are also cases of co-occurrence restrictions between vowels of same tongue root features. This means that tongue root harmony is exceptionless with regards to VV co-occurrence. In the tables that follow, I show vowels that can occur in sequences without intervening consonants and those that are impossible even if they share the same harmonic feature. The cases here involve diphthongs. I do not consider long vowels as cases of VV co-occurrence because they are basically made up of single vowels that are long. I also show co-occurrences between vowels in disyllabic contexts, with a consonant intervening between the two vowels. First, let us look at the VV sequences in the table below.

Table 2.10: Co-occurrence of vowels in VV contexts


The observations are as follows: front high vowel as V1 and back high vowel as V2 never occur (*iu). However, there is at least one word in the language that has the back high vowel [u] as V1 and front high vowel [i] as V2 as in mùi 'rice'. Front high vowels never follow mid and low vowels (e.g., *ei, *\&ı, *ai). The next table shows vowel co-occurrences in CVCV contexts.

Table 2.11: Co-occurrence of vowels: $\mathrm{CV}_{1} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$

| V1 | 1 | I | e | $\varepsilon$ | u | U | o | 0 | ə | a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| e | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\varepsilon$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| u | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| U |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| O | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| ə | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| a |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |

A look at the above table reveals some interesting observations. First, apart from the cases involving the high front vowels $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ as V2 where V1 and V2 disagree in rounding/backness, the only other case is $\varepsilon / \tau$ combination. The $\varepsilon / \cup$ combination is a rare occurrence in the sense that there is one word, sèy'́ 'mat' attested in this dialect as shown in my database. In a related dialect (Jirapa dialect), the word for mat is sèní/sz̀yと́ where there is agreement in rounding feature. Another observation notable is that the low vowel never co-occurs with a non-low vowel in either order other than with the high front vowel $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ and $[\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{v}]$ as V2. It is however possible for [a] to co-occur as V2 with other vowels in the Southern dialect (Waale) as in bù̀á 'donkey', lóyá 'frog'. Finally, the co-occurrence of the vowels $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ is clearly unrestricted except for tongue root feature. Overall, except for the sequences $u \ldots i$, i...e and $u \ldots$, there is identical co-occurrence of vowels.

### 2.3 Distribution of consonants and vowels

All consonants in Dagaare occur in word-initial position with the sole exception of the voiced alveolar approximant $[r]$ and its allophonic variant [r]. For instance, $[r]$ occurs only intervocalically as in kù-r'́ 'give-IPFV' and tùùrì 'pick.V'. In word medial position, it is occasionally realised as an alveolar tap [r] after [g] as in pég-rÍ 'shell-PL'. Another sound with restricted distribution is the allophonic variant of voiced velar [g]. In Bodomo (1997) the allophonic variant of this sound is described as voiced velar fricative [у] as póyó 'woman'. Recent acoustic, palatographic and ultrasound study (Akinbo et al., 2022) however suggests that the allophonic variant of $/ \mathrm{g} /$ is actually not a velar fricative but a velar with strong tap-like properties, a previously unattested
sound and the following symbol [ $\check{\mathrm{g}}]$ has been proposed as a representation for the velar tap in Akinbo et al. (2022) and Angsongna \& Akinbo (2022). The realization of this sound is observed only in intervocalic position. Moreover, the velar nasal [ y ] occurs in the initial position of just three words in the language and with the low vowel [a] only as in: ŋá 'this', ŋáăă 'like this', ŋánćé 'this one'. In this section, I present the restrictions on consonants and vowels within words.

### 2.3.1 Word-medial position

The consonants that occur in word medial position in Dagaare include the velar stop [g]; bilabial stop [b]; nasals [m, n, y] and the liquids [r, l]. Examples are given below.
(2) Velar stop [g] in medial position
a. bùg-ó 'silo-SG'
b. kóg-ó 'chair-SG'
(3) Bilabial stop [b] in medial position
a. tò-bó 'ear-PL'
b. kó-bó 'bone-PL’
(4) Nasals [ $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}$ ] in medial position
a. tóm-á 'work-SG'
b. gán-ì 'book-SG'
c. tín-غ́ 'ground-SG’
(5) Liquids [ $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}]$ in medial position
a. bí-rì 'seed-SG'
b. pı̀l-Í 'path-SG'

### 2.3.2 Word-final position

In Dagaare, the most common word-final consonants are the nasals particularly [ $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}$ ] as in (6) and liquids $[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}]$ as in (7). This mostly results from final vowel elision, though some nasals (particularly $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ ) can occur in isolation word-finally as in (6c). Basically, sonorants are the only
consonants that can occur word-finally in Dagaare. In Dagara (Northern Dagaare) however, the bilabial stop [b] can occur word-finally in words like déb 'man', nááb 'cow'.
(6) Nasals in word-final position
a. kpán ~ kpán-Í 'spear-SG'
b. gân ~ gán-ì 'book-SG'
c. sù̀ 'help.v'
(7) Liquids in word-final position
a. gól ~ gól-í 'cudgel-SG'
b. bíír ~ bíír-í 'child-PL'
c. wùl ~ wùlì 'show.v'

### 2.3.3 Roots vs suffixes

A root is the core content of a word; it is the entity which may serve as the base for several lexical categories and the part which remains after all affixes are taken away. An affix is a morpheme that is attached to a root. Affixes in Dagaare are suffixes occurring after roots. With the exception of [r], all consonants in Dagaare can be root-initial and all vowels can be root-final but only a restricted class of consonants can be root-final. Consonants which occur root-finally are the velar stop [g]; the nasals [m, n, y] and the liquids [r, l]. Similarly suffixes involve a restricted set of phonemes. Dagaare has both derivational and inflectional suffixes. Derivational suffixes are those that derive new words from existing words where the derived words belong to a grammatical class that is distinct from the original word. Inflectional suffixes express grammatical contrast between words without changing the word class.

Derivational suffixes in Dagaare derive nouns from verbs or from adjectives. Here is an exhaustive list of Dagaare derivational suffixes.

Table 2.12: Derivational suffixes in Dagaare

| Form | Verb-to-noun suffix | Adjective-to-noun suffix |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -VV | -uu/-vo |  |
| -CV | -bu/-bv |  |
| -CVV | -nuu/-nvo |  |
| -(C)VC | -uy/-ঠy | -uy/-vy, -luy/lvy, ruy/roy |

The suffixes which derive nouns from verbs only, include [-uu/-vo, -bu/-bv, -nuu/-nvo]. The suffixes that derive nouns from both adjectives and verbs are [-uy/-vy, -luy/lvy, -ruy/-ruy]. These affixes show a restricted set of forms consisting of specific initial consonants $[b, l, r, n]$. The choice of consonant depends on the verbal root. For instance, b-initial derivational suffix occurs after Vfinal or $g$-final root and in this case the result $g$-b sequence actually involves two separate sounds and not a single labial-velar sound [gb]; r-initial suffix occurs after $g$-final roots and vowel suffixes occur after roots containing [r, l, n]. Finally, n-initial suffixes always come after CVN roots, resulting in long nasal consonant (geminates). If a suffix has a final consonant, it is always the velar nasal [ y$]$. No other vowel represents a derivational suffix in Dagaare aside from the high back vowel $[-u /-\circlearrowright]$. Some examples are given below.
(8) Derived nouns from verbs
a. Verb root
di- 'eat.v'
Derived noun

## Gloss

kùv̀r- 'snore.v' kùv̀r-úú
zàn- 'learn. $V$ '
zàn-nứ̛
'eat-NMLZ'
'snore-NMLZ'
'learn-NMLZ'
b. Verb root
Gloss
dúòr- 'urinate. V '
Derived noun
dúór-!úy
jiél- 'sing.v'
jíél-!úy
vúv̀r- 'breathe.v'
vướr-!ún
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { c. } \begin{array}{lll}\text { Adjective } & \text { gloss } & \text { derived noun }\end{array} & \text { gloss } \\ \text { vì̀̀ĺ́ } & \text { 'beautiful' } & \text { vìèl-ón } & \text { 'beauty' }\end{array}$

| fáá | 'bad' | fàà-lôn | 'badness' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wégì | 'tall' | wég-rứn | 'tallness' |

Inflectional suffixes inflect for aspect on verbs and number on nouns. Inflection on verbs marks default verb form and the imperfective form. Aspect could be zero-marked or overtly marked. If present, it is always a $(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{V}$. The default aspectual inflection is either null or the high front vowel or CV with the vowel being the high front vowel $[-\mathrm{i} /-\mathrm{I}]$. No other vowel occurs in this position. It is possible that the high front vowel $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ is epenthetic as it is completely predictable. If there is a consonant in the default aspectual suffix, it is always the alveolar nasal [ n ] which always occurs in nasal context. The imperfective suffix is always a mid or low vowel but never a high vowel. The consonants that form part of the imperfective suffix are [r] and [n] which depends on the verbal root - V-final and g-final roots always take -rV suffix while N -final roots always take -nV suffix. Below is a complete list of verbal inflectional suffixes.

Table 2.13: Inflectional suffixes in Dagaare verbs

| Form |  | Suffixal allomorph |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Default | -V | \{-i/-I $\}$ |
|  | -CV | \{-ni/-nı\} |
|  | - $\varnothing$ | $\{-\varnothing\}$ |
| Imperfective | -V | $\{-e /-\varepsilon,-0 /-2,-$ /-a $\}$ |
|  | -CV | \{-re/-re, -ro/-ro,-rə/-ra, -ne/-ň, -no/-nっ, -nə/-na\} |

Inflection on nouns mark singular and plural nouns. This involves vowels $-\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})$ only or consonant and vowels $-\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{V})$. All vowels can mark number depending on the noun class. There are ten noun classes in Dagaare (Bodomo, 1997; Bodomo \& Marfo, 2006) with each class categorised based on the suffixes that mark number - singular vs plural. The most recurrent consonant-initial suffixes in Dagaare is -ri/-rı and its allomorph -ni/-nı. The other consonant-initial suffix is -bV. Other suffixes include the dedicated -néé and -miné which are both limited in their distribution. Here is a complete list of nominal suffixes.

Table 2.14: Inflectional suffixes in Dagaare nouns

| N-class | suffix:SG | N-class | suffix:PL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1ahuman C1bkin. | $-\mathrm{I},-\mathrm{e} /-\varepsilon,-\mathrm{o},-\emptyset,-\mathrm{oo},-$ <br> (b) a | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{a} . \\ & \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~b} . \end{aligned}$ | -be/-bo/-ba <br> - mìnéc1.KiN |
| C2. | -i/-I, -e/-E, -o/-o, -a | C2. | -ri/-rı, -ni/-ni |
| C3. | -i/-I, -ii/-II | C3. | -e/-\&, -o/-o, -a |
| C4. | -bu/-bu | C4. | -ri/-rı |
| C5. | -Ø | C5. | -ri/-ri |
| C6. | -ri/-ri | C6. | -e/-c, -o/-o, -a, -bo/-bo |
| C7. | -Ø, -I, - | C7. | -nce |
| C8. | -aa | C8. | -I/-II |
| C9. | -u/-u/bu/-bo/-nuu/- <br> nou | C9. | N/A |
| C10. | N/A | C10. | $\begin{aligned} & -u y /-v \eta,-l u y /-l o n,- \\ & \text { ruy/-ron } \end{aligned}$ |

The focus of this section has been to describe the distribution of consonants and vowels in roots and suffixes. More discussion on inflection is in chapter 3.

### 2.4 Consonant clusters

In Dagaare, it is possible for consonants to co-occur in sequences without an intervening vowel. Consonants in such sequences are referred to as clusters. In Dagaare, there are a few consonant clusters which usually result from concatenation of morphemes, particularly the final consonant of a root and the initial consonant of a suffix. Some of these clusters are obligatory or inseparable while others are optional or separable. The inseparable clusters involve consonants with the same place of articulation such as $m b$ and $/ \mathrm{rn} / \rightarrow[\mathrm{nn}]$. These clusters cannot be simplified with vowel insertion. Other clusters are non-obligatory in that they may be simplified with vowel insertion particularly in slow or careful speech. Note that the clusters $r r$ and $l l$ are associated with the variety of the Central dialect spoken in and around Jirapa while the $r h$ and $l h$ are the counterparts for the dialect spoken in and around Sombo (where the majority of the data for this research comes from).

Note also that the g-b sequence in póg-bj' 'woman-PL' cluster is different from gb the labial-velar as in the initial sound of $\boldsymbol{g} \boldsymbol{b} \dot{a} g-\boldsymbol{a}$ 'basket-SG'. The attested clusters in Dagaare are listed below.
(9) Attested consonant clusters in Dagaare
a. Inseparable clusters

| $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{b}$ | $\rightarrow$ | mb | sáám-!bá | 'stranger-PL' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r}$ | $\rightarrow$ | nn | lón-ní | 'frog-PL' |
| $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r}$ | $\rightarrow$ | rr | kò̀r-rí | 'village-PL' |
| l-r | $\rightarrow$ | ll | sìl-lí | 'hawk-PL' |

b. Separable clusters

| g-b | $\rightarrow$ | gb | póg-bó | 'woman-PL' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| g-r | $\rightarrow$ | gr | pと́g-rí | 'shell-PL' |
| g-l | $\rightarrow$ | gl | sóglì | 'hide.v' |
| r-l | $\rightarrow$ | rh | kòr-hí | 'village-PL' |
| l-h | $\rightarrow$ | lh | sìl-hí | 'hawk-PL' |

Some clusters may differ in some features in the input, but the output is always a cluster of identical consonants, specifically $/ \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r} / \sim[\mathrm{nn}]$. Given these patterns, it is fair to say that adjacent consonants in an obligatory/inseparable cluster must have same place values while optional/separable clusters differ in place of articulation.

### 2.5 Syllable structure

A syllable in Dagaare consists of a vowel, with or without a consonant preceding or following it. A syllable may be light (one that ends in a short vowel as in a CV syllable) or it may be heavy (one that contains either a long vowel or a coda consonant - CVV or CVC, etc). The surface syllable types in Dagaare include N, V, VV, VC, CV, CVV, CVC, CVN CVVN, and CV.CV. Most of these syllables are attested in both verbs and nouns. The syllable types, CV, CVV, CVN and CVVN can occur as independent words; the others however require additional phonological content to make complete words. The syllable type V generally comprises a suffix marking verbal or nominal inflection, the third person pronoun, the definite determiner, or an agreement marker on cardinal numbers. The syllable type N which also has a limited distribution, is the first-person
singular pronoun used either as a subject or possessive pronoun. The syllable type VC also has limited distribution as it is present only in verbs. Syllable types are given below with illustrative examples. Each syllable type in an example is underlined.

Table 2.15: Syllable types in Dagaare

| Syllable type | Example: verb | Gloss | Example: noun | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  |  | m bí-é | 'my child- SG' |
| V | á | 'hate. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | ù bí-é | 'his/her child- SG' |
| VV | ว̀ | 'chew/eat.v' | ช̛̃ | 'a kind of pot (with holes)' |
| VC | ว̀ | 'fetch.v' |  |  |
| CV | di | 'eat.v' | má | 'mother. SG' |
| CVV | bàà | 'grow.v' | báá | 'dog. SG' |
| CVN | sòn | 'help.v' | bôn | 'thing. SG' |
| CVVN | dì̀̀ | 'play.v' | gú! ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 'sleep' |
| CV.CV | dì.ré | 'eat-IPFV' | zú.rì | 'head-PL' |
| CVV.CV | tùù.rì | 'pick.v’ | gbáá.lí | 'large pot-SG' |
| CVC.CV | sóg.lì | 'hide.v' | kpág.lí | 'bedbug-SG' |
| CVVC.CV |  |  | sáám.!bá | 'stranger-PL' |

The nasal in syllables like sáám-!bá, 'stranger-PL' is shown to be a coda rather than an onset to the following syllable given that CVVN syllables are found in isolation as complete words.

Syllables containing long vowels have limited distribution. There is generally a maximum of only one CVV or CVVC/N per word. A long vowel appears either in first syllable as illustrated in (10a) or a long vowel may appear in later part of the word as in (10b). There are also cases where long vowels appear in both syllables of a word as in (10c).
a. Long vowel in initial syllable

| dáà | 'push.v' | dàá | 'market.SG' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sò̀v̀rì | 'ask.v' | tàám-à | 'shea fruit-PL' |
| kù̀̀rì | 'sell.v' | ymàáy-à | 'monkey-SG' |

b. Long vowel in second syllable

| wál-áá | 'antelope-SG' | bíl-áá | 'anthill-SG' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gór-áá | 'sickle-SG' | kpàl-áà | 'sling-SG' |
| djél-íí | 'egg-SG' | ymán-!íi | 'dove-SG' |

c. Long vowels in initial and second syllable

| dàày-áá | 'hearth-SG' | Íll-!íi | 'horn-SG' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| súj́y--!áá | 'rabbit-SG' | pááy-áá | 'cricket-SG' |
| vúúr-áá | 'bellow-SG' | kứń-!áá | 'farmer-SG' |

### 2.6 Minimal size of nouns and verbs

Minimality refers to the constraints imposed on the minimal size of words in a language (Akinbo, 2019; McCarthy \& Prince, 1994, 2017). Many languages impose these restrictions on word size. In Dagaare, nouns have a minimal size of one syllable with a minimum of two moras (Anttila \& Bodomo, 2009). A mora is the basic timing unit of a syllable. For instance, CVV and CVVC are monosyllabic but bimoraic. A light syllable in a Dagaare noun generally occurs as a final syllable or as a root to which another syllable is attached.
(11) Minimal size of nouns

| Singular | plural |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| báá $\quad$ 'dog.SG', | báá-rí | 'dog-PL' |
| bú-ó $\quad$ 'goat-SG' | búú-rí | 'goat-PL' |
| jí-rì 'house-SG' | jí-è | 'house- PL' |
| zí-é $\quad$ 'place-SG' | zíí-rí | 'place-PL' |
| wég-è 'log-SG' | wég-rì | 'log-PL' |

There are, however, five known nouns in Dagaare which are monosyllabic and monomoraic CV forms (e.g. $z \hat{u}$ 'head.SG', $n \hat{u}$ 'hand.SG'), however the vast majority of nouns in Dagaare have at least two moras.

In contrast to nouns, verbs in the default form have minimal size of one syllable and one mora. That is, when the verb is in the citation or default form, it is minimally monosyllabic and monomoraic. In effect, there are proportionally many more CV verb forms. With the imperfective, there may be length alternation involving the default verb forms. Even without length alternation,
since the imperfective always adds a syllable, it would always become bimoraic. Some examples are given below.

| (12) | Minimal size of verbs in different contexts |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | default form |  | imperfective form |  |
|  | dì | 'eat.v' | dì-ré | 'eat-IPFV' |
|  | dú | 'climb.v' | dúó-rò | 'climb-IPFV' |
|  | jùò | 'open.v' | jùò-ró | 'open- IPFV' |
|  | dáà | 'push.v' | dáá-!rá | 'push- IPFV' |
|  | wùlì | 'show.v' | wùl-ó | 'show- IPFV' |

### 2.7 Phonological processes

This section presents a brief description of some phonological processes in Dagaare. These processes include vowel harmony, vowel assimilation, final vowel elision, and vowel length alternations: lengthening and diphthongisation.

### 2.7.1 Vowel harmony

Vowel harmony is an assimilatory process which involves the obligatory agreement of adjacent vowels in a particular value (Pulleyblank, 2002; Rose \& Walker, 2011). By adjacent vowels, I mean vowels of adjacent syllables, not just immediate adjacency. It may be a tongue root, rounding, backness or height feature. In Dagaare, the ten vowels are categorised into two natural classes based on tongue root feature - [ATR] vs [RTR]. In this case, only vowels within a particular class are permitted to co-occur in a simple word. In other words, either all vowels in a simple word are [ATR] or all vowels are [RTR]. This is what is termed as tongue root harmony. This is exemplified below in (13) where the vowel in the root shares the same tongue root feature with the vowel in the suffix.
(13) Tongue root harmony

| ATR |  | ATR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bí-é | 'child-SG' | tì- $\dot{\varepsilon}$ | 'tree-SG' |
| tíg-é | 'feast-PL' | tín- | 'ground-SG' |
| dúy-ó | 'animal-SG' | dớn-ó | 'mosquito-SG' |

Another form of harmony in Dagaare is rounding harmony, which involves a situation where all vowels within a simple word agree in lip rounding (Kaun, 1995, 2004). It is specifically productively associated with the imperfective form of the verb with few examples in nouns. With this harmony pattern, aside from agreeing in rounding, all vowels also agree in the backness feature. That is, either all vowels are back and round, or all vowels are front and unround. The following examples in (14) illustrate rounding harmony. For the central unrounded vowels, [a, ə], see the examples in (15).
(14) Rounding harmony

| bùr-ó | 'soak-IPFV' | bòr-ó |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'sow-IPFV' |  |  |
| wùl-ó 'show/teach-IPFV', | sór-ò 'count/read-IPFV' |  |
| jiél-!é 'sing-IPFV' | jíćl-!' ' 'winow-IPFV' |  |
| dì-ré 'eat-IPFV' | dí-!ré 'take-IPFV' |  |

Moreover, there is agreement in height in that when a verb root has a low vowel, the imperfective suffix is always a low vowel. This is a case of complete harmony or vowel copy (Rose \& Walker 2011) involving assimilation for all vowel quality features.
(15) Complete harmony

| háór-! ' 'yawn-IPFV' | háár-à 'shoo-IPFV' |
| :--- | :--- |
| sóár-ə̀ 'gather-IPFV (in bulk)' | záá-rà 'throw-IPFV' |

The questions of what triggers vowels to harmonise and why rounding and height harmony is found particularly in imperfective verbs are not addressed here. A more detailed description and analysis is provided in chapter 4.

### 2.7.2 Vowel Assimilation

In vowel harmony, we see that the agreement involves vowels within a domain whether they are immediately following each other or not. With vowel assimilation, the shape of a vowel is influenced by a nearby vowel without any intervening segment. That is, assimilation occurs when vowels are contiguous without a consonant between them. Consider the following examples in (16) below.

| a. | núú-rì | á-tà | $\rightarrow$ | nưú-rá á-tà |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hen-PL | NONHUM-three |  |  |
|  | 'three hens' |  |  |  |
| b. | tfúú-rì | ว̀-jì | $\rightarrow$ | tyúú-rá ó-jì |
|  | month-PL | NONHUM-two |  |  |
|  | 'two month |  |  |  |


| c.lón-ní à-náárì$\quad \rightarrow \quad$ lón-ná á-náárì |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | frog-PL | NONHUM-four |  |
|  | 'four frogs' |  |  |

d. kó-bó j̀-núú $\quad \rightarrow \quad$ kóbá á-núú
bone-PL NONHUM-five
'five bones'

| e. | Ǹ̀ | jèl̀̀ | $[k a ́$ | ú $]$ | wà | $\rightarrow$ | kú-ú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | wà

'I said that $\mathrm{s} /$ he should come'

The examples above show that when a word ends with a vowel and is immediately followed by a vowel-initial word, the final vowel of the preceding word assimilates to the initial vowel of the following word. This is usually observed in fast speech or singing. Vowel assimilation is not further discussed in this dissertation.

### 2.7.3 Final vowel elision

Final vowel elision, also known as apocope, is the deletion or omission of a final vowel preceded by a consonant in a word or phrase. This process is pervasive in Dagaare (Kennedy 1966; Anttila and Bodomo 1996; Bodomo 1997) and other Mabia/Gur languages such as Dagbani (Hyman 1993) and Konni (Cahill 1999). In Dagaare, it is common for final vowels to be dropped for some words without any change in the meaning of the word. Similar to vowel assimilation, this is a process
that also results from fast speech or singing. In the examples below, final vowels are dropped resulting in shortened forms of the words.

| bí́-rí | $\sim$ | bír | 'child-PL' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nóv́-rì | $\sim$ | núòr | 'hen-PL' |
| bóv́-rí | $\sim$ | búớr | 'goat-PL' |
| gày-á | $\sim$ | gǎy | 'kraal-SG' |
| lóy-ó | $\sim$ | lóy | 'frog-SG' |
| sórí | $\sim$ | sór | 'count.V' |
| vólí | $\sim$ | vól | 'swallow.v' |
| wùlì | $\sim$ | wùl | 'show.v' |

Note however that, this process is not applicable to cases involving inseparable consonant clusters. In inseparable cluster situations, dropping the final vowel will lead to impossible forms as in (18). It is however possible for final vowel elision to occur in cases involving what seems to be nonobligatory clusters as in (19) particularly clusters involving sonorants [r, 1]. This is probably because only coronals and others such as nasals are allowed word-finally, so [r,l] are possible but [b] is not. Moreover, the deletion of the final high vowel [ $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ suggests that it is epenthetic.

| póg-bó | $\sim$ | *pogb | 'woman-PL' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sáám-!bá | $\sim$ | *saamb | 'stranger-PL' |
|  |  |  |  |
| kpáglí | $\sim$ | kpágl | 'bedbug.SG' |
| sóglì | $\sim$ | sógl | 'hide.v' |
| lígrì | $\sim$ | lígr | 'tickle.v' |

It is also important to note that in verbs, final vowel deletion is applicable to the default verb form only. That is, only the final vowel of the default verb can be elided and still have the same default meaning. For instance, when the final high [ I ] is dropped from the verb sórì, it results in sór which still carries the same meaning 'count'. In the case of the imperfective form sór-j' 'count-IPFV', if the final [ 0 ] is dropped, its shortened form sór does not carry the imperfective meaning 'counting'. Rather, it effectively changes the meaning to the default meaning 'count'. For the imperfectives that involve diphthongs or long vowels, dropping the final vowel either changes the meaning of the word and its lexical category or it makes the word ill-formed. For instance, with dúó-rò 'climb-

IPFV', if the final vowel is dropped, it becomes dúòr which means 'urinate'. With túú-rò ‘dig-IPFV', eliding the final vowel results in *túùr. This therefore suggests that the high vowel in the default form (previously termed perfective form) does not carry any meaning aside from satisfying some phonotactic requirements, but the vowel in the imperfective is actually meaningful, hence dropping the imperfective suffix totally changes the meaning of the verb.

Similarly, when the final vowel in a noun like kpágl-I' 'bedbug-SG' is deleted, the resultant form is kpágl which denotes only the singular form 'bedbug'. In the case of the plural form kpágl-á, omission of the final vowel does not denote a plural reading. Generally, sounds that are not functionally distinctive are the ones that are easily dropped without a change in the meaning in the original word. These cases are discussed later in chapter 3.

### 2.7.4 Vowel length alternations: lengthening and diphthongisation

In Dagaare, there are some surface reversals in root shape between verbs involving the default forms and the imperfective forms and also between singular and plural nouns. For the verbs, vowels can be short or long in the default form, and they can be short or long in the imperfective form. In some cases, short vowels in the default verb correspond to long vowels in the imperfective form. That is when the imperfective suffix is added to the verb root, we see vowel lengthening in the root as illustrated below. These cases involve either a high or a low vowel but not always.

| Default form |  | Imperfective form |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tí | 'hold.v' | tíí-rè | 'hold-IPFV' |
| ví | 'demolish. v' | víí-rè | 'demolish-IPFV' |
| sì | 'skin.v' | sì̀-ré | 'skin-IPFV' |
| tú | 'dig.v' | túú-rò | 'dig-IPFV' |
| dà | 'buy.v' | dàà-rá | 'buy-IPFV' |
| là | 'laugh.v', | làà-rá | 'laugh-IPFV' |
| vó | 'scorch.v' | vźź-rò | 'scorch-IPFV' |

The examples in (21) show high and low vowels in the default form becoming long vowels in the imperfective. There are short vowels in the default verbs which alternate with diphthongs in the imperfective form.

| Default form | Imperfective form |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| t' | 'spread.v' | tíć-rè | 'spread-IPFV' |
| kpè | 'enter.V' | kpìé-ré | 'enter-IPFV' |
| dó | 'climb.V' | dúó-rò | 'climb-IPFV' |
| sò | 'bathe.v' | sùò-ró | 'bathe-IPFV' |
| dó | 'weed.V' | dóó-rò | 'weed-IPFV' |

The observation in the above examples is that a short mid vowel in the default verb becomes a diphthong in the imperfective. There are also cases where long vowels in the default form consistently correspond with long vowels in the imperfective. This is always the case when the default verb contains a long vowel. This shown in the examples below.

| Default form |  | Imperfective form |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| j̀ | 'chew/eat.v' | j̀̀-ró | 'chew/eat-IPFV' |
| móó | 'wrestle.v' | móó-rò | 'wrestle-IPFV' |
| zò̀ | 'fight.v' | zòò-ró | 'fight-IPFV' |
| kàà | 'look.v' | kàà-rá | 'look-IPFV' |
| fáà | 'seize.v' | fáá-!rá | 'seize-IPFV' |
| dáà | 'push.v' | dáá-! $\mathrm{rá}$ | 'push-IPFV' |

Similarly, diphthongs in the default verb form remain as diphthongs in imperfective as illustrated below.

| Default form |  | Imperfective |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tì̀ | 'push.V' | tì̀-ré | 'push-IPFV' |
| díc̀ | 'receive.V' | díé-!ré | 'receive-IPFV' |
| jùò | 'open.V' | jùò-ró | 'open-IPFV' |
| tùò | 'carry.V' | tùò-ró | 'carry-IPFV' |

Finally, there are instances where short vowels in the default form remain short in the imperfective. There are short high or low vowels that fail to lengthen in the imperfective and there are short mid vowels that fail to diphthongise in the imperfective. Here are some examples.

| Default form |  | Imperfective |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jín | form |  |  |
| jín | 'leave.v' | jí-rè | 'leave-IPFV' |
| dì | 'eat.V' | dì-ré | 'eat-IPFV' |
| dî | 'take.v' | dí-!ré | 'take-IPFV' |
| lè | 'fall.v' | lè-ré | 'fall-IPFV' |
| kù | 'give.v' | kò-r' | 'give-IPFV' |
| tá | 'reach.v' | tá-rà | 'reach-IPFV' |

Overall, in verbs, short vowels in the default verb may remain short or become long/diphthongised in the imperfective. As shown above, long vowels in the default form remain long in the imperfective; diphthongs in the default form remain diphthongs in the imperfective. What is unattested are cases involving diphthong in the default form and short vowel in the imperfective. Moreover, apart from the verb alternation, gàà 'go.V'- gè-ré 'go-IPFV' which involves long vowel in the default form but short vowel in the imperfective, there are no other cases involving long default vs. short imperfective forms in the language.

With nouns, when the number suffix [-ri/-rı] occurs after a high vowel root specifically CV-, that root vowel in the singular form becomes half of what appears like a diphthong - the singular suffix contributes the second part of the diphthong while the root of the plural becomes long. The final vowel in the singular form has been argued to be an epenthetic vowel due to minimality (see Anttila \& Bodomo 2009).
Singular
bí-é $\quad$ 'child-SG'
zí-é $\quad$ 'place-SG'
sí-غ́ $\quad$ 'waist-SG'
kú-ó $\quad$ 'field mouse-SG'
bú-ó $\quad$ 'goat-SG'

Plural
bií-rí
zíí-rí
síi-rí
kúú-rí
bóv́-rí
'child-PL'
'place-PL'
'waist-PL'
'field mouse-PL'
'goat-PL'

There are also cases where the singular form involves a diphthong, and where this corresponds to a short vowel in the plural form (26), while conversely a short vowel in the singular may correspond to a diphthong in the plural form (27). Finally, there are nouns which contain long vowels in both singular and plural forms as in (28).
Singular

Plural

| gbié | 'forehead.SG' | gbé-rì | 'forehead-PL' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dié | 'room.SG' | dè-rí | 'room-PL' |
| dùó | 'warthog.SG' | dò-rí | 'warthog-PL' |
| tùó | 'baobab.SG' | tò-rí | 'baobab-PL' |


| Singular | Plural |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bí-rì 'seed-SG', | bí-è | 'seed-PL' |
| jí-rì 'house-SG', | jí-è | 'house-PL' |
| mí-rì 'rope-SG' | mí-è | 'rope-PL' |
|  |  |  |
| Singular | Plural |  |
| túú 'forest.SG', túú-rí | 'forest-PL' |  |
| tfúù 'month.SG' | tfúú-rì | 'month-PL' |
| báá 'dog.SG' | báá-rí | 'dog-PL' |

The difference between the diphthongs in the imperfectives and those in nouns is that the diphthong in nouns get split between the root and the suffix while the diphthongs in the imperfective forms always constitute the root with a separate suffix. These alternations raise questions about why aspectual marking in verbs and number marking in nouns result in long vowels and diphthongs with some but not all roots. These are questions for further investigation and are therefore not discussed further in this chapter.

### 2.8 Summary

In summary, this chapter has given a general introduction to some phonological aspects of Dagaare. The relevant aspects include consonant, vowel and tone inventories, phonological processes such as harmony, assimilation and vowel length. It provides a brief description of the co-occurrence restrictions involving consonants and vowels, syllable and minimality requirements of nouns and verbs in Dagaare. This overview will be relevant in the analysis of various morphophonological patterns presented in subsequent chapters of this dissertation.

## Chapter 3: Inflectional morphology

### 3.1 Setting the scene

In Dagaare, inflectional morphology is found on verbs and nouns. In both verbal and nominal contexts, it is realized as suffixal morphology. On verbs, these suffixes mark an aspectual contrast, which is usually described as a perfective/imperfective contrast (Bodomo, 1997; Dakubu, 2005; Saanchi, 2003). This is illustrated in (1) for the verb sór- 'read'. The perfective form is realized with a high vowel suffix, which harmonizes in ATR value; in (1a) this corresponds to -í. With the verb 'read', the imperfective form is likewise realized as a non-high suffixal vowel, but here it is non-high and agrees in rounding and tongue root value with the vowel of the verbal root; see (1b). The perfective/imperfective allomorph are but two of the many alternations recruited to mark aspect; I return to this below.

| (1) a . | Dàkưráá | sór-Í | !ná | gánì |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dakoraa | read-PFV | FOC | book.SG |
|  | 'Dakoraa has read a book' |  |  |  |
| b. | Dàkúráá | sór-! ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ná | gánì |
|  | Dakoraa | read-IPFV | FOC | book.SG |

Parallel to suffixal verbal aspect, there is suffixal number marking. On nouns, number is usually described as marking a singular/plural contrast. A remarkable property of Dagaare is that both singular and plural can be morphologically marked, as in (2), where the singular form of gán'book' involves the addition of the high vowel suffix -í, while the plural form involves the addition of the low vowel suffix -à. While plural is always marked, singular may be null or marked.

| (2) a. | Dàkúráá | sór-í | !ná | gán-ì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | read-PFV | FOC | book-SG |


| b. | Dàkúráá | sórí | ná | gám-à |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | read.PFV | FOC | book-PL |
|  | 'Dakoraa has read books' |  |  |  |

There is regular correspondence between the suffixed verb forms and noun forms illustrated in (1) and (2) and the syntactic context that hosts them. By hypothesis, an aspectual marked verb form occurs in a syntactic frame that involves the functional category Aspect in combination with a verbal projection, as in (3). In a parallel fashion, a number marked noun form occurs in a syntactic frame that involves the functional category Number in combination with a nominal projection, as in (4).
(3) Tree showing V + Asp

(4) Tree showing $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Num}$

[SG/PL]

The functional projection, Asp is the head that contains either default or imperfective aspect. Similarly, the projection Num hosts the number partitions singular/plural.

### 3.1.1 How the problem presents itself with verbs

For verbs, taking the interaction of the phonology, syntax, and semantics into account points to an asymmetry between the so-called perfective aspect (which has semantic and syntactic properties of a default verb form) versus imperfective aspect (which behaves like a semantically specified aspectual verb form).

### 3.1.1.1 The 'perfective' as default verb form

As previously discussed, a given verb form must be inflected for either perfective or imperfective aspect. This is shown in (5) and (6) for 'read' and 'eat'. I however consider the so-called perfective verbs as default and gloss them as V .

| a. | Dàkúráá | sórí | !ná | gán-ì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa <br> 'Dakoraa has read a book' | read.V | FOC | book-SG |


| a. | Dàkúráá | dì | ná | tàám-à |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakoraa | eat.V | FOC | shea fruit-PL |  |

A notable difference between the two types of aspectual inflection is that while perfective verb forms can be zero-marked, as in (6a), imperfective verb forms always require overt suffixation. This is a first clue that there may be a difference in the kind of inflection the two aspectual forms recruit. Closer inspection supports conclusion that the so-called perfective form is in fact a kind of default verbal form.

For instance, in the following examples (7a-7e), the verb di 'eat' which is zero-marked is recruited for perfective context (7a), imperative context (7b), citation context (7c), past context (7d) and future context (7e). That is, in all these contexts, the verb form is the same regardless of whatever syntactic situation it expresses. This goes to confirm the hypothesis of a default verb form.


For the examples in (8a-8e), the verb root sór- 'read' which occurs with the harmonic high front vowel [ I ] is used to denote perfective context ( 8 a ), imperative context ( 8 b ), citation context (8c), past context (8d) and future context (8e). In line with Anttila \& Bodomo (2009), this final high vowel can be predicted from considerations of minimality after C-final roots. It remains unchanged in all the contexts of (8); it performs no particular syntactic function and does not have any particular meaning. This final high vowel can therefore be analyzed as epenthetic, not suffixal.

| a. | Dàkúráá <br> Dakoraa |  |  | gán-Ì |  | PERFECTIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | read. | FOC | book |  |  |
|  | 'Dakoraa has read a book' |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. | sórí | à | gán-ì |  |  | IMPERATIVE |
|  | read.v |  | book-SG |  |  |  |
|  | = 'Read the book!' |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\neq$ 'have read the book! |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. | à sórí |  |  |  |  | CITATION |
|  | INF eat.V |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $=$ 'to read' |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\neq$ 'to have read' |  |  |  |  |  |
| d. | Dàkúráá | dà | sórí | !ná | gán-ì | PAST |
|  | Dakoraa |  | read.v | FOC | book-SG |  |
|  | = 'Dakoraa read a book' |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \#'Dakoraa has read a book' |  |  |  |  |  |
| e. | Dàkúráá | nà | sórí | !ná | gán-ì | FUTURE |
|  | Dakoraa |  | read.v | FOC | book-SG |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { 'Dakoraa will read a book' } \\ & \neq ' \text { Dakoraa will have read a book' } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In all cases (7-8) shown above, none of the verbs di 'eat' or sórí 'read.v' show any evidence of being perfective given that none of the sentences has a perfective reading other than (7a) and (8a). Moreover, the verbs do not show inherent perfective meaning when they occur with the past and future particles. As seen in (7d-e \& 8d-e), the verbs express simple past and simple future respectively. The tense particles only determine the temporal force of the action. If the verbs were dedicated perfective forms, then with the combination of the past and the future particles, we would expect interpretations like 'Dakoraa has eaten shea fruits' for a case like (7d) and 'Dakoraa will have eaten shea fruits' for (7e). But that is not case as there is no perfective meaning; it basically takes the meaning of whatever position in which it occurs, simply suggesting a default verb form.

### 3.1.1.2 The imperfective as semantically specified verb form

The imperfective form is made up of the verbal root and a (C)V suffix. The suffix always harmonises for both tongue root and rounding/backness harmony. It is a semantically specified form in contrast to the so-called perfective. Unlike the so-called perfective, the imperfective suffix always changes its shape based on the verbal root to which it is attached. Crucially, it always has a dedicated progressive meaning regardless of the context, as shown in (9a and 10a) for progressive aspectual meaning and ( 9 b and 10 b ) for imperative but with progressive meaning.

| a. | Dàkúráá | dì-ré | !ná | tàám-à |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ IMPERFECTIVE

Context: speaker instructs addressee to continue performing an action
b. dì-ré
à tàám-à
IMPERATIVE
eat-IPFV DET shea fruit-PL
'Continue/Keep eating the shea fruits!'

| a.Dàkúráá sór-! ná gán-Ì | IMPERFECTIVE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dakoraa | read-IPFV | FOC | book-SG |  |
|  | 'Dakoraa is reading a book' |  |  |  |

Context: speaker instructs addressee to continue performing an action

| b. | sór-̀̀ | à | gán-ì | IMPERATIVE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | read-IPFV | DET | book-SG |  |
|  | 'Continue/Keep reading the book!' |  |  |  |

In (7d) and (8d) above, we see that when the past particle occurs with the default form, it only determines the temporal force of the action. In contrast, a combination of the imperfective and the past tense particle expresses both a temporal and an aspectual (progressive) meaning as shown in (11a) and (12a). This works the same way for the sentences with the future tense and the imperfective suffix as in (11b). In effect the imperfective has a meaningful content and when it occurs with past or future particle, it indicates an ongoing action.
a. Dàkúráá dà dì-ré !ná tàám-à PAST

Dakoraa PST eat-IPFV FOC shea fruit-PL
'Dakoraa was eating shea fruits'
b. Dàkúráá nà dí-ré !ná tàám-à FUTURE

Dakoraa FUT eat-IPFV FOC shea fruit-PL
'Dakoraa will be eating shea fruits'

| a. | Dàkúráá | dà | sór-!' | ná | gán-ì | PAST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dakoraa | PST | read-IPFV | FOC | book-SG |  |
|  | 'Dakoraa was reading a book' |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. | Dàkưráá | nà | sór-!' | ná | gán-ì | FUTURE |
|  | Dakoraa | FOC | read-IPFV | FOC | book-SG |  |

Further evidence supporting our claim of a default vs. an imperfective form can be seen in a phonologically motivated pattern, final vowel deletion or apocope. That is, it possible to delete the final high vowel in the so-called perfective form and yet the semantics of the word remains unchanged. Consider the following examples in (13-14) for the default forms sórí ~ sór 'read' and zèlì ~ zèl 'lift'.

| a. | Dàkúráá | sórí | !ná | gán-ì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | read.v | FOC | book-SG |
|  | 'Dakoraa has read a book' |  |  |  |

b. Dàkứráá sór !ná gán-ì

Dakoraa read.v FOC book- SG
'Dakoraa has read a book'
a. Dàkúráá zèlí !na à bí-é

Dakoraa lift.v FOC DET child-SG
'Dakoraa has lifted the child'
b. Dàkúráá zěl ná à bì-é

Dakoraa lift.V FOC DET child-SG
'Dakoraa has lifted the child'

The verbs with the final high vowel in (13a) and (14a) function exactly same way as those without the vowel (13b and 14b). In effect, deleting the final high vowel has no semantic consequence on the verb and the sentence as a whole. This simply suggests that the vowel has no particular meaning apart from satisfying a phonotactic constraint, namely epenthesis. Now in the examples that follow, deletion is not allowed in the imperfective form since the suffix vowel is always non-high. Deleting the vowel of the imperfective suffix will effectively lead to change in the semantics of the verb. This is shown in the examples (15-16).
a. Dàkúráá sór-!'́ ná gán-ì

Dakoraa read-IPFV FOC book-SG
'Dakoraa is reading a book'
b. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dàkúráá sór !ná gán-ì } \\ & \text { Dakoraa read.v FOC book-SG } \\ & \text { ='Dakoraa has read a book' } \\ & \text { \#'Dakoraa is reading a book' }\end{aligned}$
(16)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a. } \begin{array}{llll}\text { Dàkúráá } & \text { zèl-é } & \text { !ná } & \text { à } \\ \text { Dakoraa } & \text { lift-IPFV } & \text { FOC } & \text { DET }\end{array} & \text { child-SG }\end{array}$

| b. | Dàkúráá | zěl | ná | à | bí-é |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dakoraa | lift | FOC | DET | child-SG |
|  | = 'Dakoraa has lifted the child' |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\neq$ Dakoraa is lifting the child ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |

In (15a) and (16a), the presence of the imperfective suffix makes the action denoted by the verb progressive. In (15b) and (16b), the meaning of the verb effectively changes. It does not maintain the progressive reading. Rather it conveys the meaning of the default verb form. This therefore adds further support to the claim that the final high vowel in the default form has no meaning and is not a suffix while the imperfective is an actual suffix.

In terms of the phonology, the default form only agrees in tongue root harmony, but the vowel remains unchanged as a high unrounded front vowel irrespective of the form of the root vowel. In contrast, the vowel of the imperfective changes according to the vowel of the root though its properties are exactly as predictable as those of the default.

Morphosyntactically, the perfective is default as it occurs in different syntactic environments. Semantically, it is default because it denotes a wide range of meanings whereas the imperfective has a dedicated meaning - always expresses progressive in all contexts.

The observations with the high vowel in the default verbs seems to be similar to other Mabia languages like Buli. In Buli (personal conversation with Darius Adjong), the high vowel in socalled perfective forms does not have any meaning and can easily be omitted from the verb without any effect on the overall meaning of the verb, thereby suggesting an epenthetic vowel. Though, there is no known report in other Dagaare varieties, it is possible that the behaviour of verbs in the central dialect might be similar to the other varieties.

### 3.1.2 How the problem presents itself with nouns

In nouns, inflectional morphology is based on number marking which is partitioned into singular and plural forms. The problem for nouns presents itself in the following fashion. The selection of number suffix is based on the noun class (Bodomo, 1997; Bodomo \& Marfo, 2006), and may be determined by phonological, morphosyntactic and semantic factors. The noun classes involve count, mass and event nouns. Full data set and detailed discussion of the Dagaare noun class system is presented in section 3.2.2.3.

The sentences given in (17) and (18) involve count nouns. Examples are given in (17) for noun class three and in (18) for noun class eight. These examples are phonologically determined in the sense that, there is regular number marking for both singular and plural nouns. That is, both singular and plural are marked with a vowel. For the examples in (17), singular is marked with the high front vowel $[-\mathrm{i} /-\mathrm{I}]$ while the plural vowel is always based on the quality (e.g., backness feature) of the root vowel. For the nouns in (18), singular is marked with the long low vowel [-aa] while plural is marked with the high front vowel $[-\mathrm{i} /-\mathrm{I}]$. All number suffixes always agree in tongue root feature with the roots to which they are attached.

| a. | ǹ | dǎ | ná | duç! $1-$ İİ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1SG | buy.v | FOC | egg-SG |
|  | 'I have bought an egg' |  |  |  |
| b. | ǹ | dǎ | ná | đろદ́l-غ̀ |
|  | 1SG | buy.v | FOC | egg-PL |
|  | 'I have bought eggs' |  |  |  |
| a. | à | lớr-áá | kpì-é-y |  |
|  | DET | tiger-SG | die.V-INTR-FOC |  |
|  | 'The | ger has di |  |  |


| b. à lúór-íl | kpì-é- $\mathfrak{y}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DET $\quad$ tiger-PL | die.V-INTR-FOC |
|  | 'The tigers have died' |  |

In the next examples (19-20), number marking is not regular as compared to the preceding examples. I propose that number in these nouns is phonologically and semantically conditioned. That is, the number suffix here involves an underlying form which gets modified by various phonological rules. This is discussed subsequently section 3.2.2.3.2. In some cases, singular is marked with a vowel and the plural is marked with a CV, particularly -ri/-rı. In other cases, singular is marked with -ri/-rI while plural is marked with a vowel. This phenomenon is termed inverse number marking (Grimm, 2010, 2012, 2018, 2021), a case in which the same morpheme marks
singular in some nouns and then marks plural in other nouns. The default CV suffix is -ri/-rI but it is phonologically realised as $-n i /-n I$ when it follows a nasal consonant to mark plural. This is shown below. The examples in (19) belong to noun class two and those in (20) belong to class six.
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & \text { à } & \text { bì-ríl } & \text { lè-é- } y\end{array}\right]$ fall.V-INTR-FOC

These nominal suffixes involving inverse marking have been analyzed as a reflection of lexical semantics. According to Grimm (2012), nouns involving this number inverses are semantically conditioned based on individuation - a semantic notion in which the structure of nouns reflects countability based on individuality. Those that occur in single units are likely to have the CV, -ri for plural while nouns that are treated as groups take $-r i$ as singular.

Finally, there is a set of nouns which are semantically conditioned. For instance, there are cases involving nouns whose singular is generally marked with a vowel and the plural is marked with a dedicated morpheme, either -ba/bo for human nouns or -mine for kinship nouns. These nouns belong to class one. Below are examples. In (21a) the singular is marked with the vowel $-\supset$ and the plural with the human suffix -bo. In (22a) singular is marked with the high vowel -I and the plural with the morpheme -miné.

| a. | à | póg-ó | dì | ná | sáú |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DET | woman-SG | eat.V | FOC | sao |
|  | 'The woman has eaten sao' |  |  |  |  |
| b | à | póg-bó | dì | ná | sáú |
|  | DET | woman-PL | eat.v | FOC | sao |
|  | 'The women have eaten sao/TZ' |  |  |  |  |
| a. | Ǹ | bíćr-ì | wǎ | ná | $4 \hat{\varepsilon}$ |
|  | 1SG | brother-SG | come.V | FOC | here |
|  | 'My brother has come here' |  |  |  |  |


| b. | Ǹ | bíc̀r-mìné | wǎ | ná | y $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 SG | brother-PL | come.V | FOC | here |  |
|  | 'My brothers have come here' |  |  |  |  |

There are some nouns which are derived from the suffix $[-u / v,-b u /-b v]$. These are the nouns termed event/action nouns. They are perceived as singular though the suffix is not really a number marker. Examples are provided in (23). In addition, there are nouns which are interpreted as plural and marked with the suffix $[-u \eta /-\tau \eta]$. They are mass non-count nouns and are exemplified below in (24).

| kúó-bù táá | !ná | tònó |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Farm-NMLZ | have. V | FOC | benefit |
| 'Farming is profitable/beneficial' |  |  |  |


| à | búúl-úy | bá | tứlì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DET | porridge-NUM | NEG | hot |

'The porridge is not hot'
Finally, there are others in which the singular is null, but the plural is marked with a dedicated suffix -nez denoting 'kinds/types of'. These are mass count-nouns. They are mass count because it is possible to count them as groups/collections of a particular noun. Here are examples.

| a. à | kũ̃ $\check{~}$ | bá | túlì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DET | water.SG | NEG | hot |
|  | 'The water is not hot' |  |  |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { b. à } & \text { kùj̀-nćq́ } & \text { bá } & \text { túlì } \\ & \text { DET } & \text { water-PL } & \text { NEG } \\ & \text { hot }\end{array}$
'The water (types) is not hot'
In summary, with the nouns, it is a case of inverse number, dedicated singular and dedicated plural marking. That is, the problem involves morpho-phonology, morphosyntax and morphosemantics. The nouns therefore seem to present a much more complex case compared to the pattern observed in verbs. These observations, which are not clearly distinguished in previous studies, will be the integral issues this chapter aims to address.

### 3.1.3 Where inflection occurs

In Dagaare inflection is found on verbal and nominal expressions. As seen already, with verbs, it involves aspect marking leading to default and imperfective/progressive aspect. With nouns, inflection occurs via number marking. In both verbal and nominal environments, inflection is suffixal.

For the default verb forms, there may be a zero-marked form or a form with a final high vowel $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ and for the imperfective forms, the suffix is always morphologically present. In the examples that follow, I show verbs in their default form with zero marking (26a) and their corresponding overtly marked imperfective forms. In (26b), the default form has the final high vowel [ $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}$ ] and the corresponding overtly marked imperfectives. The difference between (26a) and (26b) is phonological: the zero marking is possible because the verb root ends in a vowel in (a) and then we get $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ in (b) because the verbs end in a consonant (e.g., CVC).
a. Unmarked default

| dì | 'eat.V' | dì-ré | 'eat-IPFV' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lè | 'fall.v' | lè-ré | 'fall-IPFV' |
| tú | 'dig.V' | túú-rò | 'dig-IPFV' |
| dó | 'climb.v' | dúó-rò | 'climb-IPFV' |
| vá | 'hit.v' | váá-rà | 'hit-IPFV' |


| b. Marked default form | Imperfective |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kpérí 'slice.v' | kpér-è | 'slice-IPFV' |
| wùlì 'show.v' | wùl-ó | 'show-IPFV' |
| háárì 'shoo.v' | háár-!á | 'shoo-IPFV' |
| zànnì 'learn.v' | zàn-ná | 'learn-IPFV' |

With nouns, inflection may be morphologically null or present with the singular forms. Plural forms are always morphologically marked. This is shown in (27).
a. Unmarked singular

| zû 'head.SG' | zú-rì 'head-PL' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nû | 'hand.SG' | núú-rì 'hand-PL' |

b. bí-é 'child-SG’
bíi-rí 'child-PL'
dúy-ó animal-SG'
dún-ní 'animal-PL'

The shape of the nominal suffix is in various forms and will be described in detail in section 3.2.2. As shown in the examples, inflection in Dagaare involves vowel harmony in which the suffix agrees in a particular harmonic feature of the root. For instance, both aspectual and number suffix always agrees in tongue root feature of the root to which it is attached while the imperfective and some nominal suffixes agree in rounding feature of the preceding root.

### 3.1.4 Inflection and tone

### 3.1.4.1 Tone spreading

While the tone of the default verbs is lexical (i.e., it is the same as that of the verb root's), the tone of the imperfective and number suffixes is derived. The default forms involve a final vowel which can be totally optional. The root tone remains the same on the surface. That is, the suffix is assumed to be toneless and then the last or rightmost tone of the root (in case of HL roots) simply spreads to the suffix if it is not a zero suffix. This is shown below.

Tone spread in default verbs
a. L-roots

| Verb root | Default | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tùò- | tùò | 'carry.V' |
| wùl- | wùlì | 'show.v' |
| s̀̀r- | sòrì | 'beg.v' |

b. H-roots

| Verb root | Default | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kpér- | kpérí | 'slice.V' |
| sór- | sórí | 'count/read.V' |
| síg- | sígí | 'descend.v' |

c. HL-roots

| Verb root | Default | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dí̀̀- | díè | 'receive.V' |
| kôr- | kórì | 'cough.v' |
| fáà- | fáà | 'seize.v' |

In nouns, surface high tones have roots that are toneless and suffix that is underlyingly H . What then results in the surface $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ is the leftward spreading of the suffix H tone onto the root. This is similar to what is observed in Moore (Kenstowicz et al. 1988).
(29) Tone spread in nouns

| Nominal root | Singular | Plural | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bi- | bí-é | bíí-rí | 'child' |
| kog- | kóg-ó | kóg-rí | 'chair' |
| tay- | táy-á | tán-ní | 'mountain' |

### 3.1.4.2 Tone polarity

With imperfectives, the tone on the suffix is always opposite in height to the tone of the preceding root. H roots have L suffix; L roots take $H$ suffix and HL roots have! H suffix tone. With nouns, $H$ roots take on $L$ number suffix; $L$ roots have $H$ suffix tone. This pattern of opposite tones between
roots and suffixes is what is termed as tonal polarity. The following examples illustrate this pattern in verbs (30a-c) and with nouns in (31a-b).
(30) Tone polarity in imperfective verbs
a. L-root; imperfective L-H

| Verb root | Imperfective | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dì- | dì-ré | 'eat-IPFV' |
| wùl- | wùl-ó | 'show-IPFV' |
| s̀̀r- | sòr-ó | 'beg-IPFV' |

b. H-root; imperfective H-L

Verb root Imperfective Gloss
kpér- kpér-è 'slice-IPFV'
sór- sór-̀̀ 'count/read-IPFV’
síg- síg-rè 'descend-IPFV'
c. HL root; imperfective $\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}$

| Verb root | Imperfective | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dî- | dí-!ŕ | 'take-IPFV' |
| díc̀- | díé-!ré | 'receive-IPFV' |
| vój̀- | vúć-!ró | 'uproot-IPFV' |
| kôr- | kór-!'́ | 'cough-IPFV' |
| fáà- | fáá-!rá | 'seize-IPFV' |

(31) Tone polarity in nouns: singular/plural
a. L-root; L-H - singular vs plural

| Nominal root | Singular | Plural | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pì- | pì-é | pìi-rí | 'rock' |
| jù- | nù-ó | nùù-rí | 'navel' |
| tòò- | tòò-rí | tò-bó | 'ear' |
| bùg- | bùg-ó | bùg-rí | 'silo' |
| gòn- | gòn-ó | gòn-ní | 'silk cotton' |

b. H-root; H-L - singular vs plural

| Nominal root | Singular | Plural | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jí- | jì-rí | jì-é | 'house' |
| dóg- | dớg-ì | dớg-rì | 'pot' |
| nદ́- | níć-rì | né-غ̀ | 'grinder' |
| jó- | júó-rì | jó-è | 'name' |
| kóg- | kóg-ò | kóg-rì | 'mahogany' |

Tone is presented here in descriptive terms to show how inflectional suffixes behave tonally. Further description and analysis of tone is presented in chapter 5.

### 3.2 Morphology

Morphologically, a verb in Dagaare is identified based on the kind of aspect (default and imperfective) which is signaled by aspectual suffix on the verb root. The verb is made up of the verb root and the aspectual suffix. In terms of nominal morphology, a simple noun is made up of the nominal root and a number suffix. In the next sections I discuss the allomorphs that mark aspect and those that mark number on nouns. sór(í)

### 3.2.1 Verbal morphology

The structure of a minimal verb is schematised below with corresponding examples.
[[ROOT] - [(IPFV)]suffix $]_{\text {vERb }}$
Default form
$\left[[d i]_{\text {root }}-[-\varnothing]_{\text {suffix }}\right]_{\text {default form }}$

Imperfective form
$[[d i ̀]$ root $-[-r e ́]$ suffix $]$ imperfective form
Following the Bare Phrase Structure hypothesis (Chomsky, 1995), structurally a Dagaare verb is as follows with corresponding examples for default and imperfective forms of the verbs 'eat' and 'read/count'.


> Default form
a.

(37)

b.


As seen in the default forms in (36), there is a root (a) involving zero suffix and (b) involving a high vowel suffix. What determines this is simply the form of the root. If the root is CV or CVV , it does not overly inflect for aspect. If the root is consonant final, there is an epenthetic final high vowel though that can be elided in fast speech. For the imperfective, as in (37), there must always be a suffix.

### 3.2.1.1 Verbal allomorphs

While categories like tense, polarity and modality are syntactically coded with pre-verbal particles as mentioned in chapter 1, aspect is in Dagaare is expressed morphologically. Inflectional suffixes are used to characterise default vs. imperfective form of verbs. There are twelve (12) allomorphs
for verbs, consisting of three (3) allomorphs for the default form and nine (9) allomorphs for imperfective aspect.

Table 3.1: Inflectional allomorphs in verbs
Form Suffixal allomorph

| Default form | -V | \{-i/-I $\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -CV | \{-ni/-nı\} |
|  | - $\varnothing$ | $\{-\varnothing\}$ |
| Imperfective | -V | $\{-e /-\varepsilon,-0 /-2,-\partial /-a\}$ |
|  | -CV | \{-re/-re, -ro/-ro,-rə/-ra\}, \{-ne/-nદ, -no/-n๑, -nə/-na \} |

The -V and -CV suffixes for the imperfective as in the preceding table constitute three main separate allomorphs: /- $\mathrm{V}_{[- \text {high }]} / \mathrm{rV}_{[- \text {high }]}$ and $\mathrm{nV}_{[- \text {high }]}$ with each consisting of three suffixal forms. Then whatever shape the suffix takes on the surface is determined by the phonology. In other words, the selection of each allomorph depends on the vowel quality of the verbal root. The following section presents the verbal root types and the form of allomorphs they select.

### 3.2.1.2 Selection of verbal allomorphs

The selection of the final vowel in the default form is predictable. For instance, the selection of $\mathrm{ni} /-\mathrm{nI}$ is the result of a nasal-final root conditioned by the phonology while the selection of the null $-\emptyset$ is determined by a V-final root (e.g. CV, CVV). Finally, the selection of the high vowel [ $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ is determined by C-final roots. For the imperfectives however, the choice of the suffix is based on the phonological shape of the of the verbal root. There are five main root types: CV, CVV, CVC, CVVC and CVCC. Each of the roots: CVC, CVVC and CVCC are further split into specific roots ending in the sonorants $[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{n}]$ and the velar obstruent [g]. Thus, CVC involves CVR, CVL, CVN and CVG; CVVC involves CVVR, CVVL and CVVN while CVCC consists of CVGR and CVGL. In terms of selecting an aspectual allomorph for the imperfective form, all vowel final and g -final roots always take the CV suffix, [-rV]; all roots ending in the liquids [r, l] always select a vowel as the suffix. Finally, nasal-final roots may select a vowel or an -nV suffix. The shape of the suffix vowel is always determined by the shape of the root vowel. The table below shows the shape of
verbal roots and the allomorphs they select to inflect for aspect. These are morphological sets whose shape are determined by the phonology.

Table 3.2: Verb root and allomorph selection

| Default form |  |  |  | Imperfective form |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Form | Root | suffix | Example | Gloss | Suffix | Example | Gloss |
| CV | CV | - $\varnothing$ | dì <br> tú | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'eat.v' } \\ & \text { 'dig.v' } \end{aligned}$ | -rV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dì-ré } \\ & \text { túú-rò } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'eat-IPFV' } \\ & \text { 'dig-IPFV' } \end{aligned}$ |
| CVV | CVV | - $\varnothing$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tùò } \\ & \text { dáà } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'carry.v' } \\ & \text { 'push.v' } \end{aligned}$ | -rV | tùò-ró <br> dáá-!rá | 'carry-IPFV' 'push-IPFV' |
| CVC | CVN | - $\varnothing$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sòn } \\ & \text { mòn } \end{aligned}$ | 'help.v' 'stir.v' | -(N)V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sùn-nó } \\ & \text { mòn-ó } \end{aligned}$ | 'help-IPFV' <br> 'stir-IPFV' |
|  | CVN | -ni/-nI | kpìnnì <br> zànnì | 'quench.v' <br> 'learn.v' | -nV | kpìn-né <br> zàn-ná | 'quench-IPFV' <br> 'learn-IPFV' |
|  | CVG | -i/-I | sígí dưgí | 'descend.v’ <br> 'cook/boil.v’ | -rV | síg-rè dứg-rò | 'descend-IPFV' <br> 'cook/boil- <br> IPFV' |
|  | CVR | -i/-I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kpérí } \\ & \text { sórí } \end{aligned}$ | ‘slice. V ’ 'read.v' | -V | kpé-rè sór-̀̀ | 'slice-IPFV' 'read-IPFV' |
|  | CVL | -i/-I | wùlì <br> zèlì | 'show.v' <br> 'lift.v' | -V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wùl-ó } \\ & \text { zèl-白 } \end{aligned}$ | 'show-IPFV' <br> 'lift-IPFV' |
| CVVC | CVVR | -i/-I | tùùrì <br> sùv̀rì | 'pick.v' 'ask.v’ | -V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tùùr-ó } \\ & \text { sùv̀r-ó } \end{aligned}$ | 'pick-IPFV' <br> 'ask-IPFV' |
|  | CVVL | -i/-I | jíélì túólì | 'sing.v’ <br> 'send.v' | -V | jíél-!é <br> tớll-!' | 'sing-IPFV' <br> 'send-IPFV' |
|  | CVVN | -i/-I | gùùnì vùònì | 'stalk.v' <br> 'vibrate.v' | -V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gùùn-ó } \\ & \text { vò̀òn-ó } \end{aligned}$ | 'stalk-IPFV' <br> 'vibrate-IPFV' |
| CVCC | CVGR | -i/-I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bìgrì } \\ & \text { dógrì } \end{aligned}$ | 'describe. $v$ ' 'punish.v' | -V | bìgr-é <br> dógr-!' | 'describe-IPFV' 'punish-IPFV' |
|  | CVGL | -i/-I | zìglì <br> sóglì | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ‘seat.v' } \\ & \text { 'hide.v' } \end{aligned}$ | -V | zìgl- $\varepsilon$ <br> sógl-!' | 'seat-IPFV' <br> 'hide-IPFV' |
|  | CVNL | -i/-I | tànlì <br> pànlì | 'hurry.v' <br> 'weave.v' | -V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tànl-á } \\ & \text { pànl-á } \end{aligned}$ | 'hurry-IPFV' 'weave-IPFV' |

The default form basically consists of a root and a final high vowel as a whole and that combination does not form additional base for suffixation. When it is expressed, no attention is paid to any internal temporal structure of the event/action being referred to.

Observe that there are $\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{V}) \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{V}) \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{CVNL}, \mathrm{CVGR}$ and CVGL verbal roots as in sór-í 'read/count, wùl-ì ‘teach/show’, pànl-ì ‘weave’, dógr-ì 'punish’ and sógl-ì ‘hide’ respectively. At
first sight, it is easy to assume that the final R or L in such words constitutes part the suffix and so we will expect forms like só-rí, wù-lì, pàn-lì, dóg-rì and sóg-lì. However, I argue that these forms involve roots and a final vowel that is epenthetic. Evidence for my claim is based on agentive nominalisation via reduplication. Consider the following examples. If the verb is reduplicated, the final vowel is dropped.

| Verb | Gloss | Root-RED-suffix | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sórí | 'read/count | sór-sýr-ò | 'one who reads/counts' |
| wùlì | 'teach/show' | wùl-wúl-ó | 'one who teaches/shows' |
| pànlì | 'weave' | pànl-pánl-á | 'one who weaves' |
| dógrì | 'punish' | dôgr-dógr-!' | 'one who punishes' |
| sóglì | 'hide' | sôgl-sógl-!' | 'one who hides' |

If we assume CV as the root for the CVR and CVL forms and consider CVG for the CVGR and CVGL forms, then we will predict incorrect forms such as *[só-só-rò], *[wù-wúl-ó], *[pàn-pán-lá] *[dôg-dóg-!ró] and *[sôg-sóg-!ló]. Additional evidence supporting my choice of root representation comes from final vowel deletion. In fast speech it is possible to delete the final vowel in all these cases, leading to sór, wùl, pànl, dôgr and sôgl. I should also mention that in some dialects, especially the one spoken in and around Jirapa, the word for 'weave' involves geminates as in pàllì. So, one would expect a reduplicated form like pàll-páll-á for 'one who weaves'.

With the imperfective, the suffix is always morphologically present unlike that of the default verb form. The suffix is made up of either just vowel or a consonant and a vowel. Some Mabia languages like Konni (Cahill 1999); Suyire (Carlson 1994) and Buli (Kroger 1992) are reported to have two types of the suffixes marking imperfective. For Dagaare however, there are three allomorphs for the imperfective suffix with each having three variants. The suffix consonant is always a sonorant - either the liquid /r/ or the nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ which is completely determined by the verb root. The one major distinction between the default and imperfective suffix is that the default, if present is always the high front vowel [ $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}$ ] irrespective of the form/shape of the vowels in the preceding root while the imperfective suffix is completely phonologically determined. For instance, the imperfective is consistently [-high] which is equally as predictable as the high front
vowel property of the default form and it harmonises with the root vowel in not only in [ $\pm$ ATR] but also [ $\pm$ round] and [ $\pm$ low] feature. Next is a discussion of the nominal morphology of Dagaare.

### 3.2.2 Nominal Morphology

A minimal noun in Dagaare contains a noun root and number suffix - singular or plural. The suffix may be overt or null if singular. A simple noun is schematised below with a corresponding example
[[ROOT] - [(SG/PL)]suffix]noun

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[[p o ́ g]_{\text {root }}-[-\supset]_{\text {suffix }}\right]_{\text {noun }} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Structurally a Dagaare noun is as follows with corresponding examples for singular and plural forms of the noun 'woman'.

Structure of Dagaare noun

(42) Examples
a. Singular

b. Plural


### 3.2.2.1 Nominal allomorphs

Dagaare involves a system of number suffixes which categorise nouns into ten classes (Ali et al., 2021; Bodomo, 1997; Bodomo \& Marfo, 2006). The suffix allomorphs correspond to the class to which a given noun belongs. A learner may therefore be able to predict singular and plural suffixes for a particular noun by virtue of their knowledge of the noun class. Nominal inflection in Dagaare is coded by various morphemes. The form the allomorphs take to mark singular, and plural are given below and the exhaustive list corresponding to each form provided in table 3.4.

Table 3.3: Morphological expressions of noun classes

| N-class | Form:SG | N -class | Form:PL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1анuman. <br> C1bkin | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-\mathrm{V} \\ & -\emptyset /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{b} V_{\text {Low }} \end{aligned}$ | Clahuman C1bkin | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text {-bV MID/Low } \\ & \text {-mìné } \end{aligned}$ |
| C2. | -V | C2. | -ri/-rı, -ni/-nı |
| C3. | -V | C3. | -Vmid/Low |
| C4. | -bV | C4. | -rV |
| C5. | -Ø | C5. | -rV |
| C6. | -rV | C6. | Vmid/Low, -bVmid |
| C7. | - $\varnothing / \mathrm{V}_{\text {HI/MID }}$ | C7. | -neq |
| C8. | -V | C8. | -V |
| C9. | -V/-bV/-nV | C9. | N/A |
| C10. | N/A | C10. | -Vn |

This list of allomorphs is an illustration of each noun class and the form of morpheme they take to mark singular and plural. In the next table, the allomorphs are further listed explicitly demonstrating the segments that constitute each allomorph.
Table 3.4: List of N-classes in terms of allomorphy

| N-class | Allomorph: SG | N-class | Allomorph: PL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clahuman | -I, -e/-¢, -Ј |  | -be/-bo/-ba |
| C1bkin. | - $\emptyset,-\mathrm{I},-\varepsilon,-$-00, -(b)a | C1b. | - mìnéc1.KIN |
| C2. | -i/-r, -e/-e, -o/-o, -a | C2. | -ri/-rı, -ni/-nı |
| C3. | -i/-I, -ii/-II | C3. | -e/-\&, -o/-o, -a |
| C4. | -bu/-bv | C4. | -ri/-rı |
| C5. | - $\varnothing$ | C5. | -ri/-ri |
| C6. | -ri/-ri | C6. | -e/-e, -o/-o, -a, -bo/-bo |
| C7. | $-\emptyset,-\mathrm{I},-\varepsilon$ | C7. | -né |
| C8. | -aa | C8. | -I/-II |
| C9. | -u/-v/bu/-bo/-nuu/nou | C9. | N/A |
| C10. | N/A | C10. | -uy/-on |

This list gives a clear view of the form of the consonants and vowels that occur as number suffixes in Dagaare. Unlike in the verbs which have a null or (C)V, in nouns, singular suffix is minimally null and maximally CV while the plural is minimally -V . These allomorphs will guide our
discussion of the noun class system of Dagaare. In the next section, I present the various nominal roots and the form of number suffixes they select.

### 3.2.2.2 Selection of nominal allomorphs

Similar to verbs, there are five main root types in Dagaare nouns: CV, CVV, CVC, CVVC and CVCC. Inside the CVC roots, there are CVR, CVL, CVN and CVG and within the CVVC roots, there exist CVVR, CVVL and CVVN but never a CVVG while the only case of CVCC involves a CVGL root. Selection of number allomorph depends on the shape of the nominal root. For CV roots, there are four patterns: one involves roots that have zero $(-\varnothing)$ number suffix for the singular form and -ri/-ri for plural form (= class 5). Another pattern involves CV roots which select -V suffix for the singular and -ri/-ri for the plural (= class 2 ). The third pattern involves CV roots which select -ri/-ri to mark singular and select -V to mark plural (= class 6 ). This pattern is what is referred to as inverse number- a system in which a number morpheme which encodes singular interpretation for some nouns while for other nouns it encodes plural interpretation (Grimm 2012, 2020). Finally, there is a small set of nouns in which CV roots select -bu/bu to mark singular and -ri/-rI for plural (= class 4). With CVV roots, there are roots that have a null suffix for the singular and for the plural they select -ri/-ri or -neє depending on the class of the noun. This involves class 5 or class 7. There is another pattern involving a CVV root that always has the mid long vowel [oo/so]. This root selects -ri/-ri to mark singular and -bo/-bo for plural - a subset of class 6 .

As stated above, both CVC and CVVC roots involve roots ending in the consonants $[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{n}]$. All roots ending with $[1, \mathrm{r}]-\mathrm{CVR}, \mathrm{CVL}, \mathrm{CVVR}, \mathrm{CVVL}-$ select -V to mark singular and plural. Similarly, the root CVGL always selects -V suffix for the singular and plural. The -V here can either be a high front vowel or a non-high vowel agreeing in back, rounding and low feature. As for CVG roots, there are two main patterns: (i) one involves roots that select a vowel to mark singular and -ri/-rI for the plural (ii) another pattern involves CVG roots that select -ri/-rI to encode singular and a vowel for plural. This is another case of inverse number marking. For CVN roots, they select a -V to mark singular and may select a (N)V for the plural. There are also some two CVN roots which select -V to mark singular and -nec for plural particularly for granular mass nouns. Moreover, CVN roots that end with the bilabial nasal may select $-b u /-b v$ for the singular and -V for the plural. With CVVN roots, both singular and plural are signaled with a -V. In the table below, I show the shape of nominal roots and the kinds of number suffixes they select. It
represents the more general and productive and regular non-human nouns in the language. Human, kinship, event, mass and abstract nominals are presented in a separate table due to their special semantic nature.

Table 3.5: Nominal roots and allomorph selection
Singular Plural

| Form | Root | suffix | Example | Gloss | Suffix | example | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CV | CV | -ø | zû | 'head.SG' | -ri/-rI | zú-rì | 'head-PL' |
|  |  | -V | bí-é | 'child-SG' | -ri | bií-rí | 'child-PL' |
|  |  | -ri/-rı | bí-rì | 'seed-SG' | -V | bí-è | 'seed-PL' |
| CVV | CVV | -ø | túú | 'forest.SG' | -ri/-rI | túú-rí | 'forest-PL' |
|  |  | - $\varnothing$ | dã́ã̀ | 'alcohol.SG' | -n¢ | dáá-!nćé | 'alcohol(types)-PL' |
|  |  | -ri/-ri | kóś-rí | 'bone-SG' | -bo | kó-bó | 'bone-PL' |
| CVC | CVR | -V | jír-áá | 'ant-SG' | -V | nír-Í | 'ant-PL' |
|  | CVL | -V | pòl-í | 'path-SG' | -V | pòl-ó | 'path-PL' |
|  | CVN | -V | pèn-Í | 'abdomen-SG' | -V | p p̀m- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'abdomen-PL' |
|  |  | -V | lón-ó | 'frog-SG' | -ni/-nI | lón-ní | 'frog-PL' |
|  |  | -V | tén-Í | 'soil-SG' | -nce | tén-!néć | 'soil (types)-PL' |
|  |  | -bu | zúm-bú | 'fish-SG' | -V | zúm-à | 'fish-PL' |
|  | CVG | -V | p $\varepsilon$ g-í | 'shell-SG' | -ri/-ri | p ¢́g-rí | 'shell-PL' |
|  |  | -ri/-rI | lúg-rí | 'pillar-SG' | -V | lúg-ó | 'pillar-PL' |
| CVVC | CVVR | -V | fờòr-áá | 'lung-SG' | -V | fùòr-İÍ | 'lung-PL' |
|  | CVVL | -V | duiíl-í | 'tendon-SG' | -V | djìl-é | 'tendon-PL' |
|  | CVVN | -V | ŋmàáy-à | 'monkey-SG' | -V | ŋmàán-ì | 'monkey-PL' |
| CVGL | CVGL | -V | kpágl-í | 'bedbug-SG' | -V | kpágl-á | 'bedbug-PL' |

Human and kinship nouns, which constitute distinct semantic class, have similar root shapes as the other nouns but they have dedicated plural suffixes. Human nouns have the following roots: CVV, CVN, CVG, CVVR and CVVN. With the exception of the CVV root which has a zero-singular suffix, all other roots of the human nouns select a -V to mark singular and then they select the dedicated suffix -bol-ba to mark plural. Similarly, kinship nouns have the roots: CV, CVV, CVR, CVVR, CVVN. The CV and CVV roots of kinship nouns are zero-marked for singular while the
other roots generally select a vowel for singular. There is also one example of kinship noun that takes $-b a$ to mark singular. For the plural, all roots for kinship nouns select the morpheme -mine. Though this morpheme -mine is used to express plurality for kinship nouns, it is not clear if it is actually a suffix, given that it fails to harmonise with the root to which it is attached. It seems like a word-level morpheme unlike -ri/-ri etc which are root-level.

Table 3.6: Human and kinship nouns and allomorph selection

| Singular |  |  |  | Plural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Suffix | Example | Gloss | Suffix | Example | Gloss |
| CVV | -ø | dóś | 'man.SG' | -bo | dó-bó | 'man-PL' |
| CVN | -V | sén-Í | 'fiancé-SG' | -ba | sén-bá | 'fiancé-PL' |
| CVG | -V | póg-ó | 'woman-SG' | -bo | pág-bó | 'woman-PL' |
| CVVR | -V | kúór-áá | 'farmer-SG' | -bo | kúór-bó | 'farmer-PL' |
| CVVN | -V | sáán-à | 'stranger-SG' | -ba | sáám-!bá | 'stranger-PL' |
| Root | Suffix | Example | Gloss | Suffix | Example | Gloss |
| CV | -ø | má bâ | 'mother.SG' <br> 'father.SG' | -mìné | má-mìné <br> bâ-mìné | 'mother-PL' <br> 'father-PL' |
| CVV | -ø | jóว̀ | 'sibling.SG ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | -mìné | jóó-mìné | 'sibling-PL' |
| CVVR | -V | bíćr-İ | 'brother-SG' | -mìné | bíćr-mìné | 'brother-PL' |
| CVVN | -V | kpíćm-غ́/-á | 'sister-SG' | -mìné | kpì̀̀m-mìné | 'sister-PL' |

Event or action nominals are also distinct from the regular nouns in some ways. Event nominals never occur in plural form. They have a particular dedicated singular suffixal allomorph. All Vfinal roots for event/action nominals always take the suffix $-b u /-b v$ to derive nouns. Thus, CV and CVV roots always select $-b u /-b v$ with the CV root always resulting in vowel lengthening if the root vowel is high or low and diphthongisation if the root vowel is mid. All roots ending with [r, 1] may select the high back vowel $-u /-v$ or $-b u / b v$. All nasal-final roots with alveolar [n] always select the suffix -nuu/-nvo and the $/ \mathfrak{y} /$ in $\mathfrak{y}$-final roots become [m] after selecting -bu/-bv as suffix. Finally, CVG roots select $-b u /-b v$. Here is a table showing the selection of nominalizing suffixes by various root types.

Table 3.7: Event/action nominals and allomorph selection

| Form |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Root } \\ \hline \text { tú- } \\ \text { tú- } \\ \text { zá- } \end{array}$ | Gloss <br> 'dig' <br> 'insult' <br> 'throw' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Suffix } \\ & \hline-b u /-b v \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Example } \\ \hline \text { túú-bù } \\ \text { tứ̛́-bù } \\ \text { záá-bù } \end{array}$ | Gloss <br> 'dig-NMLZ' <br> 'insult-NMLZ' <br> 'throw-NMLZ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CV | CV |  |  |  |  |  |
| CVV | CVV | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { tùò- } \\ \text { jóó- } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'carry' } \\ & \text { 'pay' } \end{aligned}$ | -bu/-bu | túó-bú <br> jóś-bù | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'carry-NMLZ' } \\ & \text { 'pay-NMLZ' } \end{aligned}$ |
| CVC | CVR | fìr-vár- | 'force' <br> 'castrate' | -bu | fír-bú vár-bù | 'force-NMLZ' 'castrate-NMLZ' |
|  | CVL | vól-wùl- | 'swallow' <br> 'show/teach' | -bu/-bu | vól-bú <br> wúl-bú | 'swallow-NMLZ' <br> 'show/teach-NMLZ' |
|  | CVG | pùg- <br> dúg- | 'praise’ 'cook' | -bu/-bu | púg-bú <br> dưg-bù | 'pray-NMLZ' 'cook-NMLZ' |
|  | CVN | kpìn- <br> zàn- <br> nòn- | 'extinguish' <br> 'learn' <br> 'like/love' | -nuu/-nvo <br> -bu/bu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kpìn-núú } \\ & \text { zàn-núú } \\ & \text { núm-bú } \end{aligned}$ | 'extinguish-NMLZ' <br> 'learn-NMLZ' <br> 'like/love- NMLZ' |
| CVVC | CVVR | tùùr- <br> kù̀̀r- | 'pick' <br> 'snore' | -uu/-vu | tùùr-úú <br> kùòr-ưú | 'pick-NMLZ' <br> 'snore-NMLZ' |
|  | CVVL | fúòl-mààl- | 'whistle’ <br> 'make' | -uu/-vల | fúól-!úú <br> mààl-úú | 'whistle-NMLZ' <br> 'make-NMLZ' |
|  | CVVN | gùùn-vờùn- | 'stalk' <br> 'vibrate’ | -uu/-vo | gùùn-úú <br> vùùn-úv́ | 'stalk-NMLZ' <br> 'vibrate-NMLZ' |
| CVCC | CVGR | dôgr- | 'punish' | -uu/-vu | dógr-!ưó | 'punish-NMLZ' |
|  | CVGL | sôgl- | 'hide' | -uu/-vల | sógl-!úv́ | ‘hide-NMLZ' |

The choice of the nominalizing suffix is dialect-specific. In some varieties of Central Dagaare such as the Jirapa dialect, the high back vowel $[-u / \tau]$ is generally selected by all root types except for roots ending in [m] which may select $-\mathrm{mu} / \mathrm{mv}$ to derive nouns from verbs. For instance, túúu-bù in our present data is represented as túú-ù and nớm-bớ is transcribed as nớm-mú in other dialects. Moreover, observe that the suffix is generally long after sonorant-final roots. This is in slight
contrast to what Anttila \& Bodomo (2019) observed in the Jirapa dialect where the nominalizing suffix is long after a C-final root.

Mass non-count nouns involve CVVC (i.e. CVVR, CVVL) roots with the dedicated suffix -uy/vy. The suffix is perceived as encoding plurality as there is no marker of singularity. With abstract nouns, there CVV, CVG, CVN and CVVL roots. The suffix is (C)Vy - uy/-vy, -luy/-lon, -ruy/ron, -nuy/-non. CVV root recruit -luy/-lon to signal singular, CVG take -ruy/-ron suffix, CVN take -nuy/-noy and CVVL roots take -uy/-oy. The suffix here involves marking singular given that abstract nominals do not have plural forms. Table 3.8 gives an illustration of the above description. Table 3.8: Mass non-count and abstract nouns

| Form |  | Root | Gloss | Suffix | Example | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CVVC | CVVR | vưòr- | 'breathe' | -ชท | vươr-!ún | 'breath' |
|  | CVVL | buul- | 'stir' | -uy | búúl-úy | 'porridge' |
| Form |  | Root | Gloss | Suffix | Example | Gloss |
| CVV | CVV | fáá- | 'bad' | -lon | fàà-lôy | 'badness' |
| CVC | CVG | wêg- | 'tall' | -ron | wég-!rớy | 'tallness' |
|  | CVN | sôn- | 'good' | -nun | sòn-nóy | 'goodness' |
| CVVC | CVVR | tíc̀r- | 'think' | -vŋ | tíćr-!ón | 'thought' |
|  | CVVL | vì̀l- | 'beautiful' | -ชท | vì̀l-̛́y | 'beauty' |

Observe that the mass non-count nouns are derived from verbs while abstract nouns are derived from adjectives and verbs. Having presented the nominal root types and the form of allomorphs they select; I now present the various noun classes in Dagaare.

### 3.2.2.3 Noun classes and number

This section examines noun classes and how number is marked in Dagaare. A noun class system may be said to manifest in a particular language if that language has grammatical gender or if the nouns can be categorized in terms of concord or affix marking. The classification and discussion of nouns has been a subject of interest in a number of Mabia languages. Some of these languages include Lama (Ourso, 1989a), Buli (Kröger, 1992), Supyire (Carlson, 1994, 2011), Konni (Cahill, 1999), Gurene (Nsoh, 2002), Waali (Brindle, 2015), Kusaal (Abubakari, 2021) and others. For a general typological discussion of noun classes in languages within and outside of Africa, see Heine
et al (1981), Dixon (1986), Creissels (2000), Heine \& Nurse (2000), Hurskainen (2000), Grinevald \& Seifart (2004). In terms of typological studies of noun classes in specific language families, see Katamba (2003) and Maho (1999) for Bantu languages, Güldemann \& Fiedler (2019) and Hepburn-Gray (2020) for Niger-Congo languages in general, De Wolf, (2017) for Benue-Congo and (Creissels, 2019) for Atlantic-Congo languages.

In Dagaare, various studies of the nominal system are found in Angkaaraba (1980), Bodomo (1997), Bodomo \& Marfo (2006), Grimm (2012, 2021). The criterion for categorizing nouns in Dagaare is based on number - singular and plural marking. There are two ways by which nouns can be categorized in Dagaare. One method is by classifying nouns in terms of similarity of their singular and their plural suffixes. The other method is by classing nouns based on the similarity of both the singular and the plural. Following the former criterion, Angkaaraba (1980) establishes nine noun classes based on singular marking and eleven noun classes based on plural marking in Dagaare. Bodomo (1997) and Bodomo \& Marfo (2006) proposes a ten-noun class system (summarised in the table 3.9 below) in Dagaare based on the latter criterion, arguing that number formation occurs via morphophonological properties in which phonological rules apply to underlying morphological structures which lead to the true surface lexical representations.

Table 3.9: Dagaare noun classes (Bodomo 1997; Bodomo \& Marfo 2006)

| Singular classification Plural classification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. V-suffix | 1.-bV |  |
| 2. V-suffix | 2.-ri/-ri |  |
| 3. $-\mathrm{i} /-\mathrm{I}$ | 3. V-suffix |  |
| 4. -ru | 4.-ri |  |
| 5. $\varnothing$ Ø suffix | 5.-ri/-ri |  |
| 6. -ri | 6. -V |  |
| 7. Nasalized-NV | 7. Nasalized-NV |  |
| 8. -aa | 8.-i/-I, |  |
| 9. - - |  |  |
|  | 9. -uy/-un, |  |

From a lexical semantic point of view, Grimm (2010, 2012, 2021) proposes four main classes, namely basic singular, basic plural, non-count singulative and mass. This analysis shows that inverse number patterns in Dagaare reflects lexical semantic contrasts based on individuation and based on which nouns mark singular/plural with -ri/rı or with a vowel. Thus, according to Grimm, nouns describing entities typically occurring singly are basic singular and are individuated. Such nouns mark plural with [-ri/-ri] e.g., z $\hat{u}-z u$ '-rì 'head - head-SG'. Then nouns that are likely viewed in pairs or groups are basic plural. In these cases, the suffix [-ri/-ri] codes singular as in bi-rì -bi$\grave{e}$ 'seed - seeds' while mass nouns combine with the distinctive and dedicated morpheme -nec to designate 'types of $X$ ' for granular and liquid mass nouns such as kù̃ $\tilde{0}$ - kùj̀-néé 'water (types)'.

This chapter builds on the proposals of previous studies and presents a discussion of the noun classes in Dagaare with the aim of integrating the morphophonological and lexical approaches to the analysis of nominal allomorphy. The description is based on the second method in which nouns in the same class must have similar singular suffixes and similar plural suffixes. As stated in chapter 1 , it is crucial to reiterate that the data presented here is primarily based on the variety of Central Dagaare spoken in the Sombo area (Nadowli-Kaleo district, Ghana). Therefore, although there may be major similarities in terms of properties such as tone and tongue root harmony between the data in this variety and data in other Central Dagaare varieties, it must be noted that there is bound to be slight segmental variations.

Moreover, while agreeing with previous claims (e.g. Bodomo 1997) that Dagaare has a ten-nounclass system, I propose that these ten classes are categorised into three major classes: (i) a phonological class which consists of class 3 and class 8 (ii) a phono-semantic class which is made up of classes $2,4,5,6$ and finally (iii) a semantic class which is made up of the classes $1,7,9$ and 10. All classes have equipollent contrast except class 9 which has privative singular and class 10 which has both privative singular and plural cases. By equipollent, it simply means that each noun in a particular class is distinguished by different number features - singular vs plural. With privative contrast, the nouns in class 9 are characterized by the presence of singular and the absence of plural and those in class ten 10 are characterized by absence of singular and presence of plural. In the table below I show each noun class and its properties in terms of semantics, contrast, singular and plural allomorphs.

Table 3.10: Dagaare noun class summary

| I. Phonologically conditioned number marking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Semantic | Contrast | Root | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline- \text { SG } \\ \text { suffix } \end{array}$ | allomorphs | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text {-PL } \\ & \text { suffix } \end{aligned}$ | Allomorphs |
| 3 | count | equipollent | $\mathrm{CVC}_{\{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{N}\}}$ CVGL $\qquad$ | -V | -i/-I | -V | -e/-¢, -o/-0, -a |
| 8 | count | equipollent | $\mathrm{CVC}_{\{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{r}, 1, \mathrm{n}\}}$ $\mathrm{CVVC}_{i \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{N}}$ | -V | -aa | -V | -i/-I |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Phono-semantically conditioned number marking

| Class | Semantic | Contrast | Root | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text {-SG } \\ \text { suffix } \end{array}$ | allomorphs | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text {-PL } \\ & \text { suffix } \end{aligned}$ | Allomorphs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Count; individuated | equipollent | CV CVN CVG | -V | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-\mathrm{i} /-\mathrm{I}, \\ & -\mathrm{e} /-\varepsilon,-\mathrm{o} /-\mathrm{o},-\mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | -CV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text {-ri/-ri } \\ & \text {-ni/-nI } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Count: individuated | equipollent | CV | -CV | -bu/-bu | -CV | -ri/-rı |
| 5 | Count: individuated | equipollent | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CV} \\ & \mathrm{CVV} \end{aligned}$ | -ø |  | -CV | -ri/-rı |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \mathrm{a} \\ & 6 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Count: nonindividuated | equipollent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV } \\ & \text { CVV } \\ & \text { CVG } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -CV | -ri/-ri | -(C) V | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-e/- } \varepsilon,-\mathrm{o} /-\mathrm{o},-\mathrm{a} \\ & \text {-bs } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

III. Semantically conditioned contextual number marking

| Class | Semantic | Contrast | Root | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text {-SG } \\ \text { suffix } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | allomorphs | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text {-PL } \\ \text { suffix } \end{array}$ | Allomorphs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{a} \\ & 1 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | count: human count: kinship | equipollent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV, CVC, } \\ & \text { CVVC } \end{aligned}$ | -V (C) V, - $\varnothing$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\mathrm{I},-\mathrm{e} /-\varepsilon,-\mathrm{c},-\mathrm{a} \\ & -\mathrm{oo},-\mathrm{ba} \end{aligned}$ | -CV <br> -CVCV | -be/-bo/-ba <br> -mine |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7 \mathrm{a} \\ & 7 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | mass: liquid <br> mass: solid | equipollent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CVV } \\ & \text { CVC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\emptyset \\ & -\mathrm{V} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $-\mathrm{I},-\varepsilon$ | -CV | -nı $\varepsilon$ |
| 9 | event nominalisation | privative: <br> SG | CVV, CVC, CVVC | -(C)V | -bu/-bu, -nuu/nuo -uu/-vo | N/A | N/A |
| 10a | mass: <br> liquid/solid | privative: PL | CVC, CVVC | N/A | N/A | -VC | -uy/-on |
| 10b | abstract nouns | privative: <br> SG | CVV, CVC, CVVC | N/A | N/A | -(C)VC | -luy/-roy |

The major phonological general rules as proposed in Bodomo \& Marfo (2006) that result in the surface representations of nouns in each class include.
(43) Major rules
a. Vowel harmony:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{sfx}} \rightarrow \quad[ \pm \mathrm{ATR}] / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Root}} \\
& {[ \pm \mathrm{ATR}]}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Vowel lengthening: i. V $\rightarrow \quad \mathrm{VV} / \mathrm{C} \_$ri/rı
ii. $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{VV} / \mathrm{C} \_$_ (b) $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{u}$

In (43a) the vowel harmony determines that suffixal vowels agree in tongue root value of the root to which it is attached. For the vowel lengthening rule, a short vowel in a CV root for specific noun classes lengthens when it takes the suffix -ri or -bu. The vowel harmony is present in all classes except for kinship nouns. In kinship nouns, the root does not always agree with the plural morpheme -mine. The lengthening rule will be observed with some specific noun classes such as class 2 and class 9 . There are also local rules for each class, and this will be illustrated.

### 3.2.2.3.1 Phonological class

This group contains nouns whose root always end in sonorant consonants $[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{n}]$ with both singular and plural marked with a vowel but with contrast in vowel quality. It is the only class with nouns which mark both singular and plural with vowels. It is made up of class 3 and class 8 . First, I present class three.

### 3.2.2.3.1.1 Class 3: singular: -i/-i; plural: $\mathrm{V}\{-\mathrm{e} /-\varepsilon,-\mathrm{o} /-\mathrm{o},-\mathrm{a}\}$

A high front vowel is added to the noun root to form singular, and the plural is formed by adding a non-high vowel. The singular vowel may be short or long, and aside from agreeing in tongue root feature, the suffix does not agree in whatever shape the preceding root vowel assumes. This is similar to what is observed with the high front vowel in the default verb forms. Thus, it appears the high vowel in these nouns do not really have any semantic content, given that by themselves the roots can express complete meaning. For instance, pǒl without the final high -I expresses the same meaning 'path' as pòl-í. The plural vowel however is phonologically conditioned by the quality of the preceding root vowel. For instance, when the root contains a round vowel, the plural suffix is realised as round and when the root has a low vowel, the suffix vowel is always low. In other words, the plural is marked by a vowel that is underlyingly [-high] where, [round] and [low]
values are determined by the root. This is the exact same pattern observed with the imperfective suffix on verbs. The plural suffix is obligatory, and it carries a meaning. Therefore, dropping the plural suffix vowel does not retain the plural meaning; rather it changes to mean singular. The root of each noun is determined by modifying the noun with an adjective.

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence | oot representation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bìr- | bìr-Í | bìr-દ́ | 'breast' | bìr-bíl-é | 'small breast' |
| djî́l- | dzî́l-í | djiíl-é | 'tendon' | duiîl-bíl-é | 'small tendon' |
| kper- | kpér-íí | kpér-éé | 'gizzard' | kpèr-bíl-é | 'small gizzard' |
| fer- | fér-íí | fér-éé | 'air potato' | fèr-bíl-é | 'small air potato' |
| pòl- | pòl-Í | pòl-ó | 'path' | pòl-ymáá | 'short path' |
| jùòn- | jùòn-1́ | jùòm-ó | 'year' | jùòn-fáá | 'bad year' |
| dòól- | dòól-Í | dơól-ò | 'mudfish' | dưoll-bìl-é | 'small mudfish' |
| pan- | pán-Í | pám-á | 'door' | pàm-bíl-é | 'small door' |
| màn- | màn-Í | màm-á | 'sea/ocean' | màm-bíl-é | 'small sea/ocean' |
| gán- | gán-İ | gám-à | 'book' | gám-bíl-é | 'small book' |
| kpagl- | kpágl-í | kpágl-á | 'bedbug' | kpàgl-bíl-é | 'small bedbug' |

In addition, the plural marker is always a copy of the immediately preceding root vowel if the root vowel is non-high. So, in a case where the root contains a diphthong, the plural suffix is always a copy of the second vowel in the diphthong. However, when the root vowel is high, then the plural vowel is always mid. In this class, I propose that the singular formation involves deletion of underlying /r/. That is, /r/ in underlying /ri/ is deleted after a root-final sonorant consonant. The following rule ensures this deletion: $/ \mathrm{r} / \rightarrow[\varnothing] /[+$ son, +cons] ___ \#. An illustration of how this rule works is provided below.
(44) Singular formation via r-deletion

| UR: | /bır-rı/ | /djii1-ri/ | /man-ri/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| r-deletion: | bır-I | djiil-I | man-I |
| ATR harmony: | - | djiil-ı | - |
| SR: | $[$ bìr-í] | [djílí-í] | [màn-í] |
| Gloss: | 'breast' | 'vein' | 'sea/ocean' |

An observation in the nasal-final roots is the presence of [m] in the plural forms as in gán-ì - gám$\grave{a}$ 'book'. That is, the root final $/ \mathrm{n} /$ in the singular becomes [m] in the plural. This raises the question of how the final alveolar nasal $/ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{in}$ the singular form becomes a bilabial nasal [ m ] in the plural form. One way of approaching this is to assume that the plural suffix has an underlying floating [+LAB] feature and a vowel specified as [-high]. Then the [+LAB] feature gets linked to the root node in order to be realized on the surface. The following representation captures the cases in class three above.
(45) Floating [+LAB] linking leading to plural suffix


Another possible explanation is that the plural suffix has an underlying $/ \mathrm{mV} /$ attached to the n final root. Then due to assimilation, the final $/ \mathrm{n} /$ is realized as [ m ]. Moreover, as a result of harmony the suffix surfaces identically as the final vowel in the root. Finally, nasal shortening leads to the surface representation of the noun. This is illustrated below.

| Plural formation |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UR: | /gan-mV/ | /juo-mV/ |
| Vowel copying: | gan-ma | juom-mo |
| Nasal assimilation: | gam-ma | juom-mo |
| Nasal shortening: | gam-a | juo-mo |
| SR: | [gám-à] | [jùòm-ó] |
| Gloss | 'book' | 'year' |

A third analytical possibility is to assume that the labial is part of the root as in /gam-ri/. Then we see assimilation happening in the singular for as follows: /gam-rı/ $\rightarrow$ ganrı $\rightarrow$ [gánì]. This approach does not involve the assumption of a suffix allomorphy with -mV suffix. In terms of the plural, what we see is the usual non-high vowel which is a copy of the last root vowel.

### 3.2.2.3.1.2 Class 8: singular: -əә/-аа; plural -і/-ı

In this class nouns mark singular by a long low vowel, [-әә/-aa] and mark the plural with a front high vowel $-i /-I$ which may be long or short. As mentioned already roots in this class are sonorant-final with [r,l, n] just like the class discussed before.

| Root | singular | plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jır- | nír-áá | jír-Í | 'ant' | jìr-zì | 'red ant' |
| bıl- | bíl-áá | bíl-íi | 'anthill' | bìl-bíl-é | 'small anthill' |
| gor- | gór-áá | gór-íi | 'sickle' | gòr-bíl-é | 'small sickle' |
| ber- | bćr-áá | bér-Ĭí | 'trap' | bèr-bíl-é | 'small trap' |
| dàày- dàày-áá | dààn-í | 'hearth' | dààm-bíl-é | 'small hearth' |  |
| paay- pááy-áá | páán-Í | 'cricket' | pààm-bíl-é | 'small cricket' |  |

With the plural suffix in this class, some speakers of the dialect under investigation may alternate the high front vowel -i/-I with the CV suffix, -hi/-hI. Therefore, it is possible to have forms like bíl-IÍ ~bíl-hí ‘anthill',kpăl-íì ~ kpăl-hì ‘sling', sìl-íl ~sìl-hí 'hawk'. These alternations in the plural marking contrasts with consonant doubling (gemination) in some dialects for the same nouns. For example, in the Central dialect spoken in and around Jirapa, the plural for 'anthill' is bil-li'; sling is kpǎl-lí and hawk is sìl-lí.

### 3.2.2.3.2 Phono-semantic class

Nouns in this group involve vowel final and consonant-final roots. Singular may be coded by $\varnothing$, or $(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{V}$ suffix while plural is marked by $(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{V}$ suffix. The CV suffix is realised as $-r i /-r I$ when attached to a root with a final oral segment or it becomes -ni/-nI after a nasal-final root. I refer to the nouns in this group as phono-semantic because on the one hand, in their number marking processes, they exhibit some phonological properties such as assimilation, vowel length and harmony and on the other hand they display lexical semantic contrasts based on individuation -a semantic notion in which the structure of nouns reflects countability based on individuality.

### 3.2.2.3.2.1 Class 2: singular $V\{-\mathrm{i} /-\mathrm{I},-\mathrm{e} /-\varepsilon,-\mathrm{o} /-\mathrm{o},-\mathrm{a}\}$; plural: $\mathbf{C V}\{-\mathrm{ri} /-\mathrm{ri},-\mathrm{ni} /-\mathrm{ni}\}$

The nouns in this class involve vowel-final and consonant final roots. As the data shown below, the singular nouns are formed by adding a vowel and the plural by adding a CV suffix which may either be -ri or $-n i$ depending on the root. Consonant-final roots in this class either end with the velar stop [ g ] or velar nasal [ $\mathfrak{y}$ ]. The plural suffix is realized as $-r i / r_{I}$ when the final root consonant is [g] and it surfaces as -ni/-ni when the root ends with [y]. V-final roots ending with non-low vowels become diphthongs when the singular suffix is added while the plural takes $-r_{i} /-r_{I}$ accompanied by high vowel lengthening. Roots with low vowels generally add low vowels to mark the singular, though there are some cases in which some low-vowel roots take high back vowels as singular suffix as in wà-ú - wàà-rí ‘yam'; dà- $\begin{gathered}\text { - }-d a ̀ a ̀-r i ́ ~ ‘ s t i c k / w o o d ' . ~\end{gathered}$

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bi- | bíé | bíí-rí | 'child' | bì-fáá | 'bad child' |
| zi- | zíé | zíírí | 'place' | zì-fáá | 'bad place' |
| tò- | tùó | tò-rí | 'baobab' | tò-kpêy | 'big baobab' |
| dò- | dùó | dò-rí | 'warthog' | dò-bíl-é | 'small warthog' |
| ná- | náà | náá-rì | 'chest' | ná-!bíl-é | 'small chest' |
| bà- | bàá | bà-rí | 'river' | bà-bíl-é | 'small river' |
| sig- | sígí | síg-rí | 'hut' | sìg-bíl-é | 'small hut' |
| gòy- | gòyó | gòn-ní | 'silk cotton' | gòn-wég-ì | 'tall silk cotton' |
| doy- | dónj́ | dò̀n-ní | 'mosquito' | dòm-bíl-é | 'small mosquito' |
| tan- | táyá | tán-ní | 'mountain' | tàm-bíl-é | 'small mountain' |

A noun in Dagaare is minimally bimoraic (Anttila \& Bodomo 2009). Therefore, the vowel addition or insertion in the CV roots is a response to the bimoraicity requirement in Dagaare nouns. ${ }^{2}$

In addition to tongue root harmony between roots and suffixes, other phonological processes that manifest in this noun class include rounding harmony and [ $\pm \mathrm{low}]$ in the singular form: $/ \mathrm{V} / \rightarrow$ [ $\alpha \mathrm{rnd}$ ] / arnd ___. There is also high vowel lengthening before $-r i /-r I$ involving plurals: $\mathrm{V}_{[+ \text {high }]} \rightarrow \mathrm{VV} /$ C__ri and finally there is total assimilation in which an onset takes on the features of a preceding

[^1]nasal coda. So, /r/ in /-ri/- becomes [n] after a nasal consonant:/r/ $\rightarrow$ [n]/ nasal __ . This assimilation therefore results in geminates (double consonants) as the data shows.

In Bodomo (1997) and Bodomo and Marfo (2006), the nouns with nasal geminates are categorized in what they term as 'nasal class' probably on the grounds that all the nouns in that class have nasal-final or nasalized roots and affixes. However, in this study, I categorize some of the nouns in the 'nasal class', especially those that have suffixal -ni/-ni, in the same class with the nouns having $-r i /-r_{I}$ as plural suffix. This idea is based on the fact that the two suffixes are phonologically regular variants of the same morpheme. They only change shape based on the phonological environment in which they occur. That is their surface forms are phonologically conditioned by the neighbouring sound segments. In effect, while nouns such as dúvj́ - dún-ní 'mosquito' belong to nasal class 7 under Bodomo and Marfo, I treat such nouns as belonging to the same class 2 as those that mark plural with -ri/rı. Now let us turn our attention to class 4 below.

### 3.2.2.3.2.2 Class 4: singular: -bu/-bo; plural: -ri/-ri

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wá- | wáá-!bú | wí-rì | 'snake' | wá-bíl-é | 'small snake' |
| vá- | váá-!bú | váá-rì | 'leaf' | vá-zì $\varepsilon$ | 'red leaf' |
| gǒ- | gùó-bú | gùó-rì | 'kola' | gǒ-tớ | 'bitter kola' |

This class constitutes a small set of nouns where the singular is marked with a -bV and the plural with -ri/-rr. In other closely related dialects such as Jirapa (see Bodomo 1997; Bodomo and Marfo 2006), the singular in this class is marked with the high back vowel, -u/-v like wáá!'́' 'snake', gùóú 'kola' and and Waali (see Brindle, 2015) the suffix is -hu/-hv as in wáá-!hú. The presence of the bu suffix is possibly a repair strategy against sequence of more than two vowels in this variety of the Central dialect. Therefore, based on our current data, it is clear that this dialect disallows vowel sequences like CVVV. Also note the instance of regressive harmony or metaphony in a root like wa- 'snake' which raises to wíl'- when it precedes the high vowel suffix, $-r$ leading to wíl $r i ̀$. The change of the root vowel therefore appears to be influenced by the suffix in the process of assimilation. This raising of the vowel may be attributed to some diachronic property that this word historically involved high vowels.

### 3.2.2.3.2.3 Class 5: singular: $\varnothing$; plural: CV $\{-r i /-r ı,-n i /-n ı\}$

In class 5, the singular forms are exactly the same as the roots. There appears to be no alternation, so a zero suffix is assumed for the singular forms. The plural is marked by -ri/-ri and sometimes accompanied by high vowel lengthening. Though the CV forms in this class counters the claim that nouns in Dagaare are minimally bimoraic, the general idea is that the majority of nouns have at least two moras.

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zú | zû-ø | zú-rì | 'head' | zú-!bíl-é | 'small head' |
| nú- | nû-ø | núú-rì | 'hand' | nú-wég-ì | 'long hand' |
| gbó- | gbô-ø | gbó-rì | 'heart' | gbó-!bíl-é | 'small heart' |
| wóó- | wój̀- | wóś-rì | 'elephant' | wóś-!bílé | 'small elephant' |
| laa- | láá- $\varnothing$ | láá-rí | 'bowl' | làà-bíl-é | 'small bowl' |

In summary, except for the tongue root harmony which manifests in all classes with the exception of kinship nouns of class 1 , the phonological properties observed in these classes include nasal assimilation with the plural suffix for class 2 and 5; rounding harmony with singular suffix for class 2 and intervocalic $b$ in the singular forms of class 4 as a repair strategy against CVVV vowel sequences. Class 4 also shows regressive harmony which may be a result of diachronic reasons.

In terms of semantic properties, these classes (2, 4, 5) are what Grimm (2010, 2012, 2021) describes as individuated. In the sense of Grimm, these are nouns which are described as basic singular because they denote entities typically occurring singly. Nouns that occur singly always mark plural with $-r i /-r I$ as in bií-ri' 'child-PL, zú-rì, 'head-PL', wíí-rì 'snake-PL'. The next class provides examples that are precisely opposite to the classes described above.

### 3.2.2.3.2.4 Class 6: singular: -ri/-rı; plural: -V\{-e/-£, -o/-o, -a\}, -bV\{bo/-bo\}

This is a morphologically regular class. Here roots combine with the suffix $-r i /-r I$ to form the singular and they combine with a mid-vowel to form the plural. This class in direct inverse relation to class two in which vowels mark singular and $-r i /-r_{I}$ marks plural. The nouns in this class are categorized into three subclasses. As will be shown below, there is a subclass in (a) involving Vfinal stems; if the final vowel is high, it sometimes gets lengthened when the singular suffix $-r i$ is attached. If the final vowel is mid, it becomes a diphthong when the singular suffix is added. In
terms of the plural formation, there is vowel shortening regardless of whether the vowel is high or mid. If the root ends with a low vowel [a], the final vowel in the plural is created by a coalescence of low and mid vowel (e.g. sáárì - sé- $\grave{\varepsilon}$ [= sá- $\grave{\varepsilon}]$ 'broom')

Class 6a: Singular: -ri/-ri; plural: -e/-є

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jí- | jí-rì | jí-è | 'house' | jí-!bíl-é | 'small house' |
| mí- | mírì | mí-è | 'rope' | mí-! $\mathrm{ymáa}$ | 'short rope' |
| z $\mathrm{\Sigma}$ - | zìz-rí | z $\grave{\varepsilon}$ - $\dot{\varepsilon}$ | 'soup' | zè-nùóy | 'tasty soup' |
| n¢́- | níć-rì |  | 'grinder' | né-!bíl-é | 'small grinder' |
| sá- | sáá-rì |  | 'broom' | sá-!bíl-é | 'small broom' |
| dá- | dá-rì | dé-è (dá- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 'ladder' | dá-!ymáá | 'short ladder' |

Another subclass of class six involves roots that always contain long mid vowel -oo/-os. The singular is then formed by adding $-r i /-r_{I}$ and plural by adding $-b o /-b o$. The examples below can all fit into the templates $\mathrm{CVV}_{[+\mathrm{rd},-\mathrm{hi}]}-\mathrm{rV}_{[+\mathrm{hi}]}$ for the singular and $\mathrm{CV}_{[+\mathrm{rd},-\mathrm{hi}]}-\mathrm{bV}_{[+\mathrm{rd},-\mathrm{hi}]}$ in the plural. The phonological processes involved in these cases are vowel lengthening and vowel shortening after the plural suffix.

Class 6b: Singular: -ri/-ri; Plural: -bo/-bo

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tòò- | tòò-rí | tò-bó | 'ear' | tòò-bíl-é | 'small ear' |
| kos- | kóṡ-rí | kó-bó | 'bone' | kòj-kp $\mathrm{y}^{\text {y }}$ | 'big bone' |
| So3- | sóṡ-rí | só-bó | 'liver' | sòj-bíl-é | 'small liver' |
| กวั- | jóś-rí | nı́-bó | 'intestine' | nòj-bíl-é | 'small intestine' |
| jò̀- | jòò-rí | jò-bó | 'termite' | jò̀-bíl-é | 'small termite' |

In these examples, I argue that they are formed through the rules: C-deletion (b/r-deletion), vowel lengthening forced by the suffix $-r i /-r_{I}$ to derive the singular forms, vowel shortening and rounding harmony. I assume the following underlying form: /kob-ri/ which eventually results in the surface form via the preceding rules.

| UR: | /kob-rı/ | /tob-ri/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b-deletion: | ko-rı | to-ri |
| vowel lengthening | koo-rı | too-ri |
| SR: | [kóó-r'] | [tòò-rí] |
| Gloss: | 'bone' | 'ear' |

For the plural forms, their formation results from r-deletion and vowel shortening as shown below

| UR: | /kob-ro/ | /tob-ro/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| r-deletion: | kobo | tobo |
| SR: | kó-bó | tò-bó |

I assume that the deletion of the bilabial [b] in the singular and the deletion of the alveolar $[\mathrm{r}]$ in the plural is a response to the prohibition against [br] sequence in the language. The third sub-class involves C-final stems which select $-r i /-r_{I}$ to mark singular and a back mid or low vowel to mark plural. In this set, rounding harmony occurs when the plural suffix is attached to the root.

Class 6c: singular: -ri/-ri; plural: -o/-o/-a

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lug- | lúg-rí | lúg-ó | 'pillar' | lùg-bíl-é | 'small pillar' |
| bàg- | bàg-rí | bàg-á | 'shrine' | bàg-fáá | 'bad shrine' |
| nag- | nág-rí | nág-á | 'root' | nàg-bíl-é | 'small root' |

Number formation in this class is characterised by various phonological rules including vowel lengthening, shortening, and rounding harmony. Nouns in this class are described as basic plural and non-individuated in the sense of them occurring in groups or in pairs and coding singular with $-r i /-r I$ because the base form is plural.

Overall, different semantic groups contrast in their likelihood of occurring as singles or multiples. For instance, as Grimm (2010, 2012) claims, large animals are basic singular while nouns for insects are typically basic plural. Body parts that are inherently singular are likely basic singular
 basic plural. Such nouns mark the singular with $-r i /-r$ as in nímbi-rì - nímbí-è 'eye'. Though there
are fuzzy boundaries and exceptions to this claim, the general tendency is clear in terms of semantic categorization of Dagaare nouns.

### 3.2.2.3.3 Semantic class

Nouns in this class belong to particular semantic categories including human, kinship, mass count and non-count (e.g. liquid and granular items), event and abstract nominals. Four sub-classes constitute the semantic group: class 1 , class 7 , class 9 and class 10 . I posit that this class is semantically motivated along with phonological constraints. This is because there are some phonological regularities such as harmony. As will be discussed below, there is a semantic reasoning for grouping these nouns together. Class 1 is examined below.

### 3.2.2.3.3.1 Class 1: singular: (b) $\mathbf{V}_{\{-\mathrm{t}, /-\mathrm{e} /-\mathrm{\varepsilon},-\mathrm{o},-\mathrm{oo},-\mathrm{ba}\}}$; plural: $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{V}_{\{\text {-be/-bb/-ba }\}, \text {-mine }}$

Class 1 is made up of two sub-categories: one consisting of human nouns and one consisting of nouns referring to kin terms. The fact this class is exclusively associated with humans and kinship relations shows its uniqueness and that is one significant reasoning for classifying these nouns as a semantically determined class. Singular human nouns are coded with vowel suffixes while plural human nouns take the dedicated human suffix -bV. This is a class that is present in Mabia languages. Consider the examples below.

Class 1a: Singular: -I, -e/- $\varepsilon,-\bigcirc$; Plural: -be, -bo, -ba

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| doo | dóś-ø | dó-bó | 'man' | dòj̀-ŋmáá | 'short man' |
| pog- | póg-ó | póg-bó | 'woman' | pòg-sǔy | 'good woman' |
| níy- | ní-è | nú-bà | 'person' | níy-fáá | 'bad person' |
| sen- | sén-Í | sćn-bá | 'fiancé' | sèn-fáá | 'bad fiance' |
| sáán- | sáán-à | sáám-!bá | 'stranger' | sáá-!fáá | 'bad stranger' |
| nə̀nìg- | nə̀nı̀g-é | nə̀nı̀g-bé | 'thief' | nə̀nìg-fáá | 'bad thief' |

With the human nouns, the rules that underlie their formation include vowel shortening, assimilation, rounding harmony, nasal assimilation and nasal deletion. With vowel shortening, CVV nominal root gets shortened when the number suffix is added. Nasal assimilation occurs when the final alveolar nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ becomes [m] before the suffix -bV . With rounding the vowel of
the number suffix becomes round when the root vowel is round and low when the root is low. Some examples are illustrated below.

| UR: | /dos-ba/ | /pog-a/ | /saan-ba/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rounding | doo-bo | pog-o | - |
| Vowel shortening | do-bo | - | - |
| Nasal assimilation | - | - | saam-ba |
| SR: | [dó-bó] | [póg-'́] | [sáám-!bá] |
| Gloss | 'man' | 'woman' | 'stranger' |

Class 1b: singular: - $\emptyset,-\mathrm{I},-\varepsilon /-\mathrm{a}$, -oo, -ba; plural: -mine
For the kinship nouns, singular may be unmarked, or it may be marked with a vowel based on arbitrary choice and the plural forms are always marked with the morpheme -mine. This morpheme denotes relations between people. It is always LH regardless of the tonal melody of the root to which it is attached. Also, the vowels of this morpheme remain advanced irrespective of the quality of the root vowels. It therefore raises questions as to whether -miné is actually a suffix as it looks like a word-level morpheme unlike plurals like [-ri/-ri].

| Root mà- | Singular má- $\varnothing$ | Plural má-mìné | Gloss <br> 'mother' | Evidence for root representation mà-sứn 'good mother' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bá- | bâ-ø | bâ-mìné | 'father' | bá-! sún $^{\text {d }}$ | 'good father' |
| jóó | jój̀-ø | jóó-mìné | 'sibling' | jóó-š̌y | 'good sibling' |
| bì̀r- | bìèr-Í | bì̀r-mìné | 'brother' | bì̀r-kpên | 'big brother' |
| kpièm- | kpíćm-غ́/á | kpì̀̀m-mìné | 'sister' | kpièm-wégì | 'tall sister' |
| pùr- | pùr-óó | pùr-mìné | 'aunt' | pùr-fáá | 'bad aunt' |

The next class under the broader semantic class involves mass count nouns which denote liquid and granular entities. The nouns here though mass, are equipollent in that they have both singular and plural forms. Specifically, the granular forms have their singular forms marked with a vowel and the plural marked with the unique suffix, -nec. This morpheme denotes 'types/kinds of'. Liquid entities under this category are not marked for singular but always marked with -nez for plural which is always high-tone irrespective of the tonal melody of the preceding root. These nouns form a small group representing class 7, and they are shown below. The data in (7a) end in long
nasal vowel for the singular and then nasalization disappears in the plural. The data in (7b) have nasal-final roots with a short vowel. The cases in (7a) seem to suggest that a final nasal in the root has been absorbed into the vowel.

### 3.2.2.3.3.2 Class 7: singular: $-\emptyset,-\mathbf{I},-\varepsilon$; plural: -nєє

Class 7a: Singular: - $\varnothing$; Plural -n $\varepsilon \varepsilon$

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence | oot representation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tï̀ | tiั̀- | tì̀-néq́ | 'types of medicine | tiั̀-túó | 'bitter medicine' |
| zì̛ | Zİ̈-¢ | zì̇-nćé | 'types of blood' | zİ̃̇-tớl!ón | 'hot blood' |
| bĩ̃- | bíli- | bî́-!nćć | 'types of broth' | biั̀-nờóy | 'tasty broth' |
| dắá- | dấaั̀-ø | dáá-!nć $\varepsilon$ ¢ | 'types of alcohol' | dã́ã-zi̇̇ | 'red alcohol/pito' |
| kã́á- | káà̀- $\emptyset$ | káá-!né $\varepsilon$ ¢ | 'types of oil' | kã́ã́-túl!ón | 'hot oil' |

Class 7b: singular: $-\mathrm{I},-\varepsilon$; plural: $-\mathrm{n} \varepsilon \varepsilon$

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ten- | tén-Í | tén-nćé | 'types of soil' | tèn-sǔy 'good soil' |
| bén- | bén-غ̀ | bén-!néć | 'types of beans' | bén-zìč 'red beans' |

The next category under the semantic class involves class 9 which consists of event nouns. With a syntactic criterion, these nouns are formed via derivation by adding the suffix [-uu/-vu, -bu/-bv, -nuu/-nठv] to a verb root. Semantically, they are lexicalized from abstract concepts and as a result they are only privative singular as they do not have plural counterparts. Some significant morphophonological realizations observed in the derivation of these nouns is high vowel lengthening and diphthongization of mid vowels. That is, CV roots with high or mid vowels gets lengthened and diphthongized respectively when the suffix is attached. There is also root-final nasal assimilation - velar alveolar becomes bilabial before the -bV suffix.

### 3.2.2.3.3.3 Class 9: singular: -uu/-ס才, -bu/-bv, -nuu/-nov; no plural

| Root | Gloss | Action/event nominal | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wì̀r- | 'smoke' | wì̀r-úú | 'smoke-NMLZ' |
| mààl- | 'make' | mààl-ớú | 'make-NMLZ' |


| di- | 'eat' | díi-bú | 'eat-NMLZ' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tú- | 'dig' | túú-bù | 'dig-NMLZ' |
| dó- | 'climb' | dúó-bù | 'climb-NMLZ' |
| dứg- | 'cook/boil' | dớg-bù | 'cook/boil-NMLZ' |
| nư- | 'like/love' | nóm-bú | 'like/love-NMLZ' |
| zàn- | 'learn' | zàn-núv́ | 'learn-NMLZ' |

### 3.2.2.3.3.4 Class 10: no sg; pl: -uŋ/-oŋ;

## sg: -uŋ/-oŋ, -ruŋ/-roŋ, -nuŋ/-noŋ; no plural

Finally, under the semantic group is class 10. This class consists of two set of nouns of different semantic properties. The nouns here include mass non-count nouns and abstract nouns. They are both marked with the same suffix [-uy/-vy, -ruy/-ron, -luy/-lon, -nuy/-nvy] based on the root. Similar to the nouns in class 9 above, some of the nouns in this class are derived from verbs though the nouns involve more concrete forms. When the suffix is attached to a verbal root, it usually but not always results in a mass noun of liquid or granular form. When the suffix is attached to an adjectival root, it leads to abstract nouns of varying qualities. In previous studies (Bodomo 1997; Bodomo \& Marfo 2006), this class is reported to contain only mass nouns with no mention of abstract nouns, so I provide data representing these nouns. The mass nouns here conceptually fit into the plural aspect of number and as a result, they are considered privative plural. This is shown below.

Class 10a: no singular; plural: -uy/-wy

| Root | Mass noun | Origin of noun |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bùùl- | búúl-ún | bùùl 'to stir' - liquid resulting from the act of stirring - porridge |
| vườr- | vúứr-!ún | vúòr 'to breathe' - air from the act of breathing - breath' |
| dúòr- | dúór-!úy | dúòr 'to urinate - liquid from this action - urine |
| jààr- | jààr-ún | jààr 'to mine' - particles/items obtained from this action - salt |

In the next set of examples, abstract nouns resulting from adjectives or verbs are shown. These nouns are syntactically derived by the addition of the derivational suffix to the adjectival root. Nouns in this group are conceptualized as not having plural forms and they therefore fit into the singular part of the number grid. They are privative singular forms.

Class 10b: singular: -uy/-oŋ, -luy/-loy, -ruy/-roŋ, -nuy/-noy; No plural

| Root | Gloss | Abstract noun | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fáá- | 'bad' | fàà-lón | 'badness' |
| bààl- | 'soft/weak' | bààl-ón | 'sickness' |
| vì̀̀l- | 'beautiful' | vì̀̀l-ón | 'beauty' |
| wég- | 'tall' | wég-!rón | 'tallness' |
| sǒn- | 'good' | sòn-nôn | 'goodness' |
| tíc̀r- | 'think', | tíćr-!úy | 'thought' |

In summary, the noun classes in Dagaare display an interplay of various phonological, phonological, syntactic and semantic processes. Nouns are made up of roots and suffixes which form the basis on which they are categorized into classes. They are classified based on the similarity of the singular suffixes and the similarity of plural suffixes. Three broad classes constitute the nominal system in Dagaare: phonological, phono-semantic and semantic class. In general, while recognizing that nouns and number formation is a product of the interaction between morphology and phonology, it is important to note that some syntactic and semantic factors contribute to the classification of Dagaare nouns.

### 3.2.3 Alternative proposal for Dagaare nominal morphology

The proposal made in this dissertation is that there is number marking on nouns partitioned on the basis of singular and plural marking involving -V and -CV suffixes. I assume singular nouns to involve both -V and -CV suffixes. There is however an alternative way of looking at this as proposed in Anttila \& Bodomo (2009). In this proposal, they claim that Dagaare number arises from two sources: phonology and morphology. Thus, the additional vowels in singular nouns are described as epenthetic for a number of reasons: (i) the presence of the additional vowel is phonologically predictable as it is required to satisfy minimality - a word must be minimally bimoraic; (ii) the quality of the vowel is predictable because it copies the harmonic features of the root to which it is attached; and (iii) the location of the vowel is predictable. Therefore, a case like bié 'child' involves the addition of the vowel /e/ after the /bi-/. A case like tùó 'baobab' involves the insertion of the high vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$ in the middle of the root. In this case, the vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is not a suffix and not an infix either. Rather, according to Anttila and Bodomo, it is a meaningless filler with no morphological affiliation. Finally, a case like wégè 'log' involves an additional vowel [ []
which is a copy of the root vowel. In contrast, the plural vowel is considered a piece of morphology - a suffix with underlying -V specified as [-round].

This proposal seems to work for nouns in some classes though it raises questions in others. For instance, one of the reasons for considering singular vowels as epenthetic is that they share the same harmonic features (e.g., tongue root, rounding, backness and height) with the vowel in the root. Also, the additional vowel for the singular is a copy of the preceding root vowel but if the root vowel is high [i, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}$ ], then the additional singular vowel is mid. This does not appear to always be the case because there are nouns whose root and suffix/added vowels do not necessarily share all harmonic features such as the following.

| (50) | Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bil- | bíl-áá | bíl-İ́ | 'anthill' |
|  | jır- | jír-áá | nír-Í | 'ant' |
|  | gor- | gór-áá | gór-íl | 'sickle' |
|  | vuur- | vúúr-ว̇ว́ | vúúr-íí | 'bellow' |
|  | dućl- | ḑél-!íl | đろél-غ̀ | 'egg' |
|  | kper- | kpér-í́ | kpér-éé | 'gizzard' |
|  | fer- | fér-ií | fér-éé | 'air potato' |
|  | dàày- | dàày-áá | dààn-Í | 'hearth' |
|  | ymàáy- | ymàáy-à | ymàán-ì | 'monkey' |

Though there is general tongue root agreement, there is no systematic agreement in other features such as rounding, backness and height. Also, none of these additional vowels in the singular forms is a copy of the root vowel. Moreover, even roots with high vowels which are expected to have mid vowels (according to the above proposal) as the singular marker, instead have low vowels. Another issue that arises with these nouns is whether the epenthetic vowels can be long. It must be mentioned that the differences may be dialect specific.

The suffix of some plural forms such as nir-Í 'ant-PL' is a copy of the root vowel which probably suggests that some plural nouns may be epenthetic vowels and not actual suffixes. So, in effect though these cases are consistent with some of the phonological generalisations made in Anttila and Bodomo (2009), some detailed assessment of the phonological conditions is probably still required. That is, in order to really assess this proposal, there is the need to look at conditions
where there is the presence or absence of the vowel, the quality of the vowel - conditions that involve final vowel deletion; conditions that involve vowel epenthesis and conditions that involve both deletion and epenthesis. The discussion here is not meant to establish which proposal is appropriate or otherwise. Rather it invites further examination of nominal morphology in Dagaare.

### 3.3 Syntax of aspect and number

### 3.3.1 Syntactic spine

The traditional domain of investigating aspect and number in many languages has always been morphology - inflectional morphology. In studies of Dagaare, aspect and number are classified as inflectional in the sense of morphological typology. It is therefore necessary to show that aspectual and number marking is syntactically significant. An approach that is relevant in developing a formal analysis of verbal and nominal inflection is the syntactic spine hypothesis as proposed in Déchaine et al., (2014) and Wiltschko (2014). The syntactic spine has to do with the elements that can occur with a particular syntactic head and where those elements occur. The spine consists of a set of functional categories which are hierarchically organized. In the proposals of Déchaine et al. and Wiltschko, each of the verbal and nominal spines is divided into sub domains which are associated with abstract grammatical functions including outer typing, anchoring, classification, and inner typing.

### 3.3.1.1 Verbal spine

Under this hypothesis, the verbal spine is like a template which houses the elements that occur with a verb, and in which position these elements occur. Within the clause/spine, the abstract grammatical functions - classification, outer typing, anchoring and inner typing - correspond respectively to vP , AspP, I (inflectional) P , and C (complementizer) P as shown below. Thus, classification is done at the vP level, providing a set of different events that are used grammatically to denote eventualities. The elements that are introduced at the AspP level provides different points of view to classes of events such as progressive, inchoative, perfective, etc. At the IP level, the eventuality perceived at AspP is placed with respect to some external dimension and at the CP level the whole clause is related to the wider context such as topic, focus, theme etc. In (51a-b), the core set of categories are shown in bracketed and tree structures respectively.
(51) V-SPINE (Déchaine et al., 2014; Wiltschko, 2014)
a. Core domain
[CP Comp [iP Infl [asP Aspect [uP v $\quad$ ] $]$ ]
b.

c. Prolific Domain
[CForce [Cfinite [Itense [Imodality [OuterVAsp [InnerVAsp [vp v $]$ ] $]$ ]] $]$

The core set of categories in (51a-b) are claimed to be universally apparent but individual languages are different in terms of how prolific their categories are. As seen in (51c), C-domain is sub-divided into Cforce and Cfiniteness; I-domain splits into Tense and Modality while Aspect in many languages is divided into outer and inner aspect. Bringing this approach to Dagaare, the elements that occur with the verb include tense, modality, aspect and polarity. In Dagaare, tense is split into past and future; polarity divides into negation and affirmation; modality involves habitual and repetitive marking while aspect splits into default and imperfective aspect. Consider the following examples illustrating the positions of functional categories.

| a. | Dàkúráá | dà | bá | là | dì | sáú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | PST | NEG | REP | eat.V | sao |
|  | 'Dakoraa did not eat sao again' |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { b. } & \text { Dàkúráá } & \text { dà } & \text { bá } & \text { là } & \text { dì-ré } & \text { sáú } \\ & \text { Dakoraa } & \text { PST } & \text { NEG } & \text { REP } & \text { eat-IPFV } & \text { sao } \\ & \text { 'Dakoraa was not eating sao again' }\end{array}$

| a. | Dàkúráá dà mǎy | sórí | ná | gán-ì |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | PST $\quad$ HAB | read.v | FOC | book-SG |

b. Dàkúráá dà mǎy sór-ò ná gán-ì

Dakoraa PST HAB read-IPFV FOC book-SG
'Dakoraa was always reading a book'

With polarity, the negation particle bá occurs preverbally while the focus/affirmative particle ná occurs post verbally. As shown in the following structure (54), this therefore suggests that the two polarity particles do not reside in the same position structurally. Thus, NegP is high up in the tree dominating vP while the FocP is under the maximal projection of the vP. Note that both negation and focus particle never occur together in a simple sentence because they are in complementary distribution semantically. Moreover, given that aspect marker is morphologically attached on the verbal root as a suffix, I consider it to be under the maximal projection of the verb. This is shown in the proposed structure below. As can be seen the tree contains various functional projections. These projections include tense [T], Negation Phrase [NegP], Modality Phrase [ModP] where habitual and repetitive features reside and the Focus Phrase [FocP] which contains the focus or affirmative marker.


Following the proposed structure in (54), the sentence in (52a) is structurally represented in (55a) while the sentence in (53a) is translated structurally in (55b).

b.


In both (55a), we see that tense, negative polarity and modality particles occur pre-verbally and are all higher on the tree dominating vP. The default aspectual suffix $(\varnothing)$ occurs to the right of the verb root and the postverbal element is empty because negative and positive polarity items do not co-occur in the same sentence in Dagaare. The structure in (55b) contain the postverbal particle ná and this means the absence of negation.

In summary, along the verbal spine in Dagaare, there are five functional categories, namely tense, negative polarity, modality which all occur before the verb head, aspect which is morphologically (overt or covert) attached to the verb and occurs to the right of the verbal root. Then the positive polarity particle is coded by the postverbal particle ná. In the next section, I present a syntactic discussion of how number interacts with nominal modifiers illustrating all categories that occur with the noun head. Before presenting how number is signaled in various nominal modifiers in Dagaare, I give an overview of the nominal spine as it will inform the discussion of various nominal elements in the subsequent sections.

### 3.3.1.2 Nominal spine

As with case in verbs, the nominal spine contains elements that occur with nouns. In the sense of Déchaine et al (2014) and Wiltschko (2014), the nominal spine has four universal divisions, namely nP, ClassP, DP and K (=case)P which respectively correspond to classification, outer typing, anchoring and inner typing. Though all four domains are universal, individual languages may be distinct in terms of how prolific each domain is. This is schematized below.

## N-SPINE

a. Core

b.

c. Prolific Domain
$\left[K_{\text {FORCE }}\left[K_{\text {FINITE }}\left[D_{\text {DEF }}\left[\mathrm{D}_{\text {SPEC }} \quad\right.\right.\right.\right.$ [OuterNAsp Sg/Pl [ImnerNAsp $\left.\left.\left.\operatorname{Sort}\left[\begin{array}{ll}n P n & ]\end{array}\right]\right]\right]\right]$

Nouns classify entities and kinds in the same way as verbs classify events/actions. Nominal inflection - number and/or gender - are used to classify entities as partitioned/individuated,
groups, mass, etc. Classification is done at the nP level; ClassP contains the inflectional features such as number or gender; DP is for entity anchoring and KP has the function to connect the whole DP to the wider context. In Dagaare, N -spine consists of the determiner, adjective/degree marker, number, demonstrative, quantifier and cardinal numeral. With these domains, I propose the following as the structure of the nominal spine in Dagaare. Except for the determiner, which is pre-nominal, all other categories are post-nominal.
(57) Dagaare N-spine
[D [MODIFIERS [class NUM [DEM ([Q [Numeral)]]
[DDeF [DindF [MOD ${ }_{\text {Adj }} /$ DEG [ classs sg/pl [DEMprox/Dist
These domains are further split into sub-domains. For instance, determiner is further split into overtly marked definite and null indefinite; number is split into singular, and plural based on the noun class; demonstratives sub-divide into proximal and distal. These domains within the spine will translate in the analysis of the nominal phrase.

### 3.3.1.3 Syntactic analysis of number in Dagaare noun phrase

As illustrated earlier a simple noun in Dagaare is made up of the root and a number suffix singular/plural. A recap of the structure of the Dagaare noun is illustrated below.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { [[ROOT] } \left.-[(\mathrm{SG} / \mathrm{PL})]_{\text {suffix }}\right]_{\text {Noun }}  \tag{58}\\
& \left.\left.\left[_{[p o ́ g}\right]_{\text {ROOT - }} \text { [ó] }\right]_{\text {SUFFIX }}\right]_{\text {NOUN }}
\end{align*}
$$

Structure of a noun


## Examples

a. Singular

b. plural


A noun phrase in Dagaare consists of the noun (as lexical head of the phrase) and optionally, one or more modifiers or a possessor (Angkaaraba, 1980; Bodomo, 1997; Bodomo \& Van Oostendorp, 1994). This is illustrated below. In (60a), there is just a bare noun in square brackets pógj́, which functions as the NP without any modifier. In (60b) the noun phrase is made up of the determiner $\grave{a}$ and the demonstrative $n a ́$ while in ( 60 c ) the phrase consists of the determiner, the noun head, an adjective and a demonstrative. All modifying elements are optional in a noun phrase.

| a. | Dàkúráa | dí | ná | [póg-ó] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | take.v | FOC | woman-sG |
|  |  | 'Dakoraa has taken/married a wife/woman' |  |  |


| b. | Dàkúráá | dí | ná | [à | póg-ó | ná $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | take.V | FOC | DET | woman-SG | DEM |


| c. | Dàkóráá | dí | ná | [à | pòg-vì̀l-áá | ná] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dakoraa | take.V | FOC | DET | woman-nice-SG | DEM |

Noun phrases are described and analyzed as phrases headed by nouns. Before Abney (1987), the noun phrase under the NP-hypothesis was assumed to be the projection of the noun with a determiner residing in the specifier position, as in the following examples in English and Dagaare in (61a) and (61b) respectively:


Abney however proposes that the determiner is actually the head of the noun phrase - the determiner being the head and the NP being its complement. There has not been much argumentation for or against either NP or DP, however. Within Lexical Functional Grammar (LFG) Bresnan et al., (2015) for instance remain skeptical as to which structure is preferrable. In the introduction to Tree-Adjoining Grammar (TAG), Frank (2004) considers the DP-hypothesis without arguing for it. Sag et al. (1999) assume the NP-hypothesis while Müller (2013) argues in favour of the NP structure. Within Minimalist syntax, Adger (2003) adopts the DP-hypothesis but does not provide arguments in its favour. The current study does not seek to establish which structure is the better option. The focus is to attempt to formalize how Dagaare noun phrases are examined in terms of the DP-hypothesis. Languages without overt articles are said to lack a Dlayer and therefore noun phrases are NPs and languages with articles have DPs because there is a D-layer (Bošković, 2009). Salzmann (2020) suggests two possible ways of interpreting and evaluating the DP-hypothesis. One way is by focusing on the category D and showing that it is or it is not the head. Another way is to determine if N is the head or some functional head within the noun phrase. Two fundamental diagnostics can be employed to determine what the head of a noun phrase is:

- the head and the complement are in an asymmetric relationship: the head selects the complement and can determine its features
- the features of the head are present on the maximal projection

The head-complement asymmetry is manifested in two areas; heads select their complements rather than vice versa and heads determine properties of their complements. The D-elements, 'the' for English and $\grave{a}$ for Dagaare require the presence of a noun. The reverse does not seem to hold as there are nouns which occur without a determiner as in bare plurals such as póg-bj́ 'women'.

The assumption here is that the noun does not contain a D-element. However, there is a debate on whether bare nouns contain a D-layer or at least a silent functional structure. Two analytical possibilities for bare nouns have been proposed (see Jenks, 2018; Salzmann, 2020). Bare nouns have silent head/material or bare nouns have no silent head. Therefore, under the DP-hypothesis, the noun phrase is a DP with silent D or just an NP and under the NP-hypothesis, the bare noun phrase will be an NP in both cases, either with a silent D or without it in its specifier position. In Mandarin for instance, Jenks (2018) claims that definite bare nouns are NPs and definite noun phrases headed by demonstratives are DPs. In light of the foregoing, I treat the noun phrase (with or without overt D) in Dagaare as DP. The assumption is that a bare noun in Dagaare is headed by a determiner ( $\mathrm{D)} \mathrm{which} \mathrm{may} \mathrm{be} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{definite} \mathrm{determiner} \grave{a}$ or a possessive. A proposed structure of a noun phrase is given below.
(62) DP structure in Dagaare


The determiner is the head with other modifiers as complements. NumeralP which is always high in the tree contains cardinal numbers, Q contains quantifiers like zàá 'all' and miné 'some' and DemP contains demonstratives. In this section, I present a discussion of nominal modifiers and how they interact with number inflection within the Dagaare noun phrase. Number marker is a functional head in the structure of nominal phrase (Carstens, 2001; Cinque, 1994; Hiraiwa et al., 2017; Ritter, 1991; Vicki, 2000). In Dagaare, number marking is not inflected only on nouns. It
also inflects on other elements of the phrase such as adjectives, demonstratives, and cardinal numerals. The projection Num is above the noun and below the DP (determiner phrase). Therefore, number in Dagaare occupies a syntactic head position and occurs below NP and DemP (demonstrative phrase) in the structure of a nominal phrase. In the sections that follow, each modifier and its role in number is examined in terms of syntactic structures.

### 3.3.1.3.1 Number on adjectives

In a noun phrase, Angkaaraba (1980) claims that a noun root takes a maximum of four adjectives while Bodomo (1993) and Bodomo \& Oostendorp (1993) adds that a maximum of five adjectives may follow a noun root. I argue however that there is no restriction on the number of adjectives that can occur after a noun. A noun head can be stacked with as many adjectives as naturally possible in a phrase. A proposed structure of noun-adjective phrase is illustrated in (63).

Noun-adjective nominal phrase


Let us now consider some examples which pattern with the above structure. The phrases in (6469) show the number of adjectives a noun head can take, ranging from one to six adjectives.
a. pòg-bíl-é
woman-small-SG
'a young woman'
b. pòg-bil-î̀
woman-small-PL
'young women'
(65)
(66)
(68)
a. pòg-bìl-w $\varepsilon$ g-ì
woman-small-tall-SG
'a young tall woman'
b. pòg-bìl-wég-rì
woman-small-tall-PL
'young tall women'
a. pòg-bìl-wég-zì-
woman-small-tall-red-SG
'a young tall fair woman’
b pòg-bìl-wég-zì̀-rí
woman-small-tall-red-PL
'young tall fair women'
a. pòg-bìl-wég-zì-vì̀l-áá
woman-small-tall-red-nice-SG
'a young tall fair beautiful woman'
b pòg-bìl-wég-zì-vìc̀l-1́
woman-small-tall-red-nice-PL
'young tall fair beautiful women'
a. pòg-bìl-wég-zì-vì̀ll-bààl-áá
woman-small-tall-red-nice-slim-SG
'a young tall fair beautiful slim woman'
b. pòg-bìl-wég-zì-vìc̀l-bààl-Í
woman-small-tall-red-nice-slim-PL
'young tall fair beautiful slim women'
a. pòg-bìl-wég-zì-vìc̀l-bààl-š̌y
woman-small-tall-red-nice-slim-good.sG 'a young tall fair beautiful slim good woman'
b pàg-bìl-wég-zì-vìzll-bààl-sòn-ní
woman-small-tall-red-beautiful-slim good-PL
'young tall fair beautiful slim good women'
Number does not always attach to only nouns in Dagaare. For instance, observe that the rightmost adjective in the phrase is always inflected for number. That is when the adjective is added to the noun head, the number marker appears on the adjective. So, if the noun head has more than one adjective, the number appears on the last one. From the examples above, it can be assumed that the nominal root conditions number marking. Then when an adjective or a string of adjectives modifies the noun, the form of the adjective determines the phonological shape of the number suffix - the adjective enforces the surface realization of the number suffix. The number marking on the adjectives corresponds to what is observed with nouns. Similar to nouns, l-final adjectival roots always take a vowel to mark both singular and plural; g-final adjectival root assumes a vowel for singular and -ri/-rI for plural while nasal final root takes -ni/-nı for plural.

The above examples provide a clue that number is marked via head movement. Particularly, based on lexical feature system hypothesis and the universal hierarchy hypothesis (Chomsky, 1968; Cinque, 1994; Miyagawa, 1987), adjectives have [ +N ] feature and are higher than nouns in noun phrases. Therefore, following these hypotheses, it is plausible to claim that the occurrence of number on adjectives in noun phrases as in (64-69) is associated with lexical features. Given that adjectives have a number feature and higher in the tree than nouns, they become possible candidates for head movement to NUM - the functional head which attracts the nearest head with a nominal feature. So, in Dagaare, when an adjective co-occurs with a noun in a phrase, the
adjective phrase which has $[+\mathrm{N}]$ feature moves to Num for number checking. Consider the following syntactic structures.
(70)

b.


The structures in (70) above show that adjectives block number on nouns i.e., in the presence of an adjective in a noun phrase, a noun does not take number in Dagaare - a noun cannot move to NUM in the presence of an adjective. Thus, phrases like those in (71) are ruled out because of the co-occurrence of number-marked noun and a number-marked adjective.
a. *pòg-ò-bíl-é
woman-SG-small-SG
b. *pòg-bò-bìl-íl
woman-PL-small-PL

The ill-formedness in the above phrases therefore suggests that the entity that moves in a Dagaare noun phrase to select the number marker is the entity that attracts the nearest head with a nominal feature. This is because number is not just a feature on nouns and adjectives but a functional head that attracts nearest head with number feature.

More support to the claim that number in Dagaare is marked through head movement arises from the coordination of adjectives in the language. Nouns can be coordinated; adjectives cannot be coordinated. The following sentences are illustrations.
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { a. } & \text { Dàkúráá } & \text { dǎ } & \text { ná } & \text { [gám-à } & \text { nî } & \text { kpǎr-ì] } \\ & \begin{array}{llllll}\text { Dakoraa } \\ \text { 'Dakoraa has bought books } & \text { buyd shirts' }\end{array} & \text { FOC } & \text { book-PL } & \text { CNJ } & \text { shirt-PL }\end{array}\right]$

The sentences in (72a and c) are grammatical but (72b \& d) are not. In (72a), gám-à nǐ kpǎr-Ì shows coordination of two noun phrases. The sentence in (72b) is ungrammatical because of the coordination of the two adjectives zié 'red' and bilé 'small'. I argue that (72b) is ruled out by the Coordinate Structure Constraint (Ross, 1967). That is, the ungrammaticality suggests that the adjective bil- moves out of the coordinate structure to select the number suffix $-e$ and that is a violation of the coordinate Structure Constraint. In (72c), the conjunction is dropped with the two adjectives modifying the noun, hence the phrase is well-formed as the adjectives are not coordinated. For (72d), the conjunction and the number suffix of the second adjective are omitted but the sentence still remains ungrammatical because the last adjective which is closer to Num lacks a number suffix. Rather the number is realized on the first adjective.

### 3.3.1.3.2 Number on ideophones

As cited in Bodomo (2006), the term ideophone, often credited to Doke (1935), is a vivid representation or depiction of an idea or a sensory experience in sound or in marked forms. Ideophones represent ideas through onomatopoeia. In Dagaare ideophones have specific morphophonological, syntactic and semantic properties which are not present in other word classes. Below are some ideophones in Dagaare.

| vàrkpàrà | 'in a messy way' |
| :--- | :--- |
| bìlfìlì̀ | 'extremely huge/fat' |
| bòjgòlón | 'unwieldly huge and round' |
| fàràtà | 'very tall and straight' |
| bìlbàlàà | 'extremely huge (lying down)' |
| yólyól | 'of finer quality (e.g., extremely beautiful)' |
| pغ̀tèy | 'wide and flat' |
| vèlvèl | 'long and straight' |

As Bodomo (2006) notes ideophones do not lend themselves to morphosyntactic modifications. That is, though it is possible for ideophones to be part of structures like noun phrases, they do not overtly exhibit inflection of any sort. Therefore, in order to express the plurality of a particular concept such as extremely tall persons or huge and round logs/sticks, speakers will normally repeat or reduplicate the ideophone to express more than one entity/concept while leaving its internal morphology unchanged. The repeated or reduplicated ideophone is always directly opposite to the preceding ideophone in terms of tone. That is, if the initial ideophone is $L$ toned, the one following it is always H toned. Here are some examples in which ideophones modify the nouns: dój' 'man' and $t i ̀-\varepsilon ́$ 'tree'
a. dòò-fàràtà
man-IDEO
'an extremely tall and straight man'
b dòò-fàràtà fárátá
man-IDEO IDEO
'extremely tall and straight men'
a. tì-bòngòl̀̀y
tree-IDEO
'an extremely huge and rounded tree'

| b tì-bòngòlòy | bóngólón |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | tree-IDEO |
|  | IDEO |
|  | 'extremely huge and rounded trees ' |

Though there is no overt marker of inflection on the ideophones, it can be assumed that they are inherently marked with number when they occur with a noun. This is because when the ideophone is attached to the noun, the number suffix of the noun is dropped as in $t i-\varepsilon$ ' 'tree' which reduces to $t i$ - when the ideophone is added. Therefore, following the behaviour of adjectives in a noun phrase, it can be argued that number on ideophones is morphologically covert when they modify nouns.

### 3.3.1.3.3 Number on demonstratives

In terms of number marking, demonstratives agree with the nouns with which they co-occur. There is agreement between demonstratives and all noun classes. In the plural form, the proximal demonstrative follows human vs. non-human nouns; the singular does not exhibit human/nonhuman contrast. The distal demonstrative follows a neutral pattern - it has no human/non-human contrast and no singular/plural contrast. These distinctions are shown as follows: (i) yá occurs with any singular noun (human or non-human) as shown in (76a \& 77a); (ii) bá-mà is a plural form of $\eta a ́$ and it occurs with only plural human nouns as in (76b); (iii) $\grave{a}$-mà is another plural form of $\eta \dot{a}$ but for non-human plural nouns as illustrated in (77b) and finally (iv) ná is number-neutral which co-occurs with both singular and plural nouns as in (78-79). An instance in which a human noun occurs with a non-human demonstrative, or a non-human noun occurs with a human demonstrative result in semantically anomalous phrases as in (80a-b). The (non)human markers behave like prefixes to the demonstratives thereby suggesting that number on nominal expressions may not be entirely suffixal.

| a. | à $\quad$ bí-é | yá |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DET child-SG | DEM.PXL.SG |  |
|  | 'This child' |  |  |
| b | à | bíí-rí | bá-mà |
|  | DET child-PL | HUM.PL-DEM.PXL.PL |  |
|  | 'These children' |  |  |

a. à

ŋá
DET goat- SG DEM.PXL.SG
'This goat'
b. à bứ̛́-rí á-mà

DET goat-PL NONHUM.PL-DEM.PXL.PL
'These goats'
(78)
a. à bí-é ná

DET child-SG DEM.DIST
'That child'

| à | bí1-rí | ná |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DET | child-PL | DEM.DIST |
| 'Those children' |  |  |

(79)
a. à bú-ó ná

DET goat-SG DEM.DIST
'That goat'
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { b } & \text { à } & \text { búú-rí } & \text { ná } \\ & \text { DET } & \text { goat-PL } & \text { DEM.DIST }\end{array}$
'Those goats'
(80)

| a. | *à | bíí-rí | á-mà |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DET | child-PL | NONHUM.PL-DEM.PXL.PL |
| b. | *à | búú-rí | bá-mà |
|  | DET | goat-PL | HUM.PL-DEM.PXL.PL |

Unlike adjectives in NPs which assume the number marker, with demonstratives, both the noun head and the demonstrative are marked for number - they agree in number. Thus, demonstratives are different from adjectives in the sense that they do not take the number marker in place of the
noun. Therefore, omitting the number suffix on the noun in the presence of a demonstrative leads to ungrammatical phrase as in (81a-b).
a. *à bí yá
DET child DEM.PXL.SG
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { b } & \text { *à } & \text { bí } & \text { bá-mà } \\ & \text { DET } & \text { child } & \text { HUM.PL-DEM.PXL.PL }\end{array}$

The structure of a noun phrase involving demonstratives is given in (82) which patterns with the examples above.
(82)

b.


As can be seen on the trees, both the noun and the demonstrative mark number separately, illustrating that the demonstrative is separate from the noun phrase. It should be mentioned also that when an adjective and a demonstrative co-occur in a Dagaare noun phrase, the adjective assumes the number marker which agrees with that of the demonstrative. See the following examples.

| a. | pòg-wég-ì | yá |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | woman-SG | DEM.PXL.SG |
|  | 'That tall woman' |  |

b

| pàg-wég-rì | bá-mà |
| :--- | :--- |
| woman-tall-PL | HUM.PL-DEM.PXL.PL |
| 'Those tall women' |  |

Overall, with all these examples and the structures, it easy to conclude that there is an interaction between number and [ $\pm$ human] features in Dagaare and this interaction manifests on demonstratives. In the next section I present cardinal numerals and how they mark number when they occur with nouns.

### 3.3.1.3.4 Number on cardinal numerals

Cardinal numerals in Dagaare agree in number inflection with the nouns with which they occur. That is, cardinal numbers are number sensitive. For instance, the singular cardinal number (one) occurs with singular nouns while all cardinals ranging from two occur with plural nouns. Similar to demonstratives, cardinal numbers exhibit a two-way distinction with regards to occurring with human and non-human nouns. The particles that mark the human vs non-human distinction occur before the number thereby suggesting they are prefixes or roots. The following examples illustrate the preceding description with the nouns bié - biírí 'child' and báá - báárí 'dog'.
a. bì-jên
child-one
'one child'
b bíi-rí bá-jì
child-PL HUM.PL-two
'two children'
a. bà-jên
dog-one
'one dog'
b. báá-rí á-jì
dog-PL NONHUM.PL-two
'two dogs'

In (84a \& 85a), when a singular cardinal number occurs after a singular noun, the suffix for the noun is always dropped, with the cardinal numeral presumably taking up the position of the singular marker. In other words, when a singular noun is modified by a numeral that is cardinality of one, the singularity of the noun is coded by the numeral (one). With a cardinality of two, there is number on both the noun and the numeral as shown in ( $84 \mathrm{~b} \& 85 \mathrm{~b}$ ). This suggests that the cardinal number is distinct from the noun phrase. These examples are structurally translated below.

b


The structures are the same as those of the demonstratives. There however exist some differences. In a noun phrase containing a demonstrative, both the noun and the demonstrative must mark number either for singular or plural such that dropping a number suffix leads to ungrammaticality. With numerals however, a singular suffix becomes null whenever the noun occurs with the numeral with the cardinality of one. For example, sentences like the one (87) are ungrammatical because the noun is number with a singular suffix.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { *bí-é } & \text { jên }  \tag{87}\\
\text { child-SG } & \text { one }
\end{array}
$$

The singular suffix must not be present in order to have a grammatical phrase. The numeral therefore plays the role of the singular suffix.

### 3.3.1.3.5 Number and quantifiers

Finally, quantifiers may be part of the noun phrase in Dagaare. A quantifier is a word which indicates the scope of a term to which it attached; it is a determiner to a noun head indicative of quantity. In Dagaare, the particles that denote quantification are kà yá 'some/one', miné 'some' and zàá 'all'. When the noun in a phrase is plural, it may occur with either zàá or miné but never kàyá and when the noun is singular the particle is always kàyá. Quantifiers are illustrated with these phrases in (89a-c) followed by the phrase representations in (90a-b)
$\begin{array}{llr}\text { a. póg-́ } & \text { kàyá } \\ & \text { woman-SG } & \text { INDF } \\ & \text { 'some/one woman' }\end{array}$
b. póg-bó mìné woman-PL some
'some women'
c. póg-bó zàá
woman-PL all
'all women'
(89)

b.


Number always shows up on the noun corresponding to a particular quantifier. When the noun is singular, it occurs with kàyá and when the noun is plural, it occurs with either mine 'some' or zaa 'all'. The absence of a number suffix on the noun will lead to ungrammaticality. All possible modifiers that form the spine of noun phrase have been discussed. When they all occur in a single phrase, the adjective always inflects for number, the numeral always inflects for number and if not number-neutral, the demonstrative always inflects for number. The following phrase in (91) has the direct structural representation below.

| à | pòg-wég-rì | ná | zàá | bá-tà |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DET | woman-tall-PL | DEM.DIST | all | HUM.PL-three | 'all those three tall women'



In summary, nominal inflection involves nouns, and various nominal modifiers. At the word-level, number occurs once in both simple and compound forms. When nouns occur on their own, number occurs as a suffix attaching to the root; when a noun is modified by an adjective or a string of adjectives, the last adjective takes the number in lieu of the noun. With ideophonic degree words, there is no morphologically overt number when they occur after a noun head, but it is assumed that number is covertly marked. To express plural with ideophones, a repetition or reduplication is required. For demonstratives and numerals, number is marked on both the noun and the modifier.

### 3.4 Conclusion

In this chapter, I have discussed the inflectional morphology involving aspectual marking or verbs and number marking on nouns. Inflection in both verbs and nouns has suffixal morphology. Previous studies described verbs as involving a perfective vs imperfective contrast. In this study I have provided evidence that points to the conclusion that verbs in Dagaare mainly consist of a default form and an imperfective form. The previously termed perfective, which always has a high front vowel as the suffix, is the default form. Moreover, there is no evidence of perfective interpretation whenever it occurs with particles such as tense markers. The high vowel does not play any particular role apart from fulfilling some phonotactic requirement such as epenthesis. It agrees in tongue root harmony with the verbal root, but its shape remains unchanged irrespective of the shape of the vowel in the root. The behaviour of this high vowel for default verbs in Dagaare seems to be similar in other Mabia languages but further investigation is required in these languages to assess this claim. For the imperfective form, inflection involves a suffix whose vowel quality always depends on the vowel of the root but is consistently a non-high vowel. This suffix has a dedicated progressive meaning regardless of whether it occurs with past or future tense or if it occurs in imperative context. Given the nature of the default and imperfective forms, I propose that verbal inflection is associated with an interplay of morphology with phonology as well as syntax. That is, morphological structures such as roots determine the phonological forms of the suffixes. With morphosyntax, the default form can occur in different syntactic environments without a particular fixed or dedicated meaning whereas the imperfective always has a progressive reading irrespective of the syntactic frame in which it occurs.

Inflection on nouns presents a more complex situation involving morphophonology, morphosyntax and morphosemantics. Nouns in Dagaare are categorized into classes based on the choice of singular and plural suffixes. This involves ten distinct classes which I classify into three broad groups: phonological, phono-semantic, and semantic classes. Number inflection is effected by various phonological processes such as harmony, vowel lengthening; morphosyntactic processes such as derivation and semantic factors like individuation.

The traditional domain of investigating number in Dagaare has mainly been on the morphophonology of nouns. However, number is not limited to just nouns. Rather it inflects on adjectives, ideophonic degree words, demonstratives and cardinal numerals. Following the
syntactic spine and the DP-hypothesis, I have examined the noun phrase structure in Dagaare showing the elements that can occur with the noun head and how number is inflected on these elements in the Dagaare noun phrase. I have shown that the noun can be followed by adjectives, demonstratives, numerals, quantifiers etc. When an adjective or string of adjectives occurs after a noun head, number is marked on the rightmost adjective (in case there are more than one adjective) and not on the noun. This is because the adjective has a number feature and then when it occurs with a noun in a phrase, it takes on the number suffix in place of the noun. The noun conditions number marking while the adjectives determine the phonological shape of the suffix. In related fashion, degree words such as ideophones also participate in number marking when they occur as nominal modifiers. Ideophones do not undergo any morphological modifications as they do not lend themselves to inflection but when an ideophone occurs with a noun, the number suffix of the noun is elided, thereby suggesting that the number on a noun-ideophone phrase occurs covertly on the ideophone for the singular. With regards to plural, the ideophone on the noun is reduplicated to denote more than one concept.

With demonstratives, the number on a given noun must agree with a given demonstrative. In the plural form, the proximal demonstrative follows human vs. non-human nouns; the singular does not exhibit human/non-human contrast. The distal demonstrative follows a neutral pattern - it has no human/non-human contrast and no singular/plural contrast. Unlike with adjectives, both the noun and the demonstrative inflect for number. Similarly cardinal numerals behave just like demonstratives. A numeral that has cardinality of one always occurs with singular nouns, in which case the numeral always assumes the position of the singular suffix on the noun. Any numeral with cardinality of two and beyond always occurs with plural nouns and in this case both the noun and the numeral inflect for number. Overall, the behaviour of verbs in aspectual inflection and that of nouns in number inflection triggers some curiosity about how inflection works in related dialects of Dagaare and other Mabia languages.

## Chapter 4: Harmony patterns

### 4.1 Introduction

Vowel harmony is a cross-linguistically common phenomenon which differs from language to language in terms of phonological properties and degree of productivity, ranging from robustly productive, less productive to diachronically decayed systems. It is a pervasive phonological pattern which is well attested in African languages of the Niger-Congo (Casali, 2003, 2008), Chadic (Pearce and Lovestrand 2022), Nilo-Saharan family (Rose, 2018). A considerable number of non-African languages from various geographic regions and language families also exhibit various vowel harmony systems. For more discussion of harmony systems outside African languages, see Casali $(2008,2022)$, Archangeli \& Yip (2019), Rose \& Walker 2011, Van der Hulst, (2018)

In harmony, neighbouring vowels in some domain agree with each other in terms of some distinctive feature (Bakovic, 2000, 2003). These features are referred to as harmonic features (Pulleyblank 2002; Walker 2012). In terms of phonological dimensions within which harmony operates, the commonest vowel harmony types which have been identified and reported include harmony involving advancement and retraction of tongue root (ATR/RTR harmony), front/back dimension (palatal harmony), rounding (labial harmony) and height. The greatest concentration of languages unquestionably considered as having ATR harmony occurs within the Niger-Congo and Nilo-Saharan language phyla (Casali 2003, 2008). Other harmony types such as rounding/labial, though not as productive and pervasive as tongue root harmony, are also reported in some African languages. For instance rounding is reported in the Fante dialect of Akan (Dolphyne, 1988; O’Keefe, 2004), Nawuri (Casali, 1995), Tafi (Bobuafor, 2013) and a number of Mbam languages of Niger-Congo (Boyd, 2015) while the majority of Chadic languages are reported to exhibit height harmony and/or fronting and rounding harmony (Pearce \& Lovestrand 2022).

Discussion on vowel harmony in Dagaare has been severely limited with only a brief description of ATR and rounding harmony in (Bodomo, 1997). (Kuubezelle \& Akanlig-Pare, 2017) also provided an analysis of tongue root [TR] harmony in Northern Dagaare (Dagara). Moreover, there is an ongoing study (Akinbo et al. in prep) which focuses specifically on the phonetics of Dagaare ATR harmony. The objective of this chapter therefore is to give a comprehensive description and analysis of both ATR and rounding/backness harmony in Dagaare. The chapter presents an account
for the harmony patterns that manifest in Dagaare based on theoretical models as Optimality Theory - OT (Mccarthy, 2011; McCarthy \& Prince, 1993b; Prince \& Smolensky, 2004a). The chapter proceeds as follows: Section 4.2 presents the vowel inventory structure, ATR harmony in nouns, verbs, possessive phrases and compounds as well as the status of low vowels. In section 4.3, rounding and other harmonies involving the imperfective are described. This section also discusses the status of the low vowels in rounding/backness harmony. Sections 4.4-4.5 present an overview of various OT approaches to vowel harmony analysis. The formal account of tongue root harmony in terms of OT in 4.6 while rounding is presented in section 4.7. Section 4.8 provides a conclusion of the chapter.

### 4.2 Tongue root harmony: vowel inventory structure

An issue of recurrent interest in descriptive, theoretical and typological considerations of tongue harmony systems is the topic of value asymmetries. It has long been known by linguists that there exist languages in which [RTR] vowels regularly assimilate to [ATR] vowels (Archangeli \& Pulleyblank, 1994; Bakovic, 2000; Casali, 2003, 2008, 2022). Typical examples include Degema and Diola-Fogny, a Maasai language. Conversely there are equally widely attested cases in which tongue root harmony languages such as Yoruba (Archangeli \& Pulleyblank, 1989) are analysed in terms of spreading [RTR] feature.

The dominant tongue root value in a language correlates strongly with the vowel inventory structure of the language (Casali 2016, 2022). There are languages that show tongue root contrast in high vowels and languages in which tongue root position is contrastive only in non-high vowels. Languages that show high-vowel contrast are termed /2IU/ languages and those that exhibit contrast in mid vowels are referred to as /1IU/ (Casali, 2008, 2022).

In terms of $/ 2 \mathrm{IU} /$ systems, some languages involve fully-balanced (symmetric) systems with equal numbers of [ATR] and [RTR] vowels - each [ATR] vowel phonemically contrasts and often alternates harmonically with a [RTR] vowel with which it varies only in tongue root position. /2IU/ systems involve languages which show contrast in high vowels. A balanced /2IU/ system is a tenvowel system as found in Degema (Fulop et al., 1998; Kari, 2007; Casali 2022). Moreover, there are asymmetric /2IU/ cases involving seven- and nine-vowel systems such as Kinande and Akan respectively. The attested inventories are shown below for Akan and Kinande.
(1) Nine-vowel /2IU/ inventory (e.g., Akan, Maasai)

| /i/ | /u/ |
| :---: | :---: |
| /I/ | /0/ |
| le/ | /o/ |
| $1 \varepsilon /$ | /2/ |
|  |  |

(2) Seven-vowel /2IU/ inventory (e.g., Kinande, Lugungu)

| li/ |  | $/ \mathrm{u} /$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /I/ |  | $/ v /$ |
| $/ \varepsilon /$ |  | 10 |

Languages under /1IU/ systems exhibit contrast only in mid vowels. A typical example is Yoruba (Casali 2008, 2022). This is illustrated below.
(3) Seven-vowel /1IU/ inventory

| li/ | lu/ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| le/ |  | lo/ |
| le/ |  | lo/ |
|  | la/ |  |

Dagaare, previously reported as a nine-vowel system (Bodomo, 1997) is a /2IU/ system given the contrast in high vowels. Based on Bodomo's claim, Dagaare can be considered as an asymmetric system involving four pairs of contrastive non-low vowels and a non-contrastive [RTR] low vowel [a]. Recent studies (Angsongna \& Akinbo, 2022; Ozburn et al., 2018) show that Dagaare has ten contrastive vowels, representing a fully-symmetric balanced system involving equal numbers [ATR] and [RTR] vowels. The low vowel [a] in these studies has been found to have an advanced counterpart [ə]. Therefore, there are five [ATR] vowels and five [RTR] vowels. Below is the tenvowel inventory of Dagaare.
(4) Ten-vowel /2IU/ inventory of Dagaare

| /i/ | /u/ |
| :---: | :---: |
| /I/ | /0/ |
| /e/ | /o/ |
| $\mid \varepsilon /$ | /o/ |
|  |  |
|  |  |

With this system, harmony is typically manifested in two ways: (i) within a root morpheme, all vowels must generally come from the same tongue root [TR] set of vowels - [ATR] and [RTR] vowels do not occur together root-internally and (ii) affix vowels alternate harmonically in their surface tongue root quality in agreement with vowels of the root to which they are adjoined.
(5) Root-internal and root- to - suffix tongue root harmony

| ATR | Gloss | RTR | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tù-ó | 'baobab-SG' | bú-ó | 'goat-SG' |
| bí-é | 'child-SG' | pí-غ́ | 'roof-SG' |
| bùg-ó | 'silo-SG' | bùn-ó | 'donkey-SG' |
| nə̀nìg-é | 'thief-SG' | zòmìn-غ́ | 'friend-SG' |

The suffix vowels in these examples harmonize with the vowels of the roots. This kind of assimilation has been commonly discussed under the term root-control (Bakovic, 2000, 2003; Clements, 1985). Such symmetric patterns, according to (Casali, 2003) correlate with the general assumption that $/ 2 \mathrm{IU} /$ languages have the tendency of showing [ATR] dominance - a case in which an [RTR] suffix shows up as [ATR] by virtue of an [ATR] root.

However, by themselves these symmetric patterns do not always provide explicit evidence for considering either tongue root value as the dominant, spreading feature. Therefore, if such patterns are what a particular language has, then it is plausible to assume that both [ATR] and [RTR] features pattern symmetrically in all relevant environments, thereby suggesting that harmony is totally a matter of root-control. So that, if the root contains vowels of ATR value, the suffix assimilates that feature and if the root is has RTR vowels, the suffix takes on the RTR feature.

Languages in which [ATR] features pattern symmetrically are reported to be uncommon, but it is possible to find cases where [ATR] is the spreading feature in some $/ 2 \mathrm{IU} /$ languages. Following Casali (2002, 2003; 2022), the most straightforward kind of evidence of [ATR] spreading in /2IU/ languages involves cases in which [ATR] affixes spread their ATR property onto adjacent [RTR] roots. Leftward spreading of [ATR] quality from an [ATR] suffix onto preceding root vowels is a typical case in Maasai as illustrated with the following examples in (Casali 2008: 514)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. } \quad \dot{\varepsilon} \text {-súj } & \text { 's/he will follow' }  \tag{6}\\
& \text { 3sg-follow }
\end{array}
$$

b. e-suj-ie 's/he will follow using it (e.g. a car) 3sg-follow-INSTR

Casali (2022) identifies several other patterns that are possibly representative of [ATR] dominance which are found in $/ 2 \mathrm{IU} /$ languages. These include (i) spreading (mostly leftward) of [ATR] across word boundaries and/or between adjacent roots in compounds (ii) systematic preservation of [ATR] in coalescence of adjacent vowels of opposite [RTR] values (e.g. $/ \varepsilon+\mathrm{i} / \rightarrow$ [e]), (iii) a pattern termed allophonic [ATR] dominance in which a phonemically unpaired [RTR] vowels (mostly /a/) has a predictable [ATR] allophone that occurs only in contexts where its tongue root value is simply attributable to spreading from neighbouring [ATR] vowels and (iv) a pattern (termed weak assimilatory [ATR] dominance). This pattern according to Casali (2003) involves cases in which some suffix in a word harmonises for [ATR] with root morphemes in some contexts but not others or it does not harmonise but surfaces invariably in [RTR] form. Whether Dagaare aligns with one or some of these patterns is not immediately known. In the upcoming sections, we will examine these issues.

### 4.2.1 Tongue root harmony in nouns: alternations in number suffixes

A simple noun in Dagaare consists of a noun root or the root and a suffix. The suffixes that occur with nouns are number suffixes, singular and plural. These suffixes vary depending on the class of the noun. Refer to chapter 3 for discussion of the noun class system of Dagaare. See also (Bodomo, 1997; Bodomo \& Marfo, 2006) for a list of the noun class suffixes. If the noun is made up of only the root, all vowels are always within the domains of a particular tongue root feature as in the following.
(7) Root internal harmony

| ATR |  | RTR |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| túú | 'forest.SG' | kj̀lớn | 'well. SG' |
| dàjúú | 'rat. SG' | tònzûy | 'headpad. SG' |

Then if there are number suffixes, they alternate harmonically with the roots. That is, the vowels in the suffix agree in tongue root specifications with the vowels in the root. Consider the examples below (8a-b). The vowel of the suffix is [ATR] when the preceding root contains an [ATR] vowel; the suffix vowel is [RTR] when vowel in the preceding root is [RTR].
(8) Nominal suffixes
a. -V suffixes
ATR RTR

| zí-é | 'place-SG' | tì-é | 'tree-SG' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dù-ó | 'warthog-SG', | dò-ó | 'dawadawa-SG' |
| tíg-é | 'feast-PL' | tín-é | 'ground-SG |
| bùg-ó | 'silo-SG' | bò̀-ó | 'donkey-SG |
| kóg-ò | 'mahogany-SG' | lóy-ó | 'frog-SG' |
| lǒy-ò | 'hourglass drum-SG' | sóy-ó | 'basket-SG' |

b. -CV suffixes

| ATR |  | RTR |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jí-rì | 'house-SG' | píírì | 'sheep-PL' |
| bíírí | 'child-PL' | sít-rí 'waist-PL' |  |
| kùù-rí | 'hoe-SG' | búv́-rí 'goat-PL' |  |
| tò-bó | 'ear-PL' | kó-bó 'bone-PL' |  |
| tíg-rí | 'feast-SG' | síg-rí 'hut-PL' |  |
| lín-ní | 'lid-PL' | sìn-ní 'deep water pot-PL' |  |

In the next section, I give examples demonstrating that the domain of harmony in Dagaare also includes verbal morphology, triggering alternations in aspectual suffixes.

### 4.2.2 Tongue root harmony in verbs: alternations in aspectual suffixes

The basic verbal inflectional morphology in Dagaare consists of the verb root and an aspectual suffix. The selection of the verb form is determined by the aspectual context, which has been described in terms of a perfective vs. imperfective contrast (Bodomo, 1997; Saanchi, 2003). As discussed in chapter 3, I refer to the so-called perfective form as a default verb form which is composed of just the verb root and a suffix, or it may just be the root with no overt suffix. The suffix, if present always contains the front high vowel $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ whose tongue root value is dependent on the value of the vowel in the root. The suffix vowel never changes regardless of the environment in which it occurs. In terms of the imperfective, there are always two overt morphemes: root followed by either a -V suffix or a -CV suffix. Unlike the default case, this suffix marks imperfective and nothing else. Descriptively, the imperfective suffix is either a vowel, [rV] or [nV] with the vowel quality determined by the phonology. That is, the tongue root quality of the vowel in the suffix is determined by the tongue root value of the vowels in the verbal root. (Refer to chapter 3 for discussion of inflectional morphology). Now, consider the following verbs and the harmonic alternation between roots and suffixes.
(9) Verbal suffixes
a. -V suffixes
i. Default

## ATR

| wùlì | 'show.V' | bòrì | 'sow.V' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pùòrì | 'greet.v' | kùj̀rì 'sell.v' |  |
| háźrì | 'yawn.v' | háárì | 'shoo.v' |

ii. Imperfective

ATR

| wùl-ó | 'show-IPFV', | bùr-ó 'sow- IPFV' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pùòr-ó | 'greet-IPFV' | kò̀r-ó 'sell-IPFV' |
| háór-!ə | 'yawn- IPFV' | háár-!á 'shoo- IPFV' |

b. -CV suffixes
i. Default

ATR

| kpìnnì | 'extinguish.v' | pènnì 'rest.v' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nùnnì | 'smell.v' | zànnì 'learn.v' |
| lònnì | 'hurry.v', | mánnì 'describe.V' |

ii. imperfective

| ATR |  | RTR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dì-ré | 'eat- IPFV', | dí-!ré 'take- IPFV' |
| túú-rò | 'dig- IPFV' | túv́-rò 'insult- IPFV' |
| dúó-rò | 'climb- IPFV' | dứó-rò 'weed-IPFV' |
| síg-rè | 'descend- IPFV' | ség-rè 'write-IPFV' |
| pùg-ró | 'praise- IPFV' | dứg-rò 'boil/cook- IPFV' |

As in the case of the nominal suffixes, the vowel of the aspectual marker is either ATR or RTR depending on the verbal root. Observe also that aside from the agreement in tongue root quality between the suffix and verbal root, there is also agreement in rounding/backness and low feature between the imperfective suffix and the verbal root. This is further discussed in section 4.3 below.

### 4.2.3 Alternations in possessive CV pronouns

The domain of tongue root harmony in Dagaare is not limited to only nominal and verbal suffixes. There are proclitics/prefixes which also undergo tongue root harmony. Until recently (Archangeli and Pulleyblank 2022; Akinbo et al. in prep) where proclitics have been mentioned in tongue root harmony, they were rarely mentioned in previous studies. In the examples that follow, non-low prefix vowels alternate between advanced and retracted vowels based on the tongue root quality of the following root vowel. In (10a), the second person singular possessive is [fù] when the following nominal root vowel is [ATR], but [fù] when the following vowel is [RTR]. Similarly, in (10b), the first-person possessive plural is [tì] when following an [ATR] root vowel and it is [tì] when the following root vowel is [RTR]
(10) Harmonic proclitics
a. 2 SG possessive pronoun

ATR

| fù=bíí-rí $\quad$ 'your children' | fờ=píí-rì 'your sheep' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{SG}=$ child-PL | $2 \mathrm{SG}=$ sheep- PL |


| fù=kóg-ó 'your chair' fò=póg-ó 'your woman/wife' |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{SG}=$ chair-SG | $2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{y}$, |

$2 S G=$ chair-SG
$2 S G=$ woman $-S G$

| fù-wì-rí $\quad$ 'your horse' | fù-dớg-ì | 'your pot' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{SG}=$ horse- SG | $2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{pot-SG}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| fù=dè-rí $\quad$ 'your houses' | fù=wég-rì | 'your logs' |
| $2 \mathrm{SG}=$ house- PL | $2 \mathrm{SG}=$ log- PL |  |

b. 1PL possessive pronoun

ATR
RTR

| tì=bií-rí 'our children' | tì=píí-rì 'our sheep' |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1PL=child- PL | $1 \mathrm{PL}=$ sheep - PL |
| tì=kóg-ó 'our chair' | tì=póg-'́ 'our woman' |
| 1PL = chair- SG | $1 \mathrm{PL}=$ woman -SG |
| tì=wì-rí 'our horse' | tì=dưg-ì 'our pot' |
| $1 \mathrm{PL}=$ horse -PL | 1PL -pot- SG |
| tì=dè-rí 'our houses' | tì=wég-rì 'our pot' |
| $1 \mathrm{PL}=$ house -PL | $1 \mathrm{PL}=\log -\mathrm{PL}$ |

The harmonic feature of the proclitic and the suffix vowels is triggered by the root vowel. This shows bidirectionality - a case in which a harmonic feature spreads rightward to a suffix and leftward to a prefix or proclitic. This is a case typically described as affixal harmony. Furthermore,
the patterns are indicative of [ATR] root dominance in which an [ATR] root spreads its harmonic feature to the proclitic and the suffix. Following the preceding presentation of data, tongue root harmony is morphologically conditioned. Thus, it operates in two levels: root/word-level and phrase level, both root-controlled. At the word-level harmony spreads rightward from the root and at the phrase-level, the harmonic feature operates bidirectionally, from the root to the proclitic/prefix and the suffix. A look at the harmony pattern in both nouns and verbs shows a clear invariance of root vowels. In this case, harmonic property of the root vowels remains unchanged regardless of what form the proclitics and suffixes take. This invariance is described as phonological asymmetry which is common in languages with root-controlled harmony.

### 4.2.4 Tongue root harmony in compounds

Compounding is a productive morphological process in Dagaare. Compounds are words that contain more than one root. They may consist of a combination of two or more roots. The roots in a compound word may be harmonic or disharmonic in terms of tongue root. That is, there are harmonic compounds with the roots agreeing in same tongue root value or there are compounds that are disharmonic in which the roots have distinct tongue root values. The possibilities are as follows: [ATR]-[ATR], [ATR]-[RTR], [RTR]-[RTR], [RTR]-[ATR]. The most productive compounds in compounds in Dagaare are noun-noun ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ ) and noun-adjective ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}$ ) compounds. Noun-verb (N-V) and verb-verb (V-V) compounds are less common. Harmony, when observed in compounds is generally accidental because there is no alternation in tongue root value in the roots that form the compounds. I give illustrative examples of compounds which are made up of roots of identical tongue root feature in section 4.2.4.1 and compounds involving roots of different tongue root features in 4.2.4.2.

### 4.2.4.1 Compounds with roots of same [TR] feature

In harmonic compounds, the tongue root value of the roots is the same. The compounds in (11a) illustrate harmonic $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ compounds while those in (11b) show harmonic N-A compounds.

Compounds
a. Noun-Noun compounds

ATR

| Noun | Gloss | Noun | Gloss | Compound | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dió | 'room' | bíé | 'child' | dió-bíé | 'cat' |
| kòró | 'food storage' dió | 'room' | kòró-dió | 'kitchen' |  |
| zû | 'head' | pílí | 'cove/mat' | zú-!pílí | 'hat/cap' |

RTR

| Noun | Gloss | Noun Gloss | Compound | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tièní | 'chin' | kómó 'hair' | tì̀̀-kómó | 'beard' |
| gbćrì | 'leg' | púś 'inside' | gbć-!pó' | 'sole (of foot)' |
| mój́ | 'bush/grass' | náá!bú 'cow' | mò-náá!bó | 'buffalo' |

b. Noun-adjective compounds

ATR

| Noun | Gloss | Adjective | Gloss Compound | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bùgó | 'silo' | bílé | 'small' bùg-bílé | 'small silo' |
| vǐgì | 'owl' | bílé | 'small' vǐg-bílé | 'small owl' |
| bìrijùó | 'duck' | bílé | 'small' bìrìjù-bílé | 'small duck' |

RTR


### 4.2.4.2 Compounds with roots of different [TR] feature

The data below are illustrations of compounds involving noun-noun roots in (8a) and nounadjective roots in (8). The roots disagree in tongue root feature. Compounds
a. Noun-noun compounds

| Noun | Gloss | Noun | Gloss | Compound | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bí-é | 'child-SG', | dóó | 'man.SG' | bì-dóó | 'boy.SG' |
| bí-é | 'child-SG' | póg-ó | 'woman- SG' | bì-póg-ó | 'girl-SG' |
| zû | 'head.SG' | kóm-ó | 'hair-PL' | zú-!kómó | 'hair (on |
| head)' |  |  |  |  |  |

b. Noun-adjective compounds

| Noun | Gloss | Adjective | Gloss | Compound | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bí-é | 'child- SG' | 1 l̀̇ | 'small' | bì-lè ¢́ | 'baby. SG' |
| bí-é | 'child- SG' | fáá | 'bad' | bì-fáá | 'bad child. SG' |
| síg-í | 'hut- SG' | bílé | 'small' | sìg-bíl-é | 'small hut. SG' |
| kòlớn | 'well. SG' | zùlúy | 'deep' | kòlớy-zùlún | 'deep well. SG' |

As stated already, this harmony in compounds is a result of accidental combination of roots that share same tongue root feature. They do not involve alternation in harmonic feature. Disharmony in compounds is a common phenomenon in related Mabia languages such as Buli (Akanlig-Pare, 1994, 2002), Birifor (Dundaa, 2013), Waali (Abdul-Aziz 2015) and Dagara (Kuubezelle \& Akanlig-Pare, 2017). The failure to have harmony across the roots in compounds is result of an opacity effect triggered by the adjacency of word boundaries, one at the right edge of the first root and the other on the left edge of the second root.

### 4.2.4.3 Harmonic compounds

Given the disharmonic cases above, it is easy to argue that [ATR] roots do not influence the ATR feature of the [RTR] roots in a compound. However, there are cases in which [ATR] roots change the quality of [RTR] roots. There are cases in which cross-word harmony applies between roots regressively - spreading harmonic feature from right to left. The following data are illustrations. Harmonic compounds

| RTR root | Gloss | ATR root | Gloss | Compound | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gbé-rì | 'leg-SG' | mún-í | 'bottom- SG' | gbé!mún-í | 'heel- SG' |
| gbé-rì | 'leg- SG' | bì-rí | 'seed- SG' | gbébì-rí | 'toe- SG' |


| nú-ò | 'hen- SG' | bílé | 'small' | nóbíl-é | 'chick-SG' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bú-ó | 'goat- SG' | léé | 'small' | bùléé | 'kid. SG' ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| báá | 'dog. SG' | léé | 'small' | bòléé | 'puppy, SG' |

In short, it is not always the case that combinations of disharmonic roots surface as a disharmonic compound. Rather, some [ATR] roots, when combined with [RTR] roots result in harmonic [ATR] compounds. Similar to what is seen with possessive pronouns, these are cases where leftward spreading of [ATR] occurs across adjacent roots in compounds. In all cases, the dominant feature is [ATR] and it forces an otherwise [RTR] root to emerge as [ATR].

### 4.2.5 Status of the low vowel/a/ in tongue root harmony

A topic of recurrent research interest in many tongue root harmony languages within Niger-Congo is the status of the low vowel $/ \mathrm{a} /$. In some $/ 1 \mathrm{IU} /$ languages the generalization is that harmonising affixes consistently appear in their [RTR] allomorphs when they occur with the low /a/ as the only root vowel, e.g. Yoruba (Archangeli \& Pulleyblank, 1989). This same generalisation holds true of some /2IU/ languages. It is reported (Boyd 2022) that in tongue root-languages with seven or nine vowels, the inherently [RTR] low vowel /a/ may occur in [ATR] environment. This involves three main harmony-resolution processes: (i) the vowel/a/ has a predictable non-contrastive [ATR] quality. That is in [ATR] contexts /a/ may be realised as [ATR] as in Kinande which has seven contrastive vowels and three predictable [ATR] variants including the advanced low [ạ] (ii) the low vowel/a/ is realised as [a] as disharmonic and transparent as it does not undergo any phonetic change and allows [ATR] spread through it. Manila, a Bantu M language is analysed as having a disharmonic and transparent /a/- it is underlyingly [RTR] but is transparent and surfaces in [ATR] contexts without a change in quality (Kutsch Lojenga, 2008). Some examples in Boyd (2022) are given below for Manila.

| Manila (M24) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ATR | RTR |  |
| in-ta-líi-mil-a 'I will not drink' | in-ta-lí-sogol-a | 'I will not leave' |
| u-ta-líi-mil-a 'you will not drink' | v-ta-lí-sogol-a | 'you will not leave' |

The vowel/a/ is also realised as disharmonic and opaque because it does not undergo any phonetic change and it blocks the spreading of [ATR] feature. Kwamba, a Bantu D language of Western

Uganda is shown to have cases involving opaque /a/. Harmony in this language operates from right to left. But in the examples (Boyd 2022) below any vowel that occurs to the left of / a / is obligatorily [RTR].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Kwamba (D22) }  \tag{15}\\
& \text { mo-lwan-i } \quad \text { 'fighter' } \\
& \text { 6u-yamb-i } \quad \text { 'help' }
\end{align*}
$$

There are also cases like Kikuyu where the vowel /a/ blocks [RTR] feature from spreading (Leitch 1996) as in tet-an-er-a 'indulge in mutual recrimination'. It may also be transparent, allowing [RTR] feature pass through. Finally, Leitch (1996) gives examples from Babole a Bantu C language in which the low vowel/a/ in verbal suffix assimilates to the vowel preceding it. The vowel assimilates to either of the mid vowels $/ \varepsilon /$ and $/ \rho /$.

In Dagaare which is a /2IU/ language, the status of the low/a/ in ATR has raised some interesting debates among researchers. As cited in Bodomo (1997), Kennedy (1966) argues that /a/ occurs with vowels showing open harmony and excludes vowels that exhibit close harmony. Hall (1973) suggests that /a/ occurs with [ATR] vowels to the exclusion of [RTR] vowels. According to Bodomo (1997), /a/ occurs with [ATR] and [RTR] vowels within the same domain, thereby suggesting that $/ \mathrm{a} /$ is neutral to tongue root harmony. There is, however, phonetic and phonological evidence supporting the existence of phonologically contrastive low vowels in Dagaare. More recent studies (Angsongna \& Akinbo, 2020; Ozburn et al., 2018), show that the low vowel/a/ is not neutral to tongue root harmony, but surfaces as ATR vowel when in the target position of ATR harmony. The advanced counterpart of $/ \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{is}$ transcribed here as [ $ə$ ]. The following examples show how low vowels in the third person plural (3PL) possessive alternate between advanced and retracted low vowels.
(16) 3PL possessive pronoun

ATR RTR
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { bə̀=bíí-rí } & \text { 'their children' } \\ 3 \text { bà=tìi-rí }=\text { child-PL } & \text { 3PL }=\text { tree- PL }\end{array}$

| bò=kóg-ó | 'their chair' | bà=póg-ó | 'their woman' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \mathrm{PL}=$ chair |  | $3 \mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{wom}$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { bò=dè-rí 'their houses' } & \text { bà=wég- } \grave{\varepsilon} \quad \text { 'their log' } \\
\text { 3PL =house- PL } & 3 \mathrm{PL}=\log -\mathrm{SG}, \\
\text { bə̀=lúg-ó } \quad \text { 'their pillar' } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { bà=dóg-ì 'their pot' } \\
\text { 3PL =pillar- SG' } \\
\text { 3PL =pot- SG' }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

As shown in (16), the vowel in the possessive pronoun is [ə] when the following root is [ATR] and it is realised as [a] when the root is [RTR]. Moreover, preverbal particles containing low vowels undergo [ATR] harmony in the contexts of neighbouring ATR vowels. Evidence of this is based on acoustic analysis of the following examples in Angsongna \& Akinbo (2022) Ozburn et al. (2018). As shown in (17a) below, the imperative negation marker is realised as [tá] when it precedes a vowel with [RTR] quality and then it is surfaces as [tý] when preceding an [ATR] vowel. In (17b), the past tense particle is [dà] when the vowel in the following verb is [RTR] and it becomes [də̀] when the vowel in the following verb is [ATR]. Moreover, in (17c) the future tense marker is realised as [nà] when the vowel in the following verb is [RTR] and it becomes [nə̀] when the following vowel is [ATR]. Finally, the negation in (17d) is [bá] when preceding a verb with an [RTR] vowel, but as [bá] when the following verb contains an [ATR] vowel.

Alternations in preverbal particles
a. bájúó, tá=dí dí́bú 'Bạyuo, don’t take food
bájòs, tó=dí díbú 'Bayos, don’t eat food'
b. bájóò dà=dí là béyè 'Bayos took beans'
bájúó dò=dí là dííbú 'Bạyuo ate food'
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { c. bájóò } & \text { nà=dí là béyè } & \text { 'Bayoo will take beans' } \\ \text { bájúó } & \text { nò=dí là dí́bú } & \text { 'Bạyuo will eat food' }\end{array}$
d. bájò bá=dờgí béyè 'Bayo hasn’t cooked beans'
bájúó bá=dí díbú 'Bạyuo hasn’t eaten food'

These examples show that tongue harmony applies regressively outward from the verb root to the preverbal particle, right to left - root-controlled harmony.

The advanced low vowel [ 2 ] is not restricted to only pronominal clitics and preverbal particles and does not only arise in [ATR] contexts, but there are some verbal roots which contain advanced low vowel [ə]. These examples are based on Saanchi's (1997) claim that Dagaare indeed has ten vowels which include two contrastive low vowels.
(18) Minimal pairs with low vowels

| ATR |  | RTR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vá | 'scorch.v' | vá | 'hit.v' |
| háórì | 'yawn.v' | háárì | 'shoo.v' |
| hólí | 'widen.v' | hálí | 'stink.v' |
| sżźrì | 'gather.v' (in bulk)' | sáá-rì | 'broom-SG' |
| bàว̀r-ó | 'smart-IPFV' | bàà-rá | 'grow-IPFV' |
| wว̇ólì | 'bruise.v' | wáálì | 'steam. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |

The vowels of the suffixes are [ATR] when attached to a verbal root with advanced [ə] and [RTR] when attached to a verb root with [a]. This is an indication that the low vowels [ $0, a$ are not only targets but they also condition tongue root harmony.

Crucially, although it is reported in Northern Dagaare - Dagara (Kuubezelle 2013) and in Western Dagaare - Birifo (Dundaa 2013) that /a/ co-occurs with both [ATR] and [RTR] vowels, it has also been reported that Southern Dagaare (Waale) (Abdul Moomin, 2015) has ten vowels with the advanced low vowel being transcribed as [a]. The claim in Southern Dagaare further confirms that there is an advanced low vowel in at least some varieties of Dagaare. This then invites some further investigation of the vowel inventory of the other varieties.

In general, the data shows that tongue root harmony in Dagaare is limited to vowels and intervocalic consonants in the sense that within a harmonic domain, vowels act as triggers and targets while intervocalic consonants are non-harmonic but transparent.

### 4.2.6 Summary

Tongue root harmony is productive in Dagaare with the alternation occurring between nominal roots and number suffixes, verbal roots and aspectual suffixes. It is a symmetric balanced system involving equal number of ATR and RTR vowels. The domain of harmony is not restricted only to nominals and verbal suffixes; nominal proclitics in possessive phrases and preverbal particles also undergo tongue root harmony in Dagaare. It is also observed that compound nouns can be (dis)harmonic with sequences such as [ATR]-[ATR], [ATR]-[RTR], [RTR]-[RTR] and [RTR][ATR]. This (dis)harmony in compounds is seemingly accidental in that there is no alternation in tongue root value in the roots that form the compounds. However, there are cases involving compounds which truly exhibit regressive tongue root harmony in which the [ATR] feature of the rightmost root spreads leftward to the other root. The examples in the preceding sections show that both [ATR] and [RTR] features pattern symmetrically particularly in simple words, suggesting that harmony is completely a matter of root-control, given that all elements/affixes (e.g. proclitics, preverbal particles and suffixes) immediately surrounding a root consistently assimilate to the tongue root value of the root vowels. Finally, the low vowel [a] which has previously been reported as neutral is in fact not neutral. Recent research has shown that there is an advanced low vowel [ $\partial$ ] which is realised in pronominal and verbal clitics and occurs in verbal roots and suffixes as well. There also verbal and nominal roots with advanced low vowels which trigger harmony of suffixes. Though the presence of an advanced low vowel is not known in other varieties like Dagara and Birifor, there is evidence that it exists in others such as Central and Southern.

### 4.3 Rounding harmony

Rounding harmony (also referred to as labial harmony) is a phenomenon in which vowels within a certain domain agree in lip rounding - all vowels are either round or all vowels are unround (Kaun, 1995, 2004). Rounding harmony is a phenomenon found in languages around the world but is predominantly found in the languages of Central Asia, Siberia and Mongolia (Kaun 1995, 2004; Kaun \& McCollum 2022). It has also been reported in North American languages such as Yowlumne (Archangeli, 1984) and in African languages of the Niger-Congo family such as Chumburung, Dagaare and Igbo (Bodomo, 1997; Krämer, 2008; Van der Hulst \& Van de Weijer, 1995), Fante, a dialect of Akan (Dolphyne 1988; O'Keefe 2004) and Nawuri (Casali 1995). Languages that exhibit rounding harmony often show harmony for another feature (Rose \&

Walker, 2011). That is, there are certain themes that characterise the patterns observed in rounding harmony. For instance, a number of languages show both rounding and backness harmony e.g., Ewe (Odden 1991). There are languages in which rounding applies only when the target is high as in Nawuri (Casali, 1995) or when both trigger and target are high vowels. Rounding harmony also applies in some languages so long as the trigger and target agree in height as in Sibe (Li, 1996). There are also instances of rounding and tongue root harmony occurring together in a language such as Fante (Dolphyne 1988; O'Keefe 2004). Therefore, rounding harmony as a whole is often described as parasitic (Steriade, 1981; Kaun \& McCollum 2022) given that it is usually conditioned by other vowel features such as height and backness.

Rounding harmony in Dagaare is mainly restricted to the imperfective form of the verb (Bodomo 1997) and cases of agentive reduplication. As will be shown in section 4.3.2, there are also few cases of rounding in nouns, which is not regular as compared to the verbs. True to the claim by Rose and Walker (2011), Dagaare is an example of a language which exhibits rounding in addition to harmony in other features. Rounding harmony in Dagaare operates in tandem with backness and tongue root harmony. That is since Dagaare has no front round vowels, all non-low vowels within a domain are round and back or all are unround and front. Moreover, there is tongue root agreement in the vowels within a domain, whether round or unround.

While rounding harmony is sensitive to height in some languages, in Dagaare, rounding harmony does not necessarily apply based on the height of vowels within a word. For instance, while the verbal root may contain a high or mid vowel or both, the following imperfective suffix is always a non-high vowel. With respect to the low vowels, when the root has a low vowel, the vowel of the imperfective suffix is always low. When rounding occurs in a language that exhibits other harmony systems, it often tends to be a more restricted harmony pattern (Kaun, 1995; Van der Hulst, 2018; van der Hulst \& Moskal, 2013) as compared to other harmonies such as tongue root harmony. This correlates with the observation that rounding harmony in Dagaare is associated with only imperfective form of verbs as compared to tongue root harmony which is present across the whole language.

It is important to note that aside from the Central variety of Dagaare, rounding harmony is reported in Birifor particularly in reduplication (Dundaa 2013). It is however absent in Dagara (Kuubezelle,
2013) and in Waali (Abdul Moomin, 2015). In the follow section, I provide a description of the rounding pattern in verbs with illustrative examples.

### 4.3.1 Rounding in verbs: alternations in aspectual suffixes

As noted in section 4.2.2, a Dagaare verb is made up of the verb root and an aspectual suffix, marking either default aspect (so-called perfective aspect) or imperfective aspect. The default form is made up of the root and the high front vowel $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ or it is unmarked if the root is vowel final while imperfective form always has a root and an overt suffix, -V or -CV . A description of how verb roots interact with the aspectual suffixes is given in the sections that follow.

### 4.3.1.1 Default verb forms

The suffix of default verb if any, always has a high front vowel regardless of what shape the root vowel takes. This means that the high vowel is never sensitive to rounding and backness even if it harmonises with the root in terms of tongue root feature.

> Default verb forms

| ATR |  | RTR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wùlì | 'show/teach.v' | bùrì |
| tùrì̀ 'sow.v' | 'pick.v' | kò̀̀̀rì |
| 'snore.v' |  |  |
| kpérí 'slice.v' | kpérí | 'spill.v' |
| hýŕrì 'yawn.v' | háárì 'shoo.v' |  |
| kpìnnì 'extinguish.v' | zànnì 'learn.v' |  |

The examples in (19) show that rounding harmony does not happen with default verb forms in Dagaare. Next is the imperfective verbs.

### 4.3.1.2 Imperfective verbs

Similar to the default forms, the vowel quality of the imperfective is completely predictable. The imperfective suffix always has a non-high vowel which always harmonises with the preceding root in terms of rounding, backness, tongue root and [ $\pm$ low] feature. In effect, whenever the verb root contains a round and back vowel, the imperfective suffix is also round and back as illustrated in (20a) and when the root vowel is unround and front, the vowel in the imperfective suffix is unround
and front as shown in (20b). Finally, when the vowel in the verb root is low, the imperfective suffix is also low as shown in (20c).

Imperfective suffixes

| a. | ATR | RTR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bùr-ó 'soak-IPFV' | bùr-ó 'sow-IPFV' |
|  | wùl-ó 'show/teach- IPFV' | sór-ò 'count/read- IPFV' |
|  | túú-rò 'dig- IPFV' | tứ̛-rò 'insult- IPFV' |
|  | dúó-rò 'climb- IPFV' | dớó-rò 'weed- IPFV' |
| b. | ATR | RTR |
|  | jíél-!é 'sing- IPFV' | jíźl-!'̇ 'winnow- IPFV' |
|  | dì-ré 'eat- IPFV' | dí- lr ¢́ 'take- IPFV' |
|  | síg-rè 'descend- IPFV' | ség-rè 'write- IPFV' |
|  | lé-rè 'tie- IPFV' | s'íć-rè 'roast-IPFV' |
| c. | ATR | RTR |
|  | háór-!'̇ 'yawn- IPFV' | háár-!á 'shoo- IPFV' |
|  | sóór-!'̀ 'gather- IPFV' (in bulk)' záá-rà 'throw-IPFV' |  |
|  | bà̇̀-ə̀ 'smart- IPFV' | bàà-rá 'grow-IPFV' |
|  | gáár-! ${ }^{\text {'belch- IPFV' }}$ | dáá-! ra 'push- IPFV' |

The patterns exhibited by the default verb form and imperfective form simply suggests that rounding harmony is morphologically restricted to the imperfective suffix whose rounding is based on vowel height - non-high vowels. Thus, rounding/backness/[ $\pm$ low] harmony targets only nonhigh vowels and that explains why the high vowel in the default suffix is never a target, hence the absence of rounding in the default verb form. Moreover, apart from the harmonic patterns that manifest in the imperfective, when we compare the default and the imperfective, it is observed that the tone pattern exhibited by the default is systematically different from the tone pattern exhibited by the imperfective. Thus, when the default verb has low tone ( L ), the imperfective has low-high $(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H})$; when the default verb is high $(\mathrm{H})$, the imperfective is high-low (H-L) and when the default verb is high-low (HL), the imperfective is high-downstepped high (H-!H). Since the focus of this
chapter is on harmony, tonal alternations are not further discussed. Refer to chapter 5 for detailed description and analysis of tone. Also, aside from the tonal alternation, we see that the verb root has either a long vowel or a diphthong when the imperfective suffix is attached. Length and diphthong alternation is briefly discussed in chapter 6 (the conclusion) as an area for future research but will not be analysed in this dissertation.

### 4.3.1.3 Rounding harmony: Agentive nominalisation

When verbs undergo nominalisation, the root of the verb is reduplicated either partially or fully. The reduplicant occurs immediately after the root and then followed by the suffix, which is either $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{V}$ or a -rV for singular just as the imperfective and always a -bV for the plural. Both reduplicant and suffix always agrees in terms of rounding/backness, [ $\pm$ low] and tongue root feature with the root. The meaning invoked by the reduplicant is 'one who X ' or ' X -er'. Here are some examples illustrating the pattern.
(21) Agentive nominalisations
b. ATR

| Root | Root-RED-suffix | Root- RED -suffix | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zú- | zú-zúú-rò <br> dó- | zú-zúúr-!bó <br> dó-dúó-rò | 'one who steals' <br> 'one who climbs' |
| dì- | dì-dí-ré | dì-dír-bé | 'one who eats' |
| lè- | lè-lé-ré | lè-lér-bé | 'one who falls |
| vó- | vá-váá-rà | vź-vźór-!bá | 'one who scorches' |

c. RTR

| Root | Root- RED -suffix | Root- RED -suffix | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kú- | kú-kúv́-rò | kú-kúớr-!bó | 'one who kills' |
| zò- | zò-zó-rò | zò-zór-!bó | 'one who runs' |
| sì- | sì-síí-ré | sì-sírr-bé | 'one who skins' |
| mè- | mè-míć-ré | mè-míćr-bé | 'one who builds' |
| vá- | vá-váà-rà | vá-váár-!bá | 'one who hits' |

In rounding there is a strict restriction with respect to the vowels that occur in a domain - all vowels must either be low, or all must be non-low as shown in both imperfective and reduplicated cases. Now let's turn our attention to what happens in nouns.

### 4.3.2 Rounding in nouns: alternations in number suffixes

In Dagaare nouns, rounding harmony is not as productively attested as in the case of the imperfective form of the verb. Unlike in the imperfectives where rounding is completely predictable, in nouns rounding is irregular and completely unpredictable. This therefore gives the impression that rounding in nouns is exceptional or irregular. There are a few cases in which both singular and plural suffixes agree in rounding as illustrated in (22a). In other cases, there is agreement in rounding between singular suffixes and the noun roots while the plural suffixes are insensitive to rounding as shown in (22b). There are also cases in which the vowel in singular suffixes is disharmonic with respect to the nominal root but there is agreement in rounding between the plural suffix and the nominal root as shown in (22c). Nominal suffixes

| a.Singular <br> póg-ó 'woman-SG' <br> dóó $\quad$ 'man. SG' | Plural <br> póg-bó <br> dó-bó | 'woman- PL' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'man- PL' |  |  |

Suffixes containing back/round vowels such as -o/-o, -bo/-bo never occur with unrounded roots. In a related situation to the default cases, rounding is restricted in nouns because rounding feature in nominal roots does not target high vowels. Anttila \& Bodomo (2009) however argue that the pattern in nouns is entirely regular in that the [-ri/-ri] suffix is [+high, -round] and blocks the spreading of height and rounding by the Elsewhere Principle which requires that lexical items take precedence over rules (Kiparsky, 1982, 1984).

### 4.3.3 Status of low vowels in rounding harmony

The low vowels $/ \mathrm{a} / \sim / \partial /$ have special status in rounding harmony similar to the case with tongue root harmony. When the verbal root has a low vowel, the imperfective suffix is necessarily a low vowel. The suffix alternates between ATR and RTR depending on the tongue position of the root. In (23a-b), while agreeing in tongue root feature, the suffix vowel is low when the preceding vowel in the root is low.
(23) Low vowels
a. Verb root Imperfective form
vว́- 'scorch' və́ว́-rò 'scorch- IPFV'
hál- 'widen' hál-ə̀ 'widen- IPFV'
mól- 'scald' mól-ə̀ 'scald- IPFV'
háว́r- 'yawn' háór-ə̀ 'yawn- IPFV'
b. Verb root Imperfective form
vá- 'hit' váá-rà 'hit- IPFV'
hál- 'stink' hál-à 'stink- IPFV'
màl- 'punish' màl-á 'punish- IPFV'
háár- 'shoo' háár-!á 'shoo- IPFV'
This data show what can be described as total harmony. This is however not always the case in all Dagaare varieties. For instance, in Southern Dagaare (Waale), it is common for the low/a/ to occur as the suffix of the imperfective to a root that is nonlow. Compare the following data between Central Dagaare and Southern Dagaare.

|  | Central | Southern |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | imperfective | imperfective | Gloss |
| dî- | dí-! ! ¢́ | dí-! $\mathrm{rá}$ | 'take- IPFV' |
| sé- | síć-rè | síč-rá | 'roast- IPFV' |
| dúg- | dứg-rò | dớg-rà | 'boil/cook- IPFV' |
| dò̀े- | dòò-ró | dòò-rá | 'squat- IPFV' |
| アว̀̀- | アว̀ò-ró | Pòj̀-rá | 'chew/eat- IPFV' |

Interestingly, in the southern dialect, only non-low [RTR] roots seem to occur with the low vowel. There are no known cases involving non-low [ATR] roots occurring with the advanced [ə]. That is, the advanced low [ə] never occurs as suffix when the root is non-low. Depending on the vowel quality, [ATR] roots always occur with [-re/ro].

### 4.3.4 Summary

In summary rounding harmony is productive in Dagaare but mainly limited to the imperfective and agentive reduplicated forms in Central Dagaare and reduplication in Western Dagaare (Birifor). Rounding is not apparent in underived nouns. The absence of rounding in the default verb form and nouns seems to be attributed to the fact that rounding harmony targets only nonhigh vowels. Alternatively, it could be due to the claim that the high front vowel $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ is epenthetic inserted at the word level because words have to be bimoraic and given that rounding is a rootlevel process, the high vowel is not a target for rounding. The form and status of the low vowel is also predictable in that roots with low vowels take low vowels in the suffixes, though this is not the case in other varieties such as Waale.

### 4.4 Formal approaches to vowel harmony: Optimality Theory

The central basis of Optimality Theory (Mccarthy, 2011; McCarthy \& Prince, 1993; Prince \& Smolensky, 1993, 2004) is the interaction of universal ranked violable constraints that are evaluated side-by-side to define the grammar of a language. Thus, the notion is that cross-linguistic regularities in phonological phenomena are to be found in output configurations and not input configurations. OT specifically seeks to give an account of how representational well-formedness determines the grammatical structure of a given language. In light of this, proponents of this model
are interested in developing a set of theoretical devices referred to as constraints which drive phonological phenomena such as harmony. These optimality-theoretic constraints are of two main kinds; faithfulness constraints which prohibit differences between a given input or underlying representation and an output and markedness constraints which ensure the well-formedness of output forms (McCarthy, 2011). These constraints are not necessarily mutually consistent. That is, in response to a particular input representation, it is possible for constraints to generate conflicting outcomes. So, this means that constraints can be violated, given that a particular form can satisfy one set of constraints and violate others.

Moreover, OT maintains that constraints on output well-formedness are the basis of universal grammar (McCarthy, 2011). Thus, individual grammars consist of a particular ranking of this set of constraints. In this ranking there is a property of dominance in which a given constraint is considered more important than others. For a given underlying representation or input, a range of potential surface representations or outputs are assessed. The grammar then plays the role of identifying which output best satisfies the constraint hierarchy. The constraint hierarchy therefore functions to identify the most harmonic surface representation for a particular input. Based on Prince and Smolensky (1993) and McCarthy (2011), the grammar is made up of two components: GEN (for Generator) and EVAL (for evaluation). GEN operates on input representations, generating a set of output representations which are referred to as candidates. These candidates are then evaluated with respect to one another by EVAL, which rates each output in terms of its harmony. The candidate with the highest level of harmony is the candidate that emerges optimal or as the surface form.

In the OT framework, a number of approaches have been proposed to formally account for the different patterns of vowel harmony that are present across languages. In the following sections (4.4.1-4.4.6), I present overview of various approaches within the OT framework, namely Feature Alignment, Positional Faithfulness, Feature Spreading, Local Agreement, Span Theory and Agreement - By - Correspondence (ABC). Then section 4.5 gives an overview of Emergent Phonology and Tier-Based Strictly Local (TSL).

### 4.4.1 Feature Alignment

Feature Alignment (Kirchner, 1993 Akinlabi 1996; Pulleyblank 1996; Archangeli \& Pulleyblank 2002) is a theory that may require phonological features to be aligned with right or left edge of a
morphologically or phonologically defined domain. With this approach, alignment constraints are invoked to enforce the spread of the harmonic feature from a trigger to a target within a domain. That is, alignment constraints are used to align harmonic features to relevant prosodic or morphological domains. Below is the general definition of alignment constraint (Kirchner, 1993)
(25) Feature Alignment

ALIGN (F) - R/L; PCAt/Mcat
The right/left edge of a harmonic feature F is aligned with the right/left edge of phonological/morphological category

A theory that is closely related to feature alignment is Optimal Domains Theory (Cole \& Kisseberth 1994) which creates abstract harmonic domains and output correspondents of input segments that belong to domains which bear the relevant feature. The next section discusses positional faithfulness.

### 4.4.2 Positional faithfulness and markedness

Positional Faithfulness is a theory proposed in the analysis of height harmony in Shona (Beckman, 1997, 1998). This theory establishes that psychologically and prosodically privileged positions bear the heaviest burden of lexical storage, lexical access and retrieval and processing. Privileged positions are those positions which enjoy some perceptual advantage in the processing system through psycholinguistic and phonetic prominence over the complement of non-privileged positions. These privileged positions include root-initial syllables, roots and to some extent final syllables. By contrast, medial syllables, and functional elements such as inflectional affixes, clitics, and closed-class items, though vital, play a lesser role in the structure of the lexicon. Positional faithfulness is manifested in three distinct, but closely related patterns of phonological asymmetry as shown illustrated.

Phonological asymmetries diagnostic of positional faithfulness

- Positional maintenance of contrasts which are neutralised elsewhere
- Positional triggering phonological processes
- Positional resistance to processes which apply elsewhere

Beckman contends that height harmony and positional height neutralisation in Shona result from higher-ranked faithfulness constraints to the height features of root-initial syllables, and
markedness constraints that prohibit the manifestation of feature specification in other positions. Constraints of positional faithfulness derive harmony via interaction with feature-driven markedness constraints. In this case markedness constraints evaluate candidates by the number of featural autosegments they contain, not by the number of segments that bear the feature. It is however noted that positional faithfulness approach is not enough to analyse some patterns and therefore faces a problem of deriving harmony in languages in which non-privileged positions behave differently.

### 4.4.3 Feature Spreading

Feature spreading as proposed in (Padgett, 1995, 2002; Walker, 1998) requires a rightward or leftward spread or (multiple) linking of the harmonic feature within a domain. SPREAD constraints are usually in the form of SPREAD - L/R (F, D), where F stands for the harmonic feature and D for the domain of the spread with $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{R}$ denoting directionality - left or right. The formal definition of spread constraints is proposed by Padgett (1997) is given below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { SPREAD [ } \alpha \text { F] (Padgett, 1997) } \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If a feature $[\alpha \mathrm{F}]$ is linked to a segment, the same feature is linked to every segment
(Spread (x): $\forall x y, x(y): x=$ feature, $y=$ segment).
Spread requires multiple linking of the harmonic feature and the above constraint is satisfied when the same feature is shared by all the vowels in a particular domain. It enforces total spread of the feature to all segments in a domain. With spread, every output representation with non-harmonic segment within a given prosodic word incurs a violation of the constraint for each such segment the word contains. In the following, an example is shown with the phrase /ba-bii-ri/ 'their children' to demonstrate how SPREAD constraints derive harmony.
(28) SPREAD [ATR]

| /ba-bii-rı/ | SPREAD [ATR] |
| :---: | :---: |
| a. $[$ ba-bii-rı] | $*!$ |
| b. $[$ ba-bii-ri] | $*!$ |
| c. $[$ bə-bii-ri] |  |
| d. $[$ ba-bii-rı] | $*!$ |

The output form in (c) is the only one that satisfies SPREAD [ATR] given that the ATR vowels spread the to the other vowels in the domain. Candidates (a), (b) and (d) are ruled out because the [ATR] feature fails to spread to the vowels in the harmony domain.

### 4.4.4 Local Agreement

Another approach to vowel harmony is Local Agreement which has been used in a variety of harmony analyses (Bakovic, 2000, 2001, 2003; Bakovic \& Wilson 2000; McPherson \& Hayes 2016 ). As a counter approach to directional SPREAD constraint in vowel harmony, Bakovic (2000) claims that directionality in vowel harmony is based on morphological structure and positional faithfulness (Beckman 1997, 1998). For instance, spreading from the stem outward might receive a rightward analysis with directional constraints like ALIGN, but in terms of AGREE, directionality is derived from the morphological domain. He argues that only two vowel harmony processes exist in human language in terms of directionality, namely stem-controlled and dominant-recessive harmony. With stem-control, the harmonic feature of the stem determines the feature of the other morphemes in the domain. In dominant-recessive process, a particular feature, the dominant one determines the feature of the entire domain irrespective of the morpheme in which it occurs -a morpheme with the non-dominant (recessive) features undergoes harmony. In order to account for both cases, what is needed is an agreement constraint that is directionless, AGREE [ $\alpha \mathrm{F}$ ] as defined below.

AGREE [ $\alpha$ F] (Bakovic 2000)
Adjacent segments (vowels) agree in the feature $[\alpha \mathrm{F}]$

This constraints demands that adjacent segments within a domain have the same specification for the harmonic feature (see also Pulleyblank, 2002; Lombardi 2001). Some important differences exist between agreement and other harmony constraints such as ALIGN and SPREAD. Unlike in SPREAD which defines a given segment as the point from which the harmonic feature originates onto target segments within a domain, AGREE basically requires that adjacent segments have the same value for the feature - the domain of evaluation is local and AGREE performs pairwise comparison of adjacent vowels.

As Finley (2022) points out, one of the research questions driven by AGREE constraint is whether vowel harmony truly has directionality or whether directionality in vowel harmony can be derived through constraints. Bakovic (2000) shows that directional effects can be derived without directional constraints, but there are also cases which may require reference to directionality (Harvey \& Baker, 2005; Ribeiro, 2002; Mahanta 2022)

Also as mentioned in Mahanta (2022), AGREE constraints make unwanted typological predictions. For instance, the non-directional nature of AGREE predicts 'pathological' Majority Rules harmony patterns, where the direction of spreading is determined by the number of faithfulness violations. In addition, AGREE predicts a 'pathological' spreading pattern termed 'Sour Grapes' in which harmony fails to apply to otherwise harmonic vowels in the presence of a blocker (Wilson 2003). This has been argued to be unattested in natural language though there are some suggested cases of sour grapes also known as non-myopic harmony such as in Tutrugbu (McCollum \& Essegbey 2022).

In addition to the approaches discussed in the preceding sections, there have been some new approaches proposed to account for vowel harmony. These include the Span Theory of harmony (McCarthy 2004, Smolensky \& Legendre 2006) and Agreement By Correspondence - ABC (Hansson 2001; Rose \& Walker 2004; Walker 2000). An overview of these approaches is presented in the next sections, starting with the Span Theory in section 4.4.5 and in section 4.4.6, ABC is discussed. Other more recent approaches to the analysis of vowel harmony include: Emergent Phonology (Archangeli \& Pulleyblank, 2017, 2022; Cohn, 2011; Mielke, 2008)and Tierbased Strictly Local (TSL) (Heinz, 2011, 2018; Heinz et al., 2011; Aksenova et al 2022). In section 4.4.8, I give an overview of Emergent Phonology and in section 4.4.7 I discuss TSL.

### 4.4.5 Span Theory

The Span Theory (ST) of harmony or theory of Headed Spans (McCarthy 2004, Smolensky \& Legendre 2006) is a theory based on autosegmental feature spreading using Optimality Theory. In Span Theory, the segments of a word are exhaustively parsed into spans for each distinctive feature. It accounts for harmony by putting harmonic domains into spans for each feature. A span, according to McCarthy (2004: 3) is defined as a constituent whose terminal nodes are segments in a contiguous string. Each span is assumed to contain a head and the head determines the actual production of the segments in that span. In ST, a segment cannot be part of the string of segments
that constitute a span if it is not part of that span. Thus, in a string of segments like ABC, if A and C are part of the same span of a feature, then B must also belong to that span. Therefore, there cannot be a case of gapped representation where $A$ and $C$ belong to same span while skipping $B$. This then means there is a locality condition which requires spreading to be strictly local (Gafos, 1999; Ní Chiosáin \& Padgett, 2001; Walker, 2014).

In Span Theory, feature sharing is done by some representational assumptions based on GEN (McCarthy, 2003). Similar to the tiers in autosegmental phonology (Clements \& Ford, 1979; Goldsmith, 1976), there are different spans for each distinctive feature. Every segment belongs exactly to one span for each feature - an assumption closely related to the association conventions of early autosegmental phonology (Clements \& Ford, 1979; Goldsmith, 1976) such as 'every tone bearing unit is associated with a tone'. Just like the prohibition against crossing association lines, spans are non-overlapping. In OT the mechanism by which heads are designated in autosegmental representation has been developed in (Smolensky, 1995, 2006) and in Optimal Domains Theory (Cassimjee \& Kisseberth, 1998, 1989).

One segment of a span is assigned to be the head of the span and all of the segments in a span of the feature $[\mathrm{F}]$ are pronounced with the value of the head segment. It is possible for a segment to head a span for some features but not others. There is no particular number of segments a span can consist of. It can be made up of just a single segment which necessarily acts as the head of the span; it can also consist of up to every segment in the domain and in this case only one segment functions as the head.

In a well-studied phenomenon of nasal harmony, Walker (2014) demonstrates how the Span Theory works. Consider the word mawar 'to spread' in the Malayo-Polynesian language Sundanese, which exhibits nasal harmony. In this language vowels undergo nasal assimilation when they occur in the neighbourhood of a nasal consonant, while all glides and less sonorous segments are blockers of nasal spread. Therefore, the surface representation of this word would be [mãwar]. This then means that the word involves two spans, (mã) (war); a nasal span headed by the initial [m] which is also the trigger and an oral span headed by the glide [w], the blocker or the opaque consonant. By contrast in Johore Malay glides and vowels are undergoers of nasal harmony, but liquids and all less sonorous segments are opaque to nasal harmony as in pəŋã $\tilde{w} \tilde{a} s a n$ 'to cause', mãratappi 'to cry'. In Kolokuma Ijo, liquids and glides can undergo nasal assimilation,
but non-sonorous segments cannot, as illustrated in $\tilde{\jmath} \tilde{a} \tilde{r} \tilde{l}$ 'shake' and in Applecross Gaelic fricatives can nasalize though stops never nasalize as in $\tilde{y} \eta \tilde{a} n^{j} d^{j}$ an 'thread'. As noted by Walker (2014), blockers of nasal harmony follow a hierarchy that approximates the sonority scale except for the nasal consonants themselves. In terms of the representational claims, McCarthy (2003) proposes four main constraints:
(30) Constraints in Span Theory
(i) A markedness constraint that rules out more than one adjacent span of the same feature [F]. This constraint replaces the AGREE or ALIGN constraints in other theories of spreading.
(ii) Faithfulness constraints which require input $[\alpha \mathrm{F}]$ to head $[\alpha \mathrm{F}]$ spans in the output. These faithfulness constraints are the alternative to IDENT constraints in Span Theory
(iii) Markedness constraints which require certain types of segments to head spans with a particular $[\mathrm{F}]$ value. They are feature co-occurrence restrictions
(iv) Markedness constraints requiring the head segment to be in a particular position relative to other members of the span. These constraints enforce directionality effects like ALIGN.

### 4.4.6 Agreement-By-Correspondence (ABC)

Originally proposed for consonant harmony, Agreement-By-Correspondence (ABC) (Hansson, 2001; Rose \& Walker, 2004; Walker, 2000) has been adopted for the analysis of vowel harmony. It is an approach which uses correspondence constraints to determine agreement. Correspondence constraints as a core part of Optimality Theory have been used to govern relationships between the input and the output (McCarthy \& Prince, 1994), and between surface forms - output-output faithfulness.

In the ABC framework, two main constraint types are required: CORR-VV constraints which govern the segments that must be in correspondence and IDENT-VV constraints which regulate featural agreement in corresponding segments. As a rule, correspondence is required for segments that already agree in some other feature. The constraints are formalized as follows based on Rose \& Walker (2004).

CORR-VV
Let $S$ be an output string of segments and let $V_{i,} V_{j}$ be segments that share a specified set of features $F$. If $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ are in $S$, then $V_{i}$ is in a relation with $V_{j}$ : $V_{i}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are correspondents of one another.

IDENT-VV [F]
Let $V_{i}$ be a segment in the output and $V_{j}$ be any correspondent of $V_{i}$ in the output. If $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is $[\alpha \mathrm{F}]$ then $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is $[\alpha \mathrm{F}]$.

I apply these correspondence constraints to ATR harmony in Dagaare, in which vowels agree in the feature [ATR]. The tableau below in (33) shows how these constraints works. Correspondences are indicated via subscripts where identical subscripts indicate correspondence.
(33) ATR harmony in ABC

$$
\text { /bugo/ } \rightarrow \text { [bugo] 'silo.SG' }
$$

|  | /bugo/ | CORR-VV | IDENT-RT [ATR] | IDENT-vV [ATR] | ID-IO [ATR] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | bùxgóx |  |  | *! |  |
| b. | bùxgóy | *! |  |  | * |
| c. | bừ ${ }_{\text {g }}{ }^{\text {óx }}$ |  | *! |  | * |
| d. | bùx ${ }^{\text {góa }}$ |  |  |  | * |

Candidate (a) violates IDENT-RT [ATR] because the corresponding vowels do not share the same tongue root feature. Candidate (b) is ruled out by the Agreement-By-Correspondence constraint, CORR-VV because the two vowels are not in correspondence. Both (c) and (d) satisfied by CORRVV but (d) is preferred because (c) is ruled out by the IDENT-RT [ATR] constraint due to root unfaithfulness between the output and the input. In the next tableau the ABC constraints are illustrated with rounding harmony.
$/ k o-r V / \rightarrow[k o ̀-r o ́] ~ ' d r y-I P F V ' ~$

|  | /ko-rV/ | CORR-VV | IDENT-RT [RD] | IDENT-VV [RD] | ID-IO [RD] |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | ko $_{x}$-róx |  |  | $*!$ | $*$ |
| b. | ko $_{\mathrm{x}}$-róy | $*!$ |  |  | $*$ |
| c. | ko $_{\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{r} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{x}}}$ |  | $*!$ |  | $*$ |
| d. | kox-róx |  |  |  | $*$ |

The IDENT-VV [RD] rules out candidate (a) because the vowels in correspondence do not share the same [RD] feature. In (b) both vowels share the same feature [RD], but they are not in correspondence hence violation of CORR-VV. Moreover ID-IO [RD] is violated because the output suffix vowel is not identical to the input. In (c), there is correspondence between the vowels, but it is ruled out by IDENT-RT [RD] due to the unfaithfulness between the root of the input and that of the output. Finally, candidate (d) is the optimal choice having satisfied all constraints except the low-ranked IDENT-RT [RD].

Given that correspondence constraints generally require shared features, parasitic harmony where harmony applies only to vowels that already share a feature value - appears to be a natural use for ABC constraints (Rhodes, 2012; Walker, 2018). Unlike AGREE constraints, in ABC, two segments can be in correspondence even if they are non-adjacent (see McCollum \& Essegbey, 2020; Rhodes, 2012; Sasa, 2009; Walker, 2014). That is, an ABC approach may be suited to handle non-local effects such as transparent vowels.

### 4.4.7 Emergent Phonology

In Emergent Phonology (Archangeli \& Pulleyblank, 2017, 2022), the recognition of a sound sequence and its paired meaning results in identifying morph $M-$ a string of sounds associated with meaning and or grammatical function $\left\{[\text { sound string] }\}_{\text {MEANING/FUNCTION. More than one sound }}\right.$ string can correspond to a given meaning, resulting in morph sets. For instance, in set like \{pæt, рær $\}_{\text {PAt }}$ in English, selecting the relevant morph is determined by phonological sequences. There
are other cases where selection of morphs is based on semantic and syntactic rather than phonological requirements.

With the morphs and morph sets, the learner can select the appropriate surface representation. There is no evidence which requires the learner to assume a single abstract form for the lexical representation. Thus, the generative phonological notion of underlying representation (UR) with possible surface forms does not play a part in Emergent Phonology. Underlying representation is used in reference to the hypothesis that there is a single mental representation for each morpheme whether it is the UR of generative phonology or the input of Optimality Theory. Therefore Archangeli \& Pulleyblank (2022) propose that sets of morphs are the formal instantiations of the phonological forms corresponding to a particular set of morphosyntactic features, instead of invoking the more common unique underlying representation. As a result, there is no conceptual necessity for underlying representations. The focus in emergent phonology is on minimal morph sets with a particular semantic label. These morph sets may have single or multiple members.

When morphs are phonologically related to each other as in the case of [t]-final and [r]-final morph in English, the assumption is that learners can make generalizations about the membership of morph sets. These are called morph set relations (MSRs), which can relate in productive and unproductive ways. Then there are morph set conditions (MSCs) which formalize productive morph set relations by penalizing sets that lack expected members. Another core component in emergence is well-formedness conditions which regulate the combination of meaningful strings of sounds in words and morphs (the domain D). Therefore, when multiple morphs are identified with the same morphosyntactic feature, the learner is challenged with the task of choosing among the morphs to build words - these conditions rely on morpho-phonotactic properties. The wellformedness conditions make up three categories; type conditions which prohibit a particular single element; paradigmatic conditions which ban the combination of two elements and syntagmatic conditions which prohibit the sequence of two elements. With vowel harmony patterns, the class of elements that are the focus F of a condition are vowels.

These ideas are relevant in the interaction between phonological harmony patterns and morphological systems. Archangeli \& Pulleyblank (2022) introduce the role of the different components of the emergence model in understanding harmony systems with three languages, namely well-formedness in Kıda; moph sets with Dagaare and morph set relations with Fula. Here,

I give an overview of how morphs and morph sets work only in Dagaare. Refer to Archangeli \& Pulleyblank (2022) for discussion of the other languages.

In Dagaare within roots, all vowels agree in tongue root position, advanced or retracted. In compounds, ATR value of the first root may or may not be the same as the second member of the compound.

Dagaare root-internal

| root + affix |  | compound: root + root |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. [bìrijù-ó] | 'duck-SG ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | [bìrìjù-bílé] | 'small duck' |
| [kpò̀kpó-bú] | 'cassava-SG' | [kpònkpò-fáá] | 'bad cassava' |
| b. [kòlúy] | 'well.SG' | [kòlùn-zùlúy] | 'deep well' |
| [zòmìn-દ́] | 'friend-SG' | [zòmìn-fáá] | 'bad friend' |

The harmonic pattern also occurs with proclitics/prefixes and suffixes. Non-low affix vowels alternate between advanced and retracted based on tongue root position of the root vowel

Dagaare affix harmony
a. 2 SG possessive pronoun
[ATR]
[fù-bíí-rí] '2SG-child-PL'
[fù-dè-rí] '2SG-house-PL'
[fù-kóg-ó] '2SG-chair-SG'
b. 1PL possessive pronoun
[ATR]
[tì-bíí-rí] '1PL-child-PL'
[tì-lúg-ó] '1PL-log-PL'
[tì-kóg-ó] '1PL-chair-SG'
[RTR]
[fù-tì̀-rí] '2SG-tree-PL'
[fờ-wèg- $\varepsilon$ ] '2SG-log-SG'
[fừ-póg-ó] '2SG-woman-SG'
[RTR]
[tì-tì̀-rí] '1PL-tree-PL'
[tì-dứg-ì] '1PL-pot-SG'
[tì-póg-ó] '1PL-woman-SG'

These patterns motivate the syntagmatic conditions in (37) below. The morph domain is motivated by roots in (35) while the word domain is motivated by affixed forms in (36).

Dagaare root vowel syntagmatic well-formedness condition
*[ATR] [RTR] With respect to vowels within a morph or word, assign a
*[RTR] [ATR] violation for each sequence where the tongue root
F: vowels
features differ
D: morph, word
The words in (36) motivate prefixes/proclitics with two forms: $\{$ fù \} and \{fù\} which contribute to the meaning 2SG.POSS, resulting in the morph set $\{\text { fù, fù̀ }\}_{2 S G}$.Poss. Then there are the forms $\{t i ̀\}$ and $\{$ tì $\}$ which contribute to the meaning 1PL.POSS. They result in the morph sets, $\{\mathrm{tì}, \text { tì }\}_{1 P L . P O S S}$.
 could result. Therefore, the phonotactics in (37) identifies the morph combination whose vowels satisfies the well-formedness condition. This is demonstrated with the Assessment tables below.

## Dagaare Assessments

a. [fù-dè-rí] 'your houses'

Morph sets: $\{\text { fù, fù }\}_{2 \text { SG. Poss; }}$ \{dè-rí $\}$ HOUSE

| YOUR HOUSE | *ATR-RTR | *RTR-ATR |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| a. [fùdèrí] |  |  |
| b. [fòdèrí] |  | $*!$ |

b. [tì-dứg-ì] 'our pot'

Morph sets: $\{\text { tì, tì }\}_{1 P L . P O S S ;}\left\{\right.$ dớgì ${ }_{\text {POT }}$

| YOUR HOUSE | *ATR-RTR | *RTR-ATR |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| a. [tìdớgì] | *! |  |
| b. [tìdúgì] |  |  |

Dagaare has harmonic patterns formally characterised by the syntagmatic well-formedness condition which prohibits some vowel sequences, thereby resulting in vowel harmony. Vowel harmony hold at both morph and word level in Dagaare. A property of Dagaare is that morph sets have multiple members with harmonic counterparts and thus the learner can encounter all relevant forms. There are other cases where it is impossible to encounter all possible surface forms. In such cases it is the grammar that provides a mechanism for producing forms that have not been encountered. Such is the case with root alternations in Fula. See Archangeli and Pulleyblank
(2022) for more discussion of this pattern and how the relations among members of a morph set are represented.

### 4.4.8 Tier-Based Strictly Local

Tier-based Strictly Local - TSL grammars (Heinz, 2011, 2018; Heinz et al., 2011; Aksenova et al 2022) capture non-local dependencies by projecting relevant input elements of a certain type onto a tier in order to achieve locality among remote segments and forbidden strings. Forbidden substrings of segments can be ruled out over the tier. A TSL grammar consists of the tier alphabet T and the set of k-grams GTsL that must not be found on a tier representation of a well-formed string. Consider the following examples showing well-formed and ill-formed surface forms in Lokaa; Niger-Congo (Akinlabi, 2009). The agreeing segments in these forms are not adjacent to each other. A non-high vowel agrees with the preceding non-high vowel in ATR value. All high vowels and consonants are transparent for harmony

Lokaa harmony
a. èsìsòn 'smoke'
b. èsísòn 'housefly'
c. lèjìmò 'matriclan'
d. Ékílikà 'kind of plant'
*èsìsìn
*èsísòn
*lèjìmà
*ékílikà

The data shown in (40) illustrates a harmony pattern that affects non-high vowels that are apart. It is impossible to construct a Strictly Local - SL grammar for this pattern because there is no bound to the amount of material which separate the two non-high vowels. In TSL grammar however, it is possible to project (remote) dependent items on a tier and block ill-formed combinations over the tier.

TSL grammar for Lokaa harmony

| Tier of non-high vowels$\mathrm{T}=\{\varepsilon, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o}, \partial, \partial, \mathrm{a}\}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | *[ $\alpha$ tense] [- $\alpha$ tense] |
|  |  |

In the above table, the tier alphabet includes all non-high vowels in the language. The ATR pattern in the surface forms is obtained by blocking the combinations of non-high vowels that do not agree in [ATR] specifications.

Multiple feature agreement is commonly observed in many languages. For instance, in Turkish, vowels agree in rounding and backness; in Imdlawn Tashlhiyt sibilants agree in voicing and anteriority and in Bukusu one agreement pattern involves vowels and another involves liquids (Aksenova et al. 2022) and in Dagaare verbs, the imperfective suffix agrees in tongue root position, rounding/backness and height. These are the cases often described as double or multiple harmonies. These patterns often raise questions as to whether more than one tier is required to account for double harmonies or whether there is a restriction on the tier alphabets for patterns that exhibit double or multiple harmonies.

Aksenova et al. (2022) propose four logically possible relations between distinct tier alphabets $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ : (i) $T_{1}$ can be the same as $T_{2}$ and in that case the set of blockers and undergoers is the same for both harmonic patterns - same tier (ii) $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ may share no common elements with $\mathrm{T}_{2}$. This a typical case of disjoint tiers involving independent vowel and consonant harmonies in one system (iii) $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ can be a subset of $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ (embedded tiers). This is common with consonant harmony and (iv) $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ overlaps with $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ (overlapping tiers). This case is said to be typologically unattested.

TSL approach is used to capture different harmonic patterns. According to Aksenova et al. (2022), a study of double vowel harmony patterns show they require a single tier, although more than one feature is involved. For sibilant harmonies however, two tiers are needed. Languages with both vowel and consonant harmonies also require two tiers though the two tiers do not share any common element. That is, they are disjoint. I give an overview of how TSL captures double vowel harmony with data in Kirghiz.

In Kirghiz, the rule of double harmony is to spread the features frontness and rounding simultaneously. All vowels within a word must agree in these two features. In the data below from (Nanaev 1950), a locative affix with a non-high vowel (-de, -do, -da, -to, -dö) and a genitive affix with a high vowel (-nin, -din, -dün, -tun) are used in the examples.

| a. | kiz-da | 'girl-LOC' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ot-to | 'fire- LOC' |
|  | kim-de | 'who- LOC' |
|  | üj-dö | 'house- LOC' |

b. kiz-nin
ot-tun 'fire- GEN'
kim-din 'who- GEN'
üj-dün 'house- GEN'

The examples show two harmonizing features which can either be plus or minus. The table in (42) shows the feature configurations which must be avoided in well-formed vowel sequence in Kirghiz. A case in which two vowels disagree in fronting or rounding is ruled out. TBSL grammar for Kirghiz harmony

| Vowel tier$\mathrm{T}=\{\mathrm{a}, \dot{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}, \ddot{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{u}, \ddot{\mathrm{u}}\}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | *[ $\alpha$ front] [ $\beta$ front] |
|  |  |
| 2. | *[ $\alpha$ round] [ $\beta$ round] |
|  |  |

Both harmonies operate over the same set of vowels, and this means that the tier alphabet T consists of all vowels. To realise frontness harmony, sequence of vowels that do not agree in the [front] feature must be blocked by $\mathrm{H}_{\text {front. }}$ Substrings with vowels of different [round] are also prohibited by $H_{\text {round. }}$ Kirghiz vowel harmony is a clear example of a case that requires just a single tier to account for the two dependencies. In summary, Kirghiz has single tier - only one TSL grammar is required. Discussions of other double harmony patterns can be found in (Aksenova et al 2022). In the next sections, I give an account of Dagaare harmony within the frameworks of Optimality Theory

### 4.5 Account of Dagaare harmony patterns

This section presents a formal account of tongue root harmony and rounding harmony patterns in Dagaare using Optimality Theory (Mccarthy, 2011; Prince \& Smolensky, 2004a). In Dagaare, a root vowel that contains a particular harmonic feature determines the harmonic feature of the suffix vowels. Suffixes are therefore undergoers within the harmony domain. In the imperfective forms of the verb, in addition to the tongue root harmony that occurs between the root and the imperfective suffix, a root that contains vowels with rounding/backness feature triggers rounding/backness on the imperfective suffix. This suggests that rounding and tongue root harmony in Dagaare are controlled by the root. Harmony on other parts such as affixes is dependent on the feature of the root. In many African languages with harmony systems, [ATR] is the dominant feature and this pattern requires harmony-driving constraints in order for harmony to surface between vowels of roots and affixes. While majority of vowel harmony systems are root/stem controlled, there are cases where harmony is controlled by the affix as in Turkana (Noske, 2000) and in Fula (Archangeli, 2000; Krämer, 2002, 2003).

### 4.5.1 Domain of harmony

The domain of harmony is the phonological or prosodic word (PWd). Dakubu (1997) observes that the phonological word in Central Gur/Mabia languages is typically composed of at least a CV syllable with a full range of articulatory contrast, and a suffixal syllable with restricted vowels and consonants. The form of the suffix vowels tends to be partly conditioned by the vowel features of the root syllable. There may be asymmetry between roots and affixes in terms of vowel distribution. This asymmetry is reported in Dagbani (Fusheini 2010) and Kınni (Cahill 1999, 2007). For instance, in Dagaare, the high round vowels [u, v] do not occur in the suffix of the imperfective nor in the default form even if the root is round.

Aside from the root and the suffix, the harmonic domain in Dagaare also includes pronominal and preverbal clitics as illustrated in section 4.2.5. The obligatory constituent in the domain is the root. Then there are suffixes which inflect for number or aspect and derivational suffixes which derive nouns from verbs and adjectives (nominalisations). With nouns, a root and a number suffix are the minimal requirement for tongue root harmony to occur. The other constituents are pronominal clitics. For verbs, the constituents that form part of the harmonic domain for tongue root harmony are the root, aspectual suffixes, and preverbal clitics. In terms of rounding, the domain of harmony
is made up of the root and the suffix. Preverbal and pronominal particles do not form part of the domain of rounding harmony. The structure of the harmonic domains are schematized below for tongue root and rounding harmony.
a. Domain of tongue root harmony [pronouns, preverbal] clitic [[root] number, aspect, derivation] suffix
b. Domain of rounding harmony
[ [root] aspect] imperfective suffix
Crucially the domain of harmony in Dagaare is restricted only to vowels and intervocalic consonants. This means that word-initial and final consonants are not part of the harmonic domain. The vowels operate as triggers and targets while the intervocalic consonants are non-harmonic segments which do not block harmony.

### 4.5.2 Directionality in Dagaare harmony

Vowel harmony is a phonological process where harmonic properties of a vowel may proliferate in one direction or the other or both. The direction of harmonic spreading has been argued by phonologists of various persuasions as an epiphenomenon - harmonic spreading is dependent on the correlation between the direction of spreading and morphological structure(Aoki, 1968; Archangeli \& Pulleyblank, 2007; Bakovic, 2000, 2003). Thus, due to the predominance of languages that demonstrate root/stem outward harmony, harmonic direction came to be understood as part of morphological structure where root/stem spread their harmonic features to affixes. However, according to Mahanta (2022) an extensive survey of previously not well studied languages show that directionality is much more independent than has been assumed. Directionality in vowel harmony is traditionally considered as progressive or perseveratory (rightwards) or else regressive or anticipatory (leftwards).

The idea that direction of harmony is mainly connected to the direction of affixation can be traced to prefix-suffix asymmetries in root-controlled harmony systems (Mahanta 2022). Therefore, if there are no prefixes, then harmony is more likely progressive as in Turkish and if both prefixes and suffixes are attested, then the harmonic alternation will be bidirectional (e.g. Akan). If prefixes are the only affixes attested, as in Yoruba, then harmony is regressive.

The most well-known cases involve Assamese (Mahanta, 2007, 2008), Karaja (Ribeiro 2002), Mayogo (McCord, 1989) which have patterns that operate from both roots and suffixes. There are also regressive cases where harmony operates only from suffixes particularly in languages with no prefixes such as Pulaar (Paradis, 1986, 1992). In such cases, harmony is triggered by the affix and thus christened affix-controlled harmony (Kramer 2002, 2003). With progressive system, harmony is triggered by prefixes and roots. For example, in Tutrugbu, a Kwa language (McCollum \& Essegbey, 2020) in which suffixation is very restricted, rounding harmony in roots is triggered by initial prefixes which contain round vowels. Similarly, in Kibudu (Bantu), under the influence of an [ATR] numeral prefix, the vowel of the numeral root becomes [ATR] (Mahanta 2022; Lojenga, 1994). Overall, according to Mahanta (2022), the majority of languages appear to show either regressive or stem-controlled directionality.

In Dagaare, the harmonic feature can be bidirectional in tongue root harmony but only progressive with rounding harmony. Given that Dagaare mainly has suffixing morphology, progressive harmony is well attested with roots and suffixes as schematized: [root] $\rightarrow$ [number, aspect, derivation] suffix. However, in phrasal contexts, it is possible for bidirectional ATR harmony to occur as follows: [proclitic/preverbal] $]_{\text {clitic }} \leftarrow[$ root $] \rightarrow[\text { number, aspect, derivation }]_{\text {suffix. }}$. For instance, proclitics and preverbal particles assimilate to the tongue root feature of the roots they precede. Some of the earlier examples are repeated below illustrating progressive root-controlled harmony in (44).

Nominal suffixes
a. -V suffixes

## ATR RTR

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { zí-é } & \text { 'place-SG' } & \text { tì- } \varepsilon & \text { 'tree- } \text { SG' }^{\prime} \\ \text { lǒy-ò } & \text { 'hourglass drum- SG' } & \text { són-ó } & \text { 'basket- SG' }\end{array}$
b. -CV suffixes

ATR
jí-rì 'house- SG'
bíí-rí 'child-PL'

RTR
píírì̀ 'sheep- PL’
síl-rí 'waist- PL'

In the next examples below, bidirectional harmony is shown with pronouns in possessive nominal phrases (45) and with preverbal particles in verb phrases in (46).

Harmonic proclitics
a. 2 SG possessive pronoun

ATR
fù=bíí-rí 'your children
2SG=child- PL
fù=dè-rí 'your houses'
$2 \mathrm{SG}=$ house- PL
b. 1PL possessive pronoun
ATR
tì=bíí-rí 'our children'
1PL=child- PL
tì=dè-rí 'our houses'
1PL =house- PL

RTR
fừ=píí-rì 'your sheep'
$2 \mathrm{SG}=$ sheep -PL
fờ=wég-rì 'your logs'
$2 \mathrm{SG}=\log -\mathrm{PL}$

## RTR

tì=píí-rì 'our sheep'
1PL =sheep- PL
tì=wég-rì 'our logs'
$1 \mathrm{PL}=\log -\mathrm{PL}$

Alternations in preverbal particles
a. bájúó bá=dứg-í
béŋ̀̀
'Bayuo hasn't cooked beans'
bájúó bə́=búrì kõ̀̃̃
'Bayuo hasn't fetched water'

| b. bájòó, tá=túv́-rò má | 'Bayoo, don't be insulting me' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bájòó, tó=tùù-ró | mà | 'Bayoo, don't be following me' |

As the examples illustrate above, tongue root harmony in Dagaare can be bidirectional with the ATR value of the nominal/verbal root determining the harmonic features of the pronominal and preverbal clitics that precede the root and the suffixes that follow the root. In rounding harmony, however, the direction of the harmonic feature is only progressive - rounding propagates outward from the verbal root to the imperfective suffix. A preverbal particle that contains an unround vowel remains unround even if the following verbal root is round as can be seen in the examples in (46b). This is because at the root-level, there are only suffixes and as such there is no place for regressive
rounding harmony to show up. The data show that the trigger of vowel harmony in Dagaare is the vowel in the root which then targets the vowels in all other constituents of the harmonic domain. In a nutshell, Dagaare can be considered a two-way directionality, a progressive system operating from the root rightward to the suffix and a bidirectional system in which harmony emerges from the root and spreads leftward to a pronominal or preverbal clitic and rightward to the suffix. Alternatively, it can be argued that both tongue root and rounding harmony are bidirectional in principle, but then rounding operates in a limited or a smaller domain than tongue root harmony does. For instance, if there is a structure as follows: $\left[\mathrm{pfx}\left[[\operatorname{root}]_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{sfx}\right]_{\mathrm{B}}\right]_{\mathrm{C}}$, then rounding harmony is limited to the intermediate domain or constituent (B) rather than the larger domain (c).

### 4.5.3 Optimality Theoretic analysis of tongue root harmony

### 4.5.3.1 Analysis of simple non-compound forms

The basic question is with regards to what motivates vowels to harmonize. Within OT, the devices that drive harmony are constraints. These constraints have been proposed in a number approaches within the broader OT framework such as feature alignment, local agreement, feature spreading, Span Theory and ABC. (See section 4.4 which presents the groundwork for the various OT models). It is important to mention that any of the above approaches and others such as Emergent Phonology can be used to account for the vowel harmony patterns in Dagaare. In this study however, I propose that vowel harmony in Dagaare can be analysed with the assumption of constraints that enforce harmony within domains which includes both roots and affixes. This can be accounted for with SPREAD [F] constraint (Padgett 1997). SPREAD [F], as in (47) is a prospreading analysis of (non)-local assimilation. This constraint requires a feature $[\mathrm{F}]$ that is linked to a vowel be shared by all vowels in a specified domain. The constraint assigns one violation mark if a feature is linked to a vowel in a domain, but not shared by every vowel in that domain. That is, harmony is fulfilled only through feature spreading.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { SPREAD }[\alpha \mathrm{F}] \text { (Padgett, 1997) }  \tag{47}\\
& \text { If a feature }[\alpha \mathrm{F}] \text { is linked to a segment, the same feature is linked to every } \\
& \text { segment }
\end{align*}
$$

The tongue root specific formulation of SPREAD $[\alpha \mathrm{F}]$ is given in (48) which requires the feature $[\alpha$ ATR $]$ to be linked to all vowels in a phonological word. This constraint is violated when
[ $\alpha$ ATR] feature that is linked to a vowel is not shared by other vowels with phonological word. Recall that the prosodic or phonological word is the domain of harmony in Dagaare.

```
SPREAD [\alphaATR]PWd (Padgett, 1997)
```

If a feature $[\alpha$ ATR $]$ is associated with a vowel, the same [ $\alpha$ ATR] feature is linked to every vowel in PWd.

The structures in (49a-b) demonstrate how SPREAD constraint works. In (49a) the structure violates SPREAD [ $\alpha$ ATR] because only one of the vowels in the word is [ $\alpha$ ATR]. The structure in (49b) satisfies SPREAD [ $\alpha$ ATR] it contains vowels which agree in tongue root feature.
a. violates
b. satisfies

SPREAD [ATR]


SPREAD [ATR]


As mentioned before there is invariance in root vowels. The invariance also known as phonological asymmetry has been extensively discussed within positional faithfulness theory (Selkirk 1995; Beckman 1998). In the case of Dagaare, the asymmetry between the roots and affixes appears to be more morphological than phonological. In this account, invariance in vowels in terms of harmonic feature is a result of them occurring in roots which is the core and most informative part of the word. Since Dagaare root vowels maintain contrast, which is then neutralised for the suffix, I will adopt positional faithfulness theory to account for this invariance of the root vowels. The positional faithfulness constraint in (50) is therefore assumed. This constraint is violated when there is a change in [ $\alpha$ ATR] feature of a root vowel. Moreover, the alternation between vowels suggests a certain violation of a constraint that preserves identical features between input and output forms in every environment. To this end the constraint in (51) is proposed.
(50) IDENT-RT[ $\alpha$ ATR] (Beckman, 1998)

Let $\alpha$ be an input vowel contained in a root, and $\beta$ the output correspondent of $\alpha$. If $\alpha$ is [ $\lambda \mathrm{ATR}$ ], then $\beta$ must be [ $\lambda \mathrm{ATR}$ ].

ID-IO [ $\alpha$ ATR] (McCarthy \& Prince, 1999)
Let $\alpha$ be an input vowel, and $\beta$ the output correspondent of $\alpha$.
If $\alpha$ is [ $\lambda \mathrm{ATR}$ ], then $\beta$ must be [ $\lambda \mathrm{ATR}]$.
In tableaux (52) and (53), I assume that the underlying tongue root feature of the suffix vowel is opposite in value to the feature of the root vowel. The choice of the underlying suffix is arbitrary and note that the underlying suffix vowel could be of the same TR feature as that of the root vowel. The numeric indices in the output forms indicate whether the vowels share the same tongue root feature or not. If an index in the input is different from that in the output, it shows that there is an epenthetic feature.

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ \mathrm{kog}-\mathrm{\partial} / \rightarrow[\text { kóg-ó] ‘chair-SG’ } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | INPUT <br> /kog ${ }_{1-0}{ }^{2}$ | IDENT-RT <br> [ $\alpha$ ATR] | SPREAD <br> [ $\alpha$ ATR] $]_{\text {PWd }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ID-IO } \\ & {[\alpha \mathrm{ATR}]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | kólgó2 |  | *!* |  |
| b. | kólıó3 |  | *!* | * |
| c. | $\mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{o}_{2}$ | *! |  | * |
| d. ${ }^{\circ}$ | kóıgó ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | * |

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /pog-o/ } \rightarrow \text { [póg-ó] ‘woman’ } \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | INPUT /pog 1 -O2/ | IDENT-RT <br> [ $\alpha$ ATR] | SPREAD <br> $[\alpha \text { ATR }]_{\text {PWd }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ID-IO } \\ & {[\alpha \text { ATR] }} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | pòıgò2 |  | *!* |  |
| b. | pòı ${ }^{\text {gò3 }}$ |  | *!* | * |
| c. | pò2 $\mathrm{gò}_{2}$ | *! |  | * |
| d. | pòıgòı |  |  | * |

The forms above show that constraints on harmony force adjacent vowels to share the same tongue root feature value. The output forms in (52a) and (53a) are ruled out for violating SPREAD [ $\alpha \mathrm{ATR}]_{\text {PWd. }}$ Candidates (52b) and (53b) both are faithful to the harmonic feature of the root but are ruled out by SPREAD [ $\alpha$ ATR]. This is because SPREAD wants [ $\alpha$ ATR] elements to be linked to every
vowel in the domain. However, in (52b) and (53b) each [ $\alpha$ ATR] element has one vowel that it is linked to, hence the two violations. With (52c) and (53c) SPREAD [ $\alpha$ ATR] is fulfilled given that the harmonic feature of the root is shared with the suffix but crucially ruled out by IDENT-RT [ $\alpha$ ATR]. Harmony is preferred over the violation of faithfulness to ATR feature in the suffix. This is illustrated with the winning candidates in (52d) and (53d) in which the suffix vowel surfaces with a tongue root feature which is identical to the one in the root. The next sections present an analysis of the interaction between proclitics and roots. In these analyses, IDENT-RT [ $\alpha$ ATR] must rank above ID-IO [ $\alpha \mathrm{ATR}$ ] to ensure the optimal form is derived.

### 4.5.3.2 Analysis of tongue root harmony in proclitics

We see that pronominal clitics assume the harmonic feature of the root they precede, suggesting that the harmonic quality of the pronominal vowel is influenced by the harmonic feature of the root. This then raises questions as to what motivates the harmony of the proclitics with the prosodic word, given that they are separate words. In other words, why do proclitics not surface differently in terms of harmonic features with the prosodic word? My claim is that proclitic constitutes part of the prosodic domain. But in order to understand what triggers this harmonic integration, it is important to note that the prosodic word in Dagaare is minimally bimoraic (Anttila \& Bodomo 2009).

Therefore, if a proclitic and a root occurs separately as different prosodic words, it will violate minimality and hence there will be no harmony. However, if the proclitic and the nominal root occur as a single domain, then it will satisfy minimality. This harmony between proclitic and root vowel is described as prosodic integration. Given that the proclitic is assumed as part of the PWd, it is expected that the harmonic feature in the root spreads leftward to the clitic. To account for the bimoracity of PWds, the minimality constraint that is formulated below in (54) will require that a prosodic word is minimally bimoraic. In addition, to ensure that each syllable is parsed by the PWd, I assume exhaustive parsing of strict layering (Inkelas, 1993; McCarthy \& Prince, 1993b). This constraint is also formalized in (55)
(54) Minimality (Anttila \& Bodomo, 2009; Downing, 1999)

PWd must be at least bimoraic.

Parse- $\sigma-$ PWd (McCarthy \& Prince, 1993)
Every $\sigma$ must be parsed by PWd.

Now consider the following prosodic structures in (56). In (50a), the root morpheme and the proclitic act as separate prosodic words and that violates minimality. In (56b), the proclitic is a separate syllable and not part of the prosodic word while the noun constitutes a separate PWd and that rules it out. In (56c), both the proclitic and the root are integrated, yielding a single prosodic domain and therefore satisfying minimality.
(56) Minimality fulfilment for the input: /fo=nû/ 'your hand'
a.

b

c


Moreover, the prosodic structure of Dagaare nouns gives more evidence that minimality triggers prosodic integration. A monomoraic ${ }^{3}$ nominal root occurs with a -V or -CV suffix. This is shown in (57). The root /ji-/ 'house' never occurs as bare root; it either takes a $-(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{V}$ suffix or CV proclitic.

Prosodic integration in CV noun
a. Suffix integration
i. jí-rì
'house-SG
ii. jíè 'house.PL

[^2]b. proclitic integration
\[

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { i. } & \text { tì= jí-rì 'our house' } \\
& \text { 1PL.POSS=house- } \text { SG } \\
\text { ii. } & \text { bà= jí-rì 'their house' } \\
& \text { 3PL.POSS=house- } \text { SG }
\end{array}
$$
\]

Note also that there are a few bimoraic roots which are free and can therefore occur without suffixes or proclitics. These include: túú 'forest', tfúù 'month/moon', wój̀ 'elephant', láá 'bowl'. This therefore suggests that the restrictions on the prosodic word in Dagaare is phonological. The analysis of affixation and cliticization as prosodic integration in Dagaare is in line with Booij's (1996) analysis of cliticization and Peperkamp's (1997) analysis of affixation. With the previous constraints and those in (54-55), I give an account of the integration of proclitics into a prosodic word. The parenthesis () here is used for PWd boundaries not feet and also not spans. The root in the structure $/ \mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{ji}-\mathrm{ri} /$ is $j i$ - and that of $/ \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{kog}-\mathrm{o} /$ is kog -.
/ bà= jí-rì / > [bàjírì] 'their house/home'

|  | INPUT <br> /bà 1 - jí2 -rì3/ | IDENT-RT <br> [ $\alpha$ ATR] | Parse-б-PWd | Min | SPREAD <br> $[\alpha A T R]_{\text {pwd }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ID-IO } \\ & {[\alpha \mathrm{ATR}]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | (bàı) (jíz) (rì3) |  |  | *!** |  |  |
| b. | (bàı) (jízrı̀̀3) |  |  | *! |  | * |
| c. | (bà jíz rì̀3) |  |  |  | *! ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ |  |
| d. | (bà̀jíı)(rı̀̀3) |  |  | *! |  | * |
| e. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (bə̀2jírrìz) |  |  |  |  | ** |
| f. | (bà3jíırıì3) | *! |  |  |  | * |
| g . | bà̀(jírırì2) |  | *! |  |  | * |

Minimality rules out monomoraic PWds. For instance, (58a) is ruled out for having three separate monomoraic prosodic words though it satisfies the constraints on harmony. Candidate (58b) is also banned by minimality and ID-IO while (58c), although it fulfils minimality, is checked against SPREAD given that each syllable in the prosodic domain assumes a different tongue root feature. Even when the stem is bimoraic, as in (58d), minimality rules out the suffix from forming a PWd on its own. The forms also show prosodic integration results in harmony. However, the winning
candidate in (58e) fulfils both minimality constraint and the constraint on harmony. Crucially, candidate (58f) is ruled out by IDENT-RT since the root vowel in the output fails to identically correspond to the one in the input. Finally, in $(58 \mathrm{~g})$, as result of failure of the proclitic to be parsed into a PWd, it is ruled out by parse- $\sigma$-pwd. The analysis in (58) is exactly the same as the one in (59) below. In these analyses, it is crucial to maintain root identity between the input and output and also ensure that every syllable is parsed by a PWd, hence IDENT-RT outranks both Parse- $\sigma$ PWd and ID-IO [ $\alpha \mathrm{ATR}$ ] to derive the correct output.
/ tì= kóg-ó / > [tì=kóg-ó] 'our chair'

|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { INPUT } \\ / \mathrm{t}_{1}-\text { kóg }_{2}-\text { ón}_{3} / \end{array}$ | IDENT-RT <br> [ $\alpha$ ATR] | parse-б-PWd | Min | SPREAD <br> $[\alpha A T R]_{\text {pwd }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ID-IO } \\ & {[\alpha \mathrm{ATR}]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | (tì̀) ( $\mathrm{kóg}_{2}$ ) ( $\mathrm{ó}_{3}$ ) |  |  | ** |  |  |
| b. | (tì̀) (kóg20́3) |  |  | *! | ** | * |
| c. | (tìıkóg2ó3) |  |  |  | *!* |  |
| d. | (tì̀kó2g)( ${ }_{\text {Ó }}^{2}$ ) |  |  | *! |  | * |
| e. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (tì2kó2góz) |  |  |  |  | ** |
| f. | (tı2kó $2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}_{3}$ ) | *! |  |  |  | * |
| g . | tì̀ (kó2gón) |  | *! |  |  | * |

Overall, Minimality triggers the prosodic integration of clitic and suffixes into the domain of harmony in Dagaare. As a result of the prosodic integration, the vowels of the clitics and suffixes harmonize with the root vowel in tongue root feature value.

### 4.5.4 Summary

In summary, tongue root harmony in Dagaare simple words operates between roots and affixes. Within roots, all vowels must agree in tongue root feature while affix vowels alternate harmonically in their surface tongue root quality in agreement with vowels of the root to which they are attached. This suggests that harmony in Dagaare is root controlled. The harmony is driven by optimality theoretic constraints which enforce spreading of harmonic feature from the root to the suffix. When the root and suffix of a word fail to share the same harmonic feature, then the word is regarded as ill-formed and ruled out by the grammar. The data also shows that tongue root
harmony is possible across word boundaries. For instance, in some compounds, [ATR] as the dominant feature forces harmony across roots while proclitics and preverbal particles assume the tongue root feature of the roots they precede. This then shows that tongue root harmony in Dagaare operates at both root/word and phrase-levels and in both cases, it involves root-controlled directionality.

I argue that minimality constraint triggers the integration of harmonic targets into the domain of harmony. In the analysis, I assume the domain of harmony is PWd which is minimally bimoraic. Using OT framework, bimoraicity is accounted for with Minimality constraint. Since all the targets of harmony are monomoraic, they violate Minimality and cannot form PWd on their own. So, in order to satisfy Minimality, proclitics and suffixes are integrated into the PWd. The vowel of the proclitics and suffixes harmonizing with the root vowel is a diagnosis for prosodic integration. In summary, the analysis supports the hypothesis that prosodic minimality triggers the integration of harmonic targets into the domain of harmony. As root-controlled harmony targets clitics and affixes which are prosodically ill-formed (i.e monosyllabic, monomoraic), minimality constraint should be incorporated into the analysis of languages with PWd as the domain of harmony. The harmonic pattern in Dagaare has been analysed in terms of the traditional OT framework which has provided information on how constraints sanction the derivation of harmony from single underlying representations. In the upcoming section, rounding harmony is discussed in terms of OT.

### 4.6 Account of Dagaare rounding harmony

This section gives a formal account of rounding/backness harmony in Dagaare based on OT. Rounding harmony is not as productive as tongue root harmony as it is attested mainly with the imperfective suffix and that of agentive nominalisations. The shape of the vowel of the suffix is determined by the shape of the vowel in the vowel root. Similar to tongue root harmony, rounding harmony is root-controlled. Recall that vowels in the rounding also agree in tongue root as well as backness and low features.

### 4.6.1 Rounding in Optimality Theory

In this section, I present an optimality theoretic analysis of the rounding harmony pattern that is laid out in section 4.3. As stated already, rounding is productively attested only with imperfective
verbs. The vowel of the imperfective suffix is always a mid vowel when the root contains nonlow vowel, or the suffix is a low vowel when the root vowel is low. The fact that only imperfectives exhibit rounding raises questions as to why that is the case. My proposal is that the vowel of the imperfective suffix is unspecified for rounding and it needs the missing rounding feature from a donor to be realized as round. That is, given that the vowel of the imperfective suffix is always either mid or low, I assume that it is an underlying -V which is specified as [-high] not specified for the feature [round]. Then when realised on the surface, it must agree with all harmonic features associated with the root vowel. Therefore, if the root vowel is round (either high or mid round), the underlying [-high] vowel surfaces as a mid round vowel; if the root contains high or mid nonround vowel, the suffix vowel is realised as a mid non-round vowel and if the root vowel is low and unrounded, the suffix vowel is always low. Here is a schematised rounding/backness process below. Rounding harmony

| kù |  | $\mathrm{rV}_{[\text {-hi] }}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $[$ kò-ró $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ 'run-IPFV'

What happens is that the -V in the suffix has a [-high] feature and is then realized as round after getting the rounding feature from the root and this results in kù-r' 'give- IPFV'.

To provide an account for the how rounding is derived, I adopt a set of constraints and show how they interact to yield the surface forms. These constraints are discussed in more detail in section 4.4. Rounding can be accounted for by assuming constraints that trigger the spread of the feature [round] across a domain. Similar to the tongue root harmony, a constraint that drives rounding harmony is SPREAD [ $\alpha \mathrm{F}$ ] (Padgett, 1995). The feature of focus is [round]; so, the relevant instantiation of SPREAD $[\alpha F]$ will be labelled SPREAD $[\alpha R D]$ as in (61). This constraint dictates that within a domain, any instance of the feature [around] must be linked to all adjacent vocalic positions.
(61) SPREAD [ $\alpha$ RD] (Padgett, 1995)

If a feature $[\alpha R D]$ is associated with a vowel, the same [ $\alpha \mathrm{RD}$ ] feature is linked to every vowel in the PWd.

Since roots in Dagaare maintain their contrast, a positional faithfulness constraint as defined in (62a) will be required to ensure there is no change in [around] feature of the root vowel. A constraint that also keeps identical features between input and out forms in every context is required to account for rounding. This is also defined in (62b). Moreover, given that the vowel of the imperfective suffix is always non-high, a constraint that forces faithfulness in height is assumed in (62c).
(62) a. IDENT-RT[ $\alpha$ RD] (Beckman, 1998)

Let $\alpha$ be an input vowel contained in a root, and $\beta$ the output correspondent of $\alpha$. If $\alpha$ is [ $\lambda \mathrm{RD}]$, then $\beta$ must be $[\lambda R D]$.
b. ID-IO[ $\alpha$ RD $]$ (McCarthy \& Prince, 1999)

Let $\alpha$ be an input vowel, and $\beta$ the output correspondent of $\alpha$. If $\alpha$ is [ $\lambda \mathrm{RD}$ ], then $\beta$ must be $[\lambda \mathrm{RD}$ ].
c. ID-IO [ $\alpha$ high] (McCarthy \& Prince, 1999)

Let $\alpha$ be an input vowel, and $\beta$ the output correspondent of $\alpha$. If $\alpha$ is [ $\lambda$ high], then $\beta$ must be [ $\lambda$ high].

As already established, aside from rounding, the imperfective suffix agrees with the tongue root feature of the root, it is crucial that a constraint that enforces tongue root agreement is adopted. This leads to the following constraint as stated in (63). This constraint rules out forms which have vowels that disagree in tongue root feature, even if the vowels agree in rounding.

SPREAD [ $\alpha$ ATR]
If a feature $[\alpha \mathrm{ATR}]$ is associated with a vowel, the same [ $\alpha \mathrm{ATR}]$ feature is linked to every vowel in the PWd.

The following tableaux demonstrates how the constraints interact to derive the optimal form.

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ \mathrm{ku}-\mathrm{r} \mathrm{~V}_{[-\mathrm{hi}} / \rightarrow \text { [kù-ró] 'give-IPFV’ } \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | INPUT $/ \mathrm{kù}-\mathrm{r} \mathrm{~V}_{[-\mathrm{hi}]} /$ | IDENT-RT <br> [ $\alpha$ RD] | ID-IO <br> [ $\alpha$ high] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ID-IO } \\ & {[\alpha \mathrm{RD}]} \end{aligned}$ | SPREAD <br> $[\alpha R D]_{\text {PWd }}$ | SPREAD <br> [ $\alpha$ ATR] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | kù-ró |  |  |  |  | *! |
| b. | kò-ré |  |  |  | *! |  |
| c. | kù-rư |  | *! |  |  |  |
| d. | kò-ró |  |  |  |  |  |
| e. | kù-ró |  |  |  |  | *! |
| f. | kù-rú |  | *! |  |  |  |
| g . | kò-ró |  | *! |  |  |  |

In the above tableau, the output in (64a) is crucially ruled out. Though the adjacent vowels agree in round feature, there is a violation of SPREAD [ $\alpha$ ATR] because the vowels do not share same tongue root value. In (64b), we see that it satisfies SPREAD [ $\alpha$ ATR] but is ruled out by SPREAD [ $\alpha$ RD] since the vowels do not share the same rounding feature that prevents it from emerging optimal. As high vowels are prohibited in the imperfective suffix, it then means that any output form that has a high vowel in the suffix like (64c) will be banned by ID-IO [ $\alpha$ high] because the suffix in the output has a high vowel instead of a non-high vowel compared to vowel specification in the input. Candidate (64d) is the winning output which fulfils all constraints. Candidates ( $64 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ ) are all ruled out by the high-ranked ID-IO[ $\alpha$ high] because of the violation of suffix faithfulness. The analysis in (64) applies exactly to the one below in (65), except for the specific values for [round] involved. Observe that the analyses presented with these two forms in (64-65) involve cases where the winning candidate is harmonically bounded by the other candidates in that candidate (d) will always win under any conceivable ranking. Thus, no particular crucial ranking is established here.

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ \text { di-rV }{ }_{[-h i]} / \rightarrow \text { [dì-ré] } \quad \text { 'eat-IPFV' } \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INPUT } \\ & \text { /di-rV }_{[-\mathrm{hi}]} / \end{aligned}$ | IDENT- <br> RT[ $\alpha$ RD] | ID-IO <br> [ahigh] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ID-IO } \\ & {[\alpha \mathrm{RD}]} \end{aligned}$ | SPREAD <br> [ $\alpha$ RD] | SPREAD <br> [ $\alpha$ ATR] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | dì-ré |  |  |  |  | *! |
| b. | dì-ró |  |  |  | *! |  |
| c. | dì-rí |  | *! |  |  |  |
| d. | dì-ré |  |  |  |  |  |
| e. | di-re |  |  |  |  | *! |
| f. | dì-rí |  | *! |  |  |  |
| g . | dè-ré |  | *! |  |  |  |

The OT analysis of rounding shows that the vowel of the imperfective suffix is underlyingly specified for the feature [-high] and whichever mid vowel that is realised on the surface must agree in terms of rounding and backness feature as well as tongue root feature of the root. In short, there is something morphologically special about the imperfective suffix in the sense that it has an underlying -V specified for [-high] whose surface form is determined by the verbal root. With rounding morphologically restricted the imperfective forms, a question arises as to how to account for absence rounding in default verbs and nouns.

I assume that the underlying suffix of the default form is the same as the surface form. That is the suffix is underlyingly specified as high and remains the same on the surface regardless of the shape of the root vowel. This is illustrated in (66). Candidate (a) which satisfies all constraints is crucially ruled out by the faithfulness constraint ID-IO [ $\alpha$ RD] for the round suffix vowel. As the default final vowel is assumed to be insensitive to rounding, the round feature in the root vowel fails to spread to the suffix, hence leading to SPREAD [ $\alpha$ RD] violation in the optimal output, candidate (b). The final high vowel blocks height and rounding harmony. Candidate (c) has same round and height features as the winner (b) but banned by ID-IO [ $\alpha$ ATR]. Candidates ( $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{g}$ ) are all ruled out by ID-IO [high]. Candidate (e) which is the optimal imperfective version of the default form is crucially prohibited against ID-IO [high].

|  | INPUT <br> /sor-I/ | IDENT-RT <br> $[\alpha R D]$ | ID-IO <br> $[\alpha h i g h]$ | ID-IO <br> $[\alpha$ ATR $]$ | ID-IO <br> $[\alpha R D]$ | SPREAD <br> $[\alpha R D]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. | ssr-U |  |  |  | $*!$ |  |
| b. | ssr-I |  |  |  |  | $*$ |
| c. | sor-i |  |  | $*!$ |  | $*$ |
| d. | sur-o |  | $*!$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| e. | ssr-o |  | $*!$ |  | $*$ |  |
| f. | sur-u |  | $*!$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| g. | sor-o |  | $*!$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |

In the above analysis, ID-IO [ $\alpha$ RD] must outrank SPREAD [ $\alpha$ RD] for the optimal output to emerge. This ranking does not have any consequences on the underspecified non-high vowels in the imperfective suffix as shown in (64-65) given that all candidates in the imperfectives are tied on ID-IO [ $\alpha$ RD], thereby showing the distinction between underspecification for the imperfectives vs. full specification for the default forms.

### 4.6.2 Summary

Harmonic patterns which are associated with the imperfective and agentive nominal suffix are examined. The suffix involves rounding/backness, height and tongue root harmony. Within OT, the assumption is that the suffix vowel is underlyingly a -V specified for [-high]. Then on the surface, it agrees with all the features namely, [ $\pm$ round], $[ \pm$ ATR] $[ \pm$ back] or $[ \pm$ low] of the root vowel. For the default verb form, the suffix is fully specified as high front $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ - it is the same underlyingly and on the surface. It simply fails to agree in the rounding feature of the preceding root vowel because high vowels are insensitive to and block rounding.

### 4.7 Conclusion

Prior to writing this chapter, only a little was known about harmony systems in the Dagaare in terms of description and analysis. The chapter therefore gives a more detailed description and analysis of Dagaare harmony patterns - tongue root harmony and harmony patterns that are connected to the imperfective and agentive nominalising suffix. These harmony patterns are laid
out in purely descriptive terms and then followed by analysis within the theoretical framework of Optimality theory.

With a symmetric /2IU/ ten-vowel system, all vowels within the harmonic domain - the prosodic word - must share the same harmonic feature. Suffix vowels harmonise with the vowels of the root suggesting that harmony in Dagaare is root-controlled. In this case each harmonic feature, ATR/RTR pattern symmetrically, with none of them being the dominant spreading feature. This happens particularly with simple forms made up of just roots and suffixes. The analysis further showed that the domain of tongue root harmony is not limited to only roots and suffixes because pronominal/verbal clitics also undergo tongue root harmony. This then means that harmony is Dagaare is both bidirectional and progressive. That is, tongue root harmony is analysed as morphologically conditioned - operating on two levels: root-level and phrase-level, both rootcontrolled. At the root-level harmony spreads rightward from the root to the suffix and at the phrase-level, the harmonic feature operates bidirectionally, from the root to the prefix/proclitic and the suffix. Rounding harmony is analysed as generally morphologically restricted to the imperfective, targeting the imperfective suffix progressively - from the root to the suffix. I proposed that the presence of rounding only in imperfective verbs is due to an underlying vowel which is specified for the feature [-high] while the lack of rounding in the default form is attributed to rounding being opaque to high vowels, probably because high $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}]$ is epenthetic introduced at word level.

In formally accounting for these patterns, I first adopt an optimality theoretic analysis arguing that harmony is driven by constraints that enforce spreading of features between roots and affixes. For the cases of pronominal clitics, my claim is that minimality constraint motivates the integration of harmonic targets into the harmonic domain. Since the clitic targets are monomoraic, they violate minimality and cannot form PWd on their own. So, they get integrated into the PWd. This analysis supports the claim that prosodic minimality conditions the integration of harmonic targets into the domain of harmony.

## Chapter 5: Tone

### 5.1 Introduction

Tone as a common phenomenon has been investigated in many African languages. Some of these languages include Margi (Hoffman, 1963; Pulleyblank, 1986), Bambara (Dwyer, 1976), Kishamba (Odden, 1982), Digo (Kisseberth, 1984), Chizigula (Kisseberth 1992) Chichewa (Myers, 1998) and a variety of Bantu languages (Hyman \& Kisseberth, 1998). Specific discussion of tone in the Gur/Mabia family exists for languages such as Moore (Peterson, 1971; Kenstowicz et al. 1988), Lama (Ourso, 1989b), Dagbani (Hyman, 1993; Issah 1993; Hyman \& Olawsky, 2004), Buli (Akanlig-Pare, 1997; Akanlig-Pare \& Kenstowicz, 2002), Dagaare (Anttila \& Bodomo, 1996; Bodomo, 1997; Angsongna 2021) and Konni (Cahill, 1998, 1999).

Mabia/Gur languages are either two- or three-tone systems. Consistent with the crosslinguistic observation that two-tone languages are the most common (Cahill 2007; Maddieson 1978), the majority of Mabia languages are two-tone. Tone-bearing consonants such as nasals have been observed in some Mabia languages such as Moore (Kinda, 1997), Dagara (=Northern Dagaare) (Somé, 1998), Waali (=Southern Dagaare) (Abdul Moomin, 2015), Birifor (=Western Dagaare) (Dundaa, 2013). It has been observed in Central Dagaare as well that there is tone on nasal consonants, particularly on the first-person pronoun (Anttila \& Bodomo, 1996).

Theoretical analysis of tone in terms of models such as Optimality Theory (Prince \& Smolensky 1993; McCarthy \& Prince, 1995; Prince \& Smolensky, 2004; McCarthy 2011) has been common in African languages, particularly on Bantu languages (Bickmore, 1996; Cassimjee \& Kisseberth, 1998; Myers \& Carleton, 1996; Odden, 1998; Reynolds, 1997 etc). There are also some analyses of non-Bantu languages which can be found in e.g. Akinlabi (1996); Pulleyblank (2004). Bringing this home to Gur/Mabia, the available OT analysis of tone are of Dagaare (Angsongna, 2021; Anttila \& Bodomo, 1996, 2000) and Konni (Cahill, 1998, 1999). In the analysis of Dagaare, much of the focus has been on the nominal system at the expense of other categories in the language. The present research takes a step further by proposing an analysis for the nominal tones, verbal tones, and derived forms like nominalisations. This chapter is therefore a relevant addition to the descriptive and theoretical analysis of tone in Dagaare.

### 5.2 Dagaare tone

### 5.2.1 Tone inventory and distribution

Dagaare is a register tone language with two basic levels of tone, high (H) and low (L) (Kennedy, 1966; Anttila \& Bodomo 1996; Bodomo 1997; Angsongna 2021). A high tone is represented with the acute accent [á] and a low tone is represented with the grave accent [à]. There are also cases of downstep; a phenomenon in which the second of two high tones is not equally as high as the first one (Hyman, 1985; Selkirk \& Tateishi, 1991). Downstep is represented here with an exclamation mark [!á]. In this section, I show examples of simple verbs and simple nouns in Dagaare to illustrate these tones.

### 5.2.1.1 Distribution of verbal tones

As with vowel harmony, the default and imperfective verb forms exhibit different patterns. That is, there are three tone classes for Dagaare verbs and for each of the classes, the surface pattern it exhibits in the default is systematically different from the pattern it exhibits in the imperfective. Both have three lexical classes corresponding as follows: For the default form which may be monoor disyllabic, there are three surface patterns, H/H-H, L/-L-L, HL/H-L with their corresponding imperfective forms, which are always disyllabic surfacing as $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}!\mathrm{H}$, respectively analysed as HLH with the L floating; Kenstowicz, 1994; Pulleyblank, 1986). There is no LH or $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}$ in the default form and there are no $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}$ in the imperfectives. It is however possible to see a H -H imperfective arise postlexically in a clause when preceded by some preverbal particles. This will be illustrated in section 5.2 .4 below. The tone classes in the default and imperfective forms of the verb are described next.

In the default form there are three tone melodies: $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{H}$, and HL. All these melodies are attested on monosyllabic $\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{V})-\emptyset$ forms which involve null suffix, where an HL melody is realised as a falling contour. These melodies ( $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HL}$ ) are the same in the disyllabic default forms. In these cases, the suffix is either an overt mora or a CV syllable with the preceding root either being CV or CVV or CVC or CVVC. In the imperfective forms, there are L-H, H-L and HLH $(=\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H})$.

First, the default of L toned roots are given in (1). They involve cases with CV or CVV or CVC forms which have no suffixes and there are CVC roots which are followed by either -V or -CV suffix while CVVC and CVCC roots are followed by -V.
(1) L-root, default L; imperfective L-H

| Verb root dì- | Perfective dì | Gloss 'eat.v' | Imperfective dì-ré | Gloss <br> 'eat-IPFV' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tù- | tù | 'follow.V | tùù-ró | 'follow- IPFV' |
| kù- | kò | 'give.v | kò-ró | 'give- IPFV' |
| lè- | lè | 'fall.v | lè-ré | 'fall- IPFV' |
| jùò- | jùò | 'open.V | jùò-ró | 'open-IPFV' |
| tùò- | tùò | 'carry.v | tùò-ró | 'carry- IPFV' |
| zèl- | zèlì | 'lift.v | zèl-غ́ | 'lift- IPFV' |
| wùl- | wùlì | 'show.V | wùl-ó | 'show- IPFV' |
| sòr- | sòrì | 'beg.v | sòr-ó | 'beg- IPFV' |
| sàr- | sàrì | 'slip.v | sàr-á | 'slip- IPFV' |
| pòg- | pògì | 'close.V | pòg-ró | 'close- IPFV' |
| kpìn- | kpìnnì | 'extinguish.v | kpìn-né | 'extinguish- IPFV' |
| tùùr- | tùùrì | 'pick.v | tùùr-ó | 'pick- IPFV' |
| kù̀̀r- | kùj̀r̀ | 'sell.v | kòj̀r-ó | 'sell- IPFV' |
| bùòl- | bùj̀lì | 'call.v | bùj̀-ó | 'call- IPFV' |
| sùn- | sòn | 'help.v | sùn-nó | 'help- IPFV' |
| bày- | bày | 'know.V | bàn-ná | 'know- IPFV' |
| zìgl- | zìglì | 'seat.v | zìgl- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'seat- IPFV' |
| tògl- | tòglì | 'imitate.V | tògl-ó | 'imitate- IPFV' |
| zàgr- | zàgrì | 'refuse/reject.V | zàgr-á | 'refuse/reject- IPFV' |

Both root and suffix are L-toned. For the imperfectives of these L roots, there are CV or CVC or CVV root syllables preceding the high front vowel. The root is L while the suffix is H . Given these cases, I will be treating the root for both default and imperfective as underlyingly L .

The cases involving perfective H in (2) are similar to what is described above in (1). For instance, there are CV, CVV and CVC monosyllabic roots with no suffixes, so we see H tone over a monomoraic or bimoraic but monosyllabic verb, as well as H-H over disyllabic verb.
(2) H-root, default H; imperfective H-L

| Verb root | Perfective | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lé- | lé | 'tie.v | lé-rè | 'tie- IPFV' |
| tú- | tú | 'dig.v' | túú-rò | 'dig- IPFV' |
| kú- | kú | 'kill.v' | kúú-rò | 'kill- IPFV' |
| kó- | kó | 'farm.V | kúó-rò | 'farm- IPFV' |
| lóś- | lóó | 'drop/throw.v | lóó-rò | 'drop/throw- IPFV', |
| jóś- | jóó | 'pay.v' | jóó-rò | 'pay-IPFV' |
| kpér- | kpérí | ‘slice.v | kpér-è | 'slice- IPFV' |
| sór- | sórí | 'count/read. V' | sór-ò | 'count/read- IPFV' |
| vól- | vólí | 'swallow.v' | vól-ò | 'swallow- IPFV' |
| síg- | sígí | 'descend.v | síg-rè | 'descend- IPFV' |
| dứg- | dứgí | 'cook/boil.v | dứg-rò | 'cook/boil- IPFV' |
| nón- | nón | 'massage.v | nón-ò | 'massage- IPFV' |

Just like the cases of the L toned default form, there are and CVC roots that are followed by either -V or -CV suffix but unlike the L roots which have cases with CVVC, there are no H toned roots with the shape CVVC. This probably is an accidental gap. The imperfective forms always have either a -V or CV suffix. The root is H and the suffix is L . These patterns lead to the assumption that the root is underlyingly H .

In the HL class as shown in (3) only one CV monomoraic default form is attested in the language and it comes with a contour tone. There are CVV syllables with zero suffixes and in this case, there is HL contour across the bimoraic but monosyllabic CVV form as in examples like fáà 'seize'. The V-final roots are followed by a -CV suffix while CVC roots are followed by a -V or a - CV suffix. In these cases, the surface forms have the root syllable being $H$ and the suffix being $L$.
(3) HL-roots, default $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$; imperfective $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{LH}=\mathrm{H}-$ ! H

| Verb root | Perfective | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dî- | dî ${ }^{4}$ | 'take.v | dí-! r ¢́ | 'take- IPFV' |
| díc̀- | díè | 'receive.v | díć-!ré | 'receive- IPFV |

[^3]| vúj̀- | vój̀ | 'uproot.V | vưó-!ró | 'uproot- IPFV' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fáà- | fáà | 'seize.v | fáá-! ${ }^{\text {á }}$ | 'seize- IPFV' |
| dáà- | dáà | 'push.v | dáá-! $\mathrm{rá}$ | 'push-IPFV' |
| lôr- | lórì | 'untie.v | lór-!ó | 'untie- IPFV' |
| kôr- | kórì | 'cough.V | kór-! | 'cough- IPFV' |
| nûn- | júnnì | 'evaporate.v | nún-!nó | 'evaporate- IPFV' |
| jíèl- | jiélì | ‘sing.V | jíél-!é | 'sing- IPFV' |
| dúòr- | dúórì | 'urinate.v | dúór-!ó | 'urinate- IPFV' |
| háàr- | hóórì | 'yawn.v | háár-!ó | 'yawn- IPFV' |
| háàr- | háárì | 'shoo.v | háár-!á | 'shoo- IPFV' |
| sógl- | sóglì | 'hide.v | sógl-!ó | 'hide- IPFV' |

CVVC and CVCC H-toned root followed by a L-toned -V suffix. When it comes to the imperfectives, the only CV root has a CV suffix as in dí-! ré 'taking'. CVV roots precede CV suffixes; CVC roots are followed by -V or -CV suffixes, where the -CV suffix occurs with only nasal-final roots and CVVC roots come with -V suffixes. In the CVC or CVVC or CVCC imperfective cases, we do not see HL-H (falling +H ) surface pattern. Rather a level high tone occurs and then a downstepped high on the suffix. Even if there are three moras available, they do not associate one-to-one mapping. With this in mind, I will consider the root to be underlyingly HL.

The choice of the imperfective suffix is completely predictable based on the phonological shape of the root and the default form. For instance, $\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{V}) \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{V}) 1, \mathrm{CVgr}$ and CVgl roots take -V suffix. Recall (in chapter 3) that evidence for positing CVgr and CVgl as roots instead of CVg - or CV1- is based on reduplication. CVVN roots take -V suffix while CVN roots take -(C)V suffix. Moreover CV, CVV and CVg roots take-rV suffix. As shown in chapter 4, the imperfective suffix has an underlying -V specified for [-high] feature. The shape of the root then determines the shape the suffix vowel takes on the surface. Refer to chapter 3 for detailed illustrations of the kind of shape the default and imperfective root and suffix takes.

When we compare the default vs the imperfectives, apart from the fact that the tone on the imperfective suffix is opposite to the root-final tone, it is also observed that there are some imperfective forms which involve lengthening and diphthongisation in the root. Moreover, the
vowel quality of both default and imperfective suffixes is completely predictable. The default suffix if any, always has high front unrounded vowel $[-\mathrm{i} /-\mathrm{I}]$ and the imperfective always has a nonhigh vowel $[-\mathrm{e} /-\varepsilon,-\mathrm{o} /-\rho,-ə /-\mathrm{a}]$. Unlike the imperfective suffix, the shape of the verbal root does not determine the shape of the default suffix. Both suffixes harmonise in tongue root position with the root, and the imperfective suffix harmonises in backness, rounding and [ $\pm$ low] with the root. Discussion of harmony patterns is found in chapter 4. The next section presents descriptive data for tone distribution in nouns.

### 5.2.1.2 Distribution of nominal tones

The great majority of simple nouns are categorized into three main tonal classes, namely $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ L and L-H. Recall that nouns are minimally disyllabic as the tone patterns show. L-L pattern is said to be systematically absent (Anttila \& Bodomo, 1996, 2000; Bodomo, 1997). The database of 314 simple nouns for this research however shows the presence of three nouns with a L-L pattern. The general tendency is that the singular and the plural forms display the same tonal melody, with a few exceptions. The data in (4-6) shows the three main tone classes in nouns.
(4) $\emptyset$-root; HH - singular vs plural

| Root | singular | plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bi- | bí-é | biír-rí | 'child' | bì-fáá | 'bad child' |
| zi- | zí-é | zií-rí | 'place' | zì-fáá | 'bad place' |
| SI- | sí-̇́ | síli-rí | 'waist' | sì-kpêy | 'big waist' |
| nu- | jú-ú | núú-rí | 'neck' | jù-wégì | 'long neck' |
| bu- | bú-ó | bưó-rí | 'goat' | bú-bílé | 'small goat' |
| sig- | síg-Í | síg-rí | 'hut' | sìg-bílé | 'small hut' |
| zıg- | zíg-غ́ | zíg-rí | 'back' | zìg-bílé | 'small back' |
| peg- | pég-í | pég-rí | 'shell' | p ¢̀g-kpề | 'big shell' |
| kog- | kóg-ó | kóg-rí | 'chair' | kòg-bílé | 'small chair' |
| bag- | bág-á | bág-rí | 'shoulder' | bàg-bílé | 'small shoulder' |
| tan- | tán-á | tán-ní | 'mountain' | tàm-bílé | 'small mountain' |
| nay- | náy-á | nán-ní | 'scorpion' | nàn-zíć | 'red scorpion' |

In (4) above, the noun root is L in a compound. Then both singular and plural take H toned suffix. The underlying form of the nominal root is established by noun-adjective ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}$ ) combination. The
$\mathrm{H} \sim \mathrm{L}$ alternation provides evidence about the underlying tonal content of the root - specifically, of the tonal content of roots that surface as $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$. In the examples, if a $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ noun is modified by an adjective, the number suffix of the noun is dropped while the root bears a $L$ tone. As noted in Kenstowicz et al. (1988), the H is a property of the suffix, so a default L is expected on the nominal root in the N -A compound if the root does not have a tone of its own. Therefore, I will consider these roots as underlyingly toneless.

In the next set of examples in (5) below, the noun root surfaces as L in N -A compound just as the examples in (4). Then in both singular and plural, the suffix takes a H tone. In these cases, both the singular and plural as well as the N-A compound have lexically specified L. Since the root remains L in all these environments, I consider it to be underlyingly L .
(5) L-root; L-H - singular vs plural

| Root | singular | plural | Gloss | Evidence | ot representation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pì- | pì-é | pì̀-rí | 'rock' | pì-bílé | 'small rock' |
| nù- | nù-ó | jùù-rí | 'navel' | nù-bílé | 'small navel' |
| sù- | sù-ó | sùù-rí | 'knife' | sù-zì- ¢ | 'small knife' |
| dè | dìé | dè-rí | 'room/house' | dè-bílé | 'small room/house' |
| jò̀- | jòò-rí | jò-bó | 'termite' | jò̀-bílé | 'small termite' |
| tòò- | tòò-rí | tò-bó | 'ear' | tòò-wégì | 'long ear' |
| bìg- | bìg-í | bìg-rí | 'law' | bìg-fáá | 'bad law' |
| bùg- | bùg-ó | bùg-rí | 'silo' | bùg-kpên | 'big silo' |
| bòg- | bòg-Í | bòg-rí | 'hole' | bòg-zùlúy | 'deep hole' |
| gòn- | gòn-ó | gòn-ní | 'silk cotton' | gòn-wégì | 'tall silk cotton' |

The next class in (6) below is different as we see that the compounds have a H!H pattern when the adjoining adjective is H -toned. The singular and plural suffixes are L-toned. Following the proposals of Anttila \& Bodomo (1996, 2000), I am going to treat these roots as underlyingly H .
(6) H-root; H-L - singular vs plural

| Root | singular | plural | Gloss | Evidence for root representation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jí- | jí-rì | jí-è | 'house/home' jí-!bílé | 'small/house/home' |  |
| mí- | mí-rì | mí-è | 'rope' | mí-!nmáá | 'short rope' |
| né- | níć-rì | nć-غ̀ | 'grinder' | né-!bílé | 'small grinder' |


| gbé- | gbé-rì | gb ¢́- ¢ | 'leg' | gbé-wégì | 'long leg' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jó- | júó-rì | jó-è | 'name' | jó-!fáá | 'bad name' |
| só- | só-rì | só-è | 'path' | só-! ! máá | 'short path' |
| dứg- | dứg-ì | dưg-rì | 'pot' | dớg-kpề | 'big pot' |
| wég- | wég-غ̀ | wég-rì | 'log' | wég-!!ymáá | 'short log' |
| kóg- | kóg-ò | kóg-rì | 'mahogany' | kóg-wégì | 'tall mahogany' |
| fóy- | fóy-̇̀ | fón-nì | 'section' | fóm-! bílé | 'small section' |

In summary, the tone pattern in nouns involve cases where the singular/plural suffix contributes a tone that is opposite to the tone of the root, or the suffix tone is the same as the root. That is, in the cases in (4), the H tone on the suffix contributes the H tone on the root. So, on the surface both root and suffix share the same tone. For the cases in (5) and (6), the root bears a tone that is contrastive to the tone of the suffix syllable. This contrast between the root and suffixal tone is what has been referred to as tonal polarity, a dissimilatory process in which a tone-bearing unit, generally an affix, shows a tone value opposite to one immediately adjacent to it (Hoffman, 1963; Pulleyblank 1983, 1986; Kenstowicz et al., 1988). Essentially the L and H roots result in surface polar tones on the suffix. ${ }^{5}$

The tone patterns that are attested for verbs and nouns are given with the following tables. First, here are the verbs. Since verbs are always either monosyllabic or disyllabic, the attested tone patterns they exhibit are the ones already stated above for the default and imperfective forms. Contours are those with different tones without a hyphen.

[^4]Table 5.1: Attested surface tone patterns in verbs

| Default |  |  |  |  | Imperfective |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | Pattern | Ex. | Gloss | No. | Size | Pattern | Ex. | Gloss | No. |
| Onesyllable | H | tú | 'dig.v' | 39 | Onesyllable |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | jóó | 'pay.v' | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | tù | 'follow.v' | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | bàà | 'grow.v' | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HL | dî | 'take.v' | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | dáà | 'push.v' | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | *LH |  |  |  | Twosyllable | * $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ |  |  |  |
| Twosyllable | H-H | sórí | 'count.v' | 26 |  | H-L | sór-ò | 'count-IPFV' | 69 |
|  | L-L | sòrı̀ | 'beg.v' | 110 |  | L-H | sòr-'́ | 'beg-IPFV | 154 |
|  | H-L | kórì | 'cough.V' | 60 |  | H-! H | kór-!' | 'cough-IPFV' | 69 |
|  | *L-H |  |  |  |  | *L-L |  |  |  |

Nominal patterns involve syllable counts ranging from one to five are detailed in the following table representing each syllable count. The table below shows the different syllables and the tone patterns they exhibit.

Table 5.2: Attested surface tone patterns in nouns

| Size | Pattern | Example | Gloss | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One-syllable | H | má | 'mother' | 1 |
|  |  | báá | 'dog' | 11 |
|  | HL | zû | 'head' | 5 |
|  |  | tfúù | 'moon' | 18 |
|  | LH | pié | 'rock' | 29 |
|  | *L |  |  |  |
| Two-syllable | H-H | kó.gó | 'chair' | 119 |
|  | H-L | jírì | 'house' | 55 |
|  | L-H | bù.gó | 'silo' | 73 |
|  | L-L | tò.bò | 'tobacco' | 3 |
|  | H-! H | duć.1!'Í | 'eggs' | 12 |
|  | LH-L | gòú.rì | 'thorns' | 17 |
| Three-syllable | H-H-H | sín.sá.gá | 'rattle' | 14 |
|  | H-H-L | sí.kpá.gà | 'proverbs' | 3 |
|  | L-H-H | nà.fí.rí́ | 'hoof' | 20 |
|  | L-L-H | zò.mì.ŋย́ | 'friend' | 32 |
|  | L-H-L | lì.bí.rì | 'money' | 12 |
|  | H-H-! ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | ká.máá.n!íi | 'corn' | 3 |
|  | H-L-H | gbé.bì.rí | 'toe' | 10 |
| Four-syllable | L-H-L-H | bì.ríjùù.rí | 'ducks' | 7 |
|  | H-H-L-H | gó.má.tì̀.bú | 'chameleon' | 4 |
| Five-syllable | L-H-H-H-H | sà.kpá.lím.bí.rí | 'kidney' | 1 |

The tone possibilities found in the one- and two-syllable words represent simple nouns. I should mention that even though I refer to these one- and two-syllable nouns as simple, they are actually morphologically complex in the sense of containing two morphemes: a root plus an affix, each of which could potentially come with its own tonal content. So, the simple nouns involve tone sequences with monosyllabic noun roots. The more complex patterns (i.e, three- four- and five-
syllable) nouns are mostly compounds. Note that the number of forms for each pattern is not representative of all the forms of the language; it is based on the current database of nouns (see Appendices A \& B) . It must also be emphasized that this information is reflective of the variety of Central Dagaare spoken in Sombo and its environs. Other varieties might have varying syllable counts and tone patterns. The above patterns lead us to the following generalizations.
(7) Surface generalizations about Dagaare tone
i. There are restricted number of L-L nouns in Dagaare. Only three nouns are attested in the database
ii. The imperfective suffix syllable never has the same tone as the preceding root syllable. That is, if the root is L , the suffix is H and if the root is H , the suffix is H or $!\mathrm{H}$, where $!\mathrm{H}$ is the result of a floating L in underlying HLH.
iii. There are no surface HLH within simple words in Dagaare (both nouns and verbs). What this effectively means is that we never find HL-H (where HL is falling) or H-LH (where LH is rising) and we never find a monosyllabic HLH (falling-rising contour). There is however a $\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}$ which I believe results from HLH (with the L floating, i.e., H (L)-H).
iv. Contours are generally word-final, with a few exceptions in nouns
v. A contour in Dagaare has a maximum of two levels of pitch, HL, LH. That is a maximum of two tones associated to a TBU except for LHL on a CVV syllable in the singular of 'thorn' gǒò.
vi. Both rising and falling contours are attested in nouns, but only falling contour is attested in verbs ( $=*$ LH in verbs)
vii. There is no evidence suggesting the presence of a floating H , but L tones can float between high tones, causing downstep.

As the discussion in this chapter progresses, there may be other generalizations. These, in addition with those listed above will inform the basis of a number of OT constraints for the analysis.

In summary, the data above shows the various tone classes attested in simple verbs and nouns. The default form of the verb has the surface tone patterns: $\mathrm{L}(-\mathrm{L}), \mathrm{H}(-\mathrm{H})$ and $\mathrm{H}(-) \mathrm{L}$ while the corresponding imperfective form has the patterns: $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}$. For nouns, the tone patterns are generally similar for both singular and plural in simple nouns. The major classes include $\mathrm{H}(-$
$\mathrm{H}), \mathrm{H}(-) \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{L}(-) \mathrm{H}$. Other very marginally attested surface simple forms are $\mathrm{L}(-\mathrm{L}), \mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}$ and LH(-)L.

### 5.2.2 Representation of tone

Under autosegmental phonology (Goldsmith, 1976; Leben, 1973), tone is on a separate tier from the segmental and prosodic content. A tone is only realized on the surface if it is linked or associated to some segmental or prosodic material such as the syllable or the mora on which it (the tone) is eventually pronounced. An important aspect of this theory is about how tones are connected to tone bearing units. There have been a number of detailed proposals at representing tones in terms of features (see Yip, 1980; Clement 1980; Pulleyblank, 1986). As phonological segments such as speech sounds are represented as sets of features, tone can also be represented as set of features. Under these proposals tone is specified by a combination of features, such as [upper] and [raised] in which H equals [+upper, +raised]; M is [-upper, +raised], and L is [-upper, -raised] etc. Though relevant to a two-tone system, the tone feature system has been associated with at least a three-tone system. Therefore, it is not common to design a feature system for tone height on the basis of a language with only a two-way contrast such as Dagaare. In such cases, there are two options; either there is a single binary feature for tone, namely [-/+high], or there is unary feature representing high and an unspecified low. In the case of Dagaare, I will assume that the two tones are distinguished by way of the unary features [high] and [low].

As stated in (Zoll, 2003), about three independent parameters play a role in accounting for the distributional asymmetries in tone association patterns cross-linguistically, namely the morphological category of autosegments (e.g. prefix or suffix), the quality of tone (e.g., H or L) and phonological directionality, which in most cases is left to right (Clements \& Ford, 1979; Goldsmith, 1976; Leben, 1973; Pulleyblank, 1986; Williams, 1976) depending on the language and generalisations. These associations are shown with lines connecting the tone tiers to the syllables, segments or moras. The illustration below shows lines connecting tones and syllables.


In some languages, associations are underlying. If tones are not associated to a tone-bearing unit (TBU) in the underlying form, then the grammar of the language must supply associations on the
surface, though there can be exceptions such as a case where an L is allowed to be unassociated on the surface and being audible as downstep on the following tone.

### 5.2.2.1 Tone bearing units

The TBU is the landing site on which tones anchor (Hyman \& Leben, 2017; Hyman, 2018). In terms of associating tones to TBUs, it is not always straightforward what the TBU of a language is. Tones may anchor on a segment, syllable, or mora. Odden (Odden, 1995, 2020) draws evidence from various languages which appear to show that the entity to which tone associates is the mora in some languages and the syllable in other languages. He concludes that further research is required to explicitly address the question of what the TBU is. In a similar fashion, Yip (2002) proposes various mechanisms to illustrate that the TBU could be the segment, mora or the syllable depending on the language. To this end, if a language has monomoraic CV syllables where each syllable bears exactly one tone, it is possible that the TBU may be the vowel, mora or the syllable.


If a language has both light monomoraic and heavy bimoraic syllables such that they differ in the number of tones they can bear, where monomoraic syllables can bear only one tone and bimoraic syllables can bear two, then it has to be a case that the mora and not the syllable is the TBU. An example of a language that fits this assumption is Gurenع; Mabia/Gur (Adongo 2018).




Citing Zec (1988) and Steriade (1997), Yip (2002) notes that there are languages where the TBU is not just the mora but vocalic/sonorant moras only.

Finally, if a language has two different syllable weights, light and heavy, and both can bear the same number of tones, the syllable is the TBU.


Dagaare has cases involving light and heavy syllables which can both bear same number of tones. The above approach in (12) therefore correctly describes Dagaare, suggesting that the TBU is the syllable as earlier posited in Anttila \& Bodomo, (1996) and Kennedy (1966) and not the mora. Dagaare allows a maximum of two pitch levels per syllable. That is, phonologically this corresponds to two associations per syllable which may be HL or LH, and this holds for all syllable weights: CV, CVV, CVC, CVVC. Note that for CV syllables in Dagaare, only HL contour is attested. Let us consider the following examples in which (12a) has the one mora and dominated by two tones and (12b) has two moras with one tone. Then we see (12c) with one mora and two tones while (12d) has two moras and two tones.

b. ${ }_{\text {tuu }}^{H}$
c. HL


For LH contour to be possible we require two moras. This is probably where the mora may be relevant in Dagaare. See the following examples. If we follow the argument for the HL forms in (12) above, then LH cases in (13) appear to show that the TBU is the mora.
a. LH
$V_{\text {baa 'river' }}$
b. *LH

The absence of CV monomoraic LH contours in Dagaare is probably related to the observation that HL contour is the most common in tone languages (Cahill, 2007; Maddieson, 1978). Given the special case of discrepancy with rising LH contours, it can be assumed that the syllable being the TBU is not entirely straightforward in Dagaare. In this research I will assume the syllable as the TBU and leave the debate open for further investigation in terms of whether it is the mora or the syllable that constitutes the TBU.

Another point supporting the claim that the TBU is the syllable in Dagaare root from the idea that in spreading the whole syllable is affected, not just the mora. This is illustrated below.

| Nouns |  | verbs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LH | wàà-rí 'yam-PL' | mààl-á 'make-IPFV' |
|  | pùò-rí 'back-SG' | tò̀̀jó 'ró 'pound- IPFV' |
| HL | sáá-rì 'broom- SG' | túú-rò 'dig-IPFV' |
|  | púó-rì 'stomach- SG' | kóó-rò 'farm- IPFV' |

As we can see above, the first syllable in each word is either a long vowel or a diphthong, but the tone melody is the same, regardless of what the bimoraic material of the first syllable is. If the mora is considered the TBU, then we might expect forms such as *[wàá-rí] and *[kúj̀-rò]. This is also the case with CVV-CV or CVVC-V forms of the verbs such as fáá-! rá 'seizing' or jiél-!é 'singing'. In these cases, we see that the first two moras are H and then there is a ! H on the third mora in the suffix. They do not surface with a HL contour followed by a H , so these forms *[dáàrá] or *[jíèl-é] are ill-formed.

Finally, it is useful to mention that other Mabia languages such as Vagla (Crouch, 1985), Moore, and Lama (Kenstowicz et al., 1988), Dagbani (Hyman, 1993), Kınni (Cahill, 1998, 1999) and Buli (Akanlig-Pare, 1997; Akanlig-Pare \& Kenstowicz, 2002; Schwarz, 2009) have been analysed with the syllable as the TBU. Although there has not been any exhaustive research into many/all Gur/Mabia languages, it is worth assuming that the syllable being the TBU is probably a common characteristic of these languages, given that they belong to same language family.

### 5.2.2.2 Contour tones

When there are more tones than tone bearing units (TBUs), contour tones, if allowed, are formed usually at the edge of the word (where tone mapping ends). In many languages, syllables differ based on the number of tonal contrasts they may take (Hyman, 1988). While the majority of languages allow level tones on all syllables, many languages limit contour tones to particular syllable types. For instance, in some languages such as Hausa and some Bantu languages, contours are allowed only on heavy bimoraic syllables (Odden 2020). There are many other languages which have contours on short vowels while some languages like Kaficho have just one lexical contour, rising, which is restricted to heavy or long vowel syllables. There are yet other languages that are contourless, particularly languages which limit tones to one per mora.

In a related fashion, a survey of 105 languages (Gordon, 2001) found that 25 languages allow both rising and falling contours only on $\mathrm{CVV}(\mathrm{C})$ syllables. Twenty-nine (29) out of the 105 languages allow contour tones on syllables containing long vowels - CVV $(\mathrm{C})$ and closed syllables with a sonorant coda - CVR but not on syllables with obstruent codas (CVO). Three (3) languages (Hausa, Luganda and Musey) were described as having contours on heavy syllables like CVV(C), (CVR) and CVO but never a contour on CV. A total of 36 languages had contour tones either rising or falling or both, on $\mathrm{CV}, \mathrm{CVV}(\mathrm{C}), \mathrm{CVR}$ and CVO syllables. Finally, twelve (12) languages were found to be contourless on single syllables irrespective of the syllable type.

In Dagaare, there are two contour tones, namely falling and rising - HL and LH contours. Both HL and LH contours appear generally on CVV syllables as in níć 'person.SG', dáa 'push' for LH and tùó 'baobab.SG', wì̀ 'farm.SG' for LH. Note that LH is only in nouns. There are however a few cases with HL contours on CV syllables as in $n \hat{u}$ 'hand' and $z \hat{u}$ 'head'. Cross-linguistically, contour tones generally appear on final syllables. In Dagaare, however, there is an exception in the sense that LH contours occur on initial syllables in a few instances as, 'gừ̛́-rì 'thorn-sg', tàám-à 'shea fruit-pl'. The pattern of association in these examples is what should have been expected for HL root plus a H suffix, resulting in HL-H but instead what we saw there was $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{L})$-H (i.e., downstep). Thus, the difference is that a L tone can be left floating, if necessary, but a H is never allowed to float even if the alternative results in a non-final contour.

### 5.2.3 High-toned suffix

A striking generalisation to note in Dagaare is that the greater proportion of nouns, both singular and plural, simple and complex, end with a high tone. This high tone could be downstepped high or regular high. Out of three hundred and fourteen (314) nouns in the database, two hundred and twenty-three (228) nouns have high-toned suffixes with only eighty-six (86) being low toned. There are three patterns: two end in H and one ends in L .

In a similar fashion, it is interesting to note that the majority of imperfective verbs have high-toned suffixes; downstepped or regular high. There are two hundred and eighteen (218) high-toned suffixes out of the total of three hundred and ten (310) imperfective forms. Ninety-two (92) are Ltoned. A hypothesis then is that all nominal and imperfective suffixes in Dagaare are underlyingly high-toned and moraic (-V or -CV). In contrast, the default suffix is toneless and does not require
any moraic or segmental content (i.e., it can be zero). The full data of nouns and verbs categorised in terms of tone classes are in appendix A and B.

### 5.2.4 Obligatory Contour Principle

In some languages, there is no distinction between two H or two L tones and one multiply-linked H or L associated to two TBUs. This means that in such languages there is absence or inactivity of Obligatory Contour Principle (OCP); a phenomenon in which adjacent identical tones are prohibited (Leben, 1973; Suzuki, 1998; Yip, 2002; Zoll, 2003). In other languages, sequences of identical adjacent tones are disallowed, and this means the presence of OCP effects in such languages. Different languages resolve the problems of OCP in different ways (Myers, 1997).

In the context of Dagaare, OCP can be resolved by dissimilation - a process by which one of the tones changes in height. This results in what has already been referred to as tonal polarity. See the structure in (15).
(15)


SR


An OCP violation could be resolved by downstep (already mentioned) where a floating L intervenes between two H tones, thereby resulting forms like bưm-!áa 'thing-PL; sứýy-!áá 'rabbitSG'. Finally, the problem of identical adjacent tones remains unchanged when the two tones do not belong to same prosodic word (PWD) which is the domain of OCP. This happens mostly with compounds. Given that the prosodic word is the domain of OCP, there can be a sequence of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ across PWds with no resolution for OCP. In (16), TBU1 and TBU2 have the same tones because they do not belong to the same prosodic word but the polarity we see as a resolution for OCP is within the prosodic word (that is, between the verb root and AGT suffix).

meat-roast-AGT (meat-roaster)
The preceding discussion shows that sequences of identical tones are not allowed within a domain; this means that the OCP is active in Dagaare. Therefore, it is going to be necessary that the OCP is invoked to address and explain the tone phenomenon in Dagaare. It is however important to note
that OCP violations are possible in the language. A point worthy of note is the effect of the future tense particles. As Anttila \& Bodomo (2007) observe, these particles show that post-lexical H-H sequence are not resolved. Consider the following examples. In (17a), the verb root is L while the suffix is H . In (17b), when the L toned future marker nà occurs before the verb, the verb surfaces as $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ imperfective form. Similarly, in (17c), the presence of the L-toned future negation preverbal particle 'kò̀' leads to a H-H imperfective verb.

| a. | à | dóś | sì̀-r | ná | bú-ó |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DET | man.SG | skin- | FV FOC | goat |  |
| 'the man is skinning a goat' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. | à | dóó | nà | Sİİ-ř́ | !ná | bú-ó |
|  | DET | man.SG | FUT | skin- IPFV | FUT | goat-SG |
| 'the man will be skinning a goat' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. | à | dóś | kòn | síí-ré |  | bú-ó |
|  | DET | man.SG | FUT.N | skin- IPFV |  | goat-SG |
|  | 'the | an will no | kinnin | a goat' |  |  |

Adjacent H tones within a verb


The examples above show that a LH verb becomes HH after a L tone. The schema in (18) is a possible explanation for $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ sequence on the verb after the future particle. By this line of reasoning, it means that there is no OCP resolution. It is also possible to assume instead that, what we see is a deletion of the root L and then spreading of the H suffix tone onto the root TBU. This therefore mean that there would be no OCP violation. This second possible explanation is schematised below.

Tone spread across verbal suffix and root


This pattern suggests a leftward spreading in verbs just like in nouns. I would conclude that in order to avoid adjacent L tones between the preverbal particle nà and the verbal root, the L is deleted and the H on the imperfective suffix is spread onto the root.

### 5.3 Analysis of tone: Optimality Theory

This section presents an account of Dagaare tone in terms of OT, where output forms are generated from input forms. The candidates are evaluated in parallel by constraints which are ranked with respect to each other. The form that violates fewest and lowly-ranked constraints wins. Before proceeding to demonstrate how the constraints interact to derive the desired outputs, I make some remarks about the representational conventions adopted for the OT tableaux in this paper. I adopt the classical OT tableau (Prince and Smolensky 1993/2004) for the derivations that follow. In this formalism, I establish a competition between the optimal form and other competing candidates with respect to each constraint. As a standard practice, I use * for a constraint violation and based on the number violations a candidate incurs on a constraint. I use *! to mark fatal violations which show that a candidate is knocked or ruled out of the competition. Constraint ranking is based on which constraints favour the optimal candidate and block competing candidates from winning or conflicting with the winner.

### 5.3.1 Constraint system

### 5.3.1.1 Basic markedness constraints

Following the common view that the notion of correspondence extends to tone and TBU relations (see Myers 1997; Zoll 2003; Pulleyblank 2004), various basic tone-wellformedness constraints are required in the analysis of Dagaare tone. Constraints against, toneless TBUs, floating tones, multiply-linked and contour tones will play vital role in accounting for the surface representations of tones. In autosegmental phonology (Goldsmith 1976), a single tonal autosegment is associated to a single TBU. When we have more tones than TBUs or more TBUs than tones, then the pattern is violated. One-to-one mapping between tones and TBUs is what is common with many languages.

As we will see below, these constraints are separated as SPECIFY (T), *FLOAT, *CONTOUR, and *TSPREAD. It is important to note that there are explicit distinctions in terms of *T-SPREAD in high and low tones which bring about $*_{\mathrm{H} \text {-SPREAD }}$ and ${ }^{\text {L}}$-SPREAD. These distinctions are necessary
because of the spreading high and low tones. Note also that a similar distinction can be made for *FLOAT, with ${ }^{\text {H }}$-FLOAT and ${ }^{\text {L }}$-FLOAT being distinct constraints. Low tones can float but high tones do not. These specific distinctions, however, may only be invoked if necessary as I consider *T-SPREAD as involving both high and low tones while *FLOAT represents either high or low tone. In addition, OCP will be crucial constraint in the analysis. The set of constraints are defined below.

Basic markedness constraints
a. $\operatorname{SPEC}$ (T) (Cahill 1998, 1999, Yip 2002)

Every TBU must be associated with a tone
b. *FLOAT (Yip 2002)

Every tone must be associated to a TBU
c. *CONTOUR (Yip 2002; Zoll 2003)

A TBU must not be associated with more than one tone
d. *T-SPREAD

No tone must be associated with more than one TBU
e. OCP (Leben 1973; Suzuki 1998; Yip 2002; Zoll 2003)

Adjacent identical tones within a domain are prohibited. For the purposes of this analysis, I treat the simple forms (stem + suffix) and complex cases such as compounds and associative constructions as the relevant domain for OCP.

Other relevant constraints will be invoked as the discussion proceeds. Before we go into the analysis and present tableaux, it must be mentioned all tones are prelinked in the input and this prelinking remains unchanged in the output. That is a tone associated to a TBU in the input will remain associated in the output except for cases involving floating tones. If a tone gets unlinked in the output e.g., L, then there will be violation * FLOAT. In the following tableau, I demonstrate how the above markedness constraints work. It should be noted that this tableau is not depicting a real word of Dagaare, and this is not how such an input would actually surface. It is purely a schematic illustration of how these constraints are evaluated.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /kog-ó/ } \rightarrow \text { [kòg-ó] } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{H} \\ \text { /kog-d/ } \end{array}$ | SPEC (T) | OCP | *FLOAT | * CONT | *T-SPREAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | $\stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{k} \sigma \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{O}}$ |  |  |  |  | *! |
| b. | $\stackrel{L}{\mathrm{k} \circ \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{o}}$ |  |  |  |  | *! |
| c. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} H \\ \mathrm{kdg}-\mathrm{o} \end{gathered}$ |  | *! |  |  |  |
| d. | $\begin{gathered} \text { L H } \\ \text { kog-d } \end{gathered}$ | *! |  | * |  |  |
| e. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H L } \\ & \text { kog-o } \end{aligned}$ | *! |  |  | *! |  |
| f. | $\begin{gathered} \text { L H } \\ \text { kog-d } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

The above tableau illustrates how the constraints work. Candidates (a-b) violate *T-SPREAD since there is tone spreading between the suffix and root in both cases. Candidate (c) is ruled out by OCP for identical adjacent tones; candidate (d) is ruled out by SPEC (T), and it violates * FLOAT as well given that a tone is left unassociated to a TBU. Candidate (e) violates SPEC (T) and *CONTOUR for the toneless TBU and for the rising contour on the initial TBU respectively. Finally, given just these constraints, candidate (f) is the optimal output as it satisfies all constraints. Note that SPEC (T) is never violated and although it is active, it is not necessary to keep illustrating it in the analysis.

### 5.3.1.2 Faithfulness constraints

In addition to the tone markedness constraints above, input-output faithfulness constraints play crucial role in tone analysis. Various MAX and DEP constraints (Mccarthy, 2011; McCarthy \& Prince, 1999; Prince \& Smolensky, 2004b) that penalize differences will be invoked. In OT, tones may be inserted, particularly low tones whose insertion is considered more optimal than the
insertion of high tones. To achieve this the DEP family of constraints prohibiting insertion will be required. For specific faithfulness such as that of the root, [DEP] Rоot constraint will be crucial. Constraints against tone deletion between input and output are equally crucial. This then brings us to faithfulness constraints such as MAX constraints. Underlying root tones must be maintained in the output which means that [DEP] ${ }_{\text {Root }}$ must ensure there is faithfulness between the input and output tones on roots. Another constraint that ensures correspondence of the root tones is ROOT-ANCHOR-R (T). As the analysis progresses, other relevant faithfulness constraints will be introduced as needed. Before providing account of each tone pattern, the definitions of the faithfulness constraints are listed below.

Faithfulness constraints
a. $\operatorname{MAX}(T)$

A tone in the input has a corresponding tone in the output (= no deletion of tones)
b. [MAX]Root (T)

A tone in the input that is in the root has a corresponding tone in the output (= no deletion of root tone)
c. $\operatorname{DEP}(\mathrm{T})$

A tone in the output has a correspondent in the input (= no tone insertion)
d. [DEP] $]_{\text {Root }}(\mathrm{T})$

A tone in the output that is in the root has a corresponding tone in the input (=no tone insertion in the roots)
e. ROOT-ANCHOR-R (T): The rightmost tone in the root in the input corresponds to a tone in the output that is associated to a TBU that is both (i) rightmost in the root, and (ii) rightmost in its tone span.

In the following tableau, I give an illustration of how these faithfulness constraints operate. Note that indices on the high tone indicate the corresponding H tone that is deleted, retained, or spread.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /kóg-ó/ } \rightarrow \text { [kóg-ò }] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ <br> /kog-o/ | $[\mathrm{MAX}]_{\mathrm{ROOT}}$ <br> (T) | ROOT-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | DEP <br> (T) | MAX (T) | $[\mathrm{DEP}]_{\text {Root }}$ <br> (T) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L} \\ / \mathrm{kog}-\mathrm{d} / \end{array}$ |  |  | * | * |  |
| b. | $\mathrm{k} ⿰ 丿 ㇅_{-\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$ |  | *! |  | * |  |

Candidate (a) is the optimal output though it violates both MAX (T) and DEP (T) because the suffix H in the input is deleted and a L inserted in the output. Candidate is (b) is ruled out by Root-ANCHOR-R (T) and MAX (T).

### 5.3.2 Analysis of verbal tone

Previous studies on Dagaare tone have focused primarily on nominal tones (Anttila \& Bodomo, 1996, 2000, 2007). The only work that has examined verbal tone is recently found in Angsongna (2021). Using an autosegmental-OT perspective, this study treats tone as a combination of the features [ $\pm$ upper] and [ $\pm$ raised] connected to what is described as tonal node and the tonal node is then connected to the syllable. It is an approach based on indexed constraints in which a single ranking is assumed for the entire language. The claims made in Angsongna (2021) raise some questions regarding tone feature analysis using tonal node. Dagaare is a two-toned language. So, there is no real evidence for the tonal node hypothesis as the model is not well motivated.

On the backdrop of these questions about the feature hypothesis, the current study proposes a treatment of tone as set of binary features. Thus, following the description of the verbal tone shown in section 5.2.1.1 above, I propose that the underlying melodies of the verb roots are the same as the surface tones of the default verb forms whether there is an overt suffix after the root or not. What this effectively mean is that the underlying tones in the root remain unchanged on the surface. The default forms involve a suffix which sometimes has a segmental content and is sometimes null $(-\varnothing)$ but is always underlyingly toneless (probably due to the default property of the verb). So, the final or rightmost tone of the root (in case there is more than one tone, as in HL roots) spreads onto
the suffix if it not already a zero suffix or segmentally null. Here is how the underlying tonal representation of the default tones look like:

Default tone

| $/ \mathrm{H}-\varnothing /$ | $\rightarrow$ | $[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ \mathrm{L}-\varnothing /$ | $\rightarrow$ | $[\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}]$ |
| $/ \mathrm{HL}-\varnothing /$ | $\rightarrow$ | $[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}]$ |

For the imperfectives, there is an additional tone to the right of the root tone. What the phonology does is to choose what form that additional tone takes. The imperfective suffix tone surfaces with an opposite height compared to the tone of the root - tonal polarity. This polarity effects on the imperfective forms, I assume result from the morphological process of suffixation. That is, the imperfective has a dedicated aspectual suffix unlike the default form and the presence of this suffix always affect the tonal pattern of the verb. The proposal then is that the imperfective suffix occurs with an underlying H tone which is realized as H or L based on OCP-driven constraints. The tonal representation of the imperfective forms is as follows:
(25) Imperfective tone

| /H-H/ | $\rightarrow$ | $[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H} /$ | $\rightarrow$ | $[\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}]$ |
| /HL-H/ | $\rightarrow$ | $[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}]$ |

The question that arises is: what causes the imperfective suffix to surface with a tone opposite to the (final) root tone? The next sections will attempt to address this question. The analysis and discussion proceed as follows; section 5.3.3 presents analysis for the default verbs involving H and L roots and section 5.3.4 presents an analysis for the corresponding imperfective verbs of these roots. Given that HL verbal roots involve downstep, I do not present an analysis for them in these sections. Refer to section 5.5 for a discussion of downstep involving verbs, nouns, and other nominal expressions.

### 5.3.3 Default verb forms

### 5.3.3.1 High-toned default verb roots

This section gives an analysis for the default verb forms of the verb in Dagaare. As already mentioned above, the surface melody of the default form (as a whole) is the same as the tone
melody that the root has underlyingly. We begin with the H default root with the form sórí 'count/read.v'. This analysis looks problematic.

> H root; toneless suffix /sorı/
sórí 'count/read.v'

|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \\ \text { /sor-I/ } \end{gathered}$ | OCP | ROOT-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | DEP <br> (T) | *T-SPREAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | Hor-I |  | *! |  | * |
| b. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H} \\ \text { sbr-I } \end{gathered}$ | *! |  | * |  |
| c. | $\begin{gathered} \text { H L } \\ \text { sbr-I } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | * |  |
| d. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{sbr}-\mathrm{I} \end{gathered}$ |  | *! | ** |  |

Candidate (a) is ruled out by ROOT-ANCHOR-R (T) and *T-SPREAD due to rightward spread of the root tone onto the suffix. The insertion of a new H tone on the suffix in addition to the already existing root H tone means the presence of two adjacent H tones, thereby resulting in an OCP violation as well as a $\operatorname{DEP}(\mathrm{T})$ violation for (b). Both candidates (c) and (d) look better than candidate (a) which is expected to be the winner. Candidate (c) however is better and thus emerges as optimal but is the incorrect form. Given the assumptions for the underlying representation for the imperfective forms, it appears like the current analysis would fit well for the imperfectives (and probably the nouns as will be shown later) and not the default forms. A possible way of resolving this problem and ensuring the right candidate wins is by re-ranking DEP (T) above ROOT-ANCHOR$R(T)$. This will certainly work for the default forms but not with the imperfective forms. The goal, however, is to propose an analysis that works for all tonal patterns involving simple forms (root + suffix) for verbs and nouns. This therefore invites an alternative analysis. To this end, I posit another anchor constraint, WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) defined as follows.

WORD-ANCHOR-R (T): The rightmost tone in the word in the input corresponds to a tone in the output that is associated to a TBU that is both (i) rightmost in the word, and (ii) rightmost in its tone span.

This constraint is violated when a tone in the output is rightmost in the word and rightmost in its tone span but does not correspond to the tone that is rightmost in the input. It must outrank ROOT-ANCHOR-R (T) in order to derive the optimal output for the default H root. Let us consider the following reanalysis (28).

H root; toneless suffix /sórı/
sórí ‘count/read.V'; \{OCP, WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) \} >> \{RT-ANCHOR-R (T) *T-SPREAD $\}$

|  | $\stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{/ \mathrm{s} \partial \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{I} /}$ | $[\text { MAX]RT }$ (T) | OCP | WORD-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | RT-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEP } \\ & \text { (T) } \end{aligned}$ | *T-SPREAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | $\stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~s} \partial \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{I}}$ |  |  |  | * |  | * |
| b. | $\begin{gathered} \text { H H } \\ \text { sbr- } \end{gathered}$ |  | *! | * |  | * |  |
| c. | $\begin{gathered} \text { H L } \\ \text { sbr-I } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | *! |  | * |  |

The output form in (a) wins but at the expense of RT-ANCHOR-R (T) and *T-SPREAD violations as it involves spreading of root L to the suffix and this does not correspond to the tone span of the root in the input and output; (b) is ruled out by OCP, WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) and DEP (T) for inserting a new $L$ while (c) is checked against WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) and DEP (T). To ensure candidate (a) wins the crucial ranking that is established involves OCP, and WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) ranking above RT-ANCHOR-R (T) and *T-SPREAD. Next is the L root of the default form.

### 5.3.3.2 Low-toned default roots

For these forms, I assume an underlying L in the root and toneless high vowel. The tone in the root spreads to the vowel on the surface as presented in (29) for the verb wùlì 'show/teach.v'. The analysis shown here is exactly the same as the one in (28). The only difference is just the particular tone involved.

L root; toneless suffix /wùli/
wùlì ‘show/teach.V'; \{OCP,WORD-ANCHOR-R(T) \} >> \{RT-ANCHOR-R(T)*T-SPREAD $\}$

|  | L <br> /wul-i/ | [MAX]RT <br> (T) | OCP | WORD-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | RT-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | DEP <br> (T) | *T-SPREAD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. | L <br> wul-i |  |  |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |
| b. | L L <br> wul-i |  | $*!$ | $*$ |  | $*$ |  |
| c. | L H <br> wul-1 |  |  | $*!$ |  | $*$ |  |

### 5.3.3.3 Summary

In summary, as the analysis shows the underlying tones of the default forms form are identical to its surface tones. It is observed that spreading a tone is better than inserting new tones. The crucial constraint ranking that is established for this case involves OCP,WORD-ANCHOR-R(T) outranking RT-ANCHOR-R $(\mathrm{T}) *$ T-SPREAD. The account of the default verbs then brings us to how tone interacts between the imperfective suffix and the verbal roots. This is examined in the next section that follows.

### 5.3.4 Imperfective verbs

There is always an additional tone that appears in the imperfectives relative to their default counterparts, always surfacing on the suffix syllable and realised as either H or L based on the tone class of the root. The phonology chooses between the tones as appropriate. This additional tone is what I consider as an underlying H tone which comes with the suffix already associated. Based on the tone height of the root syllable, the underlying $H$ remains as it is or it is replaced by a L. That is, there are two possible tones for the imperfective; either it surfaces as H following a root with L or HL, or it realised as L following a root with H . This difference in the tone of the suffix is what I consider to be resulting from the morphology via suffixation. The following schema illustrates how the mechanism works.

Underlying and surface forms of the imperfective
a.

b.


In (30a) the suffix comes with an associated H following a root with L . When the root gets associated with a TBU, the suffix remains unchanged because a polar tone is better than spreading or inserting another $L$ for the imperfectives. In (30b), the underlying suffix $H$ is deleted, and $L$ inserted as a result of a dissimilatory process based on OCP. In (30c), the suffix H tone remains the same following a HL root where the L is left floating causing downstep. In effect the imperfective forms undergo tonal polarity. There is never a H-H or a L-L surface tone patterns in Dagaare imperfectives. With this in mind, I argue that the absence of surface $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ or L-L is due to dissimilation. This dissimilatory analysis of tonal polarity is identical to that of Kenstowicz et al. (1988).

### 5.3.4.1 High-toned imperfective roots

When we treat the imperfective suffix as an underlying $H$, it simply means that what we see in candidate (a) involves a deletion of the input suffix tone and insertion of $L$ in the output, hence the violation of WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) because the tone in the right edge of the word in the input does not correspond to the one in the output. In (b) the suffix tone has the same height as the adjacent root tone and that means a violation of OCP which crucially rules it out. In (c) the root tone is deleted and then the remaining H spreads across the TBUs, hence it is ruled out by [MAX]RT (T) and the two anchor constraints as well as *T-SPREAD. In (d), the suffix $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ tone is deleted while $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ spreads and this violates WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) just as (a) but (d) is crucially ruled out by ROOT-ANCHOR-R (T) because the rightmost tone in the root must not only be at the right-edge of the root but it cannot be further than the right edge. Recall that the indices on the high tones indicate the corresponding H tone that is deleted, retained or spread.
sór-̀̀ ‘count/read-IPFV’; \{[MAX]RT (T), OCP\} >> \{WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) \}

|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \\ \text { /sbr-b/ } \end{gathered}$ | [MAX]RT (T) | OCP | WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) | RT-ANCHOR-T <br> (T) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEP } \\ & \text { (T) } \end{aligned}$ | *T-SPREAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L} \\ & \text { sor- } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | * |  | * |  |
| b. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \\ \mathrm{~s} \mid \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{o} \end{gathered}$ |  | *! |  |  |  |  |
| c. | $\overbrace{\text { shro }}^{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$ | *! |  |  | * |  | * |
| d. | $\overbrace{\text { shr-o }}^{\mathrm{H}_{1}}$ |  |  | * | *! |  |  |

Given the analysis, the crucial ranking that is determined relative to the winning candidate versus competing candidates is that [MAX]RT (T) and OCP ranks above WORD-ANCHOR-R(T).

### 5.3.4.2 Low-toned imperfective roots

In the tableau below (32), there is a suffix H and then OCP keeps this H since the preceding root tone is of opposite height. Thus, what we see in the input is the same as the output and that means candidate (a) violates no constraint. OCP and WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) bans candidate be (b) while candidate (c) is penalized by *T-SPREAD. For this particular form of the verb, no crucial ranking is determined relative to the winning candidate.

L root; H suffix /wùl-ó/
wùl-ó 'show/teach-IPFV'

|  | L H <br> /wul-b/ | [MAX]RT <br> (T) | OCP | WORD-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | RT-ANCHOT-R <br> (T) | DEP <br> (T) | *T-SPREAD |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| a. | L H <br> wul-o |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. | L L <br> wul-o |  | $*!$ | $*$ |  | $*$ |  |
| c. | L <br> wul-o |  |  | $*!$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |

### 5.3.4.3 Summary

In a nutshell, the claim is that default verb forms are what they are underlyingly. The suffix or final vowel is always toneless and so the tone of the root spreads to the final vowel on the surface. In contrast, the imperfective forms always have an aspectual suffix which comes with an additional tone to the right of the root. That is, unlike the default suffix, the imperfective suffix is underlyingly H which either remains as H on the surface or it is replaced by a L based on OCP-driven effects. This analysis shows that the absence of surface $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}$ in imperfectives is a result of OCP banning adjacent identical tones. Both default and imperfective forms belong to the same grammar as both patterns result from a single ranked constraint set. Tone analysis of verbs in this section appears clean and quite straightforward but can the analysis be replicated with the nominal system? The next sections attempt to address this question.

### 5.3.5 Analysis of nominal tone

In this section, simple/non-compound nouns are examined. By simple noun, I mean a noun root number suffix combination. That is, all simple non-compound nouns are two-morpheme sequences (root+suffix, though the suffix can in a few cases be $\varnothing$ ). Simple nouns in Dagaare fall under three main surface tone patterns, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}$. There is also L-L pattern, which is severely less robust. These tone melodies are generally the same for both singulars and plurals. In such a tone system each syllable may be dominated by a tone different from the tone of other syllables. For instance, in case like dứg-ì 'pot-SG' and bùg-ó 'silo-SG', it is seen that the initial syllable has a tone that is
opposite to that of the second syllable. This contrast between the initial and final tones - tonal polarity - has been discussed in a number of languages (e.g. Anttila \& Bodomo, 1996, 2000; Cahill, 1998, 1999, 2004; Hoffman, 1963; Kenstowicz et al., 1988; Newman, 1995; Pulleyblank, 1986). Accordingly, the roots with $L$ always polarise, i.e., it always precedes a suffix with H . The H toned root conditions an opposite L on the suffix. However, cases like kóg-ó 'chair-SG' are not H-toned roots at all, but rather toneless roots. So, the H tone they display is actually the suffix tone that spreads to the root. Given that the L-L pattern involves only three examples, I consider it an accidental gap and I do not propose an account for them in this chapter. Here is a revisit of some examples illustrating polarity and spreading.
(33) Tone alternation with nominal suffixes

| tone | singular | plural | gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H-H | kóg-ó | kóg-rí | 'chair' |
| H-L | kóg-ò | kóg-rì | 'mahogany' |
| L-H | gòn-ó | gòn-ní | 'silk cotton' |
| L-L | gbàg-à | gbàg-rì | 'agama lizard' |

Tonal polarity has been analysed in some Gur/Mabia languages from different perspectives. Kenstowicz, Nikiema and Ourso (1988) analysed Moore tone assuming that the alternations in the language are $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}$ with LL being absent as claimed in Dagaare. They propose that all suffixes are high-toned underlyingly with polarity resulting from dissimilation. Underlying HH surfaces as HL via an OCP-driven dissimilation. Underlying L-H remains unchanged on the surface and finally the high-toned suffix spreads to the toneless stem resulting in H across stem and suffix. See below how the patterns work:
(34) Underlying representation and surface representation of tone patterns

$$
\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L} \quad \quad \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}
$$

The conclusion from Kenstowicz et al on Moore tone is that polar suffix has underlying H where the polar effect is the outcome of a dissimilatory lowering of suffixal H next to a H -toned root. Anttila and Bodomo (2000) provide an OT analysis of Dagaare tone with same tone classes as Moore, H-L, H-H, L-H. They propose that all suffixes are underlyingly toneless, not high. They posit the following patterns:

| Surface HH: inserted high, which spreads | $\varnothing \emptyset \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Surface HL: lexical high, inserted L | $\mathrm{H} \emptyset \rightarrow \mathrm{HL}$ |
| Surface LH: lexical low, inserted high | $\mathrm{L} \emptyset \rightarrow \mathrm{LH}$ |

In both Moore and Dagaare, the OCP plays an active role in driving the analysis. The question of adequate data arises however for Dagaare given that the analysis is mainly centred on simple nouns (root + suffix) to the neglect of verbs and complex structures like compounds, associative constructions, and phrasal tone.

In this chapter, I follow both the analyses of Anttila and Bodomo and Kenstowicz et al in assuming that roots are toneless, H and L . That is, there are three tonal types in the nominal roots: (i) some roots are consistently L as in [bùg] 'silo'; (ii) some roots are consistently H such as [dúg] 'pot' and (iii) some roots are L when there is no suffix particularly in compounds and H when there is a number suffix as in [tàm]-bílé 'small mountain' vs [táy]-á 'mountain-SG'. So, such roots alternate between L and H . Suffixes on the other hand surface are as follows; a suffix is H after a low root; it becomes L after a H root and then there are cases where both the root and the suffix are H . I will treat L and H roots as exhibiting the surface forms - they are what they are underlyingly -a L that is already linked for the L roots and a linked H for the H root. The $\mathrm{H} \sim \mathrm{L}$ alternating cases will be treated as underlyingly toneless. Below is an illustration of each root.


Similar to the case of the imperfective suffixes, and in contrast to Anttila and Bodomo (2000), I assume that all nominal suffixes are underlyingly H toned, not toneless as illustrated below.


In the following section, each nominal root is analysed in terms of tableaux, starting with toneless roots.

### 5.3.5.1 Toneless nominal roots

In the analysis that follows, toneless roots are examined. In this case polarity is not observed as the suffix H spreads across to the root. Candidate (a) wins but at the expense of violating ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~T}$ SPREAD. It is clear here that spreading an existing $H$ tone across to the root from the suffix is preferred over deleting and inserting a new tone. In (b) the underlying H suffix is deleted, and a L inserted; there is also an insertion of a new H on the toneless root. This insertion rules it out because of the violation of DEP (T). An insertion of a new $L$ tone on the root in (c) also means a violation of $\operatorname{DEP}(T)$. Identical adjacent tones within a domain are forbidden and this explains why candidates (d) and (e) are checked against OCP. Candidates (c) and (d) also violate DEP (T) for the new tones. Finally, (f) is ruled out by word-ANCHOR-R (T), DEP (T) and *T-SPREAD.

Toneless root; H suffix /tay-á/ táy-á 'mountain-SG'

|  | $/ \tan -\mathrm{a} /$ | [MAX]RT <br> (T) | OCP | WORD-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | RT-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | DEP (T) | *T-SPREAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | Han-a |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| b. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{~L} \\ & \tan -\mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | *! |  | * |  |
| c. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{H} \\ \operatorname{tay}-\mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | *! |  |
| d. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~L} \\ \tan -\mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ |  | *! | * |  | ** |  |
| e. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ \operatorname{tay}-\mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ |  | *! |  |  | * |  |
| f. | Lan-a |  |  | *! |  | * | * |

With respect to the winning candidate versus the other competing candidates, no crucial ranking is established in this noun form.

### 5.3.5.2 High-toned nominal roots

The next analysis in (39) assesses the H-L form which results from underlying $/ \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} /$. In this case, what happens is a dissimilatory process triggered by OCP. In (a), WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) and DEP (T) is violated. What happens here is that the underlying H tone on the suffix is deleted in the output while a new L is inserted in order to satisfy polarity. Candidate (b) is crucially ruled out by OCP as a result of adjacent identical tones. In (c), the root $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ tone is deleted while the remaining $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ tone is spread across the two TBUs and this gets it banned by [MAX]RT (T). Candidate (d) violates WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) just as that candidate (a) but (d) is crucially ruled out by ROOT-ANCHOR-R (T) because the tone rightmost tone in the root must not only be at the right-edge but it cannot be further than the right edge. The grammar (e.g., constraint ranking) in this noun is exactly the same as seen for the imperfective form sór-j̀ 'count/read-IPFV'.

H root; H suffix /dưg-í/
dưg-ì 'pot-SG'; \{[MAX]RT (T), OCP \} >> \{WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) \}

|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \\ / \mathrm{d} d \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{I} / \end{gathered}$ | [MAX]RT <br> (T) | OCP | WORD-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROOT-ANCHOR-R } \\ & \text { (T) } \end{aligned}$ | DEP <br> (T) | *T-SPREAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~L} \\ \mathrm{~d} \partial \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{I} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | * |  | * |  |
| b. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \\ \mathrm{~d} d \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{I} \end{gathered}$ |  | *! |  |  |  |  |
| c. | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ug}-\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$ | *! |  |  | * |  | * |
| d. | dug-I |  |  | * | *! |  | * |

The constraint ranking that is established for the for this form is the same as that one established for H -L imperfective forms. In the next analysis, L nominal root is discussed.

### 5.3.5.3 Low-toned nominal roots

Finally, we turn the attention to L-H nouns which are what they are underlyingly. That is, the surface form is exactly the same as the underlying form. Candidate (a) is optimal as it does not violate any of the constraints. It is simply the same as the input. Candidate (b) is ruled out by OCP. The spread of the $L$ tone in (c) violates WORD-ANCHOR-R (T) as there is no right edge correspondence between the input and output. In (d), the underlying root L is deleted. This fatally rules it out by [MAX]RT (T). This form is exactly the same as that of L imperfective root.

> L root; H suffix /bùg-ó/
> bùg-ó ‘silo-SG’

|  | $\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{H}$ | [MAX]RT <br> (T) | OCP | WORD-ANCHOR-R <br> (T) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROOT-ANCHOR-R } \\ & \text { (T) } \end{aligned}$ | DEP <br> (T) | *T-SPREAD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | $\begin{gathered} \text { L H } \\ \text { bug-o } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. | $\begin{gathered} \text { L L } \\ \text { blag-o } \end{gathered}$ |  | *! |  |  | * |  |
| c. | bug-o |  |  | *! |  |  | * |
| d. | bug-o | *! |  |  |  |  | * |

In summary, the default verb forms have three underlying roots: $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}$ root and HL root. They all have underlying toneless suffixes. In the preceding analysis, I examined cases involving H and L roots (HL cases are discussed later section 5.5). Both H and L cases involve spreading a single tone across root and the final vowel and that is preferred over inserting tones on each TBU. For the imperfectives, there is always an additional tone which is underlyingly H . Then based on OCPdriven constraints, the suffix surfaces as H or L .

With simple nouns, there are three main underlying roots: toneless, H and L. Similar to the imperfective forms, the nominal suffix is underlying H and remains as H after a L root, simply spreads to a toneless root or it changes on the surface to a L based on dissimilatory effects inspired by OCP. The analysis shows that the imperfective $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}$ presents the exact same analysis
for the nominal H and L roots. It is important to indicate that the default verb forms, imperfective forms and simple nouns belong to the same grammar in that they are analysed via same set of constraints. That is, all these patterns result from a single ranked set of constraints.

### 5.4 Tone in more complex structures

### 5.4.1 Derived nouns (event nominalisations)

There are two common types of morphological derivations in which one involves the derivation of event/action-denoting nominals from verbs and sometimes adjectives while the other involves the derivation of agent-denoting nominals from verbs (Baker \& Vinokurova, 2009). Both types of derivations are attested in Dagaare. This section focuses on event nominalisation which deals with how nouns are derived from verbs while maintaining the general meaning of the action/event/process denoted by the verbal root (Chomsky, 1968; Comrie, 1976; Comrie \& Thompson, 2007). Derivations can be overtly marked by the concatenation of an affix (41a), by conversion (= zero derivation) (41b) or by a prosodic alteration such as stress or tone change (41c). Note that the forms in (b-c) are not morphologically distinct. The examples in (b) are homophonous while (c) are only distinct by way of the location of stress.

| a. educate (V) | vs. | education (N) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. break (V) | vs. | break (N) |
| c. con'duct (V) | vs. | 'conduct (N) |

In Dagaare, nominalisation is primarily based on affixation (suffixation) in which a verb root, typically monosyllabic, is affixed by a V or CV suffix which ultimately changes the category from a verb into a noun. The process of nominalisation in Dagaare involves a morphophonological operation, invariably involving suffixation and often a resultant change in the surface tonal melody of the verb root. The nominalizing suffix [-bu/-bu] has the allomorphs [-bu/bu], [-u/-v] and [-nuu/nov] attached to the verb root. The suffix denotes an 'act of doing $X$ ' as in dil'-bu' 'act of eating'. The verb root is the same as in the default form. The tone of the root either changes when the derivational suffix is attached, or it remains unchanged. When the derivational suffix is added to a L-toned verbal root, the derived noun surfaces as either H-H as shown (42a) or L-H as in (42b). In (42a), without the suffix, the default tone of the root is $L$ but when it is attached the derived form surfaces as $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$. This suggests that the H is a property of the suffix while the root is toneless underlyingly. The suffix H is then shared with the toneless root. This is parallel with nouns which
also display an underlying L vs. toneless contrast among low-toned roots. Also, some roots are consistently L in both the nominalized and imperfective forms; some are consistently H in both nominalized and imperfective forms. I treat these roots as behaving the same way as the L and H roots of nouns.

Tone patterns in derived nouns vs imperfective forms
a. $\varnothing$ - root; H-H derived nouns

| Verb root | Gloss | Derived N | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| di- | 'eat' | díí-bú | 'eat-NMLZ' | dì-ré | 'eat-IPFV' |
| sI- | 'skin | síi-bú | 'skin-NMLZ' | sì̀-ré | 'skin-IPFV' |
| tu- | 'follow' | túú-bú | 'follow-NMLZ' tùù-ró | 'follow-IPFV' |  |
| sع- | 'sew' | síć-bú | 'sew-NMLZ' sì̀̀-ré | 'sew-IPFV' |  |
| t0- | 'pound' | túó-bú | 'pound-NMLZ' tùj̀-r' | 'pound-IPFV' |  |
| tuo- | 'carry' | túó-bú | 'carry-NMLZ' tùò-ró | 'carry-IPFV' |  |
| juo- | 'open' | júó-bú | 'open-NMLZ' jùò-ró | 'open-IPFV' |  |
| pug- | 'praise' | púg-bú | 'praise-NMLZ' pùg-ró | 'praise-IPFV' |  |

In (b), the root is L while the suffix is H . These cases show polarity in order to avoid sequence of identical tones.
b. L-root; L-H derived noun

| Verb root | Gloss | Derived noun | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wì̀r- | 'smoke' | wìr-úú | 'smoke-NMLZ' | ' wì̀-ré | 'smoke-IPFV' |
| kpiòr- | 'hem' | kpì̀r-úú | 'hem-NMLZ' | kpì̀-ré | 'hem-IPFV' |
| kùòr- | 'snore' | kùòr-úv́ | 'snore-NMLZ' | kùù-ró | 'snore-IPFV' |
| kù̀̀r- | 'sell' | kù̀̀r-úú | 'sell-NMLZ' | kù̀̀r-ó | 'sell- IPFV' |
| mààl- | 'make' | mààl-úv́ | 'make-NMLZ' | mààl-á | 'make- IPFV' |
| gùùn- | 'stalk' | gùùn-úú | 'stalk-NMLZ' | gùùn-ó | 'stalk-IPFV' |

The cases in (42a-b), when compared with the L-class based on the default/imperfective forms, there is evidence of two distinct tone classes - underlying $L$ tone and underlying toneless roots. These roots are however indistinguishable from each other in the default/imperfective forms; they only contrast in terms of how they nominalize. An interesting observation is that all L-H forms involve CVVC roots, which seem to be accidental.

In (43), when a nominalizing suffix is attached to a H -toned root, the derived noun is realised as $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$ and when the suffix is added to a HL root, the derived noun is $\mathrm{HLH}(=\mathrm{H}!\mathrm{H})$ as shown in (44).

H - root; H -L derived noun

| Verb root | Gloss | Derive noun | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tí- | 'hold' | tíí-bù | 'hold-NMLZ' | tíí-rè | 'hold-IPFV' |
| sé | 'roast' | síć-bò | 'roast-NMLZ' | síć-rè | 'roast-IPFV' |
| tú- | 'dig' | túú-bù | 'dig-NMLZ' | túú-rò | 'dig-IPFV' |
| dó- | 'climb' | dúó-bù | 'climb-NMLZ' dúó-rò | 'climb-IPFV' |  |
| zá- | 'throw' | záá-bù | 'throw-NMLZ' záá-rà | 'throw-IPFV' |  |
| jóó- | 'pay' | jóó-bò | 'pay-NMLZ' jóó-rò | 'pay-IPFV' |  |
| dớg- | 'cook/boil' | dớg-bò | 'cook-NMLZ' dớg-rò | 'cook-IPFV' |  |

$\mathrm{HL}-$ root; $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{LH}=\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}$ derived noun

| Verb root | Gloss | Derived noun | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dî- | 'take' | díl-!bú | 'take-NMLZ' | dí-! ! ¢́ | 'take-IPFV' |
| níc̀y- | 'grind' | níćm-!bó | 'grind-NMLZ' | níćn-! | 'grind-IPFV' |
| lîgr- | 'tickle' | lígr-!úú | 'tickle-NMLZ' | lígr-! $¢$ | 'tickle-IPFV' |

These patterns behave similarly to what is observed in the regular nouns and therefore they can be accounted for in exactly same way as the simple nouns and the imperfective forms. That is, I assume that roots are toneless, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}$ and HL while the suffix is underlyingly H . Then the toneless roots involve spreading of the suffix H to the root while L and H roots involve polarity - OCPdriven deletion and polar insertion. The HL roots as in (45d) which involve downstep are discussed in section 5.5
Underlying and surface representations of derived nouns
a.


b.

'dig- NMLZ'
c. $\underset{\text { /kuor-vo/ }}{\mathrm{L}} \underset{ }{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow$

'snore- NMLZ'
d. /fuol-uu/ $_{\mathrm{HL}}^{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow{\underset{\text { [fuol-uu] }}{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{LH}} \text { 'whistle- NMLZ' }}^{\mathrm{H}}$

Note that the imperfective forms always involve polarity, hence the absence of spreading of same tone across roots and suffixes.

### 5.4.2 Noun-adjective compounds

Noun-adjective ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}$ ) compounds consist of noun roots modified by adjectives. As already shown in the tone classes in section 5.2.1.2, adjectival compounds with nouns may result in tonal contrast based on the tonal content of the nominal root and that of the adjective. For instance, when a L tone noun root combines with an adjective of any tonal height, neither the tone of the nominal root nor the tone of the adjective changes. Similarly, when a H tone nominal root combines with a HL or L-H adjective, there is no change in tonal content. This is shown in (46a). However, when a H tone nominal root combines with a H tone adjective, it results in downstep as in (46b) for $j i{ }^{-}$ ! !ymáá 'short house'. So, this suggests insertion of a low between the two high tones. The parenthesis around the second hyphens below shows that the adjective forms is often just one TBU.
(46) Noun-adjective compounds
a. $\varnothing$ - roots with adjectives

| $\varnothing$ - root | Adjective | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{sg}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{pl}$ | Gloss | Tone complex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bi- | ymáá | bì-ŋmáá | bì-ymáá-rá | 'short child' | L-H(-)H |
| bì- | wégì | bì-wégì | bì-wég-rì | 'tall child' | L-H(-)L |
| bi- | zíć | bì-zì $\varepsilon$ | bì-zì̀-rí | 'fair child' | L-L(-)H |

b. H - roots with adjectives

| H- root | Adjective | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{sg}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{pl}$ | Gloss | Tone complex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jí- | ŋmáá | jí-! ym má | jí-! ym máá-rá | 'short house' | H-! $\mathrm{H}(-) \mathrm{H}$ |
| jí- | wégì | jí-wégì | jí-wég-rì | 'tall house' | H-H(-)L |
| jí- | zì̀ | jí-zíç | jí-zìi-rí | 'red house' | H-L(-)H |

The two components of each compound do not interact with respect to tonal behaviour. The examples involve adjectives of different tone melodies combining with the H and L roots. It is important to note that the nouns which are the first components of the compound do not have suffixes. Thus, a combination of a noun and adjective establishes the underlying tone of the nominal root without a suffix. The H-toned noun-adjective compounds show that downstep can be a reflection of adjacent H tones; /jí-ŋmáá/ $\rightarrow$ [jí-!ymáá] 'short house' (see the next section for discussion).

### 5.5 Downstep

### 5.5.1 Downstep at root-level

Downstep is a pitch-lowering phenomenon widely recognised to occur in tone languages, especially across sub-Saharan languages (Connell, 2011). As already mentioned, it involves cases in which the second of two high tones is not equally as high as the first one. Thus, downstep occurs when the intervening $L$ tone that triggers pitch-lowering is not phonetically interpreted because it unlinked to any vowel (Pulleyblank 1986). It is generally a phenomenon associated with two-level languages, though there a few three-level languages that have downstep (e.g., Supyire, Mabia language; Carlson, 1983; Yala-Ikom, Benue-Congo; Armstrong, 1968).

While in some languages like Kınni (Cahill, 1999), two adjacent H tones can co-occur without any intervention, there are other languages like Supyire (Carlson, 1983) or Kishamba (Odden, 1982) in which there is downstep when two H tones occur adjacent to each other. The latter is the case for Dagaare. In Dagaare it is not possible for two H tones to occur adjacent to each other within a morphological domain (e.g., within a root or within a word) without an intervening phonetic perturbation such as a L tone (floating L ). For instance, the noun root and suffix in póg$j^{\prime}$ 'woman-SG' have just one H tone predictably on the suffix which then spreads to the stem resulting in surface $[\mathrm{H}]$ not $[\mathrm{HH}]$ while the noun búm-!á 'thing-PL' is assumed to have two H tones with L floating between the two H . In the discussion that follows, downstep in simple verbs, simple nouns, compounds and other complex patterns such as associative constructions are presented. For instance, as shown earlier in the first sections, downstep occurs when the H imperfective suffix attaches to a HL default root. Some earlier examples are listed below.

Downstep in imperfective

| Perfective | Imperfective |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fáà | 'seize.v', | fáá-!rá | 'seize-IPFV' |
| kórì | 'cough.V' | kór-!' | 'cough-IPFV' |
| fúólì | 'whistle.v' | fúól-!ó | 'whistle-IPFV' |
| pégì | 'wash.v' | p 'g-!ré | 'wash-IPFV' |

The downstep pattern in the imperfective is exactly the same as the pattern with event nominalisations shown in the preceding section. Within simple nouns, downstep occurs in a few forms, but the pattern is not entirely regular. That is in some cases, both singular and plural forms are downstepped while in others only the singular or the plural is downstepped. This is shown below.

Downstep in simple nouns

| Singular | Plural | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| súón-!áá | súńn-!í | 'rabbit' |
| kpááy-!úú | kpíín-ì | 'guinea fowl' |
| wáá-!bú | wíí-rì | 'snake' |
| sáán-à | sáám-!bá | 'stranger' |
| bốy | búm-!á | 'thing' |

In both verbs and nouns, downstep is realised at the root-level, occurring between the root and the suffix.

### 5.5.2 Downstep at phrase-level

Downstep may result from the combination of two adjacent H toned roots through associative constructions (a noun phrase that consist of a head noun and a following complement or another noun). Generally, the associative construction is translated as ' X of Y ' e.g., 'basket of fruits. Associative constructions are also used to refer to the possession of one entity by another entity as in 'animal's tail'. The examples below show high-toned words and when they combine to form a phrase, we see downstep.

| Associative constructions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| págó !báá | 'woman's dog' |
| pógó !síré | 'woman's husband' |
| dóó !bíé | 'man's child' |

Moreover, compounding such as noun-adjective compounds is another morphophonological process that causes downstep in Dagaare. When a high-toned or high-low nominal root combines with a high-toned adjective, the result is always downstep. In (50) downstep is shown in nounadjective compounds showing up at the right edge of the phonological word.

Noun-adjective compounds

| Noun |  | Adjective | Compound | Gloss |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jí-rì | 'house-SG' | bílé $\quad$ 'small' | jí!bílé | 'small house |  |
| só-rì | 'path' | ymáá | 'short' | só!ymáá | 'short road/path' |
| týù | 'month' | fáá | 'bad' | tyúú-!fáá | 'bad month' |

The examples in (49-50) show phrase-level downstep which appears at the right edge of the phonological word.

As noted in Leben (2018), downstep can arise from a variety of sources. The stem/root-level and word-level downstep shows that the phenomenon can originate from different sources. For example, Anttila \& Bodomo (2021) note that in Dagaare downstep has two sources: One involves a floating L specified underlyingly on a root or suffix as illustrated below.
(51) Source of downstep in Dagaare

b. saam-ba $\rightarrow$ saam-!ba
downstep, floating L from the suffix of sáán-à 'stranger-SG'

The other source of downstep is when the last H at the edge of a phonological word is downstepped. This is also illustrated in (52) with the combination of the noun s'́-rì 'path/road-SG' and the adjective ymáá 'short' in the compound só-ymáá 'short path/road'.


In terms of analysis, it is unclear how downstep should be formally represented. The common and traditional view is that downstep is a surface result of floating L between H tones and this means that phonologically downstep is a floating L. Another view is that downstep automatically emerges from the concatenation of two H tones particularly in compounds and associative constructions.

I provide an analysis for the imperfective verbs, compounds and associative constructions. Downstep cases independently require some constraints, namely *CONTOUR (already defined earlier), *FLOAT (defined above), COINCIDE (contour, final TBU) and *LH. COINCIDE constraint is based on the proposal of Zoll $(1998,2002)$ that restrictions on contour distribution are a consequence of licensing constraints that restrict complex tones to a limited set of positions. COINCIDE penalises non-final TBUs that are associated with more than one tone. The other markedness constraint relevant for the analysis of the HL roots of the verb is *LH which rules against rising contours on final TBUs. These constraints are defined below.
(53) CoINCIDE (contour, final vowel) (Zoll 1996, 2003)

Contours are licensed only word-finally

* LH

Rising contours on final TBUs in a prosodic word are prohibited
Note that these constraints were not represented in the analysis of the toneless, H and L roots of the nouns and the H and L roots of the verbs, but they are nonetheless present in the grammar of the language and in some particular positions in the overall constraint ranking. So, they are being applied regardless of the form of the word that is under analysis. Whatever their ranking, they do not influence the outcome for H and L roots of nouns and verbs in any way.

As a first step towards proposing an analysis for the downstep in the imperfectives, the HL root for the default form is presented in (55). Note that the tones of the verb root are identical to the surface tones of the default forms whether the roots have overt suffix or not. For the analysis of the HL default form, *CONTOUR and *FLOAT constraints are crucial. This is given in the following tableaux.
dáà 'push.v'; \{[MAX]RT (T), MAX (T), *FLOAT $\} \gg$ *CONTOUR

| $\bigvee_{/ \mathrm{daa} /}^{\mathrm{HL}}$ | [MAX]RT ${ }^{\text {(T) }}$ | MAX (T) | *FLOAT | *CONTOUR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  |  |  | * |
| b. ${ }_{\text {daa }}^{\mathrm{H}}$ | *! | * |  |  |
| c. ${ }_{\text {daa }}^{\mathrm{H} L}$ |  |  | *! |  |

Candidate (a) violates *CONTOUR but emerges as the optimal. Candidate (b) is ruled by [mAX]Root(T) for deletion of underlying L tone from the root. *FLOAT rules out (c) since the L is left unassociated. To arrive at the optimal output (a), $[\mathrm{MAX}]_{\mathrm{RT}}(\mathrm{T})$ must outrank ${ }^{*}$ CONTOUR. Also *FLOAT outranks * CONTOUR but it is not evident as to which of MAX-T and *FLOAT ranks above the other with respect to the optimal output. We therefore establish the following ranking: $\left\{[\operatorname{MAX}]_{\mathrm{RT}}(\mathrm{T})\right.$, *FLOAT $\} \gg$ * CONTOUR This analysis leads us to the imperfective form involving downstep.

In (56) an analysis for the imperfective form dáá-! rá 'pushing' is provided based on the assumption that downstep results from floating L . The analysis shows that leaving a L tone unassociated between two H tones is preferred over having contours. This explains why candidate (a) wins at the expense of (b) which is ruled out by the constraints against contour tones. Given the prohibition against rising contours on final syllables, it simply means that the output in (c) is penalised by *LH and * CONTOUR. Candidate (d) involves a deletion of an underlying H tone, and this crucially rules it out by $\operatorname{MAX}(T)$. In (e), $[\operatorname{MAX}]_{R T}(T)$ and $\operatorname{MAX}(T)$ are violated due to the deletion of underlying H tone. For candidate ( f ), it is the L in root of the input that is deleted and that brings about both $[\operatorname{MAX}]_{\text {RT }}$ (T) and MAX (T) violation. The deletion also means that two adjacent H tones are left on the output, leading to OCP violation. As a consequence of this analysis, the following ranking is established: $\left\{[\mathrm{MAX}]_{\mathrm{RT}}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{OCP}, \mathrm{MAX}(\mathrm{T})\right.$, COINCIDE, $\left.* \mathrm{LH}\right\} \gg$ *LLOAT.
dáá!rá 'push-IPFV, imperfective'
$\left\{[\operatorname{MAX}]_{\text {RT }}(\mathrm{T})\right.$, OCP, MAX (T), COINCIDE, *LH \}>> *FLOAT \}

| $\bigvee_{\text {/daa-ra/ }}^{\text {H L H }}$ | $[\mathrm{MAX}]_{\mathrm{RT}}(\mathrm{T})$ | OCP | MAX <br> (T) | CoIncide (cont,final TBU) | *LH | *Float |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| b. $V_{\text {daa-ra }}^{\text {HL }}$ |  |  |  | *! |  |  |
| c. |  |  |  |  | *! |  |
| d. |  |  | *! |  |  |  |
| e. daa-ra $_{\text {L }}^{\text {H }}$ | *! |  | * |  |  |  |
| f. ${ }_{\text {daa-ra }}^{\mathrm{H}}$ | *! | * | * |  |  |  |

In a nutshell, having a floating L between two H tones is better than HL-H with the L associated between the two H tones. The above analysis will yield the same results for the simple nouns with downstep such as bứm-!á 'thing-PL'. Following the generalisation that HLH is unattested on simple nouns and verbs, what we see is L floating between two H tones and the result is downstep.

The preceding analysis shows downstep as resulting from floating $L$ tone. In the next analysis that follows in (57), downstep is treated as the result of the concatenation of two H tones on adjacent roots. By contrast to the preceding analysis, associative phrases and compounds involve underlying $/ \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} /$ sequences surfacing as HLH (with a floating L). For the avoidance of sequences of adjacent H tones, OCP takes out candidates (57b-c) in favour of the optimal output (a).

> [pógo !síré] 'woman’s husband’ OCP >> *FLOAT

|  | OCP | *FLOAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  | * |
| b. | *! |  |
| c. | *! * |  |

Given the similarities between this input in (57) and cases like [dúg-ì] and [sór-̀̀] which are also underlyingly $/ \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} /$, it is important to consider on what basis one surfaces as $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$ and the other surfaces as H-!H. In other words, why is it not possible for underlying case like /dúgí/ to be realised as *[dúg!í] on the surface and why is it ungrammatical for underlying /pógó síř́/ to surface as *[pógó sìrè]?

An explanation to this question is that, within a prosodic word, two tonal processes are possible: (i) tonal spread which involves a tone spreading from either the root to the suffix or from the suffix to the root (ii) dissimilation/polarity in which a suffix surfaces with tonal height opposite to the one preceding it. These processes are repair mechanisms to resolve OCP within prosodic words. Therefore, a case as [dúg-ì] which is underlyingly H-H can only resolve OCP via dissimilatory lowering of the underlying H on the suffix because the tones are within the same domain.

In terms of examples such as the associative construction [pógó !síré] as shown in (56), what we see are H tones across prosodic words. In other words, each H in the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ sequence belong to a separate prosodic word. Across word boundaries, when there are successive high tones, downstep occurs if both words occur within the same phonological phrase (Nespor \& Vogel, 1986; Selkirk, 1986). This suggests that OCP violations across words are not tolerated which means that adjacent H tones are prohibited. The best way of avoiding the identical tones is the presence a floating L , leading to downstep. In the case of the associative phrase like [pógó!síré], it is possible that the floating L is the one that marks a syntactic property like genitive. The conclusion therefore is that underlying $/ \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} /$ may condition polarity and downstep. The choice of either polarity or downstep
is based on the morphological form of the word. That is, the choice is morpholexical. So, on the one hand underlying $/ \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} /$ dissimilates and surfaces as $[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}]$ at the root/stem level. On the other hand, underlying $/ \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} /$ survives with surface downstep $[\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}]$ at the word-level. This is also what Anttila \& Bodomo (2019, 2021, in press) propose.

In summary, downstep in Dagaare originates from either a floating $L$ underlyingly specified on a root/suffix or it arises from the last H tone at the right edge of a phonological word. Underlying $/ \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} /$ becomes surface $[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}]$ at the root-level and it becomes $[\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}]$ at the word level.

### 5.6 Conclusion

In this chapter, verbal, nominal and other complex tone patterns are discussed. Previous analyses have either focused mainly on nouns or mainly on verbs with no clarity in terms of whether both verbs can be analysed within the same grammar and with no or little discussion of tonal interactions in other structures beyond just nouns and verbs. This study therefore presents a comprehensive discussion of tone ranging from simple verbs, simple nouns, nominalisations to complex cases like compounds and associative constructions.

With the verbs, there are three tone patterns for the default and imperfectives. For each of these patterns, the surface representations of the default forms are systematically different from that of the imperfectives. The tone patterns of the defaults include $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}$, and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$ and their corresponding imperfectives involve $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}$. Within the nominal system, three main tone classes exist; H-H, H-L, L-H though there are other limited patterns like L-L and LH-L. The tone patterns in nouns are generally the same in both singular and plural forms.

I propose that the default forms involve toneless final epenthetic vowels and three root types: a H root which spreads to the final vowel; L root which spreads to the final vowel and HL root which spreads the L to the final vowel. As for the imperfectives, the suffix is underlyingly H and either remains unchanged or it changes its height depending on the tonal content of the preceding root. With nouns, the claim is that roots are underlyingly toneless, H and L while the suffix is underlyingly H . The toneless nominal roots get their surface tone via spreading from the suffix H ; the H root remains unchanged while the suffix H dissimilates to L ; the surface L root and its following H suffix are what they are underlyingly.

The analysis further shows that the unattested surface melodies, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}$ in imperfectives is due to OCP prohibiting adjacent identical tones, thereby leading to polar suffix tone. Based on the tone patterns, an important point worthy of mention is the location of polarity. As Pulleyblank (1986) notes, polarity effects occur at the edges of domains and this is evident in cases involving nominal and verbal patterns such as H-L, L-H and H-LH in which the polar tone occurs at the right edge. In terms of downstep, it arises from either a floating L specified on a root or suffix, or it results from sequences of H tones which gets a L floating between the high tones. Underlying /H$\mathrm{H} /$ either becomes [H-L] at the root/stem level or it becomes [H-!H] at the word-level.

Overall, the chapter gives a comprehensive discussion of tone in Dagaare. This notwithstanding, there are some cases exhibiting tonal alternations which would require attention probably in the future. Some of these cases are agentive nominalisation (via reduplication) which involves copying of a verb root. Another case involves tone change in syntactic environments such as before or after some functional categories. I will return to this in the conclusion chapter. Moreover, in spite of the evidence provided for treating the syllable as the TBU, a few examples seem to point that the mora might also be the TBU in some contexts. Whether or not such information is crucial and has some implication on Dagaare tone analysis is an open topic for discussion.

## Chapter 6: Summary and Conclusions

### 6.1 General summary

This dissertation has given a more comprehensive discussion of the morphophonological phenomena in Dagaare involving inflectional morphology, vowel harmony patterns and tone. As a response to the limited to no description and theoretical analysis of these cases, the overall objective of this work was to give a formal account of the various patterns.

The greater part of this work is organized into three main parts. The first is the discussion of inflection in chapter 3, which shows the contrast between verbs. That is, the chapter provides evidence that the verb contrast that has been described as perfective/imperfective actually involves default vs. imperfective forms, given that there is no evidence of perfective interpretation from the so-called perfective form. The default verb form, on the one hand has an invariant high front vowel after consonant-final root whose shape does not change, irrespective of the root to which it is attached; this vowel is shown to have no inherent meaning. The imperfective on the other hand is shown to have a suffix and the shape of the vowel is dependent on the form of the vowel in the verbal root; in contrast to the default forms, the imperfective suffix is shown to have a dedicated progressive meaning regardless of the environment in which it occurs. Verbal inflection is posited to be the result of interplay of morphology, phonology and syntax.

With nominal inflection, nouns are categorized into classes based on similarity of the singular suffix and that of the plural suffix. The nouns involve ten classes which are broadly grouped into three classes: phonological, phono-semantic and semantic classes. Nominal inflection is shown to result from phonological processes such as harmony, vowel length; morphosyntactic processes such as derivation and semantic factors like individuation. Finally, within the noun phrase, number marking is shown to occur on not only nouns, but on adjectives, ideophones, demonstratives and numerals.

The second part of the larger analysis centres on vowel harmony patterns which are more comprehensively examined in chapter 4 . Tongue root harmony involving simple nouns, verbs, compounds and phrases is covered. Also rounding/backness/[ $\pm$ low] harmony which is particularly restricted to the imperfective forms and agentive reduplication is discussed. Moreover, the details of both harmony patterns including harmonic domain, triggers, targets and directionality are
presented. Prior to the proposing an analysis for Dagaare harmony, I presented an overview of the approaches to vowel harmony in Optimality Theory.

The analysis of harmony patterns in the chapter showed that the harmonic feature in Dagaare can be bidirectional in tongue root harmony and only progressive with rounding/backness harmony. That is, tongue root harmony is analysed as morphologically conditioned - operating on two levels: root/word-level and phrase-level, both root-controlled. At the root/word-level harmony spreads rightward from the root to the suffix and at the phrase-level, the harmonic feature operates bidirectionally, from the root to the prefix/proclitic and to the suffix. Rounding harmony is analysed as morphologically restricted to the imperfective, targeting the imperfective suffix progressively - from the root to the suffix. I proposed that the presence of rounding in only the imperfective verbs is due to an underlying vowel which is specified for the feature [-high]. So, the absence of rounding in the default form (with high final vowel) is because high vowels are not targets of rounding harmony in Dagaare. This may be a result of morphological consequence: rounding harmony applies at the root-level while final $[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}$ ] applies at the word-level. With optimality theoretic approach, I showed that harmony is driven by constraints which enforce spreading of harmonic features from roots to suffixes. Finally, the presence of harmony in the pronominal clitics in possessive phrases is based on minimality constraint which motivates integration of targets into the harmonic domain.

Finally, chapter 5 covers the third major component of this dissertation - the description and analysis of tone. Here, a more detailed discussion of tone in Dagaare is presented as a response to the few studies which generally focused only on nominal tone. I presented an analysis of both verbal, nominal tone and more complex cases such as compounds and associative phrases. There are three tonal patterns for the default and imperfective forms. The surface representations of the default forms are systematically different from that of the imperfectives. For the surface default forms, there are $\mathrm{H}(-\mathrm{H}), \mathrm{L}(-\mathrm{L})$ and HL with their corresponding imperfectives $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}-!\mathrm{H}$. In the verbal system, my analysis showed that default verb forms involve toneless suffixes and three root types: H, L root and HL root. Spreading of tone from the root to the default suffix is a preferred tone repair mechanism than insertion of new tones. For the imperfectives, the three roots, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}$, and HL occur with underlying H suffix. The surface tone realisation on the suffix is shown to be based on the tonal content of the verbal root. Similarly, nouns have underlying H suffix
against the roots: toneless, H , and L . While the toneless root involves tone spread, the L and H roots involve tone polarity conditioned by OCP just as the case of the L and H roots of the imperfectives.

With a single phonological grammar (e.g., constraint set), I have shown that all tonal patterns involving verbs and nouns can be analysed. Particularly, the H and L roots of the imperfectives are exactly the same as the H and L roots of the of the nouns. Finally, the absence of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{L}-$ L is analysed to be a result of OCP-driven constraints while downstep is shown to be the outcome of a floating $L$ specified either on a root or a suffix, or it arises from sequence of H tones.

### 6.2 Contributions

Generally, this dissertation makes some important contributions. In the first place, it contributes to the knowledge and understanding of Dagaare and Mabia linguistics. Particularly, the study is the first major detailed account of the phenomena such as inflectional morphology, vowel harmony and tone. It presents a more theoretical discussion than most existing studies.

Secondly, with the overview of various theoretical approaches, markedness and faithfulness devices and by proposing analyses for various patterns, this research makes an important contribution to the understanding of theories of phonology/morphology and syntax in general and how they are applied in Dagaare and possibly other Mabia languages.

### 6.3 Limitations and future research

Considering that Dagaare is a relatively under-described language particularly in terms of phonology and morphology, it is always inevitable to focus on some aspects leaving others aside. For instance, some morphological/phonological processes were identified in the introductory chapters especially chapter 2 without any formal account. These patterns require some attention in future research. Of relevance to the general focus of dissertation was the length and diphthong alternations that occur with the imperfective form and some nouns. As observed with the imperfective forms, there are some surface reversals in verbs involving the default forms and the imperfective forms and between singular and plural nouns. For the verbs, vowels can be short or long in the default form, and they can be short or long in the imperfective form. In some cases, short vowels in the default verb correspond to long vowels in the imperfective form. That is when
the imperfective suffix is added to the verb root, we see vowel lengthening in the root as illustrated below.

| Default form |  | Imperfective form |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tí | 'hold.v' | tíí-rè | 'hold-IPFV' |
| sì | 'skin.V' | sì̀-ré | 'skin-IPFV' |
| tú | 'dig.V' | túú-rò | 'dig-IPFV' |
| dà | 'buy.V' | dàà-rá | 'buy-IPFV' |
| vá | 'scorch.V' | váá-rà | 'scorch-IPFV' |

The examples in (1) show high and low vowels in the default form becoming long vowels in the imperfective. In the next set of examples in (2), there are short vowels in the default verbs which alternate with diphthongs in the imperfective form.

| Default form |  | Imperfective form |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kpè | 'enter.v' | kpìz-r ¢́ | 'enter-IPFV' |
| dó | 'climb.v' | dúó-rò | 'climb-IPFV' |
| dó | 'weed.v' | dớ-rò | 'weed-IPFV' |

With nouns, when the number suffix [-ri/-ri] occurs after a high vowel root, the root vowel in the plural form is long and this corresponds to a diphthong in the singular form.

| Singular | Plural |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bí-é $\quad$ 'child-sG' | bíí-rí | 'child-PL', |
| Zí-é $\quad$ 'place-SG' | zíírí | 'place-PL' |
| sí- $̇ ~ ' w a i s t-S G ' ~$ | síí-rí | 'waist-PL', |
| kú-ó $\quad$ 'field mouse-SG' | kúú-rí | 'filed mouse-PL' |
| bú-ó $\quad$ 'goat-SG' | búú-rí | 'goat-PL |

Another pattern that will require attention in future research involves agentive nominalization (via reduplication) which involve copying of a verb root as shown below.

Agentive reduplication

| Root-RED-suffix | Root-RED-suffix | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'person that $\mathrm{X} '$ | people that X |  |


| dì-dí-ré | dì-dír-bé | 'one who eats |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zò-zó-ró | zò-zór-bó | 'one who runs' |
| dó-dúó-rò | dó-dúór-!bó | 'one who climbs' |
| díc̀-díć-!ré | díc̀-díćr-!bé | 'one who receives' |

Looking at these examples, we see that when the base has L tone, its reduplicant and the suffix is H . When the base is H toned, the reduplicant is H , the suffix is L for the singular forms and $!\mathrm{H}$ for the plural forms and when the root is HL , the reduplicant is H while the both suffixes for singular and plural are ! H .

In addition, the majority of the discussion of tone in this research primarily centred on simple verbs and nouns and cases like associative constructions and compounds. There is also data illustrating how lexical tones interact in sentences. For instance, there is evidence of tone change in Dagaare based on the specific syntactic structure/constructions. First, consider the tones of the following bare default verbs and their imperfective counterparts and then observe the tones these verbs assume in the presence of some grammatical categories such as negation particle, bá ; future particles, nà and kò̀ and the focus/affirmative particle lá~ná. The following examples are just but a brief illustration of the tonal interaction with functional categories in sentences.
(5) Bare verbs

| a. wà | 'come.V' | wàà-ná | 'come-IPFV' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. sòr̀̀ | 'beg.V' | sòr-ó | 'beg-IPFV' |
| c. sórí | 'count.V' | sór-ò | 'count-IPFV' |
| d. pégì | 'wash.V' | pég-!ré | 'wash-IPFV' |

When the focus particle lá ~ná ${ }^{6}$ occurs after a verb with a final H tone, there is downstep on ná. As can be seen in (6), the deafult L-L is realised as L-H before the focus particle. In (6), the imperfective maintains its L-H melody but the H-final results in downstep on ná.
a. Ù [sòrí !ná] lìbí-è
3SG beg.V FOC money-PL
's/he begged for money'

[^5]| b | Ù | [sı̀r- | !ná $]$ | lìbí-è |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 3SG | beg-IPFV | FOC | money-PL |
|  | 's/he is begging for money' |  |  |  |

In the next sentences below, H -H default precedes the focus particle lá, and what we see is downstepped high on lá as in (7a). In (7b), when H-L imperfective precedes lá, downstep is realised on the imperfective suffix and not lá.
(7) a. ù [sórí !ná] lìbí-è
3SG count-INFL FOC money-PL
' s /he counted money'
b. ù [sór-!'́ lá] libíi-è
3SG count-IPFV FOC money-PL
' $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ ic counting money'

These patterns present some interesting tonal alternations and may have a bearing on the current analysis. However, at present I do not propose an analysis for them as I leave that for future examination.
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## APPENDICES

## Appendix A: Verbal system of Dagaare (Central) - Field data

## Sub-Appendix A. 1: Verbal morphology

The basic verb morphology of Dagaare has the verb root and aspectual suffix. Verbs are identified as default (previously perfective) vs. imperfective depending on the root. The default form is composed of the verb root and a final high vowel [ $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}$ ] or it may be unmarked [ $\varnothing$ ]. The imperfective always has two overt morphemes: a root followed by either a -V or a -CV suffix. The suffix may be realised as either $-\mathbf{V}[-\mathrm{e} /-\varepsilon$, $-\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{o},-\mathrm{o} /-\mathrm{a}$ ] or -CV [-re/-re], [-ro/-ro] [-rr/-ra], [-ne/-n $]$ ], [-no/no]

- Default syllable structure:

```
- CV nह́ 'see.V'
- CVV jùò 'open.V'
- CVC sùn 'help.V'
- CVC.V wù.lì 'show.V'
- CVVC.V kùù.rì 'snore.V'
- CVC.CV níg.rì 'blink.V'
```

- Imperfective syllable structure:
- CV.CV né.rè 'see-IPFV'
- CVV.CV jùò.ró 'open-IPFV'
- CV.CV wù.ló 'show-IPFV'
- CVVC.V kùv̀.r㇒́ 'snore-IPFV'
- CVC.CV níg.!ré ‘blink-IPFV'

Alternations involving verbs: default and imperfectives exhibit significant surface alternations

- Short vowels in default vs short vowels in imperfective
- dì 'eat.v'
- né 'see.v’
vs dì-ré 'eat-IPFV'
- zò 'run.v’
vs né-rè 'see-IPFV'
- zo 'run.v' vs zò-r' 'run-IPFV'
- short high and low vowels in the default vs long vowels in the imperfective
- tí 'hold.v' vs tíí-rè 'hold-IPFV'
- tớ 'insult.v' vs tớvirò 'insult-IPFV'
- dà 'buy.v' vs dàà-rá 'buy-IPFV'
- long vowels in default vs long vowels in imperfective
- wóó 'weave.v’ vs wóó-rò 'weave-IPFV'
- jós 'pay.v' vs jóó-rò 'pay-IPFV'
- bàà 'grow.v’ vs bàà-rá 'grow-IPFV'
- short mid vowels in the default vs diphthongs in imperfective
- bè 'get sick.v' vs bìz-ré 'get sick-IPFV'
- dó 'climb.v’ vs dúó-rò 'climb-IPFV'
- tò 'pound.v' vs tòj̀-r' 'pound-IPFV'
- diphthongs in default vs diphthongs in imperfectives
- tì̀ 'push'
- jùò 'open'
- vúj̀ ‘uproot'
vs tì̀-ré 'pushing'
vs jùò-ró 'opening'
vs vúj́-! !ó ‘uprooting'

| CV-roots: $\mathrm{CV}_{\text {[DEFault] }}$; CV.CV ${ }_{\text {[imperfective] }}$ : $\mathrm{n}=15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| jí- | jí | 'leave' | jí-rè | 'leaving' | à jí 'to leave' |
| dì- | dì | 'eat' | dì-ré | 'eating' | à dì 'to eat' |
| ?ì- | Pì | 'do' | Pì-r | 'doing' | à ?ì 'to do' |
| dî- | dî | 'take' | dí-! l ع́ | 'taking' | à dî 'to take' |
| lè- | lè | 'fall' | lè-ré | 'falling' | à lè 'to fall |
| lé- | lé | 'tie' | lé-rè | 'tying' | à lé 'to tie' |
| né- | né | 'see' | né-rè | 'seeing' | à né 'to see' |
| kò- | kù | 'give' | kò-ró | 'giving' | à kù 'to give' |
| pó- | pó | 'share' | pó-rò | 'sharing' | à pó 'to share' |
| kò- | kò | 'dry' | kò-ró | 'drying' | à kò 'to dry' |
| kó- | kó | 'gather (clouds) | kó-rò | 'gathering (clouds)' | à kó 'to gather (clouds)' |
| gò- | gò | 'guard' | gò-ró | 'guarding' | à gò 'to guard' |
| zò- | zò | 'run' | zò-ró | 'running' | à zò 'to run' |
| tá- | tá | 'reach' | tá-rà | 'reaching' | à tá 'to reach' |


| CV-roots: $\mathrm{CV}_{\text {[DEFAuLT] }}$; CVV.CV ${ }_{\text {[IMPERFECtive] }}($ long vowel): $\mathbf{n = 3 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| tí- | tí | 'hold' | tíírè | 'holding' | à tí 'to hold' |
| tì- | tì | 'vomit' | tì̀ré | 'vomiting' | à tì 'to vomit' |
| pí- | pí | 'select/choose' | píír-è | 'selecting | à pí 'to select/choose' |
| ví- | ví | 'demolish’ | vií-rè | 'demolishing' | à ví 'to demolish' |
| kpì- | kpì | 'die' | kpìi-ré | 'dying' | à kpì 'to die' |
| gbí- | gbí | 'kneel' | gbíí-rè | 'kneeling' | à gbí 'to kneel' |
| pí- | pí | 'fed.up' | píír-r | 'getting fed.up' | à pí 'to be fed up' |
| sì- | sì | 'skin' | siì-ré | 'skinning' | à sì 'to skin' |
| tú- | tú | 'dig' | túú-rò | 'digging' | à tú 'to dig' |
| tù- | tù | 'follow' | tùù-ró | 'following' | à tù 'to follow' |
| sù- | sù | 'feed(e.g. baby)' | sùù-ró | 'feeding(e.g.baby)' | à sù 'to feed (baby) |
| vú- | vú | 'crawl' | vúú-rò | 'crawling' | à vú 'to crawl' |
| zú- | zú | 'steal' | zưú-rò | 'stealing' | à zú 'to steal' |
| nú- | nú | 'drink' | núú-rò | 'drinking' | 'a nú 'to drink' |
| dú- | dú | 'swim' | dúú-rò | 'swimming' | à dú 'swim' |
| tú- | tú | 'insult' | tưó-rò | 'insulting' | à tớ 'to insult' |
| kú- | kú | 'kill' | kưó-rò | 'kiling' | à kú 'to kill' |
| vó- | vá | 'scorch' | váว̇-rı̀ | 'scorching' | à vá 'to scorch' |
| vá- | vá | 'hit' | váá-rà | 'hitting' | à vá 'to hit' |


| dà- | dà | 'buy' | dàà-rá | 'buying' | à dà 'to buy' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dá- | dá | 'blow (e.g. air)' | dáá-rà | 'blowing (e.g.air)' | à dá 'to blow' |
| zá- | zá | 'throw' | záá-rà | 'throwing' | à zá 'to throw' |
| zà- | zà | 'collect' | zàà-rá | 'collecting' | à zà 'to collect' |
| já- | já | 'get mad' | jáá-rà | 'getting mad' | à já 'to get mad' |
| là- | là | 'laugh' | làà-rá | 'laughing' | à là 'to laugh' |
| sá- | sá | 'administer (enema)' | sáá-rà | 'administering <br> (enema)' | à sá 'to administer <br> (enema)' |
| pà- | pá | 'be.full' | pàà-rá | 'getting full' | à pà 'to be full' |
| bà- | bà | 'fix (on the ground)' | bàà-rá | 'fixing(on the <br> ground)' | à bà 'to fix' |

CV-roots: CV $_{\text {[Default] }}$; CVV.CV [imperfective] (diphthong): $\mathbf{n = 2 3}$

| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bè- | bè | 'get sick' | bì̀̀-ré | 'getting sick' | à bè 'get sick' |
| nè- | nè | 'fart/defecate' | nì̀̀-ré | 'farting/deficating' | à nè 'to fart/deficate' |
| kpè- | kpè | 'enter' | kpì̀-ř́ | 'entering' | à kpè 'to enter' |
| pé- | p ¢́ | 'harvest' | píć-rè | 'harvesting' | à pé 'to harvest' |
| té- | tı́ | 'spread' | tíć-rè | 'spreading' | à té 'to spread' |
| dغ̀- | d $\grave{\text { c }}$ | 'trim' | dì̀̀-r ¢́ | 'trimming' | à dè 'to trim' |
| ¢ $¢ \stackrel{\text { ch}}{ }$ | ¢ $¢ \stackrel{1}{1}$ | 'cut' | ¢¢̇̀̀-ré | 'cutting' | à tyè 'to cut' |
| sè- | s $\varepsilon$ | 'sew' | sì̀--ŕ́ | 'sewing' | à sè 'to sew' |
| sé- | s仑́ | 'roast' | síć-rè | 'roasting' | à sé 'to roast' |
| mè- | mè | 'build' | mìc̀-rı́ | 'building' | à mغ̀ 'to build' |
| ymè- | ทmè | 'beat' | ŋmì̀̀-r ¢́ | 'beating' | à ym ' 'to beat' |
|  | wと́ | 'waste' | wíć-rı̀ | 'wasting' | à wé 'to waste' |
| dó- | dó | 'climb' | dúó-rò | 'climbing' | à dó 'to climb' |
| dò- | dò | 'roll' | dùò-ró | 'rolling' | à dò 'to roll' |
| sò- | sò | 'bathe' | sùò-ró | 'bathing' | à sò 'to bathe' |
| bó- | bó | 'find' | búó-rò | 'finding' | à bó 'to find' |
| dó- | dó | 'weed' | dóó-rò | 'weeding' | à dó 'to weed' |
| jó- | jó | 'roam' | júó-rò | 'roaming' | à jó 'to roam' |
| tò- | tò | 'pound' | tò̀̇-ró | 'pounding' | à tò 'to pound' |
| kó- | kó | 'farm' | kúó-rò | 'farming' | à kó 'to farm' |
| pó- | pó | 'curse' | púó-rò | 'cursing' | à pó 'to curse' |
| t5'- | t's | 'hide' | tớó-rò | 'hiding' | à t'o' 'to hide' |
| só | só | 'rub' | súó-rò | 'rubbing' | à só 'to rub' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| CVV－stems： CVV $_{\text {［Default］}}$ ；CVV－CV ${ }_{\text {［imperfective］}}$（long vowel）： $\mathrm{n}=14$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| wóó－ | wóó | ＇weave＇ | wóó－rò | ＇weaving＇ | à wóó＇to weave＇ |
| う̀̀－ | ว̀ | ＇eat／chew＇ | ว̀̀－ró | ＇eating／chewing＇ | à òj̀＇to eat／chew＇ |
| kı̀う－ | kj̀̀ | ＇break＇ | kòò－ró | ＇breaking＇ | à kj̀̀＇to break＇ |
| móś－ | mós | ＇wrestle＇ | móó－rò | ＇wrestling＇ | à móś＇to wrestle＇ |
| zı̀̀－ | zı̀̀ | ＇fight＇ | zòjo－ró | ＇fighting＇ | à zò̀＇to fight＇ |
| dò̀－ | dò̀ | ＇squat＇ | dòò－ró | ＇squatting＇ | à dòò＇to aquat＇ |
| lóś－ | lós | ＇drop＇ | lóó－rò | ＇dropping＇ | à lóś＇to drop＇ |
| jós－ | jós | ＇pay＇ | jóó－rò | ＇paying＇ | à jós＇to pay＇ |
| nว̀̀－ | nı̀̀ | ＇mate＇ | jòjo－ró | ＇mating＇ | à nò̀＇to mate＇ |
| kàà－ | kàà | ＇look＇ | kàà－rá | ＇looking＇ | à kàà＇to look＇ |
| ymàà－ | ymàà | ＇cut＇ | ymàà－rá | ＇cutting＇ | à ymàà＇to cut＇ |
| fáà－ | fáà | ＇seize／resolve＇ | fáá－！ ra | ＇seizing／resolving’ | à fáà＇to seize／resolve＇ |
| dáà－ | dáà | ＇push＇ | dáá－！ ra | ＇pushing＇ | à dáà＇to push＇ |
| táà－ | táà | ＇have＇ | táá－！＇rá | ＇having＇ | à táà＇to have＇ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CVV－stems： CVV $_{\text {［Default］}}$ ；CVV－CV ${ }_{\text {［imperfective］}}$（diphthong）： $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{1 4}$


CVC－roots：CVC－ $\mathbf{V}_{[\text {DEFAULT］}} ;$ CVC－V ［IMPERFECTIVE］$($ long vowel）： $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 5}$

| $r$－final stems： $\boldsymbol{n = 5 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| Pîr－ | Pír－ì | ＇remove＇ | Pír－！é | ＇removing＇ | à Pír（i）＇to remove＇ |
| wì－ | wì－1̀ | ＇turn（inside out）＇ | wir－é | ＇turning（inside out）＇ | à wìr（i）＇to turn inside／out＇ |
| tì－ | tì－－1̀ | ＇give＇ | tìr－$\varepsilon$ | ＇giving＇ | à tìr（i）＇to give＇ |
| fir－ | fir－ì | ＇force＇ | fir－$غ$ | ＇forcing＇ | ＇à firr（ì）＇to force＇ |
| pir－ | pìr－ì | ＇peel＇ | pìr－乏́ | ＇peeling＇ | à pìr（i）＇to peel＇ |


| gbî́r- | gbír-ì | 'sleep' | gbír-!é | 'sleeping' | à gbír(i) 'to sleep' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bîr- | bír-ì | 'stammer' | bír-!é | 'stammering' | à bírì 'to stammer' |
| lìr- | lìr-ì | 'bottom.up' | lìr-غ́ | 'bottoming. Up' | à lìr(i) 'to bottom. Up' |
| (fir- | tiri-ì | 'sneeze' | ¢Tİ-! ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'sneezing' | à tirir(i) 'to sneeze' |
| díri- | dsír-ì | 'subside (e.g. rain)' | doír-! $¢$ | 'subsiding (e.g. rain)' | à ḑír(i) 'to subside' |
| vír- | vír-í | 'kick' | vír- | 'kicking' | à virr(í) 'to kick' |
| kpér- | kpér-í | 'slice/chop' | kpér-è | 'slicing/chopping' | à kpér(í) 'to slice/chop’ |
| pèr- | pèr-ì | 'carve' | pèr-غ́ | 'carving' | à pèr(i) 'to carve' |
| bèr- | bèr-ì | 'trap/poison' | bèr-غ́ | 'trapping/poisoning' | à bèr(ì) 'to trap/poison' |
| sêr- | sćr-ì | 'shift' | sér-! $¢$ ¢́ | 'shifting' | à sér(i) 'to shift' |
| kpér- | kpér-Í | 'spill' | kpér- ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ | 'spilling' | à kpér(İ) 'to spill' |
| Pêr- | Pér-ì | 'grind' | Pと́r-! $¢$ ¢ | 'grinding' | à P'́r(i) 'to grind' |
| wèr- | wèr-ì | 'cut open' | wèr-દ́ | 'cutting open' | à wèr(i) 'to cut open' |
| lér- | lér-Í | 'bluff' | lér-غ̇ | 'bluffing' | à lér(İ) 'to bluff' |
| jêr- | jér-ì | 'spread' | jér-!'́ | 'spreading' | à jér(i) 'to spread' |
| pùr- | pùr-ì | 'burst' | pùr-ó | 'bursting' | à pùr(i) 'to burst' |
| bùr- | bùr-ì | 'soak' | bùr-ó | 'soaking' | à bùr(i) 'to soak' |
| kùr- | kùr-i | 'fabricate' | kùr-ó | 'fabricating' | à kùr(i) 'to fabricate' |
| gùr- | gùr-ì | 'fold' | gùr-ó | 'folding' | à gùr(i) 'to fold' |
| bòr- | bòr-ì | 'sow' | bùr-ó | 'sowing' | à bòr(i) 'to sow' |
| pôr- | pór-ì | 'pour' | púr-!'́ | 'pouring' | à púr(i) 'to pour' |
| kùr- | kùr-ì | 'get.old' | kòr-ó | 'getting.old' | à kòr(i) 'to get.old' |
| ymứ- | Đmớr-í | 'crush' | றmớr-ò | 'crushing' | à ymơr(i) 'to crush' |
| vứ- | vơr-í | 'pierce' | vơr-ò | 'piercing' | à vớr(Í) 'to pierce' |
| gưr- | gúr-Í | 'pluck(feathers)' | gúr-ò | 'plucking (feathers)' | à gór(İ) 'to pluck' |
| lôr- | lór-ì | 'untie' | lór-!ó | 'untying' | à lór(i) 'to untie' |
| kòr- | kòr-ì | 'hug' | kòr-ó | 'hugging' | à kòr(i) 'to hug' |
| bòr- | bòr-ì | 'get.lost' | bòr-ó | 'getting.lost' | à bòr(i) 'to be lost' |
| sòr- | sòr-ì | 'plead/beg' | sı̀r-ó | 'pleading/begging' | à sòr(i) 'to plead/beg' |
| pôr- | pór-ì | 'pluck' | pór-!'́ | 'plucking' | à pór(i) 'to pluck' |
| kôr- | kór-ì | 'cough' | kór-!'́ | 'coughing' | à kór(i) 'to cough' |
| tòr- | tòr-ì | 'share' | tòr-ó | 'sharing' | à tòr(i) 'to share' |
| Pôr- | Pór-ì | 'get rotten' | Pór-!' | 'getting rotten' | à Pór(i) 'to get rotten' |
| mór- | mór-í | 'swell' | mór-̀ | 'swelling' | à mór(İ) 'to swell' |
| nı̀r- | nı̀r-ì | 'detest' | nı̀̀-う́ | 'detesting' | à nı̀r(ì) 'to detest' |
| pàr- | pàr-ì | 'by-pass' | pàr-á | 'by-passing' | à pàr(i) 'to by-pass' |
| fár- | fár-í | 'foam' | fár-à | 'foaming' | à fár(I) 'to foam' |
| Pàr- | Pàr-ì | 'stand' | Pàr-á | 'standing' | à Pàr(i) 'to stand' |
| kàr- | kàr-ì | 'chase/drive away' | kàr-á | 'chasing/driving away’ | à kàr(I) 'to chase/drive' |


| nár- | nár-Í | 'plan/prepare' | nár-à | 'planning/preparing' | 'à nár-(Í) 'to pla/prepare' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jàr- | jàr-ì | 'wear' | jàr-á | 'wearing' | à jàr(i) 'to wear' |
| vár- | vár-Í | 'castrate' | vár-à | 'castrating' | à vár(İ) 'to castrate' |
| bàr- | bàr-ì | 'leave/stop' | bàr-á | 'leaving/stopping' | à bàr(i) 'to leave/stop' |
| màr- | màr-ì | 'set (e.g. fire)' | màr-á | 'setting (fire)' | 'à màr(i) 'to set' |
| sàr- | sàr-İ | 'slide/slip' | sàr-á | 'sliding/slipping' | à sár(İ) 'to slide/slip' |
| ymâr- | ymár-ì | 'break/crush' | ymár-!á | 'breaking/crushing' | à ŋmár(i) 'to break' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

l-final stems: $n=24$

| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pil- | pil-ì | 'cover.up' | pil-é | 'covering.up' | à pil(i) 'to cover up' |
| víl- | vílí | 'entwine' | víl-è | 'entwining' | à víl(i) 'to entwine' |
| dsíl- | djil-1́ | 'surround' | dsíl-è | 'surrounding' | à djil(i) 'to surround' |
| sîl- | síl-ì | 'decant' | síl-! $¢$ | 'decanting' | à síl(i) 'to decant' |
| míl- | míl-í | 'fence' | míl- | 'fencing' | à míl(İ) 'to fence' |
| wíl- | wíl-í | 'dismantle' | wíl-غ̀ | 'dismantling' | à wíl(Í) 'to dismatle' |
| kpil- | kpil-ì | 'fade (e.g. clothes)' | kpill-દ́ | 'fading (e.g. clothes)' | à kpil(i) 'to fade' |
| jèl- | jèl-ì | 'say’ | jèl-غ́ | 'saying' | à jèl(i) 'to say' |
| sc̀l- | sèl-ì | 'transplant' | sèl-દ́ | 'transplanting' | à sèl(i) 'to transplant' |
| kpêl- | kpźl-ì | 'pluck' | kpźl-! $\varepsilon$ | 'plucking (fruits)' | à kpél(i) 'to pluck (fruits)' |
| zèl- | zèl-ì | 'lift' | zèl- | 'lifting' | à zèl(i) 'to lift' |
| nर̂l- | nél-ì | 'melt' | nél-! ¢́ | 'melting' | à nél(ì) 'to melt' |
| wêl- | wél-ì | 'split' | wél-! !́ | 'splitting' | à wél(i) 'to split' |
| búl- | búl-í | 'germinate' | búl-ò | 'germinating' | à búl(i) 'to germinate' |
| júl- | júl-í | 'leak' | júl-ò | 'leaking' | à júl(i) 'to leak' |
| wùl- | wùl-ì | 'show' | wùl-ó | 'showing' | à wùl(i) 'to show' |
| kúl- | kúl-í | 'go home' | kúl-ò | 'going home' | à kúl(i) 'to go home' |
| mòl- | mòl-ì | 'be.wet' | mòl-ó | 'being.wet' | à mòl(i) 'to be wet' |
| tôl- | túl-ì | 'be.hot' | túl-!'́ | 'being.hot' | à tưl(I) 'to be hot' |
| vól- | vól-í | 'swallow' | vól-̇ | 'swallowing' | à vól(İ) 'to swallow' |
| pòl- | pı̀l-ì | 'grow/mature' | pòl-'́ | 'growing/maturing' | à pòl(i) 'to grow/mature' |
| zól- | zól-í | 'be foolish' | zól-̇̀ | 'being foolish' | à zól(İ) 'to be foolish' |
| fâl- | fál-ì | 'slap' | fál-!á | 'slapping' | à fál(i) 'to slap' |
| bàl- | bàl-ì | 'get.tired' | bàl-á | 'getting tired' | à bàl(i) 'to get tired' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CVC-stems: CVC. [Default] $_{\text {[DC. }}$ [IIMPERFECtive]
$y$-final stems: $n=13$

| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pín- | píg | 'shake' | pín-è | 'shaking' | à píy 'to shake' |
| tin- | 9117 | 'walk' | ty̌n-غ̀ | 'walking' | à tiing 'to walk' |


| zìn－ | zìy | ＇sit＇ | zìn－$\underbrace{\prime}$ | ＇sitting＇ | à zìy＇to sit＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sèn－ | sèv | ＇fornicate／adulterate＇ | sèn－${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ＇fornicating／adulterati ng＇ | à sèn＇to |
| vêy－ | vêy | ＇allow＇ | vén－！$\varepsilon$ ¢ | ＇allowing＇ | à vêy＇to allow＇ |
| tò̀ ${ }^{\text {－}}$ | tùn | ＇work＇ | tòn－ó | ＇working＇ | à từn＇to work＇ |
| dòn－ | dờn | ＇bite＇ | dờn－ó | ＇biting＇ | à dò̀＇to bite＇ |
| mòy－ | mòn | ＇stir＇ | mòn－ó | ＇stirring＇ | à mう̀y＇to stir＇ |
| góy－ | gón | ＇make．noise＇ | gón－ò | ＇making noise＇ | à góy＇to make nose＇ |
| nóy－ | nóy | ＇massage＇ | nón－ò | ＇massaging＇ | à nóy＇to massage＇ |
| kòn－ | kòy | ＇cry＇ | kòn－ó | ＇crying＇ | à kòy＇to cry＇ |
| wòn－ | wòn | ＇hear＇ | wòn－ó | ＇hearing＇ | à wòn＇to hear＇ |
| gây－ | gây | ＇lie down＇ | gán－！á | ＇lying down＇ | à gây＇to lie down＇ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CVC－stems：CVC． ［Default］ ；CVC．CV ［IMPERFECTIVE］ （geminates）

| $y$－final stems：$n=11$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| bìy－ | bìn | ＇put down＇ | bìn－né | ＇putting．down＇ | à bìy＇to put down＇ |
| sîn－ | sîn | ＇wake＇ | sín－！né | ＇waking＇ | à sîn＇to wake＇ |
| Pìn－ | Pìn | ＇put．in／inside＇ | Pìn－né | ＇putting．in／inside＇ | à Pìn＇to put．in／inside＇ |
| pêy－ | p ¢̂y | ＇borrow／lend＇ | pén－！né | ＇borrowing／lending＇ | à pêy＇to borrow／lend＇ |
| zên－ | zêy | ＇shake＇ | zén－！né | ＇shaking＇ | à zên＇to shake＇ |
| ¢ひ̀り－ | ¢ひ̀⿹ | ＇burn＇ | ¢0̇̀n－nó | ＇burning＇ | à yòn＇to burn＇ |
| sùn－ | sùn | ＇help＇ | sùn－nó | ＇helping＇ | à sù̀＇to help＇ |
| Pòn－ | アว̀ท | ＇fetch＇ | Pว̀n－nó | ＇fetching＇ | à Pı̀y＇to fetch＇ |
| dày－ | dày | ＇stir／disturb＇ | dàn－ná | ＇stirring／disturbing＇ | à dày＇to stir／disturb＇ |
| sây－ | sây | ＇heal／trat／ | sán－！ná | ＇heaking／treating＇ | à sây＇to heal／treat＇ |
| lày－ | lày | ＇gather／meet＇ | làn－ná | ＇gathering／meeting＇ | à lày＇to gather／meet＇ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CVC－stems：CVC． ［Default］ ；CVC．CV ［IMPerfective］ n＝18

$g$－final stems：$n=18$

| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| síg－ | sígí | ＇come down＇ | síg－rè | ＇coming down＇ | à sígí＇to come down＇ |
| dìg－ | dìgì | ＇chase＇ | dìg－ré | ＇chasing＇ | à dìgì＇to chase＇ |
| tìg－ | tìgì | ＇trat＇ | tìg－ré | ＇treating＇ | à tìgì＇to treat＇ |
| lêg－ | légì | ＇break／obstruct＇ | lég－！ r ¢́ | ＇breaking／obstructing＇ | à légì＇to block／obstruct＇ |
| sı̀̀g－ | sègì | ＇dance＇ | sı̀̀g－r | ＇dancing＇ | à sègì＇to dance＇ |
| sćg－ | sćgí | ＇write＇ | ség－r | ＇writing＇ | à ségí＇to write＇ |
| pêg－ | p ǵgì | ＇wash＇ | p $\varepsilon$ g－！ $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ | ＇washing＇ | à pégì＇to wash＇ |
| wêg－ | wégì | ＇split／hatch＇ | wég－！！ ¢́ | ＇splitting／hatching＇ | à wégì＇to wash＇ |
| tèg－ | tègì | ＇shoot＇ | tèg－ré | ＇shooting＇ | à tègì＇to shoot＇ |
| pùg－ | pùgì | ＇praise＇ | pùg－ró | ＇praising＇ | à pùgì＇to praise＇ |


| gbúg－ | gbúgí | ＇regurgitate＇ | gbúg－rò | ＇regurgitating＇ | à gbúg－í＇to regurgitate＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dớg－ | dớgí | ＇boil／cook＇ | dóg－rò | ＇boiling／cooking＇ | à dớgí＇to cook／boil＇ |
| pòg－ | pògì | ＇close＇ | pàg－ró | ＇closing＇ | à pògì＇to close＇ |
| nôg－ | nógì | ＇catch＇ | j $\mathfrak{\text { nóg－！ró }}$ | ＇catching＇ | à nógì＇to catch＇ |
| dòg－ | dògì | ＇give birth＇ | dòg－ró | ＇giving birth’ | à dògì＇to give birth＇ |
| ¢fòg－ | ţògì | ＇stab／inject＇ | ¢⿹勹口－ró | ＇stabbing／injecting＇ | à tẏgì＇to stab／inject＇ |
| sàg－ | sàgì | ＇accept／believe＇ | sàg－rá | ＇accepting／believing＇ | à sàgì＇to accept／believe’ |
| tàg－ | tàgì | ＇pull＇ | tàg－rá | ＇pulling＇ | à tàgì＇to pull＇ |

CVVC－stems：CVVC－ ［jdefault］ ；CVVC－V ${ }_{\text {［IMPerfective］：}}$ n＝54
$r$－final stems：$n=34$

| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| píir－ | píirì | ＇treat（e．g．bruises）＇ | píir－！é | ＇treating（bruises）＇ | à píír（i）＇to treat（bruises） |
| vìr－ | vì̀̀̀ | ＇turn．round＇ | vì̀r－é | ＇turning round＇ | à vì̀r（i）＇to turn round＇ |
| wìr－ | wìrì | ＇smoke（e．g．meat）＇ | wìr－é | ＇smoking（e．g．meat）＇ | à wiìr（i）＇to smoke＇ |
| kpì̀r－ | kpìirì | ＇hem＇ | kpìr－é | ＇hemming＇ | à kpìir（i）＇to hem＇ |
| tfirir－ | tYírì | ＇deny＇ | tfír－！é | ＇denying＇ | à tYírr（i）＇to deny＇ |
| pì̀r－ | pìrí | ＇sweep＇ | pì̀r－દ́ | ＇sweeping＇ | à pìrr（＇）＇to sweep＇ |
| sìr－ | sì̀rì | ＇be．tight＇ | sì̀r－ | ＇getting tight＇ | à sìrr（i）＇to be tight＇ |
| fì̀r－ | fìrrì | ＇blow（nose）＇ | fìr－$\dot{\varepsilon}$ | ＇blow（nose）＇ | à fìrr（i）＇to blow＇ |
| djì̀r－ | djìirí | ＇go behind／round／ | ḑìì－દ́ | ＇going behind／round＇ | À djìrr（Í）＇to go round／ |
| tíèr－ | tíćrì | ＇think＇ | tíér－！${ }^{\text {će }}$ | ＇thinking＇ | à tíćr（ì）＇to think＇ |
| filìr－ | tfièrì | ＇contribute＇ | ¢fièr－દ́ | ＇contributing＇ | à tfièr（i）＇to contribute＇ |
| Píc̀r－ | Píćrì | ＇wipe out＇ | Pı́Ér－！ | ＇wiping out＇ | à Píćr（i）＇to wipe out＇ |
| wíc̀r－ | wíćrì | ＇search＇ | wíćr－！ | ＇searching＇ | à wíćr（i）＇to search＇ |
| kùùr－ | kùùrì | ＇dredge／dig／ | kùùr－ó | ／dredging／digging＇ | à kùùr（i）＇to dredge／dig＇ |
| tùur－ | tùùrì | ＇pick＇ | tùùr－ó | ＇picking＇ | à tùùr（i）＇to pick＇ |
| nùòr－ | nòòrì | ＇dress＇ | nòòr－ó | ＇dressing＇ | à nòv̀r（i）＇to dress＇ |
| sùòr－ | sùv̀rì | ＇ask＇ | sùv̀r－ó | ＇asking＇ | à sùv̀r（ì）＇to ask＇ |
| kùòr－ | kùòrì | ＇snore＇ | kùòr－＇́ | ＇snoring＇ | à kùòr（i）＇to snore＇ |
| tóờ－ | fyớrí̀ | ＇hiss＇ | tướr－！＇ | ＇hissing＇ | à tfơơr（i）＇to hiss＇ |
| túv̀r－ | tớ̛́rì | ＇spit＇ | tứrr－！＇s | ＇spitting＇ | à tứŕr（i）＇to spit＇ |
| tfúùr－ | fuúúrì | ＇doze＇ | tfúúr－！＇ | ＇dozing＇ | à tfúúr（i）＇to doze＇ |
| dúòr－ | dúórì | ＇urinate’ | dúór－！ó | ＇urinating＇ | à dúór（i）＇to urinate＇ |
| pùòr－ | pùòrì | ＇greet／pray＇ | pùòr－ó | ＇greeting／praying＇ | à pùòr（i）＇to greet／pray’ |
| fúor－ | fúórì | ＇sip＇ | fúó！r－ó | ＇sipping＇ | à fúór（i）＇to sip＇ |
| kù̀̀r－ | kùj̀rì | ＇sell＇ | kùว̀r－ó | ＇selling＇ | à kù̀rr（ì）＇to sell＇ |
| kı̀̀̀r－ | kòjrı̀ | ＇delay＇ | kòj̀r－ó | ＇delaying＇ | à kj̀̀r（ì）to delay’ |


| gáàr- | gáárì | 'belch' | gáór-!á | 'belching' | à gə́ə́r(i) 'to belch' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| háàr- | háźrì | 'yawn' | háór-!ó | 'yawning' | à hóə́r(i) 'to yawn' |
| báàr- | báárì | 'finish' | báár-!á | 'finishing' | à báár(ì) 'to finish' |
| háàr- | háárì | 'shoo' | háár-!á | 'shooing' | à háár(i) 'to shoo' |
| jààr- | nààrı̀ | 'grind(roughly)' | nààr-á | 'grinding(roughly) | à nààr(i) to grind(roughly) |
| fààr- | fààrı̀ | 'massage' | fààr-á | 'massaging' | à fààr(ì) 'to massage' |
| váàr- | váárì | 'rinse' | váár-!á | 'rinsing' | à váár(i) 'to rinse' |
| jààr- | jààrı̀ | 'spill' | jààr-á | 'spilling' | à jààr(ì) 'to spill' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| píl- | pí́lì | 'start' | pííl-!é | 'starting' | à píl'(i) 'to start' |
| mì̀l- | mìili | 'wipe/smear' | mìl-غ́ | 'wiping/smearing' | à mìll(i) 'to wipe/smear' |
| triil- | gYílì | 'slice' | tríl-!́ | 'slicing' | à tríl(i) 'to slice' |
| jíel- | jíélì | 'sing' | jíel-!é | 'singing' | à jíél(i) 'to sing' |
| bì̀l- | bì̀lì | 'accompany' | bièl-દ́ | 'accompanying' | à bì̀l(i) 'to accompany' |
| ymì̀l- | ทmièlí | 'twist' | ŋmì̀l-¢́ | 'twisting' | à gmìll(íl 'to twist' |
| fúol- | fúoli | 'whistle' | fúól-!ó | 'whistling' | à fúól(i) 'to whistle' |
| wúól- | wúólì | 'bark' | wúól-!ó | 'barking' | à wúól(i) 'to bark' |
| kùò- | kùòlì | 'fertilize' | kùòl-ó | 'fertilizing' | à kùol(i) 'to fertilize' |
| bù̀l- | bòjlì | 'call' | bù̀̀l-ó | 'calling' | à bùjl(i) 'to call' |
| tú̀̀l- | tóólì | 'send' | tớó-!' | 'sending' | à tưól(i) 'to send' |
| zừ̀l- | zứlì | 'wither' | zưól-! | 'withering' | à zúśl(i) 'to wither' |
| màà- | mààlì | 'make' | mààl-á | 'making' | à mààl(i) 'to make' |
| sààl- | sààlì | 'sharpen' | sààl-á | 'sharpening' | à sàà(İ) 'to sharpen' |
| kàal- | kàalì | 'gather' | kààl-á | 'gathering' | à kàal(i) 'to gather' |
| wáà- | wáálì | 'steam' | wáál-!á | 'steaming' | à wáál(i) 'to steam' |
| jàal- | jàalì | 'widen' | jàà-á | 'widening' | à jàal(i) 'to widen' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ymìin- | ymì̀nì | 'wrinkle' | ŋmì̀n- | 'wrinkling' | à gmìin(i) 'to wrinkle' |
| nmờòn- | ymùờnì | 'hum' | றmờòn-ó | 'humming' | à ymờòn(i) 'to hum' |
| vòòn-ì | vưònì | 'vibrate' | vơòn-'́ | 'vibrating' | à vòòn(ì) 'to vibrate' |
| gùùn- | gùùnì | 'stalk' | gùùn-ó | 'stalking' | à gùùn(i) 'to stalk' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CVCC-stems: CVCC-V [DEFAULT] $^{\text {; CVCC-V }}$ [IMPERFECTIVE]: $\mathbf{n = 1 6}$

| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nîgr- | nígr-1́ | 'blink' | nígr-!é | 'blinking' | à nígrí' 'to blink' |


| sìgr- | sìgrì | 'hiccough' | sìgr-é | 'hiccoughing' | à sìgr(i) 'to hiccough' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lîgr- | lígrì | 'tickle' | lígr-! ¢ | 'tickling' | à lígr(i) 'to tickle' |
| wìnl- | wìnlì | 'roll' | wìnl-é | 'rolling' | à wìnl(i) 'to roll' |
| bènl- | bènlì | 'deceive' | bènl-દ́ | 'deceiving' | à bènl(i) 'to deceive' |
| ¢ ¢̇̇nl-ì | tyènlì | 'listen' | ¢ $¢$ ¢̌nl- | 'listening' | à tyènl(i) 'to listen' |
| lègr- | lègrì | 'turn/capsize' | lègr-દ́ | 'turning/capizing' | à lègr(i) 'to turn/capsize’ |
| hêgr- | hégrì | 'pant' | hégr-! $\varepsilon$ | 'panting' | hégr(i) 'to pant' |
| mờgr- | mờgrì | 'suck' | mờgr-ó | 'sucking' | mògr(i) |
| tògl- | tòglì | 'imitate' | tògl-ó | 'imitating' | à tògl(i) 'to imitate' |
| sôgl- | sóglì | 'hide' | sógl-!'́ | 'hiding' | à sógl(i) 'to hide' |
| jôgl- | jóglì | 'babysit' | jógl-!'́ | 'babysitting' | à jógl(i) 'to babysit' |
| dôgl- | dứglì | 'boil' | dớgl-!' | 'boiling' | à dưgl(i) 'to boil' |
| zàgr- | zàgrí | 'refuse' | zàgr-á | 'refusing' | à zàgr(İ) 'to refuse' |
| zânl- | zánlì | 'swing' | zánl-!á | 'swinging' | à zánl(i) 'to swing' |
| jàgl- | jàglí | 'hang' | jàgl-á | 'hanging' | à jàgl(İ) 'to hang' |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

CVC-stems: CVC-CV [Default] ; CVC-CV ${ }_{\text {[IMPERFECTIVE]: }} \mathbf{n = 1 0}$

| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kpìn- | kpìnnì | 'quench' | kpìn-né | 'quenching' | à kpìnnì 'to quench' |
| dèn- | dènnì | 'straighten' | dèn-né | 'straightening' | à dènnì 'to straighten' |
| lèn- | lènnì | 'taste' | lèn-né | 'tasting' | à lènnì 'to taste' |
| pèn- | pènnì | 'rest' | pèn-né | 'resting' | à pènnì 'to rest' |
| jùn- | jùnnì | 'smell' | jừn-nó | 'smelling' | à jùnnì 'to smell' |
| jûn- | júnnì | 'evaporate' | jún-!nó | 'evaporating' | à núnnì 'to evaporate' |
| zôn- | zónnì | 'hesitate' | zón-! $\mathrm{nó}$ | 'hesitating' | à zónnì 'to hesitate' |
| lòn- | lònnì | 'speed up' | lòn-nó | 'speeding up’ | à lònnì 'to speed up' |
| yòn- | yònnì | 'drip' | tyòn-nó | 'dripping' | à yònnì 'to drip' |
| zàn- | zànnì | 'dream' | zàn-ná | 'dreaming' | à zànnì 'to dream' |
| mân- | mánnì | 'measure' | mán-!ná | 'measuring' | à mánnì 'to measure' |

## Sub-Appendix A.2: Tone classes in verbs

Verbs in Dagaare involve three tone classes for the default and imperfective forms. The defaut form has the classes: L, H and HL and their corresponding imperfective classes are L-H, H-L and HLH (H-!H). Below is the list of verbs in each class.

## Tone classes in verbs

Default L; Imperfective LH; n=157

| Root | Default | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dì- | dì | 'eat' | dì-ré | 'eating' |
| ?ì- | Pì | 'do' | Pì-ré | 'doing' |
| lè- | lè | 'fall' | lè-ré | 'falling' |
| kù- | kù | 'give' | kù-ró | 'giving' |
| kò- | kò | 'dry' | kò-ró | 'drying' |
| gò- | gò | 'guard' | gò-ró | 'guarding' |
| zò- | zò | 'run' | zò-ró | 'running' |
| tì- | tì | 'vomit' | tì̀ré | 'vomiting' |
| sì- | sì | 'skin' | sì̀-r ¢́ | 'skinning' |
| kpì- | kpì | 'die' | kpì̀-ré | 'dying' |
| tù- | tù | 'follow' | tùù-ró | 'following' |
| sù- | sù | 'feed(e.g. baby)' | sùù-ró | 'feeding(e.g.baby)' |
| dà- | dà | 'buy' | dàà-rá | 'buying' |
| là- | là | 'laugh' | làà-rá | 'laughing' |
| pà- | pà | 'be.full' | pàà-rá | 'getting full' |
| bà- | bà | 'fix (on the ground)' | bàà-rá | 'fixing(on the ground)' |
| wà- | wà | 'come' | wàà-ná | 'coming' |
| zà- | zà | 'collect' | zàà-rá | 'collecting' |
| bè- | bè | 'get sick' | bìz-rı́ | 'getting sick' |
| dè- | d ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'trim' | dì -r $\varepsilon$ ¢ | 'trimming' |
| nغ̇- | nı̀ | 'fart/defecate' | nì̀-r ¢́ | 'farting/deficating' |
| kpغ̀- | kpè | 'enter' | kpì̀̇-ré | 'entering' |
| ¢¢ ${ }^{\text {c- }}$ | ¢¢ $\hat{\varepsilon}$ | 'cut' | ¢11̀̇-r ¢ | 'cutting' |
| sı̀- | sغ̀ | 'sew' | sì̀̇-r'́ | 'sewing' |
| mè- | mè | 'build' | mì̇̀-ŕ́ | 'building' |
| Ømè- | ŋmè | 'beat' | Ømìz̀-rદ́ | 'beating' |
| dò- | dò | 'roll' | dùò-ró | 'rolling' |
| sò- | sò | 'bathe' | sùò-ró | 'bathing' |
| to- | to | 'pound' | tòj-ró | 'pounding' |
| ว̀̀- | ว̀̀ | 'eat/chew' | ว̀̀̀-ró | 'eating/chewing' |
| zゝ̀̀- | zว̀̀ | 'fight' | zı̀̀̀-ró | 'fighting' |
| dò̀- | dò̀ | 'squat' | dòò-ró | 'squatting' |
| kò̀- | kò̀ | 'break' | kò̀̀-ró | 'breaking' |
| n nò- | јว̀̀ | 'mate' | nı̀̀-ró | 'mating' |
| kàà- | kàà | 'look' | kàà-rá | 'looking' |
| ymàà- | ymàà | 'cut' | Đmàà-rá | 'cutting' |
| bìc̀- | bìk | 'give way' | bìz-rı́ | 'giving way’ |
| tì̀- | tì̀ | 'push' | tì̀̇-rı́ | 'pushing' |
| sì̀- | sì̀ | 'dance' | sì̀̀-r $\varepsilon$ | 'dancing' |
| wì̇- | wì̇ | 'open' | wìk̀-r | 'opening' |


| jùò- | jùò | 'open' | jùò-ró | 'opening' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tùò- | tùò | 'carry' | tùò-ró | 'carrying' |
| tùj- | tò̀̀ | 'draw' | tù̀̀-ró | 'drawing' |
| pùò- | pùò | 'perforate' | pùò-ró | 'perforating' |
| wùò- | wùò | 'collect' | wùò-ró | 'collecting' |
| tù̀- | tù̀ | 'draw' | tò̀̀-ró | 'drawing' |
| gùj- | gò̀ | 'stop' | gòj̀-ró | 'stopping' |
| wìr- | wìr- | 'turn (inside out)' | wìr-é | 'turning (inside out)' |
| tìr- | tìrı̀ | 'give' | tìr- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'giving' |
| fir- | firrì | 'force' | firr- $\varepsilon$ | 'forcing' |
| lìr- | lìrı̀ | 'bottom.up' | lìr- | 'bottoming. Up' |
| pìr- | pirrì | 'peel' | pìr- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'peeling' |
| pèr- | pèrì | 'carve' | pèr-غ́ | 'carving' |
| bèr- | bèrì | 'trap/poison' | bèr-غ́ | 'trapping/poisoning' |
| wèr- | wèrì | 'cut open' | wèr-દ́ | 'cutting open' |
| pùr- | pùrì | 'burst' | pùr-ó | 'bursting' |
| bùr- | bùrì | 'soak' | bùr-ó | 'soaking' |
| kùr- | kùrì | 'fabricate' | kùr-ó | 'fabricating' |
| gùr- | gùrì | 'fold' | gùr-ó | 'folding' |
| kùr- | kùrì | 'get.old' | kùr-ó | 'getting.old' |
| kòr- | kòrì | 'hug' | kòr-ó | 'hugging' |
| bòr- | bòrì | 'get.lost' | bòr-ó | 'getting.lost' |
| sòr- | sòrì | 'plead/beg' | sòr-ó | 'pleading/begging' |
| tòr- | tòrì | 'share' | tòr-ó | 'sharing' |
| nı̀r- | nòrı̀ | 'detest' |  | 'detesting' |
| pàr- | pàrì | 'by-pass' | pàr-á | 'by-passing' |
| kàr- | kàrì | 'chase/drive away' | kàr-á | 'chasing/driving away' |
| jàr- | jàrì | 'wear' | jàr-á | 'wearing' |
| bàr- | bàrì | 'leave/stop' | bàr-á | 'leaving/stopping' |
| màr- | màrì | 'set (e.g. fire)' | màr-á | 'setting (fire)' |
| sàr- | sàrì | 'slide/slip' | sàr-á | 'sliding/slipping' |
| pìl- | pilì | 'cover.up' | pìl-é | 'covering.up' |
| kpìl- | kpìlì | 'fade (e.g. clothes)' | kpìl-غ́ | 'fading (e.g. clothes)' |
| jèl- | jèlì | 'say' |  | 'saying' |
| sčl- | sčlì | 'transplant' | sc̀l-غ́ | 'transplanting' |
| zと̀l- | zèlì | 'lift' | z $\grave{1}-\dot{\varepsilon}$ | 'lifting' |
| wùl- | wùlì | 'show' | wùl-ó | 'showing' |
| mòl- | mùlì | 'be.wet' | mùl-ó | 'being.wet' |
| pòl- | pòlì | 'grow/mature' | pòl-ó | 'growing/maturing' |
| bàl- | bàlì | 'get.tired' | bàl-á | 'getting tired' |
| zìn- | zì | 'sit' | zìn- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'sitting' |
| sèn- | sèn | 'fornicate/adulterate' | sèn-غ́ | 'fornicating/adulterating' |
| Pìn- | Pìn | 'put.in/inside' | Pìn-n | 'putting.in/inside' |
| tùn- | tòn | 'work' | tùn-อ́ | 'working' |
| dờn- | dò̀ | 'bite' | dờn-ó | 'biting' |
| mòy- | mòn | 'stir' | mòn-ó | 'stirring' |
| kòn- | kòn | 'cry' | kòn-ó | 'crying' |
| wòn- | wòn | 'hear' | wòn-ó | 'hearing' |
| yòn- | yùn | 'burn' | yòn-nó | 'burning' |
| sùn- | sùn | 'help' | sùn-nó | 'helping' |


| Pว̀y- | アว̀ท | 'fetch' | Pòn-nó | 'fetching' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dày- | dàn | 'stir/disturb' | dàn-ná | 'stirring/disturbing' |
| lày- | lày | 'gather/meet' | làn-ná | 'gathering/meeting' |
| dig- | dìgì | 'chase' | dìg-ré | 'chasing' |
| tìg- | tìgì | 'trat' | tìg-r ¢́ | 'treating' |
| sı̀̀g- | sègì | 'dance' | sı̀g-r $\varepsilon$ ¢ | 'dancing' |
| tı̀g- | tègì | 'shoot' | t ¢ g-r ¢́ | 'shooting' |
| pùg- | pùgì | 'praise' | pùg-ró | 'praising' |
| dòg- | dògì | 'give birth' | dòg-ró | 'giving birth' |
| tò̀g- | tṑgì | 'stab/inject' | tò̀g-ró | 'stabbing/injecting' |
| sàg- | sàgì | 'accept/believe' | sàg-rá | 'accepting/believing' |
| tàg- | tàgì | 'pull' | tàg-rá | 'pulling' |
| vì̀r- | vì̀rì | 'turn.round' | vìì-é | 'turning round' |
| wì̀r- | wì̀rì | 'smoke (e.g. meat)' | wì̀r-é | 'smoking(e.g. meat)' |
| kpì̀r- | kpìirì | 'hem' | kpì̀r-é | 'hemming' |
| pì̀r- | pì̀rí | 'sweep' | pìì- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'sweeping' |
| sì̀r- | sì̀rì | 'be.tight' | sì̀r- | 'getting tight' |
| ¢1ı̇̀r- | ¢İ̀̀rı̇̀ | 'contribute' | ¢1̆̀̀r-غ́ | 'contributing' |
| kùùr- | kùùrì | 'dredge/dig/ | kùùr-ó | /dredging/digging' |
| tùùr- | tùùrì | 'pick' | tùùr-ó | 'picking' |
| nùùr- | nùòrì | 'dress' | nùv̀r-ó | 'dressing' |
| sùòr- | sùv̀rì | 'ask' | sùv̀r-̇́ | 'asking' |
| kùùr- | kùùrì | 'snore' | kùv̀r-ó | 'snoring' |
| kò̀̀r- | kù̀̀rı̀ | 'sell' | kùj̀r-̇́ | 'selling' |
| kò̀̀r- | kòj̀rı̀ | 'delay' | kò̀̀r-́ | 'delaying' |
| pùòr- | pùòrì | 'greet/pray' | pùòr-ó | 'greeting/praying' |
| nààr- | jnààrì | 'grind(roughly)' | nààr-á | 'grinding(roughly) |
| fààr- | fààrı̀ | 'massage' | fààr-á | 'massaging' |
| jààr- | jààrì | 'spill' | jààr-á | 'spilling' |
| mì̀l- | mì̀lì | 'wipe/smear' | mì̀l- $\varepsilon$ | 'wiping/smearing' |
| bìz̀l- | bìclì | 'accompany' | bìcl- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'accompanying' |
| ymìc̀l- | Đmìc̀lí | 'twist' | ŋmìc̀l-દ́ | 'twisting' |
| kùòl- | kùòlì | 'fertilize' | kùòl-ó | 'fertilizing' |
| bù̀l- | bùjlì | 'call' | bùj̀l-ó | 'calling' |
| mààl- | mààlì | 'make' | mààl-á | 'making' |
| sààl- | sààlì | 'sharpen' | sààl-á | 'sharpening' |
| kààl- | kààlì | 'gather' | kààl-á | 'gathering' |
| jààl- | jààlì | 'widen' | jààl-á | 'widening' |
| ymì̀n- | ymì̀nì | 'wrinkle' | ymì̀n- $\underbrace{\prime}$ | 'wrinkling' |
| ŋmừ̛̀n- | ŋmùùnì | 'hum' | ŋmù̀̀n- | 'humming' |
| vươn-ì | vùùnì | 'vibrate' | vòv̀n-j́ | 'vibrating' |
| gùùn- | gùùnì | 'stalk' | gùùn-ó | 'stalking' |
| wìnl- | wìnlì | 'roll' | wìnl-é | 'rolling' |
| bènl- | bènlì | 'deceive' | bènl-દ́ | 'deceiving' |
| ¢¢̌nl- | ¢ ¢̇̇nlì | 'listen' | ¢¢¢nl-غ́ | 'listening' |
| sìgr- | sìgrì | 'hiccough' | sìgr-é | 'hiccoughing' |
| lègr- | lègrì | 'turn/capsize' | lègr-غ́ | 'turning/capizing' |
| mògr- | mùgrì | 'suck' | mùgr-ó | 'sucking' |
| tògl- | tòglì | 'imitate' | tògl-'́ | 'imitating' |
| zàgr- | zàgrì | 'refuse' | zàgr-á | 'refusing' |


| jàgl- | jàglì | 'hang' | jàgl-á | 'hanging' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kpìn- | kpìnnì | 'quench' | kpìn-né | 'quenching' |
| dèn- | dènnì | 'straighten' | dèn-né | 'straightening' |
| lèn- | lènnì | 'taste' | lèn-né | 'tasting' |
| pèn- | pènnì | 'rest' | pèn-nદ́ | 'resting' |
| lòn- | lònnì | 'speed up' | lòn-nó | 'speeding up' |
| nùn- | nùnnì | 'smell' | jù̀n-nó | 'smelling' |
| tyòn- | toònnì | 'drip' | yòn-nó | 'dripping' |
| zàn- | zànnì | 'dream' | zàn-ná | 'dreaming' |


| Default H; imperfective HL ; n=74 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Perfective | Gloss | Imperfective | Gloss |
| jí- | jí | 'leave' | jí-rè | 'leaving' |
| lé- | lé | 'tie' | lé-rè | 'tying' |
| „ $\varepsilon$ - | né | 'see' | né-rè | 'seeing' |
| pó- | pó | 'share' | pó-rò | 'sharing' |
| kó- | kó | 'gather (clouds) | kó-rò | 'gathering (clouds)' |
| tá- | tá | 'reach' | tá-rà | 'reaching' |
| tí- | tí | 'hold' | tíírè | 'holding' |
| pí- | pí | 'select/choose' | píír-è | 'selecting |
| ví- | ví | 'demolish' | víí-rè | 'demolishing' |
| gbí- | gbí | 'kneel' | gbíí-rè | 'kneeling' |
| pí- | pí | 'fed.up' | píí-rè | 'getting fed.up' |
| tú- | tú | 'dig' | túú-rò | 'digging' |
| vú- | vú | 'crawl' | vúú-rò | 'crawling' |
| zú- | zú | 'steal' | zúú-rò | 'stealing' |
| jú- | jú | 'drink' | jứú-rò | 'drinking' |
| dú- | dú | 'swim' | dúú-rò | 'swimming' |
| tú- | tư | 'insult' | tưú-rò | 'insulting' |
| kú- | kú | 'kill' | kúú-rò | 'kiling' |
| və́- | vó | 'scorch' | váá-rò | 'scorching' |
| vá- | vá | 'hit' | váá-rà | 'hitting' |
| dá- | dá | 'blow (e.g. air)' | dáá-rà | 'blowing (e.g.air)' |
| zá- | zá | 'throw' | záá-rà | 'throwing' |
| já- | já | 'get mad' | jáá-rà | 'getting mad' |
| sá- | sá | 'administer (enema)' | sáá-rà | 'administering (enema)' |
| Pá- | Pá | 'hate' | Páá-rà | 'hating' |
| kpá- | kpá | 'boil' | kpáá-rà | 'boiling' |
| fá- | fá | 'rob' | fáá-rà | 'robbing' |
| p $\varepsilon^{-}$ | p $\varepsilon$ | 'harvest' | píć-rè | 'harvesting' |
| t $\varepsilon$ - | ṫ́ | 'spread' | tíćr-rı̀ | 'spreading' |
| s $\varepsilon^{-}$ | sع́ | 'roast' | síć-rè | 'roasting' |
| wé- | w | 'waste' | wíć-r | 'wasting' |
| dó- | dó | 'climb' | dúó-rò | 'climbing' |
| bó- | bó | 'find' | búó-rò | 'finding' |
| dó- | dó | 'weed' | dúó-rò | 'weeding' |
| jó- | jó | 'roam' | jứó-rò | 'roaming' |
| kó- | kó | 'farm' | kúó-rò | 'farming' |
| pó- | pó | 'curse' | púó-rò | 'cursing' |
| ¢0'- | 90' | 'hide' | yóó-rò | 'hiding' |


| só | só | ＇rub＇ | súó－rò | ＇rubbing＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wóó－ | wóó | ＇weave＇ | wóó－rò | ＇weaving＇ |
| móś－ | móó | ＇wrestle＇ | móś－rò | ＇wrestling＇ |
| lóó－ | lóó | ＇drop＇ | lóó－rò | ＇dropping＇ |
| jóó－ | jóó | ＇pay＇ | jóó－rò | ＇paying＇ |
| vír－ | virrí | ＇kick＇ | vír－غ̀ | ＇kicking＇ |
| kpér－ | kpérí | ＇slice／chop＇ | kpér－è | ＇slicing／chopping＇ |
| kpér－ | kpérí | ＇spill＇ | kpér－غ̇ | ＇spilling＇ |
| lér－ | lérí | ＇bluff＇ | lér－ | ＇bluffing＇ |
| vúr－ | vưrí | ＇pierce＇ | vứ－ò | ＇piercing＇ |
| gúr－ | gúrí | ＇pluck（feathers）＇ | gúr－う̀ | ＇plucking（feathers）＇ |
| Ømứr－ | ŋmứrí | ＇crush＇ | Ømúr－ò | ＇crushing＇ |
| sór－ | sórí | ＇count＇ | sór－̇ | ＇counting＇ |
| mór－ | mórí | ＇swell＇ | mór－ò | ＇swelling＇ |
| fár－ | fárí | ＇foam＇ | fár－à | ＇foaming＇ |
| nár－ | nárí | ＇plan／prepare＇ | nár－à | ＇planning／preparing＇ |
| vár－ | várí | ＇castrate＇ | vár－à | ＇castrating＇ |
| míl－ | mílí | ＇fence＇ | míl－غ̀ | ＇fencing＇ |
| wíl－ | wílí | ＇dismantle＇ | wíl－ | ＇dismantling＇ |
| dzíl－ | dsílí | ＇surround＇ | ḑíl－è | ＇surrounding＇ |
| búl－ | búlí | ＇germinate＇ | búl－ò | ＇germinating＇ |
| júl－ | júlí | ＇leak＇ | júl－ò | ＇leaking＇ |
| vól－ | vólí | ＇swallow＇ | vól－̇̀ | ＇swallowing＇ |
| zól－ | zólí | ＇be．foolish＇ | zól－̇̀ | ＇being．foolish＇ |
| píy－ | pín | ＇shake＇ | pín－è | ＇shaking＇ |
| gón－ | gón | ＇make．noise＇ | gón－ò | ＇making noise＇ |
| nóy－ | nóy | ＇massage＇ | nón－う̀ | ＇massaging＇ |
| síg－ | sígí | ＇come down＇ | síg－rè | ＇coming down＇ |
| sćg－ | ségí | ＇write＇ | sćg－r ¢̀ | ＇writing＇ |
| gbúg－ | gbúgí | ＇regurgitate＇ | gbúg－rò | ＇regurgitating＇ |
| dưg－ | dưgí | ＇boil／cook＇ | dứg－rò | ＇boiling／cooking＇ |


| Default HL；imperfective HLH（＝H！H）n＝69 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dî－ | dî | ＇take＇ | dÍ－！ r ¢ | ＇taking＇ |
| fáà－ | fáà | ＇seize／resolve＇ | fáá－！rá | ＇seizing／resolving＇ |
| dáà－ | dáà | ＇push＇ | dáá－！ $\mathrm{rá}$ | ＇pushing＇ |
| táà－ | táà | ＇have＇ | táá－！ $\mathrm{rá}$ | ＇having＇ |
| díc̀－ | díċ | ＇receive＇ | díć－！ r ¢ | ＇receiving＇ |
| ¢1゙¢̀－ | ¢10． | ＇tear＇ | ¢İ́̇－！ r ¢́ | ＇tearing＇ |
| vúj̀－ | vúj̀ | ＇uproot＇ | vưó－！ró | ＇uprooting＇ |
| púj̀ | púo | ＇add＇ | púó－！ró | ＇adding＇ |
| Pîr－ | Pírì | ＇remove＇ | Pír－！é | ＇removing＇ |
| gbîr－ | gbírì | ＇sleep＇ | gbír－！é | ＇sleeping＇ |
| bîr－ | bírì | ＇stammer＇ | bír－！é | ＇stammering＇ |
| ¢ I I － | yİrì | ＇sneeze＇ | tIIr－！${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ＇sneezing＇ |
| sêr－ | sćrì | ＇shift＇ | sćr－！${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ＇shifting＇ |
| Pêr－ | Pćrì | ＇grind＇ | Pćr－！！́ | ＇grinding＇ |
| Pêr－ | Pćrì | ＇grind＇ | Pćr－！$\chi^{\prime}$ | ＇grinding＇ |
| pûr－ | púrì | ＇pour＇ | púr－！＇ | ＇pouring＇ |
| lôr－ | lórì | ＇untie＇ | lór－！ó | ＇untying＇ |


| pôr- | pórì | 'pluck' | pór-! ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 'plucking' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kôr- | kórì | 'cough' | kór-! | 'coughing' |
| Pôr- | Pórì | 'get rotten' | Pór-! ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 'getting rotten' |
| ymâr- | Đmárì | 'break/crush' | ymár-!á | 'breaking/crushing' |
| kpêl- | kpélì | 'pluck' | kpél-!と́ | 'plucking (fruits)' |
| „ $\hat{\text { chl- }}$ | nélì | 'melt' | n $\mathfrak{c} 1-!$ ¢́ | 'melting' |
| wêl- | wélì | 'split' | wél-! ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'splitting' |
| tûl- | tưlì | 'be.hot' | tưl-! ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'being.hot' |
| fâl- | fálì | 'slap' | fál-!á | 'slapping' |
| vên- | vع̂ท | 'allow' | vén-! ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'allowing' |
| gây- | gâg | 'lie down' | gán-!á | 'lying down' |
| sîn- | sîn | 'wake' | sín-!né | 'waking' |
| pêy- | $\mathrm{p} \hat{\varepsilon} \mathrm{y}$ | 'borrow/lend' | pén-!né | 'borrowing/lending' |
| zêy- | zên | 'shake' | zén-!nć | 'shaking' |
| sây- | sân | 'heal/trat/ | sán-!ná | 'heaking/treating' |
| $1 \hat{\varepsilon} \mathrm{~g}$ - | légì | 'break/obstruct' | lég-! r ¢́ | 'breaking/obstructing' |
| pêg- | pégì | 'wash' | p $\dot{\prime} \mathrm{g}-$ !r $\varepsilon$ | 'washing' |
| wêg- | wégì | 'split/hatch' | wég-! r ¢ | 'splitting/hatching' |
| píir- | píirì | 'treat (e.g. bruises)' | píir-!é | 'treating(bruises)' |
| tiòr- | tiórì | 'deny' | yíír-!é | 'denying' |
| tíc̀r- | tíćrì | 'think' | t'ére-! | 'thinking' |
| Píz̀r- | Píćrì | 'wipe out' | Píźr-! ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'wiping out' |
| wíc̀r- | wíćrì | 'search' | wíćr-! ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'searching' |
| yừ̀r- | tướrì | 'hiss' | tươr-! ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 'hissing' |
| tưòr- | tướrì | 'spit' | tươr-!' | 'spitting' |
| yúùr- | tyúúrì | 'doze' | tyúur-! ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 'dozing' |
| dúòr- | dúórì | 'urinate' | dúór-!ó | 'urinating' |
| fúòr- | fúórì | 'sip' | fúó!r-ó | 'sipping' |
| gว̇ว̀r- | gə́ว́rì | 'belch' | gə̇ว́r-! ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 'belching' |
| háว̀r- | háórì | 'yawn' | hóór-!'́ | 'yawning' |
| báàr- | báárì | 'finish' | báár-!á | 'finishing' |
| háàr- | háárì | 'shoo' | háár-!á | 'shooing' |
| váàr- | váárì | 'rinse' | váár-!á | 'rinsing' |
| píll- | pílì | 'start' | pííl-!é | 'starting' |
| yî̀l- | yİ́lì | 'slice' | 9İ́ll-! ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'slicing' |
| jíèl- | jíélì | 'sing' | jíćl-!é | 'singing' |
| fúòl- | fúólì | 'whistle' | fúól-!ó | 'whistling' |
| túj̀l- | tứlì | 'send' | túól-!'́ | 'sending' |
| zừl- | zúólì | 'wither' | zúól-! | 'withering' |
| jî̂gr- | nígrì | 'blink' | jígr-!é | 'blinking' |
| lîgr- | lígrì | 'tickle' | lı́gr-! $\varepsilon$ ¢ | 'tickling' |
| hêgr- | hégrì | 'pant' | hégr-! $\varepsilon$ | 'panting' |
| sôgl- | sóglì | 'hide' | sógl-!' | 'hiding' |
| jôgl- | jóglì | 'babysit' | jógl-!' | 'babysitting' |
| dôgl- | dứglì | 'boil' | dưgl-! ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 'boiling' |
| zânl- | zánlì | 'swing' | zánl-!á | 'swinging' |
| jûn- | júnnì | 'evaporate' | jún-!nó | 'evaporating' |
| zôn- | zónnì | 'hesitate' | zón-!nó | 'hesitating' |
| mân- | mánnì | 'measure' | mán-!ná | 'measuring' |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix B: Nominal system of Dagaare

## Sub-Appendix B. 1: Nominal morphology (noun classes)

The list of nouns from my data is given for each noun class.

## Noun classes

## Class 1: singular: V; plural bV: (Human \& kinship class): $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 9}$

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dı̀̀- | dóś | dó-bó | 'man, | dòj̀-fáá 'bad man' |
| sìr- | sír- | sír-bá | 'husband' | sìr-sǔy 'good husband' |
| nín- | ní- ¢ | nó-bà | 'person' | níy-!fáá 'bad person' |
| sèn- | sén-í | sén-bá | 'fiancé/fiancée | sèn-fáá 'bad fiance/fiancee' |
| pòg- | póg-ó | póg-bá | 'woman' | pìg-vìláá 'nice woman' |
| kúàr- | kưór-!áá | kưór-!bá | 'farmer' | kúò r-fáá 'bad farmer' |
| sáán- | sáán-à | sáám-!bá | 'stranger' | sáán-!fáá 'bad stranger' |
| nèntà- | nèntá-á | nèntáá-bá | 'co-wife' | nèntà-fáá 'bad co-wife' |
| nànìg- | nànìg-é | nànìg-bé | 'thief' | nàyìg-fáá 'bad thief' |
| nínsààl- | nínsààl-áá | nínsààl-bá | 'human' | nínsààl-fáá 'bad human' |

Class 1B: singular: V, -ba, zero; plural: -mine (kinship class): $n=9$

| singular: V; plural: -mine: $n=5$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| bíc̀r- | bíér-ì | bíèr-mìné | 'brother' | bíèr-fáá 'bad brother' |
| kpì̀m- | kpíćm-á | kpièm-mìné | 'elder sister' | kpì̀m-fáá 'bad sister' |
| dièm- | diè̀m-á | dièm-mìné | 'in-law' | dièm-fáá 'bad in-law' |
| pùr- | pùr-óó | pùr-mìné | 'aunt' | pùr-fáá 'bad aunt' |
| dàt ${ }_{\text {İ- }}$ |  | dàtî-mìné | 'bro/sis in-law | datyīi-fáá 'bad bro/sis in-law' |


| ii. singular: -ba; plural: mine: $n=1$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| árí- | árí-! bá | árí-mìné | 'uncle' | árí! !fáá 'bad uncle' |
| iii. singular: zero; plural: -mine: $n=3$ |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| mà- | má | má-mìné | 'mother' | mà-fáá 'bad mother' |
| bá- | bâ | bá-mìné | 'father' | bá-!fáá 'bad father' |
| jóso- | jóò | jós-mìné | 'sibling' | jós-! $f$ áá 'bad sibling’ |

Class 2：singular： $\mathrm{V}_{\{-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{e} /-\varepsilon,-\mathrm{c} /-\mathrm{o},-\mathrm{u} /-\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{a}\}} ;$ plural： $\mathrm{CV}_{\{-\mathrm{ri} /-\mathrm{ri} ; \mathrm{ni} /-\mathrm{m}\}} ; \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{8 4}$（Complete set）

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i．Singular：－i／－I；plural：－ri／－rı：$n=8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| vǐg－ | vǐg－ì | vǐg－rì | ＇owl＇ | vǐg－bílé＇little owl＇ |  |
| sìg－ | síg－Í | síg－rí | ＇hut＇ | sìg－bílé＇small hut＇ |  |
| bìg－ | bìg－í | bìg－rí | ＇law＇ | bìg－fáá＇bad law＇ |  |
| pèg－ | pég－í | pég－rí | ＇shell＇ | pèg－bílé＇small shell＇ |  |
| Pと́g－ | Pźg－ì | Pég－rì | ＇crocodile＇ | Pźg－！bílé＇small crocodile＇ |  |
| dưg－ | dúg－ì | dúg－rì | ＇pot＇ | dưg－！bílé＇small pot＇ |  |
| bòg－ | bòg－í | bòg－rí | ＇hole＇ | bòg－bílé＇small hole＇ |  |
| nùbòg－ | jừbòg－Í | nùbòg－rí | ＇nose＇ | jù̀bòg－bílé＇small nose＇ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ii．Singular：－e／－c；plural：－ri／－rı：$n=13$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| gbè－ | gbì－é | gbè－rí | ＇forehead＇ | gbè－bílé＇small forehead＇ |  |
| dè－ | dì－é | dè－rí | ＇house／room＇ | dè－bílé＇small house／room＇ |  |
| pغ̀－ | pì－$\dot{\varepsilon}$ | pè－rí | ＇basket＇ | pè－bílé＇small basket＇ |  |
| wè－ | wì－ | wè－rí | ＇farm＇ | wè－bílé＇small farm＇ |  |
| bì－ | bí－é | biílír | ＇child＇ | bì－fáá＇bad child＇ |  |
| zì－ | zí－é | zíí－rí | ＇place＇ | zì－fáá＇bad place＇ |  |
| pì－ | pì－é | pììrí | ＇rock＇ | pì－bílé＇small rock＇ |  |
| pì | pí－ | píí－rí | ＇roof＇ | pì－bílé＇small roof＇ |  |
| sì－ | Sİ－̇́ | sí̇－rí | ＇waist＇ | sì－fáá bad waist＇ |  |
| SÍ | SÍ－$\grave{\varepsilon}$ | síír－ì | ＇soul＇ | sí－！fáá＇bad soul＇ |  |
| ¢ $\mathrm{IV}^{-}$ |  | すİíliri | ＇squirrel＇ | ¢1̌1－！fáá＇bad squirrel＇ |  |
| zìg－ | zíg－غ́ | zíg－rí | ＇back＇ | zìg－bílé＇small back＇ |  |
| dàt ${ }_{\text {İ }}$ |  | dàtî̀̀－rí | ＇parrot＇ | dàtî̀－bílé＇small parrot＇ |  |
| iii．Singular：－o／－つ；plural：－ri／－rı：$n=18$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| tò－ | tù－Ó | tò－rí | ＇baobab tree＇ | tò－bílé＇small baobab tree＇ |  |
| dò－ | dù－ó | dò－rí | ＇warthog＇ | dò－bílé＇small warthog＇ |  |
| jù－ | jù̀－ó | jùù－rí | ＇navel＇ | jù－bílé＇small navel＇ |  |
| sù－ | sù－ó | sùù－rí | ＇knife＇ | sù－bílé＇small knife＇ |  |
| dò | dò－う́ | dò－rí | ＇dawadawa＇ | dò－bírì＇dawadawa seed’ |  |
| gǒ－ | gǔ－̇̀ | gòv́－rì | ＇thorn＇ | gǒ－bílé＇small thorn＇ |  |
| pù－ | pú－ó | púÚ－rí | ＇stomach＇ | pù－bílé＇small stomach＇ |  |
| wù－ | wư－ó | wưv̛－rí | ＇bag＇ | wù－bílé＇small bag＇ |  |
| bù－ | bư－j́ | bứ̛－rí | ＇goat＇ | bù－bílé＇small goat＇ |  |
| nó－ | nú－̇̀ | núv́－rì | ＇chicken／fowl＇ | nó－！bílé＇small chicken／fowl＇ |  |
| mò－ | mư－ó | múv́－rí | ＇grass＇ | mò－fáá＇bad grass＇ |  |
| kóg－ | kóg－ò | kóg－rì | ＇mahogany＇ | kóg－w gí＇tall mahogany＇ |  |
| kòg－ | kóg－ó | kóg－rí | ＇chair＇ | kòg－bílé＇small chair＇ |  |
| bùg－ | bùg－ó | bùg－rí | ＇silo＇ | bùg－bílé＇small silo＇ |  |
| bìríjù－ | bìríjù－ó | bìríjùù－rí | ＇duck＇ | bìríjù－bílé＇small duck＇ |  |
| pàsòg－ | pasóg－ó | pàsóg－rí | ＇back＇ | pàsòg－bílé＇small back＇ |  |
| pòsòg－ | pòsóg－ó | pòsóg－rí | ＇back＇ | pòsòg－bílé＇small back＇ |  |


| jěntò- | jěntư-ó | jěntúư-rí | 'gall bladder' | jěntò-bílé 'small gall bladder' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nàt'ótfòg- | nàtfótfòg-ó |  | 'ankle' | nàtfótfòg-bílé 'small ankle' |
| iv. singular: -u/-v; plural: -ri/-rı: $n=5$ |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| jù- | jú-ú | núú-rí | 'neck' | jù-bílé 'small neck' |
| wà- | wà-ú | wàà-rí | 'yam' | wà-bílé 'small yam' |
| sà- | sá-Ú | sá-rí | 'kind of food' | sà-fáá 'bad food' |
| dà- | dà-Ú | dàà-rí | 'stick' | dà-bílé 'small stick' |
| zùg- | zúg-ú | zúg-rí | 'python' | zùg-bílé 'small python' |
| v. singular: a; plural: -ri/-rr: $n=16$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| já- | ná-à | jáá-rì | 'chest' | ná-!bílé 'small chest' |
| bà- | bà-á | bà-rí | 'river' | bà-bílé 'small river' |
| bà- | bá-á | báá-rí | 'dog' | bà-bílé 'small dog (puppy)' |
| dà- | dà-á | dà-rí | 'market' | dà-bílé 'small market' |
| kàtá- | kàtá-à | kàtáá-rì | 'cobra' | kàtá-!bílé 'small cobra' |
| bàg- | bág-á | bág-rí | 'shoulder' | bàg-bílé 'small shoulder' |
| dág- | dág-à | dág-rì | 'box' | dág-!bílé 'small box' |
| zàg- | zàg-á | zàg-rí | 'courtyard' | zàg-bílé 'small courtyard' |
| gbàg- | gbág-á | gbág-rí | 'basket' | gbàg-bílé 'small basket' |
| gbàg- | gbàg-à | gbàg-rì | 'lizard' | gbàg-bílé 'small lizard' |
| dàbág- | dàbág-à | dàbág-rì | 'teak' | dàbág-! ${ }^{\text {ílé }}$ |
| sínsàg- | sínság-á | sínság-rí | 'rattle' | sínsàg-bílé 'small rattle' |
| kálínkà- | kálínká-à | kálínkáá-rì | 'wasp' | kálínkà-bílé 'small wasp' |
| gàlíngà- | gàlíngá-à | gàlíngáá-rì | 'crow' | gàlíngà-bílé 'small crow' |
| dàbúósà- | dàbúósá-á | dòbúósáá-rí | 'dragonfly’ | dàbúósà-bílé 'small dragonfly' |
| gǒygòmbág- | gǒygòmbág-á | gǒygòmbág-rí | 'praying mantis' | gǒygòmbág-bílé ‘small mantis' |

Class 2B: singular: $V_{\{-e l-\varepsilon,-o l-o,-a\} ;}$ plural: -ni/-nI

| singular: -e/-¢; plural: -nı: $n=6$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| Pìg- | Pín-غ́ | Pín-ní | 'body' | ìm-fáá 'bad body' |
| tìn- | tíg- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | tín-ní | 'town/ground' | tìm-bílé 'small town/ground' |
| jèn- | jèn-é | jèn-ní | outside' | jèm-fáá 'bad outside' |
| lìy- | líg-é | lín-ní | 'lid (of bowl)' | lìm-bílé 'small lid' |
| zòmìy- | zòmìn-દ | zòmìn-ní | 'friend' | zòmìm-fáá 'bad friend' |
| gbèlìy- | gbèlìy-é | gbèlìn-ní | 'bell' | gbèlìm-bílé 'small bell' |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ii. singular: -o/-o; plural: -ni: $n=12$ |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| dùn- | dúy-ó | dún-ní | 'animal' | dùm-fáá 'bad animal' |
| lǒn- | lǒn-ò | lǒn-nì | 'hour.drum' | lǒm-bílé 'small hourglass drum' |
| gòn- | gòn-ó | gòn-ní | 'silk cotton' | gòm-bílé 'small silk cotton' |
| dò̀- | dờy-́ | dớn-ní | 'mosquito' | dòm-bílé 'small mosquito' |
| bùy- | bùy-ó | bòn-ní | 'donkey' | bòm-bílé 'small donkey' |
| Pı̀y- | アว̀ŋ-ó | Pòn-ní | 'mouse' | Pòm-bílé 'small mouse' |


| fón- | fón-̇े | fón-nì | 'section/suburb' | fóm-!bílé ‘small suburb/section' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lòn- | lón-ó | lón-ní | 'frog' | lòm-bílé 'small frog' |  |
| sòn- | són-ó | són-ní | 'basket (a kind) | sòm-bílé 'small basket' |  |
| kj̀rì̀- | kòrì̀- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | kòrı̀n-ní | 'partridge' | kòrìm-bílé 'small patridge' |  |
| bùrùn- | bùrùy-ó | bùrùn-ní | 'zebra' | bùrìm-bílé 'small zebra' |  |
| nàpúlòn- | nàpúlòj-ó | nàpúlòn-ní | 'calf' | nàpúlòm-bílé 'small calf' |  |
| iii. singular: -a; plural: $-n$ I: $n=5$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| bàn- | bàn-á | bàn-ní | 'lizard' | bàn-dáá 'male lizard' |  |
| tày- | tán-á | tán-ní | 'mountain' | tàm-bílé 'small mountain' |  |
| gàn- | gàn-á | gàn-ní | 'kraal' | gàn-kpêy 'big kraal' |  |
| này- | nán-á | nán-ní | 'scorpion' | nàm-bílé 'small scorpion' |  |
| bàn- | báy-á | bán-ní | 'bracelet' | bàm-bílé 'small bracelet' |  |

Class 3: singular: -I/-II; plural: V: $n=47$

| i. singular: -i/-ı; plural: $-e /$ - $: ~ n=18$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| bir- | bir-İ | bì- | 'breast' | bir-bílé 'small breast' |
| Píll- | Pîill-!í | Pílil- | 'horn' | inl-bíle 'small horn' |
| duîll- | djîll-íl | djîll-è | 'vein' | djiíl-bílé 'small vein' |
| dećl- | duél-!íí | đろદ́l-દ̀ | 'egg' | dućl-!fáá 'bad egg' |
| jèl- | jél-í | jı́l-غ́ | 'issue' | jèl-bílé 'smal issue' |
| sièn- | síén-íl | síćm-غ́ | porcupine' | sièm-bílé 'small porcupine' |
| tièn- | tì̀n-í | tièm- | 'beard' | tì̀̀m-fáá 'bad beard' |
| pèn- | pèn-Í | pèm-غ́ | 'abdomen' | pèm-bílé 'small abdomen' |
| nén- | nén-ì | ném-غ̇ | 'meat' | ném-!bílé 'small meat' |
| kpèr- | kpér-íl | kpér-éé | 'gizzard' | kpèr-bílé 'small gizzard' |
| fèr- | fér-íí | fér-éé | 'air potato' | fèr-bílé 'small air potato' |
| kı̀r- | kı̀r-í | kò-غ́ | 'pocket' | kìr-bílé |
| gmín- | nmín-ì | ŋmím- | 'deity' | ymím- !fáá 'bad deity' |
| nàfìr- | nàfír-ÍI | nàfir-ćé | 'hoof' | nàfir-bílé 'small hoof' |
| zùpì- | zúpíl-í | zúpíl-é | 'hat/cap' | zùpil-bílé 'small hat/cap' |
| díndigl- | díndígl-í | díndigl-é | 'elec | díndigl-bílé 'small eel' |
| mùntè̀l- | mùntél-1́ | mùntfél-é | 'buttock' | mùntèl-bílé |
| zámákpíkpìr- | zámákpíkpìr-í | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zámákpíkpìr } \\ & \text {-é } \end{aligned}$ | 'black bat' | zámákpíkpìr-bílé 'small black bat' |


| ii. singular: -i/-I; plural: $-e /-\varepsilon$ : $n=15$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| mùn- | mún-í | mún-ó | 'bottom' | mùm-bílé 'bottom' |
| pòl- | pòl-í | pòl-ó | 'path' | pìl-bílé 'small path' |
| djùgl- | djùgl-ì | duùgl-ò | 'vulture' | ḑùgl-bílé 'small vulture' |
| jùòn- | jùò-í | jưòm-ó | 'year' | jưòn-fáá 'bad year' |
| dòól- | dòól-İí | dờló-o | 'mudfish' | dòól-bílé 'small mudfish' |
| bùtùl- | bùfùl-í | bùfùl-ó | 'leech' | bùfùl-jágà 'many leeches' |
| tfókòl- | fókòl-í | tókòl-ó | 'spoon' | tókòl-bílé 'small spoon' |


| dàpùùr- | dòpúúr-1́ | dòpúúr-ó | 'flower' | dòpùùr-vìcláá 'beautiful flower' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dùnkùn- | dùgkùn-í | dùnkùm-ó | 'knee' | dùnkùm-bílé 'small knee' |  |
| dàngòl- | dàngól-í | dàngól-ó | 'cudgel' | dàngòl-bílé 'small cudgel' |  |
| nòmúòl- | nàmùól-1í | nə̀mùól-ò | 'yolk' | nòmúòl-bílé 'small yolk' |  |
| dàndùl- | dàndúl-1́ | dàndúl-ó | 'maggot' | dàndùl-jágà 'many maggots' |  |
| sòlùòn- | sàlùòn-1́ | sòlùòm-ó | 'sky' | sàlùòn-vì̀láá 'beautiful sky' |  |
| gàlìngúòl- | gàlì̀ggùól-íí | gàlìngùól-ò | 'milipede' | gàlìngúòl-bílé 'small milipede' |  |
| dầà̀gòjn- | dã̀ằgù̀̀n-Í | dã̀à̀gùj̀m-̇́ | 'wall' | dầằgò̀m-bílé 'small wall' |  |


| iii. singular: -i/-r; plural: $a$ : $n=14$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| pàn- | pán-Í | pám-á | 'door' | pàm-bílé 'small door' |  |
| màn- | màn-Í | màm-á | 'sea/ocean' | màm-bílé 'small sea' |  |
| gbán- | gbán-İ | gbám-à | 'skin/leather' | gbám-bílé 'small leather' |  |
| ymàn- | ymán-Í | ymám-á | 'calabash' | ymàm-bílé 'small calabash' |  |
| ymǎn- | ymǎn-íl | ymǎm-à | 'dove' | ymǎm-bílé 'small dove' |  |
| ŋmá- | ymá!n-İÍ | ymám-à | 'fruit' | ymám-!bílé 'small fruit' |  |
| vààl- | váál-Í | váál-á | 'leaf' | vààl-zìć 'red leaf' |  |
| kpàgl- | kpágl-Í | kpágl-á | 'bedbug' | kpàgl-bílé 'small bedbug' |  |
| gbángbàl- | gbángbál-í | gbángbál-á | 'compound' | gbángbàl-kpêy 'big compound' |  |
| kpànkpàn- | kpànkpàn-í | kpànkpàm-á | 'arm' | kpànkpàm-bílé 'small arm' |  |
| kámáán- | kámáá!n-Í | kàmáán-à | 'maize' | kámáán-nùóy 'sweet maize' |  |
| sćmáán- | sćmáá!n-Í | sćmáán-à | 'pepper' | sćmáán-jágà 'plenty pepper' |  |
| dànkpàl- | dànkpàl-Í | dànkpàl-á | 'walk.stick' | dànkpàl-bílé 'small walk stick' |  |
| làmbààl- | làmbààl-Í | làmbààl-á | 'tribal mark' | làmbààl-bílé 'small tribal mark |  |

Class 4: singular: -bu/-bv; plural: -ri/-ri: n=5

| Root | singular | plural | gloss | evidence for root choice |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wá- | wáá-!bú | wít-rì | 'snake' | wá-!bílé 'small snake' |
| vá- | váá-!bú | váá-rì | 'leaf' | vá-!bílé 'small leaf' |
| gǒ- | gùó-bú | gùó-rì | 'kola' | gǒ-bílé 'small kola' |
| gómátì- | gómátìì-bú | gómátììrí | 'chameleon' | gómátì-bílé 'small chameleon' |
| kpòykpò- | kpòykpó-bú | kpòykpó-rí | 'cassava' | kpòykpò-fáá 'bad cassava' |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Class 5: singular: $\varnothing$; plural: $-\mathrm{CV}_{\{-\mathrm{ri} /-\mathrm{ri},-\mathrm{ni} /-\mathrm{mi}\}} \mathbf{n}=15$ (count nouns)

| Singular: $\emptyset$; plural: -ri/-ri: $n=12$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| làà- | láá | láá-rí | 'bowl' | làà-bílé 'small bowl' |  |
| zû- | zû | zú-rì | 'head' | zú-!bílé 'small head' |  |
| wós์- | wóว̀ | wóś-rì | 'elephant' | wóś-!bílé 'small elephant' |  |
| jós- | jóว̀ | jóó-rı̀ | 'sibling' | jóó-!bílé 'young sibling' |  |
| dàjùù- | dàjúú | dàjúú-rí | 'rat' | dàjùù-bílé 'small rat' |  |
| tùù- | túú | túú-rí | 'eagle' | tùù-bílé 'small eagle' |  |
| tùù- | túú | túú-rí | 'forest' | tùù-fáá 'bad forest' |  |
| tyúú- | túù | tfúú-rì | 'month/moon | ¢fúu-gbùlí 'full moon/month' |  |


| làntà- | làntá | làntá-rí | 'tree squirrel' | làntà-bílé 'small tree squirrel' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gálíngà- | gálíngá | gálíngá-rí | 'garden' | gálíngà-bílé 'small garden' |  |
| màrífà- | màrífà | màrífà-rí | 'gun' | màrífà-bílé 'small gun' |  |
| tílèntò- | tí!léntó | tí!léntó-rí | 'hornbill' | tílèntò-bílé 'small hornbill' |  |
| ii. Singular: $\emptyset$; plural: -ni/-ni: $n=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| kòlùn- | kòlớy | kj̀lun-ní | 'well' | kòlòn-zùlúy 'deep well' |  |
| tònzûg- | tònzûy | tònzún-nì | 'headpad' | tònzúm-bílé 'small headpad' |  |
| kòríncuòn- | kòrín!djón | kòrin!đ̧ón-ní | 'harp' | kòríndjòm-bílé 'small harp' |  |

Class 6: singular: -ri/rı; plural: V, bV: $\mathrm{N}=67$ (count nouns)

| singular: -ri/-rı; plural: -e/-e: $n=53$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| jí- | jí-rì | jí-è | 'house/home' | jí-!bílé 'small house/home' |
| mí- | mírì | mí-è | 'rope' | mí-! ! máá 'short rope' |
| pì- | pì̀-rí | pì-é | 'rock' | pì-bílé 'small rock' |
| zè- | zì̀̇-rí | zè- ¢́ | 'soup' | zè-nòón 'tasty soup' |
| jè- | jì̀--1'́ |  | 'jaw' | jè-bílé 'small jaw' |
| né- | níć-rì | nદ́-غ̀ | 'grinder' | nć-! $\mathrm{bíl}^{\text {é }}$ |
| gbé- | gbé-rì | gbé- $-\dot{\varepsilon}$ | 'leg' | gbé-! bílé 'small leg' |
| zù- | zưó-rí | zư- | 'tail' | zù-ymáá 'short tail' |
| kù- | kùù-rí | kù-é | 'hoe' | kù-bílé 'small hoe' |
| jó- | júó-rì | jó-è | 'name' | jó-!fáá 'bad name' |
| pó- | púó-rì | pó-è | 'stomach' | pó-!bílé 'stomach' |
| pò- | pùò-rí | pò-é | 'back' | pò-bílé 'small back' |
| kò- | kùò-rí | kò-é | 'funeral' | kò-kpêy 'big funeral' |
| nó- | nóó-rì | nó- | 'mouth' | nó-! fáá 'bad mouth' |
| kj́- | kúś-rì | kó-غ̀ | 'gourd' | kó-!bíle 'small gourd' |
| tò- | tơó-rí | tó- $\varepsilon$ | 'mortar' | tò-bílé 'small mortar' |
| vò- | vớ-r-rí | vó- $\varepsilon$ ¢ | 'hole/opening' | vò-bílé 'small opening' |
| pá- | páá-rì | p $\varepsilon$-̇̇̀ (pá-̇̀) | 'genital' | 'pá-!bíle' small genital' |
| sá- | sáá-rì | s ¢́-̇̀ ( s á-̇̇) | 'broom' | sá-!bílé 'small broom' |
| dá- | dá-rì | dé- ¢ ( $\mathrm{dá}-\dot{\varepsilon}$ ) | 'ladder' | dá-!bílé 'small ladder' |
| só- | só-rì | só-è | 'path' | só-!bílé 'small path' |
| síbí- | síbí-rì | síbí-è | 'bee' | síbí-jágà 'many bees' |
| zǐbí- | zǐbí-rì | zı̌bí-è | 'millet' | zǐbí-jágà 'plenty millet' |
| lìílí | lìbírì | lìbí-è | 'money' | lìbí-!bílé 'small money' |
| zı̌ntì- | zǐntí-rí | zǐntí-̇́ | 'tongue' | zǐntì-bílé 'small tongue' |
| fíntì | fíntí-rí | fintí- | 'ring' | fíntì-bílé 'small ring' |
| pòntí- | pòntí-rì | pòntí-غ̀ | 'toad' | pòntíl-!bílé 'small toad' |
| kóntì- | kón!tír-rí | kón!tílé | 'cheek' | kóntì-bílé 'small cheek' |
| nímbí- | nímbírì | nímbí-è | 'eye' | nímbí-!bílé 'small eye' |
| kòkó- | kòkó-rì | kj̀kó-̇̇ | 'throat' | kòkó-!'bílé 'small throat' |
| lòmbò | lòmbó-rí | lòmbó-é | 'side' | lòmbò-bílé 'small side' |
| mùmbí- | mùmbí-rì | mùmbí-e | 'anus' | mùmbí-!bíle 'small anus' |
| làmbí- | làmbí-rì | làmbí-è | 'testicle' | làmbí-!bílé 'small testicle' |
| núbì- | núbì-rí | núbì-é | 'finger' | núbì-bílé 'small finger' |
| síkpèg- | síkpég-rí | síkpég-é | 'hip' | Síkpè̀-bile 'small hip' |


| gbébì- | gbébì-rí | gbébì-é | 'toe' | gbébì-bílé 'small toe' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zúk'́ | zúkújo-rì | zúkó-̇̇ | 'skull' | zúkó-!bílé |
| kómbì- | kómbí-rí | kómbí-è | 'tomato' | kómbì-bílé 'small tomato' |
| súmí- | súmí-rì | 'thread' | súmí-è | súmí-! !naáá 'short thread' |
| tig- | tíg-rí | tíg-é | 'feast' | tig-kpêy 'big feast' |
| nébì- | nébì-rì | nébí-è | 'grind stone' | nébì-bílé 'small grind stone' |
| tàmpó- | tàmpúó-rì | tàmpó-è | 'garb. dump' | tàmpúó-bílé small garb.dump’ |
| tèmbí- | tèmbírì | tèmbí-è | 'brick' | tèmbí-!bílé 'small brick' |
| kpàtì- | kpàtì-rí | kpàtì- ¢ | 'chimpanzee' | kpàtì-bílé 'small chimpanzee' |
| sàsí- | sàsí-rì | sàsí-̇̇ | 'grasscutter' | sàsí-bílé 'small grasscutter' |
| kàká- | kàká-rì | kàk ¢́- ( $\mathrm{kàká-} \mathrm{\varepsilon ̇)}$ | 'stalk (maize) | kàká-! $\mathrm{ymáa}$ 'short stalk' |
| nòní- | nòní- !rí | nòní-è | 'potato' | nòní-! ${ }^{\text {bílé }}$ 'small potato' |
| gbàtà- | gbàtá-rí | gbàté-̇́ (gbàtá-દ́) | 'pond' | gbàtà-bílé 'small pond' |
| sàkpálímbì- | sàkpálímbí-rí | sàkpálímbí-é | 'kidney' | sàkpálímbì-bílé 'small kidney' |


| singular: -ri/ri; plural: -o/-o: $n=2$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for stem choice |
| lùg- | lúg-rí | lúg-ó | 'pillar' | lùg-bílé 'small pillar' |
| bàlùg- | bàlùg-rí | bàlùg-ó | 'armpit' | bàlùg-núú 'smelly armpit' |
|  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for stem choice |
| bàg- | bàg-rí | bàg-á | 'shrine' | bàg-fáá 'bad shrine' |
| nàg- | nág-rí | nág-á | 'root' | nàg-bílé 'small root' |
| síkpág- | síkpág-!rí | síkpág-à | 'proverb' | síkpág-!fáá 'bad proverb' |
| bàlìnkpàg- | bàlìjkpàg-rí | bàlìgkpàg-á | 'tick' | bàlìnkpàg-bílé 'small tick' |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| iv. singular: -ri/-ri; plural: bV: $n=7$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for stem choice |
| kj̀̀- | kóś-rí | kó-bó | 'bone' | k̇̀̀-kpề 'big bone' |
| soo- | sóó-rí | só-bó | 'liver' | sòj̀-bílé 'small liver' |
| nı̀̀- | n nó-̇-1́ | njó-bó | 'intestine' | nj̀̀-bílé 'small intestine' |
| jò̀- | jòò-rí | jò-bó | 'termite' | jòò-bílé 'small termite' |
| tò̀- | tòj̀-rì | tò-bò | 'tobacco' | tò̀-jágà 'plenty tobacco' |
| tòò- | tòò-rí | tò-bó | 'ear' | toò-bílé 'small ear' |
| sìnsòò- | sìnsòò-rí | sìnsò-bó | 'grasshopper' | sìnsòò-bílé 'small grasshopper' |

Class 7: singular: - $\varnothing,-\mathbf{V}, \mathrm{bV}$; plural: -nє£, -V: $\mathbf{n = 1 0}$ (Mass nouns)
i. singular: zero; plural: -néé: $n=4$ (unmarked singular; marked singular)

| Stem | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for stem choice |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dẫá- | dâã̃ |  | 'alcohol (types)' | dâãá-zìć 'pito/red beer' |  |
| kẩã́- | kãã | kẫã-!nćé | 'oil'(types) | kẵã-!túlớy 'hot oil' |  |
| kวั̀n- | k ถั̇̃ | kǜ̀̀-nćé | 'water (types)' | kòn-sơy 'good water' |  |
| tî̀- | tî̀ | tììnéć | 'medicine (types)' | tiì-tớo 'bitter medicine' |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ii. singular: V; plural: -nce: $n=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stem | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for stem choice |  |


| tèn- | tén-Í | tén-nćé | 'soil' (types) | tèn-nùóy 'fertile soil' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bén- | bén-غ̀ | bén-!néq́ | 'beans' (types) | bén-zì̇̇ 'red beans' |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Class 7B: singular: bv; plural: V: $n=4$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for stem choice |
| gbèm- | gbèm-bư | gbèm-غ́ | 'comb (rooster)' | gbèn-zié 'red comb' |
| dJěn- | ḑěm-bú | ḑèn-İì | 'groundnut' | ḑěn-nùóy 'sweet groundnut' |
| zǔm- | zǔm-bú | zǔm-à | 'fish' | zǔm-bílé 'small fish' |
| tàm- | tám-bú | tám-á | 'bow' | tàm-bílé 'small bow' |

Class 8: singular: aa; plural: -II/-ii/-hi: n=23 (count nouns)

| Stem | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for stem choice |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nìr- | nír-áá | nír-Í | 'ant' | jìr-bílé 'small ant' |  |
| bìl- | bíl-áá | bíl-İ́ | 'anthill' | bìl-bílé 'small anthill' |  |
| sìl- | sìl-áá | sìl-hí | 'hawk' | sill-bílé 'small hawk' |  |
| kùr- | kùr-áá | kòr-hí | 'village' | kòr-bílé 'small village' |  |
| kùl- | kúl-áá | kúl-hí | 'stream' | kùl-bílé 'small stream' |  |
| gbǎl- | gbàl-áà | gbǎl-hí | 'bamboo' | gbǎl-wégì 'tall bamboo' |  |
| wàl- | wál-áá | wál-hí | 'antelope' | wàl-bílé 'small antelope' |  |
| kpǎl- | kpàl-áà | kpǎl-hí | 'sling' | kpǎl-bílé 'small sling' |  |
| gòr- | gór-áá | gór-ílí | 'sickle' | gòr-bílé 'small sickle' |  |
| bèr- | bér-áá | bér-İÍ | 'trap/poison' | bèr-bílé 'small trap' |  |
| bàr- | bàr-áá | bàr-İÍ | 'razor' | bàr-bílé 'small razor' |  |
| vùùr- | vúúr-ว̇ว́ | vúúr-1í | 'bellow' | vùùr-bílé 'small bellow' |  |
| fùôr- | fùùr-áà | fùùr-İı̀ | 'lung' | fươr-bílé 'small lung' |  |
| dàày- | dàày-áá | dààn-Í | 'hearth' | dààm-bílé 'small hearth' |  |
| tàây- | tàáy-áá | tàán-Í | 'shea fruit' | tàám-bílé 'small she fruit' |  |
| pàày- | pááy-áá | páán-Í | 'cricket' | pààn-dáá 'male cricket' |  |
| jàán- | jààn-áà | jààn-İİ | 'wise person' | nààm-bílé small wise person' |  |
| jááy- | jáá! y -áá | jáá!n-í | 'grandchild' | jáám-!fáá 'bad grandchild' |  |
| wìzl- | wìcll-áá | wì̀l-Í | 'twin' | wì̀l-fáá 'bad twin' |  |
| lù̀̀r- | lưór-áá | lúór-İÍ | 'tiger' | lùj̀r-bílé 'small tiger' |  |
| súj̀y- | súó! y -áá | súó!n-Í | 'rabbit' | súj̀m-bílé 'small rabbit' |  |
| kòkǒl- | kòkòl-áà | kòkòl-hí | 'cat' | kòkǒl-bílé 'small cat' |  |
| nàgǎn- | nàgàn-áà | nàgàn-íl | 'tendon' | nàgǎn-bílé 'small tendon' |  |

Class 9: (nominalized class): $\mathbf{n}=52$ (event nouns)

| i. singular: -bul-bv; no plural: $n=41$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Citation form |  |
| dì | díi-bú | - | 'act of eating' | à dì 'to eat' |  |
| sì- | sií-bú | - | 'act of skinning' | à sì 'to skin' |  |
| sé- | síć-bò | - | 'act of roasting' | à sé 'to roast' |  |
| sè- | síč-bú | - | 'act of sewing' | à sé 'to sew' |  |
| dó- | dúó-bù | - | 'act of climbing' | à dó 'to climb' |  |
| dó- | dớó-bù | - | 'act of weeding' | à dó 'to weed' |  |
| tò- | tớó-bú | - | 'act of pounding' | à to 'to pound' |  |
| kó- | kújóbù | - | 'act of farming' | à kó 'to farm' |  |
| só- | sứó-bù | - | 'act of rubbing' | à só 'to rub' |  |



| ii. singular: -uu/-vv; no plural: $n=9$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Citation form |
| wìr- | wìr-úú | - | 'act of smoking' | à wìrì 'to smoke (e.g. meat) |
| gùùn- | gùùn-úú | - | 'act of stalking' | à gùùnì 'to stalk (e.g. animal) |
| kừ̛̀- | kù̀̀r-ưó | - | 'act of snoring' | à kòòrì 'to snore' |
| ymờ̀n- | ymùv̀n-ứ | - | 'act of humming' | à ymvòv̀nì 'to hum' |
| fúòl- | fưó!l-úú | - | 'act of whistling' | à fúólì 'to whistle' |
| màà- | mààl-ớ㇒ | - | 'act of making' | à mààì 'to make' |
| lîgr- | lígr-lớv | - | 'act of tickling' | à lígrì 'to tickle' |
| dôgr- | dógr-!úú | - | 'act of punishing' | à dógrì 'to punish' |
| sôgl- | sóg!lớv | - | 'act of hiding' | à sóglì 'to hide' |
| iii. singular: -nuu/-nvv; no plural: $n=2$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for stem choice |
| nûn- | nún-!núú | - | 'act of evaporating' | à núnnì 'to evaporate' |
| tàn- | tàn-nóv́ | - | 'act of shouting' | à tànnì 'to shout at' |

Class 10: (mass nouns): $n=6$

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bùùl- | - | búúl-úy | 'porridge' | bùùl 'to stir/cooking' $\rightarrow$ búúl-úy 'a liquid resulting from the act of stirring/cooking - porridge' |
| vưòr- | - | vúú!r-úy | 'breath' | vúv̀r 'breathe' $\rightarrow$ vúv́!r-úy 'air from the act of breathing - breath |
| bààl- | - | bààl-úy | 'sickness' | bàà 'get soft/weak' $\rightarrow$ bààl-ón 'quality from this act, resulting in sickness' |
| jààr- | - | jààr-úy | 'salt' | jààr 'remove/mine' $\rightarrow$ jààr-ún 'some quantity of particles/items obtained from this act - salt |
| dúòr- | - | dúó!r-úy | 'urine' | dúòr 'uniate' $\rightarrow$ dúó!r-úy ‘liquid resulting from this act - urine |
| bìr- | - | bìr-úy | 'milk' | bǐr 'breast' $\rightarrow$ bìr-ớy 'liquid that comes from breast - milk |

Sub－Appendix B．2：Tone classes in nouns
A．Regular nouns：simple nouns（root＋suffix）；regular tone classes

| L roots；L－L singular／plural； $\mathbf{n}=3$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| tò－L | tòj－rì LL | tò－bò LL | ＇tobacco＇ | tòj－jágà＇plenty tobacco＇ |
| gbàg－L | gbàg－à LL | gbàg－rì LL | ＇lizard＇ | gbàg－bílé＇small lizard＇ |
| dsùgl－L | djùgl－ì LL | ḑusul－ò LL | ＇vulture＇ | djùgl－bílé＇small vulture＇ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| L roots；L－H singular／plural； $\mathbf{n = 4 3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | plural | Gloss | Evidence for stem choice |
| pì－L | pì－é LH | piì－rí LH | ＇rock＇ | pì－bílé＇small rock＇ |
| nù－L | nù－ó LH | nùù－rí LH | ＇navel＇ | nù－bílé＇small navel＇ |
| sù－L | sù－ó LH | sùù－rí LH | ＇knife＇ | sù－bílé＇small knife＇ |
| dè－L | dì－é LH | dè－rí LH | ＇house／room＇ | dè－bílé＇small house／room＇ |
| gbè－L | gbì－é LH | gbè－rí LH | ＇forehead＇ | gbè－bílé＇small forehead＇ |
| pè－L | pì－$\hat{\varepsilon}^{\text {L }}$ LH | pè－rí LH | ＇basket＇ | pغ̀－bílé＇small basket＇ |
| wと̀－L | wì－$\hat{\varepsilon}$ LH | wè－rí LH | ＇farm＇ | wè－bílé＇small farm＇ |
| dò－L | dù－ó LH | dò－rí LH | ＇warthog＇ | dò－bílé＇small warthog＇ |
| tò－L | tù－ó LH | tò－rí LH | ＇baobab tree＇ | tò－bílé＇small baobab tree＇ |
| dò L | dơ－ó LH | dò－rí LH | ＇dawadawa＇ | dò－bírí＇dawadawa seed＇ |
| dà－L | dà－Ú LH | dàà－rí LH | ＇stick＇ | dà－bíle＇small stick＇ |
| wà－L | wà－Ú LH | wàà－rí LH | ＇yam＇ | wà－bílé＇small yam＇ |
| bà－L | bà－á LH | bà－rí LH | ＇river＇ | bà－bílé＇small river＇ |
| dà－L | dà－á LH | dà－rí LH | ＇market＇ | dà－bílé＇small market＇ |
| kù－L | kùù－rí LH | kù－é LH | ＇hoe＇ | kù－bílé＇small hoe＇ |
| zغ̇－L | ziè－rí LH | z $\dot{\varepsilon}-\dot{\varepsilon}$ LH | ＇soup＇ | zè－nò＇óy＇tasty soup＇ |
| j $\mathrm{c}^{-} \mathrm{L}$ | již－rí LH |  | ＇jaw＇ | jè－bílé＇small jaw＇ |
| pò－L | pùò－rí LH | pò－é LH | ＇back＇ | pò－bílé＇small back＇ |
| kò－L | kùò－rí LH | kò－é LH | ＇funeral＇ | kò－kpê y ＇big funeral＇ |
| јว̀う－L | jòjorí LH | jò－bó LH | ＇termite＇ | jòjo－bílé＇small termite＇ |
| tòò－L | tòò－rí LH | tò－bó LH | ＇ear＇ | tòò－bílé＇small ear＇ |
| big－L | big－İ LH | bìg－rí LH | ＇law＇ | bìg－fáa＇bad law＇ |
| bùg－L | bùg－ó LH | bùg－rí LH | ＇silo＇ | bugg－bílé＇small silo＇ |
| bòg－L | bòg－í LH | bòg－rí LH | ＇hole＇ | bòg－bílé＇small hole＇ |
| zàg－L | zàg－á LH | zàg－rí LH | ＇courtyard＇ | zàg－bílé＇small courtyard＇ |
| bàg－L | bàg－rí LH | bàg－á LH | ＇shrine＇ | bàg－fáá＇bad shrine＇ |
| bàr－L | bàr－áá LH | bàr－íl LH | ＇razor＇ | bàr－bílé＇small razor＇ |
| bờn－L | bù̀－ó LH | bòn－ní LH | ＇donkey’ | bòm－bílé＇small donkey＇ |
| jèn－L | jèj－é LH | jèn－ní LH | outside＇ | jèm－fáá＇bad outside＇ |
| gòn－L | gòn－ó LH | gòn－ní LH | ＇silk cotton＇ | gòm－bílé＇small silk cotton＇ |
| วว่ว－L | アı̀n－ó LH | Pòn－ní LH | ＇mouse＇ | Pj̀m－bílé＇small mouse＇ |
| bày－L | bày－á LH | bàn－ní LH | ＇lizard＇ | bàn－dáá＇male lizard＇ |
| gàn－L | gàn－á LH | gàn－ní LH | ＇kraal＇ | gàn－kpêy＇big kraal＇ |
| tièn－L | tièn－í LH | tì̀m－$\dot{\varepsilon}$ LH | ＇beard＇ | tièn－fáá＇bad beard＇ |
| pèn－L | pèn－í LH | pèm－ ¢́ LH | ＇abdomen＇ | pèm－bílé＇small abdomen＇ |
| kò n－L | kù ó LH | kùj̀－nćé LH | ＇water（types）＇ | kòn－sǒ y＇good water＇ |
| màn－L | màn－í LH | màm－á LH | ＇sea／ocean＇ | màm－bílé＇small sea＇ |
| tì－L | tiI LH | tì̀－nćé LH | ＇medicine | tì－tơó＇bitter medicine＇ |


|  |  |  | (types)' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sìl- L | sìl-áá LH | sìl-hí LH | 'hawk' | sìl-bílé 'small hawk' |  |
| gbèm- L | gbèm-bú LH | gbèm-غ́ LH | 'comb (rooster)' | gbèn-zìć 'red comb' |  |
| kùr- L | kùr-áá LH | kùr-hí LH | 'village' | kùr-bílé 'small village' |  |
| wìc̀l- L | wìc̀l-áá LH | wìc̀l-í LH | 'twin' | wì̀̀l-fáá 'bad twin' |  |
| dààn-L | dàày-áá LH | dààn-Í LH | 'hearth' | dààm-bílé 'small hearth' |  |
| $\emptyset$ roots; H-H singular/plural; $\mathbf{n}=59$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stem | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| bì-L | bí-é HH | bíí-rí HH | 'child' | bì-fáá 'bad child' |  |
| zì-L | zí-é HH | zíí-rí HH | 'place' | zì-fáá 'bad place' |  |
| pì L | pí- $\dot{\varepsilon}$ HH | pıíl-rí HH | 'roof' | pì-bílé 'small roof' |  |
| sì- L | sí-̇́ HH | síl-rí HH | 'waist' | sì-fáá bad waist' |  |
| nù- L | jú-ú HH | núú-rí HH | 'neck' | jù-bílé 'small neck' |  |
| bù- L | bú-j́ HH | búv́-rí HH | 'goat' | bù-bílé 'small goat' |  |
| pù- L | pú-̇́ HH | púv́-rí HH | 'stomach' | pù-bílé 'small stomach' |  |
| wù- L | wư-ó HH | wứ㇒-rí HH | 'bag' | wù-bílé 'small bag' |  |
| mò-L | mú-ó HH | múv́-rí HH | 'grass' | mò-fáá 'bad grass' |  |
| sà- L | sá-ú HH | sá-rí HH | 'kind of food' | sà-fáá 'bad food' |  |
| bà- L | bá-á HH | báá-rí HH | 'dog' | bà-bílé 'small dog (puppy)' |  |
| sì̀n- L | síćn-Í HH | síćm-غ́ HH | 'porcupine' | sì̀̀m-bílé 'small porcupine' |  |
| sìg-L | síg-Í HH | síg-rí HH | 'hut' | sìg-bílé 'small hut' |  |
| zù-L | zứv-rí HH | zú- $\varepsilon$ HH | 'tail' | zù-nmáá 'short tail' |  |
| to- L | tóó-rí HH | tó- $\varepsilon$ HH | 'mortar' | to -bílé 'small mortar' |  |
| vò- L | vóó-rí HH | vó-¢́ HH | 'hole/opening | vò-bílé 'small opening' |  |
| kò̀- L | kóó-rí HH | kó-bó HH | 'bone' | kòj̀-kp $\hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}$ 'big bone' |  |
| งวั- L | sóó-rí HH | só-bó HH | 'liver' | sȯj-bílé 'small liver' |  |
| กว่า L | nóó-rı́ HH | jó-bó HH | 'intestine' | nò-bílé 'small intestine' |  |
| jà̀g-L | nág-rí HH | j nág-á HH | 'root' | nàg-bílé 'small root' |  |
| sìg-L | síg-Í HH | síg-rí HH | 'hut' | sìg-bílé 'small hut' |  |
| zìg-L | zíg-غ́ HH | zíg-rí HH | 'back' | zìg-bílé 'small back' |  |
| pèg-L | pég-í HH | pég-rí HH | 'shell' | pèg-bílé 'small shell' |  |
| kòg-L | kóg-ó HH | kóg-rí HH | 'chair' | kòg-bílé 'small chair' |  |
| bàg-L | bág-á HH | bág-rí HH | 'shoulder' | bàg-bílé 'small shoulder' |  |
| gbàg-L | gbág-á HH | gbág-rí HH | 'basket' | gbàg-bílé 'small basket' |  |
| lìn- L | líy-é HH | lín-ní HH | 'lid (of bowl)' | lìm-bílé 'small lid' |  |
| Pìg-L | Pín-غ́ HH | Pín-ní HH | 'body' | ìm-fáa 'bad body' |  |
| tìn-L | tíg-غ́ HH | tín-ní HH | 'town/ground' | tìm-bílé 'small town/ground' |  |
| dùn-L | dúy-ó HH | dún-ní HH | 'animal' | dùm-fáá 'bad animal' |  |
| dờn-L | dứ-ó HH | dơn-ní HH | 'mosquito' | dòm-bílé 'small mosquito' |  |
| lòn- L | lóy-ó HH | lón-ní HH | 'frog' | lòm-bílé 'small frog' |  |
| sòy-L | sóy-ó HH | són-ní HH | 'basket (kind) | Sòm-bílé 'small basket' |  |
| bày-L | báy-á HH | bán-ní HH | 'bracelet' | bàm-bílé 'small bracelet' |  |
| tày-L | táy-á HH | tán-ní HH | 'mountain' | tàm-bílé 'small mountain' |  |
| này-L | náy-á HH | nán-ní HH | 'scorpion' | nàm-bílé 'small scorpion' |  |
| vààl-L | váál-í HH | váál-á HH | 'leaf' | vààl-zíć 'red leaf' |  |
| kpàgl- L | kpágl-í HH | kpágl-á HH | 'bedbug' | kpàgl-bílé 'small bedbug' |  |


| pàn- L | pán-í HH | pám-á HH | 'door' | pàn-bílé 'small door' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ymàn- L | ŋmán-í HH | gmám-á HH | 'calabash' | ymàn-bílé 'small calabash' |
| dòò-L | dóó HH | dó-bó HH | 'man, | dòò-fáá 'bad man' |
| tùù- L | túú H | túú-rí HH | 'eagle' | tùù-bílé 'small eagle' |
| tùù- L | túú H | túú-rí HH | 'forest' | tùù-fáá 'bad forest' |
| làà- L | láá H | láá-rí HH | 'bowl' | làà-bílé 'small bowl' |
| tèn- L | tén-í HH | tén-nćé HH | 'soil' (types) | tèn-nò̀óy 'fertile soil' |
| tàm- L | tám-bú HH | tám-á HH | 'bow' | tàm-bílé 'small bow' |
| nìr-L | nír-áá HH | nír-í HH | 'ant' | nìr-bílé 'small ant' |
| vùùr-L | vúúr-ว̇ว́ HH | vúúr-íl HH | 'bellow' | vùùr-bílé 'small bellow' |
| bèr-L | bér-áá HH | bér-íl HH | 'trap/poison' | bèr-bílé 'small trap' |
| gòr- L | gór-áá HH | gór-íl HH | 'sickle’ | gòr-bílé 'small sickle' |
| lù̀r r L | lóór-áá HH | lúór-ĭ́l HH | 'tiger' | lù̀ ò-bílé 'small tiger' |
| sir- L | sír-̇́ HH | sír-bá HH | 'husband' | sìr-sč y 'good husband' |
| sèn- L | sén-í HH | sén-bá HH | 'fiancé/fiancée' | sèn-fáá 'bad fiance/fiancee' |
| pòg-L | póg-ó HH | póg-bá HH | 'woman' | pòg-viláá 'nice woman' |
| bil- L | bíl-áá HH | bíl-íl HH | 'anthill' | bil-bílé 'small anthill' |
| kòl-L | kúl-áá HH | kúl-hí HH | 'stream' | kùl-bílé 'small stream' |
| wàl- L | wál-áá HH | wál-hí HH | 'antelope' | wàl-bílé 'small antelope' |
| pààn- L | pááy-áá HH | páán-í HH | 'cricket' | pààn-dáá 'male cricket' |
| H roots; H-L singular/plural; $\mathbf{n = 2 7}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| dág- H | dág-à HL | dág-rì HL | 'box' | dág-!bílé 'small box' |
| nín- H | ní- ${ }^{\text {che }}$ HL | nú-bà HL | 'person' | níy-fáá 'bad person' |
| nó- H | nơ-ò HL | nớvorì HL | 'chicken/fowl' | nó-bílé 'small chicken/fowl' |
| ná- H | ná-à HL | náá-rì HL | 'chest' | ná-!bílé 'small chest' |
| t ${ }^{\text {cúú- } \mathrm{H}}$ | tưù HL | tuuu-rì HL | 'month/moon' | tf úú-gbùlî 'full moon/month' |
| wóó- H | wóo HL | wós-rì HL | 'elephant' | wóó-!bílé 'small elephant' |
| jóó- H | jó HL | jóó-rì HL | 'sibling' | jós-!'bílé 'young sibling' |
| jí- H | jí-rì HL | jí-è HL | 'house/home' | jí-bílé 'small house/home' |
| zú- H | zû HL | zú-rì HL | 'head' | zú-!bílé 'small head' |
| mí- H | mí-rì HL | mí-è HL | 'rope' | mí-ymáá 'short rope' |
| né- H | níç-rì HL | nと́-̇̇ HL | 'grinder' | né-bílé |
| gbé- H | gbé-rì HL | gbé- $\grave{\varepsilon}$ HL | 'leg' | gbé-bílé 'small leg' |
| jó- H | júó-rì HL | jó-è HL | 'name' | jó-!fáá 'bad name' |
| pó- H | púó-rì HL | pó-è HL | 'stomach' | pó-!bílé 'stomach' |
| só- H | só-rì HL | só-è HL | 'path' | só-! ${ }^{\text {bílé }}$ 'small path' |
| nó- H | nóó-rì HL | nó-̇̀ HL | 'mouth' | nó-! ${ }^{\text {fáá 'bath mouth' }}$ |
| kó- H | kúó-rì HL | kó-̇̀ HL | 'gourd' | kó-!bílé 'small gourd' |
| pá- H | páá-rì HL | p $\dot{\varepsilon}-\dot{\varepsilon}$ (pá- $\grave{\varepsilon}$ ) HL | 'genital' | pá-!bíle' small genital' |
| sá- H | sáá-rì HL | sć-̇̀ ( sá-̇̇) HL | 'broom' | sá-!bílé 'small broom' |
| dá- H | dá-rì HL | d $\dot{\varepsilon}-\grave{\varepsilon}$ (dá-̇̇) HL | 'ladder' |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| dưg- H | dúg-ì HL | dúg-rì HL | 'pot' | dưg-!bílé 'small pot' |
| wég H | wég-غ̀ HL | wég-rì HL | 'log' | wég-! ! máá 'short log' |
| kóg- H | kóg-ò HL | kóg-rì HL | 'mahogany' | kóg-wégì 'tall mahogany' |
| fón- H | fóy-̀̀ HL | fón-nı̀ HL | 'section' | fóm-!bílé 'small suburb/section' |
| nén- H | nén-ì HL | ném-غ̀ HL | 'meat' | ném-!bílé 'small meat' |
| gbán- H | gbán-ì HL | gbám-à HL | 'skin/leather' | gbám-bílé 'small leather' |

LH roots; LH-L singular/plural; n=7

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gǒ- LH | gǒ--̀ LH-L | gùú-rì LH-L | 'thorn' | gǒ-bílé 'small thorn' |
| vĭg- LH | vǐg-ì LH-L | vĭg-rì LH-L | 'owl' | vǐg-bílé 'little owl' |
| lŏn- LH | lŏy-ò LH-L | lŏn-nì LH-L | 'hour.drum' | lǒm-bílé 'small hourglass drum' |
| děg- LH | dég-rì LH-L | děg- ¢ LH-L | 'dirt' |  |
| gbăl- LH | gbàl-áà LH-L | gbăl-hí LH-L | 'bamboo' | gbăl-wégì 'tall bamboo' |
| kpǎl- LH | kpàl-áà LH-L | kpǎl-hì LH-L | 'sling' | kpǎl-bílé 'small sling' |
| jàán- LH | nààn-áà LH-L | nààn-íl LH-L | 'wise person' | nààm-bílé small wise person' |


| HL roots; H -! H singular/plural; $\mathrm{n}=1$ H root; H-!H singular/plural; $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| súj̀y-HL | súó! y -áá H ! H | súó!n-í H!H | 'rabbit' | súj̀m-bílé 'small rabbit' |  |
| jááy-H | jáá! y -áá H!H | jáá!n-í H!H | 'grandchild' | jáám-!fáá 'bad grandchild' |  |

## B. Nominalisations (nouns derived from verbs)

i. L roots; L-H derived nouns; $n=\mathbf{8}$

| Root | Derived noun |  | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kpiìr L | kpì̀r-bú LH |  | 'act of hemming' | à kpì̀rì 'to hem' |
| kùjor- L | kùj̀r-bú LH | - | 'act of scratching' | à kùj̀rì 'to scratch' |
| nà̀̀n- L | nààm-bú LH | - | 'act of being wise' | à nààg 'to be wise' |
| wìr- L | wì̀r-úú LH | - | 'act of smoking' | à wiìrì 'to smoke (e.g. meat) |
| kùòr-L | kùờ-úv́ LH | - | 'act of snoring' | à kòv̀rì 'to snore' |
| gùùn- L | gùùn-úú LH | - | 'act of stalking' | à gùùnì 'to stalk' |
| tàn- L | tàn-núú LH | - | 'thundering' | à tànnì 'to thunder' |
| mààl- L | mààl-ớ CH | - | 'act of making' | à mààli 'to make' |

## ii. L roots; $\mathbf{H}$-H derived nouns; $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 9}$

| Root | Derived noun | - | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dì- L | díí-bú HH | - | 'act of eating' | à dì 'to eat' |
| sì- L | sií-bú HH | - | 'act of skinning' | à sì 'to skin' |
| kò- L | kúvo-bú HH | - | 'act of giving' | à kò 'to give' |
| tùò- L | túó-bú HH | - | 'act of carrying' | à tùò 'to carry' |
| jùò- L | júó-bú HH | - | 'act of opening' | à jùò 'to open' |
| sغ̀-L | síć-bú HH | - | 'act of sewing' | à sé 'to sew' |
| tò-L | tớó-bú HH | - | 'act of | à tò 'to pound' |


|  |  |  | pounding' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fìr- L | fír-bú HH | - | 'act of forcing' | à fìrì 'to force/command' |  |
| kùr- L | kúr-bú HH | - | 'act of fabricating, | à kùrì 'to fabricate' |  |
| bèr- L | bér-bú HH | - | 'act of poisoning' | à bèrì 'to poison/trap' |  |
| bàl- L | bál-bú HH | - | 'getting tired' | à bàlì 'to be tired' |  |
| pùg-L | púg-bú HH | - | 'act of praising' | à pùgì 'to praise' |  |
| sèg-L | ség-bú HH | - | 'act of dancing' | à sègì 'to dance' |  |
| pòg-L | póg-bú HH | - | 'act of closing' | à pògì 'to close' |  |
| tàg- L | tág-bú HH | - | 'act of pulling' | à tàgì 'to pull' |  |
| sàg-L | ság-bú HH | - | 'act of agreeing' | à sàgì 'to agree' |  |
| dừ y -L | dúm-bú HH | - | 'act of biting' | à dù y 'to bite' |  |
| nùy-L | núm-bú HH | - | 'act of liking' | à nò y 'to like' |  |
| kòn-L | kóm-bú HH | - | 'act of crying' | à kòy 'to cry' |  |

## iii. H roots; H-L derived nouns; $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 3}$

| Root | Derived noun |  | Gloss | Citation form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vú- H | vúú-bù HL | - | 'act of crawling' | à vú 'to crawl' |
| tú- H | túú-bù HL | - | 'act of digging' | à tú 'to dig' |
| tù- H | túú-bú HH | - | 'act of following' | à tù 'to follow' |
| dú - H | dúú-bù HL | - | 'act of swimming, | à dú 'to swim' |
| zú - H | zúú-bù HL | - | 'act of stealing' | à zú 'to steal' |
| tú- H | tứ̛-bù HL | - | 'act of insulting' | à tú 'to insult' |
| kú- H | kưó-bù HL | - | 'act of killing' | à kú 'to kill' |
| lé- H | líé-bù HL | - | 'act of tying' | à lé 'to tie' |
| s $\varepsilon$ - H | síć-bù HL | - | 'act of roasting' | à sć 'to roast' |
| dó- H | dúó-bù HL | - | 'act of climbing' | à dó 'to climb' |
| dó- H | dứó-bù HL | - | 'act of weeding' | à dó 'to weed' |
| kó- H | kúó-bù HL | - | 'act of farming' | à kó 'to farm' |
| só- H | súó-bù HL | - | 'act of rubbing' | à só 'to rub' |
| bó- H | búó-bù HL | - | 'act of finding' | à bó 'to find' |
| pó- H | púó-bù HL | - | 'act of cursing' | à pó 'to curse' |
| jóó- H | jóó-bò HL | - | 'act of paying' | à jóó 'to pay' |
| zá- H | záá-bù HL | - | 'act of throwing' | à zá 'to throw' |
| Pá- H | Páá-bù HL | - | 'act of hating' | à Pá 'to hate' |
| nár- H | nár-bù HL | - | 'getting ready' | à nárí 'to plan/prepare' |
| vár- H | vár-bù HL | - | 'act of castrating' | à várí 'to castrate' |
| vól- H | vól-bù HL | - | 'act of swallowing' | à vólí 'to swallow' |
| dúg- H | dớg-bù HL | - | 'act of cooking' | à dớ gí 'to cook' |
|  |  |  |  |  |

iv. HL roots; $\mathbf{H}$-! H derived nouns; $\mathbf{n = 7}$

| Root | Derived noun |  | Gloss |  | Citation form |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jáà- HL | jáá-!bú H!H | - | 'act of <br> revenging' | à jáà 'to revenge' |  |
| 'act of slicing' | à ty̌ílì 'to slice' |  |  |  |  |

v. Simple nouns with irregular tone patters; $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 4}$

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bén- H | bén-غ̇ HL | bén-!néć H!H | 'beans’ (types) | bén-ziè 'red beans' |
| sáán- H | sáán-à HL | sáám-!bá H!H | 'stranger' | sáán-!fáá 'bad stranger' |
| dáá - H | dáà HL | dáá -!néé H!H | 'alcohol (types)' | dá á -zíċ 'pito/red beer' |
| káá - H | káà HL | káá -!néć H!H | 'oil'(types) | káa -tơ l!úy 'hot oil' |
| wá-H | wáálbó H!H | wî́-rì HL | 'snake' | wá-!bílé 'small snake' |
| vá-H | váá!bú H! H | váá-rì HL | 'leaf' | vá-!bílé 'small leaf' |
| dúsé- H | dućl-!ií H!H | dućl ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ¢ HL | 'egg' | dućl-!fáá ‘bad egg' |
| Pîil- H | Pîil-!ií H!H | Pílilè̀ HL | 'horn' | inil-bílé 'small horn' |
| dsiíl- LH | djîll-íí LH-H | duîil-è LH-L | 'vein' | djiill-bílé 'small vein' |
| dờól- LH | dơól-iíl LH-H | dơól-̇̀ LH-L | 'mudfish' | dòól-bílé 'small mudfish' |
| ŋmăn- LH | ymăn-íl LH-H | ŋmăm-à LH-L | 'dove' | ymăm-bílé 'small dove' |
| gǒ- LH | gùó-bú LH-H | gùó-rì LH-L | 'kola nut' | gǒ-bílé 'small kola' |
| ḑěn- LH | duěm-bú LH-H | duèn-îil LH-L | 'groundnut' | ḑě n-nòóy 'sweet groundnut' |
| zờm-LH | zừm-bú LH-H | zừ m-à LH-L | 'fish' | zǔ m-bílé 'small fish' |

## C. Complex/polymorphemic nouns <br> i. Regular tone classes

L roots; L-H singular/plural; $\mathbf{n = 3 0}$

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dàtifi L | dàt $\mathrm{I}-\dot{\varepsilon}$ LH | dàtiiì-rí LH | 'parrot' | daytîl-bílé 'small parrot' |  |
| nừbòg- L | nù̀bòg-í LH | nùbò̀g-rí LH | 'nose' | nùbò̀-bílé 'small nose' |  |
| pàsòg-L | pàsóg-ó LH | pàsóg-rí LH | 'back' | pàsòg-bílé 'small back' |  |
| pòsòg-L | pòsóg-ó LH | pòsóg-rí LH | 'back' | pòsòg-bílé 'small back' |  |
| gbèlìj- L | gbèlìy-é LH | gbèlin-ní LH | 'bell' | gbèlìm-bílé 'small bell' |  |
| zòmìy- L | zòmìg-દ́ LH | zòmìn-ní LH | 'friend' | zòmì-fáá 'bad friend' |  |
| bùrìn- L | bùrìy-ó LH | bùrìn-ní LH | 'zebra' | bùrìm-bílé |  |
| kj̀rìn-L | kòrìn-ó LH | kòrı̀n-ní LH | 'partridge' | kòrìm-bílé 'small patridge' |  |
| dà pừur- L | dà púúr-íl LH | dà púúr-ó LH | 'flower' | dà pùùr-vì̇láá 'beautiful flower' |  |
| nàfir- L | nàfir-iíl LH | nàfír- $\check{\varepsilon}$ ¢́ LH | 'hoof' | nàfir-bílé 'small hoof' |  |
| mùntèl- L | mùntél-íl LH | mùntél-é LH | 'buttock' | mùntè̀-bílé |  |
| bùfùl- L | bùfùl-í LH | bùfùl-ó LH | 'leech' | bùfùl-jágà 'many leeches' |  |


| dàndùl- L | dàndúl-í LH | dàndúl-ó LH | 'maggot' | dàndùl-jágà 'many maggots' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sàlù̀on-L | sàlù̀̀n-í LH | sàlùòm-ó LH | 'sky' | sòlùòn-vièláá 'beautiful sky' |  |
| dàngòl- L | dà̀gól-í LH | dàygól-ó LH | 'cudgel' | dàngòl-bílé 'small cudgel' |  |
| dùjkùn- L | dùnkùn-í LH | dùnkùm-ó LH | 'knee' | dùnkùm-bílé 'small knee' |  |
| dãã̃ò̀̀n- L | dããgò òn-í LH | dããò̀̀m-ó | 'wall' | dããgò̀̀m-bílé 'small wall' |  |
| làmbàà- L | làmbàal-í LH | làmbààl-á LH | 'tribal mark' | làmbàà-bílé 'small tribal mark |  |
| dànkpàl- L | dànkpàl-í LH | dànkpàl-á LH | 'walk.stick' | dànkpàl-bílé 'small walk stick' |  |
| kpànkpàn- L | kpànkpàn-íLH | kpànkpàm-áLH | 'arm' | kpànkpàm-bílé 'small arm' |  |
| kpòjkpoò-L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kpò̀kpó-bú } \\ & \text { LH } \end{aligned}$ | kpòykpó-rí LH | 'cassava' | kpònkpò-fáá 'bad cassava' |  |
| dàjùù-L | dàjúú LH | dàjúú-rí LH | 'rat' | dàjùù-bílé 'small rat' |  |
| làntà L | làntá LH | làntá-rí LH | 'tree squirrel' | làntà-bílé 'small tree squirrel' |  |
| kj̀lù y - L | kj̀lớ LH | kòlưn-ní LH | 'well' | kòlò n-zùlúy ‘deep well' |  |
| lòmbò L | lòmbó-rí LH | lòmbó-é LH | 'side' | lòmbò-bílé 'small side' |  |
| kpàtì- L | kpàtì-rí LLH | kpåtì-́́ LLH | 'chimpanze $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ | kpàtì-bílé 'small chimpanzee' |  |
| gbàtà- L | gbàtá-rí LHH | gbàté- ¢́ LHH | 'pond' | gbàtà-bílé 'small pond' |  |
| sìnsòò- L | sìnsòò-rí LH | sìnsò-bó LH | 'grasshoppe | sinsòò-bílé 'small grasshopper' |  |
| bàlìnkpàgLL | bàlìjkpàg-rí LH | bàlìnkpàg-á LH | 'tick' | bàlìnkpàg-bílé 'small tick' |  |
| bàlùg- LL | bàlùg-rí LH | bàlùg-ó LH | 'armpit' | bàlùg-núú 'smelly armpit' |  |


| H roots; H-L singular/plural; $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| síbí- H | síbí-rì HL | síbí-è HL | 'bee' | síbíj-jágà 'many bees' |
| zúkó H | zúkúó-rì HL | zúkó-غ̀ HL | 'skull' | zúkó-! ${ }^{\text {bílé }}$ |
| súmí- H | súmí-rì HL | súmíè HL | 'thread' | súmí-! ! máá 'short thread' |
| nímbí- H | nímbírì HL | nímbí-è̀HL | 'eye' | nímbí-!bílé 'small eye' |
|  |  |  |  |  |

LH-stems; LHL singular/plural; n=14

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kàtá- LH | kàtá-à LHL | kàtáá-rì LHL | 'cobra' | kàtá-bílé 'small cobra' |  |
| dàbág- LH | dàbág-à LHL | dàbág-rì LHL | 'teak' | dàbág-!bílé |  |
| zì bí- LH | zibíriri LHL | zibíeè LHL | 'millet' | zibíj-jágà 'plenty millet' |  |
| libí- LH | lìbí-rì LHL | libíreè LHL | 'money' | lìíí-!bílé 'small money' |  |
| tònzún- LH | tònzûy LHL | tònzún-nì LHL | 'headpad' | tònzúm-bílé 'small headpad' |  |
| pòntí- LH | pòntí-rì LHL | pòntílè LHL | 'toad' | pò ntí-bílé 'small toad' |  |
| kj̀kó- LH | kj̀kó-rì HLH | kj̀kó-غ̀ HLH | 'throat' | kj̀kó-bílé 'small throat' |  |
| mùmbí- LH | mùmbíriri LHL | mùmbí-è LHL | 'anus' | mùmbí-bílé 'small anus' |  |
| làmbí- LH | làmbírì LHL | làmbí-è LHL | 'testicle' | làmbí-bílé 'small testicle' |  |
| tàmpó- LH | tàmpúó-rì LHL | tàmpó-è LHL | 'garb. dump' | tàmpúcó-bílé small garb.dump' |  |
| tèmbí- LH | tèmbírì LHL | tèmbí-è LHL | 'brick' | tèmbí-!bílé 'small brick' |  |
| sàsí- LH | sàsírirì LHL | sàsíl-̇̀ LHL | 'grasscutter' | sàsí-bílé 'small grasscutter' |  |
| kàká- LH | kàká-rì LHL | kàké-غ̇ LHL | 'stalk (maize) | kàká-ymáá 'short stalk' |  |
| nòní- LH | nàníírí LH | nə̀ní-è LHL | 'potato' | nòní-bílé 'small potato' |  |



| LHL roots; LHL singular/plural; $\mathrm{n}=3$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |
| gàlíngà- LHL | gàlíngá-à LHL | gàlíng gáá-rì LHL | 'crow' | gàlíngà-bílé 'small crow' |
| kojkŏ l- LHL | kòkỏl-áà LHL | kj̀kòl-hí LHL | 'cat' | kòkŏ l-bílé 'small cat' |
| nàgǎn- LHL | nàgànáà LHL | nàgàn-íi LHL | 'tendon' | nàgǎn-bílé 'small tendon' |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| kòrínḑònLHL | kòrín! dzón LH! H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kòrín!duón-ní } \\ & \text { LH!H } \end{aligned}$ | 'harp' | kòrínḑòm-bílé 'small harp' |
| sàkpálímbìLHL | sàkpálímbír-rí LH | sàkpálímbí-é LH | 'kidney' | sàkpálímbí-bílé 'small kidney’ |
| jěntù- LHL | jěntú-ó LH | jěntứ̛-rí LH | 'gall bladder' | jěntú-bílé 'small gall bladder' |
| dàbúósà- LHL | dàbúósá-á LH | də̀búósáá-rí LH | 'dragonfly' | dàbúósá-bílé 'small dragonfly' |
| zǐntì- LHL | zǏ ntí-rí LH | zı̌ ntí- ̇́ LH | 'tongue' | zǐ ntí-bílé 'small tongue' |

## ii. Polymorphemic nouns with irregular tones $n=6$

| Root | Singular | Plural | Gloss | Evidence for root choice |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sémáán- H | sémáá!n-íH!H | sémáán-à HL | 'pepper' | sémáán-jágà 'plenty pepper' |  |
| kámáán-H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kámáá!n-Í } \\ & \text { H!H } \end{aligned}$ | kàmáán-à HL | 'maize' | kámáán-nòóy ‘sweet maize' |  |
| síkpág- H | síkpág-!ıi HH!H | síkpág-à HL | 'proverb' | síkpág-!fáá 'bad proverb’ |  |
| nò múòl- LHL | nàmùól-íí LH-H | nə̀mùól-ò LHL | 'yolk' | nò múòl-bílé 'small yolk' |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { gòlìngúò } \\ & \text { 1-LHL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gàlìngùól-íí } \\ & \text { LHH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gòlìngùól-ò } \\ & \text { LHL } \end{aligned}$ | 'milipede' | gàlìngúòl-bílé 'small milipede' |  |
| màrífà- LHL | màrífà LHL | màrífà-rí LHLH | 'gun' | màrífà-bílé 'small gun' |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is important to mention the following nasalised long vowels: [ $\left.\tilde{I}\right]$, [ũũ], [ãã] which occur with [b, t, d, k] as in bî́ 'broth', tî̀ 'medicine', kứứ 'death' dãã̃ 'alcohol' and kã́ã ‘oil'. There is also a nasalised diphthong [ $\tilde{0} \tilde{0}]$ as in kũ̃̃̃ 'water'

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Note that there are a few nouns (5) that are monomoraic as in $z \hat{u}$ 'head', $n \hat{u}$ 'hand', but the general tendency is that majority of Dagaare nouns are minimally bimoraic.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ There are a few exceptions however with regards to bimoracity requirement. That is, there are some five words which violate the minimality condition in that they are monomoraic and do not necessarily require a suffix to be complete. These nouns include: zû 'head', nû 'hand', gbô 'heart', bâ 'father' and má 'mother'. The general fact is that the majority of nouns are minimally bimoraic. Note also that the bimoracity is not true of verbs as they are minimally monomoraic. Perhaps these subminimal verbs are roots which are made words by inflection.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The verb 'take' is quite unusual in Niger-Congo languages and this could be why it is the only monomoraic form with a contour in Dagaare.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ It should be mentioned also that although studies (e.g. (Anttila \& Bodomo, 1996, 2000) claim that LL forms are systematically absent in Dàgáárè, our current database has the following three LL forms: ḑùglì - ḑùglò 'vulture', gbàgà - gbàgrì 'agama lizard' and tj̀̀̀rì - tj̀bj̀ 'tobacco'. There are also simple nouns which are LHL like gǒ̀̀ - gòv́-rì 'thorn', lǒy-ò - lǒn-nì 'hourglass drum'. Moreover, there is a case where both surface singular and plural forms are H-! H, súźy-!’áá - súj́n-!' 'rabbit'

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The affirmative particle lá $\sim n a ́ ~ i s ~ q u i t e ~ m u l t i-f u n c t i o n a l . ~ I t ~ h a s ~ a l s o ~ b e e n ~ r e f e r r e d ~ t o ~ a s ~ f a c t i t i v e ~ o r ~ f o c u s ~ m a r k e r ~(B o d o m o ~ 1997 ; ~ D a k u b u ~ 2005) . ~$ It has its clitic forms as $-\eta,-e /-\varepsilon$. Some speakers of the central varieties such as the Nadowli, Sombo, Daffiama varieties mostly use ná

