Digital Social Interaction in Older Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic

by

Frances JiHae Sin

B.Sc., University of British Columbia, 2019

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

Master of Science

in

THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE AND POSTDOCTORAL STUDIES

(Computer Science)

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

(Vancouver)

April 2021

© Frances JiHae Sin, 2021

The following individuals certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies for acceptance, the thesis entitled:

Digital Social Interaction in Older Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic

submitted by **Frances JiHae Sin** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science** in **Computer Science**.

Examining Committee:

Dongwook Yoon, Computer Science, UBC *Supervisor*

Joanna McGrenere, Computer Science, UBC Supervisory Committee Member

Abstract

Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, older adults have been encouraged to stay indoors and isolated, leading to potential disruptions in their social activities and interpersonal relationships. We conducted an interview study (N=24) to examine older adults' technology adoption and communication practices in light of new circumstances related to the pandemic. Our interviews revealed that the pandemic motivated many older adults to learn new technology and become more tech-savvy in an effort to stay connected with others. However, they also reported challenges related to the pandemic that were major impediments to technology adoption. These were: (1) lack of access to in-person technology support under physical distancing mandates, (2) lack of opportunities for online participation due to negative age stereotypes and assumptions, and (3) increased apprehension to seek help from family members and friends who were suffering from pandemic-related stresses. This study extends technology adoption literature and contributes an upto-date examination of the "grey digital divide" (the gap between older adults who use technology and those who do not). Our findings demonstrate that despite the rapidly increasing number of tech-savvy seniors, a digital divide not only persists, but has been exacerbated by the transition to virtual-only offerings. We reveal the challenges and coping strategies of older adults who remain separated from technology, and propose actionable solutions to increase digital access during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond.

Lay Summary

Physical distancing orders, lockdowns, and other pandemic-related restrictions on face-to-face interaction has created a need for older adults to get more comfortable using technology. In this work, we interviewed 24 older adults to understand how they adjusted to these restrictions and to examine their experiences with video- and Internet-based social interaction. Through the interviews, we learned that many older adults readily embraced new technologies during the pandemic in order to engage in community activities and stay in touch with their loved ones. However, some individuals also reported a number of challenges that were major barriers to technology adoption (e.g., physical distancing orders made it difficult to access in-person technology support). In this work, we offer suggestions to support older adults in their effective use of new technologies, and reveal the challenges of individuals who remain separated from the digital world.

Preface

This thesis is an original product of the author, Frances Sin. The interviews reported in Chapter 3 were covered by UBC Ethics Certificate number H20-00993. The interviews and data analysis (described in Chapter 3 and Appendix B) were conducted by Frances Sin and Sophie Berger (undergraduate research intern). The interview protocol (described in Appendix A) was designed by Frances Sin and Sophie Berger under the guidance of Prof. Dongwook Yoon.

Table of Contents

Ab	strac	ti	ii
La	y Sun	nmary	iv
Pro	eface		v
Ta	ble of	Contents	vi
Lis	st of T	ables	ix
Gl	ossary	y	X
Ac	know	ledgments	xi
1	Intro	oduction	1
2	Rela	ted work	4
3	Meth	10ds	8
	3.1	Participants and recruitment protocol	8
	3.2	Data collection through semi-structured interviews	.0
	3.3	Data analysis using the constant comparison method 1	.0
4	Find	ings	3
	4.1	Communication practices during the pandemic	.3
	4.2	How did the pandemic motivate technology adoption? 1	4

		4.2.1	Technology was often the only option for social interaction	
			among strict adherers of self-isolation measures	14
		4.2.2	Older adults who were highly connected prior to lockdown	
			missed social interaction	15
	4.3	How c	lid the pandemic hinder technology adoption?	16
		4.3.1	Ageism became visible and led to digital exclusion	16
		4.3.2	Pandemic bubbles were the primary source of in-person	
			technical assistance	17
		4.3.3	Technology challenges seemed trivial compared to younger	
			generations' pandemic-related stresses	18
	4.4	The in	npact of the pandemic on the grey divide	19
		4.4.1	Many older adults crossed the divide and became savvy	
			technology users	19
		4.4.2	Older adults on the "wrong" side of the divide felt frus-	
			trated, anxious, and alienated	20
		4.4.3	Some older adults were able to adapt to the pandemic with-	
			out adopting technology	21
5	Disc	cussion		23
5	Disc 5.1	c ussion Ageisi	m and digital exclusion	23 23
5	Disc 5.1 5.2	cussion Ageisi Reflec	m and digital exclusion	23 23 24
5	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3	Ageisi Ageisi Reflec Pande	m and digital exclusion	 23 23 24 25
5	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Ageiss Ageiss Reflec Pande The ac	m and digital exclusion	 23 23 24 25 26
5	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Ageisi Ageisi Reflec Pande The ac Sugge	m and digital exclusion	 23 23 24 25 26 27
5	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Ageiss Ageiss Reflec Pande The ac Sugge 5.5.1	m and digital exclusion	 23 23 24 25 26 27 27
5	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Ageisn Reflec Pande The ac Sugge 5.5.1 5.5.2	m and digital exclusion	 23 24 25 26 27 27
5	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Ageisi Ageisi Reflec Pande The ac Sugge 5.5.1 5.5.2	m and digital exclusion	 23 24 25 26 27 27
5	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Ageisi Ageisi Reflec Pande The ac Sugge 5.5.1 5.5.2 5.5.3	m and digital exclusion	 23 23 24 25 26 27 27 27 28
5	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Ageisn Reflec Pande The ac Sugge 5.5.1 5.5.2 5.5.3 itations	m and digital exclusion	 23 23 24 25 26 27 27 27 27 28 30
5	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 Lim 6.1	Ageisn Ageisn Reflec Pande The ac Sugge 5.5.1 5.5.2 5.5.3 itations Limita	m and digital exclusion	 23 23 24 25 26 27 27 27 28 30 30
5 6	Disc 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 Lim 6.1 6.2	Ageisn Ageisn Reflec Pande The ac Sugge 5.5.1 5.5.2 5.5.3 itations Limita Conch	m and digital exclusion	 23 23 24 25 26 27 27 27 28 30 30 31

A	Stud	1dy Materials					
	A.1	Intervi	iew Guide	41			
		A.1.1	Introduction script	41			
		A.1.2	Interview questions	42			
	A.2	Online	e Survey	44			
		A.2.1	Introduction	44			
		A.2.2	Survey questions	44			
B	Data	Analys	'sis	47			
	B .1	Codes		47			
		B.1.1	Coding example	47			
		B.1.2	Final codes	48			
	B.2	Mindm	nap	55			

List of Tables

Table 3.1Participant demographics and self-reported technology use...12

Glossary

- CSCW Computer-Supported Cooperative Work
- GT Grounded Theory
- HCI Human-Computer Interaction

Acknowledgments

First, I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dongwook Yoon, who has been so supportive of me throughout my entire Master's degree. Thank you for providing me with the opportunity to do exciting research, for believing in my work, and for encouraging me every step of the way. I would also like to thank Prof. Joanna Mc-Grenere for her invaluable feedback, and all the interview participants who helped shape this study.

Thank you to everyone in D-Lab for the stimulating discussions and for broadening my perspective on HCI research. A special thanks to Mint Tanprasert, Ning Ma, Anna Offenwanger, Mohi Reza, Oloff Biermann, Sophie Berger, Sang-Wha Sien, Janet Chen, Julianne Sin, and Don Sin who helped me tremendously with the writing of this thesis.

Thank you Danilo Veljovic for giving me the extra motivation to get things done and "keep up the hard work". I will always remember how much you cared for me during the most stressful weeks of my degree.

None of this work could have happened without my family. Thank you for the love and encouragement. Dad, you are my research role model. Thank you for all your help throughout my entire academic journey. To my sister, Julianne, you are an absolute gem. Thank you for putting up with all my complaining and self-doubt, and for being the best company during study breaks. I couldn't have done this without you!

This research was made possible by the funds from the Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Mitacs, the NSERC Designing for People CREATE program, and a generous gift from Adobe Research.

Chapter 1

Introduction

Older adults (people ages 65+) worldwide are physically isolated in their homes due to COVID-19 related physical distancing guidelines and orders to shelter-inplace. Compared to the general population, public health authorities have mandated stricter distancing orders for older adults due to their increased vulnerability of complications from the virus [24]. This includes limiting in-person contact and avoiding any gatherings or events in crowded or enclosed settings. Consequently, many older adults are presently separated from their communities and are at high risk of feeling lonely and socially isolated [71].

To reduce social isolation in older adults who are sheltering-in-place, a variety of organizations have mobilized virtual alternatives to everyday activities that can no longer operate in-person. Some examples include: digital social events, online fitness classes, and telehealth services. Given the steadily increasing rates of Internet use and smartphone adoption in the older population [3], these solutions could potentially reach and benefit many older adults. A significant problem, however, is that despite the increase in the number of technology users, a large proportion of older adults still remain separated from technology either by choice or by lack of access [25, 44, 59]. Issues regarding digital inequalities are further exacerbated by the fact that many COVID-19 related interventions for older adults are being delivered through newer applications (such as Zoom), which may be unfamiliar and difficult to navigate even for the more experienced technology users.

Despite these obstacles, life-altering circumstances, such as a pandemic, may

be a powerful motivational force for technology adoption. This has been demonstrated in a number of Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) studies, which have demonstrated that major life events (e.g., war [53], a residential move [69], remote weddings [54]), are key moments for technology adoption. However, the COVID-19 pandemic differs in several major ways to those of other events previously described. The pandemic, for example, required physical distancing and social isolation as a prerequisite for containment of the virus. This, in turn, accelerated the transition of in-person activities towards online-only services and interactions. To this end, it is possible that older adults may have become more motivated to adopt and expand their use of technology. In line with this thought, many researchers and journalists have speculated that the pandemic could help narrow the grey digital divide (the disparity regarding online connectivity and technology use among older adults) by motivating technology adoption (e.g., [17, 58, 63]). However, there are also concerns that some older individuals who have little to no experience with technology to begin with may be left behind in this digital revolution [6, 67]. Currently, there is a scarcity of data to address these speculations. Our study aims to fill this crucial gap.

We interviewed 24 older adults with varying backgrounds and life experiences to explore the motivations and barriers towards technology adoption specific to the pandemic and to understand how the rapid shift towards digital services and activities impacted the grey digital divide. Our primary findings were that:

- the pandemic and its restrictions on face-to-face social interaction pushed many older adults to **adopt new technologies** and **become more tech-savvy**
- the pandemic surfaced **new barriers to technology adoption**, such as restricted access to in-person technology support under physical distancing mandates and a lack of opportunities for digital participation due to agebased discrimination
- although the pandemic heightened feelings of digital exclusion among nonusers, they were able to adapt to their new life circumstances by socializing through non-digital means, staying busy with hobbies, and relying on tech-savvy "proxies"

This work makes three primary contributions to the HCI community. First, we provide fresh insights into older adults' technology adoption and communication practices in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, we identify several pandemic-related motivations and barriers to technology adoption and describe the diverse experiences of older adults as they adapted to the digital surge that accompanied physical distancing norms and lockdowns. Second, we contribute an up-to-date examination of the grey digital divide in light of the society-wide shift towards online ways of life. Finally, we provide suggestions to address aforementioned challenges for greater inclusion of older adults into the digital space.

Chapter 2

Related work

This study builds on past research on the grey digital divide and technology adoption, and contributes to advancing literature on COVID-19 and its impact on older adults.

The grey digital divide and technology adoption

A large body of research has demonstrated a "grey digital divide" (or "grey divide" for short) where older adults are less involved with technology than younger adults. The term "digital divide" not only describes the gap between technology users and non-users, but also subtle gradations of digital exclusion— for instance, discrepancies in levels of digital literacy and experience. Although technology use (e.g., Internet usage, smartphone adoption) is steadily increasing among the older population [3], age continues to have a significant differentiating effect when it comes to technology adoption and proficiency— particularly in the oldest age brackets [25, 35, 70]. In a recent study, Pang et al. demonstrated that despite overall gains in digital literacy, many older individuals still rely on others (e.g., store technicians, younger family members) for technology set-up and onboarding [60].

Increasing digital access for older adults and bridging the grey divide has been a focus for many researchers in Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW) and in the broader HCI community. In particular, a large body of literature has been dedicated to investigating how technology can be designed to be more accessible for people with specific age-related impairments, such as late-life vision loss [9, 10, 41, 62] and dementia [37]. Other studies have targeted a more general older adult population and have focused on identifying and overcoming barriers to digital literacy (e.g., [16, 72]). Along with these efforts to bridge the grey divide, a growing number of studies have demonstrated the diverse needs, opinions, and preferences of older adults relating to technology adoption, such as their values related to social media [34], reasons for distrusting technology [43], and learning preferences [48, 60]. Collectively, these studies underscore the complexity of technology acceptance and highlight how older adults' individual values and life circumstances impact their decisions about technology.

Older adults who remain on the "wrong" side of the grey divide may be facing challenging realities during the COVID-19 pandemic due to their inability to access online services and participate in digital social events. Our work provides up-to-date insights on the grey divide, highlights issues to be resolved, and proposes actionable solutions to improve digital access for older adults who are currently isolated and excluded from the online world.

Technology to support social interaction for older adults

Maintaining meaningful relationships is a critical component of aging well. However, as people get older, their social circles tend to shrink due to age-related changes, such as retirement, bereavement, and declines in health [18, 82]. Consequently, compared to younger age groups, older adults are more likely to experience feelings of loneliness and social isolation [61]. To address this problem, aged care providers and HCI researchers are increasingly exploring the use of communication technologies to help people remain socially connected as they age.

A number of studies that have demonstrated the positive effects of technology on reducing social isolation, enhancing older adults' social lives, and improving their overall well-being (e.g., [27, 39, 40]). Communication technologies can help facilitate social activity in older adults by helping them overcome barriers to connectivity, such as geographical distance and mobility impairments, and can help them feel less lonely even if in-person contact is infrequent [15, 19, 64]. Video calling, in particular, can facilitate rich communication experiences between older adults and their long-distance family members and can garner a sense of "being there" with them [1].

The benefits of communication technologies are even more pronounced during times of isolation and physical distancing, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Communication outlets, such as the computer or smart devices, can provide avenues for older adults to stay engaged with their communities (e.g., places of worship, community centres, fitness classes), family members, and friends while following physical distancing recommendations. In past studies, however, older adults have articulated some concerns regarding the use of technology for social interaction, such as the loss of deeper communication and the time commitment required for online participation [34]. To the best of our knowledge, none of the previous studies were performed in the context of a pandemic where in-person interactions were not possible.

Existing articles on COVID-19 and older adults' technology practices

Due to their increased risk for severe illness with COVID-19, many older adults are sheltering-in-place and maintaining physical distance from others. Existing studies on older adults and COVID-19 have typically focused on the potential health consequences of social isolation, which includes impairments in daily functioning and declines in mental health and cognition [7, 45, 83].

Communication technologies may help mitigate aforementioned risks by providing isolated older adults with opportunities for social interaction. In fact, according a recent news article, members of a seniors group in New York City were able to learn Zoom and lead socially active lives while in quarantine [23]. It is important to note, however, that these individuals were supported by a number of staff who provided one-to-one technology training and weekly check-in calls. Similar services may not be available for the broader older adult population. People who are unable to access technology during this time may struggle with the "double burden of social and digital exclusion" [67]. That is, in addition to feelings of exclusion from a digitally dominated society, the focus on digital events as the primary means of social interaction could also lead to feelings of social exclusion among those who are unable to participate online.

The majority of studies investigating older adults' technology practices during

the COVID-19 pandemic have typically involved statistical analyses of large-scale survey data (e.g., [65, 80]) or have been opinion editorials (e.g., [4, 58]). There has been a lesser focus on examining individual experiences. Our study aims to fill this gap by contributing qualitative insights on older adults' communication technology practices during the pandemic and their challenges related to technology adoption and digital exclusion.

Chapter 3

Methods

The goal of our study was to explore older adults' adoption and use of social technologies during the COVID-19 pandemic. We gathered qualitative data through semi-structured interviews, and analyzed this data inductively using the constant comparative method. This method is often associated with Glaser and Strauss' classic Grounded Theory (Grounded Theory (GT)) approach [30]. Similar to classic GT studies, data collection and data analysis occurred in an iterative fashion: we conducted interviews in batches of six and analyzed those interviews before recruiting the next batch of participants. Based on the analysis of each batch, we refined and extended the interview guide as needed.

Notably, our methodological approach deviated from GT in that our goal was not to generate a theory. Rather, we utilized analysis procedures associated with GT to systematically uncover the patterns in our data without the imposition of a predetermined framework or theory. Similar methodological processes have been used in a number of past HCI studies (e.g., [2, 51, 81]).

3.1 Participants and recruitment protocol

Our participants were 24 older adults (13 women, 11 men) living in an urbanized region in North America. The age of the participants ranged from 66 to 82 years (M=74.8 years, SD=4.8 years). We used purposive sampling to obtain variation in age, gender, education, and household composition. These sociodemographic

factors are often associated with technology use [3, 20, 78]. The details of participants' demographics can be found in Table 3.1.

The majority of participants (13) lived with a spouse or partner. Eleven participants lived alone, and two participants were from a multi-generational household (i.e., more than two generations living under the same roof). Two participants worked part-time, while the remaining twenty-two were retired. Their past occupations included: nurse, tutor, teacher, professor, professional musician, engineer, welder, self-employed, periodontist, sales person, social worker, camera operator, office manager, project manager, underwriter, marina owner, director of quality insurance, director of a seniors complex. We note that all interviewees lived independently in their own homes and managed day-to-day life without the assistance of homecare or similar services.

We recruited participants by reaching out to personal contacts, local retirement communities, seniors' groups, and through snowball sampling. The recruitment flyer focused on attracting participants who were interested in sharing their pandemic-related experiences and those who desired assistance with technology. The compensation for the study was a choice between (1) a \$25 honorarium or (2) a 1-hour technology support session with the researcher after the interview. Eighteen participants selected option 1 and six participants opted for the latter.

We collected demographics data, such as the participants' age and gender, through an online survey (see Appendix A). Some participants completed this survey on their own before the interview while others, such as participants who were unable to access the survey online, completed it verbally with the interviewer at the start of the session. In addition to questions regarding demographics, the survey also contained a mixture of closed-ended and open-ended questions about technical proficiency (e.g., In your opinion, how "tech-savvy" are you? Why?). According to the survey data, 13 participants described their tech-savviness as either 'Low' (n=4) or 'Low/Intermediate' (n=9), while the remaining 11 participants selected 'Intermediate' (n=5), 'Intermediate/Advanced' (n=4) or 'Advanced' (n=2). The interpretation of these categories was up to each individual participant.

3.2 Data collection through semi-structured interviews

We used a semi-structured interview format so that participants could freely elaborate on their experiences and take part in shaping the conversation. Due to the circumstances of the COVID-19 pandemic, these interviews were entirely remote. Each interview lasted approximately one hour and was conducted through the Internet or over phone by the first and second author from May to August, 2020. To extend the reach of our study, we encouraged participants to choose their preferred method of (remote) communication for the interview. In total, nine participants were interviewed through Zoom, 14 by phone, and one participant was interviewed asynchronously through text messages. A set of prepared questions was used to guide the interview session. These questions were revised prior to the first interview based on two pilot participants (the revised interview questions are available in the Appendix A).

The interview protocol included questions about participants' past experience with technology (e.g., What technologies did you use to socialize with your friends and family before the pandemic, if any?), their social lives (e.g., How has the pandemic impacted your social life?), and their technology use during the pandemic (e.g., What technologies have you been using during the pandemic, if any?). These topics often naturally raised discussions regarding specific platforms and different types of online activities, such as live-streamed religious services, webinars, and virtual book clubs. All interviews were conducted in English, audio-taped with participants' permission, and transcribed verbatim for coding purposes.

3.3 Data analysis using the constant comparison method

We analyzed the interview transcripts using the constant comparative method, which is a data-analytic process that was introduced by Glaser and Strauss. As its name suggests, this method involves comparing each interpretation and finding with existing findings. Following the stages outlined by Glaser [29], the first and second author conducted open coding on the interview transcripts (12 each) by assigning initial codes, such as *new digital routines* and *social pressure*, for segments of data to summarize what was going on. The codes were iteratively refined through constant comparison with the raw data (i.e., interview transcripts), other codes, and emerging themes, resulting in over 200 open codes. Throughout the analysis process, conceptually similar codes were grouped together to form high-level categories, such as *motivators for going online* and *sources of tech-support*. There were 25 categories in total. The codes and categories are available in Appendix B.

Between interviews, the research team had frequent meetings to discuss the codes and emerging findings. The coding process was collaborative and transparent, meaning that each researcher could see and comment on another researcher's codes. We continued to recruit participants and schedule interviews until we reached thematic saturation.

ID	Age	Gender	Highest form of education	Household composition	Self-reported tech-savviness	Technology-based communication methods, apps, and platforms used in the pandemic
P1	82	Man	College degree	Alone	Low/Intermediate	Phone calls, texting, email, Zoom* , Twitch*
P2	67	Woman	Postgraduate degree	With spouse	Intermediate/Advanced	Phone calls, texting, email, FaceTime, Skype, Zoom
P3	73	Woman	College degree	With spouse	Low/Intermediate	Phone calls, texting, email, Facebook, FaceTime, Zoom*,
						YouTube live streaming*
P4	81	Man	Postgraduate degree	Alone	Advanced	Phone calls, texting, email, Facebook, FaceTime, Zoom*
P5	81	Woman	High school or less	Alone	Intermediate/Advanced	Phone calls, texting, email, Facebook, Twitter
P7	75	Man	College degree	With spouse	Low	Phone calls, texting, email, Facebook
P8	76	Woman	Postgraduate degree	With spouse	Low/Intermediate	Phone calls, texting, email, FaceTime, Zoom* , webinar software*
P9	75	Woman	Some college	Multigenerational	Low/Intermediate	Phone calls, email, WhatsApp, Zoom*
P10	70	Woman	College degree	With partner	Low/Intermediate	Phone calls, texting, email, FaceTime*, Zoom*
P11	79	Man	Postgraduate degree	Multigenerational	Intermediate	Phone calls, email, FaceTime*, Zoom*
P12	74	Woman	College degree	Alone	Low	Phone calls
P13	73	Man	College degree	Alone	Intermediate	Phone calls, email, FaceTime*, Zoom*
P14	66	Man	Some college	With partner	Intermediate/Advanced	Phone calls, email, Facebook, Instagram
P15	67	Woman	Postgraduate degree	Alone	Intermediate/Advanced	Phone calls, texting, email, WhatsApp, FaceTime, Zoom*
P16	77	Man	College degree	Alone	Low	Phone calls, email
P17	71	Woman	Postgraduate degree	With spouse	Low/Intermediate	Phone calls, email, Facebook, FaceTime*, Skype, Zoom*
P18	74	Man	High school or less	With spouse	Low	Phone calls
P19	81	Man	College degree	Alone	Low/Intermediate	Phone calls, email, WhatsApp*, Zoom*
P20	71	Woman	Postgraduate degree	Alone	Low/Intermediate	Phone calls, Instagram, Skype, FaceTime*, Zoom*
P21	77	Man	College degree	With spouse	Intermediate	Phone calls, texting, email, FaceTime
P22	69	Woman	College degree	Alone	Intermediate	Phone calls, texting, email, Facebook, Zoom*
P23	79	Man	Postgraduate degree	With spouse	Advanced	Phone calls, texting, email, Zoom*, Webex*
P24	78	Woman	Some college	With grandchild	Intermediate	Phone calls, texting, email, WhatsApp*, Skype*, Google Hangouts*, Zoom*

Table 3.1: Participant demographics and set	elf-reported technology use
---	-----------------------------

* Indicates that the technology was newly adopted during the pandemic

12

Chapter 4

Findings

First, we provide a contextualizing overview of participants' communication technology practices during the pandemic, including their self-reported technology proficiency, frequency of use, and the specific applications that they adopted. We then report our key findings, which involve (1) how the pandemic increased participants' motivation to learn technology, (2) major impediments to technology adoption, and (3) the effects of the pandemic on the grey divide.

4.1 Communication practices during the pandemic

All but two participants owned a smart device or computer and had Internet access. Some of these participants were sophisticated users of modern communication technology, such as videoconferencing platforms or social media, while others preferred "traditional" methods, such as texting or email. The remaining two participants primarily communicated through phone calls via a (non-smartphone) cellphone (P12) or landline (P18).

Sixteen participants reported that they adopted (i.e., started to use on a regular basis) at least one new communication technology during the pandemic. These included: (1) videoconferencing platforms (such as FaceTime and Zoom), (2) live streaming services (such as Twitch and YouTube live streaming), (3) webinar software, and (4) instant messaging apps (such as WhatsApp). Twenty-two participants stated that their technology use increased substantially during the pandemic.

The remaining two reported no noticeable change. For a detailed breakdown of participants' communication technology practices, refer to Table 3.1.

4.2 How did the pandemic motivate technology adoption?

Here, we describe two pandemic-related factors that motivated older adults to adopt technology for social interaction purposes.

4.2.1 Technology was often the only option for social interaction among strict adherers of self-isolation measures

Several participants who strictly followed pandemic guidelines to "shelter-in-place" and who were not meeting with others were highly motivated to explore new digital communication platforms because, in most cases, technology was their only opportunity for social interaction. This group contained individuals who were immune-compromised (P5, P19), living with someone who was immune-compromised (P8), and those who were simply very concerned about the virus (P3). For example, P19, an 81-year old who did not leave his home due to a "compromised lung situation" was motivated by his new life circumstances to learn Zoom so that he could "see faces once in a while". This participant was also eager to learn WhatsApp, an instant messaging app, so that he could participate in group conversations with his children who could no longer come over to visit. Another participant who was also following strict self-isolation measures compensated for the lack of face-to-face interactions and in-person activities by socializing digitally all day:

"Because I'm 80, I have to be careful and my friends are getting up there too. So we just feel as though we should be cautious for a while. Now, this phone beeps all the time. The emails come all the time. The texting comes all the time!" (P5)

Isolated older adults who successfully adopted new communication platforms often reported that digital social activities were now a standard part of their daily routine. In fact, many participants spent multiple hours everyday engaging in these activities, and one participant even stated, "Zoom and I are best friends" (P22).

4.2.2 Older adults who were highly connected prior to lockdown missed social interaction

Fifteen participants reported that they learned new technology, such as Zoom and FaceTime, in order to remain engaged with their family, friends, and community. This motivation was particularly notable in individuals who had large interpersonal networks or who were highly involved in their social groups (e.g., church, book clubs, sports groups) prior to the lockdown. In fact, for many participants, these social commitments were an essential part of their lives, and in some cases, fundamental to their identity. For example, P3 stated, "There's Tai Chi on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday– I used to go to all of those", and similarly P9 stated, "Singing and ringing [church bells]– that's my life". When these groups and communities transitioned online, participants were willing and eager to "jump in right away" (P10). P9 explained:

"Well I *had* to learn Zoom because of my [church bell] ringing group. We rang up to six hours a week. That's a huge part of my social life. The pandemic left a huge hole, an absolutely huge hole when it happened. But Zoom is an opportunity to keep in contact with them."

It is important to note, however, that there were some participants who were not willing or motivated to adopt new technology, despite the reduced opportunities for social interaction. These were people who were typically less social and accustomed to spending time alone. This is exemplified in the following quote from P18, a self-described "loner":

"I hate to say this, but I don't have much of a social life [...] I have no desire to learn any of it [technology]. It has no impact on me. There's no need for it for me."

Similarly, another participant stated:

"What's the point of us struggling to try and learn these things? For what? To make a few communications? Nah, it's not worth it [...] Technology to me is just a pain in the butt." (P7)

4.3 How did the pandemic hinder technology adoption?

Next, we describe three barriers related to the pandemic that were major impediments to older adults' technology adoption and online participation.

4.3.1 Ageism became visible and led to digital exclusion

When describing their online experiences during the pandemic, several participants alluded to the topic of ageism (the stereotyping, prejudice, and discrimination against older adults and their age-related changes [12]). For example, P1 and P6 observed ageist attitudes from activity organizers and hosts who assumed that older people were either uninterested or incapable of participating online. In some cases, these assumptions resulted in the digital exclusion of older adults– including those who were "sharp" (P1) and "ready to come [online] and learn" (P6). In fact, many individuals were not even invited to participate in the first place:

"Out of the 40 people in the meeting, the organizers assumed that around 10 people would be too old to use Zoom, so they gave up on them. They [the organizers] didn't even try to give them the instructions. They didn't bother because they thought it was beyond their reach." (P1)

Similarly, P6, who belonged to a senior's education group, noted that the leadership were apprehensive to transition courses online because they assumed that "a lot of elderly do not have the competency to do it online". She expressed her frustrations in the following statement:

"I'm all against ageism. We *are* capable of learning. Sometimes it's just a different type of learning and I think people need to respect that. We can't be overly negative about seniors, and these programs should allow us to do more online."

To our surprise, the very people who expressed ageist sentiments were often older adults themselves. For instance, some older adults in P1's seniors leadership group labelled other participants as "slow" and assumed that they would not be interested in participating online: "The other elder who is initiating these meetings said that there's around 15 people who aren't participating because they're not only slow with technology, but they are slow at walking, slow with a lot of things [...] He says because they are so old, they won't try it– they won't even think about trying it."

4.3.2 Pandemic bubbles were the primary source of in-person technical assistance

During the early stages of the pandemic, public health authorities encouraged people to form small social "bubbles" to help them cope with the restrictions of the pandemic. These typically consisted of a few family members and friends who had a mutual agreement to limit their contact to the individuals in the same group. For many participants, their pandemic bubble was not only their sole source of face-to-face social interaction, but also the only way they could receive in-person technology support. Other in-person resources, such as electronics retailers and community centres, were closed or difficult to access due to high call demands and limited staff. One participant, who lived alone and only had one friend in her bubble who was also not very skilled with technology expressed a sense of helplessness when it came to learning and troubleshooting technology during the pandemic. She explained:

"Things are obviously different because you can't just go to the shop or have someone come over to repair things anymore. Everyone's busy with their own life right now and it's just not right to ask someone to risk their health for my benefit." (P12)

In contrast, P6 successfully adopted a variety of new technologies because she had someone in her bubble who could come over and help her out:

"My brother-in-law he is in our bubble [...] he is our computer whiz, so we've had that specialty with us. It's been really good. We've both had some private tutoring when he comes over for dinner and we trust the three of us are a unit." Many participants, particularly those who were new or novice technology users, either needed or preferred in-person assistance when learning technology. Participants preferred in-person approaches because it was convenient (P1, P9, P18, P20, P21, P22), fast (P9, P11, P19), and because there was very little chance of miscommunication (P1, P6, P12). When we probed participants on other learning methods, such as printed instructions, online manuals, video tutorials, or receiving help over the phone, these were generally deemed time-consuming (P9, P11), prone to error (P9, P12), overwhelming (P10, P13, P16, P24), or simply "not human enough" (P6). In regard to online learning resources, one participant, P13, alluded to the notion of a "technical support paradox": where it is impossible to teach technology through technology to someone who does not know how to use technology. As an example, he explained: "An online course doesn't help much if you can't get online in the first place".

4.3.3 Technology challenges seemed trivial compared to younger generations' pandemic-related stresses

Two participants, P14 and P19, thought that their younger family members and friends were going through immense stress due to the pandemic and should not be burdened with their technology problems. These participants discussed burden in relation to not wanting to "waste" their children's time (P19) and were concerned that younger generations were disproportionately impacted by the pandemic and suffering more than any other generation (P14). For example, P19 spoke about his children who were struggling with economic losses and career hardships due to the pandemic; these were "serious problems" much more important than his technology challenges, which he described as "non-essential" and "more of a luxury than anything". Although P19 had a keen desire to expand his technology skills so that he could "do the more complicated stuff", such as hosting his own Zoom meetings, he was uncomfortable with the idea of asking his children for help:

"I've got nobody to teach me [...] I have children, but they're so busy. All this COVID stuff has been a real stress for them, their careers, you know? They don't actually have the time to tell me too much. So even though my life has slowed down, it's been the opposite for them. Chaos. Utter chaos."

Similarly, P14 stated that the pandemic was "not a good time" to be seeking technologyrelated favours from his younger friends. He explained:

"Being retired, it's quite different. I don't have to worry about losing my job or my income. I don't have any of those worries. But my close younger friends are having a hard time. [...] So for setting up systems and things, I have friends I can call. They would do their best to explain it for me and look after it, but now's not a good time for that."

4.4 The impact of the pandemic on the grey divide

Our findings demonstrate that although the pandemic motivated many older adults to adopt technology and become more tech-savvy, it also exacerbated the marginalization of non-users. Here, we contrast the experiences of older adults who successfully crossed the divide (i.e., those who gained or improved their technology skills and were able to connect online) with those who remained on the "wrong" side. We also describe the coping strategies of non-users as they adapted to the their new life circumstances under the pandemic.

4.4.1 Many older adults crossed the divide and became savvy technology users

The COVID-19 pandemic was a strong motivational force for technology adoption for the majority of our participants. Notably, for several individuals who had very limited experience with technology pre-COVID, the pandemic was the necessary push to "cross" the digital divide– to step out of their comfort zone, explore new technologies, and gain new digital skills. In fact, one participant, P1, explained that he never would have tried videoconferencing if not for the pandemic. Videoconferencing made a "tremendous impact" on P1's life by allowing him to see his children throughout the lockdown, and this impact motivated him to expand his digital repertoire even further. He stated, "For a while I didn't want to touch anything other than the Internet and telephoning somebody. But now, I want to learn too". Three participants (P1, P10, P13) who engaged in online activities for the first time during the pandemic, reported that they were eager to continue their online participation even after the pandemic was over. For example, P10 said, "I think even after we can go in normally– or relatively normally whenever that's going to be, I think I would still do some classes online". Participants enjoyed the convenience of online participation and were excited by the prospects of being able to connect with their remote family members more frequently.

One notable challenge associated with the sudden and rapid influx of technology adoption among new and novice users was that virtual activities were frequently disturbed by technical difficulties (e.g., participants forgetting to turn on the microphone when speaking or accidentally leaving the meeting partway through). Over time, however, participants became savvier with technology and online experiences ran smoothly:

"Initially it was very funny actually, because all kinds of silly things were happening. But now we're pretty savvy. We don't need somebody there holding our hand and saying 'do this, do that'. We can do it on our own."

4.4.2 Older adults on the "wrong" side of the divide felt frustrated, anxious, and alienated

Participants who either did not use the Internet (P12, P18) or used it very minimally (P7, P16) felt disconnected from their communities and acknowledged that they were missing out on valuable social interaction opportunities. For example, P16 stated, "I'm not really in touch– I haven't been since the COVID thing started", and similarly, P18 said, "Everyone's doing Zoom– but I don't do Zoom". Some participants had a genuine interest in learning technology but were frustrated by the steep learning curve, past failures, and by their general lack of experience. To our surprise, however, these participants rarely chose technology support as their preferred choice for compensation. P12 believed she was "too far behind to catch up", and another participant explained, "I probably wouldn't be able to follow the steps. It's never been me who had to set these things up" (P19).

Two participants (P7, P18) explicitly indicated that they had no desire to learn

technology. For example, P7 referred to the pandemic as a "technological nightmare" and refused to conform to digital norms, despite the increased societal pressure to do so:

"We got used to doing things a certain way, and now, at old age, they want to change all that and force you to do what the 30 or 40 year old's are doing. It's difficult. It's not easy. I just say, 'No, I'm not doing that.' I want to do things the way I've always done them."

The accelerated reliance on technology brought on by the pandemic made some participants feel anxious about the future. For instance, P12 stated, "With everything advancing so fast nowadays, I'm afraid that my life will be even more disorderly once it [the pandemic] is over". In particular, several participants worried that in-person offerings would soon become obsolete and that they would be even more separated from the digital world: "I know that in some ways it's inevitable. Everything and everyone is going online. We [non-users] are on our own" (P16).

4.4.3 Some older adults were able to adapt to the pandemic without adopting technology

All four participants mentioned in the previous section (P7, P12, P16, P18) were able to adapt to the "new normal" of the pandemic without adopting technology or engaging in online activities. P16, for instance, stayed in touch with his family through daily phone calls and P12 spoke to her neighbour over the fence. Other participants, including P7 and P18, engaged in new hobbies, such as gardening and music, to stay busy and pass the time.

Beyond social interaction, participants spoke about other aspects of their life, such as banking and managing telephone bills, that had also transitioned to virtualonly offerings during the pandemic. P18 adapted to these new circumstances by enlisting assistance from a tech-savvy spouse. His wife helped him set-up his weekly telemedicine appointments and handled all email correspondences (including scheduling the interview for this study). Another participant, P16, who did not have access to a technology "proxy", compromised with his telephone company so that he could receive paper statements (the company had transitioned to paperless during the pandemic) in exchange for a small fee: "And it bothers me. But not much I can do about it, so I say, 'Oh, the hell with it.' [...] I don't want it [online statements]. I don't want to live like that."

Chapter 5

Discussion

In this section we reflect on our key findings in the context of past CSCW, HCI, gerontology, and COVID-19 studies. We discuss the main implications of our research in promoting digital access for older adults and provide suggestions to help narrow the grey divide during the pandemic.

5.1 Ageism and digital exclusion

Throughout the pandemic, we have seen several studies, as well as considerable media coverage about ageism— where older adults are homogeneously viewed as frail and helpless against COVID-19 (e.g., [5, 56, 73]). Public discourse surround-ing the pandemic has also shed light on the devaluing of older adults' lives— for instance, an analysis of Twitter data related to older adults and COVID-19 uncovered numerous posts that contained "death jokes" targeted towards older adults, as well as tweets that implied that the life of older adults are less valuable than the lives of younger people (e.g., "we shouldn't trade millions of lives to try saving the very old and frail from a virus") [84]. In section 4.3.1 we described participants' first-and second-hand experiences with ageism, including narratives of digital discrimination and exclusion among their older adult peers and within their communities. In the most extreme cases, older people were purposefully excluded (i.e., not invited in the first place) from virtual activities on the premise that they were "slow" and "too old" to participate. Given the potential consequences of digital exclu-

sion, particularly in the context of the pandemic (e.g., as outlined in section 4.4.2), our findings underscore the need for collective action against ageism. The insights from 4.3.1 resonate with a growing body of HCI research which has critically examined ageism through the lens of older adults' lived experiences (e.g., from the perspective of older adult bloggers [47]) and foregrounded it as an important social issue for the HCI community [22, 79].

The underlying reasons for the prevalence of age-based digital exclusion during the pandemic are unclear. However, we speculate that the high-anxiety and stress resulting from the pandemic, coupled with the rapidity with which commercial organizations and social networks transitioned to online and virtual meetings may have been significant contributors. For example, studies on caregivers indicate that high stress and overwhelming demands may be an important factor in ageist behaviours and even elder abuse (e.g., [38, 74]). Additionally, organizers who were compelled to rapidly move activities online may have had to exchange convenience for equity by making the decision to exclude older individuals whose continued involvement could have delayed the transition to a virtual platform. This would be an example of what Thomas calls "ability-based exclusivity", which often occurs due to external pressures (e.g., pressure from higher-ups to transition everything online as soon as possible), rather than by malicious intent [75].

5.2 Reflecting on the technical support paradox

The technical support paradox detailed in the section 4.3.2 demonstrates the conundrum of technology adoption among digitally naive older adults. For this group, online instructional resources (e.g., video tutorials, remote support through screen share and video chat) are moot as they cannot (or struggle to) digitally connect in the first place. This paradox closely resembles the digital literacy paradox of older adults [66], which describes how prior engagement with technology is crucial in gaining digital literacy but without digital literacy it is impossible to engage with technology in the first place.

Leung et al., made an observation related to the technology support paradox in the context of learning to use mobile devices: older adults, especially beginners or novice users, preferred demonstration over online resources because they found that the online instructions were overwhelming and daunting to navigate [48]. Similarly, a recent CSCW study on the adoption of video streaming for online education during the pandemic found that in-person family support was one of the most effective ways to troubleshoot technical challenges in senior teachers who had low digital literacy and no experience in online teaching [14]. Together, these findings indicate that technology innovators should consider offline support to cater to novice older adult users and highlight the need to re-examine how effective support can be delivered under the unique circumstances of the pandemic.

5.3 Pandemic "phases" and how they impact technology practices

The COVID-19 pandemic is evolving rapidly, and in response to these changes, local governments in North America are taking a phased approach to contain communityspread of the virus. Most jurisdictions initially implemented a partial or complete lockdown and gradually eased the lockdown restrictions once hospitalization and mortality rates stabilized or declined. Our study was conducted a few months after the initial national lockdown that took place in March. Beginning in May, local businesses and public spaces gradually re-opened and physical distancing restrictions were relaxed. Currently, most businesses are open and operating with increased safety precautions and the widespread anxiety regarding the virus has decreased substantially in response to the development and distribution of COVID-19 vaccines.

How do these contextual circumstances impact our data? Most notably, some participants who were interviewed during July and August, reported that they were engaging in social gatherings and slowly expanding their pandemic bubble. In general, the enthusiasm and urgency to adopt and use technology for social interaction purposes (as outlined in section 4.2.2) were less prominent in these individuals because they had opportunities for in-person social interaction. This observation reinforces findings from past studies that have demonstrated that older adults are particularly motivated to learn technology when they perceive it as fulfilling a need [8, 16, 32]; but when that need no longer exists, they may limit usage or abandon it altogether [28, 76]. Further research into future technology practices and technol-

ogy adoption patterns is warranted, as the pandemic is ongoing (albeit, seemingly coming to an end) and restrictions on social gatherings are constantly changing.

5.4 The adaptability of older adults

Our findings collectively demonstrate the resilience and adaptability of older adults when faced with unexpected life circumstances such as the pandemic. As detailed in 4.4.1, many older adults adapted to the pandemic by adopting new technology, improving their digital skills, and by participating in online activities. Similarly, the findings from 4.4.3 showed that even non-users were able to acclimate to a certain extent– for instance, by enlisting assistance from a tech-savvy spouse to access services that had transitioned from offline to online. These findings are consistent with prior research that has highlighted the abilities of older adults to adapt and surmount adverse life events (e.g., [11, 33]). This includes a recent study which examined various coping strategies of older adults during the initial weeks of the pandemic and found that the majority of older adults perceived themselves to be coping well [26]. Our findings contribute to advancing HCI literature on older adults which aims to dispel pre-existing stereotypes (e.g., that they are technologically inept and vulnerable) and, rather, demonstrate their competence and strengths (e.g., [21, 46]).

As highlighted by Knowles and Hanson, several decades of HCI research has focused on enabling older adults to adopt technology [44]. However, our findings from 4.4.3, indicate that the older population is a diverse group with some highly motivated and others who are resistant to technology adoption. During the pandemic, some in the latter group had to significantly alter their lifestyle or "pay a price" in order to cope without technology (e.g., pay a fee in exchange for paper statements, miss out on social interaction opportunities). What does this mean for the HCI community? We believe that there is a pressing need to provide alternate mechanisms for non-users to navigate an increasingly "online-only" society without being disadvantaged. For instance, new technological innovations should consider the older non-users by offering non-digital solutions. This is particularly important given the context of the pandemic, which has rapidly accelerated the trend towards online ways of life.

5.5 Suggestions to increase digital access and bridge the grey divide

Here, we propose three solutions grounded in our findings and previous work to increase digital access for older adults under the current circumstances of the pandemic. These solutions are targeted towards older adults who are presently excluded from digital activities and services due to a lack of access or support.

5.5.1 Increase opportunities for in-person technology assistance

As outlined in section 4.3.2, many participants desired in-person support when learning new technology. This finding resonates with research reporting on the great potential of collaborative learning [36] and with survey results that suggest older adults often need others to show them how to use new devices [3]. Although there are some studies that suggest that older adults prefer independent approaches when learning technology (e.g., [57, 68]), these approaches may not be suitable under pandemic conditions. For instance, learning by trial-and-error can be fraught with many errors and also time-consuming [77]. However, during the pandemic, it is crucial for technology adoption to occur quickly and efficiently because it may be the only mechanism for enabling social interaction and being connected to the outside world.

Although the pandemic has created a number of new barriers to accessing inperson support, such as lockdown measures and pandemic bubble restrictions, communities could help facilitate tutorial sessions between technology support workers and older adults with increased safety precautions— similar to how home care services are being delivered during the pandemic. Alternatively, electronics retailers and other venues offering tech-support could set aside hours where only older adults are welcome into the store.

5.5.2 Mandate anti-ageism interventions for activity hosts and institutions

Activity organizers and hosts can play a crucial role in helping older adults familiarize themselves with new technology. However, as detailed in section 4.3.1, in some cases, these were the very individuals who displayed ageist behaviours and attitudes. We believe that negative age stereotyping and other age discrimination are occurring on a large scale during the pandemic. In addition to the negative effects of ageism on older adults, such as unintentional endorsement of negative stereotypes [49], age-based discrimination of older adults in the digital space may exacerbate their feelings of social isolation— particularly if technology is the only window for social interaction.

Researchers in gerontology have suggested reducing ageism through education and awareness campaigns about aging that dispel negative and inaccurate views of older adulthood [50, 52, 55]. We believe that institutions and communities serving older adults should follow these suggestions and mandate anti-ageism interventions for their staff to enhance empathy and reduce age discrimination.

5.5.3 Leverage older adult tech-enthusiasts

As presented in section 4.3.3, there are older adults who are unable to adopt technology during the pandemic because they are apprehensive to reach out to their own family members and younger adult friends for help. This finding aligns with prior research that has demonstrated older adults' concerns about being a burden [13, 31] and their reluctance to adopt new technology because they do not want to bother others for assistance [85]. The fear of burdening younger people is likely even more pronounced now due to the pandemic's devastating impact on the economy and job market, which have left many younger adults stressed, jobless, and facing insolvency. To address this problem, we suggest leveraging tech-savvy older adults as alternate sources for technology support.

Now, with the flux in older users who have gained new technical expertise and confidence, there are even more of these individuals who could potentially support new and novice users. In fact, a number of studies have demonstrated that older adults are highly effective technology "proxies" because they can "speak the language" of older adults [16] and enhance the perceived ease of learning a technology (e.g., by demonstrating that a person of similar age and ability can use that technology) [42]. Although community gatherings are currently prohibited under physical distancing mandates, there are many ways that tech-savvy older adults can enhance their peers' technology adoption. For instance, older adults could ed-

ucate other older adults on useful platforms and applications, share experiences of overcoming technology-related challenges, and help troubleshoot problems over the phone or in-person with safety precautions and physical distancing.

Chapter 6

Limitations and conclusion

6.1 Limitations

Our work provides detailed insights into the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on digital social interaction and technology adoption among older adults. The findings presented in this study could be augmented by including groups with more diverse socio-demographic backgrounds. Notably, the older adult sample that we recruited is exceptional in that the majority are well-educated (18 have a university degree or higher) and all own or have access to a computer or phone. Indeed, because our study was conducted remotely, the ability to use a digital device for communication was a prerequisite for participation. None of the participants reported any significant health impairments or socioeconomic-related limitations that hindered their capacity to access a computer or phone. Of the 24 people interviewed, only three participants did not know how to use instant messaging apps, social media, and/or videoconferencing platforms. This should not be viewed as representative. The challenges of digital exclusion are likely to be even more pronounced among a larger, more diverse group of older adults.

Our findings focused exclusively on older adults ages 65+. Although there may be some parallels between the experiences of this age group and younger generations, such as challenges with adjusting to new videoconferencing platforms, the technology adoption barriers identified in this study are likely to be more pronounced in the older population. For instance, ageism is unlikely to be a significant

factor for digital exclusion in the younger population. Future work could examine the experiences of younger age groups and compare them with the findings from this study.

6.2 Conclusion

Here, we found several important and notable findings among older adults, who have and have not successfully leveraged communication technology to cope with the COVID-19 pandemic. Notably, we found that lockdown restrictions and physical distancing orders created a strong social incentive for older adults' technology adoption. Although the majority of older adults in this study were able to adopt new technology and remain socially connected, there were a number of barriers that hindered others. One important and common impediment was poor (and in some cases, no) access to in-person technology support due to pandemic bubble restrictions and heightened apprehensions to seek help from younger family members and friends. Older individuals also reported that they did not receive assistance from activity hosts on how to properly set-up and utilize these technologies, and in some cases, were blatantly excluded from online activities.

Collectively, the aforementioned factors have contributed to considerable changes in the "grey digital divide". Many older adults have crossed the divide and have successfully adopted new technologies into their daily lives, which have enabled them to maintain their social activities and networks. There are others, however, who remain disconnected from the digital world. Our study highlights the pressing need for effective interventions to enable these individuals to surmount technology adoption barriers for those who wish to do so, or find non-digital solutions for those who wish to remain offline.

Bibliography

- M. G. Ames, J. Go, J. J. Kaye, and M. Spasojevic. Making love in the network closet: the benefits and work of family videochat. In *Proceedings of the 2010 ACM conference on Computer supported cooperative work*, pages 145–154, 2010. → page 6
- [2] N. Andalibi, M. E. Morris, and A. Forte. Testing waters, sending clues: Indirect disclosures of socially stigmatized experiences on social media. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 2(CSCW):1–23, 2018. → page 8
- [3] M. Anderson and A. Perrin. Technology use among seniors. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center for Internet & Technology, 2017. → pages 1, 4, 9, 27
- [4] R. Armitage and L. B. Nellums. Covid-19 and the consequences of isolating the elderly. *The Lancet Public Health*, 5(5):e256, 2020. → page 7
- [5] L. Ayalon, A. Chasteen, M. Diehl, B. Levy, S. D. Neupert, K. Rothermund, C. Tesch-Römer, and H.-W. Wahl. Aging in times of the covid-19 pandemic: Avoiding ageism and fostering intergenerational solidarity. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 2020. → page 23
- [6] E. Beaunoyer, S. Dupéré, and M. J. Guitton. Covid-19 and digital inequalities: Reciprocal impacts and mitigation strategies. *Computers in human behavior*, 111:106424, 2020. → page 2
- [7] M. Berg-Weger and J. E. Morley. Loneliness and social isolation in older adults during the covid-19 pandemic: Implications for gerontological social work, 2020. → page 6
- [8] G. M. Boulton-Lewis, L. Buys, and J. Lovie-Kitchin. Learning and active aging. *Educational gerontology*, 32(4):271–282, 2006. → page 25

- [9] R. Brewer. Connecting older adults through voice-based interfaces. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing Companion*, pages 131–134, 2016. → page 5
- [10] R. N. Brewer and A. M. Piper. xpress: Rethinking design for aging and accessibility through a voice-based online blogging community. *Proceedings* of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 1(CSCW):26, 2017. → page 5
- [11] K. Browne-Yung, R. B. Walker, and M. A. Luszcz. An examination of resilience and coping in the oldest old using life narrative method. *The Gerontologist*, 57(2):282–291, 2017. → page 26
- [12] R. N. Butler. Age-ism: Another form of bigotry. *The gerontologist*, 9 (4.Part_1):243-246, 1969. \rightarrow page 16
- [13] E. Cahill, L. M. Lewis, F. K. Barg, and H. R. Bogner. "you don't want to burden them" older adults' views on family involvement in care. *Journal of Family Nursing*, 15(3):295–317, 2009. → page 28
- [14] X. Chen, S. Chen, X. Wang, and Y. Huang. "i was afraid, but now i enjoy being a streamer!" understanding the challenges and prospects of using live streaming for online education. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 4(CSCW3):1–32, 2021. → page 25
- [15] Y.-R. R. Chen and P. J. Schulz. The effect of information communication technology interventions on reducing social isolation in the elderly: a systematic review. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 18(1):e18, 2016. → page 5
- [16] G. W. Coleman, L. Gibson, V. L. Hanson, A. Bobrowicz, and A. McKay. Engaging the disengaged: How do we design technology for digitally excluded older adults? In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*, pages 175–178, 2010. → pages 5, 25, 28
- [17] R. Colucci. Covid-19: A wake-up call for seniors who now must rely on tech to connect. URL https://www.fosi.org/good-digital-parenting/ covid19-wake-call-seniors-who-now-must-rely-tech-connect. Accessed: April 2, 2020. → page 2
- B. Cornwell, E. O. Laumann, and L. P. Schumm. The social connectedness of older adults: A national profile. *American sociological review*, 73(2): 185–203, 2008. → page 5

- [19] S. R. Cotten, W. A. Anderson, and B. M. McCullough. Impact of internet use on loneliness and contact with others among older adults: cross-sectional analysis. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 15(2):e39, 2013. → page 5
- [20] S. J. Czaja and C. C. Lee. The impact of aging on access to technology. Universal access in the information society, $5(4):341, 2007. \rightarrow page 9$
- [21] J. Durick, T. Robertson, M. Brereton, F. Vetere, and B. Nansen. Dispelling ageing myths in technology design. In *Proceedings of the 25th Australian Computer-Human Interaction Conference: Augmentation, Application, Innovation, Collaboration*, pages 467–476, 2013. → page 26
- [22] G. Ferri, J. Bardzell, and S. Bardzell. Rethinking age in hci through anti-ageist playful interactions. *Interacting with Computers*, 29(6):779–793, 2017. → page 24
- [23] C. Finn. How tech is helping elderly fight coronavirus lockdown loneliness. URL https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/04/06/ how-tech-is-helping-elderly-fight-coronavirus-lockdown-loneliness. Accessed: April 2, 2020. → page 6
- [24] C. for Disease Control and Prevention. Older adults and covid-19. URL https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/ older-adults.html. Accessed: April 2, 2020. → page 1
- [25] T. N. Friemel. The digital divide has grown old: Determinants of a digital divide among seniors. *New media & society*, 18(2):313–331, 2016. \rightarrow pages 1, 4
- [26] H. R. Fuller and A. Huseth-Zosel. Lessons in resilience: Initial coping among older adults during the covid-19 pandemic. *The Gerontologist*, 61(1): 114–125, 2021. → page 26
- [27] P. J. Gardner, T. Kamber, and J. Netherland. "getting turned on": Using ict training to promote active ageing in new york city. *The journal of community informatics*, 8(1), 2012. → page 5
- [28] L. N. Gitlin. Why older people accept or reject assistive technology. *Generations: Journal of the American Society on Aging*, 19(1):41–46, 1995. → page 25
- [29] B. G. Glaser. The constant comparative method of qualitative analysis. Social problems, 12(4):436–445, 1965. \rightarrow page 10

- [30] B. G. Glaser, A. L. Strauss, and E. Strutzel. The discovery of grounded theory; strategies for qualitative research. *Nursing research*, 17(4):364, 1968. → page 8
- [31] T. Greenhalgh, J. Wherton, P. Sugarhood, S. Hinder, R. Procter, and R. Stones. What matters to older people with assisted living needs? a phenomenological analysis of the use and non-use of telehealth and telecare. *Social science & medicine*, 93:86–94, 2013. → page 28
- [32] V. L. Hanson. Influencing technology adoption by older adults. *Interacting with Computers*, 22(6):502–509, 2010. → page 25
- [33] Z. Hildon, G. Smith, G. Netuveli, and D. Blane. Understanding adversity and resilience at older ages. *Sociology of health & illness*, 30(5):726–740, 2008. → page 26
- [34] A. Hope, T. Schwaba, and A. M. Piper. Understanding digital and material social communications for older adults. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 3903–3912, 2014. → pages 5, 6
- [35] A. Hunsaker and E. Hargittai. A review of internet use among older adults. New Media & Society, 20(10):3937–3954, 2018. \rightarrow page 4
- [36] B. Jin, J. Kim, and L. M. Baumgartner. Informal learning of older adults in using mobile devices: A review of the literature. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 69(2):120–141, 2019. → page 27
- [37] J. Johnson, R. W. Black, Y. Chen, and G. R. Hayes. Older adults with dementia in an online forum: A preliminary analysis. In *Conference Companion Publication of the 2019 on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*, pages 231–235, 2019. → page 5
- [38] J. J. Jones, C. Holstege, and H. Holstege. Elder abuse and neglect: understanding the causes and potential risk factors. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 15(6):579–583, 1997. → page 24
- [39] R. Khalaila and A. Vitman-Schorr. Internet use, social networks, loneliness, and quality of life among adults aged 50 and older: mediating and moderating effects. *Quality of life research*, 27(2):479–489, 2018. → page 5
- [40] P. Khosravi, A. Rezvani, and A. Wiewiora. The impact of technology on older adults' social isolation. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63:594–603, 2016. → page 5

- [41] H. N. Kim. Characteristics of technology adoption by older adults with visual disabilities. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, pages 1–13, 2021. → page 5
- [42] S. Kim, K. Z. Gajos, M. Muller, and B. J. Grosz. Acceptance of mobile technology by older adults: a preliminary study. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services*, pages 147–157, 2016. → page 28
- [43] B. Knowles and V. L. Hanson. Older adults' deployment of 'distrust'. ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI), 25(4):1–25, 2018.
 → page 5
- [44] B. Knowles and V. L. Hanson. The wisdom of older technology (non) users. *Communications of the ACM*, 61(3):72–77, 2018. \rightarrow pages 1, 26
- [45] A. C. Krendl and B. L. Perry. The impact of sheltering in place during the covid-19 pandemic on older adults' social and mental well-being. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 76(2):e53–e58, 2021. → page 6
- [46] A. Lazar, H. J. Thompson, A. M. Piper, and G. Demiris. Rethinking the design of robotic pets for older adults. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*, pages 1034–1046, 2016. → page 26
- [47] A. Lazar, M. Diaz, R. Brewer, C. Kim, and A. M. Piper. Going gray, failure to hire, and the ick factor: Analyzing how older bloggers talk about ageism. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*, pages 655–668, 2017. → page 24
- [48] R. Leung, C. Tang, S. Haddad, J. Mcgrenere, P. Graf, and V. Ingriany. How older adults learn to use mobile devices: Survey and field investigations. *ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS)*, 4(3):1–33, 2012. → pages 5, 25
- [49] B. Levy. Stereotype embodiment: A psychosocial approach to aging. *Current directions in psychological science*, 18(6):332–336, 2009. \rightarrow page 28
- [50] S. R. Levy. Toward reducing ageism: Peace (positive education about aging and contact experiences) model. *The Gerontologist*, 58(2):226–232, 2018. → page 28

- [51] S. E. Lindley, R. Harper, and A. Sellen. Desiring to be in touch in a changing communications landscape: attitudes of older adults. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1693–1702, 2009. → page 8
- [52] A. Lytle, J. Macdonald, M. Apriceno, and S. R. Levy. Reducing ageism with brief videos about aging education, ageism, and intergenerational contact. *The Gerontologist*, 2020. → page 28
- [53] G. J. Mark, B. Al-Ani, and B. Semaan. Resilience through technology adoption: merging the old and the new in iraq. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 689–698, 2009. → page 2
- [54] M. Massimi and C. Neustaedter. Moving from talking heads to newlyweds: exploring video chat use during major life events. In *Proceedings of the 2014* conference on Designing interactive systems, pages 43–52, 2014. → page 2
- [55] S. L. McGuire, D. A. Klein, and D. Couper. Aging education: A national imperative. *Educational Gerontology*, 31(6):443–460, 2005. → page 28
- [56] B. A. Meisner. Are you ok, boomer? intensification of ageism and intergenerational tensions on social media amid covid-19. *Leisure Sciences*, pages 1–6, 2020. → page 23
- [57] T. L. Mitzner, C. B. Fausset, J. B. Boron, A. E. Adams, K. Dijkstra, C. C. Lee, W. A. Rogers, and A. D. Fisk. Older adults' training preferences for learning to use technology. In *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, volume 52, pages 2047–2051. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA, 2008. → page 27
- [58] N. Morrow-Howell, N. Galucia, and E. Swinford. Recovering from the covid-19 pandemic: A focus on older adults. *Journal of aging & social policy*, 32(4-5):526–535, 2020. → pages 2, 7
- [59] B. B. Neves, F. Amaro, and J. R. Fonseca. Coming of (old) age in the digital age: Ict usage and non-usage among older adults. *Sociological Research Online*, 18(2):22–35, 2013. → page 1
- [60] C. Pang, Z. C. Wang, J. McGrenere, R. Leung, J. Dai, and K. Moffatt. Technology adoption and learning preferences for older adults: Evolving perceptions, ongoing challenges, and emerging design opportunities. 2021. → pages 4, 5

- [61] L. Pino, A. E. González-Vélez, M.-E. Prieto-Flores, A. Ayala, G. Fernandez-Mayoralas, F. Rojo-Perez, P. Martinez-Martin, and M. J. Forjaz. Self-perceived health and quality of life by activity status in community-dwelling older adults. *Geriatrics & gerontology international*, 14(2):464–473, 2014. → page 5
- [62] A. M. Piper, R. Brewer, and R. Cornejo. Technology learning and use among older adults with late-life vision impairments. Universal Access in the Information Society, 16(3):699–711, 2017. → page 5
- [63] L. Poon and S. Holder. The 'new normal' for many older adults is on the internet. URL https://www.bloomberg.com/news/features/2020-05-06/ in-lockdown-seniors-are-becoming-more-tech-savvy. Accessed: April 2, 2020. → page 2
- [64] A. Quan-Haase, K. Martin, and K. Schreurs. Interviews with digital seniors: Ict use in the context of everyday life. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(5):691–707, 2016. \rightarrow page 5
- [65] G. Sacco, S. Lléonart, R. Simon, F. Noublanche, C. Annweiler, T. S. Group, et al. Communication technology preferences of hospitalized and institutionalized frail older adults during covid-19 confinement: Cross-sectional survey study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 8(9):e21845, 2020. → page 7
- [66] K. Schreurs, A. Quan-Haase, and K. Martin. Problematizing the digital literacy paradox in the context of older adults' ict use: Aging, media discourse, and self-determination. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 42 (2), 2017. → page 24
- [67] A. Seifert, S. R. Cotten, and B. Xie. A double burden of exclusion? digital and social exclusion of older adults in times of covid-19. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 2020. → pages 2, 6
- [68] N. Selwyn, S. Gorard, J. Furlong, and L. Madden. Older adults' use of information and communications technology in everyday life. *Ageing and society*, 23:561, 2003. → page 27
- [69] I. A. Shklovski and S. D. Mainwaring. Exploring technology adoption and use through the lens of residential mobility. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 621–630, 2005. → page 2

- [70] A. Smith. Older adults and technology use. 2014. \rightarrow page 4
- [71] M. L. Smith, L. E. Steinman, and E. Casey. Combatting social isolation among older adults in a time of physical distancing: the covid-19 social connectivity paradox. *Frontiers in public health*, 8:403, 2020. → page 1
- [72] K. S. Steelman, K. L. Tislar, L. C. Ureel, and C. Wallace. Breaking digital barriers: A social-cognitive approach to improving digital literacy in older adults. In *International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, pages 445–450. Springer, 2016. → page 5
- [73] H. J. Swift and A. L. Chasteen. Ageism in the time of covid-19. Group Processes & Intergroup Relations, 24(2):246–252, 2021. → page 23
- [74] G. Thomas. Stress, conflict, elder abuse and neglect in german nursing homes: A pilot study among professional caregivers. *Journal of Elder Abuse & Neglect*, 13(1):1–26, 2001. → page 24
- [75] V. Thomas. Disableism and constrained computing: checking privilege and power in a future of limits. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Workshop on Computing within Limits*, pages 1–9, 2018. → page 24
- [76] M. Trajkova and A. Martin-Hammond. " alexa is a toy": Exploring older adults' reasons for using, limiting, and abandoning echo. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–13, 2020. → page 25
- [77] H.-y. S. Tsai, R. Shillair, and S. R. Cotten. Social support and "playing around" an examination of how older adults acquire digital literacy with tablet computers. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 36(1):29–55, 2017. \rightarrow page 27
- [78] A. J. Van Deursen and E. J. Helsper. A nuanced understanding of internet use and non-use among the elderly. *European journal of communication*, 30 (2):171–187, 2015. → page 9
- [79] J. Vines, G. Pritchard, P. Wright, P. Olivier, and K. Brittain. An age-old problem: Examining the discourses of ageing in hci and strategies for future research. ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI), 22 (1):1–27, 2015. → page 24
- [80] E. A. Vogels, A. Perrin, L. Rainie, and M. Anderson. 53% of americans say the internet has been essential during the covid-19 outbreak. *Pew Research Center*, 2020. → page 7

- [81] X. Wang, T. Knearem, and J. M. Carroll. Ordinary innovations of older adults: An interview study to understand interest development in aging. In *Companion of the 2018 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*, pages 253–256, 2018. → page 8
- [82] C. Wrzus, M. Hänel, J. Wagner, and F. J. Neyer. Social network changes and life events across the life span: A meta-analysis. *Psychological bulletin*, 139 (1):53, 2013. → page 5
- [83] B. Wu. Social isolation and loneliness among older adults in the context of covid-19: a global challenge. *Global Health Research and Policy*, 5(1):1–3, 2020. → page 6
- [84] X. Xiang, X. Lu, A. Halavanau, J. Xue, Y. Sun, P. H. L. Lai, and Z. Wu. Modern senicide in the face of a pandemic: An examination of public discourse and sentiment about older adults and covid-19 using machine learning. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 76(4):e190–e200, 2021. → page 23
- [85] R. Young, E. Willis, G. Cameron, and M. Geana. "willing but unwilling": attitudinal barriers to adoption of home-based health information technology among older adults. *Health informatics journal*, 20(2):127–135, 2014. \rightarrow page 28

Appendix A

Study Materials

Here, we provide the materials used for the study. This includes the interview guide (script and questions) and the demographics questionnaire.

A.1 Interview Guide

A.1.1 Introduction script

Thank you for agreeing to participate in this interview today. Before we start, I will briefly recap the purpose of this study.

Our goal is to understand how your communication practices and use of digital technologies has changed during the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, we would like to know how you are keeping in touch with your family and friends and whether the pandemic has motivated you to try out any new technologies, like Zoom or FaceTime.

During the interview today, I am interested in hearing about your personal experiences and thoughts. There are no right or wrong answers. Please feel free to take as much time as you need with each of your answers.

If you are okay with it, I will audio record the interview so that I can remember everything that we talk about today. This recording will only be shared with my research team and will not be distributed to the public. However, we may send it to a transcription service to turn it into a text transcript. Is this okay with you?

(Obtain participant's verbal consent to audio record the interview) (Start the recording)

Do you have any questions before we start?

(Answer participant's questions, if any)

Just so I have this on record, do you consent to participate in this study?

(Obtain participant's verbal consent to take part in the study)

Let's get started with the first question.

(Proceed with the interview, starting with the warm-up question)

A.1.2 Interview questions

- 1. (Warm-up question) What have been some of your favourite things to do at home during the pandemic?
- 2. Do you consider yourself to be tech-savvy? Could you explain why?
- 3. Think back to your life before the pandemic. What kinds of technology did you use to communicate and keep in touch with friends or family, if any?
- 4. Do you think your technology skills have changed at all during the pandemic? For example, has it gotten better, worse, or no change? (If there was a change ask: What do you think caused this change?)
- 5. What communication technologies have you been using during the pandemic, if any?
 - Were you using (technology) before the pandemic?
 - How did you learn how to use (*technology*)? Could you describe that process for me?
 - Tell me about your first experience using (*technology*).
 - Have you had any challenging moments using (technology)?
 - If you had to give an estimate, how often do you use (*technology*)?

- What motivated you to learn (*technology*) in the first place?
- In general, how do you feel about (*technology*)?
- Do you think you will continue to use (*technology*) after the pandemic? Why or why not?
- 6. Do you feel more or less comfortable with technology since the pandemic started?
- 7. Has the pandemic impacted your social life in any way? How?
- In the questionnaire you indicated that you were a member of (*social activi-ty/group*). Could you tell me more about it?
- 9. Has the pandemic impacted your participation in (social activity/group)?
- 10. Are you involved in any other social groups or community organizations?
- 11. In your opinion, which one of your social activities was the most impacted by the pandemic? Why?
- 12. Are there any other aspects of your life that have moved online because of the pandemic?
- 13. What kinds of resources and support do you think would be most helpful for an older adult who is trying to learn a new technology, such as Zoom or FaceTime, for the very first time during the pandemic?
- 14. How do you feel about society's movement towards using technology to communicate and socialize?
- 15. Has the pandemic changed the way you feel about technology in general?
- 16. Is there anything you would like to comment on before we wrap up the interview today? Any opinions, ideas, or stories that you would like to share?

A.2 Online Survey

A.2.1 Introduction

The interview will take approximately 60-minutes and will be conducted through the phone, Zoom, or another (remote) communication platform that the participant prefers. For the compensation, the participant may choose to receive (a) \$25 CAD OR (b) a 60-minute technology support session with the interviewer where you may receive help with any technology-related questions.

A.2.2 Survey questions

- 1. First name:
- 2. Last name:
- 3. In what year were you born? (e.g. 1940):
- 4. Which gender do you most identify with?
 - Woman
 - Man
 - Non-binary
 - Prefer to self-describe:
 - Prefer not to answer
- 5. What ethnicity/race do you identify with? (Check all that apply)
 - American Indian or Alaska Native
 - Asian
 - Black/African American
 - Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
 - White/Caucasian
 - Other (please specify):
 - Prefer not to answer

- 6. What is your current marital status?
 - Married
 - Widowed
 - Divorced
 - Single
 - In a relationship
 - · Prefer not to answer
- 7. What is your current employment status?
 - Full-time employed
 - Part-time employed
 - Not employed
 - Student
 - Retired
 - Other (please specify):
- 8. (If the participant answered 'full-time employed' or 'part-time employed') What is your current job?:
- 9. (If the participant answered 'Retired') What was your last job?:
- 10. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed?
 - No formal education
 - High school diploma or less
 - Some college
 - College degree
 - · Postgraduate degree
 - Prefer not to answer
- 11. Including yourself, how many people currently live in your household?:

- 12. What is the age of the youngest person living in your household?
- 13. What is the age of the oldest person living in your household?
- 14. Does your health limit your participation in social activities?
 - Yes, limited a lot
 - Yes, limited a little
 - No, not limited at all
 - Prefer not to answer
- 15. What types of social groups and activities are you involved in? (e.g. church, book clubs, volunteer work, Meetup groups, fitness classes):
- 16. Would you describe yourself as a regular Internet user?
 - Definitely yes
 - Probably yes
 - Probably not
 - Definitely not
- 17. Do you regularly use technology for communication? (e.g. email, texting, calling)
 - Yes
 - No
- 18. In your opinion, how "tech-savvy" are you?
 - Low
 - Low/Intermediate
 - Intermediate
 - Intermediate/Advanced
 - Advanced
- 19. Please explain the reasoning for your answer to the previous question:

Appendix B

Data Analysis

B.1 Codes

B.1.1 Coding example

The following is an example of how we coded the data.



B.1.2 Final codes

Open	codes
------	-------

new digital routines
exploring new platforms
escalating feelings of loneliness
assumptions about the elderly
peer influences motivate adoption
inability to keep up
negative past experiences with technology
tech-support from children
lack of context = lack of connection
fear of scamming
scarcity of social connections
reliance on spouse
feeling too old
need for social interaction motivates tech-use
negative emotions towards technology
limited digital repertoire ("low tech" only)
digital communication does not satisfy social needs
daunting set-up process
acquaintances have become more distant
more social now than pre-COVID
no need to use technology
video calls feel like a performance
tech-support from activity organizer/head
lack of confidence with technology
feeling more isolated
missing contact with friends
reminiscing about life before the pandemic
lockdown affects seniors most
staying at home
friends are staying at home
spending more time alone

people don't want to be near each other
wasn't very social pre-COVID
believing others are incompetent
no more physical meetings
digital meetings are tiring
anticipating that life won't return to normal for a very long time
not impacted socially
friends do not want to meet in person
technology is too complex
people are impatient with older adults
technology is not interesting
bored to death
enjoying technology during lockdown
incapable of giving support
no motivation to explore new platforms
negative emotions towards increasingly digitizing society
facilize forced to extend to should be a
reeing forced to adopt technology
lacking technical skills
lacking technical skills feeling trapped
lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online
lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends
lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age
lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age young friends keep in touch over IMs
lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age young friends keep in touch over IMs friends are calling more
lacking torhed to adopt technology lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age young friends keep in touch over IMs friends are calling more lost touch with older friends who don't use technology
lacking torhed to adopt technology lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age young friends keep in touch over IMs friends are calling more lost touch with older friends who don't use technology enjoying solitude
lacking torhed to adopt technology lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age young friends keep in touch over IMs friends are calling more lost touch with older friends who don't use technology enjoying solitude feeling neglected
lacking torhed to adopt technology lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age young friends keep in touch over IMs friends are calling more lost touch with older friends who don't use technology enjoying solitude feeling neglected ageism from activity organizer/head
lacking torked to adopt technology lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age young friends keep in touch over IMs friends are calling more lost touch with older friends who don't use technology enjoying solitude feeling neglected ageism from activity organizer/head technology = headache
Teeling forced to adopt technology lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age young friends keep in touch over IMs friends are calling more lost touch with older friends who don't use technology enjoying solitude feeling neglected ageism from activity organizer/head technology = headache making excuses to not participate online
lacking torhed to adopt technology lacking technical skills feeling trapped equal involvement online anxious to see friends staying at home because of old age young friends keep in touch over IMs friends are calling more lost touch with older friends who don't use technology enjoying solitude feeling neglected ageism from activity organizer/head technology = headache making excuses to not participate online nagging from friends

family members help troubleshoot problems
learning technology was not as difficult as expected
excitement about new digital skills
wanting to see people's faces
no motivation to learn before pandemic
support from family was the easiest option
slow with technology
receiving updates from friends via email
online experience is not as satisfying
no alternative
video calls feel like "real" communication
feeling depressed and isolated
new technologies are overwhelming
don't know what's available
prejudice against oldest participants
government should intervene
living with tech-savvy family members
adopting technology for work purposes
everyone is using technology
made more connections during pandemic
attending multiple Zoom meetings
time-consuming
many members missing (not participating)
no access to Internet
unequal social dynamics
hard to hear people on Zoom
in-person meetings must be kept small
prefer in-person over Zoom
cannot break isolation due to health concerns
not as cautious as peers
open to trying new platforms
no travel time

online activities are cheaper than in-person
missing physical company of people
appreciating opportunities to socialize online
worried about older adults who are left behind
no computer
no cellphone
accepting that technology will continue to advance
anxiety about losing face-to-face interactions because of technology
visual input is distracting
enjoying lack of social obligations
more frequent contact with family (via technology)
lost good friends
impacted less than younger individuals
seeing close friends only
prior experience with communication technologies
keeping up with new technologies
resuming voluntteer activities
living in an isolated neighbourhood
no large groups
health conditions impact anxiety about virus
recalling similar experiences during flu season
comparing losses to younger generations
younger friends are having a harder time
activity level has decreased
comfortable with technology
prior technical expertise through job
tech-savvy friends
using technology to pass the time
technology replacing social life
working as a caregiver
using technology everyday
multiple social activities are now online

initially apprehensive to participate online
noting convenience of no commute time
no challenges relating to technology
high online participation because participants enjoyed activity pre-COVID
noting technical incompetence of activity organizers
not seeing many people
nothing other than social life has moved online
cancelled activities
curiosity motivated adoption
providing tech-support to friends
friends struggling with technology
digital repertoire consists of many different technologies
introduced to video conferencing through friend
never heard of video conferencing pre-COVID
social needs motivated adoption
increased technology use
online activities working well
no tech-support nearby
reliance on online resources for troubleshooting
miscommunicatons through technology
video calls are better than voice-only
technology is affordable
fear of the unknown
fear of incompetency
fear of burdening others
difficulties remembering all the steps
noting deep involvement with social group pre-COVID
minimal participation during pandemic
refusal to participate online
dislikes "online stuff"
social involvement has decreased
technology is dehumanizing

admitting online shift is inevitable
social contact primarily over the phone
telephoning is the "go-to"
refusal to try video conferencing
lack of interest in technology
prefers a "simple life"
setting up technology is a hassle
missing "small interactions"
contacting close friends is a priority
anger at digitizing companies
mail statements moved to paperless
companies refuse exceptions for older adults
capable of learning, but not interested
tech-savvy individuals have an advantage
digital divide = another division in society
tech-support from wife
tech-support from less tech-savvy spouse
prior experience with video conferencing
enthusiasm to use video conferencing post-COVID
mainly using email for communication
slightly increased technology use
Zoom has filled the gap
using technology all day
worried about tech failures
annoyed at people who disturb meetings with tech-failures
self-sufficiency
assumptions about incompetence
varying levels of difficulty between platforms
willingness to branch out
noting improvement over time
high costs of mobile
awareness of new technologies through family

chaotic online experiences
unaware of video conferencing pre-COVID
regular and frequent participation online
telemedicine
technology = lazy
technology = loss of "human-ness"
prefers socially distant get togethers
comparing experiences with younger generations
difficult to organize online gatherings
tech-support from online resources
giving up
worries about isolation
fed up with being at home
online shopping
digital reliance
cannot visit peers who are struggling with technology
incapability of older participants
verbal instruction is insufficient
technology = unnatural
desire for human touch
technology has become more complicated over time
not "technology-minded"
tech-savviness has not changed
easier to stay in touch with friends
technology = best friend
comparing between different platforms
difficulties at first
learning technology requires prior research
online activities are satisfying
small bubbles
anxiety about virus

B.2 Mindmap

Here we show two examples of how we organized our codes and raw data to generate categories.





B.3 Categories

Categories

barriers to engagement
motivators for going online
disadvantages of digital communication
advantages of digital communication
sources of tech-support
sources of social support
suggestions for improving digital access
challenges with technology
attitudes on technology
consequences of staying offline
consequences of going online
social life before the pandemic
social life during the pandemic
positive comments about life during lockdown
negative comments about life during lockdown
neutral comments about life during lockdown
experiences with digital communication tools during pandemic
experiences with digital communication tools before pandemic
comments about specific platforms
comments about specific (online) activities
miscellaneous