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Abstract

Government intervention to limit and reduce nocuous air emissions from industrial applications focuses on protecting human health and the environment. Unfortunately, energy intensive and trade exposed (EITE) industries in developed countries are susceptible to competitive pressures with their counterparts in jurisdictions that have relaxed compliance requirements, such as emerging markets. Along with the loss of production to the emerging market, the associated air emissions are also displaced. The goal of this research is to develop policy and supporting mechanisms to minimize the leakage of air emissions from trade. The main objectives carried out to support this goal included: identifying a surrogate EITE industry and establishing a baseline air emissions inventory; comparing the environmental performance of the surrogate in the developed and emerging market; and, evaluating policy options and supporting mechanisms.

As an EITE industry the Portland cement manufacturing sector was identified as the industrial surrogate. Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) methodology was applied to the cement manufacturing sectors in China and Canada for comparative purposes. Nocuous air emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOx), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), particulate matter (PM) and carbon monoxide (CO) were evaluated in terms of intensity per tonne of Portland cement, and, in respect of their contribution to winter smog. In terms of impact to human respiratory health, using Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs), Portland cement produced in China had more than twice the impact of cement produced in Canada.

Using the example of cement exported from China, policy options were devised to manage emissions leakage from Canada. These policy options investigated combinations of open, restricted and closed borders with direct, partial, and no support for domestic manufacturers. Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) in conjunction with the three actionable solidarities of Cultural Theory was applied. Results indicated a restricted border with partial support was most strongly favored, and, of the policy mechanisms reviewed, a verification process was supported. Under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 (CEPA) an air quality agreement can be established to include this policy framework between China and Canada. The proposed approach for EITE cement industry can be extended to other industries and international trade.
Lay Summary

The goal of this research is to bring awareness to the unintended impact of domestic legislation that does not consider the global community. Maintaining good air quality is of the utmost importance for the protection of human health and environment. However, when air quality standards are applied to industrial applications to reduce emissions, there is an inherent compliance cost (e.g., purchasing scrubbing technology, using alternate materials, new procedures). This in turn affects the cost of production.

Industries outside of that jurisdiction that are not subject to air quality standards and compliance costs have a competitive advantage. Due to lower pricing, consumers may switch to the non-regulated industry outside of their jurisdiction. On the surface level local air quality may improve, however, emissions are not genuinely reduced, they are simply transferred elsewhere. As such, policy and legislation need to account for this potential in order to genuinely reduce industrial air emissions.
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## Glossary

**Air emissions**  
Polluting gases or particulates released into the atmosphere.

**Carbon leakage**  
Transfer of CO$_2$e emissions to external jurisdictions as a result of domestic climate legislation that increases compliance costs for industry and decreases competitiveness with their counterparts in non-regulated jurisdictions.

**EITE industry**  
Energy intensive (EI) refers to an industry having a high energy input to product output ratio. Trade exposed (TE) indicates the industry does not hold market dominance and is subject to extra-jurisdictional competition.

**Emerging market**  
Refers to the transitional phase of a country becoming developed. This phase is characterized by lower than average per capita income, rapid growth and high volatility.

**Emissions leakage**  
Transfer of emissions to external jurisdictions as a result of domestic air quality legislation that increases compliance costs for industry and decreases competitiveness with their counterparts in non-regulated jurisdictions.

**Grey cement**  
Refers to the most common type of cement manufacturing facility (between grey and white cement).

**Portland cement**  
The most widely produced cement type in the world.

**Production leakage**  
Transfer of production from domestic manufacturers to external manufacturers due to competitive effects.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Background and Problem Formulation

Governments around the world are tasked with developing sound environmental policy to protect and enhance the quality of their local, regional or national ambient air quality. However, prior to developing environmental policy, decision-makers must first ascertain existing air quality conditions, the relative contribution of emission sources, and identify key priorities. Once this initial data gathering and prioritizing is complete the next step is to carry out multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) to develop policies and ultimately legislation to effect change.

As an important contributor to air pollution, heavy industry is accessible for policy makers given they are stationary and the emissions typically emanate from concentrated sources (i.e., through stacks) which make them easier to monitor and potentially mitigate. Consequently, many countries require monitoring of air emissions from industrial sources. In Canada, the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 (CEPA) requires industrial operations which exceed defined threshold limits, or are directly named, to report total annual air emissions of listed toxic substances through the national pollutant release inventory (NPRI). As a mandated sector, Portland cement manufacturing facilities across Canada report air emissions into NPRI. In Canada, the NPRI program provides a valuable source of data for policy makers. As it pertains to domestic cement manufacturing NPRI data indicates the industry contributes an estimated 1.4% of total (anthropogenic) greenhouse gases (GHGs) and 1% of total air pollutant emissions in Canada (ECCC, 2016).

As with most industrial processes, cement manufacturing generates air emissions. These emissions are produced throughout the life cycle of cement production from quarrying limestone to packaging and transporting the finished product. The largest contributors to air emissions from cement manufacturing are carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), oxides of nitrogen (NO$_x$), sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$) and particulate matter (PM) (WBCSD, 2005).
Other substances that may be emitted from cement manufacturing include volatile organic compounds, acid gases, trace metals and organic micro pollutants. However, these other substances are only emitted in trace quantities (WBCSD, 2005).

The national contribution of cement manufacturing to total air emissions may evoke the need for action. However, total emissions can be a misleading determinant of environmental performance. Total emissions provides no indication of the amount of cement consumed relative to other building materials, the strategic need for cement as a component of concrete, or the long-term lifecycle benefits of concrete. Also, total emissions do not provide an accurate gauge of environmental performance of Canadian cement manufacturing in the global context.

It is important to emphasize that cement manufacturing is truly a global industry with manufacturing plants in almost every country. One reason cement manufacturing is global is that the principal raw materials of limestone, clay and shale are common lithologies and are widely distributed in most parts of the world. As well, cement has a relatively low cost per unit weight making ground transportation an expensive externality. These factors, combined with an insatiable demand for concrete in urban development, support the need for domestic production on a global scale. According to the Cement Association of Canada (CAC) the global demand for concrete is second only to that of water (CAC, 2010).

In light of this, and excluding a suitable alternative to cement, total emissions are a poor way to measure the Canadian cement sector’s environmental performance or to develop environmental policy. Rather, an intensity-based approach is needed to understand the environmental performance of Canadian cement manufacturing and to gauge performance in the global context. In terms of sustainability emerging markets face greater challenges than developed countries. By virtue of lower cost, the flow of production for many industries, and consumer demand for cost savings, production follows the cost. In emerging markets, energy, labour and transport subsidies make them a prime target for production leakage. Along with production leakage emissions follow. However, in overpopulated countries (like China and India) with already intense production the environmental and health effects may be potentiated.
1.2 Goal and Objectives

The principal goal of this research is to develop a framework for which policy and supporting mechanisms can be derived to minimize emissions leakage from energy intensive and trade exposed (EITE) industries such as the Portland cement manufacturing sector. The specific objectives presented in this thesis include:

1. A critical review and content analysis of the environmental performance of the Canadian Portland cement sector based on the primary air emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂), oxides of nitrogen (NOx), sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and particulate (PM);

2. Application of life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) methodology to compare Portland cement produced in China to that of Canada (using regional nocuous air emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), NOx, SO₂, and PM); and,

3. An evaluation of policy options and supporting mechanisms to minimize emissions leakage from EITE industry in developed markets to emerging markets.

The approach of this research utilizes both quantitative and qualitative methodologies. The first quantitative aspect of the research revolves around establishing the environmental performance of Portland cement manufacturing in Canada. The air emission intensities of CO₂, NOx, SO₂ and PM are tantamount to environmental performance and statistical analysis can be applied in order to compare datasets. The second phase of quantitative research applies LCIA methodology for Portland cement produced in China and Canada.

Maintaining objectivity in the quantitative phase is critical. It is anticipated that erroneous and missing data will occur in China given there are not similar requirements for reporting as in Canada. In China, data from credited universities and internationally recognized bodies such as World Health Organization (WHO) will be given priority over other sources (e.g., government organizations).
The qualitative aspect of the research evaluates policies through MCDA. Applying Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) in conjunction with the actionable solidarities of Cultural Theory the policies and their supporting mechanisms are evaluated.

Deductive logic would suggest that Portland cement manufacturing in developed countries will have better environmental performance than emerging markets due to increased regulatory control. However, the intention of the research is not to highlight potential deficiencies in the governance of China. To the contrary, if there is a notable difference in the environmental performance, Canadian regulators need to account for this in their decision making otherwise pollution will simply be transferred to China, particularly in the case of EITE industry. This is further complicated by health/risk factors associated with individuals living in heavily industrialized areas with limited control mechanisms.

1.3 Research Motivation

In developed democratic societies, such as Canada, elected governments are beholden to their citizens. Laws and regulations governing the protection of human health and the environment from industrial pollution result from the awareness of potential harmful impacts and the will of the people represented through the elected government to take action and mitigate these sources. However, compliance with legislation often requires the implementation of source control measures with considerable capital investment as well as ongoing operational costs. These compliance costs are absorbed as part of production costs and ultimately raise consumer prices.

Ideally, the compliance process will result in cleaner industrial production and potentially lead to product innovation that may further reduce environmental impact. That said, regulatory compliance does not extend beyond the jurisdictional border. Given this, consumers may access the global market in search of more competitive pricing. Unfortunately, reduced pricing is typically associated with countries that have weak or unenforced regulation, such as emerging markets. In the scenario that consumer demand shifts consumption from domestic producers to those in emerging markets with weak pollution control requirements, the local regulation becomes ineffective and the pollution is simply relocated to the emerging market.
1.4 Thesis Structure and Organization

There are six chapters in this thesis. The thesis structure is represented graphically in Figure 1.1 below. Chapter 1 identifies the motivation for the research and presents the goal, objectives and structure of the research. Chapter 2 outlines the materials and methods used in the thesis. In Chapter 3 the EITE Portland cement manufacturing sector in Canada is characterized for both production capacity and environmental performance. Chapter 4 applies LCIA impact methodology to compare the environmental performance of Portland cement manufacturing between China and Canada. In Chapter 5 plausible policies and supporting mechanisms are evaluated to minimize the potential for emissions leakage. Lastly, Chapter 6 summarizes the research carried out and provides conclusions and recommendations.

Figure 1.1: Research flow diagram
Chapter 2: Materials and Methods

As indicated in Chapter 1 this research involved the use of both quantitative and qualitative methodology to achieve the three objectives. Objective 1 was completed by characterizing the breadth of grey cement manufacturing in Canada, identifying key air emissions, quantifying total production and total emissions, and, expressing performance indicators in intensities. Total Portland cement production was obtained from the CAC, and, emission totals were retrieved from NPRI. Chapter 3 details the review of grey cement manufacturing in Canada.

In order to complete Objective 2, life cycle assessment (LCA) was applied to comparatively evaluate the environmental performance of Portland cement manufacturing in China and Canada. The LCA process is described in detail in Section 2.1 of this chapter. With regard to the comparative evaluation, the LCA culminated at the LCIA phase. For LCIA methodology, Eco-Indicator 99 was implemented to quantitatively evaluate impact per tonne of Portland cement produced. Other LCIA methods which were considered included CML 2001 and EDIP 2003. However, Eco-Indicator 99 was preferred given endpoints are provided, and, it is the most widely used method. The comparative LCIA for Portland cement manufacturing in China and Canada is provided in Chapter 4.

MCDA was carried out to complete Objective 3. For MCDA, AHP was applied. Other MCDA methods including WSM, WPM, TOPSIS and ELECTRE, PROMETHEE were also considered, however, AHP was selected because it allows for decomposition of the problem into component parts, priorities are based on pair-wise comparisons, and, the method validates consistency. Differing from the traditional approach to AHP in which experts are solicited to complete pair-wise comparisons, the research relies on the actionable solidarities of Cultural Theory to provide an unbiased perspective to evaluate the plausible policies and their supporting mechanisms. The AHP process and information on Cultural Theory is provided in Section 2.2 and 2.3, respectively. The development and evaluation of policies is discussed in Chapter 5.
2.1 Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) collaborates with standards groups across the globe to develop streamlined procedural requirements. This work is carried out by technical representatives assigned to committees. The ISO 14040:2006 standard that details the principles and framework for LCA was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 207, Environmental Management, Subcommittee SC 5, Life Cycle Assessment. The ultimate goal of LCA is to better understand the impact of product or process through the individual or cumulative stages of its life cycle. Subsequently, this information can be used to make more informed decisions.

According to ISO there are four phases to an LCA, which include: 1) the goal and scope definition phase; 2) the inventory phase; 3) the impact assessment phase; and, 4) the interpretation phase (see Figure 2.1, ISO 14040:2006, 2016).

![Life cycle assessment framework diagram]

As indicated by Figure 2.1, the stages of an LCA are not simply linear. Instead, each phase can shape and inform other phases. In respect of this, it is important to note that the ISO 14040:2006 standard does not prescribe techniques or methodologies for completing the individual phases.
As it pertains to the LCIA phase, ISO 14044:2006 provides four procedural steps (ISO 14044:2006, 2016). These steps include two mandatory and two optional steps: 1) selection of impact categories; 2) characterization; 3) normalization; and, 4) weighting (Figure 2.2, ISO 14044:2006, 2016).

![Figure 2.2: Life cycle impact assessment steps](image)

The results of the life cycle inventory (LCI) are applied to the selected impact categories. In the characterization step the impact of each emission is assigned a factor relative to its contribution to the impact category. Through the characterization step a cumulative impact / damage score can be tabulated. In the first optional step, normalization, the impact of the product or process are associated with a common reference. Lastly, the second optional step of weighting provides a means rank the relative importance of the individual impact or impact category.

### 2.2 Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)

Initially developed by Thomas L. Saaty in the 1970s AHP is a multi-criteria decision making method for prioritizing alternatives to complex problems. Saaty (2008) indicates AHP is a theory of measurement based on a fundamental scale that evaluates pair wise comparisons of elements to establish priorities.
The key steps of AHP include: 1) defining the problem and the preferred outcome or goal; 2) developing a hierarchical structure which includes a goal, criteria and alternatives (Figure 2.3); 3) performing pair-wise comparisons between the elements of the hierarchical structure (Figure 2.4); and, 4) obtaining weights for the elements of the hierarchical structure including those which determine the preferred alternative (Saaty, 2008).

![Figure 2.3: Example of a hierarchical structure](image)

The scoring of the pair-wise comparisons is based on the fundamental scale of absolute numbers (Saaty, 2008) (Figure 2.4). The scale ranges from 1/9 (indicating an extremely weak preference) to 9 (indicating an extremely strong preference). The value of 1 indicates the two alternatives are of equivalent preference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative</th>
<th>1/9</th>
<th>1/8</th>
<th>1/7</th>
<th>1/6</th>
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<th>1/4</th>
<th>1/3</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2.4: Example of a pair-wise comparison](image)

For analysis the results of all the pair-wise comparisons are averaged and compiled into a single reciprocal matrix (A). This matrix is normalized to a value of 1 and the Principal Eigenvector.
(priority vector) \( w \) is calculated averaging across the rows (Saaty, 1980). The priority vector shows the relative weights for each of the three alternatives. These weights are simply converted to percentages.

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & x & y \\
1/x & 1 & z \\
1/y & 1/z & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
w = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Alternative 1} \\ \text{Alternative 2} \\ \text{Alternative 3} \end{bmatrix} = 1
\]

In order to understand the validity of the priority vector the Principal Eigenvalue \( (\lambda_{\text{max}}) \) is first calculated from adding the products each column of the reciprocal matrix and corresponding priority vector (Saaty, 1980).

\[
\lambda_{\text{max}} = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} \right) \text{Alternative 1} + \left( x + 1 + \frac{1}{z} \right) \text{Alternative 2} + (y + z + 1) \text{Alternative 3}
\]

Next, the consistency index (CI) is calculated using the Principal Eigenvalue and the size of the matrix (in this instance \( n=3 \)) (Saaty, 1980).

\[
\text{CI} = \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}} - n}{n - 1}
\]

Finally, the Consistency Ratio is determined by comparing CI to the Random Consistency Index (RI) as developed by Saaty (1980).

\[
\text{CR} = \frac{\text{CI}}{\text{RI}}
\]

If \( \text{CR} \leq 10\% \) then the amount of inconsistency in the judgement is acceptable (Saaty, 1980).

2.3 Cultural Theory

Described by Thompson (2000) the Cultural Theory identifies four main solidarities of how people perceive nature, these include: individualist; egalitarian; hierarchist, and fatalist.
Graphically represented in Figure 2.5, each solidarity has a unique approach to the environment and society (Schwarz and Thompson, 1990).

**Figure 2.5: Cultural theory grid**

The individualist is characterized as the self-seeking solidarity that believes the natural environment is robust enough to absorb and recover from any impact humans create (Thompson, 2000; Schwarz and Thompson, 1990). Ultimately, the individualist is concerned with personal wealth and success. They recognize the value of relationships to advance their personal gain and retaliate against those that work against them. They are not opposed to risk and believe that whoever puts the most in deserves to get the most out.

They egalitarian solidarity is opposite of the individualist. Egalitarians believe the natural environment is fragile, interconnected, and, people genuinely care for each other and recognize the importance of the collective (Thompson, 2000; Schwarz and Thompson, 1990). They do not readily accept risk, instead, they cling to the precautionary principle. Egalitarians believe in equality for all people, and, the equal distribution of wealth.
Hierarchists take a more neutral approach as compared to the individualist or egalitarian. This solidarity views the natural environment as resilient, but with limitations, and believes the collective is important but cannot function without control in the form of rank and position (Thompson, 2000; Schwarz and Thompson, 1990). Hierarchists can accept some level of risk, but, that risk would firstly be tied to the hierarchical structure and then to the collective. In short, they would need assurance they aren’t upsetting the apple cart.

The last of the four solidarities is the fatalist. This solidarity is both disconnected from the natural environment and non-participating in society (Thompson, 2000; Schwarz and Thompson, 1990). The fatalist solidarity would not consider contributing a point of view given their belief that they have no ability to influence, and, that nothing really matters.

In terms of participation for the pair-wise comparisons carried out as part of the policy evaluations in Chapter 5, only the individualist, egalitarian, and hierarchist are considered actionable. As previously indicated, these actionable solidarities were applied in place of expert opinions for the AHP. Given the characteristics of the fatalist, which would see the entire process as futile, they are not considered an actionable solidarity or included in the pair-wise comparisons.
Chapter 3: Portland Cement Manufacturing in Canada

A version of this chapter has been published in *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy* entitled “An overview of air emission intensities and environmental performance of grey cement manufacturing in Canada” by Brown, D., Sadiq, R., Hewage, K. (Brown et al., 2014).

3.1 Background

Portland cement is one of the most widely used substances on the planet. It is estimated that each man, woman and child utilize an equivalent of 350 kg of cement each year (WBCSD, 2005). In 2008, global cement production was approximately 2.8 billion tonnes (WBCSD, 2011). Despite the incredible need and consumption of cement for use in concrete to build housing and infrastructure there is also an awareness of the environmental sustainability issues associated with the manufacturing process (WBCSD, 2005).

Cement manufacturing involves four general activities: quarrying; raw material preparation; clinker production; and, grinding and distribution. The general process flow of cement manufacturing is illustrated in Figure 3.1 (Huntzinger and Eatmon, 2009). This illustration, adapted from the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), describes where particulate and gaseous emissions are generated in the manufacturing process.

Intuitively, given the whole process ultimately involves turning large rock into powder, it is reasonable to expect PM emissions have presence throughout. However, the bulk of air emissions generated in cement manufacturing result from the pyroprocessing of raw materials. Chen and co-authors identified in their LCIA that direct kiln emissions were the main contributors to air quality impact categories (Chen et al., 2010).
In quarrying, limestone and other materials are extracted by drilling and blasting. The quarry is typically located at or near the cement manufacturing facility to keep transportation costs low. Following extraction, the quarried material is reduced in size by a crusher. These raw materials are then transported to the cement plant by truck, conveyor or rail car for preparation.

At the cement plant the limestone, clay, and other raw materials are mixed and homogenized. This mixture is then further pulverized in the raw mill into a fine ground material. In the next step, clinker production, this fine ground material is heated in a kiln to 1450-1500°C where it becomes transformed into a molten product called clinker (referred to as pyroprocessing). The clinker is cooled as it exits the kiln system and stored in silos. The clinker is finely ground and mixed with gypsum to become cement. In addition to gypsum, ground limestone and other supplemental cementitious materials may be added to the mix, such as fly ash or slag. The final cement product is then distributed in bags or as bulk powder by truck, ship and rail car.
3.2 Air Emissions and Related Effects

Air emissions generated during cement manufacturing originate primarily from the combustion of fossil fuels required to heat the kiln and the chemical reaction of raw materials in the pyroprocessing phase. Given that the kiln system is enclosed, air emissions discharge from a single point source kiln stack. Unlike other industries, the point source kiln stack enables the cement sector to accurately monitor and record total emissions. The largest contributors to air emissions from cement manufacturing are CO₂, NOx, SO₂ and dust/PM.

Table 3.1: Major air emissions from cement manufacturing and associated effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Human Health Risks</th>
<th>Environmental Risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide (CO₂)</td>
<td>Combustion reaction of fuel (e.g., Coal): CH₄ + 2O₂ → CO₂ + 2H₂O</td>
<td>CO₂ is not a toxic substance, although at high concentrations (in excess of 100x normal outdoor air concentrations of 360 PPM) it can act as an asphyxiant.</td>
<td>There is broad consensus in the scientific community that the earth’s atmospheric CO₂ concentration has increased as a result of human industrial activity over the past 200 years, and this increase is linked to climate change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calcination of Limestone: CaCO₃ → CaO + CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approximately 40% of CO₂ emissions from Portland cement manufacturing are generated through fuel combustion; approximately 60% are generated through the limestone calcining process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx)</td>
<td>Thermal: N₂ + O → NO + N</td>
<td>NOx can react with atmospheric ammonia, VOCs and moisture to form particles such as Ozone that can damage lung tissue if inhaled.</td>
<td>Atmospheric NOx reacts with water molecules to form acid rain, which damages ecosystems, buildings and infrastructure. Also strongly associated with smog formation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fuel: N + O₂ → NO + O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prompt: N + OH → NO + H NOx are generated during fuel combustion by oxidation of chemically-bound nitrogen in the fuel (Thermal/Fuel NOx), and by the thermal fixation of nitrogen in the air to hydrocarbon radicals (“Prompt” NOx).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pollutant | Formation | Human Health Risks | Environmental Risks
---|---|---|---
Sulphur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}) | \(2\text{CaSO}_4 + 2 \text{SiO}_2 + C \rightarrow 2\text{CaSiO}_3 + 2 \text{SO}_2 + \text{CO}_2\) | Concentrations of ambient SO\textsubscript{2} of as low as 1 ppm have been linked to temporary reduction in lung function and difficulty breathing. SO\textsubscript{2} is also strongly associated with incidence of bronchitis and other respiratory illnesses, particularly among children. Also an eye irritant. | Atmospheric SO\textsubscript{2} reacts with water molecules to form acid rain, which damages ecosystems, buildings and infrastructure. Also strongly associated with smog formation. |
Coarse Particulate Matter (PM\textsubscript{10}) | Compounds commonly associated with particulate matter (PM) include Sulfate (SO\textsubscript{4}), Nitrate (NO\textsubscript{3}), elemental carbon, and various organic compounds (e.g. PAHs, PNAs) and heavy metals (lead, zinc, copper, nickel etc.), along with suspended water particles. | A bronchial/ respiratory irritant; exposure is linked to bronchitis, chronic cough and respiratory symptoms, reduced lung function, and respiratory and cardiac hospital admissions. | Harmful compounds commonly associated with PM include Sulfate (SO\textsubscript{4}), Nitrate (NO\textsubscript{3}), elemental carbon, and various organic compounds (e.g. PAHs, PNAs) and heavy metals (lead, zinc, copper, nickel etc.) |
Fine Particulate Matter (PM\textsubscript{2.5}) | The largest emission source of PM in cement manufacturing is the pyroprocessing system (includes the kiln and clinker cooler exhaust stacks), though there are emissions at every production stage, from quarrying and grinding to packaging. | The same health risks as Coarse PM, though Fine PM also typically contains a higher proportion of toxic metals and acid species, and due to its size and shape it can penetrate more deeply into the respiratory tract. | Harmful compounds commonly associated with PM include Sulfate (SO\textsubscript{4}), Nitrate (NO\textsubscript{3}), elemental carbon, and various organic compounds (e.g. PAHs, PNAs) and heavy metals (lead, zinc, copper, nickel etc.) |

### 3.2.1 Carbon Dioxide

The coal example provided in Table 3.1 shows the reaction combustion of methane in the presence of oxygen that produces CO\textsubscript{2} and water. The calcining process thermally decomposes CaCO\textsubscript{3} to CaO and CO\textsubscript{2}. Typically, Portland cement contains the equivalent of about 63.5 %
CaO. Consequently, about 1.135 units of CaCO$_3$ are required to produce 1 unit of Portland cement, and the amount of CO$_2$ released in the calcining process is about 500 kilograms (kg) per tonne of Portland cement produced. Total CO$_2$ emissions from the pyroprocess depend on energy consumption and generally fall in the range of 850 to 1135 kg of CO$_2$ per tonne of clinker.

3.2.2 Oxides of Nitrogen

NOx is generated during fuel combustion by oxidation of chemically-bound nitrogen in the fuel and by thermal fixation of nitrogen in the combustion air. As described in Table 3.1, there are three principal mechanisms for NOx formation in Portland cement manufacturing operations: thermal NOx; fuel NOx; and, prompt NOx. Thermal NOx results from the oxidation of nitrogen in air at temperatures above 1200°C. As flame temperature increases, the amount of thermally generated NOx increases. In cement manufacturing operation, thermal NOx is only generated at large amounts within the kiln itself, but not within the precalciner.

Thermal NOx results from the oxidation of molecular nitrogen in air at high temperature. This phenomenon occurs in and around the flame in the burning zone of a cement kiln at a temperature greater than 1200°C. The three principal reactions (the extended Zeldovich mechanism) that produce thermal NOx are:

$$
N_2 + O \rightarrow NO + N \\
N + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O \\
N + OH \rightarrow NO + H
$$

Fuel NOx results from the oxidation of nitrogen in fuel and occurs at any temperature. Fuel NOx will be generated within a cement kiln and within a precalciner, as well as within any ancillary device (transportation equipment, coal mill, etc.) where fuels may be combusted. The amount of NOx generated from fuel increases with the quantity of nitrogen in the fuel. In the Portland cement manufacturing process, NOx is generated in both the burning zone of the kiln and the burning zone of a precalcining vessel. Fuel use affects the quantity and type of NOx generated.
A third and generally less important source of NOx formation is Prompt NOx, which forms from the rapid reaction of atmospheric nitrogen with hydrocarbon radicals. Prompt NOx is generally very minor compared to the overall quantity of NOx generated from cement manufacturing operations.

Due to the fact that NOx is only present when in combination with a variety of other combustion-related pollutants, the specific adverse health effects of NO₂ are somewhat difficult to gauge – health risks may stem from the molecule itself, or its reaction products (i.e. Ozone (O₃) and secondary particles). WHO (2016) states that “the levels encountered in the ambient outdoor air, direct effects of NO₂ alone on the lungs (or any other system) are minimal or undetectable”. EPA (2016) states that NO₂ exposure is associated with eye, nose and throat irritation, with extremely high-dose indoor exposure associated with pulmonary edema and diffuse lung injury. Asthmatics, young children, and individuals with pre-existing lung diseases are thought to be at a higher risk for respiratory harm related to NO₂ exposure, though evidence remains inconclusive. The greater threat posed by NO₂ is almost certainly its propensity to transform into nitric acid, one of the principal components of acid rain. Acid rain is damaging to plants and wildlife, and in particular to aquatic ecosystems.

3.2.3 Sulphur Dioxide

SO₂ may be generated both from the sulphur compounds in the raw materials and from sulphur in the fuel. Sulfide or elemental sulphur contained in raw materials (e.g. limestone) is “roasted” or oxidized to SO₂ during pyroprocessing where sufficient oxygen is present and the material temperature is in the range of 300-600°C (Miller et al., 2001). In fuel, the sulfide or elemental sulphur (e.g. coal) is oxidized to SO₂ at temperatures in the range of 300 to 600°C. However, the alkaline nature of the Portland cement provides for direct absorption of SO₂ into the product, thereby mitigating the quantity of SO₂ emissions in the exhaust stream. Depending on the process and the source of the sulfur, SO₂ absorption ranges from about 70-95%. Unless the fuel source is heavily contaminated, on mass balance, the main source of SO₂ emissions for modern kilns comes from the raw materials.
Unlike NOx emissions then, SO₂ generation is much more variable (even within the same kiln technology) as it is highly affected by the raw materials used in the manufacturing process, and therefore the geographical locations of the plants. Within Canada, cement kilns generate extremely low levels of SO₂ as the sulphur content in limestone tends to be extremely low in Western Canada, increasing considerably in concentration in Central Canada. Even within Central Canada, however, while sulphur concentrations in limestone are considerably higher than in Western Canada, there remain distinct quarry to quarry variations.

Sulfur dioxide is a particularly foul-smelling air pollutant associated with a wide range of negative environmental and human health impacts. At low levels of outdoor exposure, humans are generally able to block the majority of SO₂ from entering the respiratory system, as it is absorbed by the natural mucous layer in the throat and nose. However, concentrations of ambient SO₂ of as low as 1 ppm have been linked to temporary reduction in lung function and difficulty breathing. The Agency for Public Health and Education Accreditation (APHEA) project on ambient air pollution in Paris notably found a direct correlation between the daily ambient concentration of SO₂ and both hospitalizations and mortality from respiratory causes (Dab et al., 1996). SO₂ is also strongly associated with incidence of bronchitis and other respiratory illnesses, particularly in children.

In light of recent findings suggesting that the health risks associated with SO₂ exposure are more severe than was originally thought, in 2011 the WHO revised their 24-hour guideline value for SO₂ exposure from 125 down to 20 µg/m³ (WHO, 2016).

3.2.4 Particulate Matter

Compounds commonly associated with PM include sulfate (SO₄), nitrate (NO₃), elemental carbon, and various organic compounds (e.g., PAHs) and heavy metals (lead, zinc, copper, nickel etc.), along with suspended water particles. Though the specific chemical composition of PM may vary widely, it is by its very nature a respiratory and bronchial irritant, with the ability of the lung to clear itself of inhaled particles being the primary determinant in PM’s specific health risks. This in turn varies based on the average size and composition of inhaled particles, with
“coarse” PM$_{10}$ (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5-10 micrometres) less strongly associated with incidence of cardiopulmonary or lung cancer than the pernicious “fine” PM$_{2.5}$ particles (diameter of less than 2.5 micrometers). Fine particulate matter typically contains a higher proportion of toxic metals and acid species, and due to its size and shape it can penetrate more deeply into the respiratory tract.

Numerous studies have also indicated a strong relationship between both PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ exposure and bronchitis, chronic cough and respiratory symptoms, reduced lung function, and respiratory and cardiac hospital admissions. Predictably, those with pre-existing lung or respiratory conditions, young children, and the elderly are thought to be at a higher risk for PM-related injury or premature death.

There is a considerable body of scientific research investigating the risks posed by CO$_2$, NOx, SO$_2$, and PM to human health and the health of natural ecosystems. While some of these alleged risks remain contentious, there is certainly broad agreement that at sufficiently high ambient concentrations, each of these pollutants can lead to adverse health outcomes and that regulating industrial emissions of these pollutants should be a priority for governments.

### 3.3 Environmental Performance of Canadian Portland Cement Manufacturing

#### 3.3.1 Landscape

According to the Cement Association of Canada (CAC, 2010) there are 15 Portland cement plants and one white cement plant operating in Canada. In 2008, the Canadian cement industry produced 15 million tonnes of cement (valuing over $1.7 billion) and provided more than 2,000 jobs (CAC, 2010). Based on data from 2008, 65% of Canada’s cement is produced for the Canadian market and 35% is exported to the United States (CAC, 2010). Combined with the concrete industry, cement and concrete collectively employ 27,000 Canadians and contribute over $3.2 billion to Canada’s gross domestic product (CAC, 2010). As demonstrated in Table 3.2, Canada’s cement manufacturing operations are relatively modern and efficient, especially when compared to operations in nearby U.S. States.
In 2008, the last of Canada’s wet kiln facilities (Lafarge Woodstock) suspended operations. Meanwhile, as of the end of 2007, nearly 30% of all cement kilns operating in the United States were less-efficient wet kilns, constructed prior to 1960 (CAC, 2010).

Table 3.2: Portland cement manufacturing operations in Canada

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Plant</th>
<th># of kilns</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Year Began or Modernized</th>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Clinker Capacity 000 tonnes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lafarge</td>
<td>Brookfield, NS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dry Dry</td>
<td>1964 1978</td>
<td>C, A</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafarge</td>
<td>St. Constant, QC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dry Dry</td>
<td>1966 1975</td>
<td>K, A</td>
<td>956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciment Quebec</td>
<td>St. Basile, QC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dry (precalciner)</td>
<td>1982 2002 - 2008</td>
<td>C,O,G,A</td>
<td>1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colacem</td>
<td>Calumet, QC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>2004²</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafarge</td>
<td>Bath, ON</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dry (preheater)</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>C,K,G</td>
<td>1064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essroc</td>
<td>Picton, ON</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 Dry 1 Dry</td>
<td>1965 1975</td>
<td>C,K,G</td>
<td>1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holcim Canada</td>
<td>Mississauga, ON</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 Dry (preheater / precalciner)</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>C, A</td>
<td>1,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Marys</td>
<td>Bowmanville, ON</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 Dry (preheater &amp; precalciner)</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>C, K</td>
<td>1,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafarge</td>
<td>Woodstock, ON</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>1956 1957</td>
<td>C, K</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Marys</td>
<td>St. Mary’s, ON</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dry (preheater)</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>C,K</td>
<td>754</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 C- coal, G- gas, K – petroleum coke, A – Alternative source (e.g. tires, biosolids, construction and demolition removals, etc. , O – Oils (e.g. Bunker C fuel oil)
2 While starting operations as a cement manufacturing facility in 2004, the Colacem facility is not a new, modern cement facility, but rather an upgraded former brick manufacturing kiln.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Plant</th>
<th># of kilns</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Year Began or Modernized</th>
<th>Fuel(^1)</th>
<th>Clinker Capacity 000 tonnes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lafarge</td>
<td>Exshaw, AB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 Dry (preheater / precalciner)</td>
<td>1976 / 1981</td>
<td>C, G</td>
<td>1,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehigh Cement</td>
<td>Edmonton, AB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 Dry (precalciner)</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>C, G</td>
<td>1,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafarge</td>
<td>Kamloops, BC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 Dry</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>C, K, A</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafarge</td>
<td>Richmond, BC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 Dry (preheater / precalciner)</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>C, G, K, A</td>
<td>1,260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3.2 Environmental Performance Indicators

The Cement Association of Canada’s 2012 Environmental Performance Report provides total production numbers for cement, CO\(_2\), NO\(_x\), SO\(_2\), and PM totals for 2003-2010 (see Table 3.3). In addition to total values the report also provides emission intensity values for CO\(_2\), NO\(_x\), SO\(_2\), and PM. These emission intensity values are provided in both units of clinker and cement. Table 3.3 forms the basis of discussion on the individual air quality parameters as well as the subsequent figures.
Table 3.3: Cement performance indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production Indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement Production (Mt)</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>16.05</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>13.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinker to Cement Ratio</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ and Climate Change Indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct CO₂ Emissions (Mt CO₂)</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>12.61</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>9.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct CO₂ Emissions Intensity (kg CO₂ / tonne cement)</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality Indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total NOₓ Emissions (tonnes / year)</td>
<td>40431</td>
<td>43415</td>
<td>44295</td>
<td>31108</td>
<td>32004</td>
<td>28908</td>
<td>24350</td>
<td>25669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ Emissions Intensity (kg / tonne clinker)</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ Emissions Intensity (kg / tonne cement)</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SO₂ Emissions (tonnes / year)</td>
<td>37359</td>
<td>42768</td>
<td>38355</td>
<td>31992</td>
<td>28381</td>
<td>22309</td>
<td>17773</td>
<td>16760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂ Emissions Intensity (kg / tonne clinker)</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂ Emissions Intensity (kg / tonne cement)</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate Matter (PM) Emissions (tonnes / year)</td>
<td>3927</td>
<td>4382</td>
<td>4369</td>
<td>4572</td>
<td>5330</td>
<td>4250</td>
<td>3280</td>
<td>2819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM Emissions Intensity (kg / tonne clinker)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM Emissions Intensity (kg / tonne cement)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.3 Intensity-based Environmental Performance (2003-2010)

Total cement production reflects historic economic cycles. During the housing boom period in Canada and the United States cement production peaked at 16.55 Mt. When the crash occurred in 2008 the subsequent cement production in 2009 was down five million tonnes from 2006.
Interestingly, the clinker to cement ratio has trended down from 87% in 2003 to 80% in 2010, reflecting increased use of ground limestone and supplemental cementitious materials such as fly ash or slag.

Since 2003 total annual CO$_2$ emissions from the Canadian cement manufacturing sector have varied from 8.39 Mt to 12.77 Mt (see Figure 3.2). Given the primary formation of CO$_2$ is relative to the amount of fuel consumed and CaCO$_3$ calcined, total CO$_2$ emissions increase with total cement production. However, the CO$_2$ intensity demonstrates a decrease of 9.5% from 2003 to 2010 (see Figure 3.2).

![Figure 3.2: Total CO$_2$ and CO$_2$ intensity (2003-2010)](image)

Since 2003 total annual NOx emissions from the Canadian cement manufacturing sector have varied from 24,350 tonnes to 44,295 tonnes (see Figure 3.3). Unlike CO$_2$ there are three modes of NOx formation and fuel type and technology can strongly influence the amount of NOx generated. As such, total NOx production may not be as directly correlated to total cement production. However, the NOx intensity has also decreased from 2003 to 2010. In 2003 NOx intensity was 2.67 kg/tonne cement and in 2010 NOx intensity was 1.90 kg/tonne cement, a 28% decrease.
Since 2003 total annual SO₂ emissions from the Canadian cement manufacturing sector have varied from 16,760 to 42,767 tonnes (see Figure 3.4). In spite of total cement production total SO₂ shows as downward trend from 2003 to 2010. This is strongly reinforced by SO₂ intensity which has dropped considerably between 2003 and 2010. In 2003 SO₂ intensity was 2.47 kg/tonne cement and in 2010 SO₂ intensity was 1.24 kg/tonne cement, a 49.8% decrease.
Since 2003 total annual PM emissions from the Canadian cement manufacturing sector have varied from 2,819 tonnes to 5,330 tonnes (see Figure 3.5). As with CO$_2$, PM emissions fluctuate with total cement production as demonstrated between 2003 and 2010. However, the intensity of PM has generally remained consistent fluctuating between 0.21 kg/tonne cement to 0.33 kg/tonne cement.

![Figure 3.5: Total PM and PM intensity (2003-2010)](image)

3.4 Interpretation

Based on a review of total emissions and intensities for CO$_2$, NOx, SO$_2$ and PM it is clear that total emissions are not a reliable way to measure cement manufacturing environmental performance. Total emissions generally fluctuate with total production and fail to provide a benchmark whereby performance can be measured. For intensity-based values, with the exception of PM, there is a downward trend from 2003-2010. It is unclear why PM intensity has not also notably decreased during this timeframe given the implementation of fabric filter bag houses at many of the facilities. However, in efforts to reduce CO$_2$ emissions facilities have attempted to supplant fossil fuels with alternative and renewable fuels. Cristea and Cinti (2010) suggest that the use of alternative and renewable may actually increase PM.
The reduction in CO\textsubscript{2} intensity for cement can be attributed to three factors: 1) increased use of alternative and renewable fuels which supplant fossil-based fuels; 2) incorporation of supplemental cementitious materials (e.g., fly ash, slag) which reduce clinker content; and, 3) increase use of ground limestone to further reduce the clinker content in cement. Reduction in NO\textsubscript{x} intensities result from process modification and the implementation of control measures such as selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) and selective catalytic reduction (SCR). Interestingly, using end of life tires for fuel can reduce NO\textsubscript{x} emissions by 15-30% (Corti & Lombardi, 2004).

Similar to NO\textsubscript{x}, the decrease in SO\textsubscript{2} intensity is attributable to both process and secondary control measures. Managing combustion efficiency and using excess oxygen in the kiln has a major impact on fuel-based SO\textsubscript{2}. Lime injected into the kiln system reacts with SO\textsubscript{2} to form calcium sulphate which is generally incorporated into the clinker product. Wet scrubbing technology can also be used to remove SO\textsubscript{2} from the exhaust gases in the stack (Bradley et al., 2011).

Despite this improved environmental performance, the latest information from Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) (2016) indicates that domestic cement manufacturing is responsible for 1.4% of total anthropogenic GHGs and 1% of total air pollutant emissions in Canada. Consequently, we need to expand the picture to flesh out what these emissions means nationally and where Canadian cement manufacturing is situated in the context of global environmental performance.

3.5 Global Context

According the WHO (2016), and looking primarily at PM pollution, Canadian air quality is the third best in the world. Emerging markets such as China and India are considerably worse off. According to He et al. (2002) in the early 1990s less than 1% of the 500 cities in China reach Class I of the Chinese National Ambient Air Quality Standards (CNAAQS)\textsuperscript{3}.

\textsuperscript{3} Class I of CNAAQS are comparable to good air quality standards in developed countries.
In India the country-wide annual average for PM 10 is over 100 µg/m³, which is five times the recommended limit of 20 µg/m³ (WHO, 2016).

With the exception of CO₂ the emissions intensities for NOx, SO₂ and PM fall within the ranges provided by the European Integrated Pollution Prevention Control Bureau (WBCSD, 2005). Cement CO₂ intensity in Europe has been below 700 kg / tonne of CO₂ since year 2000. Although clinker intensity is comparable to that of the Canadian cement sector. The reason for the disparity between cement CO₂ intensity between Canada and Europe is likely due to the higher proportion of blended cements that can take advantage of more ground limestone and supplemental cementitious materials, reducing the amount of clinker in the product (WBCSD, 2011).

Accurate emission intensities from emerging markets such as China are much harder to come by given there are data gaps, data inaccuracies and production and emissions coverage by the Cement Sustainability Initiative (CSI) is limited to member companies with new and modernized plants in the region (WBCSD, 2011). However, an inventory completed by Lei et al. (2011) at Tsinghua University provides total cement production and emissions data from 2008 (Table 3.4).

Table 3.4: Canadian and China’s environmental performance intensities (2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air Quality Indicators</th>
<th>Emissions Intensity (kg/tonne cement)</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>China</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>736</td>
<td></td>
<td>642.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although Table 3.4 indicates that national intensities for CO₂, NOx and SO₂ are lower in China, it is difficult to reconcile this better performance with the fact the China produces one third of its cement from vertical shaft kilns (Lei et al., 2011). Vertical shaft kilns are the oldest, dirtiest and least efficient kiln systems in cement manufacturing. There are no shaft kilns in Canada and the
last wet kiln was suspended in 2008. Given that the Canadian cement manufacturing industry has a modern fleet of plants one would expect to see better environmental performance. With respect to PM intensity this considerable difference aligns with both what would be expected given the mixed kiln technology and the WHO air quality ranking of China.

In terms of production, the Canadian cement manufacturing sector is easy eclipsed by China and India as the largest world-wide producers. In 2008 China alone produced 1.38 billion tonnes of cement (European Chamber, 2009). China’s investment into cement manufacturing in 2009 suggests that current (2011) overcapacity is in excess of 1 billion tonnes (European Chamber, 2009). The European Chamber (2009) indicate that the overcapacity in the cement sector, compared with Chinese overcapacity in the aluminum industry, is thought to be caused by market forces, subsidized energy prices, easy access to technology and funding, and stimulus spending.

Consequently, Canadian cement manufacturers are under considerable competitive strain from Asia-based producers. In Canada, higher production costs of result from increased environmental regulatory control, expensive fuel and labour. Based on the Economic Chamber’s projections, the entire Canadian cement production as of 2008 could be replaced by 1.5% of China’s overcapacity. It is possible the reason that complete market takeover has not already occurred is that cement has a low cost per unit weigh ratio, making ground transportation very expensive.

3.6 Energy Intensive & Trade Exposed (EITE) Industry and Emissions Leakage

Over the past decade concerns around GHGs, particularly CO$_2$, have prompted governments at regional, national and international levels to develop and implement emissions reduction programs. The cement industry is acutely aware of these measures given it contributes approximately 5% of global CO$_2$ emissions (WBCSD, 2011). In 2005 the European Economic Commission (EEC) introduced the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme (EUETS). In 2007 select Canadian provinces and US states signed onto the Western Climate Initiative (WCI) with the purpose of developing a North American emissions trading system (cap and trade). In
2008 the province of British Columbia in Canada implemented a carbon tax on fuel emissions and in 2011 Australia followed suit with introducing their own carbon tax.

A few years after the implementation of EUETS the European Commission recognized an oversight with the program. EITE industry in countries covered by EUETS were at a competitive disadvantage to foreign imports from industry in unregulated countries. The resulting effect was carbon leakage. In 2009 the European Commission issued a directive identifying and allowing protection for sectors with trade exposure to countries not subject to EUETS (EEC, 2010). In June 2010, the WCI released a report on the risk of carbon leakage associated with electricity imports and exports from non-WCI jurisdictions calling for first-jurisdictional delivery to mitigate these effects. These actions taken by EUETS and WCI recognize that emissions leakage must be thoroughly considered in order to genuinely reduce global GHG emissions.

Applying the concept of carbon leakage to NOx, SO2 and PM follows the same logic. If stringent regulation increases cement production costs locally, the production may be shifted to a non-regulated country and cement imported. The result would be local decrease in emissions because production decreases while global emissions remains unchanged, if not increased due to poorer standards in emerging markets. Simply put, emissions leakage refers to the change in emission A externally ($\Delta A_x^{ext}$) divided by the absolute value of local or internal emissions reductions ($\Delta A_x^{int}$). This result is then expressed to denote relative percent leakage ($L$). For example, if the $L = 25\%$ this suggests that $A_x$ emissions have increased by 25% in the non-regulated country (Chen, 2009).

$$L = \frac{\Delta A_x^{Ext}}{|\Delta A_x^{Int}|} \times 100\%$$

(3.1)

Studies on Annex B countries complying with their commitment to the Kyoto Protocol suggest that carbon leakage will range from 5% - 20% (Kallbekken et al., 2007; Barker et al., 2007). In evaluating the EUETS transition path to 2020, Bernard and Vielle (2009) indicate some industries may incur carbon leakage over 10%. Disparity between Regional Greenhouse Gas
Initiative (RGGI) states and non-RGGI states in the US demonstrate leakage may be up to 30% (Chen, 2009). Despite the fact that NOx, SO2 and PM generate regional air quality issues they are a ‘global-local’ concern.

3.7 LCA Applications

Increased public concern for environmental degradation has put pressure on the construction industry to move towards sustainable business practices. In response to this pressure the construction industry has begun to reshape its *modus operandi*. This transformation is exemplified by the development of environment and energy certification systems such as those associated with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) or the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) developed by the United States Green Building Council.

The cornerstone of both ISO and LEED certification is life cycle assessment (LCA). LCA has become the principal assessment methodological tool for determining the complete environmental footprint of a product or process. The holistic approach considers all aspects of a product’s development from cradle to grave. In the example of cement manufacturing an LCA may consider all the environment impacts starting from the initial geological survey to find a suitable limestone source to the packaging and transporting the finished product.

Cement and its primary end-use product concrete are widely used building materials with an average one tonne of concrete produced each year for every human being on earth (Van den Heede and De Belie, 2012). Given cement and concrete’s extensive use, accurately determining the environmental impact of these materials is critical to understanding their role in sustainable construction practices. Despite the emissions intense nature of cement LCA research may demonstrate the environmental performance of cement and concrete over its lifetime are higher than other building materials such as timber, steel, polymers, glass and bitumen.
3.8 Multi-criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA)

Industrial air emissions are a major concern for the protection of human health and the environment. Development of standards and control mechanisms to reduce industrial emissions is important to protecting air quality. In order to developing sound environmental policy to air quality governments typically undertake some form of MCDA. MCDA attempts to combine social, environmental and economic assessment criteria into a single performance measure (Ascough et al., 2008).

In light of the awareness around carbon leakage it is important to consider emissions leakage and its role on the effectiveness of a policy or program to genuinely reduce emissions (Chen, 2009; Barker et al., 2007). Unlike CO₂ emissions which add to global GHGs, NOx, SO₂ and PM emissions create local impacts. If creating environmental policy, simply transfers regional air pollution to an unregulated jurisdiction it defeats the purpose of developing said policy.

In a policy development scenario that considers regional air emissions, and applies MCDA to develop the appropriate standard, social (s) environmental (en) and economic (ec) criteria are considered:

\[
\text{Standard for air emission } S_A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i = \frac{g_1(s) + g_2(en) + g_3(ec)}{n} \quad (3.2)
\]

However, if Equation 3.2 fails to consider leakage effects there may be not net reduction in emission A because production may simply decrease locally and increase in an unregulated jurisdiction. In this instance a leakage factor \( L_f \) would need to be applied:

\[
\text{Standard for air emission } S_A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i = \frac{g_1(s) + g_2(en) + g_3(ec)}{n} \times (L_f) \quad (3.3)
\]

The value of the \( L_f \) would likely be proportional to productions costs. As an EITE industry
cement manufacturing would require a greater \( L_f \) due to the high energy and labour costs. In a study of the environmental effects of exports and imports, Wang and Xie (2011) found that considerable strain is being placed on China’s environment because of the expansion of heavy industry designated for the export market. The lack of environmental regulation and cheap labour allow China to outcompete domestic producers in the developed world. In order to improve the quality of the environment in China, Wang and Xie (2011) suggest that environmental regulation needs to be strengthened and the scale of exports needs to be reduced.

Considering the actions taken by the European Commission to acknowledge and address emissions leakage of GHGs from EITE industry, and, the noted strain of export manufacturing on the environment in China, the next step is to comparatively evaluate the life cycle of an exported product to that of domestically manufactured product. As an EITE industry in both China and Canada, Portland cement manufacturing is an ideal candidate for the assessment. Given this research focuses on regional nocuous emissions, the environmental performance of cement produced in either country would be based on those emissions rather than GHGs.

Consequently, in the next chapter a health-based comparative LCIA is carried out between Portland cement produced in China and Canada. The purpose of the assessment is to further understand the environmental performance of cement production in China and Canada and to translate this performance into actual impact. Depending on the outcome of the LCIA results, MCDA methodology can be applied to adjust or strengthen policy and legislation to minimize emissions leakage.
Chapter 4: LCIA Investigation of Cement Manufacturing in China and Canada

A version of this chapter has been accepted by *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*. The paper, entitled “A health-based life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) for cement manufacturing: a comparative study of China and Canada”, was prepared by Brown, D., Sadiq, R., Hewage, K. (Brown et al., 2017).

4.1 Industrial Pollution and State of the Industry

Environmental awareness and the impact of pollution are becoming increasingly known. This is reflected in government policies and regulations as well as the prominence of the environment in news, electronic print and social media. In day-to-day activities, the general public may be directly aware of the environment and pollution while sorting their garbage as part of a municipal waste management program, moderating outdoor activities based on air quality advisories, or, deciding to take public transport instead using their vehicle. What is less likely known is the environmental impact associated with inter-jurisdictional trade.

As previously mentioned cement manufacturing is a true global enterprise. This is largely due to the abundance of the principal raw material, limestone, which covers approximately 10% of the earth’s surface (PCA, 2004). The wide availability of raw materials for cement production and cement’s primary use in the major building material, concrete, supports a strong demand. Recent estimates indicate that annual consumption of cement on a per person basis is 450 kg (WBCSD, 2012).

Unfortunately, the process of cement manufacturing generates by-products, in particular, undesirable gaseous emissions. The most recognized of which is carbon dioxide (CO₂). According to the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBSCD) Cement Sustainability Initiative (CSI) the cement sector accounts for up to 6% of global anthropogenic CO₂ emissions (WBCSD, 2012). Apart from CO₂, the other main and more acutely nocuous
emissions are NOx, SO2, PM, and CO (WBCSD, 2012). Minor constituents include volatile organic compounds, acid gases, trace metals, and organic micro pollutants (WBCSD, 2012).

Given the demand for cement, the challenge of regulating and reducing air emissions has become a priority for governments and industry alike. Historically, before regulatory intervention, maximizing product output and minimizing costs associated with energy drove innovation. Consequently, initial emission reductions were achieved through the advancement of kiln technology (PCA, 2004). Low output vertical shaft kilns were replaced with rotary kilns, the less energy efficient wet process was replaced with the dry process, and, preheater kilns transitioned to ultra-efficient precalciner kilns.

In recent history, awareness of the direct impact of air emissions on human health and the environment prompted some governments to regulate industrial emissions closely. In these countries, antiquated kilns have been decommissioned and scrubbing technology and operational controls have become mandatory to reduce the primary emissions (e.g., NOx, SO2, PM, and CO).

Currently, public concern over global warming has resulted in GHG reduction initiatives such as carbon tax, cap and trade and mandated performance limits. In these jurisdictions, industry is responding to reduce CO2 emissions by using supplemental cemenitious materials (e.g., fly ash, slag), increasing the use of ground limestone, seeking alternatives to traditional fossil fuels, and, further focussing on energy efficiency.

In so much as the cement sector can be acknowledged for adapting to human health and environmental concerns, the apparent challenge to a wholesale transformation of the industry lies with having ubiquitous production parameters. As discussed in Chapter 3 an EITE industry market advantage is given to cement producers who operate in countries not subject to the same level of scrutiny. This EITE worst-case scenario is exemplified by the cement industry in China. As the world’s largest producer, in 2014 China manufactured 2.476 billion tonnes, which is approximately 60% of global production (Xu et al., 2015). Weak environmental regulations, fuel and raw material subsidies, and low labor costs all contribute to a favourable price for export (Xu et al., 2015; Song et al., 2015).
Given the ability for China to produce and export cement at lower costs, cement manufacturers in countries that are well regulated, such as Canada, are susceptible to production leakage. Along with production leakage are the associated air emissions. As demonstrated in their review of EUETS to reduce GHGs, the European Economic Commission (EEC) recognized the program did not account for emissions leakage associated with EITE industry (EEC, 2010). In general, studies indicate that GHG emissions leakage between regulated and non-regulated jurisdictions could be up to 30% (see Chapter 3).

Although the emissions leakage is important, the ultimate concern is the connection between emissions leakage and environmental performance of the industry within the jurisdiction. With regards to GHGs, if the intensity of CO₂ emissions per tonne of imported cement is equivalent to that of the domestically produced cement, including transportation, the impact is the same. However, if the CO₂ intensity is greater in the imported cement there will be a net increase in global CO₂ emissions. Without extending the same standards to the importer, the regulated jurisdiction has inadvertently caused an increase in emissions.

Recognition of the global concern surrounding GHGs is well understood and several countries are moving towards emission reduction initiatives. At the 2015 Paris climate conference (COP21), 195 countries adopted the legally binding Climate Paris Agreement. Even emerging economies, such as China, ratified the agreement. In order to meet their obligation, China has committed to reducing GHG emissions per unit of gross domestic product by 60-65% below 2005 levels. This commitment alone may address the concerns of consistency with other global cement producers for CO₂ emission intensity and modernization.

However, what is less publicized with a unified global approach are initiatives to address the other primary air emissions of cement manufacturing which are more directly harmful. Unlike CO₂ emissions and their contribution to global warming, other gases such as NOx, SO₂, PM and CO are regional pollutants with local effects. Coincidently, SO₂ and suspended PM in conjunction with NOx, organic substances and CO are known to cause what is referred to as winter smog (Guo, 2012). The most widely recalled instance of winter smog occurred in London in December of 1952. The London smog, generated from home heating with coal, was linked to
approximately 12,000 deaths (Guo, 2012). In recognition of, and in response to, the deadly event the United Kingdom passed the *Clean Air Act* (CAA) of 1956.

Given the limited attention of research into emissions leakage of regional pollutants, and the serious potential for impact on air quality in emerging markets with EITE industry, cement manufacturing in China and Canada are comparatively evaluated for their national cement emission intensities of NOx, SO\(_2\), PM and CO. This comparative evaluation will highlight the importance of emissions leakage and identify the potential for increased emissions associated with importing cement from producers with poor emission profiles. However, with emission intensities, the discussion on the adverse effects of the pollutants on human health is limited to exposure limits of the individual parameters.

In order to meaningfully assess the impact of the emissions, their interactions and fate in the environment must be incorporated. In the life cycle thinking (LCT) approach, the individual emissions are considered beyond their initial release and exposure limits, and, are evaluated as contributing factors to an impact category that directly quantifies damage to human health. Using LCIA methodology, the environmental performance of cement production is assessed in terms of the winter smog impact category.

Both emissions intensities and the LCIA results will provide a means of comparing environmental performance between the two countries, the potential for increased emissions from trade, and the potential for impacts to human health. Following this analysis, the emissions intensities and LCIA results will be used to highlight the pollution challenges and assess policy differences between emerging markets and developed markets. Given the traditional approach to regulating air pollution focuses on setting individual contaminant limits, the multi-pollutant impact approach of LCIA may also be considered.

### 4.2 Approach

This LCIA adheres to the ISO standard for LCA (ISO 14040:2006 / ISO 14044:2006). Although the scope of the LCIA is more narrowly focused than the traditional LCA, all the essential phases
have been addressed. As previously mentioned, the main objective of the LCIA is to perform a comparative study to evaluate cement manufacturing processes in China and Canada. The overarching goal is to serve as a tool for policy makers developing regulation, addressing EITE industry, and minimizing the potential for emissions leakage.

4.2.1 Scope

Identifying a functional unit to encompass all cement types is problematic. As explained in Chapter 3 the intermediate product clinker, that embodies the majority of emissions associated with cement manufacturing, varies in concentration depending on the cement type. Different applications of cement in concrete can also vary in clinker concentration. As well, countries and jurisdictions may differ in their building material standards. Lastly, the incorporation of supplemental cementitious material such as fly ash or slag into cement further complicates consistency.

Ideally, clinker would be the preferred metric to directly determine environmental performance of the cement plant. However, the consumable is cement. Additionally, much of the cement industry in developed countries are focused on reducing the environment impacts of cement by specifying applications that require lower clinker cement, and, supplanting some of the clinker concentration with other pozzolans. That said, the bulk of the globally produced cement occurs in developing countries and is Portland cement, which consists of 95% clinker. As such, the functional unit used in this assessment is 1 tonne of Portland cement.

In Canada, the NPRI requires cement manufacturing facilities to report emissions for several contaminants including NOx, SO2 PM, and CO. However, the upstream activities of quarrying and crushing, and their associated emissions, are not included. As well, the downstream activities after the cement product has left the plant, until the final use in concrete, are not included. Given the NPRI provides the principal source of output data for the life cycle inventory (LCI), for the purpose this LCIA the system boundaries are limited to the cement manufacturing plant (i.e., gate-to-gate).
In reviewing the emissions data from China, it has been identified that there is not an equivalent monitoring system to NPRI in Canada. Inventory data for China’s cement manufacturing is drawn from diverse sources including research papers and international reporting systems (e.g., CSI). As such, it is difficult to determine the exact system boundaries of the life LCI data from China. However, given that the cement manufacturing facility phase generates the bulk of emissions for cement, and different kiln technology emission profiles are well understood, it is assumed that emissions inventory from China will be consistent with NPRI data. Inconsistencies in data will identified and discussed.

Although multiple impact categories are affected by the main regional emissions of cement manufacturing (NO, SO$_2$, PM, and CO), the focus of this LCIA concerns local air quality impacts on human health. Consequently, the impact category selected for comparative purposes is winter smog. In Eco-indicator 99, winter smog is equivalent to the damage category of respiratory effects on humans caused by inorganic substances (Pré, 2001). In Table 4.1 the list of substances contributing to winter smog are identified. The damage factors are expressed as per kg emission and the unit of damage is Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs).

Table 4.1: Respiratory effects from inorganic substances per kg emission (E,E) (Pré, 2001)
DALYs refer to years of life lived with disability or years of life lost due to overall disease burden. The damage factor column in Table 4.1 is consistent with other LCA databases and is applied to each associated substance to produce DALYs. However, the normalised and weighted damage factors are unique to Eco-Indicator 99.

In Eco-Indicator 99 there are three cultural perspectives that affect the normalisation and weighting of the damage factor: individualists; hierarchists; and, egalitarians. Individualists would be considered short term thinkers, need proven cause and effect, and value human health more during productive years. The hierarchists are balanced between short term and long term thinking, accept evidence-based facts, and will accept some risk. Lastly, the egalitarians are the long term thinkers, they adhere to the precautionary principle, and are risk adverse.

In Table 4.1 the damage, normalised and weighted factors reflect the egalitarian perspective (E,E) (Pré, 2001). As the precautionary approach, the egalitarian perspective for winter smog has the highest damage factors and includes the most number of contributing substances. However, in normalising and weighting they put less emphasis on human health and more on ecosystem quality and resources (Table 4.2). As such, the egalitarian perspective provides the most conservative valuation of impact to human health from winter smog.

### Table 4.2: Normalisation and weighting by cultural perspective (derived from Pré, 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Individualist</th>
<th>Hierarchist</th>
<th>Egalitarian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normalisation</td>
<td>Weights</td>
<td>Normalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Health</td>
<td>8.25E-03</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1.54E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosystem Quality</td>
<td>4.51E+03</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>5.13E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>1.48E+02</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.41E+03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.2.2 Life Cycle Inventory (LCI)

In accordance with the scope, the system boundaries of this LCI are limited to the gate-to-gate of
the cement production facility (Figure 4.1). Energy and raw materials are brought into the plant, processing occurs, and cement and emissions are created. As indicated previously, upstream and downstream activities are not included in the LCI because the bulk of emissions are generated from the manufacturing phase. As well, data availability and data consistency are key factors in the comparative study. However, it is important to note that upstream and downstream activities between producers can significantly vary. The variations can include: type and origin of electric and thermal energy; distance and mode of transportation between raw material sources and the plant; distance and mode of transportation between the plant and the consumer; and, the type of cement and the concrete application.

In cement manufacturing there are no two identical systems. Even with consistent technology the configuration will vary across the facilities of the world. It is recognized that differences in technology, energy, raw materials, additives and operational efficiencies all factor into emission totals and intensities. It may be possible to use probabilistic or fuzzy logic methodology to discretely characterize the cement manufacturing systems. However, for the purpose of evaluating national level cement manufacturing performance all that is required is total Portland cement production and associated emission totals and intensities for NOx, SO2, PM, and CO.

![Figure 4.1: System boundaries of LCI](image)
Unlike Canada, data gathering from China’s cement industry provides considerable challenge. The data available from CSI is very limited and reflects larger companies with modern facilities. Research papers also provide limited information in that only a subset of the sector is included, and/or, the data is not presented in useable form (e.g., SO\textsubscript{2} emissions from coal combustion alone, excluding raw material SO\textsubscript{2} emissions), and/or, the data conflicts between papers. In light of these limitations, and for the purpose of consistency, a single inventory paper was selected for the LCI. Although dated, the inventory completed by Lei et al. (2011) assesses the entire cement industry, presents useable data, and, demonstrates consistency.

Mandatory data reporting through the NPRI provides complete emission totals for the cement sector in Canada. Additionally, the CAC has compiled cement production, emission totals, and intensities in their environmental performance report (CAC, 2012). Although data is available up to 2015 through NPRI, the inventory in China completed by Lei et al. (2011) only provides data up to 2008. However, in their study Lei et al. (2011) offers a projection of emissions for a high production scenario in 2015. Given there was high production in 2015, and, no other exhaustive inventory is available, the projection will be used for the purposes of comparison. In Table 3.3 both the total emissions and intensities have been reported.

With respect to DALYs, NO\textsubscript{x}, SO\textsubscript{2}, and CO are assigned a single damage factor as per Table 4.1. However, PM is fractioned into three damage categories (total suspended particulate (TSP) or total PM, PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5}). In Table 4.3, only total PM emissions and PM intensity are provided. However, when determining impact, each PM fraction is evaluated separately.

In Canada, recent efforts to reduce GHGs have resulted in an increase production of Portland limestone cement (PLC). With PLC, ground limestone can substitute up to 15% of the clinker concentration. Unfortunately, it is not possible to separate the emissions reported from the plants between PLC and Portland cement. That said, Portland cement is still the main cement product, and, the influence of PLC will only result in reducing emissions. In China, Portland cement is the main product.
Table 4.3: Portland cement production, emission totals and intensities (2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Portland Cement (Mt)</th>
<th>NOx (Mt)</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{2} (Mt)</th>
<th>PM (Mt)</th>
<th>CO (Mt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.28\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>2.804 E-02\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>2.283</td>
<td>1.504 E-02\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>1.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>2010\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>3.07\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.12\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>0.557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Lei et al. (2011)
\textsuperscript{b} Cement Association of Canada (2016)
\textsuperscript{c} National Pollution Release Inventory (2016)

4.3 Analysis

According to the emissions intensities indicated in Table 4.3, China outperforms Canada in both NOx and SO\textsubscript{2}. In Canada, NOx emissions intensity is 49% higher and SO\textsubscript{2} intensity is increased by 120%. Conversely, PM and CO are considerably lower in Canada. PM intensity is 77% lower and CO intensity is 85% lower.

4.3.1 Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)

In terms of environmental performance, the outcome of the LCI does not provide a simple answer. According to available data if cement were imported to Canada from China the embodied emissions would be lower for NOx and SO\textsubscript{2}, while higher for PM and CO. Conversely, cement exported to China from Canada would have lower PM and CO while having increased NOx and SO\textsubscript{2}. Ultimately, the impact of the individual parameters must be assessed in order to qualify the discussion on performance.

As part of the LCIA, damage factors are applied to the individual emissions in order to determine the corresponding impact (DALYs). In this instance, the Eco-Indicator 99 factors for winter smog are applied (see Table 4.1). These factors are normalised and weighted according to the egalitarian perspective (E.E). The sum of all damage contributions are expressed as DALYs per tonne of Portland cement (see Table 4.4).
According to Table 4.4 the damage generated from 1 tonne of Portland cement manufactured in China at 14.2 DALYs. This is more than double that of Canada at 7.02 DALYs. In spite of Canada having higher intensity values for NOx and SO\(_2\), the increased PM intensity in China has far greater impact, in particular the PM\(_{10}\) and PM\(_{2.5}\) fractions. The damage caused from China’s PM\(_{2.5}\) intensity alone is more than Canada’s total DALYs per tonne of Portland cement.

In consideration of added emissions during trade based on emissions intensity, either country will lose or gain depending on the parameter. However, in considering health impacts, China generates more than twice the damage per tonne of Portland cement produced. Given these pollutants may cause regional impacts, the production and associated emissions leakage to China will potentiate the damage. Based on the LCIA results, China generates 7.18 DALYS per tonne of cement more than Canada.

The change in damage (\(\Delta D\)) measured in DALYs is a result of the leaked cement production (\(P_L\))
multiplied by the difference between the exporting country product damage \((D_{\text{EXP}})\) and the importing country product damage \((D_{\text{IMP}})\) in DALYs per tonne of cement.

\[
\Delta D = P_L \cdot (D_{\text{EXP}} - D_{\text{IMP}})
\]  

(4.1)

As such, if Canada imports one million tonnes of Portland cement there is an increase damage of 7.18 million DALYs. Conversely, if China imported one million tonnes of cement from Canada the result would be a decrease of 7.18 million DALYs (denoted by a negative value). It is important to note this value does not include impacts outside of the system boundaries or from transportation.

4.3.2 Interpretation

The results of the LCI and LCIA provide unique perspectives on environmental performance. The LCI intensity results summarized in Table 4.5 suggest that neither China nor Canada have distinct advantage in performance. Where China gains in NOx and SO\(_2\) performance they lose with PM and CO. Although, it is important to state the China’s values are based on projections from 2008 whereas the Canadian values are field collected and verified through the NPRI.

Table 4.5: LCI emissions intensity results in kg/tonne of Portland cement (2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>SO(_2)</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(kg/t)</td>
<td>(kg/t)</td>
<td>(kg/t)</td>
<td>(kg/t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2.283</td>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>0.2711</td>
<td>0.6904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% change</td>
<td>↑49%</td>
<td>↑120%</td>
<td>↓77%</td>
<td>↓85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As discussed in Chapter 3, China’s 2008 reporting-based intensity values for NOx and SO\(_2\) were also lower than Canada’s values. The increased value for NOx in Canada can be explained as an unintended effect of enhanced kiln technology.
As opposed to older vertical shaft kilns that operate inefficiently, the high temperatures of the more efficient rotary kilns generate thermal NOx. It is expected, as China transitions to modern kiln technology NOx will increase. However, the operational efficiencies and technologies such as selective catalytic reduction (SCR) can minimize NOx.

The lower SO\textsubscript{2} intensity in China is more difficult to account for. In 2008, vertical shaft kiln technology accounted for one third of China’s cement production (Lei et al., 2011). Unlike preheater or precalciner kilns where fuel-based elemental sulphur or sulphide is largely incorporated in to the product, vertical shaft kilns release SO\textsubscript{2} emissions from both fuel and the raw materials. That said, as discussed in Chapter 3, production in Canada is significantly smaller scale than China, and, approximately half the plants consume limestone with heavy pyrite (FeS\textsubscript{2}) concentrations.

Differing from the LCI results, the LCIA clearly indicates that Canadian manufacturers produce lower impact cement than China. The Eco-Indicator 99 damage factors for PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} demonstrate their potentially serious impact on human health, and, remove ambiguity associated with the varying intensities. This does not dismiss the impacts of NOx, SO\textsubscript{2}, and CO, however, as it pertains to respiratory effects and contribution to winter smog, the smaller fractions of PM play a significant role. Other impact categories may be influenced by the individual parameters. As an EITE industry the cement producers are especially susceptible to production leakage and associated emissions leakage. However, Equation 4.1 can apply to any industry. Even if there is no domestic production capability the foreign production and impact thereof can still be measured. The equation is simply reduced to $\Delta D = P_L \cdot D_{EXP}$. That said, the LCI and LCIA of the industry would need to be first assessed.

4.3.3 Limitations and Next Steps

As previously mentioned the spatial parameters of the LCIA are limited to gate-to-gate of the cement manufacturing phase as indicated in Figure 4.1. A complete LCIA would include initial raw material extraction and preparation, electrical generation, thermal fuel extraction and refinement, and, transportation. As well, post process handling and transportation are not
There are two principal reasons for the exclusions: 1) large data gaps; and, 2) variability of material source and product endpoints contributions. In spite of the challenges of completing a full LCIA, cradle and post-processing impacts should be included.

It is recognized that winter smog is only one of the LCA impact categories. Given the focus of this LCIA is health-based effects and regional air pollutants associated with cement manufacturing, the impact category is appropriate. That said, an assessment that includes all impact categories would provide a complete health and environmental characterization. Moreover, the information could be utilized to develop an environmental product declaration (EPD) for cement that could be universally applied.

Of note, no sensitivity analysis was carried out as part of this study. Given the egalitarian perspective is the most conservative of the three solidarities used in Eco-Indicator 99, sensitivity analysis could only depict a larger impact.

In spite of these limitations, there is clear cause to evaluate the effectiveness of existing policy and legislation to reduce offshoring of Portland cement production to China. In terms of regionally important air emissions the relative impact of cement production in China per tonne of cement is disconcerting. Given China already has significant industrial capacity for their domestic consumption, adding production for the export market can only exacerbate air pollution. Establishing effective trade policy in Canada could have a real impact on minimizing emissions leakage to China.

In the next chapter, the potential for emissions leakage is further evaluated. As well, MCDA is applied to assess policies and their supporting mechanisms for the purpose of minimizing emissions leakage.
Chapter 5: Reducing Emissions Leakage to China

A version of this chapter is under review with Environmental Management. The paper, entitled “Investigating the impacts of plausible Canadian policies and their supporting mechanisms on export-based regional air pollution in China: A case of cement manufacturing”, was prepared by Brown, D., Sadiq, R., Hewage, K. (Brown et al., 2017).

5.1 Existing Legislative Framework

CEPA was developed for the dual purpose of pollution prevention and the protection of the environment and human health in Canada (ECCC, 2014). In Part 7, Division 6 of CEPA, the Minister has the authority to act if Canadian sources are generating air pollution outside of Canada, or, if the air pollution violates an international binding agreement on Canada (ECCC, 2014). As an example of the latter, Canada entered into the ‘Agreement Between the Government of Canada and the Government of the United States of America on Air Quality’ (ECCC, 2013). The purpose of the agreement is to minimize transboundary air pollution between the two countries for mutually beneficial purposes.

Although Canada recognizes international air pollution issues, and has provided a legislated mechanism for intervention, this is limited to the movement of air pollution across a physical border. However, regional air pollution generated during the manufacturing of goods, which are imported to Canada, are not considered. Naturally, Canada has no direct control over how foreign jurisdictions operate. That said, if an imported product is manufactured in a manner that is not consistent with Canadian air pollution standards then a contradiction may occur. Moreover, the unintended effect of trade could potentiate pollution in the exporting country.

Intuitively, trade with developed markets pose lower risk of pollution displacement than emerging markets. As one of Canada’s largest trading partners, and a developed market, the United States has a strong federal regulatory system to manage and control air pollution. The Clean Air Act (CAA) administered by EPA is authorized to limit emissions from industrial operations and requires that individual states meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards
As a result of similar regulatory frameworks there is a reasonable expectation that products are manufactured with comparable impacts. However, with an emerging market such as China that has no demonstrable equivalent, the uncertainty and associated risks increase. In the absence of equivalency, other mechanisms are needed to verify some level of confidence as to the embodied impact of the product. Moreover, if the process of assessment identifies additional impacts to air quality from manufacturing, the government may need to implement policy or regulation to dissuade the importation of such products.

5.2 Impact of Increasing Exports on China’s Air Quality

Regional air pollution in China causes a major health risk. It estimated that poor air quality contributes to 1.6 million deaths per year in China (Rohde and Muller, 2015). Although the percentage of deaths with respect to the total population is relatively low, what China experiences annually in air pollution mortality is equivalent to approximately 5% of Canada’s total population (StatCan, 2015). Furthermore, in 2012 China accounted for roughly 23% of the 7 million air pollution linked deaths world-wide (WHO, 2016).

As an economic powerhouse China has become the largest exporter in the world (Wang et al., 2015). In 2012, China exported over two trillion in US dollars by volume (Song et al., 2015). However, this market dominance has not been achieved without a serious impact on air quality. Huo et al. (2014) postulate that the embodied emissions of the exports contributed to 24% of total NOx emissions, 25% of total SO2 emissions, and, 23% of total PM2.5 emissions in China.

These estimates exclude emissions from capital project development and international transportation. Huo et al. (2014) further suggest that major factors in China’s poor air quality can be attributed to high emissions per unit product and a high proportion of emissions intense industry.
The reason that China has become the world’s factory is largely attributed to the pollution haven hypothesis, in that emissions intense industry relocate from developed countries to take advantage of lower production costs and less stringent environmental regulations in emerging markets (Wang et al., 2015). As discussed in Chapter 3, this also aligns with the concept of carbon leakage between regulated and non-regulated jurisdictions in consideration of EITE industry. However, unlike GHGs which add to the global concentration emissions leakage associated with regional air pollutants such as NOx, SO2, PM, and CO have localized effects.

5.3 EITE Cement Manufacturing Industry

As an EITE industry cement manufacturing provides a unique opportunity to study the potential for increasing regional air pollution in the exporting country. Given the cement industry is global and energy intense (which is tantamount to emissions intense), relocating manufacturing to emerging markets would be expected. Consequently, China has become the largest producer of cement with billions of tonnes of capacity and accounts for approximately 60% of global production (Xu et al., 2015). By contrast, Canada’s relatively small cement industry with a total capacity under 20 million tonnes, operating in a more stringent regulatory environment, is under considerable competitive pressure from imported cement.

This competitive pressure on the cement manufacturing industry is demonstrated by the implementation of the carbon tax in British Columbia (BC). In 2008, the Government of BC introduced a tax on the fossilized carbon component of fuels. Initially at $10 per tonne of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e) emissions the carbon tax incrementally increased by $5 each year to its present rate of $30 per tonne of CO2e. As indicated in Table 5.1 the tax rate is based on the CO2e emissions intensity of the fuel.

Since the inception of the carbon tax in 2008, the British Columbia, Canada, cement industry has experienced considerable deterioration of domestic market share to imported cement (see Figure 5.1). In 2005, imported cement accounted for approximately 5.9% of total domestic cement consumption (CAC, 2016). At the end of 2015, with carbon tax at $30 per tonne CO2e, imports accounted for approximately 50% of total domestic cement consumption (CAC, 2016).
Table 5.1: Selected carbon tax rates by fuel (July 1, 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Tax Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>¢/litre</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel (light fuel oil)</td>
<td>¢/litre</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet Fuel</td>
<td>¢/litre</td>
<td>7.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>¢/cubic metre</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>¢/litre</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal - high heat value</td>
<td>$/tonne</td>
<td>62.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal - low heat value</td>
<td>$/tonne</td>
<td>53.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://www.fin.gov.bc.ca/tbs/tp/climate/A4.htm

Figure 5.1: Cement consumption in BC (Canada) by Year (CAC, 2016)

Although there may be several factors that influence market share, Figure 5.1 clearly shows a trend between the percentage of imports and the increase in the tax from $10 per tonne in 2008 to $30 per tonne of CO$_{2e}$. Since 2012, with carbon tax at a fixed price of $30 per tonne CO$_{2e}$, imports have not dropped below 35% of total domestic cement consumption. The critical difference being exploited is that imported cement is not subject to carbon tax because the tax only applies to the fuel combustion CO$_{2e}$ associated with manufacturing.
Along with the drop in domestic cement production will be an apparent drop in total CO$_{2e}$. However, this is only due to the fact that imported cement, and its embodied CO$_{2e}$, is not accounted for by BC GHG reporting mechanisms. It is plausible, in the case of the EITE cement industry, the intended purpose of the carbon tax to reduce GHGs is ineffective. Moreover, if the CO$_{2e}$ intensity of the exporting manufacturing facilities is higher than domestic producers then the carbon tax has resulted in a net increase in global CO$_{2e}$ emissions.

Extending the scope of leakage beyond production and CO$_{2e}$ emissions to include regional noxious air pollutants (NOx, SO$_2$, PM and CO) the issue is further exacerbated. According to the results of Chapter 4, the impact to regional air quality from cement production in China, in terms of disability adjusted life years (DALYs) from these four regional pollutants, is twice that of Canada. Based on this, unchecked importation of cement from China will have a net increase on the health and environmental impact of cement production.

5.4 Emissions Leakage Protection: Plausible Policy Scenarios

For Canada, there are three general policy directions that can be taken to minimize the potential for emissions leakage associated with the international trade of cement. These policies include the following (Table 5.2):

**Policy 1** - provide direct support for local cement manufacturing to cut costs and increase competitiveness;

**Policy 2** - create barriers for importation such that foreign manufacturers either comply with Canadian standards or are dissuaded from exporting; and,

**Policy 3** – a combination of 1 and 2.
Table 5.2: Plausible policy scenarios for emissions leakage protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Border</th>
<th>Domestic Cement Producers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy 1</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy 2</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy 3</td>
<td>Selective</td>
<td>Partially Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within each policy, there are various supporting mechanisms available to achieve the intended outcome. For Policy 1, these mechanisms may include relaxation of emission standards, subsidies, and/or, direct funding. In support of Policy 2, mechanisms may include prohibiting cement imports, environmental tariffs, and/or a verification process, such as LCIA that can demonstrate equivalency with Canadian standards. Lastly, Policy 3 may include a combination of Policy 1 and Policy 2 mechanisms.

This paper utilizes the cement manufacturing sector to evaluate the efficacy of these three plausible policy scenarios and their supporting mechanisms. The ideal outcome of this process will be a well-supported policy and mechanisms that best mitigates emissions leakage of the regional nocuous air pollutants to foreign jurisdictions. At the same time the policy will maintain an economically strong trading partnership. However, minimizing regional air pollution loading in emerging markets, such as China, is the key objective. Ultimately, valuation of imported products needs to incorporate the potential impacts generated from manufacturing (TTH, 2009).

5.4.1 Problem Decomposition

This phase of the thesis builds on Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 as indicated in Figure 5.2. The initial assessment characterized the environmental performance of cement manufacturing on a national scale in Canada. In Chapter 3, the emission intensities for regional nocuous air pollutants were developed for the Canadian cement sector. In the evaluation component of Chapter 3 there was discussion that emissions leakage associated with carbon policy could expand to include regional
air pollutants, particularly in emerging markets such as China.

In Chapter 4, a comparative evaluation of national cement production in China and Canada was carried out based on emission intensities for NOx, SO$_2$, PM and CO, and LCIA. As an outcome of the comparison it was found that the embodied emissions of cement manufactured in China had much greater impact on regional air quality and human health than cement manufactured in Canada. In the conclusion of Chapter 4 it is further postulated that the regional air pollution was occurring in China as a result of production leakage.

![Research flow diagram](image)

**Figure 5.2: Research flow diagram**

In this chapter, MCDA is used to investigate and evaluate the three policy scenarios. Specifically, AHP methodology has been applied as detailed in Chapter 2. Considering the potential ramifications of a policy which may impact international trade, and in light of Canada’s export market which accounts for more than 30% of gross domestic product (GDP), the main criteria of evaluation are: health/environment; cost; and, trade retaliation (StatCan, 2016). The decomposition of the problem into the hierarchal structure of the goal, criteria, and alternatives is presented in Figure 5.3.
Although not directly included in the AHP structure, the mechanisms that may in part support each policy alternative are presented in Table 5.3. The mechanisms indicated for each policy scenario are not prioritized, and it is possible that more than one mechanism could be implemented. That said, the mechanisms presented are confined to the policy parameters of border status and the level of support for domestic cement producers.
Table 5.3: Policy scenario mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Border</th>
<th>Domestic Cement Producers</th>
<th>Mechanisms</th>
<th>mechanisms</th>
<th>Capital Investment ($$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Supported</td>
<td>Relaxation of emission standards</td>
<td>Subsidies (e.g., tax breaks, fuel, raw materials)</td>
<td>Verification process (e.g., LCIA, EPD* Agreement**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Unsupported</td>
<td>Embargo</td>
<td>Environmental tariff</td>
<td>Verification process (e.g., LCIA, EPD* Agreement**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Selective</td>
<td>Partly Supported</td>
<td>Environmental tariff</td>
<td>Verification process (e.g., LCIA, EPD* Agreement**)</td>
<td>Capital Investment ($)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Environmental product declaration (EPD)
** Agreement as per CEPA

Differing from the standard approach in AHP where pair-wise comparisons determine the proportional weight of the criteria, in this study each criterion is automatically assigned one third of the weight. The purpose of evenly distributing the weight across the criteria is to ensure a balanced approach to selecting the alternative to minimize export-based regional air pollution in China. Additionally, as discussed in Chapter 2, in place of expert opinions for the pair-wise comparisons, the data is derived from the application and averaging of the three actionable solidarities of the Cultural Theory framework: individualist; egalitarian; and, hierarchist (Thompson, 2000). Adapted from Pré (2001) and Thompson (2000), the approach of each ‘solidarity’ to the pair-wise comparisons is explained in Table 5.4.
Given the perspectives of the solidarities, and based on the criteria in Figure 4.3, the following relational expressions form the basis of decision making:

Individualist - Cost > Trade Retaliation >> Health/Environment;

Egalitarian - Health/Environment >> Trade Retaliation > Cost; and,

Hierarchist - Trade Retaliation > Cost >= Health/Environment.

In addition to these expressions, each ‘solidarity’ considers the policy mechanisms provided in Table 5.3. The ranking or judgement scale for the pair-wise comparison adheres to the fundamental scale of absolute numbers (Saaty, 2008). The qualitative scale ranges from the weakest relative preference (1/9) to the strongest relative preference (9), and, a score of 1 indicates an equal importance (Saaty, 2008).

Figure 5.4 provides an example of the scoring format for a solidarity applied to the paired alternatives. As denoted by the arrows in Figure 5.4, a score of 9 in the first pairing would indicate an extremely strong preference for Policy 2. Conversely, a score of 1/9 would indicate a very weak preference for Policy 2, but, an extremely strong preference for Policy 1.
5.5 AHP Results

The individual scoring for the pair-wise comparisons are presented in Table 5.5. Intuitively, the individualist prioritizes cost and then trade retaliation, whereas the egalitarian focuses primarily on the health/environment and to a lesser extent trade retaliation (with respect to supporting the collective). Lastly, the hierarchist attempts to balance the interests while recognizing the potential impact of trade retaliation. Ultimately, all solidarities are directed toward the goal of implementing an effective solution of export-based regional air pollution in China.

Table 5.5: Solidarity based pair-wise comparisons of alternatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solidarity</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>1/9</th>
<th>1/8</th>
<th>1/7</th>
<th>1/6</th>
<th>1/5</th>
<th>1/4</th>
<th>1/3</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individualist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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In averaging the pair-wise comparisons, the values were rounded to the nearest whole number. Based on the averaged values of the alternate policies the reciprocal matrix, normalized matrix and priority vector are calculated.
The Principal Eigenvalue was 3.065 and the consistency ratio was 5.6%, indicating consistency in judgement for the Policy 3 preference. Given each criterion has been assigned equal weighting of one-third, therefore no further analysis is required to determine the final weightings. Figure 5.3 provides the AHP structure and the proportional weightings are 0.1416 for Policy 1, 0.3338 for Policy 2 and 0.5248 for Policy 3. Based on the analysis carried out, and converted to percentages, Policy 3 is strongly favoured at approximately 52.5% following by Policy 2 at 33.4%. The least favoured is Policy 1 at 14.2%.

In order to evaluate the robustness of the results two additional scenarios were evaluated. In the first scenario each solidarity provided a less assertive opinion in the pair-wise comparisons and the rankings moved towards equal importance by two levels (i.e., a score of 5 became 3, and a score of 1/5 became 1/3). In this conservative evaluation Policy 3 was still favoured at 50%, however, the Policy 1 and 2 were equally favoured at 25%. The consistency ratio of 0% indicates no inconsistency in judgement, although, it also indicates the proximity of the scoring to 1.
In the second scenario the opposite occurred. Each ‘solidarity’ had a stronger opinion in the pair-wise comparisons moving away from equal importance by two levels. In this exaggerated evaluation support for Policy 3 increased to approximately 60% and the gap widened between Policy 2 at 30% and Policy 1 at 10%. However, the consistency ratio increased to 16% indicating the judgement was no longer consistent. Consequently, the results of the primary analysis are well situated within the 10% limit.

5.6 Interpretation

It is not surprising that Policy 3 was the preferred alternative among the three options given it provides middle ground between Policy 1 and 2. However, the overwhelming support for Policy 3 suggests there are key influencing factors. Less expected, given the direct impact on trade, was support for Policy 2 more than doubled that of Policy 1. Particularly, since the Canadian government would likely select Policy 1 to avoid potential impact to the export industry and its contribution to GDP.

5.6.1 Cultural Theory Review

In reviewing the mechanisms that support the policies there are clearly those that can be removed. The egalitarian solidarity, and to a lesser extent the hierarchists, would not accept the relaxation of regulatory standards indicated in Policy 1. As well, none of the solidarities would support the embargo mechanism in Policy 2. The individualists would be concerned about the opportunity cost, egalitarians would prefer to avoid impacting the global community, and most strongly opposed would be the hierarchists and their sensitivity to trade retaliation.

Although capital investment and subsidies are practicable, they are less than desirable mechanisms. The main influencing factor for the individualist to select Policy 3 over Policy 1 was to minimize costs associated with the implementation of the program. The egalitarian supported Policy 3 over Policy 1 for two reasons: firstly, relaxing emission standards is completely counter to the precautionary principle; and, secondly, domestic capital investments and subsidies have no direct influence on reducing export-based regional air pollution in China.
It is possible embodied emissions in the cement from China may increase as a result of a shift to lower pollution safeguards to be more cost competitive.

The two remaining mechanisms, environmental tariff and verification process are supported by the AHP analysis through the policy preferences. From the individualist perspective either mechanism puts the cost and responsibility on the exporter. Egalitarians are satisfied that the domestic emission standards are maintained, and, for either mechanism there is incentive for cement manufacturers in China to improve on emissions performance.

While hierarchists prefer an environmental tariff or a verification process to embargoing non-compliant cement, there is still is concern with trade retaliation. It is possible both mechanisms may be viewed as a barrier to trade, and challenged at the World Trade Organization (WTO). That said, the verification process mechanism would likely be preferred given it implicitly provides a procedure for achieving compliance and not a fee. Table 5.6 provides a summary of solidarity review of the policy mechanisms.

Table 5.6: Review of policy mechanisms by solidarity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solidarity</th>
<th>Relaxation of Standards</th>
<th>Embargo</th>
<th>Environmental Tariff</th>
<th>Subsidies</th>
<th>Capital Investment</th>
<th>Verification Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individualist</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egalitarian</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchist</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.2 Verification Process Mechanism

Based on the analysis, the most accepted policy mechanism is the verification process. This is substantiated by the support for Policy 3 in the AHP analysis and in the review of policy mechanisms in Table 5.6. As previously indicated, the verification process mechanism can be
approached more than one way, however, the underlying requirement is at minimum there is equivalency with Canadian standards and performance. As such, Canada could pursue: an agreement under CEPA with China that is similar to the existing arrangement with the United States; or, a robust EPD; or, a cement-based LCIA.

In respect of the cement sector, it is unlikely that the Canadian government would allocate time and resources for a single industry agreement under CEPA. However, in terms of the broader picture an overarching agreement on trade an agreement may be appropriate. Procedures could be incorporated in the agreement to evaluate imported products for their embodied emissions. This framework could be applied universally.

An EPD provides the most thorough evaluation of the environmental impact of a product. Tantamount to a nutritional label on food an EPD details the environmental aspects of a product based on a full life cycle assessment (LCA) (ISO 14025:2006, 2015). The purpose of an EPD is to allow for comparison between products that provide the same function (ISO 14025:2006, 2015). The challenge with an EPD is that the data collection phase requires considerable effort to address the complete life cycle of the product. That said, the product category rules (PCR) which define the required data for the LCA can be incorporated into a Canada-China agreement prior to the development of an EPD (ISO 14025:2006, 2015).

As indicated in Chapter 3 and 4, the bulk of NOx, SO2, PM, and CO emissions are generated at the cement manufacturing facility and emitted from the stack. As such, these emissions can be efficiently monitored and quantified. With production volumes, the emissions intensity per tonne of cement can be calculated and used for comparative purposes between China and Canada. However, comparing emission intensities alone provides limited understanding of the potential impact.

In Chapter 4, the health-based comparative LCIA combined the cement emission intensities for NOx, SO2, PM and CO into a single impact category for winter smog. Although the constituents contribute to other impact categories, the focus of this research is to limit export-based regional air pollution. Interestingly, data from China indicated that their cement manufacturing sector
produced lower emissions intensities than Canada’s cement industry for NOx and SO₂. However, the PM$_{2.5}$ intensities were much higher in China, and, had much greater impact on air quality than NOx and SO₂.

5.6.3 Synthesis

Given the preference for Policy 3 the parameters of the Canadian approach should be confined to at most a selective border and partial support for domestic cement manufacturers. Drilling down to the preferred policy mechanisms more emphasis is placed on border adjustments than capital investment. With regard to possible border adjustments a verification process is favoured over an environmental tariff. In review of the verification process tactics a universal strategy is considered in addition to a cement-specific approach.

From a universal perspective on trade with China an air quality agreement under CEPA should be established. In the agreement a framework which provides procedures for evaluating products for their embodied emissions / environmental impact should be built-in. Ultimately, the agreement will include product EPDs, however, in the interim uniform PCR that follows ISO 14025:2006 must be defined in the document. As a base requirement of the agreement both countries need to acknowledge regional air pollution extends beyond jurisdictional boundaries.

With specific regard to establishing requirements for exporting cement to Canada, manufacturers in China will need to provide emission intensities for the main regional nocuous pollutants (NOx, SO₂, PM, CO). These intensities will be comparatively evaluated to national intensities in Canada and assessed collectively in terms of impact applying standardized LCA damage factors for winter smog. At minimum the exporting manufacturers must demonstrate that both emission intensities and impact do no exceed that of Canadian manufacturers.

Eventually this process evaluation process would be matured and incorporated under a Canada-China agreement as an EPD for cement.
5.6.4 Limitations

In AHP, data for the pair-wise comparisons are typically collected from experts or decisions makers in a survey format. In this study no survey was carried out. Instead, the three actionable solidarities of Cultural Theory (individualist, egalitarian, hierarchist) and their corresponding perspectives were applied as a surrogate for the survey. Although this may be considered a data limitation, unlike the less reliable response of survey participants who may be influenced by external factors, the solidarities remain consistent (Rindermann et al., 2016; Lin and Lu, 2012). Serenko and Dohan (2011) found that experts may show biased judgement based on their current research. Given the solidarities are roughly analogous to perspectives on policy working group, the recommendation expressed may be more realistic.

In addition to forgoing the survey, the criteria weighting was not developed as a result of pair-wise comparisons in accordance with AHP. Instead, each criterion was arbitrarily assigned one third of the weighting. Even though this reduces the extent of the analysis, the benefit of equal weighting is that it minimizes the potential for a systematic error.

Ultimately, the limitations expressed simply reflect a novel approach to decision-making. The application of the Cultural Theory with MCDA may be considered a viable alternative to expert review. Although the literature for this approach may not be directly available for evidentiary discussion, there is possible linkage to artificial intelligence paradigms. To the contrary, there is considerable evidence to suggest the expert survey approach is subject to bias. Interestingly, future debate and research on the relative importance of surveying may be an indirect benefit of this work.
Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations

6.1 Summary and Conclusions

As indicated in Chapter 1, most industrial processes Portland cement manufacturing generates air emissions. These emissions are produced throughout the life cycle of cement production from quarrying limestone to packaging and transporting the finished product. The largest contributors to air emissions from cement manufacturing are CO$_2$, NOx, SO$_2$ and PM (WBCSD, 2005). Other substances that may be emitted from cement manufacturing include volatile organic compounds, acid gases, trace metals and organic micro pollutants. However, these other substances are only emitted in trace quantities (WBCSD, 2005).

It is important to stress that cement is one of the most widely used man-made substances on the planet, second only to water. It is estimated that each man, woman and child consume 350 kg of cement each year (WBCSD, 2005). In 2008 global cement production was approximately 2.8 billion tonnes (WBCSD, 2011). Despite the incredible need and consumption of cement for use in concrete to build housing and infrastructure there is also an awareness of the environmental sustainability issues associated with the manufacturing process (WBCSD, 2005).

In developed countries with strong environmental regulatory systems consideration must be given offshoring production of industry. Emerging markets such as China that assume production for other markets, further impact their already degraded air quality, particularly in heavily industrialized areas. Apart from the well documented CO$_2$ leakage, regional air pollution leakage should also be considered.

As a global industry, cement manufacturing provides unique insight into the effects of competition between emerging markets and developed markets. Cement is an intermediate product that serves as the main binding agent in concrete. Given the product quality is generally analogous the market advantage is limited to the cost of production and the cost of transportation to the consumer. Emerging markets like China typically have an advantage on cost due to less stringent environmental regulation, subsidies and lower labour costs.
Unfortunately, the market success puts a serious strain on China’s environment. Industrial air emissions have serious impact on air quality. According to the WHO, in 2014, of the 209 cities and towns assessed for air quality in China, 67% were above an annual mean concentration of 70 μg/m³ for PM₁₀, and, 79% were at or above an annual mean concentration of 35 μg/m³ PM₂.₅ (WHO, 2016). At those concentrations, individuals are subject to a long term mortality risk of 15% higher than the recommended guideline of 20 μg/m³ for PM₁₀ and 10 μg/m³ for PM₂.₅.

While China is profiting from controlling the market, the cost of externalities appears to be overlooked. Xi et al. (2013) calculated that in China the cost (US dollars) of environmental damage per tonne of PM₁₀, NOₓ and SO₂ are $7,714, $1,006 and $902, respectively. In the example of PM₁₀, for every 1 million tonnes of Portland cement produced in China, with an intensity of $3.58 \times 10^{-4}$ tonnes of PM₁₀/tonne of cement, at $7,714 per tonne, the environmental cost is approximately $2.76 million. Although, the cost seems considerable, at an arbitrary $100 US per tonne of cement, the cost is only 2.76% of the revenue.

The environmental challenges China faces result from both failures in domestic policy and failure in the policy of its trading partners. Clearly, complete dismantling of antiquated technology, creation of a strong ‘national emissions reporting system’, and, improved regulation and enforcement must be carried out. With China’s economic strength, all cement manufacturing should be state-of-the-art kiln technology, full implementation of emissions control technologies, and assurance that operators are highly skilled. Even with marginal increases in cost per tonne of cement to cover costs, China will continue to supply the bulk of global cement.

At the same time, developed countries such as Canada with stronger environmental regulation, minimal subsidies, and high labour costs, need to address the issue of production leakage and associated regional air emissions. Without protection measures, domestic cement production, other EITE industries, and industries in general will not be able to compete. Moreover, Canada and other developed countries have an ethical duty to not simply push pollution onto emerging markets. Effective regulatory framework needs to be established to account for the potential adverse environmental impact of trade.
Export-based regional air pollution is not well acknowledged. Understandably, jurisdictions prioritize minimizing regional air pollution within their own borders. Unfortunately, as an unintended consequence of a strong regulatory environment, industries may relocate to jurisdictions with less stringency to avoid compliance costs. These jurisdictions are typically emerging markets, such as China.

As an importer of products from emerging markets it is important that Canada recognizes the potential impact to these jurisdictions. The purpose and intent of regulating air emissions from industry in Canada is to protect the health of Canadians and their environment. Failing to extend these values to the global community, while knowingly importing emissions intense products, signals a tiered approach to the value of human life.

Canada has a unique opportunity to create international policy that positively influences the quality of life in emerging markets. Additionally, the regulatory framework and policy mechanisms discussed in this research can be universally applied. Consequently, Canada would be viewed globally as taking a leadership role in reducing export-based regional air pollution.

6.2 Limitations and Future Recommendations

In completing the three objectives of this research the main concern was obtaining reliable emissions data available from Portland cement manufacturing in emerging markets. As an example, a direct comparison between Canadian environmental performance and China’s environmental performance is problematic. Firstly, the amount of production in Canada is far more manageable to monitor in comparison to China’s massive inventory. Data inventory and monitoring requirement are poor. The CSI provides some analysis of China’s cement production, however, these are new facilities and only cover 80 million tonnes of the ≈ 1.5 billion tonnes of cement produced in China.

Despite the data quality challenge, the recommendations provided as a result of this research consists of two parts. The overarching and longer term component is an agreement between China and Canada that deals with air pollution generated from trade (see Figure 6.1) and the
second and shorter term component is a process to mitigate emissions leakage from the Portland cement manufacturing sector (see Figure 6.2).

As depicted in Figure 6.1, the agreement between China and Canada would be created based on the following recommendations:

1. Canadian government, in accordance with Part 7, Division 6 of CEPA, take appropriate action to develop an internationally binding agreement with China on air quality;

2. Chinese and Canadian policy makers cooperatively develop an overarching framework for the binding document that acknowledges, and agrees to, the need to minimize air pollution from products manufactured for the export market;
3. In accordance ISO 14025:2006, Chinese and Canadian policy makers, with scientific experts, cooperatively develop PCR for all traded products in support of LCA for EPDs;

4. Once established, the EPD for each product from the best performing jurisdiction becomes the minimum requirement for exporting, and, is incorporated into the legally binding agreement. If only one jurisdiction provides the product then that EPD becomes the default unless a comparable international standard is available; and,

5. Incorporate a provision within the legally binding agreement to allow for continuous improvement of product EPDs.

Figure 6.2: Process for mitigating emissions leakage from Portland cement manufacturing

The second component, described in Figure 6.2, is an approach to specifically mitigate emissions
leakage from Portland cement manufacturing. For this process, the following recommendations are provided:

1. In accordance with CEPA, extend the emissions reporting requirement under NPRI for Canadian Portland cement manufacturing to export manufacturers in China;

2. Develop an emissions intensity benchmark from NPRI data for the Canadian Portland cement manufacturing sector for the main regional nocuous pollutants (NOx, SO2, PM, PM_{10}, PM_{2.5} and CO);

3. Apply LCIA methodology to the emissions intensities of the Canadian benchmark and determine the winter smog potential and associated DALYs per tonne of Portland cement; and,

4. In advance of establishing a China-Canada agreement, and incorporating an EPD for Portland cement, set minimum requirements for imported cement such that embodied emissions (winter smog potential / DALYs per tonne of Portland cement) do not exceed the Canadian benchmark value.

6.3 Research Significance and Originality

The most significant aspect of this work is the awareness that industrial air pollution reduction or prevention measures cannot be limited to jurisdictional boundaries. In the example of Portland cement manufacturing, the imported product from China had more than twice the impact to human health over the domestic product. Although it is important to protect and enhance local air quality, the global community must also be considered.

Efforts to minimize industrial pollution domestically, without considering the international market, particularly in the case of EITE industry, will simply result in pollution dumping to emerging markets.
Establishing international air pollution agreements that set minimal standards for the embodied impact of products (e.g., EPD) will minimize emissions leakage and enhance air quality in emerging markets.

In review of the available scientific literature there is considerable discussion on emissions leakage as it pertains to GHG abatement policy and programs. That said, leakage of regional nocuous emissions is not well understood or discussed.

Interestingly, environmental burden on emerging markets from manufacturing for the export industry is well known. However, this export-based pollution often attributed to the lack of relevant legislation / control in the emerging market and not to the gap in the regulatory framework of the developed market.
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