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ABSTRACT

This dissertation addresses the social significance of parlour games as forms of cultural expression in medieval and early modern England and France by exploring how the convergence of textual materialities, players, and narratives manifested in interactive texts, board games, and playing cards. Medieval games, I argue, do not always fit neatly into traditional or modern theoretical game models, and modern blanket definitions of ‘game’—often stemming from the study of digital games—provide an anachronistic understanding of how medieval people imagined their games and game-worlds.

Chapter 1 explores what the idea of ‘game’ meant for medieval authors, readers, and players in what I call ‘game-texts’—literary texts that blurred the modern boundaries between what we would consider ‘game’ and ‘literature’ and whose mechanics are often thought to be outside the definition of ‘game.’ Chapter 2 examines how recreational mathematics puzzles and chess problems penned in manuscript collections operate as sites of pleasure, edification, and meditative playspaces in different social contexts from the gentry households to clerical cloisters. The mechanics, layout, narrative, and compilation of chess problems rendered them useful for learning the art and skill of the game in England. Chapter 3 traces the circulation, manuscript contexts, and afterlives of two game-text genres in England—the demandes d’amour and the fortune-telling string games—in order to understand how they functioned as places of engagement and entertainment for poets, scribes, and players. Chapter 4 illustrates how narrative and geography became driving forces for the development and rise of the modern thematic game in Early Modern Europe. This chapter charts how changing ideas of spatiality enabled tabletop games to shift from abstract structures enjoyed by players in the Middle Ages, in which game narratives take place off a board, to ludic objects that incorporated real-life elements in their design of fictional worlds—thereby fashioning spaces that could visually accommodate narrative on the board itself.

This dissertation places games into a more nuanced historical and cultural context, showing not only the varied methods by which medieval players enjoyed games but also how these ideas developed and changed over time.
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Chapter 1 —

What is a Medieval Game?

Is there such a thing as a medieval game? If something is a game, then what makes it so? These questions encompass the chief inquiry and argument of this dissertation: games in the Middle Ages manifested as a popular form of entertainment, and this manifestation demonstrated a medieval notion of ‘game’ that was distinct from our modern understanding. The overt purpose of focusing on premodern games in this dissertation is not to dismiss the historical development that many games underwent since their invention in ancient Greece, Rome, and other early civilizations or diminish the significance of modern digital and tabletop games, but rather to re-examine in more detail the cultural development of games as complex and nuanced ludic space, thereby questioning and expanding our assumptions about what we consider a ‘game.’

Games in the Middle Ages present a compelling space to redraw the theoretical boundaries mapped by game studies scholars precisely because such boundaries were being reshaped and reformed by players and medieval game designers. Jean de Meun’s little-known fortune-telling manual, the Dodechedron de fortune, well illustrates this blurred boundary, for its design and mechanics raise questions about what we might deem to be a ‘game’ in the modern sense. First copied in 1356, the Dodechedron instructs its readers to choose a predefined question, cast a twelve-sided polyhedral die, and match their dice rolls to an answer that corresponds to one of the twelve houses of astrology. At first glance, this text may not seem like a game; indeed, by the mid-fourteenth century, people used similar methods of textual
prognostication which formed part of a larger longstanding tradition in divinatory practice.\(^1\) For the *Dodechedron*, however, de Meun’s purpose was not solely to create a system of divination, but to design a pleasurable, ludic experience for his readers. Questions covered typical matters of life, from asking whether the reader will be prosperous in labour to questioning the loyalties of a spouse, and the text cautions readers to take responses in a nonserious, lighthearted manner. Nevertheless, part of the fun is in whether the paired question and answer make sense or emerge as nonsensical. As the 1613 English translation addresses its readers: “Cast forth, my friend, the Dodechedront dye; / If he hit truth ‘twill move thee to delight; / And if it chance that he doe tell a lye, / That is the sport, for thee to laugh out right: For but to sport, and not for truth, ‘twas pend / To give content, and no man to offend.”\(^2\) Scholars have not yet discerned the popularity of the *Dodechedron* in the fourteenth century, but the fortune-telling text underwent several printings in 1556, and was subsequently reprinted in 1560 and 1576. In these later printings, the title changes to *Le plaisant jeu du dodechedron de fortune* [The Pleasant Game of the Dodecahedron of Fortune] or includes the word “jeux” [game] in the subtitle. The deliberate labelling of the text as a ‘game’ signals its purpose as a pleasurable pastime for premodern readers, but is this text in fact a game?

---


Scholars within the emerging field of cultural game studies have largely neglected premodern games and argued that the creation and rise of video games designate a break from what Jesper Juul calls the “classic game model.” As Juul states, the “model is classic in the sense that it is the way games have traditionally been constructed. It is also a model that applies to at least a 5,000-year history of games. Although it is unusual to claim that any aspect of human culture has remained unchanged for millennia, there are strong arguments for this.”

Perhaps as a consequence of this exclusive focus on modern digital games, game studies scholars have relegated medieval and premodern games to stasis in their form, function, and cultural meaning.

In an effort to challenge this viewpoint, the essays collected in my contributed volume *Games and Gaming in Medieval Literature* illustrate that games in the Middle Ages not only appeared in diverse social settings—the Church, the court, the school, and the household—but were also used as potent metaphors to negotiate the boundaries between ludic spaces and ‘real life.’ Far from meaningless childish activities, games enabled authors and poets to discuss cultural issues to a variety of readers in genres ranging from motets and ecclesiastical documents to alliterative poetry and romance.

This dissertation continues this investigation of the significance of medieval games by exploring medieval modes of gaming found in the convergence of textual materialities, players,

---


4 Top journals in game studies, such as *Game Studies, Games and Culture, Loading…*, and *Simulation in Gaming* all focus solely on digital games, together with academic organizations like the Digital Games Research Association (diGRA). To date, there are no journals that encompass all game topics (digital and non-digital). In 2014, I co-founded the Game Cultures Society, which is a consortium of North American and European scholars pursuing the study and appreciation of games, play, and ludic activities as significant aspects of cultures from antiquity to the digital age.

and narratives that manifested in medieval culture through manuscripts, board games, and playing cards. By focusing on the spaces in which medieval games are found and the types of experiences they strive to elicit in their players and audiences, this dissertation unsettles the disciplinary limits that have traditionally been placed on the study premodern games and lays the groundwork for new ways in which to discuss this popular form of entertainment. In the following chapters, I aim to: create a framework for identifying and discussing medieval games; discuss the varied methods by which medieval players enjoyed parlour games and how these games—and indeed the idea of ‘game’—developed and changed over time; and demonstrate how the study of medieval and early modern games can broaden the discourse of game studies by placing premodern games into a more nuanced historical and cultural context.

Each chapter focuses on a different form of engagement with premodern games, beginning in Chapter 1 with a discussion of interactive literary games, a genre that has been neglected by both medievalists and game studies scholars because its manifestation as text omits the explicit markers of what we typically consider a ‘game’ (e.g., pieces, boards, competition, winners, and other elements). Chapter 2 explores the association between games and skill acquisition in medieval England by showing how game problems—recreational mathematics and chess problems—operate as sites of learning and meditative playspaces. The mechanics, layout, narrative, and compilation of chess problems rendered them particularly useful for learning the art and skill of the game. Chapter 3 traces the circulations, manuscript contexts, and afterlives of two game-text genres in England—the demandes d’amour and the fortune-telling string games—in order to understand how they functioned as sites of engagement and entertainment for poets, scribes, and players. While earlier chapters focus on medieval games and their cultural contexts, Chapter 4 provides the first-ever segue between medieval and modern games by charting how
narrative became a driving force for the development and rise of the thematic game in Early Modern Europe. Chapter 4 shows how changing ideas of spatiality enabled tabletop games to shift from abstract structures enjoyed by players in the Middle Ages, in which game narratives take place off a board, to ludic objects that incorporated real-life elements in their design of fictional worlds—thereby fashioning spaces that could visually accommodate narrative on the board itself.

* * *

Games in the Middle Ages were valuable commodities and important spaces for play among all levels of society. In a little-known Middle-Irish poem, presumably written between the late fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries, a poet demonstrate the significance of recreational objects as ‘companions’ that help pass the time. The author, thought to be an amateur writer composing the work “to please himself,” writes the he has in his possession a book full of Gaelic stories, a book of arithmetic, a harp, a lyre, and a “ficheall” board—that is, a chequered board similar to that of chess which he also used for gambling. Unlike other forms of entertainment in the Middle Ages, games were valued as a pastime at all levels of society, though the materials and social contexts change depending on the specific players. The production of game pieces in the Middle Ages reflects this wide range of play among social classes: the famous Lewis and Charlemagne ivory chessmen are notable for their careful craftsmanship suitable for royalty and nobility, and Edward I’s wardrobe account for the years 1299-1300 lists a chess set made from

---

jasper and rock crystal and another from ivory.\textsuperscript{7} The importance of such recreational objects is also shown in the wills of the nobility, especially in the fifteenth-century. In her will from 1459, for instance, Joan Stevens of Bury bequeathed a chess set and backgammon board.\textsuperscript{8} Yet despite the evident popularity of chess among the noble élite, lower social orders could also purchase cheaper sets made of copper alloy (Figure 1.1).

![Figure 1.1: Late Twelfth-Century Knight Chess piece from Derbyshire, Basssetlaw Museum\textsuperscript{9}]

\textsuperscript{7} Harold J. R. Murray, \textit{A History of Chess} (Northampton: Benjamin Press, 1913), 449.
\textsuperscript{8} Ibid.
Though Colleen Schafroth observes that lower social orders were more likely to play dice or merels, a porter could enjoy chess as much as a king, or a noblewoman could delight in a game of fox and chickens as much as a choir boy.

Fidchell and chess fall squarely within the bounds of what game studies scholars would label a ‘classic’ game, following Juul’s definition quoted above (though, as I will show in Chapters 2 and 4, chess varied widely in its development throughout the Middle Ages). These are the types of games that historians, archaeologists, and scholars have studied and typically reference in their discussions of premodern games. This chapter challenges this notion of the ‘classic’ model by showing how games in the Middle Ages were viewed as fluid and porous objects that could serve the multiple purposes of the diverse array of players who enjoyed them. In the following pages, I examine how medieval literary games—that is, texts similar to the *Dodechedron* that were designed as social, interactive experiences for medieval audiences (what I herein call ‘game-texts’)—promote a different understanding of games through their use as game spaces by game designers, scribes, readers, and players. While game-texts are not a ubiquitous genre, they do appear in manuscripts and incunabula across Europe from the thirteenth to sixteenth centuries, especially in England, France, Germany, and Italy. If we focus our attention on game-texts such as questions of love, interactive dialogue, and fortune-telling poems—recreational texts that originated from both the medieval academy and the *fin’amors* (refined love) tradition—then the context for examining such texts lies only in part within the text itself and must include the wider network of medieval cultural influences and textual

---

contexts in order for us to gain a more complete picture of the game’s cultural and scholarly value.¹¹

To date, medievalists have paid little attention to medieval game-texts, perhaps believing that they do not have much literary value. In his edition of one such game-text titled *Le Jeu d’Amour* (discussed below), Erik Kraemer notes that “[c]ompositions de circonstance, sans pretention, les textes qui ont conserve le jeux de societe du moyen âge n’ont pas beaucoup de valore litteraire” [Compositions of circumstance, without pretention, the texts that have retained these medieval parlour games do not have much literary value].¹² For the most part, previous studies of game-texts have simply indexed or transcribed them with little or no analysis, or have defined them as interchangeable or formulaic and focused primarily on a game’s temporary suspension of reality.¹³ Recent critical studies note the cultural value of interactive game-texts, but do not place them into a wider cultural history of games.¹⁴ In transcribing the first edition of

¹⁴ Critical studies of interactive game-texts have tended to focus on one particular text or genre or employ the game-text as an example for a different purpose. See, for instance: Richard Firth Green, “*Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* and Aristocratic Courtship,” in *Courtly Literature: Culture and Context*, edited by Keith Busby and Erik Kooper (Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 1990), 211-25; Ernest Hoepffner, “*Les Voeux du paon et les Demandes amoureuses,*** Archivum Romanicum 4 (1920): 99-104; Ernest Langlois, “Le jeu du roi qui
the fifteenth-century Chaucerian dice-poem *The Chaunce of the Dyse*, Eleanor Hammond remarks that the poem is conventional because the poet “was still held by formulae” and thus “ha[d] no chance . . . to express himself.”¹⁵ This chapter aims to go beyond these initial observations and value judgements by exploring the social significance of medieval and early modern parlour games and interactivity created through their material playspaces.

Game-texts have also been omitted from notable historical indexes of games such as Harold Murray’s *A History of Board-Games other than Chess*, David Parlett’s *The Oxford History of Board Games*, Robert Bell’s *Board and Table Games from Many Civilizations*. These types of interactive literary games have also been deemed to be borderline cases or non-games by some game studies scholars and such literary experiences, often called ‘interactive fiction’, continue to straddle the border between game and literature.¹⁶ Medieval game-texts, I argue, do not always fit neatly into traditional or modern theoretical game models, and adopting a modern blanket definition of ‘game’—often stemming from the study of digital games—yields an

anachronistic understanding of how medieval people imagined their games and game-worlds. This chapter will not attempt to construct a fully resolved definition of ‘medieval game’; rather, it reorients the theoretical boundaries imposed around our understanding of ‘game’ by investigating conceptual commonalities such as narrative, interactivity, player effort, rules, and lusory attitude to open avenues of investigation into medieval games and gaming.

Since game-texts unsettle the boundaries between ‘game’ and ‘literature’, their intersections between ‘game’ and ‘text’ present one way to explore—and test—previous assumptions about entertainment and recreation in premodern literature and culture. Critics and editors dismissed the early Middle English bird-debate The Thrush and the Nightingale (c. 1272-82), for instance, as a completely conventional poem with “no personal touches,” but fail to note that the text's purpose was not focused toward a literary rhetorical competition, as in the earlier The Owl and the Nightingale (c. 1250) found in manuscripts for a clergy interested in secular literature, but an oral one: the majuscules marking the dialogue for each speaker throughout the work denote that The Thrush and the Nightingale was designed for a household audience who wished to perform a debate in a hall, chamber, or garden by interacting with the text. Medieval manuscripts were often produced for a patron or circulated through a community of readers (though this was not always the case); it was not until the late fifteenth century through developments in printing, commercial book production, and the guild of Stationers (established c. 1403) that the demand for books spread beyond pockets of literary communities.

18 I am thus using the term ‘textual community’ in a broader receptionist sense, more akin to the model deployed by Brian Stock than Martin Irvine, in that medieval texts and manuscripts not only generated cohesion through their circulation within existing social networks, but also enabled their reading audiences to actively participated in this transmission. Brian Stock, Listening for the Text: On the Uses of
As interactive literary objects, game-texts are akin to other performative texts in the Middle Ages which create contingent moments among readers in each iteration of their gameplay and performance. The texts, manuscripts, and reading communities in which these entertainments were found attest to the cultural tastes and trends of a broad social spectrum. It is these spaces of play between the ludic and the literary that this chapter takes as its focus, exposing contrived dichotomies and assumptions that have long plagued game scholarship to explore what the idea of ‘game’ held for authors and players of medieval literature and culture.

1.1 Defining Game

Any critical discussion of games and play in culture must invariably begin with Dutch medievalist and cultural historian Johan Huizinga’s groundbreaking work *Homo Ludens: The Play-Element in Culture*, which was the first investigation of play in culture and remains the initial touchstone in play and cultural game studies.\(^{19}\) Huizinga coined the term ‘magic circle’ in *the Past* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1990). In his recent book on English literary communities, Ralph Hanna III considers London as a distinct community of readers in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. Although London grew into a metropolis known for commercial book production in the early modern period, its status as a literary centre was equivalent to York, Bristol, Winchester, Worcester, and other sites of continual book production since it was neither a centre for administration until the 1340s nor city with a large university. London’s reputation as a ‘provincial’ locale, coupled with the lack of universities near the city, explain in part the scarcity in book production in London before 1380. *London Literature, 1300-1380* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005), 1-43. C. Paul Christianson also provides an early history of the book trade in London and Misery of Stationers in his valuable resource *A Directory of London Stationers and Book Artisans, 1300-1500* (New York: The Bibliographical Society of America, 1990). For an overview of textual communities in the later Middle Ages, see also: Ralph Hanna III, *Pursuing History: Middle English Manuscripts and their Texts* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1996), 8-17 and Paul Zumthor, *Toward a Medieval Poetics*, trans. Philip Bennett (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1972), 13-24.

his effort to explain how games create their own sense of reality with different rules that do not have meaning or significance in everyday affairs: “Inside the circle of the game the laws and customs of ordinary life no longer count. We are different and do things differently.” The magic circle, at its most literal, is the physical place and time of a game (e.g., on a board or in a field). It is a way in which to ascribe meaning to cultural objects and circumstances. The “hallowed” spots for medieval games occur within both physical spaces (e.g., hall and garden) and material spaces (e.g., game board, manuscript, and text). As a binary concept, however, Huizinga’s magic circle has met with criticism in recent years as being too formalist, rigid, and idealistic in its conceptions of ‘game’ and ‘reality.’ Edward Castronova, in his book Synthetic Worlds, argues that games “cannot be sealed completely; people are crossing it all the time in both directions, carrying their behavioural assumptions and attitudes with them.” Indeed, the game/life dichotomy remains a topic of ongoing debate within the field of cultural game studies, especially in light of recent trends in the game industry, such as the use of augmented reality technology, persuasive games, and gamification—that is, the application of game elements (points, scores, turns, contests, badges) to nongame activities as a form of external motivation. More recently, videogame scholars have suggested moving beyond discussions of the magic

---

20 Ibid., 12.
circle concept altogether in the study of games. Nevertheless, Huizinga’s magic circle remains an enduring concept, and one currently underexplored in the context of medieval studies.

Approached from different disciplines—mathematics, economics, anthropology, psychology, sociology, computer science, languages and literatures, and others—and constituting a wide range of activities, games are easy to identify but difficult to concretely define. Indeed, each discipline seems to have its own definition of the term. In order to demonstrate how medieval game-texts differ from our modern understanding of games, it is first necessary to outline the definitions conceived by game studies scholars. The following chart includes ten influential modern definitions of game from game theorists and designers (Table 1.1, emphasis mine):

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITIC</th>
<th>DEFINITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUIZINGA (1938)</td>
<td>“[Play is] a free activity standing quite consciously outside “ordinary life” as being not serious,” but at the same time absorbing the player intensely and utterly. It is an activity connected with no material interest, and no profit can be gained by it. It proceeds within its own proper boundaries of time and space according to the fixed rules and in an orderly manner. It promotes the formation of social groupings.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAILLOIS (1958)</td>
<td>“Play is “an activity which is essentially: Free (voluntary), separate [in time and space], uncertain, unproductive, governed by rules, make-believe.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELIOTT AVEDON AND BRIAN SUTTON-SMITH (1971)</td>
<td>“[As game is] an exercise of voluntary control systems in which there is an opposition between forces, confined by a procedure and rules in order to produce a disequilibrial outcome.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERNARD SUITS (1978)</td>
<td>“To play a game is to engage in activity directed towards bringing about a specific state of affairs, using only means permitted by rules, where the rules prohibit more efficient in favor of less efficient means, and where such rules are accepted just because they make possible such activity.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRIS CRAWFORD (1982)</td>
<td>“I perceive four common factors: representation [“a closed formal system that subjectively represents a subject of reality”], interaction, conflict, and safety [“the results of a game are always less harsh than the situation the game models”].”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID MEIER (2000)</td>
<td>“A game is a series of interesting choices.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29 Andrew Rollings and Dave Morris, Game Architecture and Design (Scottsdale, Arizona: Coriolis, 2000), 38.
Table 1.1 Definitions of ‘Game’ by Game Studies Scholars and Designers

While each definition presents its own set of criteria—and illustrates the polysemous nature of ‘game’—certain characteristics of games remain consistent: **rules**, **autonomy and freedom**, **known outcomes**, and **goals** within a **feedback system**.

The **rule-based, formal system** determines player behavior by limiting methods for achieving a goal or solving a problem. Limiting actions enables players to creatively explore possibilities in support of achieving their desired outcome.

Games also include definite **known outcomes**. While Salen, Zimmerman, and Juul believe that outcomes must be quantifiable, Malaby instead defines a game’s outcomes as “interpretable,” which can include qualitative results that are known to the players. For most definitions, theorists note that players either know the outcome or know the type of outcome they could achieve by winning the game.

---

Games also require autonomy and freedom of play, within the accepted goals, rules, and system. Player autonomy ensures a safe space for surmounting challenges and handling conflict. In fact, conflict becomes one of the main criteria for Avedon, Sutton-Smith, Salen, Zimmerman, and Malaby. For Juul, “player effort” also implies competition. The autonomy experienced by the player thus requires an opposition in order to be considered a game.

Finally, games include a goal, which is the specific outcome that players will strive to achieve (whether personal or shared). The goal not only generates a sense of purpose, but also reinforces participation and motivation through a feedback system.

Other criteria, such as Thomas Malaby’s “socially legitimate domain” and Espen Aarseth’s “enjoyment,” emphasize qualities that are common elements of games, but they do not necessarily need to apply to classify an activity as a game. Sid Meier offers such a broad definition that other activities, such as cooking, would have to be included as well. More implicitly, definitions of games by game designers, scholars, and critics often situate notions of player autonomy and cultural production squarely within the domain of modern history. In his theoretical discussion of play and game, Miguel Sicart interprets games as successors to the Bakhtinian carnivalesque space of subversion: “[g]ames are an example of carnivalesque behavior that leads to festive liberation in search [of] freedom, expression, and truth.”

Medievalists are, of course, well aware of this outmoded view of medieval spaces of laughter, play, and festivity. Yet scholars continue to claim ideas of ‘game,’ ‘leisure,’ and

---

33 Juul, Half-Real, 40.
34 An edge case to this criterion would be the modern ‘sandbox’ simulation game like Minecraft where the goals of the game (e.g., survive) do not take precedence over the content-creation of the player.
36 Mikhail Bakhtin’s notion of the carnivalesque has often been ascribed to the Middle Ages as a point of comparison to the modern world, whether in terms of play or a past utopian space that was ‘lost’ in the
‘entertainment’ as concepts that are distinctly post-medieval. Following the fallacy of ascribing the modern concept of a work/recreation dichotomy to the medieval period,37 social historian Peter Burke reasons that the concept of ‘leisure’ simply did not exist in the Middle Ages and was invented in the early sixteenth century.38 Burke argues that leisure developed as an institutionalized time-space as working hours became well-defined, so people engaged in “non-utilitarian” leisure activities in times they were not working.39 To rob the Middle Ages of playful spaces means discounting the ways in which players, designers, readers, and scribes understood games. It is not that people in the Middle Ages did not play games, but rather that the games they enjoyed upheld and reflected familiar cultural systems and were a significant aspect in the social fabric of those who played them. The idea of labour may have been defined differently (or not as sharply) for the nobility, but pastimes were often considered to be methods for occupying free time and involved active participation.40 As abstract systems that were often used to allegorize social organization, rules of conduct, and inanimate agencies such as chance, medieval gametexts in particular share a novel relationship with literature that perturbs the seemingly core features of modern games outlined above (rules, known outcomes, autonomy, goals, feedback


39 Ibid., 149.

loops). But before I turn to a discussion of medieval game-texts, it is first necessary to explore the etymological roots of ‘game’ and ‘play’ as they relate to the Middle Ages.

When is a game in the Middle Ages considered a *game* (as opposed to play, pilgrimage, love, and so on)? Can such boundaries exist? And, perhaps more importantly for the purposes of this chapter, how do we formulate a boundary between a game and a non-game in a literary context? The concepts of game and play changed considerably throughout the Middle Ages. The *Middle English Dictionary* defines both ‘game’ and ‘play’ as pleasurable activities that often include amusement, joy, merriment, jest, jokes, contests, fun, sport, and amorous play.\(^1\) In other analyses of games from the Middle Ages, the idea of ‘game’ becomes synonymous not only with the more generalized concept of ‘play,’ but also with other pastimes such as gardening, dancing, and parading pets.\(^2\) Huizinga observes that despite their seeming interchangeability, the words ‘game’ and ‘play’ possess a unique derivation in English compared to other European vernaculars; while most Romance languages contain a single word to express these concepts (derived from the Latin word *jocus*), English contains two words (derived from *ludus* and *plega*). In Middle French, for instance, the phrase “playing a game” translates as “jouer un jeu.”\(^3\) In classical Latin, *jocus* originally signified joking or jesting, and the word’s meaning was eventually broadened to include all manner of ‘play.’\(^4\) In early English texts, Laura Kendrick

\(^{1}\)MED, *pleien*, s.v.; MED, *game*, s.v.; and MED, *gamen*, s.v.


\(^{3}\) Other derivatons of *jocus* in Romance languages include: *gioco* (Italian), *juego* (Spanish), *joc* (Rumanian), and *jogo* (Portuguese). See: Huizinga, *Homo Ludens*, 36. Laura Kendrick notes that Old English shows some evidence of the semantic structure, “playing a play,” but the language (for reasons unknown) did not adopt this structure long-term. “Games Medievalists Play: How to Make Earnest and Still Enjoy It,” *New Literary History* 40.1 (2009), 49–50.

notes that scribes used the Old English *plega* to translate *ludus*, and *gamen* to translate *jocus*.\footnote{Kendrick, “Games Medievalists Play,” 43-61.}

Originating from the Old Saxon *plegan*, meaning to expose oneself to danger, the Anglo-Saxon word *plega* and the verb *plegan* were associated with movement, exercise, and actions such as clapping, dancing, fighting, grasping, and other forms of physical activity.\footnote{Huizinga, *Homo Ludens*, 38.} In the *Canons of Edgar*, Wulfstan writes: “We lærað þæt preost ne beo hunta ne hafecere ne tæflere, ac plegge on his bocum swa his hade gebirað” [We advise that a priest should not be a hunter, hawker, or gambler, but play with his books so as to sustain his nature]—suggesting that not only were books considered to be playthings, but reading was also considered a worthwhile activity for priests.\footnote{Wulfstan, *Canons of Edgar*, ed. Roger Fowler (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1972), lxv.14.}

*Gamen*, on the other hand, evolved to incorporate not just feelings of pleasure but also pastimes that produced positive emotions, including activities such as hawking, jousting, debating, sporting, playing board games, and other forms of recreation. Games, in essence, become the object of play (a deviation from the term found in modern notions of game).\footnote{Philosopher Miguel Sicart writes that games “are part of the ecology of playthings and play contexts.” *Play Matters*, 4.} By the late thirteenth century, *gamen* in England began to lose its earlier connotations and became more closely associated with strategy, rules, and thing-ness in a narrower sense. Nearing the end of the early Middle English bird-debate *The Owl and the Nightingale* (thirteenth century) for instance, the Nightingale states to the Owl, “Me þunc[þ] þat þu forleost þat game” [I think that you lost that game]—thereby attempting to declare herself the victor over her opponent.\footnote{*The Owl and the Nightingale*, ed. and trans. J. W. H. Atkins (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1922), line 1649.}

Even when considered from a philological perspective, ideas of game and play are determined culturally. V.
A. Kolve notes that “ludus, with it English equivalents play and game, became the ubiquitous generic term for vernacular drama” and argues that the word ‘play’ once had a dramatic root which is now divorced from our understanding of the term.\textsuperscript{50}

However, the etymology of ‘game’ provides only a general sense of how medieval authors understood and employed notions of the entertainment. Given the term’s fluidity throughout the Middle Ages, we might wonder whether such a definition would prove useful for exploring the many games found in/as medieval literature, especially in conjunction with the modern definitions of ‘game’ discussed above. Indeed, philosopher Ludwig Wittgenstein chose the term ‘game’ to argue for the impossibility of such intrinsic definitions, believing that games can only be identified by “family resemblances.”\textsuperscript{51} In his encyclopedic treatise \textit{The Oxford History of Board Games}, Parlett also writes that “[t]he word [game] is used for so many different activities that it is not worth insisting on any proposed definition. All in all, it is a slippery lexicological customer, with many friends and relations in a wide variety of fields.”\textsuperscript{52} Given the fluidity of terms, defining ‘game’ in the Middle Ages is at once frustratingly open and contained. Game-texts thus present us with candidate media objects for the study and destabilization of properties long thought to be essential for considering what makes something a game.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{50} V. A. Kolve, \textit{The Play Called Corpus Christi} (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1966), 12–13. Kolve continues his philological discussion, arguing that vernacular drama in the Middle Ages was often perceived as a game, which sets it apart from Latin liturgical drama (often called \textit{ordo, processio, and repraesentatio}). In the same vein, Lawrence Clopper, in his discussion of medieval drama, remarks that “the word ‘play’ is historically and conceptually a philological subset of the word ‘game,’ not the other way around.” \textit{Drama, Play, and Game: English Festive Culture in the Medieval and Early Modern Period} (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2001), 12.
\item \textsuperscript{52} Parlett, \textit{The Oxford History of Board Games}, 1.
\end{itemize}
Before turning to a discussion of medieval game-texts, it is also important to keep in mind the potential perils of discussing medieval concepts in conjunction with modern models of games. Glending Olson cautions us on assuming a seamless understanding of play in the Middle Ages, which could be applied to the idea of games as well:

The idea of recreation is in one sense an attempt to fit play into an ethical framework. It invites consideration of the idea of play itself, which has been the topic of some well-known theoretical treatment, particularly Johan Huizinga’s *Homo Ludens* . . . In some respects medieval views of play are reasonably close to modern ones, but in general they tend to treat the subject from an ethical perspective rather than a psychological, sociological, or anthropological one. I prefer to stay with medieval theorizing here, especially since its point of view . . . is more directly related to medieval literary claims and criticism than modern play and game theories” [emphasis mine].

We should note here Olsen’s hesitance in subscribing to any modern theories of play in his study, lest he stray from medieval ideology and succumb to a false ahistoricism. For medieval players, games were not just a form of recreation, but one means by which they could understand their own moral nature within the social fabric of medieval society. Following an ethical framework for studying recreation in the Middle Ages, Olsen reminds us that the acceptance of entertainment was heavily influenced by Aristotle’s *Nicomachean Ethics*, which aided in “liberalizing view[s] of recreation…[on] ethical terms rather than explicitly Christian ones” and medieval pastimes should be viewed within this lens. In *Ethics*, a text discovered in the twelfth century and adopted by scholars at Oxford, Aristotle discusses the appropriate relationship between “the desire for entertainment and virtuous behaviour by making the former an

---

54 Game Studies scholars have also recently illustrated how players are not passive receptacles, but rather understand games as ethical objects within a wider network of moral responsibilities. See, for instance, Miguel Sicart’s *The Ethics of Computer Games* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2009) for a comprehensive analysis of the ways in which player’s negotiate morality in their digital experiences.
55 Olsen, *Literature as Recreation*, 94.
instrument of the latter.” Even from this overtly ethical frame of reference, a compiler or scribe, whose manuscripts were destined for public recreation, would be designing for a user experience—an experience often rooted by an attempt to simultaneously moralize and entertain for a specific audience; for instance, the twenty-two demandes included in British Library MS Additional 46919, an early fourteenth-century friar’s miscellany owned by the Franciscan preacher William Herbert, are featured alongside a number of didactic works such as the French manual Art de Venerie and are prefaced with Latin commentary. Within such a pluralistic ethical and cultural framework, Huizinga’s ‘magic circle’—as an “act apart” from the “ordinary world”—cannot always be so clearly delineated along strict boundaries for medieval games (just as the concept finds issues with the influences and consequences of play in videogames). The ‘hallowed spots’ we find in recreational game-texts in the Middle Ages appear in the same places and manner that we might find other texts: in collections of similar items (as with the demandes d’amour), in anthologies like the Findern manuscript, or in miscellanies among other texts and documents significant to medieval life. The scribe-compilers were obviously not always following Aristotelian ethics, but their choices of textual and visual arrangement for entertainment existed within a larger cultural framework, which can only be expounded by analyzing these historical, physical, and material spaces.

---

56 Ibid., 95. In her book on ethics and medieval enjoyment, Jessica Rosenfeld notes that few philosophical treatises praising enjoyment survived in the early Middle Ages; thus, “pleasure was either transformed or denied as a valid ethical goal” in subsequent ideologies, often filtered through critics of pleasure such as Cicero. Ethics and Enjoyment in Late Medieval Poetry (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011), 21.

57 See Chapter 3 for a discussion of this manuscript and the circulation of demandes d’amour in England.

58 Huizinga, Homo Ludens, 10.
1.2  The Medieval Game-Text

Scholars have noted the game-like qualities of the earlier Anglo-Saxon riddles in the Exeter Codex, but there are relatively few extant representations of games in English manuscripts and texts prior to the 1170s.59 The earliest known reference to chess in England occurs in the Latin ‘Winchester Poem’ (c. 1150), which outlines the rules for chess by describing the moves and positions of the chess pieces on the game board.60 While game-texts could be viewed as stemming in part from intellectual influences such as the tradition of performing and circulating Latin disputatio, a rhetorical competition created for medieval university curricula in Paris and Oxford during the 1150s,61 their play and circulation are overwhelmingly found in the fin’ amors courtly tradition originating from the lyrics of the troubadours in twelfth century France. This tradition of love is perhaps a fitting trend for the introduction of the interactive game-text, for fin’ amors was not a reflection of actual behaviour among the aristocracy, but rather a stylized expression of love—a fiction that could be enjoyed through lyric poetry, romance, and literature or performed at court. First described as “courtly love” by Gaston Paris

59 For discussions of the Exeter riddles as playful texts, see: John Niles, Old English Poems and the Play of the Texts (Turnhout, Belgium: Brepols, 2006); Dieter Bitterli, Say What I am Called: The Old English Riddles of the Exeter Book and the Anglo-Latin Riddle Tradition (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2009); and Patrick Murphy, Unriddling the Exeter Book (University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2011).

60 See Chapter 2 for a discussion of the ‘Winchester Poem.’

in 1883, the cultural concept has long endured dispute and scrutiny among medievalists. Since the \textit{fin’ amors} tradition has been well documented by medievalists, my aim here is not to provide a comprehensive discussion of \textit{fin’ amors}, but rather to explore how game-texts became part of this tradition and, through this tradition, depart from our modern notions of ‘game.’ In \textit{Courtly Love, the Love of Courtliness, and the History of Sexuality}, James Schultz describes the cultural tenor in which literary games first emerged: “[c]ourtly culture elaborated a class-specific ideal of social life that required certain self-restraint—at table, in speech, in response to insult or challenge—and promised distinction in return.” In twelfth-century France, this court culture developed new ways to display refinement and sophistication among the ruling elite, including debates of love, verbal sparring, and displays of skill (e.g., tournaments and chess). Love in the medieval literary imagination was not only a fiction enjoyed by the nobility, but also a mode of cultural production that could display this ‘distinction’ through social activity. As Richard Firth

\begin{flushleft}

\end{flushleft}
Green writes, the “late medieval nobleman was not content merely to experience the ennobling power of love through the poet’s imagination, he had himself to play the lover, to join with his fellows in an elaborate game of romantic make-believe.” For medieval audiences, to participate in \textit{fin’ amors} was essentially to play. In the prologue to book four of the Middle English \textit{Confessio Amantis}, the lover Amans lists actions he can perform in pursuit of his lover—a collection of social activities that John Stevens calls the “game of love”:  

\begin{verbatim}
And whanne it falleth othergate,
So that hire like noght to daunce,
Bot on the dees to caste chaunce
Or axe of love som demaunde,
Or ells that hir list comaunde
To rede and here of Troilus.
\end{verbatim}

John Gower describes here the ludic space for lovers (in this case, unrequited) at play, crafting cooperative activities like dancing, reading, or playing with dice or questions of love. The ‘game of love’ as described by Stevens is not quite a game in the modern sense (outlined earlier in this chapter), but rather a way in which to define all manner of social activities in the expression of love. While the term has long been adopted by medievalists to figuratively describe the activities associated with participating in the \textit{fin’ amors} tradition, it comprises vague, arbitrary social rules that he does not explicitly define.

\begin{flushleft}
\footnotesize
64 Richard Firth Green, \textit{Poets and Princespleasers: Literature and the English Court in the Late Middle Ages} (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1980), 115.
67 For instance, in his study of English court poetry, Richard Firth Green discusses ‘the game of love’ in terms of the type of stylized writings of court poets. Green, \textit{Poets and Princespleasers}, 101-34.
\end{flushleft}
In fact, Stevens’s term as applied to medieval phenomena does not actually align with medieval uses of ‘game of love.’ Medieval players invoke the term more specifically for depicting games like *le Roi Qui Ne Ment*, showing that the medieval use of ‘game’ supports the idea that the term increased in specificity in the later Middle Ages. The pursuit of a lover as a ‘game’ to win their favour was nevertheless allegorized and game boards found in medieval marginalia signify this parallel between games and love. For instance, John Lydgate’s *Reson and Sensuallyte* (c. 1407) is a translation and expansion of *Les Échecs Amoureux* that illustrates how games in literature can reflect both the allegorical potential of love and the materiality of chess. Following a string of encounters with mythological gods and goddess in the Garden of Pleasance, the narrator witnesses a game of chess played between *Deduit* (Pleasure) and a young lady. After the game results in a tie, the narrator subsequently begins a game with the lady in an effort to win her love. Like chess games in other romances, here the chess game acts as a performance of courtly behaviour. As the narrator claims, Venus had sent him to the garden to learn the art of chess so that he may be successful in love: “For he sholde haue exercise / Of this play in al[le] wyse, / That his tyme he nat lese, / Syth he ys her wher he may chese.”

The concepts of ‘game’ and ‘love’ clearly shared a close relationship in the later Middle Ages, but I would caution against using the terms loosely in the descriptions of all social activities surrounding fin’ amors. In this dissertation I will use ‘game of love’ when the game I am discussing is specifically related to matters of love, taking my cues from texts, manuscripts, and boards, and the more general term ‘play’ when discussing other social activities related to fin’ amors tradition.

---

The first games of love to emerge and gain widespread popularity were the demandes d’amour, a literary genre composed in both verse and prose and comprising a series of questions and answers that deal with the ideologies, attitudes, and etiquette of fin’amors. While the demandes d’amour could be enjoyed in their own right as a form of courtly literature, they were primarily used as a social framework for debating matters of love and for highly stylized conversation, and provided a basis for aristocratic and gentry parlour games. In their ability to function as both a literary form and a social amusement for the leisured classes, the demandes d’amour focused on open-ended questions concerning the nature of love, the acquisition of love, the practice of loving, the effects of love, and the characteristics of an ideal lover; thus, they mirrored the formalization of love developed by the literary imagination of medieval France and later disseminated in England and elsewhere. Readers could use demandes for engaging in casual, sophisticated discussion about amorous topics that could test knowledge of fin’amors and linguistic proficiency as well as reflect personal status. The demandes d’amour also provided a model for teaching aspects of courtesy, morality, proper social conduct, and social refinement, reflecting the literary tastes of the French aristocracy.

As a genre with widespread popularity among the gentry and nobility between the fourteenth and sixteenth centuries, the demandes d’amour are found in 25 manuscripts and 30 incunabula—including literary anthologies, didactic manuals, miscellanies, and printed collections—in France, England, and elsewhere. Collections of demandes d’amour, which comprised various lists of questions of love and their answers, first appeared in the early fourteenth century and likely sprung from the earlier rise of courtly debate literature and the ideals of fin’amors in the twelfth century. Adam de la Halle’s first jeu-parti, for instance, asks the question: “if you were to enjoy the favours of a lady only ten times in your life, would you
take them immediately or wait for a long time?” —a question found in later collections of demandes d’amour. The modularity of demandes d’amour indicates their fluidity as a ludic form without ascribing specific static outcomes of the game or winning objectives—elements that Avedon, Sutton-Smith, Salen, and Zimmerman include in their definition of games. The demandes d’amour could thus be used for a variety of ludic activities, from leisurely reading among an audience to more structured forms such as the social question-and-answer game Roi Qui Ne Ment (discussed in more detail below).

Scholars have suggested the mutual influence of both the demandes d’amour and the jeux-partis on social debate. Alexander Klein and Eero Illvonen first noted that questions and answers resembling the demandes d’amour appeared in the twelfth-century game juec d’amour and may have been an antecedent to later jeu—a speculation also shared by Margaret Felberg-Levitt, the most recent editor of the demandes d’amour. However, Christa Schlumbolm posits instead that the demandes d’amour arose from the Provençal joc-partit, linking the joc-partit to social games at court. Similarly, Madeleine Lazard argues that the demandes d’amour derived from the Le Roi Qui Ne Ment game in the early thirteenth century. In contrast, Arthur Långfors observes that the demandes d’amour may be based on the jeux-partis. While the origins of the demandes d’amour are clearly difficult to trace with any certainty, they certainly influenced and were influenced by other debate genres and may have begun as an oral amusement that were later written down. Felberg-Levitt notes that the demandes d’amour may have been initially transmitted orally and later circulated in loose folios or unbound manuscripts for memorization earlier than the fourteenth century. The origins of early demandes d’amour, developed within the open structure of medieval debate, provided a foundation for creating more stylistic debates, questions of love, and amatory patterns of communication.
Precursors to the demandes d’amour collections are also inspired by the courts of Eleanor of Aquitaine and her daughter Marie the Countess of Champagne in twelfth-century France and, from the beginning, elicit a ludic, interactive experience that emphasizes an adaptable system for debating matters of love. In book two of Andreas Capellanus’s Latin treatise on the art of refined love, *De Amore* (c. 1186–90), Capellanus identifies Eleanor and Marie among other court ladies in a section titled *De variis iudiciis amoris* (Various judgements on love). These “love judgements,” totaling 21 in all, encompassed various cases in love that a court of noble ladies and gentlemen discussed, debated, and resolved with one person designated as a judge. It is doubtful whether Capellanus’s cases on love were actual witnesses of the questions and activities within the courts (and textual communities) of Eleanor or Marie, but his effort to situate this ludic playspace nevertheless indicates a popular trend within courtly culture. Building on the traditions of scholastic dialogue and debate, including classical fields of grammar, rhetoric, and dialectics, and the poetic tensos, partimens, and cobla exchanges of the troubadours—that is, forms of courtly debates on love in which noble ladies were expected to participate—Capellanus’s “love judgments” present a structure for discussing matters of love and became a forerunner to later demandes d’amour wherein each question ends with a single answer (a structure that mirrors that question and answer pattern found in the demandes d’amour collections). Other twelfth-century French debate poetry also incorporated demande-like questions, including Jean de Condé’s *Dit de l’amant hardi et de l’amant cremeteus*, and the jeux-partis of Thibaut de Navarre. Similarly, the French clerc-chevalier debates such as *Florence et Blanchefleur*, written in the twelfth century, focus on a central question: is it the clerk or knight who is the best at love? In these instances, questions and answers acted as points of contention and encouraged debate among the audience; the conventions of fin’ amors could thus be
explored among a group of readers as a pleasurable pastime in the courts of France and the
demandes d’amour could be used to elicit this experience, whether through a formal game or
through playful debate (or both).

Courtly games adopting the demandes d’amour as a central aspect of gameplay echo the
collective debating community of the French court and appear frequently in romances, debates,
and other recreational literature.69 One such game, Le Roi Qui Ne Ment, was enjoyed by the
aristocracy and gained widespread popularity in northern France during the thirteenth and
fourteenth centuries as a courtly entertainment played alongside other debating games such as
the popular jeux-partis and tensons. Le Roi Qui Ne Ment was played by both men and women,
and, more important for our purposes, specific rules of the game varied widely depending on the
region or particular group of players. One of the most common ways to play the game was that a
player was typically chosen as the ‘king’ and he or she would ask each other player a question
concerning love. A player was required to respond with sincerity and the ‘king’ could punish the
player if he or she judged the answer unsuitable. After a round of answers the players could ask
the ‘king’ a question.70 A player was required to respond with sincerity and the ‘king’ could
punish the player if he or she judged the answer unsuitable. The questions asked may have been
personal in order to draw out amorous love interests, but the numerous demandes d’amour

69 The Middle French romance Le Chevalier Errant (c. 1394-96) contains scenes that incorporate both les
demandes and Le Roi Qui Ne Ment. See also: Richard Firth Green, “Le Roi qui ne Ment,” 211-25.
70 Alexander Klein’s study of the demandes d’amour led him to conclude that the game had no fixed rules
and could be played in a variety of ways. Die altfranzösischen Minnefragen, Marburger Beiträge zur
romanischen Philologie (Marburg: Adolf Ebel, 1911), 231. In his analysis of the game’s social function,
Richard Firth Green explores the rules and parameters of Le Roi Qui ne Ment based on depictions of the
game found in romances and demandes collections. Green, “Le Roi qui ne Ment,” 211-26. For more
information on Le Roi Qui Ne Ment, see also Ernest Langlois, “Le Jeu du Roi et le jeu du Roi et de la
collections indicate that riddles and general questions of love were chiefly used to encourage courtly, polite conversation and verbal sparring through lengthy debate. While the game could have a definite winner (and losers), this was not always the case and the game could continue *ad infinitum* until the participants tired of the game—a notion that would be troubling for the modern definitions of game quoted above. This open, playful, and non-restrictive attitude toward medieval games is prevalent among numerous game-texts. As Emma Cayley remarks, the game of love in late medieval France “is played in a constant state of desire for continuation rather than completion; the end of the game (closure) is often deliberately deferred in order to perpetuate the game,” similar to narratives of courtly love in romance. The game also served a more significant social function: as Richard Firth Green notes, the purpose was to pair off couples and potential lovers, providing a certain degree of social and emotional intimacy. The rules and parameters of the game therefore varied depending on the audience’s desires while maintaining a loose, recognizable form for play.

*Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* was depicted in romances (such as the Dutch *Roman van Heinric en Margriete van Limborch*), fabliaux (*Le Sentier Batu*, where a queen is chosen instead of a king), and other medieval texts (notably Boccaccio’s *Filocolo*, book IV), which often describe the game in play. Players could draw inspiration and *demandes* from the large collections of *demandes d’amour* found in manuscripts; alternatively, the *demandes* could be, in part, recordings of past games. John Longuyon’s Alexandrian romance *Les Voeux du Paon* (The vows of the peacock) (1312), which he wrote for Thibaut de Bar, bishop of Liège, includes a lengthy depiction of the game played by five characters in the Chamber of Venus at the request of Cassamus: Betis, his younger sister Fesonas, his cousin Edea, Ydorus, and their prisoner-guest

---

71 Cayley, *Debate and Dialogue*, 12 (see chap. 1 n. 11).
Cassiel. Cassamus encourages this game in an effort to pair Edea and Cassiel. Le Roi Qui Ne Ment was also mentioned by other authors as a form of social activity to reflect the refinements of court culture. In Le joli Buisson de Jonece, Jean Froissart notes that a gathering of nobles played the game as a form of elite entertainment. Guillaume de Machaut depicts a group of courtiers playing the game in Remède de fortune and a gathering of nobles, including Charles, Duke of Normandy, playing Le Roi Qui Ne Ment in Voir dit. That the game was referenced continuously in literature is a testament to its popularity and significance as a space for role-playing fin’amors among friends and family.

Another game based on the demandes d’amour, called Le Jeu aux Rois et aux Reines (The game of kings and queens) has been suggested by scholars to be a parody of Le Roi Qui Ne Ment. Adam de la Halle’s pastourelle Le Jeu de Robin et Marion (c. 1283) is among the first to mention the game, which is played in a similar fashion to Le Roi Qui Ne Ment. In the pastourelle, Adam de la Halle uses a demande to mock courtly etiquette by asking personal questions such as “Quel viande tu aimes miex?” (What meat do you like the best?). The game also includes the king’s ability to request a forfeit. Robin, in his refusal to respond to a question Marion deems unsuitable, pays a forfeit demanded by the king: he must kiss Marion. In the Tournoi de Chauvency (1285), Jacques Bretel observes three question-and-answer games played by the aristocracy following the tournament of Chauvenci, including Le Roi Qui Ne Ment and Le Jeu aux Rois et aux Reines, which he lists as separate games. Felberg-Levitt also suggests that Le Roi Qui Ne Ment and Le Jeu aux Rois et aux Reines may have been two completely separate games, though they have decidedly similar rules. While questions could be devised orally, collections of demandes d’amour may have also been consulted by players as inspiration or aid for such games.

---

72 See Chapter 3 for a discussion of this scene in a fifteenth-century Middle English excerpt.
and other recreational activities. Indeed, different series of *demandes d’amour* collections list a wide variety of *demandes*, ranging from questions that incite lengthy discussion to questions that accompany one-word answers (or no answers at all). The extant utterances and model dialogues found in the *demandes d’amour* collections—questions that are often reiterated in textual depictions of *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* and other question-and-answer games—nevertheless provide insight into the particulars of aristocratic courtliness and entertainment and show how the game’s modularity could adapt to serve the interests of its audience.

The Middle French dice-poem *Le Jeu d’Amour* [the Game of Love] (c. 1300) also played with this code of love by producing a more structured interactive, non-linear literary experience in which players are directly inserted into the courtly rhetoric through dice rolls. Found in a single extant manuscript penned during the late thirteenth century, *Le Jeu d’Amour* was played in mixed company of aristocratic men and women. Courtly phrases such as “bonne amour fine,” and “bel ami” appear throughout its leaves: the scribe enhances this performance of the *fin’amors* ethos by literally transforming each page in the manuscript into an ornate gaming board, listing eight fortunes on a page enclosed in gold and blue medallions and organized by sum.73 The design of *Le Jeu d’Amour* is visually elaborate and, given the prevalence of other courtly games in the late thirteenth century, the author’s preoccupation with creating temporal instances of *dalliance* does not come as a surprise. Kenneth Varty conjectures that the game was played with four men and four women. Each player would cast two or three dice and match their roll to a medallion.74 Upon further inspection, the manuscript contains four game sets ranging from a sum of three to eighteen, save the first set which begins at the sum of nine, and a sequential

single game of two dice on folios 3v, 7v, and 11v. The ability of readers to play Le Jeu d’Amour in any number of ways, ranging from a few people playing a single set (having four to choose from) to each player possessing their own set of pages, echoes the malleability of the demandes d’amour.\textsuperscript{75} The game-poet utilizes the binomial triangle in order to organize his sums, but he applies no special meaning to any number, including his mention of “rafle” or the highest roll 6.6.6, which can contain a positive or negative fortune depending on the set (Table 1.2):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set #1 C’est bien jete, rafle moul grande, Il est fol qui sens vous demande, Car vous haves vostre studie Boute en l’amoureuse vie (181-4).</td>
<td>Set #2 Tu contrefes le papelart, Et s’as un droit cuer de renart Personne qui se fie en toy N’est pas bien avise, je croy (465-68).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2: Comparison of Fortune 6.6.6 in Le Jeu d’Amour

In these two outcomes, the focus lies in revealing aspects about the player or players, including whether they will receive love or whether they are a deceiver in matters of love. In order to create opportunities for intimate tension, the author occasionally separates the outcomes in certain sums depending on whether the player was a man or woman, and dictates different actions a player can complete. One combination of 5.5.3, for instance, asks a fellow player to call the roller “folle I bee” if the player is a woman, and a roll of 5.5.4 of the same set allows, perhaps suggestively, a male player to embrace a fellow “friend.”\textsuperscript{76} Other fortunes require

\textsuperscript{75} To date, no scholar has examined how these texts function together (or even how the game is played).

\textsuperscript{76} Kraemer, Le Jeu d’Amour, lines 134 and 146.
players to pay money to a pot and some rolls even required players to sit out, thereby showing that the game can have a potential winner if the players choose to turn Le Jeu d’Amour in to a gambling game. Teresa McLean comments on this type of ludic atmosphere in noting that these forms of love games and romance were incredibly popular among women and created “conversation [that was] charged with possibly romantic significance.” In that vein, the game-poet references popular literary couples from Arthurian romances, including Lancelot and Guinevere, though he evokes a general knowledge of the characters rather than a specific trait. The game thus initiates discussion and debate, dalliance, and moments of courtly flirtation with fellow participants as they read and draw connections between a player and their fortunes; perhaps anticipating this readerly agency, the game-poet maintains minimal authorial presence, focusing instead on the reader’s overall ludic experience. As Kraemer observes, the game-poet mentions “vous” thirty-one times and “tu” one-hundred-eighteen times. Through the use of the codex and probability, the game-poet creates the rules, actions, and future outcomes for the players, and the players in turn enjoy spontaneous play in matters of love from their own interpretations of the pages. Chance by casting dice is not figured as the primary agent in love, but as an implied, necessary factor for generating this amorous play. Although specific outcomes

---

77 Ibid., lines 365-68.
79 For instance:
   Onques Lancelot n’ama tant
   Genevre au gentil corps pleasant
   C’on vous aime de cuer parfait.
   Il m’est avis que c’est bien fait (Kraemer, *Le Jeu d’Amour*, 165-8)

This element is common in other dice-games as well. See also A. Bobrinski’s edition *Jeu d’Amour, Franzussskaja gadalnaja kniga 15 weka*. St. Petersburg, 1856 for a similar use of romance characters.
are possible, they are rare among the fortunes; rather, the emphasis lies on immersing players in the fin’ amors tradition in a similar fashion to the demandes d’amour. While the demandes d’amour collections focus on polite conversation and verbal sparring, Le Jeu d’Amour transforms each player into a potential lover themselves, and the suggestive outcomes denote a higher level of intimacy among the players. In both instances, the objective of the game is to participate in this fiction. The manuscript provides the feedback loop necessary to facilitate play, but the nuances of the text area are only revealed in the moments of play between the players and their relations. Although such a game shows evidence of rules and autonomy, its lack of competition and goals particular to the game would render it an edge case within the confines of many of the definitions of the modern game. Le Jeu d’Amour was nevertheless clearly understood and played as a game in medieval French social circles.

The appeal of courtly literature and games was in this access to specialized knowledge: a code of conduct only the most noble could seek to imitate, perform, and enjoy. From the rise in popularity of the demandes d’amour collections and games and the visually ornate pages of Le Jeu d’Amour, we can see that social courtly games in France provided not only a form of recreation, but also a status symbol presented visually on the page. Furthermore, these courtly games of love affirm the ethical and social conditions postulated by Stephen Jaeger, but their prevalence and appeal does not necessarily speak to a rise from primitivism: the courtesy, civility, and refinement exhibited in the demandes and Le Jeu d’Amour reinforces virtues and accomplishments in order to achieve an aesthetic that promotes status and reputation.\(^8^1\) From their invention and popularity among readers who wished to practice and participate in luf-

talkyng, the demandes d’amour games and Le Jeu d’Amour reveal how players negotiated a fluid boundary between the terms ‘game’ and ‘literature’ and between ‘game’ and ‘non-game.’ While the demandes d’amour could be used as a literary device or narrative framework, they could just as easily lend themselves to courtly games dependent on stylized debate and performance. Similarly, Le Jeu d’Amour’s invocation of familiar literary figures and provocative gestures testifies to the desire to become immersed in fin’ amors. The demandes d’amour are not referenced as games in themselves, but their capacity to become a game was certainly known to audiences. In this way, medieval game-texts reinforce the main tenet of games as formal systems (and are akin to medieval board games like chess and merels) at the same time they unsettle the criteria for modern games such as quantifiable goals and outcomes as posited by modern game studies scholars. Le Jeu d’Amour and le Roi Qui Ne Ment could potentially be played competitively—that is, players can win or lose the game—but a number of literary games remain deliberately variable in their form and content and are thereby able to be played either competitively or non-competitively.

Another feature of modern game definitions troubled by medieval literary ludic games is the assumption that all games include disequilibrail (Avedon and Sutton-Smith), quantifiable (Salen, Zimmerman, and Juul), or unproductive (Caillois) outcomes. In the fifteenth-century Chaucerian dice-poem The Chaunce of the Dyse (c. 1430-1450), the game-poet constructs a poem that deliberately depends on chance—the unknown—in order to create meaning for the players. While other game-texts such as Ragman Rolle equalize chance (e.g. every fortune has a 1/23 odds), The Chaunce of the Dyse, like Le Jeu d’Amour, employs dice as an active agent for distributing fortunes to the players. Players cast three dice and match their rolls to a corresponding stanza, a series of seven lines that assigns the player a specific character-portrait.
The game-poet also organizes *The Chaunce of the Dyse* by combination, descending from 6.6.6 to 1.1.1. While other dice-poems such as *Le Jeu D’Amour* employs probability as a simple mediating agent between the player and their fortune, *The Chaunce of the Dyse* instead uses these structures to denote symbolic relations. Fortune 6.6.6 requires one of the least probable rolls, and is considered the ‘best’ roll—often associated with Venus. Following this precept, the poet not only dedicates the fortune to her, but also connects the player’s disposition to Venus’s exemplary ideal: “So youre mekenesse ageysn al vice is bote / Who pleynly knoweth youre condicioun / To yow may be made no comparisoun” (26-8). *The Chaunce of the Dyse* presents a deepened preoccupation with characters and character types, and uses mnemonic devices such as Venus’s “Cokille” and “synamome” aroma to relate immediate experience to previous knowledge. Not surprisingly, 1.1.1 reads “Pore is the caste and ryght such is the chaunce” (408), indicating the poor cast and, perhaps coincidentally, allowing the author to end the poem by “leu[ing] in woo” (413). In this way, this game-poet shows a clear working knowledge of both literary conventions and the conventions of recreational dicing games.

While the author also includes good and bad fortunes, the entertainment lay primarily in the discussions and dalliance within the text. The game-poet’s addition of well-known Chaucerian characters, including Griselda and Troilus, and allusion to popular locations in London generate

---

82 Three six-sided dice have a total of 216 possible combinations and 56 sets of combinations. One cubic die has a 1/6 chance of displaying a specific number. As the rolls are order-independent according to basic combinatorics, the probability of receiving any given fortune ranges from 0.46% to 2.78%.


a relationship between author, player, and text that is markedly situated within fifteenth-century English literary culture. Fortune forty-three reads, for instance:

Of olde stories / taken ye grete hede
That ye ne had moo bokes / is gret skathe
ffor your talent / ys gretely set to rede
Ye kan by rote / the wifes lyfe of Bathe
He might wel sey ful erlyche / and to rathe
Chosen he had / that machched with yow were
Sure of a shrewe / might he ben withoute fere (295-301).

In this particular fortune, the game-poet addresses a player not only well-read, but also well-acquainted with Chaucer’s Wife of Bath. As Eleanor Hammond, the first editor of the text, writes: the game-poet “does change the formula, he does see more of his object, he is not attempting to instruct” but entertain. For The Chaunce of the Dyse, the game-poet deliberately attempts to craft fortunes that could apply to both men and women, resulting in the creation of ambiguous character portraits. While Hammond contends that this ambiguity causes the game-poet to maintain a stiff, expressionless poetic form, the poet’s ability to create such variability in outcome, as in fortune forty-three, shows considerable skill in medieval game design. The reader’s player agency in The Chaunce of the Dyse results not only from the spontaneous interplay of self and text—players matching the topically variable fortunes to their own predicaments—but also from the conversational dialogue between author and reader in the confirmation of moral virtue and fin’ amors ethos. Accordingly, the author’s recognizable use of structure, local and literary allusion, and temporal immediacy create a performative space that is rich in debate and innuendo typical of other games in the fin ‘amors tradition.

85 J. Allan Mitchell explores the allusions to Chaucerian characters in The Chaunce of the Dyse and their relation to Troilus and Criseyde. See Ethics and Eventfulness, 61-68.
87 Ibid., 4.
The game-poet, then, distinguishes *The Chaunce of the Dyse* in both form and content from other so-called ‘classic’ games. In this game-text, there is no declared ‘winner’; instead, the pleasure of playing the game comprises the audience’s interactions with the text itself. Unlike other contemporaneous dice-poems in France and Italy, such as Lorenzo Spirito’s *Libro della Ventura* [The Book of Chance] (c. 1482) and the *Dodechedron*, which both use elaborate tables and mechanisms reminiscent of philosophical divination practices to determine prognostications, *The Chaunce of the Dyse* game-poet creates a gaming system that is closer to popular gambling games. While gambling games such as *hazard* were a subject of continual sanction and criticism by the Church, courtly games of chance that mimicked such gambling games did not fall under such scrutiny since their outcomes did not include life-changing stakes.88 Chaucer’s Pardoner associates the play of hazard with riots, taverns, parties, and betting, warning his fellow pilgrims of the dangers of gambling: “[h]asard is verray mooder of lesynges, / And of deceite, and cursed forswerynges, / Blaspheme of Crist, manslaughter, and wast.”89 The outcome not only becomes quantifiable, but also involves a higher emotional (and financial) investment as the gamester places a wager in order to achieve their future outcome. For the Pardoner, false outcomes become an enabler of immoral things to come:

Seven is my chaunce, and thyn is cynk and treye!”
“By Goddes armes if thou falsely pleye,
This daggere shal thurghout thyn herte go!” —


This fruyt cometh of the bicched bones two,
Forsweryng, ire, falsnesse, homicide.\textsuperscript{90}

As Rhiannon Purdie remarks in her examination of dice-games, “[t]he crucial difference lies in whether or not something \textit{is} at stake.”\textsuperscript{91} That the game-poet’s system in \textit{The Chaunce of the Dyse} emphasizes simplicity in lieu of complex rituals or stakes is indicative of the game’s ludic space within high society. \textit{The Chaunce of the Dyse} was thus an acceptable, and indeed prestigious, game that empowered aristocratic men and women to play within the space of love.

In his book \textit{Convergence Culture}, media studies scholar Henry Jenkins observes that a “medium’s content may shift . . . its audience may change . . . and its social status may rise or fall . . . but once a medium establishes itself as satisfying some core human demand, it continues to function within the larger system of communication options.”\textsuperscript{92} Jenkins’s argument addresses the co-existence of analog and digital media in the twentieth century, but the idea of diffusion and fluidity of content across media can also be found with medieval game-texts like \textit{le Roi Qui ne Ment}, \textit{Jeu d’Amour} and \textit{The Chaunce of the Dyse}, and, indeed, constitutes one of the properties of medieval game-texts that trouble our modern notion of games. For medieval readers, the jump from “axe of love som demaunde” to “rede and here of Troilus” may have just been a matter of turning the page—the text as a playful object does not change despite the varied presentation, content, and audiences. While game-texts could be experienced singularly and read as text, the convergence of game, text, and player brings the game as an object into existence. It

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{90} Chaucer, \textit{Riverside Chaucer}, lines 653-57.
\item \textsuperscript{91} Purdie, “Dice-games and the Blasphemy of Prediction,” 183. Clifford Geertz also touches on this in his study of Balinese culture; specifically, he observes that in gambling games with greater amounts of money, “much more is at stake than material gain: namely, esteem, honor, dignity, respect.” \textit{The Interpretation of Cultures} (New York: Basic Books, 1973), 433.
\item \textsuperscript{92} Henry Jenkins, \textit{Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide} (New York: New York University Press), 14.
\end{itemize}
is this interactivity—formed through variable and ever-changing sequences—that renders the game-text a playful experience. Game studies scholar Eric Zimmerman contends that stories and games comprise two widely different *modus operandi*: “a story is the experience of a narrative,” while games are experience through play.\(^9\) As we have seen, medieval game-texts blur this clear-cut distinction by creating interactive spaces that enable players to participate in the wider emergent narrative of *fin’amors*. The narrative becomes the personas of the players, while the rules outline the form of play. As Zimmerman states: “it’s not a question of whether or not games are narrative, but instead how they are narrative . . . we need to ask how games can be narrative systems in ways that other media cannot.”\(^9\) It is this interactivity that sets game-texts apart from the “‘idealizing impulses’ and distorting ‘receptions’” of texts.\(^5\) For game-texts, agency comprises a series of negotiable, ever-changing elements: the poet, the scribe, the player(s), the game’s manuscript context, and its place in medieval culture. The fusion of literary and game traditions thereby produces a distinct form of entertainment.

The *demandes d’amour* games, *Le Jeu d’Amour*, and *The Chaunce of the Dyse* all craft games of love for their various literate audiences, predicated on the effort to create and encourage immersion within the *fin’amors* or literary ethos enjoyed by the specific audiences who played them—a kind of “virtuality” between games and life that also appears in the game-oriented fifteenth-century Spanish *cancionero* poetry.\(^6\) “Recreation,” as Olsen writes, “involves


\(^9\) Ibid., 161-62.


some kind of activity, some form of ludus which creates physical refreshment or mental quies through delectatio, thereby invigorating the psyche.”97 Herein lies a key concept in the ways in which medieval audiences understood games: the “lusory attitude” as defined by philosopher Bernard Suits, is “the acceptance of constitutive rules just so the activity made possible by such acceptance can occur.”98 The lusory attitude can also be prompted through the game’s signification as a playful object and ethical views and tastes of its players. For the game-texts discussed above, game-poets signal this lusory attitude through the literary content, visual aesthetics, and manuscript contexts. However, as we shall see in Chapter 3, medieval game-texts were often appropriated for pedagogical purposes and were regularly used as learning tools outside of gaming contexts. Therefore, typically playful markers such as the use of dice with a given poem may or may not encourage a lusory attitude.

Consider an anonymous Middle-English dice-poem found in four manuscripts dating from the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries.99 While the game-poet of Le Jeu d’Amour clearly had a vision of the desired game and its probable outcomes, the anonymous dice-poem presents a more eclectic and general view of literary dice-playing. The poem’s fortunes seem more akin to the tradition of astragalomancy—that is, lots by dice—and prophecy.100 As such, the majority of the fortunes caution the player to be wise, follow God’s will, and adhere to higher virtues such as

97 Olsen, Literature as Recreation, 103.
99 Nicola McDonald has recently suggested naming this poem “Have Your Desire.” See “Fragments of (Have Your) Desire: Brome Women at Play,” 232-58.
100 Reflecting on this observation, Braekman notes that the A-version of the poem, located in Aberdeen, Aberdeen University Library, MS. 123, completely diverges from the authoritative B-version, located in Boston, Boston Public Library, MS. 100. Since the A-version focuses more exclusively on matters of love, Braekman suggests that the original text is based from a dice-book for lovers and a book on general prophecy. “Fortune-Telling,” 17.
meekness and steadfastness. The author of most of the poem even occasionally stands in as a surrogate counsellor for revealing the future and aiding the reader; in fortune 5.5.4 he states that:

Quines and cater you haues on ye disse,
I consayl ye be war and whysse,
Trast in no hertly thing [y]at may be,
For ye warld is noght bot vanite.101

This particular fortune concerns the foolishness of pursing worldly things, and recalls to the reader a need to set his or her sights on God. The author is concerned not only with imparting a general knowledge of the future, but also reinforcing Christian moral practices. Chance in the Middle Ages, as Howard Patch has argued, often becomes subservient to divine providence in order to normalize previously conflicting ideas about future events.102 Building from this conventional medieval concept of chance, Thomas Cavanagh also writes that “[fortune’s] real function was to encourage the soul to choose the higher road of the spiritual life.”103 In accordance with a Christian model of moral truth, chance in this particular dice-poem is not associated with the goddess Fortuna or romantic outcomes, but is interpreted as a state of luck governed by God’s providence. Fortune 6.6.3, for instance, asks the player to “cast a nother schaunssce / gyf you wilt yi seluen auauinsse,” and 4.4.2 foresees that the player will “hau ‘e’ god hape, go wher yo go”—fortunes that reinforce a general state of goodwill.104 Fortune 5.5.3 even goes so far as to combine elements of chance and divine providence to effect a player’s outcome: the author begin with “swinis and trai is thi schaunce, / gode is mighty ye to auauance” and then states how the player should put their trust in the virgin Mary.105 Again reflecting this

103 Thomas Kavanagh, Dice, Cards, Wheels, 15.
105 Ibid., lines 93-96.
generalized, non-linear view of chance, the poet does not place varying weights on the numbers. Organized by combination from 6.6.6 to 1.1.1 and depicted by red or black dice (or, as in one manuscript, Arabic numerals), the outcomes and their subsequent fortunes seem to be equally randomized. 1.1.1, for example, is often regarded among gambling circles as the worst roll. Although the final outcome is not favourable, the poet does not hint at this gambling convention; instead he remarks that the player should pray hard in order to be redeemed. While this use of prognostication would usually suggest that the poem was used for serious purposes, a certain number of fortunes do hint at playful matters of love, which were an addition from a different manuscript as noted by Braekman. For the player, the scribes' amalgamation of generalized, prophetic fortunes and amorous love allows a freedom of interpretation as the poet(s) rarely invoke any specific desire. In this manner, the game could be played with serious intentions or it could be played as a ludic pastime. Whatever the reader’s intention, the poets and scribes make clear that the moral agenda is the highest priority.

From the few interactive game-texts discussed in this chapter, the signification of the ludic function is often signaled through *ordinatio* and aesthetics, such as the placement of the *Chaunce of the Dyse* among other texts encouraging debates on matters of love in Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Fairfax 16 and Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Bodley 638 (e.g., alongside *La Belle Dame Sans Mercy*) and its dice pictographs accompanying each stanza. Such position and visual markers cannot be construed with certainty as a ludic function, however; more often, the signal for play occurs either in the text itself, such as in the *demandes d’amour* collections, or through the audience’s own purposes for using the text, such as the anonymous dice-poem which

---

106 Ibid., lines 221-24.
107 Ibid., lines 3-29.
appears alongside decidedly non-ludic texts. When is a text then not a game? In the little-known
Middle English prophetic poem “When Sunday goeth by D and C,” the reader casts dice much
like the anonymous dice-poem. While the use of dice may at first glance suggest a ludic function,
the purpose of the poem is political rather than personal. Found in at least fourteen manuscripts,
the poem appears most often in miscellanies with other prophetic texts, many of which deal with
the War of the Roses and England. In Oxford, Bodleian Library Rawlinson D.1062, for
instance, the poem appears on fol. 93v, sandwiched between “The Prophisies of Rymour, Beid,
and Marlyng” (ff. 92-94) and a metrical prophecy about the future of England (ff. 119-120v).
In four manuscripts, “When Sunday goeth by D and C” is paired with another poem that reveals
political prophecy via the stars. Although a number of variants depict the dice in-text via
pictograph (see, for instance, London, British Library, MS Harley 559, fol. 39rv), the poem itself
closely follows the tradition of prognostication and functions more like the texts with which it is
paired. The poet designates six and one as the best and worst rolls, respectively: “Evermore
schalle the {six} be the best cast on the dyce / Whan that {one} beryth up the {six} ynglond

108 The extant manuscripts are: Cambridge, Cambridge University Library, MS ii.6.11; Cambridge,
Trinity College, MS O.2.53; Dublin, Trinity College, MS 516; London, British Library, Cotton Cleopatra
C.IV; London, British Library, MS Harley 559; London, British Library, MS Harley 7332; London,
British Library, MS Sloane 2578; London, Public Records Office, MS SP 1/232; Oxford, Bodleian
Library, Arch. Ee. B. 8; Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Rawlinson D.1062; London, British Library,
Cotton Rolls II 23;

109 See also the contents of the sixteenth-century commonplace miscellany London, British Library,
Lansdowne 762 that includes the poem: proverbs and gnomic verses; texts discussing anger and discord; a
recipe for removing wine, water, and milk stains; three prophetic poems on the conquest of France; The
First Scottish Prophecy; various animal prophecies; and poems against marriage. For more information
about this manuscript, see: David Reed Parker, The Commonplace Book in Tudor London: an
Examination of BL MSS Egerton 1995, Harley 2252, Lansdowne 762, and Oxford Balliol College MS 354

110 Cambridge, Trinity College O.2.53, f. 41r; London, British Library Cotton Cleopatra C.IV, f. 123v;
London, British Library Harley 559, f. 39r; and London, British Library Lansdowne 762, f. 96r.
schal be as paradice.” The prognostication of the poem concerns the general well-being and stability of England, as line 6 indicates with the prophecy of a new king to rule if the reader happens to throw a two: “Ye schal have a newe kyng at a new paarlament.” Dice were used widely as prognostication tools in the Middle Ages and the combination of dice and poetry alone does not therefore suggest a ludic function.

Returning to the question of whether Jean de Meun’s Le dodechedron de fortune is in fact a medieval game, we can speculate that the text certainly served a ludic function not unlike the other nonlinear interactive texts discussed above and contains a number of elements found in other game-texts. The text focuses on the individual rather than the political, aligning more closely with other ludic texts, but does not emphasize any particular theme; instead, the text also maintains a fluidity in both content and purpose since the text could just as easily be used by a reader attempting to find answers to life’s difficult questions. Media and digital humanities scholars have often used premodern texts such as the prophetic Chinese I Ching as examples when explaining concepts in interactive electronic literature. The textual matrix in Le dodechedron de fortune also generates a plurality of possibilities that can be interpreted as ludic or non-ludic depending on the audience. In his discussion of cybertext readers, Aarseth notes that “you are constantly reminded of inaccessible strategies and paths not taken, voices not heard” when reading a non-linear text. This is also true of medieval game-texts, but not in a sense that the text formulates a linear narrative path through player choice; rather, the feedback loop in the manuscript or game creates a fictive (‘virtual’) reality that is unique to each game session.

111 Dublin, Trinity College, Dublin 516, fol. 118, lines 1-2. The transcription is my own. Brackets represent pictograph of dice corresponding to the number.
112 Dublin, Trinity College, Dublin 516, fol. 118, line 6.
113 Aarseth, Cybertext, 3.
“Cybertext,” Aarseth writes, “shifts the focus from the traditional threesome of author/sender, text/message, and reader/receiver to the cybernetic intercourse between the various participant(s).”¹¹⁴ These game-texts appear at first to be akin to the “choose-your-own-adventure” interactive fiction popularized in the 1980s, but they do not include the same assumptions that characterize the modern interactive fiction genre (e.g., partial knowledge and inputs). In his analysis of electronic interactive fiction, Nick Montfort, for instance, notes how the genre requires an “explicit challenge and a verbal literary work”—elements that are not always present in medieval game-texts.¹¹⁵ I highlight the concepts developed in interactive fiction criticism here because this genre is often considered a game, though the nature of interactive fiction as game is rarely discussed. Montfort notes how:

The typical interactive fiction game differs from a game like chess not only because the players in chess oppose each other but because in that game total information about the situation is always available to players. Not only is the state of the game (i.e., the situation of the IF world) known only in part in interactive fiction, but the workings of this world (and of the interface to it) are at first also only partly known.”¹¹⁶

If we were to define medieval game-texts under these criteria, however, they would not qualify as interactive fiction or a game, for they are not necessarily competitive (though games like Le Roi Qui Ne Ment can set up players in opposition) and the text is completely known to the players, whether they choose to read it linearly or nonlinearly. In this way, medieval game-texts do not easily fit into discussions of modern interactive fiction, yet they are more than the sum of

¹¹⁴ Aarseth, Cybertext, 22.
¹¹⁶ Montfort, Twisty Little Passages, 34.
their parts: they present an interactive ludic experience in *potentia* on the page, thereby moving beyond the idea of playful linguistics theorized by Marie-Laure Ryan in *Narrative as Virtual Reality*.117

In *Twisty Little Passages: An Approach to Interactive Fiction*, Montfort notes that the “pleasure involved in interaction is not simply that of reading. Nor is it entirely alien from that of reading.”118 Medieval game-texts reveal a complex network of text, manuscript, poet, and audience that serves to create a ludic textual experience. These games function as both social and literary activities that encourage participation through the manuscript interface and interactive mechanics. While medieval audiences considered them as parlour games to be played in a social gathering, the texts nevertheless struggle to find coherence within modern definitions of *game* and its modern cousin *interactive fiction*. Indeed, they straddle the line between *game/play*, *game/literature*, and *ludic/nonludic* depending on a particular audience’s desires, lusory attitude, and cultural circumstances.119 More recent definitions, such as Thomas Malaby’s notion of *game* as a “semi-bounded and socially legitimate domain of contrived contingency that generates interpretable outcomes” perhaps offers the most relevance to a medieval game-text, but still falls

---

117 Marie-Laure Ryan designates three ways in which a text can be considered a game: literally (through fixed constraints such as poetic metre), metonymically (offering a problem for the reader to solve like a riddle or include a game mechanism), and metaphorically (participation in a verbal competition that involves skill where the text itself is not the ludic activity). While certain medieval game-texts embody some of these categories (e.g., the *Chaunce of the Dyse* could be considered metonymic since it uses dice), I would argue that none satisfy any category completely, for the ludic experience of the game-text is made up of a complex network of manuscript, text, poet, and player (e.g., its poetic form or use of dice). *Narrative as Virtual Reality: Immersion and Interactivity in Literature and Electronic Media* (Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001), 179-81.


119 A modern equivalent that troubles definitions of games is the sandbox simulation genre where the goals of the game (whether personal or shared) rarely take priority over the activity of building and creativity (e.g., *MineCraft* and *SimCity*).
short in capturing the dual-purpose ludic or non-ludic experiences that these texts offered audiences. From this discussion of medieval game-texts, I propose the following features for identifying medieval games:

1. **A formal system** that can be either **open or closed**. Given the modularity and plurality of forms medieval literary games enjoyed as they circulated, games could include a plurality of formal rules, regional styles, and so on. Thus, the game still contains certain constraints, but the rules are often not static; rather, they can change based on the audience’s tastes, region, language, and purpose—thereby creating derived types that are nevertheless still comprise an activity that is more structured than general ‘play.’

2. **Outcomes** are not always known, quantifiable, or disequilibrial (e.g. win/lose structure). Games do not always include a beginning, middle, and end, but are at the discretion of the players.

3. Games contain **negotiable consequences**: in Jesper Juul’s words, “games are characterized by the fact that they can be assigned consequences on a per-play basis.” Games can thus be played with or without real-life consequences; this fluidity of interpretation reflects medieval ideas of games.

4. **Interactive participation** and player effort can be competitive or non-competitive. A game-text can elicit participation through literary modes. Contrary to many modern definitions, the concept of player effort in many medieval game-texts does not necessarily need to be challenging.

5. Games emphasize **social activities and serve a social function**. While modern games often include individuals versus non-human agents (e.g. playing tic-tac-toe against a

---

computer), medieval literary games were inherently social and could be played either by one gender or in mixed company.

These criteria also align with other tabletop games enjoyed in the Middle Ages. On fol. 157v of London, British Library, MS Royal 13 A XVIII a backgammon board is etched in the space below the end of a travel route listing the towns one passes when travelling from London to Avignon via Amiens and Clermont-Ferrand (Figure 1.2).\textsuperscript{121}

Labeled “Ludi ad tabulas,” the following page includes a list of several games and their variant rules as well as five game problems in Latin that can be played with the board, showing how the game of backgammon could change depending on the region or players. The game board does
not signal a particular game, but rather acts as an empty vessel for a number of games, problems, and variants. Furthermore, while the travel route and list of games seem distinct, the game board image acts as a pictorial hinge, linking them together. Inked in the same hand as the travel route, the play spaces of the board follow an alphabetic numeric system for placing pieces (similar to the chess problems discussed in Chapter 2) and may have been used as a practice board in order to learn different games or solve game problems presented in the subsequent pages. More curious is the order of the games and problems, which begin with an English game (“Ludus Anglicorum”) and turn to French Games such as “Fayle” and “Ludus Lombardorum” [The Lombard Game] following the geographic route from London to Southern France outlined in the travel route. While evidence is scant, I posit that the texts enabled the traveller to play the various games and rules from different regions with fellow players of the area—an imaginary space that is also wholly connected to the geographical spaces mapped by the text. The game board also serves a dual purpose and could be used for formal games or problems with differing outcomes and negotiable consequences.

1.3 Conclusion

In this chapter I demonstrated how, in the words of Betsy McCormick, “[m]edieval games provide an earlier starting point and foundation for studying how games both affect and reveal culture: while the Middle Ages inherited games such as chess and backgammon from earlier periods, its players molded games into forms that are still recognizable today.”122

Medieval game-texts operate through different means than modern games and other forms of recreation. As texts like *The Chaunce of the Dyse* and “Ludi ad tabulas” illustrate, medieval games are not simply static, mechanized systems. Modern definitions of games do not sufficiently take into account the cultural production and dissemination of medieval games and their relation to other forms of recreation (i.e. vernacular literature). Game-texts, as I have shown, trouble modern definitions of ‘game’ because they demonstrate how medieval texts and manuscripts could accommodate different types of entertainment and interactivity that we no longer associate with books and reading. Medieval audiences enjoyed a fluidity and openness in their play and design of games—a notion that I explore in further detail through an analysis of medieval game problems in the next chapter—and therefore offer the field of cultural game studies a more comprehensive development of the idea of ‘game.’
Chapter 2
——
Mind Games: Learning, Skill, and the Pleasures of the Problem

Could we look into the head of a chess player, we should see there a whole world of feelings, images, ideas, emotion, and passion. – Alfred Binet123

It is better to follow out a plan consistently even if it isn't the best one than to play without a plan at all. The worst thing is to wander about aimlessly. – Grandmaster Alexander Kotov124

In Hilary Mantel’s award-winning historical novel Wolf Hall (2009), Thomas Cromwell’s apprentice, Thomas Avery, smuggles in “Luca Pacioli’s book of chess puzzles” to him while he is taken ill. Cromwell has quickly “done all the puzzles, and drawn out some of his own on blank pages at the back. His letters are brought and he reviews the latest round of disasters.”125 At first glance, the book of chess problems is likened to a trivial activity in a list of tasks: a pleasurable amusement to pass the time and relieve boredom while Cromwell, an avid chess player, regains his health. Yet the chess problems, on closer inspection, both become both a motif for the larger legal and political moves Cromwell plays in the court of Henry VIII as the king’s chief minister and act as an activity that keeps his mind sharp in order to perform his job successfully. For

Mantel’s Cromwell, chess puzzles stand in as a method for solving problems when the political landscape of the Tudor court is not readily available.

A subtler detail in the scene is Mantel’s specific attribution of chess problems to Fra Luca Bartolomeo de Pacioli (1446/7-1517), an Italian Franciscan friar, mathematician, and prominent figure in the development of early accounting practices. The text Mantel alludes to is a treatise on chess, titled *De Ludo Scaccharum* [On the Game of Chess], composed around 1500 by Pacioli and re-discovered by book historian Duilio Contin in Count Guglielmo Coronini’s 22,000-volume private library in 2006. Dedicated to the chess enthusiast Isabella d’Este, the manuscript includes one hundred chess problems that Franco Rocco speculates may have been designed and drawn by Leonardo da Vinci, Pacioli’s friend, due to their adherence to the Golden Mean (a numeric ratio of approximately 1: 1.618). Additionally, the collection includes an amalgamation of problems that use either medieval or modern rules, called “a la rabiosa” [the furious], which refers to the queen’s new, more powerful movement. Composed for both edification and entertainment, Pacioli’s (and possibly da Vinci’s) problems provide a rare glimpse into the game’s transition to modern rules, a juxtaposition of rules that begin to appear in other manuscripts across Europe in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. In *Wolf Hall*, Cromwell does not simply solve Pacioli’s problems, but composes his own “on blank pages at the back” of the manuscript, indicating his prowess at chess and, more abstractly, his mastery of

---

126 Attilio Bartoli Langeli and Enzo Mattesini date the manuscript between the late fifteenth and early sixteenth century.
129 Murray, *A History of Chess*, 776-810 (see chap. 1 n. 7).
political strategy. Chess here stands in as a metaphor for emerging early modern politics:
Cromwell’s compositions are original, contributing to new ideas alongside Pacioli rather than
copying older Arabic and Muslim problems. As a man rising in political stature from among the
lower ranks of society, Cromwell is, in effect, also creating this new political game as much as
he participates in it, pioneering new forms of political maneuverings in early modern England—
that is, moves that mark a distinct shift from medieval to early modern practice. Just as the
changing rules in chess mirror a continent in transition, Pacioli’s and Cromwell’s chess problems
become a space to showcase skill of the game and, for Cromwell, to develop skills for problem-
solving that he will later apply to other areas of his life.

This wider, more general application of games to non-game-related tasks—a hypothesis
that considers whether playing games can not only improve cognitive abilities such as memory
and attention, but also enable a transference of expertise to other tasks—is currently a prevailing
area of research in the fields of cognitive psychology and neuroscience. “Can human thinking be
studied using games?” ponders Pertti Saariluoma in his investigation of the thinking of chess
players and the impact of chess on mental faculties. Cognitive scientists Mark Blair, Jozef
Pisk-Dubienski, and Alexander Lee, et al. at Simon Fraser University have also recently begun a
large-scale study investigating how players become experts at a skill, using Blizzard
Entertainment’s action-strategy game *StarCraft II* as a controlled environment. Using a dataset

---

130 Pertti Saariluoma, *Chess Players’ Thinking: A Cognitive Psychological Approach* (New York:
Routledge, 1995), 3. For study of tasks that chess players of various skill levels perceive, see also William
of 3,305 players, aged 16-44, Blair has found cognitive-motor performance decreases after the age of 24 regardless of skill at the task.\textsuperscript{131} As Blair, et al. write:

\begin{quote}
[o]ne possible concern is that our finding of age-related decline in StarCraft 2 could be due to a speed accuracy trade-off: older players become slower in virtue of focusing on accurate movements or strategic planning. It is straightforward to imagine this kind of trade-off in a strategy game like chess, where one could improve one's decisions by spending more time exploring possible moves.\textsuperscript{132}
\end{quote}

Players thus reveal how we as humans cultivate learning. In light of the recent rise of so-called ‘brain training’ digital applications, software, and exercises that claim to improve cognition, psychologist Eliot T. Berkman has also conducted a study showing that the brain can improve performance for a given task, but that cognitive improvement does not necessarily transfer to other environments.\textsuperscript{133} Expertise at chess and StarCraft II, in other words, may not generalize to other problem-solving tasks that require strategic thinking. Conversely, neuroscientist Joaquin Anguera, et al. studying motor control in adults ages 60-85 found that playing video games could enhance multitasking ability and cognitive control, “highlight[ing] the robust plasticity of the prefrontal cognitive control system in the ageing brain.”\textsuperscript{134} Likewise, the Nintendo DS game Brain Age: \textit{Train Your Brain in Minutes a Day!}, which is based on neurologist Ryuta Kawashima’s \textit{Train Your Brain: 60 Days to a Better Brain} and has sold over 33 million copies to

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{132} Ibid.
\end{footnotesize}
date, includes puzzles, music, memory recall, Sudoku, and other exercises to improve cognition. Aimed at older players, *Brain Age* argues that completing these puzzles keeps certain regions of the brain active, thereby developing increased mental capacity. The game also includes charts that track the ‘age’ of your brain in human years based on the player’s performance and devises strategies for cognitive development.\textsuperscript{135} Game studies scholar Jesper Juul remarks that games are “fundamentally a learning experience” in their ability to provide challenges for players, but, as psychologists and neuroscientists are currently debating, this ability to learn new skills can be potentially applicable both inside and outside the game.\textsuperscript{136}

Was there an association between games, learning, and skill acquisition in the Middle Ages, such as those suggested by Mantel? Were games thought of as useful for gaining knowledge and improving cognitive ability? How were games taught, given their many regional variations, and what role did narrative play in the composition of these problems? This chapter addresses these questions by continuing the discussion of games as fluid textual objects I began in Chapter 1 by examining one form in which knowledge and application of games circulated in manuscripts: problems. Game problems—that is, puzzles composed within a closed, logical system containing a definite solution—have long been enjoyed by players not only as recreational activities, but also as exercises to learn techniques to improve their skill at the game. As compositions found in manuscripts that negotiate the interplay between entertainment (the pleasure of the problem) and education (learning new skills), game problems present model cases

\textsuperscript{135} While a number of neurologists have argued that *Brain Age* is effective for combatting dementia Alzheimer’s, others remain skeptical of its ability to improve increase a player’s cognition.

\textsuperscript{136} Jesper Juul, *Half-Real*, 5 (see chap. 1 n. 3).
for examining how medieval players and readers thought of games as ways in which to gain knowledge, skill, and improve their lives.

Consider, for instance, problem seventy-three in Alfonso X’s encyclopedic magnum opus, *Libro de los Juegos* [The Book of Games] (Figure 2.1):

![Chessboard with chess pieces](image)

**Figure 2.1: Problem 73, Libros de los juegos**

Written around 1282, the text features problems and instructions for a variety of games played in the Spanish courts at the time, including chess, merels, tables, dice, and astronomical games. This particular problem is not of Arabic origin, but rather part of a supplementary collection of fifteen problems composed in thirteenth-century Europe. The other eighty-eight problems are
derived from Arabic manuscripts. Problem seventy-three is a chess mate in three puzzle that is solved by checkmating with a black pawn—a move considered highly skilled, for the lowest valued piece wins against the highest valued piece (Figure 2.1).\textsuperscript{137} While the problem promises to teach the player a coveted chess move, which would certainly showcase a player’s skill and, more importantly, one’s prestige, the problem is but one piece in Alfonso’s larger allegory for leading a balanced and virtuous life.\textsuperscript{138}

Chess problems, which I discuss in detail below, exemplify complex spaces for understanding the game. In Chaucer’s The Book of the Duchess, for instance, the Black Knight blames his defeat at a game of chess against Lady Fortune on his lack of skill, believing more practice with chess problems may have helped thwart the loss of his ferse (queen):

Ful craftier to pley she was  
Than Athalus, that made the game  
First of the ches, so was his name.  
But God wolde I had oones or twyes  
Ykoud and knowe the jeopardyes  
That kowde the Grek Pictagores!  
I should have pleyd the bet at ches  
And kept my fers the bet therby.\textsuperscript{139}

Here the Black Knight believes that intellect and knowledge of the game is the chief, and only, factor in determining the outcome. Jenny Adams notes the Black Knight’s use of chess in this instance to gamble for Blanche’s life, and other literary critics have considered the game


metaphor as a deliberate rhetorical strategy or “confused” application of grief.140 But the chess game also reveals a dual sense of loss: fortune proves to be a formidable, “[f]ul craftier” opponent who calls checkmate “in the myd poynt of the checker” (660)—often regarded in the Middle Ages as one of the most difficult tactics to checkmate an opponent. As the Black Knight states, “[m]yself I wolde have do the same, / Before God, hadde I ben as she; / She oghte the more excused be.” The chess problems act as a mnemonic device, whether to recall patterns or positions, but Chaucer paints the irony of the game in the problem: despite a foreseeable loss against Lady Fortune, the Black Knight nevertheless characterizes the jeupardyes as the key for securing victory.

Game problems in the later Middle Ages—such as the encyclopedic jeu-parti collections composed primarily for table games—gained occasional use as tools for increasing one’s skill at gaming, often for the purpose of winning wagers at court in addition to being pleasurable intellectual exercises. Despite the prevalence of game problem collections across Europe, including seven extant problem collections in later medieval England, scholars have paid little attention to the genre, with the exception of chess historian Harold Murray in his pioneering tour de force, A History of Chess. This chapter provides a fresh investigation of medieval game problems—namely recreational mathematics and chess problems—by addressing how these texts exemplify ideas of skill, mastery, and pleasure within different social contexts, from gentry

households to clerical cloisters and abbeys. Game problems are more than simple exercises: they incorporate varying degrees of storytelling, aesthetics, layout, and compilation strategies in order to create interactive experiences for players, who use problems as supplements to learning the game or—similar to websites like *Lumosity*—as tools to sharpen mental faculties. As a result, game problems demonstrate how medieval games, like game-texts, could be used for multiple purposes depending on the needs of their players. The first section examines how mathematical problems, as the first type of problem in the Middle Ages used as tools for learning and skill acquisition, become intertwined with recreation and games. Building on Murray’s initial observations of chess problems, collections, and manuscripts, the second section traces the reception and compilation of chess problem collections in later medieval England. In both instances, game problems, which have their roots in medieval education, intersect with ideas of learning and cognition and display the fluidity and adaptability of medieval games.

2.1 *Algebra is for Lovers*

Problem solving is at the heart of all mathematics in medieval and modern educational curricula;\(^{141}\) it involves both a task and a motivated solver who must act in order to solve a problem. Problems demand active participation, requiring the solver to play along and initiate the experience. In order to be considered a mathematical problem, mathematical concepts and principles must be used in the derivation of an answer. While problems may appear as a word or visual problem, such a composition stands in to illustrate a technique or a mathematical concept.

---

Recreational mathematics, a branch of mathematics composed for entertainment that often describes unrealistic situations, highlights the enjoyment of problem-solving as a meaningful activity. Puzzles and problems adhere to specific rules and have set goals but they are, for the most part, not inherently competitive in nature. While modern game studies scholars separate games from puzzles, their aspects in the Middle Ages are closely linked and, using the framework I outlined in Chapter 1, could be considered game-like, especially for problems that appear in collections of *demandes d’amour* or among textual game boards.¹⁴² A solver completes the problem by discovering the solution, but does not necessarily lose if he or she cannot solve the puzzle. Game designer Chris Crawford elaborates that puzzles are static and unchanging while games are dynamic, but modern exceptions—such as the card game Solitaire and the adventure video game genre—do exist. For medieval games and game problems, each playthrough can have a number of divergent possibilities, while a modern crosswords or chess puzzles do not often change on the page with each interaction.¹⁴³ Mathematical problems can range from abstract puzzles to familiar, relatable situations in need of resolution. Due in part to their ability to intermingle algebra and storytelling, recreational problems can also reflect cultural ideas and values in their depictions of objects and issues or in the real-world application of a solver’s approach.

The earliest recreational mathematical problems in Europe stemmed from algebraic exercises used to teach principles of algebra and other mathematical concepts and were not

---


¹⁴³ The distinction between games and puzzles is difficult to discern and thoroughly subjective. Crawford, *The Art of Computer Game Design*, 13 (see chap. 1 n. 28).
originally associated with other known games. The oldest known collection of recreational mathematical problems, the Latin treatise *Propositiones ad Acuendos Juvenes* [Problems to Sharpen the Young], was first composed in Anglo-Saxon England; written by Alcuin of York (c. 740-804), *Propositiones* exists in twelve extant manuscripts (the earliest manuscript dates from the late ninth century and others extend to the eighteenth century). The fifty-three problems combine narrative and logic, wherein the end of the story reveals the solution. Problem eighteen, for instance, presents the reader with one of the first “river-crossing” problems, titled “The Problem of the Wolf, the Goat, and a Bunch of Cabbages”:

Homo quidam debebat ultra fluvium transferre lupum et capram et fasciculum cauli, et non potuit aliam navem invenire, nisi quae duos tantum ex ipsis ferre valebat. Praceptum itaque ei fuerat, ut omnia haec ultra omnino illaesa transferret. Dicat, qui potest, quomodo eos illaesos transferre potuit.

Solutio: Simili namque tenore ducerem prius capram et dimiterem foris lupum et caulum. Tum deinde venirem lupumque transferrem, lupoque foras misso rursus capram navi receptam ultra reducerem, capramque foris missa caulum transveharem ultra, atque iterum remigassem, capramque assumptam ultra duxissem. Sicque faciente facta erit remigatio salubris absque voragine lacerationis.

[A certain man had to take a wolf, a goat, and a bunch of cabbages across a river. The only boat he could find could only take two of them at a time. But he had been ordered to transfer all of these to the other side undamaged. Say, he who is able, in what manner the man was able to cross the river with the goods intact?]

Solution: I would take the goat and leave the wolf and the cabbage. Then I would return and take the wolf across the river. Having put the wolf on the other side I would take the goat back over. Having left that behind, I would take the cabbage across. I would then row across again, and having picked up the goat take it over once more. Thus, by doing all this rowing the man will become healthy, and without any lacerating catastrophe].

---


145 Folkerts, *Die älteste mathematische*, 54-55. All translations from Latin are my own.
While the problems are presented as recreational puzzles, Alcuin’s writing and posing of the problem nevertheless attempts to help improve mental faculties. Alcuin also composed the first problems for children and desired stories, objects, and subjects that would be both familiar and delightful for retaining attention—a methodology that he could have certainly borrowed from texts such as Horace’s influential *Ars Poetica* (19 BCE). As Horace writes in his oft-quoted dictum, “aut prodesse uolunt aut delectare poetae / aut simul et iucunda et idonea dicere vitae” [poets aim either to benefit, or to amuse, or to utter words at once both pleasing and helpful to life]. For Horace, poets should aspire to teach and delight, rather than, to use St. Paul’s imagery, separate the wheat from the chaff. From their inception in medieval culture, mathematical problems, such as those composed by Alcuin, were often infused with a sense of pleasure for the ease of learning.

Alcuin’s problems are not only often written as descriptive stories, but the themes he employs also relate to objects and events from everyday life, from ploughing fields to propositions of marriage. The object of the problems was, of course, to teach the reader mathematical principles or, at the very least, provide an interesting puzzle to pass the time, but

---


148 By mingling pragmatism with pleasure with regard to poetry, Horace’s doctrine continued to influence writers and educators well into the Early Modern period. As Robert Matz argues, Horace’s marriage of profit and pleasure in relation to poetry “created a conflict over the value of labor or leisure, and an uncertainty about which activities constituted either” for early modern writers. *Defending Literature in Early Modern England: Renaissance Literary Theory in Social Context* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006), 1.
Alcuin’s emphasis on everyday events also displays a certain practicality to the problems.

Problem twenty-one, for instance, asks the solver how many sheep can be put into a field:

Est campus, qui habet in longitudine pedes C C et in latitudine pedes C. Volo ibidem mittere oves, sic tamen, ut unaquaque ovis habeat in longitudine pedes V et in latitudine pedes IV. Dicat, rogo, qui valet, quot oves ibidem locari possunt.

Solutio: Est campus, qui habet in longitudine pedes C C et in latitudine pedes C. Volo ibidem mittere oves, sic tamen, ut unaquaque ovis habeat in longitudine pedes V et in latitudine pedes IV. Dicat, rogo, qui valet, quot oves ibidem locari possunt.149

[There is a field with a length of 200 feet and a width of 100 feet. I want to place sheep in it so that each sheep has a space of five feet by four feet. How many sheep can be put in the field?]

Solution: This field has the length of 200 feet and breadth of 100 feet. The number of fives in 200 is 40; dividing 100 by 4 the fourth part of 100 is 25. Since there are 40 fives and 24 fours, 1000 fills the quota. Therefore, this is the number of sheep that can be placed into the field].

The agricultural economic problem here reveals how, for many of the algebraic problems, solvers could apply them directly to their own real-world concerns, whether for increasing the efficiency of their operations or gaining profit.

Indeed, recreational mathematics problems originated from mercantile trade and many problem collections deal chiefly with finance, exchange, and other issues relevant to the lives of merchants. In his discussion of the rise of algebra in early medieval Italy, Jacques Sesiano notes that “Italy’s merchants, trading intensely throughout the Mediterranean, had a pressing need for mathematics applied to commerce.”150 Typical problems for application included “sales, expenditures, and the hiring of workers.”151 Leonardo of Pisa (famously known as Leonardo

149 Folkerts, Die älteste mathematische Aufgabensammlung, 56-57.
151 Ibid., 97.
Fibonacci) learned mathematics for commerce from his father, a merchant who often traveled for work to Bougie (Algeria); he later composed the *Liber Abaci* (1202), a treatise of fifteen chapters including numerous recreational mathematical problems, many of which were applicable to mercantile economics. The problem Fibonacci is perhaps most known for is a recreational mathematical problem involving the reproduction of rabbits. While Fibonacci’s rabbit problem began as a recreational exercise, it nevertheless mirrors real-world phenomena and has more recently found utility in computational and biological algorithms. For modern instructional design in education, David Jonassen argues that mathematical problems encountered by students are not engaging due to their abstraction and cannot be extrapolated to real-world concerns. Conversely, the educational, economic, and mercantile origins of mathematical exercises and recreational mathematics in the Middle Ages reveal a much more nuanced interplay between problems and life: real-world concerns and familiar objects influence the composition and
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152 The “Fibonacci Sequence” asks the solver how many pairs of rabbits will result after one year from a single pair of rabbits shut inside a field using the following conditions: [1] the interval between two generations, the gestation time, and the time to reach adulthood are all one month; [2] rabbits mate immediately after giving birth to offspring; [3] each litter comprises a pair of rabbits (one male, one female); and [4] no rabbit escapes or dies during the year. The solution follows a sequence \( F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \). At the end of the \( n \)th month, the number of the pairs of rabbits is equal to the number of new pairs of rabbits. For the first month, then, the first pair mates, so there is still only one pair. At the end of the second month, the female rabbits gives birth to a new pair, so there are now two rabbit pairs in the field. At the end of the third month, the first pair produces another set of offspring, so there are now three pairs in the field. At the end of the fourth month, both the first and second pairs produce offspring, so five pairs of rabbits exist in the field. Thus, the number of pairs at the end of the year is 377 \( (F_{14}) \).

153 The Fibonacci sequence has, for instance, helped us reveal rhythmic patterns in nature such as the shape of pine cones from conifer trees. See Alfred Brousseau, “Fibonacci Statistics in Conifers,” *Fibonacci Quarterly* 7.5 (1969): 525-32.

narrative descriptions of these puzzles at the same time the mathematical mechanics at play prove useful for real-world issues.

Mathematical problems, due to their usefulness for solving everyday concerns and playfulness, also find applicability among women educating their children and governing households. In the Carolingian *Liber Manualis* (841-43), a handbook written by the Duchess Dhuoda to her son William to help guide his social advancement and moral behavior, she devotes book nine to computations, numerology, and mathematics, drawing her inspiration and sources from computing manuals, and cites Augustine’s *Tractates on the Gospel of John* on Biblical numerology such as the “double birth” and “double death.” Dhuoda was an avid reader and had access to mathematical books. She also likely had a rudimentary understanding of mathematics and computation. As the only book written by a woman to survive the Carolingian period, *Liber Manualis* thus sheds rare insight into the education and knowledge of noble women.

Women were often not only educators, but also household managers in charge of stock, farming, revenue, servants, and entertaining guests. Christine de Pizan, in her fifteenth-century conduct manual dedicated to Princess Margaret of Burgundy, *Le tresor de la cité des dames de degré en degré* [The Treasure of the City of Ladies, also known as The Book of the Three Virtues] (1405), discusses the various household responsibilities and tasks required in order to be

---


effective managers, which often included the need to be proficient in mathematics.  

In another household book from fourteenth-century Paris written by an aging husband to his teenage wife, *Le Menagier de Paris* [The Goodman of Paris], section three (now lost in all extant manuscripts) was dedicated to parlour games for indoor entertainment, including dice, chess, riddles, and mathematical games. Christine Rose argues that this conduct book shapes the identity and role of women from a male perspective: “His book of keeping house is also a book about keeping women—in their place.” Nevertheless, the household management and games sections indicate how women assumed responsibility for governance and entertainment.

If algebraic problems exhibit aspects of pleasure and edification and could be used recreationally, then what is their relation to medieval games? In two fifteenth-century incunabula printed by Colard Mansion of Bruges, a friend of William Caxton, a collection of recreational mathematical problems is preserved among a larger miscellany of *demandes d’amours*, titled *Les Adevineaux amoureux*. As James Hassell, Jr. notes, much of the content for *Les Adevineaux amoureux* was drawn from multiple oral and written sources and compiled in Northern France or Belgium sometime around 1470. The *demandes d’amours*, which are questions and answers of love used in games like *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* (discussed in more detail in Chapters 1 and 3), were played among a mixed group of players and served as a social context

---


for intimate conversation. As questions that illuminate cultural values and relatable situations through discussion and role-play, the demandes reflect interests and concerns found within spaces of dalliance, much like the sections reserved for games as one way to amuse guests in Le Menagier de Paris. Question 220 asks, for instance, to calculate the number of dinner guests seated at the table:

Companions are seated at dinner; they see another that says to them: “God guard these companions and make them one hundred.” And one of the dinner guests responded: “We are not at all a hundred, but we are twice as we seem, half of what we are, and a quarter, and also you included, and we are neither more nor less than this.” How many guests are seated at dinner?

None of the recreational mathematical problems concern matters of love directly, but they do contribute to a culture of polite society and good household management. For the above question, the composer provides the algebraic equation in order to solve the problem: $2x + x/2 + x/4 + 1 = 100$, which, when solved, reveals that there are 36 guests present at dinner.\(^\text{162}\) While the mathematical problems could be considered educational on their own, their insertion in the demandes collection—as problems added without any visual differentiation from other demandes—suggests that their primary purpose in Les Adevineaux amoureux was for leisure rather than learning. Like other demandes in the collection, the algebraic problems could be asked within a social parlour game among house guests, family, or friends. Similarly, other mathematical problems in the collection focus on themes of feasting, wedding gifts, travel, and

---

\(^{161}\) Ibid., 55. The translation is my own.

\(^{162}\) To solve: $11x + 4 = 400$, $x = 36$. Therefore, there are 36 guests present at the meal.
the division of estates. Five problems (214, 215, 220, 223, and 226) among the 40 mathematical demandes explicitly focus on supper, for instance.

Earlier demandes in Les Adevineaux amoureux focus on questions of love, and the mathematical problems add a sense of realism to the collection. Question 75, for example, asks what is the best virtue a man or woman in this world could possess other than loyalty (answer: a prudent manner and temperament) and Question 38 asks the player, “Sire, je vous demande quelle chose est amours” [Sir, I ask you what is love?].\textsuperscript{163} In Les Adevineaux amoureux, the compiler organized the miscellany by grouping different types of questions together, including a series of prose questions of love between a Damoiselle and Chevalier (fols. 1v-13v), riddles (fols. 14r-21r), “venditions en amours,” which are connected to Evangiles des quenouilles (a collection of popular superstitions) (fols. 21v-23v), and mathematical problems (fols. 24r-27v). An early modern manuscript, Chantilly, Musée Condé, MS 654 (1572), instead places the problem collection between questions of love (fols. 45v-58v).

While the problems found in Alcuin’s Propositiones display a variety of fictitious and real-life concerns, the mathematical problems in Les Adevineaux amoureux correspond more directly to issues relating to élite entertainment and household management like those found or alluded to in other domestic advice manuals—showing again how algebraic problems could be used simultaneously as forms of entertainment and as tools applicable to, or at least familiar with, real-world issues. Medievalists do not yet know the origin of the mathematical problem group in the demandes collection, but the problems seem derived, in part, from mercantile exercises similar to those composed by Fibonacci; three problems depict wine merchants (217,\textsuperscript{163} Hassell, Amorous Games, 22 and 14.
one asks the solver to divide casks of fish among merchants (234), and another deals with the purchase of horses (232). Still others discuss the exchange of animals (219), capital gains (246), and dealings at a tavern (224). The insertion of a recreational mathematical problem group into a collection of *demandes* therefore does not recycle or appropriate the genre, but rather illustrates how easily the genre could suit a variety of entertainments and social classes. Mathematical exercises were not only used in university curricula and the apprenticeship of merchants, but also enjoyed by noble and gentle men and women as ways in which to pass the time as a social amusement and form of edification, including in good household management, which reflects the values and lifestyles of the gentry and nobility.

Recreational mathematics, the earliest problems to appear in medieval England, thus move between spaces of learning and play and—as we have seen above—was adapted for either educational or leisurely pursuits. While recreational mathematics problems are not games in themselves, compilers could adapt them as questions to be used in courtly games such as those played with the *demandes d’amours*. The next section of this chapter explores more closely the relationship between skill acquisition, cognition, and storytelling in medieval chess problems—the most popular type of game problem in medieval Europe.

### 2.2 Sites of Learning

“That due knowledge of any subject not perfectly simple in itself implies exact knowledge of its elements or parts is a truism remarkably appropriate to chess,” begins chessmaster James Mason (1849-1905) in his widely influential treatise *The Art of Chess* (1895); “now to form just ideas of the parts or elements of which chess consists, it is necessary to
consider them each separately at first, and not to confound them all into one view, so that, as it were, we cannot see the wood for the trees.”¹⁶⁴ A number of chess masters composed chess books in the nineteenth century, but Mason’s *The Art of Chess* was one of the first handbooks to provide a general overview and methodology for learning the game. For Mason, learning chess was more than simply memorizing solutions to problems. He devised an approach for teaching chess to beginners that met with lasting appeal and remained popular well into the twentieth century: “having mastered the parts … a correct method will enable us to trace their connections and interactions, until we may eventually perceive them working together according to that controlling principle of unity in diversity which is the last to be discovered in the actual game.”¹⁶⁵ Mason breaks down the game into sections comprising problems focusing on a different aspects of the game—endings, combinations, and openings—beginning with the endgame since it has the fewest pieces which makes it easier to learn how pieces move and work together. Mason also gradually increments the quantity and variety of pieces on the board, moving from pawns (the simplest pieces) to the Queen (the most complicated piece), and presents not only the correct sequence of moves for the outcome, but also a reasoning behind the strategy.

Mason’s teaching philosophy in *The Art of Chess* implies that students must go beyond memory and mimicry in order to learn the game. Chess is such a complex logic system that numerous theories for skill acquisition have been developed over the past two hundred years that combine ideas of learning, cognitive processing, and the mind. Psychologist Alfred Cleveland’s model of skill acquisition in chess similarly includes the player’s development of “positional

¹⁶⁵ Ibid., 3.
sense,” wherein players gain awareness of the meaning and value of each piece for different situations. Still others suggest that learning (and excelling) at chess involves pattern recognition or the use of knowledge of chess to search for alternative situations to given positions on the board. Chess is a ‘perfect’ strategy game: all pertinent information is displayed to each player and there are no chance moves. While chess may at first appear simple in design, with sixty-four chequered squares, thirty-two pieces, two opponents, and one winner, the number of variations—which contains between \(10^{43} - 10^{50}\) positions and \(10^{123}\) possible chess game variations in the modern game—makes this finite game highly complex. While the medieval game had a smaller number of variations, the fusion of simplicity and multifariousness still presented a challenge for medieval players who wished to master chess. As mentioned above, cognitive psychologists have long studied whether chess, as a task environment, can illustrate human thinking processes and show how related behavioural models are transferable to other situations. In the words of Saariluoma, “when we better understand selective thinking in chess, we can certainly be able to develop theories that describe selective thinking in other task environments as well.” Chess, as the “drosophila of cognitive science,” reveals not only how

168 In his seminal work on chess, Claude Shannon determined the lower bound for the number of chess positions was estimated to be \(10^{43}\) (now called the “Shannon Number”) using the formula: \(64! / 32!(8)!^6\). His conclusions, however, did not omit illegal moves. Building on Shannon’s formula, Victor Allis calculated the upper bound of game-tree complexities to be \(10^{52}\). Allis also estimated that the possible number of game variations to be \(10^{123}\), which is more than the number of atoms in the universe. Claude Shannon, “Programming a Computer for Playing Chess,” *Philosophical Magazine* 41 (1950): 256-75 and Victor Allis, “Searching for Solutions in Games and Artificial Intelligence,” PhD diss (University of Limburg, 1994).
169 Scholars have yet to calculate the number of variations in the various medieval assizes.
170 Saariluoma, *Chess Players’ Thinking*, 17.
we as humans acquire knowledge, but also what differentiates novices from masters in the game and in other areas of expertise.\textsuperscript{171}

Mason’s methodology for teaching the game marks a watershed moment in teaching chess. Before the nineteenth century, teaching chess from manuals often consisted of an explanation of rules, such as Charles Cotton’s widely influential \textit{The Compleat Gamester} (1674), or a series of problems (or both).\textsuperscript{172} How did medieval players learn the skill of the game? Were there strategies for teaching chess to novices and, if so, how did they differ from later epistemological approaches? Were medieval chess problems adapted for non-game related tasks? This section examines the strategies and methods by which teachers, composers, and scribe-compilers taught the popular game of chess to players in medieval England, including ecclesiastics, the gentry, and university students, in order to understand how medieval players learned the game and how this education differs from our modern notions of chess and skill acquisition.

While game studies scholars paint an image of the static nature board games before the invention and dissemination of video games, chess in the Middle Ages was in fact a game in transition.Originating in northern India, chess traveled to Spain and Italy sometime before 1000CE and entered a long period of experimentation—in pieces, rules, and material representation—throughout medieval Europe. Our modern rules did not come into play until about 1475, when the Bishop changed from a leaping to a fluid piece (and extended his movement to the edges of the board) and the Queen adopted the powers of the Rook and Bishop,

\textsuperscript{171} Ibid., 3.
thus becoming the most powerful piece in the game.\textsuperscript{173} We can view this transition toward the modern game through the problem collections that included both medieval and modern rules, much like Pacioli’s problems in \textit{De Ludo Scacchorum} discussed at the beginning of this chapter.

Known as \textit{locus partitus} (Latin), \textit{jeu-parti} (Old French), and \textit{jupertie} (Middle English), the medieval chess problem was originally inherited from earlier Indian and Arabic manuscripts, wherein solutions to chess problems (also called \textit{mansūbāt}) were “highly esteemed” among players as intellectual puzzles.\textsuperscript{174} Pioneering chess historian Harold Murray found over 1600 \textit{mansūbāt}, many of which are concerned with techniques for the endgame: “In its origin the mansūbā was nothing more than the termination of an actual game played over the board which was deemed worthy of preservation by the players or their contemporaries because of the brilliance, the difficulty, or other special feature in play.”\textsuperscript{175} As chess problems spread outwards in the thirteenth century from Italy and France, the two main centres of problem composition and compilation, the \textit{jeupartie} and other game problems became more generally known as “a position in a game … in which the chance of winning or losing hang in the balance.”\textsuperscript{176}

Learning chess from manuscripts did not, however, begin with the circulation of problems. The earliest reference to chess in Europe occurs in the “Einsiedeln Verses” in Switzerland (997CE), though the game was likely known before this first recorded allusion.


\textsuperscript{174}Murray, \textit{A History of Chess}, 281. Translated from Arabic, the term \textit{mansūbāt} (sing. \textit{mansūba}) means “arrangement” or “position” and is derived from the passive participle of \textit{nasaba}, meaning “to erect,” “set up,” or “arrange.” Ibid., 266.

\textsuperscript{175} Ibid., 270.

\textsuperscript{176} Ibid., 566.
Historian Richard Eales speculates that the introduction of mathematics to school curricula was accompanied by chess problems, which acted as exercises to teach practical knowledge. As Daniel O’Sullivan posits, “the notion of two oppositional forces locked into a battle with well-established rules that call for implementing social strategies might very well have struck the imagination of more than one Scholastic thinker.” Chess reached England by the late eleventh century, but it did not gain popularity until the twelfth century. The earliest playing pieces, found in Gloucester and Winchester, date between 1075-1125CE and are sculpted from deer antlers in an abstract Eastern style. All known chess pieces excavated in England date from the post-Anglo-Norman conquest and may have followed the reintroduction of tabula (backgammon) in the eleventh century.

Recorded rules for chess circulated at first in clerical texts, such as the Versis de Scaccis in southern Germany and the Latin ‘Winchester Poem’ in Winchester, England. The earliest references to chess show not merely curiosity, but interest in learning the game. The ‘Winchester Poem,’ found in Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS. Cat. Bibl. Cod. 58, p. 110 (mid-twelfth c.), describes each piece and its corresponding movements on the board. For example, the author

---

describes the pawn as: “Unusquisque pracedeentes assequantur pedites. / Tunc incipient pedestres praelium committere / Neque verti retro queant, sed directe proeperent / Quod repererint incautum, per transversum ferint.” [Each pawn proceeds on foot. / Then they begin to engage in a battle of infantry / And do not recoil, but directly they can hasten / In order to strike a guard diagonally].\textsuperscript{181} The rules for chess were often regional (called assizes), and players could change their methods of play on a whim. In England, two assizes called the \textit{short assize} and the \textit{long assize} were common forms of play, the latter of which was used in chess problems.\textsuperscript{182} O’Sullivan argues that when chess was relatively unknown to audiences, the transmitted allegories and rules of chess remained necessarily “textually cumbersome.”\textsuperscript{183} As chess increased in prominence and popularity, “poets could dispense with tedious explanations and create dynamic allegories capable of conveying meaning more subtly and economically.”\textsuperscript{184} As clerical texts and curricula relied increasingly on the written word, manuscripts began to act as a method for recording and circulating rules to games. Earlier Anglo-Saxon games, such as Hnefatafl, are mentioned rarely in Anglo-Saxon literature, as their rules were disseminated orally among players. Conversely,


\textsuperscript{182} In medieval chess, the movement of the pieces favours leaping as opposed to gliding, which is found in the modern game. The differences in movement for the \textit{long assize} are as follows: the King can leap to a third square on his first move as long as he cannot be checked by his opponent. He cannot leap over an enemy’s piece or capture a piece by leaping to it. He cannot leap out of check. After the first move, he can only move one square in any direction. A pawn can move two squares in its initial move and can capture another pawn, called \textit{en passant}. The Queen and promoted pawn have the same first move. A ‘Bare King’ (the opponent only has the King piece left on the board) is not necessarily considered a win. See Murray, \textit{A History of Chess}, 464-68.


\textsuperscript{184} Ibid., 201.
Chess allegories describing basic rules begin to appear across Europe soon after the game’s inception and rise in popularity.\textsuperscript{185}

Chess did not remain an intellectual activity solely of the clergy, however. As the game gained popularity among the nobility and gentry, chess became an essential part of a noble child’s upbringing as it was thought to instill good moral character, tactical skill, and courtly values. Petrus Alfonsi, Henry I’s physician, writes in his twelfth-century treatise \textit{Disciplina Clericalis}, that the seven knightly skills include, “riding, swimming, archery, boxing, hawking, chess, and verse-writing.”\textsuperscript{186} In her analysis of Chretien de Troyes’ \textit{Perceval} and the Didot\textit{ Perceval}, Jenny Adams similarly observes that chess operates as a site for Perceval’s personal and intellectual development and can be linked to the growing emphasis on education in the thirteenth century.\textsuperscript{187} The knowledge and education of chess among secular players was gained primarily through tutors and by “imitating their elders,” but the recorded rules and chess problems show evidence of their use of books to learn the game as well.\textsuperscript{188} Such an education is often reflected in medieval romance, where authors describe knights such as Tristram and Lancelot as accomplished chess players. By the sixteenth century, chess and other pastimes had become a well-established form of entertainment in household gardens and chambers across England. In \textit{The Boke Named the Governor} (1531), Sir Thomas Elyot praises chess as a suitable game for governing members of the nobility and gentry:

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{185} See especially Murray, \textit{A History of Chess}, 496-528.
\textsuperscript{188} Eales, \textit{Chess}, 53.
\end{flushright}
The chesse, of all games wherin is no bodily exercise, is mooste to be commended; for therin is right subtile engine, wherby the wytte is made more sharpe and remembrance quickened. And it is the more commendable and also commodiouse if the players haue radde the moralization of the chesse, and whan they playe do thinke upon hit; whiche bokes be in englishe. But they be very scarce, by cause fewe men do seeke in plaies for vertue or wisedome.189

Elyot devoted sections of his educational handbook to pastimes deemed proper for his audience, including not only hunting, dancing, and physical sports, but also board games considered “honest exercises” comprising methods for finding “moche solace, and also study commodiouse; as deuising a bataile, or contention betwene vertue and vice, or other like pleasauent and honest inuention”—a sentiment occasionally at odds with ecclesiastical writings.190 For Elyot, sanctioned games—chess, tables, and cards—instill desired values, contribute to one’s health, and provide another social outlet as a rest from labour. Chess, in particular, improves the mind and teaches moral behavior. Notably, in the passage quoted above, Elyot also ties the experience of chess to the lessons taught in Jacobus de Cessolis’ thirteenth-century allegory, Liber de moribus hominum et officiis nobilium super ludo scacchorum [Book of the Morals of Men and the Duties of nobles—or the Book of Chess], and is likely referencing Caxton’s translation The Game and Playe of the Chesse.191 While he does not address chess problems directly, Elyot nevertheless associates chess with the development of improved memory and cognitive abilities. Chess, Elyot argues, has the potential to improve one’s character, both mentally and morally. Setting up the board, as it were, was both a recreational and spiritual matter that could incite

190 For a survey of attitudes toward board games by the Church, see Robert Bubczyk, “‘Ludus dishonestus et illicitus?’ Chess, Games, and the Church in Medieval Europe,” in Games and Gaming in Medieval Literature, ed. Serina Patterson (New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2015), 23-43.
191 For a detailed discussion of Cessolis’ Liber, see Chapter 4.
either virtue or vice, but either way the ability to play chess was an important skill for the social élite.

Historical documents, material artifacts, and literary references may reveal opinions and evidence of gameplay, but they fail to show in detail how the game might have been taught in every case, especially when the specific rules of the game could be changed so easily depending on the audience or locale. Problems recorded on rolls and in manuscripts reveal another way in which players learned chess in the Middle Ages. The Anglo-Norman, Latin, and Middle English chess problem manuscripts show evidence of an increased interest in mastering chess as the manuscripts operate as sites of learning for players. As I will demonstrate, the problems also act as cognitive exercises for learning skills such as problem-solving and logic, and could be adapted to other academic subjects such as astronomy and mathematics. Moreover, chess problems reveal that board games were not considered static objects by medieval composers, compilers, and players, but rather dynamic, malleable textual playspaces. Chess problems were not only valued differently from modern games in terms of their composition, but were also used for different purposes by the players and readers who enjoyed them.

Murray remarks that chess problems found in England “are generally of a very elementary and simple type,” dismissing them as “unsophisticate[d]” exercises for a minority audience of chess players.192 Richard Eales similarly downplays the significance of chess problems, stating that problem manuscripts show that “the general standard of play was not high,” since they “rarely showed signs of technical advance on the eastern [Muslim] prototypes.”193 Yet a closer glance at medieval chess problems found in England indicates an

193 Ibid., 69.
organizational and compositional strategy for teaching chess to the clergy, English gentry, and students that merits further scrutiny. Seven surviving manuscripts in England, which date from c. 1248 to c. 1470, include a total 183 problems, 106 of which are variant. Problem collections also range from as few as two problems to as many as fifty-five and, and, as a co-occurrence matrix shows (Table 2.1), a number of problems appear in multiple manuscripts, suggesting a shared network of collections or problem collections that stem from completely separate sources.  

---

194 The manuscripts are: London, British Library, Cotton, MS Cleopatra B IX; Cambridge, Trinity College, MS O.2.45; London, British Library, MS Sloane 3281; London, British Library, King’s Library, MS 13 A.XVIII; London, Royal College of Arms, Roll 20/26; New Haven, Yale University, MS Porter; Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Ashmole 344.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript</th>
<th>MS O.2.45 (c. 1248)</th>
<th>MS Cleopatra B IX (c. 1273)</th>
<th>MS Sloane 3281 (early 14th c.)</th>
<th>MS Royal 13 A XVIII (14th c.)</th>
<th>Roll 20/26, dorse (14th c.)</th>
<th>MS Porter (c. 1450)</th>
<th>MS Ashmole 344 (c. 1470)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Problems in each Manuscript</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS O.2.45 (c. 1248)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS Cleopatra B IX (c. 1273)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS Sloane 3281 (early 14th c.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS Royal 13 A XVIII (14th c.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll 20/26, dorse (14th c.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS Porter (c. 1450)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS Ashmole 344 (c. 1470)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Co-Occurrence Matrix of Chess Problem Collections in England, c. 1273-1470
Murray speculates that four of the seven extant chess problem collections in England were derived from a single Latin source (MS Cleopatra B IX, MS Royal 13 A XVIII, MS Porter, and MS Ashmole 344), but the low survival rate of early manuscripts, the wide variation of problems among these manuscripts, and the variations in ordinatio, even among manuscripts that share a large number of problems, indicate that there were most likely several now-lost problem collections in circulation. MS Cleopatra B IX, one of the earliest collection of problems in England, and MS Ashmole 344, the latest problem collection in England, share only three problems between their collections, for instance (see Table 2.1). Chess problems in England may not have been overly popular among players, but their composition as an aesthetic puzzle and cognitive exercise reveals how the mechanics, texts, layout, and ordinatio render them useful as pedagogical tools for learning the art and skill of chess in England—characteristics that also show how medieval composers, compilers, and players thought of their game spaces. This study therefore reconsiders Murray’s initial study of chess problems in order to lend long-overdue attention to the genre and provides a starting point for further research in the history and cultural understanding of chess and other games in the Middle Ages.

2.2.1 Warm Ups and Cool Downs: Early Problems in England

Just as the first recorded rules appeared in clerical manuscripts, members of the clergy were also among the first players to copy game problems in England despite the suspicious attitude ecclesiastics held toward chess and other games in the later Middle Ages. The first

---

195 Murray was unaware of Roll 20/26, which also shares a large number of its problems with Murray’s so-called ‘Anglo-Norman’ group.

196 Murray, A History of Chess, 579.

extant problems appear in Cambridge, Trinity College, MS O.2.45, a mid thirteenth-century trilingual miscellany penned and compiled at Cerne Abbey in Dorset, England (c. 1248). Two chess problems appear at the top of fol. 2r followed by a fourteen-line Latin poem commonly titled “Carmina Ludi Scachorum” [The Song about How to Play Chess]. Although MS O.2.45 comprises a diverse array of texts, including mathematical puzzles, satires, treatises on mathematics and astronomy, tracts on the computus, prayers, and prose tales, the scribes devised an intentional organizational schema for the first three pages of the manuscript: each leaf contains visual, interactive texts and activities that focus on contemplating the afterlife, enjoying earthly pleasures, and sharpening mental faculties, respectively. These introductory texts may have not only prepared the reader for the other academic and devotional texts in the manuscript—effectively serving as warm-up exercises—but also offered a respite from reading and studying.¹⁹⁸ Before I turn to a discussion of the two chess problems, it is necessary to first outline the items on the first two leaves in order to gauge the scribe’s plan for the interactive texts and inclusion of the problems.

On fol. 1r, the scribes begin the miscellany with a labyrinth composed of an eleven-ringed circuit through a circle (Figure 2.2).

---

Unlike mazes, which consist of a series of forking paths and dead ends, a labyrinth is a unicursal winding path that leads to the centre of the circle—a centre that, as the final destination on the path, sometimes represented heaven or Jerusalem. The four-fold symmetry along the path as the reader’s eye moves from each area of the circle shares its construction with several Roman and Anglo-Saxon labyrinths, and the spread of the eleven-circuit design in France, including its
construction on the pavement floor of Chartres Cathedral in 1201, attests to its significance as a religious symbol in the Middle Ages.199

The development and popularity of the medieval labyrinth also reflects the image’s continued representation as a symbol of devotion and faith. A number of labyrinths, such as those found at Amiens and Reims, were designed as paths for personal meditation.200 The image of the labyrinth in this particular manuscript supports this notion as well. A Latin riddle appears above the labyrinth, signaling its potency as an icon of spiritual reflection: “Hon hic introeas nisi que sint hec tria dicas: / Quod facit & num fit . facit & fit . non facit & fit” [Upon entering here, but what are the three things you declare: whether what he does is done, what he does is done, and what he does is not done].201 The riddle provides a guide for the reader with which to use the labyrinth; while the three things stated (“hec tria dicas”) could highlight the reader’s actions in general, the labyrinth may have also highlighted how one’s actions could lead to one of three locations after death: purgatory, heaven, or hell. While the manuscript pages do not convey the same kind of performative, physical experience as walking along actual paved labyrinths (which can measure 10-40 feet in diameter), it still enables the reader’s mind to focus on a specific

199 Between 863 and 871CE Otfrid, a monk from Weissenburg, modified the classical seven-circuit labyrinth pattern by adding four extra circuits, creating the more complex eleven-circuit labyrinth design known as the “medieval labyrinth.” His drawing in the end leaf of his Book of Gospels became a base for the development of a number of later thirteenth and fourteenth century labyrinths found in cathedrals and churches across Europe. See Wolfgang Haubrichs, *Ordo als Form, Strukturstudien zur Zahlenkomposition bei Otfrid von Weissenburg und in karolingischer Literatur* (Tübingen: M. Niemeyer, 1968), 285-93.
201 Cambridge, Trinity College, MS O.2.45, fol 1r.
space: the singular path directs the eye (and possibly a finger) and the time passed in this meditative activity furthers the embodied practice. The aesthetic, bounded space thus becomes a tool with which to gain spiritual insight and contemplate the path of the soul through life.

The second image on the leaf depicts the spiritual journey more explicitly as another visual exercise, portraying a “Spheara Pythagorae” that consists of a map of the heavens and a path from vie (“life”) to mortis (“death”) (Figure 2.3).

Figure 2.3: MS O.2.45, fol. 1r, “Spheara Pythagorae”

---

The labyrinth, coupled with the “Spheara Pythagorae,” thus provides a physical space for such meditation on the afterlife. While they differ from the chess problems, the riddle, labyrinth, and “Spheara Pythagorae” nevertheless underscore a different kind of problem: salvation after death. The problems are “solved” by leading a pure Christian life. The images are therefore intended to provide a site for exploring matters of the soul that can then be plumbed further by engaging with the other spiritual texts in the manuscript.

The next leaf, fol. 1v, turns to another matter entirely: that of the leisurely board game (Figure 2.4):

Figure 2.4: MS O.2.45, fol.1v, Game boards
That these images appear alongside a labyrinth and “Spheara Pythagorae” reveals a close relationship between mind and soul: the first images provide spiritual nourishment while the later problems provide intellectual and pleasurable stimulation. Clergymen often criticized board games as activities that encouraged idleness, addiction, gambling, and other sins, but there is no indication in MS O.2.45 that the scribes or compilers exhibited such criticism. In fact, despite bans from the Church, board games continued to be played in abbeys and monasteries throughout the Middle Ages.  

With no instructions or text to accompany the three game boards in MS O.2.45, the page may have been a model for the creation of game boards or intended as a portable collection of games that would have already been familiar to the players (or, at least, the owner of the manuscript). The games could be played by a reader and another player, much like the game boards and interactive game-texts discussed in Chapters 1 and 3. The game facing the top right of the page is merels (from the Latin merellus [game piece]), which is closely related to the game Tic-Tac-Toe (also called Noughts and Crosses) and maintained widespread popularity across medieval Europe as a game for the social élite. Together with chess and tables, merels formed the triumvirate of the most well-known and played board games in medieval Europe. Game historian David Parlett notes that “[i]t was the Norman French version of this name [Marelle] that accompanied the larger varieties of the game reaching England in the wake of

---

204 The aim of the game is to arrange three or more pieces in a vertical, horizontal, or diagonal line on a board and capture the opponent’s pieces. In the first phase, each player takes turns placing pieces on the board, and after the pieces have been placed players move pieces onto unoccupied spaces. After each successful line, he or she can capture an enemy’s piece. A player wins if he or she leaves the opponent with no moves or the opponent only has two pieces left. Alfonso X also includes a variant of the game using three cubic dice in Libros de los juegos. A die cast during the first phase of the game with throws of 6, 5, 4; 6, 3, 3; 5, 2, 2; or 4, 1, 1 could break an enemy line and capture a piece. If a line was formed with the charging piece, the opponent would lose two pieces from the board. After all pieces have been placed on the board, the game continues without the use of dice.
William the Conqueror,” yet all forms of the game enjoyed popularity in medieval England. The game board in MS O.2.45 depicts the earlier variant of Nine Men’s Morris in England, with a triple mill of twenty-four points without any diagonal lines. Each player begins with nine pieces in this case and can only create vertical or horizontal lines. While the merels board in MS O.2.45 is a unique textual witness, investigations at ecclesiastical sites in England reveal that others enjoyed the game: various merels boards have been found carved into cloister seats at the cathedrals of Norwich, Canterbury, Gloucester, Chester, Durham, Chichester, Lincoln, Salisbury, and Westminster Abbey, which suggest possibly play by builders or even the clergy.

The game board on the bottom right of the page is alquerque, a positional strategy game and antecedent of English draughts. Players begin by placing twelve pieces onto the two rows closest to them and the two rightmost spaces in the centre of the board. The scribe clarifies this positioning by using green and red ink on each side of the board, though the four pieces placed in the centre row are reversed (see Figure 2.4). In this game, which was classified as a “war game” by Harold Murray, the player’s piece can jump over an opponent’s piece to capture it, and multiple captures are allowed by jumping over successive pieces. Unlike merels, alquerque

---

205 Parlett, *The Oxford History of Board-Games*, 109 (see chap. 1 n. 16).
207 Bell, *Board and Table Games*, 92 (see chap. 1 n. 16).
208 Players must capture a piece if it is possible to do so, or else his or her gaming piece is removed from the board. A player wins the game by capturing all of the opponent’s pieces or the other player cannot move. Murray speculates that the Moors introduced the game to Spain. Murray, *A History of Board-Games*, 65-66. See also Parlett, *The Oxford History of Board-Games*, 243-44 and Bell, *Board and Table Games*, 47-48.
was not widely played in Europe, though a game board has also been found etched into the cloisters at Norwich Cathedral, indicating that the game was played on occasion in England. The vast majority of game board carvings at English monasteries, cathedrals, and abbeys are found in the secular and novice cloisters and quarters, though, as Henry Spence-Jones notes, some of the games may have been etched by builders.

The last game board on the leftmost side of the page has been much more difficult to identify. In contrast to the two quadrilateral games, this game uses a 2 x 11 squared board with twenty-four points coloured red and green (presumably indicating twelve gaming pieces for each player) placed along the outer edge of the board. The game appears to have started with a red piece moved to the centre point of the third row and a green piece moved to the centre point of the first row (see figure 2.4). Historian Peter Michaelsen conjectures that the game was a chase game played with dice, and was possibly an early version of the Danish game daldøs. The game board appearing in MS O.2.45 is the only extant example of this game in Europe outside

---

209 Alfonso X includes alquerque in Libros de los juegos, calling it alquerque de doze, and classifies a variant of the game as Nine Men’s Morris [alquerque de nueve]. Parlett, The Oxford History of Board Games, 243.
210 Murray, A History of Board-Games, 66.
211 Henry Donald Maurice Spence-Jones, The Secrets of a Great Cathedral (London: J. M. Dent, 1914), 137-38. The game of Fox and Geese also sometimes accompanied Merels. In the North Alley at Gloucester Cathedral, for instance, a Nine Men’s Morris board and two variants of Fox and Geese boards are carved into a stone bench. As Henri Jean Louis Joseph Massé observes, the gameboards “are almost exclusively confined to the novices’ alley, the only others now to be seen in the cloister being unfinished ‘Nine men’s morris’ board in the south alley, and one or two crossed squares in the west alley.” The Cathedral Church of Gloucester: A Description of its Fabric and a Brief History of the Episcopal See, 2nd ed. (London: George Bell, 1900), 108. See also: Peter Hampson Ditchfield, The Cathedrals of Great Britain: Their History and Architecture (London: J. M. Dent, 1916), 203 and 351.
Scandinavia, and may have been adapted from Arabic or Norwegian versions of the game.\(^{213}\)

Franz Rosenthal notes that a version of *daldøs* was known in the Near East by 1300CE, but the game depicted in MS O.2.45 places the game in Europe nearly half a century earlier.\(^{214}\)

While game boards also appear on benches, steps, and cloisters more frequently than manuscript leaves, the games appearing in MS O.2.45 show evidence of the use of manuscripts for interactive activities beyond reading—another surface for learning and leisure. That they are not accompanied by rules is also telling: while the rules to merels and alquerque were certainly played on these board layouts, there may have been other rules in circulation as well. The textual game boards therefore do not necessarily signal or dictate the rules of the game. While this may be suggest that game boards betray signs of signification, I argue that the boards become an open structure ripe for play depending on the immediate needs of their audiences. The game boards could be played by a small group of clergy playing together huddled around the manuscript, or perhaps by two monks who wish to use the game as a moral vehicle to reflect on earthly pleasure. Scholars do not have direct access to such experiences, of course, but we can speculate on the ways in which such games may have been used and understood based on their compilation and readership.

That the chess problems and rules appear together on the page opposite the game boards indicate a clear proximal relationship to leisurely play. The inclusion of the poem of chess rules, which appears in four other extant manuscripts including MS Cleopatra B IX, suggests that chess was a new, unfamiliar game that readers may not have been as acquainted with as they were with other games in the manuscript. The scribal adaptations in the manuscripts copied in England

---

\(^{213}\) Ibid., 25-27.

further attest to a clarification of the rules; while variants of the poem found in France and Germany devote one or two lines to the movements of each piece, ending with “Rex loca circa se clipeo defendit et ense” [the King defends the places around him with shield and sword], the two versions in England extend the line in order to explain the conditions for ending the game:

Si scacces regem, regalem perdere sedem
Cogitur, et totus sit rex de sede remotus.
Dic regi scaccum; si semita non patet illi,
Matus erit factus nusquam latuisse coactus.
Miles et alphinus, rex, roc, regina, pedinus,
Et inter scaccos alphinus inutilis astat.
[If the king loses his royal seat
Declined, then the king is completely removed from his throne.
Says the king of chess; If the path is not clear to him,
Checkmate will remain hidden by constraints.
Knight and Bishop, King, Rook, Queen, and Pawn
And even among them the bishop of chess stands helpless].

The goal is to find a way to dethrone the enemy king, a path that is at first “latuisse” [hidden] until pieces are moved and strategies are put into play. While the rules familiarize readers with the game, the omission of a chessboard upon which to play suggests that readers may have had access to a physical board. Furthermore, in addition to teaching chess, the poem may have also been conceived as a supplement for solving the chess problems directly above it on the same page.

The two chess problems in MS O.2.45 also appear together as problem 19 and 20 in MS Cleopatra B IX (Figure 2.5); the two problems in MS Cleopatra B IX were also inserted in a
different hand and clearly were not part of the sources for the original collection (discussed below).

Figure 2.5: MS O.2.45, fol 2r, chess problems

The fact that scribes copied these two problems as a pair in two thirteenth-century manuscripts with their accompanying Latin titles suggests that the problems may have circulated in other now-lost Latin collections. The first problem in MS O.2.45 (problem 19 in MS Cleopatra B IX)
is a chase problem in which a check occurs in all moves (Figure 2.6). The Latin title is thus fitting: “Quem sequitur fugiens astanti sit color dem” [What follows is (to remain) standing by fleeing the same colour]. The text below the two problems is faded, but it is the same as the Latin and Anglo-Norman text in MS Cleopatra B IX. This problem asks the solver to “matera en le point ou sun roc esta” [checkmate at the place where the rook is positioned] in fifteen moves.

Figure 2.6: MS O.2.45, fol. 2r, Problem 1

215 Solution: 1 Kt6 +; 2 Ktd6 +; 3 Pg7 +; 7 Kte7 +; 5 Pg8 = Q; 6 Ktf7 +; 7 Pg4 +; 8 Kt5 +; 9 Kg5 +; 10 Rc2 +; 11 Kth3 +; 12 Ktg3 +; 13 Re2 +; 14 Ktf2 +; 15 Rc2 m. Murray notes that the condition mate on c1 truncates the move 5 Rh2 m. Murray, *A History of Chess*, 588.
The second chess problem constitutes one version of the Dilaram problem and differs from problem 20 in MS Cleopatra B IX by appearing sideways and mirrored (Figure 2.7).

![Chessboard diagram]

Figure 2.7: MS O.2.45, fol. 2r, Problem 2

The Dilaram problem is based on the story of a nobleman with several wives—and, like recreational mathematics problems, shows the close relationship between problems and storytelling. In a chess game, he wagered his favourite wife, whom he called Dilaram [heart’s
ease], and was quickly losing the game. When the game arrived at the problem’s positioning, Dilaram saw a way for her husband to win the game.\(^{216}\) While the problem found in MS O.2.45 originates from the Arabic puzzle, it is devoid of the narrative. Instead, a writer inserts a lesson in love, perhaps as an echo of the original tale: “Qui non dat quando amat. Non accipit omne quod optat” [He who does not give when he loves does not receive all that he desires]. Taken together, the two problems in MS O.2.45 provide a brief glimpse into the strategy of chess.

Unlike other collections in England, the inclusion of the two problems by the scribes was not to provide an overview for a beginner or showcase a variety of techniques; their utility as a pedagogical tool for teaching chess in this instance is limited. What then is the relation between these two problems? They could have been the only problems on hand, of course, but a Persian manuscript, MS Berlin Orient. 4\(^0\) 124, also pairs them on two loose leaves inserted into the manuscript. This instance, coupled with the pairing of the problems in MS Cleopatra B IX, suggests that these two problems ostensibly circulated together outside large problem collections.\(^{217}\)

If, as Martha Rust notes, books are “auxiliary of the reader’s imagination,” then the images and texts among the first few leaves of MS O.2.45 offer a sensual experience rooted in meditation and thought.\(^{218}\) In this instance, Stephen Nichols and Siegfried Wenzel’s term “materialist philology” proves useful, for it calls attention to the locality and agency afforded manuscripts: “far from being a transparent or neutral vehicle,” they note, “the codex can have a


typological identity that affects the way we read and understand the texts it represents.”\textsuperscript{219} For the texts on fols. 1-2r of MS O.2.45, abstract thought is tied to the concrete images on the page. The interplay among the images, as a reader moves from devotional texts and drawings of recreational game boards to game problems that sharpen the mind before moving onto pedagogical texts, therefore elicits a virtual dimension that requires reader interaction in order to render them meaningful.\textsuperscript{220}

That these interactive texts appear at the beginning of the manuscript, possibly for ease of access (though they could have circulated as separate leaves before becoming bounded), suggests that they may have been used as meditative spaces for other academic texts in the miscellany. In his treatise on education, Didascalicon (c. 1128), Hugh of St. Victor notes that “[t]hose who work at learning must be equipped at the same time with aptitude and with memory, for these two are so closely tied together in every study and discipline that if one of them is lacking, the other cannot lead anyone to perfection …. Aptitude gathers wisdom, memory preserves it.”\textsuperscript{221} His methodology for learning requires that students read and meditate using books, thereby contrasting the “order” of reading linearly to grasp concepts with the freer act of meditation.

\textsuperscript{219} Stephen G. Nichols and Siegfried Wenzel, Introduction to The Whole Book: Cultural Perspectives on the Medieval Miscellany (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1996), 1-2. In their seminal work on medieval manuscripts, Mary and Richard Rouse also discuss the importance of approaching the study of manuscript holistically: “[t]o study any one element in isolation—the ruling, or the layout, or the form of the letters and the script, or the words of the text itself—without the others is to cut apart what was both conceived and perceived as a unit. Each of the three, the material base, the script or image, and the text, is a changing or evolving thing, a product of a compromise between traditional norms and the contemporary needs of an audience.” Authentic Witnesses: Approaches to Medieval Texts and Manuscripts (Notre Dame, Indiana: University of Notre Dame Press, 1991), 2.

\textsuperscript{220} For other examples of this type of textual virtual phenomenon in the Middle Ages, see also, Escourido, “Textual Games and Virtuality,” 187-208 (see chap. 1 n. 96).

which enables students to think beyond the text.\textsuperscript{222} For chess problems, the desire to answer the puzzle correctly (as with the recreational mathematical problems) or to increase one’s aptitude at the game takes place within this meditative playspace. The chess problems in MS O.2.45 are presented as, in the words of Hugh of St. Victor, “sustained thought along planned lines,” enabling the player to consider possibilities within known rules and bounded space.\textsuperscript{223} These spaces for play contrast with the astronomical and mathematical texts that follow, which necessitate a more structured approach to learning. Like other medieval pedagogical games like Rithmomachia and Ludus Astronomorum, these chess problems reflect a meditative epistemology that goes beyond rote learning found in other medieval educational practices. As Mary Carruthers notes, “[m]editatio is only free within bounds, like play having both agreed limits and umpires.”\textsuperscript{224} The chess problems in MS O.2.45 act as another form of recreation, a method for illustrating the ways in which pieces move, and the collocation of rules and game boards also suggests that their inclusion in the manuscript furnished readers with cognitive exercises that could hone thinking and problem-solving skills—warm-up exercises that increased proficiency with systematic learning, cultivated visualization skills, and increased concentration.

The only extant collection of Latin problems in England appears in MS Sloane 3281 (early 14\textsuperscript{th} c.) and was extracted from Nicolas de Nicolai’s larger problem collection \textit{Bonus Socius} circulating on the Continent (c. 1275).\textsuperscript{225} Perhaps as a result of their manifestation among

\textsuperscript{222} Ibid., 3.6-10.
\textsuperscript{223} Ibid., 3.10
\textsuperscript{224} Mary Carruthers, \textit{The Experience of Beauty in the Middle Ages} (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013), 20.
\textsuperscript{225} \textit{Bonus Socius} was compiled in thirteenth-century Lombardy and gained wide popularity in France and Italy; seven manuscripts of French production have survived and include approximately 194 chess problems, 34-48 tables problems, and 24 merels problems. Murray, \textit{A History of Chess}, 618-28. See also: Harold J. R. Murray, “110,” in \textit{Catalogue of Manuscripts and Early Printed Books from the Libraries of
the larger collections, the eleven chess problems in MS Sloane 3281 do not appear in any other extant problem collections circulated in England (see Table 2.1). MS Sloane 3281 is a miscellany containing treatises on mathematics, astronomy, astrology, physiognomy, chiromancy, medicine, and dream theory. The problem collection is copied carelessly on fols. 81r-82v in a fourteenth-century hand; the problems follow a treatise on astrology and precede prognostications about the weather and recipes copied in French by two different hands. The chess problems are arranged in an order that differs from those found in Bonus Socius and in the contemporary collection Civis Bonaniae [Citizen of Bologna] (c. 1300), with no conceivable organizational structure (Table 2.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem Number in MS Sloane 3281</th>
<th>Problem Number in Bonus Socius</th>
<th>Problem Number in Civis Bonaniae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Problem Correspondence between MS Sloane 3281 and Bonus Socius

The scribe leaves each problem unfinished with only partial information, opting to fill in only one set of colour pieces on an uncoloured chequered board for each puzzle (two problems where

only white pieces were copied and nine problems where only black pieces were copied). The problems in MS Sloane 3281 also lack answers, but some of the problems include special notation indicating moves to reach a solution.\textsuperscript{226} The letters noting incremental moves for solving the problem demonstrate one way to teach chess to novices. While the problem collection in MS Sloane 3281 is entirely unusable since the problems remain unfinished, it nevertheless shows a set of problems that may have been intended to teach chess to students, novices, or ecclesiastics and, like MS O.2.45, act as a space in which to practice problem-solving and cognitive skills that would have been helpful for reading other texts in the miscellany.

\subsection*{2.2.2 Teaching Chess in Medieval England}

While composers and compilers borrow a number of chess problems from the earlier \textit{jeu-parti} collections, their chess problem treatises circulating in England from the late thirteenth to fifteenth centuries demonstrate a deliberate effort to educate readers and players through a combination of composition, text, and problem layout in the manuscripts. As Murray puts it, chess problems changed to reflect “the art of combination by which the player directs the attack of a number of pieces towards a single point.”\textsuperscript{227} Combinations in medieval chess were much harder to execute than the modern game due to the limited mobility of the pieces; the Rook, for instance, often became the primary piece for checkmates because it was also the strongest piece (and, incidentally, the only gliding piece on the board in a medieval game). As a result, combinations that involve mates by weaker pieces, such as pawns and \textit{ferses}, immediately reveal

\textsuperscript{226} For notation in chess, see Murray, \textit{A History of Chess}, 469.
\textsuperscript{227} Ibid., 564.
a highly skilled player—especially, as we shall see, if they could mate on a specific square or section of the board.

The eighteen chess problems found on fols. 4r-8v in MS Cleopatra B IX (c. 1273) demonstrate a discernible shift in the ways in which problem collections were conceived and structured in medieval England.228 Addressing “Seignors” [Lords] who “les gius de eschés amez” [love the games of chess], the compiler explains in his introduction that he created a treatise to help players better their game.229 Working through chess problems, argues the compiler, was the best approach to improve a player’s skill: “Grant veisie i ad, m’est avis, / E mult si purra l’en amender / Ki a tuz les eschés voldra juer” [Great skill will be gained in my opinion / And much of this book is to better oneself / For all the chess you wish to play].230 The compiler promises the player that his book will boost “aseurement” [confidence] and ability to “Juer purra plus afeitement” [play with more courteous decorum]—the positing of reassurances that are also often found in modern how-to handbooks that seek to improve a reader’s character or solve an issue.231 The player can thus gain confidence with the privacy of this treatise by learning the game “Ki ne fust assis a l’eschekier / U l’om peust les traiz juger” [When you are not sitting at the checkerboard / Where someone can judge your moves].232 A modern notion of chess is that it is, in the words of anthropologist and chess enthusiast Robert Desjarlais, a “purely

---

228 There is a missing bifolium between fols. 5r and 6r, which may have contained problems found in Roll 20/26. See Tony Hunt, Les Gius Partiz des Eschez: Two Anglo-Norman Chess Treatises (London: Anglo-Norman Text Society, 1985), 3.
231 Ibid., lines 17-18.
232 Ibid., lines 37-38.
mental activity, conducted in a bodiless, wordless domain by solitary thinkers who grapple with each other in a space of pure thought.” In contrast, medieval chess was not only an intellectual game, but it was also fundamentally a social game, one which contained literal stakes (i.e., gambling) and social stakes (i.e., the need to maintain one’s social reputation). Often played in gardens, in taverns, and at court, chess was a “spectator sport,” with crowds of friends, acquaintances, and others cheering and betting on their favoured player. In New York, Pierpont Morgan Library, MS G.24, for instance, the illuminator adds an audience in the miniature displaying the chess game between Fesonas and Cassiel the Baudrain in John de Longuyon’s widely popular Middle French romance *Le Voeux du Paon* (c. 1312).

Miniatures in Alfonso X’s *Libros de los juegos* also often include spectators watching a game. The players were not only expected to play well, but to save face among their peers; in MS Cleopatra B IX, the compiler offers his player a safer space upon which to practice and improve his skills at the game for this purpose. In this way, chess problems might at first glance seem appear to be a solitary exercise pitting the composer against the solver, but it also serves a more general social function in the attempt to improve the player’s skill at the game as a performance of social rank among peers.

The intended patron of this treatise, who requested the problems (according to the compiler) did not desire an assortment of problems in Latin, such as those in MS Sloane 3281,

---


234 Pierpont Morgan Library, New York, MS G.24, ff. 1r-141v, 1350.

but rather wished to have the problems translated and rendered “en romans” [into the vernacular], presumably for the purpose of reflecting his social status.\textsuperscript{236} As mentioned earlier, one’s skill at chess was a marker of prestige for the gentry and nobility, and the compiler suggests that the player keep the problems a secret lest they circulate too widely and the positional knowledge become too well known among his friends. The manuscript was penned and compiled in an academic miscellany at Abbotsbury Abbey in Dorset. Entered in a neat hand, the problems appear in their own quire and may have circulated separately before becoming bound in the manuscript. Another scribe later entered two problems on fol. 10r (the same two problems in MS O.2.45) and a four-player chess board was drawn on fol. 9r with illegible text, indicating again a close relationship between learning and leisurely spaces within miscellanies focused primarily on education.\textsuperscript{237} For the player(s) and compiler of this problem collection, however, chess is an amalgamation of skill and courtesy, of poetry and intellect. Literary critic William Wimsatt also observed the relation between composing problems and poetry, remarking that “the chess problem far outdoes the poem” with regard to structure and complexity.\textsuperscript{238}

While the collection does not show overt organization, it nonetheless includes a gamut of self-mates, exercises, conditional mates, ordinary mates, and end-game problems. As Hunt observes, the compiler expanded and emended the text to his preferences by “criticiz[ing] a number of chess pieces with bishops and abbots and clerks” and “criticiz[ing] lack of generosity in the upper ranks of society.”\textsuperscript{239} The compiler narrates the first problem through a situational and methodological context in order to establish an interpretive lens for solving the subsequent

\textsuperscript{236} Hunt, \textit{Les Gius Partiz des Eschez}, “First Treatise,” lines 29-32.
\textsuperscript{237} See Murray, \textit{A History of Chess}, 342-43.
\textsuperscript{239} Hunt, \textit{Les Gius Partiz des Eschez}, 2.
problems (Figure 2.8), showing again the association between chess problems and storytelling as a key component of the experience.\textsuperscript{240}

Figure 2.8: MS Cleopatra B IX, fol. 4r, Problem 1

Unlike other problems in the collection and elsewhere, this problem becomes part of a larger narrative: two lords are learning chess and decide to make a wager to decide the better player

\textsuperscript{240} Solution: 1 Bc5 +, Kb8; 2 Rg8 +; 3 Rc8 +; 4 Rc6 +; 5 Pd4 +; 6 Re6 +; 7 Re3 +; 8 Qg3 +; 9 Be3 m.
among them. One player wagers “sa fille, s’il nel pout mater” [his maiden if his opponent mated him].\textsuperscript{241} Fearful for the outcome of the game, the maiden finds an educated and skilled knight who reveals that she can be spared in nine moves based on the present state of the board (thus yielding the problem). The compiler then guides the reader through each move by stating which piece should move next until “al neofime vient avant li cornuz” [with the ninth move they come in front of the bishop] and “icist cornu corne la menée” [here sounds the blast of the hooked horn].\textsuperscript{242} The pun on the term “cornuz” as the last piece to move dovetails with the “corne,” or blast of a hunting horn signaling a kill and, in this case, a win. Like \textit{The Book of the Duchess}, the compiler pairs two aristocratic activities, chess and hunting—two pursuits that required skill and could be rewarded with high esteem from peers. The first problem acts as a means by which to train the reader in how to read and think through a given problem, and subsequent problems include solutions in prose (without any special notation). In the first problem, the chess board is not just a place to teach the reader about solving problems, but a visualized space to craft a narrative of the events taking place on the board—a literary aspect certainly lacking in teaching modern players how to play chess. Here the pieces represent more than their movements and combinatory play, but can also become motifs for reflecting courtly virtue.

The emphasis of the problems in MS Cleopatra B IX lies in finding the combinatorial interplay of the pieces and developing analytical skills for best utilizing specific pieces. Problem 7, for instance, focuses on pawn promotion to a \textit{ferse} and Problem 11 comprises an exercise focusing on the movement of the bishop (Figure 2.9 and 2.10).

\textsuperscript{242} Ibid., lines 111 and 114.
Figure 2.9: MS Cleopatra B IX, fol. 6r, Problem 7
Already in MS Cleopatra B IX there is a sense of teaching the player offensive and defensive moves and the movement and values of each piece. The collections in England, Tony Hunt notes, show prominence for problems focusing on single pieces. In his study of earlier Arabic and Muslim manṣūbāt, Murray notes that Muslim problems frequently displayed boards in which opponents were of “equal force, and [showed] that the winner’s advantage should be reduced to

---

nothing more than the possession of the first move.”

In Arabic problem collections, conditional problems were non-existent. The conditional problems, such as Problem 14 (Figure 2.11), suggest a need to develop a situational sense within constraints, familiarize oneself with movements and pieces, and highlight highly valuable checkmates (e.g. performing a checkmate in the middle of the board).

Figure 2.11: MS Cleopatra B IX, fol. 7v, Problem 14

\[\text{Figure 2.11: MS Cleopatra B IX, fol. 7v, Problem 14}\]

The chess problems in MS Cleopatra B IX were clearly designed as the pedagogical tool of choice for improving a player’s skill at the game, but a number of problems in medieval collections show evidence of showcasing unsound problems (that is, problems with multiple answers or illegal moves). How do we explain medieval problems that use illegal moves and odd movements? How do they help the chess novice improve his or her game? For modern chess problems, composers often arrange the pieces as a snapshot that could hypothetically occur in an actual game. The genre has evolved aesthetically as chess became a more prominent game for leisure and, later, professional competition. Consider, for instance, a chess problem first published in a 1932 issue of *Il Problema* (Figure 2.12).\(^{245}\)

While it is not a difficult puzzle to solve—a mate in two moves using the knight and queen—the beauty of the composition stems from the fact that the solution is legal and works in all iterations. No matter where the king moves, no matter what scenario is played out, he is always mated. To a novice of chess, this puzzle may look overwhelming or inconceivable. Appreciating the aesthetics of the chess problem requires developing an eye for the skill in composition and the craft of playing the game. Modern chess players often evaluate the beauty of chess problems with a specific set of conventional criteria:
1. **Economical:** Modern chess problem stress the importance of economy. There are no extraneous moves or pieces on the board. Everything on the board has a purpose.

2. **Legal:** Chess composers and players often deem problems that fall within the legal rules as superior because they could potentially be used, inspired, or encountered within a game. They, thus, have a certain practicality beyond the problem itself.

3. **Key Move:** Typically, the first move must be the only move that will eventually lead to a mate. Therefore, it must be unique in some way. Helpmates are often exempted since, by their nature, they often have more than one method to solving a problem.

4. **Thematic:** The problem illustrates a particular idea or set of ideas.

5. **Puzzle Factor:** Occasionally, the solution is an unlikely move, such as sacrificing a powerful piece or promoting a pawn to a knight instead of a queen.

Chess problems show the imagination and depth of thought of the composers. For modern players of chess, the beauty of a game problem lies in the harmonious (and sometimes paradoxical) moves of game pieces as a source of art, inspiration, and, of course, learning. “A problem” remarks Wimsatt, “is a limited but very precise drama.”

If, as chess Grandmaster Garry Kasparov states, modern “chess problems are full of paradoxes and original ideas,” then medieval chess problems could be characterized instead as approachable and adaptable. The art of chess—that skill in gameplay can be beautiful—also carries significance for medieval readers and players. Modern conventions for determining problem aesthetics were not necessarily the focus of medieval problem composers, however.

Problem 15 in MS Cleopatra B IX places the bishop on an illegal square, yet the compiler praises

---

246 Wimsatt, “How to Compose,” 73.
the problem as “bel” [fine] and “avenant” [fitting]; he notes, in particular, that the bishop on the board is a “prodhom” [worthy man] for enacting checkmate by capturing the rook—the most powerful piece in a medieval game (Figure 2.13).²⁴⁸

Figure 2.13: MS Cleopatra B IX, fol. 8r, Problem 15

Problems with illegal moves and pieces are often included in collections in order to focus on learning specific movements and illuminating combinatorial patterns. Medieval chess, much like other games in the Middle Ages I have discussed, was fluid and ever-changing; and, as Wimsatt

²⁴⁸ Hunt, Les Gius Partiz des Eschez, “First Treatise,” lines 331 and 337.
notes, medieval problems displayed fewer instances of realism and game-like positions.\(^{249}\)

Although the game was frequently played with particular assizes, the board itself was a place for experimentation and imagination. Games such as English draughts and Courier’s chess emerged from modifying the board sizes and rules of chess, and chess problem composition retained this spirit of play. As problems circulated, compilers sought to improve solutions and amplify problems according to their own interests and expertise. Problem 1 in MS Cleopatra B IX appears in four of the six other chess treatises in England (Figure 2.8), but each compiler modified the problem in order to provide a better experience for his readers. Roll 20/26 and MS Ashmole 344, for instance, both display variations that tighten up the problem, enabling the solver to omit a step in his or her solution.

As we have already seen, the aesthetics of chess problem composition in the Middle Ages manifest as scribes and composers weave together illumination, storytelling, and composition. It is within the convergence of these different aspects within the manuscript that signals the intended audience—features that could all be considered skillful artistic endeavors in their own right. For MS Cleopatra B IX, the compiler not only rendered some of the problems into verse, but the illuminator also portrayed ornate chess boards, alternating yellow and clear chequered squares, red and clear, and black and clear on various boards. He also occasionally framed the game boards with red, yellow, brown or black borders, and each problem begins with alternate red and blue majuscules. Other collections rubricate each problem to highlight the problem’s overall theme and narrative. The beauty of chess is thus perpetuated through an “ever-shifting tangle of neural networks, bodies, social relations, perception, memory, times, spectators, [and]

\(^{249}\) Wimsatt, “How to Compose,” 70-72.
history,” which are manifested through the transmission, compilation, and scribal practices that render chess problems as beautiful objects of leisure and study.250

For medieval chess problem compilers, this holistic intermingling of different aspects suggests a form of “combinatory play,” in which “two or more ideas, feelings, sensory experiences, images, sounds, words, or objects” are manipulated through conscious and unconscious cognitive processes.251 Play and non-standard thinking are integral to the larger concept of aesthetics—a notion that Mary Carruthers explores in her book The Experience of Beauty in the Middle Ages—and enable the brain to wander through different combinations and patterns. In the early fourteenth-century MS Royal 13 A XVIII another compiler expanded and re-envisioned the problem collection found in MS Cleopatra B IX, including a total of fifty-five illuminated Anglo-Norman chess problems which appear alongside a Latin treatise on tables in the same hand.252 The two game treatises in MS Royal 13 A XVIII were entered as the last two items originally bound in the manuscript. In addition to copying selections of the introduction and verse narratives for the problems, this compiler also re-arranged the problems, indicating that the organization of problems was becoming a key element in teaching the reader the art of playing chess. Like MS Cleopatra B IX, the introduction requests that the reader not disclose any of the chess problems for fear that their publication will lead to wide circulation and thus losing the essence of the strategy. Basing his analysis on the author’s caution, Murray infers that chess problems were not well known, but the number of extant manuscripts in England and elsewhere, coupled with Chaucer’s references, indicates that chess players at least had knowledge of such

250 Desjralais, Counterplay, 8.
252 See Chapter 1 for a discussion of the treatise on the game of tables in MS Royal 13 A XVIII.
collections. The introduction also reveals that even the ‘beginner medieval guide to chess’ was not only a coveted commodity, but also deemed a strategic gain at one’s game.

The compiler of the problems in MS Royal 13 A XVIII organizes the problems in a manner that sets the flow and sequence for a reader of the gentry to learn moves, strategy, and gameplay—a positional sense to apply to actual games. The problems are simple, much to the chagrin of Murray and Eales, because the point of the problem collection was to introduce strategies for a novice. The first nine problems focus primarily on learning how specific pieces move—namely, the Knight, *ferse*, and Bishop—and often set within an overarching backdrop of romance. The first problem is essentially a mathematical puzzle, famously called ‘The Knight’s Tour,’ in which a knight must travel around the board in a specific sequence in order to land on each square once. As it is the only piece on the board, the player need not concern him or herself with rules or other pieces, and the composer provides one possible answer through a series of digits. The player and reader, possibly a knight himself, focuses on gaining familiarity with not only the ways in which knights can move, but also the board—i.e., he is taking the knight’s tour. The problems arranged here are not a random assortment, but rather arranged to accommodate the reader’s skill level and prestige. Beginning with the ‘Knight’s Tour’ thus provides a visual marker of the game’s adherence to courtly values, possibly a reflection of the reader’s social standing, and a clever way to introduce the chessboard to a new player.

The other introductory problems continue in the same fashion, focusing on the moves of the knight: a half-board problem and a knight-only problem follow the ‘Knight’s Tour’ and all three are rubricated as “Guy de Chivaler” [Game of the Knight] (Figure 2.14).

---

254 For more information on the ‘Knight’s Tour’ problem in Muslim and Indian manuscripts, see Murray, *A History of Chess*, 335-38.
Two problems focusing on the movement of the *ferse* piece immediately succeed those of the knight. The first, titled “Le Guy de Dames” [The Game of Ladies], contains sixteen *ferses* that are exempted from capture and must surround the king (who can be placed anywhere on the board at the beginning). The composer deems this problem suitable for the role of women because it is not by a forced mate that the king submits, but by collective pressure from the group: “E dreyn par force li materés, / Kar un soul poynt ne remeyndra. / U le rey reposa porra”
[And at last by force they will mate him. When no place on the board remains, the King can rest]. Visually, the board resembles a court of ladies separated into four groups as if they were conversing on a chequered floor at court (Figure 2.15).

In allegories of medieval chess, the *ferse* piece is often portrayed as a loyal piece that remains by the King’s side, protecting him from danger. Jacobus de Cessolis, for instance, describes the Queen as “sage, chaste et de bien honeste gent nee, curieuse de ses enfants norrir” [docile,

---

chaste, descended from an honest family, and focused on the upbringing of her children.”

Marilyn Yalom points out that Cessolis’ depiction of chess queens as wives and mothers undermines the political power of queens and other women and may “have been due to anxieties about female power in general.” In the widely popular fourteenth-century story collection *Gesta Romanorum* [Deeds of the Romans], the queen is similarly portrayed as a chaste and disciplined woman who “must proceed from the square of one virtue to that of another.”

Here the virtues of chastity and temperance are downplayed in favour of women’s governance. The compiler ends the problem stating “Ke nul fierce pris i seyt” [That any renowned Queen rules]—a testament to women’s sovereignty in matters of love.

The problems are represented as courtly scenarios, which echo the first three problems focusing on the knight. By overturning the queen’s typical role and disallowing a traditional mate in this particular problem, the composer presents a more genteel representation of a woman’s power: neither party is mated, but the ladies can win through collective cunning.

The second *ferse*-only problem, a variant of MS Cleopatra B IX Problem 5, adds an extra level of complexity by focusing on pawn promotion for sixteen *fer ses* (Figure 2.16).

---


257 Yalom, *Birth of the Chess Queen*, 70.


Again, the noble maidens proceed to chase the king around the board in order to become a queen and mate him: “Les damoiseles me unt requis / Ke lour guy ne seyt oblised, / E pur l’amour qe a eus ay / Lour guy en ceste escrit mettray” [The maidens demanded of me / That their game not be forgotten / And for love they say / That their game be included in this treatise]. The compiler notes that the pawns are all women “de pris” [of high esteem] who are then promoted to *ferse* and can pursue the King as a potential mate. The sexual connotation is not lost on the

---

261 Ibid., line 92.
compiler, for their tireless pursuit of the King is to wed and bed him: the first ferse to capture the King “en sa warde” [in his stronghold] receives “E tut solonc sa volenté” [And all therewith that she desires].\(^{262}\) The ferses do not display unfettered freedom, but instead remain bound to their restricted angular movement and courting of the King. This lustfulness complements the earlier problem and similarly contrasts more popular depictions of the ferse as a chaste woman bound by responsibility to her husband and children. In both problems, women emerge as eligible pieces that, while certainly not powerful on their own—since the King can “[l]egerement” [easily] mate them—nevertheless dominate the board and force the King into submission.\(^{263}\)

The ferse problems also complement the movements of the knight problems described earlier. The first three chess problems depict the Knight as a man of high social status who navigates the lone chessboard. The second problem showcases the Knight as a conqueror of each other piece on the board. The Knight and ferse are certainly not the simplest pieces on the board to grasp in regards to their individual movement, but their prominent positions in the collection, occurring before problems focusing exclusively on the Bishop, King and Rook, reveal at once an overt scheme for teaching chess and an underlying narrative of characters that reappear and indeed thread throughout many of the later problems. The compiler’s aim is to increase one’s knowledge of chess, and the problems are overwhelmingly in favour of demonstrating piece combinations and movements. Problems 10, 11, and 12 feature three self-mate problems, and then move onto combinations of pieces that were first introduced as single-piece exercises; Problems 13-18, for instance, all showcase conditional mates with a Bare King. Problem 17, for

\(^{262}\) Ibid., lines 111 and 113.

\(^{263}\) Ibid., line 123.
example, showcases a “point estaunge” [strange point] problem, wherein the King is mated in the top left-hand corner of the board (Figure 2.17).²⁶⁴

Black plays first with one rook and two knights and, as the compiler notes, the player can mate the King with either gaming piece in three moves.²⁶⁵ The compiler’s inclusion of this problem

²⁶⁴ Solution: 1 Rc8 +; 2 Ktc6 +; 3 Ktd6 m.
goes one step further, however. A mate by the Rook is easily attainable, but the compiler wished to reveal to the novice player a mate by the Knight—deemed a more valuable checkmate since knights are weaker pieces. As the compiler notes:

Mes sachez ke en diverse maner
Ou le roc vus li poez mater,
Mes entre mil à peyne un serra.
Ke ou le chivaler le mater savera.
E pur ceo ke il ne serreyt en ubliaunce.
Le mat escrit ay pur remembraunce.266
[Then know in what diverse fashion
Where you can use the Rook to checkmate,
But within a thousand difficult [possibilities] there is one [move]
Where we know the means by which the Knight can checkmate.
And because of this it should not be forgotten.
The checkmate is recorded here for recollection].

Again, the compiler hopes to help the player develop a positional sense and determine what moves on the board are deemed superior. Here the beauty of the medieval chess problem lies in part from the use of particular game pieces in challenging, less obvious combinations since these positional strategies are more difficult to achieve. A mate with a Knight rather than a Rook in the “point estraunge” not only exhibits a more astute knowledge of chess, but also portrays the Knight as the victor of the board. A similar trade-off occurs in Problem 24 wherein the Rook and Pawn check the King so that the Knight performs checkmate (Figure 2.18):267

---

266 Ibid., 449-54.
267 The problem is a mate in III. Solution: 1 Re8 +; 2 Pd7 +; 3 Kte6 m.
Unlike earlier Anglo-Norman chess collections, the problems in MS Royal 13 A XVIII display a sense of practicality as the compiler moves the reader from basic piece movements into more complex combinatorial set-ups. Additionally, the compilation of the chess problems also indicate the importance of storytelling in the edification of the reader. While not all collections include narratives with their problems, the narratives found in the problems I have discussed thus far demonstrate that the board and pieces are not only easily rendered into a narrative for reflecting the social status of the reader, but can also act as mnemonic devices for learning the game.
The proximity of the Knight and *ferse* in the introduction of the collection also prepares the player for recognizing patterns, thereby setting the stage for the pursuits by the pieces in the more challenging problems that follow. Later problems in the manuscript often juxtapose mates by the Knight and *ferse*. Problems 26 and 27, two conditional problems, include a checkmate from the Knight and *ferse*, respectively. In other problems, the two pieces take to chasing the King around the board together; Problem 30, titled “La Chase de Ferce et de Chivaler” [The Chase of the Lady and the Knight], provides an example wherein the *ferse* and Knight cannot mate the King no matter what sequence or variation the player decides to play. This problem is preceded by another chase problem instigated by the Knight (Figure 2.19 and 2.20).

![Chessboard diagram](image)

Figure 2.19: MS Royal 13 A XVIII, Problem 29
Chess was often a motif for signaling matters of love in medieval romances and on love tokens such as caskets and mirrors. The most common images depict two lovers playing a game of chess; additionally, one’s skill at the game represented one’s skill at love. For the nobility and gentry, social and courtly games were not simply defined by their mechanics, but rather regarded as cultural artifacts that could bear close relation to other entertainment, including literature. In John Lydgate’s *Reson and Sensuallyte* (c. 1407), a translation and expansion of *Les Échecs Amoureux*, the narrator begins a game with the lady in the Garden of Pleasance in an effort to win her love. In this case, the chess game acts as both a performance of courtly behaviour and a
metaphor representing the larger figurative ‘game of love.’ As the narrator claims, Venus has sent him to the garden to learn the art of chess so that he may be successful in love: “For he sholde haue exercise / Of this play in al[le] wyse, / That his tyme he nat lese, / Syth he ys her wher he may chese.” Each piece also signifies a different desirable attribute, such as “jeunesse” [youth] (A6), “patience” [patience] (F2), and “doux regard” [sweet looks] (C7), which reflects the traits of the player.

For the chess collection in MS Royal 13 A XVIII, the chessboard and its pieces represent another visual space in which to enact scenes of courtly love, and the compiler builds on this idea through his organization of the problems by type and gaming piece. Problems 43-50 and 52-53 reveal an overwhelming focus on the ferse piece. In Problem 47, titled “Le Guy de Dames et de Damoyceles” [The Game of Ladies and Maidens], the compiler depicts the ferses as noble ladies that “scevent lour mester” [know their craft] by which to “succurrer et counselier” [help and counsel] the two maidens positioned “simple e coye” [innocent and tranquil] on the board. The object of this problem is to force mate of the White King using a combination of ferses and pawns. Thus far, the theme of love manifests in the description and combinations of pieces in various problems. For Problem 47, however, the compiler provides a clear association of the problems with Romance, noting how the ladies, in their knowledgeable watch over the maidens, are similar to Branwen, the attendant of Isolde whose mishap with a love potion meant for King Mark of Cornwall caused Isolde and Tristram to fall in love. As the compiler writes, Isolde would have been in a terrible situation “Si ne fust par Brengueyn eydé” [If Branwen did not aid

---

268 Lydgate, Reson and Sensuallyte, 5941-44 (see chap. 1 n. 68).
269 See Paris, Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, Fr. 9197, fol. 437r.
As I discussed in Chapter 1, storytelling is a critical component of medieval game culture along with its material objects, game-texts, and activities. The arrangement and personas in MS Royal 13 A XVIII, which frequently marries the movement of the pieces with ideas of romance and love, also display a penchant for symbolism; they were not simply understood as aesthetic or material objects, but also as spaces designated for cultural expression and representation.

The final chess problem collection I want to discuss in this chapter, MS Ashmole 344 (c. 1470) is also a significant problem collection because it contrasts sharply with the other extant collections found in England in terms of its compilation and presentation. The collection appears in a little-known miscellany focused exclusively on game manuals and possibly compiled by John Argentine, the first owner of the manuscript. Each of the four game treatises is written in a different fifteenth-century hand in a small quarto, and the progression from chess problems to the complicated mathematical games Rithmomachia and Ludus Astronomorum indicates their significance within an educational and pedagogical context; chess could be considered a pastime for students or mental exercises, while Rithmomachia and Ludus Astronomorum could be used to teach mathematics and Ptolemaic astrology.

Problems recorded in Middle English are exceptionally rare, but there was at least one known collection of chess problems circulating in fifteenth-century England, sixteen of which were translated from the Anglo-Norman chess problems found in MS Royal 13 A XVIII into MS Royal 13 A XVIII.271

271 Ibid., line 1375.

272 John Argentine writes his signature on the last page, fol. 83r as ‘Questo libro e mio Zovanno Argentein.’ The other books in his library share the same signature. The collection of books in his library suggest that Argentine was interested in early humanism. See “The Library of John Argentine,” Transactions of the Cambridge Bibliographical Society 2.3 (1956): 210-212.

Ashmole 344. Fourteen of the Middle English problems located in Ashmole 344 also appear in MS Porter, another extant Middle English chess collection (see Table 2.1). According to Murray, both MS Ashmole 344 and MS Porter are written in a Northern dialect, and “there is good reason to believe that an older English text lies behind them.”

MS Ashmole 344 features one chess problem per page and, in order to draw boards in a uniform manner, the scribe pricked the lines for each board, leaving them unchequered. He used red and black ink with text to demarcate individual pieces (Figure 2.21).

---

While the forty-one problems in MS Ashmole 344 do seem to belong to Murray’s so-called Anglo-Norman group circulating in England, the compiler, in contrast to that of MS Royal 13 A XVIII, employs a different strategy for increasing the reader’s skill at chess by guiding the player through the problems themselves using special notation, explaining why they are correct, and providing evaluations of the problems with an eye for identifying skillful moves. In Problem 36 (Figure 2.22), for instance, the composer not only describes a sequence of moves to solve the problem, but notes how the problem could be solved in two ways: either in five moves with a pawn or in nine moves with a rook:

Thow shalt mate hym with a Pon at v drawghtis yf thow play wel afther thy Roke & if thou knowe itt not thow shal not mate hym at ix draughtis ffor he woll tel his draughtis for cause of thi Roke. Ffirst draw thi roke in to A [F1]. Sithen in to B [B1] than in to C [B2]. Than chek in thy pon warde that is in D [B7] & then chek mated w[ith] thi pon in D [reads as E, C7].”

275 MS Ashmole 344, fol.
While this is a conditional problem, the compiler’s differentiation attempts to show the player how to gain mastery of the game, and then adds, “Ande if ye be a great plaier & can well defende your game ye shall never mate hym at ix draughtis with thy roke for sothe.” Skill at the game therefore includes a sense of economy (using five moves instead of nine), and clever use of pieces: a lowly pawn, one of the weakest pieces in the medieval game and often considered a farmer, maiden, or merchant in chess allegories, is the piece to checkmate the king. But the fact that the pawn also trumps the rook in a more economical strategy attests to the skill and cleverness of the player. In order to guide the player, the composer also describes specifically

---

how this superior strategy is accomplished, a deviation from some of the earlier Anglo-Norman group problems, which often offer only occasional or indirect solutions in prose after descriptions of the problems. Here the answer is the focus of the problem, and the compiler displays an effort to differentiate great moves from good moves.

Written in prose devoid of any literary flair, the problems are composed as simple endgames (focusing heavily on wins with a rook), with an emphasis on legal moves—much like the problems we see in chess manuals today. The compiler also includes revised problems, presumably as better combinations were found. In Problem 2, for instance, the compiler alters the board positions in order to shorten the solution by one move. His skill in composition, however, is not without fault. In problem 34, the compiler claims that “this is a faier Juppertie for thow leses thy booth Rokes or thou mate hym the blake king.” Yet this is actually an unsound problem and he overlooks: 1 Re7 +; 2 Pf7 m. His attempt at evaluating and highlighting an arguably superior set of moves for the player—that is, losing both rooks and still managing a mate—causes him to perhaps overlook the obvious direct mate in two moves (though that might of course be the point). While his oversight may seem to undermine his knowledge and skill of the game, the emphasis here on the way in which the king is mated may speak to a medieval aesthetic of chess: that economy is not necessarily a beautiful way to win the game.

2.3 Conclusion

Given the skill required to master certain games like chess, game problems in the Middle Ages shared a strong affinity with education and learning. Chessmasters used problems to improve their skills, such as Charles d’Orléans’ notes found in his personal copy of Bonus

Socius, Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, MS Latin 10286, but problems could also be employed to sharpen mental faculties for other purposes.²⁷⁸ Problems for tables and merels also often appear alongside chess problems in game manuscripts, and recreational mathematics problems arise as tools for teaching, household management, and entertainment. Games were likely often taught orally, but recording rules and problems in manuscript nevertheless aided in teaching and circulating games (or, using the manuscript itself as a game board).

This chapter demonstrated not only how the variability of compilation, aesthetics, and valuation of medieval game problems in medieval England differ from modern chess problems, but also how game problems reveal a different understanding of games in the Middle Ages. For the compilers, the rules of chess assizes were often only a starting point, as the focus on teaching the reader a technique or concept outweighed the need to maintain a legal, economical board. More importantly, the chess board transforms into a narrativized, privileged space in which to reflect a player’s own social identity and courtly values—an association that reveals the close textual relationships between game playing and storytelling.

While medieval authors and transcribers focused their energies, for the most part, on maintaining traditions rather than creating novel problems, the combinatorial play in recreational mathematical problems and chess problems—though narrative and adaptation—indicates that gaming elements could intermingle both learning and leisure. Psychologist Victoria Stevens argues that if individuals play in a world of imaginative possibilities—like the combinatorial possibilities prompted by chess problems—“he or she can move beyond the bonds and bounds of reality and transform objects assumed to mean only one thing . . . this creates a path for figuring

²⁷⁸ Notably, the game problems are also paired with Jacobus de Cessoles’ Liber. Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, MS Latin 10286.
out how to make that new reality actual.”279 The contemplation of recreational problems in the Middle Ages often remains within the bounds of meditatio, but the composition and solving of problems nevertheless promotes non-standard and critical thinking among readers and players.

This association between games and learning—that games (and, more generally, pleasurable activity) can teach or be used for teaching—continues well into the modern period, a topic I explore in more detail in Chapter 4. The examples of mathematical and chess problems I have discussed in this chapter do not by any means represent the entirety of puzzles in the Middle Ages. Play—and indeed gameplay—is not simply a space for mindless fun; as this chapter has shown, game and mathematical problems were also used for learning, meditating, management, promoting thinking, and showcasing a player’s social status. Problems not only helped learners gain skill and mastery of games and concepts, but their compositions also exemplified the complexity and beauty of this neglected genre.

279 Stevens, “Think without Thinking,” 107.
On December 24, 1459, Norfolk gentrywoman Margaret Paston, faced with governing a house in mourning over the Christmas holidays, had sent a letter to her husband updating him on the festivities:

Plese it yov to wete that I sent yovr eldest svnne to my Lady Morlee to haue knolage qwat sportys were husyd in here hows in Kyrstmesse next folloyng aftyr the deceysse of my Lord, here husband. And sche seyd that þere were non dysgysyngg nere harpyng nere Ivtyng nere syngyn, nere non lowde dysportys, but pleyng at the tabyllys and schesse and cardys, sweche dysportys sche gave here folkys leve to play, and non odyr.

[Please it you to wait that I sent your eldest son to my Lady Morley to have knowledge of what sports were used in her house in Christmas next following after the decease of my...

Sections of this chapter have been published previously as: “Sexy, Naughty, and Lucky in Love: Playing Ragemon le Bon in English Gentry Households,” in Games and Gaming in Medieval Literature, ed. Serina Patterson (New York: Palgrave, 2015), 79-102. I also wish to thank Cynthia Rogers for her helpful comments on earlier drafts of this chapter.

Editors John Fenn and James Gairdner attribute the letter to Margery Paston. Gairdner had dated the letter to 1484, arguing that Margaret Paston died that year and her children would have been old enough to run errands. Family genealogy alone would prove this argument unsound, since Margery only had one son and both the 7th Baroness Lady Morley and her husband died in 1476. While another possible date for the letter may be December 24, 1489, in which Margery could have sent her son to visit the 8th Baroness Lady Morley and recent widow, Elizabeth de la Pole (c. 1468 and died between Dec 24th-31st 1489), Norman Davis notes that the index to the manuscript remarks that the epistolary forms are closer to Margaret’s letters and the reference to Caister suggests the year 1459. As Davis notes, “[i]t seems most likely that the letter was written on the eve of the first Christmas after Sir John Fastolf’s death in that year, when John Paston, as one of the executioners and claimant to the property, might well have been in Caister.” Paston Letters and Papers, ed. Norman Davis, Early English Text Society (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004), 1:257.

Davis, Paston Letters and Papers, 1:257.
Lord, her husband; and she said that there were neither guisings, nor harping, nor luting, nor singing, nor any loud disports, but playing at the tables, and chess, and cards. Such disports she gave her folks leave to play and none other.]

Margaret’s concern with good governance provides a rare glimpse into the management, place, and play of games in a fifteenth-century gentry household. For Margaret, table games are an activity that, unlike carols, instruments, and other “lowed dysportys”, offer a quiet and relaxing way to partake in the merriment of the holiday—and an appropriate way to please others. A penchant for chess, tables, cards, and other parlour games was not uncommon in late-medieval aristocratic and gentry circles, especially at Christmas time. The gentry, in particular, cultivated a fondness for board games, in part because the pieces could be handcrafted as luxury objects and therefore viewed as “mark[s] of distinction” that could visually display their wealth and status—objects like chess problem discussed in Chapter 2 that were similar to secular love literature and carefully copied in expensive, bespoke manuscripts. For both the gentry and the nobility, ownership and skill at table and parlour games contributed to a sense of social superiority.

282 Christmas in the Middle Ages was full of amusements, carols, and game-playing. While games such as cards were often banned among the lower classes, they were allowed to be played at Christmas. See Jean-Michel Mehl, “Games in their Seasons,” in Custom, Culture, and Community in the Later Middle Ages, ed. Thomas Pettittand and Leif Sondergard (Odense: Odense University Press, 1994), 71-83. Cards, which were likely brought over from Northern France by English soldiers in the early fifteenth century, became a notable Christmas activity, especially among the nobility. See Catharine Perry Hargrave, A History of Playing Cards and a Bibliography of Cards and Gaming (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1930; New York: Dover, 1966), 169.

The presence of games as aristocratic objects of leisure stretches as far back as seventh-century Anglo-Saxon England and typifies élite culture throughout the Middle Ages. From as early as the twelfth century, gameplay increasingly becomes a class marker of prestige among the developing gentry, including knights, civil servants, and landowners. Card games had also quickly gained popularity in fifteenth-century England, especially for gambling; due to their portability, courtly aesthetics, and use for gambling, card games also garnered favour among the gentry as popular pastimes—in fact, a tapestry displaying “gentilwomen pleying at the cardes” also appears in John Fastolf’s draft indenture of items bequeathed to John Paston on 6 June 1462. Margaret’s attention to the proper etiquette regarding pastimes moreover reflects an effort to imitate those above her station; specifically, in the case of the letter above, Alianore Lovell, the 7th Baroness Morley (1442-76). As Deborah Youngs observes, these complex class interactions between the gentry and the nobility were a customary aspect of cultural diffusion in later medieval England.

284 The Lyminge Archaeological Project uncovered a seventh-century Anglo-Saxon gaming piece at a Royal Hall excavation in Lyminge, Kent. Anglo-Saxon gaming pieces are typically found at burial sites, particularly of the male élite; this is the first Anglo-Saxon piece discovered in a hall setting and may have been used in the games tabula (an early form of backgammon) or latrunculi. See Gabor Thomas and Alexandra Knox, “Lyminge Excavations 2013: Interim Report on the University of Reading excavations at Lyminge, Kent,” The Lyminge Archaeological Project, University of Reading, accessed July 17, 2014, http://www.reading.ac.uk/web/FILES/archaeology/Lyminge_2013_interim.pdf.

285 See Chapter 4 for an in-depth discussion of late medieval and early modern playing cards.


287 Deborah Youngs, “Cultural Networks,” in Gentry Culture in Late Medieval England, ed. Raluca Radulescu and Alison Truelove (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2005), 119-33. For earlier
reflects good household management. Similarly, in The Governor (1531), a treatise dedicated to Henry VIII that intended to educate governing members of noble and gentry bodies, Sir Thomas Elyot categorizes tables and cards as acceptable pastimes for relaxation in aristocratic households. Lady Morley’s and Margaret’s management of household revelries thereby denotes a special place games could occupy in the Middle Ages: while some holiday activities are deemed inappropriate, the household could still enjoy Christmas merriments through gameplay.

Margaret’s letter, then, provides us with a snapshot of the types of games that might have been available to members of a gentry household—and who may have played them. Medieval society, on the whole, presents an understudied period to explore the ways in which games, as formal systems, model human experience. Perhaps more than any other material object, parlour games—their rules, their occasional gaming pieces, their meanings—are understood primarily through the ways in which players engage with them. Game scholars Laura Ermi and Frans Mäyrä have gone so far as to assert that interactivity—that is, a reciprocal transfer of information between a player and an object through a player’s active input—is the most important criteria for defining a gaming experience: “the essence of a game is rooted in its interactive nature, and there is no game without the player.”

This method of conceptualizing one of these games marks a discussions of emulation among the gentry and aristocratic culture, see also Georges Duby, “The Diffusion of Cultural Patterns in Feudal Society,” Past & Present 39 (1968): 3-10.
288 For another discussion of women, games, and household governance, see Chapter 2.
289 Nicholas Orme has also argued that The Governor focuses on medieval ideals for good governance, see Orme, From Childhood to Chivalry: the Education of the English Kings and Aristocracy, 1066-1530 (London: Methuen, 1984), 224-31. Servants and laborers also had the opportunity to play games during Christmas.
divergence from the study of gameplay as aesthetic experience, which focuses on the action of gameplay: “the game is not characterized by the player as subject, but by the play itself.” In recent years, this relationship between player-agents and game-structures has sparked new scholarly debates in regards to our understanding of agency in gaming situations, or, in Jesper Juul’s words, the new conflict “between those who study players and those who study games.”

Not surprisingly, player-centric approaches have focused primarily on digital and contemporary games. Jaakko Stenros and Annika Waern argue that game research, which has typically studied games as fixed structures and ignored player agency, should view games as activities and enacted experiences wherein “players are able to perform and discuss how games are enacted.” This methodological strategy works well for studying player habits with contemporary and digital games, but, for obvious reasons, runs into issues when dealing with non-digital, and in our case medieval, games and their players.

Games in the Middle Ages, as we have already witnessed, are more akin to an unstable fluidity across Europe by which players formulate new rules, boards, or experiences. Consider, for example, the plentiful regional assizes developed in France, England, and elsewhere for chess, tables, hazard, and other games. Chapter 2 discussed the assortment of players who

294 Stenros and Waern, “Games as Activity,” 15.
295 See Chapter 2 for an extended discussion of regional assizes.
owned, and likely enjoyed, chess, arithmetic, and other games by the problems found in their manuscripts. But how can we further discern the types of ludic experiences that medieval audiences desired in their gameplay? Do they differ in gameplay and expectation from our own modern games? What role did games play in shaping cultural identity among the gentry, nobility, and others? While premodern scholars cannot readily observe “actual instances of play,” manuscripts and early books, I argue, can nevertheless stand in to facilitate our understanding of these experiences. In the pages that follow, I will shift the discussion from characteristics of medieval games to address in more detail the relationship that medieval readers and players had with games that functioned as literature in manuscripts and household books (or what I call ‘game-texts’). In Chapter 1 I introduced game-texts as a distinct genre rooted in secular love literature and debate that not only encourage active engagement from an audience (as social activities), but also intermingle game mechanics and textuality—a mingling which produces a ‘textual object’ and offers experiences that have been largely ignored by both game scholars and literary critics. This chapter extends this discussion, beginning with an overview of interactivity in medieval manuscripts before examining the circulation of two types of game-texts enjoyed in later medieval England among an assortment of readers, from the nobility to preachers: question-and-answer games that were first introduced in Chapter 1 (the demandes d’amour) and fortune-telling games that use string to reveal fortunes. By exploring the relationship between these game-texts, their manuscripts, and their intended audiences, this chapter charts the transmission and social evolution of these forms of entertainment from France to England in order to more fully understand how they operated as sites of engagement and entertainment for poets, scribes, and players.

296 Stenros and Waern, “Games as Activity,” 12.
### 3.1 Readers as Players

Margaret’s description of acceptable forms of play bears witness to the transmission and popularity that table games enjoyed in late medieval English gentry culture. But the Pastons were not only players of table games; John II’s inventory of books, recorded between 1475 and 1479, lists William Caxton’s translation *A Game and Playe of Chesse* (c. 1474) and a variety of debate poetry, including a copy of *La Belle Dame Sans Merci*, alongside romances, statutes, didactic texts, and other material.297 The Pastons’ interest in games—both literal and allegorical—is not unusual in fifteenth-century gentry households.

For modern game studies scholars, the acts of ‘reading’ and ‘game playing’ connote activities that differ in their degree of agency. In his comparison of games to other media, game designer and creator of the *Sims* Will Wright distinguishes narrative (as a primarily linear entertainment) from games: “interactive works demand that the player has the ability to act; to affect the situation; to make a difference at every possible turn.”298 Yet the performative space in which recreational literature was enjoyed in the Middle Ages does not often make such a distinction. In fact, oral—rather than visual—delivery was the favoured mode of consumption among readers, and several texts show markers of this interaction between reader and text.299

Readers also engaged in the retelling and transmission of works. As I discussed in Chapter 1, the participatory and performative nature inclusive in the textual transmission of

---


298 Will Wright, forward to *Creating Emotion in Games*, by David Freeman (Indianapolis: New Riders, 2004), xxxiii.

vernacular medieval works shows how recreation was often characterized by spaces for playing within a social, communal environment. We need only to look at fifteenth-century Chaucerian manuscript production to illustrate this tendency toward active participation and adaptation for a specific audience: faced with an assortment of stories for Chaucer’s *The Canterbury Tales* and Chaucer’s unfinished framework to unify them—that is, four tales for each pilgrim—the scribe-compiler of Northumberland MS 455 reorganizes the tales in such a way that the pilgrims complete the trip to the shrine of Saint Thomas Becket, adding in *The Canterbury Interlude* and *The Tale of Beryn* as the pivotal turning point that affirms their time in Canterbury. As Andrew Higl notes, Chaucerian readers “added, subtracted, and moved tales to various locations within a textual space as if they were not merely reading about an incomplete game but participating in one.”  

This sentiment can also be applied to the impromptu production of Hunterian Library MS 197 in the years 1475-76: desiring a copy of *The Canterbury Tales* for their own amusement, Geoffrey Spirleng and his son Thomas copied Chaucer’s popular work by borrowing two exemplar manuscripts from other local households, Cambridge University Library, MS Mm 2.5 and a variant of Bodleian Library, MS Rawlinson poet. 223. Daniel Mosser speculates that Geoffrey obtained MS Mm 2.5 from William Boleyn, as it marked with “Wyllyam Boleyn” in the upper right-hand margin of fol. 190r.  

Due to the effort required to capture the entirety of both exemplars, Geoffrey and Thomas’s manuscript results in tales ending up in various
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301 Daniel W. Mosser, “Mm: Cambridge, Cambridge University Library, MS Mm.2.5,” in *A Digital Catalogue of the Pre-1500 Manuscripts and Incunables of the Canterbury Tales* (Birmingham: Scholarly Digital Editions), CD-ROM.
positions, with two versions of “The Shipman’s Tale” and “Priess’ Tale” and the need to add “The Clerk’s Tale” and “Canon Yeoman’s Tale” after the Retraction.302

It is not my intention here to suggest that the participative modes of reading enjoyed in the Middle Ages are themselves games or game-like experiences, though such arguments have been put forth in recent years;303 rather, considering the active ways in which medieval readers engaged with their texts, the difference between the medieval practices of reading and gameplaying—especially for literary games—as recreational activities could be fluid. In The Book of the Duchess, for instance, Chaucer portrays the narrator’s insomnia as the motivating influence behind a desire to pass the time, and references three possible activities available in his chamber to help relieve his sleeplessness “that wil not be mot need be left”: playing chess, playing tables, and reading a story.304 The narrator turns to the Ovidian tale of Ceyx and Alcione, a tale found in a miscellany the narrator has on hand, and it becomes the recreational option of choice. As the narrator states, “For me thougte it better play / Then playe either at ches or tables” (50-51). For the narrator, ‘play’ suggests a pastime that does not aim to provide a sense of pleasure, but rather relief—a medieval sentiment that Glending Olsen observes in his book Literature as Recreation in the Later Middle Ages.305 Play becomes synonymous with what we today might describe as a form of therapy, and these idle pastimes suddenly encompass a

302 In a rubricated note on fol. 102v, Geoffrey writes, “‘Be it remembred that | the tale o the Clerk o Oxenford and the ta// | le o the Chanons yoman folwen immediat// | li in the next leef,” see Mosser, A Digital Catalogue, CD-ROM.

303 Kimberly Bell and Julie Couch have recently argued that the romance genre is infused with game-like devices or unfolds like a game that is played by reading audiences. “Romancing the Game: Genre Play in King Horn and Sir Gawain and the Green Knight” (presentation, 50th International Medieval Congress, Kalamazoo, MI, May 14-17, 2015).

304 Geoffrey Chaucer, The Book of the Duchess, 42.4

305 Olsen, Literature as Recreation, especially chapter 1 on medical justifications for indulging in texts as pleasurable, therapeutic entertainment (see chap. 1 n. 53).
deliberate function outside of their usual social or intellectual purposes. While all three play-objects can be represented or allegorized, Ovid’s tale stands in as the closest remedy in the narrator’s search for a cure, or for new knowledge within a textual space. Chess, on the other hand, re-emerges later as a game blending chance and skill between the Black Knight and Lady Fortune—a game that also presupposes a search for a cure (Blanche’s life), and has much more serious consequences. In the beginning of the dream-vision, the narrator interprets chess, tables, and books in a much more fluid manner: as an associative assemblage of activities that can aid personal welfare.

Another example of this interwoven mode of activity occurs in recreational texts that could serve the dual function of narrative and gameplay that I introduced in the first chapter. In The Demaundes off Love (1487), a branch of prose demandes d’amour translated into Middle English and found in London, British Library, MS Additional 60577 (fols. 95r-107v), readers may have delighted in the eighty-eight questions and answers on matters of love, which are presented as a sequential and cohesive dialogue of the courtly game Le Roi Qui Ne Ment [The King Who Cannot Lie]. Willy Louis Braekman speculates that the courtly banter may have “once formed part of a real game,”306 and the simulated conversation could act as a mnemonic reference for aiding or sparking gameplay.307 The compiler divides the questions and answers into two sections: in the first section, the ‘good Madame’ addresses the gentleman with questions; in the second section, the roles are reversed—a common format in Middle French demandes

307 This question-and-answer conversational format also appears in other demandes collections, in which a ‘sire’ asks a question and a ‘dame’ responds. See Hassell, Amorous Games (see chap. 1 n. 13).
collections. Question seventy-nine, for instance, appears as a dialogue between the man and the woman:

[M]adame, wheder hadde ye lever: be rych or ellys bei kunynge?
Fayr sir, I hade lever be kunynge than ryche.
Madame, be what resone?
Fayre sir, if I were storede with kunynge and ther with vse good condycions I shuld haue good jnoughe.\textsuperscript{308}

The questions manifest as a simulation of the game in play, but players could also use the text as a gaming aid or an answer key, which may provide inspiration for questions or answers. A number of themes flow from one question to another, suggesting a form of ‘playback:’ question forty-six asks the man why a lover feels jealous if he knows “þe wyll and þe value of his loue” and continues past the cross-over into section two (comprising a total of nine questions on jealousy from either side). Section two begins:

[N]ow, madame, if hit shulde not displease your ladyship, I wolde require [you] þen, be the virtue of this game, to assoyle me certeyne questypons and demaundis.
Fayr syr, seythe on your plesur.
Madame, I praye you be the strengthe of the game and of þe reaume, where non shulde sey but trouthe, telle me certeynlye, wheþer women be as jalousie as men?
Fayre sir, I wene yea, and lyghtlyer shulde be jelouse than mene, opon that the whiche me semethe, and trouthe hit is, as that I truste.\textsuperscript{309}

The gentleman proceeds to follow the answer by questioning why women possess greater jealousy. The conversational flow and spatial division between the two parties creates a layer of seemingly conflicted relations between the two players and, more abstractly, highlights apparent differences in courtliness between men and women. Yet this conflict may appear illusory; the last question, for instance, brings the issue of gender to the forefront:

[N]ow to you, fayre sir, I aske and seye, whether lastethe loue lenger in þe mane or in the woman?

\textsuperscript{308} Braekman, \textit{The ‘Demaundes off Love,’} 69.

\textsuperscript{309} Braekman, \textit{The ‘Demaundes off Love,’} 51.
Madame, in þe mane by nature hit shulde laste lenger. But nowe a dayes mene be so
diverse and so variable that I suppose the loue lastethe lenger in þe woman. And yet it is
a gayne the right of nature.\footnote{Ibid., 75.}

Despite the conflict between the gentleman and lady the poet does not announce a clear winner;
rather, each party represents one aspect of the same game—the aesthetics of courtly
conversation—and bears resemblance to the \textit{beau parler} discussed by the Dieu d’Amour in \textit{Le
Roman de la Rose}.\footnote{Dieu d’Amour teaches the lover of courtly conversation, using Gauvain and Keu as an example and
counter-example of proper speech. See Armand Strubel, ed. and trans., \textit{Le Roman de la Rose} (Paris:
Librairie Générale Française, 1984), lines 2085-122.} As the gentleman’s answer in the last question makes clear, matters of love
vary between gender but are, ultimately, up to the individual. Game-texts in manuscripts, like
\textit{The Demaundes Off Love}, therefore demand an extra level of engagement for reader-players. The
dialogue between the sexes in \textit{The Demaundes off Love} also suggests that the game was still
played by—or at least perceived as—a mixed gender group of participants in fifteenth-century
England. This built-in incentive to interact with the text in a ludic fashion—to elicit responses in
actual gameplay—sets these interactive texts apart from other non-ludic texts, such as
prognostications and recipes (though a number of medieval game-texts do draw from these
traditions).\footnote{See Chapter 1 for a discussion of this ‘ludic attitude.’} Game-texts thus require a system of interpretation to render them meaningful—a
system that contains or describes the interactive participation, social activities, and negotiable
consequences of its players—and a feedback loop within the manuscript apparatus. Such texts
could, of course, simply be read as texts, but their interactive nature encourages their use as
social games.
3.2 Questioning Love

*Le Roi Qui Ne Ment*, a courtly game originating in the courtly circles of France that I discussed in Chapter 1, was designed for the noble élite that gained widespread popularity in Northern France during the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries—a courtly entertainment played alongside other debating games such as the popular *jeux-partis* and *tensons*. On the Continent, the appearance of the game in texts and manuscripts reveals the significance of *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* and the *demandes d’amour* as love catechisms for practicing courtly refinement and polite behaviour, as argued by Alexander Klein, and as a ludic space to indulge in physical and emotional intimacy among courtly and aristocratic players. The extant utterances and model dialogues found in the *demandes d’amour* collections—questions that are often reiterated in textual depictions of *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment*—provide insight into the particularities of aristocratic courtliness and entertainment. Unlike other pastimes depicted in medieval texts, the *demandes* often attempt to recreate these ludic spaces. Green also acknowledges this sense of realism, stating that “to read these collections of *demandes d’amour* is to learn something of the actuality of aristocratic courtship.” There is a prevailing assumption by Green and Klein that the appearance of *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* and the *demandes d’amour* in manuscripts immediately suggest a ludic, courtly enterprise for élite players. The lavishly illustrated episode of the game on fols. 120r-121v in Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS 264 certainly supports this assumption,

---


314 Green, *“Le Roi Qui Ne Ment,”* 221.
given its reflection of social cohesion and community through amorous conversation among nobles (Figure 3.1).\footnote{Additionally, a chess match between two lovers appears on the bas-de-page of fol. 121v, which not only foreshadows the chess match between Cassiel and Fesonas, but also reinforces the game as a courtly, noble pastime.}

Figure 3.1: Miniature of \textit{Le Roi Qui Ne Ment}, Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS 264, fol. 121r
The miniature not only depicts one way in which the game could be played, but also reflects themes of courtoisie and refinement. In his study of MS Bodley 264, Mark Cruse notes that the “textual descriptions are complemented by the painted architectural frames and backgrounds of the miniatures, which act as extratextual indicators of courtly place and time . . . Through these visual features, Bodley 264 becomes a courtly place in its own right.” 316 The representation of the players portray the “formation of community,” which reflects a performative space for courtly conversation. 317 Additionally, the jousters painted on the bas-de-page are, according to Cruse, a pictorialization of a textual metaphor: “These [jousting] scenes seem directly inspired by comments about the effects of love made by the two knights [playing the game] in the text on this folio.” 318 But what happens when these games were disseminated outside their courtly circles in France? Is there an afterlife to the demandes d’amour? What could such instances tell us about the interpretation and mouvance of medieval games for players?

3.2.1 Appropriating les demandes d’amour in England

The multifarious appearances of demandes d’amour collections and Le Roi Qui Ne Ment scenes in at least five manuscripts in England, dating from the early fourteenth to the early sixteenth centuries, indicate their appeal to a broader English audience for different purposes. 319

317 Ibid., 27.
318 Ibid., 35.
319 London, British Library, MS Additional 60577; London, British Library, MS Additional 46919; London, British Library MS Royal F II; Cambridge, Cambridge University Library, MS Ff 1.6 [The Findern Anthology]; and London, Westminster Abbey MS CA 21. Due to space, I will omit discussion of Westminster Abbey MS CA 21 in this chapter due to length, but the manuscript contains eighty-seven prose and verse demandes d’amour alongside Christine de Pizan’s Epistre au Dieu d’Amours, poems by Charles d’Orléans, lyrics, and other recreational texts. MS CA 21 was copied in France in the early
The principal scribe of MS Additional 60577, in which *the Demaundes off Love* is found, was a monk of St Swithun’s Priory at Winchester.\textsuperscript{320} Produced after 1477, the manuscript’s early owners were also both monks at St Swithun’s Priory: “Iohannes Brynston,” who was ordained Deacon on 22 December 1520 and later became a friar, eventually sold the manuscript to John Buryton. John Buryton’s name appears on fols. 1r and 225v and at the end of the pastedown. Buryton was a sacrist at St Swithun’s Priory, and his compotus roll of 1536-37 is also extant.\textsuperscript{321} Edward Wilson surmises that the scribe may have been a schoolmaster before he became a monk and wished to preserve aspects of his former life or, alternatively, taught at the almonry school in Winchester, since a number of items in the manuscript are of pedagogical interest;\textsuperscript{322} an address to a schoolmaster appears on fol. 1r, a schoolboy’s lament on fol. 93r, a primer on fol. 120r appear alongside other moral and religious material.\textsuperscript{323} The leaf immediately preceding *The Demaundes off Love* shows a hand practicing the letters of the alphabet and below a practice title of *The Demaundes off Love,* “amor vincit omnia” [love conquers all]. While at first glance recreational game-text *The Demaundes off Love* seems at odds with the other material in the manuscript, the texts contained within the manuscript could have been used for both edification and entertainment. Themes of love and courtliness appear elsewhere in the manuscript, including

\textsuperscript{fifteenth century, but traveled to England over the course of the century. For a brief list of its contents, see also Paul Meyer, “Notice d’un recueil manuscrit de poésies françaises du XIIIe au XVe siècle, appartenant à Westmonster Abbey,” *Bulletin de la Société des Anciens Textes Français* 1 (1875): 25-36.}


\textsuperscript{322} Wilson, Introduction, 13-14.

\textsuperscript{323} For a complete list of content, Wilson, Introduction, 17-36.
secular love songs on fol. 108r and fols. 115v-116v. Notably, The Demaundes off Love was translated from a French demandes d’amour collection in circulation and the scribe also copies a short assortment of poetic demandes on fols. 80v-81r—one of only two French items in the entire anthology (see item 1 in the appendix). Unlike The Demaundes off Love, this collection is not a dialogue but rather a series of questions. Question four asks, “Je vous demand[e] quelle est la clef / Qui le chastell peult deffermer” [I ask you, what is the key that can lock in the castle?]. The question is a double entendre: the key and castle imagery could also conjure the consummation of marriage. Most of the questions refer to matters of love and similarly evoke racy and bawdy imagery. Question five asks, for example: “Nommes la sale et le manoire / Ou leu peult premier joye avoire” [Can you name the room or manor where you can have your first joy?]. There are no answers supplied here, which is atypical of demandes d’amour collections; the list of questions provides instead possible aids for a game, short sentences for practicing letters, or debates of moral casuistry.

In the early fourteenth century, another scribe had a similar application of the demandes d’amour for educational purposes. Twenty-two Anglo-Norman demandes d’amour appear on fols. 15r-v in British Library MS Additional 46919 (c. 1300-1333), a friar’s miscellany copied and owned by the Franciscan preacher William Herebert of Hereford. The questions are penned alongside a number of didactic works in Anglo-Norman, Latin, and Middle English, such as treatises on falconry, instructional manuals, and the French manual Art de Venerie, and are prefaced with Latin commentary, suggesting that these courtly questions of love may have been used to help English students learn French and, as Margaret Felberg-Levitt writes, “the essence

---

324 MS Additional 60577, fol. 80v. All translations are my own. For a complete transcription of this collection, please see Appendix 1.
of ideal human love” as a moralistic enterprise. Alongside texts typical of personal and household books, such as culinary and medicinal recipes, the manuscript also includes a range of preaching material such as sermons, sermon notes, annotations, and Herebert’s own sermons, so another possible use for Herebert’s *demandes d’amour* collection might have been to provide questions of love to help punctuate sermons, a strategy similar to Jacob de Cessol’s and Odo de Cheriton’s use of chess in their sermons. For MS Additional 60577 and MS Additional 46919, the *demandes d’amour* seem to promote an educational use for the collections, even as they promote matters of love.

But the *demandes d’amour* were not only (re)appropriated for sermons and pedagogical material in England. The collection of 107 French *demandes* found in London, British Library, MS Royal F II (fols. 188r-210r)—notably among the largest extant collection of *demandes d’amour*—was clearly intended for both learning and leisure. Compiled in London for Edward IV but left unfinished after his death in 1483, the *demandes d’amour* section is bookended by Pseudo-Heloise’s “Les epistres de labesse Heloys du Paraclit” (fols. 137r-187v) and a French conduct book for princes (fols. 210v-248v). This lavish manuscript also contains 166 poems by Charles d’Orléans from his captivity in England (1415-1440). Ornate, decorative frontispieces accompany each text: Charles d’Orléans’ collection of poems begins with an illuminate image of a lady Jeunesse introducing the author to Bel Accueil and Lady Plaisance in the Court of Love; the “Les epistres”’ frontispiece displays an abbess (Heloise) and a pupil, who are seated and accompanied by a group of listening ladies; and in the conduct book’s frontispiece the prince is reading a roll to an audience of priests and gentlemen and in the background the prince is

---

displayed kneeling before an alter during mass. The frontispiece of the *demandes d’amour* collection features a game played at the gates of the Castle of Love among three courtly ladies and a courtier (Figure 3.2).

Figure 3.2: The Castle of Love, London, British Library, MS Royal F II, fol. 188r
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326 Christopher de Hamel observes that most English manuscripts were not as ornately illuminated as the French or Italian romances, and most aristocratic books were composed in French (even those published in Italy or England). Among the eighty-five manuscripts that make up all or part of *The Canterbury Tales* only the Ellesmere manuscript is lavishly illustrated. *A History of Illuminated Manuscripts* (London: Phaidon Press, 1986; 2010), 157-59.
The texts included in the manuscript are likely intended to provide the king with a proper education: his religious and political duties, his knowledge of moral virtue, his cultured taste, and his courtly behaviour and etiquette. The illuminated scenes and diverse array of texts certainly exemplify the epitome of leisurely luxury, which span from moralizing tracts to love-related casuistry, and reveal a great deal about the literary tastes of late fifteenth-century English courts. Additionally, Michel-André Bossy observes that the full-page illumination borders are full of dynastic and political insignia, with a clear connection to the War of the Roses, and more importantly “heraldic emblems from the House of Tudor, as if to prompt the beholder to interpret the texts from a current standpoint, to recast them in terms of Henry VII’s accession to the throne and his aims as a monarch.”

327 The illuminated borders of the demandes d’amour collection display how later illuminators adapted the manuscript for Henry VII: a Tudor rose appears on the bottom-left corner and, similar to the frontispiece for Charles d’Orléans’ poetry, the royal arms of Henry VII’s wife Elizabeth of York, daughter of Edward IV, appear alongside the motto ‘Dieu et mon droit’ (‘God and my right’) — she is, thus, included in texts discussing, illuminating, and creating through play sites of love.

328 Appearing within this complex political and manuscript matrix, the demandes d’amour do more than provide a fleeting leisurely activity for princes in matters of love. The collection in


328 The emphasis placed on the image rather than the texts illustrates a trend in the illumination of expensive, bespoke manuscripts. As Leila Avrin writes, “[b]y the late fifteenth-century, text and illustrations are separated more than ever before. The illusionistic picture overwhelms the text.” Scribes, Script, and Books: The Book Arts from the Antiquity to the Renaissance (Chicago: American Library Association, 1991), 258.
MS Royal 16 F II is a variant of the two collections found in MS Additional 60577 (poetry and prose). Although the direct copytext is no longer extant, the variations between the collections in both manuscripts is negligible. The scribe of MS 60577 does not merge the collections, however, which appear in different quires and languages, so the scribe of MS Royal 16 F II may have either found them paired in the copytext or merged them in the manuscript in order to maintain a consistent organizational strategy. Furthermore, in his edition of the *demandes d’amour* of MS Royal 16 F II, Klein concludes that the prose questions were derived from lost exemplars of the two texts that are preserved in Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, MS 757 (late 14th c., fols. 264r-265r) and Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, MS 1130 (late 15th c., fols. 142r-146v). By the time *the demandes d’amour* are copied into MS Royal 16 F II, then, they have already been enjoyed by a diverse array of readers for different purposes. For the scribe, this amalgamation of learning and leisure is made explicit through his rubricated responses—a feature omitted in MS Additional 60577 and other “Chastel d’amours” *demandes* collections.

The eighteen poetic *demandes d’amour*, which introduce the collection, are each accompanied by an answer (alternating red and blue), which provides a seemingly definitive response and helps guide the interpretation of the question. For question five (quoted above), the scribe pens the answer: “en bel acueil” [in a lovely welcome], perhaps in an attempt to thwart any hint of the question’s imaginable sexual innuendo. The answer to question nine, perhaps more than the other responses, illuminates the inclusion of the *demandes* in MS Royal 16 F II: “Qui fait amours long temps durer. / Et enforcer et embracer? [What causes love to endure for a long time,

---

329 Klein, *Die altfranzösischen*, 64-89.
enforced and embraced?], to which the rubric answers: “Courtoysie.” By providing answers to the poetic *demandes d’amour*, the scribe, in effect, changes the game. While the *demandes d’amour* could still elicit discussion and debate, the answers provided signify that an authoritative answer *is* possible and without further discussion or dialogue; thus, the questions act as pseudo-riddles meant for learning courtly refinement, and the prose dialogue of *demandes d’amour* between the lady and gentleman provides a detailed model simulation for playful courtship and courtliness.

The production and dissemination of these bespoke game-texts—their themes, rules, gameplay, and proximal relations with other texts in the manuscripts—is therefore greatly influenced by their intended audiences. But as texts that appealed to a wide range of readers, from princes and aristocrats to the lesser gentry and friars, and were used for a variety of purposes, the *demandes d’amour* in England complicate—and indeed challenge—the concept of a homogenous “textual community” of readers/players. For Brian Stock, medieval texts and manuscripts generated cohesion through their circulation within existing social networks, a dissemination of ideas through consensus and shared literacy. As Jocelyn Wogan-Browne, et al. have pointed out, however, the “use of the vernacular exposes the gaps in supposedly united
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331 MS Royal 16 F II, fol. 188v.
332 See Stock, *Listening for the Text*, 108-12 (see chap. 1 n. 18). Brian Stock also notes that literacy in the Middle Ages promoted social groupings and human communities, such as heretics and reformers. Textual communities thus incorporated new uses for orality surrounding the literature: as Stock notes, “interaction by word of mouth could take place as a superstructure of an agreed meaning, the textual foundation of behaviour having been entirely internalized,” *The Implications of Literacy: Written Language and Models of Interpretation in the Eleventh and Twelfth Centuries* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1983), 88-92. In contrast, Martin Irvine interprets ‘textual community’ as a semiotic concept, defining it through the social function of texts—their received canonicity and interpretive commentary that often accompanies texts. See “Medieval Textuality and the Archaeology of Textual Culture,” in *Speaking Two Languages: Traditional Disciplines and Contemporary Theory in Medieval Studies*, ed. Allen Frantzen (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1991), 184.
communities by demonstrating the crucial nonunitary meaning of texts now opened up to diverse constituencies and therefore capable of generating divergent readings.”

Therefore, it is difficult to tease out what classes played what game when game-texts such as the demandes d’amour emerge in manuscripts intended for widely different audiences, from princes to friars. If we return to MS Additional 60577 for a moment, Braekman acknowledges that the manuscript may have been used in a school, but treats The Demaundes off Love as proof that this particular game-text not only circulated among the gentry and middling-classes, but was actively adopted in order to emulate the nobility.

The stratified manifestation of the text (and other demandes d’amour collections) across a diverse array of manuscripts, however, suggests a different story: the introduction and circulation of the demandes d’amour in England reveal a more ad hoc progression of adoption and the purpose (or, purposes) are not always clear from the text alone. The features of the manuscript—marginalia, illuminations, textual variants, layout, organization, textual proximities, fascicules (or ‘booklets’), and other elements of a manuscript’s composition—aid in determining the scribe’s intended purpose and the text’s actual audience, a ‘materialist philology’ as it were. For the scribe of MS Additional 60577, and the subsequent owners who penned notas and manicules along the margins of the text, The Demaundes off Love may have served as a valuable pedagogical tool and social game-text.

---

334 Braekman, Introduction, 8 and 13.
335 Nichols, The Whole Book, 1-2 (see chap. 2 n. 219). See Chapter 2 for a definition of this term.
3.2.2 The demandes d’amour and the English Gentry

In our analysis thus far, the circulation of the demandes d’amour in England reveals an appropriation of the game for educational purposes, though they were still used, to a lesser extent, as recreational activities. But there was one group of individuals in England who played with the demandes d’amour—and other game-texts, as we shall see—primarily for leisure: the gentry. At the beginning of this chapter, I highlighted Margaret Paston’s letter to her husband regarding ‘proper’ Christmas entertainment (and games) in a gentry household during a time of mourning, but any discussion of gentry culture in medieval England must avoid the trap of assuming a homogenous social structure. The English gentry, Peter Coss argues, formed in the mid-thirteenth century “in an accelerating process” from lesser nobles who owned property and often exerted political influence locally and regionally as public authorities,336 thus comprising the governing body of rural England. More recently, Raluca Radulescu and Alison Truelove have ventured beyond literal accounts of land ownership and status to argue that the gentry were “an amorphous, ever-fluctuating group of individuals.”337 The recreational activities often associated with the aristocracy—including dancing, hunting, jousting, music, sports, and of course, table and parlour games—were subject to personal taste and accessibility in a gentry household. In England, the chamber, rather than the hall, became the locus of recreation as members of the house entertained guests or friends in small-group activities such as reading,

playing games, and participating in other gentle pastimes. Larger households may have had a separate dining parlour designed specifically for entertainment.338

One particular depiction of *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* appears as an excerpt of *Les Voeux du Paon* on fols. 142r-53v in Cambridge, CUL Ff 1.6 (The “Findern” Anthology), a manuscript that was produced, owned, and read by multiple lesser gentry families in provincial households around Findern, Derbyshire during the fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries.339 The anthology may have been for private use among the families that “encourage[d] experimentation by amateur poets”—experimentations that have resulted in numerous lyrics that are unique to the manuscript and—as Sarah McNamer argues—may have composed, or at least chosen, by women;340 in fact, the manuscript’s recurring conscious interest in female issues in its texts and compilation have led scholars to suggest that it was intended for a primarily female reading audience. In his study of the Findern manuscript’s readers, Ashby Kinch argues that the arrangement of texts “reflect a consistent interest in, and concern with, female lament and agency, particularly male imposition on female decision-making . . . the compilers seem to be


339 The Findern MS was among the first manuscripts to be published as a facsimile. See Richard Beadle and A. E. B. Owen, eds., *The Findern Manuscript: Cambridge University Library MS Ff. 1.6* (London: Scholar, 1977). For extensive examinations of the manuscript’s production and development, see also: Rossel Hope Robbins, “The Findern Manuscript,” *PMLA* 69 (1954): 610-42; Kate Harris, “The Origins and Make-Up of Cambridge University Library MS ff. 1.6,” *Transactions of the Cambridge Bibliographical Society* 8.3 (1983): 299-333; and Ralph Hanna III “The Production of Cambridge University Library Ms. Ff. 1.6,” *Studies in Bibliography* 40 (1987): 62-70. Most scholars agree that the manuscript was copied in separate fascicles and bound at a later date. Harris alternatively suggests that the manuscript may have been bound together earlier and developed “in the manner of an album, a loose-leaf album” as different individuals made entries. “The Origins,” 318.

deeply reflecting on both the limits and possibilities of female response to male authority.” At first glance, then, the inclusion of *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* seems unsurprising, even conventional, in its preoccupation with courtly relationships and questions of love; and, perhaps as a result, much of the scholarship on the Findern MS concerns the seemingly more tantalizing texts in the manuscript, including Chaucer’s *Parliament of Fowls*, extracts from Gower’s *Confessio Amantis*, Thomas Hoccleve’s *Letter of Cupid*, the Middle English romance *Sir Degrevant*, and Richard Roos’ translation of *La Belle Dame Sans Merci*, among others. But if we were to simply read *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment*’s inclusion in the anthology as a courtly text intended to provide readers with a frivolous ludic pastime or showcase how members of these gentry families “conducted their lives mindful of the need to project to others their perceived superiority” in their inclusion of a courtly game, then we would miss the fact that this version of *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* was adapted in England specifically to address gentry—and, I would argue, female—concerns.

For an anthology that appears, in the words of Ralph Hanna, as though scribal copying was “a sort of social game” among over forty hands, the inclusion of an actual game seems to mirror the organic, unstructured process and ludic attitudes inherent in the chosen texts. Ethel Seaton’s work on the Findern MS has been largely discredited by recent scholars, but she nevertheless presents a romantic image of the manuscript as it may have been produced: as she writes, the Findern MS was “added to casually, and probably lain about on window-seats, and suffered in consequence, especially from scribblers.” Unlike the other collections of *demandes d’amour* circulating in England, this particular excerpt is one of the only extant translations of

---

341 Ashby Kinch, “‘To thence what was in hir wille:’ a female reading context for the Findern anthology,” *Neophilologus* 91 (2007): 733.
343 Hanna, “The Production,” 64.
Longuyon’s romance into Middle English; here it manifests as an embedded game sequence in narrative form. Notably, the part of the romance that is translated and included in the Findern MS is almost exclusively the portrayal of a *Le ROI QUI NE MENT* game extracted from *Les Voeux du Paon*, and has been used by scholars as a clear indicator of the manuscript’s ludic and recreational nature. The Findern MS is playful, indeed. And, as Eleanor Hammond, Kate Harris, and Kara Doyle have highlighted, the anthology shares a close affinity in its contents with the so-called ‘Oxford Group’—MS Fairfax 16, Bodley 638, and MS Tanner 346—which makes the *Le ROI QUI NE MENT* excerpt a curious inclusion in the manuscript rather than the widely circulated Middle English game-text *Ragman Rolle* (discussed below) or the Chaucerian game-text *Chaunce of the Dyse*, both of which are found in MS Fairfax 16 and MS Bodley 638. While neither *Ragman Rolle* nor *Chaunce of the Dyse*—which circulated almost exclusively in gentry miscellanies and households books—may have been available for copying or were, perhaps, lost among the missing quires of the manuscript, I would argue that the decision to include *Le ROI QUI NE MENT* offers readers of the Findern MS a way in which to appropriate a known courtly game for their own purposes that was wholly separate from the two game-texts intended for an urban London audience. “[T]hese women,” writes Kinch, “imagined themselves integrally involved in the cultivated sense of social cohesion invoked in the literature they

---


copied: ‘Gyff hem heven forto see/that lovet gamen and gle/And gestes to fede’ (fol. 109v).”

Games provide a space for social intimacy unlike other forms of entertainment. *Ragman Rolle* and *Chance of the Dyse* both encourage an intimate, participative gamespace among their readers in London, but the *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* excerpt—a game lifted out of its original courtly and cultural context in France—befits a very different reading/play experience, one that subverts (or at least, intermingles with) the courtly *fin’ amors* in order to conform to the themes of female governance that are prevalent in the rest of the manuscript.

Briefly, *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* excerpt appears as a “main text” in its own booklet, quire O, penned by Scribe 38—the only item in the manuscript by this scribe. A “filler” lyric, possibly composed by a female reader, on the vagaries of fortune was added later by Scribe 39 in the space following the text (fol. 178r). The *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* excerpt was likely commissioned around the same time as the other main items and bound together with the other gatherings at a later date. The English scribe freely adapted the work, thereby accumulating 195 additional lines of text. Karl Roßkopf, the excerpt’s only editor to date, remarks that Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, MS franç. 1554 is the closest version among the roughly forty-three manuscripts that include *Les Voeux du Paon*, but is not a direct source. In her illuminating

---

347 Kinch, “‘To thenke what was hir wille,’” 731.
348 See McNamer, “Female Authors,” 290 and 293 for a brief discussion of this lyric.
349 Based on a copying error on fol. 171r, Harris observes that *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* excerpt was copied page for page using a now-lost exemplar in a “professional practice.” “The Origins,” 309. The scribe corrects a number of mistakes in his text; on fol. 172r, for instance, his eye slips and he fuses lines 306 and 308, omitting line 307 altogether. Noticing his error, the scribe attempts to correct his mistake by adding in line 307 and then decides to start the stanza fresh on the next page, crossing out the imperfect lines. Two kinds of stock were used to produce Quire O: Stock 4 (also shared by D, E, and K) and stock 13 (unique to Quire O).
study of Les Voeux du Paon. Hélène Bellon-Méguelle argues that Longuyon alters the Roman d’Alexandre cycle by transforming the bitter feuds and horrors of war into events spurring playfulness among a courtly leisure society. This evocation of l’esprit ludique is typified in the game scenes in the romance—namely, the chess match and Le Roi Qui Ne Ment—which not only influences all other events in the narrative, but also lends a sense of courtliness. The Le Roi Qui Ne Ment episode becomes a way in which to embody displays of courtesy and courtly behaviour that would have resonated with fourteenth-century French aristocratic audiences.\textsuperscript{351} The additions, omissions, and emendations in the Middle English excerpt, I argue, change the work’s meaning to emphasize obedience and devotion in relationships—concerns that are also reflected in other texts included in the Findern MS. In Les Voeux du Paon, five characters play Le Roi Qui Ne Ment in the Chamber of Venus at the request of Cassamus: Betis, his younger sister Fesonas, his cousin Edea, Ydorus, and their prisoner-guest Cassiel. Cassamus encourages this type of entertainment for their prisoner of war, partially in an effort to pair Edea and Cassiel. As Green notes, Le Roi Qui Ne Ment may have been played as a way to facilitate courtship, and the game in Les Voeux du Paon certainly supports his case: both Betis/Ydorus and Cassiel/Edea marry later in the narrative.\textsuperscript{352} In the Findern MS, however, this sense of courtly playfulness is revalorized in the context of gentry relationship concerns, and such marriages are never indicated or explicated in the excerpt. An audience familiar with the romance would have been aware of


\textsuperscript{352} Green, “Le Roi Qui Ne Ment,” 212-13.
the relationships, but in the excerpt the focus remains on the game. Immediately after Betis is
elected ‘king’, he commands his ‘subjects’ to comply with his rule:

Hys astat vp-on hym to take tho gan he sped
And to hem aside: “Loke ȝe now alle, take hede
That my commaundementes ȝe trewely obbye.""
“ȝis,” they seyde alle wyth-owte drede,
“That ȝe commaunde vs, þe trowþe shul we seye."353

This passage is an extension of one line in Les Voeux du Paon: “Puis si a demande que bien soit entendus” [Then he requested that he will be heard].354 More than an embellishment, the
adaptation in the Findern MS brings the rules of the game to a literal extreme: here Betis is not
simply a player, but assumes the position of a male authority on love, one that must be obeyed
and followed. This theme of obedience is drawn out in other additions as well. Betis’ question to
Ydorus is translated as: “Fair maide Ydore, sey me now as swythe/As towechyng to my-self,
who hat[h] ȝowe longe obbye!”355 The English scribe also extends Ydorus’ response in order
to draw out her duty of servitude for a future lover (i.e. Betis) (Table 3.1).

353 Roßkopf, Editio princeps, lines 28-32.
354 Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, MS franç 1554, fol. 29r. Other versions in French are similar
to MS 1554. Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Bodley 264 states, for instance, “Et li rois demanda quil soit
bien entendus” (fol. 120r). All transcriptions from Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, MS franç
1554 and MS Bodley 264 are my own.
355 Roßkopf, Editio princeps, lines 103-04.
Table 3.1: Translation of *Le Voeux du Paon* into Middle English in the Findern Anthology

Such a response departs from other depictions of women’s governance in the Findern MS. In *The Parliament of Fowls*, for instance, the female formel eagle has the freedom and power to choose her own lover in the game of *fin ‘amors*. In other instances of the courtly love ethos, it is often the male suitor declaring his unfailing commitment to his potential lover. As Kara Doyle articulates, other texts in the Findern MS aim to highlight how a women should “weight and respond to the claims of devotion and service offered by a courtly suitor.”

In the *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* excerpt, the manner in which the female players respond is, for the scribe, to show obedience to their lover. Ydorus’ answer conforms to gender norms. In Ydorus’ final question to

---

356 MS Bodley 264, fol. 120v.
Betis, in which she asks “w[h]iche iij thyngis haue most suffysaunce, / To make trewe loueres sytte on the w[h]el a-boue,” is presented in the Middle English translation by a compliant Ydorus to “my lord, my gouernour” the king: “‘Sere’ she seyd, ‘I stond in gret errour / Of iij thynges of loue; ther-fore wolde I be / Enformed sekirly of yow that be my kynge.”359 She thus takes the position of a student, and Betis’ answer (also greatly extended in the translation) reinforces his position as the authority on matters of love, in which “Al the coreccioun to yow I recommaunde.”360 In a text that is, essentially, a literal depiction of the game of love, the scribe’s recurring emphasis on female submission and servitude intensifies the dissonance between matters of love and the realities of marriage, which, for the gentry, were often local and arranged by families. Gentry women in Derbyshire and elsewhere in England were responsible for preserving the estate for future generations, and the vows of marriage also signified compliance to a husband and household. In both Margaret Paston’s and Margery Brews’ letters to their husbands, they occasionally address them as “my rytȝ wurschipful mayster.”361 Furthermore, Margery Brews signs her letters to her husband, John Paston III, as “Be yowre seruaunt and bedewoman.”362 McNamer considers these terms of address “statements of literal truth,” and, in the context of a game on courtship, the addition and reiteration of female servitude in the Le Roi Qui Ne Ment excerpt exemplifies this relational hierarchy between husband and wife. Agnes Stonor extends this quality of female devotion even further:

Right worshipfull Maister, y hertly comaund me unto you with alle suche servise as y can

---

359 Roßkopf, Editio princeps, lines 404-05, 392, and 397-99.
360 Ibid., 441.
362 Ibid., 663-69.
or may: thoning you of youre kyndenessse shewed unto me, so pore a woman as y am, and unto your Maystershyp owndeserved."\textsuperscript{363}

In this light, \textit{Le Roi Qui Ne Ment} is not included simply, in the word of Doyle, to “evoke this atmosphere of playful flirtation and debate.” While the game retains a sense of pleasure, the scribal adaptations promoting female obedience punctuate the courtly game with marriage roles that would have been legible to female readers.\textsuperscript{364}

It is perhaps no accident that the \textit{Le Roi Qui Ne Ment} excerpt appears in the Findern MS immediately after Roos’’s translation of Alain Chartier’s \textit{La Belle Dame Sans Merci}, a text lauded for its ability to spark debate among its readers regarding love.\textsuperscript{365} For the Belle Dame, \textit{fin amors} should be treated as trifling courtly play: she refuses the male courtier’s advances in all instances, thereby avoiding submission to the lover’s male gaze. She not only retains her sense of independence, but also warns women of the false words of men:

\begin{quote}
Ye trewe lovers, thus I beseche you alle:
Suche aventours, fle heim in every wise,
And as people defamed ye heim calle;
For they, trewly, doo you gret prejudice.
Refuse hath mad, for al sich flatteryse,
His castellis stronge, stuffed with ordenaunce,
For they have made longe tyme, by thaire office,
The hole countré of Love in obeissaunce.\textsuperscript{366}
\end{quote}

Juxtaposed together, whether by the original compilers or a later antiquarian binding the manuscript, the Belle Dame’s skepticism of the courtly love object informs the adapted game of

\textsuperscript{364} Doyle, “Thisbe Out of Context,” 233.
Le Roi Qui Ne Ment. While the seemingly courtly game may ostensibly appear at odds with the Belle Dame’s final verdict on fin ‘amors, its additions and extensions render the formal game as a critique of its own artifice. In Betis’ first question, directed at Edea, the aim of the game is to draw out personal, intimate details through the personification of love: “Tel me, yf euere ȝe felte vp-on yow ryse / The sorwes of loue & euelys þat hym doth sue!”367 This question is not telling on its own, and indeed the questions found in this episode of Le Roi Qui Ne Ment appear elsewhere in other demandes d’amour collections, but her coy response, “hym that I loue, shal I not refuce” immediately establishes her as a love-object worth pursuing, and, unsurprisingly, Cassiel is instantly smitten by her beauty:

Here blod ther wyth stere her bewte gan to sprynge;
She semed fayrer a gret del than before.
Bawdryn here beheld; he thow[ȝ]te so fayr a thynge
Hadde he neuer seen seth ferst that he was bore.
Here bewte his herte wyth loue hath smet so sore,
That his desir ys fully hir to serue.
His loue to here en-cresyth so more & more,
That he hir seruaunt must be tyl that he sterue.368

Again the scribe extends the original Old French to focus on the Cassiel’s promise of devotion, but here the thoughts of service are presented in a more conventional fashion. For Cassiel, Edea becomes the love-object—a clear contrast to La Belle Dame’s behaviour. His gaze is not only found at the beginning of the game, but also concludes it. There is an overview of the scene as it reaches an end, “dyportes and plays & al maner gladnesse / Among these lusty folkes entrecomvned be,”369 but it is also connected to Cassiel’s gaze:

368 Ibid., lines 57-64. This is an extension of three lines: “Li Baudrains lesgarda si fu au cuer ferus / Damors et de biaute qui li corurent sus / Et force ce desir qui si est embatus” MS Bodley 264, fol. 120v.
369 Ibid., lines 447-48.
Among the larger backdrop of texts focusing on female agency, *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* presents a troubling text: in a game that adheres to the rules of *fin ‘amors*, the female devotion and objectification emphasized by the English scribe reveals the limits of female choice. As Kinch notes, “the compilers seem to be deeply reflecting on both the limits and possibilities of female response to male authority and imposition.”371 This sentiment appears elsewhere in the manuscript as well. In a sixteenth-century lyric added on fol. 56r by Scribe 11, for instance, a speaker writes, “ffor euery daye / they wait ether pray / wher-so they may, / and make butt game.”372 The figurative ‘game of love’ may take place in the Chamber of Venus, but is ruled by the authority of men. The inclusion of *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* in the Findern MS, then, appears to be unmasking the bounds of female agency in the marital ‘game of love:’ a tension between “confirming” and “resisting” gender norms in courtly narratives.373

This position is further reinforced by the ad hoc frame of war in the excerpt, for the *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* excerpt seems conscious of its place within the larger context of warfare.374

The excerpt begins by Cassamus’ commands to Ydorus and Betis to entertain their prisoner of
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370 Ibid., lines 454-59.
371 Kinch, “‘To thenke what was in hir wille,’” 733.
372 See also McNamer, “Female Authors,” 304.
373 Kinch, “‘To thenke what was in hir wille,’” 733.
374 While Henry Bradshaw believed a lost bifolium had begun *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* excerpt, Derek Pearsall has argued that the extract is complete. Derek Pearsall, “The English Romance in the Fifteenth Century,” *Essays and Studies* 29 (1976), 71n1.
war: “do hym chere, & wyth that maide of pris, / That ys faire Ede, make hym aqweynted be.”

As I have mentioned, the main purpose of this episode is political: pair Edea and Cassiel, an enemy and captive of Cassamus’ side. As Cruse remarks, the episode in *Les Voeux du Paon* offered “a ludic and amorous parallel to the warfare that rages outside the palace and city walls.” The game itself takes place in a woman’s ludic space, in which “these fresh[e] ladyes & these lorde ben sette / On kussynys of silk to-gedir to and to, / Spekynge of loue & other thyngges mo.” But combat is never far from their thoughts: after Ydorus replies to Betis’ question, she turns the conversation to war and, more importantly, playfully vows to love Betis should he return from war with Clarus. Notably, the excerpt does not end with the game or Cassiel’s gazing at Edea. Rather, it turns to Clarus’ camp and his strategies for warfare. While the young folks are playing *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment*, Clarus is complaining “of the grette slawtre, and of the grette affray / [That] he and his men hadde yn the hom coming.” When he hears that his cousin Cassiel has been captured, he dissuades Marcien from launching an attack on the city, noting that Cassiel is likely at play with the young women and not in any real danger. The concluding line in the excerpt highlights the nobility of Clarus’ four sons, all knights who have just returned from hunting: “Men seyd of th ese iiij was a noble syȝte.”

Why conclude here? This stanza does not end a section in *Les Voeux du Paon*, which continues to describe the qualities of the knights and plans for warfare. Rather, framing the game sequence within the larger events of war reflects the separation and uncertainty of combat—and demonstrates again
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375 Mark Cruse, *Illuminating the* Roman d’Alexandre, 28.
378 Ibid., lines 469-70.
379 Ibid., lines 496-504.
380 Ibid., line 567.
the active, participative method of selecting and editing by the Findern MS’s scribes and compilers. While war is still presented in a ludic atmosphere, feelings of separation from a lover appears immediately following the excerpt in the lyric “A Woman’s Lament Against Fortune.” Possibly from the point of view of a woman spurred by real-life circumstances, the lyric describes the hardship one experiences at the separation of a loved one: “And thynke what sorowe is the departing / Of two trewe hertis louyng faithfully; / For partyng is the most soroughfull thynge / To my entent, that euer yet knewe I.” In her plea to Fortune, the female speaker seems at first glance to be participating in the social play of love, but, as McNamer observes, this particular lyric insists on showing “the continuing pain of separation”—a pain that differs from the pain of unrequited love and sorrowful longing often found in courtly love lyrics. While the lyric’s juxtaposition with the excerpt may be coincidental (if the composer merely found some empty space in the manuscript), the themes of love and loss nevertheless resonate in both texts—and evidence of similar juxtapositions of lyric and canonical texts can be found elsewhere in the manuscript. Husbands of gentry women often had to travel for great lengths of time for warfare or work. If the primary purpose of the Le Roi Qui Ne Ment excerpt was to provide rules or a mnemonic for the game or encourage debate, as with other demandes d’amour collections, then it would have been reasonable to end the excerpt after the game episode. The apposition of Le Roi Qui Ne Ment and depictions of war therefore indicates more than simply a courtly game within a chivalrous setting. While its original context denotes a game of leisure for élite readers, the scribe’s selective editing of the Le Roi Qui Ne Ment excerpt in the Findern MS

381 McNamer, “Female Authors,” 310.
382 For a comprehensive discussion of the Findern anthology in the fin’ amors tradition, see Cynthia Rogers, “‘Make thereof a game:’ The Findern Manuscript’s Lyrics and their Late Medieval Textual Community,” PhD diss (Indiana University, 2015).
reflects not only the adaptation of a French courtly game in the context of a female provincial
gentry readership, but also offer a complex reading of female identity, one that is able to
reinforce or counter other behavioural identities offered by other texts in the manuscript. The
game Le Roi Qui Ne Ment may have been played by the readers of the Findern MS in
Derbyshire, but the inclusion of the text also offers a different kind of ludic experience:
participation in debating the role and agency of women.

From the manuscript evidence, the demandes d’amour were clearly not as popular as a
game in England, but their appropriation demonstrates how these game-texts could move fluidly
and easily into different manuscript contexts for different purposes. The demandes d’amour were
used by individuals across the social strata in question-and-answer, dialogue, and narrative form
and were used as a playable text in the sixteenth century as well. Highlighting the (re)discovery
of the ‘active audience’ concept in game studies, a concept already well-known to medievalists,
Bryan Behrenshausen illuminates what he sees as a fallacious structure-player binaristic
understanding of games that has resulted from a traditionally formalist approach to game studies:
“[m]odels of a gaming situation couched in logics of relations between readers and texts cannot
completely account for the complex, multifaceted operations of power crisscrossing this
particular situation, whose domains entail juridical, protocological, infrastructural, racial,
geopolitical, algorithmic, cultural, and economic.”³⁸³ Le Roi Qui Ne Ment excerpt works because
it is able to perform at multiple levels of meaning: as a game, as an exploration of gender
normativity, as a narrative, and as a debate among other texts, among other things. The Old
French game Le Roi Qui Ne Ment, for English readers, is not taken at face-value and instead
played according to multiple influences imposed on the text. While we must account for the

various cultural, social, material, and political processes that makeup this gaming situation—and, of course, texts—it is therefore not enough to reduce the player to one body among many within a larger assemblage of influential entities. As Taylor remarks, “players do not just consume. Or act as passive audience members of, the game but instead are active co-creators in producing it as a meaningful experience and artifact.” Devaluing the role of the player not only potentially relegates the idea of ‘player’ to an ideal or abstraction, but also fails to take into account the distinct *modus operandi* of gameplay: player agency between players and other game elements. And it is this interaction—the connection between a player and their game—that renders the experience significant. For medieval game-texts in particular, this convergence occurs primarily through a player’s engagement with a manuscript. The next section of this chapter focuses on another game-text that was also adapted from Northern France, but still circulated primarily as a game enjoyed by the gentry in England: the fortune-telling game *Ragemon le Bon*.

### 3.3 Tracing Ragemon

The pivotal role that the provincial gentry household played in the transmission of vernacular literature in England has been well established by scholars. Games sit at the nexus of narrative and the material world and, as a result, share a close relationship with manuscripts in the Middle Ages. As I discussed in Chapter 1, their occasional cultural expression as *text* sometimes masks their wider associations as participative, interactive entertainment. Literary
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scholars tend to stress the importance of recreational literature in gentry households, but the
gentry could enjoy literature within a larger constellation of amusements, including chess and
game problems. As I have shown, such activities often shared a closer relationship with literature
than has previously been thought. Like modern interactive fiction that combines game elements
with narrative, game-texts move fluidly between media we frequently delimit as either
‘literature’ or ‘game.’ For medieval audiences, who enjoyed the oral performance of recreational
literature alongside other entertainments, the boundaries were not so clearly fixed.

In this section, I chart the transmission and social evolution of the heretofore little-
discussed Anglo-Norman game-text *Ragemon le Bon* to more fully understand how it operated as
a site of engagement and entertainment both for poets and gentry audiences and blurs the
theoretical boundaries between ‘game’ and literature.\(^{386}\) Situated in Quire 22 of Oxford,
Bodleian Library, MS Digby 86 (fols. 157r-164v)—a thirteenth-century trilingual quire that
includes *Les Miracles de Saint Nicholas* [the Miracles of Saint Nicholas], Marian prayers, and
the Middle English lyric on the transience of worldly goods, “Worldes Blis”— *Ragemon le Bon*
is an amorous game of chance that consists of fifty stanzas each revealing a player’s fortune,
often about matters of love. Found in manuscripts over a 300 year period, *Ragemon le Bon*
surfaces in various guises in manuscripts for gentry audiences in England, including its
incorporation into Anglo-Norman clerical texts and Middle English Chaucerian games; attesting
to its chameleon-like nature, the game-text moves from provincial households of the emerging
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gentry to networks of urban players in London. While it would likely be fallacious to consider this game-text as a reliable reproduction of actual courtly or social behavior, the projections and characters arising from *Ragemon le Bon*—and its subsequent play in gentry households—provide an arena for exploring the attitudes and spaces afforded for play. Studying these game-texts also provides insight into “how the gentry saw themselves, [and] if possible how others saw them, bearing in mind that identities are multiple and changeable.”387 The enduring play of *Ragemon le Bon* and its successors thereby offers further understanding into how the transmission of games could fluidly move between spaces as diverse as play and piety, and more generally between what we deem ‘game’ and ‘literature,’ repurposed according to the needs and desires of its players.

The first surviving witness of *Ragemon le Bon* appears in the diocese of Worcestershire, England during the later thirteenth century; a unique witness of the game appears in England on fols. 162r-163v in MS Digby 86 (ca. 1372-82), a commonplace miscellany tied to known gentry households. The manuscript was likely copied by its first owner, Richard II de Grimhill, a member of the lesser gentry who had ties to families in royal courtly circles, including the Beauchamps of Warwick.388 Richard de Grimhill was not a knight, but, rather, a legal attendant and land owner, and was one of three Worcestershire men “sent out as justices to examine infringements of the Magna Carta.”389 The other family names that appear in MS Digby 86, the Underhills and the Pendocks, are also related to Richard through marriage and as

In the early fourteenth century, MS Digby 86 passed from Richard to Amice, his daughter, who in turn married Simon Underhill. Throughout this history, MS Digby 86 persisted as a personal object whose readers continually added marginalia, notes, pen trials for wills and documents, and other content. Through this ongoing process, MS Digby 86—and by extension \textit{Ragemon le Bon}—became a personal item and social text passed down among a community of readers.

\textit{Ragemon le Bon} appears in the manuscript without instruction or any indication of its ludic, interactive nature. All early courtly games of chance, as far as we know, included a mixed company of players (both men and women); only later in the fifteenth century did some of them develop into strictly women’s games. Players may have played \textit{Ragemon le Bon} in a number of different ways: players might have copied stanzas onto pieces of parchment with a seal and string attached, since “Ragman Rolls” (rolls of deeds on parchment) likely inspired the mechanics of the game. Alternatively, players may have chosen a fortune at random from the manuscript by attaching a string to the margin or by using their fingers to point to a fortune. This divination mechanism differs from other medieval literary games such as \textit{Chaunce of the Dyse}, which uses three dice instead of string to dispense fortunes to players. Good fortunes typically depict riches, favorable character traits, success in love, courtly behavior, eloquence, and fame, while misfortunes highlight the player’s fickleness, folly, gluttony, danger, pain, or other foibles.

\footnote{390 Miller, “The Early History of Bodleian MS Digby 86,” 42.}{Miller, “The Early History of Bodleian MS Digby 86,” 42.}

\footnote{391 For a detailed description of later hands and additions, see Miller, “The Early History,” 23-56.}{For a detailed description of later hands and additions, see Miller, “The Early History,” 23-56.}

\footnote{392 See Nicola McDonald's examination of fifteenth-century women's games, including \textit{Ragman Rolle}: McDonald, “Games Medieval Women Play,” 176-97 (see chap. 1 n. 14).}{See Nicola McDonald's examination of fifteenth-century women's games, including \textit{Ragman Rolle}: McDonald, “Games Medieval Women Play,” 176-97 (see chap. 1 n. 14).}

\footnote{393 “Ragman Roll” was also the document used by the Scottish gentry and nobility to swear allegiance to King Edward I between 1291-92.}{“Ragman Roll” was also the document used by the Scottish gentry and nobility to swear allegiance to King Edward I between 1291-92.}

\footnote{394 See Chapter 1 for a discussion of divination, probability, and fortune-telling games.}{See Chapter 1 for a discussion of divination, probability, and fortune-telling games.}
Through this nonlinear, interactive reading/play experience, the collection of character-defining fortunes given to players would generate an emergent story that could change with each new iteration of gameplay; as a precursor to our modern role-playing games, medieval players became protagonists immersed in the game’s imaginary world at the same time that they may have enjoyed the display of etiquette and social mannerisms afforded, and indeed, encouraged, by these games.

The origins of *Ragemon le Bon* as a parlour entertainment begin on the Continent. Amorous textual games of chance such as *Le Jeu d'Aventure* [the Game of Chance] (ca. 1278) and *Le Jeu d’Amour* [The Game of Love] (MS ca. 1400) both arose in Northern France during the late thirteenth century, possibly influenced by earlier courtly question-and-answer and debate games. While *Le Jeu d’Aventure* employs string and *Le Jeu d’Amour* uses dice for its divination mechanism, both game-texts emphasize courtly behavior—or deviation from it—in their fortunes, enabling players to engage in “mock courtship” similar to *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* discussed above. By receiving random stanzas, players could insert themselves directly into the courtly rhetoric.

*Le Jeu d’Aventure*, which shares the same mechanics as *Ragemon le Bon*, appears on fols. 259v–60v in Paris, BnF, fonds français 837, a celebrated collection of fabliaux and other literary entertainments copied in a Northern Francien hand. For the most part, fortunes in *Le Jeu d’Aventure* emphasize desirable and undesirable character descriptions emanating from courtly social conduct. An example of this can be seen in fortune nine of *Le Jeu d’Aventure*, which describes the ideal characteristics of a courtly lady:

---

395 The *Archives de littérature du Moyen Âge* titles the work “Les gens d’aventure,” but will I use modernized spelling throughout.
396 Green, “‘Le Roi qui ne ment,’” 211-25.
Envoisiez, cortois et jolis
Serez, et bien parlanz toz dis,
Et amerez chiens et oisiaus,
Et mult aurez de vos aviaus. 397

[You are fun-loving, courteous and pretty, and an excellent speaker with your words. You love dogs and birds and will have much of your desire] 398

The fortune reinforces desirable attributes, including beauty, eloquence, and a fondness for pets, and illustrates how players could imagine themselves in this fictive world of courtly dalliance. For male players, receiving these fortunes could provide a humorous subversion of gender normativity. The aim of the fortunes was not simply to describe a player’s character, but, rather, to draw out innuendo and playful courtship, much like modern party games such as “Truth or Dare” and “I Never,” which also operate on the basis of truth-telling to reveal new aspects of players and craft moments of embarrassment and dalliance. Fortune six, for instance, suggests that the male player has a love interest: “Grant joie aurez de vostre amie, / Quarr ele eert cortoise et jolie. / Si l’amerez et ele vous / Toz jors léaument par amors” [Great joy you have of your friend, for she is courteous and pretty. If you love her and she you, everyday you will love each other loyally in love], 399 which could lead to further discussions of this hypothetical lady. Aristocratic audiences could cultivate the courtly codes of behavior exemplified in Le Jeu d’Aventure through, in David Burnley’s words, “the enjoyment of leisure” that provides privileged access to

398 All translations of Le Jeu d’Aventure are my own.
specialized knowledge—we can recall here Oiseuse (literally, ‘Leisure’) from Roman de la Rose, who guards the garden of courtliness.400

Other fortunes in Le Jeu d’Aventure foresee the player becoming a profitable merchant, facing extreme poverty, or losing his or her reputation if they do not roll a five or a six while gambling.401 The most appalling fortunes insult the player by accentuating loathsome traits or skills, delineating the player a “borderes” [boaster] or “chat uslé” [howling cat]. Other fortunes, such as number twenty-five, caution the player to change their ways or else suffer dire consequences: “Ades seras-tu truferiaus, / Uns borderes, uns lêcheriaus; / Sages cuido estre et cortois, / Et si ne sez vaillant .ij. nois” [Right now you are a scoffersous braggart and a glutton; Live with wise thoughts and courtesy, or you will not be valiant for ten nights.]402 But arguably, the worst fortune occurs at the end of the collection in stanza thirty-four; unlike other fortunes, it is juxtaposed with a moral lesson in the last fortune—notably the only one in the collection:

Volentiers alez au bordel,
Et où l'en jue au tremerel,
Et gaaigniez mult à envis;
Por ce estesrvous trop chétis.403

[You desire to go to a brothel, where you play at gambling and win considerably again and again; For that you are unduly wretched.]

400 David Burnley, Courtliness and Literature in Medieval England (New York: Longman, 1998), 53. While courtly activities were not often thought of as “useful accomplishments” for men and women, the plethora of descriptions of courtly ladies reading stories of Troy, playing chess, sewing, feeding a pet, and playing musical instruments indicate a need to illustrate external symbols of courtly refinement.

401 Jubinal, “Geus d’Aventures,” line 50, lines 19-20, lines 1-4. While gambling was widespread across medieval Europe, ecclesiastics frequently condemned it and Kings wrote laws and decrees to ban it. Gamblers most often used dice-games due to the element of chance to quickly impact one’s life through wins and losses. Ecclesiastics and lawmakers also considered gambling a sin in all forms because it often led to greed, addiction, idleness, and other poor habits. See Purdie, “Dice-games,” 167-84 (see chap 1 n. 76). See also Bubczyk, “Ludus inhonestus et illicitius?,” 23-43 (see chap 2 n. 190).


403 Ibid., lines 133-36.
Onques n’amastes fausseté,
Mes toz jors bien et léauté,
Et ne vous esmaiez de rien,
Qu’encore aurez assez de bien.404

[Never fancy falseness but live with goodness and integrity every day. Do this and you will not fear anything. Again you will have plenty of virtue.]

Fortune thirty-four is the only stanza to combine both a socially immoral locale and the evils of gambling. For the poet, all forms of gambling, whether wins or losses, constitute a wretched act. Thus, even a good gambler is a despicable individual. Perhaps in response to this despicable behavior, the last fortune does not ascribe a laudable personality trait to the player—unlike all the other good fortunes—but, rather, points to virtue only as an aspiration.

Although Le Jeu d’Aventure’s thirty-five stanzas do not directly mirror those of Ragemon le Bon, they do share a number of phrases and themes, suggesting that both poems either derived from an earlier copy that had circulated in Northern France and passed to England, or used common stock phrases from variant games that a ‘game designer’ poet could easily manipulate. In Ragemon le Bon, for instance, fortune two reads:

Vous fausez trop sovent vos dis,
Touz jours irrez de mal en pis:
Ore vous repentez come sage,
Ou vous averez la male rage.405

404 Ibid., lines 137-40.
[You often unreasonably falsify your words. Every day goes from bad to worse: now you should repent as one who is wise or you will have violent pain.]406

The first two lines also appear in stanza seventeen of Le Jeu d’Aventure as:

Vous faussez trop sovent voz dis;
Toz jors alez de mal en pis.
Votre parole est trop volage:
Si vous en tient l’en mains à sage.407

[You often unreasonably falsify your words. Every day goes from bad to worse. Your word is too fickle: unless you remain in the hands of the wise.]

Both stanzas share the phrasing and the theme of a false tongue, but Ragonen le Bon differs in its emphasis on repentance and the risk of experiencing acute physical pain; the term ‘male rage’ appears elsewhere in thirteenth-century poetry in England as a description of violence, though the term ‘rage’ was also associated with toothaches. Given the description of the player’s false words, the source of pain might also spring from the mouth.408 Due to the modularity afforded by

406 All translations of Ragonen le Bon are my own.
408 In a thirteenth-century Anglo-Norman political lyric concerning King Edward I, the poet writes, “Nostre rays Edward ait la male rage! [May our King Edward suffer the male rage].” In the Anglo-Norman fabliau Le Chevalier à la Corbeille [the Knight of the Basket], found uniquely in MS Harley 2253, fols. 115v-117r, the lady uses the term ‘male rage’ to wish the death of a peeping old crone (line 80, fol. 116r). According to the Oxford English Dictionary, the term ‘rage’ became associated with toothaches around 1352, though the association may have occurred much earlier. The use of the term in Middle English appears in Terms of Association as “A rage of tethe,” located in Aberystwyth, National Library of Wales, MS Brogyntyn 2.1 (olim Porkington 10) (c. 1450) and Oxford, Bodleian Library, Rawlinson D.328 (c. 1475). In 1538, the Flemish composer Thomas Crecquillon uses the phrase ‘male rage’ in his song “Alix avoit aux dents la male rage” [Alice has a violent toothache], wherein Alice’s beloved promises to cure her toothache by inducing heartache in its stead. See: “rage, n.” OED Online. Oxford University Press, accessed September 2011, http://www.oed.com/view/Entry/157438?rskey=r1IB1v&result=1&isAdvanced=false and Stefano Eramo, “Classical Music and the Teeth,” Journal of the History of Dentistry 60.3 (2012): 36-37.
game-poems such as *Ragemon le Bon* and *Le Jeu d’Aventure*, game poets could adapt the game to incorporate regional tastes for the audience.

The use of string or parchment to draw fortunes therefore does not provide a full understanding of the game: the game’s cultural meaning—and enjoyment—is also dependent upon its ability to craft a ludic textual fortune for the players. Cultural game studies scholars have downplayed the importance of narrative in games, arguing that mechanics make a game enjoyable and the content simply provides an aesthetic exterior (a user interface) in which to interact with the game’s mechanics.\(^{409}\) In *Ragemon le Bon* and *Le Jeu d’Aventure*, however, emergent narrative becomes a critical point of cultural signification. Both games deploy the same mechanics, but their differing content suggests that they are not, in effect, the same game.

### 3.3.1 *Ragemon le Bon* and the Fabliau Tradition

While *Le Jeu d’Aventure’s* fortunes stem from the realm of courtly romance for an aristocratic audience, *Ragemon le Bon’s* poet, I argue, plants his game-text squarely within the genre of fabliaux for gentry players: popular tales that are noted for their erotic, bawdy comic traits and end with an explicit (and often mock serious) moral. The scribe-compiler situated *Ragemon le Bon* close to the earliest extant Middle English fabliau *Dame Sirith* (fols. 165r-168r), in which the young clerk Wilkin seduces Marjeri, a merchant’s wife, through the trickery of Dame Sirith. “These often scandalous works,” Howard Bloch writes, “are filled with the celebration of bodily appetites: sexual, economic, and gastronomic, and, yes, even a human need

---

\(^{409}\) Game designer Raph Koster argues that fiction in games are “convenient metaphors” that wraps the patterns underlying games. *A Theory of Fun for Game Design* (Arizona: Paraglyph, 2005), 80-86.
Take, for instance, Ragemon le Bon’s thirty-fifth fortune, which portrays a male player’s rakish sexual conduct:

Jolifs estes et amorous,  
Mout fotez en nuiz, en jours;  
Jà si lede ne troverez,  
Que volourenters ne la fouterez (140-44).

[You are spirited and amourous. You fuck often night and day. No matter how ugly you find a woman you will fuck her willingly.]

This fortune is book-ended by a fortune calling out a disdainful male speaker on the one hand and a player who will be granted income, palfreys, stallions, and weapons of great renown on the other. The verb ‘foter’ (literally, ‘to fuck’) appears multiple times in Ragemon le Bon, along with references to cheating, love affairs, and the baring of backsides to lovers. Le Jeu d’Aventure does not make any reference to such activities. In fact, the word ‘courtesy’ only appears five times in Ragemon le Bon’s fifty fortunes, though a number of fortunes do highlight aristocratic values.

Ragemon le Bon’s eleventh fortune appears to be a re-written version of stanza twenty-one in Le Jeu d’Aventure, which effectively subverts a theoretically positive blessing of childbirth with accusations of whorishness:

Bele fame aurez-vous assez,  
Se vous de li estes amez;  
Grant plenté d’enfans averez,  
Ne jà ne moutéplierez.411

[Lovely lady will you have enough that you are well loved. You will have a great abundance of children and will always multiply.]

In Ragemon le Bon, stanza eleven reads:

Bele femme et pute averez,  
La si ben ne vous garderez;  
Enfaunz plusours averez,  
Mès jà un soul ne engendrez (84-87).

[Lovely lady, embodying whoreishness, indeed you do not heed virtue; you will have plenty of children, but never produce a soul.]

Whereas the abundance of children promised in *le Jeu d’Aventure* secures a strong family lineage, the whore will only produce “soulless” bastards due to her lustful behavior, which hinders her family’s future. Another example of this fabliau-like text occurs in two fortunes that offer differing views on courtship; a player’s loyalty to his lover in *Le Jeu d’Aventure*’s sixth fortune (quoted above) is entirely absent in *Ragemon le Bon*’s fourth and sixth fortunes, which emphasize carnal pleasure:

Grant joie averez de vostre amie:  
Deu vous tenge longe vie!  
Touz jours serez mout vaillaunt,  
E voustre amie atretant (13-16).

[You have great affection for your friend: God will grant you a long life! Every day you will have a great deal of bravery and your friend will attend to you.]

De vostre amie joie averez  
Quant entre vos braz la tendrez:  
Mès poi la goie vous durra,  
Kar ele vous enginera (21-24).

[You have great affection for your friend when she tenderly enters your arms: but the joy that you two have will not endure because she will deceive you.]

In this way, *Ragemon le Bon* positions portrayals of courtly refinement alongside burlesque outcomes, associating itself more closely with the scandalous actions often found in the manuscript’s lais and fabliaux: in fortune six, for instance, the woman portrayed in the vignette
becomes a vehicle for deception, much like Dame Sirith. *Ragemon le Bon* thus provides its readers with a social game situated within a popular genre that still emphasizes status, even as it diverges from its origins on the Continent.

With a few exceptions, many of the extant Anglo-Norman fabliau appear in MS Digby 86 and MS Harley 2253, both of which were gentry manuscripts.⁴¹² Two of the fabliaux found in MS 837 also appear in MS Digby 86, namely *Les Quatre Sohais Saint Martin* [The Four Wishes of Saint Martin] (fols. 113r-13v) and an excerpt of *Le Blasme des femmes* [The Reproach of Women] (fols. 113v-14r). Together with works that focus on edification or entertainment, including *La Complaine de Jerusalem* [The Complaint of Jerusalem] by Huon de Saint-Quentin (fols. 103v-105r), Robert Biket’s *Le Lai du Cor* [The Lai of the Horn] (fols. 105r-109v), “Le Fablel del gelous” [The Fabliau of the Jealous Man] (fols. 109v-110r), *La Prière Nostre Dame* by Thibaut d’Amiens (fols. 110r-111r), and *La Bestournee* (fols. 111r-112v), this sequence and amalgamation of texts fashions an intertextual debate that Keith Busby observes is “an alternation of the frivolous and the serious, the spiritual and the secular, which creates humor by juxtaposition and *Stimmungsbrechung*”—the breaking of a mood through a *sic-et-non* arrangement of materials.⁴¹³

The poet of *Ragemon le Bon* also arranges the fortunes in a *sic-et-non* structure by weaving together vulgar and devout predictions. In this way, *Ragemon le Bon* offers a text that
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imitates the structures and organization of other recreational texts found in MS Digby 86. We can see a clear juxtaposition between sexuality and piety in fortunes thirteen and fourteen, for instance:

La damaisele ki vous prendra
Mout sovent vout gabera,
Kar ele fra verraiment
Sovent foutre de la gent (49-52).

[The lady that you take will frequently mock you because she will truthfully fuck other men often.]

Vous servirez la fiz Marie
Touz les jours de vostre vie;
Asez averez ben et honour,
Taunt cum servirez toun seingur (53-56).

[You serve the Virgin Mary all of the days of your life; you will have plenty of good fortune and honor, because you often serve your husband.]

Fortune thirteen, addressed to a male player, exemplifies the uncouth, sexually deviant woman—a motif found in fabliaux and anti-woman texts. Fortune fourteen, on the other hand, promises good fortune to the female player as a reward for her obedience to her husband and for her devotion to Mary. The game-text presented to the reader comprises two alternate modes of interaction: the playfully ergodic, and the morally linear—though both can overlap and influence each other. If Ragemon le Bon displays a scandalous sexuality in comparison to Le Jeu d’Aventure, it also displays a stronger disposition toward repentance and spirituality. Fortune forty-nine remarks that God will grant the player a long life due to his or her courtesy (198-99), and fortune one notes that God will grant the player “grant honour, / E grant joie et grant vigour” [great honor, great joy, and great vigor] (1-2). Fortune nine indicts the player with four sins:
“Iveresse et glotonnie, / E coveitise, et lecherie, / Ces quatre serount assis / Mout ferm en vostre quer toudis [drunkenness and gluttony, jealousy and lechery: these four sins are seated and firmly fixed in your twisted heart] (33-36). Interspersed with offensive, libidinous fortunes, then, *Ragemon le Bon* also incorporates discussions of God’s will more strongly than does *Le Jeu d’Aventure*, which only mentions “Dieu” twice. For the *Ragemon* poet, God is the true agent of fortune.

When players draw the fortunes at random, the contrasting representations of women and men encourage discussions of social conduct and gender, much like the anti-woman debates found in the manuscript’s earlier *sic-et-non* organization and texts. In a much-discussed Anglo-Norman section in the manuscript (fols. 65r-112v), the scribe-compiler combines three French verses—*Les Quatre Souhais*, an excerpt from *Les Blasme des Femmes*, and an excerpt from *Le Chastie-Musart* [The Punished Bawd]—to craft a singularly misogynistic dialogue around the terrible nature of women. The fabliau that begins this dialogue prefaces the other two in a ludic context, so when *les Blasme des Femmes* is inserted without warning, it occurs as a follow-up discussion of the wife’s shrewishness in squandering four wishes granted to her husband by Saint Martin. In this way, the scribe-compiler seeks to juxtapose multiple dialogues for discussion and reflection. In the Middle English bird-debate *The Thrush and the Nightingale*, the apposition of pro- and anti-woman speakers indicates again a trend toward performing divergent points of view. After the four initial stanzas, the entire text, save for a few narrative embellishments, is composed of dialogue. The readers (or performers) participating in these *sic-et-non* discussions are “expected to understand by the way the book is put together that the world is not simply pro
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414 *Les Blasme des Femmes* is also reproduced as a singular text in MS Harley 2253 (fol. 111r) and is coupled with *Des Trois Femmes, Le Dit des Femmes*, and Nicolas Bozon's *De la Femme et de la Pie*.
or con, but pro and con.”

In *Ragemon le Bon*, then, the sexual fortunes, or woman-as-plaything, promised through mock prognostication are thus offset by the *sic-et-non* structure established by the mixed collection of fortunes and the mixed company of players. Dictated by random chance, an exposition of a player’s supposed prostitution or piety hangs upon the whims of fortune. In effect, the players can then safely stage a ludic performance as the types of obscene, excessive, and bawdy characters found elsewhere in the manuscript’s fabliaux, together with characters promoting good behavior, governance, and devotion.

The nature of women is a popular topic in MS Digby 86 and, as we have witnessed in the fortunes above, forms a core theme throughout *Ragemon le Bon*. Fortunes for women appear throughout the game-text, and eight fortunes addressed to female players are added at the end of the game. Couched with misogynistic nuances, the game-text contrasts fortunes depicting deplorable character traits, such as the revelation that the player is a “baudestrote” (female pimp) (192), with honorable characteristics, including courtesy, beauty, and honour. Fortune forty-three praises the lady as “bloundette, doucette estes, et bele” [demur, docile, you are, and beautiful] before praising the man who can bed her and later boast of the coupling (169-72). It is not enough, however, to observe that many of the fortunes depict women as projections of male desire; in Jacques Lacan’s well-known seminar “Courtly Love as Anamorphosis,” he presents courtly love as a process of sublimation by elevating a woman from the realities of the everyday to an ideal, a *Thing*: she embodies an inaccessible Lady-Object, viewable only in a distorted manner. In *Ragemon le Bon*, the unabashed carnality of a number of fortunes provides for the
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players a means of discussing, and occasionally mocking, this seemingly inaccessible idealism or “black hole’ around which the subject’s desire is structured”—and bound up with such enjoyment that the game creates a jouissance of sorts. In fortune thirty-two, the poet imagines a future sexual encounter for a player: “Meuz amerez od une pucele, / En verger ou en praele, / Pur toucher sur la mamele, / Que le jeu de la frestele” [You will love a whore, in orchard or in meadow, for touching on her breast begins the game of the flute] (128-31). The sexual imagery in this vignette displays satirical overtones, again characterizing the players as the rakes and whores typical of fabliaux. For fortune thirty-two, the recipient is sexy, naughty, and ‘lucky in love.’ But it is the game’s interactive and emergent narrative that reveals the matrix of possibilities inherent in the fortunes. Imagine, if you will, a series of potential consequences for a player drawing fortune thirty-two: a female player may blush, a wife may look at her ‘rakish’ husband disdainfully, a young man may play up the lusty character in response to another’s fortune, or a player may respond in protest against his or her fortune. This, of course, is speculation, but I wish to make it clear that these fortunes are not meant to be read in isolation; rather, Ragemon le Bon encourages provocation and intrigue: its fortunes seem clearly intended to spur laughter and reflection, protest and delight.

What, then, do all of these fortunes say about their players? Ragemon le Bon’s preoccupation with sexualized bodies and uncouth behaviour, set against a contrasting moral didacticism and aristocratic attributes, proffers a mode of entertainment rooted in literary realism; as an interactive fabliau, the game is positioned not only as a satire of courtly conduct, but also as a means through which players could instill values within their own social context. The game-text, in other words, provides a safe space for transgressing societal norms, not to
practice courtly virtue, such as in the games *le Roi Qui Ne Ment* or *Le Jeu d'Av
tenue*, but, rather, to indulge in what may be considered a Bakhtinian “carnivalesque” game world. I should note that the “carnivalesque” play space created in *Ragemon le Bon* does not adhere to the modern interpretation of Bakhtinian games as sites of freedom and liberation, but rather the medieval understanding that cultural norms could be subverted within the fabliau tradition.\(^{418}\) Family members, friends, and other guests of the household may have enjoyed the game in the chamber or parlour in small groups of three to six players, as I mentioned earlier. This notion of the medieval home as a “locus of domesticity,” as discussed by Jeremy Goldberg and Maryanne Kowaleski, was “an ideological construct that invested much greater cultural significance in the physical structure as a stage for playing out a range of social and gender relations.”\(^{419}\) *Ragemon le Bon*, and other games played in the gentry home, also provide a place to perform and to play with gender and social roles, much like the adapted *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* excerpt in the Findern MS.

### 3.3.2 Other Ragmans

Whether or not *Ragemon le Bon* circulated in France is currently unknown. The transmission of *Ragemon le Bon* and its succeeding text the Chaucerian *Ragman Rolle* (early fifteenth century) in England attests to the presence of a sustained lay readership for more than three hundred years. A variant of the game appears in Cambridge, Trinity College, MS B.14.39

---

\(^{418}\) See Mikhail Bakhtin, *Rabelais and his World*, trans. Hélène Iswolsky (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1941). Bakhtin also notes how carnivalesque humor is “reflected in the *fabliaux*” genre in particular, 5.

(ca. 1255-60), a large trilingual manuscript containing over 140 items including a large collection of Middle English devotional verse; the poet recycled the game-text as two Anglo-Norman verse games on 73r and 82v, which bear a close resemblance to a number of the fortunes found in *Ragemon le Bon* and *Le Jeu d’Aventure*. Departing from the *fin’ amors* and fabliau traditions, the poet focuses instead on Christian themes. The first fortune appears as an adapted version of fortune two in *Ragemon le Bon* and fortune 17 in *Le Jeu d’Aventure*:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ky ke e faus sovent en diz} \\
\text{Tut jurs irat de mal en piv} \\
\text{Deu la durat male rage} \\
\text{Si vus ne resperatit del utrage.}
\end{align*}
\]

[That (You) unreasonably falsify your words, every day goes from bad to worse. God will give you *male rage*, if you do not recover from offence.]\(^{420}\)

Similarly, fortune two manifests as an altered warning against gambling incited by fortune twenty-three in *Le Jeu d’Aventure*:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Hasard [ne] riches vus pose} \\
\text{Mez tut faudiz ben dire le [c]hose} \\
\text{Lescet vius e dez quariez} \\
\text{Vou vous nature et en povertez.}
\end{align*}
\]

[Hazard has not made you rich for a long time, but you must say well of the thing: leave the four-sided die or else you will be in a state of poverty.]\(^{421}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Riches serez, bien dire l'ose} , \\
\text{Mès en la fin, à la parclose,} \\
\text{Li geus des tables et des dez} \\
\text{Vous chaceront à povretez.}
\end{align*}
\]

\(^{420}\) Fol. 73r, lines 1-4. I am currently preparing an edition of the game sermons. This translation is my own.

\(^{421}\) Fol. 73r, lines 5-8.
[You are rich, but in the end, at the conclusion, with games of tables and dice you chase at poverty.]422

In this game-text, the well-to-do gambler foolishly losing his fortune is exchanged for a player-portrait attempting to foolishly become rich by playing Hazard. This intermingling of both Ragemon le Bon and Le Jeu d’Aventure in the game-text therefore indicates that both texts, and possibly numerous variants, were accessible in England.

Entered by Scribe E, the two religious game-texts illustrate a clear use for ludic entertainments in providing interesting material to lay church-goers and clergy, and the fortunes are noticeably more devout in style. The first instance on fol. 73r appears in a quire mostly composed by scribe E and dedicated to sermon material. The poet, however, has adapted the game to clerical aims. In fortune five, for instance, the author compels the player:

Lesset tute ribaudies  
E p[er]ches e vilaynies  
Car la joye por dura  
Mes u peuie grant sera

[Leave all your ribauldries, sins and villanies, and you will receive great joy.]423

Two Middle English works surround the second sermon: a lyric praising the Virgin Mary and a meditation on the passion of Christ. John Scahill argues that English content is present in the manuscript because it was “the preferred language for oral delivery.”424 That the game-texts are entered alongside English works both denotes an organizational scheme based on theme—rather than on language—and suggests that they were similarly meant to be read aloud, perhaps as part

423 Fol. 73r.
of a sermon or religious gathering.\textsuperscript{425} Karl Reichl notes that priests may have also used Trinity B.14.39 as a preaching book, and John Frankis has more recently identified the manuscript as a tool used by clerics for teaching the laity.\textsuperscript{426} Other popular works were also converted to homilies in the manuscript (e.g., Robert of Gretham’s \textit{Miroir}), again suggesting an effort to appeal to the laity with familiar, ludic texts.\textsuperscript{427}

In the Chaucerian game-poem \textit{Ragman Rolle}, the poet eschews the types of vulgarities found in \textit{Ragemon le Bon} in favor of a more courtly ladies’ social game; beginning with a set of instructions to “drawith a strynge” blessed by “Kynge Ragman,” the twenty-three fortunes reveal, for the most part, favorable character portraits—describing women of a certain ‘kynde’—and focus on the ladies’ social behaviors and virtue. Fortune three begins, for instance, with the portrait of a traditional courtly lady: “Your colour fresshe, your percyng eyen gray, / Your shap and your womanly governaunce.”\textsuperscript{428} Fortune nine accuses the player of a loose tongue that will “hurte and offende” many men, while fortune thirteen praises the lady’s “joly and light” complexion and skill at dancing.\textsuperscript{429} \textit{Ragman Rolle} therefore indicates the game-poet’s conscious


\textsuperscript{426} Karl Reichl has produced the only edition of the manuscript, including a detailed description of its contents, \textit{Religiöse Dichtung im englischen Hochmittelalter} (München: Fink, 1973) and John Frankis, “The Social Context of Vernacular Writing,” 182.

\textsuperscript{427} Scahill, “Trilingualism,” 22n13.


\textsuperscript{429} Wright, “Ragman Rolle,” lines 100-104 and 131-33.
effort to modernize and sophisticate the game to bring it in line with the then-current literary
trends by lengthening each fortune to eight-line stanzas in iambic pentameter, alluding to
classical gods such as Mercury and Bacchus, and explicitly personifying Lady Fortune as an
agent of chance. *Ragman Rolle* resonates with the English literary mode of love poetry in late
medieval England, situated alongside such works as Chaucer’s *Parliament of Fowls*, John
Clanvowe’s *The Book of Cupid* (or, *The Cuckoo and the Nightingale*), Thomas Hoccleve’s *The
Letter of Cupid*, and John Lydgate’s *The Complaint of the Black Knight*.

*Ragman Rolle* appears in two fifteenth-century anthologies, Oxford, Bodleian Library,
MS Fairfax 16 (ca. 1450) and Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Bodley 638 (ca. 1475-1500), but
unlike other miscellanies studied here, both manuscripts arose from commercial book production
in London.\(^{430}\) John Gower certainly knew of the popularity of a certain Ragman game, noting
that “me drawe Of Rageman upon the chance” in his *Confessio Amantis* (ca. 1390), and his
allusion to the game suggests that *Ragemon le Bon* or another lost version of the game may have
been circulating in London in the late fourteenth century.\(^{431}\) John Norton-Smith notes that MS
Fairfax 16 was a bespoke manuscript for an individual from the “landed gentry,” composed of
individual booklets that were later bound together.\(^{432}\) Much of the manuscript’s contents
comprise material from the *fin’amors* tradition. Most investigations of the manuscript note the
themes of love that tie the manuscript together, but the structure of MS Fairfax 16 also seems

\(^{430}\) See Carol Meale, “Book Production and Social Status,” in *Book Production and Publishing in Britain, 
reprint 2007), 201-38. See also A. S. G. Edwards and Derek Pearsall, “The Manuscripts of the Major

\(^{431}\) Gower, *Confessio Amantis*, 1:8.2378-9 (see chap 1 n. 56).

p. vii.
determined to promote interactive elements of courtly recreation and experience. Booklet I, in particular, provides an arrangement of texts encouraging debate, role-play, and discussion, including Chaucer’s *Parliament of Fowls* and Clanvowe’s *The Book of Cupid*. Teresa Tinkle argues that the manuscript emphasizes themes of Cupid, love, and courtly experience for a decidedly male audience as forms of “masculine socialization”—for male readers who fashion themselves as “lovers and poets”—but the presence of *Ragman Rolle*, together with texts that consider concerns of women, suggest that there was likely a female audience in mind as well.\(^{433}\) Games, of course, represented a significant recreational activity both for men and women of the gentry and it is unsurprising that Booklet I should reproduce a large number of ludic texts such as Richard Roos’s translation of *La Belle Dame Sans Merci* and Chaucer’s *Legend of Good Women*—texts that scholars such as Betsy McCormick and Nicola McDonald have argued are, in fact, game-like.\(^{434}\) The scribe pairs *Ragman Rolle* with *La Belle Dame Sans Merci*—notably, a pairing not unlike the juxtaposition of *La Belle Dame Sans Merci* and *Le Roi Qui Ne Ment* in the Findern MS—suggesting that readers can either play at discovering their own feminine attributes or discuss the character of Roos’s cruel mistress in the game of love. Just as *Ragemon le Bon* depicts its players within a fabliau-like world, so do *Ragman Rolle* and *Chaunce of the Dyse* situate their players within a realm of English courtly dalliance.

Fortune eleven from *Ragman Rolle* is preserved at the bottom of fol. 263v in a fifteenth-century household miscellany, London, British Library, Additional 36983 (ca. 1442), immediately after the Middle English *An ABC of Aristotle* (fol. 263r-v) and preceding the Middle


\(^{434}\) McDonald, “Games Medieval Women Play,” 176-97; McCormick, “Remembering the Game,” 105-31 (see chap 1 n 14).
English romance *Ipote* on fols. 264r-268r; the folio 262r-263v seems to be an insert written by Scribe 5 in a Bastard Anglicana hand (his only contribution to the manuscript), with the *Ragman Rolle* excerpt appearing at the end of the leaf.\(^{435}\) The scribe does not make clear his intentions for the fortune’s inclusion, though it may have been repurposed to highlight the Virgin Mary’s positive attributes. Alternatively, the excerpt may have been the result of a player’s fortune that they had wished to record:

Who couthe suche a woman counterffete  
That on alle ffollys hathe counpassion  
Upon the ryche for thayre yeffe Grete  
On the powre for thayre gode condicicion  
On bisschops for thayre absolucion  
Prste and clerke for thay can syng swete  
On knyghte & squyers for thayre renoun  
On yomen & Gromes for thay can stifly schate [hold their post]  
En . V . O. Y\(^{436}\)

Situated alongside Chaucer’s minor poems, the *Speculum Guy of Warwick*, the *Cursor Mundi*, *The Pricke of Conscience*, and other devotional texts, MS Additional 36983, like MS Digby 86 and MS Harley 2253, was devised to suit the needs of a specific household and audience. This reconfiguration of *Ragman Rolle*, then, remind us of the fluidity that texts could exhibit as audiences actively engaged in the creation and dissemination of content, “whether for private reading or group listening.”\(^{437}\) The excerpt could thus act as a prompt for prioritizing time both for devotion *and* play, and, like the religious game-texts in MS Trinity B.14.39, illustrates how

\(^{435}\) Gisela Guddat-Figge, *Catalogue of Manuscripts Containing Middle English Romances* (München: Wilhelm Fink Verlag, 1976), 166.  
\(^{436}\) London, British Library, MS Additional 36983, fols. 262r-63v.  
\(^{437}\) Rust, *Imaginary Worlds*, 10 (see chap. 2 n. 218)
readers and poets could use popular ludic pastimes to reflect on deeper spiritual issues, just as people today use lyrics and out-of-context phrases to create online memes.

Although no extant printed copies of Ragman Rolle exist, copiers’ marks in MS Bodley 638 suggest that it was among the key texts handpicked for printing and the primary copy-text for Wynkyn de Worde’s early sixteenth-century edition of Ragman Rolle. In the nineteenth century, William Carew Hazlitt recorded an envoy to a now-lost printed edition of de Worde’s Ragman Rolle:

Go lytyl rolle where thou arte bought or solde
Amonge fayre women behaue the manerly:
Without rewarde of any fee or golde,
Saye as it is touchynge trouthe hardly: & c.

[E]nprynted at London in the Fletestrete at the [Sygn]e of the Sonne by Wynkyn de Worde.438

MS Bodley 638 also served as a copy-text for de Worde’s now-lost edition of Chaunce of the Dyse, Chaucer’s Parliament of Fowls, and John Lydgate’s Complaint of a Lover’s Life. In her study of MS Bodley 638 as a printers’ copy, Mary Erler notes that the manuscript was in circulation for at least five years in publishing houses, beginning around 1526, and was also used for Richard Pynson’s edition of The Parliament of Fowls.439 As a printed text, Ragman Rolle, having endured for over 300 years as a parlour game for gentry audiences, becomes entangled with the emerging commercial book trade. The envoy marks Ragman Rolle as a desired commodity for gentle ladies, and its attempt to forego “fee or golde” denotes a not-so-subtle irony given the text’s adherence to virtue: Ragman Rolle would certainly not fetch a high price, but, being a game now marketed to players, de Worde would likely desire compensation for it.

---

438 William Carew Hazlitt, Bibliographical Collections and Notes 1867-76, 495.
De Worde’s edition, as Alexandra Gillespie writes, “conflates the object of the game with a commerce in books.”440 This process of commercialization highlights an important turning point in the production and dissemination of games in Europe. Gaming pieces, such as pawns and portable boards, were historically produced by craftsmen alongside other items.441 De Worde’s printer’s shop, along with the transmission and commoditization of other games in England, marks the first step toward a wider distribution of games and, later, the foundation for game manufacturers and designers in England (a topic I discuss further in Chapter 4).442 Games of luxury, once played by the gentry and urban élite, had transitioned into objects of entertainment that were now marketable to an increasingly diverse social spectrum of consumers.

3.4 Conclusion

The study of entertainment in the Middle Ages reveals a great deal about the various spaces allocated for play—that is, pastimes that manifest as material, oral, and textual places and objects. The culture of the gentry in England, which was often socially ambivalent and “pervaded by a sense of insecurity,” adopted pastimes such as Ragemon le Bon, debates on matters of love, and table games perhaps to “convince others of their worthiness.”443 While they could emulate games once played by French ladies of the upper-classes, they seemed just as pleased to be labelled “whores” and “rakes”—a seeming contradiction that nonetheless pivots

442 In 1628, a group of playing card producers in London rallied together and, with the Charles I’s support, founded the Worshipful Company of Makers of Playing Cards by royal charter. See Chapter 4 for an in-depth discussion of early modern playing cards.
around a sense of pleasure in assuming various identities and roles. The gentry were not the only class to enjoy such games, however. In England poets, compilers, and readers also adapted games to explore their own interests and issues, and game-texts were often appropriated to teach moral and spiritual lessons. As for the technological restrictions a medieval manuscript can afford, a compiler, scribe, or ‘game designer’ poet, whose games were destined for recreation in a household and community, would be designing for a player experience—an experience rooted in familiar literary genres and imaginary worlds. From their origins as aristocratic games in Northern France, *Ragemon le Bon. Le Roi Qui Ne Ment*, and their various manifestations indicate not only an enduring household interest in this form of entertainment, but also a progression of the game-text’s *mouvance* as it circulated among and between pockets of gentry networks and beyond; the game-text’s open structure pushes its variance beyond the stylistic and compilatory to additionally reflect the interests, tastes, and pleasures of particular reading communities. *Ragemon le Bon* and its variants, as I have argued, are not simply texts but modular objects that were repurposed, refashioned, reimagined, and replayed throughout the later Middle Ages. It is their fluidity as forms of entertainment—as activities that encourage *dalliance* and elicit participation—that enabled these games to inhabit different textual spaces. While they may be considered edge cases within the modern definition of games as posited by game studies scholars, game-texts like the *demandes d’amour* and *Ragemon le Bon* nevertheless show that medieval players considered them games and ludic experiences. When game-texts such as *Ragemon le Bon, les demandes d’amour*, and the other literary games are viewed as idiosyncratic texts by medievalists and game studies scholars, they easily succumb to neglect, with little acknowledgment of their perceived cultural value. When considered together, however, these game-texts reveal a revealing landscape of literary entertainment; medieval audiences did not
simply enjoy hearing, reading, discussing, and debating their fabliaux, romance, and courtly narratives: they wanted to be immersed in them.
Chapter 4

Geography, Narrative, and the Rise of the Thematic Game

The very point of the map [is] to present us not with the world we can see, but to point toward a world we might know.444

“Win the game and you win the world!” proclaims a 1987 television commercial voice-over for Risk, a turn-based strategy board game designed by filmmaker Albert Lamorisse and released in 1957 as La Conquête du Monde [The Conquest of the World].445 The most popular version of the game, purchased by Parker Brothers and released in 1959 under the title Risk: The Continental Game, requires two to six players to compete for a visual map of the world by forging alliances with other players, defeating opponents, and sweeping across continents with personal armies. Although Risk’s game board exemplifies a simplistic likeness of the Earth, with forty-two territories representing various geographical regions and countries (e.g. “Western Canada” and “China”), its reconceptualization of geography depicts an imagined world with

Sections of this chapter have been published previously in Playthings in Early Modernity: Party Games, World Games, Mind Games, ed. Allison Levy (Kalamazoo: Medieval Institute Publications, 2017).


which to envisage alternate histories and outcomes. The world of Risk, in other words, takes our own geographical boundaries as a point of departure, for the territories and transit lanes it maps globally do not correspond to real-world national borders and terrain, even at the beginning of the game.446

Game designers have a notable history of using maps in the creation of fictional worlds, whether based on a fantastical realm, like that of the Victorian board game The Prince’s Quest (1890), or on our own world, as in the modern video game series Port Royale (2002–2012), which takes place in the seventeenth century Caribbean. Certainly by the 1950s, the use of geography, maps, and fictional worlds as primary spaces for cultivating engaging experiences based on narrative themes was a well-established phenomenon in the board game industry.447

More than a background aesthetic, maps and geography in modern board games such as Uncle Wiggily (1916), The Wizard of Oz (1921), Diplomacy (1959), and Carcassonne (2000) have, as in Risk, become key components of gameplay; players must conquer a map, race to a finish line, or explore an unknown world. In Ticket to Ride (2004), for example, players attempt to connect their train routes to specific secret destinations on a large map of North America, or, in the case of the game’s first expansion, Europe.448 Maps and cartography have also been essential components of a player’s experience in digital games. For early mass-market video games such

446 Countries like Brazil are highlighted as distinct territories while other countries, like Chile, are not present in the game at all but rather under the territory labeled “Argentina.” Canada comprises four territories, while the six territories that divide Africa are a combination of region and country (North Africa, Egypt, East Africa, Congo, South Africa, and Madagascar).

447 Other contemporaneous games, including Tactics (1954) and Stratego (1961), deploy political and military game spaces through the use of geography and topological terrain, and influenced later board games such as Axis and Allies (1981), The Settlers of Catan (1995) and video games such as Europa Universalis (2000), and Sid Meier’s series Civilization (1991-2017).

448 Ticket to Ride, Alan R. Moon (Days of Wonder, 2004) and Ticket to Ride: Europe, Alan R. Moon (Days of Wonder, 2005).
as The Legend of Zelda (1986) and The Secret of Monkey Island (1990), the “function of maps,” writes Thomas Rowland, “revolutionized gameplay” since players could orient themselves visually, track progress, and explore imaginary worlds. These cartographic elements enable players to play through the game’s narrative at the same time they chart the player’s progress through the game. In immersive three-dimensional worlds such as the massively multiplayer online games World of Warcraft (2004-present) and Lord of the Rings Online (2007-present), maps not only designate a player’s location in the world but also adhere to the cartographical principles of scale and proportion. Maps and other visual markings, whether on a board or screen, often concretely and unambiguously represent imaginary worlds in games, even if some of the gameplay takes place externally through the use of tokens or a player’s imagination.

In an illuminating study on the creation of secondary worlds—that is, fictional places that differ from our own world (the “Primary World”) in some significant way and comprise the background setting in literature, film, and other media—media studies scholar Mark Wolf notes that despite the long history of fictional worlds in literature, beginning in classical antiquity, “few board games propose an imaginary world as a setting for game events,” with the exception of tabletop role-playing games such as Dungeons & Dragons (1974). For Wolf, games like

---

449 Thomas Rowland, “We Will Travel by Map: Maps as Narrative Spaces in Video Games and Medieval Texts,” in Digital Gaming Re-Imagines the Middle Ages, ed. Daniel Kline (New York: Routledge, 2014), 190. Rowland argues that modern video game maps often function like medieval mappamundi, depicting maps as narrative spaces that do not always correspond to proper measurements. For video game maps, traveling through the map “tracks our progress through the narrative . . . [t]he map, then, serves as a space in which narrative experience is organized and undertaken, the space and action inseparably and intrinsically tied.” “We Will Travel,” 199.

450 Players can use optional tabletop or virtual maps in Dungeons & Dragons to accommodate gameplay in detailed fantasy worlds such as Eberron and Faerûn (Forgotten Realms), for instance, although visual maps and markers are not required for gameplay. Mark J. P. Wolf, Building Imaginary Worlds: The Theory and History of Subcreation (New York: Routledge, 2012), 71 and 139.
Risk are not considered imaginary (or as imaginary), possibly due to their likeness to our own world, high degree of abstraction, and lack of detail: to “qualify something as a secondary world, then, requires a fictional place (that is, one that does not actually appear in the Primary World)” and is “not simply geographical but experiential.” But to overlook games like Risk as imaginary, fictional worlds highlights a preconception when determining which games and other media constitute imaginary worlds in the first place, especially given a game’s sense of immersion into that world or, at least, the presentation of an alternative world in its depiction of fictional history and events. How did tabletop and digital games come to occupy a visual, imagined space represented and communicated by topography, maps, and physical spaces? What cultural conditions gave rise to the “thematic” game—a game that depicts a central theme through its aesthetic, rules, mechanics, and narrative? For modern tabletop and digital games, geography and a sense of place play a key role in the design and play of the game; but, as we shall discover, this affinity with cartography and topography was not always present and has developed over time.

Historians of cartography have long understood the power of maps to convey stories, politics, propaganda, and cultural ideologies, and this projection is notably reflected in early modern games. As game historian Jon Peterson writes, in premodern Germany and elsewhere the “invention of wargames depended on recent improvements to maps, which were . . . only loosely anchored to the grid of longitude and latitude.” In previous chapters, I have discussed how medieval games trouble modern definitions of ‘game’ through their fluidity across multiple manuscripts, textual mouvance, appropriation of material, and multipurpose design. Medieval

451 Wolf, Building Imaginary Worlds, 25.
game-texts, in particular, blur concepts such as ‘game’ and ‘literature.’ In the following pages, I shift the discussion from an examination of medieval notions of ‘game’ to chart the first-ever historical development between medieval and modern games. This chapter emends Wolf’s near exclusion of games as imaginary worlds and broadens the critical discourse on game history and culture by examining how a progressively refined system of geography, changing ideas of spatiality, and the regulation of international trade enabled tabletop games to shift from abstract structures enjoyed by players in the Middle Ages, in which game narratives take place off the board, to ludic objects that incorporated real-life elements in their design of fictional worlds—thereby fashioning spaces that could accommodate narrative visually on the board itself. This chapter asks: if medieval games broaden the modern definitions of ‘game,’ then how do we arrive at our present understanding of games (and especially games that encompass imaginary worlds)? How, in other words, do we move from chess to Risk?

The focus on early modern tabletop games in the following pages moves the discussion of premodern games from the use of games found in medieval manuscripts and game boards for learning or leisure to the emergence of narrative moments arising from players’ interactions with game objects through a game’s mechanics and visual aesthetic. While medieval literary games like Ragemon le Bon fashion a playful, intimate atmosphere with which to immerse oneself within narrative conventions and genres, imaginary worlds, as Wolf puts it, “are realms of possibility, a mix of familiar and unfamiliar, permutations of wish, dread, and dream, and other kinds of existence that can make us more aware of the circumstances and conditions of the actual world we inhabit”—a sentiment that, as we shall see, can be extended to tabletop games as well. 453 The rise of what Donald Smith calls the “cartographic imagination” in sixteenth-century

---

453 Wolf, Building Imaginary Worlds, 17.
Europe, coupled with a growing demand for novel entertainments, not only spurred new ways of
crafting and visualizing topographical game worlds but also set the initial parameters of the
familiar large-scale commercial production and distribution of games in later centuries.\(^{454}\) By
analyzing tabletop games—that is, playing cards and board games—and their intersection with
geography in early modern England, Italy, and France—places that set the course for the
development of the modern game—this chapter charts the emergence of games as commercial
commodities and precursors to the representation of real and fictional worlds on gaming objects.

### 4.1 Medieval Game Spaces

Most gameplay is an intrinsically spatial activity. Whether a player moves pieces around
a game board as in *Sorry!* (1929), manipulates pieces on a two-dimensional grid such as in the
video game *Tetris* (1984), or catches someone in an outdoor game of *Hide-and-Go-Seek*, the
game must take place in a visible, defined space. Huizinga observed this phenomenon in his
seminal study of play, *Homo Ludens: The Play-Element in Culture*. He defines play, in part, as
an activity limited by locality that takes place within a confined space:

> All play moves and has its being within a playground marked off beforehand either

materially or ideally, deliberately or a matter of course. Just as there is no formal
difference between play and ritual, so the ‘consecrated spot’ cannot be formally
distinguished from the play-ground. The arena, the card-table, the magic circle, the
temple, the stage, the screen, the tennis court, the court of justice, etc., are all in form and
function play-grounds, i.e. forbidden spots isolated, hedged round, hallowed, within

which special rules obtain. All are temporary worlds within the ordinary world, dedicated
to the performance of an act apart.455

For Huizinga, these ordered spaces—also called “magic circles”—constitute imagined, ordered
worlds set against the uncertainty of “ordinary life.”456 Yi-Fu Tuan attributes place to locations
created by human experiences, while space has no social connotations whatsoever.457 Meaning
ascribed to a given space yields a place; places signify human intent, much like the spaces found
on a game board. In their Rules of Play: Game Design Fundamentals, game scholars Katie Salen
and Eric Zimmerman build upon Huizinga’s magic circle, arguing that the ‘magic circle’ is “a
special place in time and space created by a game . . . To play a game means entering into a
magic circle, or perhaps creating one when the game begins.”458 Tabletop and outdoor games fill
physical spaces (e.g. a soccer field). Spaces and places in virtual games are understood through
the game’s user interface and mechanics. “In sports or board games,” as game studies scholar
Jesper Juul observes, “the game space is a subset of the space of the world: The space in which
the game takes place is a subset of the larger world, and a magic circle delineates the bounds of
the game.”459 Games spaces, which I have previously discussed elsewhere, are ways “in which to
ascribe meaning to cultural objects and circumstances.”460 Huizinga’s game/life dichotomy is too

455 Huizinga, Homo Ludens, 10 (see chap. 1 n. 19).
456 Huizinga, Homo Ludens, 11-12. As Huizinga argues, “[i]nside the circle of the game the laws and
customs of ordinary life no longer count. We are different and do things differently,” Homo Ludens, 12.
457 Yi-Fu Tuan, Space and Place: The Perspective of Experience (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota,
1977). For a similar argument, see also David Seamon and Jacob Sowers, “Place and Placelessness,
Edward Relph,” in Key Texts in Human Geography, eds. P. Hubbard, R. Kitchen, and G. Vallentine
458 Salen, Rules of Play, 95 (see chap. 1 n. 30).
459 Juul, Half-Real, 164 (see chap 1 n. 3).
460 Patterson, “Introduction: Setting Up the Board,” in Games and Gaming in Medieval Literature, ed.
rigid a definition to encapsulate the complexities of play, for it does not reflect negotiable consequences and effects that could arise from playing a game (e.g. losing money at gambling). Nonetheless, his definition highlights the need for physical spaces in order to play a game.

In previous chapters, I have detailed the ways in which medieval games intersect with manuscripts, manifesting as textual objects such as chess problems or game-texts. This chapter explores the development of premodern games on other game objects: playing cards and board games. In order to examine how maps and geography influenced games in early modern Europe, it is also necessary to first discuss how players conceived the spatiality of tabletop games in the Middle Ages. Games in the Middle Ages were largely abstract affairs, wherein boards displayed simple shapes that represent relations between the pieces. The three most popular board games in the Middle Ages—chess; tabula (an early form of backgammon); and merels (also known as Nine-Men’s Morris)—were not medieval inventions, but rather entered Europe sometime between 900CE-1100CE. While all three games underwent experimentation and change as players tested new rules and modes of material representation, the boards themselves remained relatively unchanged, save for the specific colours and materials used in their design and construction of the board. Chess, arguably the most popular board game in medieval Europe, underwent developments of representation by modifying the simple, abstract Islamic pieces to gaming pieces that reflected social roles in medieval society. Yet despite changes to the gaming pieces, the board’s own developments remained conservative in nature. The Indian game chaturanga shares the same 8 x 8 board (a rectangular board subdivided into sixty-four

---

461 For a detailed discussion of the relationship between games and life, see Chapter 5.
462 See Murray, A History of Chess (see chap. 2 n. 7)
chequered or unchequered squares) as its descendent chess. A number of games created in the Middle Ages, such as checkers (English draughts) and Les Jeu de Dames [the Game of Ladies] use the chessboard, likely due to its familiarity and availability. The creators of Courier Chess, a strategy board game developed in twelfth-century Germany, extended the chess board to twelve rows in order to increase the number of playing pieces on each side: twelve pawns, a king, a man (counsellor), a queen, a schleich (a smuggler or fool), two couriers, two bishops, two knights and two rooks.\textsuperscript{463} Tafl (table or board) games—one of the most popular families of games in Europe before chess—were also played on chequered or latticed boards and consisted of two armies of unequal power (a ratio of 2:1). The size of the board varied depending on the game [e.g., Hnefatafl (The King’s Table) was played on an 11 x 11 or 13 x 13 board], and later games adapted the chequered board. Fox and Geese, a variant of the game Halatafl (the [fox’s] tail), adapted the board to form a cross-shaped board comprising five squares and nine positions for a total of thirty-three positions. Whether board games entered medieval Europe from elsewhere or were invented by medieval players, and whether they had dozens or hundreds of places, they all share a similar abstract representation of the game space.

While at first glance the abstract medieval game boards might suggest that medieval games lacked an imagined space, the fictional elements in medieval games manifested through other means. The writers and compilers of game-texts such as the fifteenth-century Middle English Chaucerian poems Chaunce of the Dyse and Ragman Rolle crafted emergent narrative experiences, much like our modern role-playing games, by depicting the traits and outcomes of players.\textsuperscript{464} In Ragemon le Bon, for instance, an unwitting player may suddenly become a rake or

\textsuperscript{463} Murray, A History of Chess, 483.

\textsuperscript{464} See Chapters 1 and 3 for further discussions of medieval interactive narrative games.
whore should he or she pick the wrong piece of string, thereby revealing an undesirable fortune. For board games, reflections of medieval culture manifested primarily through representational gaming pieces on the board and narratives off the board. Chess pieces, which track movements and positions on the board, not only changed in the Middle Ages to reflect positions in medieval society (e.g. the advisor in *chaturanga* became the *fers* [queen]), but also shifted visually from abstract Islamic shapes and pieces to models in the likeness of individuals and occupations they represented. For instance, a knight gaming piece might sit atop a horse, as in the Lewis chessmen set and the thirteenth-century copper alloy chess piece found in Derbyshire, England. Board games could thus both occupy and represent various cultural spaces in medieval society by exhibiting privileged, courtly spaces—as in the game of chess depicted in Évrart de Conty’s *Les Eschéz d’Amours* [The Chess of Love] (c. 1400)—or the kind of rabblerousing ribaldry depicted in the Middle English romance *The Tale of Beryn* (15th c.).

Themes of love and war could also be potentially played out during a game, and medieval chess problems occasionally hinted at such narratives taking place on the board. But the most obvious examples of imagined medieval game spaces and storytelling occurred in chess allegories. I have discussed medieval chess in Chapter 2, but would like to return to chess for a moment in order to highlight another deployment of the game as it relates to the creation of game worlds. Through allegory, chess could reflect moral agendas and vagaries of medieval life. The

---


467 See Chapter 2 for a discussion of narratives embedded in medieval chess problems.
most popular medieval chess morality—with more than 250 extant copies—is the Genoese Dominican friar Jacobus de Cessolis’s thirteenth-century sociopolitical chess allegory Liber de moribus hominum et officiis nobilium super ludo scacchorum [Book of the morals of men and the duties of nobles—or, the Book of Chess] (late 13th c.).\textsuperscript{468} In an effort to illustrate the hierarchies and roles of medieval society, Cessolis discusses the responsibilities of each chess piece, the rules of chess, and, notably, ascribes a different role to each pawn (e.g. innkeeper). Jenny Adams notes that “in breaking with the state-as-body model, the chess allegory imagined a more diverse social order organized primarily around associational and professional ties.”\textsuperscript{469} For Cessolis, medieval subjects were no longer “imagined as parts of a biological organism,” but rather “independent bodies in the form of pieces bound to the state by rules rather than biology.”\textsuperscript{470} Rules, enacted within the spaces of medieval society, were the glue that bound communities together—an outlook easily exemplified on the chessboard. While Cessolis notes that the chessboard in his Latin sermon represents Babylon, the board’s abstract chequered aesthetic enables the allegory to apply more widely to medieval societies in general. Cessolis’ Liber, which was translated into several languages across Europe, attests to a potent allegorization of the world, which clearly resonated with medieval communities and readers.

Cessolis’ Liber diverged and expanded from earlier chess moralities which also used the board and gaming pieces as metaphors for social hierarchies. One of the earliest recorded uses of


\textsuperscript{469} Adams, Introduction to The Game and Playe of the Chesse, 4.

\textsuperscript{470} Adams, Power Play, 19-20.
the chessboard as a reflection of the world occurs in a little-known thirteenth-century Latin chess morality text, *Quaedam moralitas de scaccario* (c. 1250, called “The Innocent Morality” by game historian Harold Murray). Found in at least fifteen extant manuscripts spanning from the thirteenth to the fifteenth centuries, the allegory begins with a clear depiction of the game board-as-life metaphor:

Mundus iste totus quoddam scaccarium est, cuius unus punctus albus est alius vero niger propter duplicem statum vite et mortis, gratie et culpe. Familia autem huius scaccarii sunt homines huius mundi, qui de uno sacculo materno extrahuntur, et collocantur in diversis locis huius mundi, et singuli habent diversa nomina. Primus enim rex est, alter regina, tertius rocus, quartus miles, quintus alphinus, sextus pedinus.

[This whole world is a kind of chessboard, of which one square is white but another black on account of the twofold state of life and death, of grace and sin. Moreover, the pieces of this chessboard are the people of this world, who are drawn out of one bag—a mother’s womb—and are positioned in various places of this world, and every single one has a different name. For the first is the king, the second the queen, the third the rook, the fourth the knight, the fifth the bishop, the sixth the pawn].

---

Like Cessolis, the anonymous author of Quaedam, initially considered to be Pope Innocent III (1198-1213) or John of Wales (a late thirteenth-century Franciscan friar),\(^{473}\) equates each chess piece to a specific social role within medieval society, each of which is considered crucial to a functioning community. The “world” in this instance is not a reflection of the earth’s physical geography, but rather the social fabric of civic life. Everyone has a role to play in the world, but after death each individual, according to his or her station, is placed in reverse-ranking order: “Sic fere quique maiores in transitu huius seculi inferius collocantur, scilicet, in inferno sepeliuntur, pauperes in sinum Habrahe deportantur” [In this way almost all those who are greater during the passage through this world are placed lower, which is to say, they are buried in hell, whereas the poor are carried off into the lap of Abraham].\(^{474}\) Although subsequent stanzas outline the rules of the game with descriptions and allowed movements for each piece, a format similar to other early medieval chess poems, the author’s purpose here is to highlight the corrupt behaviour associated with each role. Viewing oblique movements on the board—such as those made by the fers [queen], alfin [bishop], and knight—as a sign of depravity, the poet reveals how each piece (save the righteous king and rook) is inherently fraudulent. The fers, which can move one square diagonally, displays how “avarissimum sit genus mulierum, nichil capit—nisi mere detur ex gratia—nisi rapina et iniusticia” [womankind is most greedy, it takes nothing—unless it be given purely as a favor—if not by seizure and injustice].\(^{475}\) However, most of the sinful

\(^{473}\) Murray dismisses both Pope Innocent and John of Wales as authors of the allegory, though he speculates that the Quaedam could have been an early work by John of Wales which was altered by later scribes. Kristin Juel has more recently attributed the poem to John of Wales. See Murray, A History of Chess, 532 and Kristin Juel, “Defeating the Devil at Chess: A Struggle between Virtue and Vice in Le Jeu des esches de la dame moralisé,” in Chess in the Middle Ages and Early Modern Age: A Fundamental Thought Paradigm of the Premodern World, ed. Daniel O’Sullivan (Berlin: De Gruyter, 2012), 87.

\(^{474}\) Fein, “All the World’s a Chess Board,” 280.

\(^{475}\) Ibid., 280.
characteristics attributed to each piece by the author concern high taxation, exchange of funds, and the acquisition of worldly goods or favour. The knight, for instance, “set tertium punctum obliquant cum tallagia et exactiones iniustas extorquent a subditis [move[s] aside a third square when they extort unjust taxes and expulsions from their subjects,” while he accuses bishops and other high-ranking ecclesiastical officials of paying their way into power, essentially becoming “promotores et Diaboli procurators” [promoters and agents of the Devil]. Adams observes that in the Quaedam “the act of play exposes our inherently corrupt practices” and “mankind’s tendency toward social disorder.” While at first glance the game resembles an organized society, by learning the game the reader/player also opens him or herself up to earthly temptations. The allegory ends by emphasizing the game of chess as one of the devil’s tools: if a player loses the game (of life), the devil escorts his or her soul to hell. Games in the Middle Ages are often criticized as frivolous pursuits—especially pastimes popular among the aristocracy like hunting and chess—but here the soul-saving message is broad and applied generally to the populace; for the author, winning the “game” ultimately means to reject its worldly appeal and instead seek salvation through God’s forgiveness. Often paired with John of Wales’ thirteenth-century Communiloquium, a comprehensive manual for preachers that discusses the relationship between various social groups and their communities, Quaedam thus provides,

476 Ibid.
477 Adams, Power Play, 44.
478 In the Middle English alliterative poem Somer Suneday, for instance, the poet critiques the idle pastimes of the English aristocracy and, by employing the Wheel-of-Fortune game, urges the audience to rid themselves of earthly pleasures and focus instead on winning the game of (after)life—that is, the arrival into heaven. Kimberly Bell, “‘Rounes to Rede:’ Ludic Reading Games in the Alliterative Wheel of Fortune Poem Somer Suneday,” in Games and Gaming in Medieval Literature, edited by Serina Patterson (New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2015), 169-86.
479 Susanna Fein speculates that the inclusion of Mundus in MS Harley 2253 could indicate that John of Wales was an influence on the Ludlow scribe. Similar to the Mundus author, John also criticizes the legal
through chess, a cautionary tale for those who stray from virtuous behaviour in a treacherous, uncertain world.

The metaphor of chess as a microcosm of the world is further developed in Le Jeu des esches de la dame moralisé [the Chess Game of the Moral Lady], a little-known Middle French religious chess allegory influenced by Cessolis’ Liber and dating from at least the fourteenth century. As the narrator notes, “Le monde ressemble proprement a une tablier qui est de diverses couleurs [the world properly resembles a game board that is diverse in colour],” and each letter of the Middle French word “world”—M-U-N-D-E—represents a different undesirable trait about the world (e.g. “M” represents “miserable”), described at length as facets that lead the pious astray.480 The allegory shifts to describe a chess match between a Lady and the devil in order to win her soul. The devil’s black chess pieces each represent sins (e.g. his two bishops represent volupté [voluptuousness] and ypocrisie [hypocrisy]), while the Lady’s white pieces stand in for virtuous traits (e.g. her two rooks represent patience [patience] and loyauté [loyalty]. Chapters describe each move of the game played on the board, which are interpreted allegorically with Biblical and Classical examples “in order to demonstrate the power of a particular sin or virtue.”481 Set in the “world,” the game thus comprises, like Mundus, a tale warning readers to guard themselves against such sinful behaviour with the power of their own virtuousness: the game

480 London, British Library, MS Additional 15820, fols. 2v-3r. Le Jeu des esches de la dame moralisé is only found in MS Additional 15820, fols. 1r-61r, and is the first medieval chess allegory to use modern rules. Written on vellum by a single scribe, Le Jeu comprises the entire manuscript and is little known to scholars. For discussions of the text, see Murray, A History of Chess, 558 and 780-81; Harold J. R. Murray, “An Early Work of Modern Chess,” The British Chess Magazine 29 (1909): 283-87; Östen Södergard, “Petit poème allégorique sur les échecs,” Studia Neophilologica 23 (1950-51): 127-36; and Juel, “Defeating the Devil,” 87-108.  
ends in sixteen moves when the Lady declares checkmate with her pawn, *amour de Dieu* [love of God]—notably also the first piece she moved to begin the game—and thus bookends the match with God’s love.\(^{482}\)

In all three chess allegories the game board-as-world metaphor reflects an individual’s sociopolitical role or the fight to redeem one’s soul. As a scribe added to the end of the chess allegory in the miscellany *Destructorium vitiorum* (finished in c. 1429), “play the game of life warily, for your opponent is full of subtlety, and take abundant thought over your moves, for the stake is your soul.”\(^{483}\) While the material game board becomes a site for earthly pleasure and vice, the conflict chess creates between two opposing players through its multitude of pieces—and the strategies to overcome one’s enemy—renders the game a potent way to perceive the structures and struggles of the world. Yet whether medieval games are played on an abstract board or in a manuscript, neither medium discloses these fictional narratives based on the board or manuscript alone. Indeed, the game boards themselves do not reveal the rules, play, or strategy of the game and without documented rules it is impossible to discern how such games might have been played, as in the unknown game board found in Cambridge, Trinity College, MS O.2.45, fol. 1v—a possible early version of the Danish game *daldøs*.\(^{484}\) Scribes occasionally reveal the ludic nature of game-texts such as *The Chaunce of the Dyse* with images of dice appearing alongside their corresponding stanza, while other game-texts are virtually indistinguishable from the other texts in the manuscript, as in the case of *Ragemon le Bon* in

---

\(^{482}\) Juel observes that the author associates “[g]ood chess play” with “virtuous behavior”: “it empowers the reader to do good and gives one specific instructions for how to avoid sin” in the world.” “Defeating the Devil,” 107.


\(^{484}\) Michaelsen, “*Daldøs*,” 21-31 (see chap. 2 n. 212). See Chapter 2 for a discussion of this manuscript and game.
Abstract medieval games like chess, which depend on the strategic combination and position of pieces on the board, could embody aspects of imaginary worlds as writers projected narratives and themes onto game objects and mechanics, but they clearly differ from later commercial games where the game board acts as a discernable fictional, physical place [e.g. the visualized mansion floor plan in Parker Brothers’ *Clue* (1949)].

Game Historian David Parlett also observes a distinction between what he considers abstract games and proprietary games, noting that two understandings of the term ‘board game’ exist: “positional” (games that rely on the positions of the pieces relative to one another on a surface) and “thematic” (commercial games that are representational, thematic, and performative in their subject matter and often reflect real-life places and activities). Nevertheless, clear differences between positional and thematic games are difficult to discern; as Parlett remarks, “no hard and fast distinction can be drawn between abstract and representational as a classification of games. How representational a game is depends on the level at which it is being played and the extent of its player’s imagination.” Board games like *Risk* and *Clue* can fall under Parlett’s “thematic” category, for their objectives are recognizable as real-world motivations and settings (e.g. to determine who murdered the owner of the mansion, Mr. Boddy, in *Clue*), while chess, Pachisi, Go, and backgammon all sit unequivocally in the “positional” game camp. Yet geography often plays a key role in the formation of a game world, wherein players can engage with the board or playing cards. While some game scholars and designers contend that board games are fundamentally about rules with a thematic layer added [e.g., pleasing aesthetics added to a modest linear race game like Milton Bradley’s *Candy Land*]

485 See Chapter 2 for a detailed discussion of *Ragemon le Bon*.
486 Parlett, *The Oxford History of Board Games*, 5 (see chap. 1 n. 13).
487 Ibid., 6.
(1949)], this thematic layering nevertheless adds to a player’s experience and feeling of engagement. In many cases, the game’s rules and fiction are so intertwined that one cannot be prioritized or teased out from the other, such as in Chaosium’s cooperative adventure game Arkham Horror (1987), wherein players assume the role of investigators to defeat alien creatures and save the world in H. P. Lovecraft’s fictional city Arkham, Massachusetts.488 Yet a player need not necessarily agree with a game author’s intended rendering of the fictional world represented in the game: “the player,” notes Juul, “is aware that it is optional to imagine the fictional world of the game.”489

Harold Murray and Richard C. Bell, two other prominent scholars in the classification of board games, omit thematic games from their catalogues and indexes altogether. In his influential A History of Board-Games other than Chess, Murray’s overarching goals were primarily historical and anthropological as he sought to provide a comprehensive catalogue of games played throughout history around the world. For Murray, positional games, which have evolved over long periods of time, were of more critical importance than newer, modern games which he deemed to be ephemeral and lacking substance; his dismissal of all modern commercial games as “race-games” that “exhibit no new features of aim or rule” and have a relatively short life-span, however, entirely misses the rich historical developments of “thematic” games, including their influence on new game mechanics and genres and their impact on society and culture in general.490 Thematic games also reflect cultural symbols, tropes, and icons visually on the board, creating an experience for players that often mirrors culturally relevant topics and interests, whether or not they are more than a simple race game. If we return to Candy Land for a

488 Arkham Horror, Charlie Krank, Richard Launius, Sandy Petersen, Lynn Willis (Chaosium, 1987).
489 Juul, Half-Real, 141.
490 Murray, Preface to A History of Board-Games, v.
moment, the game was developed in the 1940s to help bring relief to children recovering from polio, and deploys a brightly candy-coloured theme and aesthetic to craft an imaginary escape from illness in a polio ward—a notable and societally useful purpose.\textsuperscript{491} Omitting thematic games from a discussion of game history endorses a false critical hierarchy, setting “positional” games as somehow more worthy of attention despite both the inclusion of simplistic positional games with predictable outcomes (e.g., the merels game \textit{Tic-Tac-Toe}) and the dismissal of the overwhelming long-term commercial and cultural impact of thematic tabletop games like Parker Brothers’ famous economic tour de force, \textit{Monopoly} (1935).

Parlett notes that proprietary games first appeared in the eighteenth century and gained sway in the nineteenth century under commercial producers such as McLoughlin Brothers, Milton Bradley, Parker Brothers, and Ravensburger, but this observation—and other histories of tabletop games—does not account for the circumstances that gave rise to this popularity in the development, enjoyment, and commercialization of games.\textsuperscript{492} What earlier cultural movements and moments prompted the invention and production of thematic games? In early modern Europe—long before the so-called “Golden Age” of tabletop games in America (1880-1913)\textsuperscript{493}—there is evidence to suggest that games began to shift from abstract, positional game

\textsuperscript{491} As Samira Kawash notes, “play in Candy Land is a therapeutic intervention that separates children from their bodies both to protect them from their bodies’ vulnerabilities and to form their bodies and desires into the proper paths.” “Polio Comes Home: Pleasures and Paralysis in Candy Land,” \textit{American Journal of Play} (2010): 186. Games are not simply for child’s play, but can also reveal ideas and philosophies regarding a manner in which cultures understand and shape the concepts of ‘play’ and ‘childhood.’


\textsuperscript{493} Prolific tabletop game collector Alex G. Malloy notes that the “Golden Age” of American games began in the 1870s with the rise of Parker Brothers and McLoughlin Brothers and ended in 1920 when McLoughlin Brothers, once the leading American game manufacturer, were strapped for cash and sold its
boards, in which worlds are imagined through allegories and other narratives outside the game like Cessolis’ *Liber*, to commercially-produced games that included representations of real-world places, activities and, later, entirely fictional thematic worlds.\(^{494}\) It is my contention that games in the early modern period did not simply shift to exhibit thematic, visual imaginary worlds, however; instead, the very notion of a “thematic” game arose due to the changing ideas of spatiality and geography in the sixteenth century—and, in particular, the nascent ‘discovery’ of our own world.

### 4.2 Discovering the World

If games are spatially oriented, then an intermingling of games with geography would seem to be a natural result. Indeed, the ubiquity of modern maps, which represent actual places scaled and proportioned down using digital technology and scientific measurements, presents to us an ordered, structured apparatus with which to orient ourselves in the world. But this understanding of space, born in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries with the rise of accurate cartography as the prevailing form of geographic representation and Western Europe’s rediscovery and translation of Ptolemy’s *Geographia* into Latin, is certainly not the only way to represent the world.\(^{495}\) For mapmakers in the Middle Ages, the creation of a map was largely a

---

\(^{494}\) Juul provides an alternative classification of games based on the levels of meaning and fiction applied to the game: abstract games, iconic games, incoherent world games, coherent world games, and staged games. See Juul, *Half-Real*, 131-32.

\(^{495}\) While Ptolemy’s *Almagest* circulated widely in twelfth-century Europe and had early theories regarding cartography, *Geographia*, which most likely reached England in the latter half of the fifteenth century, was among the most influential texts for ushering in new ways of thinking about the world. *Geographia*, written in Alexandria in the second century CE, was first translated by the Greek monk Planudes from a copy found in Constantinople in 1295 and later translated into Latin in Florence (c.
narrative rather than navigational endeavor; the function of medieval maps was varied, but *mappaemundi* overwhelmingly focused on relaying a narrative of Christian history and the harmonious order of creation. As Robert Rouse remarks, the “medieval spatial imagination was primarily noncartographic”: not only were medieval maps unknown to the general populace, but their purpose was also primarily ideological in nature, with no conception of scale, proportion, orientation, or geographic representation.\(^{496}\) In his study of cartography in medieval Europe, P. D. A. Harvey notes that the “idea of drawing a casual sketch map to show some topographical relationship—the way from one place to another, the layout of fields, the sequence of houses in a street—was one that seldom occurred to people in the Middle Ages.”\(^{497}\) Medieval ideas of spatiality depended on textual, rather than spatial, information: the medieval world, as Rouse observes, “is textually represented as a series of sequentially related individual places, with little or no interest in establishing a sense of realistic distance between them.”\(^{498}\) This sentiment can also be observed in medieval romances such as *Sir Isumbras*, which locates the narrative in ill-defined places across a broader landscape, and *Guy of Warwick*, which references specific locations as key points in the plot as a narratological itinerary of sorts.\(^{499}\) With the exception of maps made by a few mapmakers such as Matthew Paris (ca. 1200–1259), knowledge of the


physical world in the Middle Ages was almost exclusively local and regional: individuals likely could not easily visualize their town, landscape, or nation beyond their own first-hand experience. Medieval games, as we have seen, thus exhibited a similar relationship toward the idea of space as a porous, abstract, and narratological concept.

Geography in the later Middle Ages underwent drastic changes due in large part to improvements on Ptolemy’s principles, the development of meridians and parallels, the invention of better tools for naval navigation, and a reduction in the production cost of printed maps. Ptolemy’s *Geographia* likely reached the British Isles by 1482 and was continuously revised to include “new projections, new maps, [and] new ways of looking at the world.” While early editions were primarily text-based, printings after 1508 included maps and became a definite guide for mapping discoveries in the New World. Sixteenth-century Europe fashioned a new spatial awareness of the world such that, in the words of Monica Matei-Chesnoiu:

> the new possibility of imaginatively inserting the viewer into a representation of space offered a perspective that allowed people on the ground a holistic approach to the world that would not otherwise be possible. It allowed them to conceive of their surroundings, the world, the town, and the landscape, in wide-ranging ways, which may have gone beyond the possibilities of physical reality, but which stayed well within the bounds of imagination.

While Harvey contends that sixteenth-century cartographers developed a sense of “mapmindedness,” Smith goes further to argue that “the new techniques of surveying and

---

502 Monica Matei-Chesnoiu, *Re-Imagining Western European Geography in English Renaissance Drama* (New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2012), 14, emphasis mine.
mapping produced a fundamental shift in the way space was imagined,” suggesting that this new spatial thinking had broader epistemological effects than previously thought. With the adoption of new ways to imagine and reason about physical space, cartographical maps and techniques became a key social and cultural construction that could not only scientifically help people navigate the world, but also make “statements about the world” through the selection and exclusion of cartographical features. Maps, which were once a rare phenomenon, began appearing in plays, books, political pamphlets, poetry, and elsewhere as authors, playwrights, cartographers, and surveyors gained an interest in discourses that combined human intent and the natural world. For perhaps the first time, maps and geographical texts could provide a fuller awareness of one’s surroundings and new ways of viewing one’s world—both locally and abroad. As Donald Smith remarks, “cartographic accuracy began to carry with it a concomitant sense of perceived space, a sense of an implicitly physical volume that could be imaginatively inhabited.”

Cartographers in England continued to produce maps in manuscript form during the sixteenth century, while printed maps were predominantly imported from the Continent. Foreign lands were discovered, explored, and mapped, thanks to expeditions like those conducted by Sir Walter Raleigh and Sir Francis Drake, yet the most significant developments in English mapmaking remained local and within England’s borders. George Lily produced one of the earliest engraved maps of England in 1546, but it was Christopher Saxton—a mapmaker and surveyor—who established a methodology for cartographic practices through instrumental

503 Smith, The Cartographic Imagination, 10.
505 Smith, The Cartographic Imagination, 8.
506 Delano-Smith, English Maps, 50.
surveys of the land, which remained influential well into the eighteenth century. While he began as an estate surveyor, mapping local and regional land boundaries on commission, Saxton was approached in 1573 by Elizabeth I’s Secretary of State, William Cecil (later named the First Baron Lord Burghley), to survey and map the counties of England and Wales. Saxton completed the first English county map in 1574 (county Norfolk), and thirty-four county maps were successively surveyed and printed on copper plates until completion in 1578. In 1579, the individual county maps were collected into the first national atlas, entitled *Atlas of the Counties of England and Wales*, which created a broader cultural awareness of England as a nation. As John Short notes, “Saxton’s work was not just a technical accomplishment. The mapping was uniquely connected to political ends.”

Like Henry VIII’s interest in maps for planning national defense in the 1530s, Saxton’s county maps “reflect[ed] turbulent times” as tensions rose between Elizabeth I and Philip II, the King of Spain; the maps outlined and affirmed England’s borders, presenting each county in a similar visual style, though, as Catherine Delano-Smith and Roger Kain point out, there was “an underlying lack of uniformity from map to map.” Saxton’s atlas was revised, updated, and reprinted frequently throughout Elizabethan and Stuart England, and cartographers such as William Smith and John Norden later sought to correct some of the shortcomings in Saxton’s work. As Thrower observes, “Saxton’s maps, which were derived from instrumental surveys, were soon emulated and became the basis of such cartography for a century and a half.”

---

509 Delano-Smith and Kain, *English Maps*, 70.
political identity that was informed not only by England’s contours and topography, but also
England’s identity as the head of a burgeoning empire.

This profound cultural shift from medieval notions of geography and spatiality to early
modern mapmaking paved the way for introducing geography into games, and one of the first
examples of this shift appear in a seemingly unlikely place: playing cards. With the rise of
printing and engraving, playing cards became more widely accessible and portable, thereby
assuming a new level of popularity rivalling that of the older chess, merels, and tables game
objects in Elizabethan England. A pack of fifty-two geographical playing cards and eight
introduction cards—the first known example of its kind in early modern Europe—appear in 1590
at the same time demand for national and regional maps was increasing and making them a
marketable commodity. The geographical cards, which feature a map of each English and
Welsh county, reveal a direct link to Saxton’s atlas. Likely designed by William Bowes, the
geographical cards were produced by Augustine Ryther, a map engraver, printer, and prominent
figure in the rise of map engraving and printing in Elizabethan England. Ryther was one of at

511 Bowes, William, [Geographical playing cards of England and Wales], engraved by Augustine Ryther,
London, 1590. London, British Museum, 64 cards. For earlier discussions of the cards, see: Arthur M.
Mann and David Kingsley, “Playing cards depicting maps of the British Isles, and the other English and
in Arthur M. Hind, Engraving in England in the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries, Part I: The Tudor
Period (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1952), 182-86.

512 The identity of the author has been long debated among scholars. The imprint on the first card reads,
“W. B. inuent, 1590.” In their study of the playing cards, Sylvia Mann and David Kingsley found that a
certain ‘William Bowes’ was connected to another set of playing cards produced in 1605. Mann and

513 Ryther was the first map engraver to be recognized internationally. A member of the Grocers’
Company, Ryther had founded a distinguished school of instrument makers. He also worked on
engravings in the Waghenaer atlas and had published his own atlas, Expeditionis Hispanorum in Angliam
vera description (1590), which focused on the successes of the Armada victory. He was also likely the
least six engravers—and the only Englishman—employed by Saxton to work on the atlas, and was responsible for engraving at least four of Saxton’s county maps.\(^{514}\) His work with Saxton’s maps and the Agas London map (1588) may have influenced his visual depiction of the fifty-two counties each displayed on a card in the set, plus the map of London on the fourth introductory card (Figure 4.1), and these may have even have been copied from Saxton’s general map of England and Wales.


London, British Museum.
The geographical playing cards departed from the Court card design originating in Rouen, France (that is, spade, club, diamond, and heart suits), which became the archetype used for producing playing card sets in England and remains the most prominent set design today.

By featuring figures at court in addition to suited numbered cards, Bowes split the English counties into four directions (North, South, East, and West) based on their geographical orientation. In this way, four cardinal points not only represented the four card suits, but also created a set design that was distinctly English and separate from all other card designs circulating on the Continent. Bowes’s deck collectively reveals a single theme at work: geography. Each county card is numbered I to XIII in each suit (arranged from the smallest to largest regions), with a brief description and image of the county and letters signifying important buildings and locations in the area. Ryther was also an instrument maker, and three instruments cartographers used to create their maps—a pair of calipers, a ruler, and a compass—appear in the bottom left and top right of the inner frame on every county card, underlining the skills and technologies required to produce accurate measurements, dimensions, and representational images of each county. Icons, such as the spades, clubs, hearts, and diamonds characteristic of the Rouen design, are omitted in favour of distinguishing suits using abstract patterns framing the county, the description of the region in England and Wales, and the coloured borders around the inner frame and county image: East (yellow inner border/green county border); South (red inner border/yellow county border); West (green inner border/yellow county border); and North (yellow inner border/red county border).
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515 For more information on the development of playing cards in England, see Hargrave, A History of Playing Cards, 169-222 (see chap. 3 n. 282).
516 This colour scheme is based on the cards acquired by the British Museum in 1938. Another set of this deck, acquired by the British Museum in 2014, displays different colour patterns, but a similar pattern scheme.
Bowes’s geographical playing cards go beyond a mere depiction of counties, however. The top text on each card lists quantifiable measurements of each county, while the bottom text presents a short chorographical description and orientation to other adjacent counties. The card featuring Middlesex (Figure 4.2), for instance, reads as:

![Middlesex Card](image)

*Figure 4.2: William Bowes, Middlesex, from a deck of geographical playing cards of England and Wales engraved by Augustine Ryther (London, 1590). London, British Museum.*
MIDDLESEX the 2 of th[e] East hath Miles
In Quantitie superficiale 125. In Circuite 81.
In Length from Barkeshire to Essex 21.
In Bredth from Kent to Hartfordshire 14.
[Inner panel with image of the county]
MIDDLESEX a very sweete & fine ayer:
Fertile soile, & full of stately buildinges.
Hauinge Essex East, Buckinghamshire West
Hartfordshire North, the Thames and Sur[rey] South.\footnote{517}

The collocation of cartographical information, visual image of the county, and chorographical description for each county provides both a quick flashcard-like overview and, in Wood’s words, “a reality that exceeds our vision.”\footnote{518} The maps displayed on each playing card are too small and impractical to be used as a navigational tool, and there are few visual topographical features, such as rivers, lakes, mountains, hills, and forests, displayed on the small maps. As mentioned earlier, buildings are represented via a letter symbol, but no images of roadways or other human structures appear on the maps. With limited information portrayed on the landscape, the minimal maps still highlighted the most significant distinguishing features that each county had to offer through an intermingling of both natural and manufactured regions.

The text reflects this effort to showcase the best aspects of each county: the surveying measurements quantify the contours and shapes of each county, imparting a numeric order on the landscape, while the pastoral descriptions portray an idyllic narrative of an abundant, productive
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\footnote{517}{The transcription of the 1590 playing cards is my own.}
\footnote{518}{Wood, \textit{The Power of Maps}, 4.}
England by stressing key topographical features and commercial commodities. Middlesex’s “very sweete & fine ayer” complements its “fertile soile,” for instance, just as Flinte’s “plesaunt hills” and fertile soil create “great plenty of barley.” Likewise, Hartfordshire enjoys “plesante meadows and pastures,” Oxfordshire is “plesaunt for hawking,” with “plenty of fowle and fishe,” and Pembrokeshire in the southwest of Wales contains “plenty of wheat, seafishe, and wine to sell.”

The chorographical descriptions occasionally mention more densely populated areas, which are also keyed on the maps with a letter. Norfolk boasts a “large, welthy, and very populous” landscape “full of corn, sheep, and worsted commodities,” while Cheshire is “full of nobilitye and gent[ry].” Lincolnshire claims to have “plenty of corne, fruite, and cattel: / Numbers of townes, rivers, with store of fishe.”

A number of the descriptions of the counties on Bowes’s cards may have been adapted from William Camden’s widely influential Britannia (1586), a Latin prose chorographical description of England that, as Lesley Cormack remarks, “defined and stabilized the genre of local history” by bringing “together the study of all aspects of human habitation: history, locale, linguistics, genealogy, and etymology.” Bowes’s geographical playing cards offers a chorographical Britannia in miniature: Camden’s depiction of Middlesex includes the sentence “[s]umma coeli temperie et soli indulgentia, aedibus et vicis magnificis undique nitida, plurimaque sunt ubique memoranda” [for aire passing temprat, and for soile fertile, with sumpteous houses and prety townes on all sides pleasantly beautified, and every where offereth to the view many things memorable], which forms a part of the introduction to a larger chorographical account of the county—and is most likely the sentence truncated and
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519 Bowes, [Middlesex], [Hartfordshire], [Oxfordshire], and [Pembrokeshire].
520 Bowes, [Norfolk], [Cheshire].
521 Bowes, [Lincolnshire].
522 Lesley B. Cormack, Charting an Empire: Geography at the English Universities, 1580-1620 (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1997), 177.
translated for Bowes’s card. While Camden continued to expand on the histories and descriptions of each county, publishing a third edition in 1590 and seventh edition in 1607, the playing cards, with limited physical space on the card, included only the highlights of each locale. Middlesex’s entry in Britannia, like the other county descriptions, reads as a collection of local histories, commercial exports, topographical survey data, poetry, depictions of cities, and other collected information. For Bowes’s playing cards, the choices of abridged phrases is telling: the summation of features for each county showcase a fully idealized England—a nation poised for greatness.

Other Elizabethan maps and chorographies similarly provided localized descriptions of “contemporary places, things, and cultural practices accurately,” which contributed to propagating a growing national awareness. Contemporal projects in England were already in development at the time of their publication, including Norden’s own unfinished pocket-sized account of England’s counties in his chorographical Speculum Britanniae [Mirror of Britain] (1593), which was also inspired by William Camden’s Britannia (1586) and devised as a series of surveyed county maps accompanied by textual descriptions.\footnote{Barbara Shapiro, A Culture of Fact: England: 1550-1720 (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2000), 64.} This mélange of cartographic information, natural history, and genre, and its mapping of the English and Welsh landscape onto playing cards, captures the porous relationship between politics, commerce, and game spaces. Similar to chess, where a player can dominate the board to seize the king, or to the anonymous Quaedam or Cessolis’ Liber, where authors use games for sociopolitical aims, here the dominion over topographical space, a wholly cultural, commercial, and political enterprise, is rendered onto a playful, and indeed controversial, playing card object. The sense of order and organization displayed on each county card as imposed by the numerical distances, images of surveying tools,
and descriptions, “giv[es] a new measurable dimension to the visible world . . . and opens great potential for the development of readers’ imaginative capacity.” But at the same time, these collections of facts together with chorographical descriptions reflect an imaginary place to inhabit: the geographical playing cards point the player not to a world he or she can see, but rather, as the epitaph at the beginning of this chapter states, “a world we might know.”

In early modern Europe, games—through their use of maps and geography—acted as another tool with which to fashion a “national and local patriotism” among players while at the same time encourage learning, recreation, and gameplay. Indeed, the geographical deck’s eight introductory cards further attest to this form of overt nation-building, including cards outlining key rulers who shaped the land (Card 1), the English court at parliament (Card 5), England’s history of conquerors (Card 6), and London’s status as an emerging metropolis “for store of welth, of people, and of power” (Card 4 and 8). With transparent allusions to England’s wars with Spain and Ireland, the deck of playing cards aimed to position England as triumphant both at home and abroad. The last two introductory cards continue this national narrative of England and its people, positioning England as a powerful nation within a wider ‘global’ consciousness. Card seven begins by situating England topographically in the world, “FROM Irishe West from Germanys on y East / From Frenches South, their seas do us deuide” and, similar to the county cards, boasting of England’s abundant resources, commodities, and exports to other nations (sheep, grain, iron, tin, copper, and so on). Card seven ends by praising the English navy and ability to undergo foreign trade:
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524 Matei-Chesnoiu, *Re-Imagining Western European Geography*, 21.
527 Bowes, [Map of London].
Why home commodities do they dislayne
To prosper ships, for forraigne store they send
What shipe like ours, for merchandise & war
For Marriners, what land affords like store
Few ships or none. Hath traveled so farr,
About the world they went, and who did more.\textsuperscript{528}

The last introductory card turns again to London. While the fourth introductory card
provided a topographical overview with a crude map of the burgeoning city, the last card affirms
London’s place as an urban center, place of international trade, and locus of English rule. The
card mentions well-known places in the city that would likely have been familiar to the player,
including the Guildhall, Leadenhall, Christchurch, the Ludgate and Newgate prisons, the Tower
Bridge, and the Tower of London. Due to its explosive population growth, “LO London now,
with Paris may compare;”\textsuperscript{529} in 1550 London’s population was approximately 120,000 people,
but by 1600 the population had reached 200,000, making it the largest city in early modern
Europe.\textsuperscript{530} In his analysis of London’s metropolis in early modern England, J. A. Sharpe notes
that “the image of a socially mobile, fluid, and in large measure cosmopolitan London . . .
raise[d] the questions of how far any sense of ‘community’ . . . was present in the capital.”\textsuperscript{531}
Henri Lefebvre further explains this historical shift in terms of a movement from rural space
(absolute space) to city space (abstract space), defining absolute space as a natural site (such as a

\textsuperscript{528} Bowes [English Trade].
\textsuperscript{529} Bowes, [London].
\textsuperscript{531} Sharpe, \textit{Early Modern England}, 88.
river) that is conquered by political forces. Abstract space grew from absolute space; its tendency toward homogeneity, commercialism, and commodities replaced the communal ambiance of villages and towns. Thus, it promoted a new kind of space that epitomized centers of wealth and power—the defining elements of any large urban center.

Like Camden’s *Britannia*, Bowes’s playing cards provide a collective image “of the antiquity of Britain and the inevitability of its development as an autonomous nation” and orient readers and players spatially by producing and contributing to a topographical awareness in relation to the world. The third introductory card, much like the layout of the county cards, displays numeric sums of England’s widths and lengths: “THVS much in Miles whole Engla[n]d it contain[n]es . 34866 / Thus much in Miles will reatch about in rownde : 1890 / Hir Length from Lisard point to Barwick strai[n]s . 334 / Twixt Douer Holyhead the bredth is founde . 250.” The subsequent text ties the features of each county together, placing England as a formidable nation “AMO[N]GST good neigbors.” Bowes’s cards are as much as reflection of England’s real physical boundaries as its fiction, creating an idealized image of Elizabethan England that not only is characterized by idyllic landscapes and an abundance of resources, but also reaps the fruits of its land for trade, vanquishes enemies, and explores new frontiers—a narrative Michael Drayton would later respond to in his chorographical magnum opus *Poly-Olbion* (1612). Richard Helgerson, in his *Forms of Nationhood: The Elizabethan Writing of England*, examines the political exigencies of map patronage and production, arguing that
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533 Lefebvre, *The Production of Space*, 49.
534 Cormack, *Charting an Empire*, 177.
535 Bowes, [England].
536 Bowes, [England].
Elizabethan cartography and chorography “had an inescapable part in creating the cultural entity they pretended only to represent . . . They thus made themselves. They are the prototypes of what might be called the novus homo chorographicus—new chorographical man.” In Bowes’s pack of geographical cards, all counties are presented as a collective whole, a unification of the land. The wielder of the cards could not only hold in his or her hand a visual representation of the entire country, but also identify—very quickly, by flipping through the deck—their own sense of place in England. Maps, as Thrower notes, “raised questions about the earth and its inhabitants . . . on a more practical plane they promoted commerce in every sense of the word.” But even as the cards represent real, physical space, by virtue of their imaginative promulgation of England’s abundance and supremacy, they also present a manifestation of an envisioned world: a realm of “possibility, a mix of familiar and unfamiliar, permutations of wish, dread, and dream, and other kinds of existence that can make us more aware of the circumstances and conditions of the actual world we inhabit”—or, a place similar to what Mark Wolf would call an “imaginary world.”

4.3 Selling the World

The amalgamation of the political, the pedagogical, and the playful on Bowes’s geographical playing cards—which appear at least eighty-six years before bookseller and mapmaker Robert Morden’s highly detailed pack of geographical playing cards (1676), the second extant set of geographical cards in Europe—epitomizes England’s national identity.

538 Thrower, Maps and Civilization, 90.
539 Wolf, Building Imaginary Worlds, 17.
within (and as) an imaginary space. In this section, I would like to briefly highlight another, more subtle display of English patriotism latent in Bowes’s playing cards, one that not only reflected the increasing commercialism of games, but also helped set a precedent for the regulation and trade of games and other goods in general: the domestic production of playing cards.

Playing cards were controversial material objects in premodern Europe. On the one hand, gentry and nobility enjoyed them as a sophisticated pastime; on the other, they encouraged gambling and lewd behavior. But the controversy surrounding playing cards was not only ethical in nature. Since the introduction of playing cards into England in the early fifteenth century, a great deal of the playing cards purchased in England were imported from elsewhere. As the production and distribution of cards increased, English card makers and merchants competed with foreign importers. The situation reached a critical breaking point in 1463 when Edward IV enacted the first statute that prohibited the importation of foreign playing cards by the request of English card makers. Playing cards were objects perceived to be in need of containment and control.

Despite attempts to regulate the exchange and use of playing cards, cards continued to be imported to England throughout the sixteenth century, most often sporting the Rouen design. In her study of playing cards, game historian Catherine Hargrave remarks that “it is curious to see how from the very first cards made for export have conformed with the accepted idea of the country for which they were made.”541 Playing cards produced on the Continent, in their card
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540 Hargrave, *A History of Playing Cards*, 169. Rulers and lawmakers have long attempted to regulate the play of games, especially for gambling purposes. Edward IV’s ban on the importation of cards constitutes the earliest extant prohibition of importing foreign gaming objects into England. For more information on the regulation of games, see Bubczyk, “‘Ludus inhonestus et illicitus?’” 95-107 (see chap. 2 n 67).

and suit design, were fundamentally connected to their countries of origin (e.g., playing cards originating in Spain, France, and Italy all display different suit icons and designs). By the Elizabethan and Stuart periods in England, local artificers still struggled to compete with playing cards imported from France and other countries.

On June 13, 1571, Elizabeth I granted a twelve-year patent license to Ralph Bowes (notably, the brother of William Bowes) and Thomas Beddingfield Esquires to import, manufacture, and supply playing cards (both fifty-two card “French” decks and seventy-eight card Tarot decks) in England and license others to sell them, essentially creating a state-sanctioned monopoly on the product. The Queen extended the patent license in 1588 and 1589, believing that limiting the production, trade, and distribution of playing cards in England to the control of one organization would regulate the distribution of cards and the pastime of gambling. In the patent license released in 1588, the Queen states explicitly that Ralph Bowes and his affiliates are the only legal artificers of cards: “no other shall haue the making of playing Cards within this our Realme and other our Dominions . . . vpon paine of imprisonment.”

On January 12, 1590, Bowes entered the playing card patent into the Stationer’s Hall as a way of establishing copyright on cards: “to print these markes folowing, which are to bind up cards in, viz., a dozen m'ke. Item, a Sizian m'ke. Item, a Jew m'ke.”

The patent and Bowes’s sets of printing blocks then passed to Edward Darcy (a Groom of the Chamber to Elizabeth I), and the
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patent was renewed for another twenty-one years in return for paying the Queen an annual sum of 100 marks.\(^{544}\)

On August 11, 1598, Thomas Allein, a haberdasher who operated in London, sold 180 gross of playing cards but refused to pay Darcy. Darcy sued Allein for violating his patent license and the matter went to court. On January 1, 1599 the King’s Bench ruled that the grant on the monopoly of playing cards was void because it prevented those who were skilled in a trade from completing a job and, as a result, “leadeth to the impoverishing of divers Artificers.”\(^{545}\) The monopoly also harmed buyers because a monopolist could raise the price of the product for self-gain with no intention of improving or even maintaining a product’s quality. Playing cards, argued the plaintiff, were vanity items that wasted time: the Queen thus had the right to regulate the recreation of her people as a public good. The bench determined that the Queen was deceived and allowing a monopoly on playing cards would set a dangerous precedent for the trade of other goods. The case, famously called “The Cases of Monopolies,” was the first statement that ruled monopolies harmful and became a landmark model for establishing the beginnings of antitrust, patent, and competition law. The Bench stated, as reported by Edward Coke, “That the Queen could not suppress the making of Cards within the Realm, no more than the making of Dice, Bowls, Balls, Hawks-hoods, Bells, Lewers, Dog-couples, and other like, which are works of labour and art, although they shall be for pleasure, recreation and pastime, and they cannot be suppressed if not by Parliament, nor a man restrained to use any trade but by Parliament.”\(^{546}\) Other products intended for recreational purposes were not regulated through patent monopolies,


\(^{545}\) Coke, *Selected Writings*, 400.

\(^{546}\) Coke, *Selected Writings*, 402.
so artificers argued that they should be free to produce playing cards as well, and that no one should regulate play.

While the *Darcy v. Allein* case opened up the market to enable multiple artificers to manufacture and sell English-made playing cards, it didn’t end the competition between domestic and foreign cards. In 1615, a group of English tradespeople petitioned James I to place limits on the importation of foreign cards because they were severely impacting their ability to sustain a living wage. Based on their pleas, the King required all foreign cards to be licensed, inspected, and tariffed on importation.\(^{547}\) While these new procedures attempted to limit the competition of playing cards from the Continent, they did not fully alleviate the issue. Gerard Malynes, in his economic treatise *Consuetudo, vel lex mercatoria, or The ancient law-merchant* (1622) notes that playing cards imported from France comprise a monopoly he deems “reasonable” due to its appeal among buyers as a trifling pleasure, together with starch, lute-strings, and tobacco.\(^{548}\) In 1628, another group of playing card producers in London rallied together and, with Charles I’s support, founded the “Worshipful Company of Makers of Playing Cards” by royal charter in an effort to curb the importation of foreign cards and establish card making as a legitimate trade in England. Lews Roberts argues in *The Treasure of Traffike* (1641) that the importation of playing cards should be prohibited because England already manufactured the primary materials.\(^{549}\) The numbers of card makers in England began to increase, though the


Rouen court style remained a staple in English card design. On behalf of the Company’s desires for greater control over the market, Charles I in 1638 and Charles II in 1684 placed further restraints on trade by prohibiting the importation of all foreign-made playing cards.\textsuperscript{550} A report on the rate of English imports and exports by ship in 1650 includes playing cards at four pounds\textsuperscript{551}, and a later report published in 1657 included playing cards at only two pounds.\textsuperscript{552} The effort by English artificers was not only to add another profitable skill to their trade, but to produce quality English-made cards. The need to create a local market was economical as much as it was nationalistic in supporting English citizens, merchants, and tradespeople—and, of course, to keep gambling in check through continued registration and regulation.

From these petitions, grants, and licenses we see that playing cards were clearly a highly commoditized object, and the political and mercantile conflict that surrounded the regional production of playing cards, set against foreign imports, ushered in new models for the manufacture of cards and other commodities in England. If we return to William Bowes’s geographical cards, his design was the first extant set of cards to display a completely distinct design set apart from the Rouen style in England (the design granted in his brother’s patent license), and his deck was produced domestically in England by Ryther, independent of his brother’s control. In their manufacture and circulation of playing cards, both brothers attempted


to dominate the cultural (and in some cases economic) “game board”—a means of creating and securing national identities and foreign relations in fiction and in real life.

### 4.4 Playing the World

Throughout the early modern period, those in positions of power and influence in England sought to regulate the production and trade of playing cards as tabletop games began to enter commercial markets. From its first occurrence, the intersection between geography and game objects enabled games to display worlds beyond immediate recognition and capture a unifying, nationalistic image focused on political and global gain. The world was no longer only projected onto abstract game boards as a metaphor for civic life, but formed the rudiments of the object itself. Bowes’s geographical playing cards remained a novel amalgamation of a pocket-atlas and gaming object in Europe until well into the seventeenth century. The next appearance of geography on a game object would not occur for another fifty-four years when Henry le Gras designed and published a pack of geographical playing cards in Paris, titled “Le Jeu de Géographie” [The Game of Geography] (c. 1644). His aim was primarily educational in nature; the cards appear in a larger series of playing card sets devised by Cardinal Mazarin and organized by Jean Desmarests, a member of the Académie Française, to educate the young King Louis XIV. The cards were not concerned so much with solely praising France as garnering knowledge of the increasingly global world; each geographical card displayed a number and a suit from the Rouen set design that corresponds to a different region in the world (club/Americas,
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spade/Asia, hearts/Europe, and diamonds/Africa), an engraved full-length framed figure emblematic of the country, and a description of a country from the respective region, from China and Egypt to Brazil and Florida. le Gras’s playing cards could be enjoyed for both edification and entertainment so Louis XIV and others could gain awareness of their place in the world.

Despite the invention of geographical playing cards, the most popular games played in sixteenth- and early seventeenth-century England and France continued to use non-geographical game boards and objects—many of which had continued to enjoy popularity as leisure activities since the Middle Ages. Charles Cotton’s *The Compleat Gamester* (1674), a widely popular reference game book that was later replaced by Edmond Hoyle’s work on card games in the mid-eighteenth century, includes lengthy descriptions of billiards, bowling, chess, backgammon, hazard (a dice game), horse racing, archery, cock-fighting, and numerous card games enjoyed by an emerging bourgeois group of merchants, industrialists, lower gentry, and others with disposable income. While a number of games highlighted in Cotton’s treatise persisted as common pastimes well into the twenty-first century, there was another influential board game that had gained widespread popularity on the Continent and would significantly impact and forever change the commercial and thematic production of board games: *Giuoco dell’Oca* (famously called the *Game of the Goose* in English and *Le Jeu de l’Oie* in French). In the design and play of Bowes’s and le Gras’s packs of geographical playing cards, the cartographical aspect is not necessary to play card games. The geographical descriptions imprinted on the cards could project political ideologies and educational agendas, but the mechanics could largely remain separate from this knowledge. For the *Game of the Goose* and its variants, the designers, illustrators and engravers moved beyond static representation to inject real-world knowledge and

---

554 Cotton, *The Compleat Gamester* (see chap 2 n. 49).
imagery directly into the game’s rules and onto the board. The visual history of the *Game of the Goose* shows evidence of an increase in objects that reflected and captured a player’s sense of the world. The images and textual scenarios on the board illuminate an assortment of recognizable places, animals, figures, objects, situations, and cultural knowledge that are not only aesthetically tasteful for aristocratic audiences, but are also rendered meaningful through gameplay.

*Giuoco dell’Oca* (henceforth called the *Game of the Goose*) is a spiral race game invented sometime in sixteenth-century Italy under the reign of Fransesco I de’ Medici of Florence (1541-87), and becomes the model for future mass-market board games well into the twenty-first century—the kind of “simple” race games Murray dismisses in his treatise *A History of Board-Games other than Chess* (e.g., *Candy Land*, mentioned above, is a modern variant of the game).555 Using two dice and a token, each player begins at the first space on the outside of the singular spiral track and moves counterclockwise along the divided numbered spaces on the board in order to be the first player to reach the final space in the middle, numbered sixty-three in the original form of the game (see Figure 4.3).
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555 The game was first mentioned when Francesco I de’ Medici (1574-87) sent the game to the court of King Philip II of Spain as a gift sometime between 1574-87.
Figure 4.3: *Gioco Dell'Oca*, Anonymous, Italy (ca. 1550-90)\(^\text{\textsuperscript{556}}\)

An overthrow would cause a player to count backwards from the winning space. Players cannot move freely or strategically, but are completely bound by chance to the roll of the dice.

The emphasis in a medieval board game was on how players strategically positioned pieces on the game board, whether the game had distinct rules governing each piece (as in chess) or not (as in merels). The abstract boards depict a marked, specialized space in which to play but any special meaning ascribed to specific areas was done in narratives and allegories outside of the game itself, such as in Évrart de Conty’s *Les Eschéz d'Amours* [*The Chess of Love*] where individual squares on the board represent various traits of the players. In the *Game of the Goose*, not only is special significance applied to individual spaces, but these areas are also fashioned from recognizable real-world places, subjects, and experiences: by rolling the dice, players have a chance to land on a goose, a bridge, an inn, a well, a maze, a prison, and the state of death. If a player lands on a goose—which symbolized good fortune—he or she can then move ahead the same amount displayed on the dice. Most interesting for our purposes, however, are the perilous spaces of the game (and one advantageous space), which denote various boons or penalties if a player happens to land on them (Table 4.1).

Table 4.1: Iconic spaces in *The Game of the Goose* (excluding goose spaces)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbered Space</th>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Boon / Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Move ahead to space 12  [in some variants the player must pay a “toll” to the pot to advance to space 12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Inn</td>
<td>Lose two turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Wait until another player reaches this space and then exchange places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Maze or Labyrinth</td>
<td>Go back to space 39 (30 in French games)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Prison</td>
<td>Same mechanic as the well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Go back to the beginning and start again</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Iconic spaces in *The Game of the Goose* (excluding goose spaces)

Each actionable space is not only tied to a specific setting, but also derives its meaning from the players’ understanding of the function of this space in the real world (e.g., the prison space forces a player to remain in place unable to move, while the bridge space enables a player to advance much more quickly along the board, much like a bridge affords ease of travel over difficult terrain in the real world). Such iconic markers depart from the numbered suits of playing cards, which may have symbolic meaning but have no bearing on the rules and outcomes of the game. Rooted in numerological theories of the Cabala—Jewish mystical theology adapted by Italian scholars in the later Middle Ages as a philosophical system for Christian use—the *Game of the Goose* epitomizes a metaphor for life, wherein players experience swift changes in fortune as they advance in linear fashion to the “grand climacteric” year of life (symbolically, age sixty-
three in the Cabbalistic tradition). The notion of the game’s progress as representational of movement through the stages of life is confirmed by an Italian sonnet accompanying a highly ornate version of the game designed around 1650 by engraver and calligrapher Valerio Spada (1613-1688). As Adrian Seville summarizes, the poem—written by Antonio Malatesti in La Sfinge, Ennemi and published between 1640-44—describes a pilgrimage by the players as they “leave by a single gate (the first space) but do not travel together, though they are near each other. They are driven by the bones in whose eyes is fate (dice). The poem refers to the doubling when a bird (a goose space) is encountered, and to the perils of wine (the inn), of water (the well), of incarceration (the prison), of losing the way (the labyrinth) and of lying lifeless (death). Of the several pilgrims, only one will reach salvation (the winning space).”

This notion of moving singularly toward the centre of a circle in the game echoes the movement in unicursal medieval labyrinth designs, which appeared on floors of churches and in manuscripts as a meditative exercise designed to promote contemplation of one’s journey through life. In the Game of the Goose the space is segregated, with explicit meaning attached to specific sections and roll of the dice, but the space—with its movement through real-world settings toward the afterlife—retains a similar focus on reflecting upon the progress of the soul.

In addition to the meaningful sections on the board, the spiral shape of the track is also frequently depicted with imagery that would have been familiar to players, for many of the

559 While medieval labyrinths were not considered games, one manuscript (Cambridge, Trinity College, MS O.2.45) pairs a labyrinth with other game material at the beginning of the manuscript. See Chapter 2 for a detailed discussion of this labyrinth and its textual context in the manuscript.
earliest games display recognizable architectural and environmental elements in the design of the
board. The game board in Figure 4.3, for instance, displays a series of architectural columns
bordering the circular track, becoming in effect a path through a long, winding corridor. A large
goose sits in the centre, awaiting the victor of the game. Other early game boards closely copied
this architectural aesthetic. The board designed by Italian Altiero Gatti in 1588, for instance,
depicts various monkey figures around a columned track leading to the middle of the board
where two monkeys are etched playing a lyre and bagpipes in the company of a ring of merry
monkey dancers.\textsuperscript{560} Another Italian game board designed by Pergio Ambrosio of Milan in ca.
1625 swaps columns for statues of lions, mermaids, and human figures, leading to a scene in the
middle of the board where two hunters with spears trap a bewildered ostrich.\textsuperscript{561} Other engravers
and illustrators adorned the outer edges of the game board with mythological figures or scenes of
life—a visual development also found on the edges of early modern maps.

Even in the early popularity of the \textit{Game of the Goose}, experimentation with the
aesthetics and rules of the board was not uncommon, and designers occasionally modified the
spiral track to explore other means of representation and outcome. Spada, on his game board
(mentioned above), etches intricate, ornate scenes of everyday life, which reflect aristocratic and
court culture. Similarly, an Italian game board designed by Dal Buono Floriano between 1630-
1647, called \textit{Nuovo Gioco dell’Honore} [The New Game of Honour], departs from the circular
track and iconic imagery in the original game by depicting his game board as a leisurely
promenade through a garden. As players move along the linear path, they come across various

\textsuperscript{560} Altiero Gatti, \textit{Novo (Il) Bello et Piacevole Gioco della Scimia} [The New Beautiful and Enjoyable
Game of Apes], 1588. London, British Museum.

\textsuperscript{561} Pergio Ambrosio, \textit{Bello (Il) et Dilettevole Giuoco dell’Oca} [The Beautiful and Delectable Game of the
Goose], Milan, c. 1625.
characters representing desirable traits such as patience (which enables players to advance further along the path) and undesirable traits such as envy (which causes players to move backward a numbers of squares). The last space opens up to a court representing the most significant trait of all—honour—which sits at the top of the board and towers above the rest. Like the *Game of the Goose*, the game becomes an exercise in contemplating how to live a just and civil life. Seville notes that such games, which were popular among the Italian aristocracy, could be “regarded as a moral diversion,” for a dice game that promoted a Christian pilgrimage was preferable to the numerous gambling dice games played throughout Italy.\(^{562}\)

In addition to *Nuovo Gioco dell’Honore*, early game designers adapted the *Game of the Goose* to topics that would have been of interest to players. A Spanish adaption of the *Game of the Goose* board designed by Pieter de Jode in Antwerp around c. 1620 makes a connection between love and gameplay explicit in his name for the game, called “El Juego Real de Cupido, otramente llamado el Passa Tiempo de Amor” [The Royal Game of Cupid, otherwise called the Pastime of Love], and in his illustration of the board, where the spiral board resembles a coiled snake wearing a crown. Players move around the snake-track by landing on medallions depicting scenes of love, which lead to a fictional garden of love in the centre featuring couples dressed in contemporary Flemish fashion. As de Jode explains: “It is to be noted that this game is represented in the shape of a snake, because Love guised as a snake sneaks into the heart of those who possess it, and poisons them with its venom, and for several other attractive reasons, which the lack of space on this piece of paper does not allow to explain here.”\(^{563}\) In lieu of geese, de Jode places nine cupids on the board at every seventh square, noting that “this game is composed

\(^{562}\) Plock, “The Rothschild Collection,” 100.

\(^{563}\) Pieter de Jode, *El Juego Real de Cupido, otramente llamado el Passa Tiempo de Amor* [The Royal Game of Cupid, otherwise called the Pastime of Love], Belgium, c.1620. London, British Library.
of the number seven multiplied nine times, of which the product gives sixty-three because Love is pleased by this number, being very perfect." A player landing on a cupid must throw the dice and move forward until he or she lands on a space without a cupid. While the imagery and rules remain close to the original *Game of the Goose*, de Jode modifies the numeric and visual symbolism to correspond to the images of love. The bridge, for instance, becomes “la Puente del Amor” [the Bridge of Love]; the inn transforms into a throne of love; and the prison becomes a banquet, where players are held back from advancing due to the festivities. In addition to the rules in the original game, de Jode also adds numerological significance to the number seven (as the ‘perfect’ number) which he explains in the bottom-right of the board: the number seven is “favourable and privileged in this game, so the one who throws it, and reaches the throne, the well, the banquet, the labyrinth, the forest, or the tomb, shall pay nothing, shall not stay there nor go back, but shall only double his number until he is in a safe space.” De Jode’s snake design became a widely popular adaptation of the game, with extant versions found elsewhere in the Netherlands and France. Claes Jansz Visscher’s version of De Jode’s game, published between 1625-40 in Amsterdam, was copied and reissued until the nineteenth century, and had also become a popular pastime in England by the late seventeenth century, clearly resonating with its audiences throughout Europe.

---

564 Ibid.
565 Ibid.
567 Visscher’s version was more economical: he omits any irrelevant visual elements on the board and de Jode’s lengthy explanations of numerological significance in favour of laying out the game’s rules. He also alters the central image; in lieu of elegant couples clad in Flemish garb, Visscher depicts a peasant couple dancing to music performed by a Cupid on kitchen tools. Visscher calls his game “Den boertigen
Depictions of love were common aesthetic elements for the game’s variants—as it could reflect aspects of the fin’amors tradition—but the game also became a prime candidate for emulating travel across a landscape. In an anonymous board designed between 1550-1600, a designer adapted the board to seventy-six squares, each containing a different image and description. By displaying the imagery in each square relationally to one another, the game board simulates a journey through a mapped landscape—of countryside, sea, and villages—where players encounter different situations, including a pair of rabbits, soldiers at war, and kegs of alcohol. Players landing on the fifth square, for instance, meet a barbarian and are prompted to advance to the twenty-first square where a pilgrim directs them to a hospital on square twenty-seven (showing a nurse administering care to a row of bed-ridden patients). The designer of a version called “Nuovo et Piaccevole Gioco detto il Barone” [The Baron’s New and Pleasant Game], develops the mechanics of forward and backwards motion found in the original game by adding new boons and penalties to a number of the squares. He maintains most of the original setting from the Game of the Goose, including the bridge (square 6), well (square 39), and maze (square 44). However, death is no longer the final destination (square seventy-three); rather, the game ends with a final encounter with “Capitano di Baroni” [Captain Baron], a rustic military figure who stands to greet the victor in the middle of the board. Here the game beckons its players to venture into a space that portrays recognizable images, but is in itself an imaginary place. Through emergent play, as players move from place to place on the game board, a series of events is strung together to form the rudiments of a narrative. How the winner’s avatar meets the baron at the end is still wholly determined by the roll of the dice, but the board serves to

Hoff van Cupido” [The Peasant Garden of Love]. Such subversion of the court of love was popular among the Dutch aristocrats and merchants to which Visscher had aimed to market the game.
embellish this journey as one of peril and delight as players navigate nimbly and progressively through the countryside. These iconic pictorial elements—which ranged from farmland and exotic places to fantastic gardens and mythography—are not cartographical in themselves, but they nevertheless anchor “coordinates of fiction to the geohistorical world of reference”—markers and waypoints that, together, craft a coherent sense of place. Early modern game designers, publishers, and engravers thus exemplified the meaning of the game—whether it was a reflection of life’s journey, a game of love, or a military expedition—by capturing a player’s movement through these visualized, recognizable places (hills, fields, bridges, gardens, hospitals).

While the sundry variants of the early modern Game of the Goose are too numerous to describe in full here, the few examples I have discussed demonstrate that the game board could be easily manipulated and modified to reflect different settings and rules, creating proto-themed games that appealed to aristocratic audiences as a pleasurable, and potentially lucrative, pastime. As historian Guillaume Janneau waxes poetically, the Game of the Goose embodies the spirit of creativity, for it is a game that reflects:

une image de la vie que ce cheminement obstiné, hérissé d’obstacles et de dangers, coupé d’accidents et de coups de fortune, avec des retours en arrière, des chutes, des délivrances, des recommencements, et cette leçon de persévérance et même de resignation . . . L’émouvante présence d’un esprit, d’un auteur et d’un exécutant, s’y inscrit avec force. Elles n’ont rien d’une production industrielle et mécanique—du moins

---

les anciennes. Elles ne sont pas les répétitions sempiternelles de modèles antérieurs.

Chaque jeu nouveau est positivement une création.

[a picture of life that stubborn path, strewn with obstacles and dangers, cut accidents and strokes of fortune, with flashbacks, falls, rescues, new beginnings, and this lesson in perseverance and even resignation . . . The moving presence of a spirit, a writer and a performer, registered it with force. They have nothing of an industrial and mechanical production—at least the old ones. They are not the endless repetitions of previous models. Each new game is a positive creation]. 569

Whereas medieval board games remained largely identical in their design and manufacture (any aesthetic embellishments around the board were meaningless to the actual gameplay), the Game of the Goose becomes a means of imagination and design, a novel entertainment that appealed to players in its capacity to reflect and simulate life, love, and virtue within the game itself. The casual encounters with barbarians and barons, to ‘happen upon’ them by chance (and to win the pot by chance), supersedes the strategic thinking found in medieval board games like chess or backgammon. Designers could realize their own amusing interpretations, creating new experiences for the literate aristocratic and merchant classes who could afford such entertainments. The game reached England by at least June 1597, when John Wolfe, Printer to the City of London, registered a printed sheet at the Stationer’s Hall under the title The newe and most pleasant Game of the Goose. English game designers, illustrators, and engravers remained largely faithful to the original Italian version of the game and would not start experimenting with

---

themed versions until at least the mid-eighteenth century. Nevertheless, the flexibility and modularity found on the early boards of the Game of the Goose opened up other games to new modes of representation, which in turn paved the way for creating and depicting imaginary worlds.

The fondness for the game in France by printers, illustrators, and engravers took a different tack to that of their English counterparts. While the earliest printing of the Game of the Goose in France, published as a woodblock by Benoist Rigaud between 1597-1601 in Lyons, remained, for the most part, true to the original Italian version, French engravers were among the first designers to depart from the original template altogether. If early designers of the Game of the Goose displayed a penchant for intermingling the movement of gamespaces with real-world imagery and familiar topics and settings, it was French game designers who took this development one step further, intermingling geography and the Game of the Goose more fully in order to create what we could deem the first themed games. These geographical board games were initially devised as pedagogical games that could supplement an aristocratic student’s education. The earliest extant instance of this intermingling occurs in geographer Pierre Duval’s map game Le Jeu du Monde [Game of the World] (1645, Figure 4.4).

---

571 Rigaud’s woodblock printing, now housed at the Herzog-August Library, Wolfenbüttel, displays the typical French variant of the game: the labyrinth mechanics are changed (causing players to return to square 30 rather than 39), the squares without any special significance are left unmarked, the board is unadorned and sparse, and the game still represents a metaphor for life (with geese symbolizing fortune). For more information about this particular variant, see: Plock, “The Rothschild Collection” 97; Thierry Depaulis, “Sur la piste du jeu de l’oie,” Le Vieux Papier 346 (1997): 563-65; and Manfred Zollinger, “Zwei unbekannte Regeln des Gänsespiesls,” Board Game Studies 6 (2003): 61-84.
Published by Mariette, *Le Jeu du Monde* closely resembles the spiral track of the *Game of the Goose*, encompassing sixty-three circles each displaying maps that represent a different county in microcosm. But that is where the similarities with the original game end. In his efforts to focus on educating his players, Duval omits any boons or penalties from landing on specific spaces; rather, two to six players take turns rolling two dice and moving their differently coloured markers around the path. When a player lands on a given space, he or she must recall the name of the country and recount it to the other players. If a player moves onto the space of another, they switch places, creating an ebb and flow of movement on the otherwise linear board. The
track is divided by the four regions of the world (America, Africa, Asia, and Europe), each of which is presented as a mini-map in the four corners of the board. The path begins on the furthest regions of the known world with the “Monde Polaire” [Polar World], then moves to fourteen locales in North and South America, including “Canada-Nouvelle” [New Canada], “Virginie” [Virginia], and “Perov” [Peru].\textsuperscript{573} From there, players move through fifteen African countries, fifteen countries in Asia, and seventeen countries in Europe until the winning player reaches France, the final circle in the middle—and largest space on the board. Such a position unabashedly highlights France as the centre of the world in terms of power and influence, and the selected regions and countries surrounding France serve to illuminate France’s imperial status in terms of territory, exploration, and conquest. Imagining the world becomes an exercise in spatialization; “ideas and texts,” writes D. K. Smith, become “subject to the same rules of organization and control that shape the understanding of the landscape.”\textsuperscript{574} Seville points out that Duval strove to preserve the Cabbalist number sixty-three as the winning space for a number of his games, perhaps as a way of maintaining ties to the original game;\textsuperscript{575} just as the Game of the Goose moves through stages of life until someone reaches the “climacteric age,” Le Jeu du Monde enables players to move through the world before reaching what Duval perceived as the greatest place of all: France. In Le Jeu du Monde, France becomes a stabilizing, permanent visual reference within an orderly game, a singular force that informs the entire playful experience. Players are ever mindful of its place of importance on the board as the final destination as well as

\textsuperscript{573} Pierre Duval, Jeu du Monde, London, 1634. British Museum. All translations of Duval’s geographical games are my own. Notably, California is mapped as an island and Australia is not featured at all.

\textsuperscript{574} Smith, The Cartographic Imagination, 11.

its ability to link to other locales near and far—a testament to France’s power to influence the most remote regions of the world.

While the board’s cartographical representation leans toward a scientific, geometric method of charting geographical places, the linear, mathematical movement from the outer reaches toward the perceived centre of European power situates players as figurative French explorers traversing the known world. In his exploration of the ways in which maps inspire the imaginary through their depictions of power and space, historian Christian Jacob notes how any “map bearing slightly lively colors and dotted with a few suggestive vignettes can strike the imagination: the geographical information imparted by the document and what it tells about remote areas are entirely blurred through the analogical vagaries of the imagination, the projection of dreams, desires, and reminiscences of readings.”576 In Le Jeu du Monde, Duval has created a space at once pictorial and cartographical; movement around the board, constrained by the singular path and roll of the dice, nevertheless simulates navigation through world. As Monica Matei-Chesnoiu notes, “early modern notions of space, geography, and nationhood were shaped by the variety of texts existing at the time, which integrated—truthfully and honestly—the facts and fantasies about other nations.”577 A player’s gaze enables them to view the world-in-miniature, and the game essentially becomes a narrative space to explore current cartographical knowledge and limitations, boundaries and nation-building initiatives.

Appointed as Géographe Ordinaire du Roi in 1650 alongside his uncle and mentor cartographer Nicolas Sanson (1600-1667), Duval fashioned a number of other educational maps and games that pushed the boundaries of game design in order to teach players about the world.

577 Matei-Chesnoiu, Re-Imagining Western European Geography, 8.
Using his template for *Le Jeu du Monde*, Duval crafted at least three other geographical games, including the *Jeu de France* [Game of France] (1659), the *Jeu des Francois et des Espangols pour la paix* [Game of the French and the Spanish for Peace] (1660), and the *Princes de l’Europe* [Princes of Europe] (1662). In the *Jeu de France*, published by Etienne Vouillement, Duval shifts his sights from depicting the wider world to exclusively representing France on a game board. In place of countries, he places maps of provinces in sixty-two circles around a spiral track, each featuring their respective cities, villages, rivers, mountains, and other topographical features. The track begins in Picardy and moves linearly to the centre of the board—a final sixty-third circle featuring a map of France and its provinces. As a further development from the *Jeu du Monde*, Duval ascribes special rules to certain spaces that occasionally reflect the culture of a given province, though these spaces differ from those occurring in the *Game of the Goose*. Players landing on Normandy (the sixth circle) must shout the Norman battle cry ‘Ha-Rou’ in order to call Raoul, the first Duke of the Country, to their aid and receive an agreed-upon stake from each other player. Other players landing at Touraine (circle twenty-three) lose two turns while they stroll around the beautiful avenues of Tours and enjoy fine dining. Poictou (circle twenty-five) diverts the player with hunting for two turns and Limosin (circle forty-nine) requires the player to buy horses at the fair of Chalus and pay a price to the game pool rather than the merchant. These special rules move beyond the simplistic advantages and disadvantages of the *Game of the Goose*; in addition to highlighting various cultural features of the region, these additional rules provide players with rich narrative moments that invite imaginative
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578 Duval also designed a board game based on the French heraldry, called *Jeu du Blason* [Game of the Coat of Arms] (1662).

scenarios into gameplay. Landing in Provence (circle fifty-eight), for instance, causes the player to embark on a ship from Marseilles with the intention of heading to Italy, but he or she will instead be taken to the Corsairs of Algiers and must pay a ransom to continue playing the game. As with literary geographies, wherein authors populate a story with references to places, here the players themselves become the characters immersed in the story; “the world,” in the words of Derek Shilling, “begins to coalesce alongside and around” them.580

Duval also adapts this game structure in the Princes de l’Europe. In place of provinces, Duval places sixty-three European countries and locales, with a map of Europe in the middle. Like his other games, this game is played for a stake which is agreed upon beforehand and placed in the middle of the board. The first player to land on France, the sixty-third circle, wins the game and the stake, including any additional lots, fines, payments, and contributions tossed into the pot. Maps of each country focus on key topographical features (mountains, hills, forests, rivers, and other bodies of water) and players must name the countries upon which they land as well as the names of the major towns and cities. Certain countries and places also have special rules that, in the words of Seville, “provide lessons in international relationships and perceptions of the period.”581 A player landing in Sicily (circle twenty-two), for instance, is shipwrecked at the lighthouse of Messina, where the trials of Scylla and Charybdis took place, and must pay a fee to the pot. A number of rules are reminiscent of those found in the Game of the Goose but are adapted to the game. “Little Tartarie” (circle fifty-seven) bears close resemblance to the “death” square; an unwitting player landing on “Little Tartarie” pays a ransom to the pot in order to remain free of enslavement in Constantinople and then goes immediately to Spain (the first

circle) to begin the game again. Current events are also represented in the game. A player
landing on Holland (circle six) must sail from Flushing to Dover, England in order to help with
the marriage ceremony between the King of Great Britain and the Princess of Portugal—a
description that refers to the marriage of Charles II of England and Catherine of Braganza on 23
April, 1662. In both the Jeu de France and Princes de l’Europe, it is not so much that their
narrative scenarios are “mappable” (as in literary geography), but rather that the world is re-
imagined as a game through the use of maps and their corresponding portrayals of real locales.
Duval’s mimesis of local and exotic regions on the game board does not depart significantly
from what literary geographers would call the “zero-world” (the actual world), for he aims to
reproduce up-to-date geographical and historical information about these places for his students.
Yet, much like Bowes’s snapshot depictions of English counties, Duval’s deliberate selection of
key cultural moments and topographical features nevertheless aim to promote a sense of
patriotism and nationhood among his players. As Franco Moretti writes in Graphs, Maps, Trees,
“You choose a unit—walks, lawsuits, luxury goods, whatever—find its occurrences, place them
in space . . . or in other words: you reduce the text to a few elements, and abstract them from the
narrative flow, and construct a new, artificial object.” While Moretti is concerned with
mapping literary worlds in the stories of eighteenth- and nineteenth-century England, France, and
Germany, this reduction of elements to their location is illustrated most vividly on the game
board: it “rearranges [a place’s] components in a non-trivial way, and may bring some hidden
patterns to surface” through its visual aesthetics and the players’ movements to various places

582 Barbara Piatti and Lorenz Hurni, “Mapping the Ontologically Unreal: Counterfactual Spaces in
53.
Duval’s maps and descriptions are not simply a backdrop for a given narrative; here, the map is the game. Moreover, players who are familiar with various areas can compare their own experiences to those written by Duval, thereby creating a richer mental image of the world and their place within it. In doing so, players are not only able to view, learn, and assess significant boundaries, provinces, and places, but also participate in the cultural occurrences devised by the game.

Herein lies a notable difference between settings mapped out in literature and those defined by the rules and structures of a tabletop game. For Duval’s games, places collectively drive the content, the rules, the theme—though remnants of the *Games of the Goose* linger in their appearance and arrangement. This mode of representation can also be found in his third game, *Jeu des Francois et des Espangols pour la paix*, which factors other pertinent information into the mechanics and aesthetics of the game (Figure 4.5).

---

584 Moretti, *Graphs, Maps, Trees*, 54.
Duval preserves the counterclockwise spiral track but significantly reduces the number of circles from the Cabbalistic number sixty-three to twenty-six, which each reflect a year of military conflict between France and Spain during the Franco-Spanish War (1635-1659), a war which was born out of the multinational Thirty Years’ War (1618-1648). Similar to his other games, Duval illustrates the war geographically on the game board: the left side of each circle represents gains made by the French, while the right side displays gains made by the Spaniards. Played with two to four players for a stake, the *Jeu des Francois et des Espangols* ends when a player lands...
on exactly the year 1660 (circle twenty-six), the year of the game’s publication and, more importantly, the year after the two nations signed the Treaty of the Pyrenees on 5 November 1559, which formed a declaration of peace between the two countries. In this game, Duval moves beyond brief cultural moments and topographical highlights to craft the first known game that blends realism, historical knowledge, and geography. In addition to the maps of regions conquered by the French or Spaniards, Duval also includes descriptions of events that transpired on each side. On the first circle (year 1635), for instance, Duval writes, “Les François gagnent la bataille d’Aucin prez Namur Forest des Ardennes - Les Espagnols surprennent le fort de Schenk, ils prennent Frankendal, ils secourent Valence en Italie” [The French win the battle d’Aucin near the Namur Forest of Ardennes—The Spaniards surprise Fort Shenk, take Frankendal, and secure Valence in Italy].

He also includes special rules for certain years, which loosely follow the mechanics adapted from the Game of the Goose. For the ninth circle, Duval remarks, “En 1643 la premiere Année du Regne de nostre Roy on s’avancera en 1658 pour servir a la Glorieuse conqueste des villes de Flandre” [From 1643, the first year of the King, we advance to 1658 to serve in the glorious conquest of the cities of Flanders]. He also preserves the “death square”: any unlucky player landing on circle twenty-two must pay an agreed-upon ransom to the pot and return to the beginning of the game. Rooted in real-world conflict, Jeu des Francois et des Espangols pour la paix demonstrates a progression from the abstract Game of the Goose rules and its philosophies of life toward an emphasis on warfare and politics that would have been critical knowledge for his students. By gamifying topics that were already taught in schools—geography, history, war, and heraldry—these games participate visually and structurally in the

---

process of nation-building for a new generation of citizens; through their use of maps and
textuality, Duval’s games celebrate France’s victories in exploration, trade, conquest, and
military conflict.

It is perhaps unsurprising that the first geographical game boards bear a striking
resemblance to early modern maps, for many of the game designers and publishers were also
geographers, cartographers, and engravers who were concerned with the physical representation
of space. A number of the maps found in Dutch cartographer Joan Blaeu’s (1596-1673) 600-map
*Grand Atlas*, for instance, feature medallions and squares filled with mini-maps of cities, zodiac
signs, indigenous peoples, and significant monuments and buildings that border the main map at
the centre of the page.\footnote{Short, *The World Through Maps*. 130-31. Notably, Blaeu’s *Grand Atlas* (also entitled *Atlas Major*) was the largest atlas ever produced.} Blaeu’s decorative edges work in tandem with the map to offer both a
delightful and informative experience for his readers. For geographical games, the players’
experience becomes an extension of the board’s affordance as they move through its referential
spaces and figurative ideologies. In 1662, the same year Duval published the *Jeu des Princes de
l’Europe* and the *Jeu du Blason*, a game called *Le Jeu des Nations principales de la Terre
Universelle* [The Game of Principal Nations around the World] was published in Paris.
Presenting a cross-pollination between educational playing cards of the period and Duval’s
geographical board games, the anonymous game designer takes instead a proto-anthropological
view of the world; in lieu of maps, he features a different nation in each game space with a
description of its people. Like Duval, this designer begins on the outer regions of the world
(starting in America) and moves toward France, the politically powerful centre. Two images of
the world including lines of longitude and latitude in addition to the outline of continents appear
in the centre of the board. In 1675, Charles-François-Henry Desmartins, Engineer of the King and Commissioner of War, creates what he considers to be a “new” and updated *Nouveau Jeu de Geographie des Nations* [New Game of Geography of Nations], which features a circular map of France in the middle of the board surrounded by smaller circular maps of Denmark, Sweden, Switzerland, Italy, Spain, England, and Holland. In 1682 during the reign of Louis XIV, cartographer and engineer François Andréossy crafts the game *Le Jeu du Canal Royal* based on his instrumental work on the completion of the *Canal Royal en Languedoc* [Royal Canal in Languedoc]. This canal was later named the *Canal de las Doas Mars* and *Canal du Midi* since the 241 kilometre (150 miles) canal stretched across the length of Southern France from the Garonne River at the Atlantic Ocean to the Étang de Thau near the Mediterranean. Inspired by the geographical games based on the *Game of the Goose*, Andréossy notes in the preface that he aims to create a similar game that will teach all the names of the locations and locks along the canal so that is it commemorated as a significant achievement in French technological history.

That Andréossy chose the medium of ‘game’ to relay the development of the Royal Canal to his audience is telling in a game’s import as a mnemonic, playful, and pedagogical tool. For these designers, games had the capacity to embody a different understanding of the world—ideologies, politics, and agendas might be instilled in a player or inscribed onto a space. As Eugen Fink remarks, “every re-simulated world is an imagined world through and through, when the imagination would not be fully productive and would assume the existing world. This assumption changes the whole tenor of the world, which then departs from the original
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588 The middle of the board also includes a map of the various waterways and towns along the canal, and a number of the game spaces feature detailed images of locks, bridges, and passes. The Royal Canal is among the oldest canals in Europe still in use today.
temporality to enter a time of the world of imagination.” In these early board games, geographic thought is embedded into a new notion of space—a Huizingian ‘magic circle’ as portrayed through gameplay—and functions to help players navigate their own ideas of patriotism, nationhood, international affairs, and their place in the world.

In addition to the depiction of macro-space in geographical games (e.g., the world), designers also represented particular places on the game board. In 1685, for instance, cartographer Jean-Baptise Crépy designs his first of many games, called *L’école des Plaideurs* [Game of the College of Litigants], which takes place at a school (represented by the linear, circular track). Moreover, many early board game designers like Duval were mainly concerned with educating students, but these games are, of course, more than just a matter of representation; the game’s *modus operandi* as a model for depicting space, society, and culture has intrinsic value as a plaything, for both its materiality and abstraction can create an experience that is greater than the sum of its parts. “What is the contribution of the map [to a game]?” asks Christian Jacob in his study of imaginary maps; it “energizes and channels the imagination and daydreams by aiming them at fixed points, by imposing a direction, a general orientation, and by introducing a narrative dimension: the itinerary, the destination to be reached, the obstacles to be met, the traps to be avoided, and the possibility of encounter and interference of different actors.” In these instances—in these moments of play—cultural production is expressed through the act of playing through the map as much as it is represented on the map-board itself.
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590 Jean-Baptise Crépy, *L’école des Plaideurs* [Game of the College of Litigants], Paris, 1685. Crépy’s later games, which gained popularity in the eighteenth century, also include military games, geographical games, and games specifically targeted at children.
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Only one winner is declared—only one may reach Duval’s France—but all players participate in this imaginary narrative.

The geographical games of eighteenth-century England move even further beyond the original Game of the Goose template by shifting their mode of design to accentuate place as the principal characteristic of the game’s overall design; themes are no longer solely manipulated to fit within a familiar pattern (the spiral track), but rather developed through projective drawings and depictions of their worlds. In both John Jeffreys’s A Journey through Europe (1759) and John Wallis’s Tour through England and Wales (1796, Figure 4.6), the world itself takes precedence visually as a means of creativity and narrative, an active map that, in the words of Jacob, “opens the path to the imagination of being moved into another world: graphic space becomes a living space by means of proxy and fantasy.”

---

592 Ibid., 333.
In place of pictograms around a linear board, the game designers set the course of the track around a map of Europe and England, respectively, with scenarios keyed to specific locales. Earlier games like Dal Buono Floriano’s *Nuovo Gioco dell’Honore* [The New Game of Honour] also depart from the *Game of the Goose* structure, but they nevertheless retain homage to the game through other means, including rules, symbolic numbers, and number of spaces. In
Jeffrey’s and Wallis’s games, the map-as-game is fully realized, for the game and its mechanics are determined by the cartographer’s representation of the land and “project[ed] onto it an everyday life, an alternative life.”

The *Game of the Goose* nevertheless continued to influence the design and production of board games well into the eighteenth century and beyond, and remained a popular game in its own right throughout Europe and North America. Later games continued to adapt the *Game of the Goose*, such as J. Harris’s *Panorama of London* (1809), but in a number of early games that depict imaginary situations in the world, including William Spooner’s *A Voyage of Discovery, or the Five Navigators* (1836), *Funnyshire Fox Chase* (c. 1842, Figure 4.7), and *Country Fair or Rural Sports and Rural Rambles* (1854), the setting and geography continue to formulate the setting, aesthetics, and pathways that guide the movement of the players.
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Figure 4.7: *Funnyshire Fox Chase* (London: William Spooner, 1842), my personal collection.

How does this development in the cultural history of games relate back to what Mark Wolf would consider a ‘secondary world’ (worlds that deviate significantly from our own), as introduced at the beginning of this chapter? One could argue, of course, that any game that depicts a world, even the real world, is already fantastical in its design and representation. As Bertrand Westphal observes, “the representation [of the map] fictionalizes the source from which
it emanates.” Early modern geographical games do, as I have shown, create figurative spaces and narrative moments in their depictions of the world. But how and when did games first come to represent purely fictional, imagined worlds? Two English games published by Champante and Whitrow in 1796 are among the first games to exhibit fantastical worlds. In the first game, called *The Magic Ring*, players traverse a fairytale realm full of enchantment, monsters, and wizards (Figure 4.8).

![Figure 4.8: The Magic Ring (London: Champante and Whitrow), 1796.](image)

---

Each medallion along the counter-clockwise track, numbering fifty in total, features a person, place, or object that corresponds to a specific reward or penalty found on the margins of the board. Landing on the “hostile fairy” (circle thirteen) “immediately take[s] the comer to No. 24, the Inn, leaving him there till another guest arrives, or he spins the same number at each go,” while the “benevolent fairy” (circle thirty-seven) “permits the comer to have two goes more, and makes him advance double the number of points he spins.” Possible places players can visit include the city gate (circle one), the city (circle eleven), the temple (circle 15), the villa (circle twenty-one), and the village (circle forty-nine). While the board’s circular layout pays homage to the *Game of the Goose*, the characters and places a players encounters in the land of fairy echo the same type of narrative moments found in the earlier geographical games discussed above.

The rules also reveal a blend of old traditions and new ideas. As in the *Game of the Goose*, a player must land exactly in the centre square (“the magic ring”), but here the game designers add further rules: “the player who enters this symbol instantly sets free all those who are detained on any of the pictures of the game, so that they may spin on again, each in his turn.” Furthermore, a player landing on the magic ring must also spin the teetotum (spinner) twice, landing on a one, two, or three to win the game. The image in the centre is also telling of the game’s imaginary trappings: the “magic ring” dangles from a chain, ostensibly forming a long necklace to which all previous medallions are attached. Meanwhile, a fully-coloured knight sitting at the centre of the board, clad in armour with a red cape and plumed helmet, gazes longing at a pastoral landscape in the distance (possibly in wait for his lady-love).

---
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Champante and Whitrow’s other game, *Combat with a Giant*, is perhaps more daring in its conception. Unlike most other board games of the period, the gambling game was not structured as a race or card game but rather as a role-playing game; using a deck of forty-eight cards (the tens are removed), players must be dealt a Court card, which personate men and women, or an ace, which represents a woman. Each of these cards acts as an avatar, signifying a player in the game. Once every player has his or her character, they then become either “active combatants” or “prisoners of war” depending on the sum of their hand. Combatants fight a giant—a figure featured prominently in the centre of the board—by casting three dice or spinning a teetotum three times. Successful casts hit the giant and the fighter receives a prize from the “war-chest” (the game pool). Prisoners of war are held in the giant’s citadel, which is illustrated in the background of the game board. A roll of seventeen or eighteen wins the game (that is, an amount from the war-chest): as the rules state, “he who throws this lucky number [18], whether he be a prisoner or an active combatant, hits the heart of the giant, and consequently kills him.”

In both of Champante and Whitrow’s games, the fictional worlds stem primarily from figures and objects found in fairytales and other stories, and here we see a return to the ‘medieval’ as a place of imagination, rendered as a plaything for the amusement of players. As Caroline Goodfellow notes, the “early games stressed learning through play, but this aspect was gradually dropped in favour of sheer enjoyment of play.” The board stands in as an active, organic space, as *The Magic Ring* becomes a landscape in which to encounter imaginary characters and things, and *Combat with a Giant* proffers a lively battlefield for high stakes.

---

4.5 Conclusion

In many ways, the history of tabletop games reflects the history of maps. The rise of cartographical thought, of a new orientation with the world, ushered in new notions of space that were visually depicted on maps and, consequently, game boards. Maps had the power to project national ideologies through markers and borders, but games furthermore had the capacity to place players directly into the overarching narratives. While medieval games shared a close affinity with narrative, the rise of the thematic game placed narratives directly within the games themselves. With the creation and dissemination of the visualized thematic game board, games also became more standardized than their medieval predecessors (with their many variants and assizes). Even chess, a game that garnered a number of regional assizes and preferences in the Middle Ages, shifted toward a singular rule system after 1474, and its earlier narratives depicted in chess problems and allegories lost their cultural significance.

As spatial objects of learning and leisure, games open the player to new experiences; the designer’s rules, the represented world, the movement of the pieces, and the interactions between players themselves all work together to formulate novel experiences with each playthrough. Through their ability to represent the imaginary visually on the board, thematic games thereby enable players to fictionalize and project the self in potential within a wider world—a navigator, lover, or fighter of giants—set in places like Duval’s world of powerful nations or in fantasy worlds like Champante and Whitrow’s The Magic Ring. Herein lies the power of thematic games, and early game designers saw that potential inherent in the game board by striving to use them as pedagogical tools with which to teach history, geography, virtue, and social mores. Premodern and modern thematic tabletop games encouraged active participation and immersion through their explicit use of visuals, characters, mechanics, and rules and, in doing so, created
stories that intermingle theme and gameplay, fiction and real life. While the 1590 pocket-atlas themed geographical cards remained a novelty item in Elizabethan England, Bowes’s combination of geography and game presented England as a unified nation, an intermixing of play, materialism, and spatiality that had never appeared before—and would not appear again until Henry le Gras’s production of geographical playing cards in seventeenth-century France. Representations of the wider world on maps and iconic markers on game objects like boards and playing cards, coupled with the rise and regulation of domestically-produced games, eventually gave way to the design of interactive geographical game worlds such as John Wallis’s New Geographical Game Exhibiting a Tour Through Europe (1794) and Wanderers in the Wilderness (1818), which were produced for a growing commercial market.

This interactive “mapmindedness”—the desire to playfully engage with the wider world—continued well into the “Golden Age” of commercial games with titles such as Uncle Sam’s Mail (1893, Figure 4.9)—in which all “the leading railroad systems and cities in the United States are shown on the map, and great care has been used by one of the most reliable map makers in the country to make it accurate in detail.”

---

Geographical tabletop games remained a staple pedagogical tool for learning about the world and its inhabitants, but geography was also instrumental in viewing the game board itself as a place to reflect actual and imaginary spaces. Envisioning the world as a game board, in other words, was conducive to actually creating imaginary game worlds. Geography was not simply an addition to early modern games, but rather a catalyst for changing the idea of game altogether.
Chapter 5

Coda: The Social Value of Medieval Games

In many ways, there has always been a problem with games in society. Huizinga, in his influential and enduring *Homo Ludens* attempted to respond to the theoretical appropriation of play as a biological process by arguing that—far from useless ludic activities—play and game spaces form the basis for culture in general, from language and poetry to law and art: “It is a significant function—that is to say, there is some sense to it. In play there is something ‘at play’ which transcends the immediate needs of life and imparts meaning to the action. All play means something” and “[h]owever we may regard it. The very fact that play has a meaning implies a non-materialistic quality in the nature of the thing itself.”600 The field of game studies has largely moved away from Huizinga’s structuralist arguments by recognizing the importance of games as a semi-bounded form of cultural expression, which has more recently become a main tenet of the discipline.601 Yet in the many attempts to define ‘games,’ modern game studies scholars have nevertheless overlooked the necessity of considering the complex cultural history and development in the idea of ‘game’ itself. As I have demonstrated throughout this dissertation, medieval games were more malleable in their play and circulation in part because the objects by which they were designed (e.g., manuscripts) were also thought of differently from our modern separation of ‘game’ and ‘literature.’ Additionally, medieval audiences often perceived medieval
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600 Huizinga, *Homo Ludens*, 1 (see chap. 1 n. 19).
601 See Chapter 1 for a discussion of the critical responses to Huizinga’s *Homo Ludens* within game studies.
games from a much broader lens than has previously been considered by medievalists and game studies scholars. Medieval games also fit into a wide array of activities that were not necessarily always ludic in nature, ranging from meditation and cognitive exercises to serving a significant social function among friends.

Nevertheless, the rationale for Huizinga’s study—that is, placing games and play at the forefront of cultural significance as fundamentally important and rewarding activities—still finds resistance in academia and the modern public consciousness as moral panic and apprehension continues to surround the play of games. Indeed, despite the growing body of research in premodern games and game studies which argues that games are cultural objects worthy of study, a stigma persists in both popular culture and academia that questions (and in many cases denounces) the validity of games as a form of cultural production. As game studies scholar Jane McGonigal notes, “[a]lmost all of us are biased against games today—even gamers.” As the popularity of video games rose in the late 1970s, they fell under increased scrutiny among parents for their potential damaging impact on the thoughts, actions, and behaviours of youth.


They have been deemed wasteful, juvenile, and even dangerous—their play an activity best avoided by productive, responsible citizens. Games are, of course, not the only media entertainment to be viewed through a critical ethical lens—a constant valuation of their existence in the world. The moral panic over the influence of games continues to rage in the public consciousness and, as I will demonstrate, is part of a longstanding tradition in Western culture—from ancient Greece through the Middle Ages—to regulate gameplay for fear of its effects on the broader social structure. To conclude this dissertation, I will first discuss the history of discontent toward games before moving to focus on the duality of ‘medieval games’ as significant objects did not significantly alter the behavior of children, but may have other side-effects such as depression and lower self-esteem. See: Merle Royse Walker, “The Effect of Video Games and TV/Film Violence on Subsequent Aggression in Male Adolescents,” PhD diss. (The University of Southern Mississippi, 1984); Robert P. Bowman and Joseph C. Rotter, “Computer Games: Friend or Foe?,” *Elementary School Guidance and Counseling* 18.1 (1983): 25-34; Joseph R. Dominick, “Videogames, Television Violence, and Aggression in Teenagers,” *Journal of Communication* 34.2 (1984): 136-47; and Eric A. Egli and Lawrence S. Meyers, “The role of video game playing in adolescent life: Is there reason to be concerned?,” *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society* 22.4 (1984): 309-12. Nevertheless, games still often become a scapegoat for violence such as the mass shooting which occurred at Sandy Hook Elementary School, Newtown on December 14, 2012: Nicole Saidi and Doug Gross, “After Newtown, some shoppers think twice about violent video games,” CNN, December 20 2012, accessed August 12 2016, http://www.cnn.com/2012/12/19/tech/gaming-gadgets/violent-video-games-newtown. Despite the panic surrounding video games after the shooting in Newtown, the state’s attorney general did not mention video games in his speculation about the shooter’s motive. Further investigation revealed that while the shooter may have played violent games, his chief game obsession was *Dance Dance Revolution* (Konami, 1998-2016)—a decidedly non-violent dance game.

Crossword puzzles, comic books, film, and television have all been condemned by the public, especially early in their adoption among consumers. Influenced by the condemnation of crossword puzzles in newspapers in the 1930s, Frances Payne funded studies to address societal concerns about the dangerous effects of motion pictures on adolescent audiences, see: W. W. Charters, ed., *Motion Pictures and the Social Attitudes of Children: A Payne Fund Study* (New York: MacMillan & Company), 1933. Similar studies were conducted by scholars in the 1950s concerning comic books, see: F. Wertham, *Seduction of the Innocent* (New York: Reinhart, 1954).
of cultural expression in society as games played in the Middle Ages and modern digital games with medieval themes.

5.1 Games and their Discontents

The media has remained outspoken on the goodness or badness of games, whether praising their ability to increase cognitive ability, teach important workplace skills, educate, and relax or, conversely, to condemn games as addictive, juvenile, violent, and, ultimately, a waste of time. Neuroscientists, psychologists and scholars of new media and literature have similarly long debated the potentials and pitfalls of gaming and their effect on culture. But the question I continually return to is not whether games are necessarily good or bad, but rather why we ask this question in the first place.

One ready answer might be that games grant us agency to act even as they create imaginary worlds for us to play in, and that agency has consequences whether it is enacted within a safe space on a game board surrounded by friends or gambling for high stakes at a craps table in Las Vegas. Games are viewed under an ethical lens because they are ethical objects that are uniquely suited to enable players to actively explore moral tensions. Games also reflect certain moral ideologies about the world; their thingness is the embodiment of their own code of ethics that is rendered through aesthetics and formalized rules. Unlike unstructured, freeform play, which both humans and animals enjoy, games have identifiable guidelines within a contained system. They require varying degrees of abstract thought, cognitive representation, skill, and imagination, as well as an understanding of rules—traits that, taken together, are found
within diverse human cultures around the world since at least 3000BC. Games encourage choice and, from a medieval standpoint, garner a reputation as a pleasurable or sinful object—especially games that include an element of chance to decide one’s fate. Medieval players, authors, and readers, as we shall see, viewed games as complex spaces, particularities, and possibilities—spaces that tested one’s moral character and therefore needed to be contained and controlled.

From a philosophical standpoint, scholars and critics often attribute this tension between play (which includes games) and society to the rise of industrialism and Marxism. As Lawrence Hinman notes, “work became increasingly alienated, [so] the activity of play also became alienated . . . Insofar as we take the experience of work in our own society (i.e., the experience of alienated labor) as the equivalent to the experience of what work must be, we are led to a false notion of play and leisure.” Josef Pieper further sharpens this dichotomy between work and play in his influential 1961 book, Leisure: The Basis of Culture, arguing that work and play are two completely separate human activities, and that labour satisfies basic human needs through extrinsic reward while leisure does not (a concept that has now been widely discounted among psychologists, sociologists, and other scholars). One need not look far to see that this distinction is troubling, not only in the trivialization of play, but also in its narrow view of work. As psychologist Stuart Brown notes, “the opposite of play is not work—the opposite of play is

---


depression.” The lines between work and play in the digital age have become increasingly blurred and porous, and these materialist and structuralist modes of inquiry are clearly outdated, yet we see remnants of this philosophical argument in current discussions of play and game such as when historian Scott Eberle defines play as “purposeless” in his 2014 article “The Elements of Play.” Even in the Middle Ages, where one’s daily activities were perhaps more often regulated, work and play could still be mutually supportive, such as when gentry children learned chess to reflect their status and upbringing among other houses and at court or a bored scribe practiced fanciful letters in the margins of a manuscript.

This tension between play and society stretches back much farther to at least Plato and Aristotle. Both philosophers, as Armand D’Angour writes, “were conscious of a moral ambiguity in the concept of play: on the one hand, play seemed to imbue the norms of serious cultural activity; on the other, it suggests something intrinsically unserious and childlike.” Indeed, the ancient Greek word for ‘child’ (paides) is intrinsically related to the word ‘play’ (paidia)—the word sociologist Roger Caillois defines as “an almost indivisible principle, common to diversion, turbulence, free improvisation, and carefree gaiety” or “uncontrolled fantasy” to contrast with the controlled play of ludus. Plato also views paidia as chaotic, anarchic spontaneity, but, rather

609 Stuart Brown, Play: How It Shapes the Brain, Opens the Imagination, and Invigorates the Soul (New York: Penguin, 2010), 126.
611 See Patterson, “Introduction: Setting Up the Board,” 7-9 for a discussion of the game/earnest dichotomy in medieval literature and culture.
613 Caillois, Man, Play, and Games, 13 (chap. 1 n. 16).
than discourage play in children, he proposes that society harness its power for utilitarian purposes:

No society has ever really noticed how important play is for social stability. My proposal is that one should regulate children’s play. Let them always play the same games, with the same rules and under the same conditions. And have fun playing with the same toys. That way you’ll find that adult behavior and society itself will be stable. As it is, games are always being changed and modified and new ones invented, so that youngsters never want the same thing two days running. They’ve no standard of good or bad behavior, or of dress . . . This poses a threat to social stability, because people who promote this kind of innovation for children are insidiously changing the character of the young by making them reject the old and value the new. To promote such expressions and attitudes is a potential disaster for society.

For Plato, play and game, as unstable, unwieldy activities, can be tethered and controlled to produce model citizens. They become, in the words of game studies scholar Thomas Malaby, “static appraisals of unchanging social order.” And herein lies a central anxiety about games: the element of indeterminacy in games reflects the potential open-endedness of everyday life. As Malaby notes, “It connects games to other domains of experience by showing how they contain the same kinds of unpredictabilities and constraints that saturate our experience elsewhere . . . games relate to a particular mode of experience, a dispositional stance toward the indeterminate.” Aristotle similarly observes this indeterminacy, stating that play, as a leisure activity, “was the be-all and end-all of life,” for it is but a repose from labour. Plato concludes in Laws (347BC) that man and woman should “play the noblest games . . . [l]ife must be lived as playing, playing certain games, making sacrifices, singing, and dancing” in the likeness of the gods as the highest form of achievement. Left to their own devices, games, according to Plato
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616 D’Angour, “Plato and Play,” 301.
and Aristotle, can also be dangerous: just as they have the ability to reflect the activities of the
gods, they also have the power to destroy societies.

Plato’s notion of harnessing play to create a productive society is similarly found in more
recent examinations of games. Observing what she calls a “mass exodus” into the imaginary
world of games as people around the world spend more than three billion hours per week playing
games, McGonigal argues that games are a social good that fulfils human needs and can be used
to better all aspects of society, including health, education, and combat. While Caillois contends
that games are “an occasion of pure waste” and Brown observes how people have so
“internalized society’s message about play being a waste of time that we shame ourselves into
giving up play,”\textsuperscript{617} McGonigal concludes that “games don’t distract us from our real lives. They
fill our real lives: with positive emotions, positive activity, positive experiences, and positive
strengths. Games aren’t leading us to the downfall of human civilization. They’re leading us to
its reinvention.”\textsuperscript{618}

Far from meaningless activities, the praise and condemnation of games and play highlight
their perceived power to redefine our world. As philosopher Miguel Sicart contends, “[p]lay is
always on the verge of destruction, of itself and of its players, and that is precisely why it matters
. . . [it is also] a fundamental part of our moral well-being, of the healthy and mature and
complete part of human life”\textsuperscript{619} McGonigal praises games for their ability to improve how we as
citizens live and exist in the world, and other recent game scholars, media scholars, and scholars
advocate for an appreciation of play and games if, like Plato’s musings on \textit{paidia}, games and
play become primarily productive and serviceable activities for social good.

\textsuperscript{617} Caillois, \textit{Man, Play, and Games}, 5; Brown, \textit{Play}, 145.
\textsuperscript{618} McGonigal, \textit{Reality is Broken}, 354.
\textsuperscript{619} Sicart, \textit{Play Matters}, 3, 5 (see chap. 1, n. 35)
It is perhaps not surprising that games become a scapegoat for society’s ills when they fail to meet these lofty expectations. A rich and absorbing game like those found in the *Grand Theft Auto* series (1997-2016)—which satirize films like *The Godfather* (1972) and where players can steal cars, shoot police officers, and fraternize with prostitutes—are socially contentious at the same time they are clever and pleasurable experiences that bring ethical considerations to the forefront of gameplay. Players negotiate ethical situations within a complex network of moral responsibilities; as a pair of recent studies finds, when players are presented with moral transgressions, they will “actively avoid the anti-normative behavior (such as committing an act of violence) or they will feel a deep sense of guilt if they do commit it.”

Unlike film, television, or music, games provide a physical or digital space to play with social boundaries and explore actions and roles that are prohibited in society. Whether one agrees with the premise of games like *Grand Theft Auto* is not my point here: the games provoke their players (and non-players), tempting them to pass judgement on the game’s themes and commercialization as a form of entertainment according to one’s ideals. To define games as having social value is therefore to judge their worth in accordance with perceived cultural mores. Transgressions from these values in both the themes within the games and the outcomes of gameplay (such as monetary loss or the development of an immoral attitude) become aspects that those in positions of power feel the need to quell ‘for the good of society.’ Elizabeth I, for instance, sought to control the production and sale of playing cards in sixteenth-century England in an effort to control gambling. Similarly, the moral panic surrounding Warners Bros.
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Interactive’s arcade fighting game *Mortal Kombat* (1992) spurred the creation of the Entertainment Software Rating Board for video games in 1994.621

### 5.2 Valuing Games in the Middle Ages

If recent game studies scholars praise the capacity of games to improve modern societies, then how did the Middles Ages conceptualize games as they related to social welfare? Among medieval thinkers, there was a clear lack of consensus regarding where play and games fit into medieval society. In his encyclopedic *Etymologiae* (c. 600CE), Isidore de Seville classifies games alongside war and battle in Chapter 18, “de bello et ludis.” For Isidore, games are narrowly defined as physical sports and competitions such as throwing, running, horse racing, and wrestling, and he deemed them sinful and best avoided. In contrast, in his treatise on education, *Didascalicon* (c. 1128), the Parisian theologian Hugh of St. Victor proposed an independent science of games, *scientia ludorum*, which formed a part of the seven *artes mechanicae* (or, learnable crafts). Games could not only provide nourishment, but also educate the young. The Dominican Vincent of Beauvais also includes a variety of games in his treatise *Speculum doctrinale* as part of the *artes mechanicae*, but, like Isidore, associated them with violence and war and was disturbed by the diversions and consequences of gambling. For Vincent, games were suspicious and frivolous activities that prevented the elevation of the soul.

---

Officials regularly attempted to designate places and times specifically for work or play, often to no avail. In the early twelfth century, Odode Sully, the Bishop of Paris, issued a decree banning the clergy from playing or owning dice or chess pieces. During the Fourth Lateran Council of 1215, new Church policies restricted the clergy from both playing and observing games. However, regional policies varied widely. While some diocese allowed chess and other board games, others restricted them entirely. The Archbishop of Canterbury, John Peckham, in one of his letters of January 1281, condemned the game of chess as a sinful activity played by the monks in the monastery of Coxford, in county Norfolk:

Scaccorum autem ludum et consimilia scurrilia solatia vobis omnibus, occasione Roberti de Hunstaneston, perpetue inhibemus, quod si ipse vel vestrum aliquid contrarium praesumpserit attemptare, ipsum ad ingressu ecclesiae et omni actu legitimo suspendimus, donec tribus diebus in pane et aqua jejuneverit, omni dispensatione circa hoc cuilibet subditio nostro penitus interdicta.

[The game of chess and other clownish entertainments which have been introduced by Robert de Hunstaneston will be forbidden forever. If he himself or anyone else dare to break this law, we will suspend them, on the strength of law, from the right to enter the church and perform all duties unless they fast for three days on bread and water. This law is binding without any exceptions in this matter for anyone under our authority.]

Despite the sanctions and criticism decreed by the Church, ecclesiastics and laypersons continued to enjoy games throughout the Middle Ages as a form of recreation.622 The clergy were among the first players, for instance, to introduce chess and record chess problems.623

Games also became an essential part of an aristocratic child’s upbringing as they were thought to instill good moral character, tactical skill, and courtly values.624 Petrus Alfonsi, Henry
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622 For a detailed discussion of the various conflicting attitudes toward medieval games and the Church, see Bubczyk, “‘Ludus inhonestus et illicitus?,’” 23-43 (see chap. 2 n. 67).
623 See Chapter 2 for a discussion of the adoption of chess among the clergy in medieval England.
624 For more information about how chess educated and entertained aristocratic communities in medieval Europe, see Richard G. Eales, “The Game of Chess: An Aspect of Medieval Knightly Culture,” in *The
I’s physician, writes in his twelfth-century treatise *Disciplina Clericalis*, that the seven knightly skills include, “riding, swimming, archery, boxing, hawking, chess, and verse-writing.” In fifteenth- and sixteenth-century England, governors and founders of schools attempted to regulate play, designating certain times of the day and holidays for students to play games; these times came with specific rules such as the avoidance of “fighting, swearing, brawling or other disorders.” If they could not successfully rid childhood of play altogether, they could at least try and manage it, essentially marginalizing the role of games in the lives of those medieval children taught in schools. However, games also signified social standing and were critical in showcasing one’s sophisticated performances among peers in the gentry and nobility. As Nicholas Orme notes, the “educators most tolerant of games were those concerned with the bringing up of noble and gentle boys and girls. They saw games as complimentary to literary studies, useful in teaching military skills to men, and valuable in developing the health and accomplishments of both men and women.” Those in power also continually attempted to regulate or outright prohibit games, especially in response to the negative consequences of gambling. Whether or not games were banned, they remained popular pastimes across all levels of medieval society, from churches to households, courts to universities.
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As I have discussed throughout this dissertation, ecclesiastics, authors, educators, and scribes tended to internalize and regulate games, to wield games for the purpose of developing one’s character and edify them for teaching the principles of a moral life. Perhaps one of the most obvious examples of this is Jacobus de Cessolis’ socio-political allegory, *Liber de moribus hominum et officiis nobilium super ludo scacchorum* [Book of the morals of men and the duties of nobles—or, On the Game of Chess]. To exemplify the hierarchies and functions of medieval society, Cessolis discusses the duties of each piece, the rules of chess, and, notably, ascribes a different role to each pawn. For instance, wisdom, loyalty, courtesy, strength, mercy, justice, and a desire to protect the kingdom are all characteristics imbued in the idealized Knight pieces.  

Cessolis does not condemn or criticize chess, but rather praises it. In his frame narrative, Cessolis attributes the invention of chess to a Greek philosopher named Xerxes, who aimed to reform the wicked King Evilmerodach through gameplay. As Xerxes says to Evilmerodach, “No one should learn this game except those who view themselves as students and consent to being disciplined.”  

Cessolis further expounds on the purpose of chess, stating that it combats idleness, sadness, and slothfulness, since these dispositions lead to sin. Chess “was invented to indulge a desire for novelty . . . Many people wanted to invent great and clever things merely to curtail the inclination towards laziness or idleness.”  

Cessolis thus saw playing chess as both a moral and cognitive exercise that could teach the aristocracy proper social behaviour through its many variations: “Because of the countless number of ways to play, because of the various meanings and metaphors, and because of the ingeniousness of the battles, the game has become

---

631 Ibid., 7.
632 Ibid., 9.
famous.” This sentiment is shared in a few manuscripts where Nicolas de Nicolay’s *Bonius Socius* (a collection of problems for chess, tables, and nine-man morris) are juxtaposed with Cessolis’ *Liber*; readers could enjoy chess through either problem-solving or contemplating the aspects of a moral life.

Medieval authors also employ games and game-playing in cautionary tales to illustrate the consequences of poor real-life choices. The Chaucerian continuation *Tale of Beryn*, translated from the French romance *Bérinus* in the fifteenth century for the Merchant’s second tale in *The Canterbury Tales*, uses games as a pedagogical tool to represent the consequences of an immoral lifestyle (i.e., a gambling addiction). Raised as a spoiled child without a proper education, Beryn spends much of his time losing at dice-games: “Berynus atte hāzard many a nyȝte he wakid, / And off[e] tyme it fill so, þat he cam home al nakid.” The narrator immediately establishes a clear contrast between Beryn’s dutiful, nurturing parents and their spoiled, addicted son. As an object representing chance, the dice signifies the lack of direction and variability of Beryn’s life and future. Each time Beryn returns home stark-naked after losing, for instance, “Agea his modir wold[e] cloth hymn newe” (930), yet he refuses to leave his game-playing even when his mother lies on her deathbed. He does not heed his concerned parents and his gambling problem has so enraptured him that he is not present when his mother finally dies.

Games in *The Tale of Beryn* become a vehicle for Beryn’s growth and maturity. The narrator continually points to Beryn’s lack of education as the result of his lewd, selfish behaviour: “A man I-passid ȝowith, & is with-out[en] lore, / May be wele I-likened, to a tre without[en] more” (1055-56). As a child, Beryn plays simple games of chance, but when he

633 Ibid., 10.
travels to ‘Falsetown’ island as a newly minted merchant, Syrophane, a Burgess and skilled chess-player, immediately challenges him to a chess game with the intention of tricking him into an outrageous wager: after the first few games, in which he lets Beryn win, Syrophane states:

“[t]hat who-so be I-matid, graunt & [eke] assent / To do the todirs bidding; & who-so do repent, / Drynke[en] al the water, þat salt is of the see” (1767-69). Believing himself the better gamester, Beryn overextends himself: his lack of schooling does not prepare him for this world of merchants, dealings, and strategy. ‘Falsetown,’ as both Jenny Adams and Andrew Higl note, becomes a space for Beryn to learn about the corruption involved in mercantile trade on an island where the cultural conventions of the English and French landscape no longer hold substance.⁶³⁵ Here Beryn enters an environment where deception and cheating become normative behaviour. Notably, the translator selects and expands the sections of the romance dealing with Beryn’s youth and gamefulness, omitting his later adventures as an adult—ostensibly as a warning against the dangers of game-playing.

In his study of adolescence in The Tale of Beryn, Ben Parsons observes that the translator uses Beryn’s youth as an exemplary tale, noting how youth can be molded to practice proper behaviour.⁶³⁶ In The Tale of Beryn, then, the boundary between games and reality—or, between serious and non-serious consequences—dissolves. After Syrophane declares a checkmate, the sergeants seize Beryn as Syrophane accuses him of fraud; the rules have changed. Beryn pleads, “’Why, hoost, sey yee this in ernest, or in game?,’ not yet understanding the island’s customs.

---

⁶³⁵ Adams, Power Play, 106-114 (see chap. 4 n. 468); Higl, Playing The Canterbury Tales, 94-95 (see chap. 3 n. 300).

What he perceived to be a game within a safety net set by a ridiculously untenable wager suddenly becomes a reality he must address. Through the later mentorship of Geoffrey on the island, Beryn is not only able to learn the rules that govern the island, but also able to learn responsibility for his actions as a young adult. Whether used as an image for reform or as a cautionary tale, the reconceptualization of games to teach readers and players how to live a good life runs throughout the course of medieval literature and culture—a theme I have touched on in previous chapters. Games in medieval and early modern Europe not only blurred the boundaries between what we would consider ‘game’ and ‘literature’ as a form of entertainment (as I discussed in Chapter 1 and 3), but were also used, re-appropriated, and re-tooled for other purposes that undoubtedly held social significance to players.

Thus far, I have discussed tensions and suspicions toward games as they relate to the ambiguities of life and the ways in which medieval society attempted to regulate and appropriate games as a social good or, conversely, condemn and restrict them as a sinful activity. Philosopher Miguel Sicart, in his exploration of play in culture, argues that “games don’t matter” because they occur as just one form of expression within the wider context of play.\(^6^3^7\) If play is “a manifestation of humanity, used for expressing and being in the world,” then I would argue that games *also* matter because they become a nexus between materiality, players, and narrative—a ‘text’ to be read and interpreted as a particularity within given social contexts.\(^6^3^8\) Medieval games, as we have seen, do not rest in an ‘either/or’ dichotomy, but rather as a ‘both/and;’ they “can be both serious and playful because it is not just about play, or the rules of the game, or the medieval text—it is about medieval culture itself.”\(^6^3^9\) Far from being a

\(^{6^3^7}\) Sicart, *Play Matters*, 4.

\(^{6^3^8}\) Ibid., 2.

\(^{6^3^9}\) McCormick, “Afterword,” 214 (see chap. 1 n 122).
background “prop for play”, games in the Middle Ages, as *designed experiences*, become exemplary objects of value by: becoming a place to impart knowledge about the world (e.g., learning mathematics and applied skills, Chapter 2, and geography, Chapter 4), improving cognition and learning (e.g., recreational mathematics and chess problems, Chapter 2), practicing polite conversation (e.g., the *demandes d’amour*, Chapter 1 and Chapter 3), redefining social values and moral conventions (e.g., game-texts, Chapter 1 and *Ragemon le Bon*, Chapter 3), critiquing social circumstances (e.g., *Le Voeux dy Paon* excerpt in the Findern MS, Chapter 3), and contemplating morality and the journey of one’s soul through life (as in the *Game of the Goose*, Chapter 4)—all of which demonstrate that the prevalence of games in medieval society was a much more complex network of interrelationships, moral choices, and materialities than has previously been discussed.\(^{640}\) Yet despite this constellation of various actor-agents that can make-up a medieval game and its cultural contexts, medievalists and early modern scholars have only just begun to seriously study premodern games. In her rallying cry addressed to medievalists in the conclusion of my edited collection *Games and Gaming in Medieval Literature*, Betsy McCormick proclaims: “medieval studies has been slow to recognize the centrality and power of the ludic function” and “there is still much to explore”—and indeed this subject is ripe for new theoretical and historical approaches as both an addition and correction to the emerging field of cultural game studies.\(^{641}\) Even within the field of medieval studies, the study of premodern games sheds new light on both familiar and unfamiliar texts and brings the notion of the medieval ludic function into sharper focus in other areas of medieval life. As McCormick writes, “perhaps it is as simple as reminding ourselves that studying play and games


is not itself unserious,” and that such an assumption would miss the significance that medieval games held in the medieval imagination.642

5.3 Playing the Middle Ages

My plan was going well: after escaping from the dragon’s attack, I had ducked the prison sentries and was running through the woods when I happened upon a stable. With darkness near and not a soul in sight, I stole the farmer’s lone horse and bolted down the road, making my way to Whiterun. But a clean getaway was not to be and, in my haste, I was captured by two city guards. As I was too poor to pay a bribe for immediate release, they escorted me into Dragonsreach jail where I spent the night contemplating my actions (including my futility in trying to break out of said jail). The next morning I was let go with a warning and, strolling into the city, accidently joined a group of rugged mercenaries. And so ended my hour-long play session in the vast medieval-like province of Skyrim.643

“The Middle Ages is magic,” write Tison Pugh and Angela Jane Weisl in Medievalisms: Making the Past in the Present, “it is continually reborn in new stories, new media, new histories.”644 There is a certain aesthetic and cultural appeal—a mysticism—about the Middle Ages that draws audiences and is a primary interest within the study of medievalism. Throughout the course of this dissertation, I have examined games in the Middle Ages and Early Modern

642 Ibid., 213.
643 This is a personal anecdote that summarizes an one-hour play session in the game The Elder Scrolls V: Skyrim (Bethesda Game Studios, 2011).
period and in particular how their development, enjoyment, and significance as forms of cultural expression differ from definitions of games as understood by game studies scholars. But there is another kind of “medieval game” that is wholly modern and appealing to millions of players. To conclude, I wish to pivot toward these modern games, examining whether game spaces in neomedieval games—as objects in their cultural moment and as fabricated notions of the Middle Ages—specifically contribute to a perceived social good in their reimaging of the medieval. The Elder Scrolls V: Skyrim (2011, henceforth called Skyrim) presents an exemplary model for this inquiry due to its popularity as one of the best-selling games of all time, vast open world, character-driven experience, and emphasis on narrative. In the twenty-first century, the primary touchpoint for audience engagement with the Middle Ages is shifting from books, television, and film toward digital and tabletop games, and Skyrim, with over 20 million units sold, attests to this cultural development.

Games are now among the most influential forms of popular expression in what has become known as the “ludic century,” and the appetite for medieval board and digital games has remained steady among players as medieval games continue to be funded, produced, and released. Medieval games do not attempt to replicate a past reality based in historical authenticity, but rather take the Middle Ages as a point of departure to build immersive, coherent worlds. As Daniel Kline remarks, “[g]ame makers have used the medieval past to lend credence to their plotlines, to make exotic their characters, to romanticize their settings, and to give authority to their efforts. The medieval period is not something in the distant past but a present

---


reality, a treasure trove whose contents can be ceaselessly reconfigured for current needs.”  

In his groundbreaking effort to untangle various types of modern medievalisms, Umberto Eco beckons us to be cautious in our scholarly responsibilities: “we have the moral and cultural duty of spelling out what kind of Middle Ages we are talking about.” But within the textual pluralities of neomedieval games, which are only ever fully realized through player experiences within the larger context of complex player communities, the medieval world itself encompasses a multitude of assemblages that may only have tangential connections to a medieval past. Medieval settings in digital games go “beyond mere convenience; the imaginary spaces [they build] fulfill fundamental emotional needs.” For social video games especially, “the ‘medieval’ is a way to not only escape the real world, but also further define oneself within it.”

The action role-playing game *Skyrim* also encapsulates this rich “possibility space” and potentiality of games. Although players adopt the identity of the “Last Dragonborn,” a character destined to defeat the dragon Alduin the World-Eater, they can freely develop this character by roaming Skyrim in the immersive world of Tamriel, which consists of cities, villages, dungeons, and vast swaths of wilderness. There are no identifiable classes—that is, character archetypes based on a rigid set of abilities which are typical of role-playing games—no

---


650 Patterson, “Casual Medieval Games,” 254.

651 “Possibility Space” was a concept coined by game designer Warren Spector, which, in the words of Eric Zimmerman and Katie Salen, “links the embedded ‘overarching narrative’ of the game to the emergent actions and outcomes of moment-to-moment play,” Salen, *Rules of Play*, 390 (see chap. 1 n. 30).
winning or losing scenarios, and no ‘correct’ way to play the game (even the main storyline is
optional); a player can forge his or her own path by joining a band of mercenaries, becoming a
folk hero helping those in need, or assuming the role of an assassin or thief—a world
experienced through the freedom of player choice as illustrated by my personal gameplay
anecdote above. As I have mentioned elsewhere, “ideas of the medieval past can bring about and
indeed highlight, current civic, social, and political debates” as neomedieval games “mirror and
shape our own modern cultural values.”652 While games such as Double Fine’s turn-based
fantasy strategy game Massive Chalice includes Western liberal values such as same-sex
marriage in the game’s core aesthetics and mechanics, Skyrim turns these ethical quandaries onto
the players themselves. Skyrim becomes a world for players to indulge in an alter ego, a persona
that can reflect social values or, conversely, change them.

As with other games, players in Skyrim are not passive receptacles, but rather moral
agents continuously engaging with a game’s own ethical system—a viewpoint akin to Bruno
Latour’s Actor-Network Theory (ANT).653 As Sicart writes, “through playthings, we experience
play, and they have a role in shaping the activity in the ways they mediate it, but also in the ways
they open themselves to being interpreted, questioned, [and] appropriated.”654 Skyrim not only
crafts a rich medieval world for players, but also enables players to “see values and practice them
and challenge them.”655 The ‘medieval’ thus provides an alternate play space, a world that sits

652 Serina Patterson, “Women, Queerness, and Massive Chalice: Medievalism in Participatory Culture,”
University Press, 2005).
654 Sicart, Play Matters, 114, fn68.
655 Ibid., 5.
between a fantastical space of possibilities and a recognizable space of relationships, actions, and consequences.

So why do we view games under an ethical lens in the first place? The answer is unfortunately opaque and multifaceted. The element of indeterminacy inherent within games ushers in fear of the unknown, but by indulging ourselves and playing the game we may find the skill to deal with life’s ambiguities. The valuation of games ultimately rests upon one’s own principled disposition. I would like to conclude this dissertation with a short passage from BernardSuit’s philosophical treatise, *The Grasshopper: Games, Life, and Utopia*: “For even now it is games which give us something to do when there is nothing to do. We thus call games ‘pastimes,’ and regard them as trifling fillers of the interstices in our lives. But they are so much more important than that. They are clues to the future. And their serious cultivation now is perhaps our only salvation.” When people play—and, for our purposes, when people specifically play games—they realize their potential and possible selves. Whether for good or ill, games change people, and in doing so they have the capacity to change the world.

---

656 Suits, *The Grasshopper*, 159 (see chap. 1 n 27).
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Appendix

To date, the following text has been unedited.

1. **The poetic demandes d'amour in MS Additional 60577**

   Fol. 80v
   Die[u] chastell damours vous demand
   Nomes le p[re]mier fundement

   Apres nommer le maistre mure
   Qui plus le face fort et steure

   Dites moy qui sont les carneaulx
   Les saiettes et les quarreaux

   Je vous demand[e] quelle est la clef
   Qui le chastell peult deffermer

   Nombres la sale et le manoire
   Ou leu peult premier joye avoire

   Quelle est la chamber ou sont sy litt
   On lamant prent son premier lit

   Apres les gardes me nommes
   Par quoy le chastell est gardes
Qui fins amans peult jouyre
De ce dont ilz ont grant desire

Qui fait amours long temps durer
Et enforcer et embraser

Dont peult greig proufit venire
En fines amours maintenir

Quest en amours grant courtoisie
Moins prouffitable et plus prise

Fol. 81r
Quelle est la mendre qua iours fare
Qui plus conforte et plus solace

Quest en amours grant courtoisie
Que nulz ne la Recroit qui rie

Par quelle stanoir ne par quell chose
Peult meulx sage dame es prouver
Celluy qui la prie damez la du cuer

Quest en amours mere et norrice
Tant plus est nobill tant plus est nice

Amis amans qui amie vault
Quel chose est ce qui mieulx sy vault
Et a plus grant besoinge lieu fault

Dy moy damours le dart villain
Tant plus me fiert ie plus laime
Tant plus me fiert villainement
Plus lendure legierment

Quelle est lenseigne plus de guers
Qui plus monster lamour des cuers
Et est lenseigne si aperte
Quelle ne peult ester couverte