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Abstract
This thesis examines the hydrology of three experimental waste rock piles located in the Canadian Arctic at Diavik Diamond Mine (DDMI). Seven years of hydrology data is presented, including measurements of moisture contents, outflow volumes and soil tensions, along with an estimate of annual rainfall infiltration. The hydrology of each pile is influenced by freezing and thawing, and pore water flow is restricted to the time periods when the pile is thawed. The base of each pile contains drain pipes used to collect pore water from the piles, and these pipes are lined with internal heat traces. This research shows that the heat traces significantly influence the thermal behaviour and hydrology of the waste rock. A flooding event in the winter of 2012 interrupted power to the heat trace in two of the waste rock piles, and led to altered outflow volumes and patterns in 2013. A heat trace in the base of the third pile was intentionally turned off in 2011, and led to a significant decrease in the volume of outflow collected from the pile in 2012 and 2013.

A bromide tracer was applied to the crest of one of the piles in 2007, and the recovery of the tracer is analysed until 2013. The results of this analysis are used to quantify the average residence time and flow velocity of pore water within the pile. The concentration of stable isotopes is analysed in outflow from the same pile, and is used to estimate the contribution of snowmelt to the total recharge received by the pile. The infiltration of snowmelt into another waste rock pile is estimated using the results of four snow surveys, a snowmelt ablation model, and an infiltration model suitable for use on frozen porous media.

The research contained in this thesis provides information that will be incorporated into the final closure plan for DDMI, and will be used to help prevent the formation and release of low quality effluent from the full scale waste rock pile located at the mine site.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 The Diavik Waste Rock Project

The Diavik waste rock project is an ongoing research endeavor with the goal of understanding the thermal, hydrological and geochemical behavior of an 80m high waste rock pile located at Diavik Diamond Mine (64°29’N, 100°18’W) (Figure 1-1). The project consists of laboratory scale experiments conducted at the University of Waterloo, along with 2m and 15m scale experiments located at the mine site. The findings from these experiments will be used to develop up-scaling relationships for the prediction of solute release from the full scale waste rock pile. Data from the project has been collected continuously since 2007, and the findings of the project will be incorporated into the closure plan for the mine.

1.2 Importance of the Project

The weathering of sulfide bearing waste rock can produce low quality effluent known as acid rock drainage (ARD). ARD is a common problem in mining, and there is an abundance of literature regarding the generation and mitigation of ARD in mine sites throughout the world (ex. Johnson and Hallberg (2005)). The Diavik project is unique because it is located in a region of continuous permafrost, with fluid flow restricted to areas that thaw in the summer (the active zone). This affects flow and reaction rates within the waste rock, and has important implications regarding effluent volumes and the mass loading of solutes from the pile. Understanding the evolution of waste rock located in Arctic environments is increasingly important as mining activities continue to progress in northern Canada. The findings of the Diavik project will also be useful for guiding future research conducted on experimental waste rock piles, regardless of location.
1.3 Scope

This thesis is focused on the hydrology of two heavily instrumented, 15m high waste rock piles constructed by the Waste Rock Research Group (WRRG), and known as the test piles. The first pile is uncovered, constructed of acid generating rock, and is referred to as the type III pile. The second pile has an acid generating core overlain with an engineered dry cover of till and non-acid generating rock, and is referred to as the covered pile. Previous research on the hydrology of the waste rock piles was conducted by Matthew Neuner (2009), Nathan Fretz (2013) and Steve Momeyer (2014). Neuner (2009) focused on the initial hydrological response of the piles and physically characterized the waste rock. Research on the hydrology of the piles was continued by Fretz (2013), who quantified infiltration into the crests of the piles and examined the wet-up, storage and outflow from each pile between 2007 and 2011. Momeyer (2014) studied infiltration and solute transport in the piles between 2008 and 2009. This thesis contains the ongoing data analysis for both piles until 2013, and is also intended to address two primary goals:

1. Quantify an average flow velocity and residence time for pore water in the core of the type III waste rock pile.
2. Quantify the component of recharge that the type III and covered piles receive through the infiltration of snowmelt each spring.

These goals have been identified by the waste rock group as two of the largest missing components required for a comprehensive understanding of the hydrology of the waste rock piles.

1.4 Organization

This thesis contains a total of four research based chapters plus an introductory chapter and a conclusions chapter. The introductory chapter (Chapter 1) is intended to introduce the reader to the study site and to the experiments being conducted. Background information on each experiment is
provided, and references are given where additional information can be found in previously published work.

Chapter 2 focuses on the ongoing analysis of hydrologic data from the two experimental piles examined in this thesis. It is intended to demonstrate the importance of the stated research goals, and to maintain the continuity of data collected from each experiment.

Chapter 3 contains the analysis for a tracer released in 2007, and is intended to quantify the velocity and residence time of pore water in the type III experimental waste rock pile. This has important implications regarding the rate of solute loading from the pile, and provides necessary information for any future work conducted on the hydrology and geochemistry of the piles.

Chapters 4 and 5 are focused on quantifying the infiltration of snowmelt into the waste rock piles. The infiltration of melted snow is an important component of recharge to the piles, and is a function of total snowfall amounts, wind transport, sublimation, and melting. In Chapter 4 the relative infiltration of snowmelt and rainfall through the batters of the type III pile is quantified using stable isotopes measured in outflow from the pile. In Chapter 5 the infiltration of snowmelt into the covered pile is modeled based on the results of four snow surveys conducted in 2010, 2011, 2013 and 2014. Quantifying the infiltration of snowmelt into the test piles is required to evaluate the water balance of the individual piles, and will be beneficial when developing up-scaling relationships to the full scale waste rock pile.

Chapters 3, 4 and 5 in this thesis are written as articles intended for publication. Each article contains all of the background information required for the research being conducted, and as such there is some necessary repetition of information between chapters.
Chapter 6 presents conclusions and recommendations for future work. The recommendations are intended to guide future research both at Diavik and for other projects studying experimental waste rock piles.

1.5 Background

This section contains background information regarding the mine site, the waste rock that was created during mining, and the experiments conducted by the WRRG. The construction of two 15m scale experimental piles is summarized, along with a brief description of the hydrological instrumentation installed within each pile. An aerial photograph of the field site is shown in Figure 1-2 for reference. Note that the type I pile and active zone lysimeters (AZLs) shown in Figure 1-2 are not directly discussed in this thesis. The full suite of data collected from these experiments is summarized in Appendix A. For a complete description of the type I pile and the AZLs the reader is directed to (L. J. Smith 2009).

1.5.1 Site Description

The climate at Diavik is characterized by long, cold winters and short, warm summers. The mine is located in a semi-arid region and had 280mm of annual average precipitation between 1997 and 2007, with 65% falling as snow (Environment Canada 2010). Since the piles were constructed in 2006 and 2007 the annual precipitation has averaged 250mm (to 2013), with approximately 60% falling as snow (Figure 1-3).

1.5.2 Waste Rock at Diavik

Waste rock is created when the excavation of non-economical rock (country rock) is required during mining operations. At Diavik the removal and stockpiling of 200 MT of country rock was required to access three diamond bearing kimberlite pipes. This rock is primarily Archean age granite and pegmatite granite, with xenoliths of metasedimentary biotite-schist. The schist contains pyrrhotite, which is the only significant source of sulphur in the country rock. Following excavation, the rock is sorted by sulfur content into type I (<0.04 wt. % S), type II (0.04-0.08 wt. % S) and type III (>0.08 wt. % S) material, and is
stored in an 80m tall stockpile. Carbonate concentrations are low in the country rock, and both the type II and type III material produce ARD when stockpiled (Sinclair 2014), (Langman, et al. 2014).

The WRRG conceptually divides the waste rock as a function of grain size. Material that is less than 5mm in size is referred to as the matrix, while everything that is greater than 5mm in size is referred to as non-matrix material.

1.6 The Experimental Waste Rock Piles

The 15m high experimental waste rock piles are the largest experiments built by the WRRG. The piles are heavily instrumented, and the rate and volume of outflow from the piles is measured. Samples of outflow collected from the piles are taken every 2-3 days for geochemical analysis. The research conducted for this thesis is focused on the type III and covered piles shown in Figure 1-2, and background information regarding the construction and instrumentation of these piles is presented below. Only information that is relevant to this thesis is included; however, for a complete description of each pile the reader is referred to L. J. Smith (2009).

1.6.1 The Type III (Uncovered) Waste Rock Pile

The type III waste rock pile was constructed in 2006 using end-dumping and push-dumping techniques. The pile is constructed of rock with an average sulfur content of 0.053%. This material is actually classified as type II rock; however, the pile is referred to as the ‘Type III Pile’ to reflect its acidic outflow.

The batters of the type III pile are sloped at the angle of repose (38°), and the pile has a basal footprint of just over 50m x 60m. The type III waste rock pile is considered to be a batter dominated system, as the batters overlay 55% of the total basal area of the pile. The crest of the type III pile is a matrix and non-matrix supported surface used by haul trucks during construction (Figure 1-4). A significant
portion of the batters is non-matrix supported (Figure 1-5) and the average grain size increases non-linearly from top to bottom (Chi 2010).

1.6.2 The Covered Waste Rock Pile

The covered waste rock pile has a basal footprint of 100 x 125m and is differentiated from the type III pile by its unique construction. The core of the covered pile consists of type III rock with an average sulfur content of 0.083 wt. % S. This core was created using end and push dumping techniques, and was then re-graded to a 3:1 (18°) slope. A cover consisting of 1.5m of till was placed on top of the re-graded type III core using bulldozers. Following placement of the till cover, 3m of type I waste rock was placed onto the pile using both free dumping and bulldozers. The batters of the pile were left at a 3:1 slope to allow walking access. The covered waste rock pile is more batter dominated than the type III pile, as the batters slope at a much shallower angle than the batters of the type III pile. The construction of the covered pile resulted in batter surfaces that have a grain size distribution more similar to the crests of the piles than to the batters on the type III pile (Figure1-6). A schematic cross section of the covered pile is shown in Figure 1-7.

The engineered cover is designed to restrict the ingress of water and oxygen into the reactive type III core of the pile in order to minimize the formation and release of low quality effluent from the pile. The type I layer is designed to freeze and thaw annually while the till layer and core of the pile remain frozen. The frozen layer of till is intended to act as a permeability barrier to both water and air. A similar cover system is proposed in the mine closure plan for Diavik, and the covered pile is therefore an important analogue for gauging the success of this type of cover.

1.6.3 Drainage from the Piles

Both of the piles are constructed atop of an HDPE liner that directs flow to a perforated, heat traced PVC pipe referred to as a basal drain. The liner under the type III pile is 50 x 60m, and underlies the majority
of the pile. The liner at the base of the covered pile is 84 x 59m, and underlies only 40% of the total footprint of the pile. The drains were placed prior to construction, and are located in a protective 40cm thick layer of crush material. Basal pore water is conducted out of the pile by the drains, and the rate and volume of outflow is recorded by tipping buckets. The type III pile has two basal drains, referred to as the north and south drains, while the drain for the covered pile is referred to as the covered pile basal drain.

Both piles also contain basal collection lysimeters (BCLs) designed to study spatial variability in flow and geochemistry within the pile. The BCLs are plywood boxes lined with HDPE. They are located at the base of the piles, and each pile contains six 2 x 2m BCLs and six 4 x 4m BCLs (Figure 1-8). Pore water that flows into the BCLs is collected by a zero tension drain and conducted through a heat traced PVC pipe to Young Model 2202 tipping buckets, which record flow volumes. The BCLs in the covered pile have never conducted flow. It is assumed that they are broken, and as such they are not discussed further in this thesis. The BCLs in the type III pile are functioning as anticipated, and have provided valuable information regarding the variability in the flow and geochemistry of pore water conducted through the batters and the core of the pile. A schematic of the drainage system used in each pile, including the locations of the HDPE liner, the BCLs, and the basal drain(s) is shown in Figures 1-9 a-band 1-10.

**Differences in Drainage Systems Between Piles**

The drainage pattern in the type III pile is different from that of the covered pile. Basal flow in the type III pile is directed from the centre of the pile outwards, while basal flow in the covered pile is directed to a diagonal pipe passing through the centre of the pile. This difference is important because under natural conditions each pile thaws inward from the batters each year. Flow only occurs in areas that are thawed, and therefore water reaching the basal liner in the covered pile will not report to the basal
drain until a thawed pathway becomes available. In comparison, all of the water arriving at the HDPE liner of the type III pile each spring has a thawed pathway to the drainage pipes.

In addition to the different drainage schemes, different crush materials were used to protect the drain pipes in the piles. 2” inch minus material was used in the covered pile, while 1.25 inch minus material with no fines was used in the type III pile (L. Smith 2006). The absence of fines in the crush used in the type III pile means that water will not be retained through capillarity in this layer. Conversely, the fines in the crush of the covered pile will retain water and act as a storage reservoir for pore-water prior to reaching the basal liner. This has two main effects:

1. The crush in the covered pile will require wetting up prior to pore water reaching the basal liner and drain. Assuming a field capacity of 0.1 to 0.15 for the crush (Fretz 2013), this is anticipated to require around 200-300m$^3$ of water.

2. The water held in the crush may act as a thermal sink and promote multi-year freezing in the core of the pile. This will restrict flow to the covered pile drainage pipe.

The extent of the basal liner is also different between the two waste rock piles. The liner in the type III pile extends almost to the very edge of the batters, while the liner in the covered pile does not (Figures 1-9 and 1-10). This means that infiltration through the outer edges of the type III pile will be collected by the drain pipes, while infiltration around the outer edges of the covered pile will not. The development of a permanently frozen core in the covered pile will therefore lead to a significant decrease in outflow collected by the basal drain. Conversely, the extent of the liner and the location of the drain pipes in the type III pile dictate that significant outflow will continue to be collected even if a frozen core develops.

1.6.4 Internal Flow and Storage in the Waste Rock Piles

The experimental rock piles are unsaturated, and pore water is located primarily in the <5mm fraction of the waste rock known as the matrix. Material that is greater than 5mm does not have capillarity, and
does not retain water under unsaturated conditions (Yazdani et al., 2000). One dimensional flow through the matrix of the pile is controlled by capillarity and infiltration, and is described using the one dimensional Richards equation (Equation 1)

\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ K(\psi) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} + 1 \right) \right] \tag{1}
\]

Where \( \theta \) is moisture content, \( z \) is elevation above a datum, and \( K(\psi) \) is the hydraulic conductivity as a function of pressure head \( (\Psi) \).

The porosity contained within the non-matrix (>5mm) portion of the pile only conducts water during intense infiltration events, and flow through this domain is referred to as preferential flow. Preferential flow mechanisms include macropore flow through interconnected void spaces, and film flow along the surface of boulders. Both of these mechanisms channelize flow within the pile and lead to rapid flow velocities, relatively short residence times, and low solute loading compared to matrix flow. The relationship between preferential and matrix flow in waste rock is difficult to quantify (Stockwell, et al. 2006), but has important implications for the modeling of flow and geochemistry in the pile.

Fluid flow within the type III pile is seasonal due to an annual freeze-thaw cycle. Initial outflow from the pile each spring is derived from the batters (where thawing is initiated), with an increasing proportion of the pile contributing to outflow as thawing progresses. Freezing begins in October, and advances from the outside and base of the pile inwards. Flow from the basal drains and BCLs terminates by November each year.

Fluid flow within the covered pile is also controlled by freezing and thawing; however, the internal temperature of the pile was influenced by a heat trace that was turned on between 2007 and 2011. The heat trace maintained an artificially thawed state in the base of the pile, and significant outflow from the pile was observed in the winter months each year. After the heat trace was turned off the core of
the pile cooled and froze during 2012 and 2013. The effect this had on the hydrology of the covered pile is discussed in Chapter 2.

1.7 Instrumentation in the Type III and Covered Piles

The meteorological and hydrological instrumentation used for this research are summarized in Table 1-1. Meteorological data is collected at a MET station located approximately 1km from the waste rock piles, and through 4 rain gauges located on the crests of the piles. The hydrological instrumentation contained within the piles consists of tensiometers, EHC$_2$O probes, and time domain reflectometry (TDR) sensors. These instruments were selected to allow for the quantification of infiltration, internal flow and storage in each pile. The tensiometers, TDRs and ECH$_2$O probes all rely on unfrozen conditions to record accurate measurements, and the data obtained is therefore only considered reliable during times when the pile is thawed.
Table 1-1: Instrumentation in the waste rock piles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th># in Till Pile (Depth in pile)</th>
<th># in Covered Pile (Depth in Pile)</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rain Gauge</td>
<td>Young Model 2202</td>
<td>1 (Crest)</td>
<td>2 (Crest)</td>
<td>Rainfall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather Station¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Temperature, wind speed and direction, relative humidity, solar radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensiometer</td>
<td>Soil Moisture Model 2725</td>
<td>4 (0.6 &amp; 1.2m)</td>
<td>4 (0.6 &amp; 1.2m)</td>
<td>Matric Tension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECH₂O Probe</td>
<td>Decagon Devices TE and 5TE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (In Tl and till)</td>
<td>Volumetric moisture content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDR</td>
<td>Custom Built²</td>
<td>12 (1-9m)</td>
<td>10 (6-10m)</td>
<td>Volumetric moisture content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipping Bucket (Drain)</td>
<td>Custom Built³</td>
<td>2 (Basal)</td>
<td>1 (Basal)</td>
<td>Flow volumes through basal drains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipping Bucket (BCL)</td>
<td>Young Model 2202</td>
<td>12 (Basal)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Flow volumes through BCLs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The weather station is run by the Diavik Health Safety and Environment team
² Following the design of (Nichol 2002)
³ Blueprint for tipping bucket found in (Fretz 2013)

1.8 Key Parameter Estimates from Previous Work

Previous research has focused on physically characterizing the waste rock piles. The main hydrologic properties determined by Neuner (2009) and Fretz (2013) are summarized in Table 2. Information regarding how each parameter was calculated can be found in the references provided.
Table 1-2: Key parameter estimates from previous work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (units)</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Porosity (-)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>(Neuner 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity attrib. to macropores (-)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(Neuner 2009), (Fretz 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity attrib. to matrix(-)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>(Fretz 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity of matrix (-)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>(Fretz 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk $K_{sat}$ (m/s)</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>(Fretz 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix $K_{sat}$ (m/s)</td>
<td>$9 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>(Neuner 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk field capacity (m³/m³)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>(Neuner 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration Capacity(m/s)</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>(Neuner 2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.9 Comparison of the Test Piles to the Full Scale Pile
The full scale pile is a volumetrically core dominated system; however, the majority of the core of the covered pile is currently frozen year round. Fluid flow is restricted to the active zone that forms on the outer edges of the pile each summer, and infiltration into the pile is anticipated to occur through the crest and batters of the pile. The closure plan for the full scale pile utilizes an engineered cover similar to that found on the covered test pile. The performance of the experimental covered pile will be used to modify the mine closure plan in order to ensure that the active zone of the full scale pile does not contain acid generating rock.
1.10 Figures for Chapter 1

Figure 1-1: Location of Diavik Diamond Mine. Figure from Fretz (2013)
Figure 1-2: Aerial photograph of the test piles research area. Photo from Fretz (2013)
Figure 1-3: Daily and cumulative annual precipitation at Diavik
Figure 1-4: Representative surface textures on the crest of the waste rock piles. 1 x1m scale. Images from (Fretz, 2013)

Figure 1-5: Representative surface texture of the batters of the uncovered piles. 1m x 1m rectangle in bottom left of image for scale and comparison to Figures 1-4 and 1-6.
Figure 1-6: Representative surface textures on the batters of the covered pile. 1m x 1m rectangle in bottom centre of image for scale and comparison to Figures 1-4 and 1-5.

Figure 1-7: Schematic cross section of the covered pile.
Figure 1-8: Construction of the BCL cluster in the type III pile. Image from Smith (2006)
Figure 1-9 a-b: Drainage within the type III pile

a. As built drawing of the pile. White represents the crown of the pile, grey represents the batters. The thick red lines show the location of the basal drain, and the thin red line shows the extent of the HDPE liner. The BCLs are shown as black rectangles under the crown of the pile. The blue arrows show intended flow directions. Image modified from Fretz (2013)

b. Schematic showing the name of each BCL in the type III pile
Figure 1-10: Drainage within the covered test pile.

White represents the crown of the pile, grey represents the batters. The thick diagonal red line shows the basal drain location, and the thin red line shows the extent of the HDPE liner. The blue arrows show intended flow directions. The BCLs in the covered pile are not shown, since they have never conducted flow.
Chapter 2: Ongoing Data Analysis
The hydrology of the waste rock piles has been continuously monitored since 2007, and the dataset from 2007-2013 is presented in this chapter. Infiltration into the crest of each pile is discussed in terms of the Penman Monteith formulation utilized by Fretz (2013). Following this, the hydrology of the type III and covered piles is discussed in terms of initial wet up, storage and outflow. Some of the information and figures contained in this chapter are also used in Chapters 3, 4 and 5 in order to provide the necessary background information to make each chapter a standalone article.

The full suite of hydrological data collected by the WRRG between 2007 and 2013 is compiled in Appendix A.

2.1 Infiltration into the Waste Rock Piles

2.1.1 Infiltration of Rainfall into the Crest of the Piles
Infiltration through the surface of the waste rock piles is divided between snowmelt and rainfall. The annual infiltration of rainfall into the crest of the uncovered rock piles was estimated by Fretz (2013) using the Penman Monteith formulation and results from the four 2m scale active zone lysimeters shown in Figure 1-2. The work conducted by Fretz was extended to estimate infiltration into the crest of the type III pile in 2012 and 2013. Additionally, the infiltration of rainfall into the crest of the covered pile was estimated for each year between 2007 and 2013 using the same formulation. A summary of the total rainfall and infiltration into the crest of each pile is presented in Table 2-1. Note that the difference in rainfall and infiltration between the piles in 2006 and 2007 is a result of artificial rainfall events that were applied to the crest of the type III pile.
Table 2-1: Estimates of rainfall infiltration into the crest of the waste rock piles. The type III pile received artificial and natural rainfall events in 2006 and 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type III Pile</th>
<th>Covered Pile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>Estimated Net Infiltration (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2 Infiltration of Snowmelt into the Experimental Waste Rock Piles
The infiltration of snowmelt into the waste rock piles has not been quantified prior to this research.

Snowmelt infiltration represents a significant portion of the total recharge received by the piles each year, and Chapters 4 and 5 in this thesis are devoted to understanding the relative contributions of snowmelt to the total recharge received by the piles.

2.2 Dataset for the Type III Pile
The dataset for the type III pile consists of volumetric moisture contents measured by TDR probes, and outflow recorded at both basal drains and the BCLs. This data is also presented in Chapter 3, although less detail is provided regarding the daily outflow from the pile.

2.2.1 Flooding in December, 2012
In December of 2012 the trailer that houses the instrumentation for the type III pile was flooded and the electrical systems in the trailer were destroyed. Power to the trailer was not restored until September, 2013. This event influenced the thermal regime of the type III pile by temporarily cutting power to the heat trace in the drainage pipes for the basal drains and BCLs. The disruption of the heat trace is
believed to have induced or enhanced long-term (multi-year) freezing in the core of the pile (discussed further in Chapter 3).

### 2.2.2 Pore Water Storage in the Type III Pile

Within the pile, the volumetric moisture content of the matrix is determined using 12 time domain reflectometry probes. TDR probes measure the dielectric permittivity of a material, and the VMC of that material can be calculated from this measurement. The dielectric permittivity of ice is very similar to that of air and the TDRs therefore show a rapid decrease in apparent moisture content during freezing each year. This is not reflective of the actual water content in the pile, and for this reason the TDR measurements are only considered accurate during times when the pile is thawed.

The TDRs were placed along tipping faces during construction, and are located in two lines, one that is 2m south of centre and one that is 2m north of centre. The location of each probe is shown in Figure 2-1, and the VMC measured by each TDR from 2007-2013 is shown in Figure 2-2. Note that the onset of melting and freezing can be clearly seen each year. The collection of data from the TDRs was interrupted by the flood in late 2012 and early 2013; however, data was collected continuously during the 2013 flow season. The type III pile wet up to a depth of at least 9m in 2008, and in general the moisture content of the pile reaches a maximum each year of between 20-25% (80-100% matrix saturation). Most seasonal drying occurs in the upper two to three metres of the pile; although a very dry year in 2012 (9mm infiltration) caused drying to a depth of at least 5 metres. Although the upper portions of the pile were drier than average in 2012 and 2013, it is assumed that the pile has attained a pseudo steady state of matrix storage over a multi-year period. This means that infiltration into the pile over this time period will either be matched by outflow, or may result in the formation of multi-year ice in macropores at the base of the pile.
2.2.3 Outflow from the Type III Pile
Outflow from the type III pile is collected through the north drain, the south drain and the basal collection lysimeters.

Outflow from the Basal Drains
The daily and cumulative annual flow recorded at both basal drains between 2007 and 2013 is shown in Figure 2-3. Following the flooding event in 2012, the volume of outflow from the south basal drain was the lowest ever recorded. Conversely, the volume of outflow observed from the north basal drain following the flooding event was higher than average. These observations are interpreted to be the result of ice formation in the core of the pile, and are discussed further in Chapter 3.

Outflow from the Basal Collection Lysimeters
Outflow from the BCLs is sporadic and unpredictable (Figure 2-4). Flow collected by the BCLs is most likely a function of freezing and thawing within the pile, which is expected to vary from year to year at the local scale. After the flooding event flow was not observed from the BCLs until power was restored to the heat trace in the base of each BCL in September of 2013.

Total Outflow from the Type III Pile
Figure 2-5 is a summary of the total annual flow volumes collected from the type III pile. Since 2007, 85% of the total volume of outflow has been collected by the south drain, 13% by the north drain, and 2% by the BCL’s. Outflow from the pile peaked in 2010 and has decreased steadily since. This decrease is likely due to the formation of multiyear ice in the centre of the pile, which increases the amount of water retained in storage, and therefore decreases total outflow. The potential formation of multi-year ice in the core of the type III pile is discussed further in Chapter 3.

2.2.4 Summary of the Type III Pile Dataset
The type III pile is the most comprehensively studied of the experimental waste rock piles. The wet up period of the pile was described by Neuner (2009) and Fretz (2013), and the geochemistry of pore water from the pile was described by Bailey (2013) and Sinclair (2014). The largest unresolved issues
concerning the hydrology of the type III pile are: the difference in flow volumes conducted by the north and south drains, the velocity and residence time of pore water in the pile, the potential formation of multi-year ice at the base of the pile, and the quantification of snowmelt and rainfall infiltration through the batters of the pile. The research contained within this thesis addresses these issues.

2.3 The Covered Pile
In this section the hydrology of the covered pile is discussed. The hydrologic dataset for the covered pile consists of volumetric moisture contents measured by TDR and ECH2O probes, matric suction measured by tensiometers, and outflow recorded at the basal drain. The data presented in this section is also found in Chapter 5, although less detail is provided regarding the daily outflow from the pile and storage immediately below the till layer.

2.3.1 The Effect of Turning Off a Heat Trace
The thermal regime of the pile was significantly altered when a basal heat trace was turned off in June, 2011. Prior to 2011 the heat trace maintained a thawed state in the base of the pile and significant outflow was observed in the winter months each year. After the heat trace was turned off the core of the pile cooled and froze during 2012 and 2013 (Figure 2-6). As previously mentioned, the basal liner in the covered pile only drains 40% of the piles total surface area, and is centred underneath the core of the pile (Figure 1-10). In comparison, the basal liner in the type III pile underlies both the core and the batters of the pile. This means that the onset of freezing conditions in the core of the covered pile will have a much greater impact on outflow than freezing in the core of the type III pile.

The instrumentation located in the covered pile is powered independently of the trailer that was damaged by flooding; because of this there was no loss of data collected from the covered pile due to the flood.
2.3.2 Pore Water Storage in the Covered Waste Rock Pile
The storage of pore water in the covered pile is measured in the type III and till material using TDR and ECH₂O probes respectively. The VMC of the type I material in the covered layer is estimated using measurements of matric tension and a water retention curve developed by Neuner (2009).

**Type III Material**
The VMC of the type III matrix material is measured using 10 TDR probes that range in depth from 6 to 10m below the crest of the pile. The TDR probes were placed along tipping faces during construction, and are located in two lines, one that is 2m east of centre and one that is 2m west of centre. The location of each TDR probe is shown in Figure 2-7, and the VMC measured by each TDR from 2007-2013 is shown in Figure 2-8. The type III rock was placed below residual saturation, and wet up over a two year period following construction. By the end of 2009 all but one of the TDRs was recording VMCs between 15 and 25% (60-100% saturation). From 2009 until 2012 the pile had an average peak moisture content of between 20 and 25% each year. From August 2012 to August 2013 the data from each of the TDR probes showed a strong drying trend and some of the TDRs froze. The drying trend may be due to low infiltration in 2012 and 2013 (Table 2-1) or may reflect the gradual onset of freezing conditions in the matrix surrounding the TDRs. Ongoing thermal modelling by Pham (2014) shows that the portion of the pile surrounding the TDR probes was consistently around 0°C during this time period. Experiments conducted by Neuner demonstrated that some moisture in the pile remains liquid at temperatures just below 0°C due to capillary forces imparted from the small particles in the matrix (Figure 2-9).

**Till**
The gravimetric moisture content (GMC) of the till was measured during construction, and averaged 8%. It was measured again during an excavation conducted on the east batter of the pile in July, 2011, and was relatively unchanged with an average GMC of 9% (L. Smith 2014).
The VMC of the till is measured by ECH$_2$O probes that were installed in shallow boreholes during the summer of 2008. Like the TDRs, the ECH$_2$O probes only produce accurate data when the pile is thawed. The VMC at the top and in the middle of the till peaks to around 40% each year in July and August respectively, likely due to the arrival of snowmelt (Figure 2-10). Following this the VMC of the till decreases to around 10% at both locations prior to freezing. Most infiltration into the type I material in the pile occurs in the autumn each year (Fretz, 2013); however, this is not reflected in the moisture contents measured in the till. This suggests that the infiltration which occurs each fall does not reach the till layer until the following spring.

The VMC measured by the deepest ECH$_2$O probe peaks at around 25%. This is equal to the matrix porosity of the type III material, and suggests that the probe is actually located at the very top of the type III material, rather than in the till where it was intended to be. The peak in VMC seen at this depth each year is interpreted to be a result of thawing and the infiltration of snowmelt.

The temperature of the covered pile is monitored using thermistors, and ongoing thermal modelling conducted by Pham (2014) shows that the till layer freezes and thaws annually (Figure 2-6). The type I cover placed on top of the till was intended to keep the till frozen year round to prevent infiltration into the core of the pile. Since permanently frozen conditions have not been established in the till, it is anticipated that infiltration into the core of the pile will continue during the summer months each year.

**Type I Material**
The matric tension of the type I material is measured using tensiometers installed on the crest of the pile at depths of 0.6 and 1.2m. The measurements recorded by the tensiometers in 2013 are shown in Figure 2-11. Note that measurements are only taken during the summer months, as the tensiometers are removed each winter. The suction measured by the tensiometers can be correlated to a volumetric moisture content using a water retention curve developed by Neuner (2009) (Figure 2-12). In general,
the VMC of the TI material decreases between infiltration events, sometimes to as low as 5%. Following an infiltration event the tensiometers at 0.6m depth show a rapid increase in VMC, while the tensiometers at 1.2m do not fluctuate as quickly. Vertical upward and downward gradients are seen throughout the flow season, and are a function of infiltration. In general, upward gradients are observed during infiltration periods in the spring, and autumn. Periods with no infiltration in the summer can induce downward gradients, as shown in Figure 2-11.

2.3.3 Outflow from the Covered Pile
Outflow from the covered pile is collected exclusively through the basal drain, and the volume of outflow observed each year was strongly altered by turning off the heat trace in 2011. The daily and cumulative outflow observed from the covered pile basal drain is shown in Figure 2-13. From 2008 to 2011 the basal drain tipping bucket recorded outflow volumes of 27 to 105 m$^3$ per year, with most outflow recorded during the winter. After the heat trace was turned off flow continued until the end of 2012 as the pile slowly cooled and froze. By 2013 the total annual outflow from the pile decreased to only 6m$^3$.

2.3.4 Summary of the Covered Pile Dataset
The dataset for the covered pile between 2007 and 2011 was presented by Fretz (2013). Since this time the hydrology of the covered pile has been strongly altered due to turning off a basal heat trace in June of 2011. As of 2013 flow through the basal drain has essentially stopped, and it is anticipated that water that infiltrates through the till each summer will now result in the formation of multiyear ice in the frozen portions of the pile. This ice will not be measured by any of the existing instrumentation in the pile, and for this reason, it is important to quantify the amount of infiltration through the surface of the covered pile, and the proportion of this infiltration that passes through the till layer. The amount of snowmelt and rainfall infiltrating the surface of the covered pile each year is examined in this thesis. A tracer test conducted on the east batter of the pile in the summer of 2013 is intended to determine the
amount of infiltration occurring through the till layer. The data from this experiment is currently being analysed by Jordan Zak (Ongoing).
2.4 Figures for Chapter 2

Figure 2-1: Location of the TDR probes in the type III pile. Image from Fretz (2013)

a. Probes located 2m south of the centre line

b. Probes located 2m north of the centre line.
Figure 2-2: Volumetric moisture contents measured by TDR probes in the type III pile.
Figure 2-3: Daily and cumulative outflow volumes measured at the north and south drains
Figure 2-4: Flow through the basal collection lysimeters in the type III pile.

Figure continued on next page
Figure 2-4: Flow through the basal collection lysimeters in the type III pile. Figure continued on next page
Figure 2-4: Flow through the basal collection lysimeters in the type III pile.
Figure 2-5: Total annual outflow from the type III pile
Figure 2-6: Ongoing thermal modelling of the covered pile. Image from Pham (2014)
Figure 2-7a-b: Location of the TDR probes in the covered pile. Image from Fretz (2013)

a. Probes located 2m east of centre

b. Probes located 2m west of centre.
Figure 2-8: Volumetric moisture contents measured by TDR probes in the covered pile.

The depth of each probe is shown in brackets, and the location of each probe is shown in Figure 2-7.
Figure 2-9: The estimated portion of moisture in the matrix material that remains liquid at below freezing temperatures due to capillary forces.

Image from Neuner (2009)
Figure 2-10: Volumetric moisture contents measured by ECH₂O probes in the till
Figure 2-11: Matric tension measured by tensiometers in the TI material.

The tensiometers are removed each year during freezing conditions.

Figure 2-12: Water retention curve for the matrix material developed by Neuner (2009).
Figure 2-13: Daily and cumulative outflow volumes measured at the covered pile basal drain
Chapter 3: Quantifying the Flow Velocity and Residence Time of Pore Water in an Uncovered Waste Rock Pile in the Canadian Arctic

This chapter is written as a self contained article formatted for publication in a journal. It is intended to quantify the average flow velocity and residence time of pore water in the type III waste rock pile. Quantifying these parameters will facilitate a greater understanding of reaction rates within the waste rock, and will assist in future efforts to model the combined hydrology and geochemistry of the pile.

3.1 Summary of Chapter

A bromide and chloride tracer was applied to the crest of an uncovered experimental waste rock pile at Diavik Diamond Mine on September 13, 2007. The tracer was applied as an artificial rainfall event at a rate intended to induce preferential and matrix flow within the pile. Soil water solution samplers (SWSS) were used to observe the plume in the upper portions of the pile, and preferential flow velocities as high as 0.7m/day were seen to a depth of 9m. The presence of a low conductivity zone was inferred using a SWSS located at a depth of 2m, as samples from this SWSS yielded high concentrations of bromide for the duration of the study period (until 2013). Tracer that reached the base of the pile (14m below the crest) was recovered through a basal drain and 12 basal collection lysimeters. A second basal drain did not recover any tracer, and it was concluded that this drain only collects flow from the batters of the pile. The recovery and outflow concentrations of the tracer peaked in 2012, and as of November 2013 only 38% of the total mass of tracer has been recovered. A very low recovery of tracer in 2013 was likely caused by the formation of ice within the pile being enhanced by a non-functioning heat trace. The analysis for the tracer test therefore involves an extrapolation from the 2007-2012 data until 50% of the original tracer was recovered. The peak observed recovery occurred in 2012, and the extrapolated time to a 50% recovery occurred in 2013. The average flow velocity during times when the pile is thawed was determined to be 0.3 to 0.5 m/month, and the average residence time of pore water in the pile is estimated to be 6 years, provided that the heat trace is functioning.
3.2 Introduction
Sulfide bearing rock that has been mined and stored can produce low quality effluent known as acid rock drainage (ARD). ARD has the potential to negatively impact surrounding watersheds, and thus a comprehensive understanding of the flow and geochemistry within waste rock piles is necessary when developing a mine closure plan.

Diavik Diamond Mine (DDMI) is located in the continuous permafrost region of the Canadian Arctic (64°29'N, 100°18'W) (Figure 3-1). Production at the mine began with open pit mining in 2003, and has since progressed into an underground operation. It is estimated that the complete mining of the kimberlite pipes at Diavik will require the removal and stockpiling of 200MT of country rock. The rock is currently sorted by its sulfur content, and is placed in a segregated 80m tall pile.

The Diavik waste rock project has the goal of developing a comprehensive understanding of the thermal, hydrological and geochemical evolution of waste rock piles at DDMI. The field portion of the project contains 2m and 15m scale waste rock experiments located at the mine site. Lab based research, including a multiyear humidity cell experiment, is based out of the University of Waterloo. This paper focuses on quantifying the flow velocity and residence time for pore water in one of the three experimental waste rock piles built for the project. An understanding of these two parameters is important because they both influence the rate of contaminant loading observed at the base of the pile.

3.3 Study Site
3.3.1 Diavik Diamond Mine
The climate at Diavik is semi-arid, and is characterized by cold, lengthy winters and warm, short summers. Historical precipitation at the mine averaged 280mm per year between 1998 and 2007, with 65% falling as snow (Environment Canada 2010). Since the piles were completed in the autumn of 2006 annual precipitation has averaged 250mm per year, with 60% falling as snow. Figure 3-2 is a plot showing the daily and cumulative snow and rainfall at DDMI from the end of 2006 until 2013.
The country rock at Diavik consists of Archean age granite and pegmatite granite. The only significant sulfur in the rock is in pyrrhotite located within xenoliths of metasedimentary biotite schist. Waste rock at the site is sorted by its sulfur content into type I (<0.04 wt. % S), type II (0.04-0.08 wt. % S) and type III (>0.08 wt. % S) rock. Each of the three rock types are also characterized by very low concentrations of carbonates, and both the type II and the type III material are acid generating.

3.3.2 Experimental Waste Rock Piles
Three 15m high rock piles were constructed for the waste rock project. Two of these piles (known as the type I and type III piles) are identified by their rock type, while the third (known as the covered pile) is identified by its unique structure. This paper is focused on the type III pile, however, for a complete description of the piles the reader is directed to Neuner (2009), Smith (2009) and Fretz (2013).

The type III pile was created using end-dump and push-dump techniques, with instrumentation installed along tipping faces during construction. The pile is underlain by a 50m x 60m HDPE liner located 14m below the crest of the pile. The crest of the pile is 1350m², and the batters of the pile are at the angle of repose (38°). The construction techniques created a vertical gradation in the average grain size of material in the pile. The largest rocks are typically located at the base of the pile, while smaller rocks are more likely to be located near the crest of the pile (Smith 2009). An average grain size distribution for the entire pile is shown in Figure 3-3.

Effluent from the type III pile is captured through two basal drains and 12 basal collection lysimeters (BCLs). The BCLs are plywood boxes lined with HDPE and drained through a heat traced pipe into Young Model T2202 tipping buckets. There are six 2x2m BCLs and six 4x4m BCLs, which occupy a total area of 120m² under the crest of the pile. Water that does not enter the BCLs is captured by the basal HDPE liner and is directed through heat traced pipes into one of two custom built tipping buckets, referred to as the north and south drains. The slope of the basal liner was designed to divide flow between each
3.3.3 Hydrology of the Type III Pile (Background)

The hydrology of the type III waste rock pile has been continuously monitored since 2007. A description of the hydrology of the pile is presented below in terms of infiltration, internal flow, storage and outflow.

**Infiltration**

Infiltration into the type III pile is divided between infiltration into the crest of the pile and infiltration into the batters. Fretz (2013) quantified the infiltration of rainfall into the crest using four 2m scale lysimeters and the Penman Monteith formulation. The results of this work were extended to 2013, and are shown in Table 3-1. Rainfall is the only significant natural source of infiltration into the crest of the pile, as strong winds scour snow from the upper surfaces of the pile and onto the batters prior to melting.

The total infiltration of water into the batters of the pile has not been quantified; however, it is anticipated to be greater than infiltration into the crest due to the infiltration of snowmelt through the batters. Although the exact amount of infiltration through the batters has not been determined, an isotope analysis conducted for this thesis (Chapter 4) demonstrates that approximately 40% of the total recharge through the batters is derived from melted snow.
Table 3-1: Estimates of rainfall infiltration into the crest of the type III pile. All rainfall from 2008-2013 was naturally occurring. Table updated from (Fretz 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Estimated Net Infiltration (mm)</th>
<th>Percent Net Infiltration (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>58 (applied)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>88* (applied)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>153 (61mm applied and 92mm natural)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>60* (applied and natural)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*high infiltration in 2006 and 2007 is due to the nature of the applied rainfalls

**Internal Flow**

The type III waste rock pile is unsaturated and water is located preferentially in the matrix of the material (<5mm fraction). The matrix occupies 18% of the piles volume and has a porosity of 25%, accounting for 5% of the pile’s total porosity (Fretz 2013). Flow through the matrix is controlled by both capillarity and the propagation of pressure waves during infiltration, and matrix flow is the dominant transport mechanism in the pile (Neuner 2009), (Fretz 2013), (Sinclair 2014). Flow in unsaturated media that exhibits capillarity can be described using the one dimensional Richards equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ K(\psi) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} + 1 \right) \right]
\]  

(1)

Where \( \theta \) is moisture content, \( z \) is elevation above a datum, and \( K(\psi) \) is the hydraulic conductivity as a function of pressure head \( (\psi) \).

Non-matrix (non-capillary) flow also occurs in the pile, and is referred to as preferential flow. This is a non-specific term used to describe flow through interconnected macropores or along the surface of large boulders. Preferential flow is faster than matrix flow and interacts with larger grains containing less reactive surface area per unit volume than the matrix. Preferential flow therefore results in water
with lower solute concentrations than matrix flow (Fretz 2013). Although matrix flow is dominant in the type III pile it is anticipated that some degree of preferential flow occurs, particularly in the coarse grained lower batters.

Internal flow in the type III pile is seasonal, with flow occurring only in areas that are thawed. Thawing is initiated from the exterior of the pile each year in late May, while freezing begins in October each year, and advances from the outside and the base of the pile inwards (Sinclair 2014).

**Storage of Pore Water**

Capillarity is only significant in the matrix of the pile, and this is the principal location where the storage of liquid water is anticipated. The volumetric moisture content (VMC) of the matrix is measured using 12 time domain reflectometry probes (TDRs) located at depths between 1-9m (Figure 3-6). The interpretation of the data produced by the TDR’s relies on the pore water being in a liquid state, and the TDR data is therefore only accurate when the pile is thawed. The freezing and thawing of the pile are shown by a rapid decrease and increase respectively in the VMC measured by the TDRs.

The pile wet up to a depth of 9m in 2008, and in general the moisture content of the pile reaches a maximum each year of between 20-25% (80-100% saturation). Most seasonal drying occurs in the upper two to three metres of the pile; although a very dry year in 2012 (9mm infiltration) caused drying to a depth of at least 5 metres. Although the upper portions of the pile were drier than average in 2012 and 2013, it is assumed that the matrix of the pile has attained a pseudo steady state of storage over a multi-year period. This means that infiltration into the pile will either be matched by outflow, or may result in the formation of multi-year ice in macropores at the base of the pile.
**Outflow**

Initial outflow each spring is derived from the batters, with an increasing proportion of the pile contributing as thawing progresses. In autumn a freezing front advances through the batters and the base of the pile and terminates flow through the drains by November of each year.

Outflow from the pile is collected through the north drain, the south drain and the basal collection lysimeters. Figure 3-7 is a plot of the flow volume collected at each location between 2007 and 2013. Since the initiation of flow, 85% of the total volume has been collected by the south drain, 13% by the north drain, and 2% by the BCL’s. Outflow from the pile peaked in 2010 and has decreased each year since.

**Disruption of the Type III Heat Trace**

A flood during the winter of 2012/2013 cut power to the heat trace located in the drainage pipes of the BCLs and basal drains. Power was not restored until September 2013, and this may have contributed to long-term (multi-year) freezing in the core of the pile. The influence of the damaged heat trace on the internal flow regime of the pile is discussed in Section 3.5 below.

**3.4 Application of the Tracer**

A lithium bromide and lithium chloride tracer was applied to the type III pile on September 13, 2007 under the direction of M. Gupton. Six oscillating lawn sprinklers were used to apply the tracer to a 600m$^2$ (20 x 30m) area on the crest of the pile. The 29mm application occurred over 3.75 hours at an average rate of 7.8mm/hr (Neuner 2009). It is the second largest daily rainfall event to have occurred at the test piles since their completion in 2006 (Figure 3-2). Ponding was deliberately created in order to induce preferential flow, and occurred as a result of localized low infiltration capacity areas (Neuner 2009), (Fretz 2013). An aerial photo of the pile following the application of tracer is shown in Figure 3-8.
Bromide and chloride were selected as tracers because they are chemically stable, minimally-sorbing, and are transported at a rate equal to that of the groundwater flow velocity (Davis et al., 1980), (Mackay et al., 1986). The chloride and bromide were applied at concentrations of 2171 and 2576 mg/L respectively, with a total of 38 kg of chloride and 45 kg of bromide used. Recovery of the tracer was intended to occur through the north drain, south drain and the BCLs, while 23 soil water solution samplers were used to track the movement of the tracer in the upper 9m of the pile.

3.4.1 Background Concentrations
The internal release of chloride in the pile is complicated by the presence of blasting residuals (Bailey et al., 2013), (Sinclair 2013b). For this reason, the analysis of the tracer test is focused on the observation and recovery of bromide.

The background concentration of bromide in outflow from the pile is 0.5 mg/L. This value was calculated as the median concentration of all of the samples collected by the north and south drains prior to the tracer application. A separate background concentration for the BCLs was not calculated because they did not conduct any flow before September 13, 2007, when the tracer was applied. The background concentration of bromide is assumed to remain constant with time during the test, and has been removed from the calculations involving total mass recovery.

Pore water samples taken from the other two experimental piles have occasionally contained concentrations of naturally derived (non-tracer) bromide that are significantly above the background concentration of 0.5 mg/L. In these cases, the ratio of chloride to bromide can be used to differentiate between naturally occurring bromide and bromide from the tracer test. The background concentrations observed in the north and south drains of the type III pile had a median chloride to bromide ratio of 12:1, with no samples having a ratio of less than 5:1. This ratio was also observed in water samples from the type 1 pile (99% of samples >5:1, no tracer tests) and the covered pile (100% of samples > 5:1, no Cl
or Br tracer tests prior to 2013). In comparison, the Cl:Br ratio of the tracer is 1:1, and samples with a Cl:Br ratio of 5:1 or less are therefore assumed to contain tracer from the experiment.

### 3.5 Results

#### 3.5.1 Mass Recovery

Figure 3-9a-b is a plot of the average bromide concentrations and Cl:Br ratios in outflow collected from the type III drains and the BCLs. Significant tracer concentrations were observed in both the south drain and the BCLs, but not in the north drain. Additionally, the average Cl:Br ratio in the north drain never went below 9:1, while in the south drain and BCLs it went as low as 2:1 and 1:1 respectively. This dictates that the north drain did not recover any tracer; instead it collected flow from outside of the tracer area, most likely from the north batter (where no tracer was applied). This is a significant deviation from the intended design of the drains, and explains why the north drain has conducted so little effluent compared to the south drain (Figure 3-7).

**Time to Peak Concentrations and Recovery**

The annual mass of bromide recovered from the pile, along with the cumulative mass recovered (as a percentage of the total bromide released) is shown in Figure 3-10. The mass recovery was calculated by Sinclair (2014) until 2012, but was not analysed in the context of this tracer test. The mass recovery in 2013 was calculated for this paper using the same interpolation scheme as that used by Sinclair (2014). As of 2013, 38% of the total bromide applied to the pile has been recovered, with 83% of the total mass collected by south drain, and 17% collected through the BCLs. This is roughly proportional to the area under the tracer test that is occupied by the BCLs (20%). Mass recovery through the south drain was primarily influenced by the concentration of bromide in the effluent, while mass recovery in the BCL’s was influenced both by the concentration of bromide and by large flow volumes (for the BCLs) in 2012 (Figures 3-7, 3-9 and 3-10).
**Time to 50% Recovery**

This section is based on the assumption that the internal flow regime of the TIII pile was significantly altered in 2013 due to the non-functioning heat trace. Disruption of the previously existing flow regime is most likely to have occurred due to the formation of ice in the basal region underlying the core of pile. This assumption is supported by the fact that outflow was not observed from the BCLs until after the heat trace was restored in September, 2013. The volume of outflow collected from the south basal drain was lower than in any other year since flow began, while outflow from the north drain, which drains only from the batters (where long term freezing is not anticipated), was unaffected. The heat trace in the TI pile (located adjacent to the TIII pile) was also disrupted by the flood, and basal outflow from the TI pile decreased significantly in 2013. A subsequent excavation of the TI pile has demonstrated that the base of the pile contained large volumes of pore water stored as ice. Finally, the very sharp break in slope observed in the plot shown in Figure 3-10 is not what is expected from a tracer test in a heterogeneous waste rock pile under unaltered flow conditions (Marcoline 2008), and is instead indicative of a disruption to the regular flow regime within the pile.

If it is assumed that the flow regime of the pile was significantly altered in 2013, then the mass recovery observed between 2007 and 2012 can be extrapolated forward until a mass recovery of 50% is reached (Figure 3-11). Based on this extrapolation, it is anticipated that 50% of the tracer would have been recovered by 2013 if the heat trace had not been damaged. This correlates to the tracer moving an average of 2.3m per year between 2007 and 2013. This value does not account for the fact that flow is seasonally transient due to freezing and thawing. The velocity of the tracer during times that the pile is thawed is discussed in Section 3.5.4 below. Based on the projected recovery shown in Figure 3-11, water located in the matrix material of the TIII pile has an average residence time of 6 years.
3.5.2 Travel Time Along the Basal Liner
The flow velocity given above does not account for transport along the HDPE liner to the drainage pipes of the south drain. Instead, it is assumed that this time is negligible in relation to the six year time span of the experiment. This assumption is supported by the fact that tracer recovery peaked in the small scale BCLs one year later than in the south drain. If travel time along the HDPE liner to the drain was significant over a six year period the opposite relationship would be expected, with the maximum recovery occurring later in the south drain than in the BCLs.

3.5.3 Tracking the Tracer
The movement of the tracer through the upper 9m of the pile was observed using a network of 23 soil water solution samplers (SWSS). The SWSS collect water in a porous cup located within matrix material. In order to obtain a sample, suction is applied to the interior of the cup. The pressure gradient between the cup and the matrix induces a flux of pore water into the cup, and this water can then be driven to the surface of the pile using compressed gas.

In total, 455 SWSS samples have been collected from 23 locations located at depths of 1-9m in the pile. Sampling occurs each year between June and November. Each round of sampling does not contain samples from every SWSS, as it is not always possible to acquire samples from certain locations (for example if they are frozen). Mass fluxes can be calculated from SWSS samples if the volumetric flux of water past the SWSS is known; however, this is not the case at the type III pile. Instead the concentrations of bromide measured in the SWSS samples are used to comment on preferential and matrix flow velocities.

The SWSS samples contained higher background concentrations of bromide and chloride than the drains. This is due to the fact that the SWSS sample preferentially from the matrix in the core of the pile, while the drains collect both preferential and matrix flow from the core and the batters. The ratio of chloride to bromide in each sample was used to determine if the bromide in the sample was derived
from tracer or background sources. Background ratios as high as 50:1 (Cl:Br) were found in the SWSS samples, and samples with ratios of greater than 5:1 are not included in this analysis. In total, 208 samples contained Cl:Br ratios indicative of the tracer; plots of the bromide concentrations measured in these samples are shown in Figure 3-12.

**Variability in Flow Velocities**
A high variability in flow velocities was seen over short horizontal distances in the SWSS (Figure 3-12). For example, tracer arrived at the 32N2sws07 sampler in 2007 but was not observed in 32S2sws07 until late 2008, despite both samplers being located only 4m apart from each other and at the same depth (7m). Another example can be seen by comparing the 5m SWSS plot to the 3m SWSS plot. Tracer arrived at 33S2sws05 in 2007, but was not seen in any of the 3m depth SWSS until 2008. A high variability in flow velocities was also observed during tracer tests on similar unsaturated waste rock piles located at Cluff Lake (Nichol, Smith and Beckie 2005).

**Preferential Transport Velocities**
Preferential transport was seen in SWSS samples taken from depths of five, seven, and nine metres. Fingering of flow was observed at each of these depths. The fastest preferential flow was observed in samples taken from 33S2sws09, and had a velocity of 0.7 m/day (Figure 3-12). This is a good match to previous work, which determined that pore water can travel at velocities of up to 0.7m/day in response to large rainfall events (Neuner 2009)(Fretz 2013).

**Matrix Transport Velocities**
Quantifying the velocity of matrix transport using the SWSS is difficult due to the variability in velocities described above; however, the wetting front travelling through the matrix is spatially uniform compared to the fingering induced by preferential flow. The arrival of tracer at multiple SWSS of the same depth is therefore indicative of matrix transport. Using this criterion, the tracer moved an average of 2.9m per
year in the upper nine metres of the pile. This velocity does not account for the fact that flow is seasonally transient due to freezing and thawing, which is discussed in Section 3.5.4 below. Bromide concentrations returned to near background values in the 3 and 5m SWSS by the start of sampling in 2010, and Cl:Br ratios returned to >5:1 in 2013. Bromide concentrations returned to near background values in the 7m SWSS by the start of sampling in 2012, and Cl:Br ratios returned to >5:1 in 2013. Bromide concentrations in the 9m SWSS remained above background until 2013, with Cl:Br ratios staying below 5:1.

Evidence of Low Conductivity Zones

There is only one SWSS located at a depth of 2m. Samples from this SWSS contained elevated bromide concentrations from 2008-2013, and maintained a chloride to bromide ratio of less than 2:1 for the duration of this study. No significant preferential flow to the two metre SWSS was seen. This suggests that this SWSS is located in and sampling from a localized low mobility domain. A similar situation was observed in tracer tests conducted on experimental waste rock piles at Cluff Lake (Marcoline 2008).

3.5.4 Correcting for Seasonally Transient Flow

The mass recovery and SWSS datasets have shown that pore water in the matrix of the pile travels between 2.3 and 2.9m over a one year period; however, flow within the pile is limited to time periods when the pile is thawed (May-November). The location of the freezing and thawing front in the pile is tracked in two ways:

1. Using readings from the TDR probes, which show a large, rapid increase in volumetric moisture content during thawing and a similar decrease during freezing (Figure 3-6)

2. Using thermal modelling and temperature data from thermistors in the pile (Figure 3-13) (Pham 2012)
The average velocity of pore water when the pile is thawed was calculated to be 0.5m/month in the upper 9m of the waste rock pile. This was determined using the TDR data, thermal measurements, and the SWSS samples. Quantifying the velocity of pore water at depths below this is difficult, as there is no temperature data at depths between 11-14m. However, it is known that outflow from the pile is limited to the months between May and November each year. Using this information, the average velocity of flow during times when the pile is thawed is estimated to be 0.3m/month.

3.5.5 Location of the Unrecovered Bromide
In total only 38% of the total mass of bromide released was recorded by 2013. The bromide remaining in the type III pile at Diavik is anticipated to be segregated between two locations:

1. **Low velocity flow paths:** Tracer experiments in unsaturated, heterogeneous waste rock piles generally have long tails (Marcoline 2008), and it is anticipated that small amounts of bromide will continue to be recovered in subsequent years. This is supported by samples from the 9m depth SWSS that still contain tracer as of 2013. Samples taken from the 2m SWSS are indicative of a localized low conductivity domain, and it is anticipated that recovery from this domain will take a very long time.

2. **Entrapment by Multi-Year Ice:** Preliminary results from the excavation of the nearby type I pile indicate that a large amount of water can be retained as ice within the uncovered piles. Ice formation in both piles may have been enhanced by the disruption of heat trace during the 2012 flow season, leading to the low recovery of tracer from the TIII pile in 2013. Freezing will result in a decrease in flow volume collected from the core of the pile, and will block flow paths that would have otherwise been utilized by the tracer, even if the tracer itself has been segregated from the ice. A schematic of this process is shown in Figure 3-14.
3.6 Conclusions
In this paper 7 years of data was analysed to quantify the average flow velocity and residence time for pore water in the type III experimental waste rock pile. Recovery of the tracer appears to have been significantly altered by a heat trace that was damaged in the winter of 2012-2013, and because of this the data from 2007-2012 was extrapolated until a 50% recovery was achieved. The average velocity of pore water in the pile was determined to be 0.3 to 0.5m per month when the pile was thawed. This velocity is a time integrated average that reflects the six year precipitation, evaporation and infiltration history of the type III waste rock pile. Preferential velocities of 0.7 m/day were observed in the upper 9m of the pile in the days following the application of the tracer. This matches well with previous work, in which a maximum flow velocity of 0.7m/day was observed (Neuner 2009). Pore water in the matrix of the pile was determined to have an average residence time of 6 years.

The results of the tracer test also indicate that the north basal drain in the type III pile is not collecting water from the entire northern half of the pile, as was intended. Instead, flow collected by the north drain is primarily derived from pore water in the batters. This has important implications regarding hydrology, geochemical modelling and loading calculations.

The age and volume of ice in the core of the type I pile (located adjacent to the type III pile) is currently being investigated by Jordan Zak (Ongoing), and this will provide further information about the potential formation and evolution of multi-year ice in the type III pile.
3.7 Figures For Chapter 3

Figure 3-1: Location of Diavik Diamond Mine. Image from Fretz (2013)
Cumulative and Daily Rainfall at Diavik Diamond Mine

Cumulative and Daily Snowfall at Diavik Diamond Mine

Figure 3-2: Daily and cumulative annual precipitation at Diavik
Figure 3-3: Grain size distribution of the type III pile. Image from Neuner (2009).
Figure 3-4: The location of the drains and BCLs in the type III pile.

The gray areas show the batters of the pile, the green lines show the extent of the basal liner, the red lines show the basal drainage pipes, the arrows show intended flow directions along the basal liner, and the blue lines show the BCL drainage pipes. Figure 3-5 is taken along line A-A’ Image modified from Fretz (2013).

Figure 3-5: Schematic of the infiltration and internal flow regime of the type III pile along line A-A’.

Sources of infiltration are identified, and the arrows show the direction of internal flow.
Figure 3-6: Volumetric moisture contents measured by TDR probes in the type III pile.

The depth of each probe is shown in the legend. In early 2013 data was not collected due to flooding, and the winter freeze was not recorded.
Figure 3-7: Annual outflow from the type III pile
Figure 3-8: The 20m x 30m footprint of the tracer test.

Photo taken from a man-lift 100ft above the surface. Image from Neuner (2009)
Figure 3-9: Average flow weighted concentrations of bromide in outflow from the type III pile.

The BCL’s are plotted separately due to the fact that outflow from the BCLs was significantly more concentrated than outflow from either drain. The Cl:Br ratio in each location is overlain, and shown on the secondary vertical axis.
Figure 3-10: Annual and cumulative bromide recovery from the type III pile
Figure 3-11: Projected recovery of the tracer.

In 2013 the recovery of the tracer was significantly lower than anticipated. This is interpreted to be the result of ice forming in the base of the pile while the heat trace was not functioning.
Figure 3-12: Bromide concentrations in the SWSS. Only samples with a Cl:Br ratio that indicates the presence of tracer are included.
Figure 3-13: Thermal data from the type III test pile. Image from Pham (2014)
Figure 3-14: Schematic of tracer getting trapped by multiyear ice.

a) A freezing front advances from the outside and base of the pile inwards. Tracer may be preferentially excluded from the ice formation and be left in the centre of the pile
b) The pile freezes in November

Freezing conditions continue until March
d) Thawing begins from the outside of the pile inwards in June
e) A frozen core remains. The tracer is trapped within or on top of this frozen core. Image modified from Sinclair (2014)
Chapter 4: Using Stable Isotopes to Quantify Snowmelt Infiltration into an Uncovered Waste Rock Pile in the Canadian Arctic

This chapter is written as a self contained article formatted for publication in a journal. It is intended to quantify the relative contributions of snowmelt and rainfall to the total recharge received through the batters of the type III test pile. The ability to differentiate between recharge derived from these two sources is important when explaining the chemistry of outflow from the pile, and when developing up-scaling relationships between the type III and full scale piles.

4.1 Summary of Chapter
In this paper the depletion of $^{18}$O and $^2$H in outflow from the batters of an experimental waste rock pile is compared to samples of snow and rain in order to determine the relative contributions of snowmelt and rainfall to infiltration. The results of this analysis demonstrate a clear correlation between the pH of the outflow and the proportion of the outflow water derived from the infiltration of snowmelt, based on the isotopic ratios. Circumneutral outflow observed each spring is determined to be the result of snowmelt infiltrating through the batters and travelling though preferential flow pathways to the base of the pile. Conversely, acidic outflow collected in the summer and autumn of each year contains a mixture of rain and snowmelt water that travelled primarily through matrix material. In total, approximately 40% of the outflow from the batters of the pile is derived from the infiltration of snowmelt, while the remaining 60% is derived from the infiltration of rain water. On average, the proportion of snowmelt in the outflow reaches a maximum of 65% each spring, and a minimum of 30% by late autumn each year. Additionally, the analysis conducted for this research suggests that almost no evaporation occurs following the infiltration of rain or snow melt into the batters of the pile.

4.2 Introduction
Waste rock piles produced by mining can have significant environmental impacts due to the oxidation of sulfide minerals in the rock, leading to the generation of low quality acidic effluent. Predicting and
mitigating the release of acid rock drainage (ARD) into the environment is a necessary component of any mine closure plan, and requires a comprehensive understanding of the thermal, hydrological, geochemical and microbiological evolution of the waste rock. The Diavik waste rock project was created to develop a comprehensive understanding of these factors in low sulfide waste rock piles in the Canadian Arctic. The project is based at the Diavik Diamond Mine (DDMI), located 300km northeast of Yellowknife, NT, Canada (64°29’ N, 110°18’ W), (Figure 4-1). Production at the mine began with open pit mining in 2003 and has since progressed into an exclusively underground operation. Mining of the kimberlite pipes is anticipated to require the removal of 200MT of country rock. This material is sorted by its sulfur (pyrrhotite) content, and is stockpiled in an 80 m tall pile.

The waste rock project consists of laboratory, 2m and 15m scale experiments, with the intention of developing up scaling relationships from these experiments to the full-scale (80m) waste rock pile. The results from the project will be incorporated into the final plan for preventing ARD release from the mine site. This paper utilizes an analysis of stable isotopes to examine the importance of snowmelt infiltration into the batters of a large-scale (15m) experimental waste rock pile located at DDMI. The ability to differentiate between rainfall and snowmelt infiltration is required to understand the hydrologic systems that exist within the waste rock, and can also be used to explain annual patterns observed in the geochemistry of outflow from the pile.

4.3 Study Site

4.3.1 Diavik Diamond Mine

Climate
Since 2007 the annual precipitation at DDMI has averaged 250mm, with approximately 60% falling as snow. This paper is focused on the time period between 2011 and 2013, and the total rain and snowfall recorded at Diavik during this time is shown in Figure 4-2. The mine is located in a continuous...
permafrost region with a mean annual temperature of -8.5°C (Environment Canada 2010). Winters at DDMI are long, cold and dark, while summers are short and warm.

**Country Rock**
The country rock at Diavik consists of Archean granite and pegmatite granite. Within the granite there are metasedimentary biotite-schist xenoliths, and these contain the bulk of the sulfide found in the waste rock. Waste rock at Diavik is sorted from type I to type III by increasing sulfur content (acid generating potential). Type I waste rock has an average sulfur content of <0.04 wt. % S, type II rock has 0.04-0.08 wt. % S and type III rock has >0.08 wt. % S. Each of the rock types has a low neutralization potential, and the type II and type III rock are acid generating (Langman, et al. 2014), (Sinclair 2014).

**4.3.2 Experimental Waste Rock Piles**
Three large scale (15m tall) experimental rock piles were constructed for the waste rock project. For a full description of each pile the reader is directed to (Neuner 2009), (L. Smith 2009), (Fretz 2013) and (Sinclair 2014). This paper focuses on the effluent from a single pile, known within the project as the type III pile, and named after the rock it was constructed from.

The type III pile is underlain by a 50m by 60m HDPE liner. The crest of the pile has a surface area of 1350m², and the batters of the pile slope downwards at the angle of repose (38°) to the basal liner. The pile was created using end-dumping and push-dumping techniques, with instrumentation placed between four different tipping faces. These construction techniques created a gradient in grain sizes between the crest and the batters of the pile. The crest and upper batters contain finer material, while coarser material is preferentially located at the base.

The type III pile is unsaturated, and internal flow within the pile occurs primarily within the <5mm fraction of the waste rock, referred to as the matrix. Preferential flow through the non-matrix (>5mm)
material is induced during rapid infiltration events, and occurs through interconnected macropore pathways or as film flow along the surface of boulders.

Effluent from the pile is collected through two basal drains and several basal collection lysimeters (BCLs). The BCLs are plywood boxes with an HDPE liner and a drain that directs flow into heat traced pipes. Effluent from these pipes passes through Young model T2202 tipping buckets, which record the rate and volume of effluent. The BCLs are divided into three clusters, each of which contain two 2x2m BCLs and two 4x4m BCLs. Water that does not enter the BCLs is collected by the basal HDPE liner, and is directed into perforated, heat traced drain lines. This effluent then passes through one of two custom built tipping buckets, known as the north and south drains. The tipping buckets record the volume and rate of discharge through each drain pipe. The drainage and flow system of the type III pile, including the location of both drains and the BCLs, is shown schematically in Figures 4-3 and 4-4.

4.3.3 Snowpack on the Type III Test Pile
The spatial distribution of snow on the type III pile is driven by wind and total snowfall amounts, and results in a unique snowpack each winter (Figure 4-5 a-b). By the time melting begins the snowpack has a relatively consistent distribution each year, with most of the remaining snow overlying the lower batters (as in Figure 4-5 b). Although an average of 60% of the total precipitation at Diavik occurs as snowfall, it is not anticipated that 60% of the total infiltration into the pile will be derived from snowmelt. This is due to sublimation and wind transport away from the pile. It is estimated that 30% of the total snowfall at Diavik is sublimated each winter (L. Smith 2014), and modelling work conducted in Chapter 5 of this thesis shows that significant sublimation occurs each spring.

4.3.4 Flow Paths and Residence Times in the Type III Pile
The lower batters of the pile are characterized by short flow paths to the basal liner, large grain sizes, and abundant void spaces between boulders. Snowmelt occurs rapidly each year, and it is anticipated that some of the snowmelt will utilize preferential flow pathways and travel quickly to the basal liner.
In general, pore water with a long residence time in the pile is characterized by a low pH and high solute concentrations, while water with a short residence time is characterized by a higher pH, and a lower concentration of solutes. This effect is magnified in pore water that has travelled primarily through preferential flow pathways, which contain a smaller reactive surface area than the matrix material, and result in very short residence times for the water. Sinclair (2014) used this relationship to propose that the initial outflow collected from the basal drains each year is primarily a result of snow melt infiltrating through the batters and being preferentially transported to the base of the pile. This initial outflow is characterized by a circum-neutral pH and low solute concentrations, while flow later in the year is characterized by a lower pH (~4.5) and high solute concentrations. Figure 4-6 shows the pH measured in outflow from the pile between 2011 and 2013, and highlights the divide between the initial circum-neutral outflow and later, more acidic outflow.

4.3.5 Importance of the North Drain in this Analysis
The north drain primarily collects outflow derived from the batters of the pile (Figures 4-3 and 4-4). As previously mentioned, this is where the majority of snowmelt infiltration occurs. This paper therefore focuses exclusively on effluent collected from the north drain in order to highlight the importance of snowmelt to the total recharge received through the batters of the pile.

4.4 Background for Stable Isotope Analysis
4.4.1 Isotopic Mass Balance
The ratios of hydrogen ($^{2}$H/$^{1}$H) and oxygen ($^{18}$O/$^{16}$O) isotopes are used to define the isotopic composition of water. Rather than using absolute values, the composition of water is expressed as a deviation from Standard Mean Ocean Water (SMOW) (Gibson, Edwards and Bursey 1993):

$$\delta_{sample} = \frac{R_{sample}}{R_{SMOW}} * 1000$$

(1)

where R equals $^{2}$H/$^{1}$H or $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O.
Controls on the isotopic composition of precipitation include: altitude, latitude, a continental effect, seasonal variation and amount effects (larger rainfalls are more depleted in both heavy isotopes) (Coplen, Herczeg and Barnes 2000). For a given location, the influence of altitude, latitude, and the continental effect remain constant. This leaves seasonal variation and amount effects as the controls on the isotopic composition of precipitation for a given area. Of these, seasonal variation is dominant, with snow being significantly more depleted in both heavy isotopes than rain (Coplen et al., 2000). Water comprised from multiple sources retains its isotopic mass balance and can therefore be used to estimate the relative contributions of snow and rainfall to recharge within the waste rock piles (Equations 2 and 3). A schematic of this process is shown in Figure 4-7.

\[
\%\text{Rainfall} = \left( \frac{\delta_{\text{snow}} - \delta_{\text{sample}}}{\delta_{\text{snow}} - \delta_{\text{rain}}} \right) 
\]

\[\%\text{Snowfall} = 1 - \%\text{Rainfall}\]  

Where \(\delta\) is the isotopic content of the water as compared to VSMOW.

When conducting an isotopic mass balance it is anticipated that the values calculated using hydrogen and oxygen isotopes will usually agree to within 5-10% of each other (Coplen et al., 2000). If the average difference between the two values is significantly greater than 10% within a given dataset, this demonstrates that the isotopic ratio of the water has been influenced by a factor other than the relative contributions of rain and snowfall. The potential causes of this alteration are discussed further in Section 4.6.

4.4.2 Isotopic Analysis of Evaporation

When the values of \(\delta^{2}H\) and \(\delta^{18}O\) are plotted for a number of samples a straight line is formed, as in Figure 4-7. The slope of this line is a function of the evaporative history of the water. Water that has undergone evaporation from a free water surface will be located on a line with a shallow slope of 3 to 6,
while water that has not undergone evaporation will fall on a line with a steeper slope of approximately 8. These two lines are known respectively as the local evaporation line (LEL) and the meteoric water line (MWL) (Coplen et al., 2000).

When evaporation occurs in porous media, such as at or near the surface of a waste rock pile, the resultant $LEL_{(Porous)}$ has a slope of 2-3 (Allison 1982). This is due to greater resistance to the diffusion of water vapour in partially saturated media. For example, the $LEL_{(Porous)}$ defined at Mine Doyon, in Quebec has a slope of 2.4, as is shown in Equation 4 (Sracek, et al. 2004):

$$\delta^2H = 2.4 \times ^{18}O - 66.0$$

Reference isotope data for the Canadian Arctic can be found in literature and on the International Atomic Energy Agency website (IAEA 2014). The two sites closest to Diavik Diamond Mine are Yellowknife (300km SW) and Whatever Lake (600km SE, Informal name). At Yellowknife the local MWL has a slope of approximately 7.0 (IAEA 2014), and samples taken from nearby Pocket Lake had a LEL with a slope of approximately 5.0 (Gibson and Reid 2010). The MWL and LEL at Whatever Lake had slopes of 7.5 and 5.5 respectively (Gibson, Edwards and Bursey 1993). The slope of these lines provides a basis for the analysis of evaporation in the Canadian Arctic, including at Diavik.

4.5 Methodology
Samples of effluent are taken from the north drain every 2-3 days between May and November, when flow is occurring. All sample bottles were triple rinsed with sample water prior to use and were stored in a cool environment after sampling occurred. The samples were kept in HDPE bottles with a minimum of headspace until they were analyzed in 2014. Storing the samples in this manner ensured that the isotopes were not altered (Spangenberg 2012).

In total, 23 effluent samples, three snow samples (taken in April 2014) and two rain samples (from two events in summer 2014) were analyzed. Samples taken between 2011 and 2013 were selected based on
the well defined transition between high and low pH observed in outflow during each of these years (Figure 4-6). The snow samples were taken in the spring prior to the beginning of melting, rather than from individual snowfalls. Experiments using isotopes from a fresh snowfall underestimate the contributions of snowmelt to recharge, because sublimation moves the signature of the snow closer to that of rain prior to infiltration (Earman, et al. 2006). The rainfall samples were collected from clean buckets shortly after each rainfall event.

All samples were analyzed in the Environmental Isotope Laboratory at the University of Waterloo. Samples with a pH of less than 5 were analyzed using chromium reduction and H$_2$O-CO$_2$ equilibration. The error associated with these measurements is ± 0.8‰ $\delta^3$H and ± 0.2‰ $\delta^{18}$O. Samples with a pH greater than 5 were analyzed on a Los Gatos Research (LGR), “EP LWIA” instrument. The LGR instrument vaporizes a sample and analyzes its isotopic composition using Off-Axis Integrated-Cavity Output Spectroscopy (ICOS). Lab duplicates were run for 9 of the 23 samples and had an average error of 0.3% as compared to the original values.

The results of the lab work were analyzed to determine the relative proportions of melted snow and rain water in outflow from the pile. Additionally, the amount of evaporation occurring in the north batter of the pile was assessed.

4.6 Assumptions
This section summarizes the key assumptions used in the isotope analysis conducted for this chapter.

The validity of each assumption is checked in Section 4.9, based on the results presented in Section 4.8.

4.6.1 Interaction with the Rock Pile
Equations 2 and 3 are based on an assumption that the isotopic signature of the water is not significantly altered by interaction with the porous media it is infiltrating. The potential causes for the alteration of isotopes in waste rock include: oxidation reactions associated with ARD, exchange with
CO₂, hydration of silicates, and an exchange with H₂S (Ghomshei and Allen 2000), (Arcadis 2013). The net impact of each of these interactions is shown in Figure 4.8. In general, isotopes are not strongly altered during passage through a waste rock pile; however, it is necessary to confirm the validity of this assumption whenever an experiment is conducted in a new location (ex. Coplen et al. (2000), Allen and Voormeij (2002), and Arcadis (2013)).

4.6.2 Partial Freezing
When a body of water partially freezes the remaining water becomes more depleted in both heavy hydrogen and heavy oxygen isotopes. The first ice to form is enriched in both isotopes, and becomes progressively more depleted as freezing continues. Sougez and Jouzel (1984) experimentally constrained the depletion of δ²H to be less than 30‰ and the depletion of δ¹⁸O to be less than 5‰ in partially frozen water taken from a lake in the Canadian Arctic (original composition of -153‰ δ²H and -19‰ δ¹⁸O). The isotopic signature of ice is not altered during melting, due to the fact that δ²H and δ¹⁸O are relatively immobile in ice (Souchez and Jouzel 1982).

The analysis conducted for this thesis relies on the assumption that the batters freeze and thaw completely each year. This will close the isotopic system (with respect to freezing and thawing), and the average isotopic signature of the effluent will be unaffected. This assumption is supported by thermal data measured within the pile, which demonstrates that the majority of the batters thaw each year (Figure 4-9) (Pham, et al. 2013).

4.7 Limitations
The primary limitation of this analysis is the small number of snow and rain samples analysed, with all precipitation samples being taken in 2014. The isotope ratios of these samples define the end members of the analysis, and are used in Equation 2. Isotope depletion in snow and rain is not constant, and it is recommended that several years of samples be included when differentiating between recharge from snowmelt and from rainfall (Coplen, Herczeg and Barnes 2000). Unfortunately this was not possible at
Diavik, as no historical rain samples were collected. Instead, the samples that were taken are assumed to be representative of average precipitation for all years. Four years of isotope data from Yellowknife were analyzed to estimate the potential error this assumption could cause. On average, the discrete samples deviated from the mean by 17 ‰ $\delta^{2}H$ and 2 ‰ $\delta^{18}O$. In a worst case scenario, where both the snow and rain were under or overestimated, the result would be a 13% under/over estimation of snowmelt recharge. In the case where one sample was overestimated and the other was underestimated the result would be a 5% under/over estimation. Additional samples are currently being collected, and will be used to refine this analysis in the future.

4.8 Results

4.8.1 MWL and LEL

Figure 4-10 shows the isotope ratios of all the samples analyzed. The MWL defined by Gibson et al. (1993) at Whatever Lake (W. Lake) is included for comparison. The effluent samples taken from Diavik fall on a line (the ‘effluent line’) defined by the relationship:

$$\delta^{2}H = 8.4 *^{18}O + 21.3$$

(6)

The slope of the effluent line is steeper than the slope of the MWL defined at W. Lake (7.5), but is a good match to the global meteoric water line (GMWL) which has a slope of approximately 8.0.

The MWL at Diavik is defined by connecting only the snow and rain samples, and has the relationship:

$$MWL: \delta^{2}H = 7.0 *^{18}O - 21.8$$

(7)

The slope of the MWL is less than that of the effluent line and the GMWL. This is due to the rainfall samples being located slightly below the other lines, which suggests that they may have undergone a small amount of evaporation prior to collection. Evaporation can also occur during rain events that pass
through unsaturated air and this will lead to rain samples plotting below the MWL (Gibson, Reid and Spence 1998).

**4.8.2 Snowmelt in the North Drain**
The relative proportion of rain and melted snow in each effluent sample was calculated using Equations 2 and 3. The results of this calculation are plotted along with the pH of each sample in Figure 4-11 a-c. Each year the initial circumneutral effluent in the north drain is derived from 60-75% (average 65%) melted snow and 25-40% (average 35%) rain. As the season progresses the proportion of melted snow in the effluent decreases until flow terminates in November. Samples taken in November were derived from 24-33% melted snow (30% average) and 67-76% (70% average) rain. Each year the transition between circum-neutral and low pH outflow water corresponds closely with a decrease in the proportion of the water derived from the infiltration of melted snow. This confirms the hypothesis of Sinclair (2014), who used pH and solute concentrations to suggest that early season discharge can be attributed to snow melt infiltration and preferential flow through the batters of the pile. The more acidic outflow observed during the remainder of the flow season is derived from a combination of snowmelt and rainfall that travelled through the matrix of the pile, rather than through preferential pathways.

Figure 4-12 a-c shows the cumulative volumes of melted snow and rain water passing through the drain each year. These volumes were calculated by assuming a linear change in the proportion of melted snow between samples. The interpolated proportions of rain and melted snow were multiplied by the total daily flow to obtain volumes. In water collected by the north drain in 2011 the cumulative volume of pore water derived from melted snow was greater than that of rain until mid July. In 2012 this transition did not take place until mid August, and in 2013 it occurred in late September. The relative amount of rain and melted snow in the pore water conducted by the north drain each year is interpreted to be a function of rain and snowfall amounts (Figure 4-4). The winter of 2010-2011 was the
driest of the three years, and the summer of 2011 had the highest rainfall. Only 33% of the total outflow in the north drain originated from snowmelt in this year. In 2012 and 2013 there was a greater amount of snowfall and less rain. The percentage of outflow derived from snowmelt on the batters in these years was 39% and 43% respectively. Over the three flow seasons, 40% of the total effluent conducted by the north drain was derived from the infiltration of melted snow, with the remaining 60% derived from the infiltration of rainfall.

4.8.3 Evaporation
Plots of the isotope ratios for effluent samples taken in 2011, 2012 and 2013 are shown in Figure 4-13 a-c. Rather than following a LEL or LEL\textsubscript{(Porous)}, the samples plot along a line with a slope of 8.2 to 8.4. If significant evaporation was occurring following infiltration, the plots shown in Figure 4-13 would have a slope of between 2 and 3 (Allison 1982), (Allison, Barnes and Hughes 1983) and (Sracek, et al. 2004). A slope of 8.2 to 8.4 therefore shows that minimal evaporation occurs following the infiltration of water into the batters of the pile. This differs from infiltration into the pile crest, where strong evaporation occurs (Fretz 2013). The two behaviors can be explained by the differential grain sizes and solar radiation on the crest and batters of the pile. As was previously mentioned, the coarsest material is located at the base of the pile, and the crest of the pile is finer grained than the batters. A visual inspection of the batters shows that they are predominately clast supported, with large void spaces separating the grains. This allows for rapid infiltration through the surface of the batters into the interior of the pile, where cool, dark (low radiation) conditions dictate that evaporation is not likely to occur. Conversely, the crest of the pile contains more matrix material than the batters, and infiltration into the matrix will result in water storage near the surface of the pile, where evaporation can occur.
4.9 Justifying assumptions
The analysis contained in Section 4.8 relied on the assumption that the isotope ratios in the pore water were not being significantly altered by interaction with the waste rock or by partial freezing. In this section the validity of using these assumptions in the type III waste rock pile is assessed.

4.9.1 Interaction with the Rock Pile
The potential alteration of isotopes in the batters of the pile was analyzed by comparing the isotope ratios of the early (circumneutral) and later (acidic) samples. Sinclair (2014) used the geochemistry of the outflow water to conclude that the circumneutral water observed each spring has a shorter residence time in the pile than the later, more acidic outflow water. If interaction with the pile is altering isotopes in the pore water it follows that an increased residence time will lead to a greater alteration. This will lead to a change in the slope and intercept of the effluent water line along one of the paths shown in Figure 4-8. Figure 4-14 a-b shows separate plots of the early (circumneutral) and later (low pH) effluent from each year. The slopes and intercepts of both lines are similar, however both the slope and the intercept of the low pH effluent line is slightly higher than that for the circumneutral samples. This demonstrates that the pile is exerting a small influence on the isotopic composition of the pore water collected by the north drain.

4.9.2 Partial Freezing
Soughez and Jouzel (1982) demonstrated that samples undergoing partial freezing will produce a line with a slope of 4.4 to 6.6 when plotted. Since the plots of the effluent samples created each year have a slope of 8.2 to 8.4 it is concluded that partial freezing is not influencing the isotopes in pore water conducted by the north drain.

4.9.3 Summary of Justifications
In equation 2 the average difference between the values calculated using $\delta^{2}H$ and $\delta^{18}O$ was 8%, which is within the expected range of error of 5-10% defined by Coplen et al. (2000). This shows that even
through the isotopes in the water are slightly altered by interaction with the pile, the assumptions used in this analysis are valid.

4.10 Conclusions
The objective of this paper was to quantify snowmelt infiltration into the batters of the type III pile at Diavik. 23 samples (2011-2013) were analyzed for stable isotopes of hydrogen and oxygen, and the proportion of snowmelt within each sample was calculated. The results demonstrated a clear correlation between pH and the proportion of the effluent derived from the infiltration of melted snow.

In total, 40% of the flow collected by the north drain between 2011 and 2013 was derived from snowmelt. The remainder of the flow was derived from the infiltration of rainfall through the batters of the pile in the current or preceding year. The proportion of recharge attributable to melted snow can be expanded to the other batters on the pile by assuming that the snowpack formed each year is reasonably consistent between each batter. It is acknowledged that this assumption is not completely correct, as the structure of the snowpack is influenced by winds. However, by making this assumption it can be concluded that approximately 40% of the recharge received through the batters of the pile is derived from snowmelt. The remainder of the recharge received through the batters of the pile, and the entire recharge received through the crest of the pile, is derived from rainfall.

The slope of the effluent line showed that minimal evaporation occurs in water infiltrating the northern batter of the type III pile. This differs from infiltration into the crest of the pile, which is heavily influenced by evaporation. There is evidence that the isotope ratios of the pore water are slightly influenced by interaction with the rock pile; however, the net impact of this interaction did not significantly impact the analysis conducted for this research.
4.11 Figures For Chapter 4

Figure 4-1: Location of Diavik Diamond mine. Image from Fretz (2013)
Figure 4-2: Daily and cumulative annual precipitation at Diavik.
Figure 4-3: The location of the drains and BCLs in the type III pile.

The gray areas show the batters of the pile, the green lines show the extent of the basal liner, the red lines show the basal drainage pipes, and the blue lines show the BCL drainage pipes. The dashed rectangle overlain on the northern edge of the pile shows the approximate portion of the pile that the north drain collects outflow from. Figure 4-4 is taken along line A-A'. Image modified from Fretz (2013)

Figure 4-4: Schematic of flow within the TIII pile along A to A'.

The snow shown in this figure is representative of a springtime snowpack immediately prior to melting.
Figure 4-5 a-b: Snowpack on the batters of the type III pile

a. Snowpack on April 25, 2011
b. Snowpack on May 6, 2014
Figure 4-6: The pH of effluent in samples taken from the north basal drain.

The dashed line on each plot shows the divide between early season circumneutral pH outflow and later, more acidic outflow.
Figure 4-7: Schematic showing the relative isotope ratios of snow and rain.

Snow is more depleted in both $^2\text{H}$ and $^{18}\text{O}$ than rain. A sample comprised of both rain and snowmelt will have an intermediate isotope ratio, as defined in equations 2 and 3.
Figure 4-8: Potential sources of isotope alteration in the TIII waste rock pile

Figure 4-9: Isotherms and density driven air flow vectors in the type III pile

Figure 4-10: Isotopic ratios of rain, snow and effluent at Diavik.

The blue line shows the MWL defined at nearby W. Lake.
Figure 4-11: Plots showing the percentage of snow and pH of samples

The dashed line on each plot shows the divide between early season (circumneutral) outflow and later (low pH) outflow, as in figure 4-6.
Figure 4-12: Cumulative volumes of rain and snow melt in the north drain effluent.
Figure 4-13: Isotope ratios of the effluent from the north drain in 2011-2013.

The isotope ratios and rain and snow (2014) are also plotted.
Figure 4-14: Stable isotopes in spring (high pH) and later (low pH) samples.
Chapter 5: Modelling Snowmelt Infiltration into a Covered Waste Rock Pile in the Canadian Arctic

This chapter is written as a self contained article formatted for publication in a journal. In this chapter the infiltration of snowmelt through the batters of the covered experimental waste rock pile is modelled. Estimating the amount of recharge received from snowmelt is important when developing up-scaling relationships between the experimental and full scale piles.

5.1 Summary of Chapter

The goal of this research is to estimate the volume of recharge received through the batters of an experimental waste rock pile due to the infiltration of snowmelt. This is an important component of understanding the hydrological systems that exist within the waste rock. The base of the waste rock pile is underlain by an HDPE liner, which is centred under the pile and occupies 40% of the pile’s total surface area. Pore water that reaches the basal liner is directed into a PVC drainage pipe and the volume of water conducted by the pipe is quantified. Only the infiltration that occurs directly above the liner is expected to contribute to outflow from the drainpipe, and the research conducted for this paper is therefore focused primarily on this portion of the pile. Four years of snow survey data were used to calculate the volume of snow on the pile each spring, and demonstrated that on average only 17% of the total snowpack was located above the liner. A melt and sublimation model was then used to estimate the rate of ablation for this portion of the snowpack. Each year melting is initiated in May, and complete melting is estimated to take between 6 and 15 days, depending on the total volume of snow available and the weather during the snowmelt window. In the time period between the snow survey being taken and the complete ablation of the snowpack it is estimated that approximately 13 to 30% of the snow located above the liner is sublimated. The estimated melt rates are used in an infiltration model that describes recharge into frozen soil with the characteristics of the waste rock material. The volume of recharge received through snowmelt is estimated to be between 70-159 m$^3$ each year, which
is 22 to 54% of the total annual recharge. The modelled volumes of snowmelt each year are used to close a multi-year water balance for the pile between 2007 and 2011.

5.2 Introduction
Waste rock is created when the removal of non-ore bearing rock is required during mining. The weathering of sulfide minerals contained in waste rock can lead to the production of low quality effluent known as acid rock drainage (ARD). ARD can cause significant long term degradation of watersheds and ecosystems, and thus a comprehensive understanding of the flow and geochemistry within waste rock piles is necessary when developing a mine closure plan.

Diavik Diamond Mine (DDMI) is located in the continuous permafrost region of the Canadian Arctic at 64°29’N, 100°18’W (Figure 5-1). Complete excavation of the diamond bearing kimberlite pipes at Diavik requires the removal of 200MT of country rock, which is currently sorted by its sulfide content and stored in a segregated 80m high stockpile. The Diavik waste rock project has the goal of developing a comprehensive understanding of the thermal, hydrological and geochemical evolution of this waste rock. The field portion of the project contains 2m and 15m scale waste rock experiments located at the mine site. Lab based research, including a multiyear humidity cell experiment, is based out of the University of Waterloo. The intention of the project is to develop up scaling relationships between the data collected from each of the experiments, and to use these relationships to create a comprehensive understanding of the thermal, hydrological and geochemical behaviour of the full scale pile.

5.3 Scope and Organization
This research is focused on estimating the infiltration of snowmelt into an experimental waste rock pile (known as the covered pile) located at DDMI. A brief background on the construction and hydrology of the pile is presented in Section 5.5. Next, the infiltration of snowmelt into the covered pile is modelled from data obtained during four snow surveys taken in 2010, 2011, 2013 and 2014. For each year, the total volume of snow on the pile is determined and an ablation model is used to estimate melt and
sublimation rates. Following this, an infiltration model is used to estimate the amount of recharge that occurs through the infiltration of snowmelt into the interior of the waste rock pile. Finally, the estimated volumes of snow melt infiltration are used to close a multi-year water balance for the pile.

5.4 Limitations
This research is a modelling exercise based on a comprehensive literature review and four snow surveys taken in 2010, 2011, 2013 and 2014. The snow surveys are used to determine the amount of water contained in the snowpack at the time the survey was taken. From this point onwards the evolution and infiltration of the snowpack is modelled, as no further field measurements were taken during any of the surveys. The infiltration of snowmelt cannot be measured using the existing instrumentation in the pile, as all of the hydrological sensors put in place during construction rely on unfrozen conditions to produce accurate measurements. Additionally, a single year water balance for the pile cannot be constrained, as the potential change in storage within the pile is of a significantly greater magnitude than either the annual outflow or infiltration. Snow surveys were not taken in 2007, 2008, 2009 or 2012, which prevents the direct usage of a multiyear water balance. Instead, a five year water balance for the pile is estimated using the average volume of snowmelt infiltration determined by the results of the modelling. The amount of snowfall infiltrating the surface of the pile varies annually, which introduces uncertainty in the water balance equation; however, this is the best available method to support the results of the modelling.

This research is based on models that were selected with consideration given to the available data. Each of the models utilized was chosen to require a minimum of calibration and is based on studies conducted over time periods ranging from 6 to 25 years in similar environments. The intention of this research is to provide an estimate of snowmelt infiltration into the pile, and to serve as a platform upon which further research can be conducted.
5.5 Background
This section is intended to provide the reader with a background knowledge of the mine site, along with the construction and hydrology of the covered pile.

5.5.1 Diavik Diamond Mine
The climate at DDMI is characterized by long, cold winters and short, cool summers, with an average mean temperature of -8.5°C. Historical precipitation at the mine averaged 280mm per year between 1998 and 2007, with 65% falling as snow (Environment Canada 2010). Since 2007 the annual precipitation on the pile has averaged 250mm per year, with 60% falling as snow (Figure 5-2).

The country rock at Diavik is primarily Archean age granite and pegmatite granite. The rock is characterised by low concentrations of sulfide bearing minerals, except within xenoliths of metasedimentary biotite-schist, which contain pyrrhotite. Waste rock at the mine is classified by sulfur content as type I, type II, or type III material. Type I material has an average sulfur content of <0.04 wt% S, type II material has between 0.04 and 0.08 wt. % S, and the type III material has > 0.08 wt. % S. Each rock type is also characterized by low concentrations of carbonates, and both the type II and type III materials are acid generating.

5.5.2 The Experimental Waste Rock Piles
Three 15m high experimental waste rock piles were constructed for the Diavik project in order to study the hydrology, geochemistry and thermal behaviour of waste rock at Diavik. This research is focused on one of the three piles, known as the covered pile. For a complete description of each of the piles, the reader is referred to Neuner (2009), Smith (2009) and Fretz (2013).

Structure and Construction
The covered waste rock pile has a basal footprint of 100 x 125m. It consists of an acid generating type III core overlain with a cover of low permeability till and type I rock. The type III core has an average sulfur content of 0.083 wt. %S, and was constructed using end dumping and push dumping techniques. The
type III rock was placed below residual saturation, and following placement the batters of the pile were re-sloped to 18° using a bulldozer. Till material from the mine site was used to create a 1.5m thick cover that was placed on top of the re-sloped core using a bulldozer. The till was partially frozen when it was excavated, and had an average gravimetric water content of 8% (L. Smith 2014). Following the placement of the till cover, an additional cover consisting of 3m of dry type 1 material was placed on top of the crest and batters of the pile. A dozer was used on the batters, and the crest was covered by free dumping from haul trucks followed by grading with a dozer. The construction techniques used with the till and type I material resulted in a cover that is not completely uniform; however, an idealized schematic cross section of the covered pile is shown in Figure 5-3.

The core of the type III pile is underlain by an 84 x 59m HDPE liner that covers approximately 40% of the piles total footprint. The liner is graded to direct flow into a perforated, heat traced pipe that passes through the centre of the pile (Figure 5-4). Water collected by the pipe flows into a custom built tipping bucket, which records the volume of effluent passing through it.

Purpose of the Cover
The cover system is designed to prevent the formation and release of ARD from the reactive type III core of the pile. The type 1 material is designed to freeze and thaw annually and act as the active layer of the pile, while the till and type III core remain frozen year round. The low permeability frozen till layer is intended to restrict the ingress of oxygen and water into the core of the pile.

A heat trace located in the base of the pile kept the core of the pile thawed until the heat trace was shut off in 2011. Following this, the core of the pile cooled and froze during 2012 and 2013 (Figure 5-5), which led to a significant alteration of the internal flow regime in the pile.
5.5.3 Hydrology of the Covered Pile

**Infiltration of Rainfall into the Type I Material**
Infiltration through the surface of the covered pile is divided between snowmelt and rainfall. The annual infiltration of rainfall into the crests of the uncovered experimental waste rock piles was estimated by Fretz (2013) using the Penman Monteith formulation and the results from four 2m scale active zone lysimeters (AZLs). Due to the construction techniques used on the covered pile, the grain size distribution of the batter material is similar to that found on the crests of each pile. Both the crest and the batters of the covered pile are constructed of the same rock, and have had similar compaction through traffic as the uncovered test piles. For this reason, the infiltration of rainfall estimated by Fretz each year has been extended from the crest to the batters of the covered pile. It is acknowledged that this is not a perfect representation of infiltration through the entire surface of the pile, as runoff is more likely to occur on the sloping batters of the pile than on the flat crowns and AZLS. Despite this, the model created by Fretz still contains the best available estimates of infiltration into the pile. The results of the Penman Monteith infiltration model are summarized in Table 5-1 below.

**Infiltration of Pore Water through the Till Layer**
The type I cover placed on top of the till was intended to keep the till frozen year round to prevent infiltration into the core of the pile. Permanently frozen conditions have not been established in the till as of 2013 (Figure 5-5), and it is anticipated that infiltration through the till layer is occurring during the summer months. A tracer was applied to the east batter of the pile in the summer of 2013 and is intended to determine the effectiveness of the till layer as a barrier to flow. The results of this experiment will be analysed by Jordan Zak (Ongoing). Since the percentage of infiltration that passes through the till layer is currently un-quantified, this paper focuses primarily on infiltration into the type I material.
Table 5-1: Estimates of rainfall infiltration into the covered pile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Estimated Net Infiltration (mm)</th>
<th>Estimated Volume Infiltrated (m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pore Water Storage**

The covered pile is unsaturated, and pore water contained within the pile is located in the <5mm fraction of the waste rock. This fraction of the rock is known as the matrix, and is defined by its ability to retain water through capillarity. Previous work estimated that the matrix occupies 18% of the total rock volume in the experimental piles (Figure 5-6) and has a porosity of 25%. This accounts for 5% of the total porosity in the pile (Fretz 2013). The average volumetric moisture content (VMC) in the matrix of the waste rock material increased during the wet up of the pile between 2008-2009, and ranged from 15-25% between 2010 and 2012 (Figure 5-7). In between August 2012 and August 2013 the data from each of the TDR probes showed a strong drying trend. This may be due to low infiltration in 2012 and 2013 (Table 2-1) or may reflect the very gradual onset of freezing conditions in the pile. Ongoing thermal modelling by Pham (2014) shows that the portion of the pile surrounding the TDR probes was consistently around 0°C during this time period. Experiments conducted by Neuner (2009) demonstrated that some moisture in the pile remains liquid at temperatures just below 0°C due to capillary forces imparted from the small particles in the matrix (Figure 5-8).

Pore water is also stored in the till of the covered pile, and volumetric moisture contents as high as 40% were observed in the till in July of 2010 and 2011 due to the infiltration of snowmelt (Figure 5-9).
Moisture contents are not directly measured in the type I material, however measurements from tensiometers in the crest of the pile show that the matrix of the type I rock dries noticeably in between infiltration events, and has an average saturation of around 60% immediately prior to winter freeze up each year.

**Internal Flow**
A rhodamine test conducted on the east batter of the covered pile showed that flow occurs primarily in a vertical direction within the type I material on the covered pile (Figure 5-10). Preferential flow was not induced by the tracer, which was applied at a rate of 8mm hr\(^{-1}\). No overland flow was observed during the rhodamine tracer test; however, overland flow was observed during a different tracer test applied on the same batter at a rate of 6mm hr\(^{-1}\). This resulted from areas of low infiltration capacity, and suggests that overland flow on the batters of the pile can occur at infiltration rates as low as 6mm hr\(^{-1}\) during times when the upper surface of the pile is thawed.

**Outflow**
The daily and cumulative outflow collected from the base of the covered pile increased each year until 2012, and then decreased significantly in 2013 (Figure 5-11). This is interpreted to be a result of the base of the pile cooling and freezing after the heat trace was turned off in 2011 (Figure 5-5). The large amounts of outflow observed from 2008-2012 demonstrate that pore water is able to infiltrate through the till layer. It is anticipated that this infiltration occurs primarily when the till is thawed, while pore water that reaches the till when it is still frozen is more likely to be directed laterally on top of the till.

**Summary**
A schematic of the hydrology of the pile is shown in Figure 5-12. Each parameter shown in this figure is relatively well constrained, with the exception of infiltration by snowmelt and the proportion of flow passing through the till material.
5.6 Snow Survey Methodology

Snow surveys were taken on the covered pile in the spring of 2010, 2011, 2013 and 2014.

Measurements of snow depth were taken by vertically inserting a Plexiglas tube through the snowpack onto the underlying rock. The Plexiglas tube was transparent, and was labeled in 1cm increments to allow for measurements of snow depth. After the depth was measured a snow core was obtained by carefully removing the tube from the snow, and the tube and snow core were weighed to obtain the snow water equivalent (SWE) depth of the snow core. The density of snow was calculated from the length of the snow core and its corresponding SWE.

Each survey consists of measurements taken from a subset of fifty locations on the pile. The locations fit into five uniform elevation bands located between the crest and base of the pile. The surveys taken in 2010 contain only snow depth measurements while the remaining surveys have measurements of both snow depth and SWE. None of the surveys contain all fifty points, as locations which were deemed unsafe, inaccessible or in an artificially created snow bank are not measured. Figure 5-13 is a contour map that shows the snowpack measured in 2014, along with the location of the survey points. Note that only 21 of the survey points are located directly above the basal liner.

5.7 Snowpack Analysis

5.7.1 Snowpack Structure and Density

The snowpack had a consistent spatial distribution each year at the time the snow survey was taken.

The snow was very thin to nonexistent at the crest of the pile, and increased in thickness towards the base (Figures 5-13 and 5-14). The distribution of the snowpack is a result of winds scouring the upper portions of the pile and depositing snow onto the batters. Wind speed at Diavik is measured at a MET station located approximately 1km from the test piles, and measurements are taken at a height of 2m above the tundra. Between 2007 and 2013 the average wind velocity at Diavik was 4.9m/s, and the maximum wind speed was 14.5 m/s.
During the snow survey conducted in 2014 the snowpack was observed to be stationary, even during strong winds. This is a result of a wind crust over the top of the snowpack that prevented transport of the underlying snow. It is assumed that this condition is present each spring, and that the snowpack is not strongly altered by wind following the snow survey.

The average density of the snowpack ranged between 290 and 350 kg/m$^3$ in the three surveys that contained density measurements (2011, 2013 and 2014). This correlates well with other studies conducted in arctic environments, which define a range of snow densities between 200-500kg/m$^3$ (Bell, et al. 2008), (Gustafsson et al. 2012).

5.7.2 Calculating Snow and SWE Volumes
The total volume of snow on the pile each year was calculated using Golden Software Surfer8 and Matlab R2008b and R2012a. A triangle-based linear interpolation was used to create a mesh surface, and the volume under this surface was calculated using an integration approximation. In Surfer8 the volume was calculated as the average of the Trapezoidal Rule, Simpson’s Rule, and Simpson’s 3/8 Rule. In Matlab the volume of snow was calculated by discretizing the interpolated surface into a matrix of 1m by 1m cells. Each entry in the matrix is equivalent to the height of the snowpack at that point, and the volume of the snowpack can therefore be calculated by summing all of the entries. The integration schemes of both programs were checked by comparing calculated volumes to values previously determined by Ewan McCulloch (a surveyor at Diavik) using AutoCAD, and each technique produced results consistent within 3%.

The SWE of each snowpack was calculated using Equation 1. In 2010, with no density data available, the average snow density value from 2011, 2013 and 2014 was used.
\[ SWE = \frac{(\text{snow volume}) \times (\text{Average Density})}{1000 \text{ kg (m}^3\text{)}^{-1}} \]  

(1)

Where SWE and snow volume are in m$^3$ and average density is in kg (m$^3$)$^{-1}$.

The results of the volumetric analysis are summarized in Table 5-2. An average of 3535 m$^3$ of snow is present on the pile each spring, with only 17% of the total volume of snow overlying the basal liner. This corresponds to an average of 590 m$^3$ of snow (191 m$^3$ SWE) of water potentially available for infiltration above the basal liner each year. In comparison, an average of 206 m$^3$ of rainfall is estimated to infiltrate the pile each year (Table 5-1). The amount of rainfall that actually infiltrates the pile ranges between 13 to 60% of the total rainfall, and is a function of the intensity and timing of the rainfall events (Fretz, 2013). Similar to the rainfall, it is anticipated that not all of the available snowmelt will infiltrate the pile, as some will be lost to sublimation and runoff. For this reason, the melt and sublimation of the snowpack have been modelled each year. The results of this model are then used to estimate infiltration into the batters of the pile.

Table 5-2: Snowpack data from each of the spring snow surveys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mean Density of snow (kg /m$^3$)</th>
<th>Amount of Snow above Liner (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Volume SWE Above Liner (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Total volume of Snow (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Total volume of SWE (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Portion of snow above liner (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>3590</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>4440</td>
<td>1554</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014*</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>3370</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>3535</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The snow survey from 2014 was only analysed for volume and structure due to this paper being written in the spring and summer of 2014

5.8 Ablation of the Snowpack

The ablation of the snowpack each spring is controlled by melting and sublimation. The factors controlling melt and sublimation are discussed below, along with a description of the model used to estimate the rate and importance of each process.
5.8.1 Melting
Melting is the conversion of snow into liquid water. The rate of melting is important when calculating infiltration, as it influences the relative proportions of infiltration and runoff.

The rate of snowmelt is a function of available energy. This is often simplified to include just temperature, as melt is usually induced at around zero degrees Celsius, and temperature is the primary control on melting rates. Models based only on temperature are referred to as degree-day models (ex. Kustas et al. (1994), Hock (2003)). More complex snowmelt models incorporate both temperature and solar radiation, and are called combined temperature and radiation models (CTRMs). In general, CTRMs outperform degree day models over small domains where topographic effects dominate (ex. Kustas et al. (1994), Carzorzi and Fontana (1996)). The most complex type of model quantifies not only temperature and radiation, but also wind speed, vapour pressure, and any other factor that may be affecting snowmelt in a given location. These models are referred to as energy balance models, and only outperform the other two model types when each input parameter is precisely constrained (ex. Kane et al. (1991), Kustas et al. (1994)).

5.8.2 Creating a Melt Model for the Covered Pile
It was chosen to use a combined temperature and radiation melt model after considering the available data, the pile’s small scale, and the well defined orientation of its batters. The fundamental equation used in the model is the same as that used by Carzorzi and Fontana (1996) (Equation 2).

\[
\text{If } T \geq 0 \quad M_{h,j} = CMF * EI_{h,j} * T
\]  

Where T is the temperature (°C), M is the melt rate (mm hr⁻¹) at a given location (h,j), CMF is the combined melt factor discussed below (mm °C⁻¹ EI⁻¹ h⁻¹), and EI is the energy index discussed below (MJ m⁻² d⁻¹).
**Combined Melt Factor (CMF)**

The CMF is a scaling factor that describes the amount of snow melt induced by a given combination of temperature and radiation. This value varies between 0.016 to 0.024 mm \(0^\circ\text{C}^{-1}\) EI^{-1} h^{-1} due to the decrease in albedo that occurs during snowpack maturation (Carzorzi and Fontana 1996). The snowpack at Diavik is usually a dirty grey colour (low albedo) by springtime (Figure 5-15) and a CMF value of 0.024 mm \(0^\circ\text{C}^{-1}\) EI^{-1} h^{-1} is therefore used in the remainder of this analysis. A sensitivity analysis was conducted that determined that the maximum impact of changing the CMF from 0.024 to 0.016 mm \(0^\circ\text{C}^{-1}\) EI^{-1} h^{-1} was a 3% decrease in the total amount of snow melted (rather than sublimated), and an increase of one day in total melt time.

**Energy Index (EI)**

The energy index term defines the amount of solar radiation received per day on a unit area of a given aspect and pitch. At a given temperature an increase in radiation will induce additional melting. The variation in the energy index is smaller than the variation in temperature during the melt season, and EI is therefore a secondary control on the rate of melting. The solar radiation received on a horizontal surface is recorded hourly at Diavik, however the EI for each batter will not be the same as that for a horizontal surface. For this reason, the radiation received on each batter was estimated in Matlab R2008b using the algorithm developed by Swift (1976). The required inputs for the algorithm are latitude, slope inclination, slope aspect, Julian day, and measured solar radiation on a horizontal plane. The results of the model are summarized in Figure 5-16. During snowmelt each year the south batter receives up to 38% more radiation than a horizontal surface. The effects on the east and west batter are much smaller, with a maximum of only 4% greater than that of a horizontal surface. The discrepancy between radiation received on the batters and on a horizontal surface decreases to a minimum at the summer solstice, when each surface receives a comparable amount of solar radiation.
5.8.3 Sublimation
Sublimation is the conversion of snow into water vapour, and is induced by a vapour pressure deficit in the air above the snow. Snow that is sublimated following the snow survey will not contribute to infiltration into the pile, and for this reason sublimation must be quantified when calculating infiltration. In this research only the sublimation that occurs in the time period between the snow survey and the completion of melt is considered.

Sublimation is a function of temperature, wind speed and solar radiation (Schulz and Jong 2004). Sublimation from a winter snowpack is largest during transport induced by strong winds (Pomeroy, Marsh and Gray 1997). Conversely, sublimation from a stationary winter snowpack, such as that observed on the covered pile during the snow survey, is very low due to a lack of available energy (low temperatures)(Kane, Gieck and Hinzman 1991). The amount of sublimation that is anticipated during springtime in the Canadian Arctic was constrained by Ohmura (1982) to be between 0.20 and 0.27mm day$^{-1}$ at the approximate elevation of DDMI. This value refers to the time period that occurs each spring prior to the onset of melting known as the dry snow period. During melting (the wet snow period) sublimations of up to 0.82 mm day$^{-1}$ are expected, based on the same study.

5.8.4 Creating a Sublimation Model for the Covered Pile
In a commonly used snow melt runoff model (the HBV model) the amount of sublimation that occurs from a stationary snowpack is equal to the potential evaporation of water under the same conditions (Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute 2006). Potential evaporation rates were previously calculated by Fretz (2013) using the Penman Monteith formulation and the results of that research were modified for this paper to reflect the different amounts of solar radiation received on each batter.

For this research the rate of sublimation on each batter was calculated using the Penman Monteith formulation to estimate potential evaporation, with the added stipulation that below a threshold temperature sublimation occurred at a negligible rate. This assumption is based on the previously
mentioned study conducted by Kane et al. (1991) who showed that sublimation from a stationary
snowpack is very low during the winter (cold temperatures) and Ohmura (1982) who showed that dry
snow sublimation increased by nearly an order of magnitude between late April and early May on the
arctic tundra. The threshold temperature was calibrated based on the expected rate of daily
sublimation defined by Ohmura (1982), and a temperature of -4°C was determined to produce the best
match. The daily rate of sublimation calculated using this model averaged 0.19 mm day\(^{-1}\) during the dry
snow period, and increased to 1.4 mm day\(^{-1}\) during the wet snow period (snowmelt). The dry snow value
is a good match to the expected range of sublimation (0.20-0.27 mm day\(^{-1}\)), however the wet snow value
is outside of the expected range (<0.82 mm day\(^{-1}\)). This is due to the fact that the model does not
compensate for the high relative humidity found immediately above melting snow (Ohmura, 1982).
Since snowmelt occurs over a short time period each year the effects of overestimating sublimation
during the wet snow period had a minimal impact on the overall performance of the model.

5.8.5 Combining the Melt and Sublimation Models
The melt and sublimation models described above were combined to create a single model to describe
the overall ablation of the snowpack (Equation 3). In this model, the total ablation at a given location
(h, j) over a one hour time period (t) is defined as the sum of melt and sublimation. Melting is induced
when the average hourly temperature exceeds 0°C, and sublimation is induced at a rate equal to the
potential evaporation when the average hourly temperature exceeds -4°C.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{If } T & \geq 0 \quad & \text{Ablation}_{h,j}(t) &= CMF \times EI_{h,j} \times T + S_{h,j} \\
\text{If } -4 \leq T < 0 \quad & \quad \text{Ablation}_{h,j}(t) &= S_{h,j} \\
\text{If } T < -4 \quad & \quad \text{Ablation}_{h,j}(t) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Where T is the temperature (°C), CMF is the combined melt factor (mm °C\(^{-1}\) EI\(^{-1}\)), EI is the energy index
(MJ m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)), and S is the sublimation (mm).
5.9 Creating the Snow Ablation Model
The surface of the snowpack that was measured each year was modelled as a grid, with each entry corresponding to the height of snow in a 1 x 1m square. Matlab R2008b was used to solve equation 3 for each square over sequential one hour time steps. The model required hourly inputs of radiation, potential sublimation, and temperature for each entry in the grid, and modelled the ablation of the snowpack as a function of these parameters. Locations that did not have any snow cover in the preceding time step were not included in the calculations. The volume of snowpack ablation each hour was calculated as the difference between the snow volume at the end of the preceding and current time steps.

5.9.1 Snowfall Occurring After the Model is Initiated
In each of the four years additional snow fell on the covered pile after the snow survey was conducted. It is anticipated that a portion of this snowfall will remain on the batters of the pile, while the remainder will be transported away from the pile by wind. The percentage of a given snowfall that remains on the pile and overlays the basal liner has not been quantified; however, studies conducted in similar environments suggest that it is likely between 30 and 85% (Pomeroy, Marsh and Gray 1997). Based on these studies, it is assumed that 50% of all the snowfall that occurred after the snow survey was conducted remained on the batters of the covered pile overlying the basal liner. This snow was added to the model on the day when it occurred. No accumulation is expected to remain on the crest of the pile, based on in field observations made by Fretz (2013) and Krentz (this thesis).

5.9.2 Results from the Snow Ablation Model
The results from the snow ablation model are summarized in Table 5-2 and Figures 5-17, 5-18 and 5-19. The SWE available at the time of the snow survey ranges from 133 to 295m$^3$ depending on the year. Snow that fell after the snow survey adds 10-49m$^3$ of SWE onto the pile, which corresponds to an additional 6-36% of the total snow. Melting was initiated in May each year, and the snowpack above the liner is estimated to be completely ablated within 6 to 15 days following the onset of melting.
Similar melt rates were modelled each year, and the variability in total melt time was primarily a function of the amount of snow available. The thicker snowpack that exists at the base of the pile will take much longer to ablate completely; however, this was not modelled as snow that does not overly the basal liner is not anticipated to contribute to flow collected from the pile. Once melt was initiated in 2010 and 2011 there was no more than one day in a row where melt did not occur. In 2013 there was a single day of melt which occurred 13 days prior to onset of continuous melting (not included in Table 5-2).

The rate of melting influences the amount of infiltration and runoff that is expected to occur, and for this reason the peak melt rate predicted by the model each year is included in Table 5-3.

Table 5-3: Results from the melt model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Snow at Survey (m$^3$ SWE)</th>
<th>Additional Spring Snow (m$^3$ SWE)</th>
<th>Total Snow (m$^3$ SWE)</th>
<th>Sub* (%)</th>
<th>Total Melt (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Melt Period (Date)</th>
<th>Calculated Peak Melt Rate (mm/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>26-31 May</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6-15 May</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>17-31 May</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>16-26 May</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sublimation

5.10 Snowmelt Infiltration

It is anticipated that infiltration of snowmelt into the matrix material of the covered pile will be limited by the presence of ice that constricts or blocks flow pathways (Granger, Gray and Dyck 1984). The infiltration of snowmelt into the matrix of the pile is not recorded by the TDRs, ECH$_2$O probes or tensiometers installed in the pile, as these only output accurate measurements when the pile is thawed. Instead, infiltration of snowmelt into the matrix of the pile was modelled for this research using a parametric equation developed by Gray et al. (2001) to describe the infiltration of melt water into unsaturated, frozen mineral soils. In the classification scheme used by Gray et al. (2001) unsaturated
soils where flow is controlled by capillarity are referred to as 'limited' soils, due to their limited ability to infiltrate melt water.

It is anticipated that infiltration that occurs through macropores in the cover will be small in comparison to infiltration through the matrix. This is based on the results of the rhodamine test discussed in Section 5.5.3 (Figure 5-10), and the peak melt rates predicted in Table 5-3. Macropore flow that does occur will be directed away from the basal liner by the frozen till layer, as pore water cannot be held in storage without capillarity and the till is not expected to have persistent macropore pathways.

5.10.1 Storage Capacity of the Type I Layer
Since the frozen till layer is anticipated to act as a barrier to flow, infiltration of melt water into this layer is not anticipated until after the till thaws. The maximum amount of water that can infiltrate and be held in storage is therefore a function of the available air filled porosity in the matrix of the type I material. Gray et al (1986) demonstrated that the storage capacity of a frozen limited soil is lower than that of the same soil when it is unfrozen due to ice blocking the interconnectivity of pores in the material. On average, that study determined that the water storage potential of a frozen limited soil was approximately 60% of the total air filled porosity (Equation 4).

\[
W_{sp} = 0.6 \times \Phi (1 - S_1) Z_p
\]  

(4)

Where \(W_{sp}\) is the water storage potential (m), \(\Phi\) is the porosity (-), \(S_1\) is the initial saturation of the soil above, and \(Z_p\) is the depth of the type I material (m).

Janowicz et al. (2002) determined that the initial saturation term in Equation 4 can be represented by the pre-freeze-up soil moisture. The initial saturation of the type I material each year was therefore estimated using the soil tension measurements taken in the preceding autumn, which showed that each year the initial saturation of the material was approximately 0.6. Taken over the 3m depth of the type I material this equates to a \(W_{sp}\) of 36mm available for infiltration.
5.10.2 Parametric Infiltration Model for the Covered Pile
Zhao and Grey (1999) and Grey et al. (2001) utilized the results from two and a half decades of field research conducted at the University of Saskatchewan to develop a parametric equation describing the infiltration of snowmelt into frozen soils with limited infiltration capacity (Equation 5). This equation is based on research conducted in prairie, boreal forest, arctic and alpine environments and in general applies independently of soil texture. The factors affecting infiltration into limited soils include the surface saturation during melting (assumed to be 1), the initial soil saturation (described above), the initial soil temperature and the infiltration opportunity time. The equation developed by Zhao and Gray (1999) and Gray et al. (2001) is a refinement of equations presented previously by Zhao and Gray (1997), and is partially based on work conducted by Granger et al (1984) and Gray et al. (1985).

\[ INF = C_1 S_0^{0.92} \ast (1 - S_1)^{1.64} \ast \left( \frac{273.15 - T_1}{273.15} \right)^{-0.45} \ast t_o^{0.44} \]  

(5)

where INF is the cumulative infiltration (mm), \( C_1 \) is a scaling parameter (1.14 mm hr\(^{-0.44} \)), \( S_0 \) is surface saturation (unitless), \( S_1 \) is average soil saturation at the start of infiltration (unitless), \( T_1 \) is the average temperature of the soil being infiltrated at the start of melting (\(^\circ\)C), and \( t_o \) is the average infiltration opportunity time (hr).

A temperature profile of the pile is shown in Figure 5-20 a-b (Pham 2014). Based on these figures a temperature of -1\(^\circ\)C was used in the parametric equation each year. The infiltration opportunity time was defined by the melt model, and ranges between 47 hours in 2010 to 240 hours in 2013.

5.10.3 Results of the Infiltration Model
A plot of cumulative infiltration into the batters of the covered pile versus infiltration opportunity time is shown in Figure 5-21. The estimated amount of infiltration of snowmelt into the matrix for 2010, 2011 and 2013 is shown in Table 5-4. The percentage of the total available melt water that infiltrated the matrix of the pile ranged from 51-72% over the three years. Between 55 and 97% of the available
matric storage in the TI material was used, depending on the year. It is anticipated that the majority of
the remaining melt water was removed through localised overland flow on the batters of the pile. Some
of this flow is anticipated to have occurred underneath the snowpack, and as such would not have been
observed. The infiltration model that was used defines a maximum frozen infiltration rate of 3mm hr\(^{-1}\),
which means that any melt rate that exceeds 3mm hr\(^{-1}\) is anticipated to induce overland flow. Based on
the snowmelt and infiltration models, the type I material in the covered pile is anticipated to receive
between 22 and 54% of its total annual recharge from snowmelt. The variation in this value is due to
the different rain and snowfall amounts observed each year at DDMI.

Table 5-4: Infiltration of snowmelt into the matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>( t_o ) (hr)</th>
<th>Water Available For Infiltration (mm)</th>
<th>Modelled Infiltration (mm)</th>
<th>Modelled Infiltration (% of total available)</th>
<th>( W_{sp} ) Used(%)</th>
<th>Estimated Infiltration of Snowmelt (m3)</th>
<th>Estimated Infiltration of Rainfall (m3)</th>
<th>Estimated Recharge from snowmelt (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11 Five Year Water Balance
The water balance for the covered pile was calculated using Equation 6 to assess the results of the
modeling.

\[
E_B + \Delta S = I_R + I_S
\]  

(6)

Where \( E_B \) is the basal effluent (m\(^3\)), \( \Delta S \) is the change in storage (m\(^3\)), \( I_R \) is the infiltration of rainfall and \( I_S \)
is the infiltration of snowmelt (m\(^3\)).

In this equation it is assumed that all of the water that infiltrates into the matrix of the type I material
eventually passes through the till layer and remains above the basal liner until is collected by the basal
drain and accounted for as outflow. Storage of water as multi-year ice is not considered, as the pile thawed completely each year prior to 2011 (Figure 5-5). Storage of pore water within void spaces is also not considered, as this water will either enter the matrix material or be collected by the basal drain relatively quickly.

As mentioned previously, determining a single year water balance for the pile is not practical due to the very large potential change in pore water storage within the pile each year. Over the five year period between 2007 and 2011 storage in the pile attained a pseudo-steady state, and each parameter in Equation 6 has a similar magnitude. Snow surveys were not taken in 2007, 2008 or 2009, and for this reason the average estimated snowmelt infiltration from Table 5-4 is used in the water balance for these years (115m$^3$). It is acknowledged that this introduces uncertainty into the water balance, as the infiltration of snowmelt varies each year; however, this approximation is necessary to close the water balance for the pile. The estimated infiltration of rainfall and snowmelt, along with the measured outflow collected from the pile each year is shown in Table 5-5. The change in storage between 2007 and 2013 was measured using the tensiometers, ECH$_2$O probes and TDR sensors in the pile, and is presented in the final column of Table 5-5. Using this approximation the water balance closes over the five year period, which suggests that the calculated volumes of rainfall and snowmelt infiltration are reasonable.

Table 5-5: Five year water balance for the covered pile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Infiltration Rainfall (Estimated) (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Infiltration Snowmelt (Estimated) (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Outflow (Measured) (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Change in Storage (Measured) (m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.12 Conclusions
Four years of snow data from a covered waste rock pile at Diavik Diamond mine are analysed in this paper. The snowpack that was measured each year had a consistent spatial distribution, with the thickest snow being located at the base of the pile and only 17% of the total snowpack overlying the basal liner. Only the portion of the snowpack that overlies the basal liner was considered in the remainder of the analysis. Melting of the snowpack was initiated in May each year and complete melting of the snow above the liner took between 6 and 15 days. In the time period between the snow survey being taken and the complete ablation of the snowpack approximately 13 to 30% of the snowpack was sublimated each year. The volume of melt water that infiltrated the matrix of the pile was modelled as a function of potential infiltration time, and is estimated to range from between 51 to 72% of the total melt water. The majority of the remaining water is anticipated to be lost to overland flow. In 2010, 2011 and 2013 between 22 and 54% of the total recharge received by the pile is estimated to have been derived from snowmelt. The importance of snowmelt to the total recharge received by the pile is a function of the snow available for melting, the length of the melt period and the total annual infiltration of rainfall. A five year water balance for the pile was closed using the modeled volumes of snowmelt infiltration, and showed that the estimates of rain and snowfall infiltration presented in this paper are reasonable.

(Gustafsson, Sundstrom and Lundberg 2012)
5.13 Figures for Chapter 5

Figure 5-1: Location of Diavik Diamond Mine. Image from Fretz (2013)
Figure 5-2: Daily and cumulative annual precipitation at Diavik
Figure 5-3: Schematic cross section of the covered pile.

Figure 5-4: Schematic of the covered pile drainage scheme

The batters are grey, the crest is white, and the basal liner is shown by the red rectangle. The thick diagonal red line shows the basal drain, and the blue arrows show the intended direction of flow along the basal liner.
Figure 5-5: Thermal profile of the covered pile

Between 2011 and 2013 the core of the pile cooled, and now much of the base of the pile remains frozen year round
Figure 5-6: Grain size distribution of the waste rock piles. Image from Neuner (2009)
Figure 5-7: Volumetric moisture contents measured by TDR probes in the covered pile.

Note the effects of freezing and thawing as described in the write up. Image from Fretz (2013)
Figure 5-8: The estimated portion of moisture in the matrix material that remains liquid at below freezing temperatures due to capillary forces

Image from Neuner (2009)
Figure 5-9 a-c: Volumetric moisture contents measured by ECH2O probes in the till
Figure 5-10: A rhodamine tracer test conducted on the east batter of the covered pile.

The results of this excavation showed that flow primarily occurs on a vertical direction in the type I material on the covered pile.
Figure 5-11: Daily and cumulative outflow volumes measured at the covered pile basal drain
Figure 5-12: Schematic of the infiltration, internal flow and storage in the matrix of the covered pile.

Infiltration through the till layer is anticipated to be the greatest during times when the till is thawed. This figure assumes that the core of the pile is thawed, which allows for internal flow. When the core of the pile is completely frozen internal flow through the basal drain is terminated.
Figure 5-13: Snowpack contours on April 8, 2014.

The double lines show the crest and batters of the pile, while the grey rectangle shows the extent of the basal liner. The survey points are shown as black crosses.
Figure 5-14: Average snowpack thickness versus elevation on the covered pile
Figure 5-15: Dirty grey snowpack on the eastern batter of the covered pile.

Photo taken on April 10, 2014.
Figure 5-16: The daily radiation received on each of the batters, expressed as a percentage of the radiation received on a horizontal plane.
Figure 5-17: Hourly Temperature, melt and sublimation on the covered pile in 2010
Figure 5-18: Hourly Temperature, melt and sublimation on the covered pile in 2011.
Figure 5-19: Hourly Temperature, melt and sublimation on the covered pile in 2013.
Figure 5-20 a-b: Temperatures in the covered pile. Images from Pham (2014).

a) Temperature profile of the covered pile on May 15, 2010.

b) Temperatures recorded at different depths in the covered pile.
Figure 5-21: Cumulative infiltration versus infiltration time on the covered pile.
Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations

6.1 Conclusions
The goal of the Diavik waste rock project is to develop a comprehensive understanding of the hydrological, geochemical, microbiological and thermal evolution of waste rock piles located at Diavik Diamond Mine. Three experimental waste rock piles and four active zone lysimeters were constructed at DDMI for this purpose, and data from these experiments has been collected continuously since 2007. This thesis focused on understanding the hydrology of two of the waste rock piles, and is a continuation of work conducted by Neuner (2009) and Fretz (2013). The primary findings of this research are highlighted below:

1. The thermal regime of the type III pile was impacted by a disruption in output from the heat trace between December 2012 and September 2013. In 2013 outflow from the basal lysimeters was not observed until the heat trace was restored, and less than 100m$^3$ of outflow was recorded from the south basal drain. The north basal drain conducted above average flow in 2013. The outflow observed from the pile, along with the results of a tracer test (discussed below) suggest that the core of the type III pile may be frozen year round, and may be storing water as ice within void spaces.

   Storage in the matrix of the type III pile appears to have remained in a pseudo-steady state between 2008 and 2013, with some drying occurring in portions of the pile. The TDR probes used to measure VMC demonstrate that the upper nine meters of the pile continue to thaw each summer; however, they do not provide any information regarding temperature or the storage of water below 9m depth.

2. The thermal regime of the covered pile was altered by turning off a heat trace in June, 2011. Significant outflow from the pile continued until the end of 2011, followed by a significant decrease in outflow observed in 2012 and 2013. This is interpreted to be the result of frozen
conditions at the base of the pile preventing flow along the basal liner. The till layer of the covered pile continues to thaw each year, and it is anticipated that infiltration into the core of the pile will continue as long as the till thaws each year.

Between August 2012 and August 2013 each of the TDR probes showed a strong drying trend and some of the TDRs froze. The drying trend may be due to low infiltration in 2012 and 2013 or may reflect the gradual onset of freezing conditions in the core of the pile.

3. A tracer was applied to the crest of the type III pile in September, 2007, and as of 2013 only 38% of this tracer has been recovered through the basal drains and the BCLs. The recovery of the tracer was significantly lower than anticipated in 2013. This is interpreted to be a result of freezing conditions in the core of the pile, which were likely induced or accelerated by the disruption of power to the heat trace in the pile between December 2012 and September 2013. The recovery observed between 2007 and 2012 was extrapolated until an estimated time for 50% recovery was reached. This extrapolation was used to estimate that pore water in the matrix material of the type III pile has an average residence time of 6 years, and travels 2.3 metres per year. Flow and transport are seasonally transient in the pile, and only occur during times when the matrix material of the pile is thawed. During the time periods when the pile was thawed the tracer was observed to travel at an average velocity of 0.3 to 0.5m/month, averaged over the 6 year time period of the experiment. Previous work has demonstrated that pore water is primarily contained within the matrix of the type III pile, and these velocities are representative of matrix flow.

The tracer was applied at a high rate with the intention of inducing preferential flow through the pile, and flow velocities as fast as 0.7m/day were observed in the upper 9m of the pile following the experiment.
Outflow collected by the north basal drain did not contain any tracer between 2007 and 2013, and this demonstrates that this drain does not collect pore water from the full northern half of the pile as it was intended to. Instead the drain is collecting water primarily from the north batter. This result explains the disparity in flow volumes collected between the north and south drains.

4. A stable isotope analysis demonstrated that approximately 40% of the total water collected by the north drain between 2011 and 2013 was derived from the infiltration of snowmelt, with the remaining 60% derived from the infiltration of rainwater. The analysis also showed a strong correlation between the pH of the outflow, and the relative proportion of snowmelt in the water. Circumneutral effluent that is collected from the pile each spring contains an average of 65% snowmelt, and is the result of melt water travelling through preferential pathways to the base of the pile. The proportion of snow melt in outflow from the pile decreases throughout the year to an average of 30% immediately prior to winter freeze up. The isotope analysis also showed that almost no evaporation occurs following the infiltration of snowmelt into the north batter of the type III pile. This is interpreted to be a result of the clast supported nature of the batters, and the cooler conditions found in the interior of the pile.

5. The recharge received by the covered pile is divided between the infiltration of snowmelt and rainfall. Strong winds each winter lead to a consistent spatial distribution of snow on the covered pile, with the thickest snowpack located at the base of the pile and a thin to nonexistent snowpack on the upper batters and crest. A snowmelt and infiltration model was applied to portion of the snowpack that overlaid the basal liner, and it is estimated that snowfall contributed between 22 and 54% of the total recharge received by this portion of the pile during the years that were examined. The importance of snowmelt in the total recharge is a function of the total snow available for infiltration, the length of the melt period, and the amount of
rainfall infiltration each year. The estimates of snowmelt and rainfall infiltration were used to close a water balance for the covered pile between 2007 and 2013.

6.1.1 Application of this Research to the Full Scale Pile
The research conducted for the Diavik waste rock project is intended to facilitate a thorough understanding of the hydrological, geochemical, thermal and microbiological behavior of waste rock at DDMI. The results of the waste rock project will be applied in the closure plan for the mine, and as such it is necessary to relate the results of this research to the full scale waste rock pile.

Flow Velocity and Residence Times
Quantifying the residence time and flow velocity of pore water in the type III rock pile will facilitate an improved understanding of reaction rates within the waste rock, and will assist in future efforts to model the combined hydrology and geochemistry of the full scale rock pile.

Core and Batter Flow
The crest of the full scale pile has a large surface area relative to its batters. For this reason, it is volumetrically considered a core dominated system, while the experimental piles are batter dominated. Despite this the majority of the core of the full scale pile is frozen, with fluid flow restricted to an active zone that forms on the outer edges of the pile each year. The ability to differentiate between batter flow (north drain), core flow (BCLs) and combined core and batter flow (south drain) will therefore be beneficial when developing up-scaling relationships between the experimental and the full scale piles. The core dominated nature of the full scale pile will also lead to different patterns of snowmelt infiltration compared to the experimental piles. Quantifying the contribution of snowmelt to recharge will improve the up-scaling relationships developed to estimate the total infiltration into the full scale pile.
6.2 Recommendations
The following recommendations are intended to assist in guiding future research on both the Diavik waste rock project and on future experimental waste rock piles.

1. An excavation of the type I pile conducted in 2014 showed that a large portion of the basal core of the pile is frozen year round. The data from this excavation should be used to determine the factors influencing the formation of multi-year ice, and if similar ice should be expected in the type III pile.

2. The recovery of bromide from the type III pile should continue to be monitored until 90% recovery is achieved.

3. The amount of snow present on the batters of the type III pile should be assessed in the spring of 2015 using a LiDAR scanner or similar. Ideally the daily or weekly ablation of the snowpack will be monitored in the same manner.

4. A tracer test was conducted on the batters of the covered pile to determine the efficiency of the till liner as a barrier to flow (described in Appendix B). Continuing the analysis of this tracer test will lead to a greater understanding of the hydrology of the covered waste rock pile.

5. Additional rain and snow samples should be taken for isotopic analysis. This will lead to greater accuracy when quantifying the infiltration of snowmelt into the batter above the north drain.

6. In future experiments all instrumentation should cover the entire height of the pile, from the crest to the base. This will ensure that flow, chemistry and freezing within the pile can be accurately observed.

7. In future experiments additional basal collection lysimeters would be useful for differentiating between core and batter flow, and for understanding the effects of heterogeneity on flow within the pile.
8. In future experiments it is recommended to use the drainage pattern found in the type III pile to minimize the effects of freezing in the core of the pile. When possible clean crush should be used to protect drain lines, in order to avoid the storage of water in the crush material.
Bibliography


Pham, Hoang. "Personal Communication, Email." 2014.


Appendix A: Hydrology Dataset

The complete hydrology dataset from 2007 to 2013 is contained in this appendix. This dataset is an update to the data presented in Fretz (2013), and is structured in a similar manner.

A.1 Rainfall and Infiltration

Natural rainfall at the test piles was calculated as the average rainfall measured from four tipping buckets located on the crest of the waste rock piles. The type III waste rock pile also received significant applied rainfall events in 2006 and 2007, which were measured using a grid of coffee cups. The annual infiltration into the crests of the piles was calculated using the Penman Monteith method outlined in Fretz (2013).

Snowfall is not measured at the test piles; however, the environment team at Diavik runs a MET station located approximately 1km from the test piles, and daily snowfalls amounts are recorded there. Figure A-1 summarizes the natural rainfall and snowfall observed at the test piles between 2007 and 2013.

Tables A-1 and A-2 summarizes the natural rainfall and the calculated infiltration of natural rainfall into the crests of the piles between 2007 and 2013. Table A-3 summarizes the total snowfall measured each winter at the MET station.
Figure A-1: Daily and annual natural rainfall and snowfall at Diavik Diamond Mine
Table A-1: Rainfall and calculated infiltration into the crown of the type I pile and covered pile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natural Rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Estimated Net Infiltration (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A-2: Rainfall and calculated infiltration into the crown of the type III pile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natural Rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Applied Rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Estimated Net Infiltration (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A-3: Winter snowfall measured at Diavik Diamond Mine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Cumulative Snowfall (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006/2007</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/2008</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/2009</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/2010</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/2011</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/2012</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/2013</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.2 Type I Test Pile

The dataset from the type I pile consists of measurements of volumetric moisture contents from TDR probes and outflow from the basal drain and BCLs.

The type I dataset was influenced by a flooding event in December, 2012. The data logger that records outflow from the main basal drain and the BCLs in the type I pile was destroyed by the flood, and was replaced prior to the onset of flow in 2013. The power source for the data logger attached to the TDRs was also damaged during the flood. Until power was restored, 12 volt car batteries were used to power the data logger and the TDRs. This system failed several times over the summer, and led to the disruption of TDR data from the type I pile in 2013. Once regular power was restored the data logger once again began collecting reliable data from the TDRs.

The flood also disrupted power to the heat trace for the BCLs and basal drain. Following this event, very little outflow has been observed from the type I pile. An excavation conducted in the summer of 2014 demonstrated that the base of the type I pile contained significant volumes of ice. The formation of ice in the waste rock piles is currently being examined by Jordan Zak (Ongoing).

Figure A-2 shows the location of the TDR probes in the type I pile, and figure A-3 shows the VMC measured at each probe between 2007 and 2013. Figure A-4 shows the location of the basal drain and BCLs in the type I pile. Figure A-5 shows the daily and cumulative annual outflow from the type I basal drain, and Figure A-6 shows the daily outflow from each BCL cluster. Table A-4 shows the start and stop dates of the type I basal drain, and table A-5 shows the start and stop dates of the BCLs.
Figure A-2: Location of TDR probes in the type I pile.
Image from Fretz (2013)
Figure A-3: Volumetric moisture contents measured at the TDR probes in the type I pile.

Data collection was disrupted in 2013 due to a flood that disrupted power to the data logger used with the TDRs.
Figure A-4: Drainage system in the type I pile. The upper schematic shows the name of each BCL, and the lower image is an as-built drawing of the type I test pile drainage scheme.
Figure A-5: Daily and cumulative outflow from the type I basal drain
Table A-4: Basal outflow and start/stop dates for the type I Drain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Flow Start</th>
<th>Flow Stop</th>
<th>Discharge (m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1-May</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>10-May</td>
<td>25-Oct</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>22-May</td>
<td>6-Oct</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>12-Apr</td>
<td>10-Nov</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>9-May</td>
<td>28-Oct</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>29-May</td>
<td>29-Oct</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>17-Jul</td>
<td>22-Oct</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A-6: Outflow from the basal collection lysimeters in the type I pile
Table A-5: Basal outflow and start/stop dates for the type I BCLs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysimeter Cluster</th>
<th>Flow Start</th>
<th>Flow Stop</th>
<th>Discharge (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWC</td>
<td>27-Aug</td>
<td>3-Sep</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BEC</td>
<td>29-Jun</td>
<td>6-Sep</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWB</td>
<td>7-Jul</td>
<td>29-Sep</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWC</td>
<td>7-Jul</td>
<td>10-Jul</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BEC</td>
<td>7-Jul</td>
<td>29-Sep</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWB</td>
<td>3-Jul</td>
<td>18-Aug</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWC</td>
<td>27-Jun</td>
<td>2-Aug</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BEC</td>
<td>1-Jun</td>
<td>16-Aug</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWB</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWC</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BEC</td>
<td>5-May</td>
<td>21-May</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWB</td>
<td>5-Jul</td>
<td>12-Sep</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWC</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BEC</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWB</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BWC</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1BEC</td>
<td>11-Jul</td>
<td>24-Sep</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.3 Type III Test Pile

The dataset from the type III pile consists of volumetric moisture contents measured with TDR probes, outflow measured at the basal drain and BCLs, and hydraulic head measured using tensiometers.

The type III dataset was influenced by a flooding event in December, 2012. The data logger that records outflow from BCLs in the type III pile was destroyed by the flood, and was replaced prior to the onset of flow in 2013. The power source for the data logger attached to the TDRs and the tensiometers in the pile was also damaged during the flood. Until power was restored, 12 volt car batteries were used to power the data logger and the TDRs. This system worked better in the type III pile than in the type I pile, and the disruption to the type III dataset was smaller than the disruption to the type I dataset. When regular power was restored the data logger once again began collecting reliable data from the TDRs. The pressure transducers used with the tensiometers were bench tested in early 2013, and did not perform as anticipated. This led to them not being installed until August 2013. The number of erroneous points recorded by the tensiometers was very high in 2013, likely due to power fluctuations caused by changing batteries. As of 2014 all of the pressure transducers are working correctly.

The flood also disrupted power to the heat trace for the BCLs and basal drains. This had a smaller impact on outflow from the type III basal drains than it did on the type I basal drain, likely due to the different drainage patterns used in the two piles. Outflow was not observed at the type III BCLs until power was restored to the heat trace.

Figure A-7 shows the location of TDR probes in the pile, and figure A-8 shows the VMCs measured at each probes between 207 and 2013. Figure A-9 shows the location of the basal drains and BCLs in the type III pile. Figure A-10 shows the daily and cumulative annual outflow from the north and south basal drains. Figure A-11 shows the daily outflow from each BCL cluster. Figure A-12 shows the calculated...
hydraulic head in the upper 1.2m of the pile for each year between 2010 and 2013. Table A-6 and Table A-7 show the start and stop dates along with flow volumes for the basal drains and BCLs respectively.
Figure A-7: Location of the TDR probes in the type III pile.
Image from Fretz (2013)
Figure A-8: Volumetric moisture contents measured at the TDR probes in the type III pile.
Figure A-9: Drainage system in the type III pile.
The upper schematic shows the name of each BCL, and the lower image is an as-built drawing of the type III test pile drainage scheme.
Figure A-10: Daily and cumulative outflow from the north and south drains
### Table A-6: Basal outflow and start/stop dates for the combined north and south basal drains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Flow Start</th>
<th>Flow Stop</th>
<th>Discharge (m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3-May</td>
<td>1-Nov</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2-May</td>
<td>30-Oct</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>29-May</td>
<td>30-Oct</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>20-Apr</td>
<td>6-Nov</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>9-May</td>
<td>4-Nov</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>28-Apr</td>
<td>29-Oct</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>25-May</td>
<td>10-Nov</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A-11: Outflow from the basal collection lysimeters in the type III pile.
Continued on next page
Figure A-11: Outflow from the basal collection lysimeters in the type III pile. Continued on next page
Figure A-11: Outflow from the basal collection lysimeters in the type III pile.
Table A-7: Outflow volumes and start/stop dates for the type III BCLs.

Note that in 2013 significant flow was not observed in the BCLs until September.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysimeter Cluster</th>
<th>Flow Start</th>
<th>Flow Stop</th>
<th>Discharge (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys2W/2E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys4W</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys4E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys2W/2E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4W</td>
<td>6-Sep</td>
<td>4-Nov</td>
<td>629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4E</td>
<td>16-Aug</td>
<td>4-Nov</td>
<td>1211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClys4W</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClys4E</td>
<td>31-Aug</td>
<td>4-Nov</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClys2W/2E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys2W/2E</td>
<td>6-Jul</td>
<td>7-Oct</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys4W</td>
<td>7-Jul</td>
<td>22-Oct</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys4E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys2W/2E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4W</td>
<td>7-Jul</td>
<td>10-Oct</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4E</td>
<td>7-Jul</td>
<td>17-Oct</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClys4W</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClys4E</td>
<td>7-Jul</td>
<td>11-Oct</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClys2W/2E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys2W/2E</td>
<td>27-Jun</td>
<td>20-Oct</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys4W</td>
<td>6-Aug</td>
<td>9-Oct</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys4E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys2W/2E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4W</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4E</td>
<td>29-Sep</td>
<td>24-Oct</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClys4W</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClys4E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClys2W/2E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys2W/2E</td>
<td>16-Oct</td>
<td>21-Oct</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys4W</td>
<td>15-Aug</td>
<td>11-Nov</td>
<td>963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBlys4E</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysimeter Cluster</td>
<td>Flow Start</td>
<td>Flow Stop</td>
<td>Discharge (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys2W/2E</td>
<td>15-Sep</td>
<td>13-Nov</td>
<td>1105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4E</td>
<td>1-Sep</td>
<td>11-Nov</td>
<td>1611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClly4W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSCllys4E</td>
<td>26-Sep</td>
<td>13-Nov</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSCllys2W/2E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2012</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBllys2W/2E</td>
<td>9-Jul</td>
<td>8-Aug</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBllys4W</td>
<td>5-Jul</td>
<td>17-Oct</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBllys4E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys2W/2E</td>
<td>20-Apr</td>
<td>12-Nov</td>
<td>7940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4E</td>
<td>9-Jul</td>
<td>7-Nov</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClly4W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSCllys4E</td>
<td>19-Aug</td>
<td>14-Nov</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSCllys2W/2E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2013</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBllys2W/2E</td>
<td>12-Jul</td>
<td>1-Nov</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBllys4W</td>
<td>31-Aug</td>
<td>1-Nov</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNBllys4E</td>
<td>19-Sep</td>
<td>27-Sep</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys2W/2E</td>
<td>11-Jul</td>
<td>11-Nov</td>
<td>1586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BNCllys4E</td>
<td>20-Sep</td>
<td>12-Oct</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSClly4W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSCllys4E</td>
<td>17-Jul</td>
<td>22-Oct</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3BSCllys2W/2E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Flow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A-12: Hydraulic head calculated from tensiometers on the type III test pile crown
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Figure A-12: Hydraulic head calculated from tensiometers on the type III test pile crown
A.4 Covered Test Pile

The dataset from the covered pile consists of volumetric moisture contents measured with TDR probes, outflow measured at the basal drain, hydraulic heads measured using tensiometers, and temperature and VMC data measured using ECH$_2$O probes. Two tracer tests were also conducted on the east batter of the pile in the summer of 2013, and outflow from three collection lysimeters was observed that summer. The volumetric recovery of the tracer is provided in this section; however, a summary of each test can be found in Appendix B.

Outflow from the covered pile was influenced by turning a heat trace off in June 2011. After this event the pile slowly cooled and froze, and outflow from the pile was significantly reduced. The covered pile was not affected by the flooding event in December, 2012.

Figure A-13 and A-14 show the location of the TDR probes in the covered pile and the VMC measured at each probe between 2007 and 2013 respectively. Annual outflow from the pile is shown in Figure A-16, and Figure A-17 shows the cumulative outflow measured per flow season (as flow is observed throughout the winter). Figure A-18 shows the hydraulic head measured using tensiometers on the crest of the pile. Figure A-19 shows the VMCs and temperatures measured by ECH2O probes within the pile. Figure A-20 shows the volume of water collected by the lysimeters on the eastern batter of the pile. Figures A-19 to A-22 show the snowpack contours created by surfer for 2010, 2011, 2013 and 2014 respectively. Table A-8 shows the start and stop dates along with flow volumes for the basal drain. Tables A-9 to A-12 show the measured snow depths and densities in 2010, 2011, 2013 and 2014 respectively.
Figure A-13: Location of the TDR probes in the covered pile.

The upper image shows probes located 2m east of centre, and the bottom shows probes located 2m west of centre. Image from Fretz (2013)
Figure A-14: Volumetric moisture contents measured at the TDR probes in the covered pile.
Figure A-15: As built drawing of the covered test pile. White represents the crown of the pile, grey represents the batters. The thick diagonal red line shows the basal drain location, and the thin red line shows the extent of the HDPE liner. The blue arrows show intended flow directions. The BCLs in the covered pile are not shown, since they have never conducted flow.
Figure A-16: Daily and cumulative annual outflow from the covered pile basal drain
Figure A-17: Daily and cumulative flow season outflow from the covered pile.
In this figure, the cumulative values are defined by the onset and termination of flow, which does not occur on an annual basis as it does in the other drains.
Table A-8: Outflow volumes and start/stop dates for the covered pile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covered Pile Drain</th>
<th>Flow Start</th>
<th>Flow Stop</th>
<th>Discharge (m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-Sep-07</td>
<td>28-Jan-08</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Aug-08</td>
<td>10-Jul-09</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Aug-09</td>
<td>4-Jun-10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-Jul-10</td>
<td>24-Apr-11</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Jun-11</td>
<td>30-Nov-11</td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Feb-12</td>
<td>29-Oct-12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-Jan-13</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A-18: Hydraulic Head calculated from tensiometers on the crown of the covered pile
(Continued on next page).
Figure A-17: Hydraulic head calculated from tensiometers on the crown of the covered pile.
Figure A-19: VMC and temperature measured using the ECH2O probes (continued on next page)
Figure A-18: VMC and temperature measured using the ECH2O probes (continued on next page)
Figure A-18: VMC and temperature measured using the ECH2O probes
Figure A-20: Water collected by the lysimeters on the east batter of the covered pile. The LysTill lysimeter has not collected significant water since the tracer was applied.
### Snow Depth Survey

By: Jeff Bain and Stefan Gopaul

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Picket #</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WN2A</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN2A</td>
<td>35-45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN1a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wc1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN2A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN2</td>
<td>10 + 10 cm ice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC2</td>
<td>5 cm ice, 0-20 cm snow nearby</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>40-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC4</td>
<td>45-50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS4</td>
<td>65-70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 cm nearby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC3</td>
<td>10 cm ice, 15 cm snow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between SC3 and WC3 a ~10 m wide drift @80 cm deep running from toe to toe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES3</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC3</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>slush and ice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN3</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN3A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN4</td>
<td>25+ some ice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES5a</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>near plowed material/drift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Picket #</td>
<td>Depth (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE4a</td>
<td>5-10 + some ice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Depth (cm)</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE5a</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC4</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>slush and ice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW5</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW5a</td>
<td>10-25</td>
<td>icy, near toe of large drift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC5</td>
<td>90-110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A-21: Snowpack contours April 21, 2010
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Snow depth</th>
<th>Length of snow core</th>
<th>Equivalent cm of water</th>
<th>Corrected cm water</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WN1a</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN2a</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN5a</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC1</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS5a</td>
<td>plowed area</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW5a</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE5a</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE4a</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES5a</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Snow depth</td>
<td>Length of snow core</td>
<td>Equivalent cm of water</td>
<td>Corrected cm water</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN3a</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW4a</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS3</td>
<td>100? 10?</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC2</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN2a</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN1a</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN1</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC1</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bouldery, crevaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC1</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>snow pack starts 1-2 m below this point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A-22: Snowpack contours April 13, 2011
### Table A-11: Snow survey April 10, 2013

Corrected mass = core mass - empty tube mass

Empty tube mass = 38 cm H₂O (*Says 40 in the book but I think you said on the SOP it said 38?*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Snow Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Core Length (cm)</th>
<th>Core mass (cm H₂O)</th>
<th>Corrected Mass (cm H₂O)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B28982</td>
<td>WN5A</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28981</td>
<td>WN2A</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2898C</td>
<td>WN1A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2897E</td>
<td>WN1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2897F</td>
<td>WN2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28980</td>
<td>WN3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2896C</td>
<td>WC2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2896C</td>
<td>WC2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Second coring for location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2896D</td>
<td>WC3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2896E</td>
<td>WC4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2896F</td>
<td>WC5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2896F</td>
<td>WC5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Second coring for location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28986</td>
<td>WS5</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28986</td>
<td>WS5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Second coring for location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28984</td>
<td>WS4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28984</td>
<td>WS4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Second coring for location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28983</td>
<td>WS3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28953</td>
<td>SW2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28955</td>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28955</td>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Second coring for location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Snow Depth (cm)</td>
<td>Core Length (cm)</td>
<td>Core mass (cm H2O)</td>
<td>Corrected Mass (cm H2O)</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28956</td>
<td>SW4A</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28987</td>
<td>WS5A</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28957</td>
<td>SW5A</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28957</td>
<td>SW5A</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28952</td>
<td>SW4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>Very hard ice at 65 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28952</td>
<td>SW4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>Second coring for location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28954</td>
<td>SC5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28954</td>
<td>SC5</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>Second coring for location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2892E</td>
<td>SC5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2892E</td>
<td>SC5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>Second coring for location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2894F</td>
<td>SE5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28923</td>
<td>SC4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28921</td>
<td>SC3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28922</td>
<td>SC2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2891C</td>
<td>SC1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28970</td>
<td>EC1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2894C</td>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2894D</td>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2894E</td>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28950</td>
<td>SE5A</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28951</td>
<td>SE4A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28989</td>
<td>ES3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2898A</td>
<td>ES4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2898B</td>
<td>ES5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28975</td>
<td>EC5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Snow Depth (cm)</td>
<td>Core Length (cm)</td>
<td>Core mass (cm H2O)</td>
<td>Corrected Mass (cm H2O)</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28976</td>
<td>EC4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B289BA</td>
<td>EC3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28971</td>
<td>EC2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28978</td>
<td>EN1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28977</td>
<td>EN2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B28979</td>
<td>EN3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2897A</td>
<td>EN4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2897D</td>
<td>EN3A</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2897C</td>
<td>EN2A</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2897B</td>
<td>EN1A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A-23: Snowpack contours April 10, 2013
Table A-12: Snow survey April 8, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Crew</th>
<th>Surveyor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/8/2014</td>
<td>AK/AT</td>
<td>Paul Myrick</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Small Core Tube Empty</th>
<th>Long Core Tube Empty</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Used</td>
<td>34 cm H2O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Snow Depth</th>
<th>Length of Snow Core</th>
<th>Eq. cm H2O</th>
<th>Corrected cm H2O</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WN5A</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN2A</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN1A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WN3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS5A</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW5A</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW5B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In a snow bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW4A</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Snow Depth</td>
<td>Length of Snow Core</td>
<td>Eq. cm H2O</td>
<td>Corrected cm H2O</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE4A</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE5A</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE5B</td>
<td></td>
<td>In a snow bank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES5A</td>
<td></td>
<td>In a snow bank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES5</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec2</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ec1</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en1</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en2</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en3a</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en2a</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en1a</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A-24: Snowpack contours April 8, 2014
A.5 Active Zone Lysimeters

The dataset from the AZLs consists of outflow measured from each drain, hydraulic heads measured using tensiometers, and temperature and VMC data measured using ECH2O probes.

The dataset collected from the AZLs was influence by the flood in December 2012. Power to the datalogger used for the AZLs was interrupted, and a car battery was used as an interim measure to allow data collection to continue. The datalogger failed in September, 2013 and was successfully replaced in early October. The leads on one of the ECH2O probes failed at around the same time as the datalogger, and could not be recovered. During the time period when the datalogger was not functional outflow from the AZLs was collected in 20L pails and measured every 2-3 days using a 1L graduated cylinder.

Outflow from the AZLs was very low in 2012 and 2013. In 2012 the infiltration estimated using the Penman Monteith equation was very low; however, in 2013 it is estimated that over 20mm of infiltration occurred, and outflow from 3 of the 4 AZLs remained low. In the summer of 2013 a video camera was used to examine the drain lines, and no damage was observed within the drain pipes between the data collection trailer and the sharp turn in the drain lines after entering the pile (figure A-25).

Since 2010 two of the three tensiometers have cracked, and are no longer able to hold water. New tensiometer tubes have been installed at the AZLs, and it is recommended that they are used in 2015.

Figure A-25 is an as-built drawing of the AZLs. Figure A-26 shows the temperatures and VMCs measured by EHC2O probes at the AZLs. Figures A-27 and A-28 show the daily outflow from the type I and type III AZLs respectively. Figure A-29 shows the calculated infiltration versus outflow (in mm) from each of the AZLs. Figure A-30 shows the hydraulic heads measured using tensiometers at the AZLs. Table A-13 shows the start and stop dates along with the total volume of outflow from each AZL.
Figure A-25: As-built drawing of the AZLs.
A video camera was successfully used to determine that the drainage lines from two of the AZLs were unbroken, up until the sharp turn in each drain line.
Figure A-26: Temperatures and VMCs measured in the AZLs
Figure A-27: Daily outflow from the type I AZLs
Figure A-28: Daily Outflow from the type III AZLs
Table A-13: Outflow volumes and start/stop dates for the AZLs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AZL</th>
<th>Flow Start</th>
<th>Flow Stop</th>
<th>Discharge (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TI West</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI East</td>
<td>No Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII West</td>
<td>28-Aug 10-Oct</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII East</td>
<td>25-Aug 10-Nov</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI West</td>
<td>17-Aug 21-Oct</td>
<td>297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI East</td>
<td>25-Aug 23-Oct</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII West</td>
<td>27-Jun 23-Oct</td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII East</td>
<td>26-Jun 21-Oct</td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI West</td>
<td>26-Jun 15-Oct</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI East</td>
<td>26-Jun 15-Oct</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII West</td>
<td>1-Jul 25-Oct</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII East</td>
<td>28-Jun 25-Oct</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI West</td>
<td>21-Jun 19-Oct</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI East</td>
<td>10-Jun 19-Oct</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII West</td>
<td>10-Jun 21-Oct</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII East</td>
<td>17-Jun 21-Oct</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI West</td>
<td>4-Jun 20-Oct</td>
<td>309</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI East</td>
<td>5-Jun 24-Oct</td>
<td>283</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII West</td>
<td>5-Jun 24-Oct</td>
<td>146*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII East</td>
<td>5-Jun 20-Oct</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI West</td>
<td>4-Jun 17-Oct</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI East</td>
<td>4-Jun 19-Jul</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII West</td>
<td>9-Jun 19-Jul</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII East</td>
<td>9-Jun 19-Jul</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI West</td>
<td>18-Jul 17-Oct</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI East</td>
<td>16-Jul 30-Oct</td>
<td>9*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII West</td>
<td>17-Jul 30-Oct</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIII East</td>
<td>&lt; 1L flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unknown amount of missing flow
Figure A-29: Infiltration into the AZLs compared to the FAO calculated amount of infiltration
Figure A-30: Hydraulic head calculated from tensiometers in the AZLs (continued on next page)
Figure A-30: Hydraulic head calculated from tensiometers in the AZLs
Appendix B: Summary of Tracer Tests Conducted on the Covered Pile

B.1 Rhodamine Tracer Test (East Batter, Covered Pile)
This test was conducted on June 21 and 22, 2013. Ten ounces of ‘Bright Dyes Red Dye’ was mixed with 400L of water. This water was applied to a 6m² patch on the southern edge of the eastern slope of the covered pile. The test was run for five hours at a volumetric rate of 50L/h. This is equivalent to a precipitation event of 8mm/hour for the area tested. Tracer application began at 2:00pm on June 21st, and finished at 7:00pm on the same day. A cross section of the tracer test was excavated at 8:30am on June 22, and observations were taken until 9:30am on the same day.

The dye had infiltrated uniformly throughout the matrix to an average depth of 55cm. Preferential flow around larger rocks was observed on the upper portion of only one rock (5cm diameter). The remainder of the 3m wide excavation showed only matrix flow. The cross section was extended 1m north from the tracer test into clean material. The interface between the tracer and the clean rock showed that flow was vertical in this dimension. Overland flow was only observed as a result of a kinked hose, and a tear in the hose. Both issues were corrected within fifteen minutes and had minimal impact.

Figure B-1 shows the cross section excavated. The dye has stained the upper material pink, while the clean material below is brown. Pink material located below the horizontal stained/clean interface is debris from the excavation.
Figure B-1: Rhodamine staining in the type I material on the covered pile
B.2 Anion and Deuterium Tracer Tests (East Batter, Covered Pile)
Two tracer tests were conducted on the covered pile on July 27 and July 29, 2013. The intention of the tests is to quantify the amount of infiltration passing through the till layer on the covered pile. The first tracer test released deuterium and sodium chloride tracers directly above the uppermost edge of the collection lysimeters installed on the east face of the covered pile. The second test released a sodium bromide tracer near the crest of the pile directly in line with the collection lysimeters (Figure B-2).

B.2.1 Sodium Chloride and Deuterium Tracer Test (July 27, 2013)
To create the tracer 1.93kg of Sifto table salt and 59.4g of deuterium were mixed with approximately 450L of water to create a tracer with a chloride concentration of 2600mg/L and a deuterium concentration of around +500 ‰ Vienna Mean Ocean Water Standard. These concentrations match those used in tracer tests conducted in 2007 (Neuner, et al., 2009). Three 500mL background samples and three 500mL tracer samples were taken and analysed.

The tracer was released from a 25 foot long weeper hose onto a 4m by 1.5m infiltration area located 18m upslope from the point where the collection pipes exit the pile (Figure B-1). An average volumetric flow rate of 50L/hr (equivalent to an 8.3mm/hr rainfall) was maintained for 8 hours, for a total release of 400L. This rate was chosen based on the results of a rhodamine tracer test and excavation previously conducted on the same slope (June 21 and 22, 2013) which showed no overland or preferential flow at this flow rate.

The weather during the tracer test was cool and overcast, with rain falling for approximately 4 of the 8 hours. Tarps were used to cover the tracer area to minimize dilution and prevent overland flow resulting from the combined tracer and natural precipitation. Despite this, some overland flow was observed from low infiltration capacity areas. The covered pile tipping bucket recorded 1.4mm of rain during the tracer test.
B.2.2 Bromide Tracer Test (July 29, 2013)

To create the tracer 1.74kg of sodium bromide from Anachemia Science was mixed with approximately 450L of water to create a tracer with a bromide concentration of around 3000mg/L. This concentration matches that used in tracer tests conducted in 2007 (Neuner, et al., 2009). Three 500mL background samples and three 500mL tracer samples were taken.

The tracer was released onto a 4m by 1.5m infiltration area located 8 meters down slope from the crest of the pile (Figure B-2). The infiltration system was gravity fed, and it was not possible to maintain a flow rate of 50L/hr this high on the pile. Instead an average flow rate of 38L/hr (6.3mm/hr) was maintained for 10.5 hours, for a total release of 400L. Despite the lower flow rate some overland flow was observed during the test. This was partially rectified by frequently changing the hoses location within the infiltration area, and by avoiding the lowest permeability regions of the infiltration area.

The weather during this test was warm and sunny with scattered clouds. Tarps were placed over the tracer area to minimize the effects of evaporation.

Figure B-3 shows the set up used in both tracer tests. Figure B-4 shows the tarps used to prevent the infiltration of rainfall on July 27, 2013 and evaporation on July 28, 2013. Figure B-5 shows the weeping hose used to apply the tracer.
Figure B-2: Location of the tracer tests
Figure B-3: Tracer test with weeper hose.
Figure B-4: Tracer area covered by tarps
Figure B-5: Weeping hose
Figure B-6: Cross section of the covered pile lysimeters. Image taken from the construction summary prepared by Jeff Bain.
Figure B-7: Overview of the covered pile lysimeters.

Covered pile slope drain plan view.
- Liners slope to east.
- Half pipe drains slope to south.
- Till and Type 1 drain liners are 5 x 7 m; Cover drain liner is 5 x 4 m

Image taken from the construction summary prepared by Jeff Bain
B.3 Deuterium Tracer Test (South Batter, Type III Pile)

This test was conducted on the south batter of the type 3 pile on April 8 and 9, 2014. The intention of the test was to quantify preferential and matric flow velocities through the batters, especially as they pertain to snowmelt in the spring. Since it is not possible to walk on the batters, a deuterium tracer was mixed and then frozen in ice cube trays. The individual cubes were then thrown onto the south batter of the pile from below.

A total of nine litres of ice (518 cubes) with a deuterium concentration of 13.2g deuterium per litre of Diavik tap water was thrown. The ice cubes were 100 times more concentrated than in previous tracer tests, so this is the signal equivalent of 900L of water. Water at this deuterium concentration has a melting point of 0.4 degrees Celsius.

The ice cubes were thrown onto the batter at a constant height 4.5 meters above the basal liner. A horizontal rope was used as a target for throwing. To place the horizontal rope on the pile it was strung between two longer ropes, and these were thrown from the crest of the pile to the base. They were then moved apart until the horizontal rope was stretched tight. The horizontal rope was placed 14.3 meters below the crest of the pile, as measured along the piles batters. This was calculated to be 4.5 meters above the basal liner. A strong, inconsistent wind off of the pile made accuracy difficult, even though the ice cubes were not thrown very far. Approximately 80% of the ice cubes thrown landed within 1.5 meters of the intended location. The ice cubes were not evenly distributed along the length of the rope, as portions of the snow were too stiff to allow the ice cubes to penetrate and stick. The distribution of ice cubes on the batter is shown in Figure 2 (below).

It is anticipated that some of the deuterium will travel through preferential pathways and be seen very quickly in the basal drain. The remainder of the deuterium will travel with a matric flow velocity through
the batter. The velocity is anticipated to be quicker than the 3m/year seen through the core of the pile due to the fact that the lower batters preferentially contain larger sized materials. The value calculated from this tracer test will be the first flow velocity measured through the batters of the pile.

All samples taken from the south drain must now have an extra bottle for deuterium analysis. The samples should be unfiltered and non acidic, with no head space in the standard 60mL HDPE bottles.

The environment crew at Diavik has agreed to take pictures of the south batter of the pile until the arrival of the test piles crew onsite in May, 2014. This will provide important information regarding the ice cubes location in relation to the snow line prior to the onset of melting.
Figure B-8: Distribution of ice cubes on the batter.
The horizontal rope seen in the picture was the target height for the ice cubes. Figure taken April 9, 2014
Figure B-9: Snow on the batter April 20, 2014 (Photo taken by environment crew)
Figure B-10: Snow on the batter April 27, 2014 (Photo taken by the environment crew).
Appendix C: Matlab Codes
The Matlab codes written for this thesis are provided in this appendix for reference. The datalogger
program used in datalogger 3Ba was changed following the flood in 2012, and the new Matlab script
used for processing the data can be found in this appendix. The Matlab codes used to process the daily
outflow recorded at each of the other dataloggers remain unchanged from those presented in Fretz
(2013). The radiation model developed by Swift (1976) and the snowmelt model used each year is also
provided.
C.1 New Scripts for 3Ba datalogger

C.1.1 Get Raw Tips

%Script: getRawTips3Ba
%Author: Andrew Krentz - based on scripts written by Matthew Neuner and
%Steven Momeyer that were used for interpreting the 3Ba data prior to
%flooding in the winter of 2012-2013

%%

function [T1_Raw, tb_1, tb_2, tb_3, tb_4, tb_5, tb_6, tb_7, tb_8, tb_9, tb_10, ...
    tb_11, tb_12, tb_13] = getRawTips3Ba_NEW (inName)

%inName: Write directory of file here.
%This script opens raw data files from the datalogger and sorts the tipping
%buckets based on ArrayID
%Creates an array for each tipping bucket with Date in column 1 and time
%between tips (in days) in column 2.

%tb_1 = 3BNBlys2W & 3BNBlys2E
%tb_2 = 3BNBlys4W
%tb_3 = 3BNBlys4E
%tb_4 = 3BNCLys2W & 3BNCLys2E
%tb_5 = 3BNCLys4W
%tb_6 = 3BNCLys4E
%tb_7 = 3BSClys2W & 3BSClys2E
%tb_8 = 3BSClys4W
%tb_9 = 3BSClys4E
%tb_10 = 1BWBlys Cluster
%tb_11 = 1BWClys Cluster
%tb_12 = 1BEClys Cluster
%tb_13 = 1Bxxdrn13
%T1_Raw = no important data, disregard

%File format constants.
%"TIMESTAMP","RECORD","TB(1)","TB(2)","TB(3)","TB(4)","TB(5)","TB(7%)","TB(8)","TB(9)","TB(10)","TB(11)","TB(12)","TB(13)","TB_total(1)","TB_total(2)","TB_total(3)","TB_total(4)","TB_total(5)","TB_total(6)","TB_total(7)","TB_total(8)","TB_total(9)","TB_total(10)","TB_total(11)","TB_total(12)","TB_total(13)"
%This section identifies the column that each tipping bucket's data is
%stored in
dateFormatString = ""%f-%f-%f %f:%f:%f",%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f,%f
nColumns = 33;
minuteColumn = 5;
hourColumn = 4;
secondsColumn = 6;
daysColumn = 3;
monthsColumn = 2;
yearsColumn = 1;
TB1Column = 8;
TB2Column = 9;
TB3Column = 10;
TB4Column = 11;
TB5Column = 12;
TB6Column = 13;
TB7Column = 14;
TB8Column = 15;
TB9Column = 16;
TB10Column = 17;
TB11Column = 18;
TB12Column = 19;
TB13Column = 20;

%dFilter for taking derivatives.
diffFilter = [1,-1];

%Read data from file.
%Data = txt2mat(file location, header rows, columns, format string);
Data = txt2mat(inName,4,nColumns,dateFormatString);

%Calculate the time in days using matlab’s datenum.
dateVec = [Data(:,yearsColumn) Data(:,monthsColumn) Data(:,daysColumn)...
Data(:, hourColumn) Data(:, minuteColumn) Data(:,secondsColumn)];
tRaw = datenum(dateVec);

%Outputs all data before sorting and creating separate arrays for each ID.
tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB1Column);
T1_Raw = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
tb_1 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_1(:,1);
B = tb_1(:,2);
tb_1 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_1) > 0
    m = size(tb_1);
    Difference = diff(tb_1);
    Difference(m,1) = 0.0001;
    C = cat(2,tb_1,Difference);
    tb_1 = C;
else tb_1 = ('No Flow');
end

tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB2Column);
tb_2 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_2(:,1);
B = tb_2(:,2);
tb_2 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_2) > 0
    m = size(tb_2);
    Difference = diff(tb_2);
    Difference(m,1) = 0.0001;
    C = cat(2,tb_2,Difference);
    tb_2 = C;
else tb_2 = ('No Flow');

end

tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB3Column);
tb_3 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_3(:,1);
B = tb_3(:,2);
tb_3 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_3) > 0
  m = size(tb_3);
  Difference = diff(tb_3);
  Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
  C = cat(2,tb_3,Difference);
  tb_3 = C;
else tb_3 = ('No Flow');
end

tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB4Column);
tb_4 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_4(:,1);
B = tb_4(:,2);
tb_4 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_4) > 0
  m = size(tb_4);
  Difference = diff(tb_4);
  Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
  C = cat(2,tb_4,Difference);
  tb_4 = C;
else tb_4 = ('No Flow');
end

tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB5Column);
tb_5 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_5(:,1);
B = tb_5(:,2);
tb_5 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_5) > 0
  m = size(tb_5);
  Difference = diff(tb_5);
  Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
  C = cat(2,tb_5,Difference);
  tb_5 = C;
else tb_5 = ('No Flow');
end

tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB6Column);
tb_6 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_6(:,1);
B = tb_6(:,2);
tb_6 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_6) > 0
  m = size(tb_6);
  Difference = diff(tb_6);
Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
C = cat(2, tb_6, Difference);
tb_6 = C;
else tb_6 = ('No Flow');
end

tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB7Column);
tb_7 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_7(:,1);
B = tb_7(:,2);
tb_7 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_7) > 0;
    m = size(tb_7);
    Difference = diff(tb_7);
    Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
    C = cat(2, tb_7, Difference);
    tb_7 = C;
else tb_7 = ('No Flow');
end

tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB8Column);
tb_8 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_8(:,1);
B = tb_8(:,2);
tb_8 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_8) > 0;
    m = size(tb_8);
    Difference = diff(tb_8);
    Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
    C = cat(2, tb_8, Difference);
    tb_8 = C;
else tb_8 = ('No Flow');
end

tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB9Column);
tb_9 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_9(:,1);
B = tb_9(:,2);
tb_9 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_9) > 0;
    m = size(tb_9);
    Difference = diff(tb_9);
    Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
    C = cat(2, tb_9, Difference);
    tb_9 = C;
else tb_9 = ('No Flow');
end

tRaw(:,2) = Data(:,TB10Column);
tb_10 = [tRaw(:,1), tRaw(:,2)];
D = tb_10(:,1);
B = tb_10(:,2);
tb_10 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_10)>0
    m = size(tb_10);
    Difference = diff(tb_10);
    Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
    C = cat(2,tb_10,Difference);
    tb_10 = C;
else tb_10 = ('No Flow');
end

traw(:,2) = Data(:,TB11Column);
tb_11 = [traw(:,1), traw(:,2)];
D = tb_11(:,1);
B = tb_11(:,2);
tb_11 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_11) > 0;
    m = size(tb_11);
    Difference = diff(tb_11);
    Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
    C = cat(2,tb_11,Difference);
    tb_11 = C;
else tb_11 = ('No Flow');
end

traw(:,2) = Data(:,TB12Column);
tb_12 = [traw(:,1), traw(:,2)];
D = tb_12(:,1);
B = tb_12(:,2);
tb_12 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_12) > 0;
    m = size(tb_12);
    Difference = diff(tb_12);
    Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
    C = cat(2,tb_12,Difference);
    tb_12 = C;
else tb_12 = ('No Flow');
end

traw(:,2) = Data(:,TB13Column);
tb_13 = [traw(:,1), traw(:,2)];
D = tb_13(:,1);
B = tb_13(:,2);
tb_13 = D(B==1);
if size(tb_13) > 0;
    m = size(tb_13);
    Difference = diff(tb_13);
    Difference(m,1) = 1E-4;
    C = cat(2,tb_13,Difference);
    tb_13 = C;
else tb_13 = ('No Flow');
end
C.1.2 Calculate Rates and Volumes for the Type I Drain

%Script: calcRates_Volumes_TIDrain
%Autor: Modified by Nathan Fretz from Matthew Neuner and Steven Momeyer
%Project: Diavik Waste-Rock Project

function [TIDrain_Rates,TIDrain_DailyVolumes] = calcRates_Volumes_TIDrain(var)

%This script creates 2 arrays:
%TIDrain_Rates has the Date in column 1, Time between tips (in days) in
%column 2, mL/s in column 3, L/d in column 4, L in column 5.
%TIDrain_DailyVolumes has the Date in column 1 and daily L in column 2.

%Convert time between tips in days to seconds.
secs = var(:,2) * 86400;

%Averages time between tips for two adjacent tips to
%remove effects from unbalanced tipping bucket and discrepancy in bucket
%volume.
i = 1;
secs(i,2) = secs(i,1);
i=i+1;
while i < size(secs,1)+1
  secs(i,2) = ((secs(i,1)+secs(i-1,1))/2);
i=i+1;
end

%Convert time between tips to flow rate (mL/s).
%Contact Matthew Neuner for equation used for 2007.
%Contact Steven Momeyer for equation used in 2008 and 2009.
%The Type I Drain (1Bxdrn13) tipping bucket was replaced on July 19, 2011
%between 14:00 and 15:00.
%Equation for all 2010 data (Nathan Fretz): %y = 828.46x^-1.052
%Flow = secs(:,2),^-1.052 .*828.46;

%Equation for 2011 data after July 19, 2011 15:00 (Nathan Fretz):
%y = 664.16x^-1.035
%Flow = secs(:,2),^-1.035 .*664.16;

%Equation for 2013 data used for all of 2013 season
%y = 597.8x^-1.014
Flow = secs(:,2),^-1.014.*597.8;
TIDrain_Rates = [var(:,1),var(:,2),Flow];

%Add 4th column and convert flow from mL/s to L/d.
TIDrain_Rates(:,4) = Flow(:,1).*86400.*0.001;

%Add 5th column consisting of volume of each tip (L).
TIDrain_Rates(:,5) = TIDrain_Rates(:,2).*TIDrain_Rates(:,4);

%Calculate the volume of water (L) over a specified period. %The interval is specified in minutes. %This gives you a nx3 matrix: date, volume, number of rows included. interval = 1440; %1 day in minutes. mins = round((TIDrain_Rates(:,1)- datenum ('Jan 01 2000'))*1440); %Converts %data to number of minutes since Jan 1, 2000. mins = ceil(mins/interval); %ceil rounds towards + infinity lastmins = mins(1); x = [0,0]; TIDrain_DailyVolumes = []; for i= 2:size(TIDrain_Rates,1) if mins(i) ~= lastmins TIDrain_DailyVolumes = [TIDrain_DailyVolumes; ... (mins(i-1)*interval)/1440 + datenum('Jan 01 2000'),x]; x = [TIDrain_Rates(i,5),1]; %5 refers to the column containing flow %in L. lastmins = mins(i); else x = x + [TIDrain_Rates(i,5),1]; %5 refers to the column %containing flow in L. end end TIDrain_DailyVolumes = [TIDrain_DailyVolumes; ... (mins(i-1)*interval)/1440 + datenum('Jan 01 2000'),x]; TIDrain_DailyVolumes(:,1) = TIDrain_DailyVolumes(:,1)-interval/(1440*2); %Subtracts half of interval (in minutes). This is done for graphing %purposes, so that a bar graph is plotted correctly.

%Create 6th column of cumulative L. TIDrain_DailyVolumes(:,4) = cumsum(TIDrain_DailyVolumes(:,2)); %Create 7th column of cumulative m^3. TIDrain_DailyVolumes(:,5) = TIDrain_DailyVolumes(:,4)./1000;

end

C.1.3 Calculate Rates and Volumes for the BCLs

%Script: calcRates_Volumes_BCLs %Author: Modified by Nathan Fretz from Matthew Neuner and Steven Momeyer %Project: Diavik Waste-Rock Project

function [BCL_Rates,BCL_DailyVolumes]...
    = calcRates_Volumes_BCLs(var)

%This script creates 2 arrays: %BCL_Rates has the Date in column 1, Time between tips (in days) in %column 2, mL/s in column 3, L/d in column 4, L in column 5. %BCL_DailyVolumes has the Date in column 1 and daily L in column 2.
% Convert time between tips in days to seconds.
secs = var(:,2).* 86400;

%Averages time between tips for two adjacent tips to
% remove effects from unbalanced tipping bucket and discrepancy in bucket
% volume.
i = 1;
secs(i,2) = secs(i,1);
i=i+1;
while i < size(secs,1)+1
    secs(i,2) = ((secs(i,1)+secs(i-1,1))/2);
i=i+1;
end

% Convert time between tips to flow rate (mL/s).
% Contact Matthew Neuner for equation used for 2007.
% Contact Steven Momeyer for equation used in 2008 and 2009.
% Equation for all 2010 and 2011 data:
% \[ y = 2.6425x^{-1.1124} \]
Flow = secs(:,1).^(-1.1124) .*2.6425; % secs(:,2) for average time btw tips.

BCL_Rates = [var(:,1),var(:,2),Flow];

% Add 4th column and convert flow from mL/s to L/d.
BCL_Rates(:,4) = Flow(:,1).*86400.*0.001;

% Add 5th column consisting of volume of each tip (L).
BCL_Rates(:,5) = BCL_Rates(:,2).*BCL_Rates(:,4);

% Calculate the volume of water (L) over a specified period.
% The interval is specified in minutes.
% This gives you a nx3 matrix: date, volume, number of rows included.
interval = 1440; % 1 day in minutes.
mins = round((BCL_Rates(:,1)- datenum ('Jan 01 2000 '))*1440); % Converts
data to number of minutes since Jan 1, 2000.
mins = ceil(mins/interval); % ceil rounds towards + infinity
lastmins = mins(1);
x = [0,0];
BCL_DailyVolumes = [];
for i = 2:size(BCL_Rates,1)
    if mins(i) ~= lastmins
        BCL_DailyVolumes = [BCL_DailyVolumes;...
            (mins(i-1)*interval)/1440 + datenum('Jan 01 2000'),x];
x = [BCL_Rates(i,5),1]; % 5 refers to the column containing flow
% in L.
lastmins = mins(i);
    else  x = x + [BCL_Rates(i,5),1]; % 5 refers to the column
% containing flow in L.
    end
end
BCL_DailyVolumes = [BCL_DailyVolumes;...
    (mins(i-1)*interval)/1440 + datenum('Jan 01 2000'),x];
BCL_DailyVolumes(:,1) = BCL_DailyVolumes(:,1)-interval/(1440*2);
%Subtracts half of interval (in minutes). This is done for graphing %purposes, so that a bar graph is plotted correctly.

%Create 4th column of cumulative L.
BCL_DailyVolumes(:,4) = cumsum(BCL_DailyVolumes(:,2));
%Create 5th column of cumulative m^3.
BCL_DailyVolumes(:,5) = BCL_DailyVolumes(:,4)/1000;
end

C.2 Radiation Model (Based on Swift, 1976)
%this file calculates radiation on a slope of the covered pile based on an %input of latitude, slope inclination, slope aspect, day of year, and %measured total daily radiation

%all measurements are in degrees, use sind, cosd, tand
%all inputs go in this section (except julian day and radiation, which are %imported from excel below)
I = 18.4; %slope angle in degrees - at Daivik it is 18.4
A = 90; % slope azimuth in degrees (90 = east, 180 = south, 270 = west)
Lo = 64.4961; % latitude of site in degrees - at Diavik it is 64.4961
Ro = 1.95; %solar constant in cal/cm2/min - using Drummond et al.

L1 = asind(cosd(I)*sind(Lo)+sind(I)*cosd(Lo)*cosd(A));
D1 = cosd(I)*cosd(Lo)-sind(I)*sind(Lo)*cosd(A);
if(D1==0)
    D1 = 1.0E-10;
end

L2 = atand(sind(I)*sind(A)/D1);
if(D1<0)
    L2 = L2 +180;
end
%1 starts here

%This section imports an excel file with the Julian day in column one, and %the total daily radiation, measured in g calorie /cm2/day
ndata = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Work\Radiation Calculations\Julian_Radians.xlsx','2010');
J_vector = ndata(:,1);
R2_vector = ndata(:,2);
tot_days = length(ndata);

for i=1:length(ndata)
    J = J_vector(i);
R2 = R2_vector(i);

%J = 98; % test value Julian Day
%R2 = 341; % test value measured radiation

D = asind(0.39785*sind(278.9709+0.9856*J+1.9163*sin((356.6153+0.9856*J)))); % use complex function for D

E = 1-0.0167*cosd((J-3)*0.986); %func1(1.0,0.0167,-3)
R1 = (60 * R0/(E*E)); % not sure if this is actually E*E or not

T = acosd(-tand(L1)*tand(D)); %func2(L1)
T7 = T - L2;
T6 = -T - L2;

T = acosd(-tand(Lo)*tand(D)); %func2(Lo)
T1 = T;
To = -T;

if(T7<T1)
    T3 = T7;
else
    T3 = T1;
end

if(T6 > To)
    T2 = T6;
else
    T2 = To;
end

%The R4 substitution starts here
if(T3<T2)
    T2 = 0;
    T3 = 0;
end
T6 = T6+360;

if(T6<T1)
    T8 = T6;
    T9 = T1;
    R4_dum1 = R1*(sind(D)*sind(L1)*(T3-T2)/15+cosd(D)*cosd(L1)*{sind(T3+L2)-sind(T2+L2)}*12/pi));
    R4_dum2 = R1*(sind(D)*sind(L1)*(T9-T8)/15+cosd(D)*cosd(L1)*{sind(T9+L2)-sind(T8+L2)}*12/pi));
    R4 = R4_dum1 + R4_dum2;
else
    T7 = T7 - 360;
    if(T7 > To)
        T8 = To;
        T9 = T7;
    end
end
R4_dum1 = R1*(sind(D)*sind(L1)*(T3-T2)/15+cosd(D)*cosd(L1)*(sind(T3+L2)-sind(T2+L2))*12/pi);
R4_dum2 = R1*(sind(D)*sind(L1)*(T9-T8)/15+cosd(D)*cosd(L1)*(sind(T9+L2)-sind(T8+L2))*12/pi);
R4 = R4_dum1 + R4_dum2;
else
    R4 = R1*(sind(D)*sind(L1)*(T3-T2)/15+cosd(D)*cosd(L1)*(sind(T3+L2)-sind(T2+L2))*12/pi);
end
end

% R4 = R1*(sind(D)*sind(L1)*(T3-T2)/15+cosd(D)*cosd(L1)*(sind(T3+L2)-sind(T2+L2))*12/pi);
% simple case R4 equation not needed here
T4 = T2/15;
T5 = T3/15;

V = 0;
W = Lo;
x = T1;
y = To;

R3 = R1*(sind(D)*sind(W)*(x-y)/15+cosd(D)*cosd(W)*(sind(x+V)-sind(y+V))*12/pi);
F = R4/R3;
R5_col_2 = F * R2;
R5(i,1) = i;
R5(i,2) = R5_col_2;
R6 = R5 / cosd(I);
end

% Check variables
% I
% A
% J
% R2
% D
% T4
% T5
% R4
% R3
% F
% R5
% R6
C.3 Snowmelt Models

Each year the additional snowfall that fell after the snow survey was manually added to the basic snowmelt script. The Matlab script for each year is presented below:

C.3.1 2010

% this program imports snowpack data from excel in the format: relative X (m),
% relative Y (m), relative Z (m) and creates an interpolation of the
% snowpack - IT IS ***MAYBE**** NECESSARY TO IMPORT THE HEIGHTS OF THE SNOWPACK PLUS THE
% PILE, AND THEN SUBTRACT THE PILE HEIGHT FOR INTERPOLATION REASONS
% ***SNOWPACK DATA MUST BE EXPRESSED IN SWE****
CMF = 0.016; % change to get full range % the CMF ranges from 0.016 to 0.024

% first import the xls file - all units in metres - snowpack plus pile height
ndata = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Thesis\Work\Snowfall\Snow_survey\Surfer_SnowPacks\2010.xlsx','Snow_and_Pile_Above_Liner');

% now separate out the X, Y and Z coordinates
x = ndata(:,1);
y = ndata(:,2);
z = ndata(:,3);

% Define the grid you want in the x (tix) and y (tiy) direction. Now there
% is a matrix Z1 that contains the interpolated height at 1m intervals
% within the domain specified

tix = -42:1:42; % defines the x domain and the discretization used
tiy = -29:1:29; % defines the y domain and the discretization used
[X1,Y1] = meshgrid(tix,tiy); % this defines the domain for the interpolated surface
Z1 = griddata(x,y,z,X1,Y1); % this creates the interpolated surface

% check if the interpolation worked - you can only check one plot at a time,
% use % signs to block out the other plots
% mesh(X1,Y1,Z1), hold % this plots the surface that was previously created
% plot3(x,y,z,'o'), hold off % this plots the measurement points onto the surface

% calculate the total volume of the snow and pile
total_volume_snow_and_pile=nansum(nansum(Z1));

%Now import the xls file for the pile only - all units in metres - pile only
pile_data = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Thesis\Work\Snowfall\Snow_survey\Surfer_SnowPacks\2010.xlsx', 'Pile_Above_Liner');
x1=pile_data(:,1);
y1=pile_data(:,2);
z1=pile_data(:,3);

%Create interpolated surface
Z2=griddata(x1,y1,z1,X1,Y1);
%check if the interpolation worked - you can only check one plot at a time,
%use % signs to block out the other plots
%mesh(X1,Y1,Z2), hold; %this plots the surface that was previously created
%plot3(x,y,z,'o'), hold off; %this plots the measurement points onto the surface

%Calculate the total volume of the pile
total_volume_pile = nansum(nansum(Z2));

calculate the volume of snow available - this should match the value in
%the write up +/- 3%
total_snow = total_volume_snow_and_pile - total_volume_pile;

%Now create a matrix that contains only the snow - to apply the snowmelt
%model too
Z3 = Z1-Z2;
Indices = (Z3<0.001); % find the elements showing less than 1/10cm snow (interpolation error)
Z3(Indices) = 0; % make these cells = zero
Z3(isnan(Z3)) = 0; % make NaN cells = 0

%check that the total volume of snow has not been changed by removing the
%interpolation values
total_snow_check = nansum(nansum(Z3));%checks total snow volume. Should = total_snow

% Plot this model to check if it worked
%mesh(X1,Y1,Z3), hold; %this plots the surface that was previously created

%Now divide the matrix into different aspects (east, south, west)
Z3_East = Z3(:,1:30);
Z3_South = Z3(:,31:57);
Z3_West = Z3(:,58:85);
%mesh(Z3_South);
%print a file showing the interpolated matrix. This is just a check. Skip
%this step once confident to avoid making too many excel files
%xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid.xls',Z3);
%xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid_East.xls',Z3_East);
%xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid_South.xls',Z3_South);
%xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid_West.xls',Z3_West);

%Now import the radiation data for the year of concern - the model must
%start the day after the survey was taken
Radiation = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Thesis\Work\Radiation Calculations\Radiation_all_years_and_slopes_for_matlab.xlsx','2010');
East_Radiation = Radiation(:,4);
West_Radiation = Radiation(:,5);
South_Radiation = Radiation(:,6);

%Now calculate the volume of snow on each batter and check that all of the
%snow has been included
snow_east_batter_initial = nansum(nansum(Z3_East));
snow_west_batter_initial = nansum(nansum(Z3_West));
snow_south_batter_initial = nansum(nansum(Z3_South));
total_snow_check_1 = snow_east_batter_initial + snow_west_batter_initial + snow_south_batter_initial; % should = total_snow_check

%Now import the hourly temperature and evaporation/sublimation data from excel
Hourly_Temperature = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Thesis\Work\Radiation Calculations\Hourly_Temp_Evap\2010_Temperature_Hourly_For_Matlab.xlsx','2010');
east_sublimation(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1); % date and time
east_sublimation(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,6); % sublimation rate
west_sublimation(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
west_sublimation(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,7);
south_sublimation(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
south_sublimation(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,8);

for i = 1:length(Hourly_Temperature)
    if Hourly_Temperature(i,4) <= -4
        east_sublimation(i,2) = 0;
        west_sublimation(i,2) = 0;
        south_sublimation(i,2) = 0;
    end
end

%now apply the melt model to the east batter, stepping through time in one
%hour increments
k = length(Hourly_Temperature); %counter that equals the total amount of hours considered
L = 1; %counter for days
时间 = Hourly_Temperature(:,2); % time counter, 100 to 2300 hours
Melt_Rate_East = zeros(k,2); % preallocate array for speed
night_radiation = min(East_Radiation); % this is the minimum energy value, which is used to describe nighttime melting

for i = 1:k
    if time(i) < 0400 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else if time(i) > 1800 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else
        Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = CMF*East_Radiation(L) * Hourly_Temperature(i,4);
    end
end

if(Melt_Rate_East(i,2) < 0)
    Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = 0;
end

if time(i) == 2400
    L = L + 1;
end
end
Melt_Rate_East(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% Now calculate snow loss on the east batter - measure hourly
d = size(Z3_East);
Z3_East_Original = Z3_East;
k = length(Hourly_Temperature);

for i = 1:k
    Z3_New_East = Z3_East_Original - Melt_Rate_East(i,2)/1000;
    for l = 1:d(1,1)
        for m = 1:d(1,2)
            if Z3_New_East(l,m) < 0
                Z3_New_East(l,m) = 0;
            end
        end
    end
end

% mesh(Z3_New_East); %make a movie of snowmelt
%axis([0 30 0 60 0 0.5]);%make a movie of snowmelt
%M(k) = getframe;%make a movie of snowmelt
%axis([0 10 0 10 0 1]);%make a movie of snowmelt

% 243
volume_east_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_East_Original))-nansum(nansum(Z3_New_East));
Z3_East_Original = Z3_New_East;

%now apply sublimation
sublimation_matrix_east = Z3_East_Original - (east_sublimation(i,2)/1000);
for l = 1:d(1,1)
    for m = 1:d(1,2)
        if sublimation_matrix_east(l,m) < 0
            sublimation_matrix_east(l,m) = 0;
        end
    end
end
volume_sublimation_east(i,2) = nansum(nansum(Z3_East_Original))-nansum(nansum(sublimation_matrix_east));
Z3_East_Original = sublimation_matrix_east;
if i == 1
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0105/2; % snowfall on April 22, 2010
end
if i == 265
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0002/2; %snowfall on May 3, 2010
end
if i == 409
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0014/2; %snowfall on May 9, 2010
end
if i == 481
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.005/2; %snowfall on May 12, 2010
end
if i == 817
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0018/2; %snowfall on May 16, 2010
end
end
volume_sublimation_east(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

%movie(M,1)%make a movie of snowmelt
volume_east_snowmelt(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,4);
volume_east_snowmelt(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

%now apply the melt model to the west batter, stepping through time in one
%hour increments

k = length(Hourly_Temperature); %counter that equals the total amount of hours considered
L = 1; %counter for days
time = Hourly_Temperature(:,2); % time counter, 100 to 2300 hours
Melt_Rate_West = zeros(k,2); % preallocate array for speed
night_radiation = min(West_Radiation); % this is the minimum energy value, which is used to describe nighttime melting

for i = 1:k
    if time(i) < 0400 % modified equation to reflect short nights
Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
else if time(i) > 1800 % modified equation to reflect short nights
Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
else
Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = CMF*West_Radiation(L) * Hourly_Temperature(i,4);
end
end

if(Melt_Rate_West(i,2) < 0)
Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = 0;
end

if time(i) == 2400
L = L + 1;
end
end
Melt_Rate_West(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% Now calculate snow loss on the west batter - measure hourly
d = size(Z3_West);
Z3_West_Original = Z3_West;
k = length(Hourly_Temperature);
for i = 1:k
Z3_New_West = Z3_West_Original - Melt_Rate_West(i,2)/1000;
for l = 1:d(1,1)
for m = 1:d(1,2)
if Z3_New_West(l,m) < 0
Z3_New_West(l,m) = 0;
end
end
end

volume_west_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_West_Original))-nansum(nansum(Z3_New_West));

Z3_West_Original = Z3_New_West;
% now apply sublimation
sublimation_matrix_west = Z3_West_Original - (west_sublimation(i,2)/1000);
for l = 1:d(1,1)
for m = 1:d(1,2)
if sublimation_matrix_west(l,m) < 0
sublimation_matrix_west(l,m) = 0;
end
end
end

volume_sublimation_west(i,2) = nansum(nansum(Z3_West_Original))-nansum(nansum(sublimation_matrix_west));
Z3_West_Original = sublimation_matrix_west;
if i == 1
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0105/2; % snowfall on April 22, 2010
end
if i == 265
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on May 3, 2010
end
if i == 409
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0014/2; % snowfall on May 9, 2010
end
if i == 481
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.005/2; % snowfall on May 12, 2010
end
if i == 817
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0018/2; % snowfall on May 16, 2010
end
end
volume_sublimation_west(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
volume_west_snowmelt(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,4);
volume_west_snowmelt(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% now apply the melt model to the South batter, stepping through time in one
% hour increments

k = length(Hourly_Temperature); % counter that equals the total amount of hours considered
L = 1; % counter for days
time = Hourly_Temperature(:,2); % time counter, 100 to 2300 hours
Melt_Rate_South = zeros(k,2); % preallocate array for speed
night_radiation = min(South_Radiation); % this is the minimum energy value, which is used to describe nighttime melting

for i = 1:k
    if time(i) < 0400 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else if time(i) > 1800 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else
        Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = CMF*South_Radiation(L) * Hourly_Temperature(i,4);
    end
end
if(Melt_Rate_South(i,2) < 0)
    Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = 0;
end
if time(i) == 2400
    L = L + 1;
end
end
Melt_Rate_South(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% Now calculate snow loss on the South batter - measure hourly
d = size(Z3_South);

Z3_South_Original = Z3_South;
k = length(Hourly_Temperature);

for i = 1:k
    Z3_New_South = Z3_South_Original - Melt_Rate_South(i,2)/1000;
    for l = 1:d(1,1)
        for m = 1:d(1,2)
            if Z3_New_South(l,m) < 0
                Z3_New_South(l,m) = 0;
            end
        end
    end
end

volume_South_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_South_Original))-nansum(nansum(Z3_New_South));
Z3_South_Original = Z3_New_South;

% now apply sublimation
sublimation_matrix_south = Z3_South_Original - (south_sublimation(i,2)/1000);
for l = 1:d(1,1)
    for m = 1:d(1,2)
        if sublimation_matrix_south(l,m) < 0
            sublimation_matrix_south(l,m) = 0;
        end
    end
end

volume_sublimation_south(i,2) = nansum(nansum(Z3_South_Original))-nansum(nansum(sublimation_matrix_south));
Z3_South_Original = sublimation_matrix_south;

if i == 1
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0105/2; % snowfall on April 22, 2010
end
if i == 265
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on May 3, 2010
end
if i == 409
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0014/2; % snowfall on May 9, 2010
end
if i == 481
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.005/2; % snowfall on May 12, 2010
end
if i == 817
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0018/2; % snowfall on May 16, 2010
end
volume_sublimation_south(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
volume_South_snowmelt(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,4);
volume_South_snowmelt(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,1:4) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1:4);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,5) = Melt_Rate_East(:,2);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,6) = volume_east_snowmelt(:,3);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,7) = east_sublimation(:,2);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,8) = volume_sublimation_east(:,2);

Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,1:4) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1:4);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,5) = Melt_Rate_West(:,2);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,6) = volume_west_snowmelt(:,3);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,7) = west_sublimation(:,2);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,8) = volume_sublimation_west(:,2);

Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,1:4) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1:4);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,5) = Melt_Rate_South(:,2);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,6) = volume_South_snowmelt(:,3);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,7) = south_sublimation(:,2);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,8) = volume_sublimation_South(:,2);

C.3.2 2011

%this program imports snowpack data from excel in the format: relative X (m),
%relative Y (m), relative Z (m) and creates an interpolation of the
%snowpack - IT IS ***MAYBE**** NECESSARY TO IMPORT THE HEIGHTS OF THE SNOWPACK PLUS THE
%PILE, AND THEN SUBTRACT THE PILE HEIGHT FOR INTERPOLATION REASONS
%***SNOWPACK DATA MUST BE EXPRESSED IN SWE****

CMF = 0.016; %change to get full range %the CMF ranges from 0.016 to 0.024

%first import the xls file - all units in metres - snowpack plus pile height
ndata = xlsread('C:\Documents and
Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Thesis\Work\Snowfall\Snow_survey\Surfer_SnowPacks\2011.xlsx','Snow_and_Pile_Above_Liner');

%xnow seperate out the X,Y and Z coordinates
x=ndata(:,1);
y=ndata(:,2);
z=ndata(:,3);

%Define the grid you want in the x (tix) and y (tiy) direction. Now there
%is a matrix Z1 that contains the interpolated height at 1m intervals
%within the domain specified
tix = -42:1:42;%defines the x domain and the discretization used
tiy = -29:1:29; %defines the y domain and the discretization used
[X1,Y1] = meshgrid(tix,tiy); %this defines the domain for the interpolated surface
Z1=griddata(x,y,z,X1,Y1); %this creates the interpolated surface

%check if the interpolation worked - you can only check one plot at a time,
%use % signs to block out the other plots
%mesh(X1,Y1,Z1), hold %this plots the surface that was previously created
%plot3(x,y,z,'o'), hold off %this plots the measurement points onto the surface

%Calculate the total volume of the snow and pile
total_volume_snow_and_pile = nansum(nansum(Z1));

%Now import the xls file for the pile only - all units in metres - pile only
pile_data = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Work\Snowfall\Snow_survey\Surfer_SnowPacks\2011.xlsx', 'Pile_Above_Liner');
x1 = pile_data(:,1);
y1 = pile_data(:,2);
z1 = pile_data(:,3);

%Create interpolated surface
Z2 = griddata(x1,y1,z1,X1,Y1);
%check if the interpolation worked - you can only check one plot at a time,
%use % signs to block out the other plots
%mesh(X1,Y1,Z2), hold; %this plots the surface that was previously created
%plot3(x,y,z,'o'), hold off; %this plots the measurement points onto the surface

%Calculate the total volume of the pile
total_volume_pile = nansum(nansum(Z2));

%calculate the volume of snow available - this should match the value in
%the write up +/- 3%
total_snow = total_volume_snow_and_pile - total_volume_pile;

%Now create a matrix that contains only the snow - to apply the snowmelt
%model too
Z3 = Z1-Z2;
Indices = (Z3<0.001); % find the elements showing less than 1/10cm snow (interpolation error)
Z3(Indices) = 0; % make these cells = zero
Z3(isnan(Z3)) = 0; % make NaN cells = 0

%check that the total volume of snow has not been changed by removing the
%interpolation values
total_snow_check = nansum(nansum(Z3)); %checks total snow volume. Should = total_snow

% Plot this model to check if it worked
%mesh(X1,Y1,Z3), hold; %this plots the surface that was previously created

%Now divide the matrix into different aspects (east, south, west)
Z3_East = Z3(:,1:30);
Z3_South = Z3(:,31:57);
Z3_West = Z3(:,58:85);
%mesh(Z3_South);

%print a file showing the interpolated matrix. This is just a check. Skip
%this step once confident to avoid making too many excel files
%xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid.xls',Z3);
%xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid_East.xls',Z3_East);
%xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid_South.xls',Z3_South);
%xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid_West.xls',Z3_West);

%Now import the radiation data for the year of concern - the model must start the day after the survey was taken
Radiation = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Work\Radiation Calculations\Radiation_all_years_and_slopes_for_matlab.xlsx','2011');
East_Radiation = Radiation(:,4);
West_Radiation = Radiation(:,5);
South_Radiation = Radiation(:,6);

%Now caculate the volume of snow on each batter and check that all of the snow has been included
snow_east_batter_initial = nansum(nansum(Z3_East));
snow_west_batter_initial = nansum(nansum(Z3_West));
snow_south_batter_initial = nansum(nansum(Z3_South));
total_snow_check_1 = snow_east_batter_initial + snow_west_batter_initial + snow_south_batter_initial; % should = total_snow_check

%Now import the hourly temperature and evaporation/sublimation data from excel
Hourly_Temperature = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Work\Radiation Calculations\Hourly_Temp_Evap\2011_Temperature_Hourly_For_Matlab.xlsx','2011');
east_sublimation(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1); % date and time
east_sublimation(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,6); % sublimation rate
west_sublimation(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
west_sublimation(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,7);
south_sublimation(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
south_sublimation(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,8);

for i = 1:length(Hourly_Temperature)
    if Hourly_Temperature(i,4) <= -4
        east_sublimation(i,2) = 0;
        west_sublimation(i,2) = 0;
        south_sublimation(i,2) = 0;
    end
end
%now apply the melt model to the east batter, stepping through time in one
%hour increments

k = length(Hourly_Temperature); %counter that equals the total amount of hours considered
L = 1; %counter for days
time = Hourly_Temperature(:,2); % time counter, 100 to 2300 hours
Melt_Rate_East = zeros(k,2); % preallocate array for speed
night_radiation = min(East_Radiation); % this is the minimum energy value, which is used to describe nighttime melting

for i = 1:k
    if time(i) < 0400 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    elseif time(i) > 1800 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else
        Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = CMF*East_Radiation(L) * Hourly_Temperature(i,4);
    end
end

if(Melt_Rate_East(i,2) < 0)
    Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = 0;
end

if time(i) == 2400
    L = L + 1;
end
end
Melt_Rate_East(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% Now calculate snow loss on the east batter - measure hourly
d = size(Z3_East);
Z3_East_Original = Z3_East;
k = length(Hourly_Temperature);

for i = 1:k
    Z3_New_East = Z3_East_Original - Melt_Rate_East(i,2)/1000;
    for l = 1:d(1,1)
        for m = 1:d(1,2)
            if Z3_New_East(l,m) < 0
                Z3_New_East(l,m) = 0;
            end
        end
    end
end
% mesh(Z3_New_East); %make a movie of snowmelt
%axis([0 30 0 60 0.5]); %make a movie of snowmelt
%M(k) = getframe; %make a movie of snowmelt
%axis([0 10 0 10 1]); %make a movie of snowmelt

volume_east_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_East_Original))-nansum(nansum(Z3_New_East));
Z3_East_Original = Z3_New_East;

%now apply sublimation
sublimation_matrix_east = Z3_East_Original - (east_sublimation(i,2)/1000);
for l = 1:d(1,1)
    for m = 1:d(1,2)
        if sublimation_matrix_east(l,m) < 0
            sublimation_matrix_east(l,m) = 0;
        end
    end
end

volume_sublimation_east(i,2) = nansum(nansum(Z3_East_Original))-nansum(nansum(sublimation_matrix_east));
Z3_East_Original = sublimation_matrix_east;
if i == 120
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0004/2; % snowfall on April 19, 2011
end
if i == 216
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0006/2; % snowfall on April 23, 2011
end
if i == 384
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on April 30, 2011
end
if i == 480
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.002/2; % snowfall on May 4, 2011
end
if i == 504
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0003/2; % snowfall on May 5, 2011
end
if i == 648
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0008/2; % snowfall on May 11, 2011
end
volume_sublimation_east(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% movie(M,1) % make a movie of snowmelt
volume_east_snowmelt(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,4);
volume_east_snowmelt(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% now apply the melt model to the west batter, stepping through time in one
% hour increments

k = length(Hourly_Temperature); % counter that equals the total amount of hours considered
L = 1; % counter for days
time = Hourly_Temperature(:,2); % time counter, 100 to 2300 hours
Melt_Rate_West = zeros(k,2); % preallocate array for speed
night_radiation = min(West_Radiation); % this is the minimum energy value, which is used to describe nighttime melting

for i = 1:k
    if time(i) < 0400 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else if time(i) > 1800 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else
        Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = CMF*West_Radiation(L) * Hourly_Temperature(i,4);
    end
end

if(Melt_Rate_West(i,2) < 0)
    Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = 0;
end
if time(i) == 2400
    L = L + 1;
end
Melt_Rate_West(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% Now calculate snow loss on the west batter - measure hourly

volume_west_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_West_Original))-nansum(nansum(Z3_New_West));

Z3_West_Original = Z3_West;
k = length(Hourly_Temperature);

for i = 1:k
    Z3_New_West = Z3_West_Original - Melt_Rate_West(i,2)/1000;
    for l = 1:d(1,1)
        for m = 1:d(1,2)
            if Z3_New_West(l,m) < 0
                Z3_New_West(l,m) = 0;
            end
        end
    end
end

volume_west_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_West_Original)) - nansum(nansum(Z3_New_West));

Z3_West_Original = Z3_New_West;
% now apply sublimation
sublimation_matrix_west = Z3_West_Original - (west_sublimation(i,2)/1000);
for l = 1:d(1,1)
    for m = 1:d(1,2)
if sublimation_matrix_west(l,m) < 0
    sublimation_matrix_west(l,m) = 0;
end
end
end
volume_sublimation_west(i,2) = nansum(nansum(Z3_West_Original))-
nansum(nansum(sublimation_matrix_west));
Z3_West_Original = sublimation_matrix_west;
if i == 120
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0002/2;  % snowfall on April 19, 2011
end
if i == 216
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0006/2;  % snowfall on April 23, 2011
end
if i == 384
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0002/2;  % snowfall on April 30, 2011
end
if i == 480
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.002/2; % snowfall on May 4, 2011
end
if i == 504
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0003/2;  % snowfall on May 5, 2011
end
if i == 648
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0008/2;  % snowfall on May 11, 2011
end
end
volume_sublimation_west(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
volume_west_snowmelt(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,4);
volume_west_snowmelt(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
% now apply the melt model to the South batter, stepping through time in one
% hour increments
k = length(Hourly_Temperature); % counter that equals the total amount of hours considered
L = 1; % counter for days
\time = Hourly_Temperature(:,2); % time counter, 100 to 2300 hours
Melt_Rate_South = zeros(k,2); % preallocate array for speed
night_radiation = min(South_Radiation); % this is the minimum energy value, which is used to describe nighttime melting

for i = 1:k
    if time(i) < 0400 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    elseif time(i) > 1800 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else
        Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = CMF*South_Radiation(L) * Hourly_Temperature(i,4);
    end
end

if(Melt_Rate_South(i,2) < 0)
    Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = 0;
end

if time(i) == 2400
    L = L + 1;
end
end

Melt_Rate_South(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% Now calculate snow loss on the South batter - measure hourly
d = size(Z3_South);

Z3_South_Original = Z3_South;
k = length(Hourly_Temperature);

for i = 1:k
    Z3_New_South = Z3_South_Original - Melt_Rate_South(i,2)/1000;
    for l = 1:d(1,1)
        for m = 1:d(1,2)
            if Z3_New_South(l,m) < 0
                Z3_New_South(l,m) = 0;
            end
        end
    end
end

volume_South_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_South_Original))-nansum(nansum(Z3_New_South));
Z3_South_Original = Z3_New_South;

%now apply sublimation
sublimation_matrix_south = Z3_South_Original - (south_sublimation(i,2)/1000);
for l = 1:d(1,1)
    for m = 1:d(1,2)
        if sublimation_matrix_south(l,m) < 0
            sublimation_matrix_south(l,m) = 0;
        end
    end
end

volume_sublimation_south(i,2) = nansum(nansum(Z3_South_Original))-nansum(nansum(sublimation_matrix_south));
Z3_South_Original = sublimation_matrix_south;

if i == 120
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on April 19, 2011
end
if i == 216
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0006/2; % snowfall on April 23, 2011
end
if i == 384
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0002/2; %snowfall on April 30, 2011
end
if i == 480
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.002/2; %snowfall on May 4, 2011
end
if i == 504
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0003/2; %snowfall on May 5, 2010
end
if i == 648
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0008/2; %snowfall on May 5, 2010
end
end
volume_sublimation_south(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
volume_South_snowmelt(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,4);
volume_South_snowmelt(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,1:4) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1:4);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,5) = Melt_Rate_East(:,2);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,6) = volume_east_snowmelt(:,3);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,7) = east_sublimation(:,2);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,8) = volume_sublimation_east(:,2);

Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,1:4) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1:4);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,5) = Melt_Rate_West(:,2);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,6) = volume_west_snowmelt(:,3);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,7) = west_sublimation(:,2);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,8) = volume_sublimation_west(:,2);

Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,1:4) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1:4);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,5) = Melt_Rate_South(:,2);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,6) = volume_South_snowmelt(:,3);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,7) = south_sublimation(:,2);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,8) = volume_sublimation_south(:,2);
C.3.3 2013

% this program imports snowpack data from excel in the format: relative X (m),
% relative Y (m), relative Z (m) and creates an interpolation of the
% snowpack - IT IS ***MAYBE**** NECESSARY TO IMPORT THE HEIGHTS OF THE SNOWPACK PLUS THE
% PILE, AND THEN SUBTRACT THE PILE HEIGHT FOR INTERPOLATION REASONS
% ****SNOWPACK DATA MUST BE EXPRESSED IN SWE****

CMF = 0.016; % change to get full range % the CMF ranges from 0.016 to 0.024

% first import the xls file - all units in metres - snowpack plus pile height
ndata = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Thesis\Work\Snowfall\Snow_survey\Surfer_SnowPacks\2013.xlsx','Snow_and_Pile_Above_Liner');

% now separate out the X, Y and Z coordinates
x=ndata(:,1);
y=ndata(:,2);
z=ndata(:,3);

% Define the grid you want in the x (tix) and y (tiy) direction. Now there
% is a matrix Z1 that contains the interpolated height at 1m intervals
% within the domain specified
tix = -42:1:42; % defines the x domain and the discretization used
tiy = -29:1:29; % defines the y domain and the discretization used
[X1,Y1] = meshgrid(tix,tiy); % this defines the domain for the interpolated surface
Z1=griddata(x,y,z,X1,Y1); % this creates the interpolated surface

% check if the interpolation worked - you can only check one plot at a time,
% use % signs to block out the other plots
% mesh(X1,Y1,Z1), hold; % this plots the surface that was previously created
% plot3(x,y,z,'o'), hold off; % this plots the measurement points onto the surface

% Calculate the total volume of the snow and pile
total_volume_snow_and_pile=nansum(nansum(Z1));

% Now import the xls file for the pile only - all units in metres - pile only
pile_data = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Thesis\Work\Snowfall\Snow_survey\Surfer_SnowPacks\2013.xlsx', 'Pile_Above_Liner');
x1=pile_data(:,1);
y1=pile_data(:,2);
z1=pile_data(:,3);

% Create interpolated surface
Z2=griddata(x1,y1,z1,X1,Y1);
% check if the interpolation worked - you can only check one plot at a time,
% use % signs to block out the other plots
% mesh(X1,Y1,Z2), hold; % this plots the surface that was previously created
% plot3(x,y,z,'o'), hold off; % this plots the measurement points onto the surface
%Calculate the total volume of the pile
total_volume_pile = nansum(nansum(Z2));

%Calculate the volume of snow available - this should match the value in
%the write up +/- 3%
total_snow = total_volume_snow_and_pile - total_volume_pile;

%Now create a matrix that contains only the snow - to apply the snowmelt
%model too
Z3 = Z1-Z2;
Indices = (Z3<0.001); % find the elements showing less than 1/10cm snow (interpolation error)
Z3(Indices) = 0; % make these cells = zero
Z3(isnan(Z3))= 0; % make NaN cells = 0

%check that the total volume of snow has not been changed by removing the
%interpolation values
total_snow_check = nansum(nansum(Z3)); % checks total snow volume. Should = total_snow

% Plot this model to check if it worked
% mesh(X1,Y1,Z3), hold; % this plots the surface that was previously created

%Now divide the matrix into different aspects (east, south, west)
Z3_East = Z3(:,1:30);
Z3_South = Z3(:,31:57);
Z3_West = Z3(:,58:85);
% mesh(Z3_South);

%print a file showing the interpolated matrix. This is just a check. Skip
% this step once confident to avoid making too many excel files
%xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid.xls',Z3);
xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid_East.xls',Z3_East);
xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid_South.xls',Z3_South);
xlswrite('/Users/Andrew/Dropbox/Work/Snowfall/Snow_survey/Surfer_SnowPacks/Matlab_created_files/2010_Snow_Meshgrid_West.xls',Z3_West);

%Now import the radiation data for the year of concern - the model must
% start the day after the survey was taken
Radiation = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Work\Radiation Calculations\Radiation_all_years_and_slopes_for_matlab.xlsx','2013');
East_Radiation = Radiation(:,4);
West_Radiation = Radiation(:,5);
South_Radiation = Radiation(:,6);

%Now calculate the volume of snow on each batter and check that all of the
%snow has been included
snow_east_batter_initial = nansum(nansum(Z3_East));
snow_west_batter_initial = nansum(nansum(Z3_West));
snow_south_batter_initial = nansum(nansum(Z3_South));
total_snow_check_1 = snow_east_batter_initial + snow_west_batter_initial + snow_south_batter_initial; % should = total_snow_check

%Now import the hourly temperature and evaporation/sublimation data from excel
Hourly_Temperature = xlsread('C:\Documents and Settings\akrentz\Desktop\Thesis\Thesis\Work\Radiation
Calculations\\Hourly_Temp_Evap\2013_Temperature_Hourly_For_Matlab.xlsx','2013');
east_sublimation(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1); % date and time
east_sublimation(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,6); % sublimation rate
west_sublimation(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
west_sublimation(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,7);
south_sublimation(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
south_sublimation(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,8);

for i = 1:length(Hourly_Temperature)  
  if Hourly_Temperature(i,4) <= -4  
    east_sublimation(i,2) = 0;
    west_sublimation(i,2) = 0;
    south_sublimation(i,2) = 0;
  end
end

%now apply the melt model to the east batter, stepping through time in one
%hour increments

k = length(Hourly_Temperature); %counter that equals the total amount of hours considered
L = 1; %counter for days
time = Hourly_Temperature(:,2); % time counter, 100 to 2300 hours
Melt_Rate_East = zeros(k,2); % preallocate array for speed
night_radiation = min(East_Radiation); % this is the minimum energy value, which is used to describe nighttime melting

for i = 1:k
  if time(i) < 0400 % modified equation to reflect short nights
    Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night -
    need to alter this
  else if time(i) > 1800 % modified equation to reflect short nights

Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - 
need to alter this
else
    Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = CMF*East_Radiation(L) * Hourly_Temperature(i,4);
end

if(Melt_Rate_East(i,2) < 0)
    Melt_Rate_East(i,2) = 0;
end

if time(i) == 2400 
    L = L + 1;
end
end
Melt_Rate_East(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% Now calculate snow loss on the east batter - measure hourly 
d = size(Z3_East);
Z3_East_Original = Z3_East;
k = length(Hourly_Temperature);

for i = 1:k
    Z3_New_East = Z3_East_Original - Melt_Rate_East(i,2)/1000;
    for l = 1:d(1,1)
        for m = 1:d(1,2)
            if Z3_New_East(l,m) < 0
                Z3_New_East(l,m) = 0;
            end
        end
    end
end

% mesh(Z3_New_East); %make a movie of snowmelt
%axis([0 30 0 60 0 0.5]);%make a movie of snowmelt
%M(k) = getframe;%make a movie of snowmelt
%axis([0 10 0 10 0 1]);%make a movie of snowmelt

volume_east_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_East_Original))-nansum(nansum(Z3_New_East));
Z3_East_Original = Z3_New_East;

%now apply sublimation
sublimation_matrix_east = Z3_East_Original - (east_sublimation(i,2)/1000);
for l = 1:d(1,1)
    for m = 1:d(1,2)
        if sublimation_matrix_east(l,m) < 0
            sublimation_matrix_east(l,m) = 0;
        end
    end
end

volume_sublimation_east(i,2) = nansum(nansum(Z3_East_Original))-nansum(nansum(sublimation_matrix_east));
Z3_East_Original = sublimation_matrix_east;
if i == 96
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0015/2; % snowfall on April 14, 2013
end
if i == 312
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0004/2; % snowfall on April 23, 2013
end
if i == 336
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0006/2; % snowfall on April 24, 2013
end
if i == 360
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0012/2; % snowfall on April 25, 2013
end
if i == 384
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0008/2; % snowfall on April 26, 2013
end
if i == 408
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on April 27, 2013
end
if i == 552
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on May 3, 2013
end
if i == 600
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0006/2; % snowfall on May 5, 2013
end
if i == 624
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0048/2; % snowfall on May 6, 2013
end
if i == 744
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on May 11, 2013
end
if i == 768
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0004/2; % snowfall on May 14, 2013
end
if i == 816
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0004/2; % snowfall on May 15, 2011
end
if i == 840
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.001/2; % snowfall on May 16, 2011
end
if i == 960
    Z3_East_Original = Z3_East_Original + 0.0014/2; % snowfall on May 20, 2011
end
end
volume_sublimation_east(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

%movie(M,1)% make a movie of snowmelt
volume_east_snowmelt(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,4);
volume_east_snowmelt(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
%now apply the melt model to the west batter, stepping through time in one
%hour increments

k = length(Hourly_Temperature); %counter that equals the total amount of hours considered
L = 1; %counter for days
time = Hourly_Temperature(:,2); % time counter, 100 to 2300 hours
Melt_Rate_West = zeros(k,2); % preallocate array for speed
night_radiation = min(West_Radiation); % this is the minimum energy value, which is used to describe nighttime
melting

for i = 1:k
    if time(i) < 0400 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night -
        need to alter this
    elseif time(i) > 1800 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night -
        need to alter this
    else
        Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = CMF*West_Radiation(L) * Hourly_Temperature(i,4);
        end
    end

    if(Melt_Rate_West(i,2) < 0)
        Melt_Rate_West(i,2) = 0;
    end

    if time(i) == 2400
        L = L + 1;
        end
    end
Melt_Rate_West(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% Now calculate snow loss on the west batter - measure hourly
d = size(Z3_West);
Z3_West_Original = Z3_West;
k = length(Hourly_Temperature);

for i = 1:k
    Z3_New_West = Z3_West_Original - Melt_Rate_West(i,2)/1000;
    for l = 1:d(1,1)
        for m = 1:d(1,2)
            if Z3_New_West(l,m) < 0
                Z3_New_West(l,m) = 0;
                end
        end
    end
end

volume_west_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_West_Original))-nansum(nansum(Z3_New_West));
Z3_West_Original = Z3_New_West;
%now apply sublimation
sublimation_matrix_west = Z3_West_Original - (west_sublimation(i,2)/1000);
for l = 1:d(1,1)
    for m = 1:d(1,2)
        if sublimation_matrix_west(l,m) < 0
            sublimation_matrix_west(l,m) = 0;
        end
    end
end
volume_sublimation_west(i,2) = nansum(nansum(Z3_West_Original))-
    nansum(nansum(sublimation_matrix_west));
Z3_West_Original = sublimation_matrix_west;
if i == 96
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0015/2;  % snowfall on April 14, 2013
end
if i == 312
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0004/2;  % snowfall on April 23, 2013
end
if i == 336
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0006/2;  % snowfall on April 24, 2013
end
if i == 360
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0012/2;  % snowfall on April 25, 2013
end
if i == 384
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0008/2;  % snowfall on April 26, 2013
end
if i == 408
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0002/2;  % snowfall on April 27, 2013
end
if i == 552
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0002/2;  % snowfall on May 3, 2013
end
if i == 600
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.006/2;  % snowfall on May 5, 2013
end
if i == 624
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0048/2;  % snowfall on May 6, 2013
end
if i == 744
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0002/2;  % snowfall on May 11, 2013
end
if i == 768
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0002/2;  % snowfall on May 12, 2013
end
if i == 816
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0004/2;  % snowfall on May 14, 2013
end
if i == 840
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0006/2;  % snowfall on May 15, 2013
if i == 864
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.001/2; %snowfall on May 16, 2011
end
if i == 960
    Z3_West_Original = Z3_West_Original + 0.0014/2;  %snowfall on May 20, 2011
end

volume_sublimation_west(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
volume_west_snowmelt(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,4);
volume_west_snowmelt(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

%now apply the melt model to the South batter, stepping through time in one
%hour increments

k = length(Hourly_Temperature); %counter that equals the total amount of hours considered
L = 1; %counter for days
time = Hourly_Temperature(:,2); % time counter, 100 to 2300 hours
Melt_Rate_South = zeros(k,2); % preallocate array for speed
night_radiation = min(South_Radiation); % this is the minimum energy value, which is used to describe nighttime melting

for i = 1:k
    if time(i) < 0400 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else if time(i) > 1800 % modified equation to reflect short nights
        Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = CMF*night_radiation*Hourly_Temperature(i,4); % correct for low radiation at night - need to alter this
    else
        Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = CMF*South_Radiation(L) * Hourly_Temperature(i,4);
    end
end
if(Melt_Rate_South(i,2) < 0)
    Melt_Rate_South(i,2) = 0;
end

if time(i) == 2400
    L = L + 1;
end
end
Melt_Rate_South(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

% Now calculate snow loss on the South batter - measure hourly
d = size(Z3_South);

Z3_South_Original = Z3_South;
k = length(Hourly_Temperature);
for i = 1:k
    Z3_New_South = Z3_South_Original - Melt_Rate_South(i,2)/1000;
    for l = 1:d(1,1)
        for m = 1:d(1,2)
            if Z3_New_South(l,m) < 0
                Z3_New_South(l,m) = 0;
            end
        end
    end

    volume_South_snowmelt(i,3) = nansum(nansum(Z3_South_Original))-nansum(nansum(Z3_New_South));
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_New_South;

%now apply sublimation
    sublimation_matrix_south = Z3_South_Original - (south_sublimation(i,2)/1000);
    for l = 1:d(1,1)
        for m = 1:d(1,2)
            if sublimation_matrix_south(l,m) < 0
                sublimation_matrix_south(l,m) = 0;
            end
        end
    end

    volume_sublimation_south(i,2) = nansum(nansum(Z3_South_Original))-nansum(nansum(sublimation_matrix_south));
    Z3_South_Original = sublimation_matrix_south;

    if i == 96
        Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0015/2; % snowfall on April 14, 2013
    end
    if i == 312
        Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0004/2; % snowfall on April 23, 2013
    end
    if i == 336
        Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0006/2; % snowfall on April 24, 2013
    end
    if i == 360
        Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0012/2; % snowfall on April 25, 2013
    end
    if i == 384
        Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0008/2; % snowfall on April 26, 2013
    end
    if i == 408
        Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on April 27, 2013
    end
    if i == 552
        Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on May 3, 2013
    end
    if i == 600
        Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.006/2; % snowfall on May 5, 2013
    end
    if i == 624
        Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0002/2; % snowfall on May 6, 2013
    end

Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0048/2; %snowfall on May 6, 2013
end
if i == 744
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0002/2; %snowfall on May 11, 2013
end
if i == 768
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0002/2; %snowfall on May 12, 2013
end
if i == 816
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0004/2; %snowfall on May 14, 2013
end
if i == 840
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0006/2; %snowfall on May 15, 2011
end
if i == 864
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.001/2; %snowfall on May 16, 2011
end
if i == 960
    Z3_South_Original = Z3_South_Original + 0.0014/2; %snowfall on May 20, 2011
end
end
volume_sublimation_south(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);
volume_South_snowmelt(:,2) = Hourly_Temperature(:,4);
volume_South_snowmelt(:,1) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1);

Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,1:4) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1:4);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,5) = Melt_Rate_East(:,2);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,6) = volume_east_snowmelt(:,3);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,7) = east_sublimation(:,2);
Final_East_Spreadsheet(:,8) = volume_sublimation_east(:,2);

Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,1:4) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1:4);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,5) = Melt_Rate_West(:,2);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,6) = volume_west_snowmelt(:,3);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,7) = west_sublimation(:,2);
Final_West_Spreadsheet(:,8) = volume_sublimation_west(:,2);

Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,1:4) = Hourly_Temperature(:,1:4);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,5) = Melt_Rate_South(:,2);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,6) = volume_South_snowmelt(:,3);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,7) = south_sublimation(:,2);
Final_South_Spreadsheet(:,8) = volume_sublimation_south(:,2);