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Abstract

While scholarly attention has focused on many individual texts contained in the BL MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1 (Caligula manuscript), little has been done to examine the texts in relation to one another within the varied content of the manuscript. Yet as the codex circulated as a whole, none of these texts exists in isolation. An exploration of the sustained themes and implicit ideology running through these texts reveals a rich tapestry of interconnected values and concerns that are specific to its readership. “‘Nurtur and good maners makeþ man’: The Burgeois in Late-Medieval Household Miscellany BL MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1” argues that this manuscript is a late-medieval household book and could quite possibly represent a particular familia from the urban burgeois merchant class of late-medieval England. This study compares a wide range of historical artifacts and documents along with textual evidence in the Caligula manuscript, and discovers in this manuscript a mixed readership that includes young and old, male and female, master, apprentice, and servant. The study must first disentangle conflicting terminology to establish the Caligula manuscript as a household miscellany. A further analysis of patterns of inclusion provides a way of understanding the use of the codex within the mercantile household. This study then explores textual positioning across different themes drawn from the three dominant genres in household manuscripts, namely didactic, religious lyric, and romance. The Caligula manuscript reveals consistent social messages and thereby potentially discloses an emergent ethos
specific to the *burgeis*, such as neighbourliness, piety, and moderation. This specificity is particularly visible in this manuscript's unique and, to date, unidentified text *Fynd cense*. In opposition to certain values and practices of the aristocracy, such as inherent nobility and excessive consumption, this *burgeis* ethos, and thus the Cotton Caligula A.ii, could very well inform a new understanding and performance of the *doctrine of gentilesse*. 
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Chapter One: Introduction

Manuscripts have always been an essential resource for scholars of the medieval period, not only for the texts that they transmit, but also for their ability to convey the texture of the times as cultural historical artifacts. They are valued repositories of texts, each yielding and preserving a unique material context. Household manuscripts that were commissioned and used within a domestic sphere are rich resources, as the assortment of texts reflects the interests and concerns of the patron and his *familia.*\(^1\) Many individual texts contained in the BL MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1 have been the focus of scholarly attention, and in the absence of a published facsimile, a few have been transcribed and the subject of scholarly editions.\(^2\) Yet while this is necessary and engaging scholarship, little has been done to examine the texts in relation to one another within the varied context of the manuscript by exploring their interconnectedness through various genres and themes. This work is essential for understanding the


\(^2\) As mentioned, there are transcriptions of various texts; see, for instance, McSparran (ed.) *Octavian Imperator;* Mearns (ed.) *The Vision of Tundale;* Richardson (ed.) *Sir Eglamour of Artois;* and Hanna and Lawton (eds.) *The Siege of Jerusalem.* White’s recent unpublished PhD dissertation examines the theme of obedience, centering mostly on romance texts in the Caligula A.ii, part 1, and also provides a semi-diplomatic transcription of the manuscript. This work, however, misses crucial palaeographic details and subtleties of the Caligula manuscript that lend to a more nuanced reading; see my Chapter Four *Fynd cense* as an example.
codex as a collection of texts that circulated together and were read within
the household. Within their manuscript context, these texts reveal a
tapestry of interconnected values and concerns that could be read as
specific to the urban burgesi of late-medieval England.

In this dissertation "‘Nurtur and good maners makeþ man’: The
Burgeis in Late-Medieval Household Miscellany BL MS Cotton Caligula
A.ii, part 1," I will discuss a selection of texts from within the three
dominant genres included in household manuscripts, namely didactic,
lyric, and romance, and thus contained within the Cotton Caligula A.ii. All
texts in this study of the Caligula were specifically selected due to their
high rate of inclusion in other identified household manuscripts. The
household manuscripts selected for this study are limited by their historical
period, spanning approximately from 1446-1488. At the same time, their
provenance is geographically diverse, ranging from the North, the
Midlands, and London, for example, so as to reflect the urban merchant
culture of late-medieval England. They offer, therefore, a representative
sample of the most popular works within their respective genres for the
corpus of household manuscripts. Through such patterns of inclusion

---

3 Recent scholarship often uses the terms “bourgeois” and “burgesi” interchangeably. However, because “bourgeois” is freighted with the baggage of nineteenth-century ideological and political thought, I prefer to use the word “burgesi” to refer to the wealthier segment of the late medieval merchant class, which this study will further define, and to the ethos that emerges along with this class.
comes a way of understanding the function of these manuscripts generally within their greater household contexts. These texts will be read as possibly revealing a particular kind of ideological formation specific to the late-medieval urban household. Surviving evidence indicates that these books were commissioned primarily by members of the merchant class;\(^4\) the types and contents of texts contained therein reiterate consistent social messages, which might reflect and construct a particular bourgeois ideology, one that seems to differentiate itself from lower-class labourers and peasants as well as higher-class aristocrats.\(^5\)

Didactic texts teach rules for appropriate conduct, such as good table manners and deference toward adults and those of superior social station. Religious lyrics include an assortment of texts, in verse form, that aid in spiritual observance, with particular emphasis on private piety. The lyrics are drawn from psalms, confessions, mediations, elegies, and prayers. Like didactic texts, they also attend to matters of individual

\(^4\) This will be discussed in detail in Chapter Three.

\(^5\) Conventional classification divides medieval society into “three estates”; the First Estate was the Priesthood, those who pray and provide spiritual protection, the Second Estate was the Knighthood, those who provide physical protection, and the Third Estate was everyone else, those who provide food for all. Such social hierarchy, however, was destabilized following the Black Death, its reoccurring outbreaks, and subsequent plagues, creating the opportunity for movement not only within but between classes. Thus, concerning the later Middle Ages Dyer expands the three social orders as follows: aristocratic, noble, and landed gentry and greater gentleman; gentry or lower aristocracy, including knights, esquires, lesser gentleman, and merchants; and the third order, or peasantry, comprising landless labourers, journeymen, and craftsmen, upon whose labour the other classes profited (Dyer, Standards of Living, 17-26).
behaviour, such as the dispensation of charity and avoidance of gossip. Romance narratives were not only sources of entertainment; laden with social codes and decorum, they also embody the cultural shifts that came to be consistent with bourgeois practice.

By sifting through existing literary scholarship and surviving historical sources, I have gathered all those surviving composite manuscripts believed to have originally been used in a domestic setting. While cataloguing manuscripts from this list, I noticed trends in terms of genre as well as the overlap of specific texts contained in the manuscripts forming this corpus. There are also consistent trends in terms of the palaeographical character of such manuscripts; much scholarship has attempted to discern categories for these, resulting in a number of competing definitions. In Chapter Two, I untangle these definitions and identify distinct categories, which I later apply to determine my sample. In addition to producing classifications, I provide a brief summary regarding the provenance and constitution as well as the classification of each manuscript. Lastly, I provide a rationale for choosing the BL MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1 as the object of extended study.

Chapter Three focuses on the merchant class; I utilize testamentary evidence along with surviving owner signatures to provide evidence of ownership and use of household manuscripts. Here, I also examine
domestic structure, including floor plans of urban open hall houses, and household formation, drawn from existing records, inventories, poll taxes, rolls, and guild ordinances. Further, existing cultural artifacts, such as a medieval merchant house and the stained glass windows commissioned by the Blackburn family for All Saint’s Church in York, have also been included as they embody values consistent with those present in the Caligula manuscript household manuscript.

Organized by genres—didactic, lyric, then romance—Chapters Four, Five, and Six build upon the first three chapters and provide a close reading of selected high-incidence texts within the larger household manuscript corpus. This analysis identifies a possible emerging ethos and domestic ideology specific to the merchant class. The weaving together and analysis of literature of the time with scholarship on household membership and domestic space, social and religious organizations, and historical records enables a unique approach to reading and contextualizing this late-medieval manuscript, BL MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1.

While each manuscript has its own character and constitution, the manuscripts overlap in genres, themes, and even identical texts. These manuscripts promote common interests and concerns; thus, as collections, they could quite possibly promote and sustain particular a bourgeois ethos and domestic
ideologies specific to the late-medieval merchant class. In addition to their unique characters, such manuscripts can also provide useful knowledge about the tastes and sensibilities of an individual, a group, or even an age, as A. G. Rigg has explained:

Manuscripts are often regarded simply as the vehicles for the transmission of literary texts from one age to another, but they may also be considered as documents of literary importance in their own right: an anthology may be simply a useful collection of texts, or it may be an index of the tastes of the individual who compiled it, and through him even the tastes of a generation.⁶

Taking up this notion, my thesis will illuminate patterns and ordering clusters within the Caligula manuscript, while simultaneously identifying uniting concerns within the collective group of manuscripts. Such themes and trends will be scrutinized to reveal the seemingly dichotomous categories of civic and family, public and private, and work and recreation. Often embedded within texts, such as those found in household manuscripts, are the subtle and insidious workings of power. Because these manuscripts were part of the inner sanctum of the medieval household that has, for the most part, been under-explored, this research

---

⁶ Rigg, A Glastonbury Miscellany, 30.
promises a potential for greater understanding, and suggests a similar approach to the larger corpus of manuscripts may be productive.

Building upon earlier scholarship, like that of Phillipa Hardman, Julia Boffey and John Thompson, and Francis McSparren, I illustrate the overarching themes and concerns that can be examined not only through specific textual choice—clustering of texts based on theme and genre—but also through instances of scribal intrusion. The fact that such manuscripts were compiled and constructed for private use in the household yields some insight into the owners and/or compiler’s political views, yet most of these manuscripts reveal, through the choice of texts, the religious and ideological stance of their owners and/or compilers. As Taylor argues, “by the thirteen century a reader might also have been able to assemble an elegant collection of fashionable and varied material by making a personal selection of pre-copied fascicles.” In such a “private book, the compiler was free to express political sentiments”; in fact, “many of the books held

---


8 Taylor, “Manual to Miscellany,” 11; for a lucid discussion about trends in the dissemination of vernacular texts to lay people through in-house copying, “just as they turned to household chaplains to compose or translate religious texts, prosperous lay people relied on members of their own household to copy them” (8), through to “professional scriveners” in the “London area, including Westminster, for the court and cathedral” and “commercial book trade that grew in the shadow of the universities,” (9) namely Paris, Oxford, and Cambridge see Taylor’s essay in its entirety. See too, Ralph Hanna III’s essay “Miscellaneity and Vernacularity: Conditions of Literary Production in Late Medieval England,” 37-51.
overtly political writings, such as Skelton’s anti-Wolsey poems in Harley 2252, or the English patriotic verses of Egerton 1995.” However, this is a time when overtly political statements “could earn the author a conviction,” and so many of these compositions hold veiled or opaque political expressions.

Extending this assertion, along with the opinions of several of his scholarly predecessors, Thompson provides a specific textual example as to where and how such political orientations might be manifest. For example, after establishing that the O mors text survives in only two manuscripts, the Cotton Caligula A.ii and Harley 116, both found in the British Library, Thompson posits that the Caligula has been altered as it does not preserve the lines referring to Lord Ralph Cromwell, a controversial political leader around the time of this manuscript’s creation. Thompson argues that this instance of scribal editing may have occurred because the intended reader was not interested in or sympathetic to Cromwell. The decision to erase all reference to Cromwell from the text might also reflect the political loyalties or opinions held by those for whom the manuscript was produced.

---

9 Parker, Commonplace Book, 13.

10 Ibid., 13.

Despite the speculative nature of interpretation, this manuscript is a sound example of specific scribal intrusion.

The limitations of this study are contextually bound for two reasons. First, the assertions drawn from analyses based on an incomplete sample of surviving documents make the findings incomplete. The sample is drawn from existing manuscripts, and there is no way to ascertain if this sample is reflective of the corpus of manuscripts that no longer survive.\(^1\) An inherent problem also surfaces when looking to texts and manuscripts to construct reception and audience, as there is little concrete external evidence with which to corroborate inferences. Conclusions founded on this type of research are never certain, but can reveal what is most possible and plausible.

The second limitation resides with the manuscripts themselves. Interpreting and assessing codicological evidence is an integral element in the formation of this thesis. In order to read a manuscript as a collection, my thesis demands commonality in binding dates (the formal gathering of booklets) for the selected manuscripts. Drawing an accurate date from the implicit manuscript evidence is a difficult task, and these assertions are often the subject of contentious debate among scholars. But, if a

\(^1\) This suggests that producing facsimiles of and/or digitizing those manuscripts that survive but have not yet been catalogued, perhaps even discovered, is crucially important for future scholarship on the Middle Ages.
manuscript is to be considered a medieval cultural artifact, and its texts read in relation to one another, and if compilations themselves are to be interpreted for patterns in literary transmission and cultural concerns, then, to be considered a relevant artifact, the manuscript must have “travelled” as a household book and must have been used within that familial context.

That so much of this thesis depends upon the contextual reading of these texts makes the date of binding an integral consideration. An example of this limitation can be seen in the scholarship of Cambridge University, Trinity College MS R.3.21. In her article “Scribes and Booklets of Trinity College, Cambridge, manuscripts R.3.19 and R.3.21,” Lynn Mooney uses codicological evidence (specifically separate booklet circulation and scribal foliation) to argue that R.3.21 was bound “well after their [the booklets’] original copying.” Ultimately, she concludes, “the volume was compiled in the sixteenth rather than the fifteenth-century.”

Although the contents of R.3.21 fit within the other criterion, the fact that these booklets were not bound together to form a single anthology until much later has ultimately led to its exclusion from my thesis.

The manuscripts chosen for this sample have been chosen for their production, provenance, and proliferation. As a group the manuscripts have been

---


14 Ibid., 254.
selected from the mid-fifteenth century, at which time there was a marked increase in production of these kinds of collections. In terms of production, these manuscripts have defining characteristics in common. Additionally the texts making up each manuscript must have been bound together and used as a collection, forming a composite book, within this historical period. Household manuscripts preserve not only texts drawn from specific genres, but also contextualize social, economic, and political realities at the moment of their inscription. Analysis of their context together with their thematic concerns reveals much about the culture in which it was produced. In this dissertation then, I argue that the late-medieval household manuscript quite possibly reveals the emergent ethos of the burgesis merchant class.
Chapter Two: Mid-15th Century Medieval Household Manuscripts

The following chapter explores the corpus of composite manuscripts found in late-medieval England and closes with a study of the British Library manuscript, Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1. The cultural significance of this manuscript is discernible not only through its varied contents (see Table 1), but by its mode of production and stylized structure. Manuscripts of similar nature have been identified as late-medieval English household miscellanies; typical examples include the “CUL MS Ff. 2.38, Rate’s collection in Bodleian MS Ashmole 61, and the two ‘Thornton’ manuscripts (Lincoln Cathedral Library, MS 91 and BL Add. MS 31042).”\(^{15}\)

In their list of identified miscellanies, Boffey and Thompson also suggest that “perhaps the BL MS Cotton Caligula A.ii” (emphasis mine)\(^{16}\) should be included. This tentative inclusion of the Caligula brings its categorization into question. This study, then, will establish that the Caligula manuscript should indeed be considered a household manuscript and read through that lens.\(^{17}\)

\(^{15}\) Boffey and Thompson, “Anthologies and Miscellanies,” 297.

\(^{16}\) Ibid.

\(^{17}\) Before the household book category, these manuscripts were often referred to as Romance miscellanies (Riddy, *Malory*, 16-17), as romances are their dominant text.
This study will also argue that late-medieval household manuscripts are cultural phenomena that bear witness to a possible emergent ethos of the urban mercantile *familia*, and that analysis of these manuscripts leads to a better understanding of the social-domestic composition and ideologies of their particular readership. In order to do so, texts contained in the Caligula manuscript will be read alongside and against one another. This thematic, intertextual, and ideological exploration will further our understanding of the function of the household manuscript and the interests and thus perhaps the ethos of its mercantile-*burgeis* audience.

These texts promote a model of domesticity and indicate the presence of the whole *familia*, which extends beyond the nuclear family to include other members of the household, such as relatives, apprentices, and servants (as will be discussed in greater detail in Chapter Three).\(^\text{18}\) More specifically, the larger reading and listening audience would include household members from infancy (*infantia*) to childhood (*pueritia*) through adolescence (*adolescentia*).\(^\text{19}\) As demonstrated through the presence of texts aimed specifically at children, as well the excision of age-inappropriate elements in texts throughout the larger manuscript, the Caligula

---


\(^{19}\) Orme, *Medieval Children*, 7.
manuscript is sensitive to a child audience. My reading, then, diametrically opposes earlier positions held by scholars such as Phillippe Ariès, who argued that “the idea of childhood did not exist” in medieval society.20 While contemporary historians of the Middle Ages have successfully refuted Ariès’s thesis, some children’s literary scholars continue to perpetuate the notion of an absent childhood. As late as 1996, for instance, an essay entitled “Defining Children’s Literature” argues that because of the high mortality rate and because “poverty and subsistence were the norm (that is until the eighteenth century), childhood as a protected stage was not possible. In medieval times, there was little concept of childhood.”21 While medievalists seldom subscribe to such a simplistic and extreme position, they have thus far closely circumscribed childhood by viewing children’s relevance to literary production only in terms of didactic and conduct literature, and not within a larger manuscript context. That a significant number of texts, spanning several genres, in the Caligula manuscript have been consciously altered to be suitable for a child audience is an important and intriguing discovery that

---


will be explored in the course of this dissertation. An additional unique feature which positions Caligula A.ii as household reading is the inclusion of the romance *Emare*; not only is this text unique to this manuscript, but it is one of the few English medieval romances with a female protagonist (another being *Lai le Freine*, an anonymous Middle English romance that survives only in the famous Auchinleck manuscript from the early-fourteenth century). Similarly, the Caligula manuscript also holds a number of female-centered texts, such as *Sussan* (=‘The Pistill of Susan’) and *Sir Eglamour*. The inclusion of texts where child and female agency are portrayed supports the argument that the Caligula is a household manuscript that would have served as suitable material for all household members, and encourages new discussions relating to domesticity and gendered ideologies.

**Composite Manuscripts**

Those manuscripts that survive from the medieval period bear traces of the social, commercial, and intellectual systems at work in the moments of their production. They present a multitude of configurations

---

22 Riddy, “Middle English Romance: Family, Marriage, Intimacy,” 244.

on account of the diversity of resources, needs, and uses amongst readers from different occupations, social classes, and levels of education.24

Some manuscripts, such as the famous Ellesmere (Huntington Library MS EL 26 C9), composed entirely of Chaucer’s *Canterbury Tales*, are made up of a single text.25 Other manuscripts, such as the Lincoln Cathedral MS 91, called the Lincoln Thornton MS, which primarily contains romances, amass texts from a single genre. The composite manuscript, in contrast, is made up of an assortment of texts. The earliest and most prolific example of a composite text where independent books were copied and bound together is, of course, the Bible. The long history of its development, from the Torah to the Vulgate and on into the many versions of scripture since the Reformation, offers an enduring and influential expression of a composition of texts forming an organic whole.

24 By 1373, the production of books for commerce was regulated in London by the Limners Gild. By the end of the fourteenth century, increasing demand for books, together with cheaper costs of production, made books increasingly more accessible. Parkes notes the value of several books in the fourteenth century, including several volumes of romance in the Duke of Gloucester’s library inventory estimated “at between 6d and a couple of shillings each,” as well as “the stocks of two grocers who became bankrupt in the 1390s.” These included “four books of romance valued at a total of 11s 4d, two books in English valued at 8d, a calendar worth 8d, and a primer worth 16d” (Parkes, *Scribes, Scripts and Readers*, 287).

25 The following description is from Woodward and Stevens’ *The New Ellesmere Chaucer Facsimile*: “This manuscript was probably produced soon after 1400. It contains 240 parchment leaves, 232 of which are the text of the *Canterbury Tales*. The remaining eight leaves were originally blank, lined pages that now contain miscellaneous verses, notes, and scribbles by various persons during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries.” The text of the Ellesmere Chaucer was written by one scribe in an English style cursive script whom Linne Mooney has identified as Adam Pinkhurst (Mooney, “Chaucer’s Scribe,” 97).
The very term “Bible” expresses this multiplicity in unity. It derives from the title given to the Septuagint, which meant “the books” but has come, in effect, to mean “the Book.” It gathers into a whole many texts of many genres: family saga, law code, creation myth, epic, wisdom literature, hymn, personal prayer, love song, chronicle, dynastic history, prophesy, and lament. The New Testament adds gospel, epistle, history, and apocalypse. These collections evolved over the centuries to become the codified composite canon; thus, the central and most authoritative book of the Middle Ages was itself a most exceedingly diverse composite. In the late-fourteenth century, John Wyclif’s followers translated the Bible from Latin into English. While this is often associated with the Lollard movement, the larger social implication was an increase in lay literacy.  

Harvey J. Graff argues that “John Wyclif’s strategy involved translating the Bible from the Latin Vulgate into easy vernacular English and disseminating it to the people.”

A survey of the composite manuscript corpus from fifteenth-century England yields a diverse range of books, yet these can be understood to form four distinct groups based on patronage; composite manuscripts can be understood as monastic, governmental, educational, or domestic.

---


Monastic Manuscripts

Most composite books from this period were made for use in the liturgical life of monasteries. Some were used in the celebration of the Mass and others in the requirements of Divine Office. Mass was celebrated in all churches and monasteries on a daily basis. However, on important feast days there could be two or three such celebrations.

Services consisted of a combination of psalms, prayers, readings, antiphons and versicles, and responses. The missal, the most important book used for Mass services, typically contained the full range of texts said and sung at Mass. Newberry Library MS 7, for instance, is a missal containing Eucharistic prayers to be recited by the celebrant, along with the liturgy for other church ceremonies performed by a priest, such as baptism and burial. Typical of missals and other liturgical books in general is the inclusion of the ecclesiastical calendar in prefatory position, which served

---

28 For a comprehensive survey and analysis of books used in the liturgy, see Hughes, Medieval Manuscripts for Mass and Office.

29 Clements and Graham, Introduction to Manuscript Studies, 192.

30 Ibid.

31 Ibid., 193.

32 For an account of calendars in liturgical books, see Pickering, The Calendar Pages.
as a useful way to determine one’s place in the church year and what commemorations of saints or events in the life of Christ were at hand.\textsuperscript{33}

Divine Office refers to those prayer services rooted in the Benedictine order that became interwoven into the general life of the later medieval church not only among other monastic and mendicant orders, but also in non-monastic churches. In monasteries, the Office included a total of eight services, punctuating the day from early morning to late evening: matins, lauds, prime, terce, sext, none, vespers, and compline.

The principal manuscript for the performance of the Office was the breviary, a composite manuscript, an abbreviated liturgical compendium, which contains a complete range of texts, both said and sung, for all hours;\textsuperscript{34} these texts include psalms, antiphons, hymns, lessons, versicles, and collects, or prayers. Breviaries that contain the music for sung sections are called noted breviaries.\textsuperscript{35} An additional type of manuscript known as the manual (or ritual) supplemented the books used for the Mass and Divine Office. Although the exact contents varied from one manuscript to

\textsuperscript{33} Shailor, \textit{Medieval Book Illustrated}, 160.

\textsuperscript{34} For a full account of monastic celebration of the Office, see the “Introduction” to Volume 6 of Tolhurst, ed., \textit{The Monastic Breviary}.

another, the manual was a handbook intended for use by parish priests when performing liturgical rites, containing a variety of texts for baptism, marriage, visiting the sick, extreme unction, and burial.

**Government Manuscripts**

Similarly, charters had numerous functions, but generally they were documents issued by governmental, civic, or ecclesiastical institutions, attesting to the transfer of property or rights from one individual or group to another. Given the value and importance of these documents, they were often subject to forgery. Innovative methods developed to protect against such fraud resulted in distinctive characteristics, such as chancery script and letter form, the latter of which includes named parties; conveyed purpose of the charter, including prohibitions and punishments; the date; a formulaic ending; and the names of those present at the issuing of the grant. Other marks of authentication, such as subscriptions, signatures, and seals were also commonly used to prevent falsification. Although seals were originally restricted to popes, emperors, and kings, by the

---

36 Ibid., 194.

37 Yale University MS 377 is one such fifteenth-century composite manuscript that houses sermons, letters, and tractates, all of which would have been appreciated by its owners, Augustinian canonesses in Cologne (Shailor, *Medieval Book*, 160).

38 A survey exploring the standardization of use and terms can be found in Boyle’s chapter entitled “Diplomatics,” 82-113.
thirteenth century lesser knights also had them, and by the fifteenth century even common people owned and used them.\(^3^9\)

Before such government and civic documents were issued to their recipients, they were commonly copied into a register so that the issuing authority could maintain public records. Notarial signs, which are often elaborate and based on a cross motif incorporating the letters of the scribe’s name, were also used to provide governmental or institutional authority. Chirographs\(^4^0\) were an additional means to document a formal agreement between two parties. The most important documents that record the history of an institution—charters, papal bulls, letters, wills, and bequests—were often gathered together in codex form; these compilation manuscripts are referred to as “cartularies.” The organizing principles used to arrange the cartulary (chronology, hierarchy, and topography) reveal something about the institution or person that produced it.\(^4^1\)

Educational Manuscripts

An additional group of composite manuscripts are those associated with universities. Schools in medieval times were chiefly attached to

\(^3^9\) See Harvey and McGinness, *British Medieval Seals*.

\(^4^0\) A chirograph is a “document consisting of two or more identical copies written on a single sheet of parchment. The document was divided with either a straight or a jagged cut through the word *chirographum*, which was written across the sheet, between the two copies. One copy would be given to each of the parties involved in agreement. The document could then be verified by bringing the two documents together again” (Clements and Graham, *Introduction to Manuscript Studies*, 264).

\(^4^1\) Clement, “A Survey of Antique,” 238.
religious houses—cathedrals, monasteries, and collegiate churches. The Latin education offered at these sites to aristocratic and upper gentry boys and male youth often led to ecclesiastical careers, but could also benefit those bound for lives as merchants, administrators, gentlemen, and noblemen.\textsuperscript{42} This education began with “the child,” as John Wyclif observed around 1378, who “first learn[ed] the alphabet, second to spell, third to read, and fourth to understand.”\textsuperscript{43} Surviving manuscript evidence for the type of education a child received substantiates Wyclif’s statement. As Nicholas Orme explains, the alphabet was usually set down for young students in primers in a standardized form: it began with a cross and was followed by a capital “A” and then the rest of the alphabet; after the Latin characters, there often appeared the customary abbreviations for \textit{et} and \textit{con}, three dots, or “titles,” and the words \textit{est amen}.
\textsuperscript{44} Next, following the alphabet, most primers presented prayers, such as the Lord’s Prayer, the Hail Mary, and the Creed, in Latin, and would then continue on with miscellaneous didactic material.\textsuperscript{45} “Most readers,” notes Orme, “began as Latin scholars, and seem to have read their earliest texts in that

\begin{itemize}
\item[$\textsuperscript{42}$] Orme, \textit{Medieval Children}, 240.
\item[$\textsuperscript{43}$] Wyclif, \textit{De Veritate Sacrae Scripturae}, I, 44.
\item[$\textsuperscript{44}$] Rust, “\textit{ABC},” 65.
\item[$\textsuperscript{45}$] Ibid., 65.
\end{itemize}
language.”46 In addition to the existence of primers, notebooks believed to have been used in grammar schools still exist today. These notebooks chronicle the ABCs and various prayers in Latin, but they also preserve student jottings of rhymes and poems in English. Soon after learning the alphabet, many children would meet with material in their spoken language, whether French or English.47

As most schools were limited to upper class boys, girls were generally educated at home.48 Nunneries often boarded a small number of girls, those of gentry or merchant status, and these children too were probably taught to read elementary Latin, French, or English.49 Evidence of female education occurring in nunneries in England is primarily supported through the high incidence of largely female- and child-centered texts recovered from those same sites, a pointed example being the famous Vernon manuscript. Felicity Riddy argues that the “Vernon manuscript seems to provide substantial evidence for the existence of a certain kind of

46 Orme, Medieval Children, 264.

47 Ibid., 264.


49 Orme, Medieval Children, 240.
female readership.”

Derek Pearsall summarizes this kind of critical scholarship on this manuscript, contending that the Vernon’s emphasis on female characters suggests the intended audience was a group of devout women, either nuns or lay women, and quite likely associated with a nunnery. In his seminal work *Medieval Households*, David Herlihy argues that whether as devouts or as mothers, medieval women were often the primary teachers of children.

**Domestic Manuscripts**

In his article “Learning to Read: The Role of Mothers,” M. T. Clanchy argues that mothers were in fact the primary teachers of their daughters. Thus, those manuscripts found in the household could reasonably be the means mothers used to teach their children to read. The household book has been referred to as a “library in parvo,” as it functioned as a multi-type collection reflecting the interests and concerns of the compiler. Some of the texts contained within the boards of household

---


51 Pearsall, *Studies in the Vernon Manuscripts*, x. Both Baugh and Savajaara hold that the manuscript was written in a Cistercian scriptorium, perhaps in North Worcestershire (Guddat-Figge, 277).


books were intended overtly for edification, including both spiritual and practical teachings. The spiritual texts collected were often lyrics, prayers, and accounts of saints’ lives. Practical advice for edification often took the form of courtesy and didactic texts. Entertainment found in romance texts, which “formed the staple of the household manuscript,” however, also served a didactic purpose, more subtly. While some scholarship has identified these manuscripts as household and some work has been completed in reading these texts, little scholarship has considered the individual texts within their larger manuscript context.

**Household Manuscripts**

As eclectic collections, household manuscripts served their owners by being comprehensive, accessible texts for education, edification, and entertainment. These manuscripts were written for, and read within, a lay domestic milieu, rather than in a convent, monastery, or church. Because the domestic space was the site for day-to-day living, intimacy, social interaction, learning, and work, the domestic milieu (the *familia*) extended beyond the nuclear family to include other members of the household,

---


56 For identification of household manuscripts as well as their use within lay domestic sites and practices of lay literacy see Meale, “‘Gode Men/Wiues Maydnes,’” 209-25; Parkes, “Literacy of the Laity,” 555-777; Riddy, *Sir Thomas Malory*, 16-23.
such as relatives, apprentices, and servants, within the readership, or audience, of these manuscripts. Both the choice of text and its placement within the composite manuscript, then, reflect the concerns and domestic values of the owner. Indeed, the selection of texts contained within the boards of these books suggests they were used for a variety of purposes and quite likely by a variety of persons. While no two households are ever identical, examining the range of texts reveals a typology (a categorical genre) that is consistent within each of these manuscripts. As this research will show, household manuscripts often share texts or at the least contain the same types and selections of texts. In as much as the individual manuscripts vary, then, manuscripts of this nature nevertheless share common thematic concerns and interests.

Contents and Genre

There has been a shift in contemporary scholarship such that medieval texts are no longer read in isolation, but through and in relation to their larger manuscript context. For many years scholars analysed particular texts and genres of texts, but it is only within the last ten years or

57 Goldberg, Medieval England, 14. For an additional lucid and compelling engagement on mixed household dynamics, see Riddy’s “Authority and Intimacy,” 216.

58 See, for example, several recent collections of essays such as that edited by Hardman, Medieval and Early Modern Miscellanies and Anthologies; Nichols and Wenzel’s anthology, The Whole Book: Cultural Perspectives on the Medieval Miscellany; Fein’s Studies in the Harley Manuscript: The Scribes, Contents, and Social Contexts of British Library MS Harley 2253; as well as Evans’ Rereading Middle English Romance: Manuscript Layout, Decoration, and the Rhetoric of Composite Structure.
so, that the household manuscript has been recognized as an accurate and worthwhile classification. In 1964, Robbins noted the general character of composite books, observing that “these books have this much in common: in addition to practical items they generally contain a few romances (for light reading), moral precepts in verse (to help bring up the children), and a few proverbial sayings.”

More recently in 1994, Carol M. Meale argued that “romances formed a staple ingredient in many late-medieval ‘household’ books, some of which, such as Bodleian Library Ashmole MS 61, may have had a mercantile readership; other collections of this kind are Aberystwyth, National Library of Wales Porkington MS 10 [renamed Brogyntyn ii.1], and Cambridge University Library Ff.2.38.”

Meale’s use of quotation marks around “household” suggests that household manuscripts were not yet fully recognized as a category within the composite manuscript genre. Some twenty-years after Robbins’ assertion, Boffey and Thompson confidently assert: “Household miscellanies” contain an “extraordinarily diverse collection of moral, medical, scientific and parodic items… most of which might be found in an educated

---


60 Meale, “Gode men/Wiues Maydnes,” 221.
laymen’s private library.” They further assert, echoing Meale’s earlier reflection, that “romance narratives seem to have remained the staple diet” of composite manuscripts prepared for private homes.

That romance texts form the dominant element in these household manuscripts suggests that most household books could be detected through collective catalogue works, such as Guddat-Figge’s Catalogue of Manuscripts Containing Middle English Romances and J. Burke Severs’ A Manual of the Writings in Middle English 1050-1500: Romances. While these catalogues are potentially useful for identifying household manuscripts, such catalogues come with their own challenges. Indeed there are manuscripts the contents of which partially correspond to the household book but do not contain romances, such as British Library, Sloane MS 1986. Moreover, while these catalogues are useful for identifying the presence of romance texts in manuscripts, they do not identify whether these are specific to “household books.” That Guddat-Figge’s catalogue excludes manuscripts that contain romances by Chaucer, Lydgate, and Malory is a

---

61 Boffey and Thompson, “Anthologies and Miscellanies,” 294.

62 Ibid., 292.

63 Guddat-Figge, Catalogue of Manuscripts Containing Middle English Romances; and Severs, A Manual of the Writings in Middle English 1050-1500.
Moreover, Guddat-Figge defines a “type” of manuscript as “determined by the most prominent feature of the manuscript,” which refers either to its form or its contents, for example the commonplace book and the holster book. As this dissertation will show, the delineation of categories is an important and useful undertaking, although this practice is not without its own inherent challenges.65

**Compilation and Production**

In addition to the content of each manuscript spanning several genres, household composite manuscripts are further identified by specific physical characteristics: compilation and production. As Pearsall asserts, “[t]he methods of compilers and manuscript editors of all kinds, whether amateur or professional, need to be studied if we are to understand the readership assumed for the literary works contained in their collections.”66 Composite manuscripts were formed by compiling and binding booklets that would have, in the first instance, circulated independently. According to P. R. Robinson, who in 1972 first applied the term “booklet” to codicological studies, a booklet consists of a single or several quires: its content was complete unto itself (it could function as an independent unit)

---

64 Guddat-Figge, *Catalogue*, 17.

65 Ibid., 18.

and was the work of one scribe. After a short period of independent circulation, the booklet was bound together with other existing booklets or newly-written quires, thus forming a composite manuscript. These once-separate constituents of composite manuscripts are still distinct today, although most of the manuscripts in which they appear have been subject to modern re-binding. For example, the Caligula manuscript folios 111v – 124r, which contain The Sege of Jerusalem, shows evidence of greater use when compared to other folios within the manuscript; the marked soiling of its outer folios suggests that it survived unbound and was possibly subjected to greasy fingers, smoke, and dust as it was handled and read as an independent unit.

Another discernible feature specific to composite manuscripts is the common presence of extra folios flanking individual texts. These can be attributed to the common scribal practice of maintaining the flyleaves (blank folios) from when the text first circulated as an independent booklet. This is clearly visible in Yale University, Beinecke MS 377. Throughout the manuscript, the outer and inner conjugate leaves of each quire are of


68 As cited by Guddat-Figge, Catalogue, 18; and more recently, Hanna, “Booklets,” 100-111.
parchment but the remainder are of paper. Over the years, the manuscript’s owner(s) and reader(s) often used these blank folios as spaces for writing out various items, such as recipes, prayers, and short poems. Similar examples can be found in the Caligula manuscript, folio 13v where, in a much later hand, four medical recipes have been copied onto this once blank folio, and in NLS MS Advocates 19.3.1, where the once-blank folio 173v contains a Memorandum on Household Expenses and folio 211v contains a Prescription. Such additions provide further evidence and examples of household use.

As Boffey and Thompson argue, the advantages of working in booklet form were many; there certainly would have been a number of practical benefits. For professionally produced composite manuscripts, scribes could work separately but simultaneously on texts that were later to be bound together. Book owners could then amass texts individually as they were completed—hypothetically the cost could have been piecemeal and therefore more attainable—while also ensuring quicker access to individual texts that otherwise would have been involved in a long

---


70 Hardman, The Heege Manuscript, iv.
process.\textsuperscript{71} The life of convenient, accessible booklets could be quite short if they were not handled with care. As discussed above, their use directly impacted their longevity, and, given the evidence, it is reasonable to assume that many unbound booklets did not survive beyond the late Middle Ages.\textsuperscript{72} Testamentary evidence supports not only their existence, but also their worth.\textsuperscript{73} One can glean the owners’ perceived valuations of these booklets through their presence in medieval wills.\textsuperscript{74} In such inventories and wills, booklets are commonly referred to as small, unbound “paper books.”\textsuperscript{75} For example, in his 1408 will Gilemota [Wilmot] Carrek from York bequeathed the following: “to Alice, daughter of William Bows an English paper book of the ‘The Spirit of Guy’ and a French book of ‘Barlaham and Josephath.’”\textsuperscript{76} Bequests such as these not only provide us with further evidence of individual use, but also indicate

\textsuperscript{71} Boffey and Thompson, “Anthologies and Miscellanies,” 290, 295.

\textsuperscript{72} Ibid., 290.

\textsuperscript{73} Erler, Women, Reading, and Piety, 3.

\textsuperscript{74} Boffey and Thompson, “Anthologies and Miscellanies,” 290.

\textsuperscript{75} For an assemblage of medieval wills which mention manuscripts, see Plomer, “Books Mentioned in Wills,” 115. See also Meale’s “Laywomen and their books,” 130-36. For patterns of book-giving among nuns and devout gentlewomen in late fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, see Riddy’s “Women talking about the things of God,” 104-127.

the perceived value of these books as only esteemed items are usually mentioned in wills.\textsuperscript{77}

Other physical attributes can help elucidate the manuscript’s genesis and its level of production. A prominent feature of distinction is the assessment of the scribal hand. It is often quite easy to assess hands if they are at either end of the spectrum between amateur or professional; that is if they are either messy, inconsistent hands or a consistent, tidy script. It is much more difficult to make such distinctions if the hand is somewhere in the middle. Similarly, a diversity of watermarks within a composite manuscript can indicate not only foliation, but sometimes provenance as well. Parker, for example, notes the presence of five different watermarks (with variation within the gatherings themselves) to argue that the BL Egerton 1995 is a professional production. He surmises that given the large stock of paper from which it is composed, the Egerton 1995 was probably made at a stationers or a bookshop.\textsuperscript{78}

Household manuscripts, then, can be read as the articulation of cultural phenomena. Yet such reading and interpretation is complicated. In an effort to succinctly describe differences between such composite manuscripts, contemporary scholars have assigned two sub-categories:

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{77} Goldberg, “The Evidence of Wills,” 181.
  \item \textsuperscript{78} Parker, \textit{Commonplace Book}, 19-20.
\end{itemize}
miscellany or anthology on the one hand, and commonplace book on the other. While these categories are useful and important they are frequently misapplied and are often used interchangeably.\textsuperscript{79}

\textbf{Debating the Classification}

As Cameron Louis has argued, the term commonplace book “has been used with a great lack of inhibition in library catalogues and scholarly articles as a catch-all for any manuscript of a miscellaneous nature.”\textsuperscript{80} Although there are basic differences that distinguish miscellanies and commonplace books, in contemporary scholarship these distinctions have been entangled in a web of discursive debate and misapplication.\textsuperscript{81} For example, R. H. Robbins applied the term “commonplace book” to a number of manuscripts, but later Guddat-Figge excludes two of these same manuscripts, namely the Laud Misc. 23 and the Sloan 3215, from this classification in her \textit{Catalogue of MSS Containing M.E. Romances}. That these two classifications are often confused and inaccurately assigned is also visible in the assessments of the NLW MS Brogyntyn ii.1 (formerly Porkington 10) manuscript; one scholar describes the Brogyntyn ii.1 as a

\textsuperscript{79} Boffey and Thompson, “Anthologies and Miscellanies,” 279.

\textsuperscript{80} Louis, \textit{Commonplace Book of Robert Reynes of Acle}, 100.

\textsuperscript{81} Note that the anthology classification is not differentiated here; this is due to the continued conflation of miscellany and anthology within scholarship. Therefore miscellany and anthology both address the same type of manuscript in contrast to the commonplace book.
commonplace book, while another refers to it as a miscellany. 82 Similarly, BL MS Egerton 1995 has been regarded as a miscellany by most scholars, although one has declared it to be a commonplace book. 83

In its etymology “miscellany” stems from the classical Latin miscellanea, meaning “a collection of writings” and on through to Middle French miscellanées. Miscellany appears to have had been commonly used in England in the late Middle Ages and early Renaissance; for example, according to the OED, miscellany appears in 1571: “The poore mans librarie... Here are adjoyned... certain... annotations which may properly be called miscellanea.” 84 The origin of “anthology” is similar; it stems from the Greek anthologia (anthos meaning “flower”) and logia meaning “collection” (from legein, “gather”). In Greek, the word originally denoted a collection of the “flowers” of verse, i.e. small poems, epigrams written by several authors. 85 Like “miscellany,” “anthology” further evolved in the medieval Latin and French lexicon. Since the origins and continued use of “miscellany and “anthology” overlap, they will be used interchangeably

82 Rigg, A Glastonbury Miscellany, 26; Huws, “MS Porkington 10,” 187.

83 Parker, Commonplace Book, 3; Guddat-Figge, Catalogue, 27


for this thesis; however, “miscellany” or “anthology” will be differentiated from “commonplace books.”

It is unclear as to where the term “commonplace book” originated and whether it had any currency in everyday usage during the medieval period. What is known is that the commonplace book is described, from its earliest example in the *OED* in 1578, as a book to write down commonplace sayings or phrases, meaning that it is a “book of commonplaces” rather than an “ordinary” or “household” book. As Guddat-Figge argues, “the term ‘commonplace book’ has long been current in medieval scholarship.” In 1908 Roman Dyboski, writing about Richard Hill’s commonplace book (Oxford Balliol College MS 354), gave the first extended definition of the form:

Richard Hill’s manuscript is an interesting specimen of a type very common, when books were dear and scarce, chiefly from the fifteenth to the seventeenth century... *The household book* called “en chiridium” by the humanists, “silva rerum” in some continental countries, and “commonplace book” in England—into which were entered, firstly poems and songs which struck a man

---


as worth transcribing and preserving for family use, and
secondly, notes of a most varied character on anything of interest
he came across: encyclopaedic scraps of useful knowledge,
tracts, commercial and statistical dates and tables, medical and
other receipts, puzzles and tricks for amusement, records of
important events, public and private, and the like.\textsuperscript{88} (emphasis
mine)

A. G. Rigg’s assessment, for example, pays particular attention to the
function of these collections, stressing that they were “intended simply for
the interest and amusement of the compiler”; therefore, he excludes
devotional collections, “aureate” manuscripts, and collections whose
contents were likely to have been planned.\textsuperscript{89} Parker proposes

that what separates a commonplace book from anthologies or
miscellanies produced for a larger audience is the discernibly
personal selection and combination of texts for the book. The

\begin{footnotes}
\item[88] Dyboski, ed. \textit{Songs, Carols}, xvii.
\item[89] Rigg, \textit{Glastonbury Miscellany}, 24-25, specifically citing those compiled by John Shirley and Robert Thorton, and the Franciscan, John Grimestone.
\end{footnotes}
idiosyncratic nature and content of each book is what separates it from more generic miscellaneous volumes.\textsuperscript{90}

The commonplace book is more centered on personal concerns. Thus, the classification of these manuscripts in consideration of their physical constitution and their contents has been and continues to be the subject of much lively debate.\textsuperscript{91}

Apart from content, Guddat-Figge privileges the physical aspects of the manuscript in determining its classification. She argues that the “unsymmetrical nature” of the compilations, the “chance character” of entries, and finally the impression of “informality” should be the primary indicators of a commonplace manuscript.\textsuperscript{92} Commonplace books, she proceeds to specify are “paper manuscripts of no more than medium size” and generally absent of preplanning indicators; that is, pages are “without ruling, margins not marked or written through. Neither signatures nor

\textsuperscript{90} Parker, \textit{Commonplace Book}, 2.

\textsuperscript{91} Most recently, in 2011, Richard Beadle and Colin Burrow published a collection of essay entitled \textit{Manuscript Miscellanies c. 1450-1700} where the various authors continue to wrestle with the very nature of miscellanies—“their nature, raison d’être, and uses, their compilers (both men and women), their compilations procedures, and the political, social and cultural networks in which they were produced” (Beadle and Burrow). In 2003 Phillipa Hardman edited a similar collection entitled \textit{Medieval and Early Modern Miscellanies and Anthologies} and in 1996 Stephen Nichols and Siegfried Wenzel put together a collection of critical essays entitled \textit{The Whole Book: Cultural Perspectives on the Medieval Miscellany}.

\textsuperscript{92} Guddat-Figge, \textit{Catalogue}, 27.
catchwords appear at the beginning or end of quires.”93 Like Dyboski, she further notes “the owner entered his texts, mostly in very informal handwriting, frequently using the pages to the last square inch of margin and corners.”94 It is generally believed that Hill’s commonplace book was filled in chronologically over thirty-some years.95 Building upon the earlier work of Dyboski and Guddat-Figge, Parker argues in his Commonplace Book in Tudor London the mode of production is a distinguishing feature; that is, whether the manuscript was professionally copied or transcribed by the patron is one of the attributes that set apart commonplace books from other types of manuscripts.96

Extending on the work of P. R. Robinson, in his article “Booklets in Medieval Manuscripts,” Ralph Hanna III begins to problematize the past criteria used to distinguish between the subcategories of composite manuscripts.97 He sets out by synthesizing the part of the debate oscillating around the conceptual intent of the manuscript, and more specifically challenging whether booklets had been “conceived and produced as single volumes or merely reflect the eclectic tastes of the

93 Ibid., 26.
94 Ibid.
95 Parker, Commonplace Book, 95.
96 Ibid., 17.
Thus, Hanna argues that scholars need to consider the independent circulation of booklets before formal binding. If they were produced for eventual inclusion in what would become a household book, then pre-planned intent is implied. That is, one needs to establish whether the booklet was conceived as a basic unit complete unto itself or whether the booklet was conceived to form a unit within the whole codex. Subsequently, the implication of this distinction would be a determining factor in whether a household manuscript should be considered a miscellany or a commonplace book.

The distinctions, then, between miscellany and commonplace books are in the execution, formality, and contents. The miscellany is a formal collection of works: it is written by a scribe, is pre-planned, and maintains visual uniformity, including running titles and catch words. Commonplace books, in contrast, are often comprised of blank folios bound together and filled in at will. Typically, commonplace books are the production of the home-owner and are written in his hand. While the owner may also have transcribed romances and material for edification among other texts, these books often record everyday household concerns, such as household expenses and records of births, deaths, and marriages.

---

Recent scholarship by Meale, Boffey and Thompson, Riddy, Sporran, Hardman, and others has identified the following collections as household manuscripts: The National Library of Wales MS Brogyntyn ii.1; National Library of Scotland, MS Advocates 19.3.1; Cambridge University Library MS Ff. 2.38; Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Ashmole 61; British Library MS Egerton 1995; and British Library MS Cotton Caligula A.ii. In an effort to provide contextualization and further awareness as to the general look of household manuscripts, the following is a brief description of each manuscript identified as a household collection:

I. The National Library of Wales MS Brogyntyn ii.1 (formerly Porkington 10)

The National Library of Wales MS Brogyntyn ii.1 is similar to other identified household manuscripts. This volume was originally two separate manuscripts bound together. Part I ca. 1463 contains scientific material – mostly astronomical – in a popularized form, written in English. Part II, 1453-1500, contains Sir Gawain and the Carle of Carlisle, along with love poems, religious lyrics, carols, debates, hunting terms, and ten medical recipes.\(^99\) Based on linguistic evidence, this manuscript can be located in the West Midlands.\(^100\) Kurvinen was the first to identify the nineteen scribes who worked on the manuscript, and Guddat-Figge and other

---

\(^99\) Guddat-Figge, *Catalogue*, 73, 77.

\(^100\) Ibid., 73.
scholars now accept this as fact.\textsuperscript{101} The implication of this is, of course, that the manuscript was added to many times over the course of the years. This could be a practical explanation for the Brogyntyn ii.1’s diversity of texts. Indeed, this manuscript has a larger breadth in terms of the types of works in its contents than other household manuscripts. Another mark of distinction resides in the fact that it contains only one romance.

Scholars disagree on the classification of this manuscript: Guddat-Figge cites it as a commonplace book, while Huws argues it to be a miscellany.\textsuperscript{102} Given that it is “ruled, pricked, margins marked” (by Guddat-Figge’s own assessment) and that it contains a few texts in Latin, while uncommon in household manuscripts generally, Latin tags are sometimes used in miscellanies, I align myself with Huws’ assessment and will therefore consider the Brogyntyn ii.1 to be a miscellany, in accordance with the parameters of this study.

\textsuperscript{101} Kurvinen, “MS Porkington 10,” 348-71; Guddat-Figge, Catalogue, 74.

\textsuperscript{102} Guddat-Figge, Catalogue, 27, 74; Huws, “MS Porkington 10,” 188.
II. Edinburgh, National Library of Scotland, MS Advocates 19.3.1

This is a paper manuscript from the mid- to late-fifteenth century, measuring 8 by 5 ½ inches. Two main scribes worked on the Advocates 19.3.1. Heege seems to have been “the main scribe, he frequently names himself as ‘Heege’ (fols. 60v, 67v)”\(^\text{103}\) while Haughtton appears to have followed, filling in empty spaces where needed.\(^\text{104}\) Both Turville-Petre and Kurvinen have located the origins of this manuscript in the north Midlands.\(^\text{105}\) Turville-Petre further argues that Advocates 19.3.1 is associated with the Sherbrooke family of Oxton in Nottinghamshire.\(^\text{106}\)

The Advocates 19.3.1 is, as Philippa Hardman asserts, a small library unto itself.\(^\text{107}\) Vast in the breadth of its contents, it is still highly symmetrical: the first half of the manuscript is an anthology of humorous pieces, including a mock sermon in prose, a long burlesque tale of a hunt, an edifying saint’s life in prose, and three romances. The second half contains Tundale’s vision of *Hell, Purgatory And Heave*, Lychefelde’s poem of Christ’s words to man, and a portion of Lydgate’s *Lyfe of Oure Lady*.

\(^{103}\) Boffey and Thompson, “Anthologies and Miscellanies,” 295.

\(^{104}\) Guddat-Figgge, *Catalogue*, 73.


\(^{106}\) Turville-Petre, 137.

\(^{107}\) Hardman, *Heege Manuscript*, 262.
Given its diverse contents and tidy, unified appearance Advocates 19.3.1 is considered to be a miscellany.

III. Cambridge University Library MS Ff. 2.38

The CUL Ff. 2.38 is a manuscript dating from the late fifteenth century. Its contents are written entirely in English and consist of romances (The Erle of Tolous, Le Bone Florence of Rome, Guy of Warwick, and Octavian), religious and didactic verse and prose, and a collection of tales called The Seven Sages of Rome. Of its contents McSparran writes: “religious material has been combined with items stressing the domestic virtues and practical wisdom, and with popular romances which are pious, lively and full of incidents and marvel.” 108 It is a homogeneous collection of two booklets produced by the same scribe. Its homogeneity is reflected in the uniform layout and presentation of its contents. 109 Moreover, the scribe imposed a “standard layout throughout the book which disguises any differences there may have been between the several exemplars he must have obtained to form this compilation.” 110

This is a fairly large manuscript measuring 297 by 210 mm and comprising 247 paper leaves foliated by Henry Bradshaw, University

108 McSparran, Octovian, vii.

109 Robinson, Of the Making of Books, xii.

110 Ibid., xiii.
Librarian 1867-86. This is the foliation in current use, which allows for the missing leaves (ff. 1-2, 22-7, 141, 144, and 157-60). Given these features, MS Ff. 2.38 is a miscellany.

IV. Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Ashmole 61

The Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Ashmole 61 is a paper manuscript dated by watermark evidence to c. 1479-1488 and written by a scribe who called himself Rat(h)e. Throughout its 161 leaves, the MS Ashmole 61’s textual composition is similar to a commonplace book, but it is often regarded as a holster book. The term “holster book” is representative of specific physical characteristics. G. S. Ivy is among the first to use the term “holster book”; he writes, “[t]he book [Cambridge, Trinity College MS 0.9.38] measures approximately 11 by 4 inches [415 x 137 mm.] and is therefore of a suitable shape for carrying in a holster. We sometimes find account-books of this shape, but ‘literary’ holster-books are rare.” The MS Ashmole 61 is indeed this type of holster rarity.

Sewn between its very tall and narrow boards is a diverse assortment of texts: courtesy texts like *Stans Puer ad Mensam, Lyttyl Childrens Book*, and the

---

111 Robinson and Zim, *Of the Making of Books*, xiii.


satiric *Ram’s Horn*, religious items such as the *Legend of St. Margaret* and three romances—the *Earl of Tolous, Sir Cleges*, and *Sir Orfeo*. There is another interesting aspect to these holster books; as Guddat-Figge contends, they are of “special interest because speculations about the spreading and passing-on of romances by the minstrels are connected with it.”\(^{114}\) In fact, not only were they easy to carry, but also they seem to have been particularly suited for oral recitation.\(^{115}\) Therefore, the holster book may have played a crucial role in the transmission and dissemination of literary works. For the present study, it is regarded as a commonplace book.

VI. British Library MS Egerton 1995

The BL MS Egerton 1995 is, according to Parker, a “commonplace book.”\(^{116}\) However, it was almost certainly professionally transcribed, and it seems likely that the book was commissioned with the contents exactly specified. Some scholars argue, Guddat-Figge being an example, that a commissioned manuscript cannot be classified as a commonplace book. Based upon its professional appearance and the presence of catchwords (indicating it was preplanned), the MS Egerton 1995, for this dissertation,

\(^{114}\) Guddat-Figge, *Catalogue*, 30.

\(^{115}\) Ibid., 33.

\(^{116}\) Parker, *Commonplace Book*, 17.
will therefore be considered a miscellany. It is a mid-fifteenth century
manuscript, measuring 185 mm x 130 mm.\textsuperscript{117}

Its contents are typical of a medieval household manuscript; it includes
romance texts (\textit{Seven Sages of Rome}); historical documents such as \textit{A Chronicle of
London} (\textit{Gregory's Chronicle}); a short piece concerning the practice of hunting and a
list of hawks, “The Termys of verery and the crafte,” which was followed by “the
namys of hawkys”; alongside medical recipes and prognostication from the weather
in Latin. Parker argues that the “compiler of this manuscript shows a great
concern with things befitting a nobleman… [He] might not have been a part of the
noble class, but his book gives the sense that he would very much like to be and
has assembled this book as a sort of manual of social climbing.”\textsuperscript{118}

\textbf{VII. British Library MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1}

The last manuscript in the sample is the subject of this dissertation, London
British Library MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1. It is a typical example of a late-
medieval household miscellany.

\textit{Cotton Caligula A.ii, ff. iv + 210 + ii} was originally two independent
manuscripts. In the first instance it was \textit{Cotton Vespasian D. VIII}, ca. 1446-
60 and \textit{Vespasian D. XXI}. These were bound together, sometime before

\textsuperscript{117} Guddat-Figge, \textit{Catalogue}, 26.

\textsuperscript{118} Ibid., 26.
1654, and given the new shelf mark Caligula A.ii.\textsuperscript{119} For the purposes of this study, only the first part is considered here (the former Vespasian D. VIII).

This is a paper manuscript 143 folios in length, measuring 210 x 140mm. Remarkably, only one main scribe is believed to have worked on this considerable, yet somewhat spartan, manuscript. John Thompson’s examination of the watermarked paper stocks has led him to cautiously conclude that the Caligula manuscript is made up of seven fifteenth-century quires: Quire 1: ff. x [the now-lost beginning of Susannah] -13; Quire 2: ff. 14-33; Quire 3: ff. 34-55; Quire 4: ff. 56-81; Quire 5: ff. 82-101; Quire 6: ff. 102-119; Quire 7: ff. 120-139.\textsuperscript{120} Linguistically, it is located in the south-east, or south-east Midlands.\textsuperscript{121} Little else is known about the provenance of this manuscript. There is an inscription containing a personal name, “donum Jo. Rogers” (f. 3r), but given the high occurrence of this name this information has proven little use (see Figure 1 below).\textsuperscript{122}

\textsuperscript{119} Thompson, “Cotton Caligula,” 171.

\textsuperscript{120} Ibid., 173-178.

\textsuperscript{121} Guddat-Figge, Catalogue, 169-70. The modern-day geographical reference would be areas including Bedfordshire, Luton, Milton Keynes and Northamptonshire.

\textsuperscript{122} Guddat-Figge, Catalogue, 172.
M. B. Parkes writes of the Caligula manuscript as a “collection of moral and didactic pieces, courtesy poems, and romance, selected and edited... for family reading.”¹²³ More specifically, it contains eight romances, two saints’ lives, several poems by Lydgate, and two texts addressing the moral education of children, namely Urbanitas and Fynd cense. That it was “produced more carefully than comparable manuscripts” suggests that the Caligula manuscript might have been more of a professional production.¹²⁴ It is neat, ordered, and organized; for example, almost every new text begins on a new folio with running titles rubricated in Anglicana Formata. Given these elements, the Caligula manuscript should be regarded as a miscellany.


¹²⁴ Guddat-Figge, Catalogue, 171.
To date there is neither a published facsimile nor a critical scholarly edition of the Caligula A.ii manuscript;\footnote{In December 2012 a PhD dissertation, authored by D. C. White, granted through U of Georgia, includes a diplomatic transcription of the Caligula manuscript, part 1, yet as will be shown in Chapter Four, our transcriptions differ in a number of significant ways.} therefore, for each text contained in the Caligula manuscript, where possible, is a corresponding entry in the Table that follows. These entries provide foliation, type/genre of text, index numbering, and selected published edition(s) according to particular manuscript(s) for each text.
Table 1: Contents of BL Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Foliation</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Selected Published Editions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2. *Sir Eglamour of Artois*  
   4. John W. Hales and Frederick J. Furnivall, eds., Bishop Percy's Folio MS, (1867–68), 338-89. (Eg) |
| 3. Four recipes against the cholic and the gravel | f. 13v (inserted later; rest of page blank) | Recipe | | |
| 4. J. Lydgate: *Fynd cense*  
(unique amalgamation of *Stans puer* and *Dietary*)  
   3. EETS es 107, and os 192, ed. MacCracken, (1911 and 1934), 739-744. |
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|---|---|---|---|---|
| J. Lydgate: *Fynd cense* (Dietary) | ff. 17r–22r | Fable | 1. IMEV. 2784 | 1. Halliwell, 179-93.  
| | | | | II. EETS os 192 (1934) 468-485. (LSD). |
| *The Chorle* (=J. Lydgate’s ‘Churl and Bird’) | ff. 17r–22r | Fable | 1. IMEV. 2784 | 1. Halliwell, 179-93.  
| Beg. "Problemys of old lyknesse & figures  
| Beg. "Jhu. that was wth speystonge  
And for us hard and sore y swounge." | | | | II. Manual 81 |
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<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td><em>Libeaus Desconus</em></td>
<td>ff. 42vb–57r</td>
<td>Romance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beg. &quot;Jhu. Crystour savour And hys modyr that swete flowr.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>i. IMEV. 1690 II. Manual 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>&quot;O mors quam amara est memoria tua&quot; (elegy for the tomb of Lord Cromwell, d. 1454)</td>
<td>ff. 57v–58r</td>
<td>Elegy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beg. &quot;O deth how bytter is the mynde of the That menere art of mornyng and mone.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>i. IMEV. 2411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td><em>The ferst yntroyte of sapiens</em> (paraphrase of the ten commandments; four 8-line set)</td>
<td>f. 58r</td>
<td>Religious lyric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i. IMEV. 3345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i. IMEV. 931 II. Manual (6) 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i. EETS 80 (1900), 2-15. (C).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. J. Lydgate:</td>
<td>Dues in nomine tuo salvum me fac (=God in thy name make me safe and sounde, written in English)</td>
<td>ff. 64v-65r</td>
<td>Religious lyric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. For be better abyde (=Counsels of Prudence and Patience)</td>
<td>Beg &quot;I see a ryban rych and newe/Wyth stones and perles ryally pyght.&quot;</td>
<td>ff. 67r-67v</td>
<td>Religious Lyric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. All way fond to say be best</td>
<td>Beg. &quot;The grete God full of grace Of whom all goodnesse grew and gan.&quot;</td>
<td>f. 68r</td>
<td>Religious lyric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Ponke god of all</td>
<td>Beg. &quot;By a way wandryng as y wente /Well sore I sorowed for sykyng sad.&quot;</td>
<td>f. 68v</td>
<td>Religious lyric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beg. &quot;By a wylde wodes syde As I walked myself alone.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Confession of Sins</td>
<td>ff. 69v–70r</td>
<td>Confession</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II. EETS 184 (1931), 50-4. (B).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beg. &quot;Jhu. that ys kyng in trone, As that shoope bothe sone and mone.&quot; Chaucer appears to have been indebted to this romance for his Man of Law's tale. [Ritson's E. M. R. ii.]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II. EETS es 99, ed. Rickert, (1908, rpt 1958).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beg. &quot;Who so wyll on rede thys boke, And with hys gostlye ye thereon loke.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II. EETS 117 (1900), 637-57 (H).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III. Boffey &amp; Edwards, MA, 72, (2003), 55.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Beginning</th>
<th>Page Range</th>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Table 1: Contents of BL Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1 Continued</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>28. Quindecim signa</strong> (Fifteen signs before the Day of Judgement)</td>
<td>ff. 89\textsuperscript{rb}–91\textsuperscript{th}</td>
<td>Religious Lyric</td>
<td>i. IMEV. 1823</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>29. A song of love to the blessed virgin Mary</strong> (=I Will Have No Other Spouse)</td>
<td>f. 91\textsuperscript{rb}</td>
<td>Religious lyric</td>
<td>i. IMEV. 3836</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amen for charite: 89. Beg. &quot;Upon a lady my love ys lente With owten change of any chere.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i. Brown, 15\textsuperscript{th} c, 78. (C).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>30. Owayne miles</strong></td>
<td>ff. 91\textsuperscript{v}–95\textsuperscript{r}</td>
<td>Purgatory vision</td>
<td>i. IMEV. 982, see 1767</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31. <strong>Tundale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Beg. "Jhu. lorde of myztes moste Fadyr & sone, & holy gooste.  | ff. 95\(^{v}\)–107\(^{vb}\) | Purgatory vision | i. IMEV. 1724 | i. TEAMS, ed. Foster (2004)  
| [see Addl. 9771 Bibl. Reg. 12 B. XXIV. 5-17 B. XLIII. 4.] [There is a third copy in the Advocate's Library, Edin. Iac. V. 7. 27.] |   |   |   |   |

| 32. **Veni coronaberis**  |   |   |   |   |
| Beg. "Surge me sponsa so swete in sygte  
And se thy sone in sete full shene."  | ff. 107\(^{vb}\)–108\(^{ra}\) | Religious lyric | i. IMEV. 3225 | i. Gray, no. 60. (C). |

| 33. **Myn owene woo**  |   |   |   |   |
| Beg. "I may say & so may mo  
I wyte my sylfe myn owene woo."  | ff. 108\(^{vb}\)–108\(^{v}\) | Moral poem | i. IMEV. 1511 | i. RA, I, 197-200. (C). |

<p>| 34. <strong>Cronica</strong> (from Brutus to Edward IV), extended to Richard III by 2 later hands [Add. 31042 ff. 50-66.]  |   |   |   |   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Table 1: Contents of BL Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1 Continued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>The Siege of Jerusalem (1213 lines, imperfect)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beg. &quot;In Tyberyus tyme the trewe emperour Syr Sefar hym self sesed in Rome.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ff. 111r–125r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Cheuelere Assigne (the knight of the swan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beg. &quot;All weldynge God whene it is his wylle. Wеле he wereth his werkwt his owne honde.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ff. 125v–129v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Isumbras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beg. &quot;God that made both erthe and hevene And all this worlde in deyes seven.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ff. 130r–134r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Author/Title</th>
<th>Page Range</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>IMEV</th>
<th>EETS Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beg. &quot;Upon the crosse y nayled was for the Suffred deth to pay thy ransome.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beg. &quot;Heyl gloryous virgyne, gro&amp;umacron;d of all our grace, Heyl moder of Crist in pure virginite.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>Jerome</td>
<td>ff. 135v–137r</td>
<td>Saint’s life</td>
<td>IMEV. 2922</td>
<td>EETS 236 (1956), 428-34. (CC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beg. &quot;Seynte Jerome was a full good clerke. And wyse thorow all thynge.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Eustache (imperf.)</td>
<td>ff. 137v–139v</td>
<td>Saint’s life</td>
<td>IMEV. 2894</td>
<td>EETS 87 (1887), 393-402.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beg. &quot;Seynte Eustache a nobull knygte Of heden lawe he was.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Elenchus</td>
<td>ff. 140r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(scratched out title)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter Three: Merchants, Household Structure and Configuration

There are few historical periods that evince greater economic turbidity and demographic recession than that of the later Middle Ages. “In the early fourteenth century climate change, crop failures and famine weakened the population so that the Black Death in 1348–9 and subsequent epidemics of infectious diseases had a dramatic impact on English society,” argues Sarah Rees Jones.126 The consequences of these factors was “a fall in England’s population from a maximum of about six million in c.1300 to as little as 2.5 million by the mid-fifteenth century. Such shifts in the population resulted in fundamental changes in the distribution of wealth, and the structure of all kinds of markets, and in the forging of new social relationships and ideas.”127 Such instabilities were particularly advantageous for the merchant class.

The merchant class is defined by occupation, a livelihood that largely depended on investments in wholesale trade and commerce.128 “The merchant class was vastly stratified and diverse,” argues Kermode; further “it was socially fluid and probably encompassed a greater range of wealth than any other occupationally defined group. . . at the bottom

127 Ibid.
128 Ibid., Kermode, Medieval Mercants, 5-6.
were men who infrequently engaged in wholesale trade and barely scraped a living. At the top were men possessed by considerable commercial skill, great wealth and power.\textsuperscript{129} For these merchants the most marked traits are rapid accession of wealth, political and social status.\textsuperscript{130} These successful, self-made merchants, the \textit{burgeis}, comprised a mobile and fluid class of people who quickly accumulated wealth within a single lifetime.\textsuperscript{131} Some merchants may have supplemented their income from rental properties,\textsuperscript{132} their primary source of income, however, was derived from commerce, some of which took place in the frontage of their homes\textsuperscript{133} while most large incomes were derived from overseas trading.

This is in contrast to the greater gentry and aristocracy whose wealth was inherited and whose income was primarily derived from lands. The very definition of “gentry” was founded on the notion that to be considered a “gentleman” one’s income, according to sumptuary legislation of 1363, must be from the rental of lands which must be in excesses of £200 or more per year. Merchants, citizens and burgesses with

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{129} Kermode, \textit{Medieval Merchants}, 15.
\textsuperscript{130} Ibid., 4.
\textsuperscript{131} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{133} Ibid., 193.
\end{flushright}
goods worth £500,” argues Dyer, “were equivalent to an esquire with a
landed income of £100 per annum. . . . [i]t is worth noting that of a sample
of London merchants who died between 1350 and 1497, 14 per cent had an
estate worth £1,000 or above, suggesting that they were the equivalents of
rich knights and barons with incomes of £200 or more.”134

It is this massing of wealth, particularly through trade and commerce, in
part, that marks this group with what Felicity Riddy refers to as “burgeois.”135 The
term resonates on several additional levels; as defined in MED, it denotes a
“freeman of a town, a citizen with full rights and privileges; [it is] usually used of
city merchants and master craftsmen in the guilds,” and therefore of those who
are members of a franchise, able to buy and sell retail and train apprentices.136 In
addition, bourgeois also connotes civic aspirations, as Chaucer, who himself came
from a bourgeois family, suggests:

Wel semed ech of hem a fair burgeys
To sitten in a yeldehalle on a deys.
Everich, for the wisdom that he kan,
Was shaply for to been an alderman.

134 Dyer, Standards of Living, 193.
135 Riddy, “‘Bourgeois’ Domesticity,” 17.
136 Middle English Dictionary, n. “bourgeois.”
For catel hadde they ynoth and rente,

And eek hir wyves wolde it wel assente;\textsuperscript{137}

The “alderman” above bespeaks of the merchant participation in civic duty: “merchants emerged as the pre-eminent group in civic government, their political ambitions fuelled by commercial success.”\textsuperscript{138} Holding such civic membership might afford a merchant status equal to that of a gentleman: “the most successful merchants moved amongst the region’s landed gentry,”\textsuperscript{139} and thus inclusion in the upper ranks of late-medieval society is yet another marker of \textit{burges}. The merchant, therefore, could claim his place within the guildhall and civic space, and move simultaneously within gentry social circles.

Much contemporary scholarship on medieval merchants has focused on their involvement and influence on urban centres; “historians have sometimes regarded medieval towns as islands of modernity surrounded by a feudal countryside. . . [that is,] capitalist employers and wage-earners,”\textsuperscript{140} or that “towns stood in subversive opposition to ‘feudal’ power.”\textsuperscript{141} Yet this kind of

\textsuperscript{137} Chaucer, “General Prologue,” lines 369-74.

\textsuperscript{138} Kermode, \textit{Medieval Merchants}, 38.

\textsuperscript{139} Ibid., 38.

\textsuperscript{140} Dyer, \textit{Standards of Living}, 23.

binary, oppositional thinking is now under scrutiny; “more recent interpretations stress the integration of the town into an aristocratically dominated society, in which the leading townsmen had much in common with the rural gentry.”142 As noted elsewhere in this dissertation, there was often inter-marriage, life-cycle service (gentry children working as apprentices in merchant homes), and civic involvement between the two groups, the urban burgeois and country gentry.

Wealth: Consumption and Comfort

“Individual merchants had aspirations to pursue and many obligations; they also had more disposable income than most townsfolk to spend on meeting them. They transformed entrepreneurial success into political power, became social and cultural leaders within their own towns and extended their community of commercial interest into their private and public lives.”143 The top merchants, Kermode argues, “mingled with members of the [greater] gentry and royal household,” and although few established intimate connections, they did confidently claim their place at the apex of urban society.144 Susan Wright’s work from 1983 supports Jenny Kermode’s position; she writes of a “system, which essentially operated collectively through small interlinking groups [that] could

142 Dyer, Standards of Living, 23.
143 Ibid., 21.
144 Ibid., 15.
accommodate their major needs. . . notably the settling of property disputes and disagreements. . . [and] could so often be satisfactorily contained within the immediate neighbourhood.”\textsuperscript{145} Similarly, Morgan argues that Thrupp’s study of London merchants, “does much to blur any impression of separate mercantile and ‘gentle’ cultures.”\textsuperscript{146} Thrupp suggests that this was a society in which an earl’s younger son might be styled a merchant as well as an esquire. Further, from the 1460s, knighthoods were conferred not infrequently on London aldermen while in office. Thrupp further argues that “the movement from the merchant class into the landed gentry exceeded the reverse movement.”\textsuperscript{147} What Thrupp also illustrates is the conflation of titles based not on occupation, but on wealth. D. M. Palliser echoes Thrupp’s position, arguing that medieval urban society was hierarchic and rank may have been perceived in material rather than occupational terms.\textsuperscript{148}

It is here that Kermode’s recent findings depart from Thrupp. Kermode argues that although many merchants nurtured an ambition to be landed gentry: “only a tiny minority accumulated sufficient land to

\textsuperscript{145} Wright, The Derbyshire Gentry, 58-59.

\textsuperscript{146} Morgan, “The Individual Style of the English Gentleman,” 23.

\textsuperscript{147} Thrupp, Merchant Class, 286-287.

\textsuperscript{148} Palliser, “Urban Society,” 141.
contemplate a life dependent on rents.”

Therefore, she adds, “few merchants adopted the style ‘gentleman’ and when they did so it is difficult to establish if it reflected anything of significance.” Kermode further observes that “there were other ways, perhaps more immediately effective than titles, by which merchants established their place in a superior social stratum.” Landed gentry status or not, merchants were at the core of urban society, amassing more wealth and prominence than most others. Their monetary successes became a way of demarcating merchants as a distinct group, and therefore the need to demonstrate success became very much a hallmark of this group. Burgeis consumption of “material goods was conspicuously greater than that of, for example, their craftsmen neighbours.” Kermode comes to the conclusion that “[m]aterial comfort and superior living standards were visible reflections of commercial profit and distinguished wealthy merchants. . . [who] transformed entrepreneurial success into political power, became social and cultural leaders within their own towns, and extended their community of
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commercial interest into their private and public lives.”\textsuperscript{153} As Goldberg illustrates, “[in] Gloucester, two-thirds of the 21 wealthiest taxpayers in 1327 served as bailiff at least once, some several times over.”\textsuperscript{154} Further, he adds, “[i]t is evident that just as the mercantile elite remained singularly and disproportionately advantaged in respect of holding office, but particularly higher civic office, so certain other groups were conspicuously disadvantaged. This, then, was the normal pattern in larger towns from the later fourteenth century.”\textsuperscript{155}

The fifteenth century brought a surge of interest in books, which in turn encouraged literacy.\textsuperscript{156} The importance of books to the medieval merchant class has received a considerable amount of scholarly attention. Testamentary evidence of book ownership and thereby inferred literacy, at least by some members of the household, has been recovered through analysis of signed manuscripts, household inventories, and wills.\textsuperscript{157} Some scholars, such as D. S. Brewer, have assessed that “probably more than half
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\textsuperscript{157} For an assemblage of medieval wills which mention manuscripts, see Plomer, “Books Mentioned in Wills,” 115. See Meale’s “Laywomen and their books” for a lucid discussion of female book-ownership and the problematic of using wills as evidence for such ownership, 130-36. For patterns of book-giving among nuns and devout gentlewomen in late fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, see Riddy’s “Women talking.”
the population could read, though not necessarily also write, by 1500.”

Brewer’s stance on reading literacy is supported by G. A. Lester, who estimates that by the second half of the fifteenth century “people of almost all ranks were capable of reading, writing, and enjoying books.”

Complicating the matter for scholars, the definition of what constitutes *litteratus* was evolving throughout the Middle Ages; for example, as Alison Truelove points out: “By the end of the fifteenth century, the emphasis had moved away from knowledge of Latin, and individuals were sometimes classed as ‘literate’ simply if they were able to sign their own names.”

She then cites David Cressy, who, using that as a marker, estimates “at the turn of the sixteenth century 10 per cent of men and 1 per cent of women met this definition of literacy.” Clanchy rightly raises concerns about the difficulty in determining accurate rates of literacy, which is due, in part, to differences in medieval assumptions about functional literacy and in modern understandings of the term.

Truelove writes, “As noted by Trapp, we cannot accurately judge overall levels of literacy from the

---


limited range of surviving documentation available to us. Every conclusion must be tentative and take full account of the difficulties inherent in using evidence that may not be wholly representative of the experiences of the diverse range of people. . .”163 In keeping with this scholarly position, we can cautiously assert, based on existing ordinances such as the Goldsmiths’ of London from mid-fifteenth century which forbade any member to take an apprentice “wtout he canne writte and Rede,” that many individuals were indeed reading.164 A later assessment of literacy was made by Thomas More in 1533, as cited by Schofield; he observed that “people farre more than fowre partes of all the whole divided into tenne coule never reade englishe yet.”165 More’s statement clearly illustrates the ongoing concerns with literacy.

Both ownership and audience of late-medieval household manuscripts have been largely “associated with mercantile and gentry

---


households.” While there is overlap in literary tastes between merchants and gentry, there are differences that help us understand a manuscript’s intended readership. The gentry typically owned and read texts written in Latin, as not only French and English, but Latin “formed an integral part of the education of gentry children and of gentry culture.” As Truelove notes, “In matters of worship and in recreational use of literature, there is no doubt that knowledge of Latin and French remained valuable and indeed, for some, necessary.” The surviving texts associated with gentlewomen readers “suggest that French texts were virtually as accessible to them as those in the vernacular. Latin Bibles and service-books, stray examples of other Latin texts, and certain works of information in both English and Latin were also clearly available to some of these readers.”

—

166 Goldberg, Medieval England, 268. Household anthologies from London in the late fifteenth-century that have an identifiable mercantile ownership are: London, British Library, MS Egerton 1995, owned by a London citizen; BL MS Harley 2252, owned by merchant (book seller) John Colyns; Cambridge Trinity College, MS R.3.21, owned by a mercer, Roger Thorney; Cambridge, Magdalene College, MS Pepys 2006, owned by another London mercer, William Fetypace; and London, Lambeth Place Library, MS 306.

For more on ownership and social context of fifteenth-century household books see, for example, Riddy, Sir Thomas Malory, 1-30; Harris, “The Origins and Make-up,” 229-333; Hanna, “Production of MS Ff. 1.6,” 62-7.
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Texts written for the mercantile class, however, were produced primarily in the vernacular, suggesting that their education was primarily in English. Thrupp provides an example that illustrates the shift in use of Latin to English in the business world to accommodate those who could both read and write in English, but not in Latin: in 1422, the brewers’ wardens in London began keeping their records in English to make them accessible to its members.\footnote{170}{See Thrupp, \textit{The Merchant Class}, 158.} While teaching Latin was controlled by the church, merchants required “some degree of literacy,”\footnote{171}{Ibid., 155.} and the establishment of private schools was emerging. One draper in 1458, for example, left a bequest of 3,000 marks for “the foundation of a grammar and writing school in the chapel attached to the Leadenhall market” in Cornhill.\footnote{172}{Ibid., 156.} While this was not implemented, it is clear that the merchant class required and demanded an education, not only in Latin for administrative purposes,\footnote{173}{Orme, \textit{Medieval Children}, 242.} but, much more commonly, in the working language of English. While secondary education was often controlled by the church, “in elementary and commercial education,” Thrupp states, “the
field was open,” and evidence suggests that a large portion of the merchant class was literate in English. Although our modern understanding of literacy may be different from that of the Middle Ages, and our knowledge of the extent of literacy is imprecise, evidence suggests that literacy among the merchant class was substantial.  

Corresponding to this shift in language use, while the household miscellany read by the burgeis included Latin tags and a text or two in Latin, they were written primarily in English. Tastes in content also differed between the classes. Riddy states that the gentry lacked “respect for vernacular romance” and that the romances most often appear in miscellanies. Indeed, readers of romances did “not, on the whole, appear to have been people with courtly tastes” and may have had little interest in courtly material. The miscellanies also tended to exclude Chaucer. There was little overlap between Chaucer manuscripts and romance manuscripts as “the two groups seem to have served different readerships.” In aligning gentry readers with Chaucer and mercantile
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reader with romance, a distinction emerges. This does not mean to say that
gentry never read romance texts; we have much testamentary evidence to suggest
they did.\textsuperscript{179} Nor does it indicated that merchants never read Chaucer; they very
well could have had a \textit{Canterbury Tales} manuscript on the shelf next to their
household miscellany. What is marked, however, is the general absence of
Chaucer in the composite books.\textsuperscript{180}

\textbf{Guild Membership}

An additional marker of the late-medieval merchant class was guild
membership. Guilds played immensely important and diverse roles in late-
medieval England.\textsuperscript{181} Indeed, guilds embraced religious, social, economic, and
political functions:

"religious" relates to those aspects of guild activity concerned with
devotional or pious practices; "social" factors broadly concern the
contacts and relationships between individuals or groups of
individuals; "economic" aspects cover all areas with a financial or

\textsuperscript{179} See Meale and Boffey, \textit{Gentlewomen's Reading}, 526-540; Radulescu, "Literature," 100-115;

\textsuperscript{180} For a catalogue of manuscripts containing works by Chaucer, specifically \textit{Canterbury Tales},
see Owen's \textit{The Manuscripts of the Canterbury Tales} (Cambridge: Brewer, 1991). Additionally, see
TEAMS' \textit{The Chaucerian Apocrypha} (http://d.lib.rochester.edu/teams/text/forni-chaucerian-
apocrypha-introduction).

\textsuperscript{181} In Hanawalt and McRee, "The Guilds of Homo Prudens," 163-179, the authors discuss the
overlap of social behaviour and guild regulations. Further, they argue that guilds contribute to
the general movement toward a definition of the middle-class of the late fifteenth century.
commercial impact; and finally, “political” deals with matters relating to the power of a guild or its members within the local community and its place within the hierarchy of communal institutions. Overlaps between these areas are fairly obvious. One can regard the guild feast, for example, as an event of social, political, economic and religious significance. Indeed, to draw attention to one of these areas alone would certainly be to misconceive it. An approach which seeks to encompass these different aspects of the guilds should enable a more rounded assessment of their place in late medieval society.\textsuperscript{182}

\textsuperscript{182} Farnhill, \textit{Guilds and the Parish Community}, 16.
Figure 2: The Merchant Adventurers’ Hall, York, is a 14th-century merchant guild hall. © 2009-2013 Charlotte Brown.

While this dissertation focuses on household manuscripts, it is important to note that the virtues and the ethos extolled in guild ordinances and statutes parallel those found in texts contained in household manuscripts; guild membership, therefore, had a direct influence on the multiplicity of sites in which the late-medieval merchant class played out their lives.

An additional by-product of guild membership, and consistent defining feature of the merchant group, is that it can be characterized by a
“mutual interdependence, especially amongst the middling to top-ranking merchants.”¹⁸³ This mutual network is evidenced in surviving wills; here we see an extensive circle of merchants naming one another to carry out assorted postmortem duties: business associates were enlisted “to act as guardians to children, advisors to widows, and executors of wills.”¹⁸⁴ They were often named as beneficiaries. Duties such as these illustrate a strong bond within the mercantile associates. Listing other merchants in one’s will is, of course, a self-conscious act that reflects one’s material and public success and alliances as much as “personal affection.”¹⁸⁵ Further testament to the workings of “interdependent networks” is the presence of “inter-marriage” within the merchant group.¹⁸⁶ Such marriages would have served to reinforce the cohesion of the merchant group, while also helping to retain and limit the disbursement of wealth.¹⁸⁷ Although discrimination tended to curb intermarriage between gentlewomen and merchants, according to Thrupp “it did little,” [to affect] “the marriage of gentlemen with merchants’

¹⁸³ Kermode, Medieval Merchants, 114.
¹⁸⁴ Ibid.
¹⁸⁵ Ibid., 114.
¹⁸⁶ Ibid., 115.
¹⁸⁷ Ibid.
daughters and widows.” As Saul pointedly remarks, “the gentlemen were too eager to pocket the fat dowries their wives would bring.”

In the medieval merchant community, outward appearance and behaviour confirmed personal worth and identity. As Urbanitas (ff. 88v-88r) explains to its readers, “In halle, in chamber, ore where thou gon, / Nurtur and good maners makeþ man.” Fynd cense directly exhorts readers to display that they were of “virtuous disciplyne” (ff. 14r-15v) and to be mindful of their reputation and social standing, indeed to “gete the a good name” (f. 16r). The popularity of conduct literature within manuscripts owned by merchants makes a compelling argument that social behaviour was a collective concern amongst members of this group. The regulation of behaviour codified throughout this manuscript will be specifically elaborated on in Chapter Four of this dissertation in the course of its close study of Fynd cense.

While household manuscripts teach appropriate ways of behaving, guilds often relied on ordinances to regulate and ensure appropriate behaviour,

---

188 Thrupp, Merchant Class, 265.

189 Saul, Scenes from Provincial Life, 181.

190 For household anthologies from London in the late-fifteenth century that have an identifiable mercantile ownership see page 72, note 166.
particularly from their members, their “broderhode.” 191 In fact, as Kermode illustrates, using evidence from various “guild regulations throughout the country, it is clear that in addition to offering participation in collective prayers and some forms of welfare to the aged and infirm, guilds and fraternities saw themselves as embodying ideal moral standards.” 192 As Hanawalt states, “London [officials] continually expressed the value of internal harmony in ordinances, guild regulations, and directives for public behavior.” 193 The Mercers’ company gives us the sense of a typical ordinance in this regard. As Hanawalt reports, the “members agreed that ‘for unity, rest and peace to be had within the fellowship of the Mercery, worship and profit of the same, any variance or discord between members of the fellowship, or between those of the fraternity and strangers or members of another fraternity’ should submit the dispute to the wardens.” 194 Similarly, an ordinance for the Company of Writers Guildhall in the City of London dated 1392 acknowledges two successful scriveners, recommending that they be admitted to the level of master for having maintained “good and faithful control of their said art or craft by sparing no one through love or oppressing no one through hate, and by presenting shortcomings

191 Fitzgerald, The Drama of Masculinity, 22.

192 Kermode, Medieval Merchants, 136.

193 Hanawalt, ‘Of Good and Ill Repute,’ 37.
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which they found in the said art.” They found in the said art. This ordinance reiterates not only the importance of having mastery of one’s craft, but also regulates that practice: “that no one may be suffered to keep shop [de tenir shope] of the said craft… if he be not first examined and found able by those of the same craft… that everyone who shall act against this ordinance and institution [establissement] shall pay to the Chamber 40d first time, half a mark the second time, and 10s the third time.” Failure to meet and comply with expected behaviours and professionalisms resulted in fines, as noted above, but could additionally result in expulsion from the guild and time in prison:

if anyone of the said crafts should rebel against this or be a hindrance so that they are unable to perform their duty in the proper way, and should be convicted of this, he will remain in prison for 10 days and pay 10s. to the commonalty for the contempt. And at the second time he will remain in prison for 20 days and pay 20s. to the commonalty. And at the third occasion he will remain in prison for 30 days and pay 30s. to the commonalty. And at the fourth occasion he will remain in prison for 40 days and pay 40s. to the commonalty.197

196 Ibid.
197 Ibid. The general articles for all the Mysteries of London, enrolled in the Chamber of the Guildhall, London, book G, folio 135, in the time of Adam de Buri, Mayor, 38 Edward III [1364].
The existence of this and many other ordinances of similar nature from a wide variety of guilds suggest that regulating duties relating to the many crafts was an ongoing practice in late-medieval urban centers.

Other ordinances governing members’ conduct, as exemplified by returns from Bishop’s Lynn, suggest that rowdy behaviour was not uncommon.\textsuperscript{198} For example, in Hull, the Guild of the Virgin Mary expelled members guilty of misdemeanors, including bullying, night walking, lying, behaving as a harlot, being excommunicated, or any other crime injurious to the good name of the guild.\textsuperscript{199} Guilds, then, functioned not only as a means to oversee concerns regarding materials, quality of production, and exchange of goods, but also to govern behaviour, regulate social norms, and enforce compliance of its members.

Rosser warns, however, against a simplistically misleading reciprocity between the role of the guild and individual behaviour:

to understand an individual’s behavior as a member of an official craft organization, for example, it would be necessary to study not only the origins and internal development of that body, but also a range of other social processes in which he or she might simultaneously be a participant, including patterns of local residence, marital behaviour,

\textsuperscript{198} Crouch, \textit{Piety, Fraternity and Power}, 34.

\textsuperscript{199} McRee, “Charity and Guild Solidarity,” 195-225.
political involvement and membership in alternative clubs and societies. The dynamic interrelationship between these and other activities shaped the experience of work in the medieval town.200

This is an important point as it reiterates the multiplicity of factors influencing not only the experience of work, but also the larger construction of identity in the medieval urban centers. The wealth of evidence arising from studies show that the social roles of guild culture, regulations and guild structure were not uniform; there was much diversity between guilds, not only in terms of size and wealth, but complexity and orderly bureaucracy. As the above illustrates, however, there are general shared aspects to late-medieval guild culture. Similarly, there was a plethora of arenas and opportunities which merchants utilized to promote a specific public identity; through guild membership, a merchant could align himself with the ideals and ideologies of the larger organization.

As powerful as they were, guild or fraternity membership was not the exclusive means by which power and control were exerted. The circulation of power was much more complex; guild members had alliances, indeed overlapping membership with those individuals in positions of civic authority, as I will discuss shortly, which enabled an even greater level of social compliance. Male merchants were not the only members of such guilds and fraternities. “A married woman trading apart from her husband,” Kermode notes, “might claim

the legal independence of a *femme sole*, and many guilds included women in their regulations. Women in York could work as barber surgeons, cappers, chapwomen, clothsellers, cooks, freshwater fishers, fishmongers, ironmongers, litisters, parchmentmakers, stringers and vinters amongst other occupations. . . [f]rom the evidence of guild regulations, women could still find employment in specialist crafts late in the fifteenth century.”

Guild membership reached a broad cross-section of individuals, often members of different levels of urban society, and created a diverse community. Rosser argues that this diversity helped forge liaisons between the “social spheres, which in turn gave rise to fresh perceptions and aspirations.” In fact, lay recruits sometimes included the servants of prominent citizens: for example, “two of the household of William Snawsell, who had been Lord Mayor in 1468, and four from that of William Chymney, who had been chamberlain in 1470 and would be Lord Mayor in 1486.” That the servant’s entry fee was met by a single payment implies that Snawsell and Chymney paid for the memberships of their *familia*. As Crouch points out: “Whilst this practice might have been regarded simply as a pious act on their part, it probably also had the effect of swelling their respective
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201 Kermode, *Medieval Merchants*, 95. For further detailed discussion on women’s employment in urban centers see Kowaleski, “Women’s Work,” 145-64; see also Kowaleski and Bennett, “Crafts, Gilds,” 474-88.


entourages, on gild occasions, to the enhancement of their social and political
prestige,” and thus practicing the advice aimed at the householder, the
\textit{paterfamilias} to “gete the a good name” (\textit{Fynd cense} f. 16\textsuperscript{v}).

\textbf{Civic Participation}

Recent scholarship has identified a strong correlation between
membership of guilds and subsequent appointments to civic office.\textsuperscript{205} In
medieval London civic officials, specifically “city government, the mayor,
aldermen, and sheriffs, were drawn from the twelve most prominent
guilds, so that there was an interdependency between the guilds and the
government.”\textsuperscript{206} It is important to note, however, that in York such
interdependent alliances between merchant guilds and the city’s oligarchic
groups did not always translate to easy relationships; “[s]uperficially,
unity prevailed within the oligarchy of each town, since all decisions were
made by ‘all the keepers’ or ‘the mayor and his brethren’, but it was fragile
and disputes inevitably broke out.”\textsuperscript{207} For example, as Kermode notes,
York was a site of particular discontent and unrest in the late fifteenth-
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Mayor and council were charged with “accusations [of] venality, financial mismanagement, lax regulation of the markets and inadequate street cleaning” this eventually led to a “retrospective auditing of the accounts.”

According to Davis in Writing Masculinity, “Nightingale puts forward a powerful case that London’s problems, which came to a head in 1383 mayoral contest, were part of an ongoing struggle for control of the City’s policy relating to the wool staple and foreign trade.”

In addition to civic participation, merchants asserted monetary success and spiritual integrity through the Corpus Christi pageants. While conventional scholarship focuses on the biblical and devotional content of the Corpus Christi pageants, new scholarship examines the ways in which these cycle plays engage in civic politics. In The Drama of Masculinity and Medieval English Guild Culture, for example, Christina Fitzgerald argues that the York and Chester cycles “form a drama of masculinity . . . concerned with the fantasies and anxieties of being male in the urban, mercantile worlds of their performance.” Further, she contends, these pageants are
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not celebrations of guildsmen’s wealth and authority, but rather evidence of the power civic government held over guildsmen, since fines and fees went to fund the civic spectacle of the plays.\textsuperscript{212}

Fitzgerald rightly points out that money collected from fines and fees was used to fund the production and performance of the cycle pageants; however, her deduction that this reinforces civic power over guilds and their members overlooks the fact that “merchants emerged as the pre-eminent group in civic government.”\textsuperscript{213} As Kermode states, “[m]erchant domination can effectively be measured in terms of the proportion of merchants serving in single offices. . . . Between 1300 and 1509, 122 men served as mayor [in York] and 79 per cent (ninety-six) were merchants.”\textsuperscript{214} Distinguishing patterns from the records of Hull is more challenging, as occupation identification is drawn from “a scatter of freeman’s lists”; still, “of the ninety-eight mayors in office between 1332 and 1509, 72 percent (seventy-one) were merchants, and a further dozen of unconfirmed occupations may also have been merchants.”\textsuperscript{215} Parliamentary service greatly enhanced one’s prestige and provided
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further opportunity for the intermingling of the *burgeis* with the gentry. As Frost notes, “Throughout the fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries members were consistently chosen from the very pinnacle of Norwich’s urban elite. For instance, a majority of the twenty-three men who sat for Norwich between 1422 and 1461 held all three offices of sheriff, alderman and mayor during their careers. Only three of the MPs occupied none of these important posts, although two out of three were busy lawyers who served at least briefly as recorder of Norwich and had their fingers firmly upon the civic pulse. Several other MPs also practised as lawyers, but the majority were merchants and mercers.”  

Similarly, the “majority of Hull’s MPs already had or were to hold civic office and were merchants: 52 per cent in the fourteenth century and 75 per cent in the fifteenth.”  

Likewise, “the majority of York’s MPs were drawn from the commercial elite of the city.”

Sarah Beckwith also argues for the conflation of mercantile and civic elites:

> Wealthy householders, through paying money to the city, could literally inscribe their own property into the very inscription of the route of the Corpus Christi pageants, because their houses were part of
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the processional staging of the cycle. The twenty-five surviving station lists between 1399 and 1569 indicate how this inscription was regularly invoked and exploited by incumbent mayors [usually merchants] and the aldermanic elite of the city.\textsuperscript{219}

Further, “the space itself [was] rented from the city council by the private owners, who [were in turn] making money by renting out seats on scaffolds erected on ‘community ground.’”\textsuperscript{220} The scaffolding that the householders erected was on city property, and so the public at large should have had access, yet the seat fees set by the private householders excluded all but the wealthy. The Corpus Christi processions, then, “function as private theaters in that what they display is the illustrious houses that then become the back drop of the theater” to which the city’s wealthy have the privileged view.\textsuperscript{221}

It is reasonable to argue that a number of “different regimes of power—that of the body of the Church, that of the social body of the urban trade guilds, that of the body politic of the king—coalesce in the representation of Christ. . . . [The] Corpus Christi pageant thus disseminates knowledge about politics and the

\textsuperscript{219} Beckwith, “Ritual, Theater,” 72.

\textsuperscript{220} Ibid., 72-73.

\textsuperscript{221} Ibid., 72.
law.” Or as Mervyn James contends, “the Corpus Christi dramas constitute a locus of meanings connected with the social body and the inscription of power in the late-medieval urban context.” Thus, through their monetary and performative involvements in religious observance and public works, the merchants played an important part in shaping attitudes and in managing, establishing, legalizing, and imposing their own agenda.

---


Performance

What further constitutes and distinguishes members of the English urban *burgeois*, and perhaps one the most important features in this distinction, is the all-important matter of self-assertion. Becoming *gentil*, versus being of noble descent, was a conscious performance legitimated by one’s actions. An example of this type of assertive self-promotion is the medieval parish church.

All Saints Church on North Street in York city center is a typical example of the enduring testaments to the prosperous urban merchant class in late-medieval England; it is one of the city’s most famous parish destinations for modern scholars and lovers of stained glass. With striking regularity, highly successful medieval merchants would display their wealth by commissioning a stained glass window in their community parish. These commissioned works promoted the family name, and also aligned the merchant family with significant spiritual and moral ideals. A clear example of this can be seen in two large windows at All Saints Church, North Street, York.
In c1410-20 merchant and Lord Mayor Nicholas Blackburn senior commissioned the impressive three-panel window, now commonly referred to The Great East Window or The Blackburn Window. The Blackburn family are depicted in the lower left picture; they appear kneeling at the bottom — Nicholas (senior) is on the right with his wife Margaret, and Nicholas (junior) on the left with his wife, also Margaret. In between them, at the center bottom, is a striking representation of The Holy Trinity. The Father is seated on his throne, holding the Son on the cross before him, and the dove of the Spirit is between their two heads. The main picture, left light, is of Saint John the Baptist. He wears the rough garb of a prophet and points to the lamb prophesied in the scriptures and subject of his
proclamation. This scene depicts the occasion when, according to Saint John’s gospel, he pointed out Jesus and exclaimed: “Behold the Lamb of God.” Other lights in the window are concerned with the four donors.

As Pedersen describes:

“Nicholas and Margaret junior kneel, looking inwards towards the altar, in the lower north light. She kneels at a desk draped with an embroidered white cloth and holds in her hand a book inscribed ‘D(omi)ne ne in furore tuo arguas me neq(ue) i(n) ira tua’ (O Lord, rebuke me not in Thine indignation nor in Thy displeasure).”

Pedersen further notes that “in the south light the older couple also kneel and look inwards. The inscription on the book of Margaret senior echoes the 51st Psalm: ‘D(omi)ne labia mea aperies et os meu(m)’ (Lord open mine lips, and

---

my mouth [shall announce thy praise].”  

There are also “inscriptions identifying the four donors and requesting for prayers for their souls.”

In the center light, Saint Anne teaches her daughter, the Virgin Mary, to read—or, perhaps, to pray: the words are the beginning of Psalm 142 (143), ‘Domine exaudi orationem meam auribus percipe obsecrationem meam’ (Hear my prayer O Lord; give ear to my supplication).

The Blackburn family’s “dedication to female literacy is demonstrated by the centrality of the St Anne and the Virgin image and by the fact that both Blackburn wives are shown with open books.” Of the frequent depictions of St Anne and the Virgin, Cullum and Goldberg write that they “show a mother and daughter as if gently embracing, but with an open book at the centre of that embrace. The book, thus, becomes symbolic of the relationship between mother and daughter. Through the book, the mother provides her daughter with a model of piety and conduct, just as St Anne herself was, by the early fifteenth century. . . a model of the modern devout mother.”

The expansion of Anne’s cult among

---

225 Ibid., 34.

226 Ibid.

227 Ibid.

228 “Where to See Stained Glass,” para. 3. As cited at: http://allsaints-northstreet.org.uk/

229 Cullum and Goldberg, “How Margaret Blackburn Taught,” 231; also see Scases, “St Anne,” 81-96.
the laity started about 1300; “by 1540 there were at least 40 medieval churches and chapels under her patronage in England.”\textsuperscript{230} The cult of St Anne appealed to a new urban elite who were attempting a life of piety outside the confines of monasticism. That group saw their own ideals reflected in the saint: an exemplary spouse, mother, and widow; hardworking and pious and yet also married. This was increasingly important to the pious layman as society turned away from the ideal of monasticism, and many people attempted to live the mixed life of piety in the outside world.\textsuperscript{231}

Imagery, such as that of St Anne depicted in All Saint’s, alongside texts aimed specifically at women and girls, such as \textit{How the Good Wife Taught Her Daughter}, and texts which figure women in prominent, empowered roles, such as those from the Saint’s Lives genre and biblical narratives (\textit{The Pistel of Swete Susan} at ff. 3\textsuperscript{r}-5\textsuperscript{r} in the Caligula manuscript), would not only have been useful sources for instruction, but affirmed the unique and necessary role of women in their households and the larger community. The St Anne window underscores the family’s concern with spiritual teaching and literacy enabled through the reading of books. The depiction and therefore the linking of saints with the Blackburn family serves to elevate the family, suggesting that they are worthy Christians.

\textsuperscript{230} Reames, ed. \textit{Legends of St Anne}, 251-52.

\textsuperscript{231} Pedersen, “Piety and Charity in the Painted Glass,” 37.
These lights, which intermingle the Godly with family and the domestic within the larger window context, conflate the divine and earthly households.

**Corporal Acts of Mercy Window**

It is thought that Blackburn may have given, or erected as a memorial to Nicholas Blackburn senior (father of Nicholas junior), the famous Corporal Acts of Mercy window:

![Corporal Acts of Mercy Window](image)

**Figure 5: Corporeal Acts of Mercy. Record: CVMA inv. no. 024306 Chancel, North Chapel. Reproduced with permission of Rev. Gordon Plumb (photographer) and All Saints Church, North Street, York.**
This beautiful panel is housed in the western window in the chapel, although “formerly [it was] in the westernmost window opening of the north wall. Six of the seven corporal (bodily) acts of mercy are shown. These are from top to bottom and left to right: feeding the hungry, giving drink to the thirsty, offering hospitality to strangers, clothing the naked, visiting the sick and relieving those in prison. The final act of burying the dead is omitted.”232 The rich, bearded man, who in every panel is performing the acts of charity, is probably Nicholas Blackburn himself.233

Figure 6: Visiting prisoners (above). Record: CVMA inv. no. 024311. Window panel 2c. Reproduced with permission of Rev. Gordon Plumb (photographer) and All Saints Church, North Street, York.

232 As provided on the All Saints’ site: http://allsaints-northstreet.org.uk/stainedglass.html

233 Ibid.
Acts of mercy such as these are those commanded by Christ in the Gospel of Matthew:

For I was an hungered, and ye gave me meat: I was thirsty, and ye gave me drink: I was a stranger, and ye took me in: Naked, and ye clothed me: I was sick, and ye visited me: I was in prison, and ye came unto me. Then shall the righteous answer him, saying, Lord, when saw we thee an hungered, and fed thee? or thirsty, and gave thee drink? When saw we thee a stranger, and took thee in? or naked, and clothed thee?
Or when saw we thee sick, or in prison, and came unto thee?

And the King shall answer and say unto them, Verily I say unto you,

Inasmuch as ye have done it unto one of the least of these my brethren,
ye have done it unto me.\textsuperscript{234}

Here charitable acts for the poor are aligned as charitable acts for Christ, after which Christ separates the Blessed from the Damned at the Day of Judgment. Thus, the Corporal Acts of Mercy became associated with the salvation of the soul and were seen as examples to follow in order to secure an afterlife in Heaven rather than Hell. As would be expected, and will be explored in later chapters, similar advice is frequently espoused in the various texts contained in household manuscripts and guild regulations; as the didactic text \textit{Fynd cence} in the Caligula manuscript, f. 16\textsuperscript{v}, advocates:

\begin{itemize}
\item Vysyte þe pore \textit{with} entyre dylygence
\item On all nedy have \textit{compassyon}
\item And god schall sende grace and ynfluence
\end{itemize}

Conspicuous and material acts of charity were a hallmark in the ethos of the late-medieval urban merchant class.

\footnote{\textit{Bible} (KJV), Matthew 25:35–40.}
As Thomson has argued, “piety and charity in the later medieval period cannot be seen as separate virtues.”235 “Charity,” continues Pedersen, “had a direct and immediate spiritual purpose for both the giver and the recipient, and thus charitable acts, such as alms-giving, or the setting up of hospitals . . . had an equally significant spiritual dimension. This was especially important for the rich man. Since the doctrine of the stewardship of wealth taught that a man’s riches had been granted to him by God, and were not his own to use as he liked, charitable acts and almsgiving were supposed to be part of a rich man’s role in life.”236 This, again, reiterates “an important message in the painted glass for the wealthy merchant parishioners at All Saints.”237 Similarly McIntosh argues, “most of the arguments used in the fifteenth century to encourage almsgiving among people of means emphasized not the needs of the poor but rather the value of charitable acts to the donors in terms of their own salvation.”238

While the stained glass windows in York All Saints are some of the finest examples depicting the ethos practiced by merchants, this church is but one


236 Pedersen, “Piety and Charity in the Painted Glass,” 40.

237 Ibid.

example of many found across England bearing merchant influence. In Norwich the church of St Peter Mancroft, for example, there is a stained glass panel of St Elizabeth of Hungary feeding the poor, c. 1450. This window operates as a “reminder of the obligations of the rich to the poor,” as it “served to stir the consciences of the city’s ruling elite, who worshipped here.”239 The commissioning of public works was consistent form of expression by wealthy merchants. In about 1470, as Davidson argues,

the figure of John Walker, a member of Corpus Christi Guild, was included in the lower left in the central light in the east window of Holy Trinity Goodramgate, the parish church of which he was rector, in the posture of adoration before another figure of the Father (the present head is a replacement) holding the slain Son. This iconography also appears in the north transept of York Minster, but formerly in the church of St. John Ousebridge.240

Similarly, records indicate stained-glass patronage by wealthy wool merchant William Browne (d. 1489) and his wife Margaret in All Saints Church, Stamford.241 Browne also “founded [an] almshouse... to house 10 poor men and two poor women with a Warden and Confrater, both of

239 Frost, “The Urban Elite,” 250.

240 Davidson, Festivals and Plays, 84.

whom were to be priests in holy orders.” The high incidence of glass commissioned by and depicting merchants provides enduring articulations of their collective concerns; that is, while being enormously successful, piety, devotion to church and community, acts of kindness and mercy towards the disadvantaged, and literacy were also part of the proclaimed ethos of this group. The windows provide yet another public arena in which merchants from the upper stratum of this class could reiterate these virtues and distinguish themselves from the other parishioners.

Similarly, in repeated acts of self-promotion, wealthy merchants and the gentry used physical self-segregation from those of lower stations while attending church; they “sat apart. . . they had their own pews, and by the fifteenth century their own family pews or ‘closetts’ screened off from the body of the church.” In this sense, status was performed and consciously reiterated through public acts. Parish churches — similar to the Corpus

---

242 As cited at http://www.stamford.co.uk/tourism/attractions.shtml

243 For evidence of acts of charity, see, for instance, Goldberg, Women in England c. 1275-1525, 51: “The relation of such death-bed piety to life-time practices and priorities is difficult to establish, though certain clues may be derived from a reading of wills of both men and women. Women’s wills reflect a greater interest in practical charity as an extension of their household responsibilities and, by implication, something women may have done whilst living. This is most strikingly demonstrated by the number of bequests of fuel for the poor in winter found in women’s wills, but also by very personal provision for the poor.”

244 Saul, Scenes from Provincial Life, 159.

245 My view of performance is informed by Judith Butler as articulated in her Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity (New York: Routledge, 1990); she writes: gender is “a stylized repetition of acts . . . which are internally discontinuous . . . [so that] the appearance of
Christi pageants – were not only sites to practice devotion, but arenas where upstanding parishioners could perform their wealth and righteous living.

Earlier examples of public performance must be read alongside the surviving evidence demonstrating that by the fourteenth century there was a gradual shift away from the parish church toward private devotion in domestic spaces. Andrew Taylor explores the use of a “chamber” within households, as space to practice private devotion.246 Webb also argues that bedchambers were the common space used for private prayers.247 “[L]ike nobility, many of the wealthier merchants had their own private chapel at home, richly furnished, with a family chaplain,”248 yet the domestic chapel was by no means an invariable feature of the medieval house and, consequently, has no fixed place in the plan. [But] it occurs in most of the larger houses.”249 Private domestic chapels suggest not only a certain level of wealth (dependent on the availability of physical space), but also the increase in lay literacy and hence the ownership of books as is
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substance is precisely that, a constructed identity, a performative accomplishment which the mundane social audience, including the actors themselves, come to believe and to perform in the mode of belief” (179).

246 Taylor, “Into His Secret Chamber,” 46.


248 Thurpp, Merchant Class, 184.

depicted in the Blackburn stained glass window. A book was a prized item not only because in and of itself it was a status marker, as only wealthy families could afford a private book, but also because of the ethos it signifies.  

Urban Structures
In the same way that stained glass windows disclose *burgeis* values, urban-merchant houses serve as a tangible articulation of the merchants’ rise in social prominence and monetary success. Archaeological examination of still-standing medieval urban-merchant houses coupled with surviving documentary evidence yields a contextualized understanding of the function and use of space in these structures. The intermingling of domestic and commercial space in the urban-merchant house is unique to this group; “[m]erchants’ houses in Norwich, as in most other English cities,” argues Chris King, “had their domestic, service and commercial spaces arranged around one or more courtyards, behind a street frontage which was often leased out as separate tenements.” Likewise Dyer argues, there is plenty of evidence that buying and selling did in fact take place in people’s homes. While some merchants may have supplemented their income from rental properties, their primary source of income was derived from

251 King, “Interpretation of Urban Buildings,” 475.

commerce, some of which took place in the frontage of their homes.\textsuperscript{253} The house, therefore, is a prominent material manifestation of \textit{burgeois} identity, and likewise it offers a tangible “gap between aristocratic and bourgeois conceptions of the home.”\textsuperscript{254} The medieval urban-merchant home was a house set at right angles to the street, gable-end on, a shop on the ground floor, living accommodation above and an open hall to the rear. Whether the shop was effectively an artisan’s workshop or a retail outlet, the requirements were similar; space for work, for storage, and for display. Given the small size of most medieval shops, storage was generally above, in the solar, or below, in a cellar. The shop itself was for work and display.\textsuperscript{255}

The “timber-framed, right-angle urban hall house was the most obvious visible symptom of the first rise of the bourgeoisie”;\textsuperscript{256} the houses were “multi-room,” and they “ranged in size from around four to around eight rooms on two or three floors,” and usually included their own “kitchens, parlors, business premises, and privies,” outbuildings, and garden or

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{253} Ibid., 193.
\textsuperscript{254} Riddy, “‘Burgeois’ Domesticity,” 26.
\textsuperscript{255} Clark, “The Shop Within?” 64.
\textsuperscript{256} Rees Jones, “Building Domesticity in the City,” 91.
\end{flushright}
yard.257 The multi-roomed house introduces the notion of privacy into the burgeis home: this “proliferation of private chambers run[s] parallel to the trends in aristocratic accommodation.”258 The urban-merchant house, then, not only marks the material success of the burgeis, but marries the value of privacy in the home to the burgeis ethos.259

In contrast to rural houses, the urban-merchant house was also “materially more comfortable and socially more complex—a complexity that seems to be reflected in the development of the plan to create increasing numbers of separate rooms.”260 While living in close proximity to neighbours is a characteristic feature of urban domestic living, it is not the defining feature; as Felicity Riddy argues, rather, “the intertwined living of people of different generations who ate, slept, and worked alongside one another in the multi-room houses. . . is the marked feature of the late-medieval urban scene.”261 As Grenville notes, the merchant and artisan houses are demarcated by the presence of business space.

257 Riddy, “Authority and Intimacy,” 216, 213; also see Grenville, Medieval Housing, esp. 157-93.

258 Dyer, Standards of Living, 204.

259 As Dyer notes, “building costs would be as low as £2 for a one-bay two-story cottage. . . A two-story craftsman’s house of two or three bays with a tiled roof could be erected for £10 to £15 and the cost of a merchant’s house would amount to between £33 and £66, with a large building of the courtyard type needing expenditure of £90 or above.” Standards of Living, 205.


261 Riddy, “Authority and Intimacy,” 216.
The following floor plan is of a typical medieval merchant with open hall design. Commercial space (Ground Plan labeled as “A” in Figure 8) is located on the ground level, facing the street. Private domestic space would have been at the back of the house, behind the shop which leads to the open hall (“C” Ground and First Floor). Adjoining the open hall would be most like be the solar? or storage? (“B” Ground Floor), and above the shop (First Floor plan, “A”), usually chambers would be found on the First Floor (“B”).²⁶²

---

²⁶² In architectural discourse, the use of question marks is common to denote the possible or probable function of space.
Most merchant and artisan houses included a shop or store front. This commercial space was typically entered from the street. Rees Jones adds, “since most work was done in workshops within the household, their design provided both working spaces and living spaces, productive spaces and ceremonial spaces which could either be intimate or very public, depending on the ways in which access was controlled, the ways in which they were furnished or simply the ways in which they were used.” This suggests that the urban merchant house was not exclusively a female-centred space, as has been previously argued by a number of scholars. Merchant houses were not only residential spaces, but also sites of business and manufacture. As Riddy argues, “they were family homes, not in the modern sense, but in the late-medieval sense of being places which accommodated *familiae*, or households: parents, children, apprentices and servants, into which journeymen or day-labours came to work,” while the public could browse, and customers could purchase goods.

---


265 Rees Jones, for example, cites Hanawalt, from her chapter “At the Margins of Women’s Space,” found in “Of Good and Ill Repute” as she argues that “space was ‘very gendered’ in the middle ages with respectable female activity being confined to such areas as the home or the cloister” (as cited in Rees Jones’ “Women’s Influence on the Design of Urban Homes,” 190). For a compelling collection of scholarly essays exploring the history of the gendered private/public, female/male dichotomy see Reverby and Helly’s “Converging on History” in *Gendered Domains*, 1-26.

266 Riddy, “‘Burgeis’ Domesticity,” 17.
As the mercantile urban household was a public space, at least to some degree, guilds had a vested interest in ensuring these spaces complied with their ethos and regulations. The home, and particularly the homeowner, was subject to observation: “household heads. . . were required by central government to act as sources of public authority and agents of good order.”

As Rees Jones notes, “civic and guild regulations permitted only quiet work (including office work) to be done outside set working hours, for various reasons, including the disturbance caused by heavier and noisier industrial processes.” These regulations illustrate the direct influence that civic officials and guilds could exercise over work done in the merchant home; the theme of neighbourliness runs prominently throughout these regulations, suggesting an interest in cultivating a strong civic ethos. Many lines within conduct and lyric literature found in household manuscripts stress the importance of good relations with neighbours; for example, in the Caligula manuscript the religious lyric “All way fond to say þe best” (f. 68r) strongly advises the reader to control what he speaks and to avoid any “wykked word” that his “neyʒor” might “spyll”:

For Cristes love þat bowʒh us dere

---

267 Riddy, “Authority and Intimacy,” 212.


269 MED neyʒor: (a) One who dwells nearby, a neighbor; a fellow citizen; an inhabitant of a nearby town or country.
let not þy tonge have all his wyll
What art þu þe bett or þe neer
with wykked word
þy neyþbor to spyll
3yf man or woemon come þe tyll
freyneth evell by any gest
For Cristes love hold þe styll
alwey fonde to sey þe best

Avoiding gossip, and thus discord within the community, was a primary concern for members of the merchant class; thus, readers of the Caligula manuscript were admonished time and again: “With thy neighbourys leve yn reste and pees… and get thee a good name” (Fynd cense, f. 16r). Harmonious living could very much correlate to one’s success in this inter-connected class.

This notion of surveillance is further manifest in domestic architecture; “[t]he hall was at the heart of the medieval house; this was the main ceremonial space in which guests were received and meals consumed. . . The householder and his or her family sat at the table at the ‘high end,’ the importance which was sometimes emphasized by a raised dais and moulded beam or a hood to frame those seated there.” 270

---

Goldberg and Kowaleski note, the halls of houses were often used for carding and spinning.\footnote{Goldberg and Kowaleski, “Household and Organization of Labour,” 66.} In addition to the social performative aspect of the hall, it could also function as a workspace. Sarah Rees Jones argues in her compelling article “Women’s Influence on the Design of Urban Homes” that the hall represents “safe” space where occupations such as spinning and brewing might be located, as opposed to heavy metal trades and baking which were normally located in external workshops.\footnote{Rees Jones, “Women’s Influence,” 192-3.} The open construction of the hall, whether used for social or occupational needs, enabled surveillance of individuals in that space; as Grenville argues, “surveillance would be exercised over the young workforce…. Social space [was] deliberately constructed to create a specific set of social conditions.”\footnote{Grenville, “Urban and Rural,” 118.}

Grenville’s social conditions refer to the “safety” element that Rees Jones mentions, and both signify the physical and moral safety of the familia – for which the householder is ultimately responsible. The apprentice and/or servants are assured physical safety, when learning a trade or skill, and moral safety, particular for the young women, when living away from their neo-natal homes. The domestic architecture of the merchant houses, then, structures a system of
surveillance whereby the observer holds authority over those being observed. Since the householders themselves would also be on display, the house itself authorizes self-regulation.

Figure 9: The Greyfriars [No 9 Friar Street], Worcester, UK. Late-Medieval Merchant’s House. Permission to reproduce image granted from National Trust.

Adding further support to Grenville and Clark’s theories, one report from the National Trust chronicles the history of use and occupants at 7-9 Friar Street in Worcester, better known today as The Greyfriars.274 This study would have been undertaken by the local archaeologica lunit; dated 22 May 1954, it states that the house was built c1485 by Thomas Grene and even refers to TG and TGE (Thomas

---

274 This report from the Greyfriars’ archive, located in the National Monuments Record, was kindly sent by Rachael Trimm (House and Visitor Services Manager, Greyfriars) of the National Trust.
Grene and his wife’s initials, Elizabeth) on brackets to the carriage arch. The house is described as follows:

It seems that initially the house had a rear hall and 2 rear wings containing a heated parlour, kitchen, 6 chambers and a heated ‘little hall’. A continuous projecting window along the ground-floor façade [sic]. There were originally four first-floor windows, each one lighting a separate room. On the ground floor there were three rooms on the street front, that to the south with a fireplace, a hall…

Thomas Grene was an important man, master brewer and High Bailiff of Worcester twice over (1493 and 1497). He had three children, Richard, Pernell, and Alice. His will shows that a brewhouse was one of his main assets. He made provision for masses to be said in the Friary and left “the tenement and brewhouse in St. Martins parish” to Richard or Pernell, his sons.

---

275 As cited in National Trust Report, 9.
Figure 10: Images of Shambles and Little Shambles, York used with permission from Medieval Research Centre, University of Leicester, UK.
The images above are of “one of the most famous streets associated with the sale of foodstuffs . . . . The Shambles (York), formerly Fleshshambles ‘flesh benches’, so-called from the stalls for the sale of meat, set up in open air.”

Hooks in the overhang (as are visible in the above photo) were commonly used for hanging large pieces of meat. The overhang (also referred to as the jetty or jettison) is characteristic of most medieval merchant buildings; in fact, Clark argues, a “jetty was a feature of many (possibly most) shops from 1250 onwards.”

He notes that the jetty

appeared to serve three main functions with respect to the shop.

Firstly, the visual impact, particularly at the corner site where a finely carved dragon post would have been an invitation to look at the goods on offer inside the shop. It also served as some form of protection from the rain, both for any goods on the counter on display, and for pedestrians, who, by sheltering underneath would be attracted to look into the shop while they waited to the rain to stop. Thirdly, it may have allowed extra space on the upper floor for living or storage on a tight urban site where space was at a premium.

---
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A prominent marker of merchant-class status, the jettied house secured and displayed the householder’s presence within urban space. Through this material presence, “[a] merchant’s family and household thus became an integral part of his commercial and political world.”

Household Composition

For the merchants and lesser gentry, the family is generally perceived to be a nuclear unit, composed of the parents and children. However, with the mixing of classes to create a new mercantile burgeois class also comes a mixing of households, or the familia. A philological approach is useful in determining the organization and character of late-medieval English household. Fourteenth- and fifteenth century terms for household come from the Latin familia and hospitium, Anglo-Norman mesnee, and Middle English familie, meine(e), and house(e)hold, which indicates the range of words used to express the concept of household. As previously noted, live-in apprentices and domestic servants were a regular part of late-fourteenth-century urban mercantile English society. P. J. P. Goldberg writes of the movement of young people from their nuclear homes into the homes of others, especially merchants for craft and trade training. This movement into other households became a naturalized demographic occurrence where adolescents “work and live in the households of others prior to getting married – or at least achieving marriageable age – as life-cycle service. The term ‘life-cycle’

279 Kermode, Medieval Merchants, 70.
marks this as a particular and specific phase in the life course.”

As Kermode notes, “[o]f all the households recorded in 1377 [in lay poll tax returns], one third of those in York and one sixth of those in Hull included servants.” Servants were more than just teenaged lodgers; they were an integral part of the familia. As evidence of this, just as the householder would pay for guild membership for his servants, so too were they frequently remembered in merchants’ wills: “Some 15 per cent of Beverley and Hull merchants’ wills mention servants or famuli receiving small gifts of cash or bolsters and bedding, 24 to 46 percent in York, and these make it very clear that servants were regarded as an extension of the household, even after they had left its service.”

“Servants were sometimes even related to their employers,” further argues Goldberg; for instance, he notes one will in which “John, one of the York merchant William Scoreburgh’s three servants, for example, was named as a cousin at his master’s death in 1432 and Isabel de Syggeston was described as her master’s niece in his will of 1390.”

The responsibility of caring for and teaching apprentices usually lasted for “a continuous period of seven years,” whereas responsibility for

---

280 Goldberg, Medieval England, 22.

281 Kermode, Medieval Merchants, 103.

282 Ibid.
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servants was typically for a one-year period and contractual.\textsuperscript{284} To aid in
the harmonious co-existence between the employer and his apprentices
and servants, expectations are set out in the texts contained in household
manuscripts, as well as in indentures and guild ordinances. Life-cycle
service fulfilled the needs for both the employer and the apprentice: “for
perspective employers, it offered a comparatively inexpensive and flexible
supply of labour.”\textsuperscript{285} For servants and apprentices, life-cycle service
provided training and experience, as well as an opportunity to meet new
people (perhaps even a future spouse) and to develop friendships and
important alliances for the future.\textsuperscript{286}

As Kate Mertes argues, the household “cannot be dismissed as a
mere domestic organisation, nor yet as a simple political tool of the noble
classes. It functioned as an important structure in helping men and women
of the later Middle Ages to conceive, comprehend, and carry out their
existence.”\textsuperscript{287} This domestic space is the site not only where children and
young apprentices learned the “Pater Noster,” but where they learned
social customs and gestures; in other words, it is the site where the shaping
of social morals, manners, and values took place. Teaching and texts

\textsuperscript{284} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{285} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{286} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{287} Mertes, \textit{The English Noble Household}, 184.
ultimately played a large role in developing a sense of community, intimacy, and hierarchy sufficient to differentiate their experiences from those of peasant and aristocratic class families.  

Book ownership suggests a will for learning and knowledge, both of which appear to have been valued by the merchant class as a whole. Books provide, in part, the transmission of knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours otherwise inaccessible. For example, conduct literature, found in all medieval household manuscripts, is specifically concerned with social deportment, providing instruction in manners, decorum, and general morals, particularly those socialized acts traditionally belonging to the aristocracy. If one is not born into such a lineage, then conduct books can provide the means to learning those behaviours. Such behaviours would be particularly apt for aspirant merchants who desired upward movement in socioeconomic terms. These socialized gestures would also be passed on to other members of the household to eventually become habitualized behaviour and thus contribute to the ideologies of domestic space and ethos of the burgeois. The household miscellany is a representative artifact of a particular family at a distinct time in history. The household miscellany is unique in that it provides us with a bound collection of texts,

---
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each of which is imbued with ideological cultural expression, that a family had commissioned and used.²⁸⁹

²⁸⁹ See Julia Boffey’s essay “Bodleian Library, MS Arch. Seldon. B.24 and definitions of the ‘household book,’” 125-134, for a compelling discussion of how a scribe may have worked closely with a family to select and alter texts for their household manuscript.
Chapter Four: Self-Governance in Lydgate’s Fynd cense

**Introduction**

*Fynd cense* is a unique amalgamation of two otherwise distinct instructional poems by John Lydgate found in British Library MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1. This conflated text is reflective of the diversity of audience members who would have utilized it as an independent text or in conjunction with other texts in its larger manuscript context. The new narrative of *Fynd cense* (as compared with the separate texts from which it derives) follows a linear progression from youth to old age that frames and contextualizes the practical advice it offers the variety of readers found in the late medieval urban household. Although many divergent concerns are intermittently broached in *Fynd cense*, several dominant themes are discernible. This chapter aims to evaluate the thematic concerns in order to explore the various ways these might have been interpreted and utilized by different readers. In particular, *Fynd cense* will be examined as a potential means to construct a *burgeois* identity and thus produce and reinforce a cohesive household ideology. The prominent themes are self-regulation of conduct, of consumption, and of reputation. Before embarking on this thematic analysis, space will be devoted to discussing the physical presentation of *Fynd cense* in the Caligula manuscript and its historical origins.
The Fynd cense Text

_Fynd cense_ occupies folios 14r–16v of the manuscript. It is significant that this text is actually a unique conflated version of two independent texts, namely John Lydgate’s _Stans Puer ad Mensam_ (NIMEV 2233) and _Dietary_ (NIMEV 824).290 _Stans Puer_ opens on folio 14r and continues on to folio 15v. Although the last line of the standard text ends three-quarters of the way down the page, _Dietary_ follows on immediately. There is no title indicating what would be the start of _Dietary_, and, aside from the reference to Lydgate and common closing of _Stans Puer_, “Go lytel bylle” (f. 15v), there is no textual or visual indication that these were normally two separate texts. The stanzas continue to be grouped in lines of eight; every stanza, to the left of the first line, bears the same “paragraphus”; and the rhyme scheme is consistent throughout the entire piece.291 Typically, texts in the Caligula manuscript end with the word “explicit” and the next text begins immediately. True to this pattern, there is indeed a title at the top of this conflated text; it is written in a later hand, perhaps sixteenth century, and close examination of the manuscript reveals that the second half of the title reads “Fynd cense” (f. 14r).292

290 Boffey and Edwards, _A New Index of Middle English Verse [NIMEV]_, 149, 57; such conflation of two texts into one occurs at one other place in this manuscript: ff. 89r-91v conflates _To the Creator_ [IMEV 256] with _Fifteen Signs_ [IMEV 1823].

291 For a study of visual markers and punctuation, see Parkes, _Pause and Effect_, 43, 305. “Paragraphus” is a term to describe the scribal sign used to mark the beginning of a paragraph or section. For more scholarship on scribal marks, see Robinson and Zim (eds.), _Of the Making of Books_.

292 I am indebted to Chris Webb at the Borthwick Institute of Historical Research (York, U. K.), Dr. Michael Treschow, and Dr. Stephen Reimer for their assistance with the initial transcription from
microfilm and the librarians in the Manuscript’s Reading Room at the British Library for their examinations of the manuscript which corroborated this reading of the title and probable dating of the hand.
Therefore, I will use *Fynd cense* when referring to the conflated single-text version of *Stans Puer* and *Dietary* as it is found in the Caligula manuscript. It should be noted that while this title appears at the top of the first folio of this text, it does not appear on any of the subsequent pages; this is noteworthy as running titles, especially on the verso-side of folios of continuing texts, are common in this manuscript. The absence of eight stanzas from the standard version of *Dietary* should also be noted.\footnote{293 For examples of a standard version, see BL Lansdowne 699 or Cambridge, Jesus College 56.} It is also striking that on folio 16\textsuperscript{v} of the Caligula manuscript, there is a stanza copied at the outside margin of the page (see Figure 13). It seems probable that this stanza was initially to be included in the *Fynd cense* text but due to scribal error had to be copied at the margin, complete with inclusion marks.
Given the evidence presented above, it seems reasonable to argue that this was a deliberate textual arrangement. The implication of this conflation is that medieval readers of this manuscript would have read this as a single text. Through this deliberate textual arrangement *Fynd cense* comes to reflect the diversity of the household members who would have had access to the Caligula manuscript; it reflects concerns and experiences of readers at varying stages of their lives. On another level, this restructuring enables the poem to function thematically as a progressional piece.\(^{294}\) The start of *Fynd cense* is primarily concerned with children's behaviour, and although periodically it continues to offer some advice to children, as the poem progresses the focus shifts to adults, and the advice becomes more relevant for them on topics such as diet, conduct, and household management.\(^{295}\) Thus through the physical structuring of the poem and the contextualization of advice within different stages of the addressee's life, *Fynd cense* can be read as a textualization of a late-medieval household.

**History of Stans Puer ad Mensam and A Dietary**

Any attempt to determine the readership can be helped by an understanding of the original intended audience for the text. In this sense texts differ widely from one another. *Stans Puer ad Mensam* originates from a

\(^{294}\) Schemes of relating the life-span of a person to patterns (seasons, hours of the day) are common in literature; see Burrow, *The Ages of Man* for an exploration of the different schemes.

\(^{295}\) This shift, indeed the advancement, of the addressee's age resonates with another of Lydgate's work, *the Nyghtyngale* (also in Caligula A.ii, found at folio 59r-64r). Much like the linear life-course progression in *Fynd cense*, *the Nyghtyngale* relates stages of aging to the canonical hours.
thirteenth-century Latin poem about table manners for boys who were being brought up as pages in noble households. This poem is also found in Latin manuscripts that have clear associations with grammar schools. Latin versions of the poem are attributed to Robert Grosseteste, the famous scholar and Bishop of Lincoln (died 1253), who took in boys from aristocratic homes and trained them in his own household.

Originally, the intended audience would have been young boys, members of an aristocratic household, and indeed passages from Stans Puer clearly locate it in that aristocratic household context. It encourages boys to adopt gestures of deference toward social superiors; these gestures were tailored to the social roles household servants were expected to perform. In the fifteenth century the poem was translated into Middle English verse by at least three different authors, one being John Lydgate. As a consequence of this translation, the poem enjoyed a transmission and reception beyond the aristocratic household or the grammar school.

---

296 Orme, Table Manners for Children, 19.

297 Ibid., 20.

298 Ibid.

299 Dunlop, Late-medieval Interlude, 39.
In contrast, it is thought that Lydgate wrote his Dietary around 1430.\textsuperscript{300} The standard text, as it appears in most manuscripts, is considerably longer than the version found in the Caligula manuscript. As mentioned above, it is conflated with the traditional Stans Puer text, yet this version of Dietary omits eight stanzas from the standard text. The missing stanzas do not cover new topics, but rather provide greater detail about various issues in Dietary, such as moderation — “A litill sopeer at morwe makith men liht” (Dietary l. 60) — and practical advice for “abydyng his sesoun” (Dietary l. 92).\textsuperscript{301}

The high number of surviving copies of both Stans Puer and Dietary attests to their popularity in the later Middle Ages. Stans Puer survives in twenty-four manuscripts. Even more remarkably, Dietary survives in fifty-seven manuscripts, a number exceeded only by The Canterbury Tales and The Prick of Conscience.\textsuperscript{302} Of the twenty-four manuscripts containing Stans Puer, fourteen also contain Dietary.\textsuperscript{303} Typically both circulated in mixed-text collections. Manuscripts containing both of these texts are as follows:

1. Bethesda, MD, National Library of Medicine 4,
2. Cambridge, Jesus College 56,
3. Leiden, UL, Vossius Germ. Gall Q.,

\textsuperscript{300} Orme, Table Manners, 20.

\textsuperscript{301} MacCracken (ed.), “Minor Poems of John Lydgate,” 703-07.

\textsuperscript{302} Robbins and Cutler, Supplement to the Index in Medieval English Verse [IMEV/S], 95-6.

\textsuperscript{303} Boffey and Edwards, A New Index of Middle English Verse [NIMEV], 57, 149.
4. London, BL Cotton CC A.ii,
5. London, BL Harley 2251,
6. London, BL Harley 4011,
7. London, Lambeth Palace 853,
8. London, BL Lansdowne 699,
9. London, BL Stowe 982,
10. Oxford, Bodleian Library Laud misc. 683,
11. Oxford, Bodleian Library Bodley 48,
12. Oxford, Bodleian Library Bodley 686,
13. Oxford, Bodleian Library Rawlinson C. 48,

Closer examination of the fourteen surviving manuscripts containing both Lydgate’s

*Stans Puer ad Mensam* and *Dietary* reveals that the version found in the Caligula

manuscript is a unique conflatio. The texts often circulated independently of each

other, even though they may have been in close proximity within the same

manuscript. In six of the fourteen manuscripts, *Stans Puer* and *Dietary* occur in

separate sections of the manuscripts; in BL Harley 2251, for example, they are

located 144 folios apart. In three of the eight manuscripts remaining, *Dietary*

precedes *Stans Puer* (which is striking, as this is the exact opposite ordering to that

found in the Caligula manuscript).

Of the five manuscripts which contain both texts with *Stans Puer* immediately

preceding *Dietary*, one is the Caligula manuscript; two appear to have fragmentary

versions of *Dietary*, Laud. Misc. 48 and Lansdowne 699; and in one, Stowe 982,

---

304 The standard text is from MS Bodleian Laud. Misc. 683 ff. 62-65 and edited by MacCracken

*Minor Poems of Lydgate*, 739-44. Aside from variant spellings and several instances of pronoun

usage, Caligula A.ii’s *Stans Puer ad Mensam* is a complete, line for line text of Laud. Misc. 683; both

consist of 14 stanzas including the “Lenvoye” which indicates that “Yif ouht be mys, – in word,

sillable, or dede, – Put al diffaute vpon Iohn Lydgate” (ll. 89-99).
Dietary occurs in the middle of the Stans Puer text. Only Bodley 686 could possibly contain a conflated version as found in the Caligula manuscript, although this would appear unlikely. The Index lists Stans Puer and Dietary as two separate texts contained in Bodley 686, and it is also one folio longer than the Caligula manuscript text. The Cotton Caligula A.ii manuscript therefore contains a unique conflation of Stans Puer and Dietary. As addressed, this physical restructuring and subsequent reader inclusivity is reflective of the household context in which the manuscript was used.

Conduct and Regulation

The manuscript context of the Caligula is further reiterated through connotations of home and family; more specifically, qualities associated with the burgeis home such as warmth and comfort, “fyre at morwe and toward bed at eve” (f. 16r), sustenance and food, “temperat dyet” of “holsam wyne, feede þe on lyht brede” (f. 15v), caring for the body, “pare clene þy naylyys, thyn handys wasch also” (f. 15v) and “be clenly clad” (f. 15v), to ensuring adequate rest, by being “glad toward bedde” (f. 15v), to contentedness and acceptance, to “be sympyll of chere” (f. 14r) and “never gruchyng,” (f. 16r) all work to promote a collective experience and the ideological identity of the household. Fynd cense contextualizes burgeis values within the discourse of everyday experience.305

305 For discussion of the “bourgeois ethos” see Riddy, “Mother Knows Best,” 67.
Home is the site of security and comfort, but it is also the primary site for socialization. *Fynd cense* is predominantly concerned with the internal realm of the household where relationships are negotiated and managed. It is fitting, then, that much of the social context of *Fynd cense* is set at meal time: “be that thow dyne or suppe” (f. 15r). The poem is punctuated, at times rather abruptly, with advice on food and table manners. These seemingly disparate, even textually interruptive yet consistent, articulations about eating and manners symbolically represent the repetition and consistency of daily meals. *Fynd cense* demonstrates the social importance of the household meal for gathering together and celebrating the comfort, security, and status brought about by the shared work of the household members, and for demonstrating the householder’s monetary success, perhaps through mercantile endeavours, as well as the maistresse’s effective running of the household.

The household meal functioned as a daily ritual, a regular occasion for all household members to participate in a communal experience. *Fynd cense* is as relevant for the child needing lessons in appropriate behaviour at the dinner table, or the apprentice adjusting to a new living situation, as it is for the female head of the household considering what to prepare and serve to her dinner guests.\(^{306}\) The occasion of the household meal, then, was a means for building a collective consciousness, both within the household and the community at large.

\(^{306}\) See Goldberg’s *Medieval England*, 107, where he discusses women as household managers.
As Jonathan Nicholls argues: "For each unit of the community, whether familial or institutional, the main meal has a centralising function and can act as a cohesive force. In bringing the community members together for the needs of bodily nutrition, more needs are being satisfied than merely partaking in the provided food." The communal meal is a site where socialization occurs and, moreover, is displayed.

As *Fynd cense* articulates acceptable codes of behaviour, it not only advocates expected table manners—"Pyke nat þy nose" (f. 14r), "Thy teth also ne pyke not with þy knyf" (f. 14v), "With ful mouth speke nat" (f. 14r), "kepe feet and fyngerys and handys styll yn pees" (f. 14r)—but also through this discourse encodes social hierarchies within this later medieval household and indeed society at large, for the young child is also advised on how to interact with persons of higher status:

Who so speke to þe yn eny maner place
Lumnysschely cast not þy heed adown
But with sad cher loke hym in þe face
*With* dyssolute lauʒtherys do noon offence
Be þy soverayn\(^{308}\) whyle he ys yn presence. (f. 14r)


\(^{308}\) *MED* soverain: (a) One who is superior to or has power over another; an immediate master, a lord; also *fig.*: *pl.* masters; also, one’s betters; **be[n ~ over]**, to be lord over (sb., oneself).
To ensure compliancy, the narrator also addresses the parents or those adults responsible for the discipline of children. In fact, the narrator encourages adults not to heed a child’s wilful ways:

In chyldryn werre now myrthe now debate
In her quarell ys no gret vyolence
Now pleye now wepyng feelde yn on estate
The þer pleyntys geve no greet credence
A rodde performyth all þer yn solence (f. 15v)

Adults hearing this would understand that their children’s misbehaviour requires physical correction. In this sense Fynd cense instructs not only the children on how to act, but the parents on how to discipline as well. The intergenerational audience, then, is overtly instructed on how to conduct itself.

In as much as manners are the performative expression of the internalized ethos of burgeois identity, so too is the conscious regulation of consumption. As a medium teaching “vertuys dyscyplyne” (f. 14r), Fynd cense imparts various codes for disciplining and regulating the body’s gestures, postures, and acts of consumption. These learned behaviours are part of the social drama encoding a particular type of burgeois identity. The Fynd cense text assumes that it is possible

---

309 For uses of physical punishment in education see Bagley, “Grammar as Teacher,” 19-20, 23-34; and also Orme, From Childhood to Chivalry, 34-35.
to control outward gestures and in doing so create a new social identity. This
text, then, attends to the performative aspects of social status.

Indeed, individuals were to be of “vertuys dyscypleyne” (f. 14r).310 Good
manners are one way of associating oneself with “wysdom” and “vertu” (f. 14r).311
Ultimately, all readers and especially those “young chyldryn þat ye schall se or
rede” (f. 15v) of *Fynd cense* were expected to become self-regulating, or self-policing,
for the internalization of self-governance rules or codes perpetuates adult
aspirations to better one’s self, to attain a higher social standing, to “gete the a good
name” (f. 16r) and eventually to secure an eternal place in heaven.312 *Fynd cense*
exemplifies desirable behaviours for a variety of individuals at different stages of
life.

**Moderation of Food**

Many lines in the latter half of *Fynd cense* are concerned with “helth of
bydy” (f. 15v). Indeed, several lines are dedicated to aiding the reader in making
wise choices for healthy living: “Ete no rawe mete” (F. 15v) and “hede foot and
stomak preserve fro cold” (f. 16v). While in the past some scholars have judged
this type of advice to be of little value, and perhaps even so rudimentary that it

---


311 Dunlop makes a similar point in her book, *Late-Medieval Interlude*, 39.

312 See Foster’s article, “Paternal Wisdom,” for an exploration of the overlapping themes found in
*Fynd cense* and *Urbanitas*, another courtesy text found in the Caligula (ff. 88-88v).
would only have been addressed to children, I argue that this can be interpreted not so much for the obvious practical advice it offers, but for the embedded message of self-responsibility; indeed, observable outer gestures, such as polite decorum and nutritious consumption, “are indicative of inner virtue.”

Through direct address to the reader, Fynd cense casts accountability upon each individual.

While the consumption of food in the medieval burgeois household was, of course, essential for survival, consumption was socially mediated through cost and availability. A lot of space in Fynd cense is dedicated to addressing specific types of foods and their quality. Fynd cense’s advice to “fede þe on lyȝth brede” (f. 15v), “Kepe clene þy lyppvys fro fat of flesche or fysch” (f. 15v) “Droppe not þy breste with sause ne with potage” (f. 15v), “Drynke good holsam wyne” (f. 15v), and “Yn ale ner wyne with hande leve no fatnesse” (f. 14v) supports the point that quality was important, but that food stuffs can also be read as reflecting social status. “Historians of food have demonstrated that diet in medieval Europe was socially stratified” and that “food consumption was coded for status.” In his study on the consumption of foodstuffs, Woolgar notes that “there was little
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313 For example see Pearsall’s Lydgate, 220 and Robbins, Secular Lyrics, 252.

314 Nicholls, Matter of Courtesy, 1.

315 Sponsler, “Eating Lessons,” 13; for a recent overview of food see Adamson (ed), Food in the Middle Ages; also, Carlin and Rosenthal (eds.), Food and Eating; Mennell, All Manners.
difference between households in the range of spices used, but there was a good
deal of difference in terms of quantity and frequency of use.”

For example, whereas the noble household of “Humphrey Stafford, in 1452-3, consumed 245
lb. of sugar and Anne Stafford [household], at the start of 1466, acquired 84 lb.,”
this was, Woolgar states, but “a fraction of the consumption in the largest noble
households.” While fruit and nut consumption of the wealthy included
imports, such as “almonds, figs, raisins and currents,” the lesser landowners
were more likely to depend on their own manorial gardens and orchards, and, as
Anne Stafford at Writtle did in 1465, to purchase “soft fruit locally.”
Yet, “[s]pices were one of the defining characteristics of upper-class diet.”
As witnessed in a mid-fourteenth century confession: “When Henry of Grosmont. . .
confessed to gluttony, he had in mind not just rich meats, but ones that were
made as delicious as man could, with good spices and the most piquant sauces. . .
. . ” Quantities of spices were used not only in food, but also for washing
bedding and clothing.
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316 Woolgar, The Great Household, 129.
317 Ibid.
318 Ibid., 130.
319 Ibid., 131.
320 Ibid.
321 Ibid., 129.
Sylvia Thrupp notes that inventories from London merchant households comprise “stocks of red wine, bacon, salt fish, and sides of beef in cellars and larders.” Fancy breads, cheese, and fruits were for lighter meals. “Beer and ale were probably the staple drinks in the wealthier households and were much used in miscellaneous entertaining,” while “wine and lengthy dinners were the accepted standard for entertaining important guests.” Preference for certain food and drink, for example, “wheaten bread over barley bread, the high consumption of fish and meat – mostly from young animals and hence tender cuts – and the use of wine in addition to the ubiquitous ale” can be read as a marker of burgesis status. The wheaten bread that Goldberg refers to is further explored by D. J. Stone who argues “wheat was considered the premier bread grain, producing the whitest and lightest loaf.” Food stuffs, therefore, demarcate burgesis households over those of lesser status.

In addition to the quality of food stuffs, the amount of food and drink consumed was also subject to regulation. Many lines remind the reader to consume only “moderat foode” (f. 16v), implying that not only was there enough food to satisfy all, but there was a comparative abundance of food in the

323 Ibid.
household. Because of this surplus, the reader needed to be advised not to overindulge. Advice to moderate one’s appetite, such as “and with an appetyte ryþs from þi mete also” (f. 15v), “Be twene mele drynk not for no forward delyte” (f. 16v), and “Of gredy handys þe stomak hath grette payne” (f. 16v), teach the household members to self-regulate their appetites and consumption. Self-regulation and its manifestations are prominent burgeis values in *Fynd cense*. These values demarcate this class from the overindulgence and conspicuous display often associated with members of aristocratic status. As Mertes points out, “[b]y the fifteenth century descriptions of the aristocracy were more likely to stress the spontaneously extravagant and liberal character of nobility. . . . conspicuous consumption was not simply a matter of what nobles did, but of what they were.”

To invert this notion of consumption, however, is to consider how the material body actually comes to bear the imprint of these forces and how this imprint extends to the spiritual and ethical well-being of the person; that is, the consumption of food, the restrictions, excess, process and digestion, nutritional “holsom” value, and flavour all have an impact not only on the physical, but also on the spiritual self. The reciprocal relationship between body and food can be seen as morally and spiritually significant as individuals come to “embody” that which they

---


consume. Just as consumption reflects corporeality in these texts, so the physical reflects the spiritual self. Yet another means of reflecting the interior self, as the Caligula manuscript reveals, is through speech.

**Speech**

In addition to advice for attending to self-regulation through proper manners and consumption, another prominent anxiety in the Caligula manuscript is the control of speech. The reader is repeatedly instructed to monitor his or her language, and personal articulations are to be thoughtful and controlled. In *Fynd cense*, for example, readers are advised that “whane thou spekist be not reklees” and to “speke no rebandye” (f. 14r). Similarly, they are exorted to be “Cloos of tonge of word no3th dysseyvabyll” (f. 16r). Elsewhere in this text, advice to “Be no3th to copyous also of langage” and when listening to a reply “Interrupte not wher so þu wende / A mannys tale tyll he have made amende” (f. 15r) warns the reader to attend to what is being said as much as to how one is saying it.

*Fynd cense* is dedicated to expressing the anxiety that misspoken words can bring about. For example, the cautionary advice “To every tale sone þyf no credence” and “Have yn hate mowthys þat ben doubyll” (f. 16r) expresses an anxiety about speech. This anxiety appears not only in conduct works, but also in religious lyrics found in the Caligula manuscript. In fact, one line from *Fynd cense* “To sey þe best set alwey þi plesaunce” (f. 16r) closely resembles the title of such a lyric found at f. 68r, “All way fond to say þe best”. The lyric is wholly dedicated to personal
utterances. The following is a sample of its rhetoric: “Speke noon evil in no place / bote welde þy tonge and kepe þy frend / And lete no wykked word out passe” (f. 68r). As Fynd cense illustrates, speech is a potent medium that manifests and sustains social hierarchical relationships. And if it has the potential to build and sustain power structures, it also has the potential to disrupt them.

**Reputation**

In the medieval social community, outward appearance and behaviour serve to confirm personal worth and identity. Lines such as “There for y conceyll pursue al thy lyve / To leve yn pees and gete the a good name” (f. 16r) exhort the reader to be mindful of reputation and social standing. The popularity of conduct literature within manuscripts owned by merchants makes a compelling argument that social behaviour was a collective concern amongst members of this group. In fact, as Kermode illustrates, “[f]rom the evidence of guild regulations throughout the country, it is clear that in addition to offering participation in collective prayers and some forms of welfare to the aged and infirm, guilds and fraternities saw themselves as embodying ideal moral standards.” In London, for example, in 1476, the Mercer’s Company decreed “that every person be of courteous demeaning. . . . of language as well in buying as in selling and also in proffering their wares for to sell,

---

328 See page 72, note 166 for household anthologies from London in the late-fifteenth century that have an identifiable mercantile ownership.

and for any nasty or simple word be put forth and spoken, which should cause any rancor of debate by any means.” I would argue the guild hall also provided a venue for the diversity of its members to perform their particular social status.

Various socio-economic markers, an example being table manners, would differentiate individuals and indeed households from others. The guild hall would also have functioned as a site of socialization, consolidating and ensuring an expected standard of behaviour and decorum, all the while providing a venue for their display. While guilds were one means to ensure that respectful and appropriate behaviour was maintained, this behaviour was learned from such overtly didactical texts as *Fynd cense*, and also religious lyrics and romances as will discussed in detail in the coming chapters, all of which articulate desirable and expected behaviours.

The desired projection of a harmonious and respectable merchant community is further evident in advice to the readers of *Fynd cense*, who are cautioned, as mentioned above, to “voyde all dronkew lyerys and lechoureys / Of all vnthryftyle exile the maystresse / That ys to sayn dys plyers hasardours” (f. 16v, copied in margin). Contemporary civic records suggest that dicing and drinking were ongoing problems. For example, there are three indentures enrolled in the *York Memorandum Book B/Y*, dated 01 August 1371, 04 October

---

330 Rappaport, “Social Structure and Mobility”, 113, as cited in Hanawalt, ‘Of Good and Ill Repute,’ 47.
1372 and 21 November 1510. Each prohibits apprentices from playing at dice. The first two also prohibit frequenting the tavern or brothel and playing at chess, whereas the third in addition prohibits chess and other illicit games. As Hanna notes, fifteenth-century taverns were imagined as sites of overindulgence and lack of control in both behaviour and in speech.

This type of advice against keeping “certain company” surfaces time and again in *Fynd cense*, where the reader is warned to avoid socializing with dice players and gamblers. These passages clearly demarcate a socio-spiritual distinction between two groups: the well-behaved, orderly, self-governed and the unruly, spiritually and fleshly ungoverned, and perhaps ungovernable. Readers of *Fynd cense* are governed by the articulated moral and spiritual advice of the narrator. The task of didactic literature like this was to illustrate what kind of woman women should emulate and also determine what kind of woman men should find desirable.
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331 *The York Memorandum Book: B/Y* ed., J. W. Percy, Surtees Society 189 (1969), 4-5, 247; the first of these indentures is also found in the *York Memorandum Book A/Y*, ed. M Sellers, 2 vols, Surtees Society 120 and 125 (1911 and 1914), 1: 54-5.

332 Live-in domestic servants and apprentices were a regular part of late-fourteenth century urban English society. As Kermode notes, “[o]f all the households recorded in 1377 [in lay poll tax returns], one third of those in York and one sixth of those in Hull included servants” *Medieval Merchants* 103; Smith, “Geographical Diversity,” 16-59.


Fynd cense, then, educated both men and women, boys and girls, forming “their expectations.”

Sexuality

In the entire Fynd cense text, one hundred and seventy lines, women are directly referred to only three times. The first time occurs at folio 15v, where the reader is warned “With wommen agyth fleshly have not to do.” The obvious addressee of this advice would have been a male, perhaps the apprentice thinking about the company of women or the male head of the household who frequents the local tavern. But what this line is implying is that the “women agyth” are a threat, suspected of corruption and contamination (f. 15v). As Heywood argues, “In general, aging female characters are denigrated, their authority undermined, and they are perceived as disgusting or threatening figures. . . . old women portrayed in late medieval works are frequently associated with the sexual body. One of the misogynist stereotypes of the ‘vieille’

---

335 Hallissy, Clean Maids, 19.

336 In this line, “fleschly” can be read as either an adjective or an adverb. The adjectival reading is the most apt here as it fits within the medieval trope of the appetive, lustful, and carnal woman. It does not refer to old women in general, but to lascivious old women—the kind of women who run taverns. Further, the “vieille” is not necessarily an object of desire herself, but a bawd, procurer, pander, who has some sort of investment in the sensual/sexual. According to the MED, fleshly is defined as follows: flēshly (ch., -līk (adj.) Also fleshlic, vleshlich, vless-, fleishlī. (a) Belonging to man’s physical nature; dominated by physical needs or desires, originating in the bodily appetites, carnal; (c) sexual, carnal; filth, defilement of the flesh, sexual intercourse; ~ generacion, ~ physical procreation; ~ lust (desir, love), sexual desire or passion, love between man and woman; ~ sin, fornication, adultery, etc.; ~ temptation (fonding), temptation to sexual sin.

flēshly (che (adv.) (c) sexually, carnally; filen ~, to defile (a woman); haven ado (to don) ~ with (mid), knouen ~, to have sexual intercourse with, have carnal knowledge of; lian ~ bi (to), to lie with.
is that she is lascivious and over-sexed, her speech infused with fleshly rhetoric and lustful intent.” 337 If men were advised to avoid “women aged,” then implicitly they were available and “common”; this also implies that there were alternatives. In her book *Common Women*, Ruth Karras writes, “‘common woman’ meant a woman available to all men... a whore was someone who did [make her sexuality public], either by explicitly putting it on the market, or by being available to the public in general, or by making a public scandal of herself.” 338 That in this *Fynd cense* passage she is referred to only in a dismissive fashion relegates the aged woman to a place of social marginality. This marginalization is reflected in her textual exclusion and isolation. The “women agyth” line is located beside other lines that address excessive “appetite” and not falling asleep with too much “drynk” (f. 15r). Inasmuch as this stanza addresses the kind of women men should avoid—appetitive and carnal—it also reinforces the kind of woman that women should avoid becoming.

The second and third instance of women’s representation occurs later in the poem:

> Suffre no surfatys in hous at nyght  
> Be war of reresopers *and* of gret excesse  
> Of noddyng hedys *and* of candellyght

---


Of sleuth on morn and sloverynge ydelenesse

Whych of all vyses ys Chef porteres

Voyde all dronkew lyerys and lechoures (dronklew[e] [M])

Of all unthryfty exyle the maystresse

That ys to sayn dys pleyers hasardours (f. 16v copied in margin)339

Here idleness is allegorized as a porteress, as a female deviant of the night
associated with “all vnthryft,” “all vyses,” and “surfatys,” with “noddyng hedys” in
“candellyght” (f. 16v). By association, “all unthryft . . . maystress[es],” not only in
taverns, where women “had a very bad reputation,”340 but in all burgeis households,
are vilified. The repudiation of such women is, as it happens, literally reflected here
in a moment of scribal oversight, wherein the above stanza was excluded and later
reinserted by squeezing it into the margin, a fortuitous metaphor perhaps of
marginalization (see Figure 13 below):

340 Hanawalt, ‘Of Good and Ill Repute,’ 105.
*Fynd cense* teaches that disciplining the body, controlling its appetites, lusts, and postures will bring eternal salvation, while succumbing to its natural wills and unruly state will bring damnation. Paradoxically, then, the body is both the vehicle for redemption and for damnation of the soul. The material body thus reflects both spiritual wellness and social status. When she has transgressed the
norms and rules of self-governance, an unruly woman refusing such governance remains outside the bounds of social control and is consequently a threat to good social order and governance. Learning the negative values embodied by “women agyth,” the male reader becomes responsible for avoiding their company (f. 16v), while the female reader learns a powerful lesson on moral conduct.

The inclusion of what not to be and how not to behave brings with it a certain validation that such behaviour is prevalent and may actually reinforce and perpetuate behaviours already adopted. That men are to avoid having sex with certain types of women suggests that they have a propensity to do just that. Further, there is also a palpable opposition between youth and age, unruly and governed: “Be well avysed namely yn tendyr age, / To drynke be mesur both wyne and ale / Be noʒt copious also of langage” (f. 15r). As argued above, these lines imply that young people in particular, those of “tendyr age,” are more likely to drink excessive amounts of alcohol and violate codes of speech. And perhaps this type of indirect validation of this kind of behaviour provides partial explanation for the marked increase, as Marjorie McIntosh has noted, in frequency of court cases concerning conduct in ale houses, taverns, and inns during the fifteenth century.341

341 McIntosh, Controlling Misbehaviour, 77-78.
Conclusion

In sum, the *Fynd cense* text found in the Caligula manuscript follows the progression of the life course from child, to youth, to adult, and finally to old age. The range in the age of its audience is outlined in the following passage: “In youthe be lusty be sad whan þu art old, / No worly joye lastyth but a whyle” (f. 16v). This passage reveals the life span of the text’s readers, and also warns the quick passage of youth, eliding youth with vitality and old age with physical impermanence and ultimate impending death. This text offers advice on how to live successfully in the world, but also promises that by being “gentil” and “curteys” (f. 14v), minding the body and tending to the soul as prescribed will bring the ultimate reward in heaven as “charyte to þe soule ys dewe” (f. 16v). By conforming to described modes of behaviour through conduct and regulation, by developing good manners and moderate consumptions, medieval conduct literature taught that “Well þys to knowe and rede / And heven to have for or mede” (*Urbantatis*, f. 88vb). Examination of *Fynd cense* reveals it to be an instrument of *burgeis* social tutelage. Ultimately, modes of expression and consumption were subjected to social constraints because of their inherently transgressive potential. These anxieties are prominent in *Fynd cense*, and indeed many of the texts contained in this household manuscript, and in this sense the medieval household is disciplined by the text.

---

342 *Urbanitas* is also in the Caligula manuscript at ff. 88vb-88v.
Chapter Five: Religious Lyrics

The religious lyrics contained in the Caligula manuscript not only share merchant class ethos articulated in texts from other genres also contained in the manuscript, but are also synonymous with public modes of identity formation, such as those expressed in stained glass windows of parish churches. Thirteen of the thirty-eight texts contained in British Library MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1 are categorized as religious lyrics. Religious lyrics, therefore, are a prominent genre in this manuscript, comprising fully one-third of its contents. Analysis of these texts reflects their concern with cultivating and tending to a sincere spiritual relationship as well as promoting the ideological identity of the burges household. As a collection, the Caligula manuscript reflects not only concern with public behaviour and household management, but it embodies the cultural shift towards private piety. This chapter, then, focuses on texts in the Caligula A.ii that are explicitly marked as religious lyrics.

---

343 The Digital Index of Middle English Verse lists 37 texts contained in BL MS Cotton Caligula A.ii; the difference is a result of the DIME missing Cronica (ff. 109-110r [Manual (8) 2702]) and For Pestilence (ff. 65-66v [Wells, 3rd Supp., chap. X, no. 430]) from its contents list, as well as the Fynd cense text being listed as two independent texts, namely Stans Puer ad Mensum (ff. 14*-15v) and the Dietary (15*-16v).

344 As identified in Brown and Robbin’s (eds.) The Index of Middle English Verse. Although beyond the scope of this dissertation, it is noteworthy that this manuscript also contains two Saint’s Lives, (St. Jerome ff. 135*-137v and St. Eustache ff. 137*-139v).

345 To John Burrow, the term lyric usually means “no more than a short poem” (Medieval Writers, 65).
The growth of lay domestic devotion has been connected to the growth of towns and middle-class society in the late Middle Ages. As Rees Jones and Riddy explain, “it has become relatively commonplace to characterize the proliferation of religious goods and services as a symbol of the growing prosperity, literacy and assertiveness of the mercantile classes.” The significant presence of religious lyrics in commissioned household manuscripts is another means for the burgeois to disseminate the values and beliefs inherent to them, while the practice of these devotions in domestic space simultaneously marks them as a distinct group.

The material recipient of religious lyrics, and thus household manuscripts, is, as discussed in previous chapters, the urban merchants in late-medieval England, who typically lived in two-storey, multi-room timber framed houses. Thus, as Rees Jones and Riddy argue, “aspirational piety” maps

spiritual exclusiveness onto other kinds of exclusiveness, and specifically onto the divide that become increasingly visible from the late fourteen century marking off those elite groups in towns who lived in comfortable, multi-room houses – the kinds of people who, increasingly, had parlours, private kitchens, and latrine, and even chapels. They were able to withdraw into the privacy of their homes and to separate themselves from the cottagers whose lives spilled

---

out on the public streets, who cooked their food in communal ovens, used communal privies, and prayed in church.\textsuperscript{347}

Accordingly, household books enabled private internalized devotions and as such were an important means by which the burgeois set themselves apart from the poverty of the labourers and the excesses of the aristocracy in late medieval England.

This movement toward domestic devotion, however, resulted in criticism being levelled at the urban elites for their lavish homes and excessive consumption of luxury and religious items. Their focus on attaining worldly possessions was a distraction that effaced true spiritual conviction, or at least it appeared that way to those outside the mercantile class. As discussed in early chapters, the very possession of books was a marker of material wealth and status, partly because of their cost and partly because of the assumption of literacy they implied.\textsuperscript{348} In his widely read \textit{Mirror of the Blessed Life of Jesus Christ}, Nicolas Love quotes St Gregory: “In also moche as a man hath delite here bynethe in erthely thinges in so moche he is departed fro the loue aboue of


\textsuperscript{348} See, for example, Bell, “The Price of Books in Medieval England,” 312-32; and Gillespie and Wakelin, \textit{Production of Books}.
heuenly thinges.”  Similarly, preachers, alarmed by the worldliness and excessive materialism of much lay religion and the domestic lifestyles on which it seemed to depend, condemned the excessive consumption of the urban elite. There is a contradictory interdependence regarding the love of material prestige and the love of spiritual values within the merchant class.

Noting worldly interests and corruption of the urban elite was certainly not new; Chaucer, for example, uses the Wife of Bath to satirize the spectacle of excessive consumption and consumerism of the merchant class; the image of Alisoun with her “hipes large,” “shoes ful moyste and newe,” and very fine “coverchiefs” that “weyeden ten pound / That on a Sunday weren upon hir heed” conjures a mocking image of a wealthy, over-indulgent woman (GP 472, 457, 453-455). Chaucer’s criticism extends further than merely noting the material success Alisoun so proudly displays, but also the performative quality of her devotion: “That to the offrynge bifoire hire sholde goon; / And if ther dide, certeyn so wrooth was she / That she was out of alle charitee” (GP 450-452). This depiction of Alisoun reveals and mocks the desire to construct a public self that is not only monetarily successful, but one that is perceived as pious and devout. The assertive public self-fashioning demonstrated through Chaucer’s Alisoun, a successful clothier, is inherent in the ethos of the merchant class as a

349 Sargent, ed., Nicholas Love’s Mirror, 54.

whole in late-medieval England. Social success and spiritual virtue were essential elements in what constituted respectability for the urban *burges*. The household manuscript, then, functions to imbricate the social sphere of the town with the intimate sphere of the home.\(^{351}\)

With the shift towards private devotion, the Caligula and other late-medieval household manuscripts respond and attend to the desire for devotional texts that were suitable for use in a domestic space. This does not suggest that those with domestic chapels and access to religious routines would cease to go to attend Mass altogether; rather, it seems likely that they would hear Mass at home, in addition to attendance at Church.\(^{352}\) Religious lyrics offer a practical piety that supports internalized, affective devotion, giving the reader specific advice about self-regulation leading to spiritual salvation. Personal restraint and devout practices express an honourable sense of selfhood.

**The Nyghtyngale**

For example, in the religious lyric *The Nyghtyngale* (ff. 59r-64r),\(^{353}\) the reader is urgently encouraged to “saue thy soule” (f. 60v) through reflection and

\(^{351}\) Rees Jones and Riddy, “The Bolton Hours,” 232.

\(^{352}\) See Pantin, “Instructions for a Devout and Literate Layman,” 399-410.

\(^{353}\) The Cotton Caligula A.ii version of this text begins at the third stanza, line 15, and thus omits the specific address to the Duchess of Buckingham and the author’s request that she read the story of the *Nyghtyngele* to the members of her following as found in Oxford, MS Corpus Christi College 203 and oxford, MS Bodleian lat. Misc c. 66 manuscripts. This absence of personal reference is consistent within the Caligula manuscript as another text, *O mors, quam amara est e meoria tua* (the Mirror of Morality), which is an elegy found at folios 57r-58r, also leaves out mention of Lord Cromwell and his wife that is
contemplation on the passion of Christ and subsequent repentance for all sins the
listener might have incurred.\textsuperscript{354} The narrator figuratively hears her insistence
through the song of the nightingale; he imagines she “calde and sayde a wake
\textit{and} ryse for shame / Out of thy slombre bed of sloth \textit{and} sleep / Remembring the
vpon this lusty seson’” (f. 59v). The nightingale is the reformed messenger
warning the narrator to amend his ways. As the poem progresses, it moves
through the Canonical hours as a means to measure the actual passing of time,
and readers learn that as each hour closes, the nightingale’s death draws closer.\textsuperscript{355}
She harkens to the narrator that she welcomes death: “Ocy Ocy o deth well-come
to me” as “For all my myrthes ande my melodye / As nature wilt about none
shall y dye / My curios note ne shall nought me a vayle / But mortall deth me
sharply woll a saile” (f. 60r, 59v). The nightingale thus functions as an allegory of

\textsuperscript{355} The Canonical Hours and their referent hours are as follows:
\begin{align*}
\text{matins} & \text{ 1\textsuperscript{st} hour} & \text{before daybreak} \\
\text{prime} & \text{2\textsuperscript{nd} hour} & \text{6 AM} \\
\text{terce} & \text{3\textsuperscript{rd} hour} & \text{9 AM} \\
\text{sext} & \text{4\textsuperscript{th} hour} & \text{midday (noon)} \\
\text{none} & \text{5\textsuperscript{th} hour} & \text{3 PM} \\
\text{vespers (evensong)} & \text{6\textsuperscript{th} hour} & \text{6 PM} \\
\text{compline} & \text{7\textsuperscript{th} hour} & \text{before retiring for the night}
\end{align*}
the Christian soul; her message is that she will only suffer a physical death while her soul, because she is repentant, will live on for eternity.

Lydgate’s choice of a nightingale to communicate this message of salvation is an interesting one. The nightingale has played important and diverse roles in European literature, and “[r]eferences to it are found all along the way from Homer to T.S. Eliot.” The most important Latin source of its depiction was in Ovid, who “was read and imitated by many later poets, including Chrétien de Troyes, Chaucer, Gower,” and, of course, Lydgate. Medieval readers and audiences would almost certainly associate the nightingale with tales of overt sexuality and lust. Two medieval manuscripts, Oxford’s MS Corpus Christi College 203 and the Bodlein Lat. Misc c. 66, use (share) the opening lines of Caligula’s version of Lydgate’s *Nyghtyngale*. This repeated opening expresses the association of lust with the nightingale, for courtiers of the Duchess of Boyknham were “Desyrous for to here the amerouse sentensce / Of the nyghtyngale” (f. 59r). The message is that if such a lustful being can seek forgiveness for sinful ways to gain eternal life, then so too is it possible for other sinners to repent and have eternal life. Throughout *The Nyghtyngale*, what nobles and mercantile household members alike hear is a lyric of spiritual teaching. *The Nyghtyngale* is the messenger “Commandyng theym to here wyth tendernesse” (f. 59r), in hopes that her

---

356 Chandler, “The Nightingale in Greek and Latin Poetry,” 79; for a detailed tracing of the nightingale’s historic roles see Chandler’s informative article.

357 Ibid., 78.
audience will internalize her message and live righteously: “With loue bulawsle ys hapr hit will deface / And fleschly lust out of theyre hertis chace?” (f. 59r). In other words, the nightingale is encouraging righteous living.

Following on the nightingale’s wisdom, the narrator further reminds the reader that “non other richesse safe only lyberte / With358 which god hat endowed the richy / Ande byddeth the frely shese to lyve or dye / Fro one of tho ne shall thou not deseuer, / in joie or wo to live and dye for ever” (f. 61r). One’s actions will determine one’s fate. In the face of death, worldly success and “richesse” are not taken into account; rather, wholesome and spiritual are the markers of success. A universal personal accountability is the very essence of this lyric, and this accountability extends especially to those who are “entryng the oure of tierce,” like the servants and aspirant apprentices in the household who would be inspired by “A myghty prync [Duke of Warwyk], lusty, younge, and fierse” (f. 63r) as well as those who are “exalted hye” (f. 63r) on earth, perhaps the successful burgeois:

Ye mighty prynces and lords of a-state
In honoure here that are exalted hye
Beth ware and wake, deth knokkethg at yor yate
And well come in be sure that ye shall dye
Call to yor mynde for speciall remedie
Oure lorde passion his peyne and pacience

358 Wh.-scribal error starts line.
As medycyne chefe and shelde of all defence (f. 63r)

In this way, the lyric addresses all individuals regardless of their stage or station in life; death brings about equality for all individuals, and thus all must do penance for sins. Every household member, young or old, apprentice or master, servant or maistresse, needed to ensure that they were prepared for the sudden “deth knokk” and subsequent judgement. This lyric suggests the way to prepare for this is to “Call to... mynde... /Our lords passion... peyne & pacience.” In essence, meditation on Christ’s suffering was a hallmark of private devotion. It is important to note the leveling of such meditation, in its appeal to individuals of all social standings. The merchant class participation in such a humbling devotion in effect enacts a kind of virtuous, self-abasement.

As mentioned above, the poem uses Canonical Hours as a way of indicating the hourly progression of the nightingale’s last day on earth. This framing is similar to that in Fynde cense in that the advice is contextualized within different stages of the addressee’s life span. These “hours” function metaphorically in Lydgate’s The Nyghtyngale to indicate specific space and time; for example, the canonical hours pair times in an individual’s life with specific biblical events: the canonical hour “matin,” for example, is simultaneously “birth,” “dawn,” and “spring,” while also representing the beginning of the world. “Prime,” then, equals youth, “lusty gaylaunts,” and thus harkens to Solomon’s sayings about the “wanton insolence” of youth (f. 62v). In charting specific times in one’s life course, and habits associated with age, the text
becomes more accessible and applicable to a wider audience. Such a rendering might too have helped serve as a mnemonic strategy to aid in the teaching and memorization of important biblical events, particularly for young household members.359

The symbolic ordering in The Nightynghale is furthered through its use of images of oppositions. The nightingale has a reputation of excess, of amorousness and of singing itself to death, yet it is still the messenger of spiritual advice.360 This text contains many instances of symbolic dualisms, such as light versus dark, ascent versus descent, heaven versus hell, good versus evil, spiritual versus physical, temporary versus permanent, etc., and instead of simply interpreting those ideas in constant opposition, we begin to understand that they are inextricably bound together and mutually informative. That is, one cannot know light until one knows darkness, the beginning until the end. Similarly, readers were to understood A Doctrine for Pestilence (ff. 65v-66v) as more than a text merely concerned with warding off illness; household members were to recognize the physical impacts as having not only spiritual, but also social implications.

The Nyghtyngale lyric purports that death and judgement are the ultimate equalizer in all lives, including those demarcated by wealth and prestige. The

\footnote{359 For a compelling investigation on the cultural meanings and uses of memory, see Carruthers, The Book of Memory.}

\footnote{360 This dualism is much like that of Chaucer’s Wife of Bath and her sermon on the doctrine of gentillesse: “Taak fyr and ber it in þe derkest hous” (WB Tale, line 1139).}
Nyghtyngale, thus, has its own way of expressing the doctrine of gentillesse, specifically at folio 59r, stanza 18 in aurora section in the poem, which is often understood as synonymous with the creation of the world, the mighty Lucifer "fell down for pride to helle," and "Adam ande also Eue" for "whom that the sotell serpent can deceuy / of pure envye and caused to mischeue," and consequently "paradise made hym for to voide none," so too can "worldly pepyll in [their] prosperite" lose their earthly pride and spend an eternity in hell (f. 60v). Worldly greatness, civic or otherwise, does not negate final judgement.

The Nyghtyngale is also similar to the Fynd cense text in which seemingly simple advice is status marked; for example, readers are advised to "Drynk good wyn and holsom meetis" and to "Ete hem [Poletis and Chekenys] with sauce, and spar nat for dispence / various vynegre, and thynfluence / Of hosom spices" (f. 15v-15r). This is loaded phrasing as only the wealthy could afford good and wholesome meat and spices. While The Nyghtyngale addresses a range of ages, the wealthy are consistently the presumed audience: "pepyll in yor prosperity" (f. 60v) know that "Non other richesse safe only liberty" (f. 61r) and "For all thy worldly prde ande veyne desire" the will "ever in hell be brent with endless fyre" (f. 60v). Although these texts are rife with indications of a wealthy class of readers, other individuals who might have had access to these texts, but for whom they were not intended, could glean a certain justice in the fact that all individuals, regardless of earthly material success, still face judgement and
certain death if living un(w)holey. Despite disparities in life, all will be subjected to final judgement. The certainty of judgment and death eternal, then, is an equalizing force which serves to remind the audience of *Fynd cense* and *The Nyghtyngale* that the wealthy are not favoured over the poor.

Further, if we look at these three texts in succession or relation to one another, as a medieval reader might have, *The Nyghtyngale* serves to give the warning, do penance for one’s sins or spend the eternity in the fires of hell: “Sauve þy soule or elles shalt thou smerte / For all thy worldly prde ande veyne desyre / Ande ever in hell be bret with endless fyre” (f. 60v). It is fitting that the text adjoining *The Nyghtyngale* is the prayer based on Psalm 53, *Deus in Nomine Tuo Saluum me Fac* (O God, in Thy name, save me), which offers words for requesting such forgiveness:

```
God in thy name make me safe and sounde
And in thi vertu me deme and justifie
And as my soule ys seke and rectifie
To haue medicine a fore thi dome y crye
Wherfore of endeles mercy ax y grace
That y deposed be vch day to dye
And so to mende whyll y haue tyme and space. (f. 64v)
```

In this prayer, just as in *The Nyghtyngale*, the narrator asks “to haue medicine” and acknowledges that “lord thou hast made me fre” (f. 59r). Again the reader is
prompted to ask for forgiveness to ensure eternal freedom, therefore asserting personal responsibility. Within this household manuscript, the repetition of phrases and the weaving of contrastive metaphors among these texts create a powerful reverberation of the overall message. While some of the dominant themes in the manuscript are orthodox, in that the texts uphold religious beliefs of the time, these religious lyrics also provide the audience with direct access to God, without the mediation of the Church, thus providing a powerful evocation of a certain selfhood.

In his provocative Renaissance Self-Fashioning: From More to Shakespeare, (1980), Stephen Greenblatt discusses how the late Middle Ages anticipates the rise of the individual, “the fashioning of human identity as manipulable, artful process.” He asserts that “such self-consciousness had been widespread among the elite in the classical world, but Christianity brought a growing suspicion of man’s power to shape identity: ‘Hands off yourself,’ Augustine declared. ‘Try to build up yourself and you build a ruin.’” “This view,” Greenblatt further states, “was not only one available in succeeding centuries, but it was influential.” The pathos of suffering and imitation of Christ practiced in the domestic sphere, alongside advice such as “In halle in chambur or wher þu gon, / Nurtur and good maners makeþ man” (Vrbanitatis ff. 88vb-
88v) and council to “gete the a good name” (Fynd cense f. 16r) suggests that the late Middle Ages more than anticipates Greenblatt’s “self-fashioning” individual of the sixteenth-century. This burgeoning selfhood had been gaining momentum since 1382 when John Wyclif initiated the translation of the Latin Vulgate into the vernacular, Middle English. His translation brought an accessibility of the Holy Bible to those outside the Church. As The Nyghtyngale narrator states, “in the byble more pleynly may ye here” the stories and events of past times (f. 62r). This passage acknowledges, then, that The Nyghtyngale recalls stories from the Bible, but its narrative is told in a more complex, metaphorical manner. The active movement away from clerical authority towards spiritual accountability, accompanies the movement towards an individuated class of people. As household manuscripts illustrated, the burgeis were very much concerned with performing their separateness, or monetary success, from the lower classes, alongside their distinctiveness and moderation, from the aristocratic class.

In her essay “Domesticity of Sacred Space,” Jeanne Nuechterlein notes that “[a]s the late medieval laity were increasingly exhorted to focus on their inner spirituality and take responsibility for their own devotions, an increasing proportion of them acquired private devotional objects towards that purpose, including Books of Hours, which by the fifteenth century were widely owned by

---

364 Greenblatt, Renaissance Self-Fashioning, 2.
urban bourgeoisie as well as the nobility."³⁶⁵ Further, Bella Millett notes that often scholarship has “argued that the devotions of the Book of Hours passed from monastic hands into those of the secular clergy, and then to the laity.”³⁶⁶ Book of Hours “typically contained a calendar with the saints’ days clearly marked and instruction for calculating when Easter fell. It also had a collection of hymns, prayers, psalms, and short readings, which were originally set for the monastic community to recite, but which private citizens could also follow.”³⁶⁷ As I have argued above, similar to the Book of Hours, The Nyghtyngale uses the Canonical Hours as a means of structuring and ordering. An owner of a Book of Hours was expected to recite the series of texts associated with each of the hours at prescribed times corresponding roughly to the canonical hours, the traditional time of the day when the clergy were to pray. As “[t]he historical events of Christ’s Passion occurred during a single day. . . the impulse to [align] the main episodes of the Passion to the daily cycle of the canonical hours was a logical one.”³⁶⁸ Margaret de Beauchamp’s Book of Hours uses the canonical hours as the first temporal cycle. These hours are then overlaid with vignettes of various


³⁶⁸ Smith, Art, Identity and Devotion, 58.
temporal and liturgical cycles, whether they be the seasons, the days of creation, the ages of the world, or the Canonical Hours. These kinds of texts function to consolidate the public and the private spheres—parish church with household chapel, cleric absolution with mediation and direct access to God—thereby marking a possible distinctiveness of the emergent burghers.

Books of hours were adapted as they came to be understood as the “breviary of the laity.” Considerable evidence of “lay ownership of Books of Hours” exists particularly “from the early thirteenth century onwards.” By the “fifteenth century, the laity had gained access to much of the same kind of contemplative and devotional material that had earlier typified works intended for the recluses of the thirteenth century.” In fact, there is much overlapping of texts found in Books of Hours and those found in household manuscripts.

Similar to household manuscripts, Books of Hours are vast in content and form; however, “the primary components of a Book of Hours are as follows: “the Calendar; the Sequence of the Gospels; the prayers Obsecro te and/or O interemerata; the Hours of the Virgin; the Hours of the Cross; the Hours of the Holy Spirit; the Seven Penitential Psalms; the Litany; the Office of the Dead; and

369 Ibid., 58-59.
371 Ibid., 31. See also Bell, Medieval Women Book Owners, 742-768. For a sample of books owned by merchants see Parkes, Scribes, Scripts and Readers, 291-294.
lastly, the Suffrages of the Saints.”373 Hymns, penitential lyrics, psalms, prayers addressed to particular saints, and confessions are some of the various kinds of religious texts contained within the Caligula manuscript and likewise in other household manuscripts. There is a clear correspondence of content between these two types of books.

Owning two books certainly conferred greater social standing, but perhaps the justification for the duplication of texts in a household can be better attributed to types of use. Given the elaborate illumination inherent to most Book of Hours, this manuscript would hardly be practical for teaching children to read. In her essay “Cultural Networks,” Youngs notes although their content was often identical, “books owned by the gentry were more sparingly illustrated than those acquired by nobles.”374 Providing pastoral care, instruction in religion and governance, was very much part of the householder’s responsibilities; the simple, plain manuscript would be much more for fitting for day-to-day use by all members of the household. In this way, the religious lyrics could offer access to necessary spiritual texts and lessons, while the lavish book could be used for special occasions or for an aid in affective meditation, given its illustrations.

373 Harthan, The Book of Hours, 15.

374 Deborah Youngs, “Cultural Networks,” 127, as cited by Radulescu, Gentry Culture, 11. I am not using “gentry” and “merchant” interchangeably. Much scholarship has shown that these are two different social groups, but as I have discussed in Chapters One and Two these two groups would have moved in the same urban social circles (guild and parish for example), and what is interesting for my concern is that they share interest in the same types of texts.
Regardless if a Book of Hours was also present in a late-medieval merchant household, the appropriation and inclusion of religious lyrics in the domestic miscellany offers its readers some means of direct access to God.

Given the testamentary and inventory evidence presented, it is reasonable to assert that Books of Hours—and thus religious lyrics such as those found in late-medieval household manuscripts—would have been used as aids in personal devotion in domestic spaces. The Caligula manuscript contains numerous texts that could serve this exact purpose. That these lyrics are clustered together provides further evidence of their intended use; for example, at folio 57v, the elegy “O mors quam amara est memoria tua” (O death, how bitter it is to remember you) begins.\(^{375}\) It sets up the reader to be mindful of equality among people, regardless of station, and that each person has free will and the ability to make responsible choices:

\[
\text{Popes prelates stande yn perplexyte} \\
\text{Crowned conquerens and opf of a low degree}
\]

\(^{375}\) As mentioned in my methodology section, this same lyric is an elegy for the tomb of Lord Ralph Cromwell, d. 1454, yet the Caligula manuscript omits the direct reference to Lord Cromwell. The Harley manuscript preserves the Lord Cromwell address (lines 49-56): “this worthi lorde of veray polce.” Thomson writes that Cromwell was well known for his “ostentatious building projects.” Further, Thompson notes, “in the final stanza both young and old are invited to ‘muse in thi mirrour of moralite’ before praying for the souls of the former Lord Treasurer of England and his wife” (185). Lord Cromwell “died childless in 1456, after a lengthy and at times controversial political career. He left behind many bitter local and national enemies. . . . By April 1454, he unambiguously aligned himself to the Yorkist cause.” The excision of lines 49-56 in the Caligula manuscript might reflect political loyalties (“Looking Behind the Book,” 186). For further reading on Lord Cromwell, see Oxford Dictionary of National Biography [http://www.oxforddnb.com.ezproxy.library.ubc.ca/view/article/6767](http://www.oxforddnb.com.ezproxy.library.ubc.ca/view/article/6767)
And curyous clerkes for with þe they goon

Pat they ryȝt knyȝtly yn har tyme þou sparest non

Marchauntes men of law all under oone

Leches labereres fayn wold fro þe fle (f. 57v)

Full wyse ys he that kan thenk her upon

And for hym self provyde who that he be

Be hold thyss myrrour with yn thy self and se

Thys world ys transcendyt transcytorie joye þat sone ys gon

Whych yn effecte ys but adversitye

And of two wayes þu most nedys chefe oon

Thenk of fre choyes god hath the yeve a lon

With wyt and reson to rule they lyberte

Yff thow goo mysse other blame þu non

Thy self art cause of thyn ynyquye te [grevith the] (f. 58r)

The focus on equality and free will remind readers that they are personally responsible for tending to spiritual matters, that worldly “joye” is fleeting and “transcytorie.” The metaphorical “myrrour” must be used to see if one is leading

\footnote{In the manuscript this line was skipped in error, and so the scribe copied it at the bottom of page and used a scribal mark ∴ to indicate where the line was supposed to be. Additionally, for this lyric the first line of every new stanza was denoted with the following mark: *. This and similar marks are used in various texts in this manuscript; these marks could have functioned to aid reading, especially when reading aloud. Similarly, a system of rhyme brackets is employed in a number of texts to emphasize related lines. Hardman notes the use of rhyme brackets as well in The Heege Manuscript, MS Advocates 19.3.1 (Hardman, “Household Miscellanies,” 31).}
a “chirible” and godly life. This call for earnest self-reflection is then followed by

*The first introit of sapience*, a paraphrase of the Ten Commandments, on a single folio at f. 58v.

Repentance of sin is a consistent concern throughout the Caligula manuscript and other household manuscripts; for example, at folio 69r in the Caligula is the lyric “For þi Synnes Amendes make.”377 This functions as an aid in contrition and penance.

The manuscript contains other religious lyrics that are penitential. *Quinque wlnera* (Five wounds) is one example of the penitential; it is set off from the larger group of religious lyrics, occurring at folio 134v. *Quinque wlnera* parallels texts on the Passion of Christ commonly found in Book of Hours. This kind of affective worship, meditation on suffering and grace of Christ, is prescribed in *The Nyghtyngale* piece:

Call to yor mynde for speciall remedie

Oure lords passion his payne and pacience

As medycyne chefe and shelde of all defence (f. 63r)

For those medieval readers who discern repentance as a simple cure-all for unchristian / uncharitable living, the narrator warns that “Deth cometh in hast he will not be for-born... / For whosoeuer in dedly synne expyreth / Ther is no pardon that may abregge his payne” (f. 61r). Therefore, “All vise to eschew and

---

377 This lyric is also housed in the famous Vernon manuscript. The Vernon is often regarded as “the largest and arguably the most important Middle English anthology” W. Scase (ed.), *The Making of the Vernon Manuscript: The Production and Contexts of Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Eng. poet. a. 1* (Brepols, 2012). It is also one of the most lavish anthologies in the medieval period; Ian Doyle asserts its ownership to a small religious house, most likely a nunnery. See his introduction to *The Vernon Manuscript: A Facsimile of Bodleian Library Oxford MS Eng. poet. a. 1* (Brewer, 1987).
vertuosly be-gynne / For whosoeuer in dedly synne expyreth, ther is no pardon” (f. 62r). Such emotional pathos would certainly have registered with many a household member, especially given the unpredictability of death by “derke mystes” (f. 60r), “plages sore” (f. 62r), “mystys blake and eyr of pestytленce” (f. 16r) as mentioned in *Fynd cense*, or the ever-present threat of doomsday as chronicled at folio 89r: *Quindecim signa ante diem iudiciij* (The 15 Signs of Doomsday). All of the above texts are housed in this domestic anthology.

Further, the specific addresses to those in the tierce of their lives encourages readers to “Bew nothyny prowde thy byrth thus to remembre, / Thou has thy youth dispended folilye” (f. 61r). Older readers would identify with and perhaps recall their own poor decisions in youth; although their errors in judgement were in the past, they still needed to be contrite and seek forgiveness. While the text implies that youthful ways can be forgiven, it simultaneously acknowledges and legitimizes the poor behaviour of younger people, thereby reinforcing a tension that resides generally within this new class ideology. Controlling behaviour was a central concern for those living in medieval times.378 Young gallants were of special concern— with the chivalric resonances in this instance the text is pointed more towards young men— young women reading alongside them would inculcate a tolerance for a young man’s

---

“waunton weyes” (f. 62v).379 This model of masculinity, which exhorted young men to be bold, zealous, and adventurous, that is, to go off to foreign lands and conquer beasts, is a recurring theme in several of the romance texts also found in the Caligula manuscript, including Sir Eglamour and Sir Isumbras. In addition, however, the high Middle Ages brought the emergence of contractual rules for apprentices who lived as family members with their masters and mistresses. In contrast to the romances, indentures spelled out in detail the expected moral, social, and professional conduct of the life of an apprentice, including his sexual activities.380 The canonical hours in The Nyghtyngale represent the progressional stages of life, while simultaneously addressing a multiplicity of readers. The canonical hours, then, represent the diversity of the readers of this miscellany, Cotton Caligula A.ii, and thus the domestic household more generally.

English pre-modern household formation and demography have been the subject of much scholarship.381 We now understand that young people were often living in the houses of other merchant families to gain an education, apprenticeship training, and social connections. The household configuration, as

379 MED “waunton” (a) Not properly or sufficiently controlled, ill-governed, unregulated; also, lacking in discipline, inclined to recklessness; also, inappropriate, contrary to the dictates of good manners (b) resistant to control, recalcitrant, refractory; also, given to rebelliousness, wilfulness, insolent behavior, etc.; as noun: one who is incorrigible or ill-behaved.

380 Goldberg and Riddy, eds., Youth in the Middle Ages; Davis, Writing Masculinity, 122.

discussed in Chapter Two of this thesis, was not only composed of the nuclear family, but extended to apprentices and servants: “service in husbandry... was not an adult occupation, but... a stage in the progression from child living with parents to married adult.”\(^{382}\) In the later Middle Ages, living as a servant “was an experience shared by many young women and probably most young men before the time of their marriage.”\(^{383}\) In her essay Phillipa Maddern argues that “[t]he ideal household community could include only one married couple, the heads of the family. All subordinate household members should be celibate.”\(^{384}\) Such standards imply a certain preoccupation with an apprentice’s moral behaviour in the household; this anxiety over behavioural impropriety is depicted in both the *Fynd cense* and *The Nyghtyngale*. Supposed sexual digressions could also involve the housemistress, the daughters, and the female servants living in the household.\(^{385}\) Through aural reading in the households, a habit widely practiced,\(^{386}\) all household members would have been exposed to

\(^{382}\) Maddern, “In myn own house,” 46.


\(^{384}\) Maddern, “In myn own house,” 45.

\(^{385}\) Laslett, *Family Life*, 34.

these texts and their ideological messages around respectable Christian behaviour.

Constructions of normalcy and expectations regarding behaviour based on the sexed body are often at the very core of medieval texts contained in household anthologies. Even without a conscious teaching of social roles and expectations, there is in the aural reading of the household manuscript a tangible articulation of those ideals. Even when children were not taught in a formal way, they were nevertheless, in the context of the household, immersed in the rhetoric of edification.

Often, this rhetoric involved examples from the lives of saints. Felicity Riddy has argued that “the literary culture of nuns in the late fourteenth and fifteenth centuries and that of devout gentlewomen not only overlapped but were more or less indistinguishable.”\textsuperscript{387} The same books were “read in nunneries and households, around which,” Riddy suggests, “pious women of all estates created a strong feminine sub-culture.”\textsuperscript{388} The saint’s lives, such as St. Eustache at ff. 137v-139v, functioned as religious narratives, but these “could in some cases be more explicitly didactic and exemplary, providing models of social behaviour” for their readers.\textsuperscript{389} The saint’s lives written by Lydgate and Capgrave (a prolific

\textsuperscript{387} Riddy, “Women Talking,” 110.

\textsuperscript{388} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{389} St. Eustache is the patron saint of hunting and firefighting, as well as anyone facing adversity, \url{http://www.learn.columbia.edu/treasuresofheaven/saints/Eustace.php}
author and Augustinian friar of Lynn), although often “written in religious houses, circulated among the literate laity for whom they explicitly modelled genteel and pious conduct.”

According to Rees Jones, “[s]ome of the greatest anxieties expressed in both literature and records of the period concerned the challenges to *masterliness*” at home and in the city. As discussed in Chapter Three, the householder’s role was to govern the household, the *domus*, and be an involved citizen in his political community, the *civitas*. This mirrors the teachings of St. Augustine, as he conceptualized the “household as a microcosm of the city.” In his *The City of God Against the Pagans*, Augustine writes: “domestic peace has reference to civic peace: that is, the ordered concord of domestic rule and obedience has reference to the ordered concord of civic rule and obedience.” The householder should show fair rule and compassion with his *familia* and with his larger community. The sense of obligation to exercise justice is clearly articulated in the Confession

Also I have not fulfilled þe vij. werkes of mercy noþr bodily ne gostely/not fedyng the hungry/not vysette hem þat were in

---


391 Rees Jones, “City and County,” 69.


This generic Confession is laden with the pathos evoked by guilt at not meeting the expected behaviours of a “crysten creature.” The expectations for Werkes of Mercy are, significantly, the very same acts depicted in the Blackburn stained glass windows at All Saints Church (see Chapter Three). This text from the Caligula household manuscript, just like the Blackburn window, espouses the ethos of burgeis respectability: caring and providing for those not as fortunate as one’s self.

Religious lyrics are a prominent genre in late-medieval household miscellanies. They provided the medieval reader with a rich and key set of texts necessary for learning to live a pious life and reiterated new ethos of the merchant class. That these were included in household anthologies speaks to the increase in private, domestic devotion. Private piety, then, is one of the hallmarks of the mercantile burgeis class. In the same way religious lyrics are valuable for potentially discerning the new burgeis ethos, so too are romances; despite previous academic dismissal, they embed fantastic tales of knightly adventure and abuse, dramatizations of aristocratic family life and loss, all the while asserting values of the burgeis.
Chapter Six: Romancing the Household: (Con)Textual Relationships

Of the forty-one texts contained in BL MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1, eight are identified as romance, making this an important collection of medieval romances. Of these romances, four have features unique to the Caligula manuscript: its version of Sir Launfal survives only in this manuscript, Emaré is a unique copy of the Constance saga, the Isumbras text contains a single stanza found only here, and the southern version of Octovian Imperator is preserved only in the Cotton Caligula A.ii. While the extensive presence and unique versions of romances in the Caligula manuscript are intriguing, their physical placement in the larger manuscript is also compelling. As this analysis will establish, the romances contained in the Caligula manuscript are centred on an inter-textual commonality: the narratives are united in their concern with matters of family and domesticity. Contextually, this is significant to understanding the manuscript as an inter-related collection of texts whose unity reflects the concerns and aspirations of the medieval mercantile class.

Recent scholarship, such as that found in the collection edited by Rhiannon Purdie and Michael Cichonnald entitled Medieval Romance, Medieval

---

394 It should be noted that in this analysis I have included romance texts subcategorized as Breton Lays. While I acknowledge that much scholarship has striven to distinguish the differences between lays and romances, most scholars concur that the most distinguishing feature is the shortened length of a Lay when compared to a romance text. Because lays follow the general pattern and subject matters indicative of romance texts, they will be included within my analysis. For an engaging discussion of the commonality of lay and romance texts see Beston’s “How Much Was Known of the Breton Lai in Fourteenth-Century England?”
Contexts is attempting to recuperate romance texts from previous dismissive opinions that undermine serious scholarly study of this genre: “from its inception [pre-twentieth-century], scholarship on the Middle English popular romances has been characterised by a thinly—if at all—veiled repugnance to the romances themselves, not only to their poetic form but their subject matter and the medieval audience who is imagined to enjoy them.”  

For example, in 1980 Derek Pearsall stated, it is “difficult to understand why poems that are so bad according to almost every criteria of literary value should have held such a central position in the literary culture of their own period.”

Yet, in 2011, over thirty years after he penned the above quotation, Pearsall offered “a recantation,” stating he now acknowledges the value and pleasure in reading medieval romance: “The cumulative effect of the Middle English popular romance is the irresistible bonding of the audience into the story, almost independent of any teller or reciter. The romances do what they do consummately well.” That “romances survive in more than ninety manuscripts, ranging . . . from about 1330 to the seventeenth century,” and that they “continued to be popular in England for some three hundred years after their first appearance attests to their value to the medieval and early modern

---

395 McDonald’s *Pulp Fictions of Medieval England*, 5. Here McDonald cites Percy, Scott, and Ker, for instance. See too Purdie and Cichonald, eds., *Medieval Romance, Medieval Contexts*.

396 Pearsall, “Understanding Middle English Romance,” 105.

Indeed, past dismissive attitudes to romance texts seem strikingly odd, given their status as medieval England’s most popular secular genre. In William Fahrenbach’s recent essay “Rereading Clement in Thomas Chestre’s Octavian and the BL Cotton Caligula A.ii,” he too begins with a survey tracing a long list of negative scholarship of the romances. In this same article, Fahrenbach advocates that the late-medieval manuscript like the Caligula A.ii is especially significant in that it houses eight romance texts and its scribe was deliberate in thematic unity of these romances.

Numerous scholars have commented on the high occurrence of romance texts within these miscellany manuscripts; specifically, Julia Boffey and John Thompson argue that “romance narratives seem to have remained the staple diet” of the composite manuscript. Furthermore, these “romances occur near each other, as though they circulated together in the scribes’ exemplars.” For example, “[i]n the Lincoln Thornton Manuscript, one booklet (ff. 53-153) contains the Alliterative Morte Arthur, Octavian, Isumbras, Erle of Tolous, Sir Degrevant, and Eglamour of Artois. A booklet in Cambridge MS Ff. 2.38 (ff. 63-102) contains Erle of

---

398 White, “BL Cotton Caligula,” 16; see also, Severs, A Manual, 12.
399 McDonald, “Intro,” 1.
401 Boffey and Thompson, “Anthologies and Miscellanies,” 292.
Tolous, Eglamour, Tryamour, and Octavian.” The Caligula manuscript also contains many of these: in folios 22-56, three romances are clustered together: Octavian Impertor, Launfal, and Libeaus Disconus. United in physical placement, these three romances are also united thematically. This cluster of romances is primarily concerned with issues of family. Specifically, families in Ocatvian and Eglamour “are separated by conflicts among family members,” while Isumbras’ family is “separated by causes which lie outside the family, in spiritual relationships.” “Octavian is a family romance; the plot is set in motion by infertility and the desire for an heir,” while “[a]dultery, illegitimacy, and infanticide threaten the family stability…” These three narratives focus on family endurance. It is striking that even the text which opens this manuscript, The Pistil of Swete Susan, although a biblical narrative, is also concerned with familial relationships, particularly female respectability, reputation, and domestic obligations. Interestingly, Eglamour of Artois, which I will discuss in greater detail in the following pages, although separate from this cluster, is close by, following

---

402 Hudson, Four Middle English Romances: Sir Isunbras, Octavian, Sir Eglamour of Artois, Sir Tryamour, 3.

403 Hudson, Middle English Romances, 8.

404 Ibid., 47.
The Pistil of Swete Susan at folio 5, and it too is concerned with “family and social conflict, codes of conduct, and moral values.”

The first cluster of romance texts deals with conflicts among family members, and by logical extension one would expect the didactic works to be found close by. In fact, Lydgate’s *Fynd cense*, discussed in Chapter Four, immediately precedes this group of romance texts. Thus, texts providing instruction on how to manage one’s family and household members precede stories of families attempting to live as a cohesive family unit. These romances, then, can be read as threats of possible outcomes should one fail to heed the appropriate behaviours outlined in the didactical works.

Upon closer examination, the textual variants amongst other versions of the romance further indicate that the compiler of the Caligula was concerned with its audience. As mentioned previously, *Octovian Imperator* is part of the first of two clusterings of romance texts in this manuscript. The Southern version included in the Caligula is markedly unique and fits well within the household context. Although the protagonist “Florent reveals his status and wins his lady in combat,” the Caligula’s *Octovian Imperator* “battle scenes are not particularly embellished: only one combat between individuals is described, that of Florent and Arageous, and the sequences of attacks and exchanges of blows are not

---

405 Ibid., 115.
relayed in any detail.” 406 This conservative treatment of violence makes sense if the audience or readers were in a domestic setting, indeed if it were a book intended for all members of a household. 407

The second clustering of romance texts runs from folio 111r to 134r and is, again, primarily concerned with matters of family. It contains The Siege of Jerusalem, Chevelere Assigne, and Sir Isumbras. Isumbras, which is a secularized re-telling of the legend of Saint Eustance, survives in more manuscripts and prints than any other romance. 408 Again, the families in the romance texts in this second cluster are separated by “causes which lie outside the family, in spiritual relationships,” whereas the family in the Isumbras romance, “is separated so that Isumbras can atone to God for his sins, specifically excessive pride.” 409 Similar to the Octovian romance, Caligula’s Isumbras lacks the heroic or violent amplifications found in other similar manuscripts, such as Lincoln Cathedral MS 91 (also called the Lincoln Thornton MS), National Library of Scotland Advocates MS 19.3.1 (also called the Heege Manuscript), and Bodleian MS Library Ashmole

406 Hudson, Middle English Romances, 50.

407 In addition to the interrelated themes, these three romances were possibly compiled together by virtue of common authorship—Launfal mentions Thomas Chestre explicitly at its closing, at the bottom of the first column of 42r Libeaus, which follows, begins at the top of the second column, and is the only long item in the manuscript to start on a b-column of a folio. In his Re-Reading Middle English Romances, Murray Evans suggests that the sequence of these three romances is inherited from its exemplar, perhaps even from Chestre’s original (71).

408 As mentioned in the religious lyric Chapter Five, the Life of St Eustance is also included in the Caligula manuscript.

409 Hudson, Middle English Romances, 8.
The governing emphasis of Caligula’s *Isumbras* is on edification, which, as Evans says, is “a characteristic that is well-suited to this manuscript.” It is worthwhile to note that much like the Caligula, two of the three above named collections containing *Isumbras* are also identified as household manuscripts and included in the sample list for this dissertation. *The Siege of Jerusalem* is often referred to as an “exemplary” or “homiletic” romance; it is a markedly didactic treatment, which blends instructions and edification with entertainment; that is, it is a romance that holds membership in two genres—romance and religious lyric; the *Siege of Jerusalem* therefore combines the heroic with the edifying.

“*Chevalere Asigne* shares this homiletic [quality; it] is an exemplum of God’s help to the wronged—in this case, a queen and her children under the sway of an evil mother-in-law.” That all of these texts are also concerned with the inner workings of the family fits well within the interests and concerns of *burgeis* readership. They can be understood as depicting idealized examples of how to conduct one self, emulating chivalrous, courageous, and spiritual faithfulness.

---

410 In her 1978 *Medium Aevum* article, Phillipa Hardman was the first to publish on similar edits to the *Isumbras* romance found in the Heege MS. Since then, Mary E. Shaner has also noted the revisions to the text; like Hardman she argues it was “edited for the entertainment and instruction of the young.” See Shaner, “Instruction and Delight,” 5-15.

411 Evans, *Rereading Middle English Romance*, 71.


413 McSparran, *Octavian Imperator*, 45.

414 Evans, *Re-reading Middle English Romance*, 70.
Moreover, and more specifically in relation to the manuscript context, placing these romances amongst religious works reiterates the idea that the personal is inextricably entwined with the spiritual and that religion should be practical and practiced as a part of the everyday. That the Caligula, and similar compendia, weaves together the religious and the political indicates that the romances in this manuscript were not only intended for entertainment, but also for edification and guidance. A similar pattern is visible in Lydgate’s *Fynd cense*, found at folio 14v. This is a didactic work in which advice is given on raising well-mannered, physically healthy, spiritually-aware children into moral adults, as explored in Chapter Four. Further, and consistent with the shift of religious practice into the domestic environment, it is not surprising that the Caligula manuscript houses texts used to aid in spiritual edification and wellbeing, such as “Make amends” and a confession in verse forms, both of which appear on folio 69v, positioned between the two clusters of romance texts.

Placing these highly entertaining romances alongside more serious didactic and biblical works does not negate the messages embedded in the instructional texts, but rather reinforces them through the power of imaginative narrative. The narratives of romance are amusing and, while they often include a protagonist in need of a moral lashing and a plot stretched beyond reasonable limits (such as children carried off into the woods by animals, dragons in need of slaying, and families overcoming insurmountable odds to reunite), buried within these narratives are subversive and
persistent messages critiquing dominant, aristocratic ideology and power structures. The formulaic plots and stock characters of the romance texts and didactic narratives provided a safe space within which critiques could reside. That these narratives were perceived as the “principal secular literature of entertainment” further aided in the undetected circulation of their challenges to aristocratic ideals.\textsuperscript{415} Indeed, the romance and didactic narratives provided the very space, a textual zone between rich and poor, for writers to confirm and critique social and political norms. They also provided the opportunity for the medieval imagination to flourish and ultimately supersede that which is depicted in these texts. These texts show that when the protagonist, who has good moral and spiritual worth—or at least the potential for such—endures hardship, whether those trials were instigated within or without the family, eventually, that individual will realize grace and success regardless of noble blood. The Earl’s fall, literally from the tower and symbolically from virtue or nobility, is a parallel example:

\begin{verbatim}
This olde erle Sir prynsamoure
Fell down bakward of a towre
And brake hys nekke be lyve
A messengere come before to tell
What kyns aunterus þe Erle be fell
Wyth God may no man stryfe
All nyʒt þer þey lay (f. 13ra)
\end{verbatim}

\textsuperscript{415} Pearsall, “Middle English Romance and its Audience,” 42.
The ascent of Eglamour and descent of Prisounoer are synonymous with their interiority. This contestation of values is embodied in the *doctrine of gentillesse*. One can read this conflict in a subversive manner, interpreting the binary opposites of bad versus good and dominant versus subordinate as an articulation of noble/mercantile/peasant distinction within the hierarchical system of medieval England. In other words, the literal battles and conflicts within romance narratives can be read allegorically as an articulation of the class struggle within the everyday medieval world.

Further articulation of such class struggle can be seen in the very form of the romances found in the Caligula. Romance texts were the choice narrative of medieval England, specifically those written in Rime Royal and five-stress couplets. This form is typical of Chaucer and the courtly writers. Most of Cotton Caligula A.ii’s romance texts, however, are written in tail-rhyme (6 and 12 stanza scribe marked), a style that would seem to have been not in much favour with sophisticated and refined audiences.\(^{416}\) It would not seem to be merely

\(^{416}\) See John B. Beston’s article “How Much Was Known of the Breton Lai in Fourteenth-Century England?” where he aligns the couplet lays with a “rather sophisticated audience, familiar with the courtly tradition,” and the tail-rhyme lays with “a somewhat crude but robust audience.” See also, chapter “Creative Revisions” in *Women’s Power in Late Medieval Romance* where the intended audience reception of *Sir Launfal* is discussed. Amy Vines notes that Thomas Chestre’s version, which is found in the Caligula at ff. 35v-42v, “has endured a good deal of critical censure for what many saw as its preoccupation with rhyme, its substandard revision of an original French tale [Marie de France’s version]” (118). Vines notes Marie’s audience “has most often been associated with the court of Henry II and Eleanor of Aquitaine,” whereas Chestre’s version is, according to Bliss, “catering to a mixed audience of no more than average intelligence” (119). As further noted by Vines, Laskaya and Salisbury argue “the fact that *Sir Launfal* is written in tail-rhyme rather than ostosyllabic couplets, suggests that it is a more popular and less aristocratic poem than the highly crafted *Lanfual* by Marie de France” (202). See my footnotes 417-421, for further sources addressing tail-rhyme reception.
coincidental then that Chaucer the courtly poet is not included in the boards of this household book. In fact, as Laura Loomis has noted, Chaucer mercilessly satirizes tail-rhyme romances in his *Tale of Sir Thopas*. She further identifies the Auchinleck manuscript, MS Advocates 19.2.1, a household miscellany similar to the Caligula, although excluded from the sample corpus in this dissertation as it was compiled much earlier in the 1330s, version of *Guy of Warwick* as the tail-rhyme romance source for Chaucer’s *Tale of Thopas*. Felicity Riddy has argued that the Cambridge University Library MS Ff. 2.38’s large collections of stories in non-Chaucerian modes are something other than merely a compilation for “well-doing, devout readers of modest intellectual accomplishments,” as some modern scholars have argued; rather, she posits, these “are stories for people who do not care about ‘literary’ fashion, and whose tastes allow them to reject aristocratic forms as much as ape them.” Of this household miscellany, which is included in the manuscript sample for this dissertation, Riddy also notes “all these anonymous poems in CUL Ff. 2.38 are, moreover, in tail-rhyme or four stress couplets; there is nothing by Chaucer, Gower or Lydgate and nothing written in the Chaucerian stanza forms—rime royal and five-stress couplets—that writers in
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417 In the “Introduction” to the facsimile production of the Auchinleck manuscript, Derek Pearsall argues that “the taste that it [the Auchinleck] appeals to and is designed for is that of the aspirant middle-class citizen, perhaps a wealthy merchant” (viii).
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the fifteenth-century traditionally adopted.”420 Echoing Loomis’ earlier position, Riddy argues:

Chaucer had made it clear in the 1390s that, as far as he was concerned, tail-rhyme was the medium of choice of the unrefined; his mockery of the stanza in “The Tale of Sir Thopas” was a way of coding his own formal inventions, by contrast, as socially prestigious. His fifteenth-century followers understood this and many of them adopted Chaucerian modes. We find rime royal used by Osbern Bokenham and John Capgrave for saints’ lives; by John Hardyng for a chronicle; by John Metham for a romance. . . . 421

Thus the concentrated presence of tail-rhyme romances and the marked absence of Chaucer speaks to a certain sensibility and character of household manuscripts. The Caligula manuscript, and household manuscripts in general, similarly participates in this selective process; some kinds of texts typically associated with aristocratic consumption have been appropriated and then modified to suit this new burgeois class. Importantly, while these texts embody some aristocratic sensibilities, the desire for elevated social standing, for example, they espouse an ethos associated with the urban burgeois in late-medieval
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England—namely civic virtue, domesticity, neighbourliness, literacy, piety, charity, and upward social mobility.

The “household book” embodies such oppositions, setting up a tension between old and new values; this is reflected, in part, through the eclectic selection of texts it contains. As noted in Chapter One, the readership and audience of these household manuscripts, the domestic milieu, the familia, extends beyond the nuclear family to include other members of the household, such as family relatives and servants. Indeed the vast selection of texts contained within the boards of these household books suggests they were used for a variety of purposes and quite possibly by a variety of persons. The tension carried in these texts lies in their expression of new urban domestic values and desires while simultaneously carrying some of the values of the aristocratic class. The burges household was the context, then, of both ideological confirmation and contestation. The Caligula manuscript, through the variety of texts contained within its boards, is a complex articulation of these power struggles.

Given the recurring themes embedded in its romance texts and their placement alongside other texts contained in the manuscript carrying similar themes, it is highly likely that the Caligula served as a book used within a household context. Both clusters of romance texts center on domestic family issues, and these concerns are reiterated in the other texts in the Caligula manuscript. I am not suggesting a single homogeneous reading of the romance texts in the Caligula, but rather an extraction of
thematic unity from the patterns in textual placement. While many divergent plots and themes are simultaneously sustained in the Caligula, critical analysis shows an inter-textual commonality in these romances within the larger context of the manuscript itself.

Sir Eglamour of Artois

Typical of romance texts in household manuscripts, *Sir Eglamour of Artois*, found in the Caligula manuscript at ff. 5v-13r, expresses attitudes and anxieties consistent with its mercantile *burges* audience. That the variety of texts contained in these compilation manuscripts is patron specific, the high incidence of romances might suggest not only a familiarity with these stories, but also a reader preference. Appearing in six surviving manuscripts, *Sir Eglamour of Artois* seems to have been one of the most popular medieval romances.\(^{422}\) Given its engaged characters and thrilling narrative, it is not difficult to imagine it being used within the household context, shared orally for an evening of entertainment or for private reading on behavioural instruction.

As Harriet Hudson from *Four Middle English Romances*, aptly summarizes:

Eglamour, a knight, falls in love with Cristabel, the only child of his lord,

\(^{422}\) *Sir Eglamour* appears in British Library MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, Lincoln Cathedral Library MS 91, Cambridge University Library MS Ff. 2.38, British Library MS Egerton 2862, Bodleian MS Douce 261, and British Library MS Additional 27879 (called the Percy Folio). As illustrated previously, that three of these manuscripts containing *Eglamour* are also included in the sample of household manuscripts, see Chapter Two for complete list and summary of each, further reiterates that the Caligula should also be regarded as a household miscellany. For a list of selected published editions of *Sir Eglamour*, see Table 1 of this dissertation.
Princeamour, the Earl of Artois. He bemoans his lower rank to the point of lovesickness, but she reciprocates his feelings and he recovers. Princeamour agrees to give Eglamour Cristabel and his earldom if he performs three feats of arms, which it soon becomes clear that he intends the knight to fail.

Eglamour completes his first task with ease, capturing a deer from the giant Arrok and slaying him in the process. He returns to Artois with Arrok’s head, and Princeamour immediately sends him to kill a boar that is terrorising Sydon, which he does in a gruelling four-day battle. He also rescues the people of Sydon from Arrok’s brother, Marras, who raised the boar and is attempting to abduct their princess, Organate. The grateful King offers Eglamour his crown and his daughter, who gives him a ring and promises to wait fifteen years for him. Eglamour returns to Artois bearing the giant’s head, to the delight of Cristabel and the anger of Princeamour. The couple profess their love and spend the night together. After twenty weeks, Princeamour gives Eglamour his third task: to slay a dragon in Rome. He succeeds, but is wounded and spends the next year being cared for by Diamontowre, the Emperor’s daughter, in her bedchamber.

During this time Cristabel gives birth to a son and her enraged father has them put to sea in a rudderless boat. As soon as they reach land, the child is carried off by a griffin, but is found by the King of Israel who christens him
Degrabel and raises him as his heir. The distraught Cristabel travels on to Egypt, where she is taken into the court of the king, her uncle. Eglamour returns to Artois with the dragon’s head and Princeamour, afraid of the knight, retreats into a tower. Eglamour seizes power and departs for the Holy Land, where he lives for fifteen years.

Meanwhile, Degrabel has become a noble knight, whose arms show a child carried by a griffin. The King of Israel suggests that he should marry and they travel to Egypt, where the two Kings arrange a tournament with Cristabel as the prize. Degrabel is victorious, but after they are married Cristabel sees his arms and realises he is her son. The unconsummated marriage is dissolved, and the kings agree that her suitors must beat Degrabel in combat.

This second tournament is attended by Eglamour, bearing his new arms: a ship, a drowning lady and a child. He knocks Degrabel down with the flat of his sword and is declared the winner. Christabel recognises him by his arms, and joyfully introduces Degrabel to his father. The King of Israel tells how he found the child, and the King of Sydon promises Degrabel Organate. They all travel to Artois, where Princeamour falls from his tower.
and dies. Eglamour and Cristabel are married in the same lavish ceremony as Degrabel and Organate.  

_Sir Eglamour’s_ themes are consistent with other romances of the time, and its concerns reflect the larger historical context. This was a time of economic and social instability; there was a “dramatic drop in population from 1348-49 plague and resulting demographic conditions that held the population at a low level until at least the end of the fifteenth century, higher rates of geographic mobility, changes in landholding patterns caused by leasing of the demesnes of most great estates and consolidation of many smallholdings, weakening of constraints imposed by villeinage, and the consequences of increased woolen cloth production.”  

There was, in simple terms, more to share among fewer people; “the substantially lowered population meant a greater amount of land per capita, many peasants had gained greater freedom and mobility, vacant housing abounded, and agricultural wages were high.”  

These factors, coupled with the emergence of capitalism, and the merchant class, further destabilized existing systems of exchange and value. Thus material indices traditionally used to
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measure and assert class were no longer reliable.\textsuperscript{426} The material indices marked in the household manuscript can be read against the impulse of the times and challenged against feudalistic ideals. It was a time of stability for economic and social stratification: older times where one’s actions or deeds determined one’s value, as was seen through chivalry and knighthood. The text of \textit{Sir Eglamour} reflects this change, carrying a tension between old and new values. \textit{Sir Eglamour} is, on one hand, a testament to older times wherein one’s actions or deeds determined one’s value. Yet, on the other, the text carries a system of exchange that disturbs feudal conventions, not only driving the plot, but a new set of values, forward.

\textit{Sir Eglamour}, in particular, reflects aristocratic ideologies; the role and function of family and marriage, social construction of gender, and contestations of value are readily apparent. Consistently the narrative expresses chivalrous ideals. For example, one’s value is inherent, but must be realized. In romance texts, this realization is often symbolized and realized through journeys. In these, which are sometimes physical,

\footnotesize\textsuperscript{426} Much like today, clothing was a symbolic medium for performing one’s station in the late Middle Ages. It was a common moral precept that one should not dress beyond their means; however, given the upset to once rigid pre-plague socioeconomic groupings, certain groups had greater personal wealth and could afford to buy clothing that marked them as very wealthy. While some sumptuary legislation was already in place, “the first sumptuary law to have survived, dated 1337, was aimed at limiting imports of luxury cloth and furs. . . for the Royal family, the prelates, earls, barons, knights, ladies and clergy with benefits worth at least 100 pounds sterling a year” (Lachaud, “Dress and Social Status,” \textit{Heraldry, Pageantry}, 106). Further regulation flourished in late 14th century England. These new edicts focused on the regulation of dress articulating post-Plague anxieties about gradation of status and social mobility. For a useful study on the importance of clothes in medieval culture, see Susan Crane, \textit{Performance of Self}; Coss et al (eds.), \textit{Heraldry, Pageantry and Social Display in Medieval England}; Phillips, “Masculinities and the Medieval English Sumptuary Laws”; and Davis, \textit{Writing Masculinity}. 190
but always spiritual, one’s worth is realized. However, romances such as *Sir Eglamour* were at the same time fundamental in asserting new class-and gender-marked ideologies of late-medieval England. Upon successful completion of the Earl’s tests, Sir Eglamour not only wins the girl, Cristabelle, but is justly rewarded:

Syr Eglamor kneled on hys kne

And lord gode ʒelde hyt the

ʒe have made hym a manne

The kyng sayde I schall hym ʒeve

Half my londes whyle I leve

My sone as whyte as swanne (f. 13ra)

Because of his virtue, good deeds, strength of will, and faith (in God and good faith in the Earl that he would be true to his word), “lord gode... made hym a manne.” Eglamour’s good and gentil nature, “whyte as swanne,” is recognized by the King and thus he is moved to “ʒeve / Half my londes.” Ultimately, this romance reinforces the notion expressed in *Urbanitas*, as signalled in my title-phrase, “Nurture and manners makeþ man,” that the individual is indeed a self-made man.

From the onset we learn that Eglamour is of lesser status than Cristabelle, daughter of the Earl of Artois. Nevertheless, he is not some random knight, an outsider, but rather a member of the Earl’s court. The narrative is set into play through
Eglamour’s attempt to gain the Earl’s permission to marry Cristabelle. This quest for
the Earl’s approval must be read against the knowledge that privately Sir Eglamour and
Cristabelle have already agreed to marry and have consummated that union, which
makes it a valid and binding marriage according to canon law. Pope Alexander III
insisted that neither parental decision nor public ceremony was necessary to make a
valid marriage, but rather that marriage was based on mutual consent by the couple.427

Then seyde þat lady whyte as flowr
How fares my knyth Sir Eglamor
That dowʒty ys ay whare
Damesell as ʒe may se
Thus am I cast for love of þe
In augur and in care
The damesell seyd so mote I the
And ʒe have any care for me
My herte ys wondur sore
And I myʒt turne un to lyve

I wolde wedde ʒou to my wyfe
ʒyf þat ʒor wyll hyt wore (f. 6r)

427 Cartlidge, Medieval Marriage, 17-18.
We see here then a valid marriage for readers in late-medieval England, since it “needed nothing else beyond the exchange of consent to be valid: it did not have to take place in a church or even in the presence of a priest.” The exchange of present consent between two individuals made the marriage bond: “I take you X, to be my wedded wife,” and “I take you Y, to be my husband.” It offered a statement of present and immediate intent, an act of will, a performative utterance; Sir Eglamour’s declaration, “I wolde wedde you to my wife,” followed by their consummation, renders them married. As Goldberg argues, “according to canon law, moreover, consent demanded no more than the exchange of words (or if those words indicated only intention to marry, the marriage was held to immediately biding on the consummation of the relationship).” Eglamour and Christabelle were indeed married, albeit clandestinely.

This romance, then, presents two conflicting models of marriage: contractual and companionate, aristocratic and burgeois. The ideology of marriage in late-medieval England is very much class based; contractual or aristocratic marriage unions were routinely “for political and economic reasons,” based on “property transfer and marrying only within their own class (a view of marriage
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supported by the secular law),” whereas marriages founded upon personal choice, on love, are typically associated with the new burgeis class, where individuals are more free to shape their own lives. As Lee Patterson argues, “By and large, merchants seem to have left the choice of a marriage partner up to their children.” Cristabelle’s spousal choice is particularly poignant as she is the sole heiress to Artois. As a female and in the absence of an heir, the man she marries could potentially rule Artois. That Cristabelle is able to assert her preference suggests she has agency. However, the Earl later casts his “Dowʒtyr into þe see schalt thowe / In a schyp alone” (f. 10ra) and then refers to his grandson as “þat bastard” (f. 10ra), foregrounding the liability that Cristabelle and her off-spring represent. A son born to Cristabelle would mean that he would rule Artois and could possibly usurp Pincesamour, Earl of Artois. The dominant message in this romance is that love and goodness triumph over social rank and marriage for the sake of inheritance. The assertion of choosing a mate, the naming of one’s desire in love and marriage, is a marked cultural shift particular to burgeis class of late-medieval England. This shift can be read, then, as synchronized with the advent of the individual as discussed earlier in this chapter. As Dyan Elliott notes, “some scholars have argued that the triumph of consensual theory of marriage corresponded with the new-found emphasis on

432 McCarthy, Marriage in Medieval England, 163.

433 Patterson, Chaucer and the Subject of History, 346.
the individual.” Thus, this romance embeds not only feudal values of courtliness, but a new ideology comprising individualism and upward social mobility, particularly for the young aspirant knight.

The exchange of gifts, Cristabelle “gefe þe two grhondys” (f. 6vb) and “Also a good swerde” (f. 7ra) and later Eglamour gives her “A good ryng I schall gyfe the (f. 9va), solidifies their marriage yet also emphasizes her social status, that she is a “trewe gentyll woman” (f. 7ra), while simultaneously promoting his material ascent. The romance *Sir Eglamour of Artois* therefore suggests to a person, particularly to a male of lowly birth, that upward social mobility is possible for those who are worthy. Similarly, if there is upward movement in the social strata, so too is there downward movement. Pincesamour’s behaviour becomes increasingly deplorable as the tasks he sets before Eglamour escalate in danger and difficulty. With Pincesamour’s final and cruelest treachery of casting Cristabelle and her infant son to sea, his fate follows. The Earl shuts himself in a castle tower, the sly symbol of male prowess and power, imposing his own imprisonment, only to fall out a high window to his death. As Hudson notes, “the formulas of romance are subversive: they question the authority of parents and lords while affirming the authority of the individual and love for its own sake.” In this romance, order and justice along with the new ethos of love marriage are realized. Even in the reciprocal relationship of true love, however, Cristabelle wants Eglamour to gain
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her father’s approval. The romance thus carries not only the new values of love relationship, but the traditional social structure by which she remains the property of her father. *Sir Eglamour of Artois* thus instils an ideological tension within the hierarchy of domestic order.

Although Sir Eglamour is “a knyʒt of lytyll lond” (f. 5vb), he is still “knowen in crisyante” as “on of þe noblest knyʒth” (f. 6ra); in fact, his inherent goodness is consistently remarked upon in this romance. It is not incidental that this young knight of little land struggles to prove himself and find his place in the world. Surely this idea would resonate with the male apprentices living household—like the Knight learning his trade aspiring to become a master guildsman like his mentor. This work ethic is central to the ethos of the new *burgeis* class and is addressed time and again in didactic works. In addition, as discussed in Chapter Three, life-cycle service and subsequent guild membership are essential in developing the transmission of paternalistic, and thus gendered, notions of maleness. That the text following this romance is specifically addressed to young men, “My dere son fyrst þy self enabull / Wt all thyn herti to vertuys dyscplyne” (f. 14r) further strengthens reading this romance as playing a didactic role. As a knight in service to the Earl, Eglamour demonstrated his mastery of the “vertuys dyscplyne” espoused in the neighbouring didactic text of *Fynd cense*.

Gentillesse has been defined as four specific virtues:
In whome is trauthe, pettee, fredome, and hardynesse,
He is a man inhereyte to gentylmene.
Of thisse virtues four who lakketh three,
He aught never gentylmane called to be

[Any man who possesses integrity, compassion, generosity, and courage carries a title of gentility. Whoever lacks three of these four virtues ought never to be called a gentleman].

The mark of gentility in the romance is palpable. The romance of Sir Eglamour underscores the charged economic and social realities of medieval England. In its twisting plot, Sir Eglamour subtly supports courtly ideological constructs yet at the same time contests them. Thus, it problematizes conventional indices used to measure and assign social value. Even though Sir Eglamour is not a wealthy knight, he is rich in virtue and faith. This tension emphasizing the definition, production, and function of self-worth is present in Sir Eglamour. Significantly, this romance embeds the idea, unspoken yet strongly suggested in theme, that those of gentle birth are recognizable, even though their circumstances do not reflect it. For example, despite that Cristabelle is set adrift at sea and her young son is carried off by a griffon, the king of Israel is still able to recognize her goodness, her innate nobleness. There is here a conflation of external, physical
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beauty with interior goodness that applies not only to those born into nobility, like Cristabelle, but to those who earn it, like Sir Eglamour.

Within the romance, the rules for private decorum are portrayed in often a seemingly insignificant fashion, carrying at once both old and new ideologies; for example, when attending to Sir Eglamour’s chamber while he is ill, two ladies accompany Cristabelle. This chaperonage and such other such measures aimed to ensure the purity of bloodlines. If one’s value is inextricably bound with birth station, then it logically follows that the relationship between the sexes would be highly regulated. The importance of purity surfaces time and time again in Sir Eglamour; Cristabelle is consistently referred to as “a whyte flower” – a metaphor that not only upholds her beauty, but bases beauty, in part, on sexual innocence. The metaphor thus sustains two traditional touchstones marking women’s value, that is, beauty and “purity.”

This limitation on women’s movement also reinforces the misogynistic premise that women are unruly, and overly sexual, in need of surveillance. The rules pertaining to women’s movement and access to them protect aristocratic lineages, and, in the case of merchant household readership of romances such as this, provide an example of behaviour that “proper” women should emulate. Sir Eglamour aids in the social liberation of young women by demonstrating new burgeois values, including faithfulness and perseverance, ideal qualities in a potential partner for a socially aspirant young man. Romances offer a more
courtly version of the good advice found in didactic works; that is, by example Cristabelle teaches young women to be patient, virtuous, gentle, wise, respectful, and well-mannered. Romances provide “instruction in good manners in the sense of sophisticated social behaviour and conversation, even instruction in good rule, and not just piety, household management, financial prudence and so on.”

Embodying the old, embedding the new, romances also model love relationship, which brings a certain, limited individual liberty to women in the merchant class.

While these new values afforded women personal agency in choosing a marriage partner, restraints on their physical movements were still part of the older system. The physical movement of women in the aristocracy, in sharp contrast to men, was strikingly static; in his second battle, Sir Eglamour is badly injured and is tended to by the Emperor’s daughter Organate; she is the homebound caretaker of the valiant knight. Organate’s role is that of nurse, tending to the wounded knight so that he may again go out on his adventures. Cristabelle is, of course, also homebound and waiting for her knight’s return – she is pregnant from their clandestine meetings. When the Earl discovers that his daughter has not only betrayed him, but is pregnant, he casts her to sea in a rudderless boat, unprotected from the open seas and gusting winds. The rudderless boat symbolizes Cristabelle’s lack of agency within her father’s
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household. Indeed until this part in the narrative, Cristabelle’s choices are limited by the whims of her father, the Earl.

Movement for men, in contrast, is a very rich and prominent motif in medieval romances; in Sir E glamour of Artois, the Knight, although trying to appease the Earl, travels about to unknown lands conquering beasts and slaying dragons. His journeys are self-directed and bring him greater personal agency. In order to prove Eglamour’s worthiness, the Earl sets three challenges before the Knight. Although he is sent to prove his worth, the Knight’s travels, in fact, signify male autonomy and power. “The knight’s horse and his social status,” Riddy argues, “are emblematic of mobility and freedom. Although he looks archaic, he is in many ways a new man in fourteenth-century England: an adventure-seeker and risk-taker, a uniquely accessible and adaptable locus of fantasy and desire. In late-medieval English romances, the knight can be seen as a ‘bourgeois-gentry’ myth of young manhood.”

The gendered constructions of the ideal male reinforced here are bravery, adventure, strength, obedience, heroism, and faithfulness. Riddy further asserts that the very “use of the mythical figure of the knight in the domestic context of romance-reading reveals much about the role of young men in the ideology of the family and household” and, in particular, about role of young men; “it endorses the independence of the son on whom the family’s hopes for the future rest, allowing him to be a risk-

438 Riddy, ME Romance, 238-9.
taker, and yet in the end makes him follow the same course as his father."

Once again, the text reveals a resident tension in the conflation of class values. In the new burgeois ethos, subversive authority topples with the Earl’s fall from the tower, while order and justice along with the new ethos of love and marriage are realized. Thus, as imaginative bearers of subversive notions, especially when reinforced by messages contained in the didactic texts, the medieval romance has much to teach us regarding the burgeois household.
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Chapter Seven: Conclusion

In the first three chapters of “‘Nurtur and good maners makep man’: The Burgeois in Late-Medieval Household Miscellany BL MS Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1,” I discuss the socio-economic, domestic, and political culture of the merchant class in late-medieval England. These three chapters analyze the complex tapestry of domesticity, community, culture, conduct, self-promotion, and identity that construct a specific readership for household manuscripts, inasmuch as readers can be constructed through text. Interpreting the household manuscript as an object within the material culture in which it was produced and used requires a contextual examination that of necessity draws from a wide range of sources.

An examination of patterns of textual inclusion and repetition of themes in didactic, lyric, and romantic texts reveals an emergent set of values and beliefs, a new burgeois ideology, marked by an inherent tension from competing systems of values. This new ideology “making” the burgeois man depends on nurture and manners taught in the mercantile household, which extends beyond the nuclear family to include relatives, apprentices, and servants, male and female, young and old. Indeed, there is reciprocity between choice of texts chosen by the patron and those same texts serving as social scripts to inculcate their desired identities. By exploring, refining, building upon, and at times challenging existing
scholarship and assumptions, I have provided a more subtle, nuanced picture of the new *burgeis* household and its manuscript.

Chapter Two establishes that the Caligula manuscript should be considered a household manuscript and read through that lens. I unravel the tangle of terminology in current use, distinguishing between miscellanies and commonplace books. I then establish the Caligula manuscript as a miscellany, an analysis of which leads to better understanding the social-domestic composition and emergent ideologies of the mercantile class. Reading the Caligula reveals the household manuscript served as suitable reading for all household members. Its themes regarding domesticity and gender are consistent. Some of the texts contained within its boards were for edification, both spiritual and didactic. In addition, while the romance texts provided entertainment, their messages are consistent with those of the didactic texts, making these the more subversive means for dissemination of new understanding.

Chapter Three argues that in a society in which an earl’s younger son might be styled a merchant or an esquire, and knighthoods could be conferred on merchants serving as aldermen, the distinctions between classes blurred. One way for the merchant class to mark itself differently from the aristocratic class was monetary success, transformed into political power; another was membership in guildhalls, whose ordinances and statutes parallel those found in texts contained in household manuscripts. As my reading of *Fynd cense* shows,
the regulation of appropriate behaviour for the burgeis became codified in the household manuscript. For a class dependent on interrelationship, social networking, and intermarriages, it became extremely important to be mindful of individual reputations and social standing. This is where the burgeis ethos parts company with that of the aristocracy, in that civic virtue, domesticity, neighbourliness, piety, charity, self-regulation, and literacy superseded aristocratic values informing such habits as overindulgence and conspicuous display. For the merchant class, self-assertion, becoming gentil versus being of noble descent, was a conscious performance legitimated by one’s actions.

Chapter Four focuses on Fynd cense, Appendix C includes a diplomatic transcription, and evaluates the thematic concerns of self-regulated conduct, consumption, and reputation in this unique conflation of two independent texts, John Lydgate’s Stans Puer ad Mensam and Dietary. The implication of this conflation is that medieval readers of this manuscript would have read this as a single text. Through this deliberate textual arrangement Fynd cense reflects the diversity of the household members who had access to the Caligula manuscript as it reflects concerns and experiences of readers at varying stages of their life course. Fynd cense is an instrument of social tutelage, contextualizing burgeis values within the discourse of everyday experience, providing instruction on “virtuous discipline” and self-governance for an intergenerational audience,
instructing children on how to behave and parents on how to discipline, and thereby encoding a particular type of burgesi identity.

Religious lyrics, a prominent genre in the Caligula manuscript, are concerned with cultivating and tending to a sincere spiritual relationship. With the shift towards private piety, the Caligula responds to the need for devotional texts suitable for use in the domestic space. In this regard, the Caligula manuscript offers a practical piety that supports internalized, affective devotion, giving the reader specific advice about self-regulation leading to spiritual salvation. The Nyghtyngale lyric, for example, teaches a mixed household of young and old, apprentice and master, servant and maystress, the need to ensure they were prepared for the sudden “deth knokk” and subsequent judgement. The Nyghtyngale encourages righteous living, and a universal personal accountability is the very essence of this lyric. Functioning as aids in personal devotion, religious lyrics provided a powerful evocation of a certain selfhood. They reiterated a new ethos, teaching the medieval reader to live a pious life. That these were included in household anthologies speaks to the value placed upon of private, domestic devotion, marking private piety, as one of the hallmarks of the mercantile burgesi class.

The romances contained in the Caligula manuscript centre, in two clusterings of texts, on an inter-textual commonality: these narratives are united in their concern with matters of family and domesticity. Notably, scholarly
opinion on the value of the romances is slowly changing. Where scholars once denounced the romances as so much bad poetry, those engaged in contextual work recognize the value of treating the manuscript as a whole, illuminating patterns and ordering clusters, while identifying uniting concerns within the collective group of manuscripts.

The first cluster of romance texts in the Caligula manuscript, which is concerned with conflicts among family members, closely follows Lydgate’s Fynd cense. Intertextual reading reveals that texts providing instruction on how to manage one’s family and household members accompany stories of families attempting to live as a cohesive family unit. The romances present threats of possible outcomes should one fail to heed the advice of the didactical works. The patterning of texts, placing romances amongst religious works, entertainment alongside edification, suggests that personal fulfillment is inextricably entwined with the spiritual and that religion should be practical and practiced as a part of the everyday. In this section of Chapter Six I explored Sir Eglamour at length; this romance presents two conflicting models of marriage: patrilineage and companionate, aristocratic and burgeois, embedding—as in the didactic and lyric texts in the Caligula—a tension between older feudal values and the new ideology of the burgeois, based on piety, right conduct, and individualism.

Reading the Caligula as a household manuscript identifies a new readership elicited, in part, through its deliberate textual choice and
arrangement. The physical placement of the manuscript’s texts dramatizes a thematic continuity; the moral and other life lessons espoused in the didactic texts are supported by the more subversively embedded ideas in the romances. In other words, the romances are accompanied by practical instruction that reinforces ideas embedded in the romances. Through engaging the imagination, the reader is encouraged to emulate the manuscript’s didactic teachings for living a virtuous life. Significantly, that texts contained in the Caligula manuscript have been modified, such as the omission of violent battle scenes from romance texts (for the sake, one would suppose, of a young reader) reiterates the recurring value of peaceful and harmonious living.

The religious lyrics in the Caligula manuscript promote peaceful, virtuous living, which is a constant concern, consistently emphasized, with spoken word, to further encourage considerate and respectful relations, not only within the household but with neighbours and the larger community. The value placed on words is also visible in the romances; for example, the very foundation of Sir Eglamour and Cristabelle’s marriage is words spoken. The Cotton Caligula A.ii’s many references to caring for those who are poor and destitute underscore the value of righteous living, which is realized and performed through community and civic service. One expresses an honourable selfhood through self-restraint and devout practice. It is through generous, merciful acts that the wealthy merchant not only rationalizes and justifies his material successes, but also demarcates himself from the aristocracy. Ultimately, then, this surviving
household manuscript, the Cotton Caligula A.ii, constructs and reveals a particular household readership and, along with it, the potential of an emergent burgeois ethos.
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Appendices

Appendix A: Manuscripts in Cotton Caligula A.ii Table

(A) Ashmole 343
(B) Balliol College 316, Oxford
(CC A.ii) British Library, Cotton Caligula A.ii, part 1
(CC) Corpus Christi College 145, Cambridge
(GC) Gonville and Caius College 175, Cambridge
(H) Harley 2382
(L) Lambeth 853
(LD) Laud 656, Bodleian Library, Oxford
(LSD) British Library, Lansdowne 699
(P) Princeton UL, Garrett 143
(S) Sloan 3534
(TH) Thornton, Lincoln Cathedral Library, MS 91
(V) Bodleian MS Eng. Poet. a.1 (Vernon)
Appendix B: Manuscript Provenance


Household MS included in sample and their provenance:

1. The National Library of Wales MS Brogyntyn ii.1 (formerly Porkington 10): West Midlands
2. National Library of Scotland, MS Advocates 19.3.1: North Midlands
3. Cambridge University Library MS Ff. 2.38: Leicestershire
6. British Library MS Cotton Caligula A.ii: South-east or South-east Midlands
Appendix C: Diplomatic Transcription of Fynd cense

f. 14r
My dere son fy rst þy se ll enabull
With all thyn hert to vertuys dyscyplyne
Afore þy soverayn syttyng at the table
Dyspose þy þoght aftyr my doctrine
To all nortour þy corage to encl yne
Fyrst whyle þu spekest be no3t recheles
Kepe feett and fyng erys and handys styl yn pees

Be symyll of chere cast not þy loke a syde
Ne þy heed a boute turnnyng over all
A geyn þe post let no3t þy bak abyde
Make no3t þy myrrour also of the wall
Pyke not þy nose and yn specyall
Be ry3t well war and set her yn þy tho ght
To fore þy souereyn ne creche ne rubbe þe no3t

Who so speke to þe yn eny maner place
Lumnyschely cast not þy heed adown
But with sad cher loke hym yn þe face
Walke demurly by þe streys yn þe town
And advertyse þe to wysdom and reso
With dyssolute lau3terys do þu non of fense
Be þy soverayn whyle he ys yn presence

Pare clene thy naylys thyn e handys wasch also
To fore mete and when þu doost a ryse
Syt yn þat place þu art assygned to
Prece not to h ye yn no maner wyse
And tell þu se a fore þe þy servyce
Be not to hasty vp on brede to byte
Of gredynesse lest men þe wold a wyte

Grenyng at þe tabyll eschewe
Cry not to lowde kepe honestly sylence
To enbose þy jowes with brede yt ys noȝth dewe
With full mouth speke noȝth lest þu do offence
Drynk not brydelyd for hast nor neglygence
Kepe clene þy lyppys fro fat of flesch or fysch
Wype fayr þy spone leve yt not in þy dysch

Of brede y bete no soppy þat þu make
Loude for to soupe yt ys ageyn gentynnesse
With mouth enbrued thy cuppe thou ne take
Yn ale ner wyne with hande leve no fatnesse
Foule not þy naperye for no rechelesnesse
Never at mete be war be gyne no stryf
Thy teth also ne pyke not with þy knyf

Of honest myrth lete be þy dalyaunce
Swere noon othys speke no rebaudyte
The best morsell haue þys yn remembraunce
Hole to þy sylf alwey do noȝth aplye
Part with þy felawe for yt ys curtesye
Let not þy trenchur with many remyseslyys
And fro blaknesse alwey kepe þy nayles

Of curtesye yt ys ageyn þe lawe
With sown dyshonest for to þe offence
Of old surfetys a breyde not þy felowe
Towardes þy soverayn have þy ay þy aduertence
Play with no knyf take hede to my sentence
At mete and souper kepe þe stille and softe
Eke to and fro meve not thy fote to ofte

Droppe not þy breste with sause ne with potage
Bryng no knyvys unscouryd to þe table
Fyll not þy spoon lest yn þy corage
Yt passe be syde whych were noþ commendabyl
Be quyk and redy meke and seruysable
Well a waytyng to fulfylle a noon
What þy souerayn commaundyth þe to doon
And wher so be þu dyne or soupe
Of gentynesse take salt with þy knyf
And be well ware þu blowe noþ yn þy cuppe
Reuereence þy felowe be gyn with hym no stryf
To þy power kepe pees all þy lyf
Interrupte not wher so þu wende
A mannys tale tyll he haue made anende

With þy fyngrys mark not þy tale
Be well avysed namely yn tendyr age
To drynde be mesure both wyne and ale
Be noþ to copyous also of langage
As tyme requyred schewe out thy vysage
To glad to sory but kepe þe a twene twyne
For loos or lucre of ony cas sodeyne

Be meke yn mesur not hasty but tretabyll
Over mekyll ys noþ worth yn no thyng
To childryn langyth noþ to be vengabyll
Sone mouynig and sone for yenynge
And as yt ys remembryth be wrytyng
Wrath of chyldryn some ys euer goon
With an appyll partyes ben made at oone

In chyldryn werre now myrthe now debate
In her quarell ys no gret vyolence
Now pleye now wepyng seelde yn on estate
Tho þer pleyntys geve no grette credence
A rodde rerformyth all þer yn solence
In ther corage no rancoer doth abyde
Who spareth the yerde all vertu set a syde

Go lytyll byll bareyn of langage eloquence
Pray yong chyldryn þat ye schall se or rede
Þowʒþ þu be compendyus yn sentence
Of þy clausys for to take hede
Whyche to all vrtuys schall þer youthe lede
Of þe wrytyng þogh þer be no date
Ʒyf ouʒþ be a mys yn word sylable or dede
Put all þe faute vpon John Lydgate

For helth of bydy couer for cold þy heed
Ete no rawe mete take good hede þer to
Drynke good holsam wyne fede þe on lyʒth brede
And with an appetyte ryys from þy mete also
With wommen agyth fleschly have not to do

Vp on þy slep drynk noʒt of the cuppe
Glad toward bed at morn both too
And vse never late for to suppe

And yf yt so be þat leches do þe fayle
Than take good hede to vse thyngs þre
Temperat dyet temperat trauayle
Not malycyous for non adversyte
Meke yn troble glad yn pounte
Ryche with lytlyl content with suffysauce
Neuer gruchyng mery lyke thy degre
3yf fysyk lakke make thyss thy gouernance

To euery tale sone 3yf þu no credence
Be not to hasty ner sodenyly vengeable
To pouer folk do no vyolence
Curteys of langage of fedyng mesurable
On sodayn mete no3th gredy at þe table
In fedyng gentyll prudent yn dalyaunce
Cloos of tonge of word no3th dysseyuabyll
To sey þe best set alwey þy plesaunce

Haue yn hate mowthys þat ben doubyll
Suffyr at þy tabyll no detraccon
Haue dyspyt of folk þat be ever yn troubyll
Of fals rownerys and advlacyon
Withyn þy court suffre no dyvysyon
Withyn thy housald schall cause gret encrece
Of all welfare prosperityes and foysen
With thy neibourys leve yn reste and pees

Be clenly clad aftyr thyne estate
Passe no3th bondys kepe þy promys blyue
With in follys be not at the bate
Fyrst with thy bettere be war for to stryve
Ageyn thy felowe no quarell to contruye
With thy sugget to stryue hyt wer scheame
Ther for y conceyll pursue all thy lyue
To leu ye peas ye_bee and gete the a good name

Fyre at morwe and toward bed at eue
Ageyn mystys blake and eyr of pestytlence
f. 16v
Be tyme at masse þu schall þe better spede
Fyrst at þy rysyng do to god reverence
Vysyte þe pore with entyre dylygence
On all nedy haue compassyon
And god schall sende grace and ynfluence
The to encrese and thy possesyon

Suffre no surfatys in hous at nyght
Be war of reresopers and of gret exesse
Of noddyng hedys and of candelyght
Of slouth on morn and slomerynge ydelenesse
Whych of all vyses ys chef porteres
Voyde all dronke wyerys and lechoures
Of all vnthyfty exyle the maystresse
That ys to sayn dys pleyers hasardours**

After mete be war make not lang slepe
Hede foot and stomak preserve fro cold
Be noþth to pensyf of thoght take no kepe
After þy rente mayntene thy howsold
Suffre yn tyme yn thy ryþth be bold
Swere noon othys noman to be gyle
In youthe be lusty be sad whan þu art old
No worly joye lastyth but a whyle

Dyne not at morewe a fore thyn appetyte
Cler eyr and walkyng maketh good dygestyon
Be twene mele drynk not for no forward delyte
But thurst or travayle yŷ þe occasyon
Over salt mete doth grette oppressyon
To febyll stomakys whan þey cunne noþth refrayne
Fro thynghys contrarys to þeyer complexyon
Of gredy handys þe stomak hath grette peyne

Thus yn ii thynghys stant all the welthe
Of soule and body who so list hem sewe
Moderat food geuyth to man hy helthe
And all surfetys doth from hym remoue
And charyte to þe soule ys dewe
Thys receyt bowght ys of no potycarye
Of maystyr Antonye nor of mayster Hue
But to all yndyfferent rychest dyatarye

Explicit

**in margin**