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ABSTRACT

Following starvation, Dictyostelium discoideum cells aggregate, a response that requires chemotaxis to cyclic AMP (cAMP) and the relay of the cAMP signal by the activation of adenylyl cyclase (ACA).

Insertional inactivation of the rasG gene resulted in delayed aggregation and a partial inhibition of early gene expression, suggesting that RasG does have a role in early development. When the responses of rasG cells to cAMP were compared with the responses of rasC strain, these studies revealed that signal transduction through RasG is more important in chemotaxis and early gene expression, but that signal transduction through RasC is more important in ACA activation. Characterization of a rasC/rasG mutant revealed that both cAMP chemotaxis and adenylyl cyclase (ACA) activation were negligible in this strain.

The ectopic expression of carA from the actin 15 promoter restored early developmental gene expression to the rasC/rasG strain, rendering it suitable for an analysis of cAMP signal transduction. Since there was negligible signaling through either the cAMP chemotactic pathway or the adenylyl cyclase activation pathway in this strain, it is clear that RasG and RasC are the only two Ras subfamily proteins that directly control these pathways. The mutational analysis of Switch I and Switch II regions also defined the key residues that generate functional differences between RasC and RasG.

Rap1 is also activated in response to cAMP but its position in the signal transduction cascade was clarified by the finding that its activation was totally abolished in rasC/rasG/act15:carA and in rasG cells, but only slightly reduced in rasC cells. The finding that in vitro guanylyl cyclase activation is also abolished in the rasC/rasG
\( [act15]:carA \) strain identifies RasG/RasC as the presumptive monomeric GTPases required for this activation.

The phenotypes of the vegetative \( ras \) null mutants were also examined. The results indicate that RasG plays an important role in cytokinesis. The partial absence of chemotaxis to folate in \( rasG \) cells compared to the total absence of chemotaxis to folate in \( rasC/rasG \), and \( rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA \) cells suggests a compensatory role of RasC for RasG during this process, a similar phenomenon to that observed for cAMP chemotaxis by aggregating cells.
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# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>ampere or amp, unit measure for electric current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>adenylyl cyclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>ammonium persulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, bp</td>
<td>base, base pair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>bovine serum albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bsr</td>
<td>blasticidin resistance gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAMP</td>
<td>adenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAR</td>
<td>cAMP receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>complementary DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cGMP</td>
<td>guanosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRAC</td>
<td>cytosolic regulator of adenylyl cyclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAG</td>
<td>diacylglycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32P-α-dCTP</td>
<td>32P labeled deoxyctydine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddH2O</td>
<td>distilled, deionized water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPC</td>
<td>diethylpyrocarbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP</td>
<td>deoxynucleoside triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>dithiothreitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF</td>
<td>epidermal growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK</td>
<td>extracellular regulated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>gravity, unit measure for centrifugal force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAP</td>
<td>GTPase activating protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>guanylyl cyclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>guanosine 5'-diphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEF</td>
<td>guanine nucleotide exchange factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>green fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCR</td>
<td>G protein coupled receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST</td>
<td>glutathione-S-transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTP</td>
<td>guanosine 5'-triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTPγS</td>
<td>guanosine 5'-O-(3-thiotriphosphate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hour(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL5</td>
<td>nutrient rich axenic growth media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>horseradish peroxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVR</td>
<td>hypervariable region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP3</td>
<td>inositol (1,4,5) trisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITAM</td>
<td>immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motifs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kbp</td>
<td>kilo base pair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kDa</td>
<td>kilo Dalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KK2</td>
<td>potassium phosphate non-nutrient buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>mitogen activated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK</td>
<td>MAP kinase kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC</td>
<td>myosin heavy chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHCK</td>
<td>myosin heavy-chain kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minute(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLC</td>
<td>myosin light chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLCK</td>
<td>myosin light chain kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>messenger ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nt</td>
<td>nucleotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGE</td>
<td>polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate-buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDK</td>
<td>phosphoinositide dependent kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>pleckstrin homology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtdIns(4,5)P₂</td>
<td>phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtdIns(3,4,5)P₃</td>
<td>phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K</td>
<td>phosphoinositide 3-kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKA</td>
<td>protein kinase A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PKB  protein kinase B
PLA2  phospholipase A2
PLC  phospholipase C
PTEN  phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome ten
PTK  protein tyrosine kinase
RA  Ras associating domain
RalGDS  Ral GTPase dissociation stimulator
RBD  Ras binding domain
RGS  regulator of G protein signaling
RIP  Ras interacting protein
RLC  regulatory light chain
RNA  ribonucleic acid
rpm  revolutions per minute
RTK  receptor tyrosine kinase
s  second(s)
SDS  sodium dodecyl sulphate
SH2  Src homology 2
SH3  Src homology 3
SOS  Son of sevenless, a RasGEF
V  volt(s), unit measure for electric potential
YFP  yellow fluorescent protein
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1 INTRODUCTION

G proteins are regulatory proteins involved in signal transduction pathways. They bind GDP in their inactive state and GTP in the activated state and the change of GDP for GTP and vice-versa constitutes the important regulatory function. There are two distinct families: The monomeric GTPases or “small G proteins” and the heterotrimeric G proteins, sometimes referred to as the “large G proteins”. There is a certain amount of sequence homology between the α subunits of the heterotrimeric G proteins and the monomeric GTPases.

1.1 The heterotrimeric GTPases

Heterotrimeric G proteins are composed of Ga, Gβ, and Gγ subunits, and function to transduce signals from G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) to intracellular effector proteins. G protein regulated signaling pathways mediate a vast number of physiological responses, and deregulation of these pathways contributes to many diseases, such as cancer, heart disease, hypertension, endocrine disorders, and blindness (Melien, 2007). Agonist binding to a GPCR at the extracellular cell surface induces a conformational change in the GPCR that allows it to directly promote GDP release from the inactive Ga subunit and its exchange for GTP. This promotes the dissociation of the heterotrimeric complex, giving rise to signaling-competent GTP-bound Ga and free Gβγ subunits. To complete the G protein cycle, the bound GTP is hydrolyzed to GDP, a reaction catalyzed by a group of proteins referred to as Regulators of G-protein Signaling (RGS), and then GDP-bound Ga reassociates with Gβγ (Cabrera-Vera et al., 2003).
1.2 The monomeric GTPase superfamily

The monomeric GTPases comprise a large superfamily of small GTP-binding proteins with molecular masses of 20-40 kDa (Takai et al., 2001). The family members are highly conserved and are found in eukaryotic organisms ranging from yeast to human. The proteins function as molecular switches in intracellular signaling and control a wide range of cellular functions. The family members share ~30% overall amino acid sequence identity mostly in four conserved GTP-binding domains, required for the recognition of GDP, GTP and the GTPase activity (Bourne et al., 1991).

There are over one hundred members of the monomeric GTPase superfamily that are divided on the basis of sequence and functional similarities into five subfamilies: Rho, Rab, Ran, Arf and Ras. Members within each of the subfamilies share ~50% amino acid sequence identity and exhibit conserved motifs in effector domains, and carboxy terminal sequences that undergo various post-translational modifications (Bourne et al., 1991; Corbett and Alber, 2001; Takai et al., 2001). Each subfamily appears to regulate distinct functions within the cell; the Rho proteins regulate cytoskeletal rearrangement and gene expression; Rab and Arf proteins regulate vesicular trafficking; Ran proteins regulate nuclear trafficking and microtubule organization; Ras proteins are linked to numerous biological functions such as differentiation, proliferation, apoptosis and the regulation of gene expression (Takai et al., 2001). Ha-Ras was the first member of the monomeric G protein superfamily to be described and hence the superfamily is also referred to as the Ras superfamily (Takai et al., 2001). A great deal has been learned about each of the five subfamilies but the remainder of this introduction will focus on the Ras subfamily proteins, the subject of this thesis.
1.3 The structure and localization of Ras subfamily proteins

In mammalian cells three ras genes encode four highly related well studied proteins H-Ras, K-RasA, K-RasB, and N-Ras of 188-189 amino acids in length (Barbacid, 1987). All the important domains for GTPase function (sequences important for nucleotide binding and GTP hydrolysis) are located within the N-terminal (165 amino acids) sequence (Lowy and Willumsen, 1993). Based on primary sequence comparisons, these proteins consist of three regions: 1) the N-terminal 86 amino acids, which are 100% identical for the four mammalian Ras proteins and constitutes the Ras effector binding domain (amino acids 32 to 40) that is critical for downstream interactions; 2) the subsequent 80 amino acids, that exhibit 85% identity; 3) the remaining C-terminal sequence, known as the hypervariable region which starts at amino acid 165 and exhibits no sequence similarity, except for a conserved CAAX motif (C, cysteine; A, aliphatic amino acid; X, methionine or serine) at the C-terminal end of the proteins (Clarke, 1992). The other members of the mammalian Ras subfamily proteins exhibit some divergence in these three regions but exhibit overall more than 50% sequence identity. The CAAX motif is the target for posttranslational prenylation, either a farnesyl or a geranylgeranyl moiety, which is involved in anchoring the proteins to the membranes. Fatty acids, especially palmitate, can also be added to Ras proteins at cysteine residues other than the terminal cysteine, which contributes to subcellular localization (Pechlivanis and Kuhlmann, 2006).

The presence of the prenylated hypervariable region (HVR) suggested the possibility that the various Ras subfamily proteins exhibit different subcellular localizations. In fact H-Ras is associated both with lipid raft and non-raft plasma
membrane structures (Chen and Resh, 2001), while, K-Ras is localized exclusively to non-raft plasma membrane structures (Prior et al., 2001). Mammalian Ras proteins have also been visualized on the Golgi and ER membranes (Choy et al., 1999), suggesting that Ras protein activation is not limited to the plasma membrane. Thus a difference in localization of Ras proteins is possibly important in the linking to different types of receptors, which may be important for the downstream regulation of signals.

1.4 Ras proteins as molecular switches

As noted previously, the Ras subfamily proteins have two interconvertible forms: a GDP-bound inactive form and a GTP-bound active form (Figure 1). Thus, they function as nucleotide-dependent regulatable molecular switch (Vetter and Wittinghofer, 2001). An upstream signal stimulates the exchange of GDP for GTP. GTP-binding results in the conformational change of the two key regions of the protein termed Switch I (residues 21-40 relative to H-Ras) and Switch II (residues 57-75) (Quilliam et al., 2002). Switch I is part of the effector loop and enables the binding of a variety of different effector proteins when Ras is in its GTP-bound form.

The GTP-bound form is converted back to the GDP bound form by the action of the intrinsic GTPase activity, resulting in the release of effectors and thus the completion of one cycle of activation and inactivation. By this means small G proteins serve as molecular switches that transiently transduce an upstream signal to downstream effectors. Interconversion between Ras-GDP and Ras-GTP is extremely slow and enhanced and regulated by two types of regulatory proteins: guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) that promote the release of GDP, and GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) that enhance the GTPase activity.
Receptor activation

INACTIVE

GTP

RasGDP

GEF

GDP
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Pi
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Downstream Signaling

**Figure 1.** The RasGTPase molecular switch. Model depicting the GTPase cycle of monomeric Ras superfamily proteins. Stimulation by an activating signal leads to displacement of GDP by GTP, catalyzed by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs). GTP binding to Ras promotes a structural change facilitating the binding of Ras to various downstream effectors, propagating the signal. Ras is subsequently turned off through the action of GTPase activating proteins (GAPs), which enhance the intrinsic GTPase activity of Ras proteins.
A regulated balance between the activity of GEFs and GAPs is believed to be important in the proper regulation of GTPase activity (Takai et al., 2001). The Ras cycle can be interrupted by various mutations which lock the protein in GTP or GDP-bound forms. Single amino acid substitution at position 12 or 61 keeps Ras in the GTP-bound form and this mutation is often associated with human tumors, while substitution at position 17 prevents Ras activation (Barbacid, 1987). Mutated forms of three human ras genes have been detected in 20-30% of human cancers (Bos, 1989; Shields et al., 2000). Since Ras proteins regulate diverse extracellular signaling pathways, the deregulated function of other cellular components can also cause aberrant Ras function even in the absence of mutation in the ras genes themselves.

1.5 RasGEFs and RasGAPs

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae CDC25 was the first RasGEF to be identified and loss of the encoding gene arrested growth by blocking Ras activation of adenylyl cyclase (Broek et al., 1987; Jones et al., 1991). This discovery was soon followed by the identification of the Drosophila Son of sevenless (Sos) (Bonfini et al., 1992) and the mammalian hSosl (Fath et al., 1993), which both contain a catalytic domain related to that of CDC25. At least 100 RasGEFs have now been identified but the family is diverse and can be grouped into more than ten different classes based on substrate specificities and structural similarities (Bos et al., 2007). While RasGEFs are typically multi-domain containing proteins, they all share at least two discrete domains: an amino-terminal domain of unclear function and a carboxy-terminal domain that mediates GTP-GDP exchange, that has significant homology to CDC25. This latter domain is often referred to as the CDC25 domain. Three regions of Ras have been implicated in the RasGEF-Ras
interaction: Switch I, Switch II, and \(\alpha\)-helix 3 (residues 102-107) (Wittinghofer, 1998). RasGEFs can also serve as dual specificity GEFs, catalyzing the activation of more than one GTPase. SOS, for example, contains a catalytically active RacGEF domain in addition to the RasGEF domain (Nimnual \textit{et al.}, 1998). The dual specificity of SOS provides a mechanism for the regulation of Rac mediated cytoskeletal rearrangement by Ras, which creates a network of GTPase signaling cascades.

The RasGAPs promote the conversion of RasGTP to RasGDP by stimulating the Ras protein's weak intrinsic GTP hydrolyzing activity by the insertion of an arginine side-chain into its active site (Ahmadian \textit{et al.}, 1997). The RasGAPs are highly related to each other (Boguski and McCormick, 1993). p120 RasGAP was the first protein shown to bind to Ras and was initially classified as an effector of Ras (Adari \textit{et al.}, 1988). In addition to a catalytic domain, p120 RasGAP has multiple other domains including: SH2 (Src homology 2), SH3 (Src homology 3), and PH (pleckstrin homology) domains (Gibbs \textit{et al.}, 1988). The RasGEFs have been more extensively studied, but evidence suggests that GAPs may play equally important roles in GTPase regulation (Takai \textit{et al.}, 2001).

1.6 Ras activation by receptor stimulation in mammalian cells

In mammalian cells Ras is activated in response to the stimulation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), receptor-associated protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs) or G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) (Genot and Cantrell, 2000; Schlessinger, 2000; Marinissen and Gutkind, 2001). The role of the RTKs in Ras activation has been extensively studied (Schlessinger, 2000). RTKs consist of an extracellular domain required for ligand binding, a transmembrane domain that anchors the receptor to the membrane, and a cytoplasmic catalytic domain. The general mechanism for RTK
activation begins with ligand binding, which induces dimerization of the RTK and this promotes the phosphorylation of various tyrosine residues in the cytoplasmic domain resulting in activation of the kinase (Olson and Marais, 2000). The phosphorylated tyrosines then function as recruitment sites for SH2 (Src homology 2) domain containing proteins such as Shc and Grb2, which mediate the translocation of a RasGEF, such as SOS, from the cytosol to the activated receptor (receptor-adaptor complex) through an interaction between the C-terminus of the SOS and the SH3 domain of Grb2 (Pawson and Schlessinger, 1993). The process effectively localizes SOS into close proximity with Ras at the plasma membrane, allowing Ras activation through GDP/GTP exchange. Epidermal growth factor (EGF) mediated Ras activation is an example of this process (Schlessinger, 2000).

Ras activation can also occur through the stimulation of receptor-associated PTKs, such as those in B-cell and T-cell lymphocytes (Genot and Cantrell, 2000). These receptors are hetero-dimers consisting of a large extracellular fragment, a transmembrane domain, and a short cytoplasmic tail. These receptors posses no intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity and instead recruit PTKs to the membrane. Once recruited, the PTKs phosphorylate the tyrosine residues referred to as ITAMs (immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motifs) on the receptor cytoplasmic tails. These phosphorylated tyrosine residues further recruit various SH2 domain containing proteins such as Syk/Zap70 and adaptor proteins such as LAT and Shc. This ultimately leads to the recruitment of the Grb2-SOS complex from the cytosol to the membrane and the activation of Ras.

A third type of Ras activation pathway involves G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs). GPCRs are characterized structurally by an extracellular domain, a seven
transmembrane domain (7TMD) and a carboxyterminal intracellular domain. Ligand binding at the extracellular domain leads to a conformational change in the 7TMD, which allows association of the receptor with an heterotrimeric G protein and the initiation of the signaling cascade within the cell (Prinster et al., 2005). The mechanism of Ras activation upon GPCR stimulation has remained more elusive, but is believed to involve the Gβγ subunits of heterotrimeric G-protein and Src kinase as possible intermediates (Marinissen and Gutkind, 2001).

1.7 Ras effectors

Ras activation leads to an interaction with a variety of downstream effector proteins, and this in turn transmits the signal through a cascade of cytoplasmic proteins (Marshall, 1996). The Ras effectors can be organized into 3 groups: kinases, GEFs for other Ras superfamily proteins, and a still expanding group of other effectors with, for the most part, uncertain catalytic functions.

The first Ras effector to be identified was the serine/threonine kinase Raf (Vojtek et al., 1993), the initial component of the best-characterized Ras regulated signal transduction pathway, the mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase cascade. This cascade, leading to Erk (Extracellular regulated protein kinase) activation, begins with the binding of Ras to members of the Raf family of serine/threonine kinases, Raf-1, A-Raf, and B-Raf (Stokoe et al., 1994). The precise mechanism through which binding of activated Ras to Raf results in activation of Raf is not completely understood, but probably involves the phosphoserine binding protein, 14-3-3, and members of Src tyrosine kinase family (Morrison and Cutler, 1997). Activated Raf then phosphorylates and activates MEK (MAP kinase kinase), which in turn, phosphorylates and activates the
Erks (Arbabi and Maier, 2002). Activated Erk translocates into the nucleus where it phosphorylates and stimulates a variety of transcription factors, including Elk1 and Jun, coupling Ras activation to gene expression.

The second group of well-characterized mammalian Ras effectors are the phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3Ks) (Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 1997), which upon activation in cells regulate apoptosis and actin cytoskeleton rearrangement (Shields et al., 2000). Class I PI3Ks are heterodimers comprising a 110 kDa catalytic subunit (p110) and a smaller regulatory subunit and the p110 subunit interacts directly with GTP-bound Ras (Vanhaesebroeck and Waterfield, 1999; Katso et al., 2001). PI3K catalyzes the conversion of the lipid substrate phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns(4,5)P2 or PIP2) to phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 or PIP3) which is anchored in the plasma membrane and acts as a docking site for the recruitment of pleckstrin homology (PH) domain containing proteins such as PKB (Akt) and PDK1 (phosphoinositide dependent kinase-1) (Vanhaesebroeck and Waterfield, 1999; Fresno Vara et al., 2004).

While PH domain dependent translocation is required for PKB to function (Bellacosa et al., 1998), activation is dependent upon phosphorylation on two sites, T308 and S473. PDK1 directly phosphorylates the T308 site (Fresno Vara et al., 2004), while the TORC2 complex is responsible for phosphorylation at the S473 site (Sarbassov et al., 2005). Activated PKB then phosphorylates various downstream target proteins including the apoptotic protein BAD, and nuclear transcription factors of the Forkhead family. Upon phosphorylation the transcription factors relocate to the cytosol, resulting in a downregulation of gene transcription (Datta et al., 1997; Biggs et al., 1999; Dijkers et al.,...
In addition, Ras activation of PI3K can lead to the activation of Sos1/2 and Vav which then activate Rac, resulting in the actin cytoskeletal rearrangement required for cell motility (Nimnual et al., 1998).

The third group of well characterized effectors are the Ra1GEFs, including Ra1GDS, Rgl, Rlf, and Rgr, which interact through their Ras association (RA) domains with various activated members of the Ras subfamily including Ras, Rap, and Rit (Wolthuis and Bos, 1999). The Ra1GEFs activate the Ras subfamily protein, Ral, but the downstream pathways beyond Ral activation are less well defined (Feig, 2003).

Other Ras effector proteins, such as phospholipase Cε (PLCε), Rin1, AF6, calmodulin, and Nore-1 have been shown to exhibit preferential binding to Ras-GTP, but have not been well characterized. The PLC family of proteins, of which only PLCε contains a RBD, cleave PIP₂ at the plasma membrane to generate two important intracellular second messengers, diacylglycerol (DAG) and inositol (1,4,5) trisphosphate (IP3) (Kelley et al., 2001). DAG subsequently leads to the activation of the protein kinase PKC, while IP3 stimulates an increase of intracellular Ca²⁺. Interaction of Ras with other effectors such as Rin1, AF6, calmodulin, and Nore-1, results in regulation of endocytosis, cell-cell junction formation, Ca²⁺ signaling and apoptosis respectively (Campbell et al., 1998; Boettner et al., 2000; Cullen, 2001; Tall et al., 2001; Villalonga et al., 2001; Radziwill et al., 2003; Vos et al., 2003). Recently comprehensive searches have been conducted for RA domains and have uncovered several additional possible effectors (Goldfinger et al., 2007). The growing number of Ras effectors indicates involvement of Ras in a variety of signaling pathways.
Given the complexity of Ras signaling pathways in mammalian cells, Ras has been studied in several model organisms, most notably *Drosophila, C.elegans*, yeast and *Dictyostelium discoideum*. In fact early studies of *Drosophila* and *C.elegans* helped elucidate the activation by Ras of the MAPK cascade (Wassarman *et al.*, 1995; Sternberg and Han, 1998).

Since all the studies described in this thesis concern the role of Ras in *Dictyostelium discoideum*, the remainder of the introduction will be devoted to a description of the state of knowledge of this topic prior to starting the thesis work.

### 1.8 *Dictyostelium* as a model organism

Early studies based on rRNA sequence homology suggested that the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum* diverged before the evolution of plants, animals, and fungi (Olsen *et al.*, 1983), but more recent analysis based on protein sequence have indicated that *Dictyostelium* diverged from the animal lineage after the divergence from plants (Loomis and Smith, 1990). The predicted proteome analysis derived from the complete *Dictyostelium* genome sequence (Eichinger *et al.*, 2005) has confirmed this latter view.

*Dictyostelium* is a free-living soil organism that feeds on bacteria in the wild as its natural nutrient source. Starvation induces an interesting developmental program, during which individual cells chemotax to form a multicellular organism and then differentiate into either stalk or spore cells. The separation between growth and differentiation allows for the characterization of growth specific or development specific genes, although some genes are required for both functions (Souza *et al.*, 1998). The haploid nature of the organism, along with the recent completion of the genome sequence, allows for efficient
genetic manipulation either by targeted or random gene disruption and the generation of mutants by homologous recombination. The Dictyostelium genome encodes many components that are also involved in mammalian cell functions, and it has proven to be a useful model organism for studying a variety of biological processes such as cell signaling (Van Haastert and Devreotes, 2004; Weeks et al., 2005), cell migration (Parent, 2004), cytoskeletal organization (Affolter and Weijer, 2005) and phagocytosis (Rupper and Cardelli, 2001). Dictyostelium also has been used to study action of medically relevant compounds such as lithium and valproic acid, drugs used to treat various depressive disorders (Eickholt et al., 2005; Boeckeler et al., 2006) and cisplatin, a drug used to treat cancer (Li et al., 2000). The simple developmental program, ease of cultivation in the laboratory and the combination of cellular, genetic and molecular biology techniques that are available has made Dictyostelium an attractive organism for research in cell and developmental biology.

1.9 Dictyostelium discoideum life cycle

The following section provides an overview of the Dictyostelium discoideum life cycle (Kessin, 2001). The entire differentiation process from the starvation of vegetative amoeba to the formation of the final fruiting body, consisting of stalk and spore cells, takes about 24 hours (Figure 2). Starving cells begin to emit pulses of cAMP every 6 minutes and this serves as a chemoattractant signal for up to 100,000 neighboring cells to aggregate. In addition to the chemotactic response, cAMP activates adenylyl cyclase (ACA), generating additional cAMP, which relays the signal throughout the population, and also induces early developmental gene expression. As the cellular components required for production and detection of cAMP are made, chemotaxing cells converge
Figure 2. Multicellular development of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Dictyostelium discoideum* developmental progression are shown in a clockwise pattern beginning with (a) a mound of aggregated cells, (b) standing slug or finger, (c) migrating slug, (d) 'mexican hat', (e) culminating structures, and (f) a terminal fruiting body. The entire process is completed in approximately 24 hours following the onset of starvation. Electron micrograph image is by Mark J. Grimson and R. Lawrence Blanton, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas. © M. J. Grimson and R. L. Blanton.
toward an aggregation center to form a ‘mound’, which eventually forms a tip. As development proceeds, the tip elongates and forms a finger like structure, which then falls over onto the surface to form a phototactic and thermotactic migrating slug. During migration of the slug prestalk cells differentiate at the front and prespore cells differentiate at the rear. After a period of migration, the slug rounds up and the anterior tip rises resulting in the formation of a fruiting body, a process called ‘culmination’. Culmination involves the movement of the prestalk cells downwards through the middle of the cell mass, which lifts the prespore cells off the substratum. The prestalk cells differentiate into vacuolated dead stalk cells (20% of the cells) that support the spore head containing spore cells (80% of the cells). In the laboratory a compact mound of aggregated cells forms between 10-12 hours following starvation and takes another 12 hours to finish the developmental cycle and form fruiting bodies. The spores can tolerate a wide range of environmental conditions and upon dispersal, in response to favorable growth conditions, temperature and humidity, germinate into vegetative amoebae.

1.10 cAMP signalling during Dictyostelium aggregation

Since the function of Ras proteins during the response to cAMP is the focus of this thesis, this section will provide an overview of the cAMP stimulated signaling events and the role that Ras proteins play in this process, which had determined prior to the outset of my work.

In Dictyostelium cAMP serves as chemoattractant. There are two general types of signaling pathways that transmit signals induced by cAMP: those that involve the heterotrimeric G protein associated with the cAMP receptor, and those that do not (Manahan et al., 2004). The key receptor for cAMP at the aggregation stage is cAR1, a
seven transmembrane glycoprotein belonging to the GPCR superfamily (Kessin, 2001), which is thought to mediate all cAMP stimulated events during aggregation (Pupillo et al., 1992). cAR1 is associated with a heterotrimeric G protein, comprising Go2, Gβ and Gγ subunits (Parent and Devreotes, 1996). The binding of cAMP to cAR1 stimulates the dissociation of Go2 from Gβγ and the available evidence suggests that Go2 stimulates the pathway leading to the activation of the guanylyl cyclases, sGC and GCA (Roelofs and Van Haastert, 2002), whereas Gβγ activates PI3K and the adenylyl cyclase, ACA (Wu et al., 1995; Parent and Devreotes, 1996). cARs are required for the induction of post-aggregation gene expression but this process is independent of Go2βγ (Aubry and Firtel, 1999).

ACA consists of six membrane spanning domains and two large cytoplasmic domains similar to that of the mammalian adenylyl cyclase, but unlike the mammalian enzyme, the Dictyostelium ACA does not contain a consensus Gβγ binding site. The activation of Dictyostelium ACA is eliminated by mutation of genes for two cytosolic proteins: the cytosolic regulator of adenylyl cyclase (CRAC) and Pianissimo (Pia) (Insall et al., 1994; Chen et al., 1997). CRAC, a PH domain containing protein, translocates to the front of migrating cells in response to cAMP, and its translocation is dependent on PI3K activity (Huang et al., 2003). Experiments using ACA-YFP fusion proteins demonstrated that ACA localizes to the rear of polarized cells (Kriebel et al., 2003). Evidence has been presented recently for the involvement of a TORC2 complex in ACA activation (Lee et al., 2005). This complex contains TOR, LST, RIP3 (Ras interacting protein 3) and Pia. RIP3 and Pia are orthologues of the yeast TORC2 components, AVO1 and AVO3 (Chen et al., 1997; Lee et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2005). Disruption of
either of *pia* or *rip3* in *Dictyostelium* results in mutants that are unable to activate adenylyl cyclase (ACA) in response to chemoattractant stimulation and exhibit serious defects in chemotaxis to cAMP. It has therefore been proposed that TORC2 complex is important for coordinating the two essential arms of the developmental pathway, chemotaxis and signal relay, that leads to multicellularity in *Dictyostelium* (Lee *et al.*, 2005).

Chemotaxis requires the precise regulation of both chemoattractant sensing and directional movement up a chemoattractant gradient. Binding of cAMP to cAR1 leads to the activation of several chemotactic signaling events including the production of second messenger molecules, such as PIP3, and cGMP, recruitment of PH-domain containing proteins to the leading edge of the cells, rearrangement of cytoskeleton components and the activation of small G-proteins (Manahan *et al.*, 2004). A 'compass model' has been proposed to explain chemotaxis in eukaryotic cells (Weiner, 2002; Franca-Koh and Devreotes, 2004; Sasaki *et al.*, 2004). According to this model, cells respond to directional signals by integrating three processes; directional sensing, pseudopod extensions, and polarization. First, cells establish the directional sensing by determining the direction of the gradient concentration. Second, cells move by extending the lamellipod or pseudopod in the direction of the chemoattractant gradient as a result of localized F-actin polymerization, in coordination with retraction of the cell posterior via a myosin II mediated pathway. Third, cells establish a distinct polarity as a result of the localization of specific proteins to the front and back of the cell. The class I PI3Ks and PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome ten) have been implicated as important components in the control of *Dictyostelium* directional sensing,
by generating gradients of PIP3 in the cell. PI3K catalyzes the production of PIP3 by the phosphorylation of PIP2 and PTEN catalyzes the reverse reaction (Funamoto et al., 2002; Iijima et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2003). It has been shown that PI3K is recruited from the cytoplasm to the plasma membrane at the leading edge (Sasaki et al., 2004), which results in dissociation of PTEN from the plasma membrane at the leading edge but not at the back of the cell. It is believed that PIP3 accumulation at the front of the cell induces remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton which largely accounts for the extension of F-actin-filled pseudopodia. How PIP3 promotes actin polarization at the leading edge remains unclear, but it probably exerts its effect by recruiting pleckstrin homology (PH) domain-containing proteins to the plasma membrane. However, the extension of the pseudopod at the front of the cell may be viewed as a more random process. Recently, based on a quantitative analysis of pseudopod generation it has been proposed that cells randomly protrude pseudopods that often split and the one that orients itself spatially closer to the chemoattractant source becomes the dominant pseudopod, and the one that is furthest from the cAMP gradient is retracted (Andrew and Insall, 2007). Clearly chemotaxis is a complex process involving a complex network of signaling pathways that is only just beginning to be understood.

The central role for PI3K in directional sensing has recently been questioned (Sasaki and Firtel, 2006; Hoeller and Kay, 2007). Dictyostelium cells have a total of six genes that encode PI3Ks and five of them encode type1 PI3Ks. Initially it was found that when the genes encoding PI3K1 and PI3K2 were both knocked out, PIP3 was undetectable at the leading edge, cells were poorly polarized and chemotaxis to the source of chemoattractant was very slow, suggesting that the compass is upstream of
PI3K signaling (Funamoto et al., 2002). However, more recently it has been reported that inactivation of all five genes encoding type I PI3Ks results in cells that exhibit reduced polarity but nearly normal chemotaxis (Hoeller and Kay, 2007) and inactivation of the genes encoding PI3K1-3 produces a similar result. These results suggest that although PIP3 amplification in front of chemotaxing cells is important for polarity and pseudopod formation, it is not essential for chemotaxis and that other pathways are present. One other pathway involves phospholipase A2 (PLA2) encoded by the plaA gene. Inhibition of both PI3K and PLA2 resulted in cells that were defective in chemotaxis (Chen et al., 2007; van Haastert et al., 2007). In addition although plaA" cells are able to polarize and chemotax similar to wild type cells, plaA'/pikA'/pikB" cells exhibit major defects in polarity and directed migration (Chen et al., 2007).

There is also evidence for a third signaling pathway, involving guanylyl cyclases (GCs) which generate guanosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (cGMP) in response to cAMP in polarized cells. Cells with disruptions in the genes gcaA and sgcA, encoding guanylyl cyclases, exhibit markedly reduced chemotaxis (Roelofs and Van Haastert, 2002). A gcaA'/sgcA" mutant that is defective in the production of cGMP, and a gbpC" mutant that is defective in transmitting signaling events downstream of cGMP production, both extend pseudopods all over the cell body indicating a role for cGMP in suppressing lateral pseudopods (Bosgraaf et al., 2002; Bosgraaf et al., 2005). cGMP also mediates suppression of pseudopodia by stimulating the formation of myosin filaments in the rear of the cells (Bosgraaf and van Haastert, 2006). cGMP is implicated in the transient phosphorylation of RLC (regulatory light chain) and MHC (myosin heavy chain) of myosin II, that results in disassembly of myosin II at the front of the cell (van
Haastert and Kuwayama, 1997). Disruption of the \textit{mhc} gene in \textit{Dictyostelium} results in cells that extend numerous lateral pseudopods and frequently change their direction, resulting in a velocity that is almost half that of the wild type cells (Heid \textit{et al.}, 2005). Recent studies show that myosin heavy-chain kinase A (MHCK-A) translocates to the leading edge (Liang \textit{et al.}, 2002; Steimle \textit{et al.}, 2002) and thus the localization of MHCK-A at the front of the cell is important in localizing myosin to the back and side of the cell (Rubin and Ravid, 2002). In contrast, phosphorylation of MLC (myosin light chain) promotes myosin II activity. It has been proposed that cGMP is a positive regulator of MLCK (myosin light chain kinase) (Liu and Newell, 1994) and mutants with decreased cGMP phosphodiesterase activity exhibit increased MLC phosphorylation (Busgraaf \textit{et al.}, 2002; Rubin and Ravid, 2002). It has also been shown that sGC localizes to the leading edge of chemotaxing cells where it possibly acts as a mediator of F-actin protrusion (Veltman and Van Haastert, 2006). Taken together the studies suggest that cGMP is involved in a third signaling pathway that is required to promote the myosin filament formation at the rear of the cell, and suppress the pseudopod formation at the sides and at the back of the cell.

\textit{cAMP} signaling also induces the expression of a number of genes during early development, for example, the genes encoding the \textit{cAMP} receptors, G protein subunits, and ACA. In addition, the \textit{cAMP}-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) level increases during differentiation and this increase is required for the regulation of some of the early developmental genes, such as \textit{acaA} and \textit{carA}, the regulation of intracellular cAMP degradation and cell-type differentiation (Harwood \textit{et al.}, 1992; Shaulsky and Loomis, 1993; Schulkes and Schaap, 1995). PKA is a heterodimer consisting of a catalytic kinase
subunit, PKA-C, and a regulatory subunit, PKA-R (Saran et al., 2002). Dissociation of PKA-R from PKA-C upon cAMP binding to PKA-R results in activation of PKA. Cells lacking PKA-R are constitutively active for PKA, resulting in constitutive induction of early developmental genes (Zhang et al., 2003b). Erk2, a MAPK encoded by erkB, is also required for ACA activation, although its role is not clear (Segall et al., 1995; Schenk et al., 2001). It has been suggested that PKA is involved in the regulation of Erk2 (Zhang et al., 2003a). Erk2 also inhibits RegA, an intracellular cAMP specific phosphodiesterase that breaks down the cAMP generated by ACA (Shaulsky and Loomis, 1993). As the levels of internal cAMP rise, PKA is activated and it has been proposed that this activation inhibits Erk2. Erk2 inhibition results in RegA activation and the resulting reduction in intracellular cAMP decreases PKA activity to its basal state, in preparation for another cAMP stimulus.

1.11 Dictyostelium Ras proteins

The genome of Dictyostelium discoideum contains fifteen Ras subfamily protein encoding genes, of which only six have thus far been studied; rasB, rasC, rasD, rasG, rasS, and rapA (Weeks et al., 2005). Dictyostelium has a relatively small genome of about 34 Mb, which is far smaller than the 180 Mb genome of Drosophila and only a small fraction of the 2,851 Mb of human genome. Despite this relatively small genome size, Dictyostelium has almost half the number of ras genes found in humans and considerably more than that found in Drosophila (Colicelli, 2004; Eichinger et al., 2005; Weeks et al., 2005). The Dictyostelium Ras subfamily proteins exhibit between 54% and 68% amino acid identity with the canonical human H-Ras protein, with RasD and RasG sharing the highest identity, 65% and 68%, respectively (Daniel, 1995). The RasG and
RasD proteins are most related to each other, 82% overall amino acid identity, and exhibit identical sequences in their effector regions (Switch I, residues 32-40 relative to H-Ras). RasB, RasC and RasS exhibit variations in their effector sequences relative to each other and to RasG and RasD (Daniel, 1995). Since the residues from Switch I contribute to effector binding specificity, these differences would suggest that each protein would regulate a distinct signaling pathway and targeted gene disruption has supported this idea (Wilkins and Insall, 2001; Weeks and Spiegelman, 2003). The different developmental expression profile for each of the characterized Ras genes is consistent with the idea that each gene mediates a specific set of functions during different stages of the life cycle (Daniel, 1995).

rasD was the first Dictyostelium ras gene to be characterized (Reymond et al., 1984). rasD mRNA is not expressed during vegetative growth, but starts to be expressed during the early aggregation stage (~8 hours from the onset of development) and is maximally expressed during culmination (~18-24 hours) (Reymond et al., 1984). Transformants expressing activated RasD (RasD^{G12T}) formed multi-tipped aggregates that were blocked in further development (Reymond et al., 1986). These transformants expressed enhanced levels of prestalk specific genes and decreased levels of prespore specific genes, suggesting a role for RasD in cell fate determination (Louis et al., 1997a). It was thus surprising that rasD cells developed normally with a proportion of stalk cells to spore cells that was the same as the wild type, and the only obvious phenotype was a loss of phototactic and thermotactic properties of the migrating slugs (Wilkins et al., 2000). However, although RasG protein levels drop as developmental progresses, there
are still appreciable levels of RasG in late aggregates which might compensate for the loss of RasD function (Weeks and Spiegelman, 2003).

**rasB** appears to be essential for growth. Presumptive **rasB** null cells that grew very slowly and displayed undetectable levels of RasB protein were isolated. However, these cells were unstable, regained RasB protein, and reverted to a wild type phenotype (Sutherland, 2001). The only presumptive **rasB** disruptions that could be isolated were all disrupted in the promoter region and contained two copies of the gene, allowing homologous recombination to regenerate an undisrupted RasB function. Immunofluorescence studies revealed that RasB localized to the nucleus during most of the cell cycle (Sutherland *et al.*, 2001). However, during the mitotic period from metaphase to telophase RasB is not associated with the nucleus and returns to the nucleus only when mitosis is complete, suggesting a role for RasB in the regulation of mitosis. Cells overexpressing activated RasB$^{G12T}$ are multinucleate, consistent with the presumptive role of RasB in cell cycle regulation (Sutherland *et al.*, 2001).

**rapA** is expressed throughout growth and development and exhibits a biphasic pattern of expression, with one maximum during aggregation and one during culmination (Robbins *et al.*, 1990). Overexpression of wild type and activated Rap1 resulted in morphologically aberrant vegetative amoebae, cells were spread and flattened, concomitant with an increase in actin staining around the cell periphery (Rebstein *et al.*, 1993; Rebstein *et al.*, 1997). Rap1 also positively regulates the rates of phagocytosis and negatively regulates the rate of pinocytosis (Seastone *et al.*, 1999), suggesting a role for Rap1 during growth. Attempts to disrupt **rapA** have been unsuccessful and reduction of the protein levels by antisense mRNA expression reduced growth and viability,
suggested that rapA is an essential gene (Kang et al., 2002). The accumulation of cGMP was reduced with induction of rapA antisense RNA and was enhanced in cells expressing the constitutively activated Rap1^{G12V} protein, suggesting a role for Rap1 in the generation of cGMP (Kang et al., 2002). A possible role for Rap1 in development was suggested by the coexpression of Rap1 with RasD^{G12T} that resulted the completion of development of the multi-tipped aggregates, that were characteristic of cells only expressing RasD^{G12T} (Louis et al., 1997b).

rasS is expressed predominantly during growth and early aggregation (Daniel et al., 1994). Disruption of rasS resulted in the cells unable to grow in axenic culture, due to impairment in fluid phase endocytosis (Chubb et al., 2000). rasS cells are also highly polarized, move rapidly and exhibit increased F-actin localization to their pseudopods. It has been suggested, based on these phenotypes, that RasS regulates the balance between feeding and movement and that one behavior is compromised by the function of the other, due to a competition for cytoskeletal components (Chubb et al., 2000).

rasC is expressed throughout growth and development and its expression level peaks during the aggregation and slug stages (Daniel et al., 1994). Direct evidence for a role for Ras signaling pathways in regulating the Dictyostelium aggregation process came with the disruption of the rasC gene, which produced cells that failed to aggregate (Lim et al., 2001). The aggregation defect observed for the rasC cells could be explained by an inability to produce cAMP upon receptor stimulation and this phenotype can be bypassed by administration of exogenous cAMP pulses (Lim et al., 2001). In addition, rasC null cells also exhibited reduced phosphorylation of PKB in response to cAMP,
suggesting a role for RasC in the signal transduction pathway that regulates chemotaxis (Lim et al., 2001).

\textit{rasG} is expressed maximally during growth and early development, and its expression is down regulated to negligible levels by the aggregation stage (Robbins et al., 1989; Khosla et al., 1990). Consistent with the idea that RasG might be important for growth, gene ablation of \textit{rasG} resulted in cells that exhibited a reduced growth rate (Tuxworth et al., 1997). \textit{rasG} cells grown axenically become large and multinucleate, indicating a defect in cytokinesis due to an inability to sever the cleavage furrow (Tuxworth et al., 1997). The cytokinesis defect appeared to be related to actin cytoskeleton regulation, since \textit{rasG} cells also exhibited multiple elongated filopodia, aberrant lamellipodia and an unusual punctate actin distribution at the cell surface. \textit{rasG} cells also had a defect in cell motility (Tuxworth et al., 1997). The loss of RasG maybe partially compensated for the fact that \textit{rasG} null cells contain increased levels of RasD (Khosla et al., 2000). Expression of RasD from the \textit{rasG} promoter in \textit{rasG} cells which further increased the level of RasD, rescued the growth and cytokinesis defects, but not the motility defects (Khosla et al., 2000).

Although the major role for RasG appeared to be in \textit{Dictyostelium} growth and other vegetative cell functions (Tuxworth et al., 1997; Khosla et al., 2000), the \textit{rasG} cells exhibited delayed aggregation (Weeks and Insall personal communication). However, since this property was variable and the cells were unstable, this defect was not studied further. However, consistent with a possible role for RasG in aggregation was the finding that RasG interacts with \textit{Dictyostelium} PI3K1 and PI3K2 in yeast two hybrid assays (Funamoto et al., 2002). As noted previously cells lacking both PI3Ks exhibited
defects in aggregation and chemotaxis and since RasG binds to PI3Ks, it was suggested that RasG could play a role in regulating these processes (Funamoto et al., 2001). Furthermore, Ras interacting protein 3 (RIP3) was also identified as a putative RasG interacting protein in a yeast two-hybrid assay (Lee et al., 1999) and ripA" cells are unable to aggregate or activate adenylyl cyclase, and chemotax slower than wild type cells (Lee et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2005). The specific binding of this protein to RasG also suggests a possible role for RasG in regulating aggregation. Finally it was shown that RasG was activated in response to cAMP (Kae et al., 2004), again suggesting a possible role for RasG in the aggregation process.

1.12 Thesis Objective

Cell aggregation requires chemotaxis to cyclic AMP (cAMP) and the relay of the cAMP signal by the activation of adenylyl cyclase (ACA) (Kessin, 2001). It had been shown previously that RasC is involved in both processes (Lim et al., 2001). However, since the loss of the RasC protein does not result in a total loss of signal transduction down the two branches of the cAMP signal-response pathway and since the pulsed rasC" cells are able to efficiently chemotax to cAMP, RasC may not be the sole Ras protein responsible for mediating the downstream effects of cAMP. Although, there was suggestive evidence for a role for RasG in aggregation, definitive proof was lacking (Tuxworth et al., 1997; Khosla et al., 2000). The first objective of this thesis therefore was to generate new rasG null strains in two different parental backgrounds, since strain variability may have been a factor in the variable results obtained with the previous strains. The study on the newly generated null strains positively confirmed a role for RasG in aggregation. However, since the loss of either of the two Ras proteins, RasC and
RasG, alone did not result in a total loss of signal output down either of the branches of the cAMP/heterotrimeric G protein signal-response pathway, a second objective was to generate a $rasC^{}\!/rasG^{'}$ strain to determine if all signaling would be abolished. Furthermore, the recent finding that Rap1 is activated in response to cAMP (Jeon et al., 2007) suggested that the role of Rap1 in cAMP signaling in regard to RasC and RasG needs further investigation. Finally, the availability of the new stable $rasG^{'}$ strains and the $rasC^{}\!/rasG^{'}$ strains provided an opportunity to further evaluate the role of RasG and RasC in vegetative cells.
2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Reagents

Growth media components were from Oxoid (Nepean, ON), BBL (Cockeysville, MD), or BD Sciences (Mississauga, ON). Chemicals and reagents were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Ottawa, ON), Invitrogen (Burlington, ON) or Sigma (Oakville, ON), unless otherwise stated. Restriction endonucleases, DNA polymerases and other DNA modifying enzymes were obtained from Invitrogen (Burlington, ON), New England BioLabs (Pickering, Ontario) or Stratagene (La Jolla, CA). All cloning and sub-cloning steps employed the use of chemical or electro-competent Escherichia coli strain XL1-Blue MRF' (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) or Promega (Madison, WI). Hybond P Polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes, enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL), horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody for immunoblot analysis were obtained from GE Healthcare (Baie d'Urfe, PQ). The Dictyostelium PKB-specific polyclonal antibody was generously provided by Fan Jiang and Dr. Robert Dottin (Hunter College, New York). Phosphothreonine polyclonal antibody (Cat # 9381) was from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA).

2.1.2 Services

The RasG, RasC, and Rap specific antibodies were raised in rabbits against the C-terminal hypervariable portion of corresponding proteins at the UBC Animal Care Facility (Khosla et al., 1994; Rebstein et al., 1997; Lim et al., 2001). All oligonucleotides for PCR were generated by Alpha DNA (Montreal, PQ). All DNA
sequencing was performed by the Nucleic Acid and Protein Services (NAPS) unit (University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC).

2.1.3 Dictyostelium Strains

The strains generated during this study are listed in Table 1. The AX2 parental strain, used for the generation of AX2/rasG mutant strains was obtained from Dr. Barry Coukell (York University, Toronto). The JH10 parental strain that was used to generate the JH10/rasG, and rasC/rasG mutant strains was obtained from Dr. Jeffrey Hadwiger (Oklahoma State University, Oklahoma). The JH10/rasC strain was generated by Meenal Khosla in the Weeks laboratory (Khosla et al., 2005).

2.1.4 Plasmids and DNA

The following plasmids and DNA were generously provided by the indicated sources: pRHI119, pRHI125 and pRASG-G418 from Dr. Robert Insall (University of Birmingham, England); pJH60 from Dr. Jeffrey Hadwiger (Oklahoma State University, Oklahoma); pga2-CFP from Dr. Chris Janetopoulous and Dr. Peter Devereotes (John Hopkins University, Baltimore); pBS-carA from Dr. Peter Devereotes (John Hopkins University, Baltimore); pEMBL18-csaA from Dr. Chi-Hung Siu (University of Toronto, Toronto); and pcAR1-B18 from the Dicty Stock Center (Columbia University, New York). The following plasmids were donated by former members of the Weeks laboratory: pJLW14, pJLW26, and pJLW30 from Dr. Chinten James Lim; pRASG from Dr. Stephen Mark Robbins; pGEX-Byr2-RBD from Dr. Helmut Kae. All other plasmids were constructed during the course of this study. A full description of the plasmids is given in Table 2.
Table 1. *Dictyostelium* strain description.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AX2/rasG</td>
<td>Chromosomal KO of rasG gene in AX2</td>
<td>10 µg/ml blasticidin S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JH10/rasG</td>
<td>Chromosomal KO of rasG gene in JH10</td>
<td>No thymidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasG</td>
<td>Chromosomal KO of rasC gene in the JH10/rasG null strain</td>
<td>10 µg/ml blasticidin S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasG [act15]:carA</td>
<td>Ectopic over expression of the carA gene in the rasC/rasG strain</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasG [rasC]:rasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pRASG-G418</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:rasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBM2</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasG [rasC]:rasG [rasC]:rasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBM1</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBMG1 encoding RasG [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBMG2 encoding RasG [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBMG3 encoding RasG [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBMG4 encoding RasG [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBMG5 encoding RasG [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBMG6 encoding RasG [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBMG7 encoding RasG [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBMG8 encoding RasG [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBCSA encoding 1-78 aa of RasC + 79-190 of RasG</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasC [rasC]:RasG [rasC]:RasG</td>
<td>rasC transformed with pBCSB encoding 1-78 aa of RasG + 79-190 of RasC</td>
<td>10 µg/ml G418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Plasmid description.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pRHI119</td>
<td>pBS containing bsr selectable marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRHI125</td>
<td>pBS containing rasG genomic DNA minus Exon1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRASG</td>
<td>pAT153 containing rasG genomic DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRASG-G418</td>
<td>pAT153 containing rasG genomic DNA in G418 selection background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJLW14</td>
<td>Plasmid containing lac2 gene under rasC promoter in G418 selection background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJLW26</td>
<td>pBS containing both genomic and cDNA fragments of rasC flanking bsr selectable marker (rasC-bsr disruption vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJLW30</td>
<td>Plasmid containing rasC cDNA fragment under rasC promoter in G418 selection background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pcAR1-B18</td>
<td>Plasmid containing carA cDNA under the control of constitutively active actin 15 promoter and a neomycin-resistance cassette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBS-carA</td>
<td>Plasmid containing carA cDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pga2-CFP</td>
<td>Plasmid containing gpaB cDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEMBL18-csaA</td>
<td>Plasmid containing csA cDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEX-Byr2-RBD</td>
<td>Plasmid encoding GST-Byr2-Ras Binding Domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJH60</td>
<td>Plasmid containing thy/L gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-rasG</td>
<td>rasG cDNA cloned into pGEM-T Easy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-rasC</td>
<td>rasC cDNA cloned into pGEM-T Easy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBW1</td>
<td>BamHI fragment of pRHI119 containing bsr selectable marker cloned into BglII site of rasG promoter in pRASG (rasG-bsr disruption vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBW2</td>
<td>BamHI fragment of pJH60 containing thy/L gene cloned into BglII site of rasG promoter in pRHI125 (rasG-thy/L disruption vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBM1</td>
<td>BglII-XhoI fragment of rasC cDNA cloned into BglII-XhoI of pRasG-G418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBM2</td>
<td>BglII-SalI fragment of rasG cDNA cloned into BglII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-MG1</td>
<td>Modified rasG cDNA carrying I24T mutation in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-MG2</td>
<td>Modified rasG cDNA carrying H27Q mutation in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-MG3</td>
<td>Modified rasG cDNA carrying D30A mutation in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-MG4</td>
<td>Modified rasG cDNA carrying D38N mutation in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-MG5</td>
<td>Modified rasG cDNA carrying T50V mutation in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-MG6</td>
<td>Modified rasG cDNA carrying C51Y mutation in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-MG7</td>
<td>Modified rasG cDNA carrying L52M mutation in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-MG8</td>
<td>Modified rasG cDNA carrying M72I mutation in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-MG9</td>
<td>Modified rasG cDNA carrying T74S mutation in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBMG1</td>
<td>BamHI-SalI fragment of pGEM-MG1 carrying I24T of rasG cDNA cloned into BglII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBMG2</td>
<td>BamHI-SalI fragment of pGEM-MG2 carrying H27Q of rasG cDNA cloned into BglII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBMG3</td>
<td>BamHI-SalI fragment of pGEM-MG3 carrying D30A of rasG cDNA cloned into BglII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBMG4</td>
<td>BamHI-SalI fragment of pGEM-MG4 carrying D38N of rasG cDNA cloned into BglII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBMG5</td>
<td>BamHI-SalI fragment of pGEM-MG5 carrying T50V of rasG cDNA cloned into BglII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBMG6</td>
<td>BamHI-SalI fragment of pGEM-MG6 carrying C51Y of rasG cDNA cloned into BglII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBMG7</td>
<td>BamHI-SalI fragment of pGEM-MG7 carrying L52M of rasG cDNA cloned into BglII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBMG8</td>
<td>BamHI-SalI fragment of pGEM-MG8 carrying M72I of rasG cDNA cloned into BgIII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBMG9</td>
<td>BamHI-SalI fragment of pGEM-MG9 carrying T74S of rasG cDNA cloned into BgIII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-CSA</td>
<td>Chimeric construct of 1-78 aa of RasC + 79-190 of RasG cloned in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-CSB</td>
<td>Chimeric construct: 1-78 aa of RasG + 79-190 of RasC cloned in pGEM-T Easy plasmid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBCSA</td>
<td>BgIII-SalI fragment of pGEM-CSA cloned into BgIII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBCSB</td>
<td>BamHI-XhoI fragment of pGEM-CSB cloned into BgIII-XhoI of pJLW14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Plasmid construction

The primers PBRG10-F and PBRG8-R (Table 3) were used in a PCR procedure to add a BamHI site prior to ATG start codon and a SalI site after the termination codon of rasG cDNA in pBS-rasG. The primers PBRC3-F and PBRC3-R were used in a PCR procedure to add a BglII site prior to ATG start codon and a XhoI site after the termination codon of rasC cDNA in pBS-rasC. The PCR amplified fragments were then ligated into pGEM-T Easy to generate pGEM-rasG and pGEM-rasC respectively. pBW1, the rasG knockout vector, was constructed by inserting a BamHI fragment of pRH119 containing the bsr selectable marker into BglII site of rasG in pRASG (Robbins et al., 1992). pBW2, the rasG disruption vector, was constructed by inserting a BamHI fragment of pJH60 containing thyI gene into BglII site of rasG in pRH125. pBM1 and pBM2 were constructed by ligating the BagII-XhoI excised fragment of rasC cDNA from pGEM-rasC into BglII-XhoI of pRasG-G418 and BamHI-SalI excised fragment of rasG cDNA from pGEM-rasG into BglII-XhoI of pJLW14 respectively. The construction of pGEM-MG1-9, pBMG1-9, pGEM-CSA, pGEM-CSB, pBCSA and pBCSB are described in section 2.2.3.

2.2.2 DNA amplification

DNA amplification was employed to generate DNA fragments for plasmid construction, to generate probes for Southern and Northern blot analysis, to perform site directed mutagenesis, to generate chimeric constructs, and for gene disruption screening. Reactions were performed using either an Idaho RapidCycler (Idaho Technologies, Idaho
Falls, ID) or Whatman Biometra Tgradient Thermocycler (Goettingen, Germany). The sequence and description of the oligonucleotide primers used are shown in Table 3 and 4.

(a) Standard amplification

Standard amplification reactions were performed in 10 μl reaction volumes in glass capillary tubes containing 5.38 μl ddH2O (distilled, deionized water), 1 μl 10X Idaho PCR buffer (500 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.3, 2.5 mg/ml BSA, 20% (w/v) sucrose, 1 mM cresol red, 30 mM MgCl2), 0.5 μl 2.5 mM dNTPs (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 1 μl of each 2.5 μM oligonucleotide primers, 1 μl DNA template and 0.12 μl of 5 U/μl Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The cycling parameters were as follows: 2 cycles of 92 °C for 90 s, 55 °C for 10 s, and 72 °C for 120 s; 35 cycles of 91 °C for 7 s, 50-55 °C for 7 s, and 72 °C for x s and then held at 72 °C for 180 s. The annealing temperature was optimized for each particular set of primers, and the extension time (x) was 60 s for every 1000 bp of amplification target.

(b) Mutagenesis

Reactions were performed in 50 μl reaction volumes in PCR tubes containing 5 μl 10X Pfu Turbo buffer (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), 1 μl 25 mM dNTPs (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 5 μl of each 2.5 μM forward (MG1-9-F) and reverse oligonucleotide primers (MG1-9-R), 5 μl rasG cDNA template in pGEM-T Easy vector (50 ng/μl), 1 μl Pfu Turbo DNA Polymerase 2.5 U/μl (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) and ddH2O to total of 50 μl. The cycling parameters were as follows: 1 cycle of 95 °C for 30 s followed by 16 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 60 s, and 68 °C for x min (2 min per kb) and then held at 4 °C.
Table 3: Sequence and description of oligonucleotides primers used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligo</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Brief description of nucleotide changes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBRG9-F:</td>
<td>5'CCGCATTTTTTGCGA TGC3'</td>
<td>Forward primer for rasG, promoter PCR, in combination with PBRG5-R used for KO screening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBRG5-R:</td>
<td>5'TGGTTAAGGCACCTTT TAC CG3'</td>
<td>Reverse primer for rasG, exonI, used for KO screening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBRC2-F:</td>
<td>PBRC2-F: 5'ATTGCTGAATATGAT CCA AC3'</td>
<td>Forward primer for rasC, 3 bp in ExonI and the rest overlaps IntronI, in combination with PBRC2-R used for KO screening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBRC2-R:</td>
<td>5'AAAAAAATAATAA ATG AATGC3'</td>
<td>Reverse primer for rasC, Intron II, used for KO screening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBbsr2-F:</td>
<td>5'CAGGGAGAAATCTATT CGGC3'</td>
<td>Forward primer for bsr cDNA PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBbsr1-R:</td>
<td>5'TACCCACAGGACTTACCA CTCG3'</td>
<td>Reverse primer for bsr cDNA PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBRC3-F:</td>
<td>5'AGATCTATGTCAAAATTA AATAAAATTAGTTATG3'</td>
<td>Forward primer for rasC cDNA PCR. BglII linker at 5' site before ATG start added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBRC3-R:</td>
<td>5'CTGTTTTGATCTACTCTA TCACTTC3'</td>
<td>Reverse primer for rasC cDNA PCR. xhol site after the stop codon added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBRC79-F:</td>
<td>5'TTCCCAATGTTTACAGT ATATATC3'</td>
<td>Reverse primer for rasG cDNA PCR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBRC78-R:</td>
<td>5'GCGACTCTACTCCTCTTCTAAATTATG3'</td>
<td>Forward primer for rasG cDNA PCR. BglII linker at 5' site before ATG start added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBRC77-R:</td>
<td>5'GTTTACCAATTATGTTATG3'</td>
<td>Reverse primer for rasC cDNA PCR. 15 nucleotides from rasG cDNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBcar1-F2:</td>
<td>5'ATTTTTGAATTCAGT TTATATC3'</td>
<td>Forward primer for carA cDNA PCR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBcar1-R2:</td>
<td>5'GTTTACCAATTATGTTATG3'</td>
<td>Reverse primer for carA cDNA PCR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBgo2-F1:</td>
<td>5'ATGGTTTGCATTTTGGC AATGC3'</td>
<td>Forward primer for gpaB cDNA PCR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligo</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>Brief description of nucleotide changes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBga2-R1</td>
<td>5'TTAAGAATATAAACCAGC TTTC3'</td>
<td>Reverse primer for gpaB cDNA PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBgp80-F2</td>
<td>5'CCTCGTGCCAAATACAAT CG3'</td>
<td>Forward primer for csa4 cDNA PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBgp80-R2</td>
<td>5'CTGATGAAGGTGCTTCAG TTTCTTC3'</td>
<td>Reverse primer for csa4 cDNA PCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Sequence and description of oligonucleotides used for the site directed mutagenesis on rasG cDNA.

Primer position: is the nucleotide number from the 5' of rasG cDNA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligo</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Brief description of nucleotide changes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MG1-F:</td>
<td>5'CTTAACCATTCATTAacctCAA AACCATTTCTTG3'</td>
<td>ATC to acc. I to T (ile to thr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer position: 54-88 (35mer) Changed amino acid # 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG1-R:</td>
<td>5'CAATGAAATGGTTTTTGggtTAATTGAAT GTTAAAG 3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG2-F:</td>
<td>5'CAATTAATCCAAAACcaatTCATTGATG AATACG 3'</td>
<td>CAT to caa. H to Q (his to gln)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer position: 64-97 (34mer) Changed amino acid # 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG2-R:</td>
<td>5'CGTATTCAATGAAttgGTTTTGGATT GATTG 3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG3-F:</td>
<td>5'CAAACCATTTCCATTTgetGAATACGATCC AACTATC 3'</td>
<td>GAT to gct. D to A (asp to ala)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer position: 73-108 (36mer) Changed amino acid # 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG3-R:</td>
<td>5'GATAGTGTGATCTATTCAagcAATGAAA TGTTTTG 3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG4-F:</td>
<td>5'CGATCCACTATCGAAaatTCATACAGA AAAACAG 3'</td>
<td>GAT to aat. D to N (asp to asn)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer position: 96-130 (36mer) Changed amino acid # 38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG4-R:</td>
<td>5'CTTGTGTTCTGTATGAAattTCATGATTT GATCG 3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG5-F:</td>
<td>5'CAATGGAAGAAGGttTGTTATTTGATA TTATAGA TTTAG 3'</td>
<td>ACT to gtt. T to V (thr to val)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer position: 135-169 (35mer) Changed amino acid # 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG5-R:</td>
<td>5'CTTTAATCTCTAATACAAACAacTTCTTC ATCAATG 3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG6-F:</td>
<td>5'CATGGATGAAAGAACCTTTATTTTAGATA TTATAGA TTTAG 3'</td>
<td>TGT to tat. C to Y (cys to tyr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer position: 135-169 (35mer) Changed amino acid # 51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG6-R:</td>
<td>5'CTTAAATATCTCTAATAAGATTCTTCTCA TCAATG 3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG7-F:</td>
<td>5'GATGAAAGAACCTTGTatgTTTAGATATTT AGACTCTG 3'</td>
<td>TTA to atg. L to M (leu to met)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer position: 139-175 (37mer) Changed amino acid # 52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG7-R:</td>
<td>5'CAGTATCTAAAATATCTAAacAACATTTCTTCATC 3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG8-F:</td>
<td>5'CAATGAGAGACCAATAATCattAGAAGTA TGCGGA 3'</td>
<td>ATG to att. M to I (met to ile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer position: 197-230 (34mer) Changed amino acid # 72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG8-R:</td>
<td>5'CCTTGACCAGTTCTTATATATGGGTCTCT CATTG 3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG9-F:</td>
<td>5'CAATGAGAGACCAATAATCattGGTCAAGTG TCTTTG 3'</td>
<td>ACT to agt. T to S (thr to ser)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primer position: 205-239 (35mer) Changed amino acid # 74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG9-R:</td>
<td>5'CAAAAGGAACCTTGACCacTCTCATTAT ATGGTTC 3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The extension products were ethanol precipitated and dissolved in 20 µl TE (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA). The DNA was digested initially with 1.5 µl DpnI of 5 U/µl (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for 1 h, after which 1 µl of additional DpnI was added and the DNA further digested for 1 hour to ensure the complete removal of the original plasmid DNA. 5 µl of the amplified products were transformed into *E.coli* strain XL1-MRF'. Positive transformants were confirmed by sequencing.

(c) Gene disruption screening by amplification

To prepare templates for amplification, cells were lysed in 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.3% Tween-20, 60 µg/ml proteinase K, and the lysates were incubated for 1 h at 56 °C. The lysates were then boiled for 10 min, and 1 µl of the crude cell lysate was used as template in a 10 µl reaction in a glass capillary tube using a Idaho RapidCycler (Idaho Technologies, Idaho Falls, ID), as described for the standard reaction. Amplification was conducted using appropriate primers (Table 3) with the following cycling parameters: 2 cycles of 92 °C for 90 s, 50 °C for 10 s, and 72 °C for 120 s; followed by 35 cycles of 91 °C for 7 s, 50 °C for 7 s, and 72 °C for 60 s; and then held at 72 °C for 180 s.

2.2.3 Generation of mutated constructs

Site directed mutants of rasG were created using pGEM-rasG as the template and the oligonucleotide pairs MG1-9-F and MG1-9-R (Table 4) in accordance to the Stratagene PfuTurbo DNA polymerase-based protocol, as described in the mutagenesis section. pBMG1-9 expression plasmids were generated by ligating the *BamH*1-*SalI* excised fragment of pGEM-MG1-9 into *BglII*-XhoI of pJLW14.
RasG was also modified by two block switches of sequence with RasC. This was accomplished by ligating DNA coding the first 78 amino acids of RasC to DNA encoding amino acids 79-190 of RasG (CSA). In addition a chimeric construct encoding amino acids 1-78 of RasG and 79-190 of RasC was generated (CSB). In more detail, to generate pBCSA and pBCSB chimeric constructs, the following strategy was employed. The primers PBRC3-F and PBRC78-R+G overhang, PBRC79-F and PBRC3-R were used to generate two amplified products of C1 (nt 1-234) and C2 (nt 235-570) from rasC cDNA as template. The primers PBRG10-F and PBRG77-R+C overhang, PBRG78-F and PBRG8-R were used to generate 2 amplified PCR products of G1 (nt 1-231) and G2 (nt 232-570) from rasG cDNA as template. The 50 μl reactions were performed in PCR tubes using the standard PCR protocol except that Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase was used instead of Taq DNA polymerase to prevent the A-tailing, which would have prevented the proper subsequent cloning. After completion, the amplified products were gel purified, and subjected to a second round of standard amplification. Reactions were performed in 40 μl mixtures in PCR tubes containing, 5 μl 10X Pfu Turbo buffer, 2.5 μl 2.5 mM dNTPs, 1 μl Pfu Turbo DNA Polymerase 2.5 U/μl, 200 ng of each gel purified and amplified DNA (C1 and G2) or (G1 and C2), and ddH2O to 40 μl. The procedure was interrupted after 10 cycles to add 5 μl each of the PBRC3-F and PBRG8-R primers or the PBRG10-F and PBRC3-R primers respectively. The resulting products, CSA (C1+G2) and CSB (G1+C2), were gel purified and then subjected to standard amplification using Taq DNA polymerase and the PBRC3-F and PBRG8-R primers or the PBRG10-F and PBRC3-R primers respectively. The PCR amplified products were gel purified and cloned by T/A ligation into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega,
Madison, WI) to generate pGEM-CSA and pGEM-CSB. pBCSA and pBCSB were generated by ligating \textit{BglII-SalI} fragment of pGEM-CSA and \textit{BamHI-XhoI} fragment of pGEM-CSB into \textit{BglII-XhoI} of pJLW14 expression plasmid.

2.2.4 \textbf{Generation of null mutant strains}

The AX2/ras\textsubscript{G} strain was generated as described previously (Tuxworth \textit{et al.}, 1997). 270 blasticidin S-resistant transformants were screened by PCR, which yielded three Ax2/ras\textsubscript{G} isolates. To generate ras\textsubscript{G} mutants in the JH10 strain background, a ras\textsubscript{G}-thyl disruption vector was constructed by inserting the \textit{BamHI} fragment of plasmid pJH60, containing the thyl gene (Hadwiger and Firtel, 1992), into the single \textit{BglII} site in the ras\textsubscript{G} promoter in plasmid pRHI125, which contains only the promoter and exon II of ras\textsubscript{G} (R. H. Insall, personal communication). The first ras\textsubscript{G} exon was not present in this construct in an attempt to generate a more stable null strain and make PCR screening of the gene disrupted strains easier. Transformants were selected in the absence of thymidine and screened by using PBRG9-F and PBRG5-R primer sets. From 280 screened clones, three JH10/ras\textsubscript{G} cell lines were isolated. Southern blot analysis confirmed each of the presumptive gene disruptions and indicated that each was the result of a single insertion into the genome. Construction of the AX2/ras\textsubscript{C} and JH10/ras\textsubscript{C} strains has been described previously (Lim \textit{et al.}, 2001; Khosla \textit{et al.}, 2005). To make a ras\textsubscript{C}/ras\textsubscript{G} double-disruption strain, the ras\textsubscript{C} disruption vector pJLW26, carrying the blasticidin-resistance (\textit{bsr}) selectable marker (Lim \textit{et al.}, 2001), was transformed into JH10/ras\textsubscript{G} cells. The second ras\textsubscript{C} intron is not present in this construct, which also provided the opportunity for easier screening. The blasticidin-resistant clones were screened using PBRC2-F and PBRC2-R primer sets. From 700 clones screened, two
rasC/rasG cell lines were isolated. rasG/rasG rescued strains were generated by transforming the rasG-containing plasmid, pRASG-G418, into the rasG strain as previously described (Tuxworth et al., 1997). rasC/rasG/rasC/rasG rescued strains were created by cotransforming the plasmids, pRASG-G418 and pJLW30 (Tuxworth et al., 1997; Lim et al., 2001) into the double-disruption strain.

For all transformations, 20 µg of the appropriate vector were cleaved with restriction enzymes and electroporated into Dictyostelium cells as previously described (Alibaud et al., 2003). Clones containing the selectable marker were isolated 8-15 days following the application of the selection conditions and growth in plastic dishes. These clonal isolates were plaque purified on a bacterial lawn and screened for single or double gene disruptions using rasG or rasC specific primers as described.

To generate the rasC/rasG/ [act15]:carA strain, 20 µg of the pcAR1-B18 expression vector, which contains carA cDNA under the control of constitutively active actin 15 promoter and a neomycin-resistance cassette (Johnson et al., 1991) was electroporated into rasC/rasG cells as previously described (Alibaud et al., 2003). Clones containing the selectable marker were isolated 8-15 days following the application of the selection conditions and maintained in HL5 media supplemented with 10 µg/ml G418 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

2.2.5 Growth of Dictyostelium discoideum

Strain Ax2 was grown at 22 °C in HL5 medium (14.3 g peptone, 7.15 g yeast extract, 15.4 g glucose, 0.96 g Na2HPO4.7H2O and 0.486 g KH2PO4 per liter of water), supplemented with 50 µg/ml streptomycin sulfate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in Nunclon tissue culture dishes (Nunc, Rochester, NY), or in suspension in flasks shaken at 160 rpm
Strain JH10 was grown in HL5 medium supplemented with 100 µg/ml thymidine (Sigma). The JH10/rasG cells were grown in HL5 medium without supplement, while JH10/rasC/rasG cells were grown in HL5 media supplemented with 10 µg/ml blasticidin S (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA). The JH10/rasG/[rasG]:rasG rescue and the rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA cells were grown in HL5 medium supplemented with 10 µg/ml G418 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). All cells were maintained on tissue culture dishes, and passaged by scraping confluent plates (2 x 10^6 cells/ml) and diluting between 50-100 fold into fresh HL5 on tissue culture plates. For cryopreservation, ~1 x 10^8 cells were frozen in 1.0 ml aliquots of HL5 supplemented with 10% DMSO (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ). All cells were maintained for no more than 6 passages before recovering fresh samples from cryopreserved stocks. For quantitative growth, cells were seeded at an initial density of 10^5 cells/ml in flasks of HL5 and cell numbers at various time points was determined in shaken cell suspension by cell counting using a haemocytometer. For plaque purification, Dictyostelium cells were diluted and plated (20-30 cells/plate) in association with Klebsiella oxytoca on SM agar plates (Sussman, 1987).

2.2.6 cAMP pulsing

To obtain cAMP-pulsed cells, vegetative cells were harvested by centrifugation (500 x g, 5 min), washed twice in KK2 (20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.1), and resuspended in KK2 to a final density of 5 x 10^6 cells/ml. 30 ml of this cell suspension was shaken at 150 rpm for 1 h and then pulsed with 100 µl of cAMP to a final concentration of 50 nM every 6 min for 5 h, using a Polystaltic pump (Buchler, Fort Lee, NJ) and a Lab Controller timer (VWR, Mississauga, ON). After pulsing, cells were
harvested by centrifugation (500 x g, 5 min), washed two times with KK2, and resuspended in KK2 at appropriate cell density depending on the experiment. For some experiments, the cAMP pulsing was for 8 h.

2.2.7 Multicellular development

To observe multicellular development, vegetative or cAMP-pulsed cells were washed twice in Bonner's salts (10 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl₂), and plated on nitrocellulose filters (Millipore, Bedford, MA) supported by KK2-saturated pads and incubated in a moist container at 22 °C. Multicellular development was also observed on bacterial growth plates. To observe aggregation streaming, vegetative or 5 h cAMP-pulsed cells were washed twice in Bonner's salts, seeded at ~4 x 10⁵ cells/cm² in Nunc tissue-culture dishes submerged under Bonner's salts, and incubated at 22 °C.

2.2.8 Nuclear staining

To determine nuclear number, cells were grown in shake suspension in HL5 for five days. 3 x 10³ cells/cm² were allowed to adhere to glass coverslips for 30 minutes and then washed 3 times with KK2. The cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 10 minutes and the fixed cells were washed a further 3 times with KK2. Cells were then permeabilised with -20 °C acetone, dried, rehydrated with KK2 and then nuclei stained with 1 μM 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma). Stained cells were washed three times with PBS, air-dried and mounted on glass slides with 50% glycerol. Epifluorescence images of random fields of view were captured using an Olympus IX-70 inverted microscope, a DAGE-MTI CCD-100 camera (Michigan City, IN), and Scion (Frederick, MD) Image 4.0 software. The average number of nuclei per cell was obtained by counting 300-390 cells.
2.2.9 F-actin staining

For visualization of F-actin distribution in situ, cells were grown in HL5 media in Nunc tissue culture dishes, $2 \times 10^3$ cells/cm$^2$ reseeded onto glass coverslips and allowed to adhere for 3 hours. The cells were then fixed and permeabilized with 1% glutaraldehyde, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes. Fixed cells were rinsed three times in PBS and autofluorescence quenched with 1 mg/ml NaBH$_4$ for 10 minutes. Following three further rinses in PBS, the cells were stained with 0.1 µg/ml fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) phalloidin conjugate (Sigma) for 1 hour. The coverslips were then rinsed in PBS, air-dried and mounted onto slides with or without the addition of Prolong antifade (Molecular Probes). Epifluorescent images were taken on a Zeiss Axiovert microscope.

2.2.10 Chemotaxis assays

To determine chemotaxis to cAMP, cells were pulsed with cAMP as described above, washed twice with Bonner’s salts, and then seeded in Nunc tissue-culture dishes at a cell density of $\sim 5 \times 10^5$ cell/cm$^2$ in Bonner’s salts. At t=0, an Eppendorf Femtotip Micropipet (Hamburg, Germany) filled with 100 µM cAMP was placed in the field of view, and cell movements toward the Micropipet were monitored by time-lapse video microscopy and recorded at the rate of 2 frames per minutes (30 s intervals) using an Olympus IX-70 inverted microscope, a DAGE-MTI CCD-100 camera (Michigan City, IN), and Scion (Frederick, MD) Image 4.0 software. The cell movement was followed for a total of 90 frames. Instantaneous velocities and chemotaxis indices were determined as described previously (Wessels et al., 2004). The images were analyzed by Openlab software (Improvision, Coventry, England). Motility parameters were
computed from the centroid position of each cell. To measure the instantaneous velocity
(μm/min) of a cell in frame n, a line was drawn from the centroid in frame n-1 to the
centroid in frame n+1 and the length of that line was divided by twice the time interval
between frames. Chemotaxis index was calculated as the net distance traveled towards
the source of chemoattractant divided by the total distance traveled in that time period.

Chemotaxis to folate, was determined using a previously described protocol
(Palmieri et al., 2000). Cells were cultivated in HL5 media on Nunc tissue culture dishes
to a density of ~4 × 10^5 cells/cm^2. The cells were then rinsed once and submerged in 20%
HL5. At t=0, an Eppendorf Femtotip Micropipet (Hamburg, Germany) filled with 25 mM
folate was positioned in the field of view and cell movements monitored by time-lapse
microscopy as described above.

2.2.11 Western blot analysis

Protein samples were prepared by pelleting cells by centrifugation (500 x g, 5
min). For some experiments cells were resuspended in KK2 and lysed by mixing with
6X SDS-PAGE loading buffer (350 mM Tris-Cl, pH 6.8, 10% SDS, 600 mM DTT,
0.012% w/v bromophenol blue, 30% glycerol) in a volume ratio of 5:1. For other
experiments cells were lysed directly by resuspending in SDS-PAGE loading buffer.
Samples then were boiled at 100 °C for 5 min and used for discontinuous SDS-PAGE or
stored at -85 °C (Sambrook, 1989).

Proteins were separated by discontinuous SDS-PAGE gels, consisting of an upper
protein stacking gel (3.9% bis-acrylamide (1:19), 125 mM Tris-Cl, pH 6.8, 0.1% SDS,
0.03% ammonium persulfate, 0.1% TEMED) and a lower protein resolving gel (10% bis-
acrylamide (1:19), 375 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.8, 0.1% SDS, 0.03% ammonium persulfate,
0.07% TEMED). Samples were electrophoresed at 100 V for 100 min. The electrophoresis buffer was 25 mM Tris-Cl, 192 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS, pH 8.3. Separated proteins were blotted onto Hybond P PVDF membranes (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, England), using a Mini Protean II electroblot apparatus (Bio-Rad) at 0.2 A for 68 min submerged in ice-cold transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 20% methanol). To determine if proteins were equally loaded, the gels were stained with Coomassie blue solution (0.025% w/v Coomassie G-250, 10% v/v acetic acid).

After transfer, the membranes were incubated for 1 h at 22 °C with gentle agitation, in blocking buffer consisting of 5% w/v milk (non-fat dried powder) in TBS-T (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4, 154 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween20), and then incubated with anti-Ras specific primary antibodies in 1% w/v non-fat milk powder/TBS-T overnight at 22 °C on a rotary shaker. Membranes were washed 3 times for 5 min each with TBS-T and incubated with horse radish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (GE Healthcare) at 1:5000 dilution in 1% w/v milk/TBS-T for 1 h at 22°C on a rotary shaker. Membranes were washed 3 times for 5 min each with TBS-T, detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham) according to manufacturer's instructions and exposed to X-OMAT XB-1 X-ray film (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY) for an appropriate amount of time to visualize the signal.

2.2.12 PKB phosphorylation assays

PKB phosphorylation was determined as described previously (Lim et al., 2001). Briefly, cAMP-pulsed cells were washed twice in KK2, resuspended to 5 x 10⁷ cells/ml in KK2, and then stimulated with cAMP at a final concentration of 100 nM. Aliquots of 100 µl were removed at intervals, before and after stimulation, and mixed with 20 µl of
6X SDS-PAGE loading buffer. 10 µg of protein were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and then subjected to Western-blot analysis using a phosphothreonine-specific antibody (Cat#9381, Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA).

Equal sample loading was verified by staining a duplicate gel with Coomassie Blue. To assess equal PKB expression levels in all strains, Western blots were also analyzed using a Dictyostelium PKB-specific antibody (a gift from F. Jiang and R. Dottin).

2.2.13 Northern blot analyses

Dictyostelium cells were pulsed with cAMP, as described above, and at various intervals total RNA was extracted from $2 \times 10^7$ cells using guanidinium isothiocyanate (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) (Chomczynski and Sacchi, 1987). Briefly, pelleted cells were lysed in solution containing 6M guanidinium isothiocyanate, 10% sarcosyl, 0.75 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0, and 0.7% BME (2-Mercaptoethanol, Sigma), mixed with 100 µl sodium acetate, pH 4.0, 1.2 ml phenol/chloroform (50:50) and incubated on ice for 15 min. The phases were then separated by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 10 min. The upper aqueous phase was mixed with 1 volume isopropanol, incubated for 1 h at -20 °C and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 min. The pelleted RNA was resuspended again in 6 M guanidinium isothiocyanate, 10% sarcosyl, 0.75 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0, 0.7% BME and reprecipitated with 1 volume of isopropanol. The RNA pellet was further washed with 1.0 ml 75% ethanol, air dried briefly, dissolved in DEPC-treated ddH$_2$O by vortexing and heating in 42 °C water bath and quantitated by absorbance spectrophotometry at 260 nm. 15 µg aliquots were size-fractionated on 1.25% agarose-formaldehyde gels. The gels were blotted onto Hybond-N+ membrane (Amersham) and
hybridized with radioactive probes labeled with [\(\alpha^{32}\)P]dCTP by the random primer method as described previously (Sambrook, 1989). Probes were derived from PCR amplified fragments of plasmid templates that had been first gel purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). Descriptions of the various probes used in this thesis are summarized in Table 5. Equal loading of samples was verified by intensity of ribosomal RNA stained with ethidium bromide.

Table 5. Description of probes used for blot hybridization analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Size (kbp)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>PCR primers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rasG</td>
<td>Full length cDNA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>pGEM-rasG</td>
<td>PBRG10-F, PBRG8-R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bsr</td>
<td>Partial cDNA</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>PCR-pRH119</td>
<td>PBbsr2-F, PBbsr1-R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carA</td>
<td>Partial cDNA</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>pBS-carA</td>
<td>PBcar1-F2, PBcar1-R2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gpaB</td>
<td>Full length cDNA</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>pga2-CFP</td>
<td>PBga2-F1, PBga2-R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>csaA</td>
<td>Full length cDNA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>pEMBL18-csaA</td>
<td>PBgp80-F2, PBgp80-R2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.14 Southern blot analyses

To isolate genomic DNA, 2 \(\times\) 10⁷ *Dictyostelium* cells grown in HL5 were washed in KK2 and resuspended in 1.0 ml solution containing 40 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.8, 1.5% sucrose, 0.1 mM EDTA, 6 mM MgCl₂, 40 mM KCl, 5 mM DTT and 0.4% NP-40, centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 10 min, and the supernatant discarded. The pellet was resuspended in 100 µl 0.1 M EDTA, mixed with 100 µl 10% SDS and incubated at 55 °C for 15 min. Following addition of 225 µl 4 M ammonium acetate, the precipitated
nucleic acid was pelleted by centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 15 min and subsequently washed with 1.0 ml 75% ethanol. The pellet was redissolved in TE and treated with RNaseA (final concentration of 1.6 μg/ml) for 1 h at 37 °C, extracted with phenol/chloroform and reprecipitated with ethanol. Purified genomic DNA was dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA) and the concentration determined by absorbance spectrophotometry at 260 nm. 10 μg of DNA was digested with the indicated restriction enzymes and size fractionated by electrophoresis in 0.7% agarose in TBE buffer (89 mM Boric Acid, 89 mM Trisbase, 2 mM EDTA) gels.

Fractionated nucleic acids were blotted onto nylon membranes (Hybond N+, Amersham) and hybridized with radioactive probes labeled with [α-32P]dCTP by the random primer method as described previously (Sambrook, 1989). Probes were derived from PCR amplified fragments of plasmid templates that had been first gel purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). Descriptions of the various probes used in this thesis are summarized in Table 5.

2.2.15 cAMP accumulation

cAMP production was measured by a previously described method (van Haastert, 1984). Briefly, cells were pulsed with cAMP, as described above, washed twice and then resuspended in KK2 at a density of 6.25 × 10^7 cells/ml. The cell suspension was stimulated with 10 μM 2'-deoxy cAMP, and 100 μl samples were lysed at intervals by addition of 100 μl of 3.5% perchloric acid and 50 μl of 50% saturated KHCO3. cAMP levels were then measured using a cAMP binding-protein assay kit (Amersham TRK432).
2.2.16 Adenylyl cyclase assay

cAMP-pulsed cells were treated with 2 mM caffeine for 30 min, centrifuged and washed twice with ice-cold KK2 buffer. Cell pellets were then resuspended to a density of $5 \times 10^7$ cells/ml in ice-cold 2 mM MgSO$_4$/KK2, mixed with an equal volume of 20 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 2 mM MgSO$_4$ and immediately frozen on dry ice, thawed, and then vortexed in the presence of glass beads (<106 microns) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). For stimulation by GTP$_y$S, 80 nM GTP$_y$S and 2 nM cAMP were included in the lysis buffer. Lysates were kept chilled on ice for 5 min, 200 µl samples were then incubated for 2 min at 22°C in a reaction mix containing 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 0.1 mM ATP, 1.0 mM cAMP, 10 mM DTT, and $10^6$ cpm $\alpha^{32}$P-ATP (Amersham). Mn$^{2+}$ stimulated activity was measured by inclusion of 5 mM MnSO$_4$ in the reaction mix. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 100 µl 1% SDS containing 1 mM cAMP and 9 mM ATP. cAMP was purified by sequential chromatography through Dowex-50 and alumina, as previously described (Salomon, 1979). The eluted $^{32}$P-cAMP was mixed with 5.0 ml water miscible scintillation fluid (ReadySafe, Beckman Coulter) and counted in the $^3$H-channel for 4.0 min per sample in a Beckman LS6000IC scintillation counter.

2.2.17 cAMP binding assay

cAMP-pulsed cells were treated with 2 mM caffeine for 30 min, washed twice in ice-cold KK2 and resuspended to a density of $1.5 \times 10^8$ cells/ml. The binding of $^3$H-cAMP to the cell surface was measured by the ammonium sulfate stabilization assay (Van Haastert and Kien, 1983). Cells ($1.5 \times 10^7$) were incubated with 100 µl of KK2 containing 10 nM $^3$H-cAMP (0.9 TBq/mmol) (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, England) and 5 mM DTT (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 1 min at
0 °C. One ml of saturated ammonium sulfate was then added, followed immediately by the addition of 100 μl of bovine serum albumin (10 mg/ml) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). After 5 min incubation at 0 °C, the samples were centrifuged at 8000 × g for 2 min. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended in 110 μl of 1 M acetic acid. One hundred μl of the resuspended pellet was mixed with 4 ml scintillation cocktail (Ready Safe liquid scintillation cocktails, Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA) and the amount of bound \(^3\)H-cAMP was measured using a Beckman LS6000IC scintillation counter.

2.2.18 cGMP production

To measure cGMP production, cells were pulsed with cAMP as described above, washed twice with KK2, and resuspended to a density of \(1 \times 10^8\) cells/ml in KK2 containing 2 mM caffeine. The cells were stimulated with 100 nM cAMP, and 100 μl samples were lysed at intervals by the addition of 100 μl of 3.5% perchloric acid, followed by the addition of 50 μl of 50% saturated KHCO₃. cGMP levels were then measured using a cGMP-[\(^3\)H] assay kit (Amersham TRK500).

2.2.19 Guanylyl cyclase assay

*In vitro* guanylyl cyclase assay was determined as described previously (Snaar-Jagalska and Van Haastert, 1994). Briefly, cAMP pulsed cells were washed and resuspended to a density of \(2 \times 10^8\) cells/ml in KK2. One volume of cell suspension was mixed with one volume of 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8, 1.5 mM EGTA, 500 mM sucrose, in the presence or absence of 200 μM GTPγS, and cells were immediately lysed by pushing the suspension through 5 μm Isopore Membrane Filters (Millipore, Ireland) placed directly between a 1 ml syringe and a 26G1/2 needle. 150 μl of cell lysate was mixed with an
equal volume of assay mix (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8, 250 mM sucrose, 40 mM DTT, 1 mM GTP, 5 mM MgCl₂) and the mixture incubated at 22 °C for 60 s. Aliquots (100 µl) were mixed with 100 µl 3.5% perchloric acid, followed by addition of 50 µl of 50% saturated KHCO₃. cGMP levels were then measured using a cGMP-[³H] assay kit (Amersham TRK500).

2.2.20 RasG, RasC and Rap1 activation assay

After cAMP pulsing, cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended at a density of 2 × 10⁷ cells/ml in KK2 containing 1 mM caffeine. After 30 min, aliquots (2 ml) of cell suspension were stimulated by addition of cAMP to 15 µM. Cell suspensions (350 µl) were lysed at the indicated times by mixing with an equal volume of 2X lysis buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, PH 7.2, 2% Triton X-100, 20% glycerol, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM Na₃VO₄, 10 mM NaF, containing two tablets of protease inhibitor (Roche Complete) per 50 ml buffer). The lysates were centrifuged for 10 min and the protein concentrations of the supernatants were determined using the protein assay from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA). The GST-Byr2-Ras Binding Domain (RBD) was expressed in *Escherichia coli* and purified as described previously (Kae et al., 2004). 400 µg of protein was then incubated with 100 µg of GST-Byr2-RBD on glutathione-sepharose beads (Amersham Biosciences) at 4 °C for 1 hour. The glutathione-sepharose beads were harvested by centrifugation and washed three times in 1X lysis buffer. 50 µl of 1X SDS gel loading buffer was then added to the pelleted beads and the suspension boiled for 5 min. Samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blots probed with anti-RasG, RasC or Rap1 specific antibodies.
3 RESULTS

3.1 Comparison of the roles of RasG and RasC in cAMP signaling during aggregation

3.1.1 Generation of rasG null strain

Direct evidence for a role for Ras in early development came with the disruption of the rasC gene, which produced cells that failed to aggregate (Lim et al., 2001). Although aggregation was totally defective under certain experimental conditions, it did occur under others, indicating that RasC was important but not essential. Evidence for the involvement of an additional Ras protein came from the finding that like RasC, RasG was also activated in response to cAMP (Kae et al., 2004). This study suggested that the role of RasG in aggregation should be further investigated. The original rasG strains, IR15 and IR17, isolated by the Insall laboratory exhibited only slight and variable defects in development, background strain variability and instability (Tuxworth et al., 1997; Khosla et al., 2000). In view of the problems with these strains, I therefore generated new rasG null strains in order to reinvestigate the possible role of RasG during early development. To control for possible strain-related variation, the mutants were generated in two different wild type axenic backgrounds that are known to be genetically distinct; AX2 (Watts and Ashworth, 1970) and JH10, a thymidine-requiring derivative of AX3 (Hadwiger and Firtel, 1992). To generate rasG null strains, the pBW1 and pBW2 constructs that carried a clone of rasG interrupted by a blasticidin and a thymidine gene respectively (Table 2) were digested with EcoRI and KpnI and introduced into AX2 and JH10 by electroporation. Following 8-15 days of selection for blasticidin resistant cells or cells that no longer required thymidine as the supplement, cells were clonally isolated.
and screened by PCR. Three positive clones in each background were selected for further analysis by southern and western blotting.

Southern blot analysis of EcoRI and HindIII digested genomic DNA confirmed each of the presumptive gene disruptions (Figure 3), because the expected EcoRI-HindIII fragment was shifted as predicted. When the duplicate blots were hybridized with the bsr probe, a single band was detected at 3.2 kb, indicating that each mutant contained of a single insertion into the genome (data not shown). Western blots of total cell protein from the mutants revealed no RasG protein in any of the three AX2/rasG- or the three JH10/rasG isolates (Figure 4). In vegetative rasG- cells there was a marked increase in the amount of RasC protein (Figure 4) relative to wild type, suggesting the possibility that RasC is capable of partially compensating for the loss of RasG. In contrast in rasC- cells there was only a slight increase in the amount of RasG protein (Figure 4) suggesting a minor or no compensatory role of RasG for RasC. The AX2/rasG- and JH10/rasG clones all exhibited identical properties, so detailed studies were conducted on a single isolate of each.

Ectopic expression of RasG protein from the rasG promoter was accomplished by introducing the 20 µg of undigested pRASG-G418 into rasG- cells by electroporation. This ectopic expression rescued both the growth and developmental phenotypic defects of rasG- mutants in both backgrounds, confirming that the observed phenotype was a consequence of rasG gene disruption. Unlike the originally isolated strains, IR15 and IR17, both new rasG null strains (AX2/rasG- and JH10/rasG-) had stable phenotypes during prolonged growth.
AX2/rasC and JH10/rasC strains were generated previously in the Weeks laboratory (Lim et al., 2001; Khosla et al., 2005) and the availability of these strains allowed direct comparison of the effects of the deletion of rasG and rasC in isogenic backgrounds. Since the JH10/rasG cell line was later used to generate other mutant strains, all subsequent data presented in this thesis is for this strain, unless otherwise indicated.
Figure 3. Genetic disruption of rasG gene. Southern blot of genomic DNA from parental AX2 and JH10 and three disrupted clones derived from cells transformed with pBW1 and pBW2. 10 μg genomic DNA was digested with EcoRI and HindIII, separated in a 0.7% agarose gel, blotted onto nylon membrane and probed with the full length rasG cDNA.
Figure 4. Western blot analysis of Ras protein levels of JH10, JH10/rasC, JH10/rasG, AX2, AX2/rasC, and AX2/rasG. Cell lysates from the JH10, JH10/rasC, JH10/rasG, AX2, AX2/rasC, and AX2/rasG were probed with RasG and RasC specific antibodies, as indicated.
3.1.2 Developmental phenotype of the *rasG* null strains

When grown in association with bacteria (*Klebsiella oxytoca*) on SM plates (Sussman, 1987), single *Dictyostelium* cells form distinct plaques; the amoebae clear the plate of the bacteria and subsequently enter development and form fruiting bodies. The plaques of JH10/*rasG* strain showed large clearing zones before differentiation commenced (Figure 5), as observed previously for the original *rasG* strains (R. H. Insall and G. Weeks, unpublished observations) and similar results were obtained for newly generated AX2/*rasG* strain (Figure 6). Ectopic expression of the RasG protein under the control of *rasG* promoter restored multicellular development in the null strain to the wild type phenotype (data not shown), confirming that the observed phenotype was a consequence of *rasG* gene disruption. Developmental phenotype for the JH10/*rasC* strain is also shown in Figure 5 for comparison.

Aggregation of parental and mutant strains was observed in more detail by plating cells in plastic dishes under non-nutrient buffer. Aggregation streams of JH10 cells were observed 8 h after plating, and typical tight aggregates formed by 12 h (Figure 7A). JH10/*rasG* (Figure 7B) and AX2/*rasG* cells (data not shown) formed aggregation streams with a delay of about 4 h relative to wild type, and the final aggregates were smaller. Under these conditions, the JH10/*rasC* cells formed very small clumps (Figure 7C), as observed previously (Khosla *et al.*, 2005). When pulsed with cAMP for 5 h in shaken suspension JH10 cells rapidly formed aggregation streams upon plating in plastic dishes (Figure 7D), whereas JH10/*rasG* cells were again somewhat delayed in aggregate-stream formation (Figure 7E). Under these conditions, JH10/*rasC* cells formed large clumps without detectable aggregate-stream formation (Figure 7F).
Figure 5. Multicellular development of JH10, rasG⁻, and rasC⁻ on bacterial growth plates. Clonal plaque of (A) parental JH10, (B) rasG⁻ and (C) rasC⁻ strains after 5 days growth on a bacterial lawn. Images were taken using a Wild Leitz dissecting microscope. Scale bar is 150 μm.
Figure 6. Multicellular development of Ax2 and ras$G^-$ on bacterial growth plates. Clonal plaque of parental Ax2 and ras$G^-$ strains after 5 days growth on a bacterial lawn. Images were taken using a Wild Leitz dissecting microscope. Scale bar is 150 μm.
Figure 7. Aggregation of JH10, rasG, and rasC on a plastic surface. Without (A-C) or with (D-F) 5 h of prior pulsing with cAMP (see Materials and Methods). Strains JH10 (A and D), rasG (B and E), and rasC (C and F) were photographed at the indicated times after plating. Single experiments are shown, but the results for the various strains were highly reproducible. Scale bar is 250 μm.
Developmental phenotypes were also observed after cells were plated on nitrocellulose filters under starvation conditions. Under these conditions AX2 and JH10 cells culminate uniformly after 24 h. In contrast, both the JH10/rasG and AX2/rasG− strains did form small fruiting bodies, but culmination was not observed until about 30 h (Figure 8A and data not shown). Although the JH10/rasC− cells did not routinely undergo development under these conditions (Figure 8A), some filters occasionally contained small fruiting bodies (data not shown), confirming previous results (Khosla et al., 2005). However, as shown JH10/rasC− cells formed fruiting bodies following the administration of cAMP pulses to cells in suspension (Figure 8B).

3.1.3 Early developmental gene expression

The developmental defects in *Dictyostelium* mutants could be due to the misregulation of the genes required for development. A number of genes are known to be expressed soon after the initiation of development (Loomis, 1996). These include the genes for the cAMP receptor (*carA*), for the Ga subunit (*gpaB*), for the adenylyl cyclase (*acaA*), and for the cAMP phosphodiesterases (*pdsA* and *regA*) (Loomis, 1996; Parent and Devreotes, 1996). The products of these genes function with the preexisting proteins to generate and relay the cAMP and regulate the cAMP both outside and inside the cells. As the cells aggregate, they stick to each other as a result of expression of aggregation specific genes, *csaA* and *lagC*. It has been known that *Dictyostelium* cells express many developmental genes while suspended in buffer and given pulses of cAMP at 6 min periods for several hours (Kessin, 2001). To investigate the expression of early developmentally regulated genes in the ras mutant strains, cells were pulsed with cAMP under starvation conditions. These exogenous pulses mimic the developmental program.
Figure 8. Development of JH10, rasG, and rasC on nitrocellulose filters. (A) Vegetative JH10, rasG, and rasC cells or (B) cAMP-pulsed JH10, rasG, and rasC cells plated for development on nitrocellulose filters supported by KK2-saturated pads. Images were taken at 22 h after plating using a Wild Leitz dissecting microscope. Scale bar is 150 μm.
of Dictyostelium, thus all strains, even those defective in endogenous signaling, undergo synchronized developmental changes and express developmental genes more synchronously. The total RNA was prepared from harvested cells at various time points. The RNA was separated by electrophoresis, and the levels of expression of three representative early developmental genes carA, gpaB, and csaA were determined by northern blot analysis. In the control JH10 cells, the expression of all three genes had increased markedly by 8 h of development (Figure 9A). In these pulsed cells, the expression of two genes (carA and gpaB) was significantly reduced in JH10/rasG cells (Figure 9B), but not appreciably reduced in JH10/rasC cells (Figure 9C). In addition, the expression of the carA gene was significantly delayed in the JH10/rasG cells but not delayed in the JH10/rasC cells (Figure 9). Similar results were obtained for the AX2/rasG cells (data not shown). These results indicated an involvement of a RasG-dependent signal-transduction pathway for the optimum expression of these genes. For the third gene, the aggregation-specific csaA, expression was slightly lower in both the JH10/rasG and JH10/rasC cells than in JH10 cells. The delayed expression of the carA gene in rasG cells might partially explain the delayed aggregation phenotype observed in rasG cells, since the cAR1 gene product is essential for response to cAMP.

3.1.4 Comparison of chemotaxis by rasG and rasC cells

Diffusion of cAMP from the tip of a micropipet establishes a spatial cAMP gradient, and chemotaxis-competent cells placed in this gradient respond by migrating towards the tip (Firtel and Chung, 2000). Chemotaxis assays were first performed with cells that had been starved for 6 h on plastic dishes submerged under non-nutrient buffer without the application of cAMP pulses. At t=0, a micropipet filled with 100 μM cAMP
Figure 9. Northern-blot analysis of early developmental gene expression of JH10, rasC, and rasC. Cells of strains JH10 (A), rasC (B), and rasC (C) were pulsed with cAMP as described in Materials and Methods, and RNA was isolated at the indicated times (in hours) after the onset of pulsing. Blots were hybridized with cDNA probes corresponding to the indicated genes.
was positioned in the microscopic field of view, and the movement of cells was captured by time-lapse microscopy. As shown in Figure 10A, JH10 cells responded to the cAMP gradient by rapidly chemotaxing toward the tip within 20 min. JH10/rasG- cells were capable of chemotaxis but less number of cells around the micropipet tip was detected at the same time frame (Figure 10B). Similar results were obtained with AX2/rasG- cells (data not shown). In contrast, under the same conditions, JH10/rasC- cells responded extremely poorly (Figure 10C), confirming previous results (Khosla et al., 2005).

Chemotaxis assays were then performed with cells that had been pulsed with cAMP in suspension for 5 h prior to the assay. Under these conditions, JH10 and JH10/rasC- cells were highly polarized at the beginning of the assay. The cells responded quickly and migrated rapidly toward the cAMP source (Figure 11A and 11C). The chemotaxis of JH10/rasG- cells (Figure 11B) and AX2/rasG- cells (data not shown) was slower, and the cells exhibited little polarity. Instantaneous velocities and chemotaxis indices were determined using Openlab software. To measure the instantaneous velocity of a cell in frame n, a line was drawn from the centroid in frame n-1 to the centroid in frame n+1 and the length of that line was divided by twice the time interval between frames. Chemotaxis index was calculated as the net distance traveled towards the source of chemoattractant divided by the total distance traveled in that time period. The results showed no significant difference between the JH10 and JH10/rasC- cells, but the motility of the JH10/rasG- cells was lower (Table 6). The instantaneous velocity values obtained for the JH10 and JH10/rasC- cells were not significantly different from previously published values for the AX2 and AX2/rasC- strains (Wessels
et al., 2004). A calculation of chemotactic index revealed no reduction for the JH10/rasC<sup>−</sup> cells relative to JH10, but a lower value for the JH10/rasG<sup>−</sup> cells (Table 6).

Figure 10. Chemotaxis of starved JH10, rasG<sup>−</sup>, and rasC<sup>−</sup> cells towards a source of cAMP. Cells of strains JH10 (A), JH10/rasG<sup>−</sup> (B), and JH10/rasC<sup>−</sup> (C) were plated on Nunc dishes, submerged in Bonner's salts and starved for 6 h. A micropipet filled with 100 μM cAMP was inserted at t=0. Cells were photographed at the indicated times following the release of cAMP from the micropipette tip. Scale bar is 50 μm.
Figure 11. Chemotaxis of pulsed JH10, rasG, and rasC cells towards a source of cAMP. Cells of strains JH10 (A and D), rasG (B and E), and rasC (C and F) had been pulsed with cAMP for 5 h (A-C) or 8 h (D-F) were photographed at the indicated times following the release of cAMP from the micropipette tip. Scale bar is 50 μm.
Table 6. Chemotaxis analysis of *Dictyostelium* cells in a spatial gradient of cAMP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Instantaneous velocity (μm/min)</th>
<th>Chemotaxis index a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JH10 (n = 20)</td>
<td>11.02 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasG (n = 22)</td>
<td>5.97 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC (n = 21)</td>
<td>12.88 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD; n = number of cells

a Chemotaxis index was calculated as the net distance traveled towards the source of chemoattractant divided by the total distance traveled in that time period.

Because the delay of *carA* expression in the JH10/rasG- cells (Figure 9B) might possibly explain the reduced chemotaxis of the 5 h cAMP pulsed cells, the experiments were repeated with cells that had been pulsed with cAMP for 8 h to ensure maximum expression of *carA*. Results were not significantly different from those for 5 h cAMP-pulsed cells (Figure 11D-F). Thus the reduced chemotaxis exhibited by the JH10/rasG- cells after five hours of cAMP pulsing is not simply due to reduced levels of cAR1. These results suggest an important role for RasG in the signaling pathway responsible for chemotaxis to cAMP. However, the finding that rasG- cells are still capable of some chemotaxis suggests either the existence of a RasG independent pathway, or that another Ras family protein can mediate some of the signal transduction normally carried out by RasG.
3.1.5 cGMP production in response to cAMP

In *Dictyostelium*, cGMP production has been shown to be associated with the chemotactic response (van Haastert and Kuwayama, 1997). We therefore examined cGMP production in response to cAMP in the ras mutant strains. To do these studies, cAMP pulsed cells washed and treated with caffeine, stimulated with cAMP and the cGMP levels were then measured using a cGMP-[\(^3\)H] assay kit as described in material and methods. As previously reported for wild-type cells, there was a burst of cGMP production in JH10 cells, 10 s after the application of cAMP, and a drop back to basal levels after about 30 s. Maximum production of cGMP was significantly reduced in JH10/rasG cells (Figure 12) and in AX2/rasG cells (data not shown). In the JH10/rasC cells, there was also less cGMP produced, but the level was closer to wild type than for the rasG cells. Since cGMP production is important for chemotaxis, these results further implicate RasG in chemotaxis signaling. However, since there was also a reduction of cGMP production in the rasC cells, RasC appears also to play a subsidiary role in chemotaxis.

3.1.6 Guanylate cyclase activation

In *Dictyostelium*, *in vivo* activation of guanylate cyclase is known to be dependent on GPCRs and on heterotrimeric G proteins. cAMP is a well known extracellular ligands that induce a transient rise in cGMP. It has been shown previously that GTP\(\gamma\)S stimulates guanylyl cyclase activity (van Haastert and Kuwayama, 1997). However, in lysates of gff cells, this activation still occurs and the involvement of a monomeric G protein in the regulation of GC has therefore been proposed (Wu *et al.*, 1995; Roelofs *et al.*, 2001). I investigated the possibility that either RasC or RasG is the previously invoked
Figure 12. cGMP production of JH10, rasG, and rasC in response to cAMP. JH10 (◆), JH10/rasG (■), and JH10/rasC (▲) cells were pulsed for 5 h with cAMP, washed, and then stimulated with 100 nM cAMP. Samples were taken at the indicated times and assayed for cGMP accumulation (see Material and Methods). The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown.
Figure 13. *In vitro* GTPγS stimulation of guanylyl cyclase activity of JH10, *rasG*\(^{-}\), and *rasC*\(^{-}\). JH10, JH10/*rasG*\(^{-}\), and JH10/*rasC*\(^{-}\) cells were pulsed with cAMP for 5 h and lysates were then assayed for guanylyl cyclase activity in the absence (gray bar), or the presence of GTPγS (black bar). The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown.
monomeric G protein, by examining the GTPγS stimulation of guanylyl cyclase activity in the ras mutant strains. For this experiment, cAMP pulsed cells were washed and cell suspension was lysed in the presence or absence of GTPγS, and cGMP levels were then measured using a cGMP-[3H] assay kit. The guanylyl cyclase activity of JH10 lysates was stimulated ~8 fold, by GTPγS. The activity of rasG lysates was stimulated ~2.5 fold and the activity of rasC lysates was stimulated ~5.5 fold (Figure 13). This result again suggests an important role for RasG in cAMP signal transduction pathway responsible for guanylyl cyclase activation. However, since lysates from rasC cells also exhibit reduced guanylate cyclase activity, there may also be a role for RasC.

3.1.7 PKB phosphorylation

The Dictyostelium chemotactic response to cAMP involves a PI3K-mediated signaling pathway that leads to the transient phosphorylation of PKB (see introduction). To test the possible involvement of RasG in the PI3K-PKB pathway, cAMP pulsed cells were stimulated with cAMP at a final concentration of 100 nM. Aliquots of cell suspension were removed at intervals, before and after stimulation, and then subjected to western blot analysis using a phosphothreonine-specific antibody that is capable of unambiguously detecting the phosphorylation of PKB (Lim et al., 2001). In JH10 cells, PKB was transiently phosphorylated after 10 s in response to cAMP (Figure 14A). The phosphorylation level then decreased, and there was a second, smaller increase after 120 s. This response was severely reduced in JH10/rasG cells (Figure 14A) and in AX2/rasG cells (data not shown). It was also reduced in JH10/rasC cells, although less markedly (Figure 14A), as observed previously (Khosla et al., 2005). The total amounts of PKB protein, as detected using a specific PKB antibody, were identical in all three
strains (Figure 14A). These results are also consistent with the involvement of RasG in the cAMP stimulated phosphorylation of PKB. However, again since PKB phosphorylation was also reduced in $rasC$ cells, a subsidiary role for RasC can not be excluded. When cells were pulsed with cAMP for 8 h rather than for 5 h in an attempt to increase the likelihood that $carA$ expression was sufficient, similar results were obtained (Figure 14B).
Figure 14. PKB phosphorylation of JH10, \textit{rasG}^\text{-}, and \textit{rasC}^\text{-} in response to cAMP. Cells were pulsed with cAMP for 5 h (A) or 8 h (B) and then treated with 100 nM cAMP. Cell extracts from JH10, JH10/\textit{rasG}^\text{-}, and JH10/\textit{rasC}^\text{-} were prepared at the indicated times after the cAMP stimulus and subjected to western-blot analysis. Blots were probed with a phosphothreonine-specific antibody.
3.1.8 **cAMP production**

To compare the roles of RasG and RasC in the cAMP-stimulated activation of adenylyl cyclase, cells that had been pulsed with cAMP for either 5 or 8 h were washed, stimulated with 2'-deoxy-cAMP, and assayed for cAMP accumulation at various time points after stimulation using a cAMP binding-protein assay kit. JH10/rasG cells produced lower levels of cAMP than the JH10 wild type cells upon stimulation, but the reduction was far less pronounced than that observed for JH10/rasC cells (Figures 15A and 16A). Results for AX2/rasG cells were similar to those for JH10/rasG cells (data not shown). These results suggest that RasC is more important for cAMP production but that RasG can also make a contribution. Although cAMP production increases in some strains as cells progress through aggregation (Brenner, 1978), our finding that the levels of cAMP production in the JH10 wild-type cells were identical after 5 and 8 h of cAMP pulsing (Figure 15A and 16A) is not surprising, because cells pulsed for 5 h are fully aggregation competent and would not be expected to change appreciably in their physiological responses during the additional 3 h of pulsing.

3.1.9 **Adenylyl cyclase activation**

The potential roles of RasG and RasC in the cAMP relay were also examined by measuring *in vitro* GTPγS-mediated activation of ACA in cell lysates prepared from cAMP pulsed cells. It has been shown that GTPγS stimulates ACA activity by uncoupling the Gβγ subunit from the heterotrimeric G-protein, and this happens without the need for receptor activation (Theibert and Devreotes, 1986; Parent and Devreotes, 1996). GTPγS stimulated the ACA activity of JH10 lysates ~18 fold, JH10/rasG lysates ~15 fold, AX2/rasG lysates ~15 fold and JH10/rasC lysates ~7 fold over the basal levels.
Figure 15. cAMP accumulation and ACA activation of 5h pulsed JH10, rasG, and rasC. (A) Cells of strains JH10 (●), JH10/rasG (■), and JH10/rasC (▲) were pulsed with cAMP for 5 h, washed, and then stimulated with 2'-deoxy cAMP (see Materials and Methods). Samples taken at the indicated times were assayed for cAMP accumulation. The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown. (B) The same strains were pulsed with cAMP for 5 h, and then lysates were assayed for ACA activity in the presence of 5 mM MnSO4 (gray bar), 40 μM GTPγS (black bar), or no additional component (white bar). Plotted values are normalized relative to the unstimulated activity in the absence of MnSO4 or GTPγS (white bar). Unstimulated activity defined as 1.0. The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown.
Figure 16. cAMP accumulation and ACA activation of 8h pulsed JH10, rasG, and rasC. (A) Cells of strains JH10 (●), JH10/rasG (■), and JH10/rasC (▲) were pulsed with cAMP for 8 h, washed, and then stimulated with 2’-deoxy cAMP (see Materials and Methods). Samples taken at the indicated times were assayed for cAMP accumulation. The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown. (B) The same strains were pulsed with cAMP for 8 h, and then lysates were assayed for ACA activity in the presence of 5 mM MnSO₄ (gray bar), 40 μM GTPγS (black bar), or no additional component (white bar). Plotted values are normalized relative to the unstimulated activity in the absence of MnSO₄ or GTPγS (white bar). Unstimulated activity defined as 1.0. The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown.
(Figures 15B and 16B, and data not shown). These results are consistent with the idea that RasC is more important than RasG for the signal transduction leading to ACA activation. The ACA activity of lysates was also assayed in the presence of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} (Figures 15B and 16B) to provide an accurate measure of the unstimulated ACA activity. This activity was comparable for JH10, JH10/rasC\textsuperscript{-}, and JH10/rasG\textsuperscript{-} lysates, indicating that the lower levels of activation in the latter two cell lysates of these strains was not due to reduced levels of ACA.

3.1.10 Activation of RasC and RasG in rasG\textsuperscript{-} and rasC\textsuperscript{-} cells in response to cAMP

In vegetative rasG\textsuperscript{-} cells there was a marked increase in the amount of RasC protein (Figure 4) relative to wild type, suggesting the possibility that RasC is capable of at least partially compensating for the loss of RasG or somehow RasG represses the expression of rasC. To determine if the amount of activated RasC produced in response to cAMP was increased in the rasG\textsuperscript{-} cell, cells were pulsed with cAMP for 5 hours, stimulated with cAMP and the level of GTP bound RasC was determined by an affinity ‘pull-down’ assay (Kae et al., 2004). The activation of RasC in response to cAMP was considerably enhanced in the rasG\textsuperscript{-} cells (Figure 17A), suggesting that RasC is activated by RasGEFs, that normally target RasG. This higher level of activated RasC might explain why rasG\textsuperscript{-} cells are reasonably efficient at chemotaxis.

In contrast in rasC\textsuperscript{-} cells there was only a slight increase in the amount of RasG protein (Figure 4). Similarly, the amount of activated RasG produced 10 seconds following cAMP stimulation was almost identical in the JH10 and rasC\textsuperscript{-} cells (Figure 17B), indicating no compensatory increase in RasG to account for the loss of RasC. However,
Figure 17. Ras subfamily protein activation of JH10, rasG, and rasC in response to cAMP. Extracts from (A) JH10 and JH10/rasG, (B) JH10 and JH10/rasC cells, that had been pulsed with cAMP and then subjected to a single cAMP stimulus for the indicated times (in seconds), were bound to GST-Byr2-RBD. The bound material was analyzed by western blots, using antibodies specific for RasC and RasG.
the decrease in RasG after the peak at 10 sec was less pronounced in the rasC\textsuperscript{−} cells than the JH10 cells suggesting an alteration in the adaptation process in the mutant. These results indicate relatively little compensatory expression of RasG or activation of RasG in response to cAMP in the rasC\textsuperscript{−} cells. The fact that RasG seems unable to compensate for RasC under these conditions is consistent with the finding that ACA activation is low in these cells.

3.1.11 Summary

The study of the newly generated rasG\textsuperscript{−} strains confirmed a role for RasG in early aggregation and a comparison of the properties of the rasG\textsuperscript{−} strain with those of the rasC\textsuperscript{−} strain, in an isogenic background, suggested that RasG was more important for chemotactic functions while RasC was more important for signal relay functions (Bolourani \textit{et al.}, 2006). However, chemotaxis was far from eliminated in rasG\textsuperscript{−} cells. Furthermore, signal relay functions although very low were not totally eliminated in rasC\textsuperscript{−} cells. There are three possible explanations for these results: the existence of an additional Ras protein or proteins that fulfill the chemotactic and signal relay function of RasG and RasC in the mutants, an overlap of function between RasG and RasC, and a requirement for both RasG and RasC for optimum activation of ACA and for chemotaxis. To try to distinguish between these possibilities, a rasC/rasG\textsuperscript{−} strain was generated.
3.2 cAMP relay and chemotaxis in a rasC/rasG strain in response to cAMP

3.2.1 Generation of rasC/rasG strain

To make a rasC/rasG double disruption strain, the rasC disruption vector pJLW26, carrying the rasC genomic sequences flanking a blasticidin-resistance (bsr) selectable marker (Lim et al., 2001), was linearized with EcoRI and transformed into JH10/rasG cells by electroporation. Following 8-15 days of selection, the blasticidin-resistant clones were screened by PCR. From 700 clones, two independent rasC/rasG cell lines were isolated. Both clones lacked the RasC and RasG proteins and had identical phenotypes. A western blot analysis of one of the strains is shown in Figure 18. Ectopic expression of RasG and RasC protein was accomplished by cotransforming 20 μg of each undigested pRASG-G418 and pJLW30 into rasC/rasG cells by electroporation. Ectopic expression of both RasC and RasG restored a wild-type phenotype to the doubly disrupted rasC/rasG strain (data not shown). The rasC/rasC strains exhibited vegetative growth defects that were similar to those of the rasG null strains, defects that are described in detail in a subsequent section (Section 3.4).

3.2.2 Developmental phenotype of the rasC/rasG cells

When grown in association with bacteria, the rasC/rasG cells did not form aggregates on bacterial lawns (Figure 19), a property shared with the JH10/rasC cells (Khosla et al., 2005). Aggregation of parental and mutant strains was observed in more detail by plating cells in plastic dishes under non-nutrient buffer and observing cells with an inverted microscope as described. Aggregation streams of JH10 cells were observed 8 h after plating, and typical tight aggregates formed by 12 h (Figure 20A). The rasC/rasG strains showed no sign of aggregation or clumping when plated on plastic, even
Figure 18. Western blot analysis of Ras protein levels of JH10, rasC, rasG, and rasC/rasG. Cell lysates from the JH10, JH10/rasC, JH10/rasG, and JH10/rasC/rasG were probed with RasG and RasC specific antibodies, as indicated.
Figure 19. Multicellular development of JH10, and $ras^C/ras^G$ on bacterial growth plates. Clonal plaque of parental JH10 and $ras^C/ras^G$ strains after 5 days growth on a bacterial lawn. Images were taken using a Wild Leitz dissecting microscope. Scale bar is 150 μm.
after prolonged incubation at 22°C (Figure 20B). When pulsed with cAMP for 5 h in shaken suspension prior to plating in plastic dishes, JH10 cells rapidly formed aggregation streams (Figure 20C), whereas \textit{rasC/rasG} cells exhibited no detectable cell-cell association (Figure 20D).

Developmental phenotypes were also assessed by plating cells on nitrocellulose filters under starvation conditions, resting on KK2 saturated support pads, and incubated in a moist container at 22°C. Under these conditions JH10 cells culminated after 24 h. In contrast the \textit{rasC/rasG} cells did not aggregate or form any developmental structures (Figure 21A). To check whether pulsing with cAMP could allow a bypass the aggregation minus phenotype of \textit{rasC/rasG} strain, cells in suspension were pulsed for 5 h and then plated for development on nitrocellulose filters. Although JH10/\textit{rasC} cells formed fruiting bodies under these conditions (Figure 8B), the \textit{rasC/rasG} cells failed to form any developmental structures (Figure 21B).

The finding that the developmental defect of the \textit{rasC/rasG} cells was far more pronounced than that of JH10/\textit{rasC} cells provided additional evidence for an involvement of RasG in early development. A detailed investigation of the ability of doubly disrupted null strain in both chemotactic and signal relay functions was undertaken.
Figure 20. Aggregation of JH10, and rasC/rasG on a plastic surface. Without (A and B) or with (C and D) 5 h of prior pulsing with cAMP (see Materials and Methods). Strains JH10 (A and C), and rasC/rasG (B and D) were photographed at the indicated times after plating. Single experiments are shown, but the results for the strains were highly reproducible. Scale bar is 250 μm.
Figure 21. Development JH10, and rasC/rasG on nitrocellulose filters. (A) Vegetative JH10, and rasC/rasG cells or (B) cAMP-pulsed JH10, and rasC/rasG cells plated for development on nitrocellulose filters supported by KK2-saturated pads. Images were taken at 22 h after plating using a Wild Leitz dissecting microscope. Scale bar is 150 μm.
3.2.3 Early developmental gene expression

Since there was a significant reduction in expression of several early developmental genes in the JH10/rasG cells (Figure 9B), the pronounced defect in aggregation of the rasC/rasG strain might be due to a similar, but more pronounced reduction in gene expression. To test this possibility, cells were pulsed with cAMP in shaken suspension under starvation condition and total RNA was prepared from harvested cells at various time points. The RNA was separated by electrophoresis, and the levels of expression of three representative early developmental genes were then determined by northern blot analysis. In the control JH10 cells, the expression of all three genes increased markedly over the first 8 h, *carA* and *gpaB* normally express at the beginning of aggregation while *csaA* express a little later while cells stick to each other as the result of cell aggregation (Figure 22A). In the rasC/rasG cells, *carA* expression was negligible and the expression of *gpaB* and *csaA* were markedly reduced (Figure 22B). These decreases were far more pronounced than those observed for the JH10/rasG cells (Figure 9B). These data suggest that a significant level of signal transduction through a RasC-dependent pathway contributes to the expression of the early developmental genes in rasG cells. The expression of the third gene, *csaA*, was also appreciably lower in the rasC/rasG cells (Figure 22B) than had been observed for the JH10/rasG and JH10/rasC cells (Figure 9B and 9C). This low level of early gene expression could explain the total absence of aggregation in the rasC/rasG strain, since the rasC/rasG double null strain might have insufficient levels of key essential components of the cAMP signaling pathways.
Figure 22. Northern-blot analysis of early developmental gene expression of JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA. RNA was isolated from JH10 (A), rasC'/rasG' (B), and rasC'/rasG'[act15]:carA (C) cells, that had been pulsed with cAMP for the indicated times (in hours), and then subjected to electrophoretic fractionation. Blots were hybridized with cDNA probes corresponding to the indicated genes.
3.2.4 Restoration of early developmental gene expression in a rasC/rasG strain by the ectopic expression of carA

Characterization of a rasC/rasG double mutant by northern blot analysis had revealed that expression of the early developmentally genes, carA, gpaB and csaA was dramatically reduced, with the effect on carA expression being the most pronounced. Since carA expression was the most defective, I expressed the gene encoding cAR1 in the rasC/rasG strain, in an attempt to provide sufficient cAR1 to allow at least some cAMP signaling. To generate rasC/rasG[act15]:carA strain, 20 μg of undigested pcAR1-B18 expression vector which contains carA cDNA under the control of the constitutively active actin 15 promoter and a neomycin-resistance cassette (Johnson et al., 1991), was electroporated into rasC/rasG cells. Following 10 days of selection, ten G418-resistant clones were selected for further analysis by northern blotting. The northern analysis of one of the clones is shown in Figure 22. carA was found to be expressed constitutively in vegetative cells at low level (Figure 22C), and unexpectedly its expression increased dramatically over the course of development. In addition, the expression of the other two early developmental genes was restored (Figure 22C).

To investigate the generality of this phenomenon, the regulatory effect of carA on gene expression, the expression of developmentally regulated genes was determined by microarray analysis. Cells were pulsed with cAMP in shaken suspension under starvation condition for 6 h and total RNA was prepared from harvested cells at the indicated time point. The prepared RNAs were subjected to microarray analysis by Dr. Gareth Bloomfield at the ‘The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute’. An expression profile of this analysis, a heatmap, is shown in Figure 23. The heatmap plot shows an overview
Figure 23. Expression profiles of early developmental genes. Heatmap plot displays the gene expression data for the 6 h pulsed rasC/rasG[act15]:carA, JH10, and rasC/rasG and vegetative (0 h) of rasC/rasG[act15]:carA and rasC/rasG relative to wild type cells at 0 h. Each row of the plot represents a gene. The genes with similar expression level relative to wild type cells at 0 h are clustered together and are colored according to the change in expression. Red means the gene has been induced between 0 h and 6 h, blue means it has been repressed. In the top left of the plot there's a scale representing the magnitude of the change, the values are log (2) ratios.
of the global picture regarding the gene expression. It displays levels of expression of
genes differentially regulated in rasC/rasG[act15]:carA, JH10, and rasC/rasG (at 6 h
of development) and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA and rasC/rasG (at 0 h of development)
relative to wild type cells at 0 h. Each row of the plot represents a gene. The findings
indicate that approximately 20% of the estimated 9000 genes were induced in 6 h
developed wild type cells. For simplicity, I focused the analysis on the 129 genes that
were expressed at least a fivefold-higher after 6 h of development. Of these 129 genes,
68 were not five-fold induced in rasC/rasG cells. This overexpression of carA in
vegetative cells restored the developmentally regulated expression of most of the
developmental genes that were suppressed in the rasC/rasG strain. A visual inspection
of the microarray data (Figure 23) indicated that the expression of a few of the
developmentally regulated genes was not apparently rescued. Further analysis of the
quantitative data for the most prominent three genes in this category revealed (Dicty ID
number: DDB0219883, DDB0217914, DDB0198869) that they were only 2.5 fold
developmentally induced. The first two genes are identical and encode a hypothetical
127 KDa protein with uncharacterized function and the third is a putative DEAD/DEAH
box helicase. However, other than these three exceptions, ectopic expression of carA in
the rasC/rasG cells provided a strain (rasC/rasG[act15]:carA) in which the
expression of the cAMP signaling components was restored. This strain was then used to
investigate the role of RasG and RasC proteins in cAMP induced signal transduction
pathway. Overexpression of carA in vegetative rasC/rasG cells also resulted in a five
fold increase in expression of an additional 32 genes. One of these genes, atr1
(DDB0183856), encodes a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-related protein kinase similar to
protein kinase ATR, which belongs to the PIKK family of protein kinases and modulates cell cycle progression and DNA repair and in other organisms (Abraham, 2001). This observation was not pursued further in this thesis, but is certainly worthy of further investigation.

### 3.2.5 cAMP binding assay

In order to demonstrate that the restored *carA* expression in the *rasC/rasG [act15]:carA* strain produced functional cAR1, I determined the binding of cAMP to the cell surface of cAMP pulsed cells. *rasC/rasG*, and *rasC/rasG [act15]:carA* cells were pulsed with cAMP, treated with caffeine, washed and then incubated with $^3$H-cAMP. The binding of $^3$H-cAMP to the cell surface was measured by the ammonium sulfate stabilization assay as explained in Materials and Methods. The results, shown in Table 7, indicated that cAMP binding was reduced, as expected, in the *rasC/rasG* relative to JH10, but restored to greater than wild type levels in the *rasC/rasG [act15]:carA* cells. This result was consistent with the idea that the overexpression of *carA* indicated in Figure 22 resulted in functional protein being localized on the surface of the *rasC/rasG [act15]:carA* cells.
Table 7. Cell surface associated-cAMP binding activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>cAMP bound (nmoles /10⁷ cells)⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JH10</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasG</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴ The values are the means ± SEM of two independent experiments.
Figure 24. Multicellular development of JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG\(\text{act1}\):carA on bacterial growth plates. Clonal plaque of parental JH10 and JH10/rasC/rasG and rasC/rasG\(\text{act1}\):carA strains after 5 days growth on a bacterial lawn. Images were taken using a Wild Leitz dissecting microscope. Scale bar is 150 μm.
Figure 25. Development of JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA on nitrocellulose filters. (A) Vegetative JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells or (B) cAMP-pulsed JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells plated for development on nitrocellulose filters supported by KK2-saturated pads. Images were taken at 22 h after plating using a Wild Leitz dissecting microscope. Scale bar is 150 μm.
3.2.6 Developmental phenotype of the rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA cells

When grown in association with bacteria, the rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA cells did not form aggregates on bacterial lawns (Figure 24). In addition, the rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA cells did not aggregate or form any developmental structures when cells were plated on nitrocellulose filters under starvation conditions (Figure 25A), the same phenotype observed for the rasC/rasG cells. To check whether cAMP pulses allowed a bypass of the aggregation minus phenotype of rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA cells, cells in suspension were pulsed for 5 h and then plated on nitrocellulose filters. Under these conditions, the rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA cells again failed to form any developmental structures (Figure 25B).

Next, I examined the developmental phenotype of rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA cells on plastic dishes. Aggregation streams were not observed when unpulsed rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA cells were plated in plastic dishes under non-nutrient buffer, although small clumps of cells were formed after prolonged incubation (Figure 26C), similar to those observed previously for AX2/rasC (Lim et al., 2001) and JH10/rasC cells (Figure 7C). The rasC/rasG cells did not clump under these conditions (Figure 26B). Aggregation streams of the control JH10 cells were observed 10 h after plating and typical tight aggregates formed by 12 h (Figure 26A). When pulsed with cAMP for 5 h before plating in plastic dishes, rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA exhibited the same phenotype as the non pulsed cells (data not shown). This phenotype is quite different from that of the JH10/rasC cells that formed large clumps, although without detectable aggregate stream formation, under these conditions (Figure 7F). These results demonstrate clearly that cells containing neither RasG nor RasC are incapable of aggregation under any of the
experimental conditions tested and suggest that the cAMP relay and chemotaxis signals transduced from cAMP are transmitted only by the RasC or RasG pathways.

Figure 26. Aggregation of JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG/act15:carA on a plastic surface. Strains JH10 (A), rasC/rasG (B), and rasC/rasG/act15:carA (C) were photographed at the indicated times after plating. Single experiments are shown, but the results for the strains were highly reproducible. Scale bar is 250 μm.
3.2.7 The RasG and RasC pathways are essential for adenylyl cyclase (ACA) activation

As described in section 1, the activation of ACA is essential during aggregation to provide the extracellular cAMP to relay the signal through the population (Parent and Devreotes, 1996). The level of ACA activity can be measured using GTPγS which stimulates ACA activity in cell lysates by efficiently uncoupling the Gβγ subunit from the heterotrimeric G-protein (Theibert and Devreotes, 1986; Parent and Devreotes, 1996). As indicated previously (Figure 15B and 16B), the basal ACA activity was similar for JH10, JH10/rasG- and JH10/rasC- cells, indicating that the lower levels of ACA activation in these strains were not due to reduced basal levels of enzyme. However, the ACA activity was reduced in rasC/rasG- cells (Figure 27), suggesting that these cells expressed less enzyme, a result consistent with the defect in early gene expression (Figure 22). In contrast, cAMP pulsed rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells, had the same basal level of ACA activity as wild type cells. When stimulated with GTPγS, there was no increase in ACA activity in either rasC/rasG- or in rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells (Figure 27). These results indicate that rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells are incapable of cAMP induced signal relay and are in marked contrast to those obtained for cells with single ras gene disruptions (Figure 15B). Thus, signal transduction from the heterotrimeric G protein to ACA must involve either RasC or RasG.
Figure 27. *In vitro* GTP$\gamma$S stimulation of adenylyl cyclase activity of JH10, *rasC'/rasG*, and *rasC'/rasG'\{act15\}:carA*. JH10, *rasC'/rasG*, and *rasC'/rasG'\{act15\}:carA* cells were pulsed with cAMP for 5 h and lysates were assayed for ACA activity in the presence of 5 mM MnSO$_4$ (gray bar), 40 $\mu$M GTP$\gamma$S (black bar), or no additional component (white bar). Plotted values are normalized relative to the unstimulated activity in the absence of MnSO$_4$ or GTP$\gamma$S (white bar). Unstimulated activity defined as 1.0. The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown.
3.2.8 The RasG and RasC pathways are essential for chemotaxis, PKB phosphorylation, and guanylyl cyclase activation

To test the cells for their chemotaxis response, rasC/rasG cells and rasC/rasG/\[act15]\:carA cells were pulsed for 5 hours with cAMP and subjected to a spatial gradient created by diffusion of cAMP from the tip of a micropipet. JH10 cells were highly polarized at the beginning, responded quickly and migrated rapidly toward the cAMP source as in Figure 28A. rasC/rasG cells and rasC/rasG/\[act15]\:carA cells exhibited no net migration to the pipette tip (Figure 28B and 28C). A few cells did show slight movement toward the tip but then dispersed.

Chemotactic indices were calculated as described for Table 6 and the values confirmed the negligible levels of chemotaxis towards cAMP in the rasC/rasG and the rasC/rasG/\[act15]\:carA cells (Table 8). The very low levels of chemotaxis in these strains indicate that the vast majority of signaling requires RasG or RasC, but does not preclude the possibility of a very low level of chemotaxis that does not require signaling through either RasC or RasG. The results for the double ras gene deletion strains are in contrast to those obtained for the single ras gene deletion strains, which both exhibited appreciable chemotaxis (see Table 6). The calculated average instantaneous velocities of the rasC/rasG and rasC/rasG/\[act15]\:carA cells were lower than those for the wild type, but both strains are clearly still capable of appreciable motility (Table 8). Thus the defect observed in chemotaxis is due to lack of directed motion, not a general loss of motility.
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Figure 28. Chemotaxis of JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG/\textit{act15}:carA cells towards a source of cAMP. Cells of strains JH10 (A), rasC/rasG (B), and rasC/rasG/\textit{act15}:carA (C) had been pulsed with cAMP for 5 h were photographed at the indicated times following the release of cAMP from the micropipette tip. Scale bar is 50 µm.
Table 8. Chemotaxis analysis of *Dictyostelium* cells in a spatial gradient of cAMP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Instantaneous velocity (µm/min)</th>
<th>Chemotaxis index a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JH10 (n = 20)</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasG (n = 20)</td>
<td>6.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/rasG[act15]:carA (n = 20)</td>
<td>5.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD; n = number of cells
a Chemotaxis index was calculated as the net distance traveled towards the source of chemoattractant divided by the total distance traveled in that time period.

It has been suggested that PI3K-mediated signaling pathway is important for *Dictyostelium* chemotaxis (Iijima et al., 2002; Manahan et al., 2004; Postma et al., 2004; Loovers et al., 2006; Takeda et al., 2007). To analyze PI3K activation in response to cAMP, the phosphorylation of PKB, a downstream target of PI3K, was monitored by probing the lysates of cAMP-stimulated rasC/rasG and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells by western blotting using a phosphothreonine-specific antibody that is capable of unambiguously detecting the phosphorylation of PKB (Lim et al., 2001). In JH10 cells, PKB was transiently phosphorylated after 10 s in response to cAMP (Figure 29). In the rasC/rasG cells the increase in phosphorylation was totally absent. In rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells transient activation of PKB was also absent although the basal level of phosphorylated PKB was higher compared to wild type (Figure 29). The elevated basal levels of phosphorylated PKB suggest an upstream kinase that is upregulated (or a
Figure 29. PKB phosphorylation of JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells in response to cAMP. Extracts from JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells that had been pulsed with cAMP for 5 h, were prepared at the indicated times after a single 100 nM cAMP stimulus and subjected to Western-blot analysis using a phosphothreonine-specific antibody.
downstream phosphatase that is downregulated) by the overexpression of carA. The microarray data could help to identify potential candidate molecules. The total amounts of PKB protein, as detected using a PKB specific antibody, were identical in all three strains. These results demonstrate that all cAMP-dependant signal transduction leading to PKB phosphorylation occurs through either RasG or RasC.

cGMP production in response to cAMP was also monitored in the ras mutant strains. To do these studies, cAMP pulsed cells were washed and treated with caffeine, stimulated with cAMP and the cGMP levels were then measured using a cGMP-[3H] assay kit as described in Materials and Methods. In the JH10 parental cell line, there was a burst of cGMP production 10 s after the application of cAMP, which then returned to the basal level after about 30 s. However, this response was not detectable in rasC/rasG /[act15]:carA cells or rasC/rasG cells (Figure 30A), consistent with a requirement of either RasC or RasG for the burst of cGMP after stimulation.

It has been shown previously that GTPγS stimulates guanylyl cyclase activity (van Haastert and Kuwayama, 1997) and the involvement of a monomeric G protein has therefore been proposed (Wu et al., 1995). The availability of the rasC/rasG /[act15]:carA strain allowed an investigation of the possibility that RasC or RasG are the previously invoked monomeric G proteins required for GTPγS stimulation of guanylyl cyclase activity in cell lysates. GTPγS-stimulated activity of guanylyl cyclase was not detectable in either the rasC/rasG or rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA cell lysates (Figure 30B). These results are consistent with the conclusion that all cAMP signal transduction responsible for guanylyl cyclase activation is mediated through pathways involving either RasG or RasC.
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Figure 30. cGMP production in response to cAMP and *In vitro* GTPγS stimulation of guanylyl cyclase activity of JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells. (A) JH10 (◆), rasC/rasG (■), and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA (▲) cells were pulsed for 5 h with cAMP, washed, and then stimulated with 100 nM cAMP. Samples were taken at the indicated times and assayed for cGMP accumulation (see Material and Methods). The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown. (B) JH10, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells were pulsed with cAMP for 5 h and lysates were then assayed for guanylyl cyclase activity in the absence (gray bar), or the presence of GTPγS (black bar). The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown.
3.2.9 Rap activation in ras\(^{-}\) cells

Rap1 in *Dictyostelium discoideum*, has been linked to cytoskeletal regulation, response to osmotic stress, and phagocytosis (Rebstein *et al.*, 1993; Rebstein *et al.*, 1997; Kang *et al.*, 2002). It has been shown that the reduction of Rap1 expression suppresses cGMP production and impairs cell viability, whereas the expression of constitutively active Rap1\(^{G12V}\) enhances cGMP production (Kang *et al.*, 2002). The recent finding that Rap1 is also activated in response to cAMP (Jeon *et al.*, 2007) suggests that Rap1 might be able to compensate for RasG and RasC in the *rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA* mutant and this activation might account for the fact that this strain does exhibit very low levels of chemotaxis. Rap1 activation was therefore determined in the various ras null strains. For this experiment, cAMP pulsed cells treated with caffeine and then stimulated with cAMP to 15 \(\mu\)M. Aliquots were then taken at the indicated time points, lysed, and the level of activated Rap was measured using the RBD binding assay as described in Materials and Methods. There was a high basal level of activated Rap1 in JH10 cells, and there was an additional activation in response to cAMP that tested over 60 s (Figure 31). The initial, maximum activation was only slightly reduced (if at all) in *rasC* cells compared to JH10 cells, but was not detectable in *rasG*, *rasC*/*rasG* and *rasC*/*rasG* /[act15]:carA cells, and the basal level of Rap1 was lower in the in the *rasG*, *rasC* /*rasG* strains (Figure 31). These data indicate that Rap1 activation occurs mainly downstream of RasG and that signaling through RasG is essential for Rap1 activation. Since there may have been slightly lower activation of Rap1 in *rasC* cells, I cannot preclude the possibility that some signaling goes through RasC.
Figure 31. Rap activation in response to cAMP. Extracts from JH10, rasG−, rasC−, rasC−/rasG−, and rasC−/rasG−[act15]:carA cells, that had been pulsed with cAMP and then subjected to a single cAMP stimulus for the indicated times (in seconds), were bound to GST-Byr2-RBD. The bound material was analyzed by western blots, using an antibody specific for Rap1.
3.2.10 Summary

The ectopic expression of *carA* restored early developmental gene expression to the *rasC/rasG* strain, rendering it suitable for an analysis of cAMP signal transduction. The results indicated that RasG and RasC are the only two Ras subfamily proteins that directly control cAMP chemotactic and the adenylyl cyclase activation pathways. Rap1 is also activated in response to cAMP and the results suggest that Rap1 activation occurs downstream of the Ras proteins and predominantly, if not exclusively, downstream of RasG (Bolourani *et al.*, 2008).
3.3 Identification of the amino acid residues in RasC and RasG required for specificity during aggregation

3.3.1 RasC and RasG expression from introduced rasC and rasG transgenes in rasG and rasC strains

The results from the analysis of the rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA mutant strain indicated that the chemotactic and ACA activation responses to cAMP are mediated through either RasG or RasC. The comparison of cAMP relay and chemotaxis in the single null strains indicated that RasC is predominantly involved in ACA activation and RasG is predominantly involved in chemotaxis. The partial ACA activation and chemotaxis observed in both single null strains could reflect differences in the affinity of the two Ras proteins for common effectors. To test whether RasC could substitute for RasG, the effects of overexpressing RasC in the rasG strain was determined. To generate rasG/[rasC]:rasC strain, plasmid pJLW30 containing the complete rasC gene including the promoter, and a G418 resistance marker was transformed into rasC cells. The resistant colonies were picked and RasC expression levels were determined by western blot analysis using a RasC specific antibody. None of the isolated clones expressed more than a slight increase in RasC compared to the level in the rasG cell lines (Figure 32). Thus although rasG cells have a higher level of RasC (Figure 4 and 32), expression of RasC transgene did not induce an obvious further increase in RasC protein. Two of the rasG/[rasC]:rasC transformants were selected and their streaming, chemotaxis and ACA activation capabilities were determined. The results obtained were identical to those obtained for the rasG strain (data not shown) indicating that the slight increase in RasC protein level had no effect on the phenotypes, a result consistent with
Figure 32. Western blot analysis of Ras protein levels of JH10, rasG, rasG\slash rasC, rasC, and rasC\slash rasG. Cell lysates from the JH10, rasG, rasG\slash rasC, rasC, and rasC\slash rasG were probed with RasC and RasG specific antibodies, as indicated.
the idea that the rasC promoter is regulated, not only by the level of RasG but also, by the amount of RasC protein in the cell (Figure 32).

To generate a rasC/[rasG]:rasG strain, expressing additional RasG, the pRasG-G418 plasmid containing the complete rasG gene including the promoter, and a G418 resistance marker was transformed into rasC cells. Resistant colonies were picked and RasG expression levels were determined by western blot analysis using a RasG specific antibody. None of the isolated clones expressed more than a slight increase in RasG compared to the level in the rasC cell lines (Figure 32). Two of the rasC/[rasG]:rasG transformants were selected and their streaming, chemotaxis and ACA activation capabilities were determined. Transformants exhibited the same phenotype in all mentioned experiments as rasC cells (data not shown) indicating that the slight increase in RasG protein level had no effect on the phenotypes, a result consistent with the idea that the rasG promoter is regulated by the amount of RasG protein in the cell (Figure 32).

RasG is expressed maximally during growth and early development, and is subsequently down regulated during aggregation (Khosla 1990, Robbins 1989) whereas RasC expression increases markedly during early development reaching a peak during late aggregation (Lim et al., 2001). To ensure the expression of the transgene, rasC in rasG cells and rasG in rasC cells, at the correct time of development, RasC was expressed from the rasG promoter in the rasG cells and RasG was expressed from the rasC promoter in the rasC cells by the introduction of the plasmids pBM1 and pBM2, respectively. The resulting G418 resistant colonies, rasG/[rasG]:rasC and rasC/[rasC]:rasG transformants, were picked and subjected to western blotting to determine Ras protein levels. Again levels in excess of a 10-20% increase were not detected
confirming again that the RasC and RasG protein levels in the cell are highly regulated (Figure 33). However, in the experiments, it is not possible to determine how much of the Ras protein was expressed from the transgene promoter and how much was expressed from the endogenous promoter. Two \textit{rasG}/[\textit{rasG}]:\textit{rasC} and \textit{rasC}/[\textit{rasC}]:\textit{rasG} transformants, one with the same protein level as the single null and one which exhibited a higher protein level, were subjected to further analysis.

The aggregation of \textit{rasG}/[\textit{rasG}]:\textit{rasC} cells was observed by plating cells in plastic dishes under non-nutrient buffer. The expression of \textit{rasC} from the \textit{rasG} promoter resulted in cells (\textit{rasG}/[\textit{rasG}]:\textit{rasC}) that aggregated 2 h earlier than \textit{rasG} cells (data not shown). Next the ability of the cells to synthesize and accumulate cAMP was tested. The \textit{rasG}/[\textit{rasG}]:\textit{rasC} cells pulsed with cAMP, washed, stimulated with 2'-deoxy-cAMP, and assayed for cAMP accumulation at various times after stimulation. There was a ~20% increase in cAMP production compared to \textit{rasG} cells (Figure 34). Thus surprisingly, the small increase in RasC in the \textit{rasG}/[\textit{rasG}]:\textit{rasC} cells enhanced the developmental phenotype of the \textit{rasG} cells.

The aggregation of \textit{rasC}/[\textit{rasC}]:\textit{rasG} cells was observed by plating cells in plastic dishes under non-nutrient buffer. The \textit{rasC}/[\textit{rasC}]:\textit{rasG} cells did not aggregate when plated on plastic surfaces (data not shown). The \textit{rasC}/[\textit{rasC}]:\textit{rasG} cells pulsed with cAMP, washed, stimulated with 2'-deoxy-cAMP, and assayed for cAMP accumulation at various times after stimulation. There was negligible increase in cAMP accumulation in response to 2'-deoxy cAMP, results similar to those observed in \textit{rasC} cells (Figure 34), indicating that the small increase in RasG was not able to substitute for RasC.
Figure 33. Western blot analysis of Ras protein levels of JH10, \( rasG^- \), \( rasG^-/[rasG]rasC \), \( rasG^- \), \( rasC^- \), and \( rasC^-/[rasC]rasG \). Cell lysates from the JH10, \( rasG^- \), \( rasG^-/[rasG]rasC \), \( rasG^- \), \( rasC^- \), and \( rasC^-/[rasC]rasG \) were probed with RasC and RasG specific antibodies, as indicated.
Figure 34. cAMP accumulation in JH10, rasG, rasC, rasC/rasG, and rasG/rasC strains. Cells of strains JH10 (•), rasG (■), rasC (▲), rasC/rasG (●), and rasG/rasC (×) were pulsed with cAMP for 5 h, washed, and then stimulated with 2'-deoxy cAMP (see Materials and Methods). Samples taken at the indicated times were assayed for cAMP accumulation. The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown.
3.3.2 Determination of the residues important for RasC activity

The finding that the absence of streaming and the reduced levels of cAMP production in the \textit{rasC} cells could not be compensated by the slight over expression of RasG, provided an experimental basis for determining which residues in the key signaling portion of RasC are important for the activity in the cAMP relay. RasC and RasG have a total of nine amino acid differences in the switch I domain and the region flanking the switch II domain (Figure 35), regions that have been implicated in determining effector specificity in mammalian Ras (Herrmann, 2003; Biou and Cherfils, 2004; Mitin et al., 2005). To look for which amino acids are important, RasG was modified by substituting each of the nine non-conserved amino acids, one by one, with the corresponding amino acid from RasC (Figure 35) and the constructs (Table 2) were individually transformed into \textit{rasC} cells. Approximately fifty G418 resistant colonies were picked for each transformation. Five clones for each transformation were analyzed by western blotting with an antibody that was totally specific for the RasG protein. I found that most transformants showed a RasG protein level similar to that found in the \textit{rasC} cells, although a few clones did exhibit a slight increase (10-20%) in RasG protein levels (data not shown). Each of the isolated clones was tested for the ability to stream under non-nutrient buffer. Only one transformant formed aggregates. In this strain aspartic acid 30 was changed to alanine (\textit{rasC}/[\textit{rasC}]:RasG\textsuperscript{D30A}). Three clones from each transformation (one with same level and two with the slight increase in RasG protein level) were analyzed for the 2'-deoxy-cAMP-stimulated increase in cAMP. The \textit{rasC}/[\textit{rasC}]:RasG\textsuperscript{D30A} transformants was the only one that exhibited an increase in cAMP accumulation (~50% of the wild type).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Amino acid sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RasC</td>
<td>Switch I</td>
<td>22 QLTQNFIAEYDPTIENSY 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RasG</td>
<td>Switch I</td>
<td>22 QLIQNHFIDEYDPTIEDSY 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RasC</td>
<td>Switch II</td>
<td>50 VYMLDILDTAGQQEYSAMRDQYIRS 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RasG</td>
<td>Switch II</td>
<td>50 TCLLDILDTAGQQEYSAMRDQYMRT 74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 35. Amino acid comparison of switch I and switch II regions of RasC and RasG. Sequences 22-40 (switch I) and 50-74 (switch II) of RasC and RasG aligned. Amino acid differences are shown in bold.
(Figure 36 and Table 9). These results showed that alanine at position 30 in RasC is important for the activation of ACA.

3.3.3 Chimeric constructs

RasG was also modified by two block switches of sequence with RasC. These allowed substitution of all nine non-conserved amino acids in the switch I and II regions (Figure 35). This was accomplished by ligating DNA coding the first 78 amino acids of RasC to DNA encoding amino acids 79-190 of RasG (CSA). In addition a chimeric construct encoding amino acids 1-78 of RasG and 79-190 of RasC was generated (CSB) (Table 2). Both constructs contained the *rasC* promoter. The chimeric constructs were transformed into *rasC* cells and selected resistant isolates analyzed as described above. The *rasC/[*rasC*]:RasC<sup>1-78</sup>+RasG<sup>79-190</sup> cells (containing the CSA construct) exhibited the same aggregation phenotype to that previously observed for *rasC/[*rasC*]:RasG<sup>D30A</sup> cells and also accumulated similar levels of cAMP as the *rasC/[*rasC*]:RasG<sup>D30A</sup> cells (Figure 36 and Table 10). The *rasC/[*rasC*]:RasG<sup>1-78</sup>+RasC<sup>79-190</sup> cells (containing the CSB construct) accumulated almost 1/5 of the wild type level of cAMP but did not show any sign of aggregate stream formation (Figure 36 and Table 10). Interestingly the replacement of the first 78 amino acids of RasG with the corresponding sequence of RasC did not produce a more pronounced rescue of the *rasC* phenotype than did changing the single position 30 substitution, emphasizing the importance of this position for function. However, the RasG/RasC chimera (CSB) was capable of restoring some adenylate cyclase activity to the *rasC* cells. These results emphasize that the C-terminal portion of the RasC molecule is important for function. This finding is further explored in the discussion.
Figure 36. cAMP accumulation in the various ras mutant strains. Cells of strains JH10 (○), rasC/'[rasC]:RasG^{D30A} (■), rasC' (△), rasC'/[rasC]:RasG^{1-78}+RasC^{79-190} (●), and rasC'/[rasC]:RasC^{1-78}+RasG^{79-190} (×) were pulsed with cAMP for 5 h, washed, and then stimulated with 2'-deoxy cAMP (see Materials and Methods). Samples taken at the indicated times were assayed for cAMP accumulation. The means and standard deviations for three independent experiments are shown.
Table 9: Aggregation and cAMP accumulation in cells expressing RasG with a variety of point mutations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Aggregation</th>
<th>cAMP accumulation (pmoles in 5 min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JH10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.62 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/[rasC]:RasG^{124T}</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/[rasC]:RasG^{127Q}</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/[rasC]:RasG^{190A}</td>
<td>Cells are polarized, and form clumps</td>
<td>3.56 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/[rasC]:RasG^{107R}</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/[rasC]:RasG^{130V}</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/[rasC]:RasG^{131Y}</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/[rasC]:RasG^{132R}</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/[rasC]:RasG^{1372I}</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC/[rasC]:RasG^{174S}</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10: Aggregation and cAMP accumulation in cells expressing chimeric constructs of RasG and RasC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Aggregation</th>
<th>cAMP accumulation (pmoles in 5 min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JH10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.62 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC ([rasC]:RasC&lt;sup&gt;1-78&lt;/sup&gt; + RasG&lt;sup&gt;79-190&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Cells are polarized, and form clumps</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC ([rasC]:RasG&lt;sup&gt;1-17&lt;/sup&gt; + RasC&lt;sup&gt;79-190&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Role of RasG and RasC proteins in vegetative cells

Previous studies of the rasG<sup>−</sup> strains isolated by R. H. Insall (IR15 and IR17) indicated that RasG was important for cell growth (Tuxworth <i>et al.</i>, 1997; Khosla <i>et al.</i>, 2000). In addition AX2/rasC<sup>−</sup> vegetative cells exhibit some abnormal properties (Lim <i>et al.</i>, 2005). It was therefore pertinent to compare the vegetative cell phenotypes of the rasG<sup>−</sup>, rasC<sup>−</sup>, rasC/rasG<sup>−</sup>, and rasC/rasG<sup>−</sup>[act15]:carA cells generated here since all of these strains were now derived from a common wild type background and were stable.

3.4.1 RasG is important for cell growth

The JH10/rasG<sup>−</sup> and AX2/rasG<sup>−</sup> cells grow slightly slower than wild type cells (13.5 h and 13.7 h vs 12.5 h doubling times) on plastic surfaces (data not shown). However in shaken suspension, the rasG<sup>−</sup> strains grew considerably slower than wild type cells and ceased growth at much lower cell density (Figure 37). This defect is similar to that found for strains IR15 and IR17 (Tuxworth <i>et al.</i>, 1997; Khosla <i>et al.</i>, 2000). The growth of JH10/rasC<sup>−</sup> was similar to that of JH10 cells and both cultures reached similar final cell densities (Figure 37). rasC/rasG<sup>−</sup> and rasC/rasG<sup>−</sup>[act15]:carA cells did not grow at all in shaken suspension (Figure 37). Even after 5 days without growth in suspension, these cells retained full viability as determined by plating cells onto bacterial lawns despite not having increased in cell number. Both the rasC/rasG<sup>−</sup> and rasC/rasG<sup>−</sup>[act15]:carA cells grew only slightly slower than the JH10 cells in plastic dishes (data not shown).
Figure 37. Cell growth in suspension culture. Strains JH10 (○), rasG− (■), rasC− (▲), rasC/rasG− (●), and rasC/rasG/act15::carA (×) were transferred from Petri plates into axenic medium at time zero and counted at intervals thereafter. The plotted values are the means of duplicate hemocytometer counts. The data plotted are for a single experiment, but similar data were obtained for three independent experiments.
3.4.2 RasG is important for cytokinesis

It was shown previously that the poor growth of IR15 and IR17 cells in shaken suspension was accompanied by a defect in cytokinesis, indicated by the fact that cells were multinucleate (Tuxworth et al., 1997; Khosla et al., 2000). The newly isolated JH10/rasG, JH10/rasC, and the rasC/rasG cells were all grown in shaken suspension for five days and then subjected to nuclear staining. 3x10^3 cells/cm^2 were allowed to adhere to glass coverslips for 30 minutes, washed, permeabilised, and stained with DAPI. The majority of the JH10 and JH10/rasC cells were binucleate (Figure 38), and the average nuclei number was 1.8 (Table 11). Similar results were obtained previously for AX2/rasC and AX2 cells (Lim et al., 2005). In contrast JH10/rasG, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells were multinucleate with some cells having as many as 16 nuclei (Figure 38) although the average number was close to 4 (Table 11). Multinucleate cells the size of the one shown in Figure 38 are common and considerably larger examples are sometimes observed.

3.4.3 Chemotaxis to folate

Bacteria secrete folic acid which chemotactically attracts the vegetative amoebae, resulting in successful predation and feeding (Blusch and Nellen 1994). The chemotaxis to folate was examined by plating vegetative cells in axenic media on plastic dishes to a density of ~4x10^5 cells/cm^2. The media was then replaced with diluted media and the response of JH10, rasG, rasC, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG[act15]:carA cells to a folate filled micropipet was determined. As shown in Figure 39A and 39C, a number of JH10 and JH10/rasC cells had moved toward the micropipet after 50 minutes with the cells somewhat slower than the JH10 cells. In contrast, chemotaxis by rasG cells was
Figure 38. **Nuclear staining.** Cells were grown in shaken suspension for five days, and allowed to adhere to glass coverslips for 30 min, washed and then fixed with formaldehyde. Fixed cells were stained with DAPI as described in Materials and Methods. Epifluorescence images of random fields of view were captured using an Olympus IX-70 inverted microscope. The cells shown are representative of all the cells in the population. Scale bar is 50 μm.
Table 11. Nuclei number of *Dictyostelium* cells grown in suspension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Number of nuclei per cell&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JH10  (n = 303)</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasG&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;  (n = 315)</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;  (n = 360)</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;/rasG&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt; (n = 389)</td>
<td>4.22 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rasC&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;/rasG&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;[act15]:carA  (n = 350)</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD; n = number of cells
<sup>a</sup> An mean value calculated by counting the number of nuclei in 300-390 cells
Figure 39. Chemotaxis in a spatial folate chemoattractant gradient. JH10 (A), rasG (B), rasC (C), rasC/rasG (D), and rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA (E) cells were grown to a density of ~4 x 10^5 cells/cm^2 in Nunc dishes. At t = 0, a micropipette filled with 25 mM folate was positioned in the field of view and cell movements were monitored by time-lapse microscopy. Single experiments are shown, but the results for the strains were highly reproducible. Scale bar is 50 µm.
much slower (Figure 39B) and the \( rasC/rasG \) and \( rasC/rasG/\{act15\}:carA \) cells exhibited no obvious migration (Figures 39D and 39E). A calculation of chemotactic indices using Openlab software revealed a slight reduction in the values for the \( rasC' \) cells relative to JH10 cells, but a considerable reduction in the values for the \( rasG' \) cells and negligible values for the \( rasC'/rasG' \) and \( rasC'/rasG'/\{act15\}:carA \) cells (Table 12). Calculation of the rates of instantaneous velocity revealed similar values for the JH10 and \( rasC' \) cells, but lower values for the \( rasG', rasG'/rasC' \) and \( rasC'/rasG'/\{act15\}:carA \) strains (Table 12). These results indicate that use of RasG is more significant than the use of RasC for both vegetative cell motility and chemotaxis to folate. However, while motility was not further impaired in the double null strains relative to \( rasG' \) cell; chemotaxis to folate was severely impaired, suggesting a compensation of RasC for RasG for chemotaxis to folate, similar to the situation observed for cAMP chemotaxis.

3.4.4 F-actin distribution

It is clear that F-actin polymerization is required for the complex cell shape changes during cytokinesis and it was shown that actin distribution was altered in the original \( rasG' \) cells (Tuxworth et al., 1997). I decided to reinvestigate this with the mutants generated in JH10 background. To visualize F-actin, vegetative cells were grown in HL5 media in Nunc tissue culture dishes, \( 2 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/cm}^2 \) reseded onto glass coverslips and allowed to adhere for 3 hours. Cells were fixed, permeabilized and stained with FITC-phalloidin (Figure 40). There were obvious differences in actin staining between the null strains and the wild type cells. While in vegetative wild type cells actin was distributed throughout the periphery of the cell, it was distributed in a punctate pattern in vegetative \( rasG' \) cells. Vegetative \( rasC' \) cells exhibit more intense actin staining at the periphery of
the cell than do wild type cells, suggesting that RasC may also play some role in actin distribution. The patches of F-actin in rasG cells are indicative of multiple pseudopodia extension and this may explain the lower polarity and abnormal cytokinesis observed in rasG cells. This result suggests that RasG plays an important role in F-actin distribution and its ablation could affect the machinery required for normal cell division and cell polarity. The double null cells tended to be more rounded and smaller but with a denser, even actin distribution than the single null cells (Figure 40).
Table 12. Chemotaxis analysis of *Dictyostelium* cells in a spatial folate chemoattractant gradient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Instantaneous velocity (µm/min)</th>
<th>Chemotaxis index&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JH10 (n = 30)</td>
<td>13.70 ± 0.93</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ras&lt;sup&gt;G&lt;/sup&gt; (n = 31)</td>
<td>6.85 ± 0.69</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ras&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; (n = 32)</td>
<td>11.78 ± 0.82</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ras&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;/ras&lt;sup&gt;G&lt;/sup&gt; (n = 28)</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ras&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;/ras&lt;sup&gt;G&lt;/sup&gt;/[act15]:carA (n = 29)</td>
<td>7.32 ± 0.67</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD; n = number of cells

<sup>a</sup> Chemotaxis index was calculated as the net distance traveled towards the source of chemoattractant divided by the total distance traveled in that time period.
Figure 40. F-actin distribution. Cells JH10, rasG, rasC, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG/act15:carA were seeded onto glass coverslips and allowed to adhere for 3 h. The cells were then fixed with glutaraldehyde, permeabilized with Triton-X100 and stained with FITC-phalloidin conjugate. Epi-fluorescent images were taken on a Zeiss Axiovert microscope (100×). Scale bar is 50 μm.
4 DISCUSSION

4.1 RasG is involved in Dictyostelium aggregation

The initial evidence for a role of Ras signaling pathways in regulating the Dictyostelium aggregation process came from the disruption of the RasGEFA gene, which prevented aggregation (Insall et al., 1996). More direct evidence for a role for Ras came with the disruption of the rasC gene, which also produced cells that failed to aggregate (Lim et al., 2001). rasC null cells exhibited reduced activation of ACA and reduced phosphorylation of PKB in response to cAMP, suggesting a role for RasC in the signal transduction pathways that regulate both the cAMP relay and chemotaxis.

Previous studies with Dictyostelium rasG null mutants, IR15 and IR17, had indicated a predominant role for RasG in growth and vegetative-cell functions (Tuxworth et al., 1997; Khosla et al., 2000; Lim et al., 2001). These rasG null cells grew very slowly and the only defect observed in development was a slight but inconsistent delay in the onset of aggregation (R. H. Insall, and M. Khosla unpublished observations). However, both IR15 and IR17 were unstable and difficult to work with (Tuxworth et al., 1997; Khosla et al., 2000). Within a relatively short time, the cells regained the ability to grow and differentiate normally, despite the absence of the RasG protein. Because rasG cells grow slowly, there is clearly an opportunity for a suppressor strain to take over the population.

Other studies had suggested a possible additional role for RasG in early development. For example, PI3K plays an important role in the chemotactic response to cAMP (Meili et al., 1999; Funamoto et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2003), and because RasG interacts with the Ras binding domains (RBDs) of two of the Dictyostelium PI3Ks
(PI3K1 and PI3K2), it had been postulated that RasG is a regulator of PI3K activity and hence of the chemotactic response (Funamoto et al., 2002). In addition, the *Dictyostelium* protein RIP3 was identified by its ability to interact with RasG in yeast two hybrid analyses and cells lacking RIP3 are also defective in aggregation (Lee et al., 1999). Finally, both RasC and RasG are activated in response to cAMP, further indicating a role for RasG in aggregation (Kae et al., 2004). However, definitive proof for a role of RasG was lacking.

In view of the variable defects in development and the relative instability of the previously described rasG null strains (Khosla et al., 2000; R. H. Insall and M. Khosla, unpublished observations), new rasG null strains were generated in two different backgrounds, AX2 and JH10, in order to study more definitively the possible role of RasG in early development. The new rasG strains exhibited all the previously described vegetative cell phenotypes, but both strains were stable and exhibited a consistent delay of about four hours in aggregation. Normal aggregation was restored by the ectopic expression of RasG, verifying that the aggregation defect was a direct result of the lack of the RasG protein. It is not apparent why the new strains are less susceptible than the originals to the presumptive extragenic suppression, but it did not appear to be related to parental background, because mutants generated in both AX2 and AX3 (JH10) backgrounds were stable.

### 4.2 Chemotaxis and ACA activation during *Dictyostelium* aggregation

During *Dictyostelium* aggregation, cAMP induces both the activation of ACA, and chemotaxis (Figure 41). Both processes require the cAMP receptor (cAR1) and the associated heterotrimeric G protein (Gα2βγ) (Kimmel and Parent, 2003). Earlier
Figure 41. Model of cAMP signaling via RasC and RasG. The cAMP signaling pathway branches at the level of RasGEF specificity. Signaling through guanylyl cyclase (GC) and signaling through PI3K appear to constitute parallel pathways during the chemotactic response to cAMP. Rap1 is activated downstream of RasG but its precise site of action is as yet unknown.
experiments showed that there was no increase in the levels of activated RasC and RasG, following the cAMP stimulation, in mutant strains disrupted in the genes encoding the aggregation-stage cAMP receptors (car/’carC) and components of the Ga2βγ complex (gpaB’ and gpbA’) indicating that RasC and RasG, act downstream of Ga2βγ (Kae et al., 2004).

When compared to wild type cells, both JH10/rasG− and AX2/rasG− strains after being pulsed with cAMP exhibited reduced polarity and reduced cAMP chemotaxis in a spatial gradient of cAMP. These strains also accumulated less cGMP and lower PKB phosphorylation in response to cAMP than wild type strains. These effects were far more pronounced than those observed for rasC− mutant cells, suggesting that RasG is more important than RasC for signal transduction leading to chemotaxis. In contrast, the defects in cAMP production and ACA activation were more pronounced in rasC− cells than in rasG− cells, suggesting that signaling through RasC was more important for the cAMP relay than signaling through RasG. However, neither ACA activation nor chemotaxis was completely eliminated in either the rasC− or the rasG− strains. These results suggested three possibilities: (i) there is some overlap of function of RasC and RasG, (ii) both RasC and RasG are required for optimal chemotaxis and for optimal ACA activation, or (iii) that an additional Ras protein, such as Rap1, that is also activated in response to cAMP (Jeon et al., 2007), plays an important role at this point in the signal transduction pathway. A rasC/rasG− strain in the JH10 background was isolated to directly address these points.

The finding that early gene expression is markedly repressed in the rasC/rasG− strain indicates an important role for RasC and RasG in the response to starvation that
induces early gene expression. Expression of the \textit{carA} gene from the constitutive \textit{actin 15} promoter elevated the level of \textit{carA} expression in vegetative cells. In addition, this vegetative cell expression clearly restored the developmentally regulated expression of \textit{carA} and the expression of other developmental genes known to be involved in cAMP signaling, that were suppressed in the \textit{rasC'/rasG'} strain. It should be noted that two genes that were suppressed ~2.5 times were not rescued. The mechanism by which early gene expression is repressed in the \textit{rasC'/rasG'} strain and the mechanism by which such expression is restored in the \textit{rasC'/rasG'/[act15]:carA} strain were not pursued further in this study, which was designed specifically to look at the role of Ras proteins in cAMP induced signal transduction, but these mechanisms are certainly worthy of further investigation. The experiments using the \textit{rasC/rasGAact151:carA} mutant strain with its restored early developmental gene expression revealed that were negligible levels of cAMP generation and chemotaxis, providing strong evidence that all chemotactic and signal relay responses to cAMP are mediated through either RasG or RasC as shown in Figure 41.

The partial chemotaxis and ACA activation observed in the single \textit{rasG} and \textit{rasC} null mutants could be due to an overlap of RasC and RasG function, since there is close amino acid similarity between the two proteins. Thus, there may be an interaction of the one Ras protein with the effectors of the other, with one Ras protein out-competing the other for its own effectors in the wild type strains. However, in the single null strains, where one protein is missing, the competition would be removed, allowing functional compensation by the interaction of the remaining Ras protein with both sets of effectors. Consistent with this idea of a compensatory mechanism, is the finding that there is an
increase in RasC activation in rasG cells (Figure 17A), although there was no reciprocal increase in RasG activation in rasC cells (Figure 17B). However its activation was more prolonged which could also indicate a compensatory signaling mechanism. It also remains possible that there is a dual role for RasG and RasC in both ACA activation and in chemotaxis. This idea is attractive, since a requirement for the two Ras proteins for ACA activation could explain the enhancement in the cAMP signal relay as aggregation progresses (Kay, 1979; Chisholm et al., 1984). Thus, RasG might be responsible for initiating the process, but RasC might be important for the amplification of the signal that is needed to entrain the cells into aggregation streams. Similarly, if there is a dual role for RasG and RasC in chemotaxis, RasC might be required early in aggregation for a response to low concentrations of cAMP and RasG required later in aggregation for a response to higher concentrations of cAMP. This interpretation is consistent with the delayed aggregation by the rasG cells and the absence of aggregation of the rasC cells. However, since there is as yet no experimental support to distinguish these possibilities, they have not been included in the model depicted in Figure 41. The use of GFP-RBD can provide important information about the in vivo localization of activated Ras. However, the relative unrestrained of the Ras-RBD interaction makes it difficult to be able to differentiate between the different Ras isoforms. One way is to use isoform specific RBDs. For example, thus far, the RBD of the protein kinase Phg2 has proven to be specific for Rap1 when tested for its ability to bind to five members of the Dictyostelium Ras subfamily (Gebbie et al., 2004). Random mutagenizing of RBDs and screening for those that bind to only one Ras protein via a yeast two hybrid assay could be an another method of obtaining isoform specific RBDs. A similar strategy has been
used to generate more efficient fluorescent probes of different colours (Zhang et al., 2002).

4.3 Rap1 activation occurs downstream of RasG activation

Rap1 overexpression leads to shape changes and enhanced cell adhesion of vegetative Dictyostelium cells (Rebstein et al., 1993; Rebstein, 1996; Rebstein et al., 1997). In addition, the available evidence suggests that Rap1 is essential for vegetative cell viability (Kang et al., 2002). Activated Rap1 is capable of binding to the RBD of Phg2 and it has been suggested that this binding leads to an increase in cell adhesion (Kortholt et al., 2006) and is also important in regulating cell motility (Jeon et al., 2007). It has also been shown that Rap1 is activated by the RasGEF activity of GbpD in vegetative cells (Kortholt et al., 2006). A potential role for Rap1 during aggregation has been recently suggested by the finding that the protein is activated in response to cAMP in aggregation competent cells (Jeon et al., 2007). This activation was found to be absent in rasC/rasG/[act15]:carA and rasG- cells (Figure 31), indicating that it occurs downstream of signaling through RasG. Rap1 activation in response to cAMP was slightly reduced in rasC- cells and the possibility that some Rap1 activation is mediated through RasC cannot, therefore, be ruled out but this possibility has also not been considered in the final model (Figure 41). The levels of activated Rap1 that were present prior to the cAMP stimulation were also reduced in rasG- cells but not reduced in rasC- cells, indicating a role for RasG in regulating basal levels of Rap1 activation. Since GbpD is involved in Rap activation in vegetative cells (Kortholt et al., 2006), it might also be involved in the activation of Rap1 in chemotaxing cells in response to cAMP and it will be interesting to investigate the nature of the possible link between RasG and
GbpD. However, since there is as yet no evidence for the precise role for Rap1 in this signal transduction pathway, it has simply been placed downstream of RasG, and upstream of polarity/chemotaxis (Figure 41).

4.4 The production of cGMP plays a more important role in the chemotactic process than does the production of PIP3

Since RasG interacts with the RBD of two of the Dictyostelium PI3Ks (PI3K1 and PI3K2), it was postulated that RasG is a regulator of PI3K activity (Funamoto et al., 2002). Initially it was suggested that the production of PIP3 by the activity of PI3K plays a pivotal role in the chemotactic response to cAMP (Meili et al., 1999; Funamoto et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2003). However, there have been two reports recently indicating that strains with several deleted pi3k genes remain chemotactic, although they have reduced polarity and do move more slowly in response to cAMP (Hoeller and Kay, 2007; Takeda et al., 2007). It would appear that PI3K is not essential for chemotaxis but is, nonetheless, an important determinant for the establishment of polarity, which streamlines the chemotaxis process. In contrast, mutants that have disruptions in the genes encoding the enzymes responsible for cGMP production, gca and sgc, are only slightly chemotactic to cAMP (Bosgraaf et al., 2002). Taken together, these results indicate that the generation of cGMP plays a more important role in the chemotactic process than the production of PIP3. A comparison of the rates of chemotaxis of the various ras null strains relative to levels of PKB phosphorylation (as a measure of PI3K activity) and cGMP production is consistent with this interpretation. Thus, both chemotaxis and cGMP production were only slightly reduced in rasG- cells, while in contrast, PKB phosphorylation was barely detectable. The rasG- cells were
predominantly rounded, with no obvious leading edge, even though they performed relatively efficient chemotaxis, consistent with the idea that cGMP pathway is more important for chemotaxis, but that the PI3K pathway is important for establishing polarity. In rasC cells, the rate of chemotaxis and the production of cGMP were very similar to those of the parental cell line. However, PKB phosphorylation was markedly reduced in these cells although an appreciable level remained which was presumably sufficient to generate normal polarity in these cells.

4.5 Specificity of Ras protein interactions

There is strong evidence for specificity among the RasGEFs, that act upstream of the Ras proteins in the cAMP signaling pathway (Kae et al., 2007). RasGEFA is specific for RasC and appears to be the only RasGEF capable of converting RasC to the GTP bound form (Figure 41). RasGEFR is specific for RasG but since RasG is still partially activated in a gefR' strain, other RasGEFs (whose identifies are currently unknown and, therefore, denoted as RasGEF-? in Figure 41) can also activate RasG. Thus, the input to RasG is more complex than is the input to RasC, which may reflect the fact that RasG has multiple functions in the cell. A key question, still to be examined, is how the activation of the RasGEFs is linked to components of the cAMP receptor/heterotrimeric G protein complex.

Downstream of RasG and RasC there is clearly a bifurcation of the signaling pathways (Figure 41). The differential impact of RasG and RasC on ACA activation versus chemotaxis is almost certainly due to differences in the interaction of the Ras proteins with their downstream effectors. There is already evidence for differential affinity, since the Ras Binding Domains (RBDs) of mammalian Raf1 and RalGDS and
Dictyostelium PI3K1 and PI3K2 bind more effectively to RasG than to RasC (Kae et al., 2004). The higher affinity of PI3K1 and PI3K2 for RasG is consistent for the proposed roles for PI3K and RasG in establishing polarity. A yeast two-hybrid analysis has shown that RIP3 also interacts strongly with RasG but does not interact with RasC (Lee et al., 1999). However, the rip3– strain is defective in aggregation (Lee et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2005), a phenotype that closely resembles that of the rasC– and gef1– strains, and very different from the delayed aggregation phenotype of rasG– cells. This genetic data, therefore, indicates a close connection between RIP3, RasGEFA and RasC and provides no evidence for a connection between RIP3 and RasG. The function of RIP3, therefore, remains an enigma, given the marked discrepancy between the biochemical and genetic data. The failure of RIP3 to bind to RasC in yeast two hybrid assays (Lee et al., 1999) is not simply an artifact, resulting from the failure of RasC to express properly in yeast, since RIP3 binds to RasG but does not bind to RasC in a RBD-RIP3 pull down assay (Kae and Weeks, unpublished observations). A further complication is that RIP3 is a component of the TORC2 complex, which is necessary for optimum ACA activation and optimum chemotaxis (Lee et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2005). Understanding these signaling pathways will require a greater understanding of the role(s) of the TORC2 complex. In addition to these affinity considerations, a spatial separation of RasG and RasC may play an important role in the signal bifurcation, since ACA activation occurs at the trailing end of a migrating cell while PI3K stimulation of PKB occurs at the leading edge. The study of this activation in live cells is currently hampered by the lack of specific reagents that can uniquely identify activated RasC and activated RasG. Creating such specific reagents will be an important step for future understanding.
Studies of the interaction of mammalian Ras proteins with their effector molecules have identified two highly flexible Ras domains, Switch I and Switch II, that dominate these interactions. It has been proposed that the essential residues in these regions have "multispecificities" that are influenced by neighboring amino acids; that is, that identical residues can interact with different effectors in different ways (Herrmann, 2003; Biou and Cherfils, 2004; Mitin et al., 2005). RasC and RasG have identical sequences for the Switch II region, although the residues flanking this sequence differ slightly, providing possible explanations for both specificity and overlap of function. Furthermore, although there is considerable sequence conservation in the Switch I region, there are also some significant differences, again perhaps allowing for both functional specificity and overlap of function. Mutational analyses have suggested that not only RBD but also CRR (cysteine-rich region) of Raf1, the major effector of H-Ras, is critical for Ras-dependent activation of Raf1. Although, the exact mechanism of Raf1 CRR in this activation is not clear, but evidence suggest that C terminal post translational modifications of H-Ras is necessary for this interaction (Hu et al., 1995). The mutational analysis that I performed further defined the key residues that generate functional differences between RasC and RasG. However, lack of full ACA restoration in rasC⁻/⁻[rasC]:RasG^{D30A} and in chimeric rasC⁻/⁻[rasC]:RasC¹⁻⁷⁸⁺RasG⁷⁹⁻¹⁹⁰ cells indicates that although the amino acid 30 is a key determinant of RasC specificity, the C-terminal portion of the RasC molecule is also important. The unique role for each Ras protein could, therefore, be specified at least partially by the hypervariable region playing an important role in localization and perhaps for downstream interaction.
4.6 The role of RasG and RasC in the regulation of the cytoskeleton during aggregation

 Dictyostelium cells like the other motile cells migrate in a complex way that requires coordinated regulation of the cytoskeleton and cell adhesion (Franca-Koh et al., 2006). The basic model involves F-actin polymerization at the cell cortex which generates filaments that produce pseudopods and other membrane extensions for forward drive and myosin II assembles at the rear of the cell that provide cortical tension, resulting in uropod retraction. These responses have been reported to be regulated mainly by PI3K and PLA2, acting in parallel pathways, and by cGMP, respectively (Bosgraaf et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2007) and I have obtained evidence to suggest that RasG is involved in both responses.

 It has been shown that the expression of a mutated PI3K1, that carries a mutation in the RBD, is unable to generate PIP3 when expressed in a pikA\(^{-}\)/pikB\(^{-}\) double null cell line. Constitutive localization of this mutated PI3K1 via a myristoylation motif to the membrane did not rescue this defect, suggesting that the binding of Ras to PI3K does not act by simply anchoring PI3K to the membrane. Furthermore, activated RasG has been shown to bind to Dictyostelium PI3K1 and PI3K2 in a yeast two hybrid assay (Funamoto et al., 2002). In addition, I have shown that activation of PKB, a downstream effector of PI3K, was barely detectable in rasG\(^{-}\) cells. All these data suggest that RasG is required for PI3K activation of PIP3 formation and, consequently, for actin polymerization.

 It has been suggested that members of the Rho family of small G proteins play a role in regulation of cell polarity, cytoskeletal organization and directional sensing in neutrophils (Drechsel et al., 1997). In these cells, active RhoA accumulates in the rear of
migrating cells, which directs the uropod retraction by regulating the myosin II assembly and its interaction with actin at the cell cortex (Xu et al., 2003). This process is regulated through a cascade involving ROCK (Rho kinase) and MLCK (myosin light-chain kinase). However, myosin filament formation appears to be regulated by a different pathway in Dictyostelium, since the Dictyostelium genome does not possess Rho homologs. Instead, the Dictyostelium p21-activated kinase (PAK) localizes to the back of the chemotaxing cell and is required for the assembly of myosin II filaments, a function that is dependent on cGMP signaling (Chung and Firtel, 1999). Chemotaxing cells rapidly polymerize myosin II to the cortex at the rear and sides of the cell, and this response is defective in a sgcA/gca− double knockout strain that is unable to synthesize cGMP, and also in cells lacking cGMP-binding proteins (Bosgraaf et al., 2002). These mutants are motile, but they exhibit a loss in polarity during chemotaxis, and are severely defective in chemotaxis. rasG− cells are also unable to properly polarize during chemotaxis, yet still retain the ability to move. In addition, rasG− cells also display reduced chemotaxis efficiency and, although this defect is not as severe as that for the sgcA/gca− strain, the difference can be explained by the fact that cGMP production is totally abolished in sgcA−/gca− cells but only partially reduced in rasG− cells. However, cGMP synthesis is completely abolished in rasC/rasG− double null cells; and these cells exhibit negligible chemotaxis, a phenotype similar to that of the sgcA/gca− cells. It has also been suggested that PKB activation is important for the assembly of myosin at the rear of the cells (Chung and Firtel, 1999) and that the binding of myosin heavy-chain kinase to filamentous actin at the front of the cell prevents myosin assembly, thus restricting myosin activity to the sides and rear of the cell (Rubin and Ravid, 2002). However, since
\textit{rasG} cells have negligible activation of PKB, but are still capable of chemotaxis, clearly PKB is not essential for motility.

Although the predominant role for RasC is believed to be in the regulation of ACA, the protein also appears to play a role in the pathways leading to actin and myosin II polymerization and this role is different from that of RasG. In \textit{rasC} cells the polymerization of both actin and myosin, in response to cAMP, is similar to that observed in the wild type cells but the subsequent depolymerization of both actin and myosin is different (Wessels \textit{et al.}, 2004). In wild type cells, actin and myosin II depolymerize and move away from the cell cortex to the cytosol, but both remain in the cortex of \textit{rasC} cells, leading to a hyper-polarized cell morphology (Wessels \textit{et al.}, 2004). This suggests that RasC might play a role in the depolymerization of both actin and myosin II filaments during chemotaxis, but clearly this has no effect on cell motility.

4.7 Proposed model of Ras signaling during aggregation

The work in this thesis demonstrated that all cAMP induced signals transduced through heterotrimeric G proteins and leading to signal relay, polarity and chemotaxis in \textit{Dictyostelium} depend upon RasC and RasG (Figure 41). It appears that all cAMP mediated RasC activation is mediated by RasGEFA, while RasG activation is mediated by RasGEFR and an as yet unidentified additional RasGEF since RasG is still partially activated in a \textit{gefK} strain (Kae \textit{et al.}, 2007). Thus, the signaling pathway leading to RasG activation seems more complex than the one to RasC. Examining other \textit{rasGEF} null strains for RasG activation in addition to measuring \textit{in vitro} nucleotide release activity of the corresponding RasGEF protein should lead to the elucidation of the other GEFs required for RasG activation. Another important question that remains to be
answered is how the specific activation of the RasGEFs is linked to components of the cAMP receptor complex.

The available evidence suggests that RasG regulates at least three signaling pathways: 1) the activation of guanylyl cyclase (Figure 41), 2) the activation of PI3K (Figure 41), and 3) the regulation of the TORC2 complex via an interaction with RIP3 (Lee et al., 2005). Taken together, these observations indicate that the predominant role for RasG is in regulating polarity and chemotaxis. It has been shown that RasG is activated at the leading edge of chemotaxing cells (Sasaki et al., 2004) and that GC, PI3K, and RIP3 all translocate to the leading edge upon cAMP stimulation (Lee et al., 1999; Funamoto et al., 2002; Sasaki et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2005; Veltman et al., 2005).

In contrast, the prominent role for RasC during aggregation is in the activation of ACA. However the precise role that RasC plays in ACA activation is not clear at this point. In vivo localization studies indicate that ACA activation occurs at the rear of the cell (Kriebel et al., 2003), suggesting that signals received at the front of the cell must be relayed to the rear for the activation of ACA. The mutational analysis presented in this thesis suggests that the C terminal region of RasC is an important determinant for full ACA activation, and since the C terminal region of Ras proteins is known to be important for localization, this raise the interesting possibility that RasC is activated at the rear of the cell, placing it in proximity to ACA. However, Dictyostelium ACA does not have a RBD, so there must be some adaptor protein that mediates the passage of the signal from activated RasC to ACA. We have used a yeast two hybrid screen to search for such an adaptor protein, but this search proved to be unsuccessful, although binding proteins for RasG and Rap1 were detected in analogous screens.
4.8 The role of RasG and RasC in vegetative cells

One of the most dramatic phenotypic defects of vegetative rasG- cells is the abnormal cytokinesis that occurs when these cells are grown in shaken suspension, although there are several other cytoskeleton related defects, including changes in cell polarity, motility, folate chemotaxis, and the distribution of F-actin (Tuxworth et al., 1997; Khosla et al., 2000). There is also a reduced growth rate in the rasG- cells which is probably, at least in part, due to the defects in cytokinesis, although additional requirements of RasG for optimum growth can not be precluded. RasG is clearly not essential for growth, and the loss of RasG may be partially compensated by the presence of other Ras proteins, such as RasD, which is identical in the effector and effector-proximal domains and exhibits 82% identity over the entire length of the protein (Daniel, 1995). In this regard it is perhaps significant that growing rasG- cells show a marked increase in the level of RasD, which is barely detectable in the wild type cells (data not shown). Attempts have been made to generate a rasG/rasD- strain but failure to obtain such a mutant (D. Secko unpublished observation) is consistent with the idea that RasD is capable of substituting for RasG and that RasG/RasD carry out an essential function.

Cytokinesis is an important part of the cell cycle that divides one cell to two daughter cells. During cytokinesis, the cells undergo a series of stereotypical shape changes. The cells first round up, then elongate and finally constrict at the center. The microtubule network and its associated proteins coordinate the mitotic segregation of chromosomes and distribution of organelles and serve as key regulators of actin cytoskeleton in controlling the cell shape changes that lead to cell separation. It has been shown that proteins such as myosin II, and Rho GTPases associate with the furrow, while
others such as RacE, coronin, and Scar localize to the poles and this distribution is important for normal cytokinesis (De Lozanne and Spudich, 1987; Chen et al., 1994; Drechsel et al., 1997; Kitayama et al., 1997; Bi et al., 1998; Weber et al., 1999). Actin filaments play important roles at both the poles and furrow of the dividing cells. Polymerized actin and actin binding proteins accumulate at the pole, while actin and myosin filaments accumulate in the furrow at the contractile ring (Gerisch et al., 1999; Fukui, 2000; O'Connell et al., 2001). The absence or mislocalization of these cytoskeletal proteins, due to mutation, causes severe defects in cytokinesis. For example, a deletion of myosin II prevents Dictyostelium cytokinesis when cells are grown in shaken suspension, although these cells are capable of cell division on a surface by undergoing "traction-mediated cytofission" (De Lozanne and Spudich, 1987). Even multinucleated cells fragment and move apart when plated on a cell surface.

It is clear that Dictyostelium cells must establish and maintain cortical asymmetries for many cellular functions, such as chemotaxis and cytokinesis. While Dictyostelium cells establish polarity during chemotaxis as a response to an external chemoattractant, polarity during cytokinesis is dependent on internal components. As discussed previously, it has been suggested that the accumulation of actin in front and myosin in the rear of the cells, in response to an internal gradient of PIP3, results in migration. Similar events could occur during cytokinesis. It has been reported that the movement of PTEN to the membrane results in uniform reduction of PIP3 levels leading to the loss of actin polymerization (Iijima and Devreotes, 2002), which allows the cells to abolish the polarity that is established during migration. It has been speculated that for cytokinesis to occur, the poles of the cells become the active site for the formation of
PIP3 and the generation of actin filled projections during cell elongation, whereas the furrow zone which is devoid of PIP3, allows acto-myosin based contractions to occur (Janetopoulos et al., 2005).

Since RasG interacts with the RBD of two of the Dictyostelium PI3Ks (PI3K1 and PI3K2) (Funamoto et al., 2002) and given the fact that our data indicates that RasG is required for cell polarity during the chemotactic response, it is likely that RasG is also required for the signaling pathway that regulates polarity during cytokinesis and its absence leads to failure in cytokinesis, resulting in large, multinucleated cells; JH10/rasG, rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG/act15:carA cells are mostly multinucleate. The various ras null and wild type cells also have different patterns of actin staining. While vegetative wild type cells exhibit an even pattern of actin distribution, actin is distributed in a punctate pattern throughout the cell surface in vegetative rasG cells. Vegetative rasC cells also exhibit more intense actin staining throughout the periphery of the cell than do wild type cells. In rasC/rasG, rasC/rasG/act15:carA cells actin is also distributed densely but evenly around the cell periphery. These results suggest a role for both proteins, RasC and RasG, in the establishment of internal cues for the signaling pathways leading to polarity.

The fact that there is a defect in folate chemotaxis in vegetative rasG null strains is consistent with the important role that RasG plays in the mobilization of both the actin and myosin cytoskeletons during the establishment of cell polarity for chemotaxis. When grown in shaken suspension rasG cells divide slowly and cease division at a lower density than wild type cells. In contrast rasC/rasG, and rasC/rasG/act15:carA cells become multinucleate and do not divide at all in suspension. The total absence of folate
chemotaxis and the total absence of cell division in suspensions in \textit{rasC/rasG}, and \textit{rasC/rasG/\text{act15}:carA} cells suggests a compensatory role of RasC for RasG during both processes, a similar phenomenon to that observed for aggregating cells during cAMP chemotaxis.

4.9 Concluding remarks

\textit{Dictyostelium} has a relatively large number of Ras subfamily proteins, and its potential for high throughput genetic analysis makes the organism ideal for the study of Ras signaling networks. Results obtained in this study should therefore be relevant to other organisms, such as humans, that also encode numerous Ras subfamily proteins but which require more complex signaling networks. I have clearly shown that RasG and RasC are essential for the cAMP signaling during \textit{Dictyostelium} aggregation, with RasG more important for chemotaxis and RasC more important for signal relay. In addition, the two proteins are essential for vegetative cell growth in suspension and for chemotaxis to folate in vegetative cells. Ideas for further study have been incorporated at the appropriate places in the foregoing discussion.
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